THE

GREEK TESTAMENT.

VOL. II.

THE ACTS OF THE APOSTLES,
THE EPISTLES TO THE ROMANS AND CORINTHIANS.

. . . ἥτις ἀρχὴν λαβοῦσα λαλεῖαθαι διὰ τοῦ κυρίου, ὑπὸ τῶν ἀκουσάντων εἰς ἡμᾶς ἐβεβαιώθη.

Нев. іі. 3.

GREEK TESTAMENT:

WITH A CRITICALLY REVISED TEXT: A DIGEST OF
VARIOUS READINGS: MARGINAL REFERENCES TO VERBAL AND
IDIOMATIC USAGE: PROLEGOMENA:
AND A CRITICAL AND EXEGETICAL COMMENTARY.

FOR THE USE OF THEOLOGICAL STUDENTS AND MINISTERS.

HENRY ALFORD, D.D.

DEAN OF CANTERBURY.

IN FOUR VOLUMES.

VOL. II.

CONTAINING

THE ACTS OF THE APOSTLES,
THE EPISTLES TO THE ROMANS AND CORINTHIANS.

FIFTH EDITION.

RIVINGTONS,
Tondon, Oxford, and Cambridge.
DEIGHTON, BELL, AND CO.,
Cambridge.
1865.

LONDON:
GILBERT AND RIVINGTON, PRINTERS,
ST. JOHN'S SQUARE.

ADVERTISEMENT TO THE FIFTY EDITION.

The Fourth Edition of my Second Volume passed under entire and careful revision as regards, I. the critical arrangement of the text, and 2. the body of references. Both these labours were carried on under my own superintendence by my Secretaries; the former, including the re-writing of the Digest of various readings, and of that part of the Prolegomena which treats of the Apparatus Criticus, by the Rev. A. W. Grafton, now Vice-Principal of the Theological College at Wells: the latter, by the Rev. R. Hake, Minor Canon of Canterbury.

The alterations in the notes were chiefly those which were rendered necessary by the more complete conformation of the text to the testimony of our most ancient Manuscripts and Versions.

In this Fifth Edition, the Codex Sinaiticus has been collated throughout, and in certain doubtful passages of the text its testimony has now decided the reading.

The references have been somewhat modified, principally with a view to render each volume independent in itself, and prevent constant cross reference to the others.

Deanery, Canterbury, July, 1865.



CONTENTS OF THE PROLEGOMENA.

CHAPTER I.

OF THE	ACTS	OF THE	APOSTLES
--------	------	--------	----------

ECTI	ON											P.	AGE
1.	Its Authorship .												1
	Its Sources .												8
III.	For what Readers	and w	vith w	hat O	bject	t it wa	s w	ritten					15
IV.	At what Time and	Place	it wa	s writ	ten								17
v.	Genuineness and S	tate o	f the	Fext									20
VI.	Chronology .	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	•		٠	٠	•	22
			~~										
			CE	IAP'.	LEI	R II.							
	OF	THE	EPI	STLE	то	THE	RO	MANS	š.				
	Its Authorship and												32
II.	For what Readers	it was	writt	en									33
III.	With what Object At what Time and	it was	writt	en									37
IV.	At what Time and	Place	it was	writt	ten								39
V.	Language and Styl	le .	٠	٠	٠	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•	40
			СН	APT	ER	III.							
	OF THE	FIRS	r EPI	STLE	то	THE	CC	RINT	HIA	Ns.			
I.	Its Authorship and	Integ	grity										45
II.	For what Readers	it was	writt	en									46
III.	With what Object	it was	writt	en									50
IV.	Of the Number of	Epistle	es wri	tten b	у Ра	ul to	the	Corint	hians				51
V.	Of the Number of	Visits	made	by Pa	ul to	the C	ori	athian	8				52
VI.	At what Place and	Time	this E	pistle	was	writte	en						54
VII.	Matter and Style												56

CHAPTER IV.

OF	THE	SECOND	EPISTLE	TO	THE	CORIL	NTHIAN	S
----	-----	--------	---------	----	-----	-------	--------	---

anamio	v							PA	.G B
SECTIO	Its Authorship and Integrity								
11	Circumstances, Place, and Time of Writing								
III.	Matter and Style					•		٠	61
	CHAPTER	V.							
	APPARATUS CRIT	ricu	JS.						
	Manuscripts referred to in this Volume								62
1.1	Ancient Versions referred to in this Volum	1e							10
11.	Fathers and Ancient Writers cited in the	Dig	est of	this	Volu	me			77
111	List and Specification of Editions of oth	er I	Books	quoi	ed, r	eferre	l to,	or	
1 V	made use of in this Volume .								8

ERRATA.

Page 25, ref. f, Matt. xix. 28 should be within brackets

- 134, ref. f, ch. xxiv. 7 should be within brackets

- 186, ref. l, for ch. x. 3 reff., read ch. xi. 3 reff.

231, text, line 2, for δτ, read δτι

- 241, ref. q, Rom. ix. 28 ought not to be in brackets

- 274, ref. w, for Rom. viii. 26, 34, read Rom. viii. 27, 34

- 330, ref. j, for (h), read (i)

PROLEGOMENA.

CHAPTER I.

THE ACTS OF THE APOSTLES.

SECTION I.

ITS AUTHORSHIP.

1. The Author of this book is identical with that of the third Gospel, as plainly appears from the circumstance that in its address, to a certain Theophilus, reference is made to a former work, on the acts and words of Jesus, similarly addressed. Compare Acts i. 1, Luke i. 3. That Author is traditionally known as Lucas or Luke, spoken of Col. iv. 14, and again Philem. 24, and 2 Tim. iv. 11. For notices respecting him, see Prolegg. to Vol. I. ch. iv. § i.

2. Nor is there any reason to reject the testimony of tradition in this matter. In chapters xxvii. and xxviii. we find our Author (see below, par. 4) accompanying Paul to Rome. In the passages above cited, all written from Rome, we find that Luke was there, in the company of that Apostle. So far at least there is nothing inconsistent with Luke having

written this book; and if this book, the Gospel.

3. That no other writer has here assumed the person of the Author of the Gospel, may be gathered from the diction of this book strongly resembling that of the other. Supposing the student to consult the references in this Edition, he will be continually met by words and phrases either peculiar to the two books and not met with elsewhere (about fifty of these occur),—or mostly found in the two.

4. That no writer other than the Author of the rest of the book has furnished the parts in which the narrative proceeds in the first person, will be plain, if the matter be thus considered. (a) We have evidence, both by his own assertion (Luke i. 3), and from the contents of the Gospel and this book, that Luke was a careful and painstaking writer. Now it would be peak a degree of carelessness wholly unexampled,—

Vol. II.—17

for one who compiled a continuous memoir, to leave its component parts, derived from various sources, in their original fragmentary state, some in the third, others in the first person. Unquestionably such a writer would in such a case have translated the whole into the third person. (β) Seeing that Luke does use the first person in Acts i. 1, and that the first person is resumed ch. (xiv. 22) xvi. 10—17; xx. 5—15; xxi. 1—18; xxvii. 1—xxviii. 16, it is but a fair inference that in one and the same book, and that book betokening considerable care of writing and arrangement, the speaker implied by the use of the first person is one and the same throughout.

5. That the author never names himself, either as the author, or otherwise, can of itself not be urged as an objection to any hypothesis of authorship, unless by the occurrence of some mention, from which the authorship by another may be fairly inferred. But, if we have in this book no mention of Luke, we have as certainly no hint of any other person having furnished the narrative. On the other hand we have a hint by which it appears that some one other than all the specified companions of Paul on a certain occasion (Acts xx. 4, 5) was with him, and was the author of the narrative. After the mention by name of Sopater, Aristarchus, Secundus, Gaius, Timotheus, Tychicus, and Trophimus, we read, 'These having gone forward waited for us at Troas:' this pronoun including Paul and the writer, at least (see note there).

6. That Paul himself, in Epistles written during the journeys here described, does not name Luke, cannot be alleged as any argument why Luke should not have been the author of our narrative. For (a), we have undoubted examples of Paul sometimes merely alluding generally to those who were with him, as Phil, iv. 21, 22 :- sometimes sedulously suppressing their names while speaking of services performed by them, as 2 Cor. viii. 18: sometimes not mentioning or alluding to them at all, as in the Epistles to the Galatians and to the Ephesians:-and (B) strictly speaking, no Epistles appear to have been written by Paul while our writer was in his company, before his Roman imprisonment. For he does not seem to have joined him at Corinth, ch. xviii., whence the two Epistles to the Thessalonians were written:-or to have been with him at Ephesus, ch. xix., - whence (perhaps) the Epistle to the Galatians was written :- nor again to have wintered with him at Corinth, ch. xx. 3, at the time of his writing the Epistle to the Romans, and (perhaps) that to the Galatians.

7. But independently of the above arguments to establish the identity of the author throughout, we may infer the same from the similarity of diction and style, which do not vary through the book. Here again we have, as will be seen abundantly in the references, terms peculiar to the writer occurring in various parts of the book;—favourite terms and

phrases occurring in all parts of the book; which could not well have been the case, had he merely incorporated the memoirs of others. For compendious statements of these, the whole of which have been inserted in my references, I refer the reader to Dr. Davidson's Introd. to the N. T. vol. ii. pp. 4, 5.

- 8. And again, the notes will be found repeatedly to point out cases where the narrator takes up again (with his characteristic μèν οὖν or otherwise) the thread of history previously dropped (see e. g., and compare, ch. xi. 16, i. 5: xi. 19, viii. 1—4: xxi. 8, vi. 5, viii. 5 ff.: xxii. 20, vii. 58, viii. 1, &c.).
- 9. Another interesting source of evidence on this head is pointed out by Mr. Smith, in his valuable work on the Voyage and Shipwreck of St. Paul. He has shewn that in the various narratives of sea voyages in this book, and in that of the stilling of the storm in the Gospel, Luke has, with remarkable consistency, shewn himself to be just so much acquainted with the phrases and habits of seamen, as a landsman well habituated to the sea, but himself no seaman, might be expected to be. To specify instances would be beyond my limits, besides that Mr. Smith's very interesting and ingenious argument and illustrations would be spoiled by abridgment. I can only refer my reader to his work!
- 10. To the same class belong the intimations, slight indeed but interesting, discoverable here and in the Gospel in the descriptions of diseases, that the author was one well acquainted with them and with the technical language of the medical profession. Of this kind are συνεχομένη πυρετῷ μεγάλῳ, Luke iv. 38; πυρετοῖς κ. δυςευτερίῳ συνεχόμενον, Acts xxviii. 8: see also Luke viii. 43, 44,—Acts iii. 7, xii, 23, xiii. 11, and compare Col. iv. 14.
- 11. It will be necessary to mention the various hypotheses which have substituted some other narrator for Luke in the parts of the Acts where the first person is used, or have merged his personality in that of some other companion of Paul: and, irrespective of the above arguments, to deal with them on their own merits. (a) Bleek and De Wette hold Timotheus, and not Luke, to have been the companion of Paul and the narrator in the first person,—and Luke to have inserted those portions from a journal kept by Timotheus, and without alteration. But this is not consistent with ch. xx. 4, 5: where, when the companions of Paul have been named, and Timotheus among them, it is said. οὖτοι προελθόντες ἔμενον ἡμᾶς ἐν Τρωάδι: the escape from this objection attempted by making οὖτοι refer to Tychicus and Trophimus only, being on all ordinary rules of construction, inadmissible. This reason is, to my mind, sufficient: those who wish to see others brought out, and the

31

¹ A second edition of Mr. Smith's book has appeared, enlarged with much interesting detail. See the excursus below "On the city of Lasæa."

supports of the hypothesis (which are entirely negative and inferential) invalidated, may consult Dr. Davidson's Introduction to the N. T., vol.

ii. pp. 9 ff.

(β) SILAS was the narrator in the first person, and indeed the author of the latter part of the book, beginning with ch. xv. 13 (30?), in the form of personal memoirs, which then were worked up. This hypothesis, which has not any thing resembling evidence to support it, is sufficiently refuted by the way in which the mention of Silas is introduced ch. xv. 22 (included by the hypothesis in his own work) as being a 'chief man among the brethren.' If it be answered that this notice of him was inserted by Luke,—Is it, I would ask, likely, that an author who was at no more pains in his work than to leave the first person standing in the narrative of another which he used, would have added to the mention of new individuals notices of this kind?

(γ) More ingenious, and admitting of more plausible defence, is the hypothesis, which *identifies Luke himself with Silas*. The latest and ablest vindication of this view is contained in an article by the Author of the literary history of the N. T. in Kitto's Journal of Sacred Lit. for Oct. 1850. The chief arguments by which he supports it are these:—

(1) "The author of the Acts appears, in the early part of his history, to have been well acquainted with the acts and sayings of Peter, as he was afterwards with those of Paul. Now the only persons whom this description would fit, are Silvanus (or Silas), and Mark (see 1 Pet. v. 12, 13). That Mark did not after Acts xv. travel with Paul, we know: but Silas did, and from that time we find greater precision in the narrative as regards the history of that Apostle."

But to this it may be answered,—that the difference between the kind of acquaintance which the historian possesses with Peter and his sayings and doings, and that with Paul and his history, is very observable even to a cursory reader. Nowhere in the first part of the book does he use the first person: and nowhere, although the testimony has plainly come in many parts from autoptic authority, does the narrator himself appear as the eye-witness. In fact, all that the above argument insists on, is easily and naturally satisfied, by the long and intimate companionship of Luke and Silvanus as fellow-travellers with Paul, during which time Luke may have gathered, if Silvanus must be considered as his authority, all that we now find in the former parts of our history.

² I do not notice in the text the untenableness of the author's hypothesis that Silvanus accompanied Peter from Jerusalem into the East, and became the bearer of his first Epistle to the Christians of Asia Minor, before the commencement of his own connexion with Paul: i.e. before the gospel had ever been preached to many of those addressed by Peter, which it had already been,—see 1 Pet. i. 12, 25, and remark the norists in both places. This extraordinary hypothesis is not necessary to his theory of

(2) "Luke and Silvanus (Silas) are nowhere mentioned together. Luke is never mentioned in the Acts: Silas is never coupled with Luke in the addresses or salutations of the Epistles. And the two names, Silvanus from silva, and Lucanus from lucus, are so cognate that they might well be the appellations of one and the same person."

This ingenious argument, if well weighed, will be found to have but little force. As to Luke not being named in the Acts, the fact itself goes for nothing. If it have any prima facie weight, it would be against the hypothesis. That one who was careful to insert an explanatory notice respecting one so well known as Σαύλος δ καὶ Παύλος, should take no notice at all of the fact hereafter likely to occasion so much confusion, -that he who was named Silas in the history, was known by Paul, and mentioned in his Epistles, as Lucas,—is hardly probable. But let us observe the occasions on which Silvanus and Lucas have been mentioned by Paul. In 1 Thess. i. 1, and 2 Thess. i. 1, we have Silvanus joined with Paul and Timotheus. In 2 Cor. i. 19, we have an allusion to the preaching of Christ at Corinth by Paul, Silvanus, and Timotheus. Accordingly in Acts xviii. 5, we find that Silas and Timotheus came from Macedonia and joined Paul at Corinth: this occurring in a part of the history when (I am speaking according to the ordinary and prima facie inference, from the disuse of the first person since xvi. 17) the author was absent from Paul. Now let us turn to Col. iv. 14, Philem. 243, These Epistles belong to a time when we know by the latter chapters of the Acts, that the writer of the history was with Paul. Accordingly I find Lucas mentioned in both places. So far at least is in remarkable accordance with the common view that Silas and Lucas were not one, but two persons, and that the latter was the author of the Acts, and not the former. It may be said that Paul called the same person Lucas whom he had previously called Silvanus: and this may be supported by his variations between Peter and Cephas. But (1) I conceive that the case of Peter was too exceptional an one (both names having apparently been given him and used by our Lord Himself) to found an analogy upon: and (2) Peter's names are forms of the same meaning in two different languages, not words of similar meaning in the same language.

But the principal argument in my mind against this hypothesis (over and above that from ch. xv. 22) is, that it would introduce unaccountable confusion into the form and expression of a history, which on the common view is lucid and accountable enough. Imagine Silas to be the speaker in ch. xvi., and Luke to be merged in Silas. Then 'we,' from

the identity of Luke and Silas: indeed that theory is better without it, as then the silence of the Acts on Peter's proceedings after Acts xii. is accountable, which on that hypothesis it would not be.

³ I omit at present 2 Tim. iv. 11.

ver. 10 to ver. 18, — Silas and Timotheus. In ver. 19, it would be natural to desert the first person, in order to express what happened to Paul and Silas, and not to Timotheus. The same specification of Paul and Silas might for the same reason, be continued during the stay at Philippi, i. e. to the end of that chapter. But is it conceivable, that the 'we' should not be resumed when the journey begins again ch. xvii. 1, —that it should not be used ch. xviii. 11, seeing that from 2 Cor. i. 19 it was Paul, Silvanus, and Timotheus, who were preaching during that time at Corinth—in fact, that it should never be resumed till ch. xx. 5, at the very place (Philippi) where it was dropped before?

The argument from the similarity of silva and lucus is too unsubstantial to deserve serious attention. And that built on the assumption that the author of the third Gospel and the Acts must have held a place of greater honour than we find assigned to Lucas, is purely arbitrary, and sufficiently answered by observing that he is ranked with Marcus, apparently his fellow-Evangelist, in Philem. 24. Rather would it seem probable, that the men of word and action, in those times of the living energy of the Spirit, would take the highest place; and that the work of securing to future generations the word of God would not be fully honoured, till from necessity, it became duly valued.

12. I shall now endeavour to sketch out the personal history of the author of the Acts, as far as it can be gathered, during the events which he relates.

The first direct intimation of his being in the company of Paul, occurs ch. xvi. 10, at Troas, when Paul was endeavouring (looking for a ship) to sail into Macedonia. Now at this time, Paul had been apparently detained in Galatia by sickness, and had just passed through (preaching as he went, see eh. xviii. 23) that country and Phrygia. It is hardly probable that he had visited Colossæ, as it lay far out of his route, but he may, in the then uncertainty of his destination, have done so. (See Col. ii. 1 and note.) I say this, because it is remarkable that in sending Luke's salutation to the Colossians (Col. iv. 14), he calls him & larges & άγαπητός. This designation might recall to their minds the relation in which Luke had stood to Paul when in their country; or more probably may have been an effusion of the warm heart of Paul, on recollection of the services rendered to him on that journey by his loving care. At all events such a designation, occurring in such a place, is not inconsistent with the idea that Luke about that time became Paul's companion on account of the weak state of his health. Further to establish this is impossible: but what follows is not inconsistent with it. We find him in the Apostle's company no further than to Philippi, the object perhaps of his attendance on him having been then fulfilled '.

⁴ He may have been put in charge with the church at Philippi, but the conjecture is not very probable.

13. If we seek for any trace of previous connexion between Luke and Paul, we find nothing but the very slightest hint, and that perhaps hardly to be taken as such. In ch. xiv. 21, 22 we read, that Paul, after the stoning at Lystra, departed with Barnabas to Derbe, and returned through Lystra and Iconium and Antioch (in Pisidia) confirming the souls of the disciples, exhorting them to remain in the faith, καὶ ὅτι διὰ πολλών θλίψεων δεί ήμας είςελθείν είς τ. βασιλείαν τοῦ θεοῦ. This ήμας may be, as commonly understood, spoken by the writer as a Christian, and of all Christians: but it may also be indicative of the writer's presence: and I cannot help connecting it with the tradition that Luke was a native of Antioch 5: though Antioch in Syria is there meant. Certainly, in the account (ch. xiii.) of the events at Antioch in Pisidia, there is remarkable particularity. Paul's speech is fully reported: the account of its effect vv. 44-49 given with much earnestness of feeling :- and one little notice is added after the departure of Paul and Barnabas, ver. 52, which looks very like the testimony of one who was left behind at-Antioch. Whether this may have been the place of Luke's own conversion, we know not; but a peculiar interest evidently hangs about this preaching at Antioch in the mind of the narrator, be he who he may: and Mark had departed, who might have supplied the Cyprian events (see ver. 13).

14. After the second junction with Paul and his company, ch. xx. 5, we find him remaining with the Apostle to the end of our history. It would not be necessary to suppose this second attachment to him to have had the same occasion as the first. That which weakness of body at first made advisable, affection may subsequently have renewed. And we have reason to believe that this was really the case. Not only the epithet $d\gamma a\eta \tau / 6$, Col. iv. 14, but the fact, that very late in the life of the Apostle (see Prolegg. to the Pastoral Epistles, § ii.) when "all in Asia were turned away from him" (2 Tim. i. 15), and Demas, Crescens, and Titus had for various reasons left him, the faithful Luke still remained (2 Tim. iv. 11), bespeaks an ardent and steady attachment to the person of him who in all probability was his father in the faith.

15. Of the subsequent history and death of Luke nothing is known.

⁵ That the two places of that name would thus be confounded, is nothing surprising to those who are familiar with tradition. The usual ground assigned for this idea, viz. the mention of Lucius (of Cyrene) as being at Antioch, ch. xiii. 1, is certainly far from satisfactory.

The idea that ἡμῶs can by any possibility be applied to the writer has been controverted by Prof. Lightfoot in the Journal of Classical and Sacred Philology for March, 1856, p. 95. But see note in loc.

SECTION II.

ITS SOURCES.

- 1. The principal enquiry respecting the sources of the narrative in the Acts relates to the first part as far as ch. xiii. After that, the history follows the Apostle Paul, of whom its writer was subsequently the constant companion. From him therefore the incidents might be derived, where the writer himself was not present. I shall before the end of this section enquire how far the appearances warrant our supposing that his testimony has furnished such portions.
- 2. I proceed to enquire into the probable sources of the first part of our history. And here something will depend on our answer to another question,—When is it probable that Luke was engaged in drawing up the book? I shall endeavour to support in another section my firm conviction that its publication took place at the end of the two years mentioned in ch. xxviii. 30, 31. It may be convenient for me at present to assume that to have been the case, but my argument does not altogether depend on that assumption. I proceed on the hardly deniable inference, that of the last voyage and shipwreck a regular journal was kept by Luke-probably set down during the winter months at Malta. It must then be evident, that at this time the purpose of writing a δεύτερος λόγος was ripened in his mind. But how long had this purpose been in his mind? Am I altogether beside the mark in supposing, that it was with this purpose among others that he became one of Paul's company on the return to Asia in ch. xx. 4, 5? Whether (see Prolegg. to Luke, § iv. 2, 3) the Gospel was written for the most part during the interval between Luke being left at Philippi in ch. xvi. and his being taken up at the same place in ch. xx., or afterwards in Palestine,—on either supposition it is not improbable that the writing of the Acts was at this time already designed, -either as a sequel to the Gospel already finished, or simultaneously with the Gospel, as its future sequel.
- 3. It is very possible that the design may have grown under his hands, or more properly speaking have been by little and little suggested by the direction of the Spirit of God. He may have intended, on leaving Philippi with Paul (ch. xx. 4, 5), only to draw up a δυήγησας of his own travels in company with that Apostle, to serve as a record of his acts and sayings in founding the churches in Europe and Asia. However this may have been, we find him recording minutely every circumstance of this voyage, which I take to have been the first written portion of the book. At any time during that or subsequent travels, or during the two years at Rome, he may have filled in those parts of the narrative

which occurred during his absence from Paul,—by the oral dictation of the Apostle.

- 4. Let us now suppose Paul already in custody at Cæsarea. The narrative has been brought down to that time. The circumstances of his apprehension,—his defence before the Jews,—their conspiracy,—his rescue from them and transmission to Felix,—all this has been duly and minutely recorded,—even the letter of Claudius Lysias having been obtained, probably by acquaintance with some one about Felix. An intention similar to that announced in $\pi a \rho \eta \kappa o \lambda o v \theta \eta \kappa \delta \tau v$ derivatives (Luke i. 3) is here evidently shewn.
- 5. But now Providence interposes, and lays aside the great Apostle for two years. During all this time Luke appears to have been not far from his neighbourhood, watching the turn of events, ready to accompany him to Rome, according to the divine announcement of ch. xxiii. 11. But "they also serve, who only stand and wait." What so natural, as that he should avail himself of this important interval to obtain, from Cæsarea and Jerusalem, and perhaps from other parts of Palestine, information by which he might complete his hitherto fragmentary notices? That accurate following up of every thing, or rather tracing down of every thing from its source,-what time so appropriate for it as this, when among the brethren in Judæa he might find many eye-witnesses and ministers of the word, and might avail himself of the διηγήσεις which of all places would be most likely to abound there where the events themselves had happened? During this interval therefore I suppose Luke to have been employed in collecting materials, perhaps for his Gospel, but certainly for the first part of the Acts.
- 6. His main source of information would be the church at Jerusalem. There, from James, or from some apostolic men who had been on the spot from the first, he would learn the second and fuller account of the Ascension,—the weighty events of the day of Pentecost, the following acts and discourses. In the fulness of the outpouring of the Holy Ghost on the apostles and elders at this time, which raised them above ordinary men in power of spirit and utterance, it would be merely an inference from analogy, that their remembrance of the words uttered at remarkable crises of the apostolic history should be something surpassing mere human recollection: that these hallowed words of the Spirit's own prompting should have abode with the church for its comfort and instruction, and finally have been committed to writing for all subsequent ages.
- 7. But if analogy would a priori suggest this, the phenomena of our history confirm it. The references (which have been on that account a singularly interesting labour) will shew to the attentive student in those speeches, quite enough peculiarities to identify them as the sentiments and diction of the great Apostle of the circumcision, while at the same

time there is enough of Luke's own style and expression to shew that the whole material has been carefully worked over and *græeized* by his hand.

- 8. It has been much disputed whether Luke used written documents in constructing this part of the Acts 6. It may have been so. Detailed memoirs of some of the most important events may have been drawn up. If so, ch. ii. would in all probability be such a memoir. The letters, ch. xv. 23-29 (xxiii. 26-30), must have been of this kind: some of the discourses, as that of Peter ch. xi. 5-17, containing expressions unknown to Luke's style (see reff.): more or less, the other speeches of Peter, containing many striking points of similarity to (both) his Epistles,—see reff. At the same time, from the similarity of ending of the earlier sections (compare ch. ii. 46, 47; iv. 32 ff.; v. 42; ix. 31; xii. 21), from the occurrence of words and phrases peculiar to Luke in the midst of such speeches as those noticed above (e. g. σταθέντα ch. xi. 13, and see Dr. Davidson p. 30 for a list, which I have incorporated in the reff.), the inference must be (as in the last paragraph) that such documents were not adopted until their language had been revised, where thought necessary, by the author himself. The very minute and careful detail of ch. xii., evidently intended to give the highest authority to the narrative of Peter's miraculous deliverance, -so that the house itself of Mary the mother of John Mark is specified, the name of the female servant who went to the door, her remarks and the answer made to her, are all given,-has apparently been the result of diligent enquiry on the spot, from the parties concerned. We can hardly resist the inference that the very same persons who fifteen years before had been witnesses of the deliverance, now gave the details of an occurrence which they could never forget, and described their own feelings on it.
- 9. Whether Luke at this time can have fallen in with Peter personally, is very questionable. That Apostle certainly does not appear to have been at Jerusalem when Paul visited it: and from the omission of all mention of him after ch. xv., the natural inference is, that he was not there during any part of Paul's imprisonment. (See note on Gal. ii. 11, and Prolegg. to 1 Pet. § ii. 6, 7.)
- 10. But one very important section of the first part of the Acts is concerned with events which happened at Casarea,—and derived from information obtained there. There dwelt Philip the Evangelist, one of the seven (ch. xxi. S): a most important authority for the contents of ch. vi. and viii. 7, if not also for some events previous to ch. vi. There

⁶ See the question discussed by Dr. Davidson, pp. 21 ff.

⁷ De Wette (Exeget, Handb. Apostg. p. 6) objects that Philip could hardly have imparted eh, viii, 39 in its present form. At first sight, it seems so: but the next verse εὐηγγελίζετο τὰς πόλοις πόλοις πόλοις πόλοις πόλοις hardly have been imparted by any δων Philip: and this leads us to think whether subsequent enquiry

too, we may well believe, still dwelt, if not Cornelius himself*, yet some of the συνεληλυθότες πολλοί of ch. x. 27,—the persons perhaps who had gone to fetch Peter from Joppa,—at all events plenty who could narrate the occurrences of that memorable day, and the words which formed the great procem of the Gentile Gospel.

- 11. Connected with the Cæsarcan part of our history, is one minute touch of truth and accuracy, which is interesting as pointing to careful research and information of the most trustworthy kind. The awful death of Herod Agrippa I. had happened on a great public occasion. It appears that the celebration of a festival in honour of Cæsar had also been selected as the time of audience for an embassy of the inhabitants of Tyre and Sidon, and during this audience, after making an oration to the embassy, Herod was struck by the hand of God. Now of this latter particular, the Sidonian embassy, the Jewish historian knows nothing. (See the passage quoted, ad loc. ch. xii. 21.) But Luke, who had made careful enquiries on the spot, who had spent a week at Tyre, ch. xxi. 4—7,—and Paul, who had friends at Sidon, ch. xxvii. 3, were better acquainted with the facts of the occurrence than to overlook, as Josephus did, the minute details in the general character of the festival.
- 12. One or two sections in the former part of the Acts require separate consideration.
- (a) The apology of Stephen, from its length and peculiar characteristics, naturally suggests an enquiry as to the source whence it may probably have been obtained by Luke. And here I should feel little hesitation in ascribing a principal share in the report to him who was so deeply implicated in Stephen's martyrdom,—who shews by his own reference (ch. xxii. 20) to the part taken by him on that occasion, how indelibly it was fixed in his memory,—and who in more than one place of his recorded speeches and writings, seems to reproduce the very thoughts and expressions of Stephen. At the same time, it would be improbable that the church at Jerusalem should have preserved no memorial of so important a speech as that of her first martyr before his judges. So that, however we may be inclined to attribute much of its particularity and copiousness to information derived from Paul, it must be classed, as to its general form, among those contributions to the history obtained by Luke at Jerusalem.
 - (β) The narrative of the conversion of Saul in ch. ix. can hardly fail

respecting the ennuch (who as he had before come to Jerusalem to worship at the feast, so would again) may not have enabled Philip to add this particular, $\hat{\epsilon}\pi o\rho\epsilon\hat{\nu}\epsilon\tau o$ $\gamma\hat{\alpha}\rho \tau$. $\delta\delta\delta\nu \alpha\hat{\nu}\tau o\hat{\nu}$ $\chi\alpha\hat{\nu}\rho\omega\nu$, over and above what he could know at the time.

⁸ It seems probable that the Roman forces never left Cæsarea during the whole period from Augustus to Vespasian. The territory during that time (see chronological table) was alternately part of the province of Syria, and a dependent kingdom: but the garrisons do not appear to have been changed in such cases.

to have been derived from himself. I have shewn in the notes that there are no discrepancies between this and the two other relations of the same event, but such as may easily be accounted for by the peculiar circumstances under which each is given, and the necessarily varying expressions of narratives which were afterwards not reduced into harmony with each other, but written faithfully down as delivered.

13. Agreeable with the above suppositions is the fact, that the former part of the book presents more traces of Hebraistic idiom, not only in speeches, but in the form of the historical narrative.

14. I proceed now to an enquiry promised in par. 1 of this section: How far we have indications of the lacunæ in the author's personal testimony in the latter part having been filled in by that of Paul.

Perhaps one of the best sections for the purpose of this examination will be that from ch. xvii. 16-xviii. 5, which relates to a time when Paul was left alone. Do we discover in the narrative or speech the traces of an unusual hand, and if so, whose is it? That some unusual hand has been here employed, is evident: for in the six verses 16-21 inclusive, we have no fewer than nine expressions foreign to Luke's style', or nowhere else occurring: and in the speech itself, no fewer than nineteen 2. Now of these twenty-eight expressions, five are either peculiar to, or employed principally by Paul'; besides that we find the phrase τὸ πνεῦμα αὐτοῦ, so frequently (see reff.) used by him of his own spirit or feelings. That the ἄπαξ λεγόμενα in the speech exceed in number the expressions indicative of his style, may fairly be accounted for by the peculiar nature of the oceasion on which he spoke. Here I think we can hardly fail to trace the hand of the Apostle by quite as many indications as we might expect to find. That Luke should, as in every other ease, have wrought in the section into his work, and given it the general form of his own narrative, would only be natural, and we find it has been so 4.

15. It may be instructive to carry on the examination of this part of

⁹ See ch. i. 15, 23: the connexion by κal ch. ii. 1—4: àπὸ προςώπου τ. συνεδρ., v. 41: ἡκούσθη ὁ λόγος εἰς τὰ ὧτα τ. ἐκκλησίας, xi. 22: παῖς θεοῦ (of Christ), ch. iii. 13, 26; iv. 27, 30; (of Duvid) iv. 25: διὰ στόματος Δαυείδ οτ τῶν προφ., i. 16,—iii. 18, 21,—iv. 25:—ol viol 'Ισρ., v. 21:—ἡ γερουσία, ih., &c.

¹ έκδεχομένου, παρωξύνετο, κατείδωλον, παρατυγχάνοντας, σπερμολόγος, ξένων (bis), καταγγελεύς, ξενίζοντα, ηὐκαίρουν.

² δεισιδαιμονεστέρους, ἀναθεωρῶν, σεβάσματα, βωμόν, ἐπεγέγραπτο, (ἀγνώστφ.) εὐσεβεῖτε, ἀνθρωπίνων, (θεραπεύεται.) προςδεόμενος, ὁροθεσίας, κατοικίας, (ζητεῖν.) χαράγματι, (τέχνης.) ἐνθυμήσεως, τὸ θεῖον, ὑπεριδών, ἔστησεν.

^{*} ἐκδέχομαι, παροξύνες, εὐκαιρέω, σέβασμα, ἀνθρώπινος.—καταγγέλλω, ὁρίζω, εΙς σάστος with gen. partitive, are peculiar to Luke and Paul: ἀγνοέω is a favourite word in the Epistes of Paul.

⁴ We have the characteristic διελέγετο, ἐπιλαμβάνομαι, εἰς τὰς ἀκοάς (Luke vii. 1), σταθείς, διερχόμενος, καθότι.

the history somewhat further. At ch. xviii. 5, Silas and Timotheus joined Paul at Corinth. One at least of these, Timotheus, was afterwards for a considerable time in the company of Luke in the journey from Philippi to Jerusalem. But on his arrival at Corinth, no alteration in the style of the narrative is perceptible. It still remains the mixed diction of Paul and Luke: the $\tilde{\alpha}\pi$. $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\gamma$. are fewer, while we have some remarkable traces of Paul's hand band of Again, in vv. 24—28 of the same chapter, we have a description of what took place with regard to Apollos at Ephesus, when Paul himself was absent. This portion it would be natural to suppose might have been furnished by Apollos himself, were it not for the laudatory description of ver. 24. If not by Apollos, then by Aquila and Priscilla to Paul on his return to Ephesus. And so it seems to have been. The general form is Luke's: the peculiarities are mostly Paul's band of the paul's band of the paul's band of the peculiarities are mostly Paul's band of the paul's band of the peculiarities are mostly Paul's band of the paul's band of the peculiarities are mostly Paul's band of the paul's band of the peculiarities are mostly Paul's band of the peculiarities are peculiarities are peculiarities are peculiarities are peculiarities are peculiarities are peculiarities are

16. The examination of these sections may serve to shew that the great Apostle appears to have borne a principal part in informing Luke with regard to such parts of his history: the traces of this his share in the work being visible by the occurrence of words and phrases peculiar to him in the midst of the ordinary narrative from Luke's own pen. These he preserved, easting the merely narrative matter into the form in which he usually wrote.

17. It yet remains, before terminating this section, to say something of the speeches reported in the latter part of the Acts. Are they Paul's own words, or has Luke in this case also gone over the matter, and left the impression of his style on it?

These speeches are, (a) the discourse to the Ephesian elders in ch. xx. 18—35,—(β) the apology before the Jews, ch. xxii. 1—21,—(γ) the apology before Felix, ch. xxiv. 10—21,—(δ) the apology before Agrippa and Festus, ch. xxvi. 1—29.

(a) The discourse to the Ephesian elders is a rich storehouse of phrases and sentiments peculiar to Paul. These are so numerous, and so remarkable, that nothing short of a complete study of the passage, with the references, will put the reader in full possession of them. Very faint traces are found of the hand of Luke?. Of those mentioned in

 $^{^5}$ συνείχετο, ver. 5,—καθαρός ἐγώ, 6,—παρὰ τὸν νόμον, 13,—ἀδίκημα, 14 (see eh. xxiv. 20), ῥαδιούργημα, ib. (see ch. xiii. 10), ἢνεσχόμην ὑμῶν, ib., λόγου, 15,—&c.

⁶ κατηχημένος, ακριβώς ήρξατο παβρησιάζεσθαι, έξέθεντο, διελθείν, αποδέξασθαι, παραγενόμενος, εὐτόνως διακατηλέγχετο (un ἄπ. λ., but in Luke's manner of using long compounds), belong to Luke's style: ζέων τῷ πνεύματι, δημοσία (ch. xvi. 37; xx. 20 only), to that of Paul.

⁷ Among these may perhaps be counted the opening words $\delta\mu\epsilon is \epsilon \pi (\sigma\tau\alpha\pi\theta\epsilon)$ (compare ch. x. 28, 37)— $\epsilon\pi\epsilon\beta\eta\nu$ $\epsilon is \tau$. As. (ch. xxi. 4),— $\delta\epsilon\bar{\eta}\lambda\theta\nu$ (ver. 25),— $\pi\rho\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\tau\epsilon$ $\epsilon aw\tau\sigma\dot{\epsilon}s$ (ver. 28),— $d\nu\alpha\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\sigma\sigma\nu\tau\alpha\epsilon$ (ver. 30),— $\delta\pi\dot{\epsilon}\delta\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}a$ (ver. 35). But most of these are such that we can only say Paul has not used the expressions, or not in the same sense: that he would not have done so, if occasion had offered, we cannot affirm.

the note, scarcely any are decisive, whereas hardly a line of the whole is without unmistakeable evidences that we have here the words of Paul. In the Prolegomena to the Pastoral Epistles, I hope to shew the importance of this discourse, as bearing on the very difficult question of the diction and date of those precious and to my mind indubitable relics of the great Apostle ⁸.

- (β) The apology before the Jews (ch. xxii. 1-21) was spoken in Hebrew (Syro-Chaldaic). Another interesting question is therefore here involved, Did Luke understand Hebrew? The answer to the two questions will be one and the same. We may find the diction of this translation either so completely Luke's, as to render it probable that he was the translator; -or it may bear traces, as usual, of Paul's own phraseology set down and worked up by Luke. In the former case, we may confidently infer that he must have understood Hebrew: in the latter, we may (but not with equal confidence, for Paul may by preference have given his own version of his own speech) conclude that that language was unknown to him. If again the speech is full of Hebraisms, it may lead us to infer that Paul himself was not the translator into Greek, but one who felt himself more strictly bound to a literal rendering than the speaker himself, who would be likely to give his own thoughts and meaning a freer and more Grecian dress.—Now we do find, (1) that the speech is full of Hebraisms: (2) that while it contains several expressions occurring nowhere but in the writings of Luke's, not one is found in it peculiar to Paul, or even strikingly in his manner. Our inference then is that Luke himself has rendered this speech, from having heard it delivered; -and consequently, that he was acquainted with Hebrew.
- (γ) The short apology before Felix (ch. xxiv. 10—21) contains some traces of Paul's manner ', but still they are scanty, and the evidences of Luke's hand predominate, as may be seen from the reff. Its very compendious character makes it probable that it may have been drawn up by Luke from Paul's own report of the substance of what he said.
- (δ) The important apology before Agrippa and Festus (ch. xxvi. 1—29) is full of Paul's peculiar expressions? It was spoken in Greek, and

⁸ See Vol. III. Prolegg. ch. vii. 1, 33 note.

⁹ σύνειμι, εὐλαβής, αὐτῆ τῆ ὥρα, ἔκστασις, are peculiar to Luke: ἐπιστάς is a favourite word with him: and very many other expressions, as may be seen by reff., are in the common manner of his writings.

¹ άπρόςκοπος, -συνείδησις, -δι' έτων, - and perhaps άδίκημα.

² ηγημαι (in this sense never used by Luke, but by Paul 11 times), $\tilde{\nu}\nu\tau\alpha$ $\sigma\epsilon$ (acc. pendens, see reff.), $-\delta\iota\delta$, $-\mu\alpha\kappa\rho\nu\theta\delta\mu\omega s$ (only used here, but the cognate words are very favourite ones with Paul), $-\pi\rho\rho\gamma\iota\nu\delta\sigma\kappa\nu\nu\tau\epsilon s$, $-\theta\rho\eta\sigma\kappa\epsilon(a, -\dot{\epsilon}n^*\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\pi)\delta\iota$ κ , τ , λ_{\star} , $-\nu\delta\nu\kappa\tau\alpha$ κ , $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho\alpha\nu$ (see reff.), $-\kappa\rho\iota\nu\nu\tau\dot{\epsilon}\alpha$ (see reff.), $-\kappa\rho\iota\nu\nu\tau\dot{\epsilon}\alpha$ (compare ch. xxviii. 17), $-\dot{\alpha}\gamma(\omega\nu)$ (in Acts, only ch. ix. 13, of Paul, -and in the section ch. ix. 32—43, but in the Epistles passim), $-\tau_{\iota}\mu\omega\rho\dot{\omega}\nu$, $-\tau\dot{\epsilon}s$ $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\omega$ $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon\iota s$, $-\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\epsilon}\rho$ τ , $\lambda_{\mu}\kappa$, $-\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$, $-\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$, $-\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$, $-\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$, $-\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$, $-\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$, $-\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$, $-\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$, $-\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$, $-\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$, $-\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$, $-\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$, $-\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu$

taken down very nearly as spoken. Some phrases however occur in it which seem to belong to Luke³; just enough to shew the hand which has committed the speech to writing. We must remember however that several of these are expressive of meanings not elsewhere occurring in Paul's composition, which therefore he may well, in uttering, have thus expressed.

- 18. Our conclusion from this examination may be thus stated:
 (1) That in all cases the diction of the speeches was more or less modified by Luke's hand. (2) That they are not in any case (as some have supposed) composed by him for the speaker, but were really in substance, and for the most part in very words, uttered as written.
 (3) That the differences apparent in the greater or less amount of editorial diction in different speeches, remarkably correspond to the alleged occasions and modes of their delivery:—where Paul spoke Hebrew, hardly any traces of his own style being discernible,—as also where a short compendium only of his speech is given; while on the other hand speeches manifestly reported at length and which were spoken in Greek originally, are full of the characteristic peculiarities of Paul himself.
- 19. For many other interesting particulars connected with the sources of the narrative in the Acts, I refer the student to Dr. Davidson's Introduction to the N. T. vol. ii,

SECTION III.

FOR WHAT READERS AND WITH WHAT OBJECT IT WAS WRITTEN,

- 1. The Gospel of Luke commences with a preface, in which he declares his object with sufficient precision. Dedicating it to his friend Theophilus, he describes it as a record of $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ πεπληροφορημένα ἐν ἡμῶν πράγματα,—and asserts his purpose in writing it to be, ἵνα ἐπιγνῷς περὶ ὧν κατηχήθης λόγων τὴν ἀσφάλειαν. Now there can be little question that both these descriptions apply to the Acts also. That book is introduced without preface, as a second part following on the former treatise: a δεύτερος λόγος to the Gospel.
- 2. I have stated with regard to the Gospel, that we can hardly suppose Luke's design to have confined itself to Theophilus, but must believe that he followed the common practice of dedicating his work to some one person of rank or influence, and describing it as written for him. The same applies also to the Acts: and the class of readers for

κλήρον $\dot{\epsilon}$ ν τοῖς ήγιασμένοις,—μετανοεῖν (absol.),— $\dot{\epsilon}$ κτός,—πρῶτος $\dot{\epsilon}$ ξ $\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}$ ξ $\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}$ ξ $\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}$ ξ $\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}$ ξ $\dot{\epsilon}$ ξ

³ ἐν φυλακαῖς κατέκλεισα,— ἐξουσίαν λαβών,— ἀναιρουμένων (never used by Paul), —περιλάμψαν,—καταπεσόντων,—συλλοβόμενοι,—διαχειρίσασθαι,— ἀποφθέγγομαι.

whom Luke wrote is the same as before; viz. Christians, $whether\ Jews$ or Gentiles.

- 3. If a further specification of his object in writing be required, it can only be furnished by an unprejudiced examination of the contents of the book. These are found to be, The fulfilment of the promise of the Father by the descent of the Holy Spirit: the results of that outpouring, by the dispersion of the Gospel among Jews and Gentiles. Under these leading heads, all the personal and subordinate details may be ranged. Immediately after the ascension, Peter, the first of the twelve, the Rock on whom the church was to be built, the holder of the keys of the Kingdom, becomes the great Actor under God in the founding of the Church. He is the centre of the first great group of sayings and doings. The opening of the door to Jews (ch. ii.) and Gentiles (ch. x.) is his office,—and by him, in the Lord's own time, is accomplished. But none of the existing Twelve were (humanly speaking) fitted to preach the Gospel to the cultivated Gentile world. To be by divine grace the spiritual conqueror of Asia and Europe, God raised up another instrument, from among the highly educated and zealous Pharisees. The preparation of this instrument for the work to be done,the progress in his hand of that work-his journeyings, preachings and perils, his stripes and imprisonments, his testifying in Jerusalem, and being brought to testify in Rome,—these are the subjects of the latter half of the book, of which the great central figure is the Apostle Paul.
- 4. Nor can we attribute this with any probability to a set design of a comparison between the two great Apostles, or of an apology for Paul by exhibiting him as acting in consonance with the principles which regulated Peter. All such hypothesis is in the highest degree unnatural and forced. The circumstances before the narrator's view would, without any such design, have led to the arrangement of the book as we now find it. The writer was the companion of Paul; -and in the land which had been the cradle of the Church he gathered materials for the portion which might join his Gospel to the narrative with which Paul's history began. In that interval, Peter was the chief actor: Peter was the acknowledged 'chosen vessel' in the first days of the Gospel. But Luke does not confine himself to Peter's acts. He gives at length the mission of Philip to the Gaza road and the conversion of the Ethiopian Eunuch, with which Peter had no connexion whatever. He gives at length the history of Stephen-the origin of the office which he held,his apology,—his martyrdom,—how naturally, as leading to the narrative of the conversion of him who took so conspicuous a part in the transactions of that day 4.

⁴ Schneckenburger, who (as well as Griesbach and Baur) holds the theory against which this paragraph is directed, is obliged to suppose that Stephen was purposely introduced to be exhibited as the prototype and forerunner of Paul. That Stephen was so, in some

5. Any view which attributes ulterior design to the writer, beyond that of faithfully recording such facts as seemed important in the history of the Gospel, is, I am persuaded, mistaken. Many ends are answered by the book in the course of this narration, but they are the designs of Providence, not the studied purposes of the writer :--e.g., the sedulous offer of the Gospel to the Jewish people, -their continual rejection of it,—the as continual turning to the Gentiles:—how strikingly does this come out before the reader as we advance,-and how easily might this be alleged as the design, -supported as the view would be by the final interview of Paul with the Jews at Rome, and his solemn application of prophecy to their unbelief and hardness of heart. Again, in the course of the book, more and more strongly does it appear that God's purpose was to gather a people out of the Gentiles to His name: so that by Michaelis this is assigned as one of two great objects of the book. And so we might pass on through the whole cycle of progress of the faith of Christ, and hypotheses might be raised, as each great purpose of Providence is seen unfolding, that to narrate it was the object of the work.

SECTION IV.

AT WHAT TIME AND PLACE IT WAS WRITTEN.

1. I see no cause for departing from the opinion already expressed in the Prolegomena to Luke's Gospel (Vol. I., Prol., § iv. 1) that the Acts was completed and published at the expiration of the two years described in the last verse of chap. xxviii. No reason can be assigned, why, had any considerable change in the circumstances of Paul taken place, it should not have been mentioned by Luke. The same will hold still more strongly of the death of the Apostle.

2. The prevalent opinion of recent critics in Germany has been, that the book was written much later than this. But this opinion is for the most part to be traced to their subjective leanings on the prophetic announcement of Luke xxi. 24. For those who hold that there is no such thing as prophecy (and this unhappily is the case with many of the modern German critics), it becomes necessary to maintain that that verse was written after the destruction of Jerusalem. Hence, as the Acts is the sequel to the Gospel, much more must the Acts have been written after that event. To us in England, who receive the verse in question as a truthful account of the words spoken by our Lord, and

sense, is true enough; but the assimilation of Paul to Stephen is a result springing naturally out of the narrative, not brought about by the writer of the history. Supposing the facts to have been as related, it was most natural that Paul should earnestly desire the whole particulars respecting Stephen to be minutely recorded: and so we find them.

see in them a weighty prophetic declaration which is even now not wholly fulfilled, this argument at least has no weight.

3. The last-mentioned view (which is that of De Wette) differs from that of Meyer, who sees in ch. viii. 26 (αὖτη ἐστὶν ἔρημος) a terminus a quo, and in the omission of all mention of the destruction of Jerusalem, a terminus ad quem, for the publication of the history; which he would therefore place at the beginning of the Jewish war, after the destruction of Gaza by the revolutionary bands of the Jews, and before the destruction of Jerusalem. But the notice of ch. viii. 26 cannot be fairly thus taken: see note there, in which I have endeavoured to give the true meaning of ἔρημος as applying to ὁδός and not to Gaza, and as spoken by the angel, not added by the Evangelist. Meyer's latter terminus, and the argument by which he fixes it, I hold to be sound. It would be beside all probability, that so great, and for Christianity so important an event, as the overthrow of the Jewish city, temple, and nation, should have passed without even an allusion in a book in which that city, temple, and nation, bear so conspicuous a part.

4. Meyer also (Einl. p. 6) endeavours to render a reason why the subsequent proceedings of Paul in Rome should not have been noticed. They were, he imagines, well known to Theophilus, an Italian himself, if not a Roman. But this is the merest caprice of conjecture. What convincing evidence have we that Theophilus was a Roman, or an Italian? And this view would hardly (though Meyer labours to make it do so) account for the narration of what did take place in Rome,—

especially for the last verse of the book.

5. De Wette attempts to account for the history ending where it does, because the words of our Lord in ch. i. 8 had been accomplished, and so the object of the history fulfilled. But how were they more accomplished at that particular time than before? Rome had not been specified in that command: and he who now preached at Rome was not formally addressed in those words. Rather, if the object of the writer had been merely to trace these words to their fulfilment, should he have followed the actual Apostles to whom they were spoken, many of whom we have reason to believe much more literally preached τως ἐσχάτου τῆς γῆς, than St. Paul. But no such design, or none such in so formal a shape, was in the mind of our Evangelist. That the Lord commanded and his Apostles obeyed, would be the obvious course of history; but that the mere bringing of one of those Apostles to the head of the civilized world should have been thought to exhaust that command, is inconceivable as a ground for breaking off the narration.

6. Still more futile is the view that it was broken off because the promise of ch. xxiii. 11 was now fulfilled (οὖτως σε δεῖ καὶ εἰς Ῥώμην μαρτυρῆσαι). For on this view, the being brought before Casar ought to have been expressly narrated: another promise having been given to

Paul, ch. xxvii. 24, $\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\phi o\beta o\hat{v}$, $\Pi a\hat{v}\lambda \epsilon$, $Ka\acute{i}\sigma a\rho i$ $\sigma \epsilon$ $\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$ $\pi a\rho a\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} va$. Indeed this very argument tells forcibly in favour of the date commonly assigned. Without attributing it as an object in the mind of the writer, to relate the fulfilment of every divine promise recorded by him, we may at least regard it as probable, that had he been able to chronicle the fulfilment of this promise, he would have done so, seeing that the apology before Cæsar was so weighty an event, and that three former apologies, those before the Jews, before Felix, and before Festus and Agrippa, had been inserted.

- 7. If we look at the probabilities of the matter, we shall find that the time commonly assigned was by very far the most likely for the publication of the book. The arrival at Rome was an important period in the Apostle's life: the quiet which succeeded it seemed to promise no immediate determination of his cause: a large amount of historic material was collected :- or perhaps, taking another view, Nero was beginning 'in pejus mutari:' none could tell how soon the whole outward repose of Roman society might be shaken, and the tacit toleration which now the Christians enjoyed be exchanged for bitter persecution. such terrors loomed in the prospect of even those who judged from worldly probabilities, there would surely be in the church at Rome prophets and teachers, who might tell them by the Holy Ghost of the storm which was gathering, and might warn them that the words lying ready for publication must be given to the faithful before its outbreak, or never. It is true that such a priori considerations would weigh little against presumptive evidence furnished by the book itself: but when arrayed in aid of such evidence, they carry with them no small weight: when we find that the time naturally and fairly indicated in the book itself for its publication, is that one of all others when we should conceive that publication most likely.
- 8. We thus get A.D. 63 (see the following table) for the date of the publication.
- 9. The same arguments which establish the date, also fix the place. At Rome, among the Christians there, was this history first made public, which has since then in all parts and ages of the church formed a recognized and important part of the canon of Scripture.
- 10. As regards the *title* of the book, we may observe, that it appears to represent the estimate, not of one culling these out of more copious materials, but of an age when these were *all* the Acts of the Apostles *extant*: and probably therefore proceeded not from the author, but from the transcribers.

SECTION V.

GENUINENESS, AND STATE OF THE TEXT.

- 1. Eusebius (H. E. iii. 25), recounting the ὁμολογούμεναι θεῖαι γραφαί, says, τακτέον ἐν πρώτοις τὴν ἀγίαν τῶν εὐαγγελίων τετρακτὺν οῖς ἔπεται ἡ τῶν πράξεων τῶν ἀποστόλων γραφή. And in iii. 11,—Λουκᾶς τὸ μὲν γένος εν τῶν ἀπ' ᾿Αντιοχείας, τὴν δὲ ἐπιστήμην ἰατρός, τὰ πλεῖστα συγγεγονώς τῷ Παύλω, καὶ τοῖς λοίποις δὲ οὐ περιέργως τῶν ἀποστόλων ὡμιληκώς, ἡς ἀπὸ τούτων προςεκτήσατο ψυχῶν θεραπευτικῆς ἐν δυσὶν ἡμῶν ὑποδείγματα θεοπνεύστοις καταλέλοιπε βιβλίοις τῷ τε εὐαγγελίω... καὶ ταῖς τῶν ἀποστόλων πράξεσιν, ᾶς οὐκέτι δὶ ἀκοῆς, ὀφθαλμοῖς δὲ αὐτοῖς παραλαβων στυνετάξατο. And many earlier fathers, either by eitation or by allusion, have sufficiently shewn that the book was esteemed by them part of the eanon of Scripture.
- (a) Papias (see Euseb. H. E. iii. 39) does not mention nor refer to the Acts. He speaks indeed of Philip, and his daughters, but mistakes him (?) for Philip the Apostle: and of Justus surnamed Barsabas. Nor are there any references in Justin Martyr which, fairly considered, belong to this book. Such as are sometimes quoted may be seen in Lardner, vol. i. p. 122. The same may be said of Clement of Rome. Ignatius is supposed to allude to it (μετὰ δὲ τὴν ἀνάστασιν σινέφαγεν αὐτοῖς καὶ συνέπιεν. Smyrn. § 3, p. 709. Compare Acts x. 41): so also Polyearp (ο̂ν ἔγειρεν ὁ θεός, λύσας τὰς ἀδῶνας τοῦ ἄδου. Phil. § 1, p. 1005. Compare Acts ii. 24).

(β) The first direct quotation occurs in the Epistle of the Churches of Lyons and Vienne to those of Asia and Phrygia (A.D. 177) given in Euseb. H. E. v. 2. Speaking of the martyrs, they say, ὑπὲρ τῶν τὰ δεινὰ διατιθέντων ηὖχοντο, καθάπερ Στέφανος ὁ τέλειος μάρτυς κύριε, μὴ στήσης αὐτοῖς τὴν ὁμαρτίαν ταύτην.

(γ) Irenaeus frequently and expressly quotes this book: and in book iii. ch. 14, p. 201 f., he gives a summary of the latter part of the Acts, attributing it to Luke as its writer.

(δ) Clement of Alexandria quotes it often, and as the work of Luke: e. g. καθὸ καὶ ὁ Λουκᾶς ἐν ταῖς πράξεσι τῶν ἀποστόλων ἀπομνημονεύει τὸν Παῦλον λέγοντα΄ Ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι, κ.τ.λ. (see Acts xvii. 22, 23) Strom. v. 12 [83], p. 696 P.

(ε) Tertullian often quotes it expressly: e. g. 'Adeo postea in Actis apostolorum invenimus, quoniam qui Joannis baptismum habebant, non accepissent Spiritum Sanctum, quem ne auditu quidem noverant' (compare Acts xix. 1—3), De baptismo, c. 10, vol. i. p. 1211. And again: 'cum in codem commentario Lueæ, et tertia hora orationis demonstretur, sub qua Spiritu Sancto initiati pro ebriis habebantur, et sexta, qua Petrus ascendit in superiora,' &c. De jejuniis, c. 10, vol. ii. p. 966.

2. (a) The Marcionites (cent. iii.) and the Manichæans (cent. iv.) rejected the Acts as contradicting some of their notions. "Cur Acta respuatis jam apparet, ut deum scilicet non alium prædicantia quam creatorem, nec Christum alterius quam creatoris, quando nec promissio Spiritus sancti aliunde probetur exhibita, quam de instrumento Actorum." Tertull. adv. Marcion. lib. v. § 2, vol. ii. p. 472. And of the Manichæans, Augustine says, "Manichæi canonicum librum cujus titulus est Actus Apostolorum repudiant. Timent enim evidentissimam veritatem, ubi apparet, Sanctum Spiritum missum qui est a Domino Jesu Christo evangelica virtute præditus." Epist. ccxxxvii. 2, vol. ii. p. 1035.

(β) Some modern critics in Germany, especially Baur, have made use of the hypothesis, that the Acts is an apology for Paul (see above, § iii. 4), to throw discredit on the book, and to bring down its publication to the second century. But with the hypothesis will also fall that which is built on it; and from the reasoning of the preceding sections it may be seen how utterly impracticable it would have been for an imitator to draw up narratives and speeches which should present the phænomena,

in relation to the facts underlying them, which these do.

3. The text of the Acts, in D and E of the leading MSS., and their cognates in the mss. and versions, is varied by many interpolations of considerable length. It may suffice to point out a few of these, referring the student to the various readings to examine them in detail:

chap. x. 25; xi. 2, 17, 25, 26, 28; xii. 10; xiv. 2, 7, 18, 19; xv. 2, 12, 20; xvi. 10, 30, 35, 39, 40; xvii. 15; xviii. 4, 27; xix. 1; xx. 3; xxiii. 24; xxiv. 24; xxv. 24; xxvii. 1; xxviii. 31.

Of these, some are remarkable as bearing considerable appearance of genuineness, e. g. those in ch. xii. 10, xvi. 10: some are unmeaning and absurd, as those in ch. xiv. 19, xvi. 39. Considerable uncertainty hangs over the whole question respecting these insertions. A critic of eminence, Bornemann, believes that the text of the Acts originally contained them all, and has been abbreviated by the hand of correctors: and he

has published an edition on this principle.

4. The great abundance of various readings in the Acts, and the extent of space consequently devoted to them, will be observed by every reader. In no book of the N. T., with the exception of the Apocalypse, is the text so full of variations as in this. To this result several reasons may have contributed. In the many backward references to the Gospel history, and anticipations of statements and expressions occurring in the Epistles, temptations were found inducing the corrector to try his hand at assimilating, and as he thought reconciling, the various accounts. In places where ecclesiastical order or usage was in question, insertions or omissions were made to suit the habits and views of the church in after times. Where the narrative simply related facts,—any act or word apparently unworthy of the apostolic agent was modified for the sake of

decorum. Where St. Paul relates over again to different audiences the details of his miraculous conversion, the one passage was pieced from the other, so as to produce verbal accordance. These circumstances render the critical arrangement of the text in this book a task more than usually difficult.

SECTION VI.

CHRONOLOGY.

- 1. The chronology of the Acts has been the subject of many learned disquisitions both in ancient and modern times. It must suffice here (1) to point out to the reader those recent works where he will find the whole matter thoroughly discussed, and the results of older enquiries stated and criticized: and (2) to furnish a table arranged according to years, in which the contemporary sacred and profane history may be placed side by side, according to the conclusions which I myself have been led to form.
- (a) The treatise of Anger, de temporum in Actis Apostolorum ratione, Lips. 1833, was by far the best complete discussion of the chronology which had appeared up to that time: and the student who masters this not very voluminous work, will be in entire possession of the state of the enquiry when it was published.
- (β) But the ground has since been again gone over, and Anger's results somewhat shaken, by Wieseler, Chronologie des apostolischen Zeitalters, Göttingen, 1848, which is now the best and most important work on the subject. I have been led in several places to differ from Wieseler, but I do not on that account underrate the value of his researches. His work, as well as that of Anger, should be in the hands of every student who wishes to master the chronology of the apostolic period.
- (γ) A work often referred to in these Prolegomena, Dr. Davidson's Introduction to the New Testament, will be found by the English reader to contain a very useful résumé of the views and arguments of other writers as well as his own conclusions; and is accompanied with the table usual in the German writers, giving at one glance the various dates assigned by different chronologists for the events in the apostolic history.
- 2. I proceed to give the chronological table above promised. It will be observed that the chronology of the Acts takes us only to the end of the second year of St. Paul's [first] imprisonment at Rome. With the important and difficult question respecting a second imprisonment, we are here in no way concerned. It will come before us for full discussion in the Prolegomena to the Pastoral Epistles, Vol. III. (§ ii. 17 ff.)

87				
EVENTS RELATED IN THE ACTS.	CALAPHAS, appointed by Va-PONTUS PILATUS, from A.D. 26, or early in THE ASCENSION (May 18, Wisesler), PENTE levius Gratis procurator of 27 (Jos. Antt. Writis, 4.2); see below, A.D. 36, COST (May 27), Efficials of the Holy Spirit. Judgae, A.D. 36, 27, "the events related Acts ii. 42,—r. 8, Judgae, A.D. 26, Clos. Antt. (Tradius, Prefect of Syria, A.D. 34, D.S.), "the events related Acts ii. 42,—r. 8, Judgae, A.D. 27, "the events related Acts ii. 42,—r. 8, duct) by Vitellius, late in 36; for (Antt. xviii. Prosperous progress of the faith in Jerusalem. 4, 2) Thorns died before his arrival there.	 37. 37. 37. 37. 37. 38. 38. 39. 39.	On Aretas being in possession of Dannascus, see Peace of the Churches (K. 31)—Circuit of Peter (K. 1824)—Lab—Circuit of Peter (K. 1824)—Circuit	(CLAUDIUS Emperor xix 6. 2), and Pagrippa (Antt (Acrire A appointed by Claudius king over the from Jan. 24 [Suet. Sixos son of Boëthus, surplement of Bixos and observation and the constant of the constant o
GOVERNORS OF JUDEA, ETC.	AAPHAS, appointed by Va-PONTIUS PILATUS, from A.D. 26, or early in levius Granis procurator of 27 Gros. Ant. vriii. 4.2 : see below, A.D. 36). Judea, A.D. 25 Gros. Ant. (Tizdins, Prefect of Syria, A.D. 34). Andrea, A.D. 25 Gros. Ant. (Tizdins, Prefect of Syria, A.D. 34). A vriii. 2. 2)	Ignaced by Vitellius at the Acroclius, appointed by Vitellius \$\pi_{\pi_{\text{tab}}}\text{Annual} \text{Annual} \	(On Aretas being in possession of Damaseus, see note, Acts ix 24, 25). (V. Peronius Turpiliciums, Prefect of Syria, A.D. 39). (Agripa returns from Rome to his new king-dom, in the 20d year of Caligula [Antt. xvii. 6.11]. (Antipas ces of Rome to solicit the title of King, but is banished to Lyone, and his tetrarchy given to agriphe [Antt. xvii. 7.2] A.D. 39-40.	moved by Agrippa (Aurt, Agrippa appointed by Claudius king over the xix, 6, 2). AND Son of Boëthus, sur- manded Cambiera: removed HEROD AGRIPPA, King of Judea: comes by Agrippa in the same year, to his kingdom it 22, in the 2nd consulship of Arruntas son of Annuss
HIGH PRIESTS.	CAIAPHAS, appointed by Va-I lerius Gratus procurator of Judga, A.D. 25 (Jos. Antt. (xviii. 2. 2)	displaced by Vitellius at the Passover. Son of Anaus (Antt. xviii. 4. 3)		41
A.D. YEARS, ETC. U.C.	(TIBERIUS) [sole] Emperor from Aug. 19, A.D. 14. 30 TO	37. (CALIGULA Emperor from March 16 [Tact. Am. vi. 50].)	188	41. (CLAUDIUSEmperor from Jan. 24 [Suet. Calig. 39].) ro

	LHOLI	domena.	11111	or in	111 001	LILO.	
	BVENTS RELATED IN THE ACTS.	Maryrdom of James the brother of John (or perhaps late in the preceding year), (xii. 2).—Imprisonment (at the Passver) and mirrenlons escape of Peter (xii. 3—I/7). Delth of Herod Agrippa, very soon after, at Cæsarca (xii. 23).	45	46		Dispute respecting the obligation of circumcision, &c. (xv. 1.)—Paul and Barnabas go up to Jerusalem (Third Yaish) on the matter (xv. 2.) & Gal. ii. I ff.: fourteen years inclusive from Paul's conversion)—They return, and turry in Antioch, teaching and preaching (xv. 53)—Interview with Deteral Antioch (Gal. ii. I I ff.)—Dispute and separation between Paul accommonical Resistance of Paul, accommonical Resistance of Paul's and Barnabas.—Second maissionary giverney of Paul's accommental Resistance of Paul's and Barnabas.	
	GOVERNORS OF JUDEA, ETC.	(Death of Herod Agrippa [Antt. xix. 8, 2].) CUSPRUS FAOUS, Procurator of Judaz, the younger Agripa being retained at Rome (Antt. xix. 11, 2). (C. Gassius Longinus, Prefect of Syria, Antt. xx. 1. 1)	(Herod king of Chalcis obtains from Chandius the power of appointing the High Pricets and the custody of the temple and the sacred treasure [Antt. xx, 1, 3].)	moved by Herod King of Trierrus Alexander, Procurator of Judea This journey hardly occupies more than a ye (Jalia, 1974). NANIAS son of Nebedeus, ib Verminers Curanus, Procurator of Judea. After their return they remain a long time at the eighth year of Claudius. [Antt. xx. 5.2. (About the same time, "in with the disciples (xiv. 28), Herody key Claudius, [See also Bell. Jud. 19.4])	(Agrippa the younger appointed king of Chalcis [B. J. ii. 12, 1], (Titus Unmidius Quadratus, Prefect of Syria, Autt. xx. 6, 2; B. J. ii. 12, 5)	nt to Rome in 53 by Quad- ratus, in consequence of a dis- per with the Samarieus the (Cumanus deposed at Rome, see preceding Procurator (Anti, xx. 6.2); column,) In the special control have lost FELIX Procurator of Judea (A.D. 53) (Autt. his office (see note, Arts xx. 7.1); (Agrippa II, promoted from Chaleis to be king XXIII; 2). (Agrippa II, promoted from Chaleis to be king	ii. 12. 8j.)
	HIGH PRIESTS.		removed by Herod King of Chalcis (Antt. xx. 1. 3). JOSEPH son of Cami, ib.	cemoved by Herod King of Chalcis, prob. in 47 (Antt. Xx. 5. 2) ANXXX.8 son of Nebedeus, ib.		70 Table in 52 by Quad- Tatus, in consequence of a dispute with the Samaritans, pute with the Samaritans, pogether with Cumanus the (Cumanus Procurator (Ant. xx. 6. 2); column, but appears not to have lost FELIX Pro- his office (see note, Acts xx. 7. 1). xxiii. 2), Addispipa II.	
	A.D. YEARS, ETC. U.C.	797	798	46 799 47 800 48 801	49802		54807
-	4	4	45	46 47.	40	20	54

25]

0 3						_	
and Second Epistle to the Thessalonians), sets sail for the Pentecest at Jerusalem in the spring of 54, and after it (Fourth Visit) returns to Antioch (xviii. 22)—In the autumn, apparently, he travels through τd denore, the desired is preaching at Corinth (xix. 1).	(Nero presents Agrippa II. with parts of Galilee Pond at Ephesus till Pentecost, 57 (rpterico xx. 31: compare 10 (or. xxi. 34); and to fore a fact xx. 38, 1 (or. xxi. 38, 1 ord 39, 2 ord 39, 2 ord 39, 3 ord	About Perceives (5.9). About Perceives (5.9). Alter the tumin to xix. 32.—41, be journeys to Macedonia (Atts xx. 1; 2 Cor. ii. 12, 13), where he writes the Second Bp. to the Corinthians (2 Cor. ix 2 al.),—and thence to Greece, where he winters (xx. 2) and writes (from Corinth, Rom. xvi. 1, 23), the Epistle to the Romans (if the beginning of 58) (and Fp. to Galatians?).—Soon effect, he sets out by land for Jerusalem.—spends Esister at Philippi, where	he sails Apil 5.—cuebing at Tross, Miletar, Patar, Yive, and Polomis, to Gastree,—arriving at Jersalem (Fifth 1978). The sail of Castree,—arriving at Jersalem (Fifth 1978) and the complex of the comple	Paul in prison at Cesarea. Paul in prison at Cesarea. Being accused before Festus by the Jews, and in danger of his assertion of being taken to be tried at Jerusalem, he appeals to J. (Autt. xx. 8. 8)	Fesus (xxx, 12—xxx, 22), and sent of y sea to Rome late in the autumn,—Is shipwreeked at Malta, where he winters (xxvii, 1—xxviii, 11),	(Ant. xx. 8. 11)	to the Ephesians, Colossians, and Philemon: and perhaps that to the Philippians (but qu. ?).
				59	having gone to Rome to peti- tion against Agrippa is dis-	62	Agr. (62), and Jesus son of Damnæus appointed (Antt. ibid.).
NERO, Emperor from October 13. (Tac. Am. xii. 69; Suct. Claud. 45; Dio lx. 34.)	55	57	58	60	1 and	61 814 62 815 63 816	

NOTES TO THE CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE.

I. On the identity of the Journey to Jerusalem related in Acts xv., with that referred to Gal. ii. 1 ft.

Five visits of St. Paul to Jerusalem are related in the Acts. Now the visit of Gal. ii. I ff. must be either (a) one distinct from all these, or (b) identical with one or other of them.

- (a) This hypothesis should not be resorted to, till every attempt to identify the visit with one of those recorded can be shewn to fail. Then only may we endeavour, as in the case of the unrecorded visit to Corinth (see below, chap. iii. § v.), to imagine some probable place for the insertion of such a visit. So that the legitimacy of this hypothesis must be tried by the results arrived at in the discussion of the other. The maintainers of it are Beza, Paley (hesitatingly; Hor. Paul., p. 71, Birks' edn.), Schrader (der Apostel Paulus, i. 74 ff.), and Tate.
- (8) The visit in question is identical with one or other of those recorded in the Acts.
 1. It is not the first visit. The identity of the visits of Acts ix. 26—29 and Gal. i. 18

It is not the first visit. The identity of the visits of Acts. 1.20—2.5 and dail. I. for being assumed (and it is hardly possible to doubt it), this follows as a matter of course.
 It is not the second visit (Acts xi. 29, 30). For we read, Gal. ii. 7, that Paul

2. It is not the second visit (Acts xi. 29, 30). For we read, Gai. 11. 7, that Paul was already recognized as entrusted with the Gospel of the uncircumcision, and having preached vv. 8, 9 together with Barnabas among the Gentiles. Now the commission of Paul and Barnabas to preach to the Gentiles dates from Acts xiii. 1, after the second visit.

Also, at the time of the second visit, it is wholly improbable that Paul should have held a place of such high estimation in comparison with Peter, as we find him filling in Gal. ii. 8 ff.

Again, on this hypothesis, either the first visit, or his conversion, was fourteen years inclusive before this, which took place certainly before 46 a.d., for then the famine was raging, and this relief was sent up by prophetic anticipation. This would bring, either the first visit, or his conversion itself, to a.d. 32: a date wholly improbable, whichever way we take the fourteen years of Gal. ii. 1.

3. The question of identity with the third visit is discussed below.

- 4. It is not the fourth visit. For in Gal. ii. 1, we read that Barnabas went up with Paul: but in Acts xv. 39, we find Paul and Barnabas separated, nor do we ever read of their travelling together afterwards,—and evidently Barnabas was not with him when he visited Jerusalem Acts xviii. 18—22. Besides, the whole character of the fourth visit as there related, is against the idea that any weighty matters were then transacted. The expression merely is ἀναβάς καὶ ἀσπασάμενος τὴν ἐκκλησίαν κατέβη εἰς ᾿Αντιόχειαν. Again, if we assume the identity of the visit in question with the fourth visit, the Apostle can hardly be acquitted of omitting, in his statement of his conferences with the principal Apostles in Gal. ii., an intermediate occasion when the matters arranged between them had been of the most solemn and important kind. This would be scarcely ingenuous, considering the object which he had in Gal. ii.
- 5. It is not the fifth visit. For after this visit Paul did not return to Antioch, which he did after that in question, Gal. ii. 11.
- 6. It remains therefore, that it can only, if identical with any of the five, be the third visit. Is this probable ?
 - (a) The dates agree. See the Chronological Table, and notes on Gal. ii. 1.
- (b) The occasions agree. Both times, the important question relative to the obligation of Christians to the Mosaic law was discussed: both times, the work of Paul and Barnahas among the Geutiles was recognized. What need was there for this to be twice done? It is of no import whatever to the matter, that in Acts, the result is

a public decree, -whereas in Gal., no mention of such a decree is made: the history relates that which was important for the church,-the Epistle, that which cleared the Apostle personally from the charge of dependence on man: all mention of the decree would in Gal. have been irrelevant. Similarly we may deal with the objection, that in Acts, a public council is summoned, whereas in Gal., it is expressly said that Paul laid forth to them the Gospel which he preached to the Gentiles, but κατ' ίδίαν τοις δοκοῦσιν. This entirely agrees with Acts xv. 12, where Paul and Barnabas related to the multitude, not the nature of the doctrine which they preached, but only the patent proofs of its being from God, -- όσα εποίησαν ὁ θεδς σημεῖα κ. τέρατα εν τοῖς ἔθνεσιν δι'

- (c) Nor is it any objection to the identity, that in Gal. ii. 2, Paul went up κατ' άποκάλυψιν, -whereas in Acts xv. 2, the brethren έταξαν that P. and B. should go up, in consequence of the trouble given by the Judaizers. How do we know that this revelation was not made to the church, and so directed their appointment? Or if it be understood that the revelation was made to Paul himself, who can say whether the determination of the brethren was not a consequence of it? Who can say again, whether Paul may not have been reluctant to go up, rather willing not to confer with flesh and blood on such a matter, and may have been commanded by a vision to do so? We have here again only the public and the private side of the same occurrence: the one, suitable to the ecclesiastical narrative: the other, to the vindication of his office by the Apostle.
- (d) The result is strikingly put by Mr. Conybeare, Life and Epistles of Paul, edn. 2, vol. i. p. 546,-"The Galatian visit could not have happened before the third visit: because, if so, the Apostles at Jerusalem had already granted to Paul and Barnabas (Gal. ii. 3-6) the liberty which was sought for the εὐαγγέλιον τῆς ἀκροβυστίας: therefore there would have been no need for the church to send them again to Jerusalem upon the same cause. Again, the Galatian visit could not have occurred after the third visit: because, almost immediately after that period, Paul and Barnabas ceased to work together as missionaries to the Gentiles: whereas, up to the time of the Galatian visit, they had been working together."

 (γ) It seems then to follow, that the Galatian visit is identical with that recorded in Acts xv.

Those who wish to see the whole question dealt with more in detail, and the names and arguments of the champions of each view recounted, may refer to Mr. Conybeare's Appendix I. at the end of vol. i. of Conybeare and Howson's Life of St. Paul: or to Dr. Davidson's Introd. vol. ii. pp. 112 ff.

II. On the discrepancy of Tacitus and Josephus regarding Felix.

Tacitus, Ann. xii. 54, has generally been supposed to be in error in stating that Cumanus and Felix were joint procurators before the condemnation of the former. His account is very circumstantial, but seems to shew an imperfect acquaintance with Jewish matters: whereas it is probable that Josephus was best informed in the affairs of his own country. The discrepancy is a very wide one, and if Tacitus is wrong, he has the whole history of the outbreak in Judæa circumstantially misstated to correspond. See Wieseler, Chron. des Apost. Zeitalters, p. 67, note.

EXCURSUS I.

ON "THE CITY OF LASEA," AND OTHER PARTICULARS MENTIONED IN Acts xxvii. 7-17.

Since the publication of the second edition of this volume, much light has been thrown on the interesting questions connected with the topography of this passage, by letters written to Mr. Smith from the Rev. George Brown, who accompanied the yacht St. Ursula, Hugh Tennent, Esq., on a cruise in the Mediterranean, in the winter of 1855—6. I have to thank Mr. Smith for having kindly forwarded to me copies of these letters as they arrived. The substance of them is now printed as an extract from Mr. Brown's Journal, in the second edition of Mr. Smith's "Voyage and Shipwreck of St. Paul," Appendix, No. 3. I extract here such portions as regard immediately the geographical points in question, referring my readers to the volume itself for the whole account, which is most graphic and entertaining.

I. "We asked Nicephorus (the old Greek already mentioned) what was the ancient name of Lutro? He replied without hesitation, 'Phæniki,' but that the old city exists no longer. This of course proved at once the correctness of Mr. Smith's conclusion. We were told further that the anchorage is excellent, and that our schooner could enter the harbour without difficulty. We next enquired the ancient name of the island of Gozzo, and he said at once, 'Chlavda,' or 'Chlavdanesa' $(\chi \lambda \alpha \delta \delta a, \ \sigma \ \chi \lambda \alpha \delta \delta \alpha \ \nu \eta \sigma \sigma s)$, a reply equally satisfactory. He told us also that there was a tradition in these parts that $\tilde{\alpha} \gamma \sigma s \ n \tilde{\alpha} \delta \sigma \sigma \delta \lambda \sigma \delta \hbar \alpha \delta \sigma s \delta \lambda \sigma \delta \tau \delta \lambda \sigma s$ had visited Calolimounias (the fair havens), and had baptized many people there."

II. "Friday, Jan. 18th (Calolimounias) .- Nothing now remained to be done but to ascertain the exact position of Lasæa, a city which Luke says is nigh to the Fair Havens . . . I asked our friend the Guardiano, ποῦ ἐστι Λασέα (Λάσαια)? He said at once, that it was two hours' walk to the eastward, close under Cape Leonda: but that it is now a desert place $(\tau \delta \pi \omega \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \omega)$. Mr. Tennent was eager to examine it: so getting under weigh, we ran along the coast before a S.W. wind. Cape Leonda is called by the Greeks Λέωνα, evidently from its resemblance to a lion couchant, which nobody could fail to observe either from the W. or the E. Its face is to the sea, forming a promontory 340 or 400 feet high. Just after we passed it. Miss Tennent's quick eve discovered two white pillars standing on an eminence near the shore. Down went the helm: and putting the vessel round, we stood in close, wore, and hove to. Mr. H. Tennent and I landed immediately, just inside the cape, to the eastward, and I found the beach lined with masses of masonry. These were formed of small stones, cemented together with mortar so firmly, that even where the sea had undermined them, huge fragments lay on the sand. This sea-wall extended a quarter of a mile along the beach from one rocky face to another, and was evidently intended for the defence of the city. Above we found the ruins of two temples. The steps which led up to the one remain, though in a shattered state: and the two white marble columns noticed by Miss Tennent, belonged to the other. Many shafts, and a few capitals of Grecian pillars, all of marble, lie scattered about, and a gully worn by a torrent lays bare the substructures down to the rock. To the E. a conical rocky hill is girdled by the foundations of a wall: and on a platform between this and the sea, the pillars of another edifice lie level with the ground. Some peasants came down to see us from the hills above, and I asked them the name of the place. They said at once, 'Lasea:' so there could be no doubt. Cape Leonda lies five miles E. of the Fair Havens: but there are no roads whatever in that part of Candia. We took away some specimens of marble, and boarded our vessel: at four P.M., sailed for Alexandria."

III. LUTRO. "The health-officer told me, that though the harbour is open to the E., yet the easterly gales never blow home, being tifted by the high land behind, and that even in storms, the sea rolls in gently ('piano piano'). He says it is the only secure harbour, in all winds, on the south coast of Crete: and that during the wars between the Venetians and the Turks (the latter took the island in 1688, I think), as many as twenty or twenty-five war-galleys had found shelter in its waters. He further shewed us an inscription on a large slab which he says was found among some ruins on the point, and took us up the hill to see the traces of the site of the ancient Phoeniki.

The ontline of its ramparts is clearly discernible, and some cisterns hollowed in the rock: but the ploughshare has been driven over its site, and it displays 'the line of confusion and the stones of emptiness.'"

The inscription here alluded to was afterwards made out accurately by Mr. Brown, and is given by Mr. Smith in his Preface. It is interesting and important:

JOVI . SOLI . OPTIMO . MAXIMO .

SERAPIDI . ET . OMNIBVS . DIIS . ET .

IMPERATORI . CAESARI . NERVAE .

TRAJANO . AVG . GERMANICO . DACICO .

EPICTETYS . LIBERTYS . TABVLARIVS .

CVRAM . AGENTE . OPERIS . DIONYSIO .

SOSTRATI . FILIO . ALEXANDRINO . GVBERNATORE .

NAVIS . PARASEMO . ISOPHARIA . CL . THEONIS .

i. e. "Epictctus, the freedman and tabularius, to Jupiter, only O. M., to Serapis and all the gods, and to the Imperator Cæsar Nerva Trajanus Augustus Germanicus Dacicus: the superintendent of the work being Dionysius son of Sostratus of Alexandria, gubernator (κυβερνήτηs) of the ship whose sign is Isopharia, of the fleet of Theon."

Now as Mr. Smith points out, we have here several points of union with the text of the Acts.

- It appears that Alexandrian ships did anchor and make long stay, perhaps winter, at Phonice: otherwise Epictetus, the master of one, could hardly have remained long enough to superintend this votive building, whatever it was.
- 2. We see the accuracy of the Alexandrian nautical language employed by St. Luke. We have here κυβερνήτης (ch. xxvii. 11) as the designation of the master of the ship; and παρασήμφ as indicating the name or sign of it (ch. xxviii. 11).

The tabularius was the notary, or agent, of the fleet to which the Isopharia belonged. Mr. Smith quotes an inscription:

CINCIO . L . F . SABINIANO . TABVLARIO . CLASS . RAVENN.

EXCURSUS II.

ON THE READING 'ENANVIOTÁS IN ACTS XI. 20.

My attention has been directed to a pamphlet by Dr. Kay, the Principal of Bishop's College, Calcutta, "On the word Hellenist, with especial reference to Acts xi. 19 (20)." Dr. Kay defends the received reading $(E\lambda\lambda\eta\nu\nu\sigma\tau\delta)$ against the modern critical editors with considerable earnestness: I wish I could say that he had himself shewn the humility and impartial investigation which he demands from them, or abstained from that assumption which substantiates nothing, and that vituperation of his opponents which shakes a reader's confidence in even the best cause. I shall deal here simply with the residuum of critical argument in his work.

- The MS. evidence in his favour is B(now apparently ascertained) D⁶EHL p 13, and apparently the great mass of cursives: strong, it must be admitted, but not decisive, with AD¹ against him, and the testimony of N divided (N¹ reading Εὐαγγελιστάs, and N³, "Ελληναs).
- 2. He states that "EAA $\eta\nu\alpha$ s is the easier word, and therefore "more likely to have supplanted 'EAA $\eta\nu\alpha$ s in a few MSS., than this latter to have supplanted it in nearly all." But it is remarkable that he did not notice the bearing on such an assertion of a fact which he himself subsequently alleges: viz. that in ch. vi. 1, "there is no MS variation at all." Does not this circumstance shew, that the alteration here has not been

to "Ελληνας for the reason he supposes? Does it not further make it probable that 'Ελληνιστάς being unquestioned there,—"Ελληνας, here so difficult to fit into the narrative, has been changed to that other form, which presented no such difficulty? But of this more below.

- 3. Dr. Kay has certainly succeeded in neutralizing the testimony of some of the versions, by noticing that the Peschito, Vulgate, and others, read the same word here and in ch. vi. 1. In this respect his pamphlet has done good service, and our future digests should be modified by this fact being stated,—the remaining versions being carefully examined and discriminated.
- 5. In noticing the Editions, Dr. Kay has shown singular unfairness. He has quoted a rash and foolish sentence from Doddridge, which says that "common sense would require us to adopt "Ελληνας, even if it were not supported by the authority of any MS, at all,"—and then charged all the critical Editors with having acted in this spirit, administering to them a severe admonition about 'altering the Scriptures by conjectural criticisus," from Scott, who however himself believes 'Greeks' to be the right reading. In this, of course, the whole question is begged;—and the very reverse of our practice is charged on us. It is by no conjecture, which source of comendation I altogether repudiate, but owing to conscientious belief that "Ελληνας is the original Scripture text, that I have edited it; and consequently all Dr. Kay's charges, and admonition, are out of place here.
- 6. His section 'on the meaning of the term 'Ελληνισταί,' as 'designating those Jews and proselytes who used the LXX version of the Scriptures in their synagogues,' tells us no more than all knew before. But when he proceeds to 'the suitableness of this meaning to the context' in Acts xi. 20, I cannot but think that he has missed the whole point of the narrative; and in treating of the objectors to this view, selecting myself as representing them, he has exhibited, as before, remarkable unfairness, and want of logical apprehension. I might point out both these seriatim, as indeed any reader may trace them in his pamphlet: but it may suffice to deal with two or three instances. Against 'Ελληνιστάς, I have argued, that "the Hellenists were long ago a recognized part of the Christian Church:" my inference being, that, were they here referred to, there would be no case justifying the phenomena in the text, viz. a special notice like λάλουν καl (καl is inserted by our three most ancient MSS., Λ, B, and Ν) πρὸς τοὺς 'Ελληνιστάς, as distinguished from 'loυδείους preceding,—a special mission of an apostle, as (for this is also implied in the text, not an hypothesis of mine) on some unusual occurrence. Now observe how this is treated by Dr. Kay:
 - " If this be an argument, it must mean something of the following kind:
- "Some Hellenists had been converted at Jerusalem: therefore St. Luke cannot be here narrating a wonderful extension of the Christian church among the Hellenist body at Antioch."

PROLEGOMENA.

"'Why not?' we ask. 'Because we have made up our mind that at this precise period a further development of the Church's constitution took place.' It is sufficient to reply: 'that is a mere arbitrary assumption: we are content to say with Newton, Hypotheses non fingo.'" Kay, p. 16.

I may safely appeal to the student of Scripture, whether this be not the very height of unfairness. I have advanced no hypothesis, but have been led into my view simply by the phenomena of the sacred text itself: by that "patient, inductive criticism," which Dr. Kay himself desiderates. His form of stating my argument keeps out of sight the very point on which it really turns. Instead of "therefore St. Luke cannot be here describing," he should have written, "but, from the diction and character of this portion of St. Luke's narrative, it is not probable that he is here describing."

7. The only other matter which I feel it necessary to notice is, the way in which he has dealt with what he is pleased to call my 'hypothesis' as to Barnabas being sent "not with the intent to sympathize with the work at Antioch, but to discourage it." This last word, italicized by Dr. Kay as being mine, has neither place nor representative in my note, and is a pure misrepresentation. My words are, "probably from what follows, the intention was to ascertain the fact, and to deter these persons from the admission of the uncircumcised into the church; or, at all events, to use his discretion in a matter on which they were as yet doubtful. The choice of such a man, one by birth with the agents, and of a liberal spirit, shews sufficiently that they wished to deal, not harshly, but gently and cautiously, whatever their reason was." This he designates as "a strange, and not very reverent hypothesis." What Dr. Kay may understand by reverent, I am at a loss to imagine. I understand by reverence for Scripture, a patient, and at the same time fearless study of its text, irrespective of previously formed notions, but consistently with its own analogies. Now the analogy here is not with the mission of Peter and John to Samaria, as Dr. Kay represents it, nor was Barnabas sent from the Apostles and elders, as in that case: but our analogous incident is to be found in Gal. ii. 12, where, as here, the Church at Jerusalem sent down messengers to Antioch on an errand of supervision. Had any one ventured to infer the character of that mission, and its possible effect even on an Apostle, he would doubtless have incurred even more strongly from Dr. Kay the charge of irreverence. But the sacred record itself has set inference at rest in that instance. and thereby given us an important datum whereby to infer the probable character of another mission from the same Church to the same Church; and our inference is, that the Jerusalem believers, whom we find ever jealous for the Judaic purity of the church, acted on this occasion from that motive. The whole character of that which is related of Barnabas's proceeding at Antioch shews that he was acting, not in pursuance of his mission thither, but in accordance with the feelings of his own heart from seeing the work of God on his arrival.

It were very much to be wished that able men, like Dr. Kay, would study fairness in representing those who differ from them on critical points. The same motives which e assumes exclusively for his own side in this matter, have actuated also those who maintain the other reading. We deprecate as much as he can, 'a bold alteration of texts, and a supercilious disregard of authority:' had he dealt fairly with us, and attributed to us our own arguments, and not fictitious ones of his creation, he would have been the first to see this.

It is only waste of precious time to spend our strength in jostling one another, when we have such a glorious cause to serve, and only our short lives to serve it in. Let all our strength and earnestness be spent over the Sacred Word itself. For sifting, elucidating, enforcing it, rivalry, if our purpose be simple and our heart single, is the surest pledge of union.

CHAPTER II.

OF THE EPISTLE TO THE ROMANS.

SECTION I.

ITS AUTHORSHIP AND INTEGRITY.

1. This Epistle has been universally believed to be the genuine production of the Apostle Paul. Neither the Judaizing sects of old, who rejected the Pauline Epistles, nor the sceptical critics of modern Germany, have doubted this. Some of the earliest testimonics are:

(a) Irenæus, adv. Hær. iii. 16. 3, p. 205; Hoc ipsum interpretatus est Paulus scribens ad Romanos: "Paulus apostolus Jesu Christi, &c." (Rom. i. 1):—et iterum ad Romanos scribens de Israel dicit, "Quorum patres, et ex quibus Christus, &c." Rom. ix. 5¹.

(β) Clem. Alex., Pædag. i. 8 [70], p. 140 P.:—ἴδε οὖν, φησὶν ὁ Παῦλος, χρηστότητα κ. ἀποτομίαν θεοῦν κ.τ.λ. (Rom. xi. 22.) See also ib. 5 [19], p. 109 P. And the same, Strom. iii. 11 [75], p. 544: ὁμοίως δὲ καὶ ὁ Παῦλος ἐν τῆ πρὸς Ῥωμαίους ἐπ. γράφει οἴτινες ἀπεθάνομεν τῆ ἀμαρτία, κ.τ.λ. (Rom. vi. 2.) See also ib. [76], p. 545, and al. freq.

(γ) Tertullian, adv. Praxeam, § xiii. vol. ii. p. 170: Deos omnino nee dicam nee dominos, sed apostolum sequar, ut, si pariter nominandi fuerint Pater et Filius Deum Patrem appellem, et Jesum Christum Dominum nominem (Rom. i. 7). Solum autem Christum potero deum dicere, sicut idem apostolus: ex quibus Christus, qui est, inquit, Deus super omnia benedictus in ævum omne (Rom. ix. 5).

More instances need not be given: the stream of evidence is continuous and unanimous.

2. But critics have not been so well agreed as to the INTEGRITY of the present Epistle. The last two chapters have been rejected by some: by others, parts of these chapters. Marcion rejected them, but on doctrinal, not on critical grounds. Heumann imagined ch. xii.—xv. to be a later written Epistle, and ch. xvi. to be a conclusion to ch. xi. Semler views ch. xv. as a private memorandum, not addressed to the Romans, but written to be communicated by the bearers of the Epistle to those whom they visited on the way,—and ch. xvi., as a register of persons to be saluted, also on the way. Schulz imagines that ch. xvi. was written from Rome to the Ephesians, and Schott fancied it to be fragments

 $^{^{1}}$ See also the same chapter, \S 9, where there are six express citations from the Epistle.

of a smaller Epistle written by Paul in Corinth to some Asiatic church. But these notions, as Tholuck remarks (from whom these particulars are for the most part taken), remain the exclusive property of their originators. He himself recognizes the genuineness of the portion, as also Neander, Credner, De Wette, and Olshausen. The more recent objections of Baur are mentioned and refuted, in part by De Wette, Comm. juxta finem,-Tholuck, Comm. pp. 2, 3,-Olsh. Comm. iii. 34, 35, and fully, by Kling, theol. Stud. u. Krit. 1837, p. 308 ff.

3. Still more discrepancy of opinion has existed respecting the doxology at the end of the Epistle. I have summarily stated and discussed the evidence, external and internal, in the var. readings and notes in loc.: and a fuller statement may be found in Dr. Davidson's Introd. ii. 188 ff.: Tholuck, Einleitung, pp. 4-6; De Wette in loc.

SECTION II.

FOR WHAT READERS IT WAS WRITTEN.

1. The Epistle itself plainly declares (ch. i. 7) that it was addressed to the saints who were at Rome. The omission of the words ἐν Ῥώμη by some MSS. is to be traced to a desire to catholicize the Epistles of Paul; -see Wieseler, Chron. des Apostol. Zeitalters, p. 438.

With regard to the Church at Rome, some interesting questions present themselves.

- 2. By whom was it founded? Here our enquiries are enwrapped in uncertainty. But some few landmarks stand forth to guide us, and may at least prevent us from adopting a wrong conclusion, however unable we may still be to find the right one.
- (a) It was certainly not founded by an Apostle. For in that case, the fact of St. Paul addressing it by letter, and expressing his intention of visiting it personally, would be inconsistent with his own declared resolution in ch. xv. 20, of not working where another had previously laid the foundation.
- (B) This same resolution may guide us to an approximation at least to the object of our search. Had the Roman church been founded by the individual exertions of any preacher of the word, or had it owed its existence to the confluence of the converts of any other preacher than Paul, he would hardly have expressed himself as he has done in this Epistle. We may fairly infer from ch. xv. 20, that he had, proximately, laid the foundation of the Roman church: that is to say, it was originated by those to whom he had preached, who had been attracted to the metropolis of the world by various causes,-who had there laboured in the ministry with success, and gathered round them an important Christian community.

Of this community, though not his own immediate offspring in the faith, Paul takes charge as being the Apostle of the Gentiles. He longs to impart to them some $\chi\acute{a}\rho\iota\sigma\mu a$ (ch. i. 11): he excuses his having written to them $\tau o\lambda\mu\eta\rho\acute{o}\tau\epsilon\rho o\nu$ $\mathring{a}\pi\acute{o}$ $\mu\acute{\epsilon}\rho ovs$, by the dignity of that office, in which, as a priest, he was to offer the Gentiles, an acceptable and sanctified offering to God.

(y) The character given in ch. i. 8 of the Roman Christians, that their faith was spoken of in all the world, has been taken as pointing to a far earlier origin than the preaching of Paul. But, even granting that some among the Roman Jews may have carried the faith of Christ thither soon after the Ascension (see Acts ii. 10, and Rom. xvi. 7, where Andronicus and Junias are stated to have been in Christ before the Apostle),-such a concession is not necessary to explain Rom. i. 8. Whatever happened at Rome is likely to have been very soon announced in the provinces, and to have had more reporters, wherever the journeys of the Apostle led him, than events occurring elsewhere. He could hardly fail to meet, in every considerable city which he had visited for the second time, in Judæa, Asia, Macedonia, and Greece (see Acts xviii. 22, 23; xix. 1; xx. 1, 2), believers who had received tidings of the increase and flourishing state of the Roman church. This occurrence of good news respecting them in all the cities might well suggest the expression, ή πίστις ύμων καταγγέλλεται εν όλω τῷ κόσμω.

3. The above considerations lead me to the conclusion, that the Roman Church owed its origin, partly perhaps to believing Jews, who had returned or been attracted thither in the first days of Christianity, but mainly to persons converted under Paul's own preaching. This conclusion is strengthened by the long list of salutations in ch. xvi. to Christian brethren and sisters with whose previous course in many cases

he had been acquainted.

4. It is not within the province of these Prolegomena to discuss the question respecting the presence, preaching, and martyrdom of Peter at Rome. That he did not found the Roman church, is plain from the above considerations, and is conceded by many of the ablest among the modern Romanists². Nor have we any ground to suppose that he was at Rome up to, or at the date of this Epistle. No mention is made of him,—no salutation sent to him. At present therefore we may dismiss the question as not pertinent. In the prolegg. to the Epistles of Peter, it will recur, and require full discussion.

5. That the Roman church was composed of *Jews and Gentiles*, is manifest from several passages in our Epistle. In ch. ii. 17, iv. 1, 12,

² Tholuck, Einl. § 2, mentions Valesius, Pagi, Baluz, Hug, Klee: and an article in the Tubingen Theological Quarterly for 1824 (written according to Dr. Davidson by Feilmoser) which concludes that though Peter taught and suffered martyrdom in Rome, his stay there could not have much exceeded one year.

Jews are addressed, or implied: in ch. i. 13,—in the similitude of en-

grafting in ch. xi., and in xv. 15, 16,—Gentiles are addressed. In what proportion these elements co-existed, can only be determined from indications furnished by the Epistle itself. And from it the general impression is, that it is addressed to Gentiles, as the greater and more important part of its readers. Among them would be mostly found the 'strong' of ch. xiv., to whom principally the precepts and cautions concerning forbearance are written. To them certainly the expression $\tau \tilde{\alpha} \ \tilde{\epsilon} \theta \nu \eta$ in ch. i. 5, 13, xv. 15, 16, is to be applied, in the strict sense; and in those places it represents the persons to whom the Epistle is mainly addressed. The same may be said of ch. xi. 13, 14, where $\tilde{\nu} \mu \epsilon \tilde{\imath} s \tau \tilde{\alpha} \ \tilde{\epsilon} \theta \nu \eta$ are evidently the majority of the readers, as contrasted with the $\tau \nu \nu \epsilon s \tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{\epsilon}$ $\tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\nu} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$, the Jewish believers.

6. It may be interesting to add testimonies from profane writers which are connected with the spread of Christianity at Rome.

That the Jews were found in great numbers there, is evident.

(a) Josephus, Antt. xvii. 11. 1, mentioning an embassy which came to Rome from Judæa under Varus, in the time of Augustus, says, καὶ ἦσαν οἱ μὲν πρέσβεις οἱ ἀποσταλέντες γνώμη τοῦ ἔθνους πεντήκοντα, συν-ίσταντο δὲ αὐτοῖς τῶν ἐπὶ Ὑρώμης Ἰουδαίων ὑπὲρ ὀκτακιςχιλίους.

 (β) Philo, leg. ad Caium, § 23, vol. ii. p. 569, in a passage too long for citation, says that Augustus gave them the free exercise of their

religion, and a quarter beyond the Tiber for their habitation.

 (γ) Dio Cassius xxxvii. 17, καὶ ἔστι καὶ παρὰ τοῖς Ῥωμαίοις τὸ γένος τοῦτο, κολουσθὲν μὲν πολλάκις, αὐξηθὲν δὲ ἐπὶ πλεῖστον, ὥςτε καὶ ἐς παβρησίαν τῆς νομίσεως ἐκνικῆσαι.

(8) So far relates to Judaism proper: in the following it is impossible to say how far Christianity may have been ignorantly confounded

with it.

Augustine, de Civ. Dei vi. 11, vol. vii. p. 192, cites from Seneca, 'in eo libro quem contra superstitiones condidit,'—De illis sane Judæis cum loqueretur, ait:—'Cum interim usque eo sceleratissimæ gentis consuetudo convaluit, ut per omnes jam terras recepta sit: victi victoribus leges dederunt.'

- (ϵ) Tacitus, in the same place where he relates the persecution of the Christians by Nero on occasion of the fire at Rome, adds, 'repressaque in præsens exitiabilis superstitio rursus erumpebat, non modo per Judæam, originem ejus mali, sed per urbem etiam'....
- (ζ) Juvenal describes the Judaizing Romans at a later period in a strain of bitter satire, Sat. xiv. 96 ff.
- (η) On the passages in Sucton. Claud. 25, and Dio Cass. lx. 6, relating to the expulsion or coercion of the Jews at Rome, see note on Acts xviii. 2.
 - 7. It yet remains to consider the supposed discrepancy between our 357

Epistle, and the state of the Christian church at Rome implied some vears subsequent to it in Acts xxviii. This discrepancy has been made the most of by Dr. Baur, and by him pronounced irreconcileable. The flourishing state of the Roman church set forth in this Epistle seems to him to be inconsistent with the tone used by the Jews in their speech to Paul, Acts xxviii. 22: ἀξιοῦμεν δὲ παρὰ σοῦ ἀκοῦσαι ἃ φρονεῖς περὶ μὲν γὰρ τῆς αἰρέσεως ταύτης γνωστόν ἡμῶν ἀστιν ὅτι πανταχοῦ ἀντιλέγεται. Olshausen and Tholuck have been at much pains to give a solution of the difficulty: the former referring the circumstance to the entire severance between Christians and Jews at Rome made necessary by Claudius's persecutions of the Jews,—the latter, following many other Commentators, to an affected ignorance of the Christian sect on the part of the Jews.

On this I will remark,—that the difficulty itself does not seem to me so serious as the German writers generally have regarded it. The answer of the Jews was to a speech of Paul in which he had given a remarkable instance of his becoming to the Jews as a Jew. He represents, that he had no real quarrel with his nation: that in fact he was a prisoner for the hope of Israel. This hope they certainly knew, either from previous acquaintance with his name and character, or from his own lips in words which have not been recorded, to be bound up with belief in Jesus as the Messiah. They had received (see note in loc.) no message respecting him from Judæa laying any thing πονηρών to his charge: and they were anxious to have an account from himself of his opinions and their ground: for as for this seet, they were well aware that every where it was a thing ἀντιλεγόμενον: the very word, be it observed, used in ver. 19 [and ch. xiii. 45], respecting the opposition raised by the Jews to Paul. Now we may avail ourselves of both Olshausen's and Tholuck's suppositions. On the one hand it was very likely that the intercourse between Jews and Christians at Rome would be exceedingly small. The Christian church, consisting mostly of Gentiles, would absorb into itself the Jews who joined it, and who would, for the reason assigned by Olshausen, studiously separate themselves from their unbelieving countrymen. Again, it would not be likely that the Roman Jews, in their speech to Paul, would enter into any particulars respecting the sect, -only informing him, since he had professed himself in heart at peace with his nation and bound on behalf of their hope, that they were well aware of the general unpopularity among Jews of the sect to which he had attached himself, and wished from him an explanation on this head. Something also must be allowed for the restraint with which they spoke to one under the special custody, as a state prisoner, of the highest power in Rome, and in the presence of a representative of that power.

Thus the difficulty is much lessened: and it belongs indeed to that

class, the occurrence of which in the sacred text is to be regarded far rather as a confirmation of our faith, by shewing us how simple and veracious is the narrative of things said and done, than as a hindrance to it by setting one statement against another.

With respect to that part of it which concerns the notoricty of the Roman church,—I may remark that its praise for faith in all the world, being a matter reported by Christians to Christians, and probably unknown to 'those without,' need not enter as a disturbing element into our consideration.

8. For a judicious and clear statement of the subsequent history of the early Roman church, I cannot do better than refer my readers to the former part of the work of Mr. Shepherd, "The History of the Church of Rome."

SECTION III.

WITH WHAT OBJECT IT WAS WRITTEN.

- 1. In answering this question, critics have been divided between the claims of the unquestionably most important doctrinal portion of the Epistle, and the particular matters treated in the parenthetical section (ch. ix.—xi.) and the conclusion (ch. xiv.—xvi.). It has not enough been borne in mind, that the occasion of writing an Epistle is one thing,—the great object of the Epistle itself, another. The ill-adjusted questions between the Jewish and Gentile believers, of which St. Paul had doubtless heard from Rome, may have prompted him originally to write to them: but when this resolve was once formed,—the importance of Rome as the centre of the Gentile world would naturally lead him to lay forth in this more than in any other Epistle the statement of the divine dealings with regard to Jew and Gentile, now one in Christ. I will therefore speak separately of the prompting occasion, and the main object, of the Epistle.
- 2. The eulogy of the faith of the Roman Christians which Paul met with in all his travels, could hardly fail to be accompanied with notices respecting their peculiar difficulties. These might soon have been set at rest by his presence and oral teaching: and he had accordingly resolved long since to visit them (ch. i. 10—13). Hindrances however had occurred: and that advice which he was not as yet permitted to give by word of mouth, he was prompted to send to them in a letter.
- 3. The contents of that letter plainly shew what their difficulties were. Mixed as the church was of Jew and Gentile, the relative position in God's favour of each of these would, in defect of solid and broad views of the universality of man's guilt and God's grace, furnish a subject of continual jealousy and irritation. And if we assume that the Gentile believers much preponderated in numbers, we shall readily infer

that the religious scruples of the Jews as to times and meats would be likely to be with too little consideration overborne.

- 4. From such circumstances we may well conceive that, under divine guidance, the present form of the Epistle was suggested to the Apostle. The main security for a proper estimate being formed of both Jew and Gentile, would be, the possession of right and adequate convictions of the universality of man's guilt and God's free justifying grace. This accordingly it was Paul's great object to furnish; and on it he expends by far the greatest portion of his labour and space. But while so doing, we may trace his continued anxiety to steer his way cautiously among the strong feelings and prejudices which beset the path on either hand. If by a vivid description of the depravity of Heathendom he might be likely to minister to the pride of the Jew, he forthwith turns to him and abases him before God equally with the others. But when this is accomplished, lest he should seem to have lost sight of the pre-eminence of God's chosen people, and to have exposed the privileges of the Jew to the slight of the Gentile, he enumerates those privileges, and dwells on the true nature of that pre-eminence. Again when the great argument is brought to a close in ch. viii., by the completion of the bringing in of life by Christ Jesus, and the absolute union in time and after time of every believer with Him .-- for fear he should seem amidst the glories of redemption to have forgotten his own people, now as a nation rejected, he devotes three weighty chapters to an earnest and affectionate consideration of their case—to a deprecation of all triumph over them on the part of the Gentile, and a clear setting forth of the real mutual position of the two great classes of his readers. Then, after binding them all together again, in ch. xii. xiii., by precepts respecting Christian life, conduct towards their civil superiors, and mutual love, he proceeds in ch. xiv. to adjust those peculiar matters of doubt,-now rendered comparatively easy after the settlement of the great principle involving them,-respecting which they were divided. He recommends forbearance towards the weak and scrupulous,-at the same time classing himself among the strong, and manifestly implying on which side his own apostolic judgment lay. Having done this, he again places before them their mutual position as co-heirs of the divine promises and mercy (ch. xv. 1-13), and concludes the Epistle with matters of personal import to himself and them, and with salutations in the Lord. And probably on re-perusing his work, either at the time, or, as the altered style seems to import, in after years at Rome, he subjoins the fervid and characteristic doxology with which it closes.
- 5. There seems quite enough in the circumstances of the Roman Church to have led naturally to such an Epistle, without supposing with some critics, that an elaborate plan of written doctrinal teaching, to supply the want of oral, was present to the mind of the Apostle. We

must not forget to whom he was writing, nor fail to allow for the greater importance naturally attaching to an Epistle which would be the cherished possession and exemplar of the greatest of the Gentile churches. It was an Epistle to all Gentiles, from the Apostle of the Gentiles: ὑμῖν λέγω τοῖς ἔθνεσιν· ἐφ' ὅσον μέν εἰμι ἐγὼ ἐθνῶν ἀπόστολος, την διακονίαν μου δοξάζω. It had for its end the settlement, on the broad principles of God's truth and love, of the mutual relations, and union in Christ, of God's ancient people, and the recently engrafted world. What wonder then, if it be found to contain an exposition of man's unworthiness and God's redeeming love, such as not even Holy Scripture itself elsewhere furnishes?

SECTION IV.

AT WHAT TIME AND PLACE IT WAS WRITTEN.

- 1. This is more plainly pointed out in our Epistle than in most of the others. The Apostle was about to set out for Jerusalem with a contribution from the churches of Macedonia and Achaia (ch. xv. 25 ff.). To make this contribution he had exhorted the Corinthian church, 1 Cor. xvi. 1 ff., and hinted the possibility of his carrying it to Jerusalem in person, after wintering with them. And again in 2 Cor. viii. ix. he recurs to the subject, blames the tardiness of the Corinthians in preparing the contribution, and (ib. xiii. 1) describes himself as coming to them immediately. Comparing these notices with Acts xx. 1 ff., we find that Paul left Ephesus (after Pentecost, see notes there) for Macedonia, wintered at Corinth, and thence went to Jerusalem accompanied by several brethren, bearing (ib. xxiv. 17) alms to his nation and offerings.
- 2. Thus far it would appear that it was written close upon, or during, his journey to bear alms to Jerusalem. But the very place is pointed out by evidence which can hardly be misapplied. We have a special commendation of Phœbe, a deaconess of the church at Kenchrea, to the kindness and attention of the Roman Christians: such a commendation as could hardly have been sent, had she not been, as generally believed, the bearer of the letter. Again, greetings are sent (ch. xvi. 23) from Gaius, evidently a resident, for he is called δ ξένος μου καὶ όλης της έκκλησίας. But on comparing 1 Cor. i. 14, we find Paul telling the Corinthians that he baptized among them one Gaius. These persons can hardly but be one and the same. Again, Erastus is mentioned as steward of the city. Therefore, as Tholuck remarks, of some city well known to the Romans, and one in which he must have been some time resident, so to speak of it. I may add, that after the mention of

Kenchrea, $\dot{\eta}$ $\pi \dot{\phi} \lambda \dot{\phi}$ can be no other than Corinth: just as, if the Peiræus had been mentioned, $\dot{\eta}$ $\pi \dot{\phi} \lambda \dot{\phi}$ would necessarily mean Athens. (An Erastus is said to have remained at Corinth, 2 Tim. iv. 20, but the identity is too uncertain for the notice to be more than a *possible* corroboration.)

3. From the above evidence it is placed almost beyond question that the Epistle was written from Corinth, at the close of the three months' residence there of Acts xx. 3,—the παραχειμασία of 1 Cor. xvi. 6,—when Paul was just about to depart (νννὶ δὲ πορεύομαι, ch. xv. 25) for Jerusalem on his errand of charity.

salem on his errand of charity.

4. By consulting the chronological table appended to the Prolegg, to the Acts, it will be seen that I place this visit in the winter of A.D. 57—58. The Epistle accordingly was sent in the spring of A.D. 58, the fourth of the reign of Nero.

SECTION V.

LANGUAGE AND STYLE.

- 1. It might perhaps have been expected, that an Epistle to Romans would have been written in Latin. But Greek had become so far the general language of the world, that there is no ground for surprise in the Apostle having employed it. Not to cite at length the passages in the classics (Tacit. de Orator. c. 29: Martial, Epig. xiv. 56: Juvenal, Sat. vi. 184—189) which point to the universal adoption of Greek habits and language at Rome, we have the similar instances of Ignatius, Dionysius of Corinth, and Irenæus, all of whom wrote to the Roman Christians in Greek. Clement, Bishop of Rome, wrote in Greek. Justin Martyr addressed his apologies to the Roman Emperors in Greek. And if it be objected, that the greater number of the Christian converts would belong to the lower classes, we may answer, that a great proportion of these were native Greeks: see Juvenal, Sat. iii. 60—80.
- 2. In speaking of the style of the Epistle, the following general remarks on the style of the Apostle Paul, taken from Tholuck's Introduction to his Commentary on the Epistle to the Romans, p. 26 ff., are of considerable interest: "As in general we can best apprehend and estimate the style of a writer in connexion with his character, so is it with the Apostle Paul. The attributes which especially characterize the originality of Paul as an Author, are Power, Fulness, and Warmth. If to these attributes is added Perspicuity of unfolding thought, we have all united, which ennobles an orator. But fulness of ideas and warmth of feelings often bring with them a certain informality of expression: the very wealth of the productive power does not always leave time to

educate (as Hamann expresses it) the thoughts which are born into the light,—to arrange and select the feelings. Together with the excellences above mentioned, something of this defect is found in the style of the great Apostle of the Gentiles. Something of that which Dionysius of Halicarnassus de Comp. Verb. c. 22 says of 'compositio austera,' is applicable to the Apostle's method of expression. οὖτε πάρισα βούλεται τὰ κῶλα ἀλλήλοις εἶναι, οὔτε παρόμοια, οὔτε ἀναγκαία δουλεύοντα ἀκολουθία, ἀλλ' εὐγενη κ. ἀπλα κ. ἐλεύθερα φύσει τ' ἐοικέναι μαλλον αὐτὰ βούλεται, η τέχνη, κ. κατὰ πάθος λέγεσθαι μᾶλλον, η κατ' ήθος. περιόδους δε συντιθέναι συναρτιζούσας τον νοῦν τὰ πολλὰ μεν οὕτε βούλεται εἰ δέ ποτε αὐτομάτως ἐπὶ τοῦτο κατενεχθείη, τὸ ἀνεπιτήδευτον ἐμφαίνειν ἐθέλει καὶ ἀφελές, κ.τ.λ. The high claims of St. Paul to the reputation of eloquence were acknowledged by remote Christian antiquity. Nay, we have in all probability an honourable testimony to the same effect from one of the most celebrated critics of heathen Rome, -that namely of the fragment of Longinus, where he ranks Paul with the first orators of ancient times, adding however the remark, that he appears more to persuade than to demonstrate 3. From Christian antiquity we will adduce the testimony of Jerome, Ep. 48, ad Pammachium, c. 13, vol. i. p. 223 :- 'Paulum Apostolum proferam, quem quotiescunque lego, videor mihi non verba audire, sed tonitrua videntur quidem verba simplicia et quasi innocentis hominis ae rusticani, et qui nec facere nee declinare noverit insidias, sed quocunque respexeris, fulmina sunt. Hæret in causa, capit omne quod tetigerit, tergum vertit, ut superet: fugam simulat, ut occidat.' Add to this the words of Chrysostom de Sacerdotio iv. 7, vol. i. p. 431: ώσπερ γὰρ τεῖχος ἐξ ἀδάμαντος κατασκευασθέν, ούτω τὰς πανταχού τῆς οἰκουμένης ἐκκλησίας τὰ τούτου τειχίζει γράμματα. καὶ καθάπερ τις ἀριστεὺς γενναιότατος ἔστηκε καὶ νῦν μέσος, αἰχμαλωτίζων πῶν νόημα εἰς τὴν ὑπακοὴν τοῦ χριστοῦ, καὶ καθαίρων λογισμοὺς καὶ πῶν ύψωμα έπαιρόμενον κατά της γνώσεως τοῦ θεοῦ."

3. After having stated, and visited with severe and deserved censure, the disparaging estimate formed by Rückert in his Commentary, and criticized in a friendly spirit the other extreme, taken by Rothe and Glöckler, of regarding all ellipses, anacolutha, and defects of style, only as so many hidden but intended excellences, Tholuck proceeds:

"We have then this question to ask ourselves: with what ideas as to

³ The genuineness of this fragment has been defended by Hug, Einl. ins N. T. ii. 334 (342 of Wait's transl.), on grounds well worthy of consideration. [The passage runs thus: κορων's δ' ἔστω λόγου παυτὸς καὶ φρονήματος Ἑλληνικοῦ Δημοσθένης, Λυσίας, Αἰσχίνης, 'Τπερίδης, 'Ισαῖος, Δείναρχος (Δημοσθένης ὁ Κρίθινος), 'Ισοκράτης, 'Αντίφων' πρὸς τούτοις Παῦλος ὁ Ταρσεύς, ὅντινα καὶ πρῶτόν φημι προιστάμενον δόγματος ἀναποδείκτου.]

the ability of the Apostle as a writer ought the believing Christian to approach his works? And what is the result, when we examine in detail the Epistles of Paul in this bearing? The Fathers themselves frequently confess, that the whole character of Christianity forbids us from seeking classical elegance in the outward style of the New Testament: -as the Son of God appeared in His life on earth in a state of humiliation, so also the word of God. In this sense, to cite one example out of many, Calvin says (on Rom. v. 15):- 'Quum autem multoties discriminis mentionem repetat, nulla tamen est repetitio, in qua non sit ἀνανταπόδοτον, vel saltem ellipsis aliqua: Quæ sunt quidem orationis vitia, sed quibus nihil majestati decedit calestis sapientia, qua nobis per apostolum traditur. Quin potius singulari Dei providentia factum est, ut sub contemptibili verborum humilitate altissima hæe mysteria nobis traderentur; ut non humanæ eloquentiæ potentia, sed sola spiritus efficacia niteretur nostra fides.' But it must be borne in mind, that this our concession with regard to the formal perfection of the apostolic writings has its limits: for were we to concede that imperfection of form amounted to absolute informality, the subject-matter itself would be involved in the surrender. If the aim of the apostolic teaching is not to be altogether frustrated, we can hardly object to the assumption, that the divine ideas have been propounded in such a form, that by a correct use of the requisite means they may be discovered, and their full meaning recognized. Assuming this, it is impossible to form so low an estimate as Rückert's of the style of the Apostle: while at the same time we cannot see that the believing Christian is entitled to assume in him an academic correctness of syllogistic form, a conscious and perfect appreciation of adequacy of expression, reaching to the use of every particle. If we are to require these excellences from an apostolic writer, why not also entire conformity to classical idiom of expression? And if we besides take into account the peculiarity of the Apostle's character above pointed out, are we not obliged to confess, that so universal a reflexion, such a calculation, as Rothe's theory supposes, is altogether inconsistent with that character,—that such a precisely measured style would be inexplicable from a spirit like that of the Apostle, except on the assumption of a passive inspiration? and as regards the point itself, I cannot see, that the writings of Paul, examined in detail, justify this prejudice in their favour, even according to the ingenious and minute exegesis of Rothe himself. (This he instances by examining Rothe's account of the defective constructions in Rom. v. 12 f.) * * * * That the great Apostle was no ordinary thinker,-that he did not, after the manner of enthusiasts, carried away by warmth of feeling, write down what he himself did not understand, is beyond question: -but that all which hitherto has been accounted in

him negligence or inaccuracy of expression, proceeded from conscious intention of the writer,—can neither be justly assumed a priori, nor convincingly shewn a posteriori."

- 4. To these general remarks of Tholuck I may add some notice of the peculiarities of the argumentative style of the Apostle, with which we are so much concerned in this Epistle.
- (a) It is his constant habit to *insulate* the one matter which he is considering, and regard it irrespective of any qualifications of which it may admit, or objections to which it lies open,—up to a certain point. Much of the difficulty in ch. v. vi. vii. has arisen from not bearing this in mind.
- (β) After thus treating the subject till the main result is gained, he then takes into account the qualifications and objections, but in a manner peculiar to himself; introducing them by putting the overstrained use, or the abuse, of the proposition just proved, in an interrogative form, and answering the question just asked. On a superficial view of these passages, they assume a sort of dramatic character, and have led many Commentators to suppose an objector to be present in the mind of the Apostle, to whom such questions are to be ascribed. But a further and deeper acquaintance with St. Paul's argumentative style removes this impression, and with it, much of the obscurity arising from supposing, or not knowing when to suppose, an interchange of speakers in the argument. We find that it is the Apostle himself speaking throughout, and in his vivid rhetorical manner proposing the fallacies which might be derived from his conclusions as matters of parenthetical enquiry.
- (γ) Perhaps one of the most wonderful phænomena of St. Paul's arguments, is the manner in which all such parenthetical enquiries are interwoven into the great subject; in which while he pursues and annihilates the off-branching fallacy, at the same time he has been advancing in the main path,—whereas in most human arguments each digression must have its definite termination, and we must resume the thesis where we left it. A notable instance of this is seen in ch. vi. of our Epistle; in which while the mischievous fallacy of ver. 1 is discussed and annihilated, the great subject of the introduction of Life by Christ is carried on through another step—viz. the establishment of that life as one of sanctification.

Among the minor characteristics of the Apostle's style, may be enumerated,

δ) Frequent and complicated antitheses, requiring great caution and discrimination in exegesis. For often the different members of the antitheses are not to be taken in the same extent of meaning; sometimes the literal and metaphorical significations are interchanged in a curious and intricate manner, so that perhaps in the first member of two

antithetical clauses, the subject may be literal and the predicate metaphorical, and in the second, vice versa, the subject metaphorical and the predicate literal. Sometimes again, the terms of one member are to be amplified to their fullest possible, almost to an exaggerated meaning: whereas those of the second are to be reduced down to their least possible, almost to a deprectated meaning. To retain such antitheses in a version or exegesis is of course, generally speaking, impossible: the appropriateness of the terms depends very much on their conventional value in the original language. Then comes the difficult task of breaking up the sentence, and expressing neither more nor less than the real meaning under a different grammatical form: an attempt almost always sure to fail even in the ablest hands.

- (ε) Frequent plays upon words, or rather perhaps, choice of words from their similarity of sound. Much of the terseness and force of the Apostle's expressions is necessarily lost in rendering them into another language, owing to the impossibility of expressing these paronomasiæ; and without them, it becomes exceedingly difficult to ascertain the real weight of the expression itself; to be sure that we do not give more than due importance in the context to a clause whose aptness was perhaps its chief characteristic, and on the other hand to take care that we do not overlook the real importance of clauses whose value is not their mere aptness, but a deep insight into the philosophy of the cognate words made use of, as exponents of lines of human thought ultimately convergent.
- (ξ) Accumulation of prepositions, often with the same or very slightly different meanings. That this is a characteristic of St. Paul's style there can be no doubt: and the difficulty created by it is easily obviated if this be borne in mind. The temptation of an expositor is to endeavour to give precise meaning and separate force to each preposition, thereby exceeding the intention of the sentence, and distorting the context by elevating into importance clauses of comparative indifference.
- (η) The frequency and peculiarity of his parenthetical passages. The difficulty presented by this characteristic is, in few words, that of disentangling with precision such clauses and passages. The danger is twofold: 1. lest we too hastily assume an irregular construction, not perceiving the parenthetical interruption: 2. lest we err on the other hand, which has more commonly been the case, in assuming the existence of parenthetical clauses where none exist. St. Paul's parentheses are generally well marked to the careful observer; and it must be remembered that the instances of anacoluthon and irregular construction are at least as frequent: so that we are not, for the sake of clearing up a construction, to throw in parentheses, as is often done, to the detriment of the sense.

The peculiarity of his parentheses consists in this, that owing to the fervency and rapidity of his composition he frequently deserts, in a clause apparently intended to be parenthetical, the construction of the main sentence, and instead of resuming it again, proceeds with the parenthesis as if it were the main sentence.

Instances of almost all these characteristic difficulties will be found in chap. v. of this Epistle, where, so to speak, they reach their culminating point.

- 5. Two cautions are necessary, on account of the lax renderings of our authorized version, by which the details of the argument of this and other Epistles have been so disguised, that it is almost impossible for the mere English student intelligently to apprehend them.
- (a) The emphatic position of words is of the highest importance. Pages might be filled with an account of misrenderings of versions and Commentators from disregard to the rules of emphasis. The student will continually find such instances alleged and criticized in these notes; and will be surprised that so momentous a matter should have been generally overlooked.
- (b) The distinction between the agrist and perfect tenses is in our authorized version very commonly disregarded, and thereby the point of the sentence altogether missed. Instances are continually occurring in the Epistles: and it has been my endeavour in the notes to draw the student's attention to them with a view to their correction.
- 6. For much interesting matter on this subject the student is referred to Tholuck, Römerbrief, Einleitung: and to Dr. Davidson, Introd. vol. ii. p. 144 ff.

CHAPTER III.

THE FIRST EPISTLE TO THE CORINTHIANS.

SECTION I.

ITS AUTHORSHIP AND INTEGRITY.

1. As far as I am aware, the first of these has never been doubted by any critic of note. Indeed he who would do so, must be prepared to dispute the historical truth of the character of St. Paul. For no more complete transcript of that character, as we find it set forth to us in the Acts, can be imagined, than that which we find in this and the second Epistle. Of this I shall speak further below (§ vii.).

- 2. But external testimonies to the Authorship are by no means wanting.
- (a) Clement of Rome, in his Epistle to this very Church of Corinth, says, e. 47, p. 305 f.:—ἀναλάβετε τὴν ἐπιστολὴν τοῦ μακαρίου Παύλου τοῦ ἀποστόλου. τί πρῶτον ὑμῖν ἐν ἀρχῷ εὐαγγελίου ἔγραψεν; ἐπ' ἀληθείας πνευματικῶς ἐπέστειλεν ὑμῖν, περὶ αὐτοῦ τε καὶ Κηφᾶ καὶ ᾿Απολλώ, διὰ τὸ καὶ τότε προςκλίσεις ὑμᾶς πεποιῆσθαι ¹.
- (β) Polyearp, ad Philippenses, c. 11, p. 1020:—"Qui autem ignorant judicium Domini? An nescimus, quia sancti mundum judicabunt?? sicut Paulus docet."
- (γ) Irenæus adv. Hær. iv. 27 (45). 3, p. 264:—" Et hoc autem apostolum in epistola quæ est ad Corinthios manifestissime ostendisse, dicentem: Nolo enim vos ignorare, fratres, quoniam patres nostri omnes sub nube fuerunt ³ &e." And almost in the same words Cyprian, Testim. i. 4, citing the same passage.
- (δ) Athenagoras, de resurrect. mort. 18, p. 331:—εἴδηλον παντὶ τὸ λειπόμενον, ὅτι δεῖ, κατὰ τὸν ἀπόστολον, τὸ φθαρτὸν τοῦτο καὶ διασκεδαστὸν ἐνδύσασθαι ἀφθαρσίαν ⁴, ἵνα κ.τ.λ.
- (ε) Clement of Alexandria cites this epistle very frequently and explicitly: e. g. Pædag. i. 6 (33), p. 117 P.:—σαφέστατα γοῦν ὁ μακάριος Παῦλος ἀπήλλαξεν ἡμῶς τῆς ζητήσεως ἐν τῆ προτέρα πρὸς Κορινθίους ὧδέ πως γράφων ᾿Αδελφοί, μὴ παιδία γίνεσθε ταῖς φρεσὶν κ.τ.λ. ⁵—And he proceeds to quote also 1 Cor. xiii. 11, with πάλιν ὁ Παῦλος λέγει.
- (ζ) Tertullian de Præscript. adv. Hær. c. 33, vol. ii. p. 46,—"Paulus in prima ad Corinthios notat negatores et dubitatores resurrectionis."

See Lardner: and Davidson's Introd. vol. ii. p. 253 f., where more testimonies are given.

3. The integrity of this Epistle has not been disputed. The whole of it springs naturally out of the circumstances, and there are no difficulties arising from discontinuousness or change of style, as in some passages of the Epistle to the Romans.

SECTION II.

FOR WHAT READERS IT WAS WRITTEN.

1. "Corinth (formerly Ephyre, Apollod. i. 9,—which afterwards was its poetic name, Ovid, Met. ii. 240. Virg. Georg. ii. 264. Propert. ii. 5. 1 al.) was a renowned, wealthy (II. β . 570. Hor. ii. 16. Dio Chrysost. xxxvii. p. 464), and beautiful commercial eity (Thuc. i. 13. Cic. rep. i. 4), and in the Roman times the capital of Achaia propria (Apul. Met. x. p. 239, Bipont), situated on the isthmus of the Peloponnese between

¹ 1 Cor. i, 10 f.

² 1 Cor. vi. 2.

³ 1 Cor. x. 1 f.

⁴ 1 Cor. xv. 53.

⁵ 1 Cor. xiv. 20.

the Ionian and Ægean seas (hence bimaris, Ovid, Met. v. 407; Hor. Od. i. 7. 2,—ἀμφιθάλασσος, διθάλασσος) and at the foot of a rock which bore the fortress Acrocorinthus (Strabo, viii. 379; Plut. vit. Arat. 16; Liv. xlv. 28),-forty stadia in circumference. It had two ports, of which the western (twelve stadia distant) was called Lechæon (Λέχαιον, Lechæum, Lecheæ, Plin. iv. 5), the eastern (seventy stadia distant) Kenchreæ (Strabo, viii. 380; Paus. ii. 2, 3; Liv. xxxii. 17; al.). The former was for the Italian, the latter for the Oriental commerce: so Strabo, l. c.: Κεγχρεαὶ κώμη καὶ λιμὴν ἀπέχων τῆς πόλεως ὅσον ἐβδομήκοντα στάδια. τούτω μέν χρώνται πρὸς τοὺς ἐκ τῆς ᾿Ασίας, πρὸς δὲ τοὺς ἐκ τῆς Ἰταλίας τῷ Λεχαίφ. Arts and sciences flourished notably in Corinth (Pindar, Ol. xiii. 21; Herod. ii. 167; Plin. xxxiv. 3. xxxv. 5; Cic. Verr. ii. 19; Suet. Tiber. 34). The Corinthian plate was especially celebrated. But these advantages were accompanied by much wantonness, luxury, and gross corruption of morals (Athenæus, vii. 281. xiii. 543; Alciphr. iii. 60; Strabo, viii. 378; Eustath. Iliad β . p. 220). (These vices were increased by the periodical influx of visitors owing to the Isthmian games, and by the abandoned and unclean worship of Aphrodite, to whose temple more than a thousand priestesses of loose character were attached. See testimonies in Wetst.) The city (lumen totius Græciæ, Cic. Manil. 5) was taken, pillaged, and destroyed by L. Mummius (Flor. ii. 16; Liv. Epitome lii.) in A.U.C. 608, 146 B.C. (cf. Plin. xxxiv. 3),but re-established (as the colony Julia Corinthus) by Julius Cæsar, A.U.C. 710, B.C. 44,—and soon recovered its former splendour (Aristid. Or. 3, p. 23, ed. Jebb), and was accordingly in St. Paul's time the seat of the Roman proconsul of Achaia (Acts xviii. 18). See, on the whole, Strabo, viii. 378 ff.; Paus. ii. 1 ff." Winer, Realwörterbuch. An interesting description of the present remains of Corinth will be found in Leake's Morea, vol. iii. ch. xxviii.

2. The Christian church at Corinth was founded by St. Paul on his first visit, related in Acts xviii. (1—18.) He spent there a year and a half, and his labours seem to have been rewarded with considerable success. His converts were for the most part Gentiles (1 Cor. xii. 2), but comprised also many Jews (Acts xviii. 8: see too ver. 5, and note); both however, though the Christian body at Corinth was numerous (Acts ib. 4, 8, 10), were principally from the poorer class (1 Cor. i. 26 ff.). To this Crispus the ruler of the synagogue (Acts xviii. 8; 1 Cor. i. 14) formed an exception, as also Erastus the chamberlain (οἰκονόμος) of the city (Rom. xvi. 23), and Gaius, whom the Apostle calls ὁ ξένος μου κ. ὅλης τῆς ἐκκλησίας. And we find traces of a considerable mixture of classes of society in the agapæ (1 Cor. xi. 22).

3. The method of the Apostle in preaching at Corinth is described by himself, 1 Cor. ii. 1 ff. He used great simplicity, declaring to them only the cross of Christ, without any adventitious helps of rhetoric or

worldly wisdom. The opposition of the Jews had been to him a source of no ordinary anxiety: see the remarkable expression Acts xviii. 5, and note there. The situation likewise of his Gentile converts was full of danger. Surrounded by habits of gross immorality and intellectual pride, they were liable to be corrupted in their conduct, or tempted to despise the simplicity of their first teacher.

4. Of this latter there was the more risk, since the Apostle had been followed by one whose teaching might make his appear in their eyes meagre and scanty. Apollos is described in Acts xviii. 24 ff. as a learned Hellenist of Alexandria, mighty in the Scriptures, and fervent in zeal. And though by the honourable testimony there given ⁶ to his work at Corinth, it is evident that his doctrine was essentially the same with that of Paul, yet there is reason to think that there was difference enough in the outward character and expression of the two ⁷ to provoke comparison to the Apostle's disadvantage, and attract the lovers of eloquence and philosophy rather to Apollos.

5. We discover very plain signs of an influence antagonistic to the Apostle having been at work in Corinth. Teachers had come, of Jewish extraction (2 Cor. xi. 22), bringing with them letters of recommendation from other churches (2 Cor. iii. 1), and had built on the foundation laid by Paul (1 Cor. iii. 10-18; 2 Cor. x. 13-18) a worthless building, on which they prided themselves. These teachers gave out themselves for Apostles (2 Cor. xi. 5, 13), rejecting the apostleship of Paul (1 Cor. ix. 2; 2 Cor. x. 7, 8), encouraging disobedience to his commands (2 Cor. x. 1, 6), and disparaging in every way his character, and work for the Gospel (see for the former, 2 Cor. iv. 1, 2 ff.; v. 11 ff., and notes in both places: for the latter, 2 Cor. xi. 16-xii. 12). It is probable, as De Wette suggests, that these persons were excited to greater rage against Paul, by the contents of the first Epistle; for we find the plainest mention of them in the second. But their practices had commenced before, and traces of them are very evident in ch. ix. of this Epistle.

6. The ground taken by these persons, as regarded their Jewish position, is manifest from these Epistles. They did not, as the false teachers among the Galatians, insist on circumcision and keeping the law: for not a word occurs on that question, nor a hint which can be construed as pointing to it. Some think that they kept back this point in a church consisting principally of Gentiles, and contented themselves with first setting aside the authority and influence of Paul. But I should rather believe them to have looked on this question as closed,

⁶ δε παραγενόμενος συνεβάλετο πολὸ τοῖς πεπιστευκόσιν διὰ τῆς χάριτος, ver. 27. See also 1 Cor. iii. 6.

⁷ See especially 1 Cor. xvi. 12, and note.

and to have carried on more a negative than a positive warfare with the Apostle, upholding, as against him, the authority of the regularly constituted Twelve, and of Peter as the apostle of the circumcision, and impugning Paul as an interloper and innovator, and no autoptic witness of the events of the Gospel history: as not daring to prove his apostleship by claiming sustenance from the Christian churches, or by leading about a wife, as the other Apostles, and the brethren of the Lord, and Cephas. What their positive teaching had been, it is difficult to decide, except that, although founded on a recognition of Jesus the Christ, it was of an inconsistent and unsubstantial kind, and such as would not stand in the coming day of fiery trial (1 Cor. iii. 11 ff.).

7. That some of these teachers may have described themselves as peculiarly belonging to Christ, is a priori very probable. St. Paul had had no connexion with our Lord while he lived and taught on earth. His Christian life and apostolic calling began at so late a period, that those who had seen the Lord on earth might claim a superiority over him. And this is all that seems to be meant by the ἐγὰ δὲ χριστοῦ of 1 Cor. i. 12, especially if we compare it with 2 Cor. x. 7 ff., the only other passage where the expression is alluded to. There certainly persons are pointed out, who boasted themselves in some peculiar connexion with Christ which, it was presumed, Paul had not; and were ignorant that the weapons of the apostolic warfare were not carnal, but spiritual.

8. It would also be natural that some should avow themselves the followers of Paul himself, and set perhaps an undue value on him as God's appointed minister among them, forgetting that all ministers were but God's servants for their benefit.

9. It will be seen from the foregoing remarks, as well as from the notes, that I do not believe these tendencies to have developed themselves into distinctly marked parties, either before the writing of our Epistle or at any other time. In the Epistle of Clement of Rome, written some years after, we find the same contentious spirit blamed (c. 47, p. 308), but it appears that by that time its ground was altogether different: we have no traces of the Paul-party, or Apollos-party, or Cephas-party, or Christ-party: ecclesiastical insubordination and ambition were then the faults of the Corinthian church.

10. Much ingenuity and labour has been spent in Germany on the four supposed distinct parties at Corinth, and the most eminent theologians have endeavoured, with very different results, to allot to each its definite place in tenets and practice. I refer the student for a complete account of the principal theories, to Dr. Davidson's Introduction, vol. ii. p. 224 ff., and Conybeare and Howson's Life of St. Paul, vol. i. chap. xiii.:—and for separate expositions, to Neander, Pfl. u. Leit., 4th edn. pp. 375—397: Olshausen, Bibl. Comm. iii. 475 ff.: Schaff, Gesch.

Vol. II.—49]

d. christlichen Kirche, § 64: Stanley, Epistle to the Corinthians, Introduction.

SECTION III.

WITH WHAT OBJECT IT WAS WRITTEN.

1. The object of writing this Epistle was twofold. The Apostle had been applied to by the Corinthians to advise them on matters connected with their practice in the relations of life (ch. vii. 1), and with their liberty of action as regarded meats offered to idols (ch. viii.—x.); they had apparently also referred to him the question whether their women should be veiled in the public assemblies of the church (ch. xi. 3—16): and had laid before him some difficulties respecting the exercise of spiritual gifts (ch. xii.—xiv.). He had enjoined them to make a collection for the poor saints at Jerusalem: and they had requested directions, how this might best be done (ch. xvi. 1 ff.).

2. These enquiries would have elicited at all events an answer from St. Paul. But there were other and even more weighty reasons why an Epistle should be sent to them just now from their father in the faith. Intelligence had been brought him by the family of Chloe (ch. i. 11) of their contentious spirit. From the same, or from other sources, he had learned the occurrence among them of a gross case of incest, in which the delinquent was upheld in impunity by the church (ch. v. 1 ff.). He had further understood that the Christian brethren were in the habit of carrying their disputes before heathen tribunals (ch. vi. 1 ff.). And it had been represented to him that there were irregularities requiring reprehension in their manner of celebrating the Agapæ, which indeed they had so abused, that they could now be no longer called the Supper of the Lord. Such were their weighty errors in practice: and among these it would have been hardly possible that Christian doctrine should remain sound. So far was this from being the case, that some among them had even gone to the length of denying the Resurrection itself. Against these he triumphantly argues in ch. xv.

3. It has been questioned whether St. Paul had the defence of his own apostolic authority in view in this Epistle. The answer must certainly be in the affirmative. We cannot read chapters iv. and ix. without perceiving this. At the same time, it is most probable that the hostility of the false teachers had not yet assumed the definite force of personal slander and disparagement,—or not so prominently and notoriously as afterwards. That which is the primary subject of the 2nd Epistle, is but incidentally touched on here. But we plainly see that his authority had been already impugned (see especially ch. iv. 17—21), and his apostleship questioned (ch. ix. 1, 2).

507

SECTION IV.

OF THE NUMBER OF EPISTLES WRITTEN BY PAUL TO THE CORINTHIANS.

- 1. If we were left to infer a priori, it would be exceedingly probable that an Epistle had been sent to the Corinthians before this, which we call the first. It appears from ch. xvi. 1 that they wanted some directions as to the method of making "the collection for the saints." We may ask,—when enjoined and how? If by the Apostle in person, the directions would doubtless have been asked for and given at the time. It would seem then to follow, that a command to make the collection had been sent them either by some messenger, or in an epistle.
- 2. The uncertainty, however, which would rest upon this inference, is removed by the express words of the Apostle himself. In ch. v. 9 he says, ἔγραψα ὑμῶν ἐν τῆ ἐπιστολῆ, μὴ συναναμίγνυσθαι πόρνοις. In my note on those words, I have endeavoured to shew that the only meaning which in their context they will legitimately bear, is, that this command, not to associate with fornicators, was contained in a previous Epistle to them, which has not been preserved to us. Those who maintain that the reference is to the present Epistle, have never been able to produce a passage bearing the slightest resemblance to the command mentioned *.
- 3. The opinions of Commentators on this point have been strangely warped by a notion conceived a priori, that it would be wrong to suppose any apostolic Epistle to have been lost. Those who regard, not preconceived theories, but the facts and analogies of the case, will rather come to the conclusion that very many have been lost. The Epistle to Philemon, for example, is the only one remaining to us of a class, which if we take into account the affectionate disposition of St. Paul, and the frequency of intercourse between the metropolis and the provinces, must have been numerous during his captivity in Rome. We find him also declaring, 1 Cor. xvi. 3 (see note there), his intention of giving recommendatory letters, if necessary, to the bearers of the collection from Corinth to Jerusalem: from which proposal we may safely infer that on other occasions, he was in the habit of writing such Epistles to individuals or to churches. To imagine that every writing of an inspired Apostle must necessarily have been preserved to us, is as absurd as

517

⁸ Perhaps the most extraordinary theory ever propounded by one who has evidently spent some pains on his subject, is that of Mr. Paget, in his "Unity and Order of the Epistles of St. Paul," in which, on account of a fancied resemblance of this command to that in Heb. xii. 16 (which if examined proves to be no resemblance), he maintains $\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\epsilon}m\iota\sigma\tau\nu\lambda\hat{\eta}$ here to be the Epistle to the Hebrews, which he imagines to have been a sort of general circular epistle to all the churches, written previously to those addressed to particular congregations. I need hardly remind the student, how entirely all the data of every kind furnished by that Epistle are against such a supposition.

it would be to imagine that all his sayings must necessarily have been recorded. The Providence of God, which has preserved so many precious portions both of one and the other, has also allowed many, perhaps equally precious, of both, to pass into oblivion.

4. The time of writing this lost Epistle is fixed, by the history, between Paul's leaving Corinth Acts xviii. 18, and the sending of our present Epistle. But we shall be able to approximate nearer, when we have discussed the question of the Apostle's visits to Corinth.

5. Its contents may be in some measure surmised from the data furnished in our two canonical Epistles.

He had in it given them a command, $\mu \hat{\eta}$ our ava $\mu i \gamma v v \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \delta \rho v o \iota s$, which being taken by them in too strict and literal a sense, and on that account perhaps overlooked, as impossible to be observed, is explained in its true sense by him, 1 Cor. v. 9—12.

It also contained, in all probability, an announcement of a plan of visiting them on his way to Macedonia, and again on his return from Macedonia (2 Cor. i. 15, 16), which he changed in consequence of the news heard from Chloe's household (1 Cor. xvi. 5—7), for which alteration he was accused of lightness of purpose ($\lambda \lambda a \phi \rho i a$, 2 Cor. i. 17).

We may safely say also (see above) that it contained a command to make a collection for the poor saints at Jerusalem. Further than this we cannot with any safety surmise.

It was evidently a short letter, containing perhaps little or nothing more than the above announcement and injunctions, given probably in the pithy and sententious manner so common with the Apostle ¹.

SECTION V.

OF THE NUMBER OF VISITS MADE BY PAUL TO THE CORINTHIANS.

- 1. The controversy on this point will be cut very short, if the interpretation given in the notes of 2 Cor. xii. 14, xiii. 1, be assumed as correct:—and, as I have there maintained, I believe that neither the words nor the context will admit any other. The Apostle had paid two visits to Corinth before the sending of that, and consequently of this Epistle.
- 2. The difficulty in this inference, which has led Commentators to adopt an unnatural rendering of the above passages, is, that but one visit is recorded, viz. that in Aets xviii. 1 ff. For both Epistles were written before the second visit in Aets xx. 2, 3. (Compare Aets xix. with 1 Cor. xvi. 8, and 2 Cor. ix. 2 with Aets xx. 1, 2.)
 - 3. But manifestly, the history of St. Paul's apostolic career in the

⁹ See below, § v.

See Rom. xii. 9 ff.; 1 Thess. v. 16 ff.

Acts is very fragmentary and imperfect. Long and important journeys are dismissed in a few words ²: some, e. g. that to Arabia, and the missionary tour in Syria and Cilicia, Gal. i. 21 ff., not being even mentioned. No notice is taken of the foundation of the churches of Galatia, unless the cursory mention of Acts xvi. 6, be taken as such:—and of the copious catalogue of perils undergone by him in 2 Cor. xi. 24 ff., but few can be identified in the history. That a journey to Corinth should have escaped mention, where more extensive journeys and more important events have been omitted or slightly touched on, would not be at all improbable.

4. Such a journey must of course be inserted between Acts xviii. 18, when his first visit to Corinth ended, and xx. 2, when the second Epistle was sent from Macedonia. But these limits are further narrowed by the history itself. From xviii. 18 to xix. 9, when we find the Apostle established at Ephesus, is evidently a continuous narrative. And as plainly, no visit took place between the sending of the first and second Epistle, as is decisively proved by 2 Cor. i. 15—23. Now the first Epistle was sent from Ephesus, in the early part of the year in which he left that city, 1 Cor. xvi. 8. So that our terminus a quo is the settling at Ephesus, Acts xix. 10, and our terminus ad quem the spring preceding the departure from Ephesus, Acts xx. 1. During this time, a visit to Corinth took place.

5. Let us see whether any hints of his own throw light on this necessary inference. In 2 Cor. xi. 25 we read τρὶς ἐναυάγησα, and this in a description of his apostolic labours: so that we must not go back beyond his conversion for any of these shipwrecks. Now his recorded voyages are these: (1) From Cæsarea to Tarsus, Acts ix. 30. (2) Possibly, from Tarsus to Antioch, xi. 25: but more probably this was a land-journey. (3) From Seleucia to Cyprus, xiii. 4. (4) From Paphos to Perga, xiii. 13. (5) From Attalia to Antioch, xiv. 26. (6) From Troas to Philippi, xvi. 11, 12. (7) From Macedonia to Athens, xvii. 14, 15. (8) From Kenchreæ to Ephesus, xviii. 18, 19. (9) From Ephesus to Cæsarea, ib. 21, 22. (10) From Ephesus to Macedonia, xx. 1. Of these, it is certain that no shipwreek took place during (6), for it is minutely detailed: it is extremely improbable that any took place during (3), (4), and (5), as the account of the first missionary tour is circumstantial and precise. The same may be said of (7), in which the words οἱ δὲ καθιστάνοντες τὸν Παῦλον ἤγαγον ἔως ᾿Αθηνῶν will scarcely admit of such an interruption. It is hardly probable that any shipwreck took place in those voyages the purpose of which is described as being at once attained, to which class belong (8) and (9), and, if it is to be counted as a voyage, (2). The two left, of which we

² e.g., ch. xv. 41, xvi. 6, xviii. 23, xix. 1, xx. 2, 3.

have absolutely no account given, are (1) and (10). It is quite possible that he may have been shipwrecked on both these occasions, and such an assumption with regard to (10) would suggest another interpretation of the difficult allusion, 2 Cor. i. 8—10. But even assuming this, more voyages seem to be required to account for three shipwrecks. It is true that the evidence thus acquired is very slight—but however trifling, it is at least in favour of, and not against, the hypothesis of an unrecorded visit to Corinth.

- 6. The nature of the visit may be gathered in some measure from extant hints. It was one made $\epsilon\nu$ $\lambda\nu\pi\eta$, 2 Cor. ii. 1, where see note: why, we might well suppose, but are not left to conjecture: for he tells them (2 Cor. xiii. 2 and note) that during it he warned them, that if he came again, he would not spare (the sinners among them); and 2 Cor. xii. 21, there is a hint given that God had, on this occasion, humbled him among them. It was a visit unpleasant in the process and in recollection: perhaps very short, and as sad as short: in which he seems merely to have thrown out solemn warnings of the consequences of a future visit of apostolic severity if the abuses were persisted in,—and possibly to have received insult from some among them on account of such warnings.
- 7. If we enquire what sort of sin had occasioned the visit, the answer seems to be furnished by 2 Cor. xii. 21, μὴ πάλω ἐλθόντος μου ταπεινώσει με ὁ θεός μου πρὸς ὑμᾶς, καὶ πειθήσω πολλοὺς τῶν προημαρτηκότων καὶ μὴ μετανοησάντων ἐπὶ τῷ ἀκαθαρσία καὶ ποριεία καὶ ἀσελγεία ἡ ἔπραξαν. It was probably on account of these, the besetting sins of the place, that his second visit had been made in grief; it was to abstain from these sins and the company of those who committed them, that he had enjoined them in his lost Epistle: and accordingly, while we find in our first Epistle detailed notice of the special case of sin which he had recently heard of as occurring among them, the subject of ποριεία is alluded to (vi. 12—20) only in a summary way, and in one which shews that he is rather replying to an excuse set up after rebuke in the matter, than introducing it for the first time.

SECTION VI.

AT WHAT PLACE AND TIME THIS EPISTLE WAS WRITTEN.

1. The place of writing it is pointed out in ch. xvi. $8, -\epsilon \pi \mu \epsilon r \hat{\omega}$ $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ $\epsilon \hat{\nu} \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\nu}$, to have been Ephesus.

A mistaken rendering of the words (ib. ver. 5) Μακεδενίαν γὰρ διέρχομαι, as if they signified 'for I am passing through Macedonia,'—led probably to the subscription in the rec. and our English Bibles, ἐγράφη ἀπὸ Φιλίππων. But the idea has never been seriously entertained.

2. The above notice from ch. xvi. 8 also shews, that at the time of writing, the Apostle intended to quit Ephesus after Pentecost of that year. And on connecting this with Acts xix., xx., it appears (see notes, and chronological table in Prolegg. to Acts) that he really did leave Ephesus about Pentecost in the year 57. We may assume therefore (as we have no ground for supposing that he referred to a previous year and afterwards changed his purpose) that the Epistle was written in the former part of the year 57.

3. It will be seen by my notes on 1 Cor. v. 7, that I cannot see in the words καθώς ἐστε ἄζυμοι any allusion to the fact of the days of unleavened bread being then present. I have endeavoured to shew that external probability, as well as spiritual analogy, is against the idea that St. Paul would have so expressed himself. But there still is no reason, why the nearness or presence of that season may not have suggested to him the whole train of thought there occurring, -especially when we know independently that he was writing during the former

part of the year.

4. It is almost certain then that the Epistle was written before Pentecost, A.D. 57: and probable, that somewhat about Easter was the exact time.

5. The Apostle had at this time already sent off Timotheus and Erastus to Macedonia (cf. Acts xix. 22, and 1 Cor. iv. 17), the former (1 Cor. ib.) with the intention of his proceeding on to Corinth, if possible (1 Cor. xvi. 10), and preparing the way for his own apostolic visit (iv. 17). Possibly also his mission had reference to the collection for the saints at Jerusalem (see 2 Cor. viii., and xii. 18); but the language used is ambiguous, and we cannot pronounce positively that Timotheus reached Corinth on this journey. (See below, ch. iv. § 2, 4.)

6. The Epistle is addressed in the name of Sosthenes ὁ ἀδελφός, as well as in that of the Apostle. It is hardly possible that this Sosthenes should be the same as the person of that name mentioned Acts xviii. 17 3: see note there. The conjectures respecting him I have given on 1 Cor. i. 1. He bears no part in the Epistle itself, any more than Timotheus in 2 Cor.: the Apostle, after mentioning him, immediately proceeds εὐχαριστῶ τῷ θεῷ μου.

7. It is uncertain, who were the bearers of the Epistle: but perhaps the common subscription is right in assigning that office to Stephanas, Fortunatus, and Achaicus. For they are mentioned as being present with the Apostle (1 Cor. xvi. 17) from Corinth: and as an injunction is given (ib. 18) that they should be honourably regarded by the Corinthians, it is highly probable that they were intending to return.

³ Unless indeed, as Mr. Birks supposes, Horæ Apostolicæ, p. 215 f., he was converted subsequently to that occurrence.

SECTION VII.

MATTER AND STYLE.

1. As might have been expected from the occasion of writing, the matter of this epistle is very various. It is admirably characterized by Mr. Conybeare, in Conybeare and Howson's Life and Epistles of St. Paul, vol. ii. p. 28 (2nd edn.):

"This letter is, in its contents, the most diversified of all St. Paul's Epistles: and in proportion to the variety of its topics, is the depth of its interest for ourselves. For by it we are introduced as it were behind the seenes of the apostolic Church, and its minutest features are revealed to us under the light of daily life. We see the picture of a Christian congregation as it met for worship in some upper chamber, such as the house of Aquila or of Gaius could furnish. We see that these seasons of pure devotion were not unalloyed by human vanity and excitement: vet, on the other hand, we behold the heathen auditor pierced to the heart by the inspired eloquence of the Christian prophets, the secrets of his conscience laid bare to him, and himself constrained to fall down on his face and worship God: we hear the fervent thanksgiving echoed by the unanimous Amen: we see the administration of the Holy Communion terminating the feast of love. Again, we become familiar with the perplexities of domestic life, the corrupting proximity of heathen immorality, the lingering superstition, the rash speculation, the lawless perversion of Christian liberty: we witness the strife of theological factions, the party names, the sectarian animosities. We perceive the difficulty of the task imposed upon the Apostle, who must guard from so many perils, and guide through so many difficulties, his children in the faith, whom else he had begotten in vain: and we learn to appreciate more fully, the magnitude of that laborious responsibility under which he describes himself as almost ready to sink, 'the care of all the churches.'

"But while we rejoice that so many details of the deepest historical interest have been preserved to us by this Epistle, let us not forget to thank God, who so inspired His Apostle, that in his answers to questions of transitory interest he has laid down principles of eternal obligation. Let us trace with gratitude the providence of Him, who 'out of darkness calls up light;' by whose mercy it was provided, that the unchastity of the Corinthians should occasion the sacred laws of moral purity to be established for ever through the Christian world;—that their denial of the resurrection should cause those words to be recorded whereon reposes, as upon a rock that cannot be shaken, our sure and certain hope of immortality."

2. In style, this Epistle ranks perhaps the foremost of all as to sub-

limity, and earnest and impassioned eloquence. Of the former, the description of the simplicity of the Gospel in ch. ii.,—the concluding apostrophe of ch. iii. (ver. 16—end),—the same in ch. vi. (ver. 9—end),—the reminiscence of the shortness of the time, ch. vii. 29—31,—the whole argument in ch. xv.,—are examples unsurpassed in Scripture itself: and of the latter, ch. iv. 8—15, and the whole of ch. ix.; while the panegyric of Love, in ch. xiii., stands, a pure and perfect gem, perhaps the noblest assemblage of beautiful thoughts in beautiful language extant in this our world. About the whole Epistle there is a character of lofty and sustained solemnity,—an absence of tortuousness of construction, and an apologetic plainness, which contrast remarkably with the personal portions of the second Epistle.

3. No Epistle raises in us a higher estimate of the varied and wonderful gifts with which God was pleased to endow the man whom He selected for the Apostle of the Gentile world: or shews us how large a portion of the Spirit, who worketh in each man severally as He will, was given to him for our edification. The depths of the spiritual, the moral, the intellectual, the physical world are open to him. He summons to his aid the analogies of nature. He enters minutely into the varieties of human infirmity and prejudice. He draws warning from the history of the chosen people: example, from the Isthmian foot-race. He refers an apparently trifling question of costume to the first great proprieties and relations of Creation and Redemption. He praises, reproves, exhorts, and teaches. Where he strikes, he heals. His large heart holding all, where he has grieved any, he grieves likewise; where it is in his power to give joy, he first overflows with joy himself. We may form some idea from this Epistle better perhaps than from any one other, - because this embraces the widest range of topics, -what marvellous power such a man must have had to persuade, to rebuke, to attract and fasten the affections of men.

CHAPTER IV.

THE SECOND EPISTLE TO THE CORINTHIANS.

SECTION I.

ITS AUTHORSHIP AND INTEGRITY.

1. The former of these is undoubted. No Epistle more clearly marks itself out as the work of the Author whose name it bears. It is inseparably connected with the First, following it up, and only differing from it as circumstances since occurring had affected the mind of the

writer. See this more dwelt on, when I speak of its style and matter, below, \$ iii.

2. The external testimonies are,

(a) Irenæus, Hær. iii. 7. 1, p. 182:

Quod autem dicunt, aperte Paulum in secunda ad Corinthios dixisse: In quibus Deus seculi hujus excecavit mentes infidelium.

(β) Athenagoras, de resurr. mort. xviii. p. 331:

ευδήλον παντί το λειπόμενον εκαστος κομίσηται δικαίως α δια του σώματος επραξεν, είτε αγαθα είτε κακά.

(γ) Clement of Alexandria very frequently cites our epistle: e.g.,

Strom. iii. 14 [94], p. 553, P.:

αὐτίκα βιάζεται τον Παῦλον ἐκ τῆς ἀπάτης τὴν γένεσιν συνιστάναι. λέγειν διὰ τούτων φοβοῦμαι δὲ μὴ, ὡς ὁ ὄφις Εὔαν ἐξηπάτησεν, κ.τ.λ. (2 Cor. xi. 3.)
And again, Strom. iv. 16 [102], p. 607, P.:

ό ἀπόστολος (specified as Ilαῦλος previously) εἴρηκεν ἐν τῆ δευτέρα πρὸς τοὺς Κορινθίους ἄχρι γὰρ τῆς σήμερον ἡμέρας τὸ αὐτὸ κάλυμμα τοῖς πολλοῖς ἐπὶ τῆ ἀναγνώσει τῆς παλαιᾶς διαθήκης μένει.

(8) Tertullian, de Pudicitia, ch. 13 init. vol. ii. p. 1003:

Novimus plane et hic suspiciones corum. Revera enim suspicantur apostolum Paulum in secunda ad Corinthios eidem fornicatori veniam dedisse, quem in prima dedendum Satanæ in interitum carnis pronuntiarit, &c. He then cites 2 Cor. ii. 5—11.

See more testimonies in Davidson, vol. ii. p. 279.

3. The integrity of this Epistle has not however been unquestioned. Semler (in 1767) imagined it to consist of three separate epistles,—(1) chapters i. to viii. + Rom. xvi. 1 to 20+ch. xiii. 11 to 13. This he supposes to have been the letter which Titus bore on his second mission to Corinth. (2) On receiving intelligence of the effect produced at Corinth, the Apostle writes a second Epistle in justification of himself, chap. x. I to xiii. 10. (3) An Epistle sent to the other churches in Achaia on the subject of the collection for the saints at Jerusalem, ch. ix. To this curious theory a convincing refutation was furnished by Gabler (De capp, ult. ix,-xiii, poster, ep. P. ad Corr, ab eadem haud separandis, Gotting. 1782). Weber again (de numero Epp. P. ad Corr. rectius constituendo, 1798) thought it had been originally two Epistles, (1) chapters i. to ix.+xiii. 11 to 13,-(2) ch. x. 1 to xiii. 10. But Meyer (from whom the foregoing particulars are taken) quotes respecting all such fanciful discussions a good remark of Hug (Einl. ii. p. 376), that it would be just as reasonable to suppose the περί στεφάνου of Demosthenes to be two orations, because in the former part the orator defends himself calmly and in detail, and in the latter breaks out into fierce and bitter invective. Certainly, on the principle which these critics have adopted, the first Epistle to the Corinthians might be divided into at least eight separate epistles, marked off by the successive changes of subject.

SECTION II.

CIRCUMSTANCES, PLACE, AND TIME OF WRITING.

- 1. At the time of writing this Epistle, Paul had recently left Asia (2 Cor. i. 8): in doing so had come by Troas (ii. 12): and thence had sailed to Macedonia (ibid.; cf. Acts xx. 1, 2), where he still was (ch. viii. 1; ix. 2, where notice especially the present καυχῶμαι,—ix. 4). In Asia, he had undergone some great peril of his life (2 Cor. i. 8, 9), which (see note there) can hardly be referred to the tumult at Ephesus (Acts xix. 23-41),—but from the nature of his expressions was probably a grievous sickness, not unaccompanied with deep and wearing anxiety. At Troas, he had expected to meet Titus (2 Cor. ii. 13), with intelligence respecting the effect produced at Corinth by the first Epistle. In this he was disappointed (ii. 13), but the meeting took place in Macedonia (vii. 5, 6), where the expected tidings were announced to him (vii. 7-16). They were for the most part favourable, but not altogether. All who were well disposed had been humbled by his reproofs: but evidently his adversaries had been further embittered. He wished to express to them the comfort which the news of their submission had brought to him, and at the same time to defend his apostolic efficiency and personal character against the impugners of both. Under these circumstances, and with these objects, he wrote this Epistle, and sent it before him to break the severity with which he contemplated having to act against the rebellious (ch. xiii. 10), by winning them over if possible before his arrival.
- 2. The place of writing is nowhere clearly pointed out. There is no ground for supposing it to have been Philippi, as commonly imagined. Nay such a supposition is of itself improbable. In ch. viii. 1 he announces to the Corinthians the generosity which had been the result of God's grace given ∂r aus ∂r aus aus ∂r aus aus

² The common subscription assigns Philippi: but whether from tradition, or mere hasty inference, is quite uncertain.

¹ I cannot help being surprised that any one who has studied the character and history of the Apostle should still refer this passage to that tumult. The supposition lays to his charge a meanness of spirit and cowardice, which certainly never characterized him, and to avow which would have been in the highest degree out of place in an Epistle, one object of which was to vindicate his apostolic efficiency.

again to visit the Thessalonian church: and in the absence of all detail respecting this journey in Acts xx. 1, 2, we may well believe that he would have spent some time at Thessalonica. If then Philippi from its situation is improbable, it would seem likely that Thessalonica was the place. But all is conjecture, beyond the fact that it was written from Macedonia.

- 3. The time of writing is fixed within very narrow limits. About Pentecost A.D. 57 (see chronological table in Prolegg. to Acts) Paul left Ephesus for Troas: there he stayed some little time: thence went to Macedonia; and sufficient time had clapsed for him to have ascertained the mind of the Macedonian churches and to have made the collection. Here falls in our Epistle: after which (Acts xx. 2) he came into Greece (Corinth) and abode there three months: and then is found, after travelling by land through Macedonia, at Philippi on his return at Easter, 58. So that the Epistle was written in the summer, or autumn of 57.
- 4. Two questions belong to this part of our subject, which it is not very easy to answer. From 1 Cor. iv. 17, we learn that Timotheus had been sent to Corinth by Paul (see also Acts xix. 22, where he is said to have been sent with Erastus to Macedonia) to prepare the Corinthians for his own coming by reminding them of his ways and teaching. And in 1 Cor. xvi. 10, 11, we find directions given to them for their reception of Timotheus and speeding his return: "for," adds the Apostle, "I expect him with the brethren." Here, however, some little uncertainty is expressed as to his visiting them, the words being ἐὰν δὲ ἔλθη Τιμόθεος. Now at the time of writing this second Epistle, we find Timotheus with Paul in Macedonia (2 Cor. i. 1), without any hint given of his having been at Corinth, or of any tidings respecting the church there having come through him. Nay there is an apparent presumption that he had not been at Corinth: for in 2 Cor. xii. 18 where speaking of those whom he had sent to Corinth he mentions Titus by name, no allusion is made to Timotheus. Had he been at Corinth, or not?

I believe, in spite of these apparent obstacles to the view, that he had been there. The purpose of his mission, as stated in 1 Cor. iv. 17, is too plain and precise to have been lightly given up. And, as Meyer suggests, the relinquishing of the intended journey of Timotheus as well as that of the Apostle, would have furnished to the adversaries another ground for the charge of fickleness of purpose, which they would not fail to use against him. Had therefore the journey been abandoned, some notice and apology would probably have been found in this Epistle. That Timotheus is not mentioned in this Epistle as having gone to them, is easily accounted for by the circumstance that he is associated with the Apostle in the writing of the Epistle.

Meyer believes that tidings had been brought by him from Corinth of an unfavourable kind respecting the effect of the first Epistle; and that the state of the Apostle's mind described in 2 Cor. ii. 12, vii. 5, is to be traced to the reception of these tidings, not merely to the anxiety of suspense.

5. The second question regards the mission of Titus to Corinth, which took place subsequently to our first Epistle, and on the return from which he brought to the Apostle the further tidings of the effect of that letter, referred to 2 Cor. vii. 6. The most natural supposition is that he was sent to ascertain this matter: and this is the view of De Wette and others. Bleek however, with whom agree Credner, Olshausen, and Neander, makes a totally different hypothesis, which is thus expressed by the latter, Pfl. u. Leit. p. 437: "Timotheus had brought to the Apostle painful tidings which excited his anxiety, especially respecting the agitation caused by one individual, who insolently set himself against Paul and endeavoured to oppose his apostolic authority. (This latter view he defends by explaining 2 Cor. ii. 5, vii. 12, not of the incestuous person of 1 Cor. v. but of some adversary of the Apostle.) On this account Paul sent Titus to Corinth with a letter (now lost), in which he expressed himself very strongly on these circumstances; so that after Titus had set out, his heart, full as it was of paternal love towards the Corinthian church, was distressed with fear lest he had written somewhat too harshly, and been too severe upon them." This ingenious conjecture, while it might serve to clear up some expressions in 2 Cor. ii. 1-4, which seem too strong for the first Epistle, can perhaps hardly be admitted in the absence of any allusion whatever of a clearer character. All we can say is, it may have been so: and after all that has been written on the visits of Timotheus and Titus, we shall hardly arrive nearer the truth than a happy conjecture.

SECTION III.

MATTER AND STYLE.

1. In no other Epistle are these so various, and so rapidly shifting from one character to another. Consolation and rebuke, gentleness and severity, earnestness and irony, succeed one another at very short intervals and without notice. Meyer remarks: "The excitement and interchange of the affections, and probably also the haste, under which Paul wrote this Epistle, certainly render the expressions often obscure and the constructions difficult: but serve only to exalt our admiration of the great oratorical delicacy, art, and power, with which this outpouring of Paul's spirit, especially interesting as a self-defensive apology, flows and streams onward, till at length in the sequel its billows completely overflow the opposition of the adversaries. Erasmus strikingly says, Paraphr. Dedicat.,—'Sudatur ab eruditissimis viris in explicandis poetarum

ac rhetorum consiliis, at in hoc rhetore longe plus sudoris est, ut deprehendas quid agat, quo tendat; quid vetet: adeo stropharum plenus est undique, absit invidia verbis. Tanta vafrities est, non credas cundem hominem loqui. Nunc ut limpidus quidam fons sensim ebullit, mox torrentis in morem ingenti fragore devolvitur, multa obiter secum rapiens, nunc placide leniterque fluit, nunc late, velut in lacum diffusus, exspatiatur. Rursum alicubi se condit, ac diverso loco subitus emicat, cum visum est, miris mæandris nunc has nunc illas lambit ripas, aliquoties procul digressus, reciprocato flexu in sese redit.' We may also apply to our Epistle the words in which Dionys. Hal., de admiranda vi dicendi in Demosthene, c. 8, designates the style of that orator,—μεγαλοπρεπή, λιτήν περιττήν, ἄπέριττον ἐξηλλαγμένην, συνήθη πανηγυρικήν, ἀληθυνήν αὐστηρήν, ἱλαράν σύντονον, ἀνειμένην ἡδείαν, πικράν ἡθικήν, παθητικήν.'

2. The matter of the Epistle divides itself naturally into three parts:

1. ch. i. to vii. 16. Here he sets forth to them his apostolic walk and character, not only with regard to them, though he frequently refers to this, but in general.

2. viii. 1 to ix. 15. He reminds them of their duty to complete the

collection for the poor saints at Jerusalem.

3. x. 1 to xiii. 10. Polemical justification of his apostolic dignity and efficiency against his disparagers.

CHAPTER V.

APPARATUS CRITICUS.

SECTION I.

1. Manuscripts written in uncial letters.

A. The Codex Alexandrinus, Cent. V. (See Vol. I.)

B. The Codex Vaticanus, Cent. IV. (See Vol. I.) 2

C. The Codex Ephræmi, Cent. V. (See Vol. I.)

D. (Of the Acts.) The CODEX BEZE, Cent. V. or VI. (See Vol. I.) 3

D. (Of St. Paul's Epistles.) The Codex Claromontanus in the Imperial library at Paris, No. 107: a graco-latin MS., of, as Tischendorf believes, the sixth century. It contains all the Epistles of Paul,

² B(Verc) means the octavo edition of the New Testament portion of the MS.; edited

by Vercellone on the basis of Mai's.

¹ The texts, in parallel columns, of the MSS, A, B (also B of the Apocalypse), C, D (codex Bezze), E (codex Landianns), and D (codex Charomontanns), together with a collation of N, have been published by E. H. Hansell, B.D., Reader in Theology at Magdalen College, Oxford.

³ An edition of this codex by Mr. Scrivener was published in 1864, and has been used in preparing the present edition of this volume.

except Rom. i. 1 παυλος to αγαπητοις θεου, ver. 7. Another hand, but an ancient one, has supplied 1 Cor. xiv. 13 διο ο λαλων . . . to σημείον είσιν, ver. 22. Similarly Rom. i. 27-30. Tischendorf remarks: "It is very difficult to distinguish the correctors who have at different times touched this codex. The second corrector (D3, about the eighth century), whom I have oftenest cited, found most of the passages which he touched already corrected: hence D⁸ denotes generally two persons, of whom the former (D3a) seldom differs from the latter (D3b), so that the difference can be noted. D2 touched a few places, and correctors subsequent to D3 about as many. Sometimes when it is hard to say which has corrected, I have marked it Dcorr." This codex was published by Tischendorf in 1852. "It is one of the most valuable MSS, extant: none of the texts published by Tischendorf is so important, with the single exception of the palimpsest Codex Ephræmi."-Tregelles. Horne's Introd. iv. p. 193.

E. (Of the Acts.) The CODEX LAUDIANUS (greeco-latin: the latin being in the left hand column, the greek in the right hand) in the Bodleian library at Oxford. It is written without accents, in rather clumsy uncial letters, by a Greek scholar, but probably among the Latins. Its place of writing has been imagined to have been Sardinia, from the preamble of an edict, which is written at the end: Φλάυιος Παγκράτιος σὺν θεῶ ἀποεπάρχων δοὺξ Σαρδινίας δῆλα ποιω τὰ ὑποτεταγμένα: but this, as Dr. Tregelles remarks, only shews it to have been in that island during the period of the duces. Now the Duces of Sardinia were first constituted by Justinian in 534 (Wetst.): and if, as Michaelis infers from the writing (see also Marsh's note), the MS. is more ancient than this Dux Sardiniæ, its date might be at the earliest the end of the fifth or beginning of the sixth century. But Bp. Marsh (note, as above) has shewn by the writing that it is more recent than the Codex Bezæ: which circumstance, if the date now usually assigned to the Codex Bezze be correct (the middle of the sixth century), would bring it down about a century later. It was brought to England from Sardinia, became, it is supposed by Wetstein, the property of the Venerable Bede, as it, and no other Greek MS., contains the various readings which he has noted in his commentary in the Acts. It was lost sight of for a long time, till Abp. Laud became its possessor, and gave it to the Bodleian library. Michaelis characterizes it as a MS. of the utmost importance, and ascribes to it the merit of having decided him against the notion that the græco-latin MSS. have been corrupted from the latin. See Michaelis, Marsh's ed. vol. ii. pt. i. pp. 269-274; Horne's Introd. vol. iv. pp. 187-189, where there is a facsimile of the 63]

greek and latin of this MS. It was published by Hearne in 1715, but the edn. is very scarce, only 120 copies having been printed. Tischendorf has re-examined the MS. and is going to republish it.

- [E. (Of St. Paul's Epistles.) The CODEX SANGERMANENSIS, now Petropolitanus (having been rescued from the fire of the abbey of St. Germain near Paris and taken to St. Petersburg), appears to be only a copy, and that a faulty one, of D, the Codex Claromontanus, with its oceasional corrections. It abounds with mistakes, and has some monstrous readings made up of the various corrections of D: Tischendorf instances δικαιωσιιην, Rom. iv. 25; μετα ταυειτα τοις δωενδεκα, 1 Cor. xv. 5; νιδιζομενο θεατριζομενοι, Heb. x. 38. "Probably not older than the ninth or tenth century." (Tregelles.) Only quoted in the lacunæ of D.]
- F. The CODEX AUGIENSIS, now in the library of Trinity College, Cambridge. It is a græco-latin MS., which formerly belonged to the Monastery of Augia Major in Switzerland, and was probably written in the latter half of the ninth century (Tregelles thinks, the eighth). Published by Scrivener in 1859.
- G. The Codex Boernerianus, also a græco-latin MS., now in the Royal library at Dresden. This MS., which was also written in the ninth century, has a singular affinity with the Codex Augiensis, without being a copy of it. "It may be deemed certain that the Greek of each of these MSS, was a copy (mediate or immediate) of a more ancient codex; from which the copyist of each of these departed at times by mere error. The general description of the Codex Sangallensis (\Delta of the Gospels) applies equally to this MS., to which it was once joined: and whatever shews the history of the one will apply equally to that of the other. This MS. of course is not a distinct authority from F as to the readings of St. Paul's Epistles: together, however, they are valuable as a united testimony to the readings of the ancient and valuable codex from which they must have alike sprung." (Tregelles.) In this edition we have only quoted this MS. when it differs from F, or when F is defective.
- H. (Of the Acts.) "The Codex Mutinensis 196: of the ninth century. It begins ch. v. 28, και βουλεσθαι: is deficient from aι χηραι, ch. ix. 39, to ιδου, ch. x. 19: from ιδια, xiii. 36, to τερατα, xiv. 3. From κακειθεν, xxvii. 4, to the end, is supplied in uneial letters by some hand of about the eleventh century. The other omissions have been supplied by a more recent hand, in the fifteenth or sixteenth century." It was collated by Scholz, and since then more completely by Tischendorf and by Tregelles.
- II. (Of St. Paul's Epistles.) The CODEX COISLINIANUS No. 202 in 64]

the Royal library at Paris, apparently (Tischdf.) of the sixth century. It once contained 14 leaves, but, as is noted in the codex itself,—"post incendium librorum impressorum et subitaneam translationem manuscriptorum non inventa sunt nisi xii folia." The two missing leaves are in the Imperial library at St. Petersburg. Edited by Montfaucon and accurately transcribed by Tischendorf.

- I. Fragmenta Palimpsesta Tischendorfiana, Cent. V. to VII. (See Vol. I.)
- K. Codex Mosquensis, Library of the Holy Synod No. xeviii. Cent. IX. (Matthæi's g). Formerly belonged to the monastery of St. Dionysius on Mount Athos. Contains the Catholic Epistles with a catena and the Epistles of Paul with scholia by Damascene. It is on parchment and in folio. Each page is divided into two columns; the text being written in large square uncials; the commentary, in round letters joined to one another. Collated by Matthæi, who gives a facsimile of part of the text in the volume of his Gr. Test. which contains the Cath. Epistles, and describes it in that containing the Ep. to Rom. pp. 265-7. Scholz inserted this MS. by mistake in his list of Cursives, as Acts 102, Epp. Paul 117.
- L. Codex Angelicus Romanus, a MS. in the Angelican library of Augustinian monks at Rome, formerly the property of Cardinal Passionei. It contains the Acts, beginning viii. 10, μις του θεου,—the Catholic Epistles, and the Epistles of Paul to Heb. xiii. 10. "It cannot have been written," says Tischendorf, "before the middle of the ninth century." Formerly called G of the Acts—J of St. Paul's Epistles.
- M. The Codex Uffenbachianus, Cent. X. Consists of fragments at Hamburg and in the British Museum. The former contains the beginning and end of the Epistle to the Hebrews. Published by Tischendorf in his "Anecdota Sacra et Profana."
- N The Codex Sinaiticus, Cent. IV. (See Vol. I.)
- Frag. Coisl. In the scholia of a MS. of part of the O. T. in the Benedictine library at St. Germain, Wetstein found Acts ix. 24, 25, written by the transcriber of the MS., i. e. in the beginning of the seventh century. To this discovery Tischendorf has added several more passages; ch. iv. 33, 34: x. 13, 15: xxii. 22, and some from the Gospels. The MS. itself is called the Codex Coislinianus 1, from Coislin Bp. of Metz, its earliest known possessor. See Wetstein, Michaelis, and Tischendorf.

Frag. Tischdf. (See "I." above.)

CH. V.

2. Manuscripts written in cursive letters.

Note.—It is intended to include in this Table mention of those MSS. only which contain, and of those particulars which concern, the portion of the N. T. comprehended in this Volume.

- a Lambeth No. 1182. "Dates from the twelfth century at the earliest d."
- b. Lambeth No. 1183. Written A.D. 1358.
- c. A manuscript once in the possession of Professor Carlyle; returned to the Patriarch of Jerusalem in 1817. It was numbered 1184 in the Lambeth Catalogue. Mr. Scrivener gives its readings from "a scholarlike and seemingly accurate collation of it with the Greek text of Mill, made by the Rev. W. Sanderson of Morpeth, in or about the year 1804." Ascribed to the fifteenth century.
- d. Lambeth No. 1185. "Might almost be considered a series of fragments in several different hands d." Assigned to the fifteenth century or somewhat earlier.
- e. in Acts, Lambeth 1255. Contains Acts and Past Epp.—in Paul, (= a. of the Apocalypse,) Lambeth No. 1186. Contains the Pauline Epistles and the Apocalypse. Eleventh century.
- f. Codex Theodori. Bears date A.D. 1295.
- g. Codex Wordsworthianus. Thirteenth century.
- h. (= b. of the Apocalypse.) Codex Butler 2. British Museum, Additional MS. No. 11837. It bears date A.D. 1157°.
- k. Trin. Coll. Cantab. B. x. 16. Written A.D. 1316.
- (Scholz's Act. 24, Paul. 29.) Chr. Coll. Cantab. F. i. 13. Written about the end of the twelfth century.
- m. (Scholz's Act. 31, Paul. 37.) Codex Leicestrensis. Cited as "69" in the Gospels, and as "f" in the Apocalypse. (See Vol. I.)
- n. (Scholz's Act. 53, Paul 30.) Emm. Coll. Cantab. i. 4. 35. Of about the twelfth century.
- o. (Scholz's Act. 61 and 111, Paul 61 and 221.) University Library, Cambridge, Mm. 6. 9. Of the twelfth or thirteenth century.
- p. (Tischendorf's "loti.") Codex Londinensis Tischendorfianus. British Museum, Additional MS. 20,003. "Unquestionably the most valuable cursive MS. of the Acts yet known." (Scriv.) "Can hardly be estimated too highly." (Treg.) "Haud dubie antiquissimi codicis uncialis, qui ipse periit, exemplum est." (Tischdf.)

^d Scrivener. The readings of mss. "a" to "o" are cited from the Appendix to Mr. Scrivener's edn. of the "Codex Augiensis." It has not been thought worth while to encumber the page with every various reading found in these manuscripts; but whenever any variation of the uncials is mentioned, the testimony of these accurately collated documents is added.

e Formerly Cod. Prædicatorum S. Marci 701.

Acts.	Epp.	Designation,	Cent.	Collator, &c.	Gosp.	Apoc.
						-V
1	1	Reuchlini. Basle K. iii. 3 (late B. vi. 27).	Χ.	Wetstein "bis atque accurate."	1	-
3	3	Basle (late B. ix. ult.) Corsendoncensis. Vienna, Theol.	XV. XII.	Mill (B. 2). Walker and Alter.	3	_
4	4	5. (Kol.) Basle (late B. x. 20).	XV.	Mill (B. 3). Wetstein, throughout Epp.		_
5	5 6	Paris 106 (formerly 2871). Paris 112 (formerly 3425).	XII. XIII.	Stephens (δ') Wetst. Scholz. Steph. (ϵ') Wetst.	5 6	_
	7	Basle (late B. vi. 17).	X ?	Readings given in Wetstein. Text surrounded by various Scholia from Gennad., Œc.,		
	[8]		_	Sevrn., &c. On parchment. Stephens (ζ') Acts 50. Identified		
7	9	Paris 102 (formerly 2870).	х.	by some with 132 (Paul) below. Steph. (i') Wetst.	_	=
[8]	[10] 11	Not identified. Cambridge Univ. Lib. MS. Kk.	XI.	Stephens ($\iota \alpha'$). Steph. ($\iota \gamma'$) Wetst. [Def. Acts	_	_
10	12	6. 4. Paris 237 (formerly 2869).	<u>x</u> .	Steph. (iv) Wetst. [Def. Acts iii. 6—17.] Steph. (ie) Wetst. "de integro."	_	2
11	• •	Paris 103 (formerly 2872).	х.	Wetstein (Acts). Reiche (Paul). [Defective Acts ii. 20—31; 1 Cor. xii. 17—xiii. 2.] Paul 140.		
-	[13]	See Vol. III.		140.	90	
	$\begin{bmatrix} 14 \\ 15 \end{bmatrix}$	See Vol. III. (= Acts 47.) See Vol. III.	XVI.		90	_
12 13	16 17	Paris 219 (formerly 1886). Paris 14 (Colbertinus 2844).	XI. XI.	Wetstein. Tregelles.	33	4
14 15	18	Paris, Coislinianus 199. Paris, Coislinianus 25.	XI. XI.	Wetstein. Wetstein.	35	17
16	19	Paris, Coislinianus 26.	XI	Wetstein.		
17	20 21	Paris, Coisl. 27 (formerly 247). Paris, Coislinianus 205.	X. XI.	Wetstein. [mutilated.] Wetstein. [1 Cor. xvi. 17-2 Cor. i. 7, &c., supplied in a		10
18	22	Paris, Coislinianus 202 A.	XIII.	later hand.] Wetstein.	_	19 18
19	23 24	Paris, Coislinianus 200. Bodleian, Misc. 136. Ebneri-	XIII. XII.	Described by Schænleben, occa-	38	_
20	25	anus. Westmonasteriensis (935). Bri-	XIV.	sionally quoted by Wetstein. Acts 48. Wetstein.	105	-
		tish Museum. King's Library i. B. 1.				
21	26	Cambridge Univ. Lib. MS. Dd. 11. 90.	XIII.	[Def. Acts i.—xii. 1; xiv. 23—xv. 10; Rom. xv. 14—16, 24—26; xvi. 4—20; 1 Cor. i. 15—iii.		
22		British Museum Additional MSS. 5115-7.	1326?	12, &c.] (Epp., Cent. xii., Scrivener) "Obiter inspectus a Wetstenio.	_	_
				Lectiones cap. xx. Act. mecum communicavit Rev. Paulus." (Griesbach.) Paul. 75.	109	_
23	28	Bodleian, Baroccianus 3.	XIII.	Mill (Baroc.). [Def. up to Acts xi. 13.] 1 Cor. xv. collated by Griesb.	_	6
24	29	See above, "1."		CITCOO!		
25	30 31	See above, "n." Brit. Mus. Harleian 5537.	1087	Mill. (Cov. 2.) Acts xiv.—xviii.		7
	67		e 2	Rom. i.—iv. collated by Griesb.		1

Acts.	Epp.	Designation.	Cent.	Collator, &c.	Gosp.	Apoc.
26	32	Brit. Mus. Harl. 5557.	XII.	Mill. (Cov. 3.) Readings of Acts		
				i.—iii. in Griesb. [Def. Acts i. 1—11. 1 Cor. xi. 7—xv.		
27	33	Brit. Mus. Harl. 5620.	XV.	56.] Mill. (Cov. 4.) Perhaps a copy	-	_
				of 29.		a
28 29	34 35	Brit. Mus. Harl. 5778. Geneva 20.	XII.	Mill. (Sin.) [Def. Acts i. 1-20.] Mill. (Genev.)	-	d
30	36	Bodleian, Misc. 74.	XIII.	Mill. (Hunt.1.) Begins Acts xv. 19. "Perlegi Rom. v., viii.; 1 Cor.		
				xv" (Griesbach).	-	9
$\frac{31}{32}$	37 38	See above, "m." Bodleian, Laud. 31.	XIII.	Mill. (Laud. 2.) Rom. iv. re-		
33	39	Lincoln Coll. Oxford, 82.	XI.	examined by Griesb. Mill. (Lin. 2.) Acts collated by	51	_
				Dobbin. [Def. Rom. i. 1—20.]	61	92
$\frac{34}{35}$	40	Trin. Coll. Dublin. Montfortianus. Magdalen Coll. Oxford, 9.	XVI.	Barrett and Dobbin. Mill. (Magd. 1.)	57	-
36	_	New Coll. Oxf., 58.	XIII.	Mill. (N. 1.) Apparently the MS. from which Cramer's		
	F 497	Mandalan Call Outsul Has		Catena is printed.		
_	[42]	Magdalen Coll. Oxford. Has been ascertained to be part of		Mill. (Magd. 2.) Contains only Rom. Corr.		
		the same MS. as Paul 27. See Vol. III.	XI.			
37 38	43 44	New Coll. Oxford, 59.	XIII. XIII.		-	-
[39]	[45]	Leyden 77, Voss. Situation unknown.		Sarrau. Mill's Pet. 2. Be-		
				longed (with Pet. 1 and 3) to Paul Petavius. [Def. Acts i.		
				1—xviii. 22; 1 Cor. iii. 16—x. 13.]	_	11
40 41	46	Vatican Alex. 179. Vatican 2080.	XI. XII.	Zacagni and Birch. Mill's Pet. 3.	-	12
.11				Inspected by Birch and Scholz. Paul 194.	175	20
	47	Bodleian, Roe. 2.	XII.	Mill. Rom. and 1 Cor. xiv., collated by Griesbach.		
42	48	Frankfort on the Oder. Seidelianus.	XI.	Middeldorpf, in Rosenmüller's Comm. Theol. [Def. Acts ii.		
40	40		WITT	3-31.]	-	13
43	49 [50]	Vienna. Theol. 300 (Nessel.). See Vol. III.	XII.	Mill (Vien.) and Alter.	76	_
[44] 45	[51] 52	See Vol. III. Hamburg. Uffenbachianus.	XV.	Wetstein and Bengel.	_	16
(46)		Munich 375 (= Paul 55).	XI.	Bengel (Aug. 6). (Ec.'s comm. Does not contain the Acts.]	_	_
_	53	See above, "M."	NETT			
	54	Munich 412 (formerly Augsburg 5).	XII.	Bengel. [Contains only Rom. vii. 7—xvi. 24.]		
[47] 48		The same MS. as Paul 14 above. The same MS. as Paul 21 above.				
-:	55	The same MS. as Acts 46 above. See Vol. III.				
	57	Vienna. Theol. 23 (Nessel.).	XIII.	Edited by Alter. Acts 65.	218	33
[50] —	58	The same MS. as Paul 8 above. Vatican 165.	XII.	Edited by Zacagni. Called		
_	59	Paris Coisl. 204.	XI.	Cryptoferratensis. Inspected. Cutena.	_	_
_	[60] 61	See Vol. III. See above, "o."		Mill's Hal.		
	68			Main O JIW.		1

Acts.	Epp. Paul.	Designation.	Cent.	Collator, &c.	Gosp.	Apoc.
				V (1) (1) P 1100	<u> </u>	
51 [52]	::	Paris 56. The same MS. as Paul 50 above.	XII.	Inspected by Scholz. Paul 133.	_	52
53 54	• •	See above, "n." Paris, Arsenal 4.	XI.	Inspected by Simon and Scholz.		
	• •			Paul 130.	43	_
56 57		Bodleian, Clark 4. Copenhagen 1.	XII. 1278	Inspected by Scholz. Paul 227. Heusler in Birch. Paul 72.	234	_
58		Bodleian, Clark 9.	XIII.	Inspected by Scholz. Paul 224.	-	_
59	62	Brit. Mus. Harl. 5588.	XIII.	Acts xi. xii. xiii., Rom. and 1 Cor. i.—vii., collated by Griesbach.		
60	63	Brit. Mus. Harl. 5613.	1407	Acts i.—viii., Rom., 1 Cor., 2 Cor. iii.,—collated by Griesbach.	_	е
61	[64]	See above, "o." See above, "M."				
62	65	Paris 60.	XIV.	Inspected by Griesbach and Scholz.		
_	[66] 67	See Vol. III. Vienna. Theol, 302 (Nessel.).	XII.	Alter and Birch. Acts 66.	_	34
63	68	Vienna. Theol. 313 (Nessel.).	XIII.	Alter and Birch.		
64 65	69	Vienna. Theol. 303 (Nessel.). The same MS, as Paul 57 above.	X111.	Alter and Birch.		
66		The same MS. as Paul 67 above.				
67	70 71	Vienna. Theol. 221 (Nessel.). Vienna. Theol. 10 (Kollar).	1331 XII.	Alter and Birch. Alter and Birch. [Def. Rom. i.		
		` '		1—9, &c.]		
68	72 73	The same MS. as Acts 57 above. Upsala, Sparwenfeld 42.	XII.	(2 Cor. XIth cent.) Aurivillius.		
		a parameter a 220		Def. up to Acts viii. 14. 1 Cor.		
69	74	Wolfenbüttel xvi. 7.	XII.	xiii. 6—xv. 38 twice over.] Knittel. in Matthæi.		30
	75	The same MS. as Acts 22				
-	76	above. Leipsic.	XIII.	Matthæi. Contains Rom., 1 Cor. up to v. 3, with Thl's		
70	77	Vatican 360.	XI.	"Rom., 1 Cor. i.—iv. accurate		
10	"	vatican 500.	Λ1.	examinavi ; reliqua cursim modo		-
71	78	Vatican 363.	XI.	perlustravi." Birch. Birch (cursorily inspected).	131 133	66
72	79	Vatican 366.	XIII.	Birch (cursorily inspected).	_	37
73 74	80	Vatican 367. Vatican 760.	XI. XII.	Birch ("Per omnia contuli"). A MS. of the Acts inspected by	_	_
1.2		*		Birch and Scholz. Catena.	-	-
_	81	Vatican 761.	XII.	Inspected by Birch. Œc.'s comm.	_	_
-	82	Vatican 762.	XII.	Inspected by Birch. Contains Rom., Corr., with Catena.	-	_
-	83	Vatican 765.	XI.	Inspected by Birch. Comm. on marg.	_	_
-	84	Vatican 766.	XII.	Iuspected by Birch. Comm. on marg.	-	_
75	85	Vatican 1136.	XIII.	Epp. inspected by Birch.	141	39 40
75 76	86	Vatican 1160. Vatican 1210.	XIII. XI.	Inspected by Birch and Scholz. Birch (Acts, Rom., al., "exacte").	142	-
77	88	Vatican, Palat. 171.	XIV.	Examined in sclect places by	-	9=
78	89	Vatican, Alex. 29.	XII.	Birch. Zacagni. Birch ("Per omnia accurate ex-	149	25
.5	03	randanj med. 20.	2000	aminavi"). [Def. 2 Cor xi. 15 — xii. 1.]		
79	90	Vatican, Urb. 3.	XI.	Inspected by Birch.	1 —	-
	69					

Acts.	Fpp. Paul.	Designation.	Cent.	Collator, &c.	Gosp.	Apoc.
80	91	Vatican, Pio 50.	XII.	Birch ("Per omnia diligenter bis collatus").	_	42
81	-	Barberinus 377.	XI.	Inspected by Birch.	100	-
82	92	Rome, Propaganda 250.	1274 XI.	Zoegu in Birch.	180	44
83 84	93 94	Naples 1. B. 12. Florence, Laur. Lib. iv. 1.	X1.	Inspected by Birch. Inspected by Birch.	_	
85	95	Florence, Laur. Lib. iv. 5.	XIII.		_	_
86	96	Florence, Laur. Lib. iv. 20.	XI.	Inspected by Birch.	-	75
87	97	Florence, Laur. Lib. iv. 29.	X.	Inspected by Birch.	-	-
88	98	Florence, Laur. Lib. iv. 31.	XI. 1093	Inspected by Birch.		45
89	99 100	Florence, Laur. Lib. iv. 32. Florence, Laur. Lib. x. 4.	XII.	Inspected by Birch. Inspected by Birch. Comm.		
_	101	Florence, Laur. Lib. x. 6.	XI.	Inspected by Birch. Comm.	_	_
_	102	Florence, Laur. Lib. x. 7.	XI.	Inspected by Birch. Var. comm.	_	-
	103	Florence, Laur. Lib. x. 19.	XII.	Inspected by Birch. Catena.	-	-
91	101	See above, "h."	TT	Y4 - 1 h (C.11	20.1	
92 93	105 106	Bologna, Can. Reg. 640. Venice 5.	XI. XV.	Inspected by Scholz.	$\frac{204}{205}$	88
94	100	Venice 6.	XV.	Rinek.	206	omd.
95	108	Venice 10.	XV.	Rinck.	209	46
96	109	Venice 11.	XI.	Rinek. [Def. Acts i. 1-12;		
97	-	Wolfenbüttel. Gud. Gr. 104 A.	XII.	xxv. 21—xxvi. 18.] (Scholz?) [Def. Acts xvi. 39— xviii, 18.]		
98	113	(Moscow?) (Cod. Stauronicet.)	XI.	Matthæi (a).		
99	11.4	Moseow 5.	1445	Matthæi (c).		-
100	115	Moscow 334.	XI.	Matthæi (d).		
101 102	116 117	Moscow 333. The MS. ealled "K" above.	XIII.	Matthæi (f).		
103	118	Moseow 193.	XII.	Matthæi (h). Scholia, but Acts i. 1—ix. 12 given continuously.		
_	119	Moscow 292.	XI.	Matthæi (i). Contains I and 2 Cor., with Thl.'s comm.	_	_
104	120	Dresden. (Cod. Matth.)	XI.	Matthæi (k).	241	47
105	121	Moscow 380.	XII.	Matthæi (l).	242	48
106	122 123	Moseow 328, Moseow 99.	XI.	Matthæi (m). Matthæi (n). Scholia.	1_	
	124	Moscow 250.	XIV.	Matthæi (q). Contains Rom. i.—	1	
(108)		Escurial χ . iv. 17.	XI.	xiii. with Thl's comm. Paul 228. Moldenhauer. See		
, ,				Birch, Gospels.	226	-
(109)		Escurial χ . iv. 12.	XIV.	Paul 229. Moldenhauer. See Birch, Gospels.	228	_
[110]		Camb, Univ. Lib. MS. Nn. 5, 27.	-	A folio copy of the Greek Bible printed "Basileæ per Joan.		
				Hervagium 1545." A few notes are written on the mar- gin. Paul 222.	4-11	_
[111]		The same MS. as 61 abore.		8 * "	1	
[112]		The MS. numbered Acts 9 above.				
-	125	Munich 504.	1387	Inspected by Scholz.	-	-
-	126	Munich 455.	XIV.	Inspected by Scholz. Prob. copied from the same MS. as		
				preceding.	-	_
_	[127]	Munich 110.	XVI.	A transcript of Rom. vii. 7—ix.		
				1, as written in MS. Paul 54.	-	
	128	Munich 211.	XI.	Inspected by Scholz. Acts 179. Inspected by Scholz. Thl's	-	82
_	129	Munich 35.	XVI.	Inspected by Scholz. Thl.'s comm. (So Hardt.)	-	
	130	The same MS. as Acts 54 above.		(Co Hillion)		
	70					

Acts.	Epp. Paul.	Designation.	Cent.	Collator, &c.	Gosp.	Apoc.
	131	Paris, Coisl. 196.	XI.	Inspected by Scholz. Acts 132.	330	_
113	132	Paris 47.	1364	Reiche.	18	51
	133	The same MS. as Acts 51 above.				
114	134	Paris 57.	XIII.	Reiche.		
115	135	Paris 58.	XIII.			
116	136	Paris 59.	XVI.	i. 1 -xiv. 27.] Inspected by Scholz.	263	53
117	137	Paris 61.	XIII.	Reiche.		
118	138	Paris 101.	XIII.	Parts collated by Scholz. [Def. Acts xix. 8—xxii. 17.]		55
119	139	Paris 102 A.	X.	Inspected by Scholz. [Def. 2 Cor.	_	56
	1.40	750		i. 8—ii. 4.]		
٠٠.	140	The same MS. as Acts 11 above.				
120	141	Paris 103 A.	XI.	Scholz. [Def. Acts xxviii. 23—		
121	142	Paris 104.	XIII.	Rom. ii. 26.] Inspected by Scholz.	-	_
122	143	Paris 105.	XI.	Scholz. Contains only (in this		
				vol.) Acts xiii. 48—xv. 22; xv.		
				29—xvi. 36; xvii. 4—xviii. 26; xx. 16—xxviii. 17; Rom. i. 1—		
				iv. 16.	_	-
123	144 146	Paris 106 A. Paris 109.	XIV. XVI.	Inspected by Scholz. Contains	-	-
	1.40		27 1 1.	Rom., 1 Cor.	_	_
-	147	Paris 110.	1511	Inspected by Scholz. Contains		
124	149	Paris 124.	XVI.	1 and 2 Cor. Inspected by Scholz.		57
125	150	Paris 125.	XIV.	Inspected by Scholz.	}	•
126	151 153	Paris 126. Paris 216.	XVI. X.	Inspected by Scholz. Inspected by Scholz.	-	_
127	154	Paris 217.	XI.	Inspected by Scholz. Reiche.		
128	200	Paris 218.	XI.	Thdrt.'s comm. on Epp. Paul.		
129	155 156	Paris 220.	XIII.	Inspected by Scholz. Catena. Inspected by Scholz. Comm.,	-	_
				txt often omitted.	_	_
130	-	Paris 221.	XII.	Inspected by Scholz. [Def. Acts xx. 38—xxii. 3.]		
_	157	Paris 222.	XI.	"Coll. magna codicis pars,"		
				Scholz. [Def. Rom. i. 1—11,		
				21—29, iii. 26—iv. 8, ix. 11— 22; 1 Cor. xv. 22—43.7	_	_
131	158	Paris 223.	XII.	Inspected by Scholz. [Epistles		
_	159	Paris 224.	XI.	A.D. 1045.] Inspected by Scholz. Catena.		64
_	160	Paris 225.	XVI.	Inspected by Scholz. Fragments		0.2
	161	Paris 226.	XVI.	with Thl.'s comm. Inspected by Scholz. Contains	-	_
	101			Rom., with comm.	_	_
_	162	Paris 227.	XVI.	Inspected by Scholz, Contains		
_	164	Paris 849.	XVI.	1 Cor. xvi., with Cat. Inspected by Scholz. Thdrt.'s	_	_
100				comm., with text on marg.		
132		The same MS. as Paul 132 above.				
133	166	Turin C. i. 40 (285).	XIII.		_	_
134	167	Turin C. ii. 17 (19).	XI.	Colld. Acts iii.—viii.; Rom. x.,		
				seq., by Scholz. [Def. Acts i., ii.]		
	71			-		

Acts.	Epp. Paul.	Designation.	Cent.	Collator, &c.	Gosp.	Apoc.
_	168	Turin C. ii. 38 (325).	XII.	Inspected by Scholz. Comm. [Def. Rom. i. 1—iii. 19.]		_
135		Turin C. ii. 5 (302).	XIII.	Inspected by Scholz. Paul 170.	339	83
136	169 170	Turin C. ii. 31 (1).	XII.	Inspected by Scholz.	-	_
-	171	The same MS. as Acts 135 above. Ambros. Lib. Milan 6.	XIII.	Inspected by Scholz. Rom., 1 Cor., 2 Cor. i. 1—v. 19, written by a later hand.		_
	172	Milan 15.	XII.	Inspected by Scholz. Comm. after Chr.	_	_
137		Milan 97.	XI.	Inspected by Scholz. Paul 176.		
138 139	173 174	Milan 102.	XIV.	Inspected by Scholz.	_	_
-	175	Milan 104. Milan 125.	1434 XV.	Inspected by Scholz. Continuous comm.		_
140	176	The same MS. as Acts 137 above. Venice 546.	XI.	(Part Cent. xiii.) Inspected by		74
141		Florence, Laur. Lib. vi. 27.	XII.	Scholz. Catena. Paul 215. Inspected by Scholz. Paul 239.	189	-
1.40	177	Modena 14. (MS. II. A. 14.)	XV.	Inspected by Scholz.		
142	178 179	Modena 243. (MS. III. B. 17.) Part (written in cursive letters) of the MS. called "H of the Acts."	XII.	Inspected by Scholz.		
144	180	Florence, Laur. Lib. vi. 13.	XIII.		363	-
$\frac{145}{146}$	181 182	Florence, Laur. Lib. vi. 36. Florence, Laur. Lib. 2708 (?).	XIII. 1332	Inspected by Scholz. Inspected by Scholz.	365 367	_
147	183	Florence, Laur. Lib. iv. 30.	XII.	Inspected by Scholz.	-	76
148	184	Florence, Laur. Lib. 2574 (?).	984	Inspected by Scholz.	-	-
150		Florence, Riccardi Lib. 84.	XV.	Inspected by Scholz. Paul 230 = lect. 37.	368	84
151		Vatican, Ottob. 66.	XV.	Inspected by Scholz. Paul 199.	386	70
[152]		Camb. Univ. Lib. MS. Nn. 3. 20, 21.		A copy of the printed Greek Test. 8vo. London, 1728, interleaved and bound up in two volumes; contains MS. notes by John Taylor. Paul 223.	442	_
153		Brit. Mus. Harl. 5796.	XV.	Inspected by Scholz. Paul 210.	111	_
	185 186	Rome, Vallicella Lib. E. 22. Rome, Vallicella Lib. F. 17.	XVI.	Inspected by Scholz. Acts 167.	393	-
154	187	Vatican 1270.	1330 XV.	Inspected by Scholz. Acts 170. Inspected by Scholz. Comm. contains (of St. Paul) only	394	
155	188	Vatican 1430.	VII	Rom., 1 Cor.	-	-
_	189	Vatican 1649.	XII. XIII.	Inspected by Scholz. Inspected by Scholz. Thdrt's Com.	_	_
156	190	Vatican 1650.	1073	Inspected by Scholz. [Def. Acts i. 1—v. 4. Comm. on Epp.		
157	191	Vatican 1714.	XII.	Paul.] Inspected by Scholz. Contains fragments of Acts, Rom., and I Cor.		
158	192	Vatican 1761.	XI.	Inspected by Scholz.		_
159	_	Vatican 1968.	XI.	"Cursim coll. Cod. integer," Scholz. [Def. Acts i. 1—v. 28,		
160	193	Vatican 2062.	XI.	vi. 14 -vii. 11.] Inspected by Scholz. Scholia. Begins Acts vyviii 19	_	_
	194 72	The same MS. as Acts \$1 above.		Begins Acts xxviii. 19.	[

		T	1	1		1 6
Acts.	Epp	Designation.	Cent.	Collator, &c.	Gosp.	Apoc.
=	195	Vatican, Ottob. 31.	X.	Inspected by Scholz. Comm. [Def. Rom. and greater part of 1 Cor.]	_	_
_	196	Vatican, Ottob. 61.	XV.	Inspected by Scholz.	_	_
	197	Vatican, Ottob. 176.	XV.	Inspected by Scholz.	-	78
161	198	Vatican, Ottob. 258. The same MS. as Acts 151 above.	XIII.	Inspected by Scholz. Latin Version. Begins Acts ii. 27.	-	69
162	200	Vatican, Ottob. 298.	XV.	Inspected by Scholz. Latin Version.	_	_
163	201	Vatican, Ottob. 325.	XIV.	Inspected by Scholz. [Def. Acts iv. 19—v. 1.]		
-	202	Vatican, Ottob. 356.	XV.	Inspected by Scholz. Contains Rom. with Catena.	_	_
164	203	Vatican, Ottob. 381.	1252	Inspected by Scholz.	390	71
166 167	204	Rome, Vallicella Lib. B. 86.	XIII.	Inspected by Scholz.	_	22
168	205	The same MS. as Paul 185 above. Rome, Vallicella Lib. F. 13.	XIV.	Inspected by Scholz.	l	_
169	206	Rome, Ghigi Lib. R. v. 29.	1394	Inspected by Scholz.	_	_
	207	Rome, Ghigi Lib. R. v. 32.	XV.	Inspected by Scholz. Comm.	-	-
-	208	Rome, Ghigi Lib. R. viii. 55.	XI.	Inspected by Scholz. Thdrt's comm.	_	_
170 171	209	The same MS. as Paul 186 above. Two MSS. in the Library of the Collegio Romano.	XVI.	Inspected by Scholz.		
172	210	the Collegio Romano.	XVI.	Inspected by Scholz.	_	
[173]		Naples (no number). Apparently the same MS. as Acts				
	919	83, Paul 93 above.	V.V.	Inspected by Scholz.	-	-
174	212 213	Naples 1, C. 26. Rome, Barberini Lib. 29.	XV. 1338	Inspected by Scholz. Scholia.		
_	214	Vienna 167 (Lambee 46).	XV.	Inspected by Scholz. Contains Rom., 1 Cor., with Com.	_	_
	215	The same MS. as Acts 140 above.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
175	216	Mon. of S. Bas. Messana, 2.	XII.	Inspected by Munter.	_	—
_	217	Palermo.	XII.	Inspected by Scholz. Begins 2		
176	218	Syracuse.	XII.	Cor. v. 1. Inspected by Munter.	421	_
177	219	Leyden. Meermann 116.	XII.	Dermout. Def. Acts i. 1—14,		
				xxi. 14—xxii. 28; Rom. i. 1— vii. 13.]	122	_
178	• •	Middlehill, Worcestershire 1461. See "Apoc. m," Vol. IV.	XI.	(Inspected by Scholz?) Once Meermann 118. Paul 242.	_	87
179	• •	The same MS. as Paul 128 above.	XII.	Readings of Acts and Epp. com-		
180	220	Strasburg. Molsheimensis. Berlin, Diez. 10.	XV.	municated to Scholz. Paul 238. [Def. Acts i. 11—ii. 11; Rom. i.	431	-
				1-27; 1 Cor. xiv. 12-xv. 46; 2 Cor. i. 1-viii. 5.]	400	_
	[221]	The same MS. as 61 above.				
		See Acts [110] above.				
• •	$[223] \\ 224$	See Acts [152] above. The same MS. as Acts 58 above.				
	[225]	The same MS. as Acts 9, Paul				
	907	11, above.				
• •	227 228	The same MS. as Acts 56 above. The same MS. as Acts 108 above.				
• •	228	The same MS. as Acts 103 above. The same MS. as Acts 109 above.		*		
	230	The same MS. as Acts 150 above.				
182		Two MSS. in a Monastery on	XII.	Inspected by Scholz. Paul 243.	_	
182A		the Island of Patmos.	XIII.)		
	73]					

					1	
Acts.	Epp. Paul.	Designation.	Cent.	Collator, &c.		Apoc
						_
183	231	Gr. Mon. Jerusalem 8.	XIV.	Inspected by Scholz.		-
184	232	Gr. Mon. Jerusalem 9.	XIII.	Inspected by Scholz. Comm.	-	85
185	233	Mon. S. Saba, nr. Jerusalem 1.	XI.	Inspected by Scholz.	-	
186	234	Mon. S. Saba, nr. Jerusalem 2.	XIII.	Inspected by Scholz.	457	-
187	235	Mon. S. Saba, nr. Jerusalem 10.	XIII.	Inspected by Scholz.	462	86
188	236	Mon. S. Saba, nr. Jerusalem 15.	XII.	Inspected by Scholz.	100	
189	237	Mon. S. Saba, nr. Jerusalem 20.	XIII.	Inspected by Scholz.	466	89
	238	The same MS. as Acts 180 above.				
	239	The same MS. as Acts 141 above.				
	240	The same MS. as Acts 153 above. The same MS. as Acts 97 above.				
	241 242	The same MS, as Acts 178 above.				
	243	The same MSS, as Acts 178 above.				
	243A	The same ILSS. as Acts 162 above.				
190	244	Christ Church, Oxford, Wake 34.	XI.	Acts xviii xx. collated by		
100	LIT	Children, Catora, Tranc Ca		Scholz.	_	27
191	245	Christ Church, Oxford, Wake 38.		Def. Acts i. 1—11.		
192	246	Christ Church, Oxford, Wake 37.		Def. Acts xii. 4—xxiii. 32.	1	
8-pe	8-pe	St. Petersburg xi. 1. 2. 230.	XII.	Muralt.	8-pe	

The following is a List of Lectionaries.

	Designation.	Date.	Name of Collator and other information.
leet-1	Leyden 243. Scaligeri.	XI.	Wetstein and Dermont. Contains (or this Vol.) Acts i. 15-26; ii. 22-47; iii. 12, 13, 18; iv. 1-21; id. 23-31; x. 31-43; xiii. 31-42; xxviii 11-31; Rom. v. 6-19; I Cor. xi
leet-2	Brit. Mus., Cotton Vesp. B. 18.	XI.	25-32; xv. [= ev-6] "Contains the portions of Acts am Epp. appointed to be read through out the whole year. Casley collatee it in 1735, and Wetstein inserted hi extracts." (Michaelis.) Mutilatee at beg. and end.
leet-3	Bodleian, Baroc. 202?	995	(Quoted by Mill. Heb. x. 22, 23 qu.?)
lect-4	Brit. Mus., Harl. 5731.	XIV.	Griesbach. Contains the following fragments:—Acts vi. 8—vii. 5; vi. 47—60; 1 Cor. i. 18—24; iv. 9—16
			xii. 27 - xiii. 8. $= Gosp. 117$
lect-5	Bodleian, Cromwell. 11. [Olim 296.] A liturgy book, containing 5 thly (pp. 149 – 290), εὐαγ-γελοαποστόλων τῶν μεγάλων ἐωρτών.	1225	Griesbach, who says "Variantes lectiones collegie Rom. vi. 3-11; xii 11-xiv. 4; xiv. 19-23; xvi. 25-27; 1 Cor. i. 18-24; ix. 19-x. 4 xi. 23-32, &c."
lect-6	Göttingen (C. de Missy).	XV.	Matthwi (v). See his appendix t Thess. Contains a large number of the usual lections.
lect-7	Copenhagen 3.	XV.	
leet-9	Paris 32.	XII.	Inspected by Scholz. = ev-81
lect-10	Paris 33.	XII.	Hensler in Birch, Inspected by Scholz, Inspected by Scholz, = ev-81 = ev-82 = ev-85
lect-11	Puris 34.	XII.	Inspected by Scholz.
leet-12	Paris 375.	1022	Scholz. An important MS. [= ev-60
lect-13	Moseow Synod, 4.	Χ.	Matthæi (b).
leet-14	Moscow Synod, 291.	XII.	Matthæi (e).

	Designation.	Date.	Name of Collator and other information.
lect-16	Moscow Synod, 266.	XV.	Matthæi (£). Contains Acts xiii. 25—32; xix. 1-8; Rom. v. 6-9; vi. 18-23; 1 Cor. iv. 9-16; x. 1-4; xii. 27-xiii. 7. [= ev-52] (Contain several lections in Acts, and
lect-17	Moscow Synod, 267.	XV.	Matthæi (x) some in [=ev-53]
lect-18	Moscow Synod, 268.	1470	$ \begin{array}{c c} \text{Matthæi (ψ)} & \text{Rom.;} & 1 \\ \text{Cor.;} & \text{in 2} \\ \text{Cor. only xi.} \\ 21-\text{xii. 9.} \end{array} $
leet-19	Moscow, Typogr. 47.	1602	Matthei (ω). Contains Acts xii. 1—11; xiii.25—32; xxvi. 1—20; Rom. xiii. 11—xiv. 4; xv. 1—7; 1 Cor. i. 18—ii. 1; iv. 9—16; ix. 2—12; x. 1—4; xii. 27—xiii. 7; xv. 1—11; 2 Cor. i. 8—11; xi. 21—xii. 9.
lect-20	Moscow Typogr. 9.	XVI.	Matthæi (16). Contains Acts ii. 1—11.
lect-21	Paris 294.	XI.	Inspected by Scholz. [= ev-83]
lect-22	Paris 304.	XIII.	
lect-23	Paris 306.	XII.	Inspected by Scholz. Mostly O. T. lections; only a few from
lect-24	Paris 308.	XIII.	N. T.
lect-25	Paris 319.	XI.	Inspected by Scholz.
lect-26	Paris 320.	XII.	Inspected by Scholz. Mutilated.
lect-27	Paris 321.	XIII.	Inspected by Scholz. Defective.
lect-28 lect-29	Bodleian, Selden 2. Paris 370.	XV. XII.	Griesbach. [= ev-26] Some lections from Gospp. and Epp. [= ev-94]
lect-30	Paris 373.	XIII.	
lect-31	Paris 276.	XV.	Inspected by Scholz. [= ev-82]
lect-32	Paris 376.	XIII.	Entered in list of MSS, of Gospels as 324.
lect-33	Paris 382.	XIII.	"Cursim coll. magna codicis pars." Scholz.
lect-34	Paris 383.	XV.	Inspected by Scholz.
lect-35	Paris 324.	XIII.	Inspected by Scholz. [= ev-92]
lect-36	Paris 326.	XIV.	Inspected by Scholz. [= ev-93]
lect-37	Riccardi Lib. Florence 84.	XV.	See Acts 150, Paul 230 above.
lect-38	Vatican 1528.	XV.	[= ev-133]
lect-39	Vatican, Ottob. 416.	XIV.	Some parts of Cent. X.
lect-40 lect-41	Barberini Lib. Rome 18. Barberini Lib. Rome (no number).	XI.	The first 114 leaves are lost.
lect-42 lect-43	Vallicella Lib. Rome, C. 46.	XVI.	(Inspected by Scholz?)
lect-44	Glasgow (Missy BB).	?	Manuscript collations by Missy were
lect-45		1199	once in Michaelis' possession.
lect-46	Ambros, Lib. Milan 63.	XIV.	Inspected by Scholz.
lect-47	Ambros. Lib. Milan 72.	XII.	Inspected by Scholz. [= ev-104]
lect-48	Laur. Lib. Florence 2742 (?).	XIII.	
lect-49	Mon. St. Saba, nr. Jerus.	XIV.	(Inspected by Scholz?)
lect-50	St. Saba 18.	XV.	Inspected by Scholz.
lect-51		XIV.	Inspected by Scholz.
lect-52	St. Saba (no number).	1059	Inspected by Scholz. [= ev-160]
	St. Saba (no number).	XIV.	Inspected by Scholz. [= ev-160]
7	75]		

Designation.	Date.	Name of Collator, and other information.
St. Saba (no number). Frankfort on Oder, Seideli.	XIII.	A leaf of a lectionary bound up with ms. Acts 42, Paul 48. Contains 1 Cor. ix. 2-12.
Ch. Ch. Oxf., Wake 1. Ch. Ch. Oxf., Wake 4.	XI. 1712	(26 Apoc.)

SECTION II.

ANCIENT VERSIONS REFERRED TO IN THIS VOLUME. (VSS.)

The LATIN Versions (latt).

vulg. The vulgate, usually quoted from the Clementine edition (vulg-ed.). The Sixtine edition (vulg-sixt.) is occasionally cited when it differs from the others; as also are the following mss.:—

am. amiatinus, written about A.D. 541. Tischendorf has edited it, and considers it the oldest and most valuable extant.

demid. demidovianus. Published by Matthæi. Written in the XIIth century.

fuld, fuldensis. Readings given in Lachmann's N. T. Written in the VIth century.

flor. floriacensis.

harl. harleianus, No. 1772. Collation given by Griesbach Symb. Crit.

lux. luxoviensis. A lectionary cited by Mabillon and Sabatier.

tol. toletanus. A collation was published by Blanchini in his "Vindiciae Can. Script."

F-lat. The Latin column of the Codex Augiensis. Cent. IX.

old-lat. The Old Latin Version in use before Jerome's revision is cited from the following manuscripts:—

D-lat. (Acts.) The Latin of the Codex Bezw. Cent. VI.

D-lat. (Paul.) The Latin of the Codex Claromontanus. Cent. VI.

E-lat. (Acts.) The Latin of the Codex Laudianus. Cent. V1.

G-lat. The Latin written word by word over the corresponding Greek words in the Codex Boernerianus.

fri. Fragments of St. Paul's Epistles in the covers of certain Codices Frisingenses at Munich. Written Cent. V. or VI. Deciphered by Tischendorf.

guelph. Fragmenta guelpherbytana. Fragments of the Ep. 76]

to Rom. in Knittel's Wolfenbüttel Gothic palimpsests. Edited by Tischdf. in his "Anecdota sacra."

spec. Mai's Speculum.

The Syriac Versions (syrr).

Syr. The Peschito. Supposed to have been made as early as the second century.

syr. The later or Philoxenian. Cent. V. Revised by Thomas of Harkel, A.D. 616.

The Egyptian or COPTIC Versions (coptt).

copt. The Coptic or Memphitic.

copt-dz. Codex Diez. Written about the tenth century.

copt-schw. Schwartze's edition.

copt-wilk. Wilkins' edition.

sah. The Thebaic or Sahidic.

sah-ming. Mingarel's edition. sah-mnt. Munter's edition.

sah-woide. Woide's MS. Published in the Appendix to Cod. Alex. basm. The Bashmuric so closely follows sah as to be of no critical

value except where sah is deficient.

The Gothic version (goth): made from the Greek by Uphilas about the middle of the fourth century.

The ÆTHIOPIC version (æth): assigned to the fourth century. æth-rom. The edition given in the Roman polyglott. æth-pl. Pell Platt's edition.

The Armenian version (arm): made in the fifth century. arm-usc. Uscan's edition.

arm-zoh. Zohrab's edition.

SECTION III.

FATHERS AND ANCIENT WRITERS CITED IN THE DIGEST OF THIS VOLUME 1.

(N.B.—The abbreviation is designated by the thick type. In the remainder of the word or sentence Latin writers are described in italics.)

Acacius, Centy. IV. or V. (from | Ambrosiaster, i.e. Hilary the Dea-Catenæ.)

Alcimus Ecdicius Avitus. (See Avit.)

Ambrose, Bp. of Milan, A.D. 374— Andreas of Crete, 635

con, fl. 384

Ammonius of Alexandria, 220

Amphilochius, Bp. of Iconium, 374

Antiochus of Ptolemais, 614

1 Orig-c or Chr-eat means Orig or Chr as given in Cramer's Catena. Orig-schol, scholium ascribed to Origen. Chrh b. Chr hoc loco. Hippolytus is cited sometimes as Hip, sometimes as Hippol; Gregory of Nyssa, as Nys, Nyss, and Nyssen: in all cases the abbreviation marked in the above list is the shortest used in this volume.

Antonius Monachus, b. 251, d. 356 Archelaus of Mesopotamia, 278

Arnobius of Africa, 306

Athanasius, Bp. of Alexandria, 326—373

Athenagoras of Athens, 177 Augustine, Bp. of Hippo, 395—430 Avitus, Bp. of Vienne, 490—523

Barnabas, Centy. I. or II.

Basil, Bp. of Cæsarea, 370—379

Basil of Seleucia, fl. 440
Bede, the Venerable, 731; Bedegr, a Greek MS. cited by Bede, nearly identical with Cod. "E," mentioned in this edn only when

it differs from E. Cæsarius of Constantinople, 368 Cæsarius, Episc. Arelatensis, 502—

Canons Apostolic, Cent^y. III. Cassiodorus, b. 479, d. 575 Chromatius, Bp. of Aquileia, 402 Chronicon Paschale, Cent^y. VII.

Chrysostom, Bp. of Constantinople, 397—407; Chr-mss as cited by Tischdf. from Matthæi; -montf, from Montfaucon; Chr-wlf, Wolfenbüttel ms. of Chr written in Centy. VI.

Clement of Alexandria, fl. 194 Clement, Bp. of Rome, 91—101 Cosmas Indicopleustes, 535 Constitutions, Apostolic, Centy. III. Cyprian, Bp. of Carthage, 248—258 Cyril, Bp. of Alexandria, 412—444

Cyril, Bp. of Jerusalem, 348—386 Damascenus, Johannes, 730

Dialogue against the Marcionites printed amongst the works of

Origen
"Dialogi de Trinitate," variously
ascribed to Ath Thdrt Max
Didymus of Alexandria, 370

Diodorus, Bp. of Tarsus, 378—394

Dionysius, Bp. of Alexandria, 217

—265

Dionysius Areopagita, Cent^y. V. Ephrem Syrus, b. 299, d. 378

Epiphanius, Bp. of Salamis in Cyprus, 368—403

Eucherius, Bp. of Lyons, 434—454 Eulogius, Bp. of Alexandria, 581—608

Eusebius, Bp. of Casarea, 315—320 Eustathius, Bp. of Antioch, 323 Euthalius, Bp. of Sulei, 458

Eutherius, Bp. of Tyana, 431 Euthymius Zigabenus, 1116 Faustinus, 383

Fulgentius, Bp. in Africa, 508—533

Gaudentius, Bp. of Brescia, 387Gennadius, Bp. of Constantinople, 458—471

Gildas, fl. 581

Hesychius of Jerusalem, Cent^y. IV. or VI.

Hilary, Bp. of Poictiers, 354—368
 Hippolytus, disciple of Ireneus, 220
 Homilies ascribed to Clement,
 Centy. III.

Idacius, the name under which Vig.

published his work "de Trinitate"

Ignatius, Bp. of Antioch, d. 107 Irenæus, Bp. of Lyons, 178 Isidore of Pelusium, 412

Jacobus, Bp. of Nisibis, eir. 320—340

Jerome, fl. 378-420

Julian (cited by Aug.), Pelagian Bp. in Italy, 416

Justin Martyr, fl. 140—161 Leo, Bp. of Rome, 440—461

Leontius Scholasticus, 580

Lucifer, Bp. of Cagliari, 351—367

Macarius of Egypt, 301—391

Marcellus, cited by Eus.

Marcion, 130; fragments in Epiph. (Mcion-e) and Tert. (Mcion-t)

Marcosii, cited by Iren.

Marcus Monachus, 390

Martyrium Clementis

Maximus Taurinensis, 430—466

Maximus Confessor, fl. 630—662 Maximin, the Arian, cited by Aug.

Meletius, Bp. of Antioch, 381

Methodius, fl. 290—312Michael Psellus of Constantinople, d. 1078

Nazianzenus, Gregory, fl. 370—389 Nestorius, Bp. of Constantinople, 428—431

Nonnus of Panopolis, Centy. V. Novatian, 251

Nyssenus, Gregory, Bp. 371

Ecumenius of Tricca in Thrace, Centy, XI.?

Origen, b. 185, d. 254

"Questiones et Responsiones ad Orthodoxos" ascribedto Justin M. Orosius, 416

Orsiesius the Egyptian, 345

Pacianus, Bp. of Barcelona, 370

Palladius, Bp. of Hellenopolis, 368
—401

Pamphilus of Palestine, fl. 294

Paulinus, Bp. of Aquileia, 776—804 Pelagii Ep. ad Demetr. 417?

Peter, Bp. of Alexandria, 300—311 Philastrius, Bp. of Brescia, fl. 380

Philo Carpasius, 400

Photius, Bp. of Constantinople, 858-891

Polycarp, Bp. of Smyrna, d. 169 Porphyry, d. 304

"Prædestinatus." A work ascribed to Vincent of Lerins (434)

Primasius, Centy. VI.

Proclus, Bp. of Constantinople, 434 Procopius of Gaza, 520

"De Promissionibus dimid. temp."

"Quæstiones cx vet. et nov. Testt."

Printed among the works of Aug.
"De Rebaptismate." Among Cypr's
works

Rufinus of Aquileia, 397

Salvianus, 440

Sedulius, 430

Seniores, quoted by Iren., Centy. I. or II.

Serapion of Egypt, 345

Severus of Antioch, Centy. VI.

Severianus, Bp. in Syria, 400

"De Singularitate Clericorum."
Among Cypr's works.

Synopsis ascribed to Athanasius.

Tarasius, Bp. of Constantinople, 786 Tatian of Syria, 172

Tertullian, 200

Thaumaturgus, Gregory, Bp. of Neocæsarea, 243

Theodore, Bp. of Mopsuestia, 399
-428

Theodore of the Studium, 795—826

Theodoret, Bp. of Cyrus, 420—458 Theodotus the Gnostic. Extracts made by Clement of Alexandria

Theodotus of Ancyra, 433

Pseudo Theodulus, Centy. XII.

Theophylact, Abp. of Bulgaria, 1071; Thl-sif, as edited by Sifanius; Thl-fin, by Finettius, from a Vatican MS.

Tichonius, 390

Timothy, Bp. of Alexandria, 380 Titus, Bp. of Bostra, cir. 360—377

Victor Vitensis, an African Bp., Cent^y. V.

Victor of Antioch, 401

Victorinus, 380

Victor, Episc. Tununensis, 565

Vigilius of Thapsus, 484

Zeno, Bp. of Verona, 362-380

Zonaras of Constantinople, 1118

To this list may be added the following Abbreviations used in the

aft, after.

al, alii.

appy, apparently.

bef, before.

beg, beginning.

comm, commentary—when appended to the name of a Father, denotes that the reading referred to is found in the body of his commentary, and not in the text (txt) printed at the head of the commentary. This last is often very much tampered with.

corr, corrector. corrd, corrected.

ctra, contra.

def, defective.

ed or edn, edition.

elsw, elsewhere.

elz, elzevir edition of the Greek Test.

e sil, e silentio collatorum.

exc, except.

expr, expressly.

follg or filg, the following words.

gr, Greek. gr-lat-ff, Greek and Latin Fathers.

ins, insert—"ins και AB" means that the MSS. A and B insert

int, interpreter or interpretation—appended to the name of a Father means that the citation is made from a translation, not from the original.

marg, margin.

om, omit—"om και AB" means that the MSS. A and B omit the και given in the text or inserted by other MSS.

Ps, Pseudo—used in citing the spurious works ascribed to Ath. and other Fathers.

pref, prefix.

rec, the textus receptus, or received text of the Greek Testament.

This is used when Steph and elz agree.

rel, reliqui—means that all the other manuscripts named on the margin have the reading to which it is appended.

simly, similarly.

Steph, Stephens' Greek Testament.

transp, transpose.

txt, text—when followed by a list of MSS., versions, &c., means that the reading adopted in this edition is supported by those MSS. versions, &c. (See also under comm above.)

ver, verse.

vv. verses.

The figures 2, 3, &c., inserted above the line to the right hand, imply a second, third, &c., hand in a MS. Thus B¹ means the original scribe of B; C², the first corrector of C; C³, the second; Dr, a recent scribe in D, by whom corrections were made or parts not originally in the MS. supplied.

The same figures below the line, imply recurrence of the reading 2, 3, &c. times in the author mentioned; e.g. Aug₁, Orig₅, Bas₃: similarly are used the words sæpe, aliq, or alic (aliquoties or alicubi), ubique ¹.

Words printed in the digest in the larger type used for the text itself are to be taken as of equal authority with the reading printed in the text: the place in the text where such readings occur being indicated by an asterisk.

Notice referred to on pp. 15, &c.

 $a\pi a\varsigma$ would seem to be the true reading in 56 passages of the N. T., in only 14 however of these is it found without any variation in the uncial mss. In the 42 remaining cases some one or more uncials have substituted $\pi a\varsigma$. On the other hand $\pi a\varsigma$ occurs upwards of 1100 times, and in no more than 4, or at the most 10 cases have uncial mss. put $a\pi a\varsigma$ in its stead—so that the tendency of the transcribers has clearly been to alter $a\pi a\varsigma$ into $\pi a\varsigma$; on examination it also appears that this tendency has been alike yielded to by the scribes of the recent and of the ancient mss. In cases, therefore, where the rarer word is supported by any trustworthy mss., however few in number and however great the array in favour of $\pi a\varsigma$, $a\pi a\varsigma$ has been accepted as the true reading.

SECTION IV.

LIST, AND SPECIFICATION OF EDITIONS OF OTHER BOOKS QUOTED, REFERRED TO, OR MADE USE OF IN THIS VOLUME.

N.B. Works mentioned in the list given in the Prolegg. to Vol. I. are not here again noticed.

BISCOE, History of the Acts of the Holy Apostles confirmed &c., Oxf. 1840.

Bisping, Erklärung des Briefes an die Römer, Münster 1854. Rom. Catholic.

Chr-5-mss3 means that in 5 mss of Chrysostom the reading cited occurs 3 times.

Vol. II.—817

² -2-mss appended to the name of a Father means that the reading cited is contained in two mss. of that Father.

BÖRNEMANN, Acta Apostolorum ad fidem codicis Cantabrigiensis &c., Grossenhain et Lond. 1848.

CATENA in Acta Apostolorum, ed. Cramer, Oxf. 1838.

Chrysostom, Opera, cited by Benedictine pages in Migne, or in loc.

CONYBEARE AND HOWSON, Life and Epistles of St. Paul, with maps, plates, coins, &c., 2 voll. 4to. London 1850-52: 2nd edn., 2 voll Svo., Lond, 1856.

DAVIDSON, DR. S., Introduction to the New Testament, vol. ii., Acts—2 Thess.: Lond. 1849.

DE WETTE, Exegetisches Handbuch u.s.w.—Apostgeschichte, 2nd edn., Leipzig 1841: Römer, 4th edn., Leipzig 1847: Corinther, 2nd edn., Leipzig 1845.

ESTIUS, Comment. in omnes Pauli Epistolas, 2 voll. folio, Douay 1614.

EWBANK, W. W., Commentary on the Ep. to the Romans, Lond. 1850.

FRITZSCHE, Pauli ad Romanos Epistola, 3 voll., Hal. Sax. 1836.

HACKETT, PROF., Commentary on the Acts, Boston, U.S. 1852.

HEMSEN, Der Apostel Paulus u.s.w., Göttingen 1850.

HUMPHRY, W. G., Commentary on the Acts, Lond. 1847.

JOWETT, PROF., The Epistles of St. Paul to the Thessalonians, Galatians, Romans: with critical Notes and Illustrations: Lond. 1856. (See Vol. III. Prolegg. ch. v. § i. par. 1, note.)

LACHMANN AND BUTTMANN, Novum Testamentum græce et latine &c., vol. ii., Berlin 1850.

LEWIN, T., Life and Epistles of St. Paul, 2 vols., London, 1851.

MEYER, H. A. W., Kritisch-exegetische Commentar über das Neue Testament:—Apostg., Göttingen 1835: 1 Corinth., 2nd edn., do. 1849: 2 Cor., 2nd edn., do. 1850.

Neander, Aug., Geschichte der Pflanzung u. Leitung der christlichen Kirche durch die Apostel, 4th edn., Hamburg 1847.

Œcumenius, Commentaria, &c., 2 vols. folio, Paris 1631.

Paley, Horæ Paulinæ: ed. Birks, Lond. 1850.

Peile, Dr., Annotations on the Apostolic Epistles, vol. i. Rom.—Corr. Lond. 1848.

Phillippi, Dr. F. A., Commentar über den Brief Pauli an die Römer, vol. i., Frankf. 1855.

SCHRADER, Der Apostel Paulus, u.s.w., 5 voll. Leipzig 1829-36.

Smith, James, Esq., On the Voyage and Shipwreck of St. Paul, Lond. 1848: 2nd edn., Lond. 1856.

STANLEY, VERY REV. A. P., D.D., now Dean of Westminster, The Epistles of St. Paul to the Corinthians: with Critical Notes and Illustrations ³.

³ The reader will observe that I have worked with Dean Stanley's book in preparing this edition, and have often extracted from, and referred to it. It is a valuable contribution to the literature of these important Epistles: not so much in its scholarship, as \$27 STIER, DR. RUDOLF, Die Reden der Apostel, Leipzig 1829.-Andeutungen für glaübiges Schriftverständniss: zweite Sammlung, Leipzig 1828.

STUART, Moses, Commentary on the Epistle to the Romans, Lond.

1838.

TERTULLIANUS, ed. Migne.

THEODORET, In omnes Pauli Epp. Pars i. Oxf. 1852.

THEOPHYLACT, Comm. in Epp. Pauli, Lond. 1636.

Тноциск, Römerbrief, u.s.w., Halle 1842: 5th edn., 1856.

TREGELLES, Dr., An Account of the printed Text of the Greek New Testament, London 1854.

UMBREIT, DR., Der Brief an die Römer auf dem Grunde des Alten Testamentes ausgelegt, Gotha 1856 4.

WORDSWORTH, VEN. ARCHDEACON, D.D., The Greek Testament, &c. Part ii., Lond. 1857.

Readings of the Codex Vaticanus (B) in the text of this volume, which have been ascertained by the Editor's personal inspection of the MS. at Rome, February, 1861.

Acts i. 11. outos, not outws as Bentley.

- 17. the υπερ in the margin before της διακ. is not from the 2. m., but much later. ii. 7. in $\alpha\pi\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon s$, the first α is written
- over the line by 1. m.
 - 34. o bef kupios is added by 1. m.
- 38. aft αμαρτιών ins υμών, not ημων as Beh.
- iii. 2. the το after εβασταζε is superadded by 1. m.
 - 21. the $\tau\omega\nu$ before $\alpha\pi$ always is written in the margin by 2. m.
- iv. 4. ws, not wser, as in Mai.
 - 6. o apxiepeus is the reading of the codex.
 - 14. $\tau \in \theta \alpha \rho \alpha \pi$. and $\tau \in \theta \in \rho \alpha \pi$. are both from the 1. m.
 - 18. του before ιησου is added by 1. m. and 2. m.
 - 20. ειδαμεν: over the ει is written o by 1. m., over the a is written o by 2. m.
- v. 2. συνιδυιηs, but ε is written over by 1. m. and 2. m.

- 21. The codex has παραγενομενον a prima manu.
- 25. prima manus has εθεσθαι.
- 38. $\tau \alpha$ is added by 1. m. and 2.
- vii. 10. 2. m. has εξελ., not εξιλ. as Bentley.
 - 11. ηυρισκον is in codex.
 - 17. ηγγιζεν, not -ισεν as Birch.
 - 22. λογ. κ. εργ., not εργ. κ. λογ. as Bentley.
 - 39. αλλ', not αλλα as Bentley.
 - 47. оскоб. а prima тапи.
 - 51. καρδιας, not -aν as Bentley.
- viii. 25. ευηγγελιζοντο, not ευεγγελη. as Birch. 28. τον προφ. ησ., not ησ. τον
 - $\pi\rho \circ \phi$. as Birch.
 - 34. τουτο is a prima manu.
 - ix. 6. αλλα.
 - 13. σου is in codex, not omitted, as in Bentley.
 - 25. after καθηκέν, αυτον, not -ου as Bentley.
 - 26. εις ιερουσ., not εν as Birch.

in the power of illustration, and graphic description of usage and circumstance, which pervade the notes. The second edition is referred to in this present volume.

4 A very valuable work, which I only regret that time has not allowed me to consult, in preparing this fifth edition, as much as I wished. The reader will find several references to it in the notes on the earlier part of the Epistle. f 2

- 36. τις ην μαθ., not τις μαθ. as Bentley.
- x. 45. πν. του αγ., not πν. αγ. as Bentley.
- Acts xi. 3. εισηλθεν, not -θες as Bentley.
 - 12. διακρειναντα, not -νοντα as Bentley.
 - 13. απηγγ., not ανηγγ. as Bentley.
 - 18. αρα και, not αρα γε και as Mai.
 - 24. τω κυριω is in margin a 2. m.
 - τίϊι. 1. συμεων, not σιμ. as Bentley.
 11. επεσεν, not επεπεσεν as Mai.
 - επεσεν, not επεπεσεν as Mai.
 ανεχθ. is 1. m., not αναχθ. as
 - Mai. 26. ημιν, as in Mai ed. 1, not υμιν,
 - as in ed. 2. 29. παντα τα γεγρ., not παντα
 - γεγρ. as Bentley. 39. εν νομω, not τω νομω as Birch.
 - xiv. 12. μεν βαρν., not βαρν. as Bentley.
 - xv. 1. περιθμητε is 1. m., but the addition is 1. m. also.
 - xvi. 12. κακειθεν εις, as in Mai ed. 1, not κ. τε εις, as in ed. 2.
 - xvii. 7. λεγοντες ειναι, not ειν. λεγ. as Bentley.
 - 20. $\theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon_i$, not $\theta \epsilon \lambda o_i$ as Mai ed. 1.
 - 34. αρεοπ. is 1. m., -ωπ. is 2. m.
 - xix. 2. ovo, not ovoe as Mai.
 - 13. υμας, not μεν υμας as Bentley.
 - 29. της συγχ., not συγχ. as Bentley.
 - ου ου δυνησ. as Mai ed. 2, not ου δυνησ. as ed. 1.
 - xx. 4. Bepotatos, not poat as Birch.
 - 16. кекрескег 1. m., кекрікег 2. m.
 - λεγον as Mai ed. 1, not -ων, as ed. 2.
 - 26. διοτι as Mai ed. 2, not διο as cd. 1.
 - την κληρονομιαν, not κληρ. as Muralto, and Tischendorfed.7.
 - xxi. 3. αναφαναντές is 2. m.
 - 4. 1. m. repeats ελεγαν after πνευ-
 - (6 ed. Verc.) προσευξ., not ηυξ. as Bentley.
 - id. aλληλους και, not και as Bent-
 - ley.
 13. o before παυλος is added by 1. m.
 - 24. ξυρησονται is 1. m. as Rulotta and Vercellone.

- xxii. 5. 1. m. has πρεσβυτερειον: 2. m., -ριον.
 - 24. ανεταζεσθαι, not -ταξ- as Bentley.
 - 28. 1. m. has πολειτειαν.
- xxiii. 7. λαλησαντος, not -ουντος as Bentley.
 - 18. σοι is written over by 1. m.
- Acts xxiii. 28. κατηγαγον to αυτων is in marg. a 1. m.
 - 35. κελευσας, not κελευσας τε as Bentley.
 - xxv. 25. in αυτου δε του παυλου, παυλου has dots over it a 1. m.
 - xxvii. 14. 1. m. decidedly wrote ευρακυλων: 2. m. placed ν over the a, and λ between the κ and ν, and altered the Λ to Δ, but in so doing, he has left the right foot of the Λ of 1. m. visible beyond the corner of his own Δ.
 - 28. ευρον οργυιας εικοσι, not ευρον εικοσι as Bentley.
- xxviii. 11. αλεξανδρινω has η written over the ι, but not by 1. m. as Rulotta.
 - 16. επετραπη, not -πει as Birch.
- Rom. i. 1. $\chi v i v$, not $i v \chi v$ as Mai.
 - 12. 2. m. has συμπ., not συνπ.
 - v. 1. εχωμεν is 1. m.: εχομεν 2. m. vii. 22. τω νομ., not τι νομ. as misprinted in Mai ed. 2.
 - viii. 2. σε απο, not απο.
 - 5. τα του πν. as Mai ed. 1, not του πν. as ed. 2.
 - 24. τι is added by 1. m.
 - ix. (3. συγγενων is in the original text, there has been no crasure: the words αδελφων μου των are in the margin by the 2nd hand)⁵.
 - 8. τουτεστιν οτι a 1. m.
 - xiii. 2. ανθεστ., not αθεστ. as misprinted in Mai ed. 2.
 - 11. υμας, not ημας as Bentley.
 - xiv. 6. **kai** o $\epsilon \sigma \theta$., not o $\epsilon \sigma \theta$. as Bentley.
 - xv. 26. ποιησασθε 1. and 2. m.: no correction.
 - xvi. 7. γεγοναν, not -ασιν as Mai.

- 1 Cor. i. 2. τη εκκλ., not εκκλ. as Bentley.
- 1 Cor. ii. 13. διδακτοις, not τω.
 - iii. 2. δυνασθε, not εδυν.
 - 9. συνεργοι 1. m.
 - iv. 11. 1. m. γυμνειτ.: 2. m. -νητ.
 - 15. εγεννησα, not -ενη- as Bentley.
 - vii. 5. There is no writing in the margin, as asserted by Woide from Mico.
 - 17. μεμερικέν ο κυριος, not ο θέος. ουτως περιπατειτω και, not omitted, as Bentley.
 - viii. 11. ο αδελφος, not αδελφος as Bentley.
 - x. 9. απωλλ., not απολλ. as Bentley.
 - 20. γεινεσθαι 1. m., not γεν. (2. m.) as Bentley.
 - xii. 24. 71 is not omitted, as in Bentley.
 - xiv. 16. ευλογης εν πνευματι, not ευλογης τω πνευματι as Mai.

- 39. µov is not expunged as Mai, but left faint (as 1. m. wrote it) by 2. m., with a dot over each letter.
- xv. 19. ηλπικοτες εσμεν μονον, not as Bentley.
- 2 Cor. i. 4. τη θλιψει, not θλιψει as Bent
 - iii. 15. αναγεινωσκηται, not -εται, as
 - iv. 6. οτι θεος, not οτι ο θεος as Mai.
 - v. 15. orı eis, not orı ei eis as Mai. vii. 4. εν τη χαρα, not τη χαρα as Mai.
 - ix. 2. περυσι, not περισι as Mai. (2. m. has corrected it to περησι.)
 - x. 12. ενκρειναι and συνκρειναι, without any erasures of the e by 1. m. as stated by Rulotta.
 - xii. 1. δει ου, with no punctuation as in Mai.



THE ACTS OF THE APOSTLES,

THE EPISTLES TO THE ROMANS AND CORINTHIANS.



ΠΡΑΞΕΙΣ ΑΠΟΣΤΟΛΩΝ.

2 Macc. xv. 37. ὁ μὲν πρότ. λόγος ἦν ἡμῖν, ຝ Θεόδοτε, περί κ τ.λ. Philo Q. om. prob. hier, § 1. vol. ii. p. 444. See I Chron. xxix. 29. d = here only. Xen. Cyr. i. 6. 13. ἐποίπσε vol. ii. p. 443. See 1 Chron. xxix. 29. (14.6) a depertury. Xen. Cyr. 1.6, 13. evolute daption yointury a Herodian vil. 6, 6. (14.6) a here only. Xen. Cyr. 1.6, 13. evolute daption of the first of the propertury of the first of the first

TITLE: rec ins των αγιων bef αποστ., with a b d g h k 13 and the subscriptions of A2EGH: των B(Btly Rl) m p Orig Chr Synop: om B(Bch Mai) D(-ξιs): om αποστολων also K.—pref λουκα ο, λουκα ευαγγελιστου b 13. 40, πραξαποστολος συν θώ των αγιων αποστολων λουκα του ευαγγελιστου d, aι g h. - αρχη συν θεω πραξαποστολος f.

CHAP. I. 1. rec ins o bef ino. (the o of ηρξατο was probably mistaken for the article), with AEN p 13. 36 rel Constt: om BD.

2. ανελημφθη bef εντειλαμενος . . . εξελεξατο D. σειν το ευαγγελιον D syr-marg Aug, simly sah.

at end add και εκελευσε κηρυσ-

On the title, see Prolegomena. 1-3. 1. τον μέν πρ. λ.] INTRODUCTION. The latter member of this sentence, τανῦν δέ, ... is wanting (see Winer, § 63, II. 2, e), and the Author proceeds at once to his narration, binding this second history to the first by recapitulating and enlarging the account given in the concluπάντων Whatsion of the Gospel. ever latitude may be given to this word, it must at all events exclude the notion that Luke had at this time seen the Gospels of Matt. or Mark, in which many things which Jesus did and taught are contained, which he had not related in his πρώτος λόγος. On Theophilus, see notes, Luke i. 3.

ων ήρξατο 'Ιησ.] I cannot think ήρξατο here to be merely pleonastic. Its position here shews that it is emphatic, and the parallel cases (see reff.) all point to a distinct and appropriate meaning for the word. That meaning here seems to be, that the Gospel contained the apxas, the outset, of all the doings and teachings of our

VOL. II.

Lord, as distinguished from this second treatise, which was to relate their sequel and results. Meyer understands it-which Jesus first of all men did, &c. But this introduces a meaning irrelevant to the context, besides not giving the emphasis to ήρξατο, but to Ἰησοῦς. The position of emphasis given to the verb shews, that the beginning of the doing and teaching of Jesus must be contrasted with the continuance of the same, now about to be . 2. ἐντειλ. τ. ἀπ.] See Luke related. xxiv. 48 ff., and ver. 4 below. άγ. may be joined either with ἐντειλάμενος (as in vulg copt Chr Thl); or with έξελέξατο (as in syrr æth Cyr Aug Vig). In the former case, our Lord is said to have given His commands to the Apostles through, or in the power of, the Holy Ghost. Similarly He is said, Heb. ix. 14, διά πνεύματος αίωνίου έαυτον προςενέγκαι ἄμωμον τ $\hat{\varphi}$ θε $\hat{\varphi}$. In the latter, He is said to have chosen the Apostles by the power of the Holy Ghost. Similarly, in ch.

C TVEUдатос. ABCD ENabc dfghk m o p 13

 $^{1-{
m ch. ix. 41.}}_{
m Rom. vi. 13,}$ 1 παρέστησεν έαυτὸν ζώντα μετὰ τὸ $^{
m m}$ παθεῖν αὐτὸν $^{
m n}$ έν $^{
m ABCD}_{
m EN abc}$ | 1 - ch. ix. 41. | παρέστησεν εαυτὸν ζώντα μετὰ τὸ m παθείν αὐτὸν n εν εκωπρίοις p δι ἡμερών τεσσεράκοντα q όπτα-Gen. xivi. 2 | νόμενος αὐτοῖς καὶ λέγων τὰ περὶ τῆς r βασιλείας τοῦ (xxiv. 4δ.) r θεοῦ. 4 καὶ s συναλιζόμενος αὐτοῖς t παρήγγειλεν a πὸ τheb. ix. 2δ. 1. | 1 - ch. xiv. 1. | 2 - ch. xiv. 1. | πολλοίς ° τεκμηρίοις ^Pδι' ήμερων τεσσεράκοντα ^q όπτα- dfg h k

3. $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma$. bef $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho$., omg $\delta \iota a$, D: $\delta \iota$ is written over the line by D-corr¹. οπτανοτας D1.

μενοις D1. 4. $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \sigma \kappa_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D1: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \sigma \gamma_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D3: $\sigma u \nu \alpha u \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D2: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D3: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D4: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D5: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D6: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D6: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D6: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D6: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D6: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D7: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D7: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D7: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D7: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D7: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D7: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D7: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D7: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D7: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D7: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D7: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ D7: $\sigma u \nu \alpha \lambda_1 \zeta_0 \mu e$ Greek fathers are confused between this reading and txt (see Tischdf): convescens vulg aft συναλ. ins μετ αυτων D. rec παρηγγ. bef E-lat² Jer Bede: convivens D-lat. autois, with B D(see above) & rel 36 vulg copt Phot Ec Thl Hil Aug: txt ACE Chr. ην ηκουσα (-σατε D3) φησιν δια του στοματος μου D -παρηγγελλεν E-gr b d. vulg ath Hil Aug Jer; am D-lat om φησιν; and in D-gr φησιν δια του στοματος are marked for erasure by a later hand.

xx. 28, Paul tells the Ephesian elders, that the Holy Ghost had made them overseers in the Church of God. The former construction however appears much the best, as expressing not, as might at first seem, a mere common-place, but the propriety of the fact,-that His last commands were given in the power of (see John xx. 22) the Holy Ghost. To take διὰ πν. άγ. with ανελήμφθη (see Olsh. i. 629) seems to me inadmissible; as also is Dr. Burton's rendering, "having told His Apostles that His commands would be more fully made known to them by the Holy Ghost."

in this abbreviated form, without the eis 7. ουρ., testifies to the familiarity of the apostolic church with the Ascension as a formal and recognized event in our Lord's course. 3. $\ell\nu$ π . $\tau\epsilon\kappa\mu$.] See Luke xxiv. 31, 39, 43. The $\ell\nu$ is in its signification of investiture, in which it introduces the element or condition in which, and thus the means by which, an agent operates.

δπτανόμενος] οὐ γὰρ ὥςπερ πρὸ της αναστάσεως ώς αεί μετ' αὐτῶν ην, ούτω και τότε οὐ γὰρ είπε τεσσεράκοντα ήμέρας, ἀλλὰ δι' ήμερων τεσσεράκοντα: έφίστατο γάρ και άφίστατο πάλιν, Chry-This is the only place where the interval between the Resurrection and the Ascension is specified. τὰ περ. τ. β. τ. θ.] τά, in the widest sense; not δήματα merely :- the matters. The article has been taken to imply (and so in my earlier editions), that during this period they received from our Lord the whole substance of the doctrine of 'the Kingdom of God.'

But this remark seems to lose its propriety owing to the present participle λέγων. Both the participles, δπτανόμενος and λέγων, carry with them a ratiocinative force, in dependence on τεκμηρίοις: "proofs, consisting in this, that He" &c. And thus the art. Tá gives the sentence the meaning, "and inasmuch as the things which he said were those pertaining to the Kingdom of God;" thus serving only to define λεγόμενα.

4-14. THE LAST DISCOURSES AND ASCENSION OF THE LORD. RETURN OF THE APOSTLES TO JERUSALEM; RECA-PITULATION OF THEIR NAMES. συναλιζ.] not middle, 'assembling them,' as Calv. (congregans eos), Grot., Olsh., and others, which is without example; but passive, = συναλισθείς, Hesyeh., as E. V. Chrys., the Vulg., &e., interpret it 'eating and drinking; so E. V. marg., Thl., (Εc., &c., κοινωνῶν άλῶν, mistaking the etymology. The conjecture of Hemsterhuis, συναλιζομένοις (which however is found in Didymus), is quite unnecessary.

άπὸ [ερ. μη χωρ.] See Luke xxiv. 49. 'Simul manere jussi sunt, quoniam uno omnes Spiritu donandi erant. Si fuissent dispersi, unitas minus eognita fuisset.' περιμ.] to await, i. e. wait till the completion of: the περι implies this. The ancient idea mentioned by Wordsw, that our Lord commanded the Apostles to remain at Jerusalem for twelve years after the Ascension, is sufficiently refuted by His own words here, and by the subsequent history: cf. ch. viii. &c. That, in the main, they confined themselves to circuits in Palestine for some νης μὲν ἑβάπτισεν ὕδατι, ὑμεῖς δὲ ϶' ἐν πνεύματι y βαπ- r ΜαΙι ΙΙΙ. Τισθήσεσθε ἀγίω οὐ μετα πολλὰς z ταύτας ἡμέρας. 6 οἱ τοπότη here αιίν, ενέν οὖν a συνελθόντες b ἡρώτων αὐτὸν λέγοντες Κύριε, e Εχοί ιί. 23. c εἰ ἐν τῷ d χρόνω τοὑτῳ e ἀποκαθιστάνεις τὴν βασιλείαν a τοἱι δὶ, τῷ c Τοραήλ ; 7 εἶπεν δὲ πρὸς αὐτοὺς Οὐχ f ὑμῶν ἐστιν 32 ι Ισικιί 30. 32 γνῶναι g χρόνους $\mathring{\eta}$ gh καιροὺς οῦς ὁ πατὴρ i ἔθετο i ἐν τῷ gh Μασι χν. 23 gh Μασι χν. 23

Matt. ali. 10. ch. vii. 1, xiz. 2, xxi. 37 al. 3 Kingsi. 27. d = Matt. ii. 7 al. c. Matk. iii. 5 l. vii. 95, ix. 12 M. Heb. xiii. 10 obyt. Let x. xiii. 16, pres., Matt. xi. 3. fep. 2. Thess. iii. 2, see Matt. xxi. 23. f. pres., Matt. xxi. 3. f. m. xxi. 14, pres., Matt. xxi. 3. 2 Tim. iii. 1, see ch. xxi. 12. f. xxi.

5. rec $\beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \theta$. bef $\epsilon \nu$ $\pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu_{\nu}$, with ACEN³ 13. 36 rel Orig Œe Thl Ambr Rebapt Gaud: $\pi \nu_{\nu}$ $\alpha \gamma_{\nu}$, $\beta \alpha \pi \tau$. D Did Hil Victorin Aug: txt BN¹ p.—add $\kappa \alpha \iota$ ο $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ λαμβανεν D¹(and lat) tol Hil Aug. aft $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho \alpha s$ add $\epsilon \omega s$ $\tau \eta s$ $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \tau \eta \kappa \sigma \sigma \tau \eta s$ D¹(and lat) sah Aug.

for συνελθ., ελθοντες Ν¹.
 rec επηρωτων, with C³DE rel 36 Œc, -τουν C, -του d lat); for του, τω D³(appy): Aug has sometimes representateris et quando regnum

Israel? sometimes præsentabis regnum Israel.

7. $\epsilon_{i\pi}\epsilon_{\nu}$, omg $\delta\epsilon_{i}$ B¹ Syr sah: $\epsilon_{i\pi}\epsilon_{\nu}$ oup B-corr: o $\delta\epsilon_{i\pi}\epsilon_{\nu}$ C Aug: κ_{ai} $\epsilon_{i\pi}\epsilon_{\nu}$ D, o $\delta\epsilon_{i\pi}\epsilon_{\nu}$ C Aug: κ_{ai} $\epsilon_{i\pi}\epsilon_{\nu}$ D, o $\delta\epsilon_{i\pi}\epsilon_{\nu}$ Aug: $\epsilon_{i\pi}\epsilon_{\nu}$ C Aug: $\epsilon_{i\pi}\epsilon_{\nu}$ Aug: $\epsilon_$

years, appears to be true; but surely would

not be in compliance with such a command. τ. ἐπαγγ. τ. πατρός] See note on Luke xxiv. 49. 5.] The Lord cites these words from the mouth of John himself, reff. Matt. ;-and thus announces to them that, as John's mission was accomplished in baptizing with water, so now the great end of His own mission, the Baptism with the Holy Ghost, was on the point of being accomplished. Calvin remarks, that He speaks of the Pentecostal effusion as being the Baptism with the Holy Ghost, because it was a great representation on the whole Church of the subsequent continued work of regeneration on individuals: 'Quasi totius Ecclesiæ communis baptismus.' I may add, also because it was the beginning of a new period of spiritual influence, totally unlike any which had preceded. See ch. ii. 17. ύδατι and έν πν. άγ. are slightly distin-

 $\delta\delta a n$ and $\delta \nu n \nu$. $\delta \gamma$. are slightly distinguished. The insertion of the preposition bef. $n \nu$. $\delta \gamma$, seems to give a dignity which the mere instrumental dative, $\delta \delta a n$, wants.

ταύτας] serves to bind on the οὐ πολλ. ἡμ. to the day then current; as we say, 'one of these days.' See Winer, § 23. 5, who instances 'ante λος quinque dies' in Lat., and quotes πρὸ πολλῶν τῶνδὲ ἡμερῶν, from Heliod. ii. 22. 97.

'Numerus dierum non definitus exercebat fidem discipulorum,' Bengel.
6.] This συνελθύντες does not belong to another assembling, different from the former; but takes up again the συνελιζόμενος of the μέν οῦν, which refers, not to another

incident, but to other actors; they, as distinguished from Him who had been speak-Κύριε, εί . . .] The stress of this question is in the words, prefixed for emphasis, ἐν τῷ χρόνῳ τούτῳ. That the Kingdom was, in some sense, and at some time, to be restored to Israel, was plain; nor does the Lord deny this implication (see on ver. 8). Their fault was, a too curious enquiry on a point reserved among the areana of God. Lightfoot's idea, that the disciples wondered at the Kingdom being about to be restored to the ungrateful Jews, at this time, now that they had crucified Him, &c., would make our Lord's answer irrelevant. See Micah iv. 8, Meyer would refer ἐν τῷ χρ. LXX. τού. to the interval designated by οὐ μετὰ πολλ. ταύ. ἡμ., 'during this time.' But this does not seem natural: I should rather understand it, at this present period,now. The pres. ἀποκαθιστάνεις, is that so often used in speaking with reference to matters of prophecy, importing fixed determination: as in δ $\epsilon \rho \chi \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$ (ref. Mt.) and the like. So that we must not render, "Art thou restoring?" but "wilt," or "dost thou restore?" As to the word itself, καθιστάνω (= στημι) is to establish or set up, and ἀπό gives the sense of completeness, or the cognate one of entire restitution. See Wordsw.'s note. 7. This is a general reproof and asser-

7.] This is a general reproof and assertion, spoken with reference to men, as forbidden to search curiously into a point which Omniscionee has reserved—the times and seasons of the future divine dealings. But it is remarkable that not

κ - Luke iv. $i \delta i \alpha$ έξουσία 8 άλλὰ λήμψεσθε k δύναμιν 1 έπελθύντος τοῦ 6 Enabe is Luke i.35. 5 τον πνεύματος έφ ὑμᾶς, καὶ έσεσθέ μου m μάρτυρες έν 6 της 6 Ενακταίτες 6 Ενακταίτες

n cn. xii. 4 o constr., see Heb. i. 2. 1 Pet. i. 20. Jude 18. p Luke xxiv. 50. John xii. 18 al. met., 2 Cor. x. 5. Prov. iii. 5. q = here (ch. ii. 15 refl) only. Ps. xxix. 1. r = Luke xxiv. 31.

r - Luke xxiv. 31.

8. rec μot (corr to the common constr εσεσθε μot), with E rel 36 Orig₁ Epiph Chr
Thl: txt ABCDN Orig₁, om εν AC'D a h p 40 coptt Orig₁ Hil: ins BC'EN rel
36 vnlg syrr Orig₁ Sevrn-c Chr Did-int Thl.

9. είποντων \aleph^1 m. καυτα είποντος αυτου νεφελη υπεβαλεν αυτον και απηρθη απο οφθ. αυτ. D, simly sah Aug_{sliq}; et hæc D-lat: ins των bef οφθ. D^2 . αυτων bef

βλεποντων Β.

θεόs, but ὁ πατήρ, is here used; and this eannot fail to remind us of that saying (Mark xiii. 32), περί δὲ τῆς ἡμέρας ἐκείνης η της ώρας οὐδείς οίδεν, οὐδε άγγελος έν οὐρανώ, οὐδὲ ὁ υίός, εἰ μὴ ὁ πατήρ. It may be observed however, that the same assertion is not made here: only the times and seasons said to be in the power of the Almighty Father, Who ordereth all things κατὰ τὴν βουλὴν τοῦ θελήματος αὐτοῦ. The Knowledge of the Son is not here in question, only that of the disciples. It is an enquiry intimately connected with the interpretation of the two passages, but one beyond our power to resolve, how far, among the things not yet put under His feet, may be this very thing, the knowledge of that day and hour. Bengel attempts to evade the generality of the σὐχ ὑμῶν ἐστιν:—'quæ apostolorum nondum erat nosse, per Apocalypsin postea sunt significata.' But signified to whom? What individual, or portion of the Church, has ever read plainly these χρόνους ή καιρούς in that mysterious book? There is truth in Olsh.'s remark, that the Apostles were to be less prophets of the future, than witnesses of the past; but we must not so limit the ύμῶν, nor forget that the γνῶναι χρόνους ħ καιρ. has very seldom been imparted by prophecy, which generally has formed a testimony to this very fact, that God has them in His foreknowledge, and, while He announces the events, conceals for the most part in obscurity the times. кагр.] not synonymous; as Meyer observes, καιρός is always a definite limited space of time, and involves the idea of transitoriness. See also Tittmann, N. T. Synonymes, pp. 39-45. έθ. ἐν τῆ ίδ. 18.] Some (De Wette, al.) render 'hath appointed by His own power;' I should rather take &v & as in ch. v. 4, in His own power, and understand by έθετο kept, ' (hath) placed,' as E. V. But the nor. sense should be preserved: the period referred to being that of the arrangement of the divine counsels of Redemption. 8.] ' Quod optimum frænandæ euriositati remedium erat, Christus eos revocat tam ad Dei promissionem, quam ad mandatum.' Calvin. antitheton inter id quod discipulorum erat, vel non erat; tum inter id quod illo tempore futurum erat, et inter id quod in ulteriora reservatum erat.' Bengel. δύναμιν, that power, especially, spoken of ch. iv. 33, connected with their office of witnessing to the resurrection; but also all other spiritual power. See Luke xxiv. 49. µov, not emphatic, as Wordsw. here and often elsewhere: see note on Matt. xvi. 18. The emphasis would be extremely out of place here: it was not their subordination to Him, but their office as witnesses, which was the contrast to their ambitious aspirings. μάρτυρες This was the pe-culiar work of the Apostles. See on vv. 21, 22, and Prolegg. Vol. I. ch. i. § 3.5. ἔν τε [1ερ. . . .] By the exten-sion of their testimony, from Jerusalem to Sampria and the vice.

iv τε [ερ.] By the extension of their testimony, from Jerusalem to Samaria, and then indefinitely over the world. He reproves, by implication, their carnal anticipation of the restoration of the Kingdom was to be one founded on μαρ-τυρία, and therefore reigning in the convictions of men's hearts; and not confined to Judea, but coextensive with the world.

They understood this command only of Jewes scattered through the world, see ch. xi. 19. De Wette observes, that these words contain the whole plan of the Acts: \(\lambda_k \) \(\lambda_k \

1 m o p

οφθαλμών αὐτών. 10 καὶ ὡς εἀτενίζοντες ήσαν εἰς τὸν «weighted οὐρανὸν πορευομένου αὐτοῦ, "καὶ ἰδοὺ ἄνδρες δύο παρ εἰπαν εἰστήκεισαν αὐτοῖς ἐν "έσθήσεσιν " λευκαίς, 11 οἱ καὶ εἶπαν «delighted of the state of the sta 1 εμβλε-"Ανδρες Γαλιλαίοι, τί εστήκατε εμβλέποντες είς τον tabs, Matt. ii. gal. fr. see ΑΒΕΝ ουρανόν; ούτος ο Ίησους ο ἀναλημφθείς ἀφ΄ υμών είς επ. John afer k. κ. α α red., Luke ii. τὸν οὐρανὸν οὕτως ἐλεύσεται εον τρόπον ἐθεάσασθε

xiv, 4 rec.) only. 2 Macc. iii. 83 only. x = Matt. xvii. 2 | John xx. 12. Eccl ix. 8. 2 Macc. xi. 8. yw. cic. Matt. vi 20. 1s. a, li. 1, 2. z = ver. 2 reft. a Matt. xxiii. 37 | ch. vii. 28. 2 Tim. iii. 8 only. Gen. xxvi. 29. sec ch. xv. 11. b 1 Pct. iii. 22. ch. viii. 25 reft.

10. rec εσθητι λευκη, with C3DE rel 36 syr Orig-int Chr Cosm Thl Aug: txt ABCN1 p vulg Syr coptt arm (Eus).

11. [ειπαν, so ABC¹DN p.] βλεποντες BEX1 d g k o p 13 Eus Thdrt, Thl-sif: Chr-mss vary: txt ACDN³ rel 36(sic) Thdrt, Thl-fin, aspicientes vulg E-lat Augaliq. om 2nd εις τον ουρ. D 33¹. 34. 105 tol Aug₁ Vig Avit.

pears (see Prolegg. Vol. I. ch. iv. § 4. 2) to be an account of the Ascension given to Luke subsequently to the publication of his Gospel, more particular in detail than that found in it. He has not repeated here details found there; see Luke xxiv. 50-52. On the Ascension in general, see note on Luke, l. c. ἐπήρθη] "was taken up,—we may understand of the commencing ascent ὑπέλαβεν by a pregn. constr. involves the idea of away as well as up, and hence takes after it ἀπό. This verb describes the close of the scene, as far as it was visible to the spectators." νεφέλη] There was a Hackett. manifest propriety in the last withdrawal of the Lord, while ascending, not consisting in a disappearance of His Body, as on former occasions since the Resurrection; for thus might His abiding Humanity have been called in question. As it was, He went up, past the visible boundary of Heaven, the cloud,-in human form, and so we think of and pray to Him. 10. ἀτενίζ. ἡσαν] they were gazing, stood gazing. τ. ουρ. belongs to ἀτενίζ., not to πορευομ., πορευομένου, not πορευθέντος: implying that the cloud remained visible for some time, probably ascending with Him. παρειστήκεισαν, imperf. in sense, as the perf. is present: were ἄνδρες] evistanding by them. dently angels. See Luke xxiv. 4; John xx. 12. 11. οι και είπαν] who (not only appeared but) also said. There is a propriety in the address, ἄνδρ. Γαλιλαΐοι. It served to remind them of their origin, their call to be His disciples, and the duty of obedience to Him resting on them in consequence. δν τρόπον] in the same manner as;—to be taken in all cases literally, not as implying mere certainty:

see reff. ούτως, i. e. έν νεφέλη, Luke xxi. 27. His corporeal identity is implied in οὖτος δ Ἰησοῦς. ἐλεύocrat] 'Non ii, qui ascendentem viderunt, dicuntur venturum visuri. Inter ascensionem et inter adventum gloriosum nullus interponitur eventus eorum utrique par: ideo hi duo conjunguntur. Merito igitur Apostoli ante datam Apocalypsin diem Christi ut valde propinguum proposuerunt. Et congruit majestati Christi, ut toto inter ascensionem et inter adventum tempore sine intermissione expectetur.' Bengel.

12.] In so careful a writer (see Luke i. 3) there must be some reason why this minute specification of distance should be here inserted, when no such appears in the Gospel. And I believe this will be found, by combining the hint dropped by Chrysostom,δοκεῖ δέ μοι καὶ σαββάτφ γεγονέναι ταῦτα οὐ γὰρ ἃν οὕτω τὸ διάστημα έδήλωσεν εἰ μὴ ὡρισμένον τι μῆκος έβάδιζον έν τῆ ἡμέρα τοῦ σαββάτου,with the declaration in the Gospel (xxiv. 50) that he led them out as far as to Bethany. This latter was (John xi. 18) fifteen stadia from Jerusalem, which is more than twice the Sabbath-day's journey (2000 cubits = about six furlongs). Now if the Ascension happened on the Sabbath, it is very possible that offence may have arisen at the statement in the Gospel: and that therefore the Evangelist gives here the more exact notice, that the spot, although forming part of the district of Bethany, was yet on that part of the Mount of Olives which fell within the limits of the Sabbath-day's journey. This of course must be a mere conjecture; but it will not be impugned by the fact of the Ascension being kept by the Church in after ages on a Thursday. This formed no hindrance to

α Ιπλεκίκ. 20. εἰς 'Ιερουσαλημ ἀπὸ ὄρους τοῦ καλουμένου α ἐλαιῶνος, ὅ ABCD καὶ, 37 κπὶς ἐτιν ἐγγὺς Ιερουσαλημ, σαββάτου ε ἔχον ' ὁδον. 13 καὶ α ἀτρὶ καὶ τος καὶ τος καὶ τος καὶ 'Ιωάννης καὶ 'Ιάκωβος καὶ 'Ιωάννης καὶ 'Ιάκωβος καὶ 'Ιακωβος καὶ κατα
Τα Ιπλικίκ. 37 μενοντες, ὅ τε Πέτρος καὶ 'Ιωάννης καὶ 'Ιάκωβος καὶ α εκτικίκ. 31 κας καὶ Ανδοέας, Φίλιππος καὶ Θωμᾶς, Βαρθολομαῖος καὶ Μαθ
Το Ιπλικίκ. 42 'Ανδρέας, Φίλιππος καὶ Θωμᾶς, Βαρθολομαῖος καὶ Μαθ
Το Ιπλικίκ. 43 Κας καὶ 'Ιάκωβος 'Αλφαίου καὶ Σίμων ὁ ζηλωτής, καὶ
καὶ καὶ καὶ καὶ τος 'Ιάκωβος 'Ιακώβου. 14 οὐτοι πάντες ἱ ῆσαν ἱ προςκαρτε
Τα Επικίκ. 33. ροῦντες πόμοθυμαδὸν τῆ προςκυχῆ σὺν γυναιξίν καὶ
καὶ καὶ καὶ Μαρία τῆ μητρὶ τοῦ 'Ιησοῦ καὶ τοῖς ἀδελφοῖς αὐτοῦ.

13. $\epsilon i \operatorname{sgn} h \operatorname{dev} D$ -gr. rec $\operatorname{aveB} n \operatorname{fav} \operatorname{bef} \epsilon i \operatorname{st} \tau$. vin . (corrn to avoid the ambiguity of $\epsilon \operatorname{sign} h$), $\operatorname{sign} h$ est $\operatorname{st} \tau o \operatorname{vin}$.), with DEN3 rel 36 tol syrr coptt: om aveB . N^1 : $\operatorname{tx} \operatorname{tx} \operatorname{BCD}^{1}$ p vulg Orig Chr Thl-fin-comm Bede-gr. rec transp iax , and $\operatorname{to} \operatorname{av}$ int (E) rel 36: $\operatorname{tx} \operatorname{tx} \operatorname{BEDN} \operatorname{p}$ vulg Aug.— κ . $\operatorname{av} \delta_{\rho}$. bef κ . ioav . E Bede-gr: petr , et joh , et andr , et joh . om 7th kai D. om 0 bef $\operatorname{fah} \operatorname{avin} \operatorname{yr} \operatorname{N}$!

14. N has ομοθυμαδον both before and after προσκαρτ., N³ disapproving the 2nd. ree (aft προσευχη) ins και τη δεησει (Phil iv. 6), with C³ rel 36 (Orig); και δεησει, οπη τη, n: om ABC'DEN p Hr vulg syrr copt ath arm Chr₁ Thl-fin-comm Cypr Ang Jer Bede. ins ταις bef γυν. D¹. aft γυν. ins και τεκνοις D. μαριομ ΒΕ p 40 sah: txt ΛCDN rel 36. om τη D¹: ins D². om του B. ree ins συν bef τοις αδ. αυτ. (corrn, to avoid connecting the brethren of our Lord with His mother), with BCE rel syrr Chr: om ΛC¹DN vulg copt ath arm Cypr Aug.

Chrysostom in making the above supposition: although the festival was certainly observed in his time (see Bingham, Orig. Eccl. xx. 6. 5. There is no mention of it in the Fathers of the first three centuries). Forty days from the Resurrection is an expression which would suit as well the Saturday of the seventh week as the Thursday.

The distance of the Mount of Olives from Jerusalem is stated by Josephus at five stadia, Antt. xx. 8. 6,-at six stadia, B. J. v. 2. 3; different points being taken as the limit. The present church of the Ascension rather exceeds the distance of six stadia from the city. The use of έλαιών, - wvos, here (and in reff.) by Luke only is remarkable, especially as the whole passage is so much in his own distinctive style as to preclude the idea of his having transferred a written document. έχον is not for ἀπέχον, but as in τριάκ. κ. ὀκτ. ἔτη ἔχων, John v. 5, and in reff.; the space or time mentioned being regarded as an attribute 13. εἰςῆλθ.] 'into τὸ ὑπερῷ.] The of the subject. the city;' see reff. iden that this was a chamber in the Temple has originated in low literal-harmonistic views, Luke having stated (Luke xxiv. 53) that they were δια παυτός έν τῷ ίερφ. As if such an expression could be literally understood, or taken to mean more than that they were there at all appointed times (see ch. iii. I). It is in the highest degree im-

probable that the disciples would be found assembled in any public place at this time. The upper chamber was perhaps that in which the last Supper had been taken; probably that in which they had been since then assembled (John xx. 19, 26), but certainly one in a private house. Lightf. shews that it was the practice of the Jews to retire into a large chamber under the flat roof for purposes of deliberation or prayer. See Neander, Pfl. u. Leit., p. 13, note. Epiphanius, de ponderibus, c. 14 (vol. iii. p. 170), relates that when Hadrian came to Jerusalem, εδρε την πόλιν πασαν ήδαφισμένην καί το ίερον τοῦ θεοῦ καταπεπατημένον, παρεκτός όλίγων οἰκημάτων και της τοῦ θεοῦ ἐκκλησίας μικρᾶς οὔσης, ἔνθα ὑποστρέψαντες οἱ μαθηταί, ὅτε ὁ σωτὴρ ἀνελήφθη ἀπὸ τοῦ Ἐλαιῶνος, ἀνέβησαν εἰς το ύπερφον. έκει γαρ φκοδόμητο, τουτ-έστιν εν τῷ μέρει Σιών ἤτις ἀπὸ τῆς ερημώσεως περιελήφθη, . . . εως χρόνου Μαξίμου τοῦ ἐπισκόπου καὶ Κωνσταντίνου τοῦ βασιλέως, ώς σκηνή ἐν ἀμπελῶνι, κατὰ τὸ γεγραμμένον. And Nicephorus viii. 30 (see Wordsw.) says that the Empress Helena enclosed in her larger church the chamber where took place ή τοῦ άγιου πνεύματος κάθοδος εν τῷ ὑπερώς.

ου ήσαν κατ. I not to be taken us in E. V. 'where abode both Peter,' &c.; which gives the idea that Peter, &c. were already in the chamber, and the rest joined

15. for και εν, εν δε DE sah syr-marg Aug, ins o bef πετρ. D. εμμεσω Αυξικα rec for αδελφων, μαθητων (corrn, to avoid the triple recurrence of αδελφ. in νν. 14, 15, 16. Meyer and De W. take αδ. to have been a corrn to suit ανδρ. άδελφοι in νετ. 16, but the other is much more prob), with C³DE rel 36 syrr Chr Thi Cypr Aug; for τε, δε CD' vulg D'-lat E-lat syrr charth.

for ονοματων, ανδρων Ε: hominum vulg(not fuld) Syr æth Aug. * ώςεὶ ΑCΝ 40 Thl-fin: ωs BDE rel. rec εικοσιν, with rel: txt ABCER f m p 13. 36: ρκ' D. 16. δει D (txt D-corr¹) vulg Iren-int(principal-mss: given nomination by Stieren) Aug Vig Gild. (Iren-int has oportebat apud Harvey.) om ταυτην ABC'N p Hr vulg

them there :- but, on entering the city, they went up into the upper chamber, where they (usually) sojourned (not 'dwelt:' they did not all dwell in one house; see John xix. 27, note), namely, Peter, &c. On the catalogue of the Apostles, see Matt. x. 2, note. 14.] συν γυναιξίν has been rendered with their wives,' to which sense Bp. Middleton inclines, justifying it by συν γυναιξίν και τέκνοις, ch. xxi. 5. But the omission of the articles there may be accounted for on the same principle as in Matt. xix. 29, viz. that which Bp. M. calls enumeration, ch. vi. § 2. Here I think we must take σὺν γυν. not as meaning 'with women,' as Hackett, but, the art. not being expressed after the preposition $\sigma \nu \nu$, as $= \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha \hat{\imath} s \gamma \nu \nu$. (see Middl. ch. vi. § 1), and interpret $\gamma \nu \nu$., the women, viz. those spoken of by Luke himself, Luke viii. 2, 3,-where, besides those named, he mentions έτεραι πολλαί. Many of these were certainly not wives of the Apostles; and that those women who were 'last at the Cross and earliest at the tomb' should not have been assembled

with the company now, is very improbable.
καὶ Μαρία] The καί gives eminence to one among those previously mentioned. So τωνδε είνεκα, και γης ιμέρφ, Herod. i. 73. See Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 145. This is the last mention of her in the N. T. The traditions, which describe her as (1) dying at the age of fifty-nine, in the fifth year of Claudius (Niceph. H. E. ii. 21), or (2) accompanying John to Ephesus, and being buried there (see Winer, Realwörterb. art. Maria), are untrustworthy. Other accounts, with the authorities, may be seen in Butler's Lives of the Saints, Aug. 15. The fable of the As-

sumption has no foundation even in tradiτοις άδελφ. αὐτ.] This clearly shews, as does John vii. 5 compared with vi. 69, 70, that none of the brethren of our Lord were of the number of the Twelve. When they were converted, is quite uncertain. See the whole subject discussed in note on Matt. xiii. 55, and in the Prolegomena to the Epistle of James. In both cases of one being distinguished from a number, cited here by Wordsw. to shew that James the Less may have been one of these brethren, viz. that of Mapla, as distinguished among the women here, and that of Joseph, ch. vii. 9, he does not observe that the general statement precedes the individual distinction, as indeed it naturally must.

15-26.] Election of a twelfth APOSTLE TO FILL THE ROOM OF JUDAS ISCARIOT. 15. ἐν τ. ἡμ. τ.] In the days between the Ascension and Pentecost; during which it appears that the number of the assembly had increased, not probably by fresh conversions, but by the gathering round the Apostles of those who had previously been disciples. ην τε The very frequent use of $\tau \epsilon$ is a peculiarity of the Acts, and should have its weight in determining the reading, even where, as here, δέ seems more appropriate. It occurs in the Gospel 5 times: in the Acts, 121.

δνομάτων | See note on Rev. iii. 4. έκατὸν είκοσι] De Wette asks, 'where were the 500 brethren of 1 Cor. xv. 6?' We surely may answer, 'not in Jerusalem.' See Neander, Pfl. u. Leit., p. 72, note. 16.] We may enquire, by what change in mind and power Peter was able, before the descent of the Spirit, thus authoritatively to speak of Scripture and the divine purposes? The answer will be only, Erra Κυριαζ 1 αυτης, τιίι. 1 οης, πρ. μαθού της πο αὐδικίας, καὶ ^p πρηνής ^q γενόμενος ^τ ελάκησεν 1 Μας. iv. 2 mil. 3 only, πρ. μαθού της πο αὐδικίας, καὶ ^p πρηνής ^q γενόμενος ^τ ελάκησεν 1. Δακακιά, το μεταικία το με

coptt ath arm Orig₂ Eus Ath Did Vig Gild (omitted by homeotel: or erased as unnecessary with np, and perhaps, as Mey. and De W., because no citation immediately follows): ins C³DE rel 36 syrr Did Chr Iren-int Aug.

C³DE rel 36 Chr Thl: om ABC N Eus Did.

17. om ην Ν'. rec for εν, συν (corrn to better Greek; see ref 2 Chron), with rel syrr Chr: txt ABCDEN p 13 Hr vulg coptt Orig, Eus Iren-int Aug. Di-gr: txt D⁴. ins wree bef τ. διακ. Br. Evangr(sic. see table).

D¹-gr: txt D⁴. ins υπερ bef τ. διακ. Br-marg(sic, see table).
18. rec ins του bef μισθ. (corrn in ignorance of the usage which omits the art aft a preposition; see Middleton, ch. vi. 1), with o Thl-fin: om ABCDER rel Hr Eus Chr. aft αδικ. ins αυτου D.

found in the peculiar gift of the Spirit to the Apostles, John xx. 21, 23; where see note. The pre-eminency of Peter here is the commencement of the fulfilment of

Matt. xvi. 18, 19 (see note there). 17.] ὅτι, not 'although' (Kuinoel), but because: it gives the reason of the previous assertion, viz. that Judas held, and had betrayed, that place of high trust of which the prophecy spoke. Thus the oti has reference to the substance of the prophecy, already in Peter's mind, and serves to explain ή έπαυλις αὐτοῦ and ἡ ἐπισκοπὴ έλαχεν τὸν κλήρον] not literally, but inasmuch as the lot of every man is regarded as being cast and appointed by κλήρος, first, the lot itself; then, that apportioned by lot; then, any species of apportionment, whether possession, or office, as here.

18.] This verse cannot be regarded as inserted by Luke; for, 1. the place of its insertion would be most unnatural for a historical notice: 2. the $\mu \epsilon \nu$ odv forbids the supposition: 3. the whole style of the verse is rhetorical, and not narrative, e.g. οὖτος, μισθοῦ τῆς ἀδικίας.

The & *c*fparo \times \text{wofor} does not appear to agree with the account in Matt. xxvii. 6-8; nor, consistently with common honesty, can they be reconciled, unless we knew more of the facts than we do. If we compare the two, that of Matthew is the more particular, and more likely to give rise to this one, as a general inference from the buying of the field, than vice versd. Whether Judhas, as Bengel supposes, 'initio entionis facto, occasionen delerat ut Sacerdotes eam consummarent,' we cannot say: such a thing is of course possible. At all events we hence clearly see that Luke could not have been ac-

quainted with the Gospel of Matthew at this time, or surely (not, he would have repeated St. Matt.'s account, as Dr. Words. unfairly represents me to say, but) this apparent discrepancy would not have been found. The various attempts to reconcile the two narratives, which may be seen in most of our English commentaries, are among the saddest examples of the shifts to which otherwise high-minded men are driven by an unworthy system. See as a notable example, Dr. Wordsw.'s note, written since the above. I need hardly say to any intelligent and ingenuous reader, that his way of harmonizing,—viz. that as the Jews are said to have crucified our Lord when they were only the occasion of his being crucified, so Judas may be said to have bought the field when he only gave occasion to its being bought by the Chief Priests,—is entirely precluded here by the words ἐκ μισθοῦ τῆς ἀδικίας, 'out of the wages of his iniquity,' which plainly bind on the purchase to Judas as his personal act. καὶ πρ. γεν.] The connexion of this with the former clause would seem to point to the death of Judas having taken place in the field which he bought. See also ver. 19. γενόμενος will hardly bear the meaning assigned to it by those who wish to harmonize the two accounts,-viz. that, having hanged himself, he fell by the breaking of the rope. πρηνής επί πρόςωπον πεπτωκώς, Hesych, δλον μέν το σώμα κείστοι πρηνές λέγομεν, όταν ή μέν γαστήρ κάτωθεν, άνωθεν δέ ή το νώτον, tinlen, cited by Wetstein. πρηνής, είς τούμ-προσθεν, έπὶ στόματος, Etymol. Nor again is it at all probable that the Apostle would recount what was a mere accident

ς μέσος καὶ τεξεχύθη πάντα τὰ σπλάγχνα αὐτοῦ, 19 καὶ $^{\text{sconstr. Luke}}$ γνωστὸν εγένετο πάσιν τοῖς κατοικοῦσιν Τερουσαλήμι, το $^{\text{Con. xt. 10.}}$ ωςτε κληθῆναι τὸ $^{\text{x}}$ χωρίον ἐκεῖνο τῷ [$^{\text{y}}$ ἰξία] $^{\text{z}}$ διαλέκτις $^{\text{Matt. ix. yr. 1}}$ αὐτῶν Ακελδαμάχ, $^{\text{x}}$ τουτέστιν $^{\text{x}}$ χωρίον αἴματος. $^{\text{20}}$ γέ $^{\text{-}}$ $^{\text{10}}$ κεριξικικιν $^{\text{y}}$ $^{\text{y}}$ εκελδαμάχ, $^{\text{x}}$ τουτέστιν $^{\text{x}}$ χωρίον αἴματος. $^{\text{20}}$ γέ $^{\text{-}}$ $^{\text{10}}$ $^{\text{y}}$ εκελδαμάχ, $^{\text{x}}$ τον $^{\text{y}}$ εκελδαμάχ, $^{\text{y}}$ εκελδαμάχος $^{\text{y}$

ix, 5 (61) only, we constr., ch. ii. 9, 14 al5. Matt, xxiii. 21. Loke xiii. 4. Rev. (sii. 12, v. r.) xv. 18, xiz. 17, 28, xxv. 18, xiii. 42, v. r.) xv. 18, xiii. 42, v. r.) xvii. 20, v. j. xv. 18, x

om παντα A Thl-sif Gaud.

19. ins o bef και D-gr N(but erased) 18 Aug: και τοντο sah.

arm Aug: ins AB²CE rel. αντων bef διαλ. Ε 163 Aug. rec ακελδαμα, with

C 13 rel vulg syrr copt Chr: æth-mss are appy divided: txt(-αχ) ABD E(-ακ) Ν p 40

am demid fuld tol lux sah Eus Aug Bede. —αχελδ. ΑΝ p 40, haceldamach tol, acheldamac am fuld lux Bede, akyldamach sah, -demach æth-pl.—ακελδαμαχ D.

accompanying his death, when that death itself was the accursed one of hanging. What then are we to decide respecting the two accounts? That there should have been a double account actually current of the death of Judas at this early period is in the highest degree improbable, and will only be assumed by those (De Wette, &c.) who take a very low view of the accuracy of the Evangelists. Dismissing then this solution, let us compare the accounts themselves. In this case, that in Matt. xxvii. is general, -ours particular. That depends entirely on the exact sense to be assigned to ἀπήγξατο (καὶ ἀπήγξατο, 2 Sam. xvii. 23): whereas this distinctly assigns the manner of his death, without stating any cause for the falling on his face. It is obvious that, while the general term used by Matthew points mainly at self-murder, the account given here does not preclude the catastrophe related having happened, in some way, as a divine judgment, during the suicidal attempt. Further than this, with our present knowledge, we cannot go. An accurate acquaintance with the actual circumstances would account for the discrepancy, but nothing else. Another kind of death is assigned to Judas by Œcumenius, quoting from Papias: ἱστορεῖ Παπίας ὁ τοῦ 'Ιωάννου τοῦ ἀποστ. μαθητής λέγων' μέγα της ἀσεβείας ὑπόδειγμα ἐν τούτῳ τῷ κόσμφ περιεπάτησεν 'Ιούδας' πρησθείς γὰρ ἐπὶ τὴν σάρκα, ωςτε μη δύνασθαι διελθεϊν, αμάξης ραδίως διερχομένης, ύπο της άμαξης έπιέσθη, ωςτε τὰ έγκατα αὐτοῦ έκκενωθηναι. Theophylact quotes the same on Matt. xxvii., but without the last words, ὑπὸ τῆς ἁμ. κ.τ.λ., which De Wette supposes to have been inserted from Œcumenius having misunderstood Papias. If so, the tradi-tion is in accordance with, and has arisen from an exaggerated amplification of, our text. See the whole passage from Theophylact cited, and a discussion whether it

is rightly ascribed to Papias, in Routh,

Reliquiæ Sacræ, vol. i. p. 9, and notes. ἐλάκησεν] cracked asunder: it implies bursting with a noise. It is quite possible that this catastrophe happening in the field, as our narrative implies, may have suggested its employment as a burialplace for strangers, as being defiled. So 19.7 Stier, Reden der Apostel, i. 10. It is principally from this verse that it has been inferred that the two vv. 18, 19 are inserted by Luke. But it is impossible to separate it from ver. 18; and I am disposed to regard both as belonging to Peter's speech, but freely Græcized by Luke, inserting into the speech itself the explanations τη [lδία] διαλ. αὐτ., and τουτ-έστιν χ. αΐμ., as if the speech had been spoken in Greek originally. This is much more natural, than to parenthesize these clauses; it is, in fact, what must be more or less done by all who report in a language different from that actually used by the speaker. The words and idioms of another tongue contain allusions and national peculiarities which never could have been in the mind of one speaking in a different language; but the ear tolerates these, or easily separates them, if critically exercised. γνωστὸν . . .] See Luke xxiv. 18. usre] in Matt. xxvii. 8, the name 'the field of blood' is referred to the fact of its having been bought with the price of blood: here, to the fact of Judas having there met with a signal and bloody death. On the whole, I believe the result to which I have above inclined will be found the best to suit the phænomena of the two passages,-viz. that, with regard to the purchase of the field, the more circumstantial account in Matthew is to be adopted; with regard to the death of Judas, the more circumstantial account of Luke. The clue which joins these has been lost to us: and in this, only those will find any stumbling-block, whose faith

 $^{\rm b\,Luke\,xx.\,42}$. γραπται γὰρ ἐν $^{\rm b}$ βίβλ $_{\rm w}$ $^{\rm b}$ ψαλμ $_{\rm w}$ ν Γενηθήτ $_{\rm w}$ ή $^{\rm c}$ έπαυλις ABCD EN abc c here only. Psa, laviii. αὐτοῦ ἔρημος, καὶ μὴ ἔστω 4 ὁ κατοικῶν ἐν αὐτῆ. καὶ Τὴν 6 ἐπισκοπὴν αὐτοῦ λαβέτω ἔτερος. 21 δεῖ οὖν τῶν PSA; tash 25, d art., Malt. iv. 3 al. e = 1 Tim. iii. 1 (Luke xix. 44. 1 Pet. ii. 12) only. PSA. cviii. 8, f = ch. ix. 89, x. 23 al. L. (Mark xiv. ⁶ συνελθόντων ήμιν ανδρών εν παντί χρόνω ώ ⁶ είςηλθεν καὶ εξηλθεν "ἐφ΄ ἡμᾶς ὁ κύριος Ἰησοῦς, ²2² ἀρξάμενος ἀπὸ τοῦ βαπτίσματος Ἰωάννου ἔως τῆς ἡμέρας κῆς Mark xiv. 53.) g Eurip. Phon. 534, 5. see ch. ix. 28. 1 ἀνελήμφθη m ἀφ΄ ήμων, n μάρτυρα της $^\circ$ ἀναστάσεως αὐτοῦ σὺν ήμιν γενέσθαι ἕνα τούτων. 23 καὶ p ἔστησαν

Ps. cxx. 8.

20. for 1st αυτου, αυτων m1 o p vulg(not am demid &c) D1-lat æth-rom arm. ree for λαβετω, λαβοι (corrn to suit Lxx), with E rel: txt εστω, η D1: txt D3. ABCDN p Eus Chr.

rec ins εν bef ω, with C3(and appy C2) EN3 rel Chr: 21. ins $\tau \omega$ bef $\chi \rho \rho \nu \omega$ D. om ABC'D-corrR' p vulg Aug.—ως D'; quoniam D'-lat; cum copt. add χριστος D syr ath Aug.

22. for εως, αχρι ΛΝ p. ree γενεσθαι bef συν ημ., with E 13 rel Thl-sif: txt

ABCDN k m p 40 vulg arm Chr. Aug. εστησεν D1(and lat: txt D-corr1) æth-rom 23. aft και ins τουτων λεχθεντων Ε.

in the veracity of the Evangelists is very weak indeed. 'Ακελδαμάχ] אינון אָרָפּא The field originally belonged to a potter, and was probably a piece of land which had been exhausted of its clay fit for his purposes, and so was useless. Jerome relates that it was still shewn on the S. side of Mount Sion (εν βορείοις τυῦ Σιὰν ὅρους, but by mistake, Eusebius), in which neighbourhood there is even now a bed of white clay (see Winer, RWB., 'Blutacker').

20.] γάρ, the connexion being, 'all this happened and became known,' &c., 'in accordance with the prophecy,' &c. Ps. lxix. is eminently a Messianic psalm,spoken in the first place of David and his kingdom and its enemies, and so, according to the universal eanon of O. T. interpretation, of Him in whom that kingdom found its true fulfilment, and of His enemies. And Judas being the first and most notable of these, the Apostle applies eminently to him the words which in the Psalm are spoken in the plural of all such enemies. The same is true of Ps. cix., and there one adversary is even more pointedly marked out. See also I's. lv. σκοπήν = ητε. office, or charge. The citations are freely from the LXX.

21.] our, since all this has happened to Judas, and since it is the divine will that another should take the charge which was έν παντί χρόνω] This definition of the necessary qualification of an apostle exactly agrees with our Lord's saying in John xv. 27: καὶ ὑμεῖς δὲ μαρτυρείτε, ὅτι ἀπ' ἀρχῆς μετ' ἐμοῦ ἐστε. See Prolegg. Vol. I. i. 3. 5. εἰςῆλθ. κ. ἐξῆλθ. έφ' ήμας An abridged construction for

baptism of John, as a well-known date, including of course the opening event of our Lord's ministry, *His own* baptism. That John continued to baptize for some time after that, can be no possible objection to the assignment of 'John's baptism' generally, as the date of the commencement of the apostolic testimony (agst De Wette). We may notice, that from this point the testimony of the Evangelists themselves in their Gospels properly begins, Matt. iii. I, Mark i. I, Luke iii. 1, μάρτ. της άναστ.] This John i. 6. one event was the passage-point between the Lord's life of humiliation and His life of glory,-the completion of His work below and beginning of His work above. And to 'give witness with power' of the Resurrection (ch. iv. 33), would be to discourse of it as being all this; in order to which, the whole ministry of Jesus must be within the cycle of the Apostle's It is remarkable that experience. Peter here lays down experience of matters of fact, not eminence in any subjective grace or quality, as the condi-tion of Apostleship. Still, the testimony was not to be mere ordinary allegation of matters of fact: any who had seen the Lord since His resurrection were equal to this; -but belonged to a distinct office (see John xiv. 26: also ch. v. 31, note), requiring the especial selection and grace of 23.] έστησαν, viz. the whole

δύο, Ίωσὴφ τὸν καλούμενον Βαρσαββᾶν, ὃς q έπεκλήθη q -ch.iv.30. Ιοῦστος, καὶ Μαθθίαν. 24 καὶ προςευζάμενοι εἶπαν Σὺ τῆκ.xr.8 κύριε r καρδιογνῶστα πάντων, ἀνάδειξον ὃν ἐξελέξω ἐκ είμ. μα.β.ίν.τοῦν τῶν δύο ἕνα 25 λαβείν τὸν τόπον τῆς ὁιακονίας Smait, ιδι. 3 (see ταύτης καὶ αποστολης, ἀφ' ης παρέβη Ἰούδας πο- slake x. λοικε χ. 2 Mace. ix. 23. ser Luke i. 80. Gal. ii. 8 only. Deut. xxii. 7. τ = here (Matt. xv. 2, 3. 2 John 9) only. Each x. xxii. 8. γ = here (Matt. xv. 2, 3. 2 John 9) only. Exodo xxxii. 8.

2 Macc. ix. 23, see Luke i. 80. Gal, ii. 8 ouly. Deut. xxii. 7. (Sir. xxiii. 18.)

for ιωσηφ, ιωσην B(Ble) 5 lect-1 syr sah. ree βαρσαβαν, with C rel vulg Syr Eus Chr: txt ABEN b f g p am fuld syr coptt Eus-mss. - βαρναβαν D tol æth. (13 def.)

24. om συ D o. rec $\epsilon \kappa \tau$. τ . $\delta v \circ \epsilon v \alpha$ bef $o v \epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda$. (with none of our manuscripts): txt ABCDER rel syr copt Eus Bas Chr. Dion-arcop Thl Procop: ενα ον εξελ. εκ τ. τ. δ. Syr arm. for ενα, ανα, making αναλαβειν, D1: txt D4.

25. τοπον bef τον D. rec (for τοπον) κληρον, with C3EN rel syrr: om æth (την διακονιαν ταυτης τ. αποστ.): txt ABC1D vulg coptt Procop Aug. rec (for ap')

company, to whom the words had been

spoken; not the eleven Apostles. Ἰωσήφ] The names Ἰωσήφ and 'Iωσηs, different forms of the same, are confused in the MSS., both here and in ch. iv. 36. But Barsabbas (or Barsabas) and Barnabas are not to be confounded: they are different names (Barsabbas = son of Sabba or Saba: on Barnabas, see ch. iv. 36, note); and Barnabas is evidently introduced in iv. 36 as a person who had not been mentioned before. Of Barsabas, been mentioned before. Of Barsabas, nothing further is known. Euseb., iii. 39, states, on the authority of Papias, that he drank a cup of poison without being hurt.

In all probability both the selected persons (see Eus. i. 12) belonged to the number of the Seventy, as it would be natural that the candidates for apostleship should be chosen from among those who had been already distinguished by Christ Himself among the brethren. is a Roman cognomen, assumed according to a custom then prevalent. The name Justus seems to have been common: Schöttgen, Hor. Hebr., on this place, gives two instances of Jews bearing it.

Ma00(av] Nothing historical is known of him. Traditionally, according to Nicephorus (H. E. ii. 40, Winer), he suffered martyrdom in Æthiopia; according to others, in Colchis (Menolog. Græc. iii. 198, Winer): another account (Perionii Vitæ Apost. p. 178 sqq., Winer) makes him preach in Judæa and be stoned by the Jews. Clem. Alex., Strom. ii. 9, p. 452 P., vii. 13, p. 882 P., mentions the παραδόσεις of Matthias, which perhaps were the same as an apocryphal gospel once current under his name, mentioned by Eus., H. E. iii. 25. See Winer, RWB.

24.] It is a question, to Whom this prayer was directed. I think all probability is in favour of the Apostle (for Peter

certainly was the spokesman) having addressed his glorified Lord. And with this the language of the prayer agrees. No stress can, it is true, be laid on kúpie: see ch. iv. 29, where unquestionably the Father is addressed: but the ἐξελέξω, compared with οὐκ ἐγὰ ύμᾶς τοὺς δάδεκα ἐξελεξά-μην, John vi. 70, seems to me almost decisive. See also ver. 2; Luke vi. 13; John xiii. 18, xv. 16, 19. The instance cited on the other side by Meyer, $\xi\xi\epsilon\lambda\xi\xi\sigma\tau$ δ θεδς διὰ τοῦ στόματός μου ἀκοῦσαι τὰ έθνη κ.τ.λ., is not to the point, as not relating to the matter here in hand; nor are the passages cited by De Wette, 2 Cor. i. 1; Eph. i. 1; 2 Tim. i. 1, where Paul refers his apostleship to God, since obviously all such appointment must be referred ultimately to God : - but the question for us is,-In these words, did the disciples pray as they would have prayed before the Ascension, or had they Christ in their view? The expression Kapδιογνώστα (used by Peter himself of God, ch. xv. 8) forms no objection: see John xxi. 17, also in the mouth of Peter himself. We are sure, from the προσκυνήσαντες αὐτόν of Luke xxiv. 52, that even at this time, before the descent of the Spirit, the highest kind of worship was paid to the ascended Redeemer. Still, I do not regard it as by any means certain that they addressed Christ, nor can the passage be alleged as convincing in controversy with the Socinian. ἀνάδειξ. κ τ.λ.] Not, the Socinian. &v&Setg. &r.A.] Not, as in E. V., 'shew whether of these two Thou hast chosen,' but appoint (see reff.) one of these two [him] whom Thou hast chosen. The difference is of some import: they did not pray for a sign merely, to shew whether of the two was chosen, but that the Lord would, by means of their lot, Himself appoint the one of His choice. 25.] τόπον is from internal

only t.

Tas

w - Natt. $xxv_1, 52$ $xxv_1, 52$ y ευθήναι εἰς τὸν w τόπον τὸν ἴδιον. y εδωκαν y κλή - x εδωκαν y κλή - x εδωκαν y κλή - x εδωκαν y κλή εδωκαν y εδωκαν y

εξ, with E rel Chr; de vulg E-lat: in quo sah: txt ABCDN p copt Bas, α D-lat Aug. δίον τοπ. C: τοπ. τ. δικαίον Α.

26. rec (for autois) autow (see note), with D'E rel syr Chr₁ Aug-mss: om Syr Aug-ed: $\operatorname{txt} ABCD^2 \aleph p$ 13 vulg coptt ath(appy) Chr₁, om δ D' m^1 : ins D², $\sigma \nu \psi \eta \phi$. D'(but cortd): $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \psi$. N'. for $\epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \beta'$ D, xii D-lat; so also Eus.

CHAP. II. 1. for και εν τω, και εγενετο εν ταις ημεραις εκειναις του D.

y -- Matt. xxvii. 35 | only. Neh. x. 3.1. see ver. 17. z b -- Luke ix. 51 (viii. 23) only †. (-ρωσις, 1 Chron. xxxvi. 21.)

evidence, as well as MS. authority, the preferable reading. It has been altered to κλήρον to suit ver. 17. διακονίας, implying the active duties; ἀποστολής, the official dignity of the office :- no figure οί έν διὰ δυοίν. τὸν τόπον τὸν ίδιον] With the reading τόπον before, I think these words may be interpreted two ways: 1. that Judas deserted this our τόπος, our office and ministry, to go to his own 76 mos, that part which he had chosen for himself, viz. the office and character of a traitor and enemy of God; 2. regarding the former word $\tau \delta \pi \sigma s$ as being selected to correspond to the more proper and dreadful use of the word here, that Judas deserted his $\tau \delta \pi \sigma s$, his appointed place, here among us, that he might go to his own appointed τόπος elsewhere, viz. among the dead in the place of torment. Of these two interpretations, I very much prefer the second, on all accounts; as being more according to the likely usage of the word, and as more befitting the solemnity of such a prayer. At the same time, no absolute sentence is pronounced on the traitor, but that dark surmise expressed by the euphemism τον τόπον τ. ίδ., which none can help feeling with regard to him. To refer the words $\pi o \rho$. ϵ is τ . $\tau \delta \pi$. τ . δ , to the successor of Judas (Knatchbull, Hammond, al.), 'ut occupet locum ipsi a Deo destina-tum,' (1) is contrary to the form of the sentence, which would require kal mopevθηναι; (2) is inconsistent with the words $\pi \circ p$. $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$., which are unexampled in this sense; (3) would divest a sentence, evidently solemn and pregnant, of all point and meaning, and reduce it to a mere tautology. It appears to have been very early understood us above; for Clement of Rome says of Peter (1 Cor. v.), ούτω μαρτυρήσας έπορεύθη είς του οφειλόμενου τόπου της δόξης, an expression evidently borrowed from our text. Lightf., Hor. Hebr. in loc., quotes from the Rabbinical work Baal turim on Num. xxiv. 25,- 'Balaam

ivit in locum suum, i. e. in Gehennam.' 26. έδωκ. κλήρους αὐτοῖς] They cast lots for them, abrois being a dativus commodi. The ordinary reading, whether αὐτῶν is referred to the Apostles or to the eandidates, would require τοὺς κλήρους. Aὐτῶν has been an alteration, to avoid the rendering 'they gave lots to them.' These lots were probably tablets, with the names of the persons written on them, and shaken in a vessel, or in the lap of a robe (Prov. xvi. 33); he whose lot first leaped out being the person designated. συγ-κατ.] The lot being regarded as the divine choice, the suffrages of the assembly were unanimously given (not in form, but by cheerful acquiescence) to the candidate thus chosen, and he was 'voted in' among the eleven Apostles, i. e. as a twelfth. That Luke does not absolutely say so, and never afterwards speaks of the twelve Apostles, is surely no safe ground on which to doubt this. Stier seems disposed to question (in his Reden der Apostel, i. 18 ff., which however was a work of his youth) whether this step of electing a twelfth Apostle was altogether suitable to the then waiting position of the Church, and whether Paul was not in reality the twelfth, chosen by the Lord Himself. But I do not see that any of his seven queries touch the matter. We have the precedent, of all others most applicable, of the twelve tribes, to shew that the number, though ever nominally kept, was really exceeded. And this incident would not occupy a prominent place in a book where Paul himself has so conspicuous a part, unless it were by himself considered as being what it professed to be, the filling up of the vacant Apostleship.

CHAP. II. 1-4. THE OUTPOURING OF THE HOLY SPIRIT ON THE DISCIPLES.

1. ἐν τῷ συνπληροῦσθαι]
While the day of P. was being fulfilled:
'during the progress of that particular
day:' this is necessitated by the pres, tense,

 c πεντηκοστῆς ἦσαν πάντες d ὁμοῦ c έπὶ τὸ αὐτό. 2 καὶ $^{c.h. xs. 16, 1.67, xv. 18}$ Τοὸι ii. 1. 2 Macc. xii. 32. d (ch. xx. 18, v. r.) John iv. 36. xx. 4. xxl. 2. Ezra ii. 61. ch. i. 15 refl.

ημερα vulg D-lat E-lat Syr æth arm Aug_1 Vig. rec απαντε*, with m rel Thlsif: on EN' Chr: txt $ABC'N^3$ e d p.—οντων αντων παντων D Syr æth.—add oι αποσολοι e d k m H Thl-sin. rec (for oμον) oμοθυμαδον, with C^3E rel Chr Thl-sif: on D (Syr?) copt sah(inter se for ομ. ε. το αν.): txt ABC'N p Ath, pariter vulg, simul E-lat.

In sense, it amounts to 'when the day of P. was fully come,' as E. V. : but not in grammar. Professor Hitzig, in a letter to Ideler, "Ostern und Pfingsten, u.s.w.," maintains that the meaning is, 'As the day of P. drew on,'-'was approaching its fulfilment :' but this view is refuted by Neander, "Pflanzung u. Leitung, u.s.w.," p. 10, note. Hitzig supports his view by ver. 5, taking κατοικουντές to imply constant residence, not merely sojourning on account of the feast, which latter he says would have been specified if it were so. Neander replies, 1. that $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \tau$. $\sigma \nu \nu \pi \lambda$. τ . $\dot{\eta}$, τ . π . must necessarily mean that the day itself had arrived; compare πλήρωμα τοῦ χρόνου οτ τῶν καιρῶν, Gal. iv. 4 and Eph. i. 10. In Luke ix. 51, it is not said of the day, but of the days of His being received up, including the whole period introductory to that event: and, by the very same interpretation, the day of P. must in this case have arrived, and was being accomplished, i. e. in process of passing. And again, if only the approach of that day were indicated, why should the day itself have been mentioned, seeing that it would then be no way concerned in the narrative? On the propriety of the day itself as belonging to the narrative, see below. 2. It is true that in ver. 5, if we had that verse only before us, we should interpret κατοικ. of dwelling, permanently (no real difference being traceable between κατοικείν with an accus., and κατοικείν έν); but if we compare it with ver. 9, we shall see, that the same persons would thus be κατοικοῦντες in Jerusalem and several other localities,-which necessarily restricts the meaning, in ver. 5, to a temporary sojourn. And, granting that there may have been some residents in Jerusalem among these foreign Jews, the ἐπιδημοῦντες 'Ρωμαΐοι certainly point to persons who were for some especial reason at Jerusalem at the time, as also the proselytes. And in ver. 14 Peter distinguishes the arboes 'Iovbaios, the residents, from οἱ κατοικοῦντες Ἱερουσ. $\ddot{a}\pi a \nu \tau \epsilon s$,—the sojourners. τ. ήμ. τῆς π. The fiftieth day (inclusive) after the sixteenth of Nisan, the second day of the Passover (Levit. xxiii. 16),—called in Exodus xxiii. 16, 'the feast of harvest,'in Deut. xvi. 10, 'the feast of weeks;'one of the three great feasts, when all the

males were required to appear at Jerusalem, Deut. xvi. 16. No supplying of ημέρας, or έρρτης, is required after πεντηκοστης: the word had passed into a proper name, see ref. Tobit, where it is in appos. with ξορτη, and ref. 2 Maec. this time, it was simply regarded as the feast of harvest: among the later Jews, it was considered as the anniversary of the giving of the law from Sinai. This inference was apparently grounded on a comparison of Exod. xii. 2 and xix. 1. Josephus and Philo know nothing of it, and it is at the best very uncertain. Chrysostom's reason for the event happening when it did is probably the true one: ἔδει γὰρ ἐορτῆς οὔσης πάλιν ταῦτα γενέσθαι Ίνα οἱ παρόντες τῷ σταυρῷ τοῦ χριστοῦ, οὖτοι καὶ ταῦτα ἴδωσιν (in Catena). See a number of other reasons given by Wordsw., more suo. The question, on what day of the week this day of Pentecost was, is beset with the difficulties attending the question of our Lord's last passover; see notes on Matt. xxvi. 17, and John xviii. 28. It appears probable however that it was on the Sabbath,-i. e. if we reckon from Saturday, the 16th of Nisan. Wieseler (Chron. des Apostol. Zeitalters, p. 20) supposes that the Western Church altered the celebration of it to the first day of the week in conformity with her observance of Easter on that day. If we take the second day of the Passover as Sunday, the 17th of Nisan, which some have inferred from John xviii. 28, the day of Pentecost will fall on the first day of the week. The custom of the Karaites was, to keep Pentecost always on the first day of the week, reckoning not from the day after the great Passover-Sabbath, but from that following the Sabbath in Passover week-understanding השָּבָה in Levit. xxiii. 15 of the ordinary Sabbath :- but this cannot be brought to bear on our enquiry, as it probably arose πάντες Not the Apostles only, nor the hundred and twenty mentioned ch. i. 15; but all the believers in Christ, then congregated at the time of the feast in Jerusalem. The former is manifest from ver. 14, when Peter and the eleven stand forward and allude to the rest as οδτοι: and the latter follows on the former being granted. Both are confirmed by the uni-

aft και ins είδου (i. e. ιδου) D. for εκ, απο Ε. βιαι. bef πνο. D 93. 95². for ολον, παντα D, omnem E-lat Vig₂: totam vulg D-lat: totum Cypr.

καθεζομενοι CD: txt ABEN rel Cyr-jer Tharty.

3. for γλωσσαι ωsει, γλωσσει Ν΄. for εκαθ. τε, και εκαθ. B(Mai Btly) N p D-corr (and lat) Ath, Cyr-jer, Did, Chr Cyr: και εκαθ. τε D¹: εκαθ. (alone) B(Bch): εκαθ. δε C'E-lat Did, Aug: txt AC³D²E rel syrr copt Eus Ath, Cyr, Thdrt Thl.—εκαθισαν (corra to suit γλωσσαι) D-gr N¹ syrr copt Ath, Did2 Cyr,.

versality of the promise cited by Peter, vv.

17 ff. See Chrys. below, on ver. 4. όμοῦ] together: the rec. δμοθυμαδόν implies more, viz. that their purpose, as well as their locality, was the same. τὸ αὐτό] Where? evidently not in the temple, or any part of it. The improbability of such an assemblage, separate and yet so great, in any of the rooms attached to the temple,—the words δλον τον οίκον in ver. 2 (where see note),—the $\sigma \upsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \delta \pi \lambda \hat{\eta}$ θos, ver. 6,-the absence of any mention of the temple,—all these are against such a supposition. Obviously no à priori consideration such as Olshausen alleges (in loc.), that "thus the solemn inauguration of the Church of Christ becomes more imposing by happening in the holy place of the Old Covenant," can apply to the enquiry. Nor can the statement that they were διά παντός εν τῷ ίερῷ, Luke xxiv. 53, apply here (see above on ch. i. 13); for even if it be assumed that the hour of prayer was come (which it hardly could have been, seeing that some time must have elapsed between the event and Peter's speech), the disciples would not have been assembled separately, but would, as Peter and John, in ch. iii. 1, have gone up, mingled

and John, in ch. in. I, inwe good, approved with the people. See more below.

2. ἡχ. Ϭϛຓ. ϙͼρ. πνοῆς βιαίας] could not be better rendered than in E. V., a sound as of a rushing mighty wind. The distinction between πνοῆς and πνεύματος, on which De Wette insists, can hardly be expressed in our language. It is possible that Luke may have used πνοῆς to avoid the concurrence of πνεύματος βιαίου and πνεύματος άγίου. It doubtless has its especial propriety;—it is the breathing or blowing which we hear: it was the sound as of a violent blowing, borne onward, which necompanied the descent of the Holy Spirit.

To treat this as a natural phenomenon,—even supposing that phenomenon miraculously produced, as the earthquake at the crucifixion,—is contrary to the text, which does not describe it as $\bar{\eta}\chi os \ \phi \epsilon \rho u \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta s \ \pi \nu$. $\beta \iota$., but $\bar{\eta}\chi os \ \delta \pi \epsilon \rho \ \phi \ \pi \nu$. $\beta \iota$. It was the chosen vehicle by which the Holy Spirit was manifested to their sense of hearing, as by the tongues of fire to their sense of seeing.

'φέρεσθαι ad violentum quo venti moventur impetum notandum adhiberi solet. El. Hist. An. vii. 24, ἐπειδὰν τὸ πνεθμα βίαιον ἐκφέρηται: Diog. Laërt. x. 25. 101, διὰ τοῦ πνεύματος πολλοῦ φερομένου, Kypke. οἶκον Certainly Luke would not have used this word of a chamber in the Temple, or of the Temple itself, without further explanation. Our Lord, it is true, calls the Temple δ οίκος δμων, Matt. xxiii. 38,and Josephus informs us that Solomon's Temple was furnished τριάκοντα βραχέσιν οίκοις, and again έπωκοδόμηντο δέ τούτοις άνωθεν έτεροι οίκοι: but to suppose either usage here, seems to me very far-fetched and unnatural. 3. ώφθ. αὐτοῖς] - not, 'there were seen on them,' as Luther; but as E. V., there appeared to them.

 λαλείν $q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ έτέραις $r^{\frac{1}{2}}$ γλώσσαις $r^{\frac{1}{2}}$ καθώς τὸ πνεύμα $r^{\frac{1}{2}}$ έδίδου $r^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 1 Cor. xiv. $r^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 2 Matt. xvi. 17. ch. x. 46. xix. 6. 1 Cor. xii. 10, &c. xiv. 2, &c. Gen. x. 5. $r^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 3 ch. xi. 29 refi. that xii. 11. Luke i. 74. John v. 20. ver. 27 and ch. xii. 35 (from Ps. xv. 10). ch. x. 40. xiv. 30 (from Ps. xv. 10).

4. παντες ABDEN p: txt (see prolegomena, ch. v. § 3, ad fin.) C rel Cosm Thl. (Tischdf says that B² has απαντες: not so Rl Bch Verc.) ηρξατο D¹: txt D-corr¹. aft το

employed: see on ver. 2. 4.] On ἄπαντες, Chrys. says, οὐκ αν εἶπε πάντες, και ἀποστόλων ὄντων ἐκεῖ, εἰ μὴ καὶ οί ήρξαντο λαλείν άλλοι μετέσχον. έτέραις γλώσσαις There can be no question in any unprejudiced mind, that the fact which this narrative sets before us is, that the disciples began to speak in VARIOUS LANGUAGES, viz. the languages of the nations below enumerated, and perhaps others. All attempts to evade this are connected with some forcing of the text, or some far-fetched and indefensible exegesis. This then being laid down, several important questions arise, and we are surrounded by various difficulties. (1) Was this speaking in various languages a gift bestowed on the disciples for their use afterwards, or was it a mere sign, their utterance being only as they were sign, their uter-interesting only as they were mouth-pieces of the Holy Spirit? The latter seems certainly to have been the case. It appears on our narrative, καθώς το πνεθμα έδίδου ἀποφθέγγεσθαι αὐτοῖς, as the Spirit gave them utterance. But, it may be objected, in that case they would not themselves understand what they said. I answer, that we infer this very fact from 1 Cor. xiv.; that the speaking with tongues was often found, where none could interpret what was said. And besides, it would appear from Peter's speech, that such, or something approaching to it, was the case in this instance. He makes no allusion to the things said by those who spoke with tongues; the hearers alone speak of their declaring τὰ μεγαλεία τοῦ θεοῦ. So that it would seem that here, as on other occasions (1 Cor. xiv. 22), tongues were for a sign, not to those that believe, but to those that believe not. If the first supposition be made, that the gift of speaking in various languages was bestowed ou the disciples for their after use in preaching the Gospel, we are, I think, running counter to the whole course of Scripture and early patristic evidence on the subject. There is no trace whatever of such a power being possessed or exercised by the Apostles, or by those who followed them. (Compare ch. xiv. 11, 14; Euseb. iii. 39; Iren. iii. 1, p. 174.) The passage cited triumphantly by Wordsw. from Iren. iii. 17, p. 208, to shew that Irenæus understood the gift to be that of permanent preaching in many languages, entirely fails

of its point:-" Quem et descendisse Lucas ait post ascensum Domini super discipulos in Pentecoste, habentem potestatem omnium gentium ad introitum vitæ [which Dr. W. renders "in order that all nations might be enabled to enter into life," suitably to his purpose, but not to the original et ad assertionem novi Testamenti: unde et omnibus linguis conspirantes hymnum dicebant Deo, Spiritu ad unitatem redigente distantes tribus, et primitias omnium gentium offerente Patri." Here it will be observed is not a word about future preaching; but simply this event itself is treated of, as a symbolic one, a first fruit of the future Gentile harvest. The other passage, id. v. 6, p. 299, shews nothing but that the gift of tongues was not extinct in Irenæus's time: there is in it not a word of preaching in various languages. I believe, therefore, the event related in our text to have been a sudden and powerful inspiration of the Holy Spirit, by which the disciples uttered, not of their own minds, but as mouth-pieces of the Spirit, the praises of God in various languages, hitherto, and possibly at the time itself, unknown to them. (2) How is this έτ έραις γλώσσαις λαλείν related to the γλώσση λαλείν afterwards spoken of by St. Paul? I answer, that they are one and the same thing. γλώσση λαλ. is to speak in a language, as above explained; γλώσσαις (ἐτέραις, or καιναῖς, Mark xvi. 17) λαλ., to speak in languages, under the same circumstances. See this further proved in notes on 1 Cor. xiv. Meantime I may remark, that the two are inseparably connected by the following links,-ch. x. 46, xi. 15,-xix. 6,-in which last we have the same juxtaposition of γλώσσαις λαλεῖν and προφητεύειν, as afterwards in 1 Cor. and hypothesis, as a tributal as that xiv. 1-5 ff. (3) Who were those that partook of this gift? I answer, the whole assembly of believers, from Peter's application of the prophecy, vv. 16 ff. It was precisely the case supposed in 1 Cor. xiv. έὰν οὖν συνέλθη ἡ ἐκκλησία ὅλη ἐπὶ
 τὸ αὐτὸ καὶ πάντες λαλῶσιν γλώσσαις, εἰς έλθωσιν δὲ ἰδιῶται ἡ ἄπιστοι, οὐκ ἐροῦσοιν ὅτι μαίνεσθε; These ἰδιῶται and ἄπιστοι were represented by the έτεροι of our ver. 13, who pronounced them to be drunken. (4) I would not conceal the difficulty which our minds find in conceiving a person supernaturally endowed with

13

u ver. 14. ch. xxvl. 25 αποφθέγγεσθαι αὐτοῖς. δ τησαν δὲ ἐν Ἱερουσαλημ κατοι- ABCD Ex a b c conly. 1 Chona. xxv. 1. Pa. Iviii. 7. Εσεκ xiii. 9, 19. Mic. v. 12. Z-cb. x. 2 only. γ constr., ch. i. 13, 14. d fg h κ i m o p

πν. ins το αγιον E vulg æth. ree autois bef αποφθέγγι (corrn for the sake of perspicuous order; but these trajections and insertions between a governing and a governed word are characteristic of Luke, and esp in Acts), with C3E rel syr Cyr-jer: txt ABCIDN p vulg (sah?) arm Ath Cyr, Did Ambr Vig [36 def].

 $\epsilon \nu \ \iota \epsilon \rho$. bef $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu$, omg $\delta \epsilon$, D. катык. bef ev 1ep. C Syr 5. for ev, eis AN'.

the power of speaking, ordinarily and consciously, a language which he has never learned. I believe that difficulty to be insuperable. Such an endowment would not only be contrary to the analogy of God's dealings, but, as far as I can see into the matter, self-contradictory, and therefore impossible. But there is no such contradiction, and to my mind no such difficulty, in conceiving a man to be moved to utterance of sounds dictated by the Holy Spirit. And the fact is clearly laid down by Paul, that the gift of speaking in tongues, and that of interpreting, were wholly distinct. So that the above difficulty finds no place here, nor even in the case of a person both speaking and interpreting: see 1 Cor. xiv. 13. On the question whether the speaking was necessarily always in a foreign tongue, we have no data to guide us: it would seem that it was; but the conditions would not absolutely exclude rhapsodical and unintelligible utterance. Only there is this objection to it : clearly, languages were spoken on this occasion, -and we have no reason to believe that there were two distinct kinds of the gift. (5) It would be quite beyond the limits of a note to give any adequate history of the exegesis of the passage. A very short summary must suffice. (a) The idea of a gift of speaking in various languages having been conferred for the dissemination of the Gospel, appears not to have originated until the gift of tonques itself had some time disappeared from the Church. Chrysostom adopts it, and the great majority of the Fathers and expositors. (B) Gregory Nyss. (see Suicer. Thes., γλωσσα), Cyprian, and in modern times Erasmus and Schneekenburger, suppose that the miraele consisted in the multitude hearing in various languages that which the believers spoke in their native tongue: μίαν μεν έξηχεῖσθαι φωνήν, πολλàs δὲ ἀκούεσθαι. This view Greg. Nuz. mentions, but not as his own, and refutes it (Orat. xli. 15, p. 743), saying, ἐκείνως μέν γάρ τῶν ἀκουόντων ἃν εἴη μᾶλλον ἢ τῶν λεγόντων τὸ θαῦμα. This view, besides, would make a distinction between this instance of the gift and those subsequently related, which we have seen does not exist.

(y) The course of the modern German expositors has been, (1) to explain the facts related, by some assumption inconsistent with the text, as c. g. Olshausen, by a magnetic 'rapport' between the speakers and bearers,-whereas the speaking took place first, independently of the hearers ;- Eichhorn, Wieseler, and others, by supposing γλώσση λαλείν to mean speaking with the tongue only, i. c. inarticulately in ejaculations of praise, which will not suit γλώσσαις $\lambda a \lambda$.;—Bleek, by interpreting $\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a =$ glossema, and supposing that they spoke in unusual, enthusiastic, or poetical phraseology,-which will not suit γλώσση λαλ.;-Meyer (and De Wette nearly the same), by supposing that they spoke in an entirely new spiritual language (of which the γλώσσαι were merely the individual varieties), as was the case during the Irvingite delusion in this country,-contrary to the plain assertion of vv. 6-8, that they spoke, and the hearers heard, in the dialects or tongues of the various peoples specified; -Paulus, Schulthess, Kuinoel, &c. by supposing that the assembly of believers was composed of Jews of various nations, who spoke as moved by the Spirit, but in their own mother tongues, -which is clearly inconsistent with ver. 4 and the other passages, ch. x. and xix., and 1 Cor. xiv., above cited :- (2) to take the whole of this narrative in its literal sense, but cast doubts on its historical accuracy, and on Luke's proper understanding of what really did take place. This is more or less done by several of the above mentioned, as a means of escape from the inconsistency of their hypotheses with Luke's narrative. But, to set aside, argumenti gratia, higher considerations, - is it at all probable that Luke, who must have conversed with many eye and ear-witnesses of this day's events, would have been misinformed about them in so vital a point as the very nature of the gift by which the descent of the Spirit was accompanied? There is every mark, as I hope I have shewn abundantly in the prolegomena, of the Acts having been written in the company and with the co-operation of St. Paul: can we suppose that he, who treats so largely of this very gift elsewhere, ABCDE

lmop

κοῦντες Ἰουδαῖοι ἄνδρες " εὐλαβεῖς " ἀπὸ παντὸς ἔθνους "Luke Ii. 25. ch. vili. 2. τῶν " ὑπὸ τὸν οὐρανόν. 6 " γενομένης δὲ τῆς " φωνῆς ταύτης α συνῆλθεν τὸ " πλῆθος καὶ " συνεχύθη" ὅτι ἤκουον Εκαιχαϊϊι δια τὰς α ἔκαστος τῆ ἰδίᾳ " διαλέκτω λαλούντων αὐτῶν. 7 ' έξ - κ. v. vil. 3. ch. xriii. 3. ch INabe dfghk

ανδρ. bef ιουδ. C1: om ιουδ. N. copt Aug : ιουδαιοι bef κατοικ. Ε. ανδρ. D.

6. for οτι, και D : qui D-lat'. ηκουσεν Βκ: ηκουεν C p, audiebat vulg syrr sah om eis EN e 36. Aug, Bede: ηκουσαν 40. 96. for τη ιδ. διαλ. λαλ. αυτ., λαλουντας ταις γλωσσαις αυτων D Syr: ταις γλωσσαις αυτων syr-marg Aug: lingua sua vulg D-lat E-lat, linguam suam Bede.

would have allowed such an inaccuracy to remain uncorrected, if it had existed? On the contrary, I believe this narrative to furnish the key to the right understanding of 1 Cor. xiv. and other such passages, as I there hope more fully to prove. ws κ.τ.λ.] according as (i. e. 'in such measure and manner in each case as') the Spirit granted to them to speak (bestowed on them utterance). There is no emphasis, as Wordsw., on aùroîs, but rather the contrary: placed thus behind the verb, it becomes insignificant in comparison with the fact announced, and with the subject of the sentence. The word ἀποφθέγγεσθαι has been supposed here to imply that they uttered short ejaculatory sentences of praise: so Chrys., ἀποφθέγ-ματα γὰρ ἦν τὰ παρ' αὐτῶν λεγόμενα: (Εc., Bloomf., and Wordsw. But in neither of the two other places in St. Luke (see reff.) will it bear this meaning, nor in any of the six where it occurs in the LXX: though in two of those (Mic. and Zech.) it has the peculiar sense of speaking oracularly, and in Ezek. xiii. 19 it represents 13, mentior. Our word to utter, to speak out, seems exactly to render it. It is never desirable to press a specific sense, where the more general one seems to have become the accepted meaning of a word. And this is especially so here, where, had any peculiar sense been intended, the verb would surely have held a more prominent position. Their utterance was none of their own, but the simple gift and inspiration of the Holy 5—13.] Effect 5.] De Wette Spirit : see above. ON THE MULTITUDE. maintains that these κατοικοῦντες cannot have been persons sojourning for the sake of the feast, but residents: but see above on ver. 1. I see no objection, with Meyer, to including both residents and sojourners in the term, which only specifies their εὐλαβεῖς] Not in then residence. reference to their having come up to the VOL. II.

feast, nor to their dwelling from religious motives at Jerusalem (τὸ κατοικεῖν εὐλαβείας ήν σημείον, από τοσούτων έθνων πατρίδας άφέντας και οἰκίαν και συγγενείς, ἐκεί οἰκείν, Chrys.), but stated as imparting a character and interest to what follows. They were not merely vain and cu-

rious listeners, but men of piety and weight. ἀπὸ παντὸς ἔθν.] Not perhaps used so much hyperbolically, as with reference to the significance of the whole event. As they were samples each of their different people, so collectively they represented all the nations of the world, who should hear afterwards in their own tongues the wonder-6.] Whatever της ful works of God. φωνής ταύτης may mean, one thing is clear,-that it cannot mean, 'this rumour' ('when this was noised abroad,' E. V.: so also Erasm., Calv., Beza, Grotius, &c.), which would be unexampled (the two passages cited for this sense from the LXX are no examples; Gen. xlv. 16; Jer. xxvii. [1.] 46). We have then to choose between two things to which φωνή might refer: -(1) the \$\frac{1}{\eta}\cos of ver. 2, to which it seems bound by the past part. γενομένης (compare ver. 2, ἐγένετο . . . ἦχος), which would hardly be used of a speaking which was still going on when the multitude assembled: compare also John iii. 8;—and (2) the speaking with tongues of ver. 4. To this reference, besides the objection just stated, there is also another, that the voices of a number of men, especially when diverse as in this case, would not be indicated by φωνή, but by φωναί: compare Luke's own usage, even when the voices cried out the same thing, Luke xxiii. 23, οί δὲ ἐπέκειντο φωναίς μεγάλαις αἰτούμενοι αὐτὸν σταυρωθηναι, καί κατίσχυον αί φωναί αὐτῶν. And when he uses the sing., he explains it, as in ch. xix. 34, φωνη έγένετο μία έκ πάντων. So that we may safely decide for the former reference. The noise of the rushing mighty wind was heard over all the neighbourhood, probably over all Jerusaε – Mstt. ίσταντο δὲ καὶ ἐθαύμαζον λέγοντες Οὐχὶ ε ἰδοὺ ἄπαντες $^{\text{ABCDE}}_{\text{Rabe}}$ ειώτειώ. 31. ο ο τοἱ εἰσιν οἱ λαλοῦντες Γαλιλαῖοι; 8 καὶ πῶς ἡμεις d̄ fạ lɨκ ch. 31. τοῦς ἀκούομεν ἔκαστος τῷ $^{\text{h}}$ ἱδία $^{\text{e}}$ διαλέκτω $^{\text{h}}$ ἡμῶν ἐν ῷ ἐγεινήθη- 13 τοωπτς. ch. i. υμεν, 9 Πάρθοι καὶ Μῆδοι καὶ Ἑλαμῖται, καὶ οἱ $^{\text{i}}$ κατ- ις καὶ τοῦς τὴν Μεσοποταμίαν, Ἰουδαίαν τε καὶ Καππα-

7. rec aft εξιστ. δε ins παντες (from ver 12), with ACEIN' rel 36 vulg syrr coptt Thurt; απαντες N' 27. 29. 69: om BD a e f h l m o H ath Chr Aug. rec aft λεγοντες ins προς aλληλους (explanatory gloss; and hence became a var read also in some inferior mss in ver 12: not, as Mey., genuine here, and thence insd in ver 12), with DEI rel syrr: om ABCN p vulg coptt ath Thurt. rec συκ, with AC rel: συχ DEN p: syrr: om ABCN p vulg coptt ath Thurt. rec συκ, with AC rel: συχ DEN p: stx 18 (the ibecame absorbed by the foldy i, thence συχ, corrd into συκ as in lxx-λ Judg iv. 14; xv. 2, see Field's prolegg. p. xxi, note 1). rec παντες, with E rel: txt λ B(see table) CDIN 36. σιλαλ. bef είσιν C' lect-12 syr: είσιν bef συτοι p: qui log. Gal. sunt vulg copt.

8. την διαλεκτον D¹-gr vulg(not um but [Lachm] fuld) Syr Aug,(once τ , διαν δ.) Jer: txt D². η_{μ} , bef διαλ. Ε. ε γενηθημεν Λ C² οτ 3 E¹ p I. 13 Thl-fin. 9. om και ελαμιται Ν. om 3τι και D'-gr: ins D². om τε D'(and lat)

vulg(not am1 fuld): ins D5.

τὸ πληθος including the scoffers of ver. 13, as well as the pious strangers: but these latter only are here regarded in the συνεχύθη and in the ήκ. εls εκαστος. On these latter words see above on ver. 4. Each one heard λαλούντων αὐτῶν,i. e. either various disciples speaking various tongues, each in some one only: or the same persons speaking now one now another tongue. The former is more probable, although the latter seems to agree with some expressions in 1 Cor. xiv., e. g. ver. 18 (in the rec. and perhaps even in the present text).

ref. Genesis.

7.] They were not, literally, all Galilarans; but certainly the greater part were so, and all the Apostles and leading persons, who would probably 8-11. be the prominent speakers. This question is broken, in construction, by the enumeration of vv. 9, 10, and then ver. 11 takes up the construction again from ver. 8. As regards the catalogue itself, -of course it cannot have been thus delivered as part of a speech by any hearer on the occasion, but is inserted into a speech expressing the general sense of what was said, and put, according to the usage of all narrative, into the mouths of all. The words Ty lbiq brah. hu. er n έγεννήθημεν are very decisive as to the nature of the miracle. The hearers could not have thus spoken, had they been spiritually uplifted into the comprehension of some eestatic language spoken by the disciples. They were not spiritually acted on at all, but spoke the matter of fact: they were surprised at each recognizing, so far from his country, and in the mouths of Galilaans, his own native tongue. Haplor The entalogue proceeds from the N.E. to the W. and S. See Mede, Book i.

Disc. xx., who notices that it follows the order of the three great dispersions of the Jews, the Chaldeau, Assyrian, and Egyptian. So also Wordsw. 'Habet (Parthin) ab orth Arios, a meridic Carmaniam et Arianos, ab occasu Protitas Medos, a septentrione Hyreanos,—undique desertiscineta; 'Plin. vi. 29. See also Strabo, xi. 9, and Winer, RWB. Mpos.] Media, W. of Parthia and Hyreania, S. of the Caspian sea, E. of Armenia, N. of Persia.

'Ελαμίται] in pure Greek 'Ελυμαΐοι, inhabitants of Elam or Elymais, a Semitie people (Gen. x. 22). Elam is mentioned in connexion with Babylon, Gen. xiv. 1; with Media, Isa. xxi. 2; Jer. xxv. (xxxii. in LXX) 25; with, or as part of, Assyria, Ezek. xxxii. 24; Isa. xxii. 6; as a province of Persia, Ezra iv. 9; as the province in which Susan was situated, Dan. viii. 2 (but then Susiana must be taken in the wide sense, 'Ελυμαίοι προςεχείς ήσαν Σουσίοις, Strabo, xi. 13; xvi. 1). According to Josephus, Antt. i. 6. 4, the Elamaans were the progenitors of the Persians. We find scattered hordes under this name far to the north, and even on the Orontes near the Caspian (Strabo, xi. 13; xv. 3; xvi. 1). Pliny's description, the most applicable to the times of our text, is, 'Infra Eulæum (Susianen ab Elymaide disterminat amnis Eulæus, paulo supra) Elymais est, in ora juncta Persidi, a flumine Oronti ad Characem cexl m. pass. Oppida ejus Selencia et Sosirate, apposita monti Casyro,' vi. 27. Μεσοποταμίαν the well-known district between the Euphrates and Tigris, so called merely as distinguishing its geographical position (Strabo, xvi. 1): it never formed a state. The name does not appear to be older than the Macedonian conquests. The word is used δοκίαν, Πόντον καὶ τὴν 'Ασίαν, 10 Φρυγίαν τε καὶ κ ch, xvii. 21 Παμφυλίαν, Αίγυπτον καὶ τὰ μέρη τῆς Λιβύης τῆς 10 Κει xvii. 21 κατὰ Κυρήνην, καὶ οὶ κ ἐπιδημοῦντες 'Ρωμαῖοι, 'Ιουδαῖοι και από καὶ προςήλυτοι, 11 Κρῆτες καὶ 'Αραβες, ἀκούομεν 11 Μαλι. 49 λαλούντων αὐτῶν ταῖς ἡμετέραις γλώσσαις τὰ μεγαλεῖα 10 μεγιλ. 10 μεγιλ. 10 μεγιλ εῖα 10 10 Ειχ. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 11 10 1

10. om $\tau \in D$ vulg. aft $\alpha \imath \gamma$, ins $\tau \in D$ -gr. 11. arabo D^1 , arabi D-lat: txt D^4 . 12. rec $\delta \imath \eta \pi \sigma \rho \sigma \nu \nu$, with CDEI rel 36: txt $\Lambda B N$. aft allow ins $\epsilon \pi \iota$ $\tau \omega$ gegover. D syr-marg $A u g_1$, ins $\kappa \alpha \iota$ bed $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$, D. for an below, below (corrn to swit the direct form of speech after legions) ABCD I(appy) p 36 Chr: below N: txt E rel Thl.— $\tau \iota$ $\tau \sigma \nu \tau \sigma$ below N 5: txt E rel Thl.— $\tau \iota$ $\tau \sigma \nu \tau \sigma$ below N 5: txt E rel Thl.— $\tau \iota$ $\tau \sigma \nu \tau \sigma$ below N 5: txt E rel Thl.—Thl Thl.—Thl Thl.—Thl Thl.—Thl Thl.—Thl Thl.—Thl Thl—Th

by the LXX and E. V. in Gen. xxiv. 10 to express ΣΥΝΣΕ ΣΥΝ, Aram of the two rivers. Similarly the Peschito renders it here, and ch. vii. 2. See Winer, RWB. '10ν-δαίαν'] I can see no difficulty in Judæa being here mentioned. The catalogue does not proceed by languages, but by territorial division; and Judæa les immediately S. of its path from Mesopotamia to Cappadocia. It is not '10νδαΐου by birth and domicile, but of κατοκοῦντες τὴν '10νδαΐου who are spoken of: the ἄνδρες εὐλαβεῖς settled in Judæa. And even if born Jews were meant, doubtless they also would find a place among those who heard in their mother-tongue the wonderful works of God.

Kαπαδοκίαν] At this time (since U.C.170) a Roman province (see Tacit. Ann. ii. 42), embracing Cappadocia proper and Armenia minor. Πόντον] The former kingdom of Mithridates, lying along the S. coast of the Euxine (whence its name) from the river Halys to Colchis and Armenia, and separated by mountains from Cappadocia on the S. It was at this time divided into petty principalities under Roman protection, but subsequently (Suct. Ner. 18) became a province under Nero.

Ner. 18) became a province under Nero.

τὴν 'Ασίαν' i. e. here Asia propria, or rather the W. division of it, as described by Pliny, v. 27, as bounded on the E. by Phrygia and Lycaonia, on the W. by the Æggan, on the S. by the Egyptian sea, on the N. by Paphlagonia. Winer, RWB, cites from Solinus, 43: 'Sequitur Asia, sed non eam Asiam loquor quæ in tertio orbis divortio terminos omnes habet, . . . verum eam quæ a Telmesso Lyciæ incipit. Eam igitur Asiam ab Oriente Lycia includit et Phrygia, ab occid. Ægæa littora, a meridie mare Ægyptium, Paphlagonia a septentrione. Ephesus in ea urbs clarissima est.' See ch. xvi. 6, where the same appears to be intended.

10. Φργγίαν | ἡ μεγάλη

φρυγία of Strabo, xii. 8. Jos. Antt. xvi. 2. 2. It was at this time part of the Roman province of Asia. Παμφυλίαν] A small district, extending along the coast from Olbia (Strabo, xiv. 4), or Phaselis (Plin. v. 27), to Ptolemais (Strabo, l. c.). It was a separate tributary district (χωρίε βπλων φορολογείται, Jos. B. J. ii. 16. 4): we find it classed with Galatia and ruled by the same person, Tac. Hist. ii. 9.

the same person, Tac. Hist. ii. 9. Αίγυπτον Having enumerated the principal districts of Asia Minor, the catalogue passes (see above on the arrangement, ver. 9) to Egypt, a well-known habitation of Jews. Two-fifths of the population of Alexandria consisted of them, see Philo, in Flace. 8, vol. ii. p. 525, and they had an Ethnarch of their own, Jos. Antt. xiv. 7. 2; xix. 5. 2. τὰ μ. τ. Λιβύης τ. κ. Κυρήνην] By this expression is probably meant Pentapolis, where Josephus (Antt. xiv. 7. 2), quoting from Strabo, testifies to the existence of very many Jews,-amounting in Cyrene to a fourth part of the whole population. The Cyrenian Jews were so numerous in Jerusalem, that they had a special synagogue (see ch. vi. 9). Several were Christian converts: see ch. xi. 20; xiii. 1. οί ἐπι-δημοῦντες 'Ρωμαΐοι] 'The Roman Jews dwelling (or then being) in Jerusalem,' see ref. The comma after 'Pwuaioi is better retained (against Wordsw.). τ. κ. προςήλ.] This refers more naturally to the whole of the past catalogue, than merely to the Roman Jews. The τε καl shews that it does not take up a new designation, but expresses the classes or divisions of those which have gone before. See a similar construction in John ii. 15,

where τά τε πρόβατα κ. τοὺς βάας is epexegetic of πάντας preceding.

11. Κρῆτες κ. ΄ Αραβες] These words would seem as if they should precede the last. μεγαλεῖα] πίξης, ref. Ps., see also

p here only †. 13 ἕτεροι δὲ ρ διαχλευάζοντες ἕλεγον ὅτι 9 γλεύκους † με - ABCDE Ικαδε δελοπίγι.
χλ., ο κ. χνίι.
χλ., ο κ. χνίι.
χλ., ο κ. χνίι.
χλ., ο κ. χνίι.
μεστωμένοι είσίν.

14 8 σταθεὶς δὲ ὁ Πέτρος σὰν τοῖς ἕνδεκα d the hab c
χλ.
μεστωμένοι είσίν.

13 9 τε πηρεν τὴν ἡ φωνὴν αὐτοῦ καὶ αἰ απεφθέγζατο αὐτοῖς
πετε οπίγτι.
και οπίγτι.
και με παρεν τὰν ἡ φωνὴν αὐτοῦ καὶ αἰ απεφθέγζατο αὐτοῖς
το κ. χιί.
το κ.
το κ.
το κ. χιί.
το κ.
το κ.
το κ. χιί.
το κ.
τ

x here only. Gen. iv. 23. Ps. v. 1 al. y - Luke vii. 43 (x. 30. ch. i. 9. 3 John 8) only. Jet. xiir. (xxxvii ji). 2 Mati. xxii. 44. John ii. 10. 1 Cor. xi. 21. 1 Thess. v. 7. Rev. xvii. 2, 6 only. 1 Kings xxx. 36. trans, Deut. xxxii. 42.

13. rec γλεμού... with EII rel. tyt. A BCD 512N a.c. b. k. n. 13. 36. 40 - Νιενλεμούου.

13. rec $\chi \lambda \epsilon \nu a \zeta$, with E1 rel: txt ABCD612R a ch k p 13. 36. 40.— $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \chi \lambda \epsilon \nu a \zeta \omega$ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \nu \nu \tau \epsilon$ D'(and lat). aft $\gamma \lambda$, ins $\nu \nu \tau \omega$ D: also, variously placed, vulg coptt ath. 14. ins $\tau \sigma \tau \epsilon$ bef $\sigma \tau a \theta$, $\delta \epsilon$ D'-gr. rec om δ , with CE 13. 36 rel Th1-sif: ins ABD1R p 40 Th1-fin. for $\epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa a$, $\delta \epsilon \kappa a$ D'(and lat¹: txt D²), and add $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \lambda \omega$ D lect-12 Syr Aug. aft $\epsilon \pi \eta \rho$, ins $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \nu$ D'(and lat¹: aft τ , $\phi \omega$, aut $\sigma \nu \omega$ ins $\pi \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ E. aft $\alpha \pi \epsilon \phi \theta$, ins $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu$ C Aug. for $\alpha \pi \epsilon \phi \theta$, $\alpha \nu \tau$, $\epsilon \tau \kappa \nu$ D. $\pi \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda$ BC(D)R p: txt (see protegy) E1² rel 36 vulg Th1.— $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \tau \epsilon$ before $\alpha \tau \tau$, $\epsilon \epsilon \rho$. D.

ημειν D¹: txt D⁴. om και bef ενωτ. D. ενωτισατε D¹: -σαθε D¹(sic).

15. ουσης ωρας της ημ. γ' D1-gr vulg E-lat Aug Gaud: txt D-corr1.

ref. Luke. 13. ἔτεροι Probably native Jews, who did not understand the foreign languages. Meyer supposes, - persons previously hostile to Jesus and his disciples. and thus judging as in Luke vii. 31 they judged of Himself. γλεύκους] m, see ref. Job. Sweet wine, not necessarily new wine (nor is the "spiritual sense of the passage" any reason why a meaning should be given to the word which it need not bear. That sense in fact remains without the meaning in question): perhaps made of a remarkably sweet small grape, which is understood by the Jewish expositors to be meant by שֹרָקה or שֹרָק, Gen. xlix. 11; lsa. v. 2; Jer. ii. 21,-and still found in Syria and Arabia (Winer, RWB.). Suidas interprets it, τὸ ἀποστάλαγμα της σταφυλης πρίν πατηθή.

14-36.] THE SPEECH OF PETER. "Luke gives us here the first sample of the preaching of the Gospel by the Apostles, with which the foundation of Christian preaching, as well as of the Church itself, appears to be closely connected. We discover already, in this first sermon, all the peculiarities of apostolic preaching. It contains no reflections nor deductions concerning the doctrine of Christ,—no proposition of new and unknown doctrines, but simply and entirely consists of the proclamation of historical facts. The Apostles appear here as the witnesses of that which they had seen: the Resurrection of Jesus forming the central point of their testimony. It is true, that in the after-development of the Church it was impossible to confine preaching to this historical announcement only: it gradually became invested with the additional

office of building up believers in knowledge. But nevertheless, the simple testimony to the great works of God, as Peter here delivers it, should never be wanting in preaching to those whose hearts arenot yet penetrated by the Word of Truth." Olshausen, in loc.

The discourse divides itself into two parts: 1. (vv. 14-21) 'This which you hear is not the effect of drunkenness, but is the promised outpouring of the Spirit on all flesh,'—2. (vv. 22—36) 'which Spirit has been shed forth by Jesus, whom you crucified, but whom God hath exalted to be Lord and Christ.' 14. σὺν τοῖς ενδεκα] Peter and the eleven come forward from the great body of believers. And he distinguishes (by the obtor in ver. 15) not himself from the eleven, but himself and the eleven from the rest. De Wette concludes from this, that the Apostles had not themselves spoken with tongues, as being an inferior gift (1 Cor. xiv. 18 fl.); perhaps too rashly, for this view hardly accords with απαντες, which is the subject of the whole of ver. 4. avopes 'loud. the Jews, properly so called: native dwellers in Jerus. οί κατ. ίερ. απ., the sojourners (ver. 5) from other parts. ένωτίσασθε is a word unknown to good Greek, and belonging apparently to the Alexandrine dialect. Stier quotes 'inaurire' from Lactantius (R. der Ap. p. 32, not.) 15.] οὐτοι,

quidquam, antequam oraverit orationem suam." Berachoth. f. 28. 2; Lightf., Wetst. But perhaps we need not look further than the ordinary intent of such a defence—

of prayer: before which no pious Jew might

ent or drink : "Non licet homini gustare

ώρα τρίτη] the first hour

for $\nu\mu\omega\nu$ (1st and 2nd), $\alpha\nu\tau\omega\nu$ D Rebapt Hil Jer (corrn to suit maoras $\sigma\alpha\mu\kappa\alpha$ s?): om 2nd $\nu\mu$. C om 3rd $\nu\mu$. D Rebapt Jer. om 4th $\nu\mu$. (C¹?) DE. on $\alpha\iota$ bef $\theta\nu\gamma$. (C¹?) D. ora $\sigma\iota$ D. ree evunua (so ι xx-18), with E

rel 36 vulg D-làt E-lat Chr Seyrn: om D¹-gr: txt (so lxx-A) ABCD²N f k p 13 Thl.

18. for γε, εγω D¹(and lat: txt D¹). transpose τας δουλας and τους δουλους Ν.
οm εν τ. ημ. εκ. and (ας lxx) και προφητευσ. D Rehapt Jer.

19. om (as Lxx) ανω A m 371 Syr sah (of these Syr omits κατω: so also Lxx).

om αιμα to καπνου D.

20. μεταττρεφεται D¹-gr: -τραφισται D¹0: txt D²(and lat). om η (as lxx) ACDEN p 13: ins B rel 36 Chr. ree ins την bef ημεραν (conform to lxx and

the improbability of intoxication at that hour of the morning. See Eccl. x. 16; Isa. v. 11; 1 Thess. v. 7. 16. This prophecy is from the LXX, with very slight variations. Where the copies differ, it agrees with the Alexandrine. The variations, &e., are noticed below. έστιν, 'this is,' i. e. 'this is the fact, at which those words pointed.' See a somewhat similar expression, Luke xxiv. 44. 17.] ἐν ταῖς ἐσχ. ἡμ. is an exposition of the μετὰ ταῦτα of the LXX and Hebrew, referring it to the days of the Messiah, as Isa. ii. 2; Micah iv. 1, al. See also 2 Tim. iii. 1; Heb. i. 1. λέγει ὁ θεός does not occur in the verse of Joel, but at the beginning of the whole passage, ver. 12, and is supplied by Peter here. ἐκχεω] Alex.: καὶ ἐκχ., Vat. It is a later form of the future; see Winer, edn. 6, § 15. ἀπὸ τοῦ πν.] In the Heb. simply "My Spirit,"—אתרנתי The two clauses, κ , of $\nu \in \alpha \nu$, and κ , of $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$, are transposed

in the LXX. Aft. $\delta \omega / \kappa \alpha (\gamma \epsilon)$ Alex.: $\kappa \alpha i, \ Vat.$ Aft. $\delta \omega / \kappa \alpha s$ om $\mu \omega V$ Vat. The Hebrew does not express it either time, but has, as in E. V., 'the servants and handmaids.' $\kappa \alpha l \pi \rho \phi \eta \tau \epsilon \psi - \sigma \omega v \omega l$ is not in LXX nor Heb.

19.] καὶ δώσω τέρατα ἐν οἰρανῷ, Yat.: txt Alex. ἄνω, σημεῖα, απὶ κάτω are not in LXX nor Heb. αἰμα κ. πῦρ] Not, 'bloodshed and wasting by fire,' as commonly interpreted:—not devostations, but prodigies, are foretold:—bloody and fiery appearances:—pillars of smoke, Heb. 20.] See Matt. xxiv. 29. ἡμ. κυρ.] Not the first coming of Christ,—which interpretation would run counter to the whole tenor of the Apostle's application of the propheey:—but clearly, His second coming; regarded in prophetic language as following close upon the outpouring of the Spirit, because it is the next great event in the divine arrangements. The Apost

α - John vil. μεγάλην καὶ επιφανη. 2^1 καὶ εσται, πας ος εὰν ΑΒCD E_{RS} be the vil. επικαλέσηται τὸ ὄνομα κυρίου y σωθήσεται. 2^2 ἄνδρες algebra between vil. Τος και καλέσηται τὸ ὄνομα κυρίου y σωθήσεται. 2^2 ἄνδρες algebra 1 που νοτους. Τησούν 1 που νοτους. Τησούν 1 που νοτους. Τησούν 1 που νοτους. Απούν 1 που νοτους 1 του νοτους 1 νοτους 1 του νοτους 1 τον νοτους 1 του νοτους 1 τον νοτ

(a.1x 4, 2), chotriotre to the document of the state of t

gramml corrn), with ΛCEN3 rel 36: om BDN1. om και επιφ. DN.

21. on ver R: ins in very small letters R-corrl.

ACDR-corrl rel Chr: txt BE 36. ins του bef κυρ. D1.

ACDN-corr' ret Chr: txt Br. 30.

18. που bet κυρ. D'.

22. αδραμλιται (so ch. iii. 12 al) N.

καρομουν (so ch. iii. 6 al) D'N'.

αποδεδ.

bet απο τ. θ. (corru to avoid ambiguity of ανδρ. απο τ. θ.) BCD-corrN mp vulg arm

λth Epiph, Chr Iren-int Fulg: txt AD'E rel 36 D-lat Thl.—δεδοκιμασμενον D'(appy):

txt D': probatum D-lat: designatum E-lat: approbatum vulg Iren-int Ambr Fulg.

—qui a Deo videri factus est apud vos Syr.

for νμ., ημας D' c k 100. 127 have ημων below): txt D'.

on o bet θεος C.

o θ. bet δε αντου Ε d l vulg(not am demid) Thl.

on σ bet θεσς C. σ θ. bet δε αυτου Ε d I vulg(not am demid) 1111. rec at t καθωs ins και (καθως και being a very common expr), with C 3 13 rel syr Chr: om ABC DEN in p 36, 40 Syr sah ath Ath Iren-int Victorin Fulg. for αυτοι, υμεις

martes E; vueis 117 vulg sah arm.

tles probably expected this coming very soon (see note on Rom. xiii. 11); but this did not at all affect the accuracy of their expressions respecting it. Their days witnessed the Pentecostal effusion, which was the beginning of the signs of the end : then follows the period, KNOWN TO THE FATHER ONLY, of waiting-the Church for her Lord, the Lord Himself till all things shall have been put under His feet,-und then the signs shall be renewed, and the day of the Lord shall come. Meantime, and in the midst of these signs, the covenant of the spiritual dispensation is, ver. 21- Whosoever shall call on the name of the Lord, shall be saved.' The gates of God's mercy are thrown open in Christ to all people : - no barrier is placed, - no union with any external association or succession required: the promise is to individuals, As individuals : mas &s lav : which individual universality, though here by the nature of the circumstances spoken within the limits of the outward Israel, is afterwards as expressly asserted of Jew and Gentile, Rom. i. 17, where see note.

22. fwδp. 1τp. binds all the hearers in one term, and that one reminds them of their covenant relation with God: compare πās olkos 1τpaπλη, ver. 36. πλω. Ναξωράνο. Not emphatically used by way of contrast to what follows, as Beza, Wetst., &c.: but only as the ordinary appellation of Jesus by the Jews, see John xviii, 5, 7; ch. xxii. 8; xxvi. 9. Δπδ, not for bπδ, here or any where else (see Winer, edn. 6, § 47, b); but signifying the

source whence, not merely the agency by which, the deed has place. See reff., and especially James i. 13. αποδεδεινμέvov demonstratum, more than 'approved' (E. V.) :- shewn to be that which He claimed to be. ἀποδεδ, must be taken with ἀπὸ τ. θεοῦ: not, as some have divided the words, $\check{a}\nu\delta\rho$. $\grave{a}\pi\delta$ τ . $\theta\epsilon\circ\hat{v}$, ἀποδ. κ.τ.λ.: Gal. i. 1 is no justification or this, for there ἀπό refers to ἀπόστολος,and certainly Peter would never have barely thus named our Lord 'a man from God.' The whole connexion of the passage would besides be broken by this rendering: that connexion being, that the Man Jesus of Nazareth was by God demonstrated, by God wrought in among you, by God's counsel delivered to death, by God raised up (which raising up is argued on till ver. 32, then taken up again), by God (ver. 36), finally, made Lord and Christ. This was the process of argument then with the Jews, -proceeding on the identity of a man whom they had seen and known,-and then mounting up from His works and His denth and His resurrection, to His glorification,-all THE PURPOSE AND DOING OF Gon. But if His divine origin, or even His divine mission, he stated at the outset, we break this climacterical sequence, and lose the power of the argument. The ἀποδεδειγμένον (είναι) ἀπό θεοῦ of Dr. Bloom-field is of course worse still. ofs (å) ἐποίησεν δι' αὐτ. ὁ θ. not, as De Wette, a low view of the miracles wrought by Jesus, nor inconsistent with John ii. 11; but in strict accordance with the progress

οἴδατε 23 τοῦτον τη f ώρισμένη g βουλη καὶ b προγνώσει f επί. 28 ref. c τοῦ θεοῦ i ἔκδοτον k διὰ χειρὸς i ἀνόμων m προςπήξαντες g επικενίις. n ἀνείλατε, 24 ὃν ὁ θεὸς o ἀνέστησεν pq λύσας τὰς qr ἀδίνας b Γουνιτοῦ θανάτου, s καθότι οὐκ ην δυνατὸν t κρατείσθαι αὐτὸν t τοῦ θανάτου, s καθότι οὐκ ην δυνατὸν t Κρατείσθαι αὐτὸν t $^$

23. rec aft εκδ. ins λαβοντες (corrn to fill up the constr), with DEN³ rel 36 syr Chr Cosm Thl: om ABC'N¹ p 40 vulg Syr coptt ath arm Ath Iren-int Victorin Fulgree corrn), with '03E rel 36 vulg coptt Chr Iren-int: txt ABC'DN p 13 Ath Cyr Thl-sif. [ανειλατε, so ABCDEN d p 36 Ath Thl.]

24. aft λυσαs ins δι αυτου Ε. for θανατου, αδου (corrn from vv. 27, 31: see also Ps xvii. 5) D vulg E-lat Syr copt Polyc Epiph, Ps-Ath Iren-int Fulg Cassiod.

25. ins μεν bef γαρ Ε 36. [προορωμην ΑΒΙCDEN (not 36).] aft κυριον

of our Lord through humiliation to glory, and with His own words in that very Gospel (v. 19), which is devoted to the great subject, the manifestation, by the Father, of the glory of the Son. This side of the subject is here especially dwelt on in argument with these Jews, to exhibit (see above) the whole course of Jesus of Nazareth, as the ordinance and doing of THE GOD OF ISRAEL. 23.] βουλή and πρόγνωσις are not the same: the former designates the counsel of God-His Eternal Plan, by which He has arranged (cf. ωρισμένη) all things; the latter, the omniscience, by which every part of this plan is foreseen and unforgotten by Him.

*κδοτον] by whom, is not said, but was supplied by the hearers. τῆ ὡρισμ. &c. are not to be joined to ἐκδοτον na agents—the dative is that of accordance and appointment, not of agency:—see Winer, edn. 6, § 31. 6, b, and ch. xv. 1; 2 Pet. i. 21. 8. χειρὸς ἀνόμων] viz. of the Roman soldiers, see reft. προςπή-ξωντες] The harshness and unworthiness of the deed are strongly set forth by a word expressing the mechanical act increty, having nailed up, as in contrast with the

former clause, from 'tŋơoῦ to ὁμῶν. Peter lays the charge on the multitude, because they abetted their rulers,—see ch. iii. 17, where this is fully expressed: not for the far-fetched reason given by Olshausen, that 'all mankind werein faet guilty of the death of Jesus:' in which case, as Meyer well observes (and the note in Olsh.'s last edn. ii. p. 666, does not auswer this), Peter must have said 'we,' not 'you.' 24.] There is some difficulty in explaining

the expression & Sivas in the connexion in which it is here found. The difficulty lies, not in the connexion of λύειν with ἀδίνας, which is amply justified, see reff., but in the interpretation of abovas here. For ώδινας θαν. must mean the pains of death, i. e. the pains which precede and end in death; a meaning here inapplicable. (The explanation of Chrys., Theophyl., Œc., & θάνατος ώδινε κατέχων αὐτόν, κ. τὰ δεινὰ $\epsilon \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \epsilon$, will not be generally maintained at the present day. Stier does maintain it, Reden der Apostel, vol. i. p. 43 ff., but to me not convincingly: and, characteristically, Wordsw. also.) The fact may be, that Peter used the Hebrew word תַּבְלִי, ref. Psa, 'nets, or bands,' i. e. the nets in which death held the Lord captive; and that, in rendering the words into Greek, the LXX rendering of the word in that place and Ps. exiv. 3, viz. ἀδίνες, has been adopted. (But see Prolegg. to Vol. I. ch. ii. § ii. pp. 28, 29.) It has been attempted in vain by Olshausen and others to shew that & olives sometimes in Hellenistic Greek signifies bands. No one instance cited by Schleusner (Lex. V. T.) of that meaning is to the point. See Simonis Lex., λπ. ούκ ἡν δυν. depends for its proof on the γάρ which follows. 25.] εἰς αὐτόν,

οὐκ ἡν δυν. depends for its proof on the γάρ which follows. 25.] εἰς αὐτόν, not 'of Him,' but in allusion to Him. The 16th Psalm was not by the Rabbis applied to the Messiah: but Peter here proves to them that, if it is to be true in its highest and proper meaning of any one, it must be of Him. We are met at every turn by the shallow objections of the Rationalists, who seem incapable of comprehending the principle on which the say-

ins you DX : om evwnior Syr.

26. [ηνφρανθη, so ABCDEN m p 40 Clem.]

LXX), with ACDEN red 36; txt BN Clem.

εφ' DN.

εφ' DN.

27. rec abov, with E rel Orig: txt ABCDN b c f (k?) o p 40 Clem Thl.

28. γνωρισας D¹-gr: lat D². ευφροσυνην A¹(appy) 96(sic Scholz), so A in Lxx (Field is wrong).

ings of David respecting himself are referred to Christ. To say, with De Wette, that Peter's proof lies not in any historical but only in an ideal meaning of the Psalm, is entirely beside the subject. To interpret the sayings of David (or indeed those of any one else) 'historically,' i. e. solely as referring to the occasion which gave rise to them, and luving no wider reference, would be to establish a canon of interpretation wholly counter to the common sense of mankind. Every one, placed in any given position, when speaking of himself as in that position, speaks what will refer to others similarly situated, and most pointedly to any one who shall in any especial and pre-eminent way stand in that position. Applying even this common rule to David's sayings, the applicability of them to Christ will be legitimized :- but how much more, when we take into account the whole circumstances of David's theocratic position, as the prophetic representative and type of Christ! Whether the Messiah was present or not to the mind of the Psalmist, is of very little import: in some cases He plainly was: in others, as here, David's words, spoken of himself and his circumstances, could only be in their highest and literal sense true of the great Son of David who was to come. David often spoke concerning himself: but the Spirit who spoke in David, els του χριστόν. The citation is verbatim from the LXX (except in the order of mov h kop .: see var. readd.): the Vatican and Alexandrine copies agree throughout, except in agn (Vat.) and

π δου (Alex.), and εὐφροσύνης (Vat.) and νην (Alex.), between which our MSS. also vary.
νω μὴ σαλευθῶ] Heb.
κ I shall not be mored. 26. ἡ γλῶσσα μου] Heb.
πίος, κ my glory: so in Ps.
cviii. 1, where our prayer-book version renders "I will give praise with the best member that I lave." Cf. also Ps. Ivii. 8.

27. διαφθοράν] Heb. הדיני, 'corruption,' from הדיני, 'corruption,' from הדיני, corrupit, or 'the pit,' from τως, subsidere. De Wette maintains the last to be the only right rendering: but the Lexicons give both, as above, and Meyer and Stier defend the other.

28. εγνώρισας κ.τ.λ.: Heb. 'Thou will make known.' πληρώσεις κ.τ.λ.: Heb. ' Fulness of joys (is) with thy pre-These two last clauses refer to the Resurrection and the Ascension respec-29. ἄνδρες ἀδελφοί] q. d., 'Ι am your brother, an Israelite, and therefore would not speak with disrespect of David.' He prepares the way for the apologetic sentence which follows. ¿ξόν] supply, not έστω, but έστίν, I may, &c. title 'Patriarch' is only here applied to David, as the progenitor of the kingly race:—Abraham and the sons of Jacob are so called in the N. T. refl. In the LXX, the word is used of chief men, and heads of families, with the exception of 2 Chron. xxiii. 20, where it represents "captains of hundreds." oti] not, because: but that, - contains the subject of eineiv, and is that for which the apology is made. We learn from 1 Kings ii. 10, and

λεύτησεν καὶ χέτάφη, καὶ τὸ γμνῆμα αὐτοῦ ἐστιν ἐν ἡμῖυ χι Cor. x. 4 ref. τος ἡμέρας ταὐτης. 30 προφήτης οὖν αὐτάρχων καὶ ξε - Luke iv. εἰδὼς ὅτι ϶ ὄρκιμ ἡε τος μισσεν αὐτιψ ὁ θεὸς ἐκ ἀ καρποῦ τῆς ε - Luke iv. εὐδὼν ἐλάλησεν περὶ τῆς ἡ ἀναστάσεως τοῦ χριστοῦ, ὅτι ἐν εἰλε ἐν εἰδὼν ἐλάλησεν περὶ τῆς ἡ ἀναστάσεως τοῦ χριστοῦ, ὅτι ἐν εἰνεὶ εἰνε

29. το μνημιον D. for εν, παρ D vulg E-lat.

30. ειδως D1: txt D4. for οσφυος, καρδιας D1: præcordia D-lat: txt D7.8. ree aft oop, aurou ins το κατα σαρκα αναστησειν τον χρίστον (explanatory gloss, taken into the teat from margin), with (D'E) rel Eus (Chr) Thdrt Thi—but om το D¹, om το κ. σαρ. Ε 4. 27. 29: αναστησαι D¹E 13: aft τον χρ. ins και D-gr E 69. 96. 105: om ABCD³κ p Hr vulg Syr copit ath arm Cyr Iren-int Victorin. ree του θρονου, with E rel Chr: txt ABCDℜ p Orig Eus Thl-sif (xxx-B has νου, xxx-A -νον: Meyer thinks -vov a gramml alteration to suit better the transitive καθισαι: but qu?).

31. προείδως D6 1. 60. 69. 100. 104. 127. 163: προείδων (= προίδ.) ΑύΕ c e 13. om $\pi \rho o i \delta$. $\epsilon \lambda$. π . τ . D^1 (and lat). om $\tau \eta s$ B(Btly). rec for out ϵ and out ϵ , ou and out ϵ (corrn from ver 27), with E-gr(ouk) rel syrr coptt Thdor-mops Thl: ouk and out ϵ 13: txt ABCDN p 36 vulg E-lat Eus Nyss Chr Cyr Iren-int Victorin Fulg Bede-gr. rec κατελειφθη, with rel: txt ABCDEN d f h 13.36 Eus Thaum Nyss Chr Thdrt Thdormops Thl. rec adds η ψυχ η αυτου (from ver 27), with C^3 E rel syr(aft $\alpha\delta$.) Chr (bef $\epsilon\gamma\kappa\alpha\tau$.) Thdor-mops(aft $\alpha\delta$.) Fulg Philast: om ABC DN ρ vulg Syr coptt with Did-

int Iren-int Victorin. αδην ΒΝ b (k?) o p 36 Eus Thaum Nyss Thl2.
32. aft τουτον ins ουν D¹(and lat) E Ambr Victorin.—om τον D¹-gr: txt D³. εσμεν bef ημεις X: μαρτ. bef εσμεν D vulg. ouv D'(omg τον) E D-lat Ambr.

Neh. iii. 16, that David was buried at Jerusalem, in the city of David, i. e. the stronghold of Zion, 2 Sam. v. 7. Josephus, Antt. vii. 15. 3, gives an account of the high priest Hyrcanus, when besieged by Antiochus Eusebes,-audafterwards King Herod, opening the tomb and taking treasure from it. See also xiii. 8. 4; xvi. 7. 1; B. J. i. 2. 5. Dio Cassius (lxix. 14) mentions, among the prodigies which preceded Hadrian's war, that the tomb of Solomon (the same with that of David, see Jos. Antt. xvi. 7. 1) fell down. Jerome mentious (Epist. xlvi. [xvii.] ad Marcellam, p. 209) that the tomb of David was visited in his time (the end of the fourth century).

30. προφήτης, in the stricter sense, a foreteller of future events by the inspiration of the Holy Spirit. είδώς] See 2 Sam. vii. 12. The words are not cited from the LXX, but rendered from the Hebrew. On the principle of interpretation of this propliccy, see above on ver. 25.

31. The word προϊδών distinctly asserts the prophetic consciousness of David in the composition of this Psalm. But of what sort that prophetic consciousness was, may be gathered from this same Apostle, 1 Pet. i. 10-12: that it was not a distinct knowledge of the events which they foretold, but only a conscious reference in their minds to the great promises of the covenant, in the expression of which they were guided by the Holy Spirit of prophecy to say things pregnant with meaning not patent to themselves but to us. From ver. 25 has been employed in substantiating the Resurrection as the act of God announced by prophecy in old time: now the historical fact of its accomplishment is affirmed, and the vouchers for it où either masc., see ch. produced. i. 8; xiii. 31,-or nent. The former seems most probable as including the latter. 'We are His witnesses,' would imply, 'We testify to this His work,' which work implied the Resurrection. πάντες, first and most properly the Twelve: but, secondarily, the whole body of believers, all of whom, at this time, had probably seen the Lord since His Resurrection; see 1 Cor. 33. Peter now comes to the Ascension-the exaltation of Jesus to be, in the fullest sense, Lord and Christ. $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\delta \epsilon \xi i \hat{q}$] by the right hand, not 'to the right hand.' The great end of this n Mat. xxiii. θ εοῦ " ὑψωθεὶς τήν τε "ἐπαγγελίαν τοῦ πνεύματος τοῦ ABCD xxiii.17. ἀγίου " λαβῶν " παρὰ τοῦ πατρὸς "ἔξέχεεν τοῦτο δ ὑμεῖς d̄ f f h κ χ και παρὶ. Τοῦς καὶ ἀκούετε. $\frac{34}{2}$ οῦ γὰρ Δαυείδ ' ἀνέβη ' εἰς $\frac{1}{10}$ ορ - eh. 1 ἀτεί [καὶ] βλέπετε καὶ ἀκούετε. $\frac{34}{2}$ οῦ γὰρ Δαυείδ ' ἀνέβη ' εἰς $\frac{1}{10}$ ορ - john v. 3i. τοὺς ' οὐρομοούς, λέγει δὲ αὐτὸς Εἶπεν κύριος τῷ κυρίψ και δέχαιν μου $\frac{35}{2}$ εως ᾶν θω τοὺς ἐχθρούς lee, ii. τοῦς τοῦς τὸς κυρίψ γλαικείτ. Γου καὶ τοῦς ἀνθούς τοῦν ποδῶν σου. $\frac{36}{2}$ "ἀσφαλῶς οὖν τοῦν ποδῶν σου. $\frac{36}{2}$ "ἀσφαλῶς οὖν και τοῦς κερίς γινωσκέτω πᾶς ' οἶκος ' Ισραὴλ ὅτι καὶ κύριον αὐτὸν και σες κερίς και καὶ κύριον αὐτὸν και σες κερίς τοῦς και δενίς και κυριον αὐτὸν και σες κερίς και κυριον αὐτὸν και σες κερίς και και κυριον αὐτὸν και σες κερίς και κυριον αὐτὸν και σες και σε

 q vv. 17, 18
 γινωσκέτω πας νοίκος Ίσοαηλ ὅτι και κυρίον αὐτον και d

 r John li. 13.
 κοπ. x. 6 (from Deal, xxx. 12).
 Rer. xi, 12.

 - 43. ch. vii. 40.
 11-h. l. 13. x. 13. James ii. 8 only.
 1sa. [xvi. 1.
 Ps. xcviii. 5.

 - xiv. 4.
 xvi. 3.
 xvi. 3.
 xvi. 3.
 xvi. 4.

 - xiv. 1.
 xvi. 1.
 y = Matt. x. 6. ch. vii. 42.
 ttch. viii. 42.

 - xiv. 1.
 xxxiv. 25.
 y = Matt. x. 6. ch. vii. 42.
 ttch. viii. 42.

33. for $\tau\eta\nu$ $\tau\epsilon$, $\kappa\alpha\iota$ $\tau\eta\nu$ D. rec τ . $\alpha\gamma$, $\pi\nu\epsilon\nu\mu$., with D rel Thdrt Cosm Th1 Irent: txt ABCEN c p 13 Chr, spiritus sancti vss(appy). For $\tau\sigma\nu\tau\sigma$ o views, view τ D'(and lat): txt D': alt $\tau\sigma\nu\tau\sigma$ in $\tau\sigma$ default even interpretable. rec ins $\nu\nu\nu$ bef views, with C³E rel syr Cosm Th1: om ABC¹DN 1 p vulg Syr coptt arm Cyr Did Ambr Philast. rec om 1st $\kappa\alpha\iota$ (as unnecessary), with ACEN rel Thdrt; ins BD 13.

34. for λεγει δε, ειρηκεν γαρ D; dixit autem vulg(not am fuld &c). for ειπεν,

λέγει D um Int-mss-in-Bede. ins o bef κυριος B'(sic, see table) R'.

35. om αν D': ins D'2.

36. ins o bef our. CD c.

elz om 1st kai, with (none of our mss) Syr coptt

speech is to shew forth (see above) the GOD OF ISRAEL as the doer of all these things. However well the sense 'to' might seem to agree with the ek δεξιών of ver. 34, we must not set uside a very suitable sense, nor violate syntax (for the construction is entirely unexampled in Hellenistie as well as prose classical Greek) in order to suit an apparent adaptation. The reference is carried on by the word δεξιά, though it be not in exactly the same position in the two cases. And the ave By els robs obp. of ver. 34 prepares the way for the ek degiou following without any hurshness. On the poetic dutive after verbs of approach, see Musgr., Phœnissa, 310 (303, Matth.), and Hermann, Antig. 234. See also ch. v. 31, and Winer (who defends the construction), edn. 6, § 31. 5. Dr. Wordsw. denies that the δεξιὰ θεοῦ is ever specified in the N. T. as the instrument by which He works. But he has omitted to state that this and the similarly ambiguous place, ch. v. 31, are the only real instances of the expression being used, all the rest being loval, ἐκ δεξιών or ἐν δεξιά: so that his dictum goes for nothing. And in the LXX the use of God's right hand as the instrument is very frequent: cf. Exod. xv. 6, 12; Ps. xvii. 36; lix. 5 (where the dut. is used as here), and about 20 other places; Isa. xlviii. 13; lxiii. 12, &c. After this, the objection, when applied to a speech so full of O. T. spirit and diction as this, would, even if valid as regards the N. T., be irrelevant. έπαγγελίαν Christ is said to have received from the Father the pro-

mise above cited from Joel, which is spoken of His days. This, and not of course the declarations made by Himself to the same effect, is here referred to, though doubtless those were in Peter's mind. The very word, ξέχεεν, refers to ἐκχεῶ above, yer. 17.

rouro, 'this influence,' this merely; leaving to his hearers the inference, that this, which they saw and heard, must be none other than the effusion of the Spirit.

βλέπετε need not imply, as Dr. Burton thinks, that "there was some visible appearance, which the people saw as well as the apostles :"-very much of the effect of the descent of the Spirit would be visible,-the enthusiasm and gestures of the speakers for instance; not, however, the tongues of flame,-for then none could have spoken as in ver. 13. 34.] This exaltation of Christ is also proved from prophecy-and from the same passage with which Jesus Himself had silenced His enemies. See notes, Matt. xxii. 41 ff. is not 'for,' which would destroy the whole force of the sentence: the Apostle says, For David himself is not ascended into the heavens,-as he would be if the former prophecy applied to him : BUT he himself says, removing all doubt on the subject, &c. The rendering δέ, for, makes it appear as if the ave By eis T. vip. were a mistaken inference from Psalm ex. 1, whereas that passage is adduced to preclude its being unde from the other, THE CONCLUSION FROM ALL THAT HAS BEEN SAID. πâς οἶκος Ἰσρ. = πâs δ olk. Ίσρ., olkos being a familiar noun used anarthrously : see Eph. ii. 21, note,

καὶ χριστὸν ὁ θεὺς " ἐποίησεν, τοῦτον τὸν Ἰησοῦν ὃν " - Matt.ir. 19. John νι τομεῖς ἐσταυρώσατε. 15. Rev. I. 6 Al. Gen. 17. 'Ακούσαντες δὲ \times κατενύγησαν τὴν καρδίαν, εἶπόν \times κατενύγησαν τὰν καρδίαν, εῖπόν \times κατενόν \times κατενίαν \times κατενύγησαν τὰν καρδίαν \times κατενόν \times κατενίαν \times κατενίαν \times κατενόν \times κατενίαν \times ποιήσωμεν, ανδρες άδελφοί; 38 Πέτρος δε προς αυτούς και ii. 2. (h. iii. 1) y Μετανοήσατε, και ² βαπτισθήτω εκαστος υμών ² έπι τω vill. 22 al.

Enstath-ap-Thdrt Nyss₁: ins ABCDEN rel vulg syr æth-pl Epiph₂ Nyss₁ Iren-int. rec και χριστον bef αυτον, with E rel syrr Ath, Epiph, : και χριστον ο θεος bef αυτον c m 4. 100 Tert: αυτον bef κυριον coptt (all transpositions for perspicuity): om αυτον D¹(and lat): txt ABCD²N 36 vulg arm Eustath Ath, Bas Nyss, Chr Irenint. εποι. bef ο θεος (corrn) BN p vulg syrr copt æth Ath, Leont Tert Amb: om o θ. lect-12: txt ACDE rel am fuld Epiph Iren-int. (13 def.) om τον D1: ins D2.

37. om δε E-gr Aug. τοτε παντές δι συνελθοντές κ. ακουσαντές D syr-marg. κατηνυγησαν Ε p. ree τη καρδια (see Ps cviii. 16), with DE rel vulg Thl: txt ABCN p Chr. (13 def.) for $\epsilon i \pi o \nu \tau \epsilon$, $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon i \pi$. E: $\epsilon i \pi$. $\delta \epsilon$ p: $\epsilon i \pi o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ D²N 1 18. 73. 103 Λιις. -- και τινές έξ αυτών ειπαν D. om λοιπους D 104. rec ποιησομεν. with D rel Cyr-jer Thdrt: txt ABCEN a h k p Bas Epiph Chr. (13 def.)-ins our bef ποι. (see Lu iii. 10) D Iren-int Aug2. at end, add επιδειξατε (Tischdf, but υποδ-, Scholz, Lachm; and Scriv in D) ημιν DE tol syr-marg Aug, Promiss.

38. rec ins εφη bef προς αυτους, with E rel; φησιν bef και βαπτ. ACR p vulg Cyrjer: φησιν bef μεταν. D: for πετρ. δε, ειπε δε πετρος a h 38. 67. 113 lect-12 Syr æth arm (all these varr shew that originally the verb was not expressed): om B 65. 127. 163 demid. (13 def.) for επι, εν BCD Epiph: txt AEN rel Bas Chr Cyr Thdrt

and Winer, edn. 6, § 19, who however does not give olkos in his list: the whole house of Israel-for all hitherto said has gone upon proofs and sayings belonging to Israel, and to all Israel. ἐποίησεν, as before, is the ground-tone of the discourse. κύριον, from ver. χριστόν, in the full and glorious sense in which that term was prophetically known. The same is expressed ch. v. 31 by άρχηγον κ. σωτήρα ὕψωσεν. final clause sets in the strongest and plainest light the fact to which the discourse testifies-ending with δν ύμεις έσταυρώσατε, -the remembrance most likely to carry compunction to their hearts. 'In clausula orationis iterum illis exprobrat quod Eum crucifixerint, ut majori conscientiæ dolore tacti ad remedium aspirent.' Calvin in loc. 'Aculeus in fine.' Bengel. EFFECT OF THE DISCOURSE. 37. катενύγ.] κατανύσσω is exactly 'compungo.' The compunction arose from the thought that they had rejected and crucified Him who was now so powerful, and under whose feet they, as enemies, would be crushed. 'Concionis fructum Lucas refert, ut sciamus

non modo in linguarum varietate exsertam fuisse Spiritus Sancti virtutem, sed in eorum etiam cordibus qui audiebant.' ποιήσωμεν, the deliberative subjunc-

tive,—ef. Winer, edn. 6, § 41, a. 4,—What must we do? 38.] μετανοήσατε,

not, as in Matt. iii. 2; iv. 17, μετανοείτε. The agrist denotes speed, a definite, sudden act: the present, a habit, more gradual, as that first moral and legal change would necessarily be. The word imports change of mind: here, change from thinking Jesus an impostor, and scorning Him as one crucified, to being baptized in His name, and looking to Him for remission of sins, and the gift of the Spirit. miserable absurdity of rendering μεταν., or 'pomitentiam agite,' by 'do penance, or understanding it as referring to a course of external rites, is well exposed by this passage -in which the internal change of heart and purpose is insisted on, to be testified by admission into the number of Christ's followers. See Calvin's note. βαπτισθήτω] Here, on the day of Pente-

cost, we have the first mention and administration of Christian Baptism. Before, there had been the baptism of repentance for the remission of sins, by John, Luke iii. 3; but now we have the important addition $\epsilon \pi l \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \nu \delta \mu$. Ίησοῦ χριστοῦ, —on the Name—i. e. on the confession of that which the Name implies, and into the benefits and blessings which the Name im-The Apostles and first believers were not thus baptized, because, ch. i. 5, they had received the BAPTISM BY THE HOLY GHOST, the thing signified, which superseded that by water, the outward and visi"Milleril." ὑνόματι Ίπσοῦ χριστοῦ " εἰς " ἄφεσιν " ἀμαρτιῶν, καὶ λήμ- ABCD 31 MB " τοκε ii. 10 $\frac{3}{4}$ ΜΕ τοκε ii. 20 $\frac{3}{4}$ ΜΕ τοκε ii. 21 $\frac{3}{4}$ είς $\frac{3}{4}$ είς

Thl. ins του κυριου bef ιησ. χρ. DE syrr sah arm Cyr-jer Bas (Epiph) Thdrt Cypr Hil Lucif Ambr Ang Vig. (Syr copt Iren-int om χριστου.) των αμαρτ. υμων Α Β(sic; see table) R p vulg coptt æth Vig Fulg Aug; but for νμ., ημων C: txt DE 13 rel syrr Cyr-jer Bas Chr Iren-int Cypr Lucif Ambr Aug.

39. ημιν and ημων D Aug. for oσους, ous (mistake in copying?) AC 104

40. for τε, δε D-gr k: om c. rec διεμαρτυρετο, with 13 rel: txt ABCDEN a h p Chr Thl. rec om acrous, with E rel Chr₂ Thl 36-comm: ins ABCDN p 36-txt

vulg Lucif. ταυτ. bef της σκολιας D lect-1 vulg Lucif.

41. for αποδεξ, πιστευσαντες D (syr-marg Aug ins και τιστευσαντες bef εβαπτισθησαν). ree ins ασμενως bef αποδεξ. (explanatory gloss on αποδεξ. from margin:
or from ch xxi. 17), with E rel syrr Chr Thl Aug; om ABCDN p vulg coptt ath Clem
Chr (nppy) Aug. ree om εν, with E rel (coptt?) Chr: ins (possibly as a corrn to
avoid the apparent connexion of τη ημ. εκ. with προσετεθησαν) ABCDN p vulg with.

ble sign. The result of the baptism to which he here exhorts them, preceded by repentance and accompanied by faith in the forgiveness of sins in Christ, would be, the receiving the gift of the Holy Spirit.

39. τοις τέκνοις ύμ., viz. as included in the prophecy cited ver. 17, your little ones: not, as in ch. xiii. 32, 'your descendants,' which would be understood by any Jew to be necessarily implied. πασιν τοίς els makpar, the Gentiles; see Eph. ii. 13. There is no difficulty whatever in this interpretation. The Apostles always expected the conversion of the Gentiles, as did every pious Jew who believed in the Scriptures. It was their conversion as Gentiles, which was yet to be revealed to Peter. It is surprising to see such Commentators as Dr. Burton and Meyer finding a difficulty where all is so plain. The very expression, Toous αν προςκαλέσηται δ θεδς ήμ., shews in what sense Peter understood rois eis marp.; not all, but as many as the Lord our God προςκαλ., shall summon to approach to Him, bring near, which, in his present understanding of the words, must import by becoming one of the chosen people, and conforming to their legal observances.

40.] The words cited appear to be the concluding and inclusive summary of Peter's many exhortations, not only their general sense: just as if ver. 36 had been given as the representative of his whole speech above. σώθητε is improperly rendered in E. V. 's are yourselese :' it is not (see Stier, R. A. i. 62) σώζετε έαντούς, as in Luke xxiii. 35, 37, 39: be saved, 26 ffet endy retten, is the true sense.

σκολιας-see reff. Peter alludes to ref. 41. This first baptism of regeneration is important on many accounts in the history of the Christian Church. It presents us with two remarkable features: (1) It was conferred, on the profession of repentance, and faith in Jesus as the Christ. There was no instruction in doctrine as yet. The infancy of the Church in this respect corresponded to the infancy of the individual mind; the simplicity of faith came first, -the ripeness of knowledge followed. Neander well observes (Leit. u. Pflanz, p. 34) that among such a multitude, admitted by a confession which allowed of so wide an interpretation, were probably many persons who brought into the church the seeds of that Judaizing form of Christianity which afterwards proved so hostile to the true faith; while others, more deeply touched by the Holy Spirit, followed humbly the unfolding of that teaching by which He perfected the apostolic age in the doctrine of Christ. (2) Almost without doubt, this first baptism must have been administered, as that of the first Gentile conέκείνη $^{\circ}$ ψυχαὶ ὡςεὶ τοιςχίλιαι. 42 p $^{\pi}$ σαν δὲ $^{\circ}$ $^{\pi}$ προςκαρτε $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ κιὶ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$

r Matt. vii. 28. ch. v. 28. xiii. 12. Rom. vi. 17 al. Ps. lix, tit. only. t Luke xxiv. 35 only t. n = but w. eni, ch. v. 5 reff.

ws X1. εκεινη bef τη ημερα D. 42. for ησαν δε, και ησαν D Syr. ins εν bef τη διδ. A 98 vulg D-lat Syr. rec ins και bef τη κλασει, with D2EN3 13 rel: om αποστ. add εν ιερουσαλημ D. ABCD1N1 p.

43. rec εγενετο (corrn as more usual), with E rel sah Chr: txt AR vulg syrr copt,

verts was (see ch. x. 47, and note), by affusion or sprinkling, not by immersion. The immersion of 3000 persons, in a city so sparingly furnished with water as Jerusalem, is equally inconceivable with a procession beyond the walls to the Kedron, or to Siloan, for that purpose.

42-47. DESCRIPTION OF THE LIFE AND HABITS OF THE FIRST BELIEVERS. This description anticipates; embracing a period extending beyond the next chapter. This is plain from ver. 43: for the miraele related in the next chapter was evidently the first which attracted any public attention: vv. 44, 45, again, are taken up anew at the end of chap. iv., where we have a very similar description, evidently applying 42. τη διδαχή to the same period. των άποστ., compare Matt. xxviii. 20. τῆ κοινωνία] community: the living together as one family, and having things in common. It is no objection to this meaning, that the fact is repeated below, in ver. 45: for so is the κλάσις τοῦ ἄρτου in ver. 46, and the προςκ. ταις προςευχ. Vulg. interpretation of τη κοινωνία (καl) τη κλάσει τ. ἄρτ. by 'communicatione fractionis panis,' per Hendiadyn, is curious enough. If suggested by 1 Cor. x. 16, it should have been 'communicatione et fraetione panis.' The adoption of the right reading renders this interpretation untenable. The supplying τῶν ἀποστ. after κοινωνία, as in E. V., is better than the last, but still I conceive bears no meaning defensible in construction. Very different is the κοινωνία τ. άγ. πνεύματος of 2 Cor. xiii. 13, because there the Holy Ghost is imparted, is that of which all partake, are κοινωνοί: whereas the κοιν. των άποστ. must signify fellowship with the Apostles, or fellowship with that Society of which the Apostles were the chief; neither of which meanings I conceive κοιν. will bear.

The special sense in which κοινωνία oecurs, Rom. xv. 26, could not be here meant, or the word would have been qualified in some way, τῆ κοιν. (τῆ) εἰς τοὺς πτωχούς, or the like. τῆ κλάσει τ. αρτου This has been very variously ex-

plained. Chrysostom (in Act. Homil. vii. p. 57) says, τὸν ἄρτον μοι δοκεῖ λέγων, καὶ την νηστείαν ένταθθα σημαίνειν, και τον σκληρον βίον τροφής γάρ, οὐ τρυφής μετ-ελάμβανον. And similarly Œeumenius, and of the moderns Bengel: 'fractione panis, id est, vietu frugali, communi inter ipsos.' But on ver. 46 he recognizes a covert allusion to the Eucharist.

The interpretation of $\dot{\eta}$ $\kappa\lambda$. τ . $\check{\alpha}\rho\tau$. as the celebration of the Lord's supper has been, both in ancient and modern times, the prevalent one. Chrysostom himself, in his 27th Hom. on 1 Cor., p. 422, interprets it, or at all events τη κοινωνία and it together, of the Holy Communion. And the Romanist interpreters have gone so far as to ground an argument on the passage for the administration in one kind only. But,-referring for a fuller discussion of the whole matter to the notes on 1 Cor. x. xi., -- barely to render ή κλάσις τοῦ ἄρτου the breaking of bread in the Eucharist, as now understood, would be to violate historical truth. The Holy Communion was at first, and for some time, till abuses put an end to the practice, inseparably connected with the ἀγάπαι, or love-feasts, of the Christians, and unknown as a separate ordinance. Το these ἀγάπαι, accompanied as they were at this time by the celebration of the Lord's supper, the κλάσις τοῦ ἄρτου refers,—from the eustom of the master of the feast breaking bread in asking a blessing; see ch. xxvii. 35, where the Encharist is out of the question.

No stress must be laid, for any doctrinal purpose, upon the article before ἄρτου: the construction here requires it, and below, ver. 46, where not required by the con-I need hardly struction, it is omitted. add that the sense inferred by Kypke and Heinrichs from Isa. lviii. 7, διάθρυπτε πεινῶντι τὸν ἄρτον σου,—that of giving bread to the poor, is in the highest degree improbable here, and inconsistent with the Christian use of ή κλάσις τοῦ ἄρτου elseταις προςευχ.] The appointed times of prayer: see ver. 46. But it need not altogether exclude prayer among themselves as well, provided we do not

* - cb. III. 23. πάση * ψυχη φόβος, πολλά τε * τέρατα καὶ σημεία * διὰ ABCD ΕΝ α b c κι του αποστόλων έγινετο. 44 πάντες δε οι πιστευοντες [ghk] του τος. 17 μετο και στο τεπ. τό το το αυτό και ε είχον απαντα * κοινά, 45 και τὰ

for τε, δε BN p copt : γαρ sah : om D1-gr m : ins D3. EYELVETO BCD. ins ov μικρα Ε 25. aft δια ins των χειρων Ε 40 syr æth. εγιν. bef δια τ. αποστ. Α΄ Svr copt wth .- εγενετο c e: εγινοντο Ε 1 25. 64.-aft αποστ. add εν ιερουσαλημ ACEN vulg Syr copt Thl-fin: of these ACN vulg copt further add φοβος τε ην μεγας ет: пантаs (see ch v. 5 al): om BD rel.

44. ins και bef παντες δε ΛCN p. for $\delta \epsilon$, $\tau \epsilon$ D. πιστευσαντές (corrn) BX f om noav and kar B 57 Orig Salv. παντα Ι). ins καθ

45. κ. οσοι κτηματα ειχον η υπαρξεις D.—om τα p. εμεριζον Λ. for καθοτι, τοις D'-gr: καθως 13: txt D6. nuepar bef mage 1).

assume any set times or forms of Christian worship, which certainly did not exist as yet. See notes on Rom. xiv. 5; Gal. iv. 43. πάση ψυχή, designating generally the multitude,-those who were not joined to the infant church. This is evident by the mautes δè οἱ πιστεύοντες when the church is again the subject, ver. φόβος, dread, reverential astonish. ment, at the effect produced by the outpouring of the Spirit. On the latter part of the verse see general remarks at the beginning of this section. 44.] If it surprise us that so large a number should be continually assembled together (for such is certainly the sense, not 'fraterno amore conjunctos,' as Calvin)-we must remember that a large portion of the three thousand were persons who had come up to Jerusalem for the feast, and would by this time have returned to their homes. απαντα κοινά they had all things (in) common, i. e. no individual property, but one common stock : see ch. iv. 32. That this was literally the case with the infant church at Jerusalem, is too plainly asserted in these passages to admit of a doubt, Some have supposed the expressions to indicate merely a partial community of goods: on omnia vendiderunt, sed partem bonorum, que sine magno incommodo carere poterant,' Wetstein; contrary to the express assertion of ch. iv. 32. In order, however, rightly to understand this community, we may remark : (1) It is only found in the Church at Jerusalem. No trace of its existence is discoverable any where else; on the contrary, St. Paul speaks of the rich and the poor, see 1 Tim. vi. 17; 1 Cor. xvi. 2: also St. James, ii. 1-5;

And from the practice having iv. 13. at first prevailed at Jerusalem, we may perhaps explain the great and constant poverty of that church, Rom. xv. 25, 26; 1 Cor. xvi. 1-3: 2 Cor. viii. ix.: also ch. The non-establishxi. 30; xxiv. 17. ment of this community elsewhere may have arisen from the inconveniences which were found to attend it in Jerusalem : see ch. vi. 1. (2) This community of goods was not, even in Jerusalem, enforced by rule, as is evident from ch. v. 4, but, originating in free-will, became perhaps an understood custom, still however in the power of any individual not to comply with. (3) It was not (as Grotius and Heinrichs thought) borrowed from the Essenes (see Jos. B. J. ii. 8. 3), with whom the Apostles, who certainly must have sanctioned this community, do not appear historically to have lad any connexion. But (4) it is much more probable that it arose from a continuation, and application to the now increased number of disciples, of the community in which our Lord and His Apostles had lived (see John xii, 6: xiii, 29) before. (The substance of this note is derived from Meyer, in loc.) The practice probably did not long continue even at Jerusalem: see Rom. xv. 26, note. 45.] κτήματα, landed property, ch. v. 1-

see refl.: ὑπάρξεις, any other possession; moveables, as distinguished from land. αὐτά, their price; see a similar construction Matt. xxvi. 9; and Winer, edn. 6, \$ 22. 3. 4. καθότι åv . . .] The av with imperf. indic. in this connexion implies accidisse aliquid non certo quodam tempore, sed quotiescunque occasio ita ferret,' Herm. ad Viger., p. 818. See ch. iv. 35; Mark vi. τε 1 προςκαρτεροῦντες 1 όμοθυμαδὸν έν τῷ ἰερῷ, k κλῶντές $^{leh.1.4}$ (reft). κατ' οἶκον ἄρτον, m μετελάμβανον n τροφῆς έν o ἀγαλλίασει καὶ p ἀφελότητι καρδίας, 47 αἰνοῦντες τὸν θεὸν 31 τος καὶ έχοντες t χάριν s πρὸς ὅλον τὸν λαόν. c δὲ κύριος t τος κυίνος t τος t προςετίθει τοὺς u σωζομένους v καθ ἡμέραν w έπὶ τὸ t τὸ t προςετίθει t σωζομένους t καθ t t αὐτό.

| III. | Πέτρος δε και | Ιωάννης x ανέβαινον είς το ιερούν | xxx, 20, m constr., ch. | xxx, 20,

46. for $\kappa \alpha \theta$ $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu$, $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ D^1 : $\kappa \alpha \theta$ $\eta \mu$. $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $\tau \epsilon$ D^6 . προςεκαρτερουν D. εν τω ιερω bef ομοθ. C: om ομοθ. D 3. 103. και κατ οικους αν επι το αυτο κλωντές τε αρτον D: om av D-corr.

47. for λαον, κοσμον D. rec aft καθ ημεραν ins τη εκκλησια (explanatory gloss: see note), with E 13 rel syrr Chr Thdrt Thl, aft επι το αυτο D (D k 19. 40 Syr prefix εν): om ABCN vulg copt æth arm Cyr.

CHAP. III. 1. rec δε hef πετρος, with E rel 36 syr Chr Thl: - επι το αυτο is omd at end of ch. ii. and insd aft ανεβαινον in Syr: D ends ch. ii. with εκκλησια, but begins ch. iii. εν δε ταις ημεραις ταυταις πετρος και: txt ABCDN m2 p vulg coptt æth arm Cyr

56; xi. 24; Soph. Philoct. 290 ff.; Aristoph. Lys. 510 ff. 46.] καθ' ήμ. . . . ἐν τῷ ἰερῷ—see Luke xxiv. 53. The words need not mean, though they may mean, that they were assembled in Solomon's porch, as in ch. v. 12-but most probably, that they regularly kept the hours of prayer, ch. iii. 1. $\kappa \alpha r'$ o $\kappa \alpha \nu$] domi, 'privatim' (Beng.), as contrasted with $\ell \nu$ $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\ell \epsilon \rho \hat{\varphi}$. So also Wolf, Scal., Heinr., Olsh., Meyer, De Wette:-not, domatim, 'from house to house,' as Erasm., Salmasius, Kuinoel, al.:—the words may bear that meaning (see Luke viii. 1), but we have no trace of such a practice, of holding the ἀγάπαι successively at different The κλάσις τ. άρτου took place at their house of meeting, wherever that was: cf. ch. xii. 12; and see ver. μετ. τροφ.] they partake 42 note. of food :- see reff. ;-viz. in these agapæ or breakings of bread. αφελότητι] In good Greek, ἀφέλεια: the adj. ἀφελής (see Palm and Rost) originally implying "free from stones or rocks" (ἀ, φελλεύs, stony or rocky land), and thus 47. αἰνοῦντες simple, even, pure. τ. θ. does not seem only to refer to giving thanks at their partaking of food, but to their general manner of conversation, including the recurrence of special ejaculations and songs of praise by the influence τούς σωζομένους] of the Spirit. those who were in the way of salvation: compare σώθητε, ver. 40: those who were being saved. Nothing is implied by this word, to answer one way or the other the question, whether all these were finally saved. It is only asserted, that they were in the way of salvation when they were added to the Christian assembly. Doubtless, some of them might have been of the class alluded to Heb. x. 26-29: at least there is nothing in this word to preclude Correct criticism, as well as external evidence, requires that the words ἐν τῆ ἐκκλησία or τῆ ἐκκλησία should be rejected, as having been an explanatory gloss, ('est hæc Chrysostomi, ut videtur, glossa, per Syrum et alios propagata;' Bengel,) and ἐπὶ τὸ αὐτό brought back to its place and the meaning which it bears in this passage (see ver. 41), viz. together, in the sense of making up one sum, one body assembled in one place. Meyer attributes the separation of $\ell\pi l$ $\tau \delta$ $a\nu \tau \delta$ from Πέτρος to an ecclesiastical portion having begun εν ταις ήμεραις ταύταις Π. κ. 'Ιω. as D. De Wette asks, why should those words have been inserted at the beginning of a portion? Perhaps in accordance with a not uncommon practice of opening an ecclesiastical lection with such a phrase. Or possibly, I might suggest, as a mistaken interpretation of έπι τὸ αὐτό, which was not understood. Then when έπ. τ. αὐ. became joined to Πέτρος, τῆ έκκλ. would naturally be supplied after προςετίθει.

CHAP. III. I-10.] HEALING OF A LAME MAN BY PETER AT THE GATE OF THE TEM-1.] ἀνέβαινον, were going up. Euthal(appy). aft iffor his to deileinod D: ad vesperum D-lat. for the prosect τ . fin the first prosecting D^1 : the evalue the prosecting D^3 (and lat) arm.

epramp, with p rel: yearny B(Beh): txt A B(Mai) ČDER à b² g h¹ 1m.

2. ins ιδου bef τις D¹ d Syr. on wπαρχων D Syr arm Lucif: constitutus E-lat. for θυρ., πυληψ (see ver 10: of Eng Tersion) E b o Bas-sel. παρ αυτων

ειςπορ. αυτων 11.

3. for os idw, outos atensas tois of balmins autou kai idwp D. for eisievai, eimai Di-gr: txt D3. aft η_P , ins autous D coptt. on labeid D rel It Thi Lucif: aft labeid Syr sah eth: ins ABCEN b o p 13 copt. aft labeid ins par' autow E. 4. eiblefas de o p. D. for 1st eis, pos N. sur iwawyy k. eimed D1.

την ἐνάτην] See cli. x. 3, 30. Thy ὥραν τῆς πρ. generic; - τὴν ἐν., specific. There were three hours of prayer; those of the morning and evening sacrifice, i. e. the third and ninth hours, and noon. See Lightfoot and Wetst. in loc. 2.] ἐβαστ., was being carried. They took him at the hours of prayer, and carried him back between times. την θύραν τ. λ. ώραίαν] The arrangement of the gates of the Temple is, from the notices which we now possess, very undertain. Three entrances have been fixed on for the θύρα ώραία: (1) The gate mentioned Jos. B. J. ν. 5. 3: των δέ πυλων αξ μέν έννέα χρυσώ καὶ ἀργύρω κεκαλυμμέναι πανταχόθεν ήσαν, δμοίως τε παραστάδες και τὰ ὑπέρθυρα. μία δὲ ἡ ἔξωθεν τοῦ νεὼ Κορινθίου χαλκοῦ, πολύ τῆ τιμῆ τὰς καταργύρους καὶ τὰς περιχρύσους ὑπεράγουσα. This gate was also called Nicanor's gate (see the Rabbinical citations in Wetstein),—and lay on the eastern side of the Temple, towards the valley of Kedron. Jos. mentions it ngain, as ή ἀνατολική πύλη τοῦ ἐνδοτέρου, χαλκή οδσα, and gives n remarkable account of its size and weight: adding, that when, before the siege, it was discovered supernaturally opened in the night, τοῦτο τοῖς ἰδιώταις κάλλιστον ἐδόκει τέρας ανοίξαι γαρ τον θεον αύτοις την τῶν ἀγαθῶν πύλην. But some find a difficulty in this. The lame man, they say, would not be likely to have been admitted so far into the Temple (but see Wetst. as above, where it appears that lepers used to stand at Nicanor's gate): and besides, he would have taken

up his station naturally at an outer gate, where he might ask alms of all who entered. These conditions suit better (2) the gate Susan; as does also the circumstance mentioned ver. 11, that the people ran together to Solomon's porch; for this gate was on the east side of the court of the Gentiles, and close to Solomon's porch. Only the name apala cannot be derived from the town Susan (from which the gate was named, having a picture of the town over it), that word signifying 'a lily;' the town being named, it is true, διὰ τὴν ὡραιότητα τοῦ τόπου (Athen. xii. 1, p. 573): but the derivation being too far-fetched to be at all probable. Another suitable circumstance was, that by this gate the market was held for sheep and cattle and other offerings, and therefore a greater crowd would be attracted. (3) Others again (Lightf. favours this) attempt to derive שׁׁׁׁׁׁׁׁׁמָים from תֵּלֶּר, ' tempus,' and refer the epithet to two gates opening towards the city on the western side. But it is very unlikely that Luke should have used ωρ. in so unusual a meaning:-not to say (see Lightf. Deser. Templi) that the meaning of nin itself is very doubtful. So that the matter must remain in uncertainty. 3. ηρώτα λαβείν, - so Soph. Aj. 836, αιτήσομαι δέ σ' οὐ μακρον γέρας λαβείν, and Aristoph. Plut. 210, αἰτῶν λαβεῖν τι μικρὸν ἀργυρίδιον.

λεημ., us in ref. Matt.
Jewish forms of asking alms are given in Vajicra Rabb. f. 20, 3. 4 (cited by Meyer),
Merere in me: 'In me benefac tibl.' and the like.
4. βλέψον ets γιάς.
Calvin's note is important: 'Non ita lo-

EEuhabed ghkl lopl3

αὐτὸν σὺν τῷ Ἰωάννη εἶπεν ὶ Βλέψον ὶ εἰς ἡμᾶς, ⁵ ὁ δὲ ΙΜΑΙΙ, ΧΧΙΙΙ. $^{\rm m}$ έπεῖχεν αὐτοῖς $^{\rm n}$ προςδοκῶν τὶ παρ' αὐτῶν $^{\rm o}$ λαβεῖν. $^{\rm const. 62}$ $^{\rm const. 62}$ εἶπεν δὲ Πέτρος ᾿Αργύριον καὶ χρυσίον οὐχ $^{\rm p}$ ὑπάρχει $^{\rm m}$ $^{\rm const. 62}$ $^{\rm const. 62}$ χριστού του Ναζωραίου [έγειραι καί] περιπάτει. 7 καί ⁹ πιάσας αυτον της δεξιάς χειρος ήγειρεν αυτόν ' παραχρημα δὲ 8 εστερεώθησαν αὶ t βάσεις αὐτοῦ καὶ τὰ u σφυρά, αconstr., ch. καὶ v ἐξαλλόμενος ἔστη καὶ περιεπάτει, καὶ εἰςῆλθεν σὺυ v αὐτοῖς εἰς τὸ ἰεροὸν περιπατῶν καὶ w ἀλλόμενος καὶ v εἰς τὸ ἰεροὸν περιπατῶν καὶ w ἀλλόμενος καὶ v εἰς τὸ ἰεροὸν περιπατῶν καὶ w ἀλλόμενος καὶ v εἰς. διαὶς τοι. 10 εἰς. Luke only, τεκ. Matt. xxi. 10, 20. Num. vi. θιαὶ q = here only, (ch. xii. 4 red.) p Σχχχίι. 6, 1 xxiν. 3, met., ch. xvi. διαὶς q 1. Num. vi. θιαὶς q = here only, (ch. xii. 4 red.) p Σχχχίι. 6, 1 xxiν. 3, met., ch. xvi. διαὶς q 1. Num. vi. θιαὶς q = here only vi. θιαὶς q 1. Num. vi. θιαὶς q = here only vi. θιαὶς q 1. Num. vi. θιαὶς q 1. Num. vi. θιαὶς q 1. Such zxiv. 10 such yi. Luke only vi. θιαὶς q 1. Num. vi. θιαὶς q 2. Such zxiv. 10, αν. w John iv. 11. ch. xiv. 10 only, 1 ks. xxiv. 3.

ατενεισον εις D.

λαβ. bef παρ αυτ. DE vulg Lucif.-λαβ. bef τι 5. for επειχεν, ατενεισας D-gr. Е.—аυтои С.

6. πετρ. δε ειπ. AC vulg coptt: txt B D(o πετρ.) EX syrr æth Chr Thl Lucif. rec ins εγειραι και (addn from such passages as Luke v. 23, vi. 8 al?), OUR CN. with C rel 36; εγειρε και AE in p Thl2: αναστα Epiph: om BDN sah. (The authori-

ties being divided, eyeipe and -pai being no real variation, I have left it as doubtful.) 7. rec om 2nd αυτον, with DE rel Chr2 Thl-txt: ins ABCN p 36 vulg Syr coptt æth arm Eus Bas Chr Thdrt Bas-sel Cypr Lucif. και παραχρ. εσταθη και εστ. D. rec aι βασεις bef αυτου, with E rel: txt ABCDN p. και στα σφυδρα (sic : but δ

crased) X.

8. aft περιεπ. ins χαιρων Ε; χαιρομενος D. π ερι π . bef ε. το ιερ. k 13. om και bef αινων (see note) A sah Lucif: ins BCEN rel Iren-int. -om περιπ. κ. αλλ. κ. D æth.

quitur Petrus quin de consilio Dei certus sit: et certe his verbis singulare aliquod et insolitum beneficium sperare jubet. Quæri tamen potest, an facultatem habuerint edendi miracula quoties liberet. Respondeo, sic ministros fuisse divinæ virtutis, ut nihil suo arbitrio vel proprio motu tentarint, sed Dominus per ipsos egerit quum ita expedire noverat. Hinc factum est ut unum sanarint, non autem promiscue omnes. Ergo, quemadmodum in aliis rebus ducem et directorem habebant Dei Spiritum, ita etiam in hac parte. Ideo priusquam claudum surgere jubeat Petrus, conjecit in eum et defixit oculos. Talis intuitus non carebat peculiari Spiritus motu. Hinc fit ut tam secure de miraculo pronuntiet. Porro, excitare hoc verbo claudum voluit ad recipiendam Dei gratiam: ille tamen nihil quam eleemosynam exspectat.' έπεῖχεν] not τους ὀφθαλμούς (as Bos and Kninoel), which is implied: -but (see reff.) τον νοῦν, fixed his attention on them.

6.] 'Non dubium est, quin etiam iis qui non erant de communitate fidelium, datæ fuerint eleemosynæ: sed Petrus tum vel nil habebat secum, in via ad templum, vel non tantum dare poterat quantum ad sublevandum pauperem opus esset. Vide abstinentiam Apostoli in tanta administratione. cf. ii. 45, coll. iv. 35.' Bengel. But VOL. II.

perhaps it is more simple to conclude that Peter spoke here of his own station and means in life-'I am no rich man, nor have I silver or gold to give thee.' ονόμ.] There is no ellipsis (as Heinr. and Kuinoel) of λέγω σοι, which weakens the force of the sentence: the name of Jesus is that in which, by the power of which, the "rise up and walk" is to be accomplished.

7. πιάσας.... ήγειρεν] οὕτω καλ δ χριστός ἐποίησε πολλάκις λόγω ἐθεράπευσε, πολλάκις έργφ, πολλάκις καὶ την χείρα προήγαγεν, ὅπου ήσαν ἀσθενέστεροι κατά την πίστιν Ίνα μη δόξη ἀπὸ ταυτομάτου γενέσθαι. Chrys. in Act. Hom. viii. p. 63. See Mark ix. 27. βάσεις are the soles of the feet, -σφυρά, the ankles. Luke, the physician, had made himself acquainted with the peculiar kind of weakness, and described it accordingly.

8.] έξαλλ. describes his first joyous liberation from his weakness: as soon as he felt himself strengthened, he leapt up, for joy. No suppositions need be made, such as πειράζων ίσως έαυτόν (Chrys.): or that it was from ignorance how to walk (Bloomf.). His joy is quite sufficient to explain the gesture, and it is better to leave the narrative in its simplicity. If καί before αἰνῶν is omitted (see digest), the present participle has its ratiocinative

x ch. li. 4° κπι. x αίνων τὸν θεόν. 9 καὶ εἶδεν πᾶς ὁ λαὸς αὐτὸν πεοιπα- ABCDE y contr., 1°οι. x καὶ εῖδεν πᾶς ὁ λαὸς αὐτὸν πεοιπα- ABCDE καὶς καὶς x αἰνοῦντα τὸν θεόν y y επεγίνωσκον δὲ αὐτὸν fghkl $\frac{2}{10}$ Matt. xix. 8. $\frac{6}{0}$ στι ούτος $\frac{7}{10}$ ν $\frac{6}{2}$ προς την "έλεημοσύνην καθήμενος $\frac{1}{2}$ έπὶ τη

Απατάλου και επλησυησαν 11 κρατούν24. John v. f εκστάσεως g επί τω h συμβεβηκότι αυτώ. 11 κρατούν- $^{v,17,\text{ km}}_{4b,\text{ Gen, vi. }}$ ο λαὸς πρὸς αὐτοὺς b έπὶ τ $\tilde{\eta}^{-1}$ στο $\tilde{\alpha}$ τ $\tilde{\eta}$ καλουμένη Σολοε Lake iv. 36. μωντος " έκθαμβοι. 12 ίδων δε ο Πέτρος " απεκρίνατο ν νοιόμη. μωντός εκθαμμοί. Τουν δε ο Πετρος απεκρινάτο Cent. 11.8 (βείν, Μαϊκ πρός τον λαον Ανδρες Ισοαηλίται, τί θανμάζετε ° επί $t=\frac{1.27}{1.2}$, $t=\frac{42}{1.2}$ τούτ ω , $\hat{\eta}$ ήμιν τί \hat{p} ατενίζετε $\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$ ίδια δυνάμει $\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\eta}$ εὐσεβεία του (ch. x. τ πεποιηκόσιν $\hat{\tau}$ τοῦ περιπατείν αὐτόν ; $t=\frac{13}{2}$ $\hat{\sigma}$ θεὸς ᾿Αβραὰμ

9. rec αυτον bef πas o λ., with E rel Chr Lucif: txt ABCDN p vulg (sah). for $\theta \in ov$, $\kappa u \rho i ov$ C.

10. ree (for δε) τε, with D E-gr rel syrr æth Thl Lucif: txt ABCR p vulg E-lat copt Bas-sel. om autov X1: written above the line by X-corr1. for ouros, auros (corrn as more usual) ACN g p 36 vulg ath Bas-sel Lucif: txt BDE rel Chr Thl. καθεζομένος D. την ωραίαν πυλην Κ' (Κ' correcting τη ωραία but not πυλην). tor συμβ., γεγενημενω I).

11. for ver, εκπορευσμένου δε του πετρου και ιωανου συνεξεπορευέτο κρατών αυτους οι δε θαμβηθεντες εστησαν εν τη στ. η (τη D^{i}) κ. σ. εκθ. D^{i}). for Se, Te A Syr. ree for aυτου, του ιαθέντος χωλου (beginning of an ecclesiastical lection), with rel Thl: txt ABCDER e p 36 syrr copt ath arm. om τον bef πετρ. c,-ins τον bef ιωαν. ree προς aurous bef πας ο λαος, with E rel Syr copt: txt ABCN ABN mp Chr.

p vulg Syr sah æth arm. 12. αποκριθεις δε ο πετρ. ειπεν πρ. αυτους D. ree om o, with E rel Thl: ins ABCDN k o p 13 Chr. for 1st n, et (itacism) N. ως ημων τη ιδια δυν. η

ευσ. τουτο πεποιηκοτων τουτο (του D-corr) περιπ. αυτ. D. Cuss.

force, alleging the cause of the walking and leaping : and would best be rendered in English, in his praising of God.

11-26. THE DISCOURSE OF PETER HEREUPON. 11. Kpatouvtos holding, THEREUPON. physically: not spoken of mental adhesion, but of netual holding by the hand or arm, that he might not be separated from them in the crowd, but might testify to all, who his benefactors were. στος τη κ. Σολομ.] See John x. 23, note. 12. ἀπεκρίvaro, viz. to their expressions of astonishment implied in δκθαμβοι. See Matt. xi. 25. ἀπεκρίνατο never signifies 'made an address,' us Bloomf.; but always 'answered:' cf. ch. v. 8, note. This second discourse of Peter umy be thus divided: This is no work of ours, but of God, for the glorifying of Jesus, vv. 12, 13: whom ye denied and killed, but God hath raised up, vv. 13 - 15: -through whose name this man is made whole, ver. 16:-ye did it in ignorance, but God thereby fulfilled His counsel, vv. 17, 18. Exhortation to repent, that ye may be forgiven, and saved by this Jesus Christ at His coming, vv. 19-21: whose times have been the subject of prophecy from the first, ver. 21. Citations to prove this, vv. 22-24: its immediate application to the hearers, as Jews, vv. 25, 26. There the discourse seems to be broken off, as ch. iv. 1 relates.

τουτον E vulg Iren-int

ἐπὶ τούτω] not, at this (event): but at this man, compare αὐτόν below, which would not be used at the first mention of one then present. Their error was not the wonder itself,-though even that would shew ignorance and weakness of faith, for it was truly no wonderful thing that had happened, viewed by a believer in Jesus,but their wondering at the Apostles, as if they had done it by their own power. 'Ergo,' says Calvin, 'hoc est perperam obstupescere, quum in hominibus mentes nostrie subsistunt.' δυνάμει, power, -such as magical craft, or any other supposed means of working miracles : evσεβεία, meritorious efficacy with God, so

καὶ Ἰσαὰκ καὶ Ἰακώβ, ὁ θεὸς τῶν Ἰπατέρων Ἰημῶν, ιτὶν ν. 30 τεπ. εδόξασεν τὸν ματίδα αὐτοῦ Ἰησοῦν, ὁν ὑμεῖς μὲν ν μεν σιὰν παρεδώκατε, καὶ ἢηρνήσασθε [αὐτὸν] γ κατὰ πρόςωπον $\frac{1}{N}$ τον ἄγιον καὶ δίκαιον πον ματίδα αθονήσασθες καὶ δίκαιον πον ματίδα τον, αγιον καὶ δίκαιον πον ματίδα καὶ δὶ πρόςωπον καὶ δίκαιον πον ματίδα καὶ δὶ πρόςωπον καὶ δίκαιον πον ματίδα δὲ λαιίδα τον αγιον καὶ δίκαιον πον ματίδα δὲ λαιίδα τον αγιον αγιον καὶ δίκαιον πον ματίδα δὲ λαιίδα τον αγιον αγιον καὶ δίκαιον πον ματίδα δὲ λαιίδα τον δὲ ματίδα αναμονίτας τον δὶ ματίδα δὶ ματίδα διατίδα τον δὶ ματίδα διατίδα δια της ζωής ἀπεκτείνατε τον ο θεις $\stackrel{i}{1}$ ηγείρεν έκ $\stackrel{i}{1}$ νεκρων, ου συστις, τημείς $\stackrel{j}{1}$ μάρτυρές έσμεν. $\stackrel{16}{16}$ και $\stackrel{k}{\epsilon}$ πὶ τη $\stackrel{i}{1}$ πίστει τον $\stackrel{i}{3}$ 2 reff.

1 John ii. 20. c abs., ch. vii. 52 reff. $\stackrel{i}{\epsilon}$ α constr., Luke xxiii. 23. ch. xiii. 28. Johov i. 60. e Loke xxiv. 19. Judg., vi. 8. f. ch. vii. 52 reff. $\stackrel{i}{\epsilon}$ = 1 cor. ti. 12 reff. $\stackrel{i}{\epsilon}$ = 1 cor. xi. 12 reff. $\stackrel{i}{\epsilon}$ =

13. ins $\theta \epsilon o s$ bef $\iota \sigma \alpha \alpha \kappa$ and bef $\iota \alpha \kappa$. AD vulg copt αth Iren-int, ins o $\theta \epsilon o s$ CN Chr Thl-fin (corrn to suit $\iota x x$ Exod iii. 6, and Matt $\iota x x i i$. 32): om BE rel syrr sah Thdot-aneyr Thl-sif. for $\tau \omega \nu$, $\tau \omega$ N. for $\pi \alpha \iota \delta a$, $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ N. aft $\iota\eta\sigma$. ins $\chi\rho$. D æth-pl. ημεις D. ree om μεν by 85 [12th cent]). (erased because no correspondg δε follows), with D m: ins ABCEN rel 36 vulg Chr Thi Iren-int Jer. aft παρέδ. add εις κρισιν D syr-marg Iren-int; εις κριτηρίον Ε. απηρνησασθαι D. om αυτον (as needless) ΑΒCΝ p 36 vulg copt arm Did

Iren-int Jer: ins DE rel syr sah Chr. πειλατου του κρειναντος εκεινου απολυειν αυτον θελοντος D; cum judicasset ille dismittere eum voluit D-lat (a curious instance of combination of readings); τov , $\theta \epsilon \lambda ov \tau os$, and voluit are marked for erasure. κρινοντος С 13. απολλυειν Ν.

14. δικαιον εβαρυνατε και ητησατε D: so for ηρνησ., aggravastis Iren-int. μαλλον bef ητησασθε Ε. ins ζην και hef χαρισθηναι υμ. Ε Aug.

15. vueis D1: txt D1.

as to have obtained this from Him on our own account. The distinction is important :- 'holiness,' of the E. V., is not expressive of εὐσεβ., which bears in it the idea of operative, cultive piety, rather than of inherent character. 13. δ θ. 'Aβρ. κ.τ.λ.] 'Appellatio frequens in Actis, præ eæteris libris N. T., et illi periodo temporum conveniens.' Bengel. όρα πῶς αὐτὸν (τον θεον) είςωθει συνεχώς είς τους προγόνους· Ίνα μὴ δόξη καινόν τι εἰςάγειν δόγμα· καὶ ἐκεῖ (ch. ii.) τοῦ πατριάρχου Δαβίδ έμνημόνευσε, καὶ ένταῦθα τῶν περί τοῦ ᾿Αβραὰμ . . . (Chrys.). ἐδόξασεν] not, as E. V., 'hath glorified,' implying, by thus honouring His Name: it is the historic aor., glorified, viz. by His exaltation through death-see John xii. 23; xvii. 10.

παίδα] not 'Son,' but Servant: servant, however, in that distinct and Messianic sense which the same expression bears in Isa. xl.-lxvi. in the LXX. viós is the word always used to designate Jesus as the Son of God. The above meaning is adopted by all the best modern Commentators, Pise, Bengel, Olsh, Meyer, De W., Stier, some of whom refer to a paper of Nitzsch's in the Stud. u. Krit. for 1828, Heft 2, p. 331 ff. Olsh. says, 'After N.'s remarks on the subject, no one hereafter can suppose this expression equivalent to viòs τ . θ . "In the next age," says Dr. Wordsw., "the term $\pi \alpha i s$ $\theta \epsilon \alpha i$

was applied to Christ as a Son. See Polywas applied to Christ as a 50n. See Poyle, carp, Mart. § 14, p. 1040 (Migne); and S. Hippolyt. Philosoph. x. 33 (in Migne's Origen, tom. vi. p. 540), and contra Noëtum, § 5, 7, 11, pp. 809 ff. (Migne), and the note of Fabricius, ii. p. 10."

κατὰ πρόςωπον Π.as E.V., in the presence of P., or better perhaps, to the face of Pilate. The expression is no Hebraism. Polybins often uses it. κατὰ πρόσωπον λεγομένων τῶν λόγων, xxv. 5. 2: κ. πρ. ἀπαντῶν τοῖς πολεμίοις, xvii. 3. 3, &c. See Schweigh., Lexicon Polybianum.

κρίναντος ἐκ. ἀπολ. see Luke xxiii. 20; John xix. 4, 12. 14. ἄγιον κ. δίκαιον]. not only in the higher and divine sense present to Peter's mind, but also by Pilate's own verdict, and the testimony of the Jews' consciences. The sentence is full of antitheses: ἄγιον κ. δίκ. contrasts with the moral impurity of άνδρα φονέα, - άρχηγ. τ. ζωηs, with the destruction of life implied in φονέα, - while ἀπεκτείνατε again stands in remarkable opposition to ἀρχ. τ. C. This last title given to our Lord implies (as Vulg.) 'Auctorem vitæ:' see refl.; so ἀρχηγὸν κ. καθηγεμόνα τῆς ὅλης ἐπιβολῆς 'Αρατον, Polyb. ii. 40. 2: ὅπερ (scil. want of occupation in mercenary soldiers) σχεδόν, ώς εἰπεῖν, ἀρχηγόν κ.

μόνον αίτιον γίνεται στάσεως, i. 66. 10 al. It is possible, that the words άρχ. τ. ζ. may contain an allusion to the great miracle

16. om επι BN p: εν 119 vulg D-lat E-lat coptt with Iren-int. om ον D¹-gr: ins D³. aft οιδατε ins στι D¹-gr.
17. ins ανδρες bef αδελφοι DE. επισταμεθα στι νμεις μεν D. aft επραξ. add πονηρον D¹, το πονηρον D³ 34 syr-marg Iren-int Ambrst.

which was the immediate cause of the enmity of their rulers to Jesus. But of course Peter had a higher view in the title than merely this. 16.] ἐπὶ τ. πίστει . . . — The E. V. is right; through, or better, on account of faith in His name. The meaning, for the sake of (i.c. of awakening, in you, and in the lame man himself) faith in his name (Rosenm., Heinrichs, Olsh., Stier), though grammatically justified, seems against the connexion with the $\mu\acute{a}\rho$ τυρές έσμεν just before. It is evident to my mind that the πίστις τοῦ ον. αὐτ. is the faith of these μάρτυρες. His name (the efficient cause), by means of, or on account of (our) faith in His Name (the medium operandi), &c. έστερ. and έδωκ. again are historic aorists, -confirmed and gave; better than 'hath confirmed' and 'hath given.' κ. ἡ πίστις ἡ δι' αὐτοῦ -and that faith which is wrought by Him-not 'faith in Him;' which is an inadmissible rendering. Peter's own words (ref. 1 Pet.) are remarkably parallel with, and the best interpreters of, this expression: ύμας τοὺς δι' αὐτοῦ πιστοὺς εἰς θεόν, τον έγείραντα αὐτον έκ νεκρῶν καὶ δόξαν αὐτῷ δόντα, ὥετε τὴν πίστιν ὑμῶν καὶ ἐλ-πίδα είναι εἰε θεόν. Some of the Commentators are anxious to bring in the faith of the lame man himself in this yerse. Certainly it is according to analogy to sup-pose that he had such faith, from and after the words of Peter:-but, as certainly, there is no allusion to it in this verse, and the thrend of Peter's discourse would be broken by any such. It is the firm belief in His name on the part of us His wit-nesses, of which he is here speaking, as the medium whereby His name (= the Power of the great dignity to which He has been exulted, the ἀρχηγία της ζωης) had in this 17. vvv, introducing a case worked. new consideration: see 2 Thess. ii. 6. Here it softens the severer charge of ver. 14:

sometimes it intensifies, as ch. xxii. 16; 1 John ii. 28: especially with ίδού, ch. xiii. 11: xx. 22. No meaning such as 'now that the real Messiahship of Him whom ye have slain is come to light' (Meyer) is admissible. ἀδελφοί, still softening his tone, and reminding them of their oneness of blood and covenant with the speaker. κατὰ ἄγνοιαν] There need be no difficulty in the application of the ayvoia to even the rulers of the Jews. It admits of all degrees-from the unlearned, who were implicitly led by others, and hated Him because others did,-up to the most learned of the scribes, who knew and rightly interpreted the Messianic prophecies, but from moral blindness, or perverted expectations, did not recognize them in our Lord. Even Caiaphas himself, of whom apparently this could least be said, may be brought under it in some measure: even he could hardly have delivered over Jesus to Pilate with the full consciousness that He was the Messiah, and that he himself was accomplishing prophecy by so doing. Some degree of ayvoia there must have been in them all.

The interpretation (Wolf) 'ye did, as your rulers (did),' is of course inadmissible, being contrary to the usage of the words: $\pi\rho\dot{\alpha}\sigma\epsilon\nu$ "space kal can never mean to imitate, but $\ell\pi\rho\dot{\alpha}\delta\epsilon\nu$ " must refer to a definite act (understood), and "space kal must take up another subject of $\ell\pi\rho\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}\epsilon$.

18.] mávrav, see Luke xxiv. 27 and note. There is no hyperbole (Kuinoel) nor adaptation (Meyer) to Jewish exegetical views. 'Onnes prophetæ in universum non prophetarum thisi de diebus Messia' (Sanhedr. 99. 1), was not merely a Jewish view, but the real truth. The prophets are here regarded as one body, actuated by one Spirit; and the sum of God's purpose, shewn by their testimony, is, that His Christ should suffer. Notice

 v παθείν τὸν χριστὸν αὐτοῦ w ἐπλήρωσεν οὕτως. 19 x μετα- v αὐτοῦ, τό, 1.8, νοήσατε οὖν καὶ y ἐπιστρέψατε z εἰς τὸ a ἐξαλειφθῆναι καὶ. z ὑμῶν τὰς ἁμαρτίας, ὅπως ἃν ἑλθωσιν b καιροὶ c ἀνα- v ὑτέως d ἀπὸ προςώπου τοῦ κυρίου, 20 καὶ ἀποστείλ y γ και καιριές z χνήμα και z εκὶ. z καὶ καιριές z z ς τός τίτιος z εκὶ καντίμα και εκὶ χνήμα και z τος καὶ z z εκὶ καντίμα και εκὶ χνήμα και z z z (from the χτί 10). Ματείνι 12. Τοξεκχήμα και z εκὶ καντίμα z

27 (from Isa. vi. 10). Mark iv. 12. Luke xxii, 32. g.ch. vii, 19. Rom. I. 11, 20 al. i. 14. Rev. iii, 7, vii. 17, xxi. 4 only. Ps. 1. 8. Isa. xlii. 25. 2 Macc. xii. 42. b and constr., Luke xxi. 44. Heb. ix. 10. Ps. lxviii. 18. d = bere only. Exod. viii. 15 only (-ψνχεῦν, 2 Tim. 18). d = bere only. see 2 Thes. i. 0. Rev. xx. 11. Ps. xvvi. 5.

18. for a, o D-gr: qui bodl demid hal Vig. rec αυτου bef παθειν (alteration to suit αυτου προφ. ver 21), with rel: txt BCDEN p vulg syrr arm Chr Iren-int.—aft προφ. ins αυτου, retaining αυτου of txt, Λ(prob) c 66² æth-pl Vig.—om παθ. τ. χρ. (homeotel αυτου to αυτου?) Λ.

19. for eis, προς BN. τας αμ. bef υμων D. επελθωσιν D-gr Tert. aft αναψυξ. add υμιν Ε tol lat-mss-in-Bede, and aft ελθ. Bede-gr Syr syr-w-ast copt

Chr-comm Iren int Tert. om του E k m 36.

the inf. aor. madeîv, as in ch. i. 3, of a . 19.] οὖν, quæ εἰς τὸ ἐξαλ.] The faith definite single act. cum ita sint. implied in επιστρέψατε has for its aim, is necessarily (by God's covenant, see John iii. 15, 18) accompanied by, the wiping out όπως αν έλθ. κ.τ.λ. This of sin. passage has been variously rendered and explained. To deal first with the rendering: - ὅπως ἄν cannot mean 'when,' as in E. V. - ὅπως never occurs in that sense in the N. T., nor indeed with an indic. at all; -and if it did, the addition of av, and the use of a subjunctive, would preclude it here. It can have but one sense, -in order that. This being so, what are καιροί ἀναψύξεως? From the omission of the article, some have insisted (e. g. Stier, R. d. Apost. i. 89) on rendering it 'times, seasons, of avay.' But this cannot be maintained. καιρός and καιροί are occasionally anarthrous when they manifestly must have the article in English. Cf. especially Luke xxi. 24, kaipol $\partial \theta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$, where none would think of rendering, 'seasons of (the) Gentiles.' See for καιρός Matt. viii. 29; Mark xi. 13; 1 Pet. i. 5. And, since philologically we have to choose between 'seasons' and 'the seasons,' ξλθωσιν must I think determine in favour of the latter. For by that word we must understand a definite arrival, one and the same for all, not a mere occurrence, as the other sense of kaipol would render necessary. This is also implied by the aorist, used, in a conditional sentence, of a single fact, whereas a recurrence or enduring of a state is expressed by the present. Inorder that the times of ἀνάψυξις may come. What is ἀνάψ.? Clearly, from the above rendering, some refreshment, future, and which their conversion was to bring about. But hardly, from what has been said, re-freshment in their own hearts, arising from their conversion: besides the above objections, the following words, ἀπὸ προς-

ώπου τοῦ κυρίου, are not likely to have been used in that case. No other meaning, it seems to me, will suit the words, but that of the times of refreshment, the great season of joy and rest, which it was understood the coming of the Messiah in His glory was to bring with it. That this should be connected by the Apostle with the conversion of the Jewish people, was not only according to the plain inference from prophecy, but doubtless was one of those things concerning the kingdom of God which he had been taught by his risen Master. The same connexion holds even now. If it be objected to this, that thus we have the conversion of the Jews regarded as bringing about the great times of refreshment, and those times consequently as delayed by their non-conversion ('neque enim est Mutate vos in melius, ut Deus mittat Christum: non esse potest: hoc non pendet a nostra μετανοία.' Morus in Stier R. A. i. 91), I answer, that, however true this may be in fact, the other is fully borne out by the manner of speaking in Scripture: the same objection might lie against the efficacy of prayer. See Gen. xix. 22; xxxii. 26; Mark vi. 5; 2 Thess. ii. 3; 2 Pet. iii. 12. ἀπὸ προςώπ. τ. κυρ.] From the presence of God (the Father), who has reserved these καιροί in His own power. When they arrive, it is by His decree, which goes forth from His presence. Cf. ξξήλθεν δόγμα παρὰ Καίσ. Αὐγ., Luke ii. 1. 20.] ἀποστείλη (see above), Elterally, not figuratively, by the Spirit:
—even if the word send be nowhere elso applied to the second coming of the Lord, there is no reason why it should not be here: the whole ground and standing-point of these two orations of Peter are peculiar, and the very mention of the 'times of refreshment' proceeding forth from the presence of the Father would naturally lead to the position here assigned to the Son, as 20. rec προκεκηριγμενον (either a mistake, or a gloss agreeable to the sense of vr. 18, 21), with (none of our mss) Orig, qui pradicatus est vulg, prius annunciatum copt-wilk: tx ABCDEN rel 36 vss Chr Euthal Chron: praparatum Iren-int: destinatum and pradesignatum Tert: pradestinatum D-lat E-lat syrr sah: προκεχρισμενον æth. rec 19σ. bef χρ. (corrn to more usual appelln, the connexion of χρ. not being perceived, see note), with AC m p rel vss Chr Chron Cosm Iren-int: txt BD-gr EN a c g h l syr sah Thl.

21. χροιον D¹: txt D-corr¹. ree for των αγ., παυτων αγ., with (none of our mss) Cosm: παυτων των αγ. E 13 rel Clr₁ Chron Thl (corrn to suit ver 24, and των omd in ree by mistake, owing to -των preceding): txt ABCDN (e?) o p Hr Orig

one sent by the Father. See below, on ver. 26. Besides which, the aor will not allow of the figurative interpretation, confining, as it does, the 'sending' to one definite event.

προκεχειρισμένον before appointed, as apparently in the first ref.: or perhaps #po-merely gives the idea of forth, before the rest, as in the two others, and perhaps even in the first also. ὑμῖν, to you, - as your Messiah. According to the right reading, χριστ. Ίησοῦν, χριστόν may be connected with τὸν προκεχ. ὑμ., Him who was predestined your Messiah, namely, Jesus. 21. δν δεί οὐρ. μ. δέξασθαι] These words admit of a double rendering: (1) 'Whom the heaven must receive.' (2) Who must possess (eapessere) the heaven. Of these the former is in my view decidedly preferable, both as best suiting the sense, and as being the natural rendering, whereas the other is forced. Only two or three instances of δέχομαι used in this sense are produced, and in these it gets the meaning by signifying 'to take to one's self,' as property or inheritance: which would surely never be said of οὐρανόν, thus barely expressed. Besides, the emphatic position of oupavor, with mér attached to it, is almost decisive against this rendering. I apprehend that this particle in a sentence of the present form is always found appended to the subject, never to the object; and that, if ovp. had been the object, the form of the sentence would necessarily have been δυ μὲν δεί κ.τ.λ. The reason given by Bengel for rejecting the right rendering, · Calo capi, i. e. cohiberi, concludi, violenta est interpretatio, quasi cœlum Christo majns sit; et inimica celsitudini Christi super omnes codos,' is best answered by himself, 'Non tamen nullo sensu dici potnit, calum suscipit Christum: admittit seil, ut thronus Regem legitimum;' only I would rather understand it locally, and recognize a parallel expression with that in

ch. i., also local, νεφέλη ύπέλαβεν αὐτόν. And so far from seeing in it any derogation from the Majesty of Christ, it seems to me admirably to set it forth: it behoves the heaven (which is his, obeying his will) to receive Him till the time appointed. The omission of the article cannot be adduced either way here: for oupavos 'the heaven,' is frequently anarthrous, as ἥλιος and other similar nouns: see (besides very numerous instances of oup. after a preposition, which are hardly to the point) 2 Pet. iii. 12, and ταν προς εσπερον κέλευθον ουρανού, Eur. Orest. 1003. Ζεύς έστιν αἰθήρ, Ζεὺς δὲ γη, Zeùs δ' οὐρανός, Æsch. Frag. i. 96. The tragedians never prefix the article to ουρανός, γη (meaning 'the earth'), αἰθήρ, or ηλιος, except when qualified by an adjective, as & τον αἰπὺν οὐρ. διφρηλατῶν, Soph. Aj. 832, and even then very seldom. Middleton has but very slightly noticed this, ch. iii. 1, § 5, note. axpl Not during, as the advocates of the present spiritual sense of the passage wish to render it, but until; see below.

χρόνων ἀποκαταστ. πάντων κ.τ.λ.] The key both to the construction and meaning here, is our Lord's saying. Matt. αχίι. 11, 'Ηλίας μὲν ἔρχεται καὶ ἀποκαταστήσει πάντα. From this we see that ἀποκατ. πάντων stands alone, as the ἀποκατ. of all things: and that ὧν does not belong to πάντων. Next, what is ἀποκατάστασις? We must be guided by the usage of the kindred verb ἀποκαθίστημι (or -ἀνω). Certainly, to restore is its usual import, and most strikingly so, accompanied however with the notion of a glovious and complete restoration, in ch. i. 6. To render our word fulfilment, and apply it to πάντων ὧν ἐλάλ. κ.τ.λ., is against all precedent.

And, in the sense of restoration, I cannot see how it can be applied to the work of the Spirit, as proceeding, during this the interim-state, in the hearts of men. This

απ' αίωνος αὐτοῦ προφητών. 22 Μωυσῆς μὲν εἶπεν ὅτι $\frac{1}{2}$ Ε. Lukel. 70 κυ. 18. προφήτην ὑμῖν $\frac{1}{2}$ ἀναστήσει κύριος ὁ θεὸς ὑμῶν ἐκ τῶν $\frac{1}{2}$ Ματ. καὶ ιδελφῶν ὑμῶν $\frac{1}{2}$ ὡς ἐμέ αὐτοῦ ἀκούσεσθε $\frac{1}{2}$ κατὰ πάντα $\frac{1}{2}$ Ματ. καὶ τοῦ ακούσεσθε $\frac{1}{2}$ κατὰ πάντα $\frac{1}{2}$ Ματ. καὶ τοῦ ακούσεσθε $\frac{1}{2}$ κατὰ πάντα $\frac{1}{2}$ Ματ. καὶ τοῦς αᾶν λαλήση πρὸς ὑμᾶς. $\frac{23}{2}$ ε΄σται δέ, $\frac{1}{2}$ πάσα $\frac{1}{2}$ Ψυχὴ $\frac{1}{2}$ Ματ. καὶ τοῦς ἐκείνου $\frac{1}{2}$ ε΄σται ἐκ τοῦ λαοῦ. $\frac{1}{2}$ καὶ πάντες $\frac{1}{2}$ δὲ οἱ προφήται ἀπὸς $\frac{1}{2}$ Ματ. καὶ πάντες $\frac{1}{2}$ δὲ οἱ προφήται ἀπὸς $\frac{1}{2}$ Μετ. Μετ. Μετ. Τοῦς Απονία τοῦς $\frac{1}{2}$ Ματ. Μετ. Τοῦς $\frac{1}{2}$ Ματ. Μετ. Τοῦς $\frac{1}{2}$ Ματ. Μετ. Τοῦς $\frac{1}{2}$ Μετ. Μετ. Τοῦς \frac Σαμουήλ και των καθεξής οσοι ελάλησαν και γκατήγ- th.ii. 17, 21.

iii. 17. u = ch. ii. 43 reff. w Matt. x. 18. John vi. 51. viit. 16, 17 al. y ch. xiii. 5 reff.

x. 32, Col. v here only. Dent, vii. 10 al. Jos. Antt viii. 11 l. x Luke i. 3, viii. l. ch. xi. 4, x viii. 23 only t. L.

Chr₁ Iren-int Tert.—aft αγ. ins των B²-marg(sic: see table) EN³ c k 13 προφ. bef απ αιωνος, with rel 36: om απ. αιων. D 19 arm Cosm Iren-int Tert: 13 has it thus, αγ. αυτου των απ' αι. προφ.: alii aliter (prob the expr was found difficult, as Mey suggests, because strictly an awvos there were no prophets. Hence it was ejected to the marg and found its place variously when reinserted): txt (a very usual collocation in the Acts) ABCEN (k) p Chron. ins $\tau\omega\nu$ bet $\pi\rho\rho\phi$. D\(^1.—om autou k.

22. rec aft wev ins yap (to connect the prophecy of Moses, as an example, with ver 21), with rel Syr Chr: om ABCDEN b1 o p 36 vulg syr coptt æth Chr, Iren-int. ree ins προς τους πατερας bef ειπεν, with rel Thl: aft ειπεν DE sah æth arm Chr Iren int: om ABCN p vulg Syr copt.—(D d e f sah æth Iren int add ημων aft the above insn; E 24. 43 add υμων.) for 1st υμων, ημων CEN af b c e f h l o 13 syr sah æth Justin Thl: om B 60 Syr copt Chr,: txt ADN³ p rel vulg Chr, Iren. 2nd μων, ημων D-gr a 5. 14. 57. 95 lect-12 Thl-sif. εμου D'-gr: txt D².

23. rec av, with BDE rel: txt ACN bedefglmop Thl.

ABCD.

for οσοι, οι C2D2N vulg coptt: ο D1: txt AB C1(appy) C3E rel 24. om $\delta \epsilon$ D. ελαλησεν \tilde{D}^1 : επροφητευσαν C^2 æth arm. D-lat Iren-int Chr Chron. προκατηγγειλαν (gloss), with C2 rel Cosm: txt AB C1(appy) DEN c defgklmp36 vulg syrr coptt ath arm Chr Thl Iren-int.

would be contrary to all Scripture analogy. I understand it then of the glorious restoration of all things, the παλιγγενεσία, which as Peter here says, is the theme of all the prophets from the beginning. No objection can be raised to this from the meaning of χρόνοι: see ch. vii. 17, and Peter's own language, 1 Pet. i. 20, ἐπ' ἐσχάτου τῶν χρόνων. If the distinction be true between χρόνοι and καιροί, as denoting a longer and a shorter period respectively, which I much doubt,-it does not affect this passage: for, either way, the χρόνοι ἀποκατ. will imply the time or period of the $a\pi \sigma$ - $\kappa a\tau$, not the moment only when it begins or is completed, as καιρός (not καιροί) ἀποκατ. might. De Wette is hardly right in saying that the unexpressed of to answer to μέν is contained in the sense of ἀποκατάστασις: it is rather contained in the previous clause, καὶ ἀποστείλη, κ.τ.λ. In order to fill up the ellipsis, this clause would have to be repeated after προφητῶν-τότε δὲ αὐτὸν ἀποστελεῖ. i. e. o's, agreeing with χρόνους, or perhaps περί ων, i. e. χρόνων. It does not refer to πάντων.—see above. On the testimony πάντων, - see above. On the testimony of the prophets, see ver. 18, note. 22.] This citation is a free but faithful

seem to point to the whole line of prephets sent by God, is not any objection to their being applied to Christ, but rather necessitates, and entirely harmonizes with, that application. See the parable Matt. xxi. 33-41. And none of the whole prophetie body entirely answered to the &s έμέ, but Christ. The Jews therefore rightly understood it (though not always consistent

paraphrase of the text in Deut. See LXX.

That the words, as spoken by Moses,

in this, compare John i. 21 with vi. 14) of the Messiah. 23. ἐξολεθρ.] LXX ἐγὼ ἐκδικήσω ἐξ αὐτοῦ. This word, only known to later Greek, is often found in the LXX. See besides reff., Gen. xvii. 14; Deut. ix. 3; Ps. xvii. 40; lxxii. 27. In most places where it occurs, the readings vary between $-o\lambda o\theta \rho$ - and $-o\lambda \epsilon \theta \rho$ -; see var. readd. 24.7 See ver. 18, note. The construction of the Vulg., defended by

Casaubon and adopted by Valeknaer and Kuinoel, των καθεξής δσοι έλάλ., 'et omnes prophetæ a Samuel, et deinceps qui locuti sunt,' is not so good as the ordinary one in Ε. V. Cf. αρξάμενος από Μωυσέως και από πάντων τῶν προφ., Luke xxiv. 27. Still less admissible is the rendering given in Dr. Burton's note, as perhaps the literal one, 2 - Malt, viii. γειλαν τὰς ἡμέρας ταύτας. 25 ὑμεῖς ἐστε οἱ τυἰοὶ τῶν ABCDE Nabod 2 της τος ἡμέρας ταύτας. 25 ὑμεῖς ἐστε οἱ τυἰοὶ τῶν ABCDE Nabod 2 της της ab διαθήκης c ῆς bd διέθετο ὁ θεὸς be προς f gh k l εσκ. xxx. δ. sec 4 Kings τοὺς f πατέρας f ὑμιῶν, λέγων πρὸς Αβραὰμ Καὶ ἐν τῷ f Rom. xi. 27. g σπέρματί σου h ἐνευλογηθήσονται πᾶσαι αὶ i πατριαὶ τῆς f γε. xiv. 14. bil-b. viii. 18. γῆς. g g ὑμῖν πρῶτον g ἀναστήσας g θεὸς τὸν f παίδα αὐτοῦ κχ. xii, (που χίχχ), 333. απέστειλεν αὐτὸν m εὐλογοῦντα ὑμᾶς n ἐν τῷ o ἀποστρέφειν g Θεα, χy. ii.

25. rec om o. (as unnecessary, or perhaps in the way, as according to the common notion an art with the predicate distributes it), with D rel Chron: ins ABCEN b2 e e k p. for ηs, ην D1 copt: txt D2. o θ. bef διεθ. BD coptt rec ημων (corrn, as or πατ. ημων is the more usual; see ver 13, ch vii. 12, 15), with CDN rel vulg syrr copt sah-ms ath Iren-int: txt ABEN k m p sahwoide arm Chraig Chron Thl Iren-int-ms. rec om εν, with (none of our mss) E-lat: ins ABCDEN rel. επευλογηθ. C: ευλογηθ. A1(appy) e 3.15.27.100.127. 163 Chr Thl2 Œe.

26. rec o $\bar{\theta} \epsilon os$ bef $ava\sigma \tau$. (rearrangement for perspicuity), with ADE rel vulg syr coptt Chr Iren-int: txt BCN Syr æth. ree aft τ. παιδ. αυτ. ins ιησουν (marginal gloss. All such additions, if at all the subject of variations, are spurious), with A rel Cosm: om BCDEN p Syr coptt with arm Chr Chron Thl3 Iren-int. om autor D Chr, Thl, Iren-int. εξαπεστειλέν D Chron. ευλογουντας D-gr.

'And (to the same effect spoke) all the prophets from S. downwards, as many as spoke and predicted these days.' To what effect ? And would not the sentence thus amount to little more than saying, 'As many prophets as predicted these days, predicted these days?' Peter's aim is to shew the unanimity of all the prophets in speaking of these times. Samuel is named, more as being the first great prophet after Moses, than as bearing any part in this testimony. The prophetic period of which David was the chief prophet, began in Samuel (Stier). τὰς ἡμ. ταύτ. These days now present, not the times of restoration, as De Wette and others understand : which would require ¿κείνας. ' These days' are, in fact, connected with the times of restoration, as belonging to the same dispensation and leading on to them; and thus the Apostle identifies the then time with this preparation for $(5\pi\omega s \ a\nu \ \xi\lambda\theta.)$ and expectation of $(4\chi\rho\iota)$ those glories: but to make τὰs ἡμ. ταύτ. identical with the καιροί ἀναψ. and the χρόν. ἀποκατ., is to make him contradict himself. He applies this to them, as being inheritors of the promises. They were descendants, necording to the flesh, and fellow-partakers, necording to the spirit. For a full comment on this promise made to Abraham, see Gal. iii. 16. This is cited freely from the LXX, which for of marpial has ta 26.] πρῶτον, first; implying Eoun.

the offer to the Gentiles (but as yet, in Peter's mind, only by embracing Judaism) afterwards: see ch. xiii. 46; Rom. i. 16.

It is strange how Olshausen ean suppose that the Spirit in Peter overleant the bounds of his subsequent prejudice with regard to the admission of the Gentiles :- he never had any such prejudice, but only against their admission uncircumcised, and as Gen-It is still stranger how a scholar like Dr. Burton can propose the ungrammatical and unmeaning rendering, "πρῶτον is perhaps used with reference to Christ's first coming, as opposed to his second." This would require τὸ πρῶτον, - and would certainly imply in the mind of the speaker an absolute exclusion of all but Jews till the second coming. άναστήσας, not 'from the dead :' but as in ver. 22. His Servant: see note, ver. 13.

ἀπέστειλεν, indefinite, of the sending in the flesh; sent, not 'hath sent;' it does not apply to the present time, but to God's procedure in raising up His Servant Jesus, and His mission and ministry: and is distinct from the $\dot{\alpha}\pi o\sigma \tau \epsilon l\lambda \eta$ of ver. 20. This is also shewn by the pres. part. εὐλογοῦντα, ingeniously, but not quite accurately rendered in E. V. 'to bless you.' He came blessing you (his coming was an act of blessing - it consisted in the evloyeiv: an anarthrous present participle in such a connexion carries necessarily a slightly ratioeinative sense), in (as the conditional ἔκαστον ἀπὸ τῶν p πονηοιῶν ὑμῶν. IV. 1 Λαλούντων δὲ p Μαι χεϊι αὐτῶν πρὸς τὸν λαὸν q ἐπέστησαν αὐτοῖς οἱ ἰερεῖς καὶ 5 ὅτο στρατηγὸς τοῦ 7 ἱεροῦ καὶ οἱ Σαδδουκαῖοι, 2 1 διαπονού μενοι διὰ τὸ διδάσκειν αὐτοὺς τὸν λαὸν καὶ 10 καταγγέλλειν 10

7πν C. ABDER την ² αυζοιον, ην γαρ ⁶ εσπέρα ηδη. ⁷ πολλοι σε τιν του χόνος μα be of την δογον επίστευσαν, και εγενήθη αριθμός των του λόγον επίστευσαν, και εγενήθη αριθμός των του λένος μα bed. xi. 52 only. 1. L. (Neh. ii. 16.)

8 - as above (r), Luke xxii. 4. ch. v. 26 (xvi. 29, &c.) only t. L. (Neh. ii. 16.)

Eech. x 9. 2 Macc. ii. 28 vat. 18 (not λ) only. 1. L. (Neh. ii. 16.)

refi. (4. xvi. 18 only. vel. xi. 18. ce ch. xii. 16. only. without ks. 1 co. xvi. 18. rounst, Taked Thuryd vii. 88. xvi. 18. ce ch. xvi. 18. only. Jos. iii. 5. rounst, Taked Thuryd vii. 88. xvi. 18. ce ch. xvi. 18. only. Jos. iii. 5. rounst, Taked Const, Constant Consta

εκαστος D¹ (txt D²), unus quisque vulg D-lat Iren-int: om Syr. for απο, εκ D. for υμων, αυτων C¹ 13. 6¹¹. 66² vulg D-lat copt Iren-int: αυτου 5. 27. 29. 69. 100. 104. 127. 163: om B Chr₂ Thl-ms (corrections and omission to suit εκαστον which did not seem to tally with νμων): txt ADEN rel syrr ath Cosm Chron al.

CHAP. IV. 1. aft λαον ins τα ρηματα ταυτα DE c Syr syr-marg Thl-sif Lucif. οι ιερ. bef αυτοιs 13: om αυτ. D vulg Lucif. οι αρχιερειs (alteration to more usual word: cf Lu xx. 1) BC αth. ο m κ. ο στυ. τ. ιερου D: transp (Syr).

2. ins και bef διαπ. C^1 (appy): καταπ. D^1 : καιαπ. D^1 : om διαπ. æth. αναγγείλλειν τον ιησουν εν τη αναστασει D. for την εκ, των D a c d f g h l m o² H^r

E-lat sah æth Chr Thl, Lucif.

3. επειβαλουτες D.gr: om και bef εθευτο D.corr.gr. aft εθευτο ins αυτους (to complete sense) ACE k 36 vss Chr Thl-fin; αυτοις m: om BDN p rel Thl, Lucif. [The page in C ends εθευτο αυτους εις την, either adding την bef τηρησίν, or omg εις τηρησίν.] επαυρίου D 40.

4. om τον λογον. και αριθμ. τε εγεν. ανδρ. D. rec ins o bef αριθμος

element of the blessing) turning every one from your iniquities: thus conferring on you the best of blessings. εὐλογ., in allusion to ἐνευλογ., ver. 25. ἐν τῷ in this sense, see Luke viii. 5. The application to the present time is made by inference :- 'as that was His object then, so now :'-but (see below) the discourse is The intransitive sense of unfinished. ἀποστρέφειν, - which blessing is to be gained by (in) every one of you turning from your iniquities,'-given in the Vulg., 'ut convertat se unusquisque,' and maintained by Theophyl., Ec., Beza, Kuinoel, Meyer, &c., on the strength of ver. 19, is inadmissible,—as ἀποστρέφω is not found thus used in the N. T., and we have the precedent of ref. Luke and Rom. xi. 26 for the transitive sense. The argument from ver. 19 tells just as well for it: 'Repent and be converted, for this was the object of Jesus being raised up, to confer on you this very blessing, the turning away each of you from your iniquities.' discourse does not come to a final conclusion as in ch. ii. 36, because it was interrupted by the apprehension of the Apostles.

CHAP. IV. 1-4.] APPREHENSION AND

IMPRISONMENT OF THE TWO APOSTLES. ἐπέστ., see reff. of lepels. the officiating priests, as soon as they were released from their duties. The στρατηγὸς τ. ίεροῦ was the captain of the Levitical guard of the temple, mentioned by Jos. B. J. vi. 5. 3, δραμόντες δε οί τοῦ ίεροῦ φύλακες ήγγειλαν τῷ στρατηγῷ. We hear in Jos. Antt. xx. 6. 2, of δ στρατηγός "Avavos: and in B. J. ii. 12. 6, he is said to be son of the high priest Ananias. In 2 Macc. iii. 4, we hear of the προστάτης τοῦ ίεροῦ, who appears to have been the same officer. See Winer, RWB., art. Temple, end. Σαδδουκ.] See note on Matt. iii. 7. Perhaps they on this occasion had moved the guard and the priests to notice the matter: for διαπον. seems only to refer to them. Cf. also ch. v. 17. 2.] ἐν τ. Ἰησ., -not, as E. V., 'through Jesus,' but in the person (or example) of Jesus, alleging Him as an example of that which the Sadducees denied: preaching by implication, inasmuch as one resurrection would imply that of all, the resurrection of the dead. The $\epsilon \nu$ in reff. carries this somewhat further, but the usage is philologically the same. 'The resurrection through Jesus'

bonstr, ch. $\frac{b \, \text{constr}, \, \text{ch}}{\text{ix. 8, 82, 87}}$ ἀνδρων $\left[\hat{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}\right]$ χιλιάδες πέντε. $\frac{5}{6}$ εγένετο δὲ $\frac{cd}{6}$ έπὶ τὴν ABDEN xiv. 1. xxi. $\frac{1}{1}$, 5. Matt. $\frac{d}{d}$ αύριον $\frac{c}{6}$ συναχθηναι αὐτων τοὺς $\frac{f}{6}$ ἄρχοντας καὶ τοὺς ghkl xeil 18. $\frac{1}{1}$, $\frac{1}{6}$, $\frac{1}{1}$ πρεσβυτέρους καὶ τοὺς γραμματεῖς ἐν Ἱερουσαλήμ, $\frac{6}{6}$ καὶ $\frac{1}{6}$ καὶ τεί. Το Αννας ο ἀρχιερεὺς καὶ Καϊάφας καὶ Ἰωάννης καὶ Ετρινίες Αλέξανδρος $^{\rm E}$ καὶ ὅσοι ῆσαν ἐκ ἡ γένους ἱ ἀρχιερατικοῦ, $^{\rm 27}$ καὶ $^{\rm 7}$ καὶ $^{\rm 7}$ καὶ ατήσαντες αὐτοὺς $^{\rm 8}$ ἐν $^{\rm 7}$ τοὶ $^{\rm 7}$ καὶ $^{\rm 7}$ καὶ $^{\rm 7}$ τοὶ $^{\rm$

(from supposed necessity of art), with AE p rel 36 Chr: om BDX. E rel Chr: ωs B(sie, see table) D: om AN p vulg copt æth Hil.

5. aft αυριον ins ημεραν Di. συνηχθησαν οι αρχ. κ. οι πρεσβ. κ. γρ. and om αυτων D 3. 95' Syr copt æth. ree om 2nd and 3rd Tous (supposed unnecessary), with E rel: ins ABN b c o p. ree (for ev) eis (corrn to suit συναχθηναι, cf Matt vi. 26, xiii. 30; and esp xxvi. 3), with N rel: txt ABDE bh k o p 36 sah Chr, -om ev tep. Syr.

6. ree ανναν τον αρχιερεα κ. καιαφαν κ. ιωαννην κ. αλεξανδρον, with E rel 36: txt AB D(see last verse) N p.—om σ bef ασχ. B(sic; see table).—for ισωστης, ισυσάα D.
7. om τω DE rel Chr Thl: ins ABN p 36 (Ec. τουτο bef εποιησατε (so correct

τουτο bef εποιησατε (so corrected a prima manu from εποιειτε) N.

does not appear on the present occasion to

have formed part of their preaching. 3.] έσπέρα, perhaps, from their adjourning the case till the next day, the second evening, beginning with the twelfth hour: see Matt. xiv. 15, and note. 4.] έγενήθη-This form is unknown in good Greek : but common in Hellenistic, - see Col. iv. 11; 1 Thess. ii. 14; Winer, § 15. It appears to have been originally a Dorie form: and is commonly, though this cannot always be pressed, used where a passive sense is admissible, and an agent understood: cf. e. g. Matt. vi. 10; viii. 13; xxi. 42;—1 Thess. i. 5, 6; ii. 5, and notes there. Here, as there, the agent would be God: see ch. ii. 47. τῶν ἀνδρῶν] It does not appear whether we are to take this strictly as masculine, or more loosely as if it were ἀνθρώπων: Meyer thinks the former: Olshausen, that as yet only men attached themselves to the church (but see ch. i. 14): De Wette objects to the stricter view, that Luke does not so reckon, ch. ii. 41 (see however Luke ix. 14, and cf. | Mt.): but leaves it undecided. The laxer use of ἀνδρῶν occurs Luke xi. 31, and James i. 20. In ch. v. 14, men and women both are mentioned as being added to the Lord. Dr. Wordsw. sees in the 5000 Evopes a fulfilment of the prophecy contained in the miracle of feeding the 5000. But how will the circumstances tally, seeing that these were but new converts, babes in grace, not yet fed to the full us were those others? And uguin, it is not quite certain whether this number was that of new converts on this occasion, or of the whole Church: but most probably the latter.

5-12.] THE APOSTLES EXAMINED BEFORE THE SANHEDRIM. PETER'S SPEECH. 5.] αὐτῶν, of the Jews; a construction frequently used where there can be little chance of mistaking to whom or what the pronoun refers, see John viii. 44, note; Rom. ii. 26; Winer, edn. 6, § 22. 3. 3 b. In this place, however, it has been mistaken: for Meyer refers αὐτῶν to the believers just mentioned, inasmuch as they were Jews: absurdly enough. κ. πρεσβ. κ. γρ.] *The Sanhedrim:* see Matt. ii. 4; xxvi. 59; ch. v. 21.

έν 'Ιερουσαλήμ] Why is this specified? The difficulty of accounting for it has led in some MSS. to ev being altered to els, so as to imply that certain of them who dwelt out of town (Lightf., &c.) were summoned to Jerusalem. I believe it merely implies that the meeting was not held in the temple, but in the city. 6.] On Annas and Caiaphas, both called high priests, Luke iii. 2,-see note there. Of John and Alexander nothing is known. Lightfoot supposes John to be identical with the Jochanan ben Zacchai of the Talmud, who however (De W.) was not of the high-priestly, but only of the priestly race : -and Pearson, Wolf, Krebs, and Mangey suppose Alexander to have been the brother of Philo Judaus, mentioned by Jos. Antt. aviii. 8. 1. But this is very improbable; for he was Alabarch of the Jews at Alexanghkl m o 13

 8 τότε Π έτρος p πλησθείς πνεύματος άγίου εἶπεν πρὸς p $\stackrel{ch.}{\text{refi.}}$...αρχον αὐτοὺς ⁹ Αρχοντες τοῦ λαοῦ καὶ τε πρεσβύτεροι [τοῦ s' Ισ- τ = Mati. xxi. ΑΒυΕΝ ραήλ], 9 εί ήμεις σήμερον τανακρινόμεθα "επί ενεργεσία 3. ch. xxv. w ανθρώπου ασθενούς, x έν τίνι ούτος y σέσωσται, 10 2 γιω-"ανθρωπου ασυενους, εν τινι ουίος στουσιάς, στον έστω πάσιν ὑμίν καὶ παντὶ τῷ λαῷ 'Ισραὴλ ὅτι " έν $\frac{1.6 \text{ke x xiii.}}{1.4 \text{ ch. xii.}}$ τῷ "ὀνόματι 'Ιησοῦ χριστοῦ τοῦ Ναζωραίου, ὃν ὑμεῖς $\frac{1.9 \text{ kg. yi.cm}}{1.4 \text{ ch. xii.}}$ $\frac{1.9 \text{ kg. yi.cm}}{1.4 \text{ ch. xii.}}$ h γενόμενος είς i κεφαλὴν ih γωνίας. ih και ουκ εστιν εν ih αλλω οὐδεν i i i σωτηρία ih σύτε γαρ ih σνομά έστιν ih ετερον ih επές ih τεί. 14 ih ih τον οὐρανὸν τὸ δεδομένον εν ανθρώποις, ih εν ih ih γεί. 3. ih ih

reff. c ver, 26 (from Ps. ii, 3). Mark xiv, 47 al. 1 Kings xvi. 21, 22. d e - ch. ii. 25 al. (chiefly John) in gospp. Tit ii. 8 only. 1sa. xxxviii. 21, e ch. v. 36 reff. g hereonly. 4 Kings xxii. o. 1 Heredi, ii. 121. Xen. xymp. iv. 4. h coustry, eh. v. 36 reff. Ps. a. cxvii. 22. ii. Mart. xxxi. 32 [8 x 1 Pet. ii. 7 (from i. c.) only. 3c xxxi. 25 reff. only. 1 absol., John v. 22 (ch. xii. 26). Rom. xi. 11. Rev. vii. 10 xii. 10 xii. p. 1 absol., John ii. 23 (ch. xii. 26). Rom. xi. 11. Rev. vii. 10 xii. 10 xii. p. 1 absol., John ii. 28 (ch. xii. 30). 31 al. fr.

8. om του ισρ. (as unnecessary aft του λαου?) ABN vulg coptt with Cyr Fulg: ins DE rel 36 syrr Chr₂ Thl Iren-int Cypr Ambr. at end ins aκουσατε E 15. 18. 36. 37 vulg Syr æth Cypr.

9. aft ανακρινομ. ins αφ υμων DE Syr æth-pl Iren-int Cypr. $\epsilon \pi'$ D m.

σεσωται Χ.

10. for παντι, παν Ν¹. ins του κυριου bef ιησ. χρ. E vulg-ed(not am fuld demid). ins σημερον bef υγιης Ε: aft υγ. Bede-gr. add και εν αλλω ουδενι Ε syrmarg Cypr.

11. ημων D-gr. rec οικοδομουντων (corrn to suit Lxx and Matt xxi. 42), with

E rel Chr: txt ABDN c 36 Orig Did.

*οὐδε (philological correction? so Meyer) ABN a 12. om η σωτ. D. b h k o 13. 36 copt Did Thdrt Bas: ov D: ουτε E rel Chr. ετερον bef εστιν AE a c h m 13 demid fuld Cyr: εσ. ετ. ον. D-gr Bas Iren-int Aug: ετ. ον. εσ. Ν: txt B rel. ο μπο τον ουρανον b c g l m ο H: Thl. ο δεδομενον D¹, quod defense et D let a d. εί Line in the land. datum est D-lat, q. d. sit Iren-int: txt D'. om εν D 177. 163 vulg Iren-int Cypr. υμας Β.

dria, Jos. ibid. 7.] ἐν ποία δυνάμει— not = ἐν π. ἐξουσία, 'in what authority,' -but in what (manner of) power; of what kind was the enabling cause, the element in which, as its condition, the deed was wrought? - έν ποίφ ονόματι-not ' in what name,'-i. e. 'by whose authority,' but by ('in,' see above) what (manner of) name, spoken as a word of power: see ch. iii. 6, 16; Jos. Antt. viii. 2. 5. τοῦτο, not the teaching (Olshaus., &c.), - nor both the miracle and the teaching (Heinr.), but the miracle: and that only. πλησθ. πν. άγ., i. e. specially, for the oc-9. ci, if, with an implication of the fact being so: see ch. xi. 17.

έν τίνι, not 'by (in) whom,'-this is not vet brought forward: but wherein, in what, as the conditional element. No person had been mentioned in the question, ver. 7,-nor does Peter afterwards say èv 'Ίησοῦ χρ., but $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\tau\hat{\varphi}$ $\dot{\delta}\nu\delta\mu$. 'Ι. χρ. On the other hand, $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ τούτ $\dot{\varphi}$, ver. 10, may very well be masculine, as referring to 'Ίησοῦς χρ. Himself, included in the previous words $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\delta \nu$. 'I. χρ.:—it may also be neuter, 'in this Name:' but the masc. is preferable, on account of οδτος following so soon in ver. 11. 10.] δν ὄν : the copula is omitted to make the contrast more striking. παρέστηκεν, stands, as in E. V. He was there present. 11. See Matt. xxi. 42, note. In Jos. Antt. iii. 1. 5, Moses, praying to God for Israel, says, ἐν αὐτῷ γὰρ εἶναι τὴν σωτη-ρίαν αὐτοῦ, καὶ οὐκ ἐν ἄλλῳ. σωτηρία is used here in the higher sense of salvation, not with reference to the healing of the lame man. See reff. The article implies, 'the salvation for which we all look; our salvation: ἐστὶν ἡ σωτ. is para-

phrased in the next clause by δεί σωθήναι

r = ch, ll. 29. xxviii. 31. 1 Tim. iii. 13. Wisd. v. 1. s = ch. x. 31. xxv. 25. Eph. iii. 18. see John i. 5. * παρρησίαν καὶ Ἰωάννου, καὶ * καταλαβύμενοι ὅτι ἄνθοω- ΑΒDEN ποι ταγράμματοί είσιν καὶ τίδιωται, εθαύμαζον, τέπεγίνω- ghkl σκόν τε αυτούς ότι σὺν τῷ Ἰησοῦ ήσαν 14 τόν τε ἄνθρωsee John I. 5.

1 here only †

1 Cor. xiv.

16, 23, 24,

2 Cor. xi. 6

only. Prov.

vi. 8 (only ²).

v constr., 1 Cor.

xiv. 37 reff.

w — Luke vii.

42. xii. 4 (ch.

xxv. 20).

Heb. vi. 14.

Prov. iii. 27. πον βλέποντες συν αυτοίς έστωτα τον τεθεραπευμένον, ουδέν " είχον * αντειπείν. 15 κελεύσαντες δε αυτούς έξω του συνεδρίου απελθείν, συνέβαλλον προς αλλήλους λέγοντες 16 Τί * ποιήσωμεν τοῖς ἀνθρώποις τούτοις; ὅτι μέν γάρ γνωστόν σημείου γέγονεν δί αὐτων, πασιν τοίς κατοικούσιν Ίερουσαλήμ φανερόν, και ου δυνάμεθα άρ-Prov. iii. 27. x Luke xxi, 15 νείσθαι· 17 άλλ' ίνα μη ε έπι πλείον διανεμηθη είς

13. om και ιδιωτ. D. for τε, δε D 36 E-lat copt.

14. rec δε, with rel 36 copt Thl-sif: om D1: txt ABD3EN c vulg syrr sah æth Chr αυτων D1-gr: txt D2. ειχον ποιησαι η αντειπειν D-gr.

15. κελευσαντος ℵ¹: txt ℵ-corr¹(?). om de D-gr c æth. for amelbeir, απαχθηναι D-gr. rec συνεβαλον (corrn to more usual tense), with D c 36 syr sah

ath Thl fin : txt ABEN rel vulg Syr copt Chr Thl sif Lucif.

16. ree ποιησομεν, with D-gr rel E-lat vulg Chr Thl-fin Lucif Ambr: txt AB E-gr N k m 13. 36 D-lat Thl-sif. γεγονεναι D'-gr. φανεροτερον εστιν D-gr. rec αρνησασθαι (the more common N. T. word), with E rel Chr Thl: txt ABDN c Bas-sel.

17. om αλλ D gr. for $\mu\eta$, $\delta \in A^2$. πλεον τι D. aft Agov ins Ta ρηματα ταυτα Ε syr-marg Lucif. om απειλη (prob mistake in copying; perhaps omd as unnecessary) ABDN vss Bas-sel (Ee Lucif: ins E rel 36 syr Chr Thl. επιλησομέθα ουν αυτοις D'-gr: απ. D's: -σωμέθα, adding ergo, D-lat.-(-σομέθα b d e

for μηκετι, μη Λ 142.

12. οῦτε γὰρ] lit. for ήμας. neither is there another name under heaven (which is) given (by God) among men (not 'to men,' Vulg., Beza, Kuinoel), whereby we must be saved: i. e., as E. V. Dr. Burton's rendering, 'For neither is the name which is given among men, whereby we are to be saved, any other than this,' is ungrammatical.

13-18. CONSULTATION AND SENTENCE OF THE SANHEDRIM. 13.] καταλαβόμενοι, having had previous knowledge; not as E. V. which would be the partie. pres.; see the past, ch. xxv. 25. Tai, - the word of contrast to those professionally acquainted with any matter: here therefore, laics, men of no knowledge on such a subject as this. έπεγίνωσκον, -they recognized them; (so ()d. \(\delta \). 215, αὐτὰρ ἐγῶν πατρὸς πειρήσομαι ἡμετέροιο, αϊ κ' ἐμ' ἐπιγνοίη κ. φράσσεται ὀφθαλ-μοισιν: Plut. Enthyd. 301 Ε, ἄρα μοί ποτε αυτη [ή σοφία] παραγενήσεται ωςτε μοι οἰκεία γενέσθαι; Ἐπιγνοίης αν αυτήν, & Σώκρατες, έφη, οἰκείαν γενομένην;) their astonishment setting them to think, and re-

minding them that they had seen these men with Jesus :- not for a pluperfect, here or any where else: nor is \$\eta \sigma \alpha \alpha ; - that they (once) were with Jesus. 14. This, according to De W., is the only place in Luke where TE couples two sentences. He therefore objects to the reading; and also as destroying the contrast; but clearly the former is no sound critical reason, nor is it correct : see ch. i. 15 al. fr. :- and I cannot see that any contrast is intended: the two circumstances which the Sanhedrim found it difficult to gainsay were, the boldness of these illiterate men, conferred by their companionship with Jesus, and the pre-sence of the healed man standing with them. 17. διανεμηθή] be scattered or spread: lit., be distributed: so l'lato, Minos, 317 D, τίς ἐπιστήμων διανείμαι ἐπὶ γῆ τὰ σπέρματα; and afterwards, τίς δὲ τὴν τροφὴν ἐπὶ τὰ τῶν ἀνθρώπων σώματα διανειμαι άριστος; [ἀπειλη] ἀπειλ.] for idiom, see refl. The construction of ἀπειλέω with an infin., stated by Dr. Bloomf, to be 'so rare that even the best lexx. scarcely adduce an example,' is its

Πέτρος καὶ Ἰωάννης ἀποκριθέντες εἶπον πρὸς αὐτοὺς $\frac{\sin 2 \pi \sin 2 \pi}{\cos 2 \pi}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ Εἰ δίκαιόν έστιν $\frac{q}{2}$ ενώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ ὑμῶν τὰκούειν $\frac{1}{2}$ και $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ είδαμεν και ηκούσαμεν μη λαλείν. 21 οι δε τπροςαπειλησάμενοι "ἀπέλυσαν αὐτούς, μηδέν "εύρισκοντες "τὸ πως ο $\pi \omega$ και $\pi \omega$ γοι $\pi \omega$ γοι σαμενοι απελυσαν αυτούς, ξιήσεν ευριοκοντες το που και κολάσωνται αὐτούς, διὰ τὸν λαόν, ὅτι πάντες ἐδόξαζον το Μαιτον θεὸν 9 έπὶ τῷ γεγονότι. 22 2 έτῶν γὰο ῆν πλειόνων τοῦτο τῆς 1 ἐάσεως. 23 0 ἀπολυθέντες δὲ ῆλθον πρὸς τοὺς 1 1 Τίπι τοῦτος καὶ ἀπήγγειλαν ὅσα ποὸς αὐτοὺς οὶ ἀρχιερείς καὶ 1 1 Τίπι τωτιον πρός τοὶς εἰπαν. 24 οἱ δὲ ἀκούσαντες 0 όμοθυμαδὸν 1 Μαιτ χτί 1 Μαιτον της 1

18. for και καλ. αυτ., συνκατατιθέμενων δε αυτών τη γνωμη φωνησαντές αυτους Dsyr-marg Lucif; D goes on παρηγγειλαντο κατα το μη φθ. ree aft παρηγγ. ins αυτοις (a common filling up), with rel vss Thl Lueif: om AB D-gr EN k 36 vulg syr om 70 X1.

19. αποκρειθεις δε π. κ. ι. D Syr æth. ins o bef ιωαν. Α. rec $\pi \rho$. au τ . bef ειπον, with rel Thl: txt ABDEN e k 13 vulg syrr coptt arm Chr. - ειπαν Β.

υμ. δικαιον φαινεται Ε. om $\mu\eta$ D¹: ins D³.

20. δυνομεθα B(Mai expr). ree ειδομεν, with B2(see table) E rel (-ω- a f): οιδαμέν B1-corr : txt AB1DX Chr-wlf.

21. for μηδεν, μη D k vulg Syr coptt Lucif. aft ευρισκ. ins αιτιαν D Syr copt. om 70 E 18. κολασωσιν Β'(Mai). φοβουμένοι τον λαον παντές

 ins ην bef ο ανθ., retaining ην above, D-gr.
 εγενετο k: txt BD. οπ τουτο D-gr Iren-int Lucif.
 εκεινοι δε απολ. Ε. transp πρεσβ. and αρχ. Ε ree eyeyover, with AEN rel:

transp $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$. and $\alpha \rho \chi$. E. Γειπαν, so ABDN.]

ordinary construction: see Palm and Rost sub voce, and cf. Il. a'. 161; v'. 143; o'. 179, al. freq. : Od. λ'. 313; Xen. Mem. iii. 5. 4; Hell. v. 4. 7; Eur. Med. 287. The use of the middle in the active sense is confined to later Greek. 18.] ἐπί, so as to make that Name the subject (basis) of their discoursing.

19-22.] THE APOSTLES' ANSWER AND DISMISSAL. 21.] προςαπειλ., having threatened them in addition; — with threats superadded to the inhibition of ver. 18. μηδέν, no means: not μηδέν αἴτιον, see John xiv. 30. The difficulty with the Sanhedrim was, to find any means of punishing them which should not stir up the people; $\delta i \hat{\alpha} \tau \delta \nu \lambda \alpha \delta \nu$ belongs to this clause, not to $\hat{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \nu \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \nu \tau$.

22. $\neg \pi \lambda$. $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma$. for $\pi \lambda$. $\eta \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma$., as sometimes in classical Greek; so οὐκ ἔλασσον πέντε και είκοσι, Thueyd. vi. 95. See

Winer, edn. 6, § 37, 5. The constr. ¿ф' or yeyover (see as in reff.) is accounted for by the sense involved in it being the access, so to speak, of the event to the person mentioned. In the note on Rev. iv. 2, I have noticed that καθησθαι ἐπί is commonly used when the fact is announced for the first time, with an accus.: but afterwards when the same fact is again referred to, with a gen. or dat. 7ò σημ. της ιάσ. - the genitive of apposition ; 5 ημείου περιτομής, Rom. iv. 11, &c. The circumstance of his being more than forty years old both gave notoriety to his person as having long resorted there, and made the miracle more notable, his malady being more confirmed.

23-31.] PRAYER OF THE CHURCH THEREUPON. 23.] τοὺς ίδίους, the other Apostles, and possibly some others

 $\begin{array}{c} e = 1.n k e \times vi. \\ 18. 1 \ \text{Kings} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec. vi.}}{\text{Rec. vi.}} \\ \hline \\ e = \frac{1}{18} \frac{\text{Rec$

24. at akonsantes ins kai epignotes the too beomedian D. $\tau e^{-\gamma \rho}$ and we E syrt copt ath: the this the following E. [epages a BBN.] om o beos ABR am demid fuld copt Ath Did Ambr: ins DE rel 36 ath Thl-fin Lucif.—kure o beos and σ , 13. 40. 96: σu et o beos 32. 42. 69 lect-1 syrt shi Thl-sif Iren-int. (The variations may be explained by the difficulty found in the position of o beos, some treating it as the constant σ and allowing according.

treating it as voc, others as nom, and glossing accordy.)

25. rec o δια στοματος Δαβιδ του παίδος του (see below), with rel 40 (om του α c d g h k m 40) Clir, Thi-fin Hil: ος δια πνευματος αγιου δια του στομ. λαλησας δαυείδ παίδος σου D: alii aliter, see Scholz: txt ABEN 13. 36. (It seems to me that every testimony tends to confirm the more difficult and complicated readg of the text. Meyer dismisses it as a congries of various glosses. But glosses on what? Had the rec been the original, no reason can be assigned why it should have been glossed on at all,—nor, if it had been, why the glosses should have been inserted into the text in so unusual

an order of constr. See note.) for ειπων, λαλησας D.

27. rec om εν τη πολει ταντη (as unnecessary, see note), with rel Thl: ins ABDEN b c d e g k o 13 vss Chr Cyr Cosm Iren-int Tert, Lucif Hil.—aft πολει ins σου

assembled with them. There is nothing in ver. 31 to mark that only the Apostles were present on this occasion. ήραν φων., not, as Meyer supposes, literally all speaking together in a known formula of prayer, but led by some one, and all assenting; not $\tau \grave{\alpha} s$ $\phi \omega \nu \acute{\alpha} s$, but $\phi \omega \nu \acute{\eta} \nu$: see note on ch. ii. 6. $\sigma \grave{\nu} [\delta \theta \epsilon \grave{\alpha} s] \delta \sigma \iota$: Thou art God (or, if $\delta \theta \epsilon \acute{\alpha} s$ he omitted, He) who hast made:-not Thou O God who hast made: -in this latter case, the first sentence would go on to the end of ver. 26, and there abruptly end, without any prayer being expressed: whereas now it is an acknowledgment that it was the same God, who was now doing these things, that had beforetime pro-phesied them of Christ. 25.] The text of this verse (see var. readd.) is in a very confused state. I have kept to that of the oldest MSS., adopted also by Lachmann. Though harsh in construction, their words are not senseless, as De Wette styles them, - στόματος Δανείδ ... being in apposition with πνεήματος άγίου. The ree.

has been an emendation and simplification of the text, which bears, in this its original form, the solemn and stately character, in the accumulation of parallel clauses, of the rest of the prayer; cf. ver. 27. Iva τ 6 κ . τ 8. Lited verbatini from the LNX.

The Messianic import of this Psalm has been acknowledged even by those who usually deny all such reference, e.g. De Wette. Meyer endeavours to refer it to some circumstances then present, but is not bold enough to enter into any vindication of his view. φρυάσσω is only found in the middle in good Greek (see Kypke, Observ. ii. p. 30 f. Meyer). φρύαγμά ἐστι τὸ ἀλόγιστον κίνημα, Athanas. in Catena. 27.] The γάρ implies an acknowledgment of the truth of God in the fulfilment of the prophecy: Thou art the God who hast, &c., for these events have happened accordingly. ἐν τῆ πόλει ταύτη, which has been excluded from the text on account of its apparent redundance, answers to ¿πὶ Σιὰν ΰρος τὸ ἄγιον αὐτοῦ, Ps. ii. 6. See also Matt. xxiii. 37; Luke καὶ y λαοῖς Ἰσραήλ, 28 ποιῆσαι ὅσα ἡ z χείρ σου καὶ ἡ y y μιτ., Romar in Fig. a βουλή σου b προώρισεν γενέσθαι. 29 καὶ c τὰ c νῦν, κύριε, y y ref. y ref. y γενές y καὶ y τὰ y νῦν, κύριε, y γενές y καὶ y τὰ y νον, κύριε, y γενές y καὶ y τὰ y νον, κύριε, y γενές y καὶ y τὰ y νον, κύριε, y γενές y καὶ y τὰ y νον, κύριε, y γενές y γενές y καὶ y τὰ y νον, κύριε, y γενές y τὰ y νον y γενές y α έπιδε έπὶ τὰς απειλάς αυτών, καὶ δὸς τοις δούλοις σου bi cor. ii. 23 reft. d^{2} έπιδε έπὶ τὰς d^{2} άπειλὰς αὐτων, και d^{2} coς τοις σουλοις σου d^{2} d^{2} επικά d^{2} παρρησίας d^{2} πάσης d^{2} λαλείν τὸν d^{2} λόγον σου d^{2} εν d^{2} d^{2} εν d^{2} d^{2} τῷ τὴν k χειρά σου k έκτείνειν [σε] είς l'ίασιν, καὶ σημεία και πέρατα γίνεσθαι η διὰ τοῦ ηο ονόματος τοῦ άγίον η δια χοιν, και σημεια Αρκοσινίς σου Ίησοῦ.
3 Καὶ η δεηθέντων αὐτῶν εσαλεύθη ο σια χοιν τόπος ἐν ῷ τῆσαν τουνηγμένοι, καὶ επλήσθησαν ἄπαντες εκία 1 εκ

k of God, here only. Exod. vii. 5. see Matt. viii. 3. ch. xxvi. 1. n ch. x. 43 1 Cor. i. 10 only. o = ch. iii. 16. q absol., here only. 3 Kings viii. 33 vat. Sir xxviii. 2. s = ch. vii. 49, from Isa. lxvi. t. t = Matt. xxii. Rom. xv. 13. 4 Kings v. 18. 1 ver. 22 reff. m.ch. vii. 36 reff. viii. 12 al. pver. 27. r Matt. xi. 7. ch. xvi. 26. Ps. xvii. 7. 4t. Neh. vi. 2. u.ch. ii. 4 reff.

λαος E 3. 33 Syr Thl-sif Hil Aug. σου bef παιδα D 137 Hil. 28. om 2nd σου A'B am' E-lat' Hil Lucif Ambr.

29. εφιδε D. for απειλας, αγιας D¹-gr: txt D-corr¹. πασ. bef παρρ. D-gr E vulg copt Hil Lucif: om πασ. g 26. 36. 57. 137 lect-1 Syr æth Thl-sif-comm.

30. for χειρα σου εκτεινειν σε, χ. σε εκτ. Α; χ. εκτ. σε B: om σε DE N' (see Tischdi's note) c f 13 vss Chr: txt N' rcl 36 Thl (both pronouns here and σου in ver 27 agree better with the character of the diction of the prayer). (txt D3) 133 Thl-sif.

rec πν. αγιου (see ch ii. 4), with E 13. 36 rel vulg Chr: txt 31. παντες X1. aft παρρ. ins παντι τω θελοντι πιστευειν DE Iren-int (Aug). ABD am.

xiii. 33. The parts of this verse correspond accurately to those of the prophecy just quoted. παίδα, servant, as before, ch. iii. 26. Jesus, the Servant of just quoted. Jehovah, is the antitype and completion of David, and of all other servants of the Lord: what is said of them only partially and hyperbolically, is said iterally and entirely of Him. 28.] There is an ellipsis in the thought between ποιῆσαι από ὅσαι ποιῆσαι, [ώς μὲν ἐδόκει, τὴν εδίαν βουλήν, ὑτως δὲ] ὅτα . . . As De Wette well remarks, συνήχθησαν ποιῆσαι is used subjectively, 'they were collected, to do,' and then the speaker changes his ground to an objective one in ooa-(as they believed-but really) as many things as Thy hand, &c. ποιησαι must not be rendered, with Kuinoel, 'ita ut facerent.' It does not express the result, but the intention, of their assembling. Still worse is it to take $\pi o i \hat{\eta} \sigma a i$ with $\xi \chi \rho i \sigma a s$, 'Whom Thou hast anointed, to do,' &c., as some have proposed: the parenthesis, as well as the whole train of thought, forbidding it. ἡ χείρ σ. κ. ἡ βουλή] not a ἐν διὰ δυοῦν (Kuinoel): χείρ indicates the Power, βουλή the Wisdom of God. The Wisdom decreed, the Hand performed: but the same word προώρισεν is used of both by what grammarians call zeugmaas in γάλα ύμας επότισα, οὐ βρωμα, 1 Cor.

iii. 2. See Winer, edn. 6, § 66. 2, e. 30.] ἐν τῷ, see ref. ch. iii. and note there: In Thy stretching forth (while Thou stretchest forth) Thine hand for (els, of the purpose) healing, and that signs and wonders may come to pass by means of the Name of Thy Holy Servant Jesus.

31. As the first outpouring of the Spirit, so this special one in answer to prayer, was testified by an outward and visible sign: but not by the same sign, -for that first baptism by the Holy Ghost, the great fulfilment of the promise, was not to be repeated. The rationalist Commentators have done good service by pointing out parallel cases, in profane writers, of sup-posed tokens of the divine presence. Virg. En. iii. 89. Ovid, Met. xv. 672. Schöttgen, Hor. Hebr. in loc., produces similar notices from the Rabbinical writings.

It was on every ground probable that the token of the especial presence of God would be some phænomenon which would be recognized by those present as such. Besides which, the idea was not derived from profane sources, but from the Scriptures: see Ps. xxix. 8; Isa. ii. 19, 21; xiii. 13; Ezek. xxxviii. 19 (especially); Joel iii. 16; Hagg. ii. 6, 7. ἐπλήσθησαν, with a fresh and renewed outpouring. τοῦ άγ. πν. is personal: they were all filled with the Holy Spirit: v here only. 2 Chron. xxx. 12. w Phil. i. 27 only. 1 Chron. xil. 88. 32 Τοῦ δὲ πλήθους τῶν πιστευσάντων ἢν καρδία ΑΒΡΕΝ καὶ "ψυχὴ "μία, καὶ τουδὲ εῖς τὶ των υπαρχόντων ghk! αὐτῷ ἔλεγεν είδιον είναι, ἀλλ' ην αὐτοῖς ἄπαντα κοινά. x Matt. xxvii. 14. John i. 3. Rom. iii. 10 (2 Cor. vi. 33 και δυνάμει μεγάλη c ἀπεδίδουν τὸ d μαρτύριον οι ἀπό $y_{\text{part. w. dat.}}^{30}$ μεγάλη ην 6 έπὶ πάντας αὐτούς. 34 οὐδὲ γὰρ 6 ένδεής τις 34 οὐδὲ γὰρ 6 ένδεής τις 34 οὐδὲ γὰρ 6 ένδεής τις ύπηρχεν εν αυτοίς σσοι γὰρ κτήτορες $\frac{1}{2}χωρίων η οίκων ὑπηρχον, πωλούντες έφερον τὰς <math>\frac{1}{2}$ τιμὰς τῶν $\frac{1}{2}$ πιπραxx. 23 vat. only. see ch. iii. 6 reff. z = John x. 3, 4, 12. a = ch. ii. 41 reff.

32. rec ins η bef καρδια, with D3E rel Orig, Chr, Bas, Leont Thl: om ABD N Orig, (Ath Thdrt) Euthal Bas, rec ins η bef ψυχη, with E rel 36 Orig₂ Chr₃ Bas₂ Leont Thl: om ABDN Orig, Ath Thart Euthal Bas,. aft μια ins και ουκ ην διακρισις εν αυτοις ουδεμια D(E) Cypr2 Zeno Ambr.—for διακ., χωρισμος, and for ουδ., ουδεις D e l. om ti D. om και E. αυτου D: αυτων b2 fg TIS E. k l1 m 40: om Hr 18, 36, 133. αλλα D. παντα BD: txt AEN 13 rel 36.

33. ree μεγ. bef δυν., with E rel coptt Thl: txt ABDN a c h vulg syrr Chr Irenοι αποστ. bef το μαρτ. AE a g h k o Thl-sif Ors Aug. ins (aft ιησου) χριστου (Λ)DE(N) Syr copt ath-rom arm Chr: om B.—ιυ χῦ bef του κῦ AN 36 (addg $\eta\mu\omega\nu$).—for $\kappa\bar{v}$ $i\bar{v}$, $i\bar{v}$ $\chi\bar{v}$ e.—(Very usual varr where the name $i\eta\sigma$. or $\chi\rho$. occurs: the canon being in such eases, that the simplest well-supported form of expres-

sion was the genuine text.)— τ . κ . ι . bef τ . ava $\sigma\tau$. B. 34. for υπηρχεν, ην (corrn to avoid tautology) A(B) & Fr-coisl a h Cyr: txt DE rel .- ην bef τις B. οσοι γαρ κτητ. ησαν χωρ. η οικων υπηρχον (combination) D^1 : om υπηρχ. D-corr (and lat) 81. D has $\pi\omega\lambda$ ourtes. at ϕ eportes (at ϕ epor [$\epsilon\phi$. D⁸] τας D2 and lat, prefg και) τιμας των πιπρασκο . . των (-σκομενων D2 and lat).

the meaning being the same with πν. άγ., the influence of the Holy Spirit,-but the form of expression varied. See ch. i. 8;

ii. 33, 38; ix. 31; x. 45. 32-37.] The state of the church AT THIS TIME. This passage forms the conclusion of this division of the history and the transition to ch. v. πιστευσάντων Much the same meaning us των πιστευόντων, but with reference to their having become converts, and specially to those mentioned in ver. 4,-though the description is general. 'Ubi regnum habet fides, animos ita conciliat ut omnes idem velint et nolint. Hinc enim discordiæ, quod non regimur eodem Christi Spiritu.' Calvin. On the community of goods, see note at ch. ii. 45. We have the view there taken strikingly confirmed here by the expressions used. No one called (reckoned) any thing of his goods (which were still τὰ ὑπάρχοντα αὐτῷ, not alienated) [to be] his own. (kkeyev, diechat : hoe ipso priesupponitur proprietatem possessionis non plane fuisse deletam. Bengel.) The Apostles were the specially appointed witnesses of the Resurrection, ch. i. 22: and this their testimony they gave with power,

i. e. with a special gift of the Holy Spirit to enforce and illustrate, to persuade and dispute on, those facts of which their own experience (see ver. 20) informed them. That the Spirit did not inspire them with unbroken uniformity in matters of fact, our present Gospels, the remnants to us of this very testimony, sufficiently witness. Nor was this necessary : each man reported what he had heard and seen ;-and it was in the manner of delivering this report that the great power of the Spirit was shewn. See, on the whole subject, Prolegg. Vol. I. i. § iii. 5 ff. χάρις, better grace, i.e. from God, than favour, i.e. from the people, which would hardly be so absolutely designated. gives a proof of God's grace working in them, in that they imparted their goods to the poor: see especially 2 Cor. viii. 7.

πιπρασκομένων,—the things which were being sold:—the process of selling, as regarded the whole church, yet going on, though completed in individual cases; in the places cited by Wetst. from Demosth. and Appian the pres. retains its proper force, as here. In Appian, B. Civ. v. p. 1088, the expression is, τιμάς των έτι

σκομένων 35 καὶ ἐτίθουν m παρὰ τοὺς πόδας τῶν ἀπο- m Matt. xr. 80. στόλων, n διεδίδετο δὲ ἐκάστω $^{\circ}$ καθότι ἄν τις $^{\circ}$ χρείαν i χρείαν i χεν. 36 Ίωσὴφ δὲ ο p ἐπικληθεὶς Βαρνάβας q ἀπὸ τῶν i χνίίι. 22. χρείπ χρείν. 36 Ίωσὴφ δὲ ο p ἐπικληθεὶς Βαρνάβας q ἀπὸ τῶν i χρείπ χρε ἀποστόλων, ο έστιν τη εθερμηνευόμενον ενίος τπαρακλήonly. Josh. xiii, 6. och. ii. 45 σεως, Λευτέτης, Κύπριος τῷ " γένει, 37 "ὑπάρχοντος αὐτῷ $^{\circ}$ ch.ii. 45 "άγροῦ " πωλήσας ἤνεγκεν τὸ " χοῆμα καὶ ἔθηκεν $^{\mathrm{m}}$ παρὰ $^{\circ}$ κλιί. 123 και τοὺς πόδας τῶν ἀποστόλων. $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ Ανὴρ δέ τις Ανανίας $^{\circ}$ Ανηλι. $^{\circ}$ (13) καν. $^{\circ}$ Ανηλος $^{\circ}$ (13) καν. $^{\circ}$ (14) καν. $^{\circ}$ (15) καν. $^{\circ}$ (15) καν. $^{\circ}$ (15) καν. $^{\circ}$ (16) καν. ονόματι σὺν Σαπφείρη τῆ γυναικὶ αὐτοῦ χέπώλησεν $\frac{1}{8}$ κτήμα, $\frac{2}{\kappa}$ καὶ $\frac{1}{\kappa}$ ένοσφίσατο ἀπὸ τῆς $\frac{1}{\kappa}$ τιμῆς, $\frac{1}{\kappa}$ συνειδυίης $\frac{1}{\kappa}$ της γυναικός, καὶ ἐνέγκας $\frac{1}{\kappa}$ μέρος τὶ $\frac{1}{\kappa}$ παρὰ τοὺς $\frac{1}{\kappa}$ τικεν. $\frac{1}{\kappa}$ είπεν δὲ ὁ Πέτρος $\frac{1}{\kappa}$ είμι 28 κιμεν $\frac{1}{\kappa}$ είμι 28 κιμεν είμι 28 κιμεν $\frac{1}{\kappa}$ είμι 28 κιμεν είμι 'Ανανία, διὰ τί επλήρωσεν ὁ Σατανᾶς την καρδίαν σου χν. 31.

u = ch. xviii. 2 ref. v = ch. iii. 6 ref. where only, exc. gospp. Mt. Mt. L. = Matt. xiii. 21, 41 al. Gen. xviii. 9. x : Cor. x. 25 reff. y sing, here only, plut. Mark x. 23, x : Cor. x. 25 reff. y sing, here only, plut. Mark x. 23, 10 only, 10 db, vii. 1, 2 Macc. x 32 only, 10 only, 10 db, vii. 1, 2 Macc. x 32 only, 10 e ch. iv. 34 reff. only, Job xxvii. 6. d = John xix. 23 al. Gen. xivii. 21. e ch. iv. 35, 37. I folia xii. 32 e ch. iv. 35, 37.

 35. [διεδιδετο, so ABIDEN.] ins ενι bcf εκαστω D.
 36. rec ιωσης (see note, ch i. 23), with 13 rel syr sah Chr, Thl: txt ABDEN 36. 40 vulg copt Syr æth arm Chr, Epiph. rec υπο, with D rel 36 Chr: txt ABEN a d g h l m 40 Hr Thl. ερμηνευομένον B: om c2. κυπρ. bef λευειτης D.

37. for aypov, $\chi \omega \rho \iota o v D^2$: $-\iota o v D^1$. for παρα, προς EN 36 Thl-sif.

Chap. V. 1. εν αυτω δε τω καιρω ανηρ (beginning of ecclesiastical portion) E. ονομ. bef αναν. AD b c m vulg : txt BE Chr. σαπφειρα (corrn) BD a b2 g h l ο Chr: σαφφυρα D1: -ιρα D-corr: σαμπφιρι 13: σαμφιρη 🐧: παμφιρη 🐧: txt A Ε (-φφιρη) k m.

2. om και κ¹ (eadem manu suppletum videtur). for απο, εκ D. rec aft γυν. ins αυτου, with E rel συνειδυιας (corrn), with D rel: txt ABEN.

Thl: om AB D-gr & 13 arm Chr. εθετο D.

3. aft ειπεν δε ins προς αυτον Ε; aft πετρος c; simly vulg-ms(Matthäi) syr-w-ast rec om o, with D rel: ins ABEN b m 13 Chr. Thl. for ανανια, προς ανανιαν

πιπρασκομένων. 35.] παρά τοὺς πόδας,—not a Hebraism for the whole person-but literal. So Cicero pro Flacco, c. 28, 'Ante pedes Prætoris in foro expensum est auri pondo centum.' (Rosenm.) Wetstein gives several other examples. The Apostles, like the Prætor, probably sat upon a raised seat, on the step of which, at their feet, the money was laid, in token of reve-36.] Barnabas, בַר נבואַה, is υίδε προφητείαs - and the interpretation has been generally made good by taking παράκλησις as included in προφητεία, and as in the sense of exhortation: see ch. xi. 23. A everys The Levites might possess land at all times within the precincts of the Levitical cities: such was the case, e. g., in Jer. xxxii. 7. At the division of the kingdoms, the priests and Levites all resorted to Rehoboam in Judah (and Benjamin), 2 Chron. xi. 13; from that time probably, but certainly after the captivity, when the Mosaic division of the land was no longer accurately observed, the possession of VOL. II.

land by Levites seems to have been allowed. The whole subject is involved in some uncertainty: cf. Levit. xxv. 32 ff.; Num. xxxv. 1-8; Deut. xii. 12; xviii. 8, al.

Kύπριος] For the state of Cyprus at this time, see notes on ch. xi. 19; xiii. 4—7. 37. χρημα Very unusual in this sense. See Herod. iii. 38, $\epsilon \pi l$ πόσ φ αν χρήματι βουλοίατο τους πατέρας αποθνήσκοντας ἀποσιτέεσθαι, and other examples in Wetstein.

CHAP. V. 1-11. THE HISTORY OF ANA-NIAS AND SAPPHIRA. This incident, though naturally connected with the end of the last chapter, forms an important independent narrative. 1.] 'Aνανίας, תְּנֶנְהָ, Nehem. iii. 23, or תְּנֵנְהַ, Dan. i. 6, in LXX: also 1 Chron. iii. 21, al. = The cloud of God, or The mercy of God. Σαπφείρη, perhaps from the Greek σάπφειρος, sapphire, or from the Syriac אשביש, beautiful (Grot.).

The crime of these two is well described by Meyer: 'By the sale of their field, and the bringing in of the money, they in fact

g Matt. v. 11 al. g ψεύσασθαί σε τὸ πνευμα τὸ ἄγιον καὶ α νοσφίσασθαί [σε] ABDES \mathbf{g} Ματε, τ. Παι. * ψευσασθαι σε το πνευμα το αγιον και νοσφισασθαι [σε] construction από τῆς \mathbf{b} τιμῆς τοῦ \mathbf{b} χωρίου ; \mathbf{d} οὐχὶ \mathbf{b} μένον σοὶ ἔμενεν, καὶ \mathbf{b} τιμῖς τοῦ \mathbf{b} τοῦ \mathbf{b} τιμῖς τοῦ \mathbf{b} τοῦ \mathbf{b} τοῦ \mathbf{c} τη σῆ \mathbf{b} ἔξουσία ὑπῖρχεν ; \mathbf{b} τίδτι \mathbf{b} ἔθου εν τῆ \mathbf{b} καρδία σου τὸ πρᾶγμα τοῦτο ; οὐκ \mathbf{b} ἐψεύσω ἀνθρώποις, \mathbf{b} τιδι. \mathbf{b} τοῦ, \mathbf{b} ἀλλὰ τῷ θεῷ. \mathbf{b} ἀκούων δὲ ὁ Λνανίας τοὺς λόγους Label \mathbf{b} τοῦς \mathbf{b} Ελέτιλας \mathbf{b} τοῦς \mathbf{b} \mathbf{b} τοῦς \mathbf{b} τοῦς από της ε τιμης του ε χωρίου; 4 ουχί ι μένον σοι έμενεν, και ghkl mol3 Lake n_i αν σούτους πεσών p εξέψυζεν. Και εγενείο p_i εξένος p_i εξένος p_i εξένος p_i επί πάντας τοὺς ἀκούοντας. p_i αναστάντες δε οι p_i νεώτούτους πεσών ^ρ έξέψυξεν. καὶ ⁹ έγένετο φόβος μέγας xio, 22. επί παντας τους ακουοντας. ανασταυτές θε θι εκωτικές 14. μα, ii. 19. εκ εθ. 1, 7. constt., here only Josh, xxiv. 27. ε λίπως xxii. 43. P. ver. 10. ch. xii. 23 only. Jodg, iv. 21 P. Ezek. xxi. 7 only. ε – μοτ. 11. Luke i. 65. Gen. xxxv. 6, rec. hi, i. 3. xxii. 27. ref. ii. 26. 27 ref. ε – μοτ. xxii. 8. 1 Tim. ν. 1, 2 al. oi. γ. Τιτ. ii. 6.

D yulg-mss(Lachmann). for $\epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho$., $\epsilon \pi \eta \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu \aleph^1$. το αγ. πν. D-gr. rec om 2nd σε, with ABEN c k l o 36: ins D rel 38. 42. 95. 96. 113. 177 sah Leont. (I insert it as more in character, and very likely to have been omd as unnecessary.) 4. Emeyor (but corrd) \mathbf{N}^1 : megor \mathbf{D}^1 : txt \mathbf{D}^2 . om $\sigma\eta$ \mathbf{D} . for to pr. touto, points a nonportouto \mathbf{D} sah: facere dolose rem islam \mathbf{D} -lat: ins to bef por. \mathbf{D}^2 .

 $\epsilon \psi \epsilon \nu \sigma \sigma \nu D^1$: txt D^2 .

rec om o, with D rel 36 Orig Œe: 5. ακουσας δε D-gr: και ευθεως ακουων Ε. ins παραχρημα bef πεσων D. ins ABEN a b d f g h k m o Chr Thl. ακουοντας ins ταυτα (see ver 11), with EX3 rel syr Chr Thl: om ABDX1 vulg Syr coptt æth-pl Orig Lucif.

6. aft αναστ. δε ins παραχρημα Ε.

professed to give the whole price as a gift of brotherly love to the common stock : but their aim was to get for themselves the eredit of holy love and zeal by one portion of the price, whereas they had selfishly kept back the other portion for themselves. They wished to serve two masters, but to appear to serve only One.' 3.] The διὰ τί implies the power of resistance to Satan—Why hast thou allowed Satan to fill, &c.? 4.] While it remained, did it not remain thine own? i.e. was it not in thine absolute power? and when not in this absolute power; and which sold, was it not (i.e. the price of it) in thine own power, to do with it what seemed good to thee?

τί έστιν ὅτι: see refl.

καρδ.,= Σύς Σύς, Dan. i. 8; Mal. ii. 2. Satan suggested the lie, which Ananias ought to have repelled: instead of that, he put it in his heart,-placed it there where the springs of action are, and it passed out into an act. οὐκ ἐψ. ἀνθ., ἀλλὰ τ. θ.] This οὐκ, ἀλλά, is not always an absolute and exclusive negation and assertion, see Mark ix. 37; John xii. 44. But here it seems to be so, and to imply, 'Thine attempt to deceive was not to deceive us, men; but to deceive the Holy Ghost,— God, abiding in His church, and in us its appointed superintendents.' This verse is of weighty doctrinal import, as proving the Deity of the Holy Spirit; unless it be held, that the Holy Spirit whom (ver. 3) Ananias attempted to deceive, and God to whom he lied, are different. 'Hwe est sententia: Ananias mentitus est Deo et ejus Spiritui, non hominibus et l'etro. Ande si potes,

Sociniane, ita dicere: mentitus est non Spiritui Sancto et Petro, sed Deo.' Bengel.

5. The deaths of Ananias and Sapphira were beyond question supernaturally inflicted by Peter, speaking in the power of the Holy Spirit. This is the only honest interpretation of the incident. Many, however, and among them even Neander, attempt to account for them on natural grounds,-from their horror at detection, and at the solemn words of Peter. But, in addition to all other objections against this (see on εξοίσουσιν, ver. 9),—it would make man and wife of the same temperament, which would be very unlikely. We surely need not require any justification for this judicial sentence of the Apostle, filling as he did at this time the highest place in the church, and acting under the immediate prompting of the Holy Spirit. If such, however, be sought, we may remember that this was the first attempt made by Satan to obtain, by hypoerisy, a footing among Christ's flock : and that however, for wise reasons, this may since then have been permitted, it was absolutely necessary in the infancy of the church, that such attempt should be at once, and with severity, defeated. Bengel remarks: 'Quod gravitati pene in corpore accessit, in anima poluit decedere' κ. ἐγέν. φόβ. κ.τ.λ. The ἀκούοντες can hardly be (Meyer) those present, who (De W.) not only heard, but saw: the remark is proleptical, and = that 6.] Were οἱ νεώτεροι a in ver. 11. class in the congregation accustomed to perform such services,-or merely the younger men, from whom they would na-

Deut. xxvi. 5. 2. — Matt. xxvi. 63. Mark xv. 44. a gen. of price, Matt. x. 29. xxvi. 0. dev. vi. 6. 4 kings vii 1. 10 pr. cr. 2. — ch. vii 1. 10 only C. Grand vii 1. 10 pr. cr. 2. — ch. vii 1. 10 only C. Grand vii 1. 10 pr. cr. 2. — ch. vii 1. 10 pr. cr. 2. — ch. vii 2. — ch. vii 2. — ch. vii 3. — ch. vii 3. — ch. vii 3. — ch. vii 2. — ch. v

7. εως Ν¹. διαστεμα D.

8. ree aft aper, ins $\delta \epsilon$, with AR rel 36 syr Chr Thl: $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon$ D vulg: txt (the simplest, and prob original) B 137 vulg-nss-Ln (syr suh).— $\pi \rho o s$ $\eta \nu$ o $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho o s$ $\epsilon \eta n$. rec (for $\pi \rho o s$ $\alpha \tau \eta \nu$) av $\tau \eta$, with rel vulg (syr-w-sst) Chr Thl: om b': txt ABDR de m 36. 40 Orig Lucif. rec ins o bef $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho o s$, with DE rel Orig Chr: om ABR d 36. for $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \mu o i \epsilon \epsilon_t$, $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \rho \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \omega$ or $\epsilon \epsilon \iota$ apa D-gr sah. $\tau o \chi \omega \rho i \omega \nu$ bef $\tau o \sigma \sigma \omega \tau \sigma v$ on $\eta \delta \epsilon$ B: $\eta \delta \eta$ D': txt D-corr¹.

9. rec aft $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho$, ins $\epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon$, with A rel 36: $\epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$ $\delta \epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \rho$. E: txt BD vnlg. om $\pi \rho o s$ D¹-gr: ins D³. aft $\tau \iota$ ins our $\aleph^1(\aleph^3$ disapproving). $\sigma \iota \nu \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ D.

ins του bef κυρ. D. ειστανται επί τη θ. Ε. ται θυραις Α.

10. και επ. D Syr Lucif. rec for προς, παρα (see ch iv. 35, 37, v. 2), with Ε rel Lucif: επι 26, 37: υπο 2: txt ABDN Orig.—for πρ. τ. π., ενωπιον 15. 18. 36.

turally be expected? Meyer and Olshansen (also Mosh and Kuin) maintain the former; Neander and De W. the latter. We can hardly assume, as yet, any such official distinctions in the congregation as would mark off οἱ νεώτεροι from οἱ πρεσβύτεροι, which latter are first officially mentioned ch. xi. 30. Besides which, we have no such ecclesiastical class as οἱ νεώτεροι. And the use of οἱ νεανίσκοι in ver. 10, as applying to these same persons, seems to decide that they were merely the younger members of the church, acting perhaps in accordance with Jewish custom,-perhaps also on some hint given by Peter. συνέστειλαν | So περιστέλλω, Ezek. xxix. 5; Tobit xii. 13; Sir. xxxviii. 16, wrapped the body up,—probably in their own mantles, taken off in pre-paring to carry him out. The context will not permit any more careful enfolding of the The speedy body to be understood. burial of the dead, practised among the later Jews, was unknown in earlier times, see Gen. . xxiii. It was grounded on Num. xix. 11 ff. The practice was to bury before sunset of the same day. The *immediate* burial in this case adds to the probability that the young men obeyed an intimation from the Apostle. 7.] The construction is, εγε-νετο δε, ... καί, It happened, that: and ώς ώ. τ. διάστ. is parenthetical, not the nom. to ἐγένετο. See a precisely similar construction, Luke ix. 28: and Winer, edn.

6, § 62. 2. 8.] ἀπεκρ., perhaps to her salutation: or, it may be, to her manner, challenging a reply. The word must at any rate be taken as implying some previous communication, to which an answer was to be given. σσούτ, naming the sum: or perhaps pointing to the money lying at his feet. The sense tantitili (Born.) is implied of course, but not expressed by σσούτου. No stress on ἀπεδοσθε as referring to the smallness of price: it is the ordinary word for selling, see refl.

9. To try the omniscience of the Spirit then visibly dwelling in the Apostles and the church, was, in the highest sense, to tempt the Spirit of God. It was a saying in their hearts 'There is no Holy Spirit:' and certainly approached very closely to a sin against the Holy Ghost. Peter characterizes the sin more solemnly this second time, because by the wife's answer it was now proved to be no individual lie of a bad and covetous man, but a preconcerted scheme to deceive God. οί πόδες Not that Peter heard (Olsh.) the tread of the young men outside (they were probably barefooted), but it is an expression common in the poetical or lively description of the Hebrews, and indeed of all nations (see Isa. lii. 7; Nah. i. 15; Rom. x. 15; Eurip. Hippol. 656; Soph. Œd. Col. 890, al. freq.), making the member whereby the person acts, the actor. I take the words to mean,

n Mall. xlx. 20, είς ελθόντες δε οι η νεανίσκοι εύρον αυτήν νεκράν και κέξ - ABDER Μαιτικών, ειξεκλουτες σε οι νεανίσκοι ευρών. Στο Βιαίδι Στό Βιαίδι Στο Βιαίδι Στο Βιαίδι Στο Βιαίδι Στο Βιαίδι Στο Βιαίδι Στο Βιαίδ 17. 1 John ii 13, 14 only, Gen. xiv. 24. 0 — Matt. Iii. 1d. Gal. i. 18.

τούς ακούοντας ταύτα. 12 9 Διὰ δὲ τῶν χειοῶν τῶν ἀποστόλων ἐγίνετο σημεία $^{18}_{\text{prf.},5}$ $^{12}_{\text{prf.},5}$ $^{13}_{\text{prf.},5}$ 1 τους ο λαός· 14 × μαλλον δέ τ προςετίθεντο 2 πιστεύοντες x. 28, xvii. 34, 1 Cor. vi. 16, 17, Ruth 1i. 8, w = ch. x. 46 reff. τῷ κυρίῳ, * πλήθη ἀνδρῶν τε καὶ γυναικῶν. 15 ωςτε κατὰ τὰς επλατείας δεκφέρειν τους ασθενείς καὶ δτιθέναι επὶ Γκλιναρίων καὶ 8 κραβάττων, ΐνα έρχομένου Πέτρου h καν x - Luke v. ... John xix. 8. ch. ix. 22 al. cxlvi. 4. n. Luke v. 15. ohn six. 8.
cl. ix. 22 al.
y = ch. ii 41 refl.
c Luke xi 22 al.
b = Luke x. 32 al.
c Luke xiii. 14.
c Luke xiii. 15.
c Luke xiii. 16.
c Luke xiii. 18.
c Luke xiii. 18.
c Luke xiii. 18.
c Luke xiii. 21.
c Luke xiii. 22.
c Luke xiii. 24.
c Luke xiii. 24.
c Luke xiii. 25.
c Luke xiii. 26.
c Luke xiii. 27.
c Luke xiii. 28.
c Luke x

ευραν Α: ηυραν Ε: txt BDR. συνστειλαντες εξηνεγκαν και D-gr.

11. om επι A sah.

ακουοντές D. 12. for δε, τε B Syr æth. Steph eyevero, with h 4. 13. 14. 15. 78. 127. 180 lect-12 Cyr-jer, (Ee Thl: txt ABDEN rel 36 Chr Lucif. rec εν τω λαω bef πολλα, with rel 36 Chr Thl: om πολλα k 133 lect-12: txt ABDER m o 13 vulg Syr Lucif. for απαντες, παντες ABE 1: txt DN rel Chr Thl: add συνηγμενοι Syr copt; εν τω ιερω 1) 42 salı ætlı; εν τω ναω συνηγμενοι Ε. aft ev Th ot. ins Th D 12.

rec σολομωντος, with A k o 36 Chr Thl: σαλομωντος N: txt BDE rel.

13. και ουδεις των λοιπων D æth. ovees B.

14. ins or bef πιστ. A 13.

15. for κατα, και εις ABD38 k 13. 36. 40; και εν ταις πλατιες Ε: om æth: txt D1, none of the vss have kar. om tas D1. aft aober. ins autwr D al. τιθ. ins ενπροσθεν αυτων Ε. ree κλινων (corrn to more usual word), with E rel Chr Thdrt: txt ABDN rel Cyr-jer.-pref των A. [κραβαττων, so ABDN.]

that the time was just at hand for their return: see James v. 9. The space of three hours was not too long: they would have to carry the corpse to the burying-ground, at a considerable distance from the city (Lightf.), and when there, to dig a grave, and bury it.

Itoloovour This word, spoken before her death, decisively proves that death to have been not a result merely of her detection, but a judicial infliction. 10.] else \. Oortes, when they came in: not implying that they immediately entered, but leaving room for some interval of time: see above.

12 16. | PROGRESS OF THE FAITH; MIRACULOUS POWER AND DIGNITY OF THE APOSTLES. 12.] 8€ is merely transitional, and does not imply any contrast to the poBos just mentioned, q. d. 'notwithstanding this fear, the Apostles went on working, &c.' See ch. ii. 43. απαντες, the Apostles only, not all the Christians. It does not follow, from martes referring to all the believers in ch. ii. I (see note there), that ἄπαντες necessarily refers to the same here also. The Apostles are

the subject of the paragraph : and it is to

set forth their unanimity and dignity that the description is given. They are represented as distinct from all others, believers and unbelievers (both which I take to be included under the term of λοιποί); and the Jewish people itself magnified them. The further connexion see on ver. 14.

στ. Σολ.] See ch. iii. 11; John x. 23, note. 13.] τῶν λοιπῶν, all else, whether believers or not: none dared to join himself to (see reff.), as being one of, or equal to, them : but (so far was this from being the case that) the very people (multitude) magnified them. And (not parenthetical, but continuing the description of the dignity of the Apostles) the result of this was that believers were the more added to the Lord (not mior. τῷ κυρίω, but προσετ. τῷ κυρ., as decided by ch. xi. 21), multitudes of men and women. 15.] wste now takes up afresh the main subject of vv. 12 and 13, the glorification of the apostolic office, insomuch, that It is connected not only with ξμεγάλυνεν αὐτ. δ λ., but also with ver. 12. κατά πλ.] down [the]

 $\dot{\eta}^{-1}$ σκιὰ \dot{k}^{-1} έπισκιάση τινὶ αὐτῶν. \dot{k}^{-1} συνήρχετο δὲ καὶ τὸ \dot{k}^{-1} Μακὶν, 18. πλῆθος τῶν \dot{k}^{-1} πέριξ πόλεων Ἱερουσαλήμ, φέροντες ἀσθενεῖς \dot{k}^{-1} οι, i.i. 15. Θ. τῶι, 16. καὶ ολλουμένους ὑπὸ οπνιυμάτων ομάκαθάρτων, q οἴτινες $\frac{16.5, \, \text{Vii.}}{\text{Judg. ix.}}$ $\frac{1}{\text{cd}}$ εθεραπεύοντο ἄπαντες. $\frac{17}{17}$ Αναστὰς δὲ ὁ ἀρχιερεὺς καὶ πάντες οἱ σὺν αὐτῷ, $\frac{1}{\text{cd}}$ $\frac{1}{\text{cd$

ή ούσα ° αίρεσις των Σαδδουκαίων, τα έπλήσθησαν α ζήλου το κ. 1.8 rem

Jos. B. J. ii, 19.1.
gospp. Rev. xvi. 13, xviii. 2. Zech, xiii. 2.
r ch, viii. 29, 27 ref.
ii. 1 only 1.
Jos. Autt. xiii. ft, iii. 79, xxii. 22, 1 Cor. xl. 19, Gal. v. 20, 27 ref.
iii. 1 only 1.
Jos. Autt. xiii. 5, 9, see Lev. xxii. 18, xvii. 22, 1 Cor. xl. 10, Gal. v. 20, 2 Pet.
iii. 1 only 1.
Jos. Autt. xiii. 5, 9, see Lev. xxii. 18, xvii. 22, 1 Cor. xl. 10, Gal. v. 20, 2 Pet.
iii. 10 ref.
45 (ref.).

aft σκ. ins αυτου Ε 33 vulg Thdrt Thl-fin. επισκιασει B 13. 58. 133 Thl-fin. aft αυτων add απηλλασσοντο γαρ απο πασης ασθενιας ως ειχεν εκαστος αυτών D; και ρυσθωσιν απο πασης ασθένιας ης είχον E; et liberarentur ab infirmitatibus suis vulg(not fuld) Lucif.-liberabantur am Lucif; ab infirmitate omg s. am demid.

for $\pi \in \rho(\xi)$, $\pi \in \rho(D^1)$: txt $D^5(?)$. 16. διο συνηρ. Ε. om και το D1; om το D2. rec ins εις bef ιερουσ., with DE rel 36 demid Chr Thl: circa syr coptt: om ABN k vulg Lucif.—"from the other cities round about Jer" Syr (Etheridge). for outives, kai D-gr 38. 113 sah Lucif. for υπυ, απο D. παντες D.

ζηλους B1 (Mai). 17. for anast. $\delta \epsilon$, kai tauta $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \nu$ anast. E: om Syr.

streets, i. e. in the line of the streets,see Winer, edn. 6, § 49, d. note.

κλιν. κ. κραβ. \ Kuinoel's distinction, that the latter is a poor and humble bed, the former a couch of richer character, appears to be unfounded. (So also Bengel.)

Πέτρου As the greatest, in pre-eminence and spiritual energizing, of the Apostles. Now especially was fulfilled to him the promise of Matt. xvi. 18 (see note there): -and even the shadow of the Rock (Isa. xxxii. 2, Heb., and E. V., spoken primarily of His divine Master) was sought for. We need find no stumbling-block in the fact of Peter's shadow having been believed to be the medium (or, as is surely implied, having been the medium) of working miracles. Cannot the 'Creator Spirit' work with any instruments, or with none, as pleases Him? And what is a hand or a voice, more than a shadow, except that the analogy of the ordinary instrument is a greater help to faith in the recipient? Where faith, as apparently here, did not need this help, the less likely medium was See, on the whole, ch. xix. adopted. 12, and note: and remark that only in the ease of our Lord (Luke viii. 46 ||) and His two great Apostles in the N. T.,—and of Elisha in the O. T., have we instances of this healing virtue in the mere contact with or accessories of the person. But what a fertile harvest of superstition and imposture has been made to spring out of these scanty examples! 16.] Keep, in both verbs, συνήρχετο and έθεραπεύοντο, the imperfect sense; 'the multitude, &c., was coming together, bearing, &c., -for all such (quippe qui) were being healed :' viz. when the next incident, ἀναστὰς δὲ

κ.τ.λ., happened.
17—42.] IMPRISONMENT, MIRACULOUS LIBERATION, EXAMINATION BEFORE THE SANHEDEIM, AND SCOURGING OF THE APOSTLES. 17.] ἀναστάς is not redundant, but implies being excited by the popularity of the Apostles, and on that account commencing a course of action hostile to them: see reff. ('Non sibi quiescendum ratus est.' Beng. διηγέρθη κινηθείς έπι τοις γενομένοις, Chrys.) Το suppose that the H. P. 'rose up' after a council held (Meyer) is far-fetched, and against the ἐπλήσθησαν ζήλου, which points to the kindling zeal of men first stirred up to action. ὁ ἀρχ.] Annas,—ch. iv. 6, and note on Luke iii. 2. οἱ σὺν αὐτῷ] those who were with him (see ch. iv. 13; xix. 38; xxii. 9). Not the members of the Sanhedrim: but the friends and kindred (ch. iv. 6) of the H. P.: see ver. 21 : Kuinoel's 'qui a partibus ejus stabant' is too definite (De W.): it was so, but this meaning is not in the words. ή οὖσα attr., but implying more than of

οντες έξ αίρεσεως τ. Σ.: -the movement extended through the whole sect. On are, T. S., see Matt. iii. 7, note. The ατρ. τ. Σ., see Matt. iii. 7, note. The passage of Josephus, Antiq. xx. 9. 1, is worth transcribing: πέμπει δὲ Καΐσαρ (Nero) 'Αλβίνον είς την 'Ιουδαίαν Επαρχον, Φήστου την τελευτην πυθόμενος. δ δε νως μα τους * καὶ * επέβαλον τὰς * χεῖρας * επὶ τοὺς ἀποστόλους καὶ ABDES * καὶ τοὺς ἀνοτόλους καὶ ABDES * καὶ τοὺς ἀνοτόλους καὶ ABDES * καὶ τοὺς ἀνοτόλους καὶ ABDES * καὶ * Επίπονος * κερίω κτί του αυτούς το τηρησει σημοσια. αγγειος θε $\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}$ τει $\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}$ τει $\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}$ τει $\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}$ του $\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}$

εωθικήν, Polyb. 1.53 4. έστειλαν είς τὸ δεσμωτήριον αχθηναι αὐτούς. 22 οἰ δὲ δριατο (prima æstate), ili. 16. 7. c. Luke xxiv. 1 [John viii. 2] only. Joel ii. 2. d. Luke xxi. 6i, John iii. 23. Acts, ch. ix. 26. xvii. 10 & passim. Gen. xiv. 13. c. e. act, Mark xv. 16. Luke xv. 6 only. Josh. xxiii. 2 mid, ch. x. 24 and xv. 6 only. Ladd. iii. 10 al. ii. (here a'so w. ei 'lap.) h constr., w. pass, here only (?). see ch. xiii. 22 n. iv. acl., ch. xxvi. 17 ref. i here bis. Mait.x.!. 2 c. xvii. 2 constr., w. pass, ch. constr., w. pass, c. zvii. 2 c. xvii. 2 c. x

ree aft χειραs ins αυτων, with E rel syr coptt Chr: om ABDN 18. επεβαλλον Λ. 36. 40 vulg Syr arm Thl Lucif. εις τηρησειν E-gr Lucif (omg δημ.). δημ. ins και επορευθη εις εκαστος εις τα ιδια D.

19. τοτε δια ν. bef αγ. κ. D. rec ins της bef νυκτος, with EX3 rel 36 Chr: om ανοιξας AN 36 vulg sah: ανεωξαν D1-gr, ανεωξεν D8 Chr. ABD81.

B 73. και εξ. Ε.

21. for ακουσ. δε, εξελθοντες δε E Syr. add εκ της φυλακης Ε. παραaft συν αυτω ins εγερθεντες το πρωι D. γενομένον B¹(sic, see table). συνκαλεσαμενοι D, retaining the και bef απεστειλαν.

βασιλεὺς ἀφείλετο μὲν τὸν Ἰώσηπον τὴν ἀρχιερωσύνην, τῷ δὲ ἸΑνάνου παιδί, καὶ αὐτῷ ᾿Ανάνῳ λεγομένῳ, τὴν διαδοχὴν τῆς ἀρχῆς ἔδωκε. τοῦτον δέ φασι τὸν πρεσβύτατον Ανανον εὐτυχέστατον γενέσθαι πέντε γὰρ ἔσχε παίδας, καὶ τούτους πάντας συνέβη άρχιερατεύσαι τῷ θεῷ, αὐτὸς και πρότερον της τιμης έπι πλείστον άπολαύσας, δπερ οὐδενὶ συνέβη τῶν παρ' ἡμῖν ἀρχιερέων. δ δὲ νεώτερος "Ανανος θρασὺς ἦν τὸν τρόπον, καὶ τολμητὴς διαφερόντως αίρεσιν δε μετήτι των Σαδδουκαίων, οίπερ είσι περι τὰς κρίσεις ώμοι παρὰ πάντας τοὺς Ἰουδαίους, καθώς ήδη δεδηλώκαμεν. This shews that the family of Annas, if not he himself, were connected with the sect of the Sadducees. They (see ch. iv. 1, note) were the chief enemies of the Apostles, for teaching the resurrection.

18. τηρ.] see eh. iv. 3. τής ζωής ταύτης, an unusual expression, seems to refer to the peculiar nature of the enmity shewn towards them by the Sudducees, for preaching the avaoraous Cwns-' of this LIFE, which they call in question.' Or perhaps \u03c4. \u03c4. may import the religion of Jesus having its issue in life. A similar expression, δ λόγος της σωτηρίας ταύτης, occurs ch. xiii. 26. See also Rom. vii. 24. But beware of assuming in either of these passages the use of the figure called by the grammarians hypallage, so that τὰ δ. τῆς ζ. ταύτης = τὰ δήματα ταῦτα τῆς ζωῆς: for thus the sense is enervated, and the peculiar reference in each case lost. The indiscriminate applieation of these supposed figures of speech has been, and continues to be, one of the worst foes of sound exegesis. liverance, here granted to all the Apostles, was again vouchsafed to Peter in ch. xii., and is there related more in detail. It is there a minute touch of truth, that he should mistake for a dream (ver. 9) what he saw: having lain so long in prison, and his mind naturally dwelling on this his former miraculous liberation. 21.] ὑπ. τ. ὄρθρ., at daybreak; see reff. παραγενόμενος to the ordinary session chamber in the Temple, on the south side of it (Winer, RWB.): and therefore, if the Apostles were teaching in Solomon's porch (ver. 12), not in their immediate vicinity. Perhaps the παραγενόμενος συνεκάλεσαν , implying that the summons was not issued till after the arrival of the II. P. and his friends, may point to a meeting of the Sunhedrim hurriedly and insufficiently called, for the purpose of 'packing' it against the Apostles. If so, they did not succeed, see ver. 40: perhaps on account of the arrival of some who had been listeners to the Apostles' preaching. πᾶσαν τ. γερουσίαν] Probably the πρεσβύτεροι, including perhaps some who were not members of the Sanhedrim; the wellανόρες ους εθέσσε εν τη φυλακη εισιν εν τη του στος το καὶ διδάσκοντες τὸν λαόν. 26 τότε q ἀπελθών b o στρατηγός σὺν τοῖς ὑπηρέταις ἤγαγεν αὐτοὺς οὐ t μετὰ t βίας, καὶς ὶς εφοβοῦντο γὰρ τὸν λαόν, $[^{s}$ ἴνα] μὴ t λιθασθῶσιν q o κλιίτι Ιτεπ. Απειτί Ιτεπ.

22. ch. ix. 17. xxiil. 32. Gen. xix. 2. rch. xxiv. 7 (xxi, 35. xxvii. 41) only. Exod. i. 14. xiv. 25. s = John xxii. 28. xix. 31. see Winer, cd. 6, § 56. 2, note. t John [vii. 5.] x. 31, 32, 33. xi. 8. ch. xiv. 19. 2 Cor. xi. 25. Heb. xi. 37 only. 2 Kings xvi, 6, 13 only.

22. rec υπηρ. bef παραγ., with DE rel 36 sah: txt ABN a h vulg Syr copt with Lucif.

 rec υπηρ. bet παραγ, with DE ret of suit: Let ABN it it vig style operation and kai ανυξαντές την φυλακην D vulg syr-w-ast.

 for εν τη φυλ, ετω D.
 for δε, και D¹,
 for δε, και D¹, δε και D-corr¹. απηγγείλου Ν. om στι E-gr vulg Syr. rec aft το ins μεν (to answer to δε follo), with E-gr rel 36 vulg coptt Chr: om

 ABDN Hr E-lat syrr æth. ηυραμεν (twice) Ε. ενκεκλεισμενον D1.

rec ins $\epsilon \xi \omega$ bef $\epsilon \sigma \tau$. (gloss to particularize, and to answer to $\epsilon \sigma \omega$ folig), with (none of our mss) Chr-txt: om ABDEN rel vss Chr-comm Thl Lucif. rec for $\epsilon \pi \iota$, $\pi \rho \sigma$ (more usual), with E rel vulg-ed syr copt Chr: προς c: txt ABDN m 36, ad am fuld

demid D-lat E-lat Syr sah.

24. rec ins ιερευς και ο bef στρατηγος, with rel Thl-fin Ec: οι ιερεις και ο, onig the preceding ο τε, Ε: αρχιερευς και ο 67. 98. 104 syr Chr Thl-sif: for ο τε to αρχιερεις, ο τε στρατηγος κ. ο ιερευς του ιερου 96; οι αρχιερεις κ. οι στρατηγοι τ. ιε. Syr æth: txt BDN e 36 vulg coptt arm Lucif. εθαυμαζον μεν τε και διηπ. π. αυτ. τι αν θελοι ναι τ. Ε. aft αυτων ins το Ν'(Ν'3 disapproviug). γενηται D'(txt D'). 25. rec aft αυτοις ins λεγων, with 36 Thl-fin (Lucif): om ABDEN rel vulg syrr ABDN e 36 vulg coptt arm Lucif.

coptt with arm Chr Œc Thl-sif. om or X1. om και N-corri: om εστωτες

каг 🕅.

26. ηγεν BD'A: deducebant D-lat: απαγαγοντες 13: ηγαγον 1: txt AD'E rel 36 vulg Chr Thl Lucif. om ov D1(and lat): ins D3 or 5. φοβουμενοι γαρ D-gr. om wa (to connect μη with εφοβ.) BDEN 13: ins A rel 36 Chr Thl.

known foes of Jesus and his doctrine. The expression π. τ. γερουσ. τῶν νί. Ἰσραήλ, common in the LXX, is perhaps translated from the form of words in which they were summoned. γερουσία, being the ordinary word for the πρεσβύτεροι, would be the Hellenistic formal expression.

23. ἐν πάσ. ἀσφ.] Not, as Vulg., 'cum omni diligentia' (so Luth.), nor as E. V. 'with all safety' (?); but in all security—'in a state of perfect safety.'

24.] If the iερεύs of the rec. be genuine, it must designate the High Priest; not that the word itself can bear the meaning (compare 1 Macc. xv. 1 and 2), but that the context points out the priest thus designated to be the H. P. (Meyer.) On δ στρατ. τ. ίερ., see note, ch. iv. 1. He appears to have been

summoned to meet the Sanhedrim, perhaps as the offence had taken place within in this jurisdiction. But he was probably one of the ἀρχιερεῖs (see Winer, RWB., Tempel, end). These latter were the titular High Priests, partly those who had served the office, partly the presidents of the twenty four courses, partly the kindred of the H. P. (see Matt. ii. 4.)

αὐτῶν] 'The Apostles,' the αὐτούς of ver. 22: not 'these words,' as would appear at first sight.

τί αν γέν. τοῦτο] Το what this would come; 'whereunto this would grow,' E. V. :- not 'quomodo factum sit,' as Kuin.,-nor 'quid hoc esset rei' (τί αν είη, as ch. x. 17), as Grot. and others. 26.] [ίνα] μη λιθ. depends upon οὐ μετὰ βίας, not upon ἐφοβ. If, however, Iva be omitted, then this latter is

27 αγαγόντες δε αυτούς "έστησαν έν τῷ "συνεδοίω. έπηρώτησεν αὐτοὺς ὁ ἀρχιερεὺς 28 λέγων ** Παραγγελία The state of the

ΠΡΑΞΕΙΣ ΑΠΟΣΤΟΛΩΝ.

27. o iepeus D1-gr Lucif: txt D5.

28. rec ins ou bef $\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\gamma\gamma$. (making it a question, which has evidently been occasioned by $\epsilon\pi\epsilon\rho\omega\tau\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu$), with DEN3 rel 36 syrr sah with Thart: om ABN4 vulg D-lat copt Ath Cyr Lucif Prædest. for διδασκ., λαλειν A lect-17 Cyr Thdrt. 1st και D¹(and lat): ins D². επληρωσατε AN Chr Cyr. εφαγαγειν D1: txt D8. ексімом D1-gr sah : txt D8.

29. rec ins o bet πετρ., with 13. 36 Thl: om ABEN rel Chr.-D1 omits αποκρ. to ειπαν, adding at end of ver o δε πετρος ειπεν προς αυτους. [ειπαν, so ABEN.]

30. ins δε bef θεος AN copt. ins τον παιδα αυτου bef ιησ. Ε.

the case. 28.] δέον έρωτησαι πρώ. τον, πως έξήλθετε; ώς ουδενός γενομένου, έρωτῶσι λέγοντες κ.τ.λ. Chrys. The same shyness of open allusion to the names or facts connected with Jesus and the spread of his doctrine may be traced in the dvoματι τούτω, and the ανθρώπου τούτου, and is a strong mark of truth and circumstantiality. 'Fugit appellare Jesum: Petrus appellat et celebrat, vv. 30, 31.' Bengel.

ἐπαγ. ἐφ' ἡμᾶς] not meaning, that divine vengeance would come on them for the murder of Jesus: but with a stress on ήμας - that the people would be incited to take vengeance on them, the Sanhedrim, for that murder. The preceding clause $(\pi\epsilon\pi\lambda\eta\rho.~\kappa.\tau.\lambda.)$ shews this to be their thought. Compare the pointed address of Peter to the Sanhedrim, ch. iv. 8-12, and the distinction between them and the people in iv. 21. This being so, the resemblance between this expression and the imprecation of the people in Matt. xxvii. 25 must not be too closely pressed, though the coincidence is too striking to escape notice.

29.] Peter, by word of mouth; the Apostles, as a body, by assent, implied in his own utterance and their silence. There is no ellipse of άλλοι before απόστ.

This defence of Peter divides itself into the propositions of an ordinary syllogism-(1) The statement of the general truth that we must obey God rather than men: (2) The reduction of the present circumstances under that general truth, as being the work of the God of their Fathers - shewn in his having raised and glorified Jesus, for a definite purpose, to give, &c. (3) the identification of themselves with the course of action marked out by the πειθαρχείν δει . . . in that they were bearing witness to God's work, under the inspiration of the Holy Spirit given them as men obedient to God. The whole is a perfect model of concise and ready eloquence, and of unanswerable logical coherence; and a notable fulfilment of the promise, δοθήσεται δμίν εν εκείνη τῆ ἄρα τί λαλήσητε (Matt. x. 19).

πειθαρχείν] much stronger than ἀκούειν, ch. iv. 19,-as their conduct, in persisting after prohibition, had been more marked and determined. That was a mere 'listening to' the proposition then made to them: this, a course of deliberate action, chosen and entered on. θεφ-opposed to της διδ. ψμών of the H. P.; and to ανθρώπου τούτου. In the background, there would be the command of the angel, ver. 20: but it is not alleged: the great duty of preaching the gospel of Christ is kept on its highest grounds. 30. των rar. ήμ.] thus binding on Christ and his work, to the covenant whereof all present were partakers. ήγειρεν both from the emphatic position of the verb, and from the context, it must refer to the resurrection, not merely, as in Matt. xi. 11, Luke i. 69, Judg. iii. 9, to raising up 31 τοῦτον ὁ θεὸς m ἀρχηγὸν καὶ σωτῆρα n τηνωσεν τη $^{m=\mathrm{cb},\,\mathrm{ii},\,16}$ τες n εξια αὐτοῦ, $^{\circ}$ δοῦναι $^{\circ}$ μετάνοιαν τῷ Ἰσραηλ καὶ pq ἄφεσιν n τές n τές n μαρτιῶν. 32 καὶ ἡμεῖς έσμεν αὐτοῦ $^{\mathsf{T}}$ μάρτυρες τῶν $^{\mathsf{T}}$ Wisd. xii. 18. $^{\mathsf{N}}$ ρημάτων τούτων, καὶ τὸ πνεῦμα $[^{\mathsf{T}}$ δὲ] τὸ αγιον, ὁ εδωκεν $^{\mathsf{N}}$ καὶ τὸ πνεῦμα $[^{\mathsf{T}}$ δὲ] τὸ αγιον, ὁ εδωκεν $^{\mathsf{N}}$ καὶ καὶ τὸ πνεῦμα $[^{\mathsf{T}}$ δὲ] τὸ αγιον, ὁ εδωκεν $^{\mathsf{N}}$ καὶ καὶ τὸ πνεῦμα $[^{\mathsf{T}}$ δὲ] τὸ ακούσαντες $[^{\mathsf{N}}$ καὶ $[^{\mathsf{N}}]$ διεπρίοντο καὶ $[^{\mathsf{N}}$ εβουλεύοντο $[^{\mathsf{N}}$ αὐτούς. $[^{\mathsf{N}}]$ ανα $[^{\mathsf{N}}]$ καὶ $[^{\mathsf{N}}]$ ες ελι $[^{\mathsf{N}}]$ τος $[^{\mathsf{N}}]$ εξικείς $[^{\mathsf{N}]}$ εξικείς $[^{\mathsf{N}]}$ εξικείς $[^{\mathsf{N}]}$ εξικείς [

cb. ii. 38. Col. i. 14 al. r = ch. i. 8 and Acts passim. constr., cb. xiii 31. Job xxi. 20. s double gen., Pbil. i. 25. ii. 30. Heb. xiii. 7. tch. iii. 24 refi. n ver. 29 refi. v ch. viii. 54 ronly 1. tch.on. xxxi. 30. y . vii. 24 refi. v ch. viii. 54 ronly 1. tch.on. xxxi. 30. y . 2 Cor. i. 17. Esth. iii. 6. x Luke xxii. 2. xxiii. 32. ch. ii. 23. vii. 21 al. Luke only, exc. Matt. ii. 16. Heb. x 9. Ezek. xxxii. 2

31. for $\delta\epsilon\xi a$, $\delta\delta\xi\eta$ D¹ salı Iren-int, caritate D-lat: txt D², ins τov bef $\delta ov \nu a\iota$ B N¹(N³ disapproving) Chr₂; $\epsilon \pi\iota$ $\tau \omega$ Chr₁. ins $\tau \omega \nu$ bef $\epsilon \mu a \rho \tau$. D³(al?).—add $\epsilon \nu$ $\epsilon v \omega \nu$ D(and lat) salı $\epsilon v \iota$ here.

32. for εσμεν αυτου, εν αυτω B 69¹. 100. 105 Iren-int: αυτω m: om εσμεν æth: μαρτ. bef εσμεν A am D-lat Syr Iren-int: om αυτου AD¹N g h vulg Syr sah Chr, Did: syr places αυτου aft ρηματων: txt (αυτου was prob omd from not being understood, and transposed from being thought to belong to τ. ρηματων τουτων) D³EH 36 (æth) Chr, Thl. in παυτων bef των ρ. τ. D¹(and lat). om δε (corrn) ABD¹N m vulg sah arm Did Thl-fin Iren-int: ins D¹E (H?) rel 36 (Chr Thl-sif. om ο B 17. 73 copt: ον D¹E: txt AD-corr HN rel 36.

33. aft ακουσ. ins ταυτα Ε 28 sah. εβουλουτο (corrn, εβουλευ. not being understood) ABE e l copt wth Chr_2 : επεβουλευσαντο b: εβουλευσαντο k Thl-fin: txt

DHX rel vulg syrr Chr-comm Thl-sif Lucif.

in the ordinary sense. $\dot{\nu}_{\mu}\dot{\epsilon}_{0}^{2}$, answering to the $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\rho}^{2}$ $\dot{\eta}_{\mu}\dot{\delta}_{0}$ of the H. P. $\dot{\epsilon}m\dot{\xi}\dot{\nu}\dot{\delta}\nu\nu$] compare reff. and the similar contrast in ch. iii. 14, 15. The manner of death is described thus barely and ignominiously, to waken compunction in the hearers, to whom the expression was well known actualing curse and disgrave on the victim.

31, 32.] ἀρχηγ.κ. σωτ., not, 'to be a Prince and a Saviour' but the words are the predicate of τοῦτον—as a P. and a S. ἀρχηγόν, as ch. iii. 15, which sec. κ. σωτ. not = τῆς σωτηρίας. Jesus was to be King and Captain of Israel, and also their Saviour. The two offices, though inseparably connected in fact, had each its separate meaning in Peter's speech: a Prince, to whom you one obedience—a Saviour, by whom you must be saved from your sins. τῆ δεξιά, by (not to) His right hand, as in ch. ii. 33, where see note. The great aim here, as there, is to set forth God as the Doer of all this.

δοῦνα, in his Kingly prerogative; μετ. κ. ἄφ. ἄμ., to lead to salvation (εἰς σωτηρίαν, as 2 Cor. vii. 10: εἰς ζωήν, as ch. xi. 18) by him as a Saviour. Somewhat similarly Bengel: 'μετ., qua Jesus accipitur ut Princeps: ἄφεσ. qua accipitur ut Salvator.'
The key to this part of the speech is

The key to this part of the speech is Luke xxiv. 47—49, where we have, in our Lord's command to them, the same conjunction of $\mu\epsilon\tau$. κ . $\delta\phi\epsilon\sigma$. $\delta\mu$ —and immediately follows, as here, $\delta\mu\epsilon$ is $\mu\delta\tau\nu$ appointing them to that office which they were now discharging,—and,

corresponding to τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ ἄγ, of our text, ἱδοὐ ἐγὼ ἐξαποστέλλω τὴν ἐπαγγελίαν τοῦ πατρός μου ἐφ' ὑμᾶς. By conjoining the Holy Ghost, as a witness, with themselves,—they claim and assert the promise of John xv. 26, 27: see also the apostolic letter of ch. xv. 28. When we remember, how much of the apostolic testimony was given in writing, as well as by word of mouth, this declaration of Peter becomes an important datum for judging of the nature of that testimony also. See a very similar conjunction, 1 John v. 9.

They were God's witnesses, in the things which they had seen and heard as men: the Holy Ghost in them was God's Witness, in purifying and enlarging by His inspiration that their testimony to facts, and in unfolding, from (and as inseparable from) these witnessed facts,-the things which eye hath not seen, nor ear heard. And in the Scripture these same testi-MONIES are conjoined; that of the Apostles, holy men under the guidance and reminding of the Holy Spirit, faithfully and honestly reporting those things which fall under human observation: and that of God the Spirit Himself, testifying, through them, those loftier things which no human experience can assure, nor human imagination compass. $\rho\eta\mu\dot{\alpha}\tau\omega\nu$ histories, things expressed in words: see note on Luke i. 4. $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ with might make an unreal distinction between the Apostles and the then believers, and an implied exclusion of the

γ - νετ. 27 ε ι ακν. 17. στὰς δέ τις ἐν τῷ ⁷ συνεδρίῳ Φαρισαῖος ὀνόματι Γαμαλιήλ, 1 τ ππ. 17. σοι 1 τ. κετ. 2 νομοδιδάσκαλος α τίμιος α παντὶ τῷ λαῷ, ἐκέλευσεν ε ἔξω Νελ. τίπ. 2 βραχὺ τοὺς ἀνθρώπους ε ποιῆσαι, $\frac{35}{6}$ εἰπέν τε πρὸς $\frac{C}{6}$ είπ. α ι τοτ. μίτ. 16. κιίι. 12. μίτ. κιίι. 15. αὐτοὺς 'Ανδρες 'Ισραηλίται, ε προςέχετε ε ε αυτοῖς ε ἐπὶ ε dfg h b dat, ch. νιίι. 15. τοῖς ἀνθρώπους τούτοις τί μέλλετε πράσσειν. $\frac{36}{6}$ πρὸ γὰρ κιπο 1800. α΄. τοῖς ἀνθρώπους τούτοις τί μέλλετε πράσσειν. $\frac{36}{6}$ πρὸ γὰρ κιπο 1800. α΄. Τοῦς ἀνθρώπους τούτοις τί μέλλετε πράσσειν.

100m. 0d. 4. c → here only, see Job xi, 14. xxii, 23. Xen, Auab, vi, 6, 5, 25. c Luke xii, 1, xvii, 3, xxi, 34. ch. xx 28. (Dut, iv, 9.) f 2nd pers., 2 Cor. vii, 11 refi. τώντό ἐποίησε το καὶ ἐπὶ τῆ θυγατρί, Herod, iii, 14.

34. aft suped. ins autwin E: ek tou supedpiou D-gr E copt: om e. τ . supedp. Syr. ree aft braxu ins τ , with (H) rel Thl-sif: $\beta pa\chi v \tau \eta \tau$ i et ABDEN Chr2.— τ , ap. br. τ 1 H d e o: τ . ap. exw br. moins at D. ree (for augramous) apostolous, with DEH rel 36 Syr sah with Chr2. Thl: txt ABN vulg copt arm Chr2.

35. for $\tau\epsilon$, $\delta\epsilon$ C k 58 copt. for autous, tous arcoutas kai tous sumedrious D sah. ϵ autous D¹. ϵ amo τ wu ϵ umu ϵ be ϵ umu ϵ tol. ϵ art ϵ in ϵ .

hearers from this gift,-but generally, to all the πειθαρχούσιν αὐτώ, by this word recalling the opening of the speech and binding all together. So that the sense of the whole is, 'We are acting in obedience to God, and for the everlasting good of our common Israel: and otherwise we cannot do.' And a solemn invitation is implied. 'Be ye obedient likewise.' It is remarkable that a similar word, ὑπήκουον τη πίστει, is used of the multitude of converted priests, ch. vi. 7. επρίοντο] se. ταίς καρδίαις as ch. vii. 54. From its conjunction there with ξβρυχον τ. δδόντας, it does not appear to have any connexion with the phrase mpleir or διαπρίειν τ. οδ. with which Hesyeli. and Wetst. identify it. They were cut asunder (in heart). So Persius, iii. 8, 'turgeseit vitrea bilis: Findor, ut Arcadiæ pecuaria rudere credas.' And Plantus, Bacch. ii. 3. 17, "Cor meum et cerebrum, Nicobule, finditur, Istius hominis ubi fit quaque mentio.' And Euseb. H. E. v. 1 (in Suicer, sub voce, where he cites other authorities also), εχαλέπαινον κ. διεπρίοντο καθ' ήμῶν. έβουλεύοντο they were purposing, 'taking counsel with the intent,' see refl. 34.] Γαμαλιήλ = \$20, (see Numb. i. 10; ii. 20,) is generally, and not without probability, assumed to be identical with the celebrated Rabban Gamaliel, the old man), one of the seven, to whom, among their Rabbis, the Jews give this title Rabban (= δαββουνί, John xx. 16), a wise and enlightened Pharisee, the son of Rabban Symeon (traditionally the Symeon of Luke ii. 25) and grandson of the famous Hillel. His name often appears in the Mischna, as an utterer of sayings quoted as authorities. He died eighteen years before the destruction of the city. (See Lightf. Centuria Chorogr. Matth. præmissa, ch. xv.) He was the preceptor of St. Paul (ch. xxii. 3). Ecclesiastical tradition makes him become a Christian

and be baptized by Peter and John (Phot. cod. 171, vol. iii. p. 118 b. Winer, RWB.), and in the Clementine Recognn. (i. 65, p. 1242), he is stated to have been at this time a Christian, but secretly. The Jewish accounts do not agree, which make him die a Pharisee, with much more probability. Nor is the least trace of a Christian leaning to be found in his speech : see below. And considering that he was a Pharisee, opposing the prevalent faction of Sadducæism in a matter where the Resurrection was called in question,—and a wise and enlightened man opposing furious and unreasoning zealots,-considering also, that when the anti-pharisaical element of Christianity was brought out in the acts and savings of Stephen, his pupil Saul was found the foremost persecutor,-we should, I think, be slow to suspect him of any favouring of the Apostles as followers of Jesus. (See particulars respecting Gamaliel collected in Conybeare and Howson's St. Paul, edn. 2, vol. i. p. 69, f.) He does not here appear as the president of the Sanhedrim, but only as a member. ἔξω ποιῆσαι] see reff. to put out—'cause to withdraw.' They are recalled in ver. 40. 35.] The words ἐπὶ τ. called in ver. 40. 35.] The words $\hat{\epsilon}\pi l \tau$. $\hat{\alpha}\nu\theta\rho$. $\tau o\nu\tau$. may be joined either with $\pi\rho\sigma$. έχ. έαυτ., or with τί μέλ. πράσσ. The latter would give the more usual construction: and the transposition of words is not unexampled in the Acts, see ch. i. 2; xix. 4.

\$6.] Λ great chronological difficulty arises here. Josephus relates, Antt. xx. 5. 1, Φάδου δὲ τῆς Ἰουδαίας ἐπιτροπεύοντος γόης τις ἀνὴρ Θευδᾶς δυόματι πείθει τὸν πλεῖστου ὕχλον ἀναλαβόντα τὰς κτήσεις ἔπεσθαι πρὸς τὸν Ἰορδάνην ποταμὸν αὐτῷ προφήτης γὰρ ἔλεγεν εἶναι, καὶ προςτάγματι τὸν ποταμὸν σχίσας, δίοδον ἔφη παρέξειν αὐτοῖς ῥαδίαν. καὶ ταῦτα λέγων πολλούς ἡπάτησεν. οὐ μὴν εἴασεν αὐτοὸς τῆς ἀφροσύνης ὕνασθαι Φάδος, ἀλλ' ἐξε ἐπεμψεν ἵλην ἰππίων ἐπ' αὐτούς, ἤτις

τούτων των ήμερων $^{\rm h}$ ἀνέστη Θευδάς λέγων είναι $^{\rm i}$ τινα $^{\rm h-oh.\,vii.\,18}$, $^{\rm from\,Exod.\,i}$ έαυτόν, $\tilde{\psi}^{k}$ προςεκλίθη ἀνδοῶν ἀριθμὸς 1 ὡς τετρα- $^{1}_{i}$ $^{0}_{i}$ $^{0}_{i}$ το του $^{1}_{i}$ $^{0}_{i}$ $^{0}_{i}$ του $^{0}_{i}$ κοσίων $^{0}_{i}$ $^{0}_{i}$ $^{0}_{i}$ ανηρέθη, καὶ πάντες ὅσοι $^{0}_{i}$ έπείθοντο αὐτ $\tilde{\psi}$ $^{0}_{i}$ there only $^{1}_{i}$ ο διελύθησαν καὶ ρέγένοντο ρείς οὐδέν. 37 η μετὰ τοῦτον Hom. Od. φ. 188. πρωςκλίνων τοῖς 'Ρυδίοις ό h ανέστη Ἰούδας ο Γαλιλαίος έν ταίς ημέραις της τάπογραφής, και " ἀπέστησεν " λαον " οπίσω αυτου κακείνος τ. δλην οι-

36. ins μεγαν bef εαυτον D: aft, A²E k o 13. 36 tol Syr Cyr Jer: om A¹BCHX rel vulg syr coptt Eus Chr Thl. aft ω ins και D-gr. rec προςεκολληθη, with f k o Chr Thl Ec: προσεκληθησαν C'(appy): προσεκλειθησαν D-corr: προσετεθη 36: appositi sunt Jer: inclinaverunt syr: adhæserunt copt: secuti sunt Syr sah æth: accesserunt arm: txt ABEHX a2 b d h m, προσεκληθη (itacism) C2D1 a1 g l, consensit vulg E-lat, adsensum est D-lat (the varr have been interpretations of or substitutions rec αριθ. bef ανδρ., with DH rel vulg for the ana ξ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$. in N T, $\pi \rho o s \epsilon \kappa \lambda \iota \theta \eta$). syr Chr: txt ABCEN m demid. rec ωsei, with HN1 rel 36 Thl: txt ABCDEN3 τετρακοσιοι 🛚 1. ος διελυθη (ανηρεθη D1) αυτος δι' αυτου D.

διελυθησαν D^1 : ins D^4 . $ov\theta \epsilon \nu$ D 33.

37. ree aft λαον ins ικανον, with H rel 36 syrr sah Thl: pref E k 40 copt Chr: λα. άπροςδόκητος ἐπιπεσοῦσα πολλοὺς μὲν ανείλε, πολλούς δε ζώντας έλαβεν αὐτόν τε τον Θευδάν ζωγρήσαντες αποτέμνουσι την κεφαλήν, και κομίζουσιν είς Ίεροσό-But this was in the reign of Claudius, not before the year A.D. 41; and consequently at least twelve years after this speech of Gamaliel's. On this difficulty I will remark, that we are plainly in no position (setting all other considerations aside) to charge St. Luke with having put into the mouth of Gamaliel words which he could not have uttered. For Josephus himself, speaking of a time which would accord very well with that referred to by Gamaliel, viz. the time when Archelaus went to Rome to be confirmed in the kingdom, says, èv τούτφ δε και έτερα μυρία θορύβων εχόπουλώ σε πουλών κατελάμβανε, πολλών πολλωχόσε κατ' οἰκείων ἐλπίδας κερδών καὶ Ἰουδαίων ἔχθρας ἐπὶ τὸ πολεμεῖν ώρμημένων. And among these there may well have been an impostor of this name. But all attempts to identify Theudas with any other leader of outbreaks mentioned by Josephus have failed to convince any one except their propounders: e. g. that eited in Biscoe from Usher, Ann., p. 797, who supposes him the same as Judas the robber, son of Ezechias, Jos. Antt. xvii. 10. 5,of Sonntag, who tries to identify him with Simon, mentioned Jos. Antt. xvii. 10. 6; B. J. ii. 4. 2,-and of Wieseler, who would have us believe him the same with Matthias ό Μαργαλώθου, Antt. xxvii. 6. 2, 4. The assumption of Josephus having misplaced his Theudas is perhaps improbable; but by no means impossible, in a historian

teeming with inaccuracies. (See this abundantly demonstrated in an article on 'the Bible and Josephus,' in the Journal of Sacred Literature for Oct. 1850.) All we can say is, that such impostors were too frequent, for any one to be able to say that there was not one of this name (a name by no means uncommon, see Cicero ad divers. vi. 10, and Grot. h. l.) at the time specified. It is exceedingly improbable, considering the time and circumstances of the writing of the Acts, and the evident supervision of them by St. Paul, the pupil of Gamaliel, that a gross historical mistake should have been here put into his mouth.

The λέγων είναι of our text is enriously related to the έλεγεν είναι of Josephus.

ώς τετρακοσίων hardly agrees with the τον πλείστον σχλον of Josephus above, and confirms the idea that different events are pointed at in the two accounts. But the Jewish historian speaks very widely about such matters: see note on cli. xxi. 38.

37.] The decided μετά τοῦτον fixes beyond doubt the place here assigned to Theudas. This Judas, and the occasion of his revolt, are related by Josephus, Antt. xviii. 1. 1, Κυρήνιος δὲ ἐπὶ Συρίας παρῆν, ὑπὸ Καίσορος δικαιοδότης τοῦ ἔθνους άπεσταλμένος, κ. τιμητής τῶν οὐσιῶν γενησόμενος . . . παρῆν δὲ καὶ Κυρ. είς την Ἰουδαίων προςθήκην της Συρίας γενομένην αποτιμησόμενός τε αὐτῶν τὰς οὐσίας, κ. ἀποδωσόμενος τὰ 'Αρχελάου χρήματα. Οί δέ, καίπερ τδ κατ' άρχας έν δεινώ φέροντες την έπλ ταις ἀπογραφαις ἀκρόασιν, ὑποκατέβησαν τοῦ εἰς πλέον ἐναντιοῦσθαι 'Ιούδας

πολυν C D-gr: txt A 'BR' vulg D-lat Eus Cyr. om παντες D 95. for οσοι, οι $C^{1/3}$. 38. om τα E(not B: see table). om νμν $κ^1$: ins κ-corr¹. aft ννν ins ειστν αδελφοι D (ειστν is marked for erasure). rec (for αφετε) εασατε, with DEH rel 36 Chr Thl: txt ABCR. aft αντους ins μη μαναντες τας χείρας D 34: μη μολυνοντες τας χ. νμων E. om αντη H a b e f g h l Thl-fin Ec.

39. for ει, εαν Ε. * δυνήσεσθε BCDER a h k 13(appy) 36 vulg Syr sah Orig Chr Cec Thl-fin (alteration to agree with the foregoing future, and the conditional ει? see note): δυνασθε AH rel fuld syr copt Chr, Thl-sif. rec αυτο (alteration to suit εργον), with C'H rel demid Syr copt Chr Thl Cec αυτον 180: τουτον διδασκαλιαν Orig: txt ABC¹DER am fuld syr ath arm Bed. aft αυτονς add ουτε νμεις ουτε οι αρχοντες νμων Ε; ουτε νμεις ουτε βασιλεις ουτε τυραννοι: απεχεσθει συν απο των ανθρωπων τουτων D: simly 33-marg 180 demid syr-w-ast. om και D'(and lat) 163 copt: ins D''s

40, for eπεισθησαν, επειστ....εs ("una litera ante επ. et quatuor fere ante es delectis") D¹: txt D²(?). aft δειρ. hus aurous E: casis cis D-lat. aft λαλειν hus τνν. Ε: αντους λ. rec aft απελ. hus aurous, with DEH rel 36 vulg Chr: om ABCN.

δὲ Γαυλανίτης ἀνὴρ ἐκ πόλεως ὅνομα Γάμαλα . . . ηπείγετο ἐπὶ ἀποστάσει. And, in returning to the mention of him as the founder of the fourth sect among the-Jews (xviii. 1. 6), he calls him δ Γαλιλαίος 'loύδas. From the above citation it is plain that this ἀπογραφή was that so called κατ' έξοχήν, under Quirinus: see Luke ii. 2 and note. His revolt took a theocratic character, his followers maintaining μόνον ήγεμόνα και δεσπότην τον $\theta \epsilon \delta \nu$ (ib. 1. 6). απώλετο] Not related by Josephus. διεσκορπίσθησαν Strictly accurate-for they still existed, and at last became active and notorious again. under Menahem, son of Judas του καλουμένου Γαλιλαίου, δε ην σοφιστης δεινότατος, καὶ ἐπὶ Κυρηνίου ποτὲ Ἰουδαίους ονείδισας. (Β. Jud. ii. 17.7; see also Antt. 38.] έὰν η, εί . . . ἐστίν: implyxx.5.2.) ing by the first, perhaps, the manifold devices of human imposture and wickedness, any of which it might be, (q. d. δτι αν ή έξ ἀνθρώπ.,) and all of which would equally come to nought, and, on the other hand, the solemnity and fixedness of the divine purpose, by the indicative, which are also intimated, in our text, by the pres. οὐ δύνασθε.

Or perhaps the indicative is used in the

second place, because that is the case assumed, and on which the advice is founded.

ἡ βουλή] The whole plan—the scheme, of which this έργον, the fact under your present cognizance, forms a part. 39.] The somewhat difficult connexion of μήποτε κ. θ. εῦρ. may be explained,—not by parentesizing δτι... ἐντούς, but by understanding 'and ye will be obliged to give up your attempt' (which thought is contained in οὐ ἑὐνασ. κατ. ἀντ.), lest ye be, ἄc. καί] Opponents not only to them,

but also to God :- 'even,' in E. V., does not give the sense. As regards Gamaliel's advice, we may remark that it was founded on a view of the issues of events, agreeing with the fatalism of the Pharisces: that it betokens no leaning towards Christianity, nor indeed very much even of worldly wisdom ;-but serves to shew how low the supreme council of the Jews had sunk both in their theology and their political sagacity, if such a fallacious laissez-aller view of matters was the counsel of the wisest among them. It seems certainly, on a closer view, as if they accepted, from fear of the people (see ver. 26), this opportunity of compromising the matter, which Gamaliel had designedly afforded them. 40. δείραντες | See Deut.

τες $^{\rm m}$ ἀπὸ προςώπου τοῦ $^{\rm n}$ συνεδρίου, ὅτι $^{\rm o}$ κατηζιώθησαν $^{\rm mch}$. vii. 45 $^{\rm p}$ ὑπὲρ τοῦ $^{\rm p}$ ὸνόματος $^{\rm q}$ ἀτιμασθῆναι, $^{\rm 42}$ $^{\rm r}$ πᾶσάν τε $^{\rm r}$ ἡμέραν $^{\rm neh}$. iv.15 refl. εν τῷ ἱερῷ καὶ $^{\rm s}$ κατ οἶκον οὐκ $^{\rm t}$ ἐπαύοντο διδάσκοντες $^{\rm constant}$ καὶ $^{\rm s}$ ἐναγγελιζόμενοι τὸν χριστὸν Ἰησοῦν.

VI. 1 Έν δὲ ταῖς ἡμέραις ταύταις 7 πληθυνόντων τῶν 1 Μασιμάθητων ἐγένετο 8 γογγυσμὸς τῶν 8 Έλληνιστῶν πρὸς 9 Εβραίους, ὅτι 2 παρεθεωροῦντο ἐν τῆ 6 διακονία τῆ 4 διακιάκιια 1 διακιάκια 1

| 18.23 | Jemes ii. 6 only | Prov. xxii. 12.25 | Sch. ii. 44 feet | Feet

41. aft ow add αποστολοι D 180 syr.

rec vπ. τ. ov. bef κατηξ, with DEH rel syr Chr Thl Lucif: txt ABCR a d h m vulg Syr (coptt) Orig, Thdrt Ambrst Quæst.

rec aft ονομ. ins αντου, with c d æth Orig, Lucif; του κυρου ιπσου Ε b f g l²

Thl-sif; ιπσου k o 13 vulg Thdrt; τ. ιπσ. 36; τ. χριστου a e h m fuld tol Chr Thdrt,

Thl-fin Ambrst Quæst (all plainty shewing the additions to be spurious): om ABCDHR syr coptt Ammon-e.

42. for $\tau\epsilon$, $\delta\epsilon$ D vulg E-lat coptt Lucif. rec $\iota\eta\sigma$. bef τ . $\chi\rho$., with H rel am tol Syr copt æth-rom Lucif: $\iota\eta\sigma$. $\chi\rho$. E 65 Chr: τ . $\kappa\nu\rho\iota\nu$ $\iota\eta\sigma$. C 13: $\tau\sigma\nu$ $\kappa\nu$ $\iota\nu$, ong $\chi\rho$, k: τ . $\kappa\nu\rho$. $\iota\eta\sigma$. $\chi\rho$. D sah æth-pl: txt ABN 36 fuld syr Bas Cyr-jer (Iren-int).

—(om ver c.)

Chap. VI. 1. tautais bef τ , $\eta\mu$, D-gr: for taut., ekeivais \mathbb{C}^3 73 vulg sah. om 2nd $\tau\eta$ D¹: ins D⁶. at end ins ev $\tau\eta$ diakovia $\tau\omega\nu$ ebraidur D¹(and lat).

xxv.2,—for disobedience to their command.
41. τοῦ ὁν.] Not 'this Name' (as Beng. and Kuin.), but the Name, κατ ἐξοχὴν, viz. of Christ. So the Heb. τοῦ is used Levit. xxiv. 11, 16: see reff. and compare τῆς ὁδοῦ, ch. ix. 2, and Euseb. H. Ε. v. 18. κέκριται (sc. Alexander)... οὐ διὰ τὸ ἄνομα, ἀλλὰ δι' ἀς ἐτολμησε ληστείας.
42. πάσαν ἡμ.] every day, not 'all day long,' which would be πᾶσ. τὴν ἡμ. Οι κατ οἰκον see note on ref. τὸν χρισ. 'ἰησ.] According to the true reading even more pointedly than in the rec., τὸν χριστ. is the predicate, and 'ἰησ. the subject: preaching (that) Jesus (is) the Christ.

CHAP. VI. 1—7.] ELECTION OF SEVEN PERSONS TO SUPERINTEND INE DISTRIBUTION OF ALMS. 1.] \$\overline{\pi}_{\text{s}}\$ in contrast to the former entire unity of the church: introducing that great and important chapter in her history of Judaizing divisions, which from this time onward disquieted her.

έν τ. ἡμ. τ.] See ch. i. 15:—but not necessarily as there, 'within a very few days:' the expression is quite indefinite. Some time must have alwayed since this.

time must have elapsed since ch. iv. 32. Ελληνιστῶν—Εβραίους] The Hellenists (from ἐλληνίζειν) were the Grecian Jews: not only those who were themselves prosenot only those who were themselves prosenous manufactures. lytes, nor only those who came of families once proselytized,—but all who, on account of origin or habitation, spoke Greek as their ordinary language, and used ordinarily the LXX version.

The Hebrews were the pure Jews, not necessarily resident in Palestine (e. g. Paul, who was 'Εβραίος ἐξ 'Εβραίως, Phil. iii. 5. See also 2 Cor. xi. 22),—nor necessarily of unmixed Jewish descent, else the ἐξ 'Εβρ. would hardly have been an additional distinction,—but rather distinguished by language, as speaking the Syro-Chaldaic and using the Hebrew Scriptures.

παρεθεωρουντο] The use of this appropriate word shews, I think, that Olsh.'s supposition, that χηραι implies all their poor, is not correct. Those poor who could attend for themselves and represent their case were served: but the widows, who required more searching out at their own houses, were overlooked. And this because the Apostles, who certainly before this had the charge of the duty of distribution, being already too much occupied in the ministry of the Word to attend personally to it, had entrusted it apparently to some deputies among the Hebrews, who had committed this oversight. For the low estimation in which the Hellenistie Jews were held by

2. om $\delta\epsilon$ D¹-gr sah: ins D-corr¹. [$\epsilon\epsilon\pi\alpha\nu$, so ABC.] aft $\epsilon\iota\pi$ ins $\pi\rho\sigma$ s autous D, $\epsilon\iota s$ Syr sah Cypr. $\eta\mu\nu$ CD Thl-fin: txt ABEH rel 36 Bas Mac Marc Chr Thl-sif.— $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\lambda$. bef $\eta\mu$. E 13. 180. $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\lambda$. $\tau\sigma\sigma\tau$ E 5. 13. 40. 180 lect-12.

3. επισκεψωμεθα B(Mai expr). As δε BN: om with sah Quest: om aδελφ. A 13 with Bas Marc Orig-int Quest: τι ουν εστιν αδελφοι επισκεψασθαι D: txt CEH rel vss ff. εξ νμ. αντων bef ανδρ. D Marc. ree aft πνευμ. ins αγιου, with A C'-3(appy) EH rel 36 denid sah Bas: om B C'2(appy) DN am fuld lux syr copt Chr Thl-sif-comm. (The omission may have been made to suit ver 10: at the same time the insertion of αγιου from ver 5 was very obvious, and is the more probable of the two.)—N' also omits και. ree καταστησωμεν (corrn), with H e 13 vulg D-lat E-lat Marc Thl-lin: txt ABCDEN rel Syr sah with Bas Chr Œc Thl-sif. αυτης D¹: txt D²(?).

4. ημ. δε εσομέθα.... προςκαρτερουντές D: sumus and perseveramus D-lat: προςκαρτερησωμέν ΕΗ 1 m coptt Ephr Bas Chr Marc Procop.

the Hebrews, see Biscoe, History of the Acts, pp. 60, 61. ἐν τῆ διακ. τ. καθ.] Some have argued from this that there must have been 'deacons' before: and that those now elected (see below on their names) were only for the service of the Hellenistic Jews. But I should rather believe, with De Wette and Röthe, that the Apostles had as yet, by themselves or by non-official deputies, performed the duty. The Siaκονία was the daily distribution of food: see on ver. 2. 2. τὸ πληθος τ. μ.,— 'the whole number of disciples in Jerusalem:' summoning a general meeting of the church. How many they were in number at the time, is not said. Clearly the 120 names of ch. i. 15, cannot (Lightf.) be meant. οὐκ ἀρεστόν ἐστιν ' non placet:' it is not our pleasure: not 'non jequum est,' as Beza, Calv., Kuin., and others (and E. V.), defending this rendering by apearov being used in the LXX for the Heb. 202: but even there it never signifies good or right absolutely, but is used subjectively, with בְּשִייָרְ, 'in thine eyes:' see Gen. xvi. 6, ώς ἄν σοι ἀρεστὸν ἢ: also Dent. xii. 28, τδ ἀρεστον . . . ἐναντίον κυρίου τ. θεοῦ σου. καταλείψαντας] For to this it would come, if the Apostles were to enquire into, and do justice in, every case of asserted neglect. διακονείν τραπέζαις] It is a question whether this expression import the service of distributing money (see refl. and Luke xix. 23 al.) - or that of apportioning the daily public meals.

The latter seems to me most probable, both on account of the καθημερινή above, and of the usage of διακονεῖν (see reft.). That both kinds of tables may be meant, is possible: but hardly probable. 3. ἐπισκ. οῦν] The similarity to ref. Gen. seems to shew that the look ye out of the E. V. is the right rendering. μαρτυρουμένους For this use of the pass. not found in the Gospp., compare besides reft., Jos. Autt. iii. 2. 5, τὸν στρατηγὸν Ἰησοῦν ἐνεγκωμίας(ε, μαρτυρούμενον ἐψ οῖς ἔπραξεν ὑπό παιτὸς τοῦ στρατοῦ—and Marc. Antonin. vii. 62, συνεχῶς ἐψατάναι, τίνες εἰσὶν οὖτοι, ὑψ' ὧν μαρτυρείσθαι θέκει. ἐππά Ποme have supposed a re-

ference to the number of nations of which the Hellenistic Jews would perhaps be composed: some, to 7000, to which number the believers would by this time amount (Bengel): some, to the mystic number seven, so common in Jewish writings (Meyer, De Wette) :- but the best remark is Lightfoot's :- 'quare septem eligendi, dient cui est audacia.' Some present consideration of convenience probably regulated the number. Eni T. xpeias T.] 'super hoe opus,' Vulg. :- 'ad hune usum. Grot.:- 'over this requirement (desideratum),' Meyer:-but the occurrence of the very same expression 1 Mace. x. 37, ¿κ τούτων κατασταθήσεται έπὶ χρειών τῆς βασιλείας των ουσών είς πίστιν, seems to make the sense business (as E. V.), duty, more probable. The duty (see above) was,

r προςκαρτερήσομεν. 5 και st ήρεσεν ο λόγος tu ένωπιον r = ch. 1.14

w ch. xv. 7 reff x ch. xix. 28 reff. y ch. ii. 10, xiii. 43 Matt. xxiii. 15 only. Exod. xxi. 48. 49 al. z ch. i. 23, iv. 7, xxii. 30. Num. xxvii. 19, 22. a — ch. ii. 25 reff. b ch. viii. 17, 12 reft.

5. aft λογ. ins ουτος D Syr sah æth. εναντιον C. aft πληθ. ins των πληρης DR. transpose πνευματος and μαθητών D. $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu$ τον (sic) \aleph . πληρης $D \Re$. trans πιστέως \aleph^1 . προχώρον E 1. νικανώρα $B^2 E$: νικόρα D-gr. τιμόνα C^2 αl . παρμένα $D^1(\text{txt }D\text{-corr}^2?)$. $\alpha \nu \tau_1 \circ \chi \epsilon \alpha \nu C$. τειμωνα Βι D: for Kai, OUTIVES D.gr. 6. ουτοι εσταθησαν D-gr Syr sah.

not that of ministering to the Hellenistie Jews only, but that of superintending the whole distribution. 4.] τ. διακονία τ. λόγου, in opposition to the διακονία τραπεζῶν. 'Hæ partes sunt nobilissimæ, quas nemo episcopus alteri, quasi ipse majoribus rebus intentus, delegare potest.' Bengel. 'Hinc apparet non frustra precandi studium commendari verbi ministris.' Calvin. 5. πίστεως,-not in the lower sense (Kuin.) of 'truthfulness,'— but in the higher of faith, the root of all Christian virtues: see ch. xi. 24 (De W.).

Of these seven, Stephen and Philip (ch. viii. 5, 26, 40; xxi. 8) only are elsewhere mentioned. On the idea of Nicolas having founded the heretical sect of the Nicolaitanes, Rev. ii. 6, 15 (Lightf. and Grot. from Iren. adv. Hær. i. 26, p. 105, and Epiph. Hær. 25, p. 76), see note ad loe. From his being called προςήλυτον 'Αντιοχέα, some have argued (Heins.) that he only was a proselyte, and none of the rest : some (Salmasius), that all were proselytes,—but the rest, of Jerusalem. But neither inference seems justified: rather I should say that the addition simply imports that he became better known than the rest, from the very circumstance perhaps of Antioch having been afterwards so important a spot in the Christian history(ch.xi.19, note). These names are all Greek: but we cannot thence infer that the seven were all Hellenists: the Apostles Philip and Andrew bore Greek names, but were certainly not Hellenists. There does appear however, in the case of these two Apostles, to have been a connexion with Greeks of some sort, see John xii. 20-22. Possibly, though Έβραῖοι, they may not have been ¿¿ ¿Eβραίων (see above on ver. 1), but sprung from intermarriage with Hellenists. And so these seven may have been partly 'Espaioi, though their names seem to indicate, and their office would appear to require, that they were connected with Hellenists, and

not likely to overlook or disparage them. The title of 'deacons' is nowhere applied to these seven in Scripture, nor does the word occur in the Acts at all. In 1 Tim. iii. 8 ff. there is no absolute identification of the duties of deacons with those allotted to these seven, but at the same time nothing to imply that they were different. And ἀνέγκλητοι, ib. ver. 10, at all events is parallel with our μαρτυρουμένους, ver. 3. The universal consent of all Christian writers in regarding this as the institution of the office of deacons should not be overlooked: but at the same time we must be careful not to imagine that we have here the institution of the ecclesiastical order so named. The distinctness of the two is stated by Chrysostom, Hom. xiv. p. 115, δποῖον δὲ ἄρα ἀξίωμα εἶχον οὖτοι, καὶ ποίαν ἐδέξαντο χειροτονίαν, ἀναγκαῖον μα-θεῖν. ἄρα τὴν τῶν διακόνων; καὶ μὴν τοῦτο ἐν ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις οὐκ ἔστιν ἀλλὰ τῶν πρεσβυτέρων ἐστὶν ἡ οἰκονομία. ὅθεν ούτε διακόνων, ούτε πρεσβυτέρων οίμαι τὸ ύνομα είναι δήλον και φανερόν. άλλὰ τέως είς τοῦτο έχειροτονήθησαν. So also Œeumenius in loc.: τους εκλεγέντας είς διακόmemme in too: τους καλεγεντας is σίαλος νους έχειροτόνησαν, ολ κατὰ τὸν νῶν ἐν ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις βαθμόν, ἀλλὰ τοῦ διανέμειν μετὰ ἀκριβείας καὶ ὀρφανοῖς καὶ χήραις τὰ πρὸς διατροφήν. See Suicer sub voce.

But that the subsequent office of deacon was founded upon this appointment is very probable. The only one of these seven who appears in the subsequent history (ch. xxi. 8) is called $\phi i \lambda i \pi \pi \sigma s \delta$ εὐαγγελιστής, probably from the success granted him as recorded in ch. viii. 12. In these early days titles sprung out of realities, and were not yet mere hierarchical classifications. 6.] ἐπέθηκαν, viz. the Apostles. Their office of giving themselves to prayer is here specially exercised.

The laying on of hands, the earliest mention of which is connected with blessing only (Gen. xlviii. 14), was prescribed to

 ${\bf c} = {\bf ch. ki. 1.7. \atop xii. 21.11, }$ αὐτοῖς τὰς ${\bf b}$ χεῖρας. ${\bf 7}$ Καὶ ὁ ◦ λόγος τοῦ ${\bf c}$ θεοῦ ${\bf de}$ ηὕξανεν, ${\bf xi. 21.11, }$ καὶ ${\bf c}$ ${\bf ch. xi. 20.11, }$ καὶ ${\bf ch. xi. 20.11, }$ σφόδρα, πολύς τε ${\bf ch. xi. 20.11, }$ υπήκουον ${\bf ch. xi. 20.11, }$ ${\bf ch. xi. 20.11, }$

Lakeling πίστει.

1 τους επίδι πίστει.

1 τους επίδι πότει τους τους δε παλήρης χάριτος καὶ δυνάμεως έποίει

1 τους επίδι τους τους τους τους τους τους λαφ.

1 τους επίδι τους τους τους τους τους λαφ.

2 τέρατα καὶ σημεία μεγάλα έν τῷ λαφ.

2 τους τους τους τους εκ τῆς συναγωγῆς τῆς λεγομένης Λιβερτίνων τους Αβερ

v. 29. 11. τινες των εκ της συναγωγης της Λεγομενης Λιβερτινών ΑΒΕΒ 24. h = Rom. vi. 16, 17. x. 16 al. Deut. xx. 12. sec Rom. 1. 5. xvi. 26. i Luke xviii. 8. ch. xiii. 8. 1 Tim. v. 8. c d f g h jver. 5. kch. vii. 36 rell. i = Luke x. 23. Mark xiv. 57, 60. 2 Chrou. xiii. 4, 6.

for θεου, κυριου DE vulg syr Chr: txt ABCH rel. μανθανοντων Ε. for ιερεων, ιουδαιων Ν e o. υπηκουον αν (or rather αυ, Scriv) D1: - ουεν ΑΕ

g vulg Chr.

8. ree (for xaritos) $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$ (corrn from ver 5), with H rel: xaritos κ . $\pi i \sigma \tau$. E: xaritos $\theta \epsilon o v$ ath: txt ABDR k 36 vulg Syr coptt arm Bas Did Nyss Chr Aug. trains $\sigma \eta \mu$. and $\tau \epsilon$. E 40. 96. aft $\lambda \omega$ add $\delta i \omega$ $\tau o v$ ordeatos kuriou info ov $\chi \rho$. D sail $\lambda u g$; $\delta i \omega$ $\tau o v$ ordeatos $\tau o v$ kuri. $\tau \sigma$. k13; ϵs 0 o vordati τ 00 kuriou k5. σ . σ 0.

κυρ. syr-w-ast.

9. καθ ο ανεστ. τινες Ε: adversus quem &c E-lat. om 1st των κ

Moses as the form of conferring office on Joshua, Num. xxvii. 18, and from that time was used on such occasions by the Jews. From its adoption by the Apostles, it has ever been the practice of the Christian church in ordaining, or setting apart her ministers. It was also used by the Apostles on those who, having been baptized, were to be fully endowed with the gifts of the Holy Spirit : see ch. viii. 17; xix. 6, and Heb. vi. 2. 7.] καί (not 'therefore,' as Kuin.), and, i. e., on this measure being completed; as would be the case, sceing that these seven were not only servants of tables, but men full of the Holy Ghost and of wisdom :- and we soon hear of the part which Stephen bore πολύς όχλ. τ. ιερέων The in the work. number of priests who returned from Babylon, Ezra ii. 36-39, was 4289; and the number would probably have much increased since then. No evasion of the historian's assertion is to be attempted. Casaubon, approved by Beza and Valeknaer, would read, πολύς τε ύχλος, και των ίερέων (se. τινès) ὁπ.; and Heinsius, Wolf, Kuinoel, and Elsner attempt a distinction between όχλος των ίερ., 'sacerdotes ex plebe,' and the 'sacerdotes doeti.' But, besides that the words will not bear this meaning, the distinction is one wholly unknown in the N. T. At this time was probably the culminating point of popularity of the church at Jerusalem. As yet, all seemed going on prosperously for the conversion of Israel. The multitude honoured the Apostles: the advice of Gamaliel had moderated the opposition of the Sanhedrim: the priests were gradually being won over. But God's designs were far different. At this period another great

element in the testimony of the church is brought out, in the person of Stephen, its protest against Pharisaism. This arrays against it that powerful and zealous sect, and heneeforward it finds neither favour nor tolerance with either of the parties among the Jews, but increasing and bitter enmity from them both.

8—Ch. VII. 60.] The accusation, Defence, and Martyrdom of Stephen.

8.] This is the first instance of any, not an Apostle, working signs and wonders. The power was perhaps conferred by the laying on of the Apostles' hands; though, that having been for a special purpose merely, and the working miracles being a fulfilment of the promise, Mark xvi. 17, 18, to all believers, I should rather refer the power to the eminence of Stephen's faith. χάριτος, divine grace (not 'favour with the people'): the effects of which, the miracles, were called χαρίσματα.

9.] Αιβερτίνων is rightly explained by Chrysostom: οἱ Ρωμίων ἀπελεύθεροι. Philo, Legat ad Caium, § 23, vol ii, p. 568, speaks οἱ τὴν πέραν τοῦ Τιβέρεως ποταμοῦ μεγάλην τῆς Ρώμης ἀποιομόνν... κατεχομένην καὶ οἰκουμένην πρὸς Ἰουδαίων, and adds, Ρωμαίοι δὲ ἦσαν οἱ πλείους ἀπελευθερωθέντες εἰχμάλοτοι γὰρ ἀχθέντες εἰς Ἰταλίαν, ὑπὸ τῶν κτησαμένων ἡλευθερώθηταν, οὐδὲν τῶν πατρίων παραχαράξα βιασθέντες (p. 1014, Potter). Tacitus, Ann. ii. 85 (A.D. 19), relates, 'Actum et de sacris Egyptiis Judaicisque pellendis: factumque Patrum consultum, ut quatuor millia libertini generis, ca superstitione infecta, queis idonea ðtas, in insulam Sardiniam veherentur ... cæteri cederent Italia, nisi certam ante diem profanos ritus exxissent.' In this Josephus agrees, Antt. xviii. 3. 5,

λεγομενων AN k coptt Chr-mss. om και ασιας AD1(and lat : ins D2).

[συνζητ., so AB¹CDEN.]

10. for και, οιτινές ουκ D: om και sah. τη σοφ. τη ουση εν αυτω κ. τω πν. τω αγιω ω ελαλει, δια το ελεγχεσθαι αυτους (διοτι ελεγχοντο E) υπ (επ D^1 : υπ D^2) αυτου μετα πασης παρρησιας μη δυναμενοι ουν (ου D^1) αντοφθαλμειν (επιδη ουκ ηδυναντο αντιλεγιν Ε) τη αληθεια DE: simly from δια το ελεγχ. am2 syr-marg.

11. λεγοντες AN, so probably D1. for $\lambda \alpha \lambda o \nu \nu \tau o s$, $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o \nu \tau o s \aleph^1$.

βλασφημίας D-gr N1(but corrd) 137 vulg.

12. ins και ταυτα ειποντες bef συνεκ. τε Ε. om 2nd rous C1 k. om επισταν-

relating a story as one of its causes, in which Ida, a freedwoman, was the agent of the mischief. Here then we have abundant reason for numbers of these Jews 'libertini generis' having come to Jerusalem, being among the cateri who were ordered to quit Italy: and what place so likely a refuge for Those who find Jews as Jerusalem? a difficulty in this interpretation suppose them to have been inhabitants of Libertum, a town in Africa propria, or proconsularis, from which we find an episcopus Libertinensis sitting in the synod of Carthage in 411 (so Suidas, Λιβερτίνοι, ὄνομα έθνους, -Schleusn., al.); or conjecture Λιβυστίνων to have been the true reading (so the Arm. version, Libyorum, Ceum., Lyra, Beza, Le Clerc, al.), -or even Λιβύων τῶν κατὰ Κυρήνην (Schulthess);—or suppose them (Lightf.) to have been freedmen from Jewish servitude,-or Italian freedmen, who had become proselytes. (The Arabic version given in the Paris polyglott curiously renders it Corinthiorum.) But none of these suppositions will bear examination, and the best interpretation is the usual one —that they were the descendants of Jewish freedman at Rome, who had been expelled by Tiberius. There is no difficulty in their having had a synagogue of their own: for there were 460 or 480 synagogues at Jerusalem (Vitringa, Synag. p. 256. Lightf., Κυρηναίων] See ch. ii. 10, 'Αλεξανδρέων] Two of the five Meyer). note. regions of Alexandria were inhabited by Jews (see Jos. Antt. xiv. 7. 2, 10. 1; xix. 5. 2 al.). It was also the seat of the learning and philosophy of the Grecian Jews, which was

VOL. II.

now at its height. This metropolis of the Hellenists would certainly have a synagogue in Jerusalem. I understand three distinct synagogues to be meant, notwithstanding the somewhat equivocal construction, - and λεγομένης only to apply to the unusual term Λιβερτίνων. των ἀπὸ Κ.] It seems doubtful whether this genitive also depends on $\sigma u \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta} s$. At first sight it would seem not, from the repetition of $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, answering to the $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ before. But then we must remember, that as Κυρηναίων and 'Αλεξανδρέων both belong to towns, and towns well known as the residences of Jews, a change of designation would be necessary when the Jews of whole pro-vinces came to be mentioned, and the synagogue would not be called that of the Κίλικες or 'Aσιανοί (ch. xx. 4), but that of oi ἀπὸ K. κ. 'A.: - and, this being the case, the article could not but be repeated, without any reference to the $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ before.

Cilicia was at this time a Roman province, the capital being the free city of Tarsus, see note on ch. ix. 11. Asia, -not exactly as in ch. ii. 9, where it is distinguished from Phrygia,—here and usually in the Acts implies Asia proconsularis, a large and important Roman province, including Mysia, Lydia, Caria, and Phrygia—known also as Asia cis Taurum. 11.] Neander well remarks (Pfl. u. Leit., p. 81 ff.) that this false charge, coupled with the character of Stephen's apologetic speech, shews the real character of his arguments with his opponents: - that he seems to have been the first who plainly set forth the transitory nature of the law and temple, as compared with

τες Ν1. aft ηγαγον ins αυτον A e Syr syr-w-ob coptt.

13. και εστ. D: εστ. δε Η 13.40. 96 E-lat copt.
αυτου D. Αεγοντες Ν. ουτ. bef ο ανθρ. C some-vss Chr Thl. rec aft ρημ.

πις βλασφημα (insertion from νετ 11), with EH (k) 36 lux æth arm Procl, Thl: om
ABCDN rel vulg syrr coptt.—κατα τ. τοπ. τ. αγιου κ. τ. νομου λαλων ρημ. βλασφ. k 13
Chr Procl, λαλ. bef ρημ. BCN (k) vulg syrr coptt Procl: txt ADEH rel Chr Thl.
rec aft αγ. ins τουτου (to agree with νετ 14: or perhaps because the meeting of the Sanhedrim seemed to have been in a part of the temple), with BC 13 rel 36 tol syrr sah Chr Thl-fin: bef, k: om ADEHN a b c e f h l o vulg copt æth arm Nyss-ms Chr comm Damase Thl-sif.

15. ητενιζον δε αυτω D¹-gr: txt D²(and lat): om ess \aleph ¹: ins \aleph -corr¹. for απαντες, παντες ABCD²E \aleph ¹ c Th¹-sif: om 13: txt (see proleg) D¹H rel Chr Ge Th¹-fin. att αγγ, ins εστωτος εν μεσω αυτων D:

του θεου æth sah.

CHAP. VII. 1. aft αρχ. ins τω στεφανω DE tol. om αρα (as unnecessary) ABCN 36: ins DEH rel Chr Thl (Ec: enim E-lat: not expressed in vulg D-lat. τουτο D.

the forerunner of St. Paul. haóv, first,-that by means of the popular feeling they might act upon the πρεσβ. κ. γρ, the members of the Sanhedrim, επιστάντες] The same persons,—acting now by the authority of the Sanhedrim; Saul, among of ἀπὸ Κιλικίας, being, as is afterwards (ch. vii. 58) implied, among the foremost,-came upon him (see reff.), and seized him. 13. ψευδείς The falsehood of their witness consisted, as in the similar case of our Lord, in taking Stephen's words out of their context, and misrepresenting what perhaps, totidem verbis, he had actually said. τοῦ τόπ. τ. άγ.] The temple, see reff. 14.] We may either take the words thus, ore 'Inσουs δ Ναζωραΐος, ούτος κατ., 'that Jesus of N., he it is who shall destroy' (see ch. vii. 35; 1 Cor. vi. 4),— or ὅτι Ἰησοῦς, δ Ναζωραῖος οὖτος, κατ., 'that Jesus, this Nazarene, shall destroy . . . , '-or, which seems by far the best, take the whole together, that this Jesus of N. shall destroy, as in E. V. Compare δ Παῦλος οὖτος, ch. xix. 26. 15.] It is a question with regard to this verse, Does it relate any super-

the permanence of the latter and better eo-

venant, thus being in a remarkable manner

natural appearance, glorifying the face of Stephen,-or merely describe the calm and holy aspect with which he stood before the council? The majority of Commentators suppose the latter: and certainly the foregoing description of Stephen would lead us to infer, that there was something remarkably striking in his appearance and demeanour, which overawed his adversaries. But both from the plain language of our text, well understood among the Jews to signify supernatural brightness (see examples in Wetstein), and from the fact that in Luke's own narrative we have supernatural brightness associated with angelic appearances more than once (see Luke ii. 9; ch. xii. 7), I should be inclined to think that the face of the martyr was lighted up with a di-vine radiance. That the effect on those present was not such as to prevent the examination proceeding, is no argument against this view: in the very mildness of the question of the H. P. which follows, I see the trace of some unusual incident exercising an influence over him. Chrysostom (who does not, however, seem to adopt the above interpretation, his τοῦτο καὶ ἡ δόξα Μωυσέως being apparently only rhetorical) explains well the effect on the council: ἐπίχαριν

 n έχει; 2 $^{\circ}$ δὲ έφη "Λνδρες ἀδελφοὶ καὶ πατέρες, ἀκούσατε. o heronly. Ps. xxviii.3. sec $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ θεὸς τῆς $^{\circ}$ δύξης $^{\rho}$ μφθη τ $_{\overline{\nu}}$ $^{\circ}$ ατρὶ ημ $_{\overline{\nu}}$ ν $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ Αβραὰμ $_{\overline{\nu}}$ Heb. ix. 5. Heb. ix. 5. Pol. ii.8 refi. $^{\circ}$ q Luke i. 73. (xvi. 24, 30.) John viii. 39, 53 (56). Rom. iv. (1) 12, 16. James ii.

2. αδελφη (sic) D¹-gr: txt D².

δὲ αὐτὸν δοκεῖ μοι ποιῆσαι τὸν θεόν, τάγα έπεὶ ἔμελλε τινὰ ἐρεῖν, καὶ Ίνα εὐθέως τῆ προςόψει καταπλήξη αὐτούς. έστι γάρ, έστι καὶ πρόςωπα χάριτος γέμοντα πνευ-ματικής ἐπέραστα τοις ποθούσιν είναι, καὶ αιδέσιμα τοις μισούσι και φοβερά. ή και ώς αἰτίαν τοῦτο εἶπεν, δι' ἡν ἡνέσχοντο τῆς δημηγορίας αὐτοῦ. τί δαὶ ὁ ἀρχιερεύς;... δρας πως μετα επιεικείας ή ερώτησις και οὐδὲν τέως φορτικόν ἔχουσα; In Act. Homil. XV. p. 120. CHAP. VII. 1.] On the H. P.'s question, see Chrys. just quoted. It is parallel with Matt. xxvi. 62, but singularly distinguished from that question by its mildness: see above. 2-53. STEPHEN'S DEFENCE. In order to understand this wonderful and somewhat difficult speech, it will be well to bear in mind, (1) that the general character of it is apologetic, referring to the charge made against him: but (2) that in this apology, forgetting himself in the vast subject which he is vindicating, he every where mixes in the polemic and didactic element. A general synopsis of it may be thus given: (1) He shews (apologetically) that, so far from dishonouring Moses or God, he believes and holds in mind God's dealings with Abraham and Moses, and grounds upon them his preaching; that, so far from dishonouring the temple, he bears in mind its history and the sayings of the prophets respecting it; and he is proceeding,-when (interrupted by their murmurs or inattention? but see note, ver. 51) he bursts forth into a holy vehemence of invective against their rejection of God, which provokes his tumultuary expulsion from the council, and execution. (2) But simultaneously and parallel with this *apologetic* procedure, he also proceeds didactically, shewing them that a future Prophet was pointed out by Moses as the final Lawgiver of God's people,—that the Most High had revealed His spiritual and heavenly nature by the prophets, and did not dwell in temples made with hands. And (3) even more remarkably still does the polemic element run through the speech. "It is not I, but YOU, who from the first times till now have rejected and spoken against God." And this element, just appearing ver. 9, and again more plainly vv. 25-28, and again more pointedly still in ver. 35, becomes dominant in vv. 39-44, and finally prevails, to the exclusion of the apologetic and didactic, in

vv. 51—53. That other connected purposes have been discovered in the speech, as e. g. that so ably followed out by Chrys. Hom. xv.—xvii. (similarly Grot. and Calv.), of shewing that the covenant and promises were before the law, and sacrifice and the law before the temple,—is to be attributed to the wonderful depth of words uttered like these under the immediate inspiration of the Holy Spirit, presenting to us, from whichever side they are viewed, new and nimitable hues of heavenly wisdom. Many of these will be brought out as we advance.

The question, from what probable source Luke derived his report of this speech, so peculiar in its character and citations as to bear, even to the most prejudiced, decisive evidence of authenticity, can be only conjecturally answered: but in this case the conjecture can hardly be wrong. I have discussed the point in the Prolegg. to this vol. ch. i. § ii. 12 (a). Another question has been, in what language the speech was delivered. (1) It is a hardly disputable inference from ch. vi. 9, that Stephen was a Hellenist: (2) his citations and quasicitations for the most part agree with the LXX version. Hence it seems most probable that he spoke in Greek, which was almost universally understood in Jerusalem. If he spoke in Hebrew (Syro-Chaldaic), then either those passages where the LXX varies from the Hebrew text (see below) must owe their insertion in that shape to some Greek narrator or to Luke him-self,-or Stephen must have, in speaking, translated them, thus varying, into Hebrew: either supposition being in the highest degree improbable. 2. ἄνδρ. ἀδ. est degree improbable. κ. πατ.] So Paul, ch. xxii. 1, before a mixed assembly of Jews. The ἄνδρ. ἀδ. would embrace all: the πατ. would be a title of respect to the members of the Sanhedrim, in this case, but hardly in ch. xxii. 1. $\delta \theta \epsilon \delta s \tau$. $\delta \delta \xi \eta s$ Not $= \theta \epsilon \delta s$ ένδοξος, but the God of (i. e. who possesses and manifests Himself by) Glory, viz. the Shechinah, see Exod. xxiv. 16, 17, and ver. The words τῷ πατρὶ ἡμῶν decide nothing as to Stephen's genuine Hebrewextraction. Any Jew would thus speak.

ώφθη πρίν ἢ κατ. αὐτ. ἐν ẋαρ̂.] This was the Jewish tradition, though not asserted in Genesis. Thus Philo (de Abrah. § 15 end, vol. ii. p. 12), having paraphrased the divine command, says, διὰ τοῦτο τὴν

r Matt.i.18. ὄντι έν τη Μεσοποταμία r ποίν $\hat{\eta}$ κατοικήσαι αὐτον έν $\frac{M_{\rm sh} R_{\rm sh} r_{\rm sh} r_{\rm sh}}{R_{\rm sh} r_{\rm sh} r_{\rm sh}}$ Χαρράν, $\frac{3}{3}$ καὶ είπεν πρὸς αὐτον r Έξελθε έκ της γης σου $\frac{1}{2}$ σου \frac καὶ [έκ] της συγγενείας σου, καὶ "δευρο είς την γην ην ΔΒCD ΕΗΝ αδ

χαρρα Ε m²(Aug): χαρα m¹: χαραν D-gr vulg(not am demid fuld &c).

3. for 1st εκ, απο D': txt D8, de D-lat. om 2nd εκ BD-gr sah Thl-sif: ins (so LXX) ACEHN rel 36 vss Thl-fin Iren-int Aug. aft συγγ. σου ins (from LXX) και εκ του οικου του πατρος σου Ε 65. 67 Aug. aft δευρο ins ει D1. την (perhaps an error owing to similarity of endings: perhaps an attempt to render γην more indefinite), with H rel 36 Chr Thl: ins ABCDEN.

και κατωκησεν Di(and lat). for ev, eis H 4. aft τοτε ins αβρααμ D Syr. e f m o Thl: om 65, 67: επι 13. for κακειθεν, κακει ην, insg και bef μετωκ., D'

πρώτην ἀποικίαν ἀπὸ τῆς Χαλδαίων γῆς εἰς την Χαβραίων λέγεται ποιείσθαι. But he accurately distinguishes between the λόγιον which he obeyed in leaving Chaldaa, and the θεδς ἄφθη afterwards, adding a reason after his manner, why God could not be seen nor apprehended by him while he was yet χαλδαΐζων and an astrologer. The fact of his having left Ur by some divine intimation is plainly stated in Gen. xv. 7, and referred to in Neh. ix. 7. It was surely both natural and allowable to express this first command in the well-known words of the second. But we can hardly suppose that Stephen adopted the pluperfect rendering of יאמר in Gen. xii. 1, as the LXX has $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \nu$. (Josephus, ordinarily cited as relating the same tradition, throws, as he often does, the whole history into confusion, saying, it is true, Antt. i. 7. 1, καταλείπει τ. Χαλδαίαν . . . τοῦ θεοῦ κελεύσαντος εἰς την Χαναναίαν μετελθείν, but omitting entirely the sojourn in Haran, and connecting the migration with an outbreak of the Chaldwans against him for teaching the worship of the true God.) Xappav] So the LXX for הַרָן, Gen. xi. 31, &c.; 4 Kings xix. 12; Ezek. xxvii. 23,-Κάρβαι της Μεσοποταμίαs, Herodian iv. 13 (Ptol. v. 18. 12. Strabo, xvi. p. 747), - 'Carras eæde Crassi nobiles,' Plin, v. 24,- 'Miserando funere Crassus Assyrias Latio maculavit sanguine Carras,' Lucan i. 104. It lay on an ancient road, in a large plain surrounded by mountains; is great city in the days of the Arabian suitable See Winer, RWB. 4. μετὰ rounded by mountains; it was still a τὸ ἀποθανεῖν τὸν πατ. αὐτ.] In Gen. xi. 26, we rend that Terah lived 70 years and begot Abram, Nahor, and Haran; in xi. 32, that Terah lived 205 years, and died in Haran; and in xii. 4, that Abram was 75 years old when he left Haran. Since then cir. 70 + 75 = cir. 145, Terah must have lived cir. 60 years in Haran after Abram's departure. It seems

evident, that the Jewish chronology, which Stephen follows, was at fault here, owing to the circumstance of Terah's death being mentioned Gen. xi. 32, before the command to Abram to leave Haran;it not having been observed that the mention is anticipatory. And this is confirmed by Philo having fallen into the same mistake, de Migr. Abrah. § 32, vol. i. p. 461, πρότερον μέν έκ της Χαλδαϊκης άναστάς γης 'Αβραὰμ ὤκησεν εἰς Χαβράν' τελευτήσαντος δὲ αὐτοῦ τοῦ πατρὸς ἐκεῖθε καὶ ἐκ ταύτης μετανίσταται. It is observable that the Samaritan Pentateuch in Gen. xi. 32, for 205, reads 145, which has most probably been an alteration to remove the apparent inconsistency. The subterfuge of understanding the spiritual death of Terah, who is, as a further hypothesis, supposed to have relapsed into idolatry at Haran, appears to have originated with the Rabbis (see Kuinoel ad loe. and Lightf. Hor. Heb.) on discovering that their tradition was at variance with the sacred chronology. They have not been without followers in modern Christendom. It is truly lamentable to see the great Bengel, warped by the unworthy effort of squaring at all hazards, the letter of God's word in such matters, write thus: 'Abram, dum Thara vixit in Haran, domum quodammodo paternam habuit in Haran, in terra Canaan duntaxat peregrimum agens; mortuo autem patre, plane in terra Canaan domum unice habere ccepit.' (This alteration of relation in the land being expressed by μετφκισεν αὐτὸν els!) The way in which the difficulty has been met by Dr. Wordsworth and others, viz. that we have no right to assume that Abram was born when Terah was 70, but may regard him as the youngest son, would leave us in this equally unsatisfactory position :- Terah, in the course of nature, begets his son Abram at 130 (205-75): yet this very son Abram regards it as incredible that he himself should beget a son

αὐτοῦ το μετόκισεν αὐτὸν εἰς τὴν γῆν ταύτην εἰς ἢν ὑμεῖς τικον. Το κατοικείτε, 5 καὶ οὐκ ε΄δωκεν αὐτῷ y κληοονομίαν ε΄ν αὐτῷ, οὐδὲ 2 βῆμα ποδός καὶ a επηγγείλατο a δοῦναι το a εἰς ἢν οὐκικι αὐτὸν b εἰς c κατάσχεσιν αὐτὴν καὶ τῷ d σπέρματι αὐτον a εὐτως o θεός, ὅτι εσται τὸ d σπέρμα αὐτοῦ t πάροικον t το σύτως o θεός, ὅτι εσται τὸ d σπέρμα αὐτοῦ t πάροικον t το καὶ t δουλωσουσιν αὐτὸ καὶ t κακώσουσιν t είς t κι ετη τετρακόσια. t καὶ τὸ εθνος t εὰν δουλεύσουσιν t εκρινῶ ε΄γώ, t θεὸς εἶπεν, καὶ μετὰ ταὐτα εξελεύσονται καὶ t λατρεύσουσίν μοι εν τῷ τόπῳ τοῦτῷ. Βρh. ii. 19. Ινεί. ii. 10 oil, t κιν. 9 κιν. 13 κιν. 2 κιν. 14 κιν. 14 κιν. 15 κιν. Θαὶν. 3. Τίτ. ii. 3. γετ. ii. 10 κιν. 15 κιν. 15 κιν. Θαὶν. 3. Τίτ. ii. 3. γετ. ii. 10 κιν. 15 κιν. 15 κιν. Θαὶν. 3. Τίτ. ii. 3. γετ. ii. 10 κιν. 15 κιν. 15 κιν. Θαὶν. 3. Τίτ. ii. 3. γετ. ii. 10 κιν. 15 κιν. 15 κιν. Θαὶν. 3. Τίτ. ii. 3. γετ. ii. 10 κιν. 15 κιν. 15 κιν. 15 κιν. 2. κιϊι. 15 κιν. 2. κιϊι. 16 κιν. 2. κιϊ. 16 κιν. 2. κιν. 1 αὐτοῦ * μετψκισεν αὐτὸν είς την γην ταύτην * είς ην ύμεῖς * Tchron, viii.

aft aut. ins o beos E Syr. μετοικήσεν D^1 : txt D^2 . (and lat: κακειθε D2). aft κατοικ. ins και οι πατερες ημων DE syr-w-ast Aug, but for ημων, υμων Ε Aug; D adds further οι προ ημων, syr-w-ast οι προ υμ.

5. for 2nd και, αλλ D am &c sah Iren-int: txt ABCEHN rel fuld syrr copt Chr Thl. rec αυτω hef δουναι (with none of our mss): txt ABCDEH b c e f g l m o Thl.

for last αυτω, αυτου C. --δουναι αυτην εις κατασχ. αυτω ΑΕΝ a h k 13. aft o θ. ins προς αυτον 6. for ουτως, αυτω Η1Ν k : αυτω ουτως b 49. 96 Ath. for auto, autous D vulg D Iren-int : λέγων πρ. αυτον Syr. for αυτου, σου N. aft κακωσ. ins αυτο C vss Thl-sif-comm; αυτω 13. - κακ coptt æth: αυτω e 13.

αυτο κ. δουλ. Ε. rec δουλευαν BD: txt ACEHR rel Chr. 7. το δε C e 120 sah æth-pl. σωσιν (corrn to suit Lxx), with BEHN rel vulg Chr: txt AC D-gr syrr coptt Iren-int. rec ειπεν bef o θ., with DEH rel 36 vss Chr Thl Iren-int: txt ABCN.

λατρευσωσιν С1Ε. εξελ. ins εκειθεν E.

at 99 (Gen. xvii. 1, 17); and on the fact of the birth of Isaac being out of the course of nature, most important Scriptural arguments and consequences are founded, cf. Rom. iv. 17-21, Heb. xi. 11, 12. We may fairly leave these Commentators with their new difficulty: only remarking for our instruction, how sure those are to plunge into hopeless confusion, who, from motives however good, once begin to handle the word of God deceitfully. αὐτ. εἰς] In these words Stephen clearly recognizes the second command, to migrate from Haran to Canaan: and as clearly therefore made no mistake in ver. 2, but applied the expressed words of the second command to the first injunction, the λόγιον 5. οὐκ ἔδωκεν] There is of Philo. no occasion here to wrest our text in order to produce accordance with the history. The field which Abraham bought for the burial of his dead surely did not come under the description of κληρονομία, nor give him any standing as a possessor in the land. To avoid this seeming inconsistency, Schöttgen and Bengel lay a stress on ἔδωκεν, 'agrum illum . . . non ex donatione divina accepit Abraham, sed emit, ipsa emtione peregrinum eum esse docente' (Bengel).

Kuinoel and Olshausen take οὐκ for οὕπω. καί before ἐπηγγ. is not 'yet' (Beza), nor is ἐπηγγ. to be construed pluperfect (id.); and he promised is the simple rendering of the words, and the right one. The following καί is by Kuin. rendered 'nimirum :' but again it is only the simple copula, ולורעף. free citation from the LXX, with the words καl λατρ. μοι ἐν τ. τόπ. τούτω adapted and added from Exod. iii. 12. The shifts of some Commentators to avoid this plain fact are not worth recounting: but again, the student who would not handle the word of God deceitfully should be here and every where on his guard against them. The round number, 400 years, given here and Gen. 1. c., is further speeifted Exod. xii. 40 as 430. (See Gal. iii. 17, and note.) 7.] δ θεὸς εἶπεν iii. 17, and note.) 7.] δ θεὸς εἶπεν is inserted by Stephen in passing from the narrative form (τὸ σπ. αὐτοῦ) into the 8.7 On the institudirect (κρ. ἐγώ). tion of circumcision, it is called a διαθήκη, Gen. xvii. 10, and the immediate promise of that covenant was δώσω σοι κ. τῷ σπέρματί σου μετά σε την γην ην παροικείς, πασαν την γην Χαναάν είς κατάσχεσιν αλώνιον καὶ ἔσομαι αὐτοῖς εἰς θεόν, id. ver. 8.

m - ch.iii. 25. αὐτῷ [™] διαθήκην [™] περιτομῆς' καὶ [°] οὕτως ἐγέννησεν τὸν ABCD Heb.ix. 4. 6. 10 Ισαὰκ καὶ [™] περιέτεμεν αὐτὸν τῆ ἡμέρα τῆ ὀγδόῆ, καὶ cfgh left. 6. 10 Ισαὰκ τὸν Ἰακώβ, καὶ Ἰακώβ τοὺς δώδεκα ⁹ πατριτομένε εἰνα τοὺς δωδεκα ⁹ πατριτομένε εἰνα ¹ 13 ⁹ 1 Cor. vii. 18. ἀρχας. ⁹ καὶ οἱ ⁹ πατριάρχαι ¹ ζηλώσαντες τὸν Ἰωσὴφ ¹ 1 Heb. vii. 4. ⁸ ἀπέδοντο εἰς Αἴγυπτον καὶ ὑἤν ὁ θεὸς ὑμετ ἀντοῦ ¹ 1 Heb. vii. 4. ⁹ απέδοντο εἰς Αἴγυπτον καὶ ὑἤν ὁ θεὸς ὑμετ ἀντοῦ ¹ 1 Heb. vii. 5. καὶ ἔδωκεν αὐτὸν ¹ χάριν καὶ σοφίαν ^{*} ἐναντίον Φαραώ ¹ 1 Cor. xiii. 1 - ch. v. 8. ¹ Εθω κίι. 6 καὶ ἔδωκεν αὐτὸν, καὶ ^γ κατέστησεν αὐτὸν ¹ ἡγούμενον ^γ χαχιν. 1 ^γ ἐπ ἀγυπτον καὶ δλον τὸν [°] οῖκον αὐτοῦ. ¹ ἢλθεν Heb. xii. 6 δὶ ⁸ λιμὸς ἐφ ὅλην τὴν Αἴγυπτον καὶ Χαναὰν καὶ ⁸ δὶ. ⁸ δὶ λιμὸς ἐφ ὅλην τὴν Αἴγυπτον καὶ Χαναὰν καὶ ⁸ δὶ. ⁸ δὶ λιμὸς ἐφ ὅλην τὴν Αἴγυπτον καὶ Χαναὰν καὶ ⁸ δὶ. ⁸ δὶ. ⁸ πατέρες ⁹ ἡμῶν. ¹² ἀκούσας δὲ Ἰακὸβ ⁶ ὅντα ⁹ σιτία ^h εἰς ¹¹ κιὶ. 11. ⁸ καὶ ¹ καὶ ¹ καὶ ¹ κατέρος ¹ ἡμῶν ποῦστον, ¹² ἀκούσας δὲ Ἰακὸβ ¹ ὅντα ⁹ σιτία ^h εἰς ¹¹ κιὶ. 11. ⁸ καὶ ¹ καὶ ¹

8. for ογδοη, εβδομη Ν¹. ree ins o bef 2nd ισαακ, with DH rel 36 Chr Thl-fin (ee: om ABCEN Thl-sif. aft ισ. ins εγενησε Ε syr. ree ins o bef 2nd ιακωβ, with D³H rel 36 Chr (Ec Thl: om ABCD¹EN.

10. [εξείλατο, so ABCDEN m 36 Thl-fin.] χαριν bef αυτ. D-gr: om αυτω A. εναντι Ν k. ins εφ bef ολον ΑC E-gr Ν g vulg Syr copt: om BDH rel 36

E-lat Chr.

11. ree the gir aigustou, with EH rel 36 syr with Clip: $\epsilon \phi$ odgs the aigustou D, super ownem terram agypti D-lat (see lex): txtABCN unly Syr copts. [huristoch, so B(sic: see table) E k.]

12. rec στσα, with H rel Chr: στσν 13 Thl-sif: στσεα 15. 40. 100: txt ABCDER.
rec εν αιγυπτω (corrn, as more usual: Meyer thinks εις aιγ. to have been a
gloss to εξαπεστειλεν, and then to have found its way into the txt to the exclusion of
the original εν αιγ., but this is far-fetched), with DH Chr Thl: txt ABCER-10.
εξαπεστειλαν Ν'.

13. for εν, επι D 18. εγνωρισθη AB: εγνωσθη 25: agnitus est E-lat: recognitus est D-lat: cognitus est vulg: txt CDEHN rel 36 Chr Thl. for εγενετο, εγενηθη D. om τω bef φαριω Ν. rec ins του bef ιωσηφ (added for clearness), with DH rel Chr: om BC.—for ιωση, αυτου ΑΕΝ 40 vulg arm.

14. rec τον ποτ. av. bef ιακωβ, with H rel syrr Chr: om ιακωβ 15. 18. 47\cdot 163 ret it xt ABCDEN a h m vulg coptt arm.

rec aft συγγ ins αυτου (for explicitness), with B(Mai) DE rel: om ACHN b f g m o 36 nm demid fuld arm Chr Thl.

οὕτως, thus, 'in this new covenant state;'
—or, 'in fulfilment of the promise of *sed
implied in the above words.' In this word
oῦτως lies hid the germ of the subsequent
teaching of the Holy Spirit by St. Paul, Gal.
iii. 9.] Here we have the first hint
of the rebellious spirit in Israel, which the
progress of the history brings out.

10.] Observe (Mey.) the simple coupling of the clauses by $\kappa \alpha i$, as characteristic of this speech. $\chi \alpha \rho \nu \kappa$. $\alpha \sigma \phi$.] No Hendiadlys: favour, so that he was acceptable to Pharaoli (see reff.): and wisdom, so that Ph. consulted him and followed his suggestion, especially in the important case recorded Gen. xli. 38.

ς εν τυχαίς εβδομηκονταπέντε. 15 καὶ εκατέβη Ἰακωβ ς Luke xiv. 15 καὶ εκατέβη Ἰακωβ ς Τυμε xiv. 22. είς Αἴγυπτον, καὶ ετελεύτησεν αὐτὸς καὶ οι πατέρες το hii. 41. εξς Αιγυπτος, και είνατοι μετετέθησαν είς Συχέμ καὶ ετέθησαν εν ε ε-ch. xviil. τῷ μετετέθησαν είς Συχέμ καὶ α έν ε ε-ch. xviil. τῷ μνήματι $\sqrt[p]{2}$ ωνήσατο Αβραὰμ τιμῆς $\sqrt[b]{4}$ άργυρίου με h. ii. $\sqrt[a]{2}$ ii. Gen.

v Gal. i. 6. Heb. vii. 12. xi. 5 bis. Jude 4 only. Sir. xliv. 16. Deut. xxvii. 17. uc. 1. - 2 bis. x Luke viii. 27 | Mx. xxii. 53. xxiv. 1. ch. ii. 29. Rev. xi. 9 only. Exod. xiv. 11. y 4tr., ch. i. 1 refl. z here only f. a - ch. iv. 84 refl. b ch. iii. 6. xx. 33. Exod. zxi. 22.

εβδ. bef ψυχ. (see Lxx) DH a (c) f h m: ό και έ ψ D: D syr Chr seem to join εν

ε. π. ψ. with κατεβη follg (see LXX Deut x. 22).

15. ree κατεβη δε, with BH rel coptt Chr: κατεβη (alone) D 40.73.96 syr: txt ACEN 36 vulg Syr æth. (From similarity of και κατ., και dropped out as in D, and om εις αιγυπτον B. (Omitted as superfluous? or perhaps then be was supplied.) it was a gloss from the marg. Tischendorf excludes it from the txt: but the authority αυτ. bef ετελευτ. B(Btly). aft autos ins ekel E Syr: TE D. rec (for &) 8, with H rel Chr Thlσυχεν (1st) D-gr. 16. μετηχθησαν D.

έστησεν] viz. Pharaoh: a change of sub-14. έν ψυχαίς ject : see ref. Gen. έβδομηκονταπέντε] In the Hebrew text, Gen. xlvi. 27; Exod. i. 5; Deut. x. 22, seventy souls are reckoned, viz. sixty-six born of Jacob, Jacob himself, Joseph, and his two sons born in Egypt. So also Josephus, Antt. ii. 7. 4; vi. 5. 6. But the LXX, whom Stephen follows, insert in Gen. xlvi. 20 an account of the children and grandchildren of Manasselı and Ephraim, five in number: and in ver. 27 read viol δε 'Ιωσήφ οί γενόμενοι αὐτῷ ἐν γῆ Αἰγ., ψυχαί εννέα. πασαι ψυχαί οίκου Ίακωβ αί είςελθοῦσαι μετὰ Ἰακώβ (alex. om μετὰ 'Ιακώβ, but obviously without any effect on the general statement) είς Αίγυπτον, ψυχαὶ έβομηκονταπέντε: -reckoning, as it appears, curiously enough, among the sons of Joseph, Joseph himself, and his wife Asenath; for these are required to make up the nine, according to their ver. 20. And similarly in Exod. i. 5, and in alex. Deut. x. 22. (Dr. Wordsw., who is careful to note that the alex. omits μετὰ Ἰακώβ in Gen. xlvi. 27, omits the fact that it reads πέντε here, by stating "seventy" as the LXX testimony.) With regard to the various attempts to solve the difficulty (66 + 12 wives - [Joseph and his wife, and Judah's wife who died in Canaan] = 75, Seb. Schmid and Wolf:-that Stephen spoke of those who were invited, -Moses of those who went, Krebs and Loesner:-that πάν- $\tau \epsilon s$ should be read for $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon$, Beza:—&c.), see above on vv. 6, 7. The remarks of Jerome are curious:-he is arguing, on Gen. l. c., that the number really was seventy,—and adds, 'Quod si e contrario nobis id opponitur, quomodo in Actibus Apostolorum in eoncione Stephani dicatur ad populum, septuaginta quinque animas ingressas esse Ægyptum, facilis excusatio est. Non enim debuit sanctus Lucas, qui

ipsius (istius?) historiæ scriptor est, in gentes Actuum Apostolorum volumen emittens, contrarium aliquid scribere adversus eam scripturam, quæ jam fuerat gentibus divulgata.' Philo, de Migr. Abr. § 36, vol. i. pp. 467 f., mentions both numbers (reading 75 in Gen. and 70 in Deut., see above), and gives allegorical reasons for both: and really Dr. Wordsworth's solution, that Stephen includes those born of Jacob's line in Egypt to shew that they "were equally children of the promise with those born in Canaan," is hardly better. When we come to understand μετεκαλέσατο . . . πασαν την συγγένειαν έν ψυχαις έβδομηκονταπέντε, as represented by including, for a purpose, those already in Egypt, it seems to me that a stigma is cast on St. Stephen far more serious than that of mere numeral 16.] μετετέθησαν, viz. inaccuracy. αὐτὸς καὶ οἱ πατέρες ἡμῶν, not the latter only,-as Kuin., Olsh., and Wordsw., to evade part of the difficulty of the verse.

The facts, as related in the O. T., were these: Jacob, dying in Egypt, was (Gen. 1. 13) taken into the land of Canaan, and buried in the cave of Macpelah, before Mamre (on the rest of the verse see below): Joseph, dying also in Egypt, was taken in a coffin (Gen. 1. 26) at the Exodus (Exod. xiii. 19), and finally buried (Josh. xxiv. 32) at Shechem. Of the burial of the other patriarchs the sacred text says nothing, but rather by the specification in Exod. xiii. 19, leaves it to be inferred that they were buried in Egypt. Josephus, Antt. ii. 8. 2, relates that they were taken and buried in Hebron, and adds, B. J. iv. 9. 7, ων και τὰ μνημεία μέχρι τοῦ νῦν ἐν τῆδε τῆ πολίχνη (Hebron) δείκνυται, πάνυ καλῆς μαρμάρου καὶ φιλοτίμως εἰργασμένα:-the Rabbinical traditions mentioned by Wetst. and Lightf. report them to have been buried in Sychem: and Jerome $c = \frac{\text{Rev. iii.18.}}{2 \text{ Kings}}$ παρὰ τῶν νίῶν Ἐμμῶρ τοῦ Συχέμ. 17 d καθὼς δὲ ε και πιο ταρα των υτων Εμμωρ του 2υχεμ. Καυως το χείτε του χείτε του $\frac{2}{2}$ και $\frac{1}{2}$ και $^{28.\ \text{km i}}_{1001.\ \text{km i}}$ έν 1 τος του η όει του 1ωσηφ. $\frac{1}{2}$ του $\frac{1}{2}$ του $\frac{1}{2}$ του $\frac{1}{2}$ του $\frac{1}{2}$ ποιείν $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ Του $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

fin: txt ABCDEN cglm Thl-sif. aft αβρ. ins ο πατηρ ημων E(sic). εμμορ, with E rel Thl-sif: txt ABCDHN a c h copt Chr. for του, εν BCN1 sah arm: του εν ΑΕΝ3 tol syr copt: et Sychem D-lat: txt DH rel vulg æth Chr Thl Œc. (The varr arise from this συχεμ having been mistaken for a place, as above.

17. for καθως, ως Α. rec ωμοσεν, with H p rel: επηγγειλατο DE tol: txt ABC N(ομολογ.), confessus erat vulg. (The varr have arisen from the unusual sense

of whox.)

18. ree αχρις (corrn), with AB²EHN 36 Chr: txt B¹CD Thl-sif. aft ετ ετ αιγυπτον ABCN o 36 Syr syr-marg coptt: om DEH p rel syr Chr Thl Œc. aft evepos ins for ηδει τον, εμνησθη του DE.

19. for outos, kai D-gr: om D-lat.

ree aft πατεραs ins ημων, with ACEH rel

(Ep. 108 [27] ad Eustochium: Epitaph. Paulæ, 13, p. 703) relating the pilgrimages of Paula to the sacred places, says : "transivit Sichem, atque inde divertens vidit duodecim Patriarcharum sepulchra." These traditions probably Stephen followed; and, in haste or inadvertence, classed Jacob with the rest. σατο 'Aβραάμ] The burying-place which Abraham bought was not at Sychem, but (Gen. xxiii. 3-20) at Hebron, and was bought of Ephron the Hittite. It was Jacob who (Gen. xxxiii, 19) bought a field where he had pitched his tent, near Sychem, of the children of Hamor, Shechem's father: and no mention is made of its being for a burying-place. The two incidents are certainly here confused: and no ingenuity of the Commentators has ever devised an escape from the inference. The mention of a few such attempts may suffice .- (1) The omission of 'Aβραάμ (Beza, Valck., Kuin., Schött., al.) against all MS. evidence [not excepting E, the reading of which, variously stated by Meyer and Tischendorf, has been ascertained by inspection],—and against the construction also; for after μετετέθησαν, Ίακώβ could hardly be the subject to ωνήσατο: - (2) rendering, against ull grammar, while omitting 'Αβραάμ, ωνήσατο 'emptum erat' (Kuin.):-(3) construing 'Αβραάμ, Abrahamides, i. e. Jacob (Surenhus. al.): -(4) that of Dr. Wordsworth, made up of omitting Jacob from the grammatical construction (see above); -proving, from Jerome and Bede (without any allusion to the passage of Josephus

above cited!), that the other patriarchs were buried at Shechem :-- a priori reasons why Stephen should have chosen to bring forward Shechem and not Hebron; reasons (see Wordsw.'s note) not very creditable, if they existed: &c. &c. The fact of the mistake occurring where it does, will be far more instructive to the Christian student than the most ingenious solution of the difficulty could be, if it teaches him fearlessly and honestly to recognize the phænomena presented by the text of Scripture, instead of wresting them to suit a preconceived theory. I entirely agree with Dr. Wordsworth, that "there is nothing in these difficulties which invalidates the claims of St. Stephen to Inspiration," any more than those expressions in Scripture "invalidate its inspiration," which imply that the sun revolves round the earth. But as Dr. W. lives in days when men are no longer burnt for asserting that the earth moves, he surely might abstain from railing in such unmeasured terms (see his Acts, p. 35, col. i.) at those who in contending for common fairness and honesty find it necessary to carry somewhat further the same canon of reasonable interpretation. Humble searchers after divine truth will not be terrified by being charged with "assumption and conceit," or being told that their exegesis can produce no result but "degeneracy, degradation, disbelief, and demoralization." But they will deeply feel it to be their duty, to caution the student against all crooked and disingennous ways of handling the word of God. 20 × έν × ω καιρω έγεννήθη Μωυσης και ην γ αστείος 2 Tim. iii, 15. 1 Pet. ii. 2 ² τῷ θεῷ. ος a ἀνετράφη μῆνας τρεῖς έν τῷ οἰκῳ τοῦ πατρός. ^{21 δ} έκτεθέντος δὲ c αὐτοῦ d ἀνείλατο αὐτὸν ἡ θυγά- c μετοικίι. 8 Ezek, xiii. 8 Ezek, xiii. 8 τηρ Φαραώ και α άνεθρέψατο c αυτον εαυτή είς υίον. 22 και ξεπαιδεύθη Μωυσης έν πάση σοφία Αιγυπτίων, ήν δέ ^g δυνατὸς έν λόγοις και έργοις αὐτοῦ. ²³ ώς δὲ ^h έπληρούτο αὐτῷ ἱτεσσερακονταετὴς χρόνος, κανέβη ἐπὶ τὴν καρδίαν αὐτοῦ Επισκέψασθαι τοὺς ἀδελφοὺς αὐτοῦ τοὺς εκθεσις, Wisd. xi.14.)

Alius in Hexap. (ekrittévai (ἐκτιθέναι, ver. 21. Eur. Phœu. 25. Æl. Var. Hist. ii. 7. Philo, Vit. Mays. § 3, vol. ii. p. 83.

v ch. iii. 19. Rom. i. 11, 20 al.

x berc only, 'er aθτ · τ · κ · Luke x iii, 1, 'er è ex e · τ · κ · , Matt. x l. 25 'er τ · φ · ε · τ · φ · κ · 18, 22 al.

y Heb. x i: 30 ally. Exon. ii. 2. z dat, Jonah iii. 3. 2 C v · x · 4. Janes ii. 5. (1 Cor. ix. 2.) Winer, edu. 6, 5 31. 4, see Gen. x. 9. xxiii. 6, xxx. 8 (Heb.). Luke i. 15. 2 Cor. i. 12. a here this, ch. xxii. 3 ouly t. Wisd. x iii. 4 x · P. (not A) only s. ver. ii r e fi. c countr. John viii. 30 x ii. 37. d here only. Exon. ii. 10 see ch. γ. 33 r e fi. e. x · x · 11, 22 · 18 a. X ii. 6. (1 Cor. x i. 32 r e fi.). Prov. x x ii. 17. g ch. x viii. 24 r e ff. h = Luke x x ii. 3 · 2 T im. ii. 24 r e ff. 30. ch. ix. 28 x x ii. 24 · c ff. x · 4 · c ff. (1 Cor. x i. 32 r e ff.). Prov. x x ii. 17. (1 ch. x ii. 18 coiy. k. k. v e f · fi. Cor. ii. 12 · c ff. x · x · 24 · c ff. x · 4 · c ff. x · 6 30. ch. ix. 23. xxiv. 27. Gen. xxv. 24. ic. Isa. Ixv. 16. Jer. iii 16. w. ėv, Luke xxiv. 38 only. James i. 27. Judg. xv. l. 1 - ch. xv. 36. Matt. xxv. 36, 43.

36 am-corr1 vss Chr: om BDN am1 fuld. rec εκθετα bef τα βρεφη, with DEH rel 36 Chr Thl: $\tau \alpha$ βρεφη αυτων εκθετα m p: txt ABCN. aft ζωογον. ins $\tau \alpha$ αρρενα E. 20. rec aft πατροs ins αυτου, with DE g m o 13 Thl: om ABCH rel Chr.— \aleph^1 has

μου, but marked for erasure by the same hand.

21. rec εκτεθεντα δε αυτον, with EH rel: txt ABCDN p 36. ανειλατο, so ABCDEH f¹ p.]—add παρα (εις Ε) τον ποταμον DE syr-w-ast. a c c h k o Chr Thl. om και D¹-gr: ins D² or 4. om 2nd autov om 3rd autov D1(and lat) c.—for εαυτη, αυτη D1 180: εν αυτη 13: txt D3. om eis B.

22. rec om 1st εν, with B(Mai) D-corr H rel 36 vulg Orig-ms, Chr, Thl: ins ACEN

vulg-ins syrr coptt ath Orig₅ Ps-Just Bas Thdrt.— $\pi \alpha \sigma \eta s$ σοφίαs B(Bch): $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu$ σοφίαν D'. for δε, τε D E-gr l vss: txt ABCHN rel 36 E-lat copt Chr₁ Thl. rec ins εν bef εργ., with E-gr g l m 13 vulg syr æth: om A B(sic: see table) CDHN a b f h o p 36 E-lat copt Chr Thl. - εργοις κ. εν λογοις c. - εν λογω κ. εν εργω k.

rec om αυτου (as unnecessary), with H rel syr Ps-Just Chr: ins ABCDEN p 36 vulg Syr coptt.

23. μ' ετης (sic) bef αυτω D. for επι, εις H. ins $\tau o v$ bef $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa$. E 180.

om 2nd Tous B.

"Non tali auxilio, nec defensoribus istis." 17.] καθώς, not 'when' (as E. V., Beza, Kuin.), but as, 'in proportion as.' See ref. 19. τοῦ ποιεῖν] so that they exposed, see ref. Meyer maintains that the inf. of the purpose is not to be departed from, - 'in order that they might expose:' but I do not see that this meaning would express the fact. The purpose

is afterwards expressed, εἰς τὸ κ.τ.λ. 20. ἀστ. τῷ θεῷ] add to reff. (Meyer), Hesiod, Op. 825, ἀναίτιος ἀθανάτοισν,— and Æsch. Agam. 352, θεοῖς ἀναμπλάκητος. The expression here seems borrowed from tradition: Josephus calls the infant Moses παίδα μορφή θείον. Phil. de vit. Mos. § 3, vol. ii. p. 83, says, γεννηθείς οὖν ὁ παῖς εὐθὺς ὄψιν ἐνέφηνεν ἀστειοτέραν ἡ κατ' ἰδιώτην. 22.] That Moses was instructed in the wisdom of the Egyptians, is not found in the O. T., but derived from tradition, and following as a matter of course from his adopted station as the son of Pharaoh's daughter. This wisdom of the

Egyptians, celebrated by so many ancient writers (see Wetst. ad loc.), consisted mainly in natural philosophy, medicine, and mathematics, and its teachers were the priests. Phil. vit. Mos. § 5, p. 84, enters into minute detail: ἀριθμούς μέν οὖν κ. γεωμετρίαν, κ. τήν τε δυθμικήν κ. άρμονιγεωμετριαν, κ. την τε ρυθμικήν κ. άρμονικήν κ. με τρικήν θεωή μεν, κ. μου τικήν τήν σύμπασαν, διά τε χρήσεως δργάνων, κ. διεξόδοις τοπικατέραις. Αἰγυπτίων οἱ λόγιοι παρέδοσαν, κ. προςέτι τῶν διά συμβόλων φιλοσοφίαν, ήν ἐν τοῖς λεγομένους ἱεροῖς γράμμασιν ἐπιδείκνυται, κ. διὰ τῆς τῶν ζόων ἀπιδολογῖς κ. γρά θεων τμισίς χρούς. ζώων ἀποδοχής, ὰ καὶ θεῶν τιμαῖς γεραί-ρουσι. τὴν δὲ ἄλλην ἐγκύκλιον παιδείαν Ἑλληνες ἐδίδασκον· οἱ δ' ἐκ τῶν πλησιοχώρων, τά τ' 'Ασσυρίων γράμματα, κ. τὴν των οὐρανίων Χαλδαϊκὴν ἐπιστήμην.

δυνατός έν λόγοις] So Josephus calls Moses πλήθεσιν δμιλείν πιθανώτατος, but late in his course, during the journey through the wilderness; -when the divine Spirit, as the book of Deuteronomy

24. aft αδικ. ins εκ του γενους αυτου DE Syr syr-w-ast æth.—om αυτ. D-gr.

aft ary, add (from Exod ii. 12, Lxx) και εκρύψεν αυτον εν τη αμμω D æth.

25. ενομίον D-gr 13. om 1st αυτου BCN vulg(am demid, not tol): ins ADEH
rel. rec aur. bef σωτ., with EH rel syr sah Chr: txt ABCDN m p vulg copt Fulg.

for oi, ov N'(but corrd). om ov D'-gr: ins D-corr'.

27. ειπας D. for και, η E vss. ημων (from Lxx, Exod ii. 14) ABCHX m²

p 13 Thl-sif: txt DE rel Chr.

28. [εχθες, so B'CDN.]

29. ουτως και εφυγαδευσεν Μωυσης D^1 : εφυγαδευσεν δε Μωυσην E: $txt D^s$.

abundantly testifies, had turned his 'slowness of speech' into the most fervid eloquence. That he was so thus early, during his Egyptian course, was probably reported by tradition, but hardly seems to agree with Exod. iv. 10-16. 23. τεσσερακονταετής χρ.] μέγας γενόμενος Μ., Exod. ii. 11, LXX. The exact age was traditional, see Lightf. ἀνέβη Νο nominative (as διαλογισμός, Kuin.) must be supplied: it is impersonal; see reff. 24. 70v Αἰγύπτιον, from the history being so universally known, that the agent in the abirla would be readily supplied: see Winer, edn. 25.] The present, δίδω-6, § 67. 1, d. σιν, sets forth the work of liberation as already begun by the act just related, Here we have again the resistance to the Holy Spirit hinted: see ver. 51, and note on ver. 2. autois, to them, two of them, taken as representing his brethren the children of Israel. συνήλασεν, not imperf., 'he endearoured to unite;' the aorist will not bear this sense: nor is it needed:—the act, on Moses' part, was complete;—not 'he would hare set them at one' (E. V.), but, he set them at one. If the explanatory reading συνήλλασσεν be taken, we then have the imperfect force—"he was reconciling," or "attempted to reconcile," them.

ανδρες ἀδελφοί should be taken together, as in Gen. xiii. 8, ἄνθρωποι ἀδελφοί ἐσμεν ἡμεῖs. See also ch. ii. 14 (De W.). 27.] The further progress of resistance to the Spirit on the part of Israel. 29. Μαδιάμ] So LXX, Exod. ii. 15, for μτω. Winer (RWB. 'Midian') supposes this Midian to have been a nomad detachment of the more settled Midianites,—which at that time was encamped in the neighbourhood of Sinai and Horeb. For

έγεννησεν υίους δύο. 30 και η πληρωθέντων έτων τεσσερά- n = ver. 23. κοντα ° ἄφθη αὐτῷ ἐν τῆ ἐρήμῳ τοῦ ὄρους Σινᾶ ἄγγελος - ker li 12. έν ^{μη} φλογι ^μ πυρός ΄ βάτου. ^Δ ο δε Μωυσης ισων εσαυ- π.φ., μαζεν το ^τοραμα ποοςερχομένου δε αυτού ^μ κατανοήσαι ^{π.φ.} Heb. 1.7, sir. ^τικ. μαζεν το οραμα προςερχυμενου σε αυτου $^{\circ}$ τών πατέρων $^{\circ}$ α ανόνε (ρ). $^{\circ}$ έγένετο φωνὴ κυρίου $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ Έγω ο θεὸς τῶν πατέρων $^{\circ}$ α ανόνε (ρ). $^{\circ}$ σου, ο θεὸς ᾿Αβραὰμ καὶ Ἰσαὰκ καὶ Ἰακώβ. $^{\circ}$ ἔντρομος $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ δὲ γενόμενος Μωυσῆς οὐκ ἐτόλμα $^{\circ}$ κατανοῆσαι. $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ ἔιτεν δὲ αὐτῷ ὁ κύριος $^{\circ}$ Λύσον τὸ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ ὑπόδημα τῶν ποδῶν σοῦ $^{\circ}$ δὲ αὐτῷ ὁ κύριος $^{\circ}$ Λύσον τὸ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ ὑπόδημα τῶν ποδῶν σοῦ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ δὸ κχλ. 40 ολο κ ο γαρ τόπος εφ' ῷ εστηκας γη αγία εστίν. 34 z ίδων

sconstr., Luke vii, 9. Jude 16. Job xxxii, 22. Xen. Cyr. iii. 1, 28. tch. ix, 10, 18. Acts only, exc. Matt. xvii, 9. Gen. xivl. 2. Luke xii, 9. Qen. xivl. 2. Luke xii, 9. Qen. xii, 19. Luke xii, 21, 27. ch. xi, 6. Heb. iii, 1. Gen. xiii, 19. Luke xii, 21, 21. ch. xii, 28. Heb. xii, 21 only. Ps. xvii, 7. Dan. x. 11 Theod. x = Luke iii, 16 Mk. J. ch. xiii, 25. Exod. iii, 5. xii, 29 as above (x). ghere only. Exod. iii, 5. l. xii, 29. Exod. iii, 5. xii, 29. xii, 20. xii, 20

δυω D1: txt D8.

πλησθεντων αυτω ετη D^1 : txt D^2 (and lat). 30. aft και ins μετα ταυτα D. rec aft aγγελοs ins κυριου (natural addn, and here occasioned by Exod iii. 2, πυρι φλογος ACE 36 vulg Syr: LXX), with DEH rel Syr: om ABCN p vulg coptt. txt BDHN p rel syr coptt Chr Thl.

31. rec εθαυμασε (corrn to historical tense), with ABC rel vulg Chr Thl-fin: txt om το οραμα Α. και προς ερ. αυτ. [κ]αι DEHN b f g l m p 36 Thl-sif Aug. ο κυριος ειπεν αυτω λεγων D Syr æth. for κυρ., εκ του ουρανου λεγουσα rec aft κυρ. ins προς αυτον, with CEH rel vulg-mss sah Chr: om ABN p am E. demid syr copt arm.

32. om o bef 1st θεος CH1: εγω ειμι θ. E vulg(not am fuld) D-lat. rec ins o θεος bef ισ. and ιακ., with (D)EH rel æth Chr Thl: (om o, twice, D:) om ABCN p syrr coptt arm. (The insertion has prob been to suit Lxx, which D does still more closely by omg the artt.)
μωυσης bef γενομενος Ν.

ετολμησεν Χ.

και εγενετο φωνη προς αυτον D. o θεos E. 33. om o bef κυρ. A. aft υποδ. ins σου εκ C1: εκ C2E k syrr æth .- σου bef τ. π. B. λυσαι D⁴(?) 142. rec for εφ, εν (corrn to suit Lxx), with EH rel 36 Chr: txt ABCD2 p: add συ C (συν C1) lect-13 sah arm.—for εφ ω, ου D1.

Jethro, Moses' father-in-law, is not found there, in Exod. xviii. 1 ff., but comes to visit Moses from a distance. See also υίοὺς δύο] Exod. ii. Numb, x. 29 ff. 30. ἐτ. τεσσ. 22; iv. 20; xviii. 3. This follows from the tradition of ver. 23, combined with Exod. vii. 7, 'Moses in palatio Pharaonis degit XL annos, in Midiane XL annos, et ministravit Israel XL annos.' Bereshith Rabba, f. 115.3. (Mey.)

Σινα Horeb, Exod. iii. 1. But both were points of the same mountain range, and the names were convertibly used. In Exod., Levit., and Numb., the law is said to have been given from Sinai; in Deut. from Horeb. 'The desert of Mount Sina' is the desert in which Mt. S. is situated. So 'the Peak of Derbyshire,' originally no doubt some single hill, has come to mean the whole district in which that hill is situated. ἄγγελος Here, as continually in the O. T., the angel bears the authority and presence of God Himself: which angel, since God giveth not His glory to another, must have been the great Angel of the covenant, the מַלָּאַךְ פַנֵיו of Isa. lxiii. 9, 'the Angel of His Presence,'-the SON OF GOD. See below on els διαταγάς άγγέλων, ver. 53. Stier remarks, that this second appearance of God, to Moses (see ver. 2), introduces the legal dispensation, as the first, to Abraham, the patriarchal. The readings of the LXX, as well as of our text, vary between πυρί φλογός (B) and φλογί πυρός (A). The Heb. is τητημή. The construction is, in the fiery flame (or, the flaming fire) of a bush. 32.] The order of Exod. iii. 6, is here somewhat varied. The command to put off the shoe was given on the approach of Moses, and before these words were spoken. έτόλμ. καταν. = εὐλαβεῖτο κατεμβλέψαι, LXX. 33.] See Josh. v. 15. Putting off the sandals was a mark of reverence. The priests performed all their ministrations barefooted. The Arabs to this day continue the practice: they always enter their mosques barefooted. Among the Pythagoreans it was a maxim, ἀνυπόδητος

θῦε κ. προςκύνει, Iamblich. vit. Pythag.

² είδον τὴν α κάκωσιν τοῦ λαοῦ μου τοῦ ἐν Αἰγύπτῳ, καὶ ABCD ΕΗΝα b Exod, I. c. Threyd, vil. 82 ioit. b Rom, viil. 26 τοῦ b στεναγμοῦ αὐτῶν ήκουσα, καὶ c κατέβην d έξελέσθαι cfgh αυτούς και νῦν δεῦρο ἀποστείλω σε είς Αίγυπτον. c = Gen, xl. 5. Exod. iii. 8. d = ver. 10 reff. e = Rev. xvii. 1. xxi. 9. 35 τούτον του Μωυσήν ον Γηονήσαντο ειπόντες Τίς σε ε κατέστησεν ἄρχοντα καὶ h δικαστήν; τοῦτον ὁ θεὸς καὶ $\frac{G_{\rm ce, XXII}}{44}$ ἄρχοντα καὶ ἱλυτρωτὴν ἀπέσταλκεν k σὺν χειρὶ ἀγγέλου ch. iii.i3, i4 τοῦ ἱ ὀφθέντος αὐτῷ ἐν τῷ m βάτῳ. 36 οὖτος n ἔξήγαγεν al. ‡ g ver. 10 teff. h ver 27 αὐτοὺς ° ποιήσας ^P τέρατα καὶ σημεία έν γῆ Λιγύπτω καὶ έν θέρυθρα θαλάσση καὶ έν τη έρημω έτη τεσσεράκοντα. 37 ουτός έστιν ο Μωνσης ο είπας τοις νίοις Ισραήλ conty, κτου, 1 ο ο φήτην ύμιν ' ἀναστήσει ὁ θεὸς ἐκ τῶν ἀδελφῶν ὑμῶν xx 1.0. (h. ii. 3 reff. m ver. 30 reff. n absol., Mark xx 20. ch. v. 19. ο - ch. ii. 22. John 1ch. ii. 3 ref. m. ver. 30 refi. n. absol., Mark xr. 20, ch. v. 19. o. – ch. ii. 22. John yr. 37 vil. pin N. T. alw. w. σημ., ch. ii. 19, 22, 42 al 5, Matt. xxir, 24 f. Mk. John iv. 19. 2 Cor. xii. 12, 2 Theos, ii. 9, 11ch. ii. 1, 1, 1, 10 vil. Exob. xi. 10, q. Heb. xi. 29 only, Exod. x. 19. r. Matt. xxir, 24 ch. iii. 22, from DEUT. xviii. 15, 18.

34. και ιδων γαρ D1. om µov D1: ins D5. for αυτων, αυτου BD. νυνι C2. rec αποστελω, with H rel Syr Thl (here, though акткоа D 9. αποστειλω is accorde to LXX, the corrn to -ελω was so very obvious, that I have retained the more unusual form, esp as the authorities in its favour are so strong):

αποστελλώ a: txt ABCDEN e p Chr.
35. aft δικαστ. ins εφ ημων CDN p 36; εφ ημας E k o; so, tol Syr syr-w-ast coptt act arm Chr Thl-fin (corra to suit t.xx and ver 27): om ABH rel vulg Thl-sif. rec om 2nd κa , with ACH rel vulg Chr: ins BDE p syr: it is supplied by \aleph^1 or \aleph αρχηγον A a h Chr₂. for λυτρωτην, δικαστην 👌: λυτρωτην δικαστην rec απεστειλεν, with CH rel Chr: txt ABDEN c p. rec (for συν) εν, with HN rel 36 D-lat Chr, Thl: per manum vss: txt ABCDE c p 13 vulg syr sah Chr₂ (εν has appy arisen from a confusion with the last syll of απεσταλκεν. I cannot see the force of Meyer's reasoning, that our is a corrn setting forth more strikingly the superhuman powers of Moses).

for γη, τη BC m D-lat sah : om b1 : txt ADEHX 36. ins o bef moingas D1. Chr (Ee Thl-sif. rec αιγυπτου, with D rel Thl-fin: txt ABCEHN h k l m o p 36

sah Chr Œe Thl-sif.

37. om 1st o DH a b2 c e f h o. ree ειπων (corrn to more usual form), with EH rel 36 Chr: txt ABCDN p. ree ins κυριος bef θεος, with CEH rel: aft, Syr: om ABDN p vulg sah æth. rec aft θεοs ins υμων, with b m 13: ημων EH rel Thl: om ABDN p vulg syrr coptt æth. εμε ins αυτου ακουσεσθε, with CDE rel 36 vnlg syrr copt: (ακουεσθε D¹[?], quem audistis B-lat¹:) on ABHN a f α b l m m sab Cho. The date

105 (Mey.). So Juvenal, Sat. vi. 158, 'Observant ubi festa mero pede sabbata reges.' On the sanctity of the place, Chrys. remarks, -οὐδαμοῦ ναός, κ. ὁ τόπος άγιος τη ἐπιφανεία κ. ἐνεργεία τοῦ χριστοῦ.

34.] ibav elbov, LXX. Emphatic, to express the ראה ראיהי of the Heb., as often elsewhere. The instances commonly cited from the classics, of the phrase φεύγων ἐκφεύγειν, Herod. v. 95; Aristoph. Acharn. 177; Nub. 168; Eur. Phæniss. 1231, &c., do not apply: for, as Porson observes, 'in his locis simplici verbo conatus, composito effectus indicatur.' ἀποστείλω] aorist subjunctive, as LXX. See Winer, edn. 6, § 41. 4. 35.] The second τοῦτον is repeated emphatically.

So οὖτος again, vv. 36, 37, 38. ἡρνήσαντο, ver. 27. The rejecter of Moses

there is regarded as the representative of the nation: see note on αὐτοῖς, ver. 26. In this express mention of the rejection of Moses by the Jews and his election and mission by God, the parallel of Jesus Christ is no doubt in Stephen's mind, and the inference intended to be drawn, that it does not follow that GOD REJECTS those whom THEY REJECTED. difficulty of aπέσταλκεν has caused it to be altered into the historic tense, $d\pi$ έστειλεν. But the perf. sets forth not only the fact of God's sending Moses then, but the endurance of his mission till nowhim hath God sent: with a still closer reference than before, to Him whom God had now exalted as the true ἄρχοντα κ. λυτρωτήν. See ch. v. 31. ch. iii. 22, notes. Our text has probably * ὡς ἐμέ. 38 οὔτός ἐστιν ὁ ' γενόμενος ἐν τῷ ' ἐκκλησία * αὐτιὰς ἐν τῷ ἐρήμῳ ' μετὰ τοῦ ἀγγέλου τοῦ λαλοῦντος αὐτῷ ἐν τῷ ἔρήμῳ ' μετὰ τοῦ ἀγγέλου τοῦ λαλοῦντος αὐτῷ ἐν τῷ ἔρκιὰς Καὶ Καὶ τῶν ' πατέρων ' ἡμῶν, ὃς '' ἑδέξατο ' λόο '' κὰ τὰ ἔναν ' και ἀνὶν, ³θ ῷ οὐκ ἡθέλησαν '' ὑπήκου γενέσθαι οἱ ' πατέρες ' ἡμῶν, ἀλλὰ ' ἀπώσαντο καὶ '' ἐστράν '' ἐστράν '' ἐκτράν '' ἐντιὰς καρδίαις αὐτῶν εἰς Λίγυπτον, 40 εἰπόντες τῷ '' Λαρὼν ' Ποίησον ἡμῖν θεοὺς οἱ ' προπορεύσονται ἡμῶν ' ο γὰρ '' Μωυσῆς οὖτος, ὃς '' ἐξήγαγεν ἡμᾶς ἐκ γῆς Λίγυπτον, του, οὐκ οἴδαμεν τί '' ἐγένετο ' αὐτῷ. 41 καὶ '' ἐμοσχοποίτος τὰς '' ἐντιὰς κείναις καὶ '' ἀνήγαγον '' θυσίαν τῷ '' ἐντιὰς ἐκείναις καὶ '' ἀνήγαγον '' θυσίαν τῷ '' ἐντιὰς ἡμέραις ἐκείναις καὶ '' ἀνήγαγον '' Λειρῶν '' καὶ '' ἐντιὰς ἡμέραις ἐκείναις καὶ '' ἀνήγαγον '' Λειρῶν '' καὶ '' ἐντιὰς ἡμέραις ἐκείναις καὶ '' ἀνήγαγον '' Λειρῶν '' καὶ '' ἐντιὰς ἡμέραις ἐκείναις καὶ '' ἀνήγαγον '' Λειρῶν '' ἐντιὰς ἐντιὰς ἡμέραις ἐκείναις καὶ '' ἐντιὰς ἡμεραις ἐκείναις ἐκείναις καὶ '' ἐντιὰς ἡμεραις ἐκείναις ἐκείναις ἐκραις ἐκραι

a 2 Cor. ii. 9. Phil. ii. 8 only. Prov. iv. 8. bere. 27. Jer. ii. 37. dadd pass. 1 Kungs x. 6. Lam. i. 20. see ver. 42. d Exop. xxxii. 1. s. e. Lake i. 76 only. Exod. xiv. B. Josha x. 13. feonstr., Rev. ii. 26. ii. 12. s. ii. 12. s. ii. 28. c. Lake i. 76 only. Exod. xxxii. 18. lake. iv. 27. lake i. 76 only. Exod. xxxii. 18. lake. xii. 18. da. 6. a. kiv. 1. lake. vii. 18. lake. xxxii. 18. lake. xxxii. 18. lake. xxxii. 19. lake. xxxxii. 19. lake.

38. om 2nd τ ou D^1 : ins D^q . $v_\mu \omega_\nu \aleph$: om e. for $\epsilon \delta \epsilon \xi$., $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha \tau \sigma$ B. $v_\mu \iota_\nu \aleph$.

39. for ω , $\sigma \tau_i$ D-gr. [alla, so A(not B: see table) CDEHN k o.] a $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau_\rho$. D m. aft $\epsilon \sigma \tau_\rho$ ins $\kappa a \approx N'$ (but corrd). rec on $\epsilon \nu$, with DEH rel vulg Chr₂ CE ThI Iren-int: ins ABCN 36. 40 Did-c. $\tau \eta \kappa \alpha \rho \delta i a$ H rel syr copt with pl Chr₂ CE ThI Iren-int: txt ABCDE p 36. 40 vulg Syr with-rom, on warw D.

CE Thi Iren-int: txt ABCDE p 36. 40 vulg Syr ath-rom. on αυτων D.

40. ειπαντες D. aft ουτος ins ο ανθρωπος Ν. ο εξαγαγων Ε. rec
γεγονεν (corrn to Lxx, Exod xxxii. 1), with DEH p rel Chr Œc Thi: txt ABCN
36

41. for anhyanon, aphyonto D^1 , anhyonto $D\text{-corr}^1$: txt D-corr.

been altered to agree verbally with the former citation. 38.] γίνομαι μετά is not a Hebraism, as Kuin.: see reff. That Moses conversed with both the Angel of the covenant and our fathers, implies that he was the mediator between them, as indeed δs έδέξατ. λόγ. ζ. more plainly declares. ἐκκλησία probably, the assembly held (Exod. xix.) for the promulgation of the law at Mt. Sinai, not 'the church 'generally : but the article does not determine this: it would be expressed, whichever meaning we take. Wordsw. observes on the meaning which the words ή ἐκκλησία ἐν τῆ ἐρήμφ carry for the student of Christian prophecy, Rev. xii. λόγια ζῶντα] living, see reff., not = ζωοποιοῦντα (Grot., Kuin.), 'life-giving:' still less to be understood 'given vivá voce' (Pisc. Alberti). So Soph. Œd. Τγτ. 482, τὰ μεσόμφαλα γᾶς ἀπονοσφίζων |

1yr. 482, τα μεσομφαλα γας απονοσφικα.
39.] Another instance, brought home again by the words of πατέρες ήμων, of rejection of God's appointed messenger and servant. ἐστράφησαν] they turned back in their heart to Egypt, not, they wished to return to Egypt, of which in Exod. xxxii. there is no trace (but later, in Num. xiv. 4), and which would

hardly suit προπορεύσονται; but 'they apostatized in heart to the Egyptian idolarities.' The very title by which Aaron proclaims his idol, is, 'These be thy gods, O Israel, which brought thee up out of the land of Egypt,' Exod. xxxii. 4. See also Neh. ix. 18. 40. προπορ.] As God had done in the pillar of the cloud and fire. The plural is not (as Kuin.) put for θεω, but is used categorically: not perhaps without implying also, that the only two religions were, the worship of Jehovah, and that of idols, a multitude. The plural is used by Aaron, see above.

In the οδτος may be implied, as Meyer suggests, 'who was the strong opponent of idolatry.' 41. ἐμοσχοποίησω? apparently in imitation of Apis, a bull worshipped at Memphis as the living symbol of Osiris. Herod. iii. 28. Diod. Sic. i. 21. Strabo, xvii. 805 (Winer, RWB. 'Kalb'). The οx was a common symbolic form of idols in the East; it was one of the cherubic forms, Ezek. i. 10; and the most recent discoveries at Ninevel have brought to light colossal bulls. Sir Gardiner Wilkinson (second series, ii. 97, Winer) thinks the golden calves of Israel to have been imitations of Mnevis, a bull kept at Heliopolis (Diod. Sic. i. 21. Strabo, xvii. 803)

α intran. (αρρχ), here αὐτῶν. 42 ° ἔστρεψεν δὲ ὁ θεὸς καὶ p παρέδωκεν αὐτοὺς $^{ABCD}_{EliNab}$ Μετι, τηπι, q λατρεύειν τῆ ts στρατι a τοῦ t οὐρανοῦ, καθως γέγραπται c εξη th Μετι, είσι th th

αποτική του Μολόχ, καὶ τὸ ταστρου Μολόχ, καὶ τὸ ταστρου κατική του Μολόχ, καὶ τὸ ταστρου κατική του θεοῦ 'Ρεφάν, τοὺς 'στύπους οὺς εποιήσατε ποοςκυνείν σοιν, αποτική αὐτοῖς; καὶ μετοικίω ὑμας αεπέκεινα Βαβυλώνος.

18. Δειτική του τους Αμος ν. 25. υ - ch. xxi. 20. Ηθ. xi. 4. John xxi. 2. Νυσ. xxi. 50.

there only. Amos v. 25.

u = ch. xxi. 26. Heb. xi. 4. John xxi. 2. Num. xxxi. 50.

v ch. ii. 30 reff.

w = here only. (cb. xx. 13, 14. xxiii. 31. Eph. vi. 13, 16. 2 Tim. iv. 11.)

x Linke xxi. 25. ch. xxvii. 20. Luke only. exc. Heb. xi. 12 (from Exod. xxxii. 33.

y = here only (ref. 4.4.)

1. c. only. Jos. Auft. 1. 19. 8, 10.

x ver. 4 ref.

a here ouly. = 1-sa, xviii. 1. of time, Lev. xxii.

27. Hag. ii. 19.

42. aft $\epsilon\sigma\tau\rho$, $\delta\epsilon$ ins autous C sah. om $\tau\omega\nu$ D. $\epsilon\nu$ $\tau\eta$ $\epsilon\rho$, $oi\kappa$, $i\sigma$, bef $\epsilon\tau\eta$ $\tau\epsilon\sigma$, A: $\epsilon\nu$ $\tau\eta$ $\epsilon\rho\eta\kappa\omega$ is in the margin of B(Rl Mai: here, not in ver 30 as Tischdf): $\epsilon\nu$ $\epsilon\rho$, bef $\epsilon\tau\eta$ $\tau\epsilon\sigma$, ah. at end ins $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon$ $\kappa\nu\rho\omega$ CC.

43. rec aft θεον ins νμων (corrn to snit lxx), with ACEN rel vulg syr copt; ημων a¹
1: om BE Syr sah arm Orig Iren-int Philas.

rec ρεμφαν, with rel Chr Thl-fin:

ρεμφαν D: ρομφα Β: ρομφαν Ν³; ρεμφα p vulg-mss(Lachm); ρεφα Π: ρεφφα ο:

ρεφφαν lk l Œe: ραφαν 180 Just: txt (A)CE(N³) g 13. 36 syrr coptt Orig-ms Cyr Thdrt

Thl-sif Jer, -ροιφαν ΑΝ³.

for επεκ., επι [τα με]ρη D¹; in illas partes D-lat, in

partem E-lat: txt D¹.

as a living symbol of the sun. Jeroboam afterwards set up golden calves at Bethel and Dan, and with the same proclamation : see 1 Kings xii. 28. 42. έστρεψεν neuter, changed,-turned, as αναστρέψω, ch. xv. 16. No word, as ξαυτόν, or την γνώμην, or το πρόςωπον αὐτοῦ, need be supplied: nor must $\xi \sigma \tau \rho$. κ . $\pi \alpha \rho$. be rendered 'again delivered them' (Vitring., De Dieu, al.), a Hebraism which has no place in the N. T. (Mey.): nor must we underderstand abrovs (as C in var. readd.),-God turned them; for, though philologically there is no objection to this, the sense requires that ἔστρεψεν should form an introduction to παρέδωκεν-God, who had hitherto watched over them for good, now provoked by their rebellion, turned, and delivered them up to their own ways.

παρέδωκεν—not suffered them to fall into: all these explainings away of the strong expressions of Scripture belong to the rationalistic school of interpreters (which is not modern merely: even Chrysoston has here είασε): it was a judicial delivering up, not a mere letting alone, see ref.

ing up, not a incre letting alone, see reff.
τῆ στρ. τ. οὐρ.] This fact is not
mentioned in the Pentatench, but may
refer to the worship of Baal. In aftertimes we have frequent traces of star-worship: see 2 Kings xvii. 16; xxi. 3, 5; xxiii.
4, 5; Jer. xix. 13; Zeph. i. 5. See also
Deut. iv. 19; xvii. 3; Job xxxi. 26

βίβλ. τ. προφ.] The book of the prophets, regarded as a whole. The citation (ref.) is from the LXX. μη σφάγ. κ. θ.] A question usually preceding a negative answer, see Matt. vii. 9; Rom. xi. 1; 1 Cor.

ix. 8 al.: but not always: see Matt. xii. 23 (xxii. 22). John iv. 29; viii. 22. Winer, edu. 6, § 57. 3, b. There is no stress on µof ('1s it to Me that ye offered, &c. [i. e. to me only ?'] as Rosenm, Heinr, Olsh, Kuin., Stier: the position of µof in the sentence will not allow of this). I should take the question here according to the usual construction, and understand it as a reproach, implying that God does not receive as offered to Him, sacrifices in which He has been made to share with idols:—it is not true that ye offered to Me (but no stress on Me) sacrifices, &c.:

1 regard it as never having happened.

43. The answer, by God Himself: Yea, ἀνελάβετε, ye carried about with you, (not My tabernacle as your sole or chief holy place, but) the tabernacle (חבבה, the portable tent for the image : Diod. Sic. xx. 65, mentions the leρà σκηνή in the Carthaginian camp) of M., &c. Stephen was not the sole dishonourer, if a dishonourer, of the holy place-their fathers had done it before. Molóx] So the LXX: the Heb. has critic, 'of your king;' -the LXX probably followed another reading (מלכם is actually found in 577 Kennicot and 4401 De Rossi), or perhaps explained the expression by the cognate name of this Moloch (Winer, RWB.) was the Phonician Saturn: his image was of brass with the head of an ox, and outstretched arms of a man, hollow; and human sacrifices (of children) were offered, by laying them in these arms and heating the image by a fire kindled within. The rigid prohibitions of the worship of Moloch (Lev. xviii.

 44 ή b σκηνή τοῦ b μαρτυρίου ην τοῖς c πατράσιν c ήμῶν ἐν στη ἐρήμω, καθῶς d διετάξατο ὁ λαλῶν τῷ Μωυσῆ ποιῆσαι τὰ τὸν ετύπον ὃν ἑωράκει, 45 ην καὶ f εἰςἡγασ τὰ τὸν ετύπον ὃν ἑωράκει, 45 ην καὶ f εἰςἡγασ τὰ τὸν ετύπον ον ἑωράκει, 45 ην καὶ f εἰςἡγασ τὰ τὸν ετίπος. Τὰ τὸν κατασχέσει τῶν ἑθνῶν i ὧν k ἐξῶσεν ὁ θεὸς ἀπὸ i προς το τη κατασχέσει τῶν ἑθνῶν i ὧν k ἐξῶσεν ὁ θεὸς ἀπὸ i προς το της κατασχέσει τῶν ἑθνῶν i ὧν k ἐξῶσεν ὁ θεὸς ἀπὸ i προς το της κατασχέσει τῶν ἐθνῶν i ὧν k ἐξῶσεν ὁ θεὸς ἀπὸ i προς το το ποτρίτου κατασχέσει τῶν ἐθνῶν i ὧν k ἐξῶσεν ὁ θεὸς ἀπὸ i προς το κατασχέσει τῶν ἐθνῶν i ὧν k ἐξῶσεν ὁ θεὸς ἀπὸ i προς το κατασχέσει τῶν ἐθνῶν i ὧν κατασχέσει τῶν ἐθνῶν i ὧν k ἐξῶσεν ὁ θεὸς ἀπὸ i προς το κατασχέσει τῶν ἐν εναν τὸν κατασχέσει τῶν κατασχέσει τῶν ἐν εναν τὸν κατασχέσει τῶν ἐν εναν τὸν κατασχέσει τῶν κατασχέσει τῶν ἐν εναν τὸν κατασχέσει τῶν ἐν εναν τὸν κατασχέσει τῶν ἐν εναν τὸν κατασχέσει τῶν κατασχέσει τῶν ἐν εναν τὸν κατασχέσει το κατασχέσει τῶν κατασχέσει τῶν κατασχέσει τῶν εναν τὸν κατασχέσει τῶν κατασχέσει τῶν κατασχέσει τῶν κατασχέσει τὸν κατασχέσει τῶν κατασχέσει τὰν κατασχέσει τῶν ἐν εναν τὰν κατασχέσει τὸν κατασχέσει το κατασχέσει το κατασχέσει τῶν κατασχέσει το κατασχέσει τὸν κατασχέσει το κατασχέσει τὸν κατασχέσει το κατασ

Phil. iii. 17 al. f = here only. Xen. Rep. Ath. ii. 3. g here only. 2 Chron. xxxi. 12. h ver. 5 only. Num. xxxii. 5. i attr., ch. i. 1 reft. k = here (ch. xxvii. 39, only. Jer. xxiv. 9. l ch. v. 41. Rev. xx. 11. Num. xx. 6. Deut. xi. 25.

45. μ. ιησουν D1, cum jesum D-lat.

εξεωσεν Ε X(but corrd) 5. 13. 180.

21; xx. 2-5) were openly transgressed by Ahaz, 2 Kings xvi. 3; by Manasseh, ib. xxi. 6; see also xxiii. 10; Jer. vii. 31; xxxii. 35. In the kingdom of Israel this abomination had been long practised, see 2 Kings xvii. 17; Ezek. xxiii. 37. We find traces of it at Carthage (Diod. Sic. xx. 14), among the Phœnieians (Q. Curt. iv. 3. 23. Euseb. laud. Const. xiii. 4. Porphyr. de Abstin. ii. 56),-among the Cretans and Rhodians (Porphyr. ibid.), and the Assyrian colonists at Sepharvaim, 2 Kings xvii. 31. τὸ ἄστρον τοῦ θ. Ἡεφάν] Heb. כיין, Chiún ; but what the meaning of either this or 'Paιφάν (LXX) is, we have nothing but conjecture to inform us. The principal opinions have been (1) that of Kircher, who maintains 'Pεφάν ('Pηφάν) to be a Coptic word, signifying the planet Saturn, and answering to the Arabic 'Kewan:' (2) that of Hengstenberg, Authentie des Pentat. 110 ff., who entirely repudiates Kircher's interpretation, and supposes 'Pηφάν to have arisen from a misreading of כיון for כיון. But Winer (RWB.) prefers the former opinion, and supports it - by the authority of eminent modern Coptic and Arabic scholars. De Wette and Hengstenberg believe נִיון to be an appellative noun, and would render it Geffell, the carriage or frame, on which the star or image was earried: 'imaginem idolorum vestrorum,' Vulg. Amos. l. c. Dr. Wordsw. after Cyr. alex. in Catena, supposes δεφάν to signify σκότισμα, or blindness, and suggests that the name may have been one given by the Jews in contempt, like Beelzebub, to the god of the Ekronites. Βαβυλῶνος] Δαμασκοῦ, LXX and Heb. The fulfilment of the prophecy would make it very natural to substitute that name which had become inseparably associated with the eaptivity.

44. $\hat{\eta}$ $\sigma \kappa$. τ . $\mu \alpha \rho \tau$.] In opposition to the $\sigma \kappa$. just mentioned: but also in pursuance of one of the great aims of the speech, to shew that holiness is not considerable.

fined to locality or building. This part of his subject Stephen now enters on more particularly. The words $\dot{\eta}$ $\sigma \kappa$. τ . $\mu \alpha \rho \tau$. are the LXX rendering of אהל כוער (Num. xvi. 18, 19 al.) 'the tabernacle of the assembly' (or 'eongregation,' E. V.). They apparently derived the latter word from זרר, 'testatus est,' instead of ייַר, 'eonstituit.' τύπον] (ref.): another contrast, cf. τύπους ους ἐποιήσατε, ver. 43. 45. εἰςήγ.] absolute: introduced, viz. εls την γην:-not connected with έν $\tau \hat{\eta} \quad \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \chi$,—see below. $\delta \iota \alpha \delta \epsilon \xi$. Having inherited it, i. e. succeeded to its custody and privileges. The sense of 'successores,' 'qui majores exceperunt,' is un-grammatical; as also is that of 'postea,' grammatical; as also is that of poscea, 'deinceps.' e τ τ κατασχέσει] at (or 'in') their taking possession. The Vulg. rendering, 'in possessionem gentium,' is philologically inadmissible; 'in terram a gentibus occupatam ' (Calvin, De Dieu, Grot., Kuin.) is still worse. The passage of the LXX, Num. xxxii. 5, δοθήτω ή γη αύτη τοις οικέταις σου έν κατασχέσει, brought forward to justify these renderings, is directly against them. The word is one of those examples of verbal nouns in -ois where the meaning hovers uncertainly between the act of doing and the thing done. Such is often the case with καύχησιs in St. Paul. Cf. for a very near approach to the concrete meaning of this word, Num. xxvii. 4, 7. But, abstract or concrete, it always, as might be expected from the very composition of the word, is used of that final and settled possession which Israel took of the land, not of that transitory possession from which the gentes were driven out. So that Dr. Wordsw.'s rendering, "the portion, or possession of the Gentiles," is out of the question.

The martyr combines rapidly a considerable period, during which this $\kappa \alpha \tau d - \sigma \chi \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ and this expulsion was taking place (for it was not complete till the time of

octally.

sabs, Like I.

32; 35, 76, vi. 35 only. D-ut. xxxii. 8al. tch. xvii. 24. Mark xiv. 58. Eph. ii. 11. Heb. ix. 11, 24 only. 18a. Ii. 18. u of God, ch. xvii. 24. Mark xiii. 21. Eph. id. 17 only. (see Eph. ii. 22.) P., ii. 8. Isa. 1vi. 15. v Isa. 1xvi. 1. wiii. 33 reft. xch. iv. 7 reft. y = 0.0 reft. 18. v Isa. 1xvi. 1. x Heb. ii. 11, 18. iv. 1, 3, 3xc. only. Deat. xiii. 9. - Is. exxxii. 4. a see ch. xi. 21 reft. only. Exad. sax. xiii. 3, 5 al. chree only. d Ezek, xiiv. 7. Jer. ix. 20. e Jer. vi. 10. e Jerc only.

46. om $\eta\tau\eta\sigma\alpha\tau$ 0 %\. $\sigma\kappa\eta\nu\omega\mu$ a bef $\epsilon\nu\rho$. D. **oč $\kappa\varphi$ BDH%\!: $\theta\epsilon\omega$ ACEN\!
rel\ 36 vulg Syr Chr (Ee Thl.
47. $\sigma\alpha\lambda\omega\mu\omega\nu$ AC: $\sigma\alpha\lambda\rho\mu\omega\nu$ %\. olko\!\ olko\!\ B\!\(sie: see table\)\ D. $\epsilon\alpha\nu\tau\omega$ CH\!
Thl-sif.

48. ο δε υψ. ου κατοικ. εν χειρ. D: om ου D.lat. rec aft χειροπ. ins ναοις (explanatory gloss: or from ch xvii. 4), with H rel 36 Chr Thl Aug: om ABCDER p vulg syrr coptt ath Pauph-int Fulg. for καθως, ως D: καθως και Ε 76 Ε-lat.

49. for $\mu \delta i$, $\mu \delta v$ D^1 : txt D^8 : add $\epsilon \sigma \tau i v$ D. $\epsilon \kappa \alpha i \eta \gamma \eta$ (as ιxx) B vss(not vulg syr). $\epsilon \kappa \delta i \eta \gamma \eta$ (as ιxx) B vss(not vulg by $a i \eta \delta i \eta \delta$

50. παντα bef ταυτα (cf LXX) ACDE l m: txt BHN p rel.

51. for τη καρδια, καρδιαις (corrd to plur to suit the plur subject) ACD; ταις καρδιαις R c vss Chr Jer: καρδιας B(sic: see table): txt EH p rel spec Syr coptt Ath Cyr-jer

David) in order to arrive at the next great event of his history, the substitution of the temple of Solomon for the tabernacle.

46. ἢτήσατο] asked permission, see 2 Sam. vii. 2 ft., in which this request is made through Natham the prophet, and at first conceded by Natham, though afterwards, on a revelation made from God, denied:—not 'wished' (Grot., Kuin.: 'desired,' E. V.). The vow (a species of prayer) here referred to, is defined by the words εὐρεῖν σκήνωμα, to be that mentioned Ps. exxxi. 1—5 (LXX).

48] But, though Solomon built

48] But, though Solomon built lim an house, we are not to suppose, for all that, that He is confined to earthly spots. καθως ό πρ. λ.] We have in substance the same declaration by Solomon himself at the dedication of his temple, 1 Kings viii. 27; see also the beautiful prayer of David, 1 Chron. xxix. 10—19. The citation is freely from the LXX.

The student will not fail to be interested in observing the apparent reference to this declaration in Stephen's apology, by St. Paul, ch. xvii. 24. 51.] I do not think there is any occasion to suppose an interruption from the audience to have occasioned this outbreak of holy indignation. At each se-

parate recital (vv. 9, 25, 35, 39 ff.) he has dwelt, with continually increasing fervour, on the rebellions against and rejections of God by His people. He has now brought down the history to the establishment of the temple worship. From Solomon's time to his own, he saw but a succession of apostasies, idolatries, rejection of God's prophets:—a dark and loathsome catalogue, terminated by the betrayal and murder of the Just One Himself. It is not at all beyond probability, to believe that the zeal of his fervent spirit was by the view of this, the filling up of the measure of their iniquities, kindled into a flame of inspired invective. I find that this is also Neander's view, in opposition to the generality of Commentators (P. u. L., p. 92), as also that of Prof. Hackett, in his commentary on the Acts: and I cannot but think it far the most probable. ἐνταῦθα λοιπόν καταφορικώς τῷ λόγῳ κέχρηται. πολλή ήν παβρησία μέλλοντος αὐτυῦ ἀποθνήσκειν και γάρ και τοῦτο οίμαι αὐτὸν είδέναι, Chrysost. σκληρ. κ. άπερ.] Words and figures familiar to the prophets in speaking of the rebellions Israel: see, besides reff., Deut. ix. 6, 13; Neh. ix. 16:-Deut. x. 16; xxx. 6 Heb. See also Rom.

...υμων c. AB CDEHN

πτετε, g ως οι h πατέρες h ύμων g και ύμεις. 52 τίνα των προ- g Matt. vi. 10. Thucyd. vil. CDEHS τους προκαταγγειλανταις περι της κατούς της και α b fgh δ ο τον δ νυν ύμεῖς δ προδόται καὶ δ φονεῖς ἐγένεσθε, δ 3 δ 9 οἴτινες δ 1 εh. iii. 18 δ 1 δ 2 ελάβετε τὸν νόμον δ 1 είς δ 3 διαταγὰς ἀγγέλων καὶ οἰν τον δ 1 εφυλάξατε. δ 2 Ακούοντες δὲ ταῦτα δ 3 διεπρίοντο ταῖς δ 3 iii. 12 xxii. 18 . 12 ελ. 13 ελ. ελ

Chr₁ Thdrt Thl.—add υμων N o. for ωs , $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega s$ D. ins $\kappa \alpha \iota$ bef $\upsilon \mu \omega \nu$ D¹. om και υμεις D.

 for οι πατ. υμ., εκεινοι D¹: txt D6. απεκτ. αυτους τους προκαταγγελλοντας π . $\epsilon \lambda$. D¹: so insg $\tau \eta s$ bef $\epsilon \lambda$. D³. rec γεγενησθε (corrn to appy more suitable tense, see note), with H rel Chr Thl: txt ABCDEN k p Orig.

53. εφυλαξεσθε Α.

ἀσίν] I should hardly think of any allusion to Ps. xl. 6, -because the LXX have rendered 'mine ears hast thou opened' by σώμα κατηρτίσω μοι. πν. τ. άγ. ἀντ.] Apparently a reference to Isa. lxiii. 10. The instances as yet had been confined to of πατ. ύμ.: now he has arrived at their own times. The two are taken up again in the next verse. 52. τίνα τ. προφ. See Matt. xxiii. 31 ff.:

2 Chron. xxxvi. 16: where the same general expressions are used of their persecuting the prophets. Such sayings are not to be pressed to the letter, but represent the uniform attitude of disobedience and hostility which they assumed to the messengers of God. See also the parable, Matt. xxi. 35. τοὺς προκ.] The office of all the prophets, see ch. iii. 18. The assertion is repeated, to connect them, by this title, with Him, whom they announced.

τοῦ δικαίου] Schöttg. vol. ii. p. 18, has shewn from the Rabbinical writings that this name was used by the Jews to designate the Messiah. See reff. and note on προδόται By Judas's James v. 6. treachery, of which the Sanhedrists had been the accomplices; Matt. xxvi. 14-16: -- poveis, by the hands of the Romans; ch. ii. 23, note. εγένεσθε is preferable not only on account of its MS. authority, but as being the historical tense, like the rest. It was probably altered to the perfect, as suiting the time then present, better than the agrist. 53. The use better than the aorist. of oitives, instead of oi, so very frequent in the Acts and Epistles, occurs when the clause introduced by it contains a further explanation of the position or classification of the person or persons alluded to, and not when the relative serves for simple identification. See Rom. i. 25, 32.

είς διαταγάς άγγελων] Many explanations VOL. II.

have been given. Chrys. διαταχθέντα νόμον λέγει, του έγχειρισθέντα αὐτῷ δί άγγελον τὸν ὀφθέντα αὐτῷ ἐν τῷ βάτῳ: and Œc. νόμον λαβόντας διατάξεις έχοντα, αίτινες ἰσάγγελον ἐποίουν πολιτείαν ἔχειν τοὺς τελοῦντας αὐτόν. Heinsius and Lightfoot understand by αγγέλ. the prophets: Grot., Calov., and Krebs, 'præsentibus angelorum ordinibus,' taking διαταγάς = διατάξεις in the sense of divisions of an army (Judith viii. 36), in which it never occurs,—not to say that eis will not bear this: Beza, Calv., Pisc., Elsn., Hamm., Kuin., &c., 'ab angelis promutgatum,' which εis will not bear (εν): Winer, Gr., edn. 6, § 32. 4, b, 'as commands of angels' (but see below), which, however, was not the fact (Mey., who refers to Jos. Antt. xv. 5. 3, ήμῶν τὰ κάλλιστα τῶν δογμάτων καὶ τὰ ὁσιώτατα τῶν έν τοις νόμοις δι' άγγέλων παρά του θεου μαθόντων):—the Syriac version, 'per mandatum angeli:'—Vulg. and Calv., 'in dispositione (or -onibus) angelorum.' Schöttg., 'per ministerium angelorum.' These three last are precluded by the foregoing remarks. The key to the right rendering seems to be the similar expression in ref. Gal., δ νόμος διαταγείς δι' άγγέλων. The law was given by God, but announced by angels. The people received God's law then, εἰς διαταγὰς ἀγγέλων, at the injunction (a sense of διατ. amply justified, see Palm and Rost's lex. διάταξις, and Polyb. iv. 19. 10; 87.5: and preferred by Winer in his last edn., ut supra) of angels. So Matt. xii. 41, μετενόησαν είς τὸ κήρυγμα Ἰῶνα, 'they repented at the preaching of Jonas.' The only other legitimate rendering, 'as the injunctions of angels,' comes under the objections made to Winer's former view, above. EFFECT OF THE SPEECH: STONING OF

G

είς τον ουρανον είδεν δόξαν θεού και Ίησουν έστωτα " έκ 33. μας εις τον ουφανον εισεν νουμένους και είπεν Ίδου b θεωρώ τους ουρανούς χειμίκι 30 και δεξιών τοῦ θεοῦ, 56 καὶ είπεν Ίδου b θεωρώ τους οὐρανούς χειμίκι a ξιακίν a ξικίν a ξιακίν a a ξιακίν a ξιακίν

και εβρ. τε D1. οm ταυτα X1. ακουσαντες δε αυτου D. ins autwv E k Syr sah æth.

ιησ. τον κυριον εκ δε. του θ . εστ. D. 55. aft πληρης ins πιστεως και & o. τ. θεου, αυτου C 1 Thil-fin.

56. ree ανεωγμενους (corrn to more usual word), with D-corr H rel 36 Epiph Chr Constt Thdrt Thl: ηνεωγμ. D1: txt ABCN p Ath Cyr-jer. (διεν. B Mai, but Rl Beh and εστ. bef εκ δεξ. ACEN¹ m vss Nyss Epiph₃ Cyr-jer Chr₁ Aug. Btly have διην.) 58. aft εκβ. ins αυτον A k 13 sah Thl-fin. aft ελιθ. ins αυτον D Syr syr-w-ast

54. \ διεπρ., see note on ref. 55. Certainly, in so far as the vision of Stephen was supernatural, it was not necessary that the material heavens should have been visible to him; but from the words aterious eis tor ouparor it would seem that they were. We are not told where the Sanhedrim were assembled. It does not seem as if they were convened in the ordinary session room: it may have been in one of the courts of the temple, which would give room for more than the members of the Sanhedrim to be present, as seems to have been the case. A reason why the glorified Saviour was seen standing, and not sitting, has been pleasingly given by Chrysostom (in Cramer's Catena): τί οδν ἐστῶτα καὶ οὐχὶ καθήμενου; για δείξη την αντίληψιν την είς τον μάρτυρα καί γὰρ περί τοῦ πατρός λέγεται "ἀνάστα ὁ θεός." Similarly Gregory the Great, Hom. ii. 29, vol. i. p. 1572, 'Stephanus stantem vidit, quem adjutorem habuit.' So also Arator, i. 611 ff. p. 124, ed. Migne, 'pro martyre surgit, Quem tune stare videt; confessio nostra sedentem Cum soleat celebrare magis.' [See also the collect for St. Stephen's day.] But not perhaps correctly: for 'help' does not seem here to be the applicable idea, but the confirmation of his faith by the eestatic vision of the Saviour's glory at God's right I should be rather disposed to think that there was reference in the vision to that in Zech. iii. 1, where Zech. sees 'Ιησοῦν τὸν ἱερέα τὸν μέγαν, ἐστῶτα πρὸ προςώπου άγγέλου κυρίου. Stephen, under accusation of blaspheming the earthly temple, is granted a sight of the heavenly

temple; being cited before the Sadducee High Priest who believed neither angel nor spirit, he is vouchsafed a vision of the heavenly High Priest, standing and ministering at the throne amidst the

angels and just men made perfect. 56. This is the only time that our Lord is by human lips called the SON OF MAN after His ascension (Rev. i. 13; xiv. 14, are not instances). And why here? I believe, for this reason. Stephen, full of the Holy Ghost, speaking now not of himself at all (ver. 55), but entirely by the utterance of the Spirit, repeats the very words, Matt. xxvi. 64, in which Jesus Himself, before this council, had foretold His glorification ;-and assures them that that exaltation of the Son of Man, which they should hereafter witness to their dismay, was already begun and actual.

έξω τ. πόλ.] See Levit. xxiv. 14. 'Locus lapidationis erat extra urbem : omnes enim civitates muris cinetæ paritatem habent ad castra Israelis,' Babyl, Sanhedr, ad loc. (Meyer.) Cf. also Heb. xiii. 12, 13. ἐλιθοβόλουν they stoned him: an an-

ticipation of the fact, the details of which follow: not, 'they prepared to stone him:' nor 'jam in itinere ad supplicii locum petulanter eum lapidibus lacessebaut ' (Heinr.): nor need we conjecture έλιθολόγουν with Markland. Stoning was the punishment of blaspheming, Levit. xxiv. 16. The question whether this was a legal proceeding on sentence, or a tumultuary one, is not easy to answer. It would appear from John xviii. 31, that the Jews had not legally the power of putting any man to death (see note there). Certainly, from the narrative

k μάρτυρες 1 ἀπέθευτο τὰ ιμάτια αὐτῶν m παρὰ τοὺς πόδας k - Deut zvii. παστυρες 'απέθεντο τα ιματια αυτων "παρα τους ποδας ξ " best κιι "νεανίου καλουμένου Σαύλου, ξ καὶ ἱ ἐλιθοβόλουν τοὺ Ι Ιδικά μια Το Στέφανον 'ἐπικαλούμενον καὶ λέγοντα Κύριε 'Ισοῦ δέξαι τὸ "πνεῦμά μου. ξ το θεὶς δὲ τὰ "τ γόνατα "ἔκραξεν "φωνῦ μεγάλη Κύριε, μὴ ἱ στήσης αὐτοῖς ταὐτην την άμαρτίαν, "λαπέλι με διλικό καὶ τοῦτο εἰπὼν "ἔκοιμήθη. VIII. \Σαῦλος δὲ ἡν mehir. 35 ref. neh. xx. 9 ref. 'συνευδοκῶν τῆ "ἀναιρέσει αὐτοῦ. ἐγένετο δὲ ἐν εκείνη τη ch. xx. 9 ref. 'συνευδοκῶν τῆ "ἀναιρέσει αὐτοῦ. ἐγένετο δὲ ἐν εκείνη τη ch. h. ch. xx. 9 ref. 'συνευδοκῶν τῆ "ἀναιρέσει αὐτοῦ. ἐγένετο δὲ ἐν εκείνη τη ch. h. ch. xx. 9 ref. 'συνευδοκῶν τῆ "ἀναιρέσει αὐτοῦ. ἐγένετο δὲ ἐν εκείνη τη ch. h. ch. xx. 9 ref. 'συνευδοκῶν τῆ "κανείνει και με δείνει και και με δείνει και και με δείνει και με δείνει και με δείνει και και με και μ d επικαλου-ABCDE fghkl

48 î Mit J. Eccl. xii, 7. qch, ix. 40, xx. 36, xxi, 5, Mark xv, 19, Luke xxi, 40 olly 1, see 3 Kings viii, 51. ras above (q). Rom, xi, 4 reff. Luke v. 8, Heb, xii, 12, sver, 57, there only, propr., Matt. xxvi, 15; 2 Kings xiv, 20, Zech. xi, 12. u = 1 Cor. vii, 39 reff. v 1 Cor. vii, 12, 13 reff. w here only. Num, xl, 15.

om αυτων H a b1 f g h l m Thl-sif: ins ABCDEN p rel 36 vss Chr coptt arm. aft veav. ins Tivos D Syr arm : Tou f h 13 Chr, Thl-sif. Œc Thl-fin.—εαυτ. B. 59. aft ιησ. ins χριστε C d 40 Chr Thdrt.

60. om δε D1: ins D-corr1: τε e. φωνην μεγαλην D^1 : φωνην μεγαλη C^1 p: στησεις D1 d 180: txt D8. rec την om N'. add λεγων D am spec copt. aμ. bef ταυτ., with EHN rel syr copt Chr3 Thdrt Chron: txt ABCD vulg spec Syr sah æth Petr Iren-int Cypr.

before us, and from the fact of a bloody persecution having taken place soon after it, it seems that the Jews did, by connivance of, or in the absence of the Procurator, administer summary punishments of this kind. But here no sentence is recorded: and perhaps the very violence and zelotic character of the execution might constitute it, not an encroachment on the power of the Procurator, as it would have been if strictly in form of law, but a mere outbreak, and as such it might be allowed to pass annoticed. That they observed the forms of their own law, in the place and manner of the stoning, is no objection to this view. οἱ μάρτυρες] See ref. They disencumbered themselves of their loose onter garments, ωςτε είναι κουφοι και ἀπαραπόδιστοι είς το λιθοβολείν. Theo-

ἀπέθεντο] to keep them. phyl. Such notices are deeply interesting, when we recollect by *whom* they were in all probability carefully inserted. See ch. xxii. 19, 20, and note on ch. xxvi. 10:-from which it appears that Saul can certainly not have been less than thirty at this time. He was a member of the Sanhedrim, and soon after was despatched on an important 59.] The mission with their authority. attempt to escape from this direct prayer to the Saviour by making 'Iησοῦ the genitive, and supposing it addressed to the Father,-in the face of the ever-recurring words κύριος 'Ιησοῦς (see Rev. xxii. 20 especially), and the utter absence of any instance or analogy to justify it,-is only characteristic of the school to which it belongs. Yet in this case it has been favoured even by Bentley and Valcknaer, who supposed $\theta \epsilon \delta \nu$ to have been omitted in the text, being absorbed by the preceding -ov.

But if any such accus, had been used, it would certainly have been τον θεόν.

δέξαι τὸ πν. μ.] The same prayer in substance had been made by our Lord on the cross (ref. Luke) to His Father. To Him was now committed the key of David. Similarly, the young man Saul, in after years: πέπεισμαι ότι δυνατός έστιν την παραθήκην μου φυλάξαι εἰς ἐκείνην τὴν ἡμέραν, 2 Tim. i. 12. 60.] The more accurate philological Commentators, De Wette and Meyer, deny that στήσης here can, as ordinarily explained, refer to weighing (ref. Matt.; Jer. xxxix. [xxxii.] 10), since not the sin, but the punishment, would be the thing weighed out,and it would be harsh to take the one for the other, in a sentence of this kind. Meyer would understand ίστάναι as opposed to ἀφιέναι, την άμαρτίαν, Fix not this sin upon them:' but De Wette, as seems to me more probably, renders it Reckon not this sin to them ('lay not this sin to their charge, E. V.), supporting this by Rom. x. 3. This again was someby Rom. x. 3. what similar (though not exactly, see note there) to our Lord's prayer, Luke xxiii. 34.

ἐκοιμήθη] Not a Christian expres-

sion only: Wetstein, on Matt. xxvii. 52, cites Jewish examples: and we have in the Anthology, iii. 1. 10, τῆδε Σάων ὁ Δίωνος ᾿Ακάνθιος ἱερὸν ὕπνον | κοιμάται θνήσκειν μη λέγε τοὺς ἀγαθούς. But it became the usual Christian term for death. Its use here, when the circumstances, and the actors in them, are remembered, is singularly touching, from the contrast.

CHAP. VIII. 1-3.] PERSECUTION OF THE CHURCH BY SAUL, CONSEQUENT ON 1. συνευδ. THE DEATH OF STEPHEN.

a plur, Luke εκόμισαν δε τὸν Στέφανον ἄνδρες εὐλαβεῖς καὶ εποίησαν λιπέν, 21.
John It. 35.
James v. 4.
only Ετεκ,
b here only 1.
δεκκλησίαν ξατὰ τοὺς οίκους εἰςπορευόμενος h σύρων
John v. 20.

Job v. 201 only. Soph. τε ἄνδοας καὶ γυναϊκας ἱ παρεδίδου εἰς k φυλακήν.

Ajax, 1017.
c ch. ii. 5 reff. der only. Gen. l. 10, M'cah i. R.
Pa. lxxix, 13, f. b. tke xui. 22, ix, 6, viii. l.
xxi. 8, ch. xiv. 19, xvii. 6, Rev. xui. 4 only. 2 Kings xvii. 13, g. ch. iii. 2. Mark i. 21 al, l. dohn
xxii. 4. 2 cor iv, 11 al, ba, xxxiv. 2 al, ir.
kch. v, 10 reff. 2 Kings xxi. 3.

Chap. VIII. 1. R o join saulos. . . autou to ch vii. aft megas ins kai thefields D sah. rec pautes $\tau_{\rm S}$ with A k o Syr with: om δ R 1 13, 47 ; kai pautes $\rm R^3$: txt BCDEII p rel vulg syr coptt Ps-Eus Isid Chr, Thl. om $\tau_{\rm IS}$ D^1 : ins D^2 . aft apos and of emerge re ierousalym D^1 sah Aug.

2. συνκομισαντες D-gr: συνεκομισαντο b o: txt D5. for δε, τε D5 E-gr D-lat xth. rec εποιησαντο, with EH rel Chr₁ Cbc Thl: txt ABCDN k p Chr₁ Thdrt. 3. o δε σ. D. ελυμηνατο E-gr ath-rom. $\pi a \rho \epsilon \delta \delta \delta \omega y (s/\sigma)$ D1. ins

τους bef ανδρας N1(N3 disapproving).

See reff. : and compare his own confession, ch. xxvi. 9-11. From this time, the narrative takes up Saul, and, at first with considerable interruptions (ch. viii. x. xi. xii.), but after ch. xiii. 1 entirely, follows his history. ἐν ἐκ. τ. ἡμ. can hardly mean, as some (Dr. Burton, De Wette, Meyer, Stier) would render it, on that very day, viz. when Stephen was stoned. For what follows, πάντες δὲ διεσπάρησαν . . cannot have happened on the same day, but would take some little time: and it is hardly allowable to render eyévero 'broke out.' We have έν έκ. τ. ήμέρα used indefinitely, Luke vi. 23; John xiv. 20; xvi. 23, 26. In Luke xvii. 31 it has direct reference to a ἡμέρα just mentioned. πάντες Not perhaps literally,-or some of them soon returned: see ch. ix. 26-30. It may describe the general dispersion, without meaning that every individual fled.

Σαμαρείας] Connected with ver. 4: this word is not without importance, as introducing the next step in the dissemination of the Gospel, according to our Lord's command in ch. i. 8. άποστόλων] Perhaps, from their exalted position of veneration by the people, the persecution did not extend to them: perhaps they remained, as possessed of superior firmness and devotion. But this latter reason is hardly applicable, after the command of our Lord, 'When they persecute you in one city, flee to mother.' Matt. x. 23. Stier (Reden d. Apostel, i. 253) refers their remaining to an intimation of the Spirit, to stny and strengthen those who were left (έτέρους γενέσθαι θράσους Mr. Humphry (Comm. altion, Chrys.). on Acts) cites an ancient tradition, mentioned by Clem. Alex., Strom. vi. 5, end, p.

762 P, from the Prædicatio Petri (and by Euseb. H. E. v. 18), that the Apostles were ordered by our Lord to remain at Jerusalem twelve years: φησθν δ Πέτρος εἰρηκέναι τὸν κύριον τοῖς ἀποστόλοις Ἑὰν μὲν οδν τις θελήση τοῦ Ἰτραὴλ μετανοῆσια διὰ τοῦ ὀνόματός μου πιστεύειν ἐπὶ τὸν θεόν, ἀφεθήσονται αὐτῷ αἱ ἀμαρτίαι μετὰ δώδεκα ἔτη ἐξέλθετε εἰς τὸν κόσμον, μή τις εἴπη Οὐκ ἀκηκόαμεν. But this could not be the case, as we have Peter and John going down to Samaria, yer. 1-1.

2. avop. eulaBeis Whether Jews or Christians is not certain. Ananias is so called, ch. xxii. 12 (not in rec.), and he was a Christian. At all events, there is no contrast implied in the δέ (as Mev.), 'Yet, notwithstanding the persecution and dispersion, pions men were found who, &c.:' the $\delta \epsilon$ is merely the transitional particle,-and, so far from its being any unusual thing to bury an executed person, it was commanded among the Jews. Olshausen thinks that, if they had been Christians, the term ἀδελφοί would have been used: but this does not seem by any means certain: we can hardly reason so minutely from the diction of one section in the narrative to that of another, especially in the case of a section so distinct and peculiar as this one. [Besides, ἀδελφοί in this sense does not occur till ch. ix. 30: see reff. there.] Probably they were pious Jews, not yet converts, but hearers and admirers 3. έλυμαίνετο | Properly of Stephen. used of wild beasts, or of hostile armies, devastating and ravaging. (See examples in κατά τους οίκους, entering (the houses) from house to house,-n pregnant construction. σύρων] So Philo, in Flace. 9, vol. ii. p. 526, συρόμενοι

 4 Οι μεν οῦν 1 διασπαρέντες m διῆλθον n εὐαγγελιζόμενοι $^{1 \text{ver. 1}}$. τὸν n λόγον. 5 Φίλιππος δὲ o κατελθών είς πόλιν τῆς $^{\text{th. x. 38}}$, $^{\text{xiii}}$ 1.4. Σαμαρείας ^ρ εκήρυσσεν ^α αὐτοῖς τὸν χριστόν. ⁶ τποοςείχον χείστομος δὲ οἱ ὅχλοι τοῖς λεγομένοις ὑπὸ τοῦ Φιλίππου ⁸ ὁμοθυμα- ¹ Κings xeri. δὲ οι οχλοι τοις λεγομενοις υπο του Φιλιππου ομουομια $\frac{22}{60}$. δόν, $\frac{1}{6}$ εν τ $\overline{\psi}$ ακούειν αὐτοὺς καὶ βλέπειν τὰ σημεία $\frac{1}{6}$ $\frac{1$ " παραλελυμένοι και " χωλοί " έθεραπεύθησαν. 8 έγένετο δέ

ραλελυμένοι καὶ ² χωλοὶ ^a ἐθεραπευθησαν ⁸ ἐγἐνετο δὲ ^{lil.} 15, ² Macc. xl. 29 (Wind. xl. 29 chi ks. 20 r. fl. κ. χο. lnσ. 2 Gr. γ δ. see 2 Gr. i. 19. qo ch. xx. 2. Matt. iv. 23. Luke iv. 15, 2 Gr. ii. 3. r = and constr. γ v. lo. 1, t. h. xvi. t. d. r. l. 4l. li. s. iv. j. c. h. ks. 3 r. ks. 2 r. ks

(S.r. xviii, 19.)

4. ηλθον ℵ1.

ins την bef πολ. (exegetical addition. The 5. καλελθων D1-gr: txt D8. Katoapias X1. art is not needed, see note) ABN m.

6. rec for δε, τε, with E-gr H rel ath-pl: txt ABCD A a h p 36 vulg E-lat syr coptt Eus.—ws de hkoudu par oi decoupling the constant of the by D^3 and corron on tou D^1 f: ins D^3 .

for omotion, [....] opto of the D^1 : text D^3 .

αυτου N'(perhaps: s added or renewed by N3).

7. rec πολλων (alteration to avoid the difficulty : see note. Meyer's account, that εξηρχετο was first altered to -οντο to suit πνευματα [the converse is much more probable] and then πολλων to -oι to furnish a plur nom to εξηρχοντο, seems to me very unlikely), with H rel copt Chr Ge Thl: $[\dots](\pi[a\rho]a)$? πολλοις D: απο πολλοις απολλοις Δείνου συντικό το συντικό σ ree μεγ. bef φωνη, with (none of our mss) coptt: D8: txt ABCEN p 36 vulg syrr sah. ree εξηρχετο (see above), with H rel Chr txt ABCDEHN rel vulg syrr Chr Thl. aft πολλ. δε ins και E 13 syr Chr. Thl-sif: txt ABCDER k p Thl-fin.

εθεραπευοντο D 13. om και D1 m: ins D2.

κ. πατούμενοι διὰ τῆς πόλεως ἁπάσης έξαναλώθησαν. παρεδίδου] viz. to the guolers-so παραδιδούς είς φυλακάς, eh.

xxii. 4. 4-13.] PREACHING OF THE GOSPEL IN SAMARIA BY PHILIP. 4.] μὲν οὖν resumes the subject dropped at the end of ver. 1, and determines this verse to be the opening of a new section, not the close of the former. Sinht. See reft. εὐαγγ. τ. λόγ.] Here first we become acquainted with the missionary language so frequent in the rest of the book : and we have τὸν λόγον, an expression very familiar among Christians when the book was written, for τ. λ. τοῦ θεοῦ. 5. Φίλιππος] The deacon; not, as apparently implied in the citation from Polycrates in Eus. H. E. iii. 31, v. 24, one of the twelve: this is precluded by vv. 1 and 14. And it is probable, that the persecution should have been directed especially against the colleagues of Stephen. Philip is mentioned again as δ εὐαγγελιστής,-probably from his having been the first recorded who εὐηγγελίσατο τον λόγον,-in eh. xxi. 8,as married, and having four daughters, virgins, who prophesied. πόλιν τ. Σαμ.] Verbatim as John iv. 5, in which

8. ree και εγ., with EH rel syrr Thl-sif: χαρα τ. μεγ. εγ. D-gr: txt ABCN p copt case it is specified as being Syehar (Siehem). As the words stand here (πόλιν = την πόλιν, after εls, compare also 2 Pet. ii. 6), seeing that Σαμάρεια (vv. 9, 14; ch. ix. 31; xv. 3) signifies the district, I should be inclined to believe that Sychem is here also intended. It was a place of rising importance, and in after-times eclipsed the fame of its neighbour Samaria, which latter had been, on its presentation by Augustus to Herod the Great, re-fortified and called Sebaste, Jos. Antt. xv. 7. 3, and 8. 5. 1t still, however, bore the name of Samaria, Jos. xx. 6, 2,-where, from the context, the district can hardly be intended. aυτοις] The inhabitants, implied in πόλις.

6. προςείχον . . .] If this place was Sychem, the narrative in John iv. will fully account for the readiness with which these people received the κήρυγμα τοῦ χριστου- 'the proclamation of the Christ.'

7. According to the reading in the text, which is too strongly upheld by MS. authority to be rejected for the easier ordinary one, $\pi \circ \lambda \circ i$ is a 'nominativus pendens' (compare ch. vii. 40; Rev. iii. 12. Winer, edn. 6, § 29. 1), For in the case of many who had unclean spirits, they crying out with a loud voice, came out: b Luke xxiii. πολλη χαρα έν τη πόλει έκεινη. $\frac{9}{\text{ανηρ}}$ δέ τις ονόματι ABCD ΕΗΝ α διο xii. 17 το διοτούη της το διοτούη το διαστούν της Σαμαρείας, λέγων είναι τι σε αυτον $\frac{1}{13}$ τινα έαυτον $\frac{1}{13}$

-γεα, ver. 11.) - d-άνων here only. trans., Luke xxiv. 22 only. see Job xii, 17. Eur Pieg. Αδηή 1, τύν δ' οἶνοι ἐξέστησό με. (ε – Matt. xxi. 43. ch. vii. 7. x. 22. f with adj., 1eb. x. 22. φιβερόν τι θέωμα, Lucian, Philopat. 8.

Thl-fin. ree χ . $\mu\epsilon\gamma\alpha\lambda\eta$, with DEH rel vss Chr Thl: txt ABCN p am demid fuld æth.

3. προυπαρχων D-gr. om και D^1 : ins D^2 . rec εξιστων, with D^2 EH rel Chr Thl: seducens vulg Iren-int: suadens E-lat: mentem auferens D-lat: εξε[...] (εξεστανεν Wetst) D^1 : txt ABCN p. om το E. εδοs B^2 .

ἐξήρχοντο being plur., as often when the neuter plural betokens living agents; see Winer, edn. 6, § 58. 3, a. β. has probably been altered to πολλών, to agree with των ἐχόντων, on the difficulty being perceived. 9. Σίμων] Neander, in the course of some excellent remarks on this whole history (see further on ver. 1.1), identifies, and I believe with reason, this Simon with one mentioned as living from ten to twenty years after this by Josephus, Antt. xx. 7. 2, καθ' δν καιρόν τῆς 'Ιουδαίας ἐπετρόπευσε Φῆλιξ, θεασάμενος ταύτην (Drusilla) λαμβάνει της γυναικός ἐπιθυμίαν, καὶ Σίμωνα ὀνόματι, τῶν ἐαυτῷ φίλων, 'Ιουδαΐον, Κύπριον δὲ γένος, μάγον είναι σκηπτόμενον, πέμπων πρός αὐτὴν έπειθε τον άνδρα καταλιποῦσαν αὐτῷ γήμασθαι. The only difficulty seems to be, that Simon is stated by Justin Martyr, himself a Samaritan, to have been ∑aµaρέα, από κώμης λεγομένης Γίττων. But it has struck me that either Justin, or perhaps more probably Josephus, may have confounded Ghittim with Chittim, i. e. Citium in Cyprus. This conjecture I also find mentioned in the Dict. of Biography and Mythology, sub voce. The account in Josephus is quite in character with what we here read of Simon: not inconsistent (Meyer) with ver. 24, which appears to have been uttered under terror occasioned by the solemn denunciation of Peter.

Justin goes on to relate that he was worshipped as a God at Rome in the time of Claudius Casar, on account of his magical powers, and had a statue on the island in the Tiber, inscribed 'Simoni Deo Sancto.' Singularly enough, in the year 1574, a stone was found in the Tiber (or standing on the island in the year 1662, according to the Dict. of Biogr. and Myth.), with the inscription SEMONI SANCO DEO FIDIO SACRVM, i. e. to the God Semo Sancus, the Sabine Hercules, which makes it probable that Justin may have been The history of Simon is full of legend and fable. The chief sources of it are the Recognitiones and Clementina of the pseudo-Clemens. He is there said

to have studied at Alexandria, and to have been, with the heresiarch Dositheus, a disciple of John the Baptist. Of Dositheus he became first the disciple, and then the successor. Origen (in Matt. Comm. § 33, p. 851) makes Dositheus also a Samaritan : so also contra Cels. i. 57, p. 372, and Hom. xxv. in Luc. p. 962. His own especial followers (Simoniani) had dwindled so much in the time of Origen, that he says νυνί δε τους πάντας εν τη οικουμένη ουκ έστι Σιμωνιανούς εύρεῖν τὸν ἀριθμὸν οἶμαι τριάκοντα. και τάχα πλείονας είπον τῶν οντων, contra Cels. ubi supra; see also ib. vi. 11, p. 638, and περὶ ἀρχῶν, iv. 17, p. 176. In the Recognitiones and the Clementina are long reports of subsequent controversies between Simon Magus and Peter, of which the scene is laid at Cæsarea. According to Arnobius (adv. Gentes, ii. 12, p. 828 ed. Migne), the Constt. Apostol. (ii. 14, p. 620; vi. 9, p. 932 ed. Migne), and Cyril of Jerusalem, he met with his death at Rome, having, during an en-counter with Peter, raised himself into the air by the aid of evil spirits, and being precipitated thence at the prayer of Peter and The fathers generally regard him Paul. as the founder of Gnosticism: this may be in some sense true: but, from the very little authentic information we possess, it is impossible to ascertain how far he was identified with their tenets. Origen (contra Cels. v. 62, p. 625) distinctly denies that his followers were Christians in any sense: λανθάνει τον Κέλσον, ὅτι οὐδαμῶς τον Ἰησοῦν όμολογοῦσιν υίὸν θεοῦ Σιμωνιανοί, ἀλλὰ δύναμιν θεοῦ λέγουσι τὸν Σίμωνα. γεύων] Not to be joined with προϋπηρχεν (as in E. V. and Kuin.), which belongs to έν πόλει: - exercising magic arts, such as then were very common in the East and found wide acceptance; impostors taking advantage of the very general expectation of a Deliverer at this time, to set themselves up by means of such trickeries as 'some great ones.' We have other examples in Elymas (ch. xiii.): Apollonius of Tyana; and somewhat later, Alexander of Abonoteichos: see these latter in Dict.

** μέγαν 10 ῷ h προςείχον πάντες ἱ ἀπὸ μικροῦ εως \$ - Loke i.15, 32, vii. δι μεγάλου λέγοντες Οὐτός ἐστιν ἡ k δύναμις τοῦ θεοῦ ἡ κi. 48, ch. μεγάλου λέγοντες Οὐτός ἐστιν ἡ k δύναμις τοῦ θεοῦ ἡ κi. 48, ch. με καλουμένη μεγάλη . 11 h προςείχον δὲ αὐτῷ διὰ τὸ ἱ ἰκανῷ κ. Δί. xiii. θίκης κ. Δί. xiii. το με καλουμένη ταῖς μαγείαις h ξέεστακέναι αὐτούς 12 ὅτε δὲ h che θιεῖς με μαγείαις h ξέεστακέναι αὐτούς $\frac{12}{5}$ ὅτε δὲ h che θιεῖς h che θιεῖς $\frac{12}{18}$ ο ἐπίστευσαν τῷ Φιλίππῳ $\frac{1}{5}$ εὐαγγελίζομένῳ περὶ τῆς $\frac{1}{5}$ δα επίστευσαν τῷ Φιλίππῳ $\frac{1}{5}$ επίστευσαν τῷ Φιλίπτως $\frac{1}{5}$ επίστευσαν τῷ Φιλίπτως $\frac{1}{5}$ επίστευσαν τῷ Φιλίπτως $\frac{1}{5}$ επίστευσαν τὸ $\frac{1}{5}$ επίστευσαν $\frac{1}{5}$ επίστευσαν τὸ $\frac{1}{5}$ επίστευσαν τὸ $\frac{1}{5}$ επίστευσαν τὸ $\frac{1}{5}$ επίστευσαν $\frac{1}{5}$ σιλείας τοῦ 9 θεοῦ καὶ τοῦ ονόματος Ίησοῦ χριστοῦ, έ β απ- $\frac{xxxviii}{k}$ πικ. $\frac{1}{2}$ και τίζοντο ἄνδρες τε καὶ γυναϊκες. $\frac{13}{2}$ δὲ Σίμων καὶ αὐτὸς $\frac{24}{100}$ κείνι $\frac{27}{100}$ και είντος $\frac{24}{100}$ και ε έπίστευσεν, και βαπτισθιίς την προςκαρτερών τῷ Φιλεπιστευσεν, και ραπτιουας το προξιακός μεγάλας γινο- ch.ix.23,43, dat. of dura-

tion, Luke viii. 29. Rom. xvi. 25. m here only †. see ver. 9. n °C Cor. v. 13 reft. perf., here only. o = Matt. xxi. 25 | John v. 24. | John v. 10 al. Gen. xv. 6. repi. here only. see ch. vii. 50. reft. q ch. xix. 8 reft. r constr., ch. ii. 5 reft. s = ch. t. dr. ft. dr. vii. 56 reft. n = Matt. xi. 20, 21, 23 and †. Mark vi. 2. ch. ii. 22, 1 Cor. xii. 10. Gal. iii. 5 t.

om παντες H rel æth-pl Œc Thl Iren-int: ins ABCDEN k p 10. προςειχαν N. rec om калоицент (as appearing unnecessary, and being difficult, see 13 vss Chr. note), with HL rel Syr sah æth-pl Chr: ins ABCDEN p 13 valg syr copt æth-rom arm Orig, Iren-int.

εξιστακεναι ACEH k m o: txt BDLN 11. μαγιαις A B(Tischdf) CDEHN f 13.

rel.

rec ins τα bef περι, with HL rel Chr: 12. του φιλιππου ευαγγελιζομενου Ν1. ree ins του bef ιησ., with om ABCDEN p 36 vulg syrr æth. for θεου, κῦ Ν1. (13) al: om ABCDEHLN rel Chr Thl.—om ιησ. 13. om $\tau \in A$ lect-12 vss(some). transp δυν. for τε, τα B.

 $\theta \in o \rho \omega \nu (sic) \aleph$. 13. ins και bef προςκ. D1. and σημ. EHL rel syr Chr (Ec Thl: txt ABCDN k m p 13. 36 vulg Syr coptt æth .μεγαλα E o syr-w-ast æth-pl: om HL rel: txt ABCDEN k m p 13 vulg Syr coptt γινομενα EHL rel Chr Œc Thl: om C 126. 180 leet-25: txt ABDN æth-rom Chr. k m p 13.

of Biogr. and Myth. τινα μέγαν] Probably not in such definite terms as his followers later are represented as putting into his mouth: 'Ego sum sermo Dei ... ego paracletus, ego omnipotens, ego omnia Dei.' Jerome on Matt. xxiv. 5, p. 193. 10. ἡ δύν. τ. θ. ἡ καλουμένη μεγάλη] Neander (l. c.) and Meyer think that they must have referred to the Abyos, the creating and governing manifestation of God so much spoken of in the Alexandrine philosophy (see extracts from Philo in note on John i. 1. The term, but by no means with the same idea, was adopted by the Spirit, speaking by John, as belonging to the Son of God: see the same note, end), and must have regarded Simon as an incarnation of the λόγος (the μητρόπολις πασῶν τῶν δυνάμεων τοῦ θεοῦ, Philo), so that their erroneous belief would form some preparation for the great truth of an incarnate Messiah, preached by Philip. But to this De W. well replies, that we can hardly suppose the Alexandrine philosophy to have been so familiar to the mass of the people, and refers the expression to their popular belief of a great angel (Chron. Sam. 10), who might, as the angels were called by the Samaritans the powers of God (for which he refers to Reland, de Samar. § 7. Gesen. Theol. Samar. p. 21 ff.), be designated as ή δύν. τ. θ. ή καλουμένη μεγάλη. καλουμένη rests on such strong MS. authority, and is so unlikely to have been inserted (the idea of a scholium to indicate the force of the art. [Bloomf.] is quite out of the question, no such scholium being here needed), that both on external and internal grounds it must form part of the text. The lit. rendering will be, This man is the power of God which is called great: the sense, 'This man is that power of God (see above) which we know as the great one.' λεγομένη, found in a few later mss., is an explanation of kal. by a more usual word.

11.] ¿ξεστακέναι can hardly be as E.V., transitive, "he had bewitched them:" there appears to be no example of the perfect being thus used. 13.] 'Simon saw his followers dropping off, and was himself astounded at the miracles wrought by Philip: he therefore thought it best himself also to acknowledge this superior power. He attached himself to Philip, and was baptized like the rest: but we are not, as the sequel shews, to understand that the preaching of the Gospel had made any impression on his heart, but that he accounted for what he saw in his own fashion. He was convinced, from the works which Philip did, that he was in league with some powerful v mid., Matt. μένας v έξίστατο. 14 ' Ακούσαντες δὲ οι έν ' Ιεροσολύμοις ABCD Mark ii 12. Mark ii 13. Mark ii 14. Mark ii

εξισταντο C1D1X1.

14. ιερουσαλημ D. for θ εου, $\chi \tilde{v}$ \aleph^1 . Œc Thl: om ABCDE \aleph o p 13 Eus Chr₂. rec ins τον bef πετρ., with IIL rel

spirit: he viewed baptism as the initiation into communion with that spirit, and expected that he should be able to make use of the higher power thus gained for his own purposes, and unite this new magical power to his own. All were baptized who professed belief in Jesus as the Messiah: there was therefore no reason for rejecting Simon, considering besides, that from the nature of the case he would for the time have given up his magical practices.' Neander, Pfl. u. 'Hoc Simonis exemplo Leit. p. 102. clare patet, non conferri omnibus indifferenter in Baptismo gratiam, quæillic figuratur. Papistarum dogma est, Nisi quis ponat obicem peccati mortalis, onmes cum signis recipere veritatem et effectum. Ita magicam vim tribuunt Sacramentis, quasi absque fide prosint. Nos autem sciamus offerri nobis a Domino per Sacramenta quicquid sonant annexæ promissiones, et non frustra nec inaniter offerri, modo fide ad Christum directi ab ipso petamus quicquid Sacramenta promittunt. Quamvis autem nihil illi tune profuerit Baptismi receptio, si tamen conversio postea secuta est, ut nonnulli conjiciunt, non extineta fuit nec abolita utilitas. Sæpe enim fit, ut post longum tempus demum operetur Spiritus Dei, quo efficaciam suam Sacramenta proferre incipiant. Calvin in loc.

14-24.] MISSION OF PETER AND JOHN TO SAMARIA. A question arises on this procedure of the Apostles :- whether it was as a matter of course, that the newly baptized should, by the laying on of hands subsequently, receive the Holy Ghost, or whether there was in the case of these Samaritans any thing peculiar, which caused the Apostles to go down to them and perform this act. (1) The only analogous case is ch. xix. 5, 6: in using which we must observe that there it is distinctly asserted that the miraculous gifts of the Spirit followed the laying on of Paul's hands; and that by the expression ίδών in ver. 18, which must be taken literally, the same is implied here. And on this point the remarks of Calvin are too important to be omitted: 'Hie occurrit quæstio. Dicit enim tantum fuisse baptizatos in nomine Christi, atque ideo nondum fuisse Spiritus participes. Atqui vel inanem et omni virtute et gratia carere Baptismum oportet, aut a Spiritu saucto habere quicquid efficaciæ habet. In Baptismo abluimur a peccatis: atqui lavaerum nostrum Spiritus sancti opus esse docet Paulus (Tit. iii. 5). Aqua Baptismi sanguinis Christi symbolum est: atqui Petrus Spiritum esse prædicat, a quo irrigamur Christi sanguine (1 Pet. i. 2). In Baptismo crucifigitur vetus noster homo, ut suscitemur in vitæ novitatem (Rom. vi. 6): unde autem hoc totum, nisi ex sanctifica-tione Spiritus? Denique Baptismo nihil reliquum fiet, si a Spiritu separetur. Ergo Samaritanos, qui vere Christum in Baptismo induerant, Spiritu quoque vestitos fuisse negandum non est (Gal. iii. 27). Et sane Lucas hic non de communi Spiritus gratia loquitur, qua nos sibi Deus in filios regenerat, sed de singularibus illis donis, quibus Dominus initio Evangelii quosdam esse præditos voluit ad ornandum Christi regnum.' And a little after: ' Papistæ, dum ficticiam suam confirmationem extollere volunt, in hanc sacrilegam vocem prorumpere non dubitant, semichristianos esse, quibus manus nondum fuerunt impositæ. (See this asserted by Dr. Wordsworth, in loc. p. 40, col. 2, bottom.) Hoc jam tolerabile non est, quod quum symbolum hoc temporale esset, ipsi perpetuam legem finxerunt in Ecclesia. Atqui fateri coguntur ipsi quoque, Ecclesiam nonnisi ad tempus donis istis fuisse ornatam. Unde sequitur, impositionem manuum, qua usi sunt Apostoli, finem habuisse, quum effectus cessavit' (in loc.). And yet after this, Dr. Wordsw. refers to "Calvin here," "in whose opinion," says R. Nelson, "this passage in the Acts shews that Confirmation was instituted by the Apostles." This example may serve to suggest extreme caution in trusting to Dr. W.'s reports of the opinions of the Fathers and ecclesiastical writers. The English church, in retaining the rite of confirmation, has not grounded it on any institution by the Apostles, but merely declared the laying on of hands on the candidates, to certify them (by this sign) of God's favour and goodness towards them, to be 'after the example of the holy Apostles.' Nor is there any trace in the office, of the conferring of the Holy Ghost by confirmation; -but a distinct recognition of the former reception of the Holy Spirit (at Baptism), and a prayer for the increase of His influence, proportioned to the maturer life now opening on the newly con² θεοῦ, ἀπέστειλαν πρὸς αὐτοὺς Πέτρον καὶ Ιωάννην, 15 οί- 2 ch. xxlv.1, τινες εκαταβάντες *προςηνέαντο *περι αυτων οπως tc λάβω- a Lukevi, 28. τινες καταραντες προςηυζαντο περιαυτων οπως Λαρως $_{\text{Lake el. 28}}^{\text{Lake el. 28}}$ σιν $^{\text{Los}}$ πνευμα $^{\text{C}}$ αγιον $^{\text{C}}$ $^{\text{C}}$

chere Sec. ch. (ii. 38) x. 47. xix. 2. John xx. 22. dof the Spirit, ch. x. 44. xi. 15 only, — Luke i. 12. ch. xiii. 11. xix. 17. Rev. xi. 11 only. Gen. xv. 12. sec Rom. xv. 3. ch. Matt. viii. 8. Mark. v. 30 al. fmt xxviii. 19. ch. xix. 5. (Rom. ri. 3.) 1 Cor. i 13, 15. w. i. 6r. ch. ii. 30. è. ch. x. 48. g 1 Cor. x. 2. Gal. iii. 27. h Luke xi. 13. xvi. 14. ch. ii. 30. è. m. 13. lame xii. 15. 2 Pet i. 8. ii. 19 iii. 14. w. part, ch. xix. 36 only i Nam. xxvii 18. Matt. 1x. 18. ch. ri. 6. Heb. vi. 2 only 4. sec 2 Chron. xx. 27.

 προς ευξ. Β. 16. om vv. 16, 17 13 (similarity of endgs). rec ουπω, with HL rel Œc Thl: txt ABCDEN p 36 Did Chr. for επ, επι D1: εν E1: txt D-corr1. D1: txt D4? $\epsilon \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu. \aleph^1.$ for κυρ., χριστου HL a d e f g h l Œc Thl-sif : aft κῦ ιῦ ins χρῦ D.

17. rec επετίθουν, with D¹EHL rel Chr Thl: txt A B(-θοσαν) C(-θείσαν) D-corr¹ or 2 🕏

o p 36 Eus Did Cyr-jer.

18. rec (for ιδων) θεασαμενος, with HL rel Thl: txt ABCDEN b'dk op 13. 36 Constt

firmed. (2) If then we have here no institution of a perpetual ordinance, something peculiar to the case before us must have prompted this journey. And here again we have a question: Was that moving cause in the Samaritans, or in Philip? I believe the true answer to the question will be found by combining both. Our Lord's command (ch. i. 8) had removed all doubt as to Samaria being a legitimate field for preaching, and Samaritan converts being admissible. (So also with regard to Gentile converts,-see ch. x., notes: but, as the church at this time believed, they must be circumcised, which the Samaritans already were,—and keep the law, which after their manner the Samaritans did.) The sudden appearance, however, of a body of baptized believers in Samaria, by the agency of one who was not one of the Apostles,-while it would excite in them every feeling of thankfulness and joy, would require their presence and power, as Apostles, to perform their especial part as the divinely appointed Founders of the Church. Add to this, that the Samaritans appear to have been credulous, and easily moved to attach themselves to individuals, whether it were Simon, or Philip; which might make the Apostles desirous to be present in person, and examine, and strengthen their faith. Another reason may have been not without its influence: the Jewish church at Jerusalem would naturally for the most part be alienated in mind from this new body of believers. The hatred between Jews and Samaritans was excessive and unrelenting. It would therefore be in the highest degree important that it should be shewn to the church at Jerusalem, that

these Samaritans, by the agency of the same Apostles, were partakers of the same visibly testified gifts of the one Spirit. The use of this argument, which was afterwards applied by Peter in the case of the Gentiles, unexpected even by himself, ch. xi. 17,-was probably no small part of the purpose of this journey to Samaria.

14. Πέτ. κ. 'Ιωάν.] Perhaps two, in accordance with the δύο δύο of their first missionary journey (Mark vi. 7): so Paul and Barnabas afterwards (ch. xiii. 2): and the same principle seems to have been adhered to even when these last separated: Paul chose Silas, Barnabas took Mark.

Peter,-because to him belonged, in this early part of the Gospel, in a remarkable manner, the first establishing of the church; it was the fulfilment of the promise enl ταύτη τῆ πέτρα οἰκοδομήσω μου τὴν ἐκ-κλησίαν. It was he who had (in common with all the Apostles, it is true, but in this early period more especially committed to him) τὰς κλείδας τῆς βασιλείας τῶν οὐρανῶν,—who opened the door to the 3000 on the day of Pentecost, now (as a formal and ratifying act) to the Samaritans, and in ch. x. to the Gentiles. So far, is plain truth of Scripture history. The monstrous fiction begins, when to Peter is attributed a fixed diocese and successors, and to those successors a delegated power more like that ascribed to Simon Magus than that promised to Peter. This is the last time that John appears in the Acts. He is only once more mentioned in the N. T. (except in the Revelation), viz., as having been present in Jerusalem at Paul's visit, 15. προςηύξ.] So laying on of hands is preceded by prayer, ch. vi. 6;

g cb. [vii. 1] xvii. 27.

1 pres., ch. xvi. ἀποστόλων lm δίδοται τὸ m πνευμα, m προςήνεγκεν αυτοίς ABCDE

d Luke ii. 3 (i). Exod. xviii. 34 (38) al. f. eher only. 2 f. viii. 6 only. see Heb. vii. 1 ($-\mu$, $-k_c$). Rev. ii. 21 al.4. $+k_c$) 2 (or. xvii. 21). Anab. iii. 2 · 2. Ana Bas Chr₂ Damase Taras. rec aft πν. ins το αγιον (common addition, and suspicious wherever there is any variation in MSS), with ACDEHL rel 36 vss Chr: om BX sah προςηνεγκαν D : txt Di.

19. ins παρακαλων και bef λεγ. D. Steph av, with DH a b2 g h 1 m o 36 Constt

20. αυτους \mathbf{R}^1 , om το and σου \mathbf{D}^1 : ins \mathbf{D}^1 . [N.B. D-lat is wanting from το αργυριον σου to ch x. 4.] Cyr-jer Chr Taras : txt ABCELN rel Œc Thl.

21. μερος E l. om γαρ D1 1771: txt D3. ree ενωπιον (corrn to more usual word), with EHL rel Constt Ath Taras Thl: εναντιον Ch p 13 Bas Chr Damase: txt ABDN 36.

22. rec for κυρ., θεου (corrn from ver 21: or doctrinal?), with HL rel vulg Syr Taras Thl: txt ABCDEN k o p 13 syr coptt arm Constt Ath Bas Chr Ambr. αφηθησεται σου D1 l1: txt D2.

xiii. 3. 18. ίδών Its effects were therefore visible (see above), and consequently the effect of the laving on of the Apostles' hands was not the inward but the outward miraculous gifts of the Spirit.

προςήν. αὐτ. χρήματα] De W. excellently remarks, 'He regarded the capability of imparting the Holy Spirit,rightly, as something conferred, as a de-rived power (see ref. Matt.), but wrongly, as one to be obtained by an external method, without an inward disposition: and, since in external commerce every thing may be had for gold, he wanted to buy it. This is the essence of the sin of Simony, which is intimately connected with unbelief in the power and signification of the Spirit, and with materialism.'

Clearly, from the narrative, Simon himself did not receive the Spirit by the laying on of hands. His nefarious attempt to trent with the Apostles was before he himself had been presented to them for this purpose.

20. The solemn denunciation of Peter, like the declaration of Paul, 1 Cor. vi. 13, has reference to the perishableness of all worldly good, and of those with it, whose chief end is the use of it (see Col. ii. 22), 'Thy gold and thou are equally on the way to corruption:' thy gold, as its nature is: thou with it, as having no higher life than

the σύνδεσμος της άδικίας. The expression of Peter, 1 Pet. i. 7, χρυσίου τοῦ ἀπολλυμένου, is remarkably parallel with this (see too 1 Pet. i. 18). evópious] aor. thou thoughtest: not 'thou hast thought,' as E. V. The historic force of the tense is to be kept here: the Apostle uses it as looking forward to the day of ἀπώλεια, 'Let thy lot be ἀπ., and that because thou thought-the second fig. (see reff.), but not without reference perhaps to the κληρονομία of the kingdom of God, the κλ. άφθαρτος, 1 Pct. 1.4. τῷ λόγ. τούτ.] The matter now spoken of,—'to which! I now allude.' εὐθεῖα Hardly, 'right before God,' E. V., but thy heart is not right,—sincere, single-meaning,—in God's presence, 'as God sees it:' i.e., 'seen as it really is, by God, is not in earnest in its seeking after the gospel, but seeks it with unworthy ends in view.' 22.] εί ἄρα, if perhaps (not 'ut sane,' which it will not bear : see on its meaning, "if, which none can say," Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 410): and the uncertainty refers, not to the doubt whether Simon would repent or not (see below on $\gamma d\rho$): but as to

thy natural corrupt one: as being bound in

klmo p 13

 $^{\rm h}$ ἀφεθήσεταί σοι ἡ $^{\rm h}$ ἐπίνοια τῆς καρδίας σου. $^{\rm 23~k}$ εἰς γὰρ $^{\rm h}$ $^{\rm -Rom, ir.}$ τοι $^{\rm im}$ χολὴν $^{\rm mo}$ πικρίας καὶ $^{\rm op}$ σύνδεσμον $^{\rm p}$ ἀδικίας ὁρῶ σε ὅντα. $^{\rm io}$ (let 3 x. lot 24 ἀποκριθεὶς δὲ ὁ Σίμων εἶπεν Δεήθητε ὑμεῖς ὑπὲρ ἐμοῦ $^{\rm io}$ χ. $^{\rm io}$ πρὸς τὸν κύριον, ὅπως μηδὲν $^{\rm r}$ ἐπέλθη ἐπ΄ ἐμὲ ὧν εἰρήκατε. $^{\rm io}$ τοι χίνιους. $^{\rm io}$ του κύριον, ὅπως μηδὲν $^{\rm r}$ ἐπέλθη ἐπ΄ ἐμὲ ὧν εἰρήκατε. $^{\rm io}$ τοι χίνιους. ABCDE ε ειτ... ΄ λογον ταυ κυρίου, υπεστρεφον εις Γεροσοποκία. (271. Εβι). ΑΒΕΟΙΕ ΕΙκα ο τε "κώμας των Σαμαρειτων " εὐηγγελίζοντο' 26 άγγελος (271. Εβι). (13. Η Heb. sti. 15 only.

© Fph. 1r. 3. Col. li, 10, iii 14 only, L.P.

E Luke xvi (S. ch. li, 40 al.). Thes, i.e., 6. Heb. ii. b. L.P. Li, Jer. vi, 10.

E Luke xvi (S. ch. li, 40 al.). Thes, i.e., 6. Heb. ii. b. L.P. Li, Jer. vi, 10.

Luke i. 6 al. fr. Luke only, exc. (Mark xiv, 40 rec.) Gal. i. 17. Heb vil. 1. 2 Pet. ii. 21. Cena xilii. Li v. Nistt. ix, 35. Luke ix. 6 2 al. fr. Josh. xiii. 30.

Isa. xi. 9(7).

23. ην $(= \epsilon \nu ?)$ γαρ πικριας χολη κ. συνδεσμω D^1 : εις γαρ πικριαν χολης κ. συνδεσμον

for ορω, θεωρω DE Constt Chr. ins παρακαλω bef aft einer ins moos autous D (eth). 24. om o EH. δεηθ. D 137. 180 syr-w-ast Constt. D1 has altered δεηθητε to δεηθητι. for κυρ., θεον (see above, ver 22) D k m o 13 demid fuld syrr περι D1 96: txt D2. for επ' εμε, μοι D: εμοι e: om επ' C. ins τουτων (but κυριον syr-marg) æth. aft ειρ. ins κακων E: for wv, ov Di: ws L: txt D2. των κακων bef ων D.

μοι D, addg also os πολλα κλαιων ου διελυμπανεν D1 syr-marg.

for κυρ., θεου A 68 demid syrr copt 25. διαμαρτυρομένοι LN d f l o Thl-sif. rec υπεστρεψαν (alteration to historic æth Thl-sif-comm : om τ . κ . 3. 4¹. 65. tense), with CEHL rel vss Thl: txt ABDR p 36 vulg Aug. rec ιερουσαλημ (corrn to common form, see ver 26. It has been suggested that -rolving occurs here as belonging to a narrative in which this form has been the one used, see vv 1, 14; whereas in the follg narrative, -σαλημ is used, vv 26, 27), with HL rel vulg Œe Thl: txt ABCDEN c k o p 13, 36 Chr. for τε, δε D. rec ευηγγελιστων δε e above on υπεστρ.), with HL rel E-lat copt Chr Thl: txt ABCD E-gr N p 36 vulg sah Aug. for τε, δε D. rec ευηγγελισαντο (see above,

whether or not his sin may not have come under the awful category of those unpardonable ones specified by our Lord, Matt. xii. 31, to which words the form ἀφεθήσεται seems to have a tacit reference. Peter does not pronounce his sin to have been such, but throws in this doubt, to increase the motive to repent, and the earnestness of his repentance. This verse is important, taken in connexion with John xx. 23, as shewing how completely the Apostles themselves referred the forgiveness of sins to, and left it in, the sovereign power of God, and not to their own delegated power of absolution.

23.] yap gives the reasons, not why it would be difficult for forgiveness to take place, but why he had such extreme need of repentance and prayer, as being tied and bound by the chain of sin. eis a pregnant construction - having fallen into and abiding in : not to be taken (as Kuin., &c.) as 'amounting to,'-'totus quantus es, nil nisi venenum amarum es et colligatio iniquitatis,' which is very harsh, and improbable: nor (as Stier) is it prophetic, as to what would be the consequence, if he did not repent: 'I see that thou wilt come to,' &c. Least of all must it be said, here or any where else, that eis is put for èv. I cannot too often remind my younger readers, that it is a fundamental maxim of all sound scholarship, that no word is ever put for another.

χολ. πικρ.] see reff. 'the gall which is the very seat and essence of bitterness' a very gall of bitterness. The poison of serpents was considered to be scated in their gall: so χολή ἀσπίδος ἐν γαστρὶ αὐτοῦ, Job xx. 14. See Plin. H. N. xi. 37.

24.] Simon speaks here much as Pharaoh, Exod. (viii. 28; ix. 28) x. 17,— who yet hardened his heart afterwards (Stier). It is observable also that he wishes merely for the averting of the punishment. The words ὅπως μηδὲν ἐπ-ἐλθη ἐπ' ἐμὲ ὧν εἰρήκατε seem remarkably to set forth the mere terror of the carnal man, without any idea of the ¿μέ becoming another man in thoughts and aims.

25-40. Conversion of the Æthio-PIAN EUNUCH BY PHILIP'S TEACHING.

25.] μεν ουν indicates (see note on ver. 4) that the paragraph should begin here, not at ver. 26 as commonly. κώμας τ. Σαμ.] It is interesting to recall Luke ix. 52, where on their entering into a κώμην Σαμ., the same John wishes to eall down fire from heaven, και ἀναλῶσαι αὐτούς. On constr. (εὐαγγ. w. aecus.), see

The gradual sowing of the seed further and further from Jerusalem is

26. $\text{moreu}\theta\eta\tau\iota$ CD.—arastas mor. D 40. for kata, pros E 130. 180 Chr. for ett. is H: om p. aft 2nd $\tau\eta\nu$ ins kaloumeryr $\aleph^1(\aleph^3)$ disapproving). om estip p.

advancing: not only is this cunuch to carry it to a far distant land, but Philip is sent to a desert road, away from town or village, to seek him. The imperfects (altered in the ree., see var. readd., into aorists) are significant. They were on their way back to Jerusalem, and were evangelizing the Samaritan villages, when the angel spake (aor.) to Philip. 26.] An angel, visibly appearing: not in a dream,-which is not, as some suppose, implied by aváστηθι, see reff. The ministration of angels introduces and brings about several occurrences in the beginning of the church, see ch. v. 19; x. 3; xii. 7 (xxvii. 23). The appearance seems to have taken place in Samaria, after the departure of Peter and John; see above, on the imperfects.

He would reach the place appointed by a shorter way than through Jerusalem : he would probably follow the high road (of the itineraries, see map in Conybeare and Howson's St. Paul) as far as Gophna, and thence strike across the country southwestward to join, at some point to which he would be guided, the road leading from Jerusalem to Gaza. \[\Gaza \] The southernmost city of Canaan (Gen. x. 19), in the portion of Judah (Josh. xv. 47), but soon taken from that tribe by the Philistines, and always spoken of as a Philistian city (1 Sam. vi. 17; 2 Kings xviii. 8; Amos i. 6-8; Zeph. ii. 4; Zech. ix. 5). In Jer. xlvii. 1, we have 'before Pharaoh (Necho?) smote Gaza,'-implying that at one time it was under Egypt. Alexander the Great took it after a siege of five months (Q. Curt. iv. 6, 7. Arrian, Alex. ii. 26), but did not destroy it (as Strabo relates in error, xvi. 759, see below in this note), for we find it a strong place in the subsequent Syrian wars, see 1 Mace. (ix. 52) xi. 61, f.; xiii. 43 (xiv. 7; xv. 28; xvi. 1); Jos. Antt. xiii. 5. 5; 13. 3 al. It was destroyed by the Jewish king Alexander Jannaus (96 A.C.), Jos. Antt. xiii. 13. 3, after a siege of a year, but rebuilt again by the Roman general Gabinius (Antt. xiv. 5. 3),—afterwards given by Augustus to Herod (xv. 7. 3), and tinally after his death attached to the province of Syria (xvii. 11. 4). Mela, in the time of Chandius, ealls it 'ingens urbs et munita admodum,' with which agree Eusebius and Jerome. At present it is a large town by the same name, with from 15,000 to 16,000 inhabitants (Robinson, ii. 640). The above chronological notices shew that it cannot have been έρημος at this time: αυτη έστιν έρημος] The see below. words, I believe, of the angel, not of Luke. There appear to have been two (if not more) ways from Jerusalem to Gaza. The Antonine itinerary passes from Jerus. to Eleutheropolis—Askalon—Gaza. The Peutinger Table, Jerus.—Ceperaria—Eleutheropolis-Askalon-Gaza. But Robinson (ii. 748. Winer, RWB.) found an ancient road leading direct from Jerusalem to Gaza. through the Wadi Musurr, and over the Beit Jiibrin, which certainly at present is έρημος, without towns or villages. Thus the words will refer to the way : and denote the way of which I speak to thee is desert (Schöttg. cites from Arrian, iii. p. 211, ἐρήμην δὲ εἶναι τὴν όδὸν δι' ἀνυδρίαν). Besides the above objection to applying έρημος to Gaza, there could be no possible reason for adding such a specification here, seeing that Gaza had nothing to do with the object of the journey, and the road would be designated the road from Jerusalem to Gaza, whether the latter city was inhabited, or in ruins. Those who apply ξρημος to Gaza, have various ways of reconciling the apparent discrepancy with history : most of them follow Bede's explanation, that the ancient city was Epquos. and that the Gaza of this day was another town nearer the sea. But how this helps the matter I cannot perceive, unless we are to suppose that the deserted Gaza and the inhabited Gaza were so far apart that it was necessary to specify which was meant, because there would be from Jerusalem two different roads,-of which no trace is found, nor could it well be. Some again suppose (Hug, al.) that the Acts were written after the second Gaza was destroyed (Jos. B. J. ii. 18. 1), just before the destruction of Jerusalem, and that Luke inserts this notice: but to what purpose? and why no more such notices? In the passage of Strabo, commonly cited to support the application of tonuos to Gaza,

27. rec ins $\tau\eta s$ bef $\beta a\sigma$. (corrn), with HL rel Chr Thl: om ABCDEN p.—D¹ adds $\tau \nu \sigma s$. $a \nu \tau \sigma \nu$ D¹: txt D². om 2nd os AC¹D'N¹ vulg sah Œe (corrn for constr sake, to prevent ann being pendent, and make it the nom to $\epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \sigma \epsilon \iota$): ins BC²D²EHLN³ rel syrr copt ath Chr Thl: $\delta \sigma 13$. om $\epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu \iota$ D²L.

28. for $\tau \epsilon$, $\delta \epsilon$ BC E-lat syr coptt Chr. om 1st $\kappa \iota$ (as unnecessary to the constr) D¹

28. for τ_{ϵ} , δ_{ϵ} BC E-lat syr coptt Chr. om 1st κa_{ϵ} (as unnecessary to the constr) D¹ 40 vulg copt: $\sin D^2$. om τ_{ϵ} ov $\cos \tau_{\epsilon}$ of $\cos D^2$: $\cos D^$

ἔνδοξός ποτε γενομένη, κατεπασμένη δ' όπδ' Αλεξάνδρου (the Great, according to Strabo, which it was not) καὶ μένουσα έρημος, the last three words are wanting in some edd, and are supposed to have been a gloss from the Acts. Others suppose ἔρημος to signify 'unfortified,' which standing alone it cannot. Besides, this notice would be wholly irrelevant;—and would probably not have been true,—see Mela above. The objection of Meyer to the interpretation given above, that if ἔρημ, referred to ἡ ὁδός, the article would be expressed, is not valid: the emphasis is on αὐτη; 'that way, of which I speak, is desert;' not, 'is the desert one;' no reference is made to the other.

27. εὐνοῦχος] The very general use of eunuchs in the East for filling offices of confidence, and the fact that this man was minister to a female sovereign, makes it probable that he was literally an eunuch. If not so, the word would hardly have been expressed. No difficulty arises from Deut. xxiii. 1, for no inference can be drawn from the history further than that he may have been a proselyte of the gate, in whose case the prohibition would not apply. Nay, the whole occurrence seems to have had one design, connected with this fact. The walls of partition were one after another being thrown down: the Samaritans were already in full possession of the Gospel: it was next to be shewn that none of those physical incapacities which excluded from the congregation of the Lord under the old covenant, formed any bar to Christian baptism and the inheritance among believers; and thus the way gradually paved for the great and as yet incomprehensible truth of Gal. Κανδάκης] As Pharaoh among iii. 28.

the Egyptians was the customary name of kings, so Candace of the queens among the Athiopians in upper Egypt (Alθίσπες ὑπὲρ Αλγύπτου οἰκοῦντες, Dio Cass. liv. 5),—in the island of Meroe, Plin. vi. 29, where he says, 'Ipsum oppidum Meroen ab introitu insulæ abesse LXX m. pass. Regnare fæminam Candacen, quod nomen multis jam annis ad reginas transiit. Cæterum cum potirentur rerum Æthiopes, insula ea magnæ claritatis fuit.' γάζης] A Persian term. Q. Curt. iii. 13. 5, ' pecuniam regiam, quam gazam Persæ vocant.' See Virg. Æn. i. 119. δς ἐληλύθει...] This did not only Jews and proselytes, but also those pious Gentiles who adhered to Judaism,—the proselytes of the gate, see John xii. 20. Euseb. ii. 1, prope fin., speaking of this eunuch says, ον πρώτον έξ έθνων πρός του Φιλίππου δι' επιφανείας τὰ τοῦ θείου λόγου ὅργια μετασχόντα, τῶν τε ανα την οικουμένην πιστών απαρχην γενόμενον κ.τ.λ., taking for granted that he was a Gentile. There were (see below, ch. xi. 21) cases of Gentile conversion before that of Cornelius; and the stress of the narrative in ch. x. consists in the miscellaneous admission of all the Gentile company of Cornelius, and their official reception into the church by that Apostle to whom was especially given the power. We may remark, that if even the plain revelation by which the reception of Cornelius and his company was commanded failed finally to convince Peter, so that long after this he vacillated (Gal. ii. 11, 12), it is no argument for the eunuch not being a Gentile, that his conversion and baptism did not remove the prejudices of the Jewish Christians. 28. aveyivwokev aloud,

n absol, ch. x. ποοφήτην 'Ησαΐαν. 29 είπεν δε το η πυεύμα τῷ Φιλίππω ...φιλιπ-δραμών δε ο Φίλιππος ήκουσεν αυτού " αναγινώσκοντος dighk υπιστικός ηκουσεί ανακατικός η al.
qinterrog, here μή τις 8 ύδηγήση με; τπαρεκάλεσεν τε τον Φίλιππον " ανα-

uily. see Luke xviii. 8. βάντα καθίσαι σὺν αὐτῷ. 32 ἡ δὲ ˇ περιοχὴ τῆς ˇ γραφῆς - Μακίν. ην m ανεγίνωσκεν ην αυτη· 'Ως x πρόβατον έπι y σφαγην υπι το το τηχ (0, 1) και ως αμυος εναντιο. (0, 1) την (0, 1) τ * ήχθη, καὶ ώς * άμνὸς * έναντίον τοῦ ° κείραντος αὐτὸν $\frac{x_{i}, 7}{p_{s}}$, $\frac{x_{i}, 7}{x_{i}}$ only. $\frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{$

v. 17. Luke viii, 41. u = Luke v. 19. xix. 4 al. 3 Kings xii. 18. v + bere only 1. 4 Kings xii. 21. Ps. xxx. 21. (-f.xcu, ch. xxiii. 22.) ps. xxii. 23. Ps. xxii. 21. f. f.xcu, ch. xxiii. 22.) y = Rom. ix. 17 reff. x Matt. x. 16. al. bin oil. y = Rom. ix. 17 reff. x Matt. x. 16. al. bin oil. y = Rom. ix. 17 reff. x Matt. x. 16. al. bin oil. y = Rom. ix. 18. al. f. bin oil. y = Rom. ix. 18. al. f. bin oil. y = Rom. ix. 18. al. f. bin oil. y = Rom. ix. 18. al. f. bin oil. y = Rom. ix. 18. al. f. bin oil. y = Rom. ix. 18. al. f. bin oil. y = Rom. ix. 18. al. f. bin oil. y = Rom. ix. 18. al. f. bin oil. y = Rom. ix. 18. al. f. bin oil. y = Rom. ix. 18. al. f. bin oil. y = Rom. ix. 18. al. f. bin oil. y = Rom. ix. 18. al. f. bin oil. y = Rom. ix. 18. al. f. bin oil. y = Cont. xxii. 19. al. f. bin oil. y = Rom. ix. 18. al. f. bin oil. y = Rom. ix. 18. al. f. bin oil. y = Cont. xxii. 19. a

 $\eta \sigma$. bef τ . $\pi \rho \circ \phi$. C m vulg(not am fuld demid).

30. rec τον πρ. bef ησ. (corrn to same order as previously), with EHL p rel syr copt Thl: txt ABCN 13 vulg sah Chr.

31. om γαρ E o 105 sah. for τε, δε E coptt. om av A. με bef οδ. C. (οδηγησει ΒΙCN.)

32. rec κειροντος (so Lxx-B), with B p rel Orig Cyr-jer Thl: txt (so Lxx-A) ACEHLN f k l¹ m o¹ 36 Ign Chron. ουτος HL f m2 o 13.

33. om 1st autou (corrn to LXX) ABN vulg. om de (corrn to LXX) ABCN vulg syr

see next verse. Schöttg, quotes from the Rabbis: 'Qui in itinere constitutus est, neque comitem habet, is studeat in Lege.'

He probably read in the LXX, the use of which was almost universal in Egypt. The word περιοχή below (see on ver. 32) is not decisive (Olsh.) against this (as if there were περιοχαί only in the Hebrew, not in the LXX), as it would naturally be used as well of one as the other by those eognizant of the term. Besides, must there not have been περιοχαί in the copies of the LXX read in the synagogues i

29. This is the first mention of that inner prompting of the Spirit referred to again, probably ch. xiii. 2, but certainly ch. x. 19; xvi. 6, 7. Chrysostom understands the words of the appearance of an angel, but the text hardly allows it. κολλ.] no stress-attach thyself to. 30.] αρά $\gamma \epsilon = Yea$, but . . . ; q. d. It is well, thou art well en ployed : but . . .? On the force of apa, used "ubi responsio expectatur negans id de quo erat interrogatum," see Hermann on Viger, p. 821. The ye strengthens the apa, implying the passing over of all other considerations, and selecting this as the most important: see Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 376 f. It assumes, modestly, that he did not understand what he was reading. γινώσκ. & άναγ.]

So 2 Cor. iii. 2. So too Cato (Wetst.), 'Legere et non intelligere nec legere est. "Valck. compares the celebrated paronomasia of Julian the Apostate, ἀνέγνων, έγνων, κατέγνων, and the courageous reply of the Christian Bishop to him, ανέγνως, αλλ' οὐκ έγνως εἰ γὰρ έγνως, οὐκ ἄν κατέγνως." Wordsw. 31.] yap gives the reason of the negative which is understood. The answer expresses at once humility and docility. 32.7 Perhaps it is best to render, The contents of the (passage of) Scripture which he was reading were as follows: see περιέχει, 1 Pet. ii. 6. Cicero indeed appears to use περιοχή in the sense of a 'paragraph,' or 'chapter;' ad Attic. iii. 25, 'At ego ne Tironi quidem dietavi, qui totas περιοχάς persequi solet, sed Spintharo syllabatim.' The citation is from the LXX alex., with only the variation of avtov inserted after ταπεινώσει [and δέ before γενεάν].

33. ἐν τῆ ταπεινώσει αὐτοῦ ἡ κρίσις αὐτ. ηρθη] Heb. 'He was taken away by distress and judgment:' i. e. as Lowth, 'by an oppressive judgment.' γενεών αὐτοῦ] i. e., the age in which He shall live—'the wickedness of his contemporaries.' The fathers, and Bede (and so Dr. Wordsw.), explain 'His generation' of His eternal Sonship and His miraculous Incarnation. αὐτοῦ τίς $^{\bf k}$ διηγήσεται ; ὅτι $^{\bf h}$ αἴρεται ἀπὸ τῆς γῆς ἡ ζωὴ $^{\bf k}$ constr., here αὐτοῦ. 34 ἀποκριθεὶς δὲ ὁ $^{\bf t}$ τὐνοῦχος τῷ Φιλίππῳ εἶπεν $^{\bf m}$ Δέρμαὶ $^{\bf m}$ σου, περὶ τίνος ὁ προφήτης λέγει τοῦτο ; περὶ $^{\bf m}$ τίνος ὁ προφήτης λέγει τοῦτο ; περὶ $^{\bf m}$ τίνος $^{\bf t}$ $^{\bf m}$ ανοίξας δὲ ὁ Φίλιππος $^{\bf t}$ $^{$ only, = Matt. v. 2, xii. 35, from Ps. lxxvii. 2, ch. x. 34, xviii. 14. Γκατα την όδον, ηλθον επί τι "ύδωο, και φησιν ό εὐνοῦ- " χος Ίδου υδωρ τί κωλύει με βαπτισθήναι; 38 και έκέ-Jobiii. 1. se Eph. vi. 19. o ch. i. 22 reff. p ch. i. 16 reff. ch. xi. 20 reff. s = John iii. 23. q constr.,

sah: ins EHL p 13 rel tol copt Chr Thl Iren-int.

for εαυ., αυτου H. 34. om τουτο B-txt: ins B1-marg. Tivos bef ετερου Ε.

35. om o E c 137. aft ταυτης ins και κι (κ3 glisapproving).

36. ιδωρ (2nd) ℵ¹.

[37. rec inserts ειπε δε ο Φιλιππος ει πιστυεις εξ ολης της καρδιας εξεστιν αποκριθεις δε είπε πίστευω τον υίον του θεου είναι τον ίησουν χρίστον, with (E) and 10 others specified by Scholz(addg "alii permulti") am2 demid syr-w-ast arm Iren-gr(and lat) Œc Thl-fin-txt Cypr Jer Aug Prædest Pacian-aft δε ins αυτω E al-om o φιλ. 36 syr-for ει, εαν Ε-aft καρδ. ins σου Ε Cypr Prædest-for εξεστιν, σωθησει Ε; alii aliter-aft πιστ. Ε has εις τον χρ. τον υιον τ. θ.—spec reads the whole thus et respondens spado ait Credo filium dei esse Chr Jes .-- : om ABCHLX 13(sic) rel and 44 others specified by Scholz(adding "alii plurimi") am1 fuld syrr coptt ath Chr, Ce-ms Thl-sif Bede. (The insertion appears to have been made to suit the formularies of the baptismal liturgies, it being considered strange that the eunuch should have been baptized without some such confession.)]

But the Heb. does not seem to bear this out. See the meaning discussed at length, and another interpretation defended in Stier, Jesaias, &c., pp. 466-470. Cf. also Gesenius' Thesaurus under אוני.

34. ἀποκριθείς] to the passage of Scripture, considered as the question proposed: not, to the question in ver. 30. We can hardly suppose any immediate re-

ference in ἐτέρου τινόs to Christ.
36. τὶ ὕδωρ] In the scholia to Jerome's Epitaph of Paulla (not in Jerome himself) on the words, 'A Bethsur venit,' we have, 'hæc ætate Hieronymi vocabatur Bethsura : vicus est in tribu Juda, obvius vigesimo lapide euntibus ab Hierosolyma Chebron. Juxta hunc fons est ad radices montis ebulliens, qui ab eadem in qua gignitur humo sorbetur. In hoc fonte putant eunuchum Candacis Reginæ baptizatum fuisse.' Jerome's own words [Ep. 108 (27) ad Eustochium, 11, p. 700] are: 'ecepit per viam veterem pergere quæ ducit Gazam et tacita secum volvere, quomodo Eunuchus Æthiops, gentium populos præfigurans, mutaverit pellem suam, et dum vetus relegit instrumentum, fontem reperit Evangelii. Atque inde ad dexteram transit. A Bethsur venit Escol' . . . where no reference is made to the tradition, save what may be inferred from the mention of Bethsur. Eusebius also (περὶ τό- $\pi\omega\nu$) states it to be twenty miles south of Jerusalem in the direction of Hebron: and so it is set down in the Jerus. Itin, and the Peutinger Tab. (Howson's map.) Pocock found there a fountain built over, and a village called Betur on the left. Fabri describes the fountain as the head of a considerable brook, and found near it the ruins of a Christian church. There is no improbability in the tradition except that, even supposing a way going across from Hebron straight to Gaza to be called ξρημος, this would not be on that portion of it, but on the high road (Winer, RWB.). $\tau \ell$ $\kappa_{\perp} \lambda$, μ , $\beta \alpha \pi$. There is no reason for supposing Philip to have preached to him the necessity of baptism: his own acquaintance with Jewish practices, and perhaps his knowledge of the progress of the new faith in Jerusalem, would account for the proposition. [37.] The authorities against this verse are too strong to permit its in-sertion. It appears to have been one of those remarkable additions to the text of the Acts, common in D (which is here deficient) and its cognates: few of which, however, have found their way into the received text. This was made very early, as Ireneus has it. The MSS, which contain it vary exceedingly: another strong

y = John vi. 15. 2 Cor. xii. 2, 4. Rer. xii. 5. ησταζεν ό ποταμός, Xen. Anah. iv. 3, 6. Judg. xxl. 21, zeter only. Josh. iii. 4. a = ch. ii. 27. xx. 14. Matt. ii. 25. Luke xi. 7 al, see ver. 20 ref.

38. εις το υδωρ bef αμφοτεροι E c h 37. 180 syr copt Chr.

39. for εκ, απο Ε e f o 137. 177. 180. αγγελος κυριου ηρπασεν τον φιλιππον αγγελος δε κυριου Α\(^1\): πνευμα αγιον επεπεσεν επι τον ευνουχον αγγελος δε (see note) Α-corrl 15. 18. 27. 29. 36. 60. 100 syr-w-ast(but for αγγ, κυριου) arm: Jerome's testimony is doubtful. On Isa kiii. 14, p. 754, "Spiritus Domini ductor ejus fuit," he says, id est, gregis Domini, Spiritum autem hic Angelum debemus intelligere, qui ductor fuit populi Israel, juxta illud quod scriptum est [Ps civ. 4, Heb. 1.14]. Consideremus illud quod in Act. Ap. scribitur, "Spiritus Domini rapuit Philippum, et non vidit eum ultra eunuchus," an super Angelo debeamus accipere. Sunt qui Angelum in Spiritu snacto hace fecises testentur. But in Dial. adv. Lucif. 9, p. 182, he says Indevenit ut sine chrismate et episcopi jussione, neque presbyter, neque diaconus jus habeaut baptizandi. . Ut enim accipit quis, ita et dare potest: nisi forte cunuchus a Philippo diacono baptizatus sine Spiritu sancto fuisse credendus est, de quo scriptura ita loquitur "Et descenderunt ambo. . et quum abscederent ab aqua, Spiritus sanctus veniti in Ecunuchum." Si autem illud objiciendum putas quia "Cum audivissant. . . [vv 14—17]" —: txt is supported by Chr(who says obséri άγγελος άλλά το πνεῦμα αὐτον ἀρτάγος γου οδον Β.

mark of spuriousness in a disputed passage. See var. readd. Dr. Wordsw. retains it, citing Bornemann as doing the same; but it is Bornemann's principle that all these insertions of D and its cognates formed part of the original text: so that his authority goes for nothing. Dr.W. also states that it is found in the codex amiatinus of the vulgate, which it is not, except as a correction a secunda manu.] 38. ἐκέλ. viz. the eunuch. κυρ. ήρπ. τ. Φ.] The reading, ' the Spirit fell on the Eunuch, and an angel of the Lord caught away Philip,' is curious, and has probably arisen from a desire to conform the results of the cunuch's baptism to the usual method of the divine procedure, and the snatching away of Philip to his commission, ver. 26. But the Spirit did not fall on the Samaritans after baptism The text clearly relates a by Philip. supernatural disappearance of l'hilip : compare μήποτε ήρεν αὐτὸν πνεῦμα κυρίου, 4 Kings ii. 16; no interpretation (as Eichhorn, Kuin., Olsh., Meyer) of his being suddenly hurried away by the prompting of the Spirit, will satisfy the analogy of the above eited passage, and of (see below) a parallel one in Luke's own Gospel. The άρπάζειν of ref. John, which Meyer cites to justify his view, tells in my mind the other way; the fear was lest the multitude should come

and carry Him off to make Him a King: and in the reff. I have therefore marked the two as bearing the same meaning.

ούκ είδεν αὐτὸν οὐκέτι] Not 'never saw him from that day,' though (see below) that meaning may be indirectly included :but as Luke xxiv. 31, αὐτὸς ἄφαντος ἐγένετο ἀπ' αὐτῶν, and as in the strictly parallel words of 4 Kings ii. 12, our elder αὐτὸν ἔτι,—after the going up of Elijah. These last words in my view decide the question, that the departure of Philip was miraculous. γάρ refers to what follows (Φ. δè εύρ.). Philip was found at Azotus: if the eunuch had gone that way, he might have met with him again : but he did not, for he went from the fountain on his own way, which did not lead through Azotus.

40. εύρ. εἰς "Αζ] A constr. prægnans,—was borne to, and found at. The word εδρέθη again appears to refer to 4 Kings ii. 17. AZOTUS or ASHDOD (Josh. xiii. 3; 1 Sam. v. 5 al.) was one of the five principal cities of the Philistines, never, though nominally in Judah, thoroughly subjugated by the Jews :- it was taken by Tartan the Assyrian general (Isa. xx. 1),-again by Psammetichus, Herod. ii. 157; Jer. xxv. 20,-again by Judas Maccabæus (1 Mace. v. 68) and Jonathan (ib. x. 84), and by the latter destroyed; -rebuilt by Gabinius (Jos. Antt. xiv. 5. 3.

χόμενος εὐηγγελίζετο τὰς πόλεις πάσας, εως τοῦ constr., ver.
ελθεῖν αὐτὸν εἰς Καισάοειαν.

έλθεῖν αὐτὸν εἰς Καισάρειαν. $IX. \ ^1 O δὲ Σαῦλος ἔτι ε ἐμπνέων <math>^1$ ἀπειλῆς καὶ φόνον $^{ε} εἰς τοὺς μαθητὰς τοῦ κυρίου, <math>^h$ προςελθών τῷ ἀρχιερεῖ ε ὑτοῦς λ ιἡτήσατο i παρ' αὐτοῦ k ἐπιστολὰς 1 εἰς h Δαμασκὸν πρὸς ναὶ (καὶ)

σaτο παρ αυτου επίστολας εις Δαμασκου προς vat. (and (-vruenc, Ps. xxiii.15.) fch.ir. (1/1) 20. Eph. v1. 9 only. Joh xxiii, 6. io. fch. xxiii. 13. 0. Rom viii. 7 al. (-b. xxiii. 13 al. 7 al. 1. John xii. 21. ch. xxiii. 14 al. 8 Kings xxii. (xx.) 13. (xx

40. τ as π ολ. π a. bef ϵ υ. A. [ms 13 is very much defaced from viii. 30 to ix. 1, but the words κωλυει μ ε βαπτισθηνια και can be read, thus shewing the onto fver 37; again, in ver. 39, almost the only syllables legible are π reμυμα κυριου η ρπ, thus shewing that cod. colb. does not here, as frequently elsw, agree with Λ 's peculiar reading. Such are the results in two verses alone of Dr. Tregelles' painstaking collation of the mutilated parts of this important ms.]

Chap. IX. 1. for $\epsilon\tau_i$, ot B^1 : om \aleph^1 1 24. 26. 78. 126 sah. 2. $\epsilon\pi_i\sigma\tau_0\lambda\alpha_S$ bef $\pi\alpha\rho$ autou \aleph .

B. J. i. 7. 7), and belonged to the kingdom of Herod, who left it in his will to his sister Salome (Antt. xvii. 8. 1; 11. 5). At present, it is a small village, retaining the name Esdud, but no remains. (Robinson, ii. 629; iii. 1, 232. Winer, RWB.) $\tau \lambda \approx \pi \delta \cdot \lambda \epsilon \sin \pi \delta \cos \pi \delta \sin \phi \delta \sin \pi \delta \delta \sin \pi \delta \delta \sin \pi \delta$

also (which seems implied ch. ix. 32).

Kaurápetav] See note, ch. x. 1.

CHAP. IX. 1-30.] CONVERSION OF

SAUL. 1.] The narrative is taken up from ch. viii. 3, but probably with some interval, sufficient perhaps to cover the events of ch. viii. ἐμπνέων] Meyer charges the ordinary interpretation, 'breathing,' i.e. as in E.V., 'breathing out,' with an arbitrary neglect of the composition of the word. He would render it 'inhaling,' with the partitive genitives signifying the element. But the sense would thus be flat; and there seems to be no need for pressing the sense of the compound verb. We should perhaps hardly render it breathing out,but breathing; his 'spiritus,' inhaled or exhaled, being $\mathring{a}\pi\epsilon\iota\lambda\mathring{\eta}$ κ. φόνος. So $\mathring{\epsilon}\theta'$ alματόεντος $\mathring{a}\nu$ απνείων δρυμαγδοῦ, Q. Calaber, xiv. 72, and $\pi\nu$ έων θυμοῦ, Aristæn. I. ep. 5 (Kuin.). έμπνέων, προςελθών] As σοι πιστεύσας, μεταναστάς, Œd. Col. 172, where Hermann remarks, 'Si recte observavi, ea est hujus constructionis ratio, ut præcedat illud participium, quod, separatim enunciata sententia, indicativus esse verbi debet: ut hoc loco sensus sit, ὅτι σοὶ ἐπίστευσα, μεταναστάς.' τῷ ἀρχιερεῖ See table in Prolegg. to Acts; -- it would be Theophilus,-brother and successor to Jonathan, who succeeded Caiaphas, Jos. 2. ἐπιστολάς of Antt. xviii. 5. 3. authorization: written by the high priest VOL. II.

(in this case, but not always, president of the Sanhedrim) in the name of παν τὸ πρεσβυτέριον, ch. xxii. 5. els ∆aμασκόν] DAMASCUS is probably the oldest existing city in the world. We read of it in Abraham's time (Gen. xiv. 15; xv. 2): then no more till David subdued it (2 Sam. viii. 6): it became independent again under Solomon (1 Kings xi. 24 ff.), and from that time was the residence of the kings of Syria (I Kings xv. 18; xx. 1 ff.), who were long at war with Israel and Judah, and at last were permitted to prevail considerably over Israel (2 Kings x. 32; Amos i. 3, 4) and to exact tribute from Judah (2 Kings xii, 17, 18, see also 2 Kings xiii. 3, 22, 25). Damaseus was recovered to Israel by Jeroboam II. (cir. 825 A.C. 2 Kings xiv. 28). Not long after we find Rezin, king of Syria, in league with Pekah, king of Israel, against Abaz (2 Kings xv. 37). Abaz invited to his assistance Tiglath-pileser, king of Assyria, who took Damascus and slew Rezin, and led the people captive (2 Kings xvi. 5-9; Isa. viii. 4). From this time we find it subject to Assyria (Isa. ix. 11; x. 9; xvii. 1), then to Babylon (2 Kings xxiv. 2; Jer. xxxv. 11),-Persia (Arrian. Alex. ii. 11, Δαρείος τῶν χρημ. τὰ πολλά πεπόμφει είς Δαμασκόν, Strabo, xvi. 756; Q. Curt. iii. 12. 27),-the Syrian Seleucidæ (1 Maec. xi. 62; xii. 32),—and from the time of Pompey (64 A.C.), to the Romans, and attached to the province of Syria (Jos. Antt. xiv. 4.5; 9.5). Many Jews were settled there, and the majority of the wives of the citizens were proselytes, Jos. B. J. ii. 20. 2. On its subjection to Aretas, see below, ver. 24, note. It was later the residence of the Ommiad Caliphs, and the metropolis of the Mahommedan world. (Conybeare and Howson, edn. 2,

5. rec om (as ||) σv , with ABEHLN rel: ins C. rec aft o de ins kurios eiken (kurios appears to have been an insertion to avoid the apparent insufficiency of o de:-eiken, from ch xxvi. 15), with HL 13 rel syrr Chr Thl; kurios pros autop E o 11. 27. 29. 662; kurios 100 Hil; eiken N k pl. 36. 105. 137 copt with arm: om ABC p² 36 rulg. aft $\eta \sigma$, add o Na(wraios (from ch xxii. 8) ACE Syr syr w-ast copt with

Hil Aug Ambr.

5, 6. rec aft διωκ. (omg αλλα) adds σκληρον σοι προς κεντρα λακτιζειν τρεμων τε και

vol. i. p. 106.) At present it is a large eity, with (Burekhardt) 250,000 inhabitants, nearly 70,000 of whom are Chris-It is situated most beautifully, in a large and well-watered plain, on the river Chrysorrhoas (Barrada), which divides into many streams (see 2 Kings v. 12), and fertilizes the plain (Strabo, xvi. 756, ή Δαμασκηνή χώρα διαφερόντως ἐπαινουμένη),-bounded on all sides by the desert. See Winer, RWB., from which the above is mainly taken: Vitringa in Jesaiam, p. 650 ff. (Notitia Damasei et Regni Damasceni), and a vivid description in C. and H., pp. 104-108. πρὸς τ. συν.] i.e. to the presidents of the synagogues, who would acknowledge the orders of the Sanhedrim, and could, under the authority of the Ethnarch, carry them out. της όδοῦ Not narch, earry them out. 'this way,' E. V., which rendering should be kept for the places where the pronoun is expressed, as ch. xxii. 4,—but the way, viz. of 'salvation,' ch. xvi. 17, or 'of the Lord, ch. xviii. 25. (The genitive, as $\tau \hat{\eta}s$ γνώμης είναι, see 1 Cor. i. 12.) The expression 'THE WAY' had evidently become a well-known one among Christians (see reff.); and it only was necessary to prefix the pronoun when strangers were addressed.

The special journey to Damaseus presupposes the existence of Christians there, and in some numbers. This would be accounted for by the return of many who may have been converted at the Pentecestal effusion of the Spirit, and perhaps

also by some of the fugitives from the persecution having settled there. This latter is rendered probable by Ananias's ῆκουσα ἀπὸ πολλῶν περὶ τοῦ ἀνδρὸς τούτου, ver. 13.

3.] The journey from Jerusalem was probably made on the Roman road, i.e. that of the Itineraries, by Neapolis (Sichem) and Scythopolis, crossing the Jordan S. of the lake Tiberias,—Gadara, and so to Damascus. Or he might have joined,—either the Petra road, by Jericho and Heshbon, and so by Botsrah to D.,—or the Egyptian caravan-track, which passes to the north of the lake of Tiberias, and near Cæsarea Philippi. In either case the journey would occupy from five to six days, the distance being 130 to 150 miles.

περιήστρ. κ.τ.λ.] It was (ch. xxii. 6) περι μεσημβρίαν,—and from ch. xxvi. 13, the light was ὑπὲρ τὴν λαμπρότητα τοῦ ἡλίου. These details at once cut away all ground from the absurd rationalistic attempt to explain away the appearance as having been lightning. Unquestionally, the inference is, that it was a bright noon, and the full splendour of the oriental sun was shining. His companions saw the light, and

were also east to the ground, ch. xxvi. 13, 14; xxii. 9, see below on ver. 7. 4λέγουσαν αὐτ.] τῆ ἙΒραϊδι διαλέκτφ, ch. xxvi. 14. And it is a remarkable undesigned coincidence, that the form Σαούλ should have been preserved in this account, and rendered in Greek in the translation of Paul's speech in ch. xxii. In ch. xxvi.,

θαμβων ειπε κυριε τι με θελεις ποιησαι και ο κυριος προς αυτον (from ch xxvi. 14, and xxii. 10. Inserted by Erasmus from the Latin: in his annotations on "Durum est this" he says "In gracis codicibus id non additur hoe loce, cum mox sequatur, Surge; sed aliquanto inferius, cum narratur hac res." See Treg on the Printed Tet p. 23), with no Greek manuscript as far as Griesbach ("codices graci, quantum seimus, nulli"), Scholz (repeating Gh's words), and Tischdf are aware—vulg(demid fuld) syr-w-ast ath(but varies) arm(cd-zoh: but addg $a\lambda\lambda\alpha$) Ge-cd-txt Thl-ed-fin-txt $\text{Hil}(\tau p e \mu$. to $\pi o \iota$, ong the former part): $a\lambda\lambda a$ is inserted and the rec omitted by all our manuscripts, by 23 others which Scholz specifies, by am' tol(Tischdf) Syr coptt Chr Ge-cm-.

6. ειsιθι B. rec om δ, with EHL 13. 36 rel Chr Thl: ins ABCN p Cyr. δει bef σε E-gr: om σε k.

7. rec evecu, with L rel: txt ABCEHN a b1 h m p 13. for

for μεν, δε (omg δε

where he was speaking in Greek before Festus, he inserts the words τη Έβρ. διαλ., to account for the use of the form Σαούλ: or perhaps he spoke the solemn words, ineffaceable from his memory, as they were uttered, in Hebrew, for King Agrippa. (See note on $\Sigma \alpha o \dot{\nu} \lambda$, ver. 17.) $\tau \dot{\iota} \mu \epsilon$ διώκεις;] A remarkable illustration of Matt. xxv. 45. The με is not emphatic [agst Wordsw.]; but the very lack of emphasis, assuming the awful fact, gives more solemnity to the question. 5. ὁ δέ That Saul saw, as well as heard, Him who spoke with him, is certain from Ananias's speech, ver. 17, and ch. xxii. 14,—that of Barnabas, ver. 27,—from ch. xxvi. 16 (ἄφθην σοι), and from the references by Paul himself to his having seen the Lord, 1 Cor. ix. 1; xv. 8. These last I unhesitatingly refer to this occasion, and not to any subsequent one, when he saw the Lord ἐν ἐκστάσει, ch. xxii. 17. Such appearances could hardly form the subject of autoptic testimony which should rank with that of the other apostles: this, on the contrary, was no ἔκστασις, but the real bodily appearance of the risen Jesus: so that it might be adduced as the ground of testimony to His Resurrection. the words excluded from our text, as having been interpolated from ch. xxvi. 14, and xxii. 10, see note at xxvi. 14. It is natural that the account of the historian should be less precise than that of the person concerned, relating his own history. In ch. xxvi. 15—18, very much more is related to have been said by the Lord: but perhaps he there, as he omits the subsequent particulars, includes the revelations made to him during the three days, and in the message of Ananias. 7. In ch. xxii. 9, οί δὲ σὺν ἐμοὶ ὅντες τὸ μὲν φῶς ἐθεάσαντο [κ. ἔμφοβοι ἐγένοντο], τὴν δὲ φωνὴν οὐκ

ήκουσαν τοῦ λαλοῦντός μοι. Two accounts seemingly (and certainly, in the letter) discrepant; but exceedingly instructive when their spirit is compared,-the fact being this: that the companions of Saul saw and were struck to the ground by the light, but saw οὐδένα, no person:—that they stood (or 'were fixed:' but I should acknowledge the discrepancy here, and recognize the more accurate detail of ch. xxvi. 14, that they fell to the ground) mute, hearing $\tau \hat{\eta} s \phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta} s$, the sound of the voice, but not την φωνην τοῦ λαλοῦντός μοι, the words spoken and their meaning. Compare John xii. 29, note. (Only no stress must be laid on the difference between the gen. and acc. government of φωνή, nor indeed on the mere verbal difference of the two expressions; -but their spirit considered, in the possible reference which they might have to one and the same fact.) classes of readers only will stumble at this difference of the forms of narration; those who from enmity to the faith are striving to create or magnify discrepancies,-and those who, by the suicidal theory of verbal inspiration, are effectually doing the work of the former. The devout and intelligent student of Scripture will see in such examples a convincing proof of the simple truth of the narrative,-the absence of all endeavour to pare away apparent inconsistencies or revise them into conformity, - the bona fide work of holy truthful men, bearing each his testimony to things seen and heard under the guidance, not of the spirit of bondage, but of that Spirit of whom it is said, οῦ τὸ πνεθμα κυρίου, έλευθερία. I should not too hastily determine that this account has not come from Saul himself, on account of the above differences: they are no more than might arise in narrations at different times by the same person.

folig) p. $\theta \in OPOUVTES \aleph^3$: $OPOUVTES \aleph^1$.

8. The ins o bef $\sigma a \nu \lambda o s$, with HL rel: om ABCEN b¹ p. The $\alpha \nu \epsilon \omega \gamma$, with BHL rel: txt (A)(E)(N) p. $-\eta \nu o \gamma \gamma$. A: $\eta \nu \nu \gamma$. N¹. for 2nd $\delta \epsilon$, $\tau \epsilon$ HL a b (c?) d g h k l o ath arm Chr Thl. $o \nu \delta \epsilon \nu$ (cf ch xxii.) A¹BN syrr vulg E-lat, non ath sah: txt A²CEHL rel copt Chr Thl.—in N α seems to have been begun above the line, but is left unfinished.

9. for ουδε, και ουκ C.

10. rec o κυρ. bef εν ορ., with HL p rel vss Thl: txt ABCER vulg ath-rom.

11. αναστα B fuld syrr(but so also ch x. 13, 20) coptt; and, adding και, vnlg(not am) with(but so also elsw when there is no varn in the Greek).

είστήκεισαν It will be well to warn younger readers against an error often found in English Commentators (e.g. Dr. Burton here),—that ἐστηκα is pasi, and εἰστήκειν pluperfect in signification,—έστηκα, 'I have been standing,' and εἰστήκεισαν, 'had been standing.' This error arises from forgetting the peculiar character of the verb lornul with regard to transitive and intransitive meanings. εστηκα is strictly present, - είστηκειν imperfect : as much so as sto and stabam. See Matthiæ, § 206. And this accuracy is important here: they had not 'been standing,' but had fallen. See ch. xxvi. 14, πάντων τε καταπεσόντων ήμῶν εἰς τὴν γην. Dr. Wordsw.'s explanation, that είστήκεισαν refers to the standing still of the cavalcade, not to the standing of Saul's companions, is untenable : for 1) the eveol, which qualifies the είστήκεισαν, forbids it : and 2) his justifying instances are all aorists, Luke vii. 14; viii. 44; ch. viii. 38, not perfect, which surely will not bear this sense of mere arrestation in a course.

8.] On his eyes being opened (it would seem that he had closed them on the first disappearance of the vision), he saw no one. He explains it, ch. xxii. 11, ές δδ ούκ ενέβλεσον ἄπὸ τῆς δόξης τοῦ φωτὸς ελεύνου. He had seen, what those with him had not seen, the glorious Person of the Lord Jesus. See below on ver. 18.

Obs. μη βλέπων, his personal subj. state: οὐκ ἔφ., the historical fact.
 οὐκ ἔφ. οὐδὲ ἔπ.] There is no occasion to

soften these words: the effect produced on

him by the οὐράνιος ὀπτασία (ch. xxvi. 19), aided by his own deeply penitent and remorseful state of mind, rendered him indifferent to all sustenance whatever.

10.] Paul adds, ch. xxii. 12, with particularity, as defending himself before the Jews, that Ananias was ἀνὴρ ἐὐλαβὴς κατὰ τὸν νόμον μαρτυρούμενος ὑπὸ πώντων τῶν κατοικούντων Ἰουδαίων: saying nothing of the command received by him, nor that he was a disciple. In ch. xxii, speaking before the Roman governor, he does not mention him. Mr. Howson (edn. 2, vol. i. p. 114) remarks on the close analogy between the divine procedure by visions here, and in ch. x. Here, Ananias is prepared for his work, and Saul for the reception of him as a messenger, each by a vision: and similarly Peter and Cornelius in ch. x. I may add, that in ch. viii, where the preparation of heart was already found in the ennuch, Philip only was superaturally prepared for the interview.

11.] "We are allowed to bear in mind that the thoroughfares of Eastern cities do not change, and to believe that the 'straight street,' which still extends through Damascus in long perspective from the eastern gate, is the street where Ananius spoke to Saul." (C. and H., p. 115.) olsiq '1608a' The houses of Ananias and Judas are still shewn to travellers. Doubtless they (or at least the former) would long be remembered and pointed out by Christianis; but, in the long degradation of Christianity in the East, most such identities must have been lost; and imposture is so casy, that

ματι Ταρσέα. 12 ίδου γαρ f προςεύχεται, και είδεν ανδρα fabol, ch. x. λουμένους το ονομά σου. 15 είπεν δε προς αυτον ο κύριος kandeonst... 4 Kings vill 12. w. πρατ-° Πορεύου, ὅτι ρ σκεῦος θ έκλογης έστίν μοι οῦτος του τειν.ch. χνί. 28. w. * βαστάσαι το ονομά μου ' ένωπιον έθνων τε και βασιλέων Ενδείκνο-

12. rec aft ειδεν ins εν οραματι (addition to complete sense, as is shewn by its various position), with EHL 13. 36 rel; aft ανδρα BC: om AN p vulg coptt æth. ματι bef ανανιαν, with HL 13 rel Thl-sif: om ov. sah æth-rom Chr: txt ABCEN a h m p vulg arm Thl-fin. τας χειρας BER³ Anton: χειρας ACR¹ p(appy): txt HL 13 rel syrr(but Syr [Etheridge] has the sing in ver 17) sah æth-pl Chr Œe Thl.

13. rec ins o bef ανανιας (with none of our mss): om ABCEHR Œc Thl.

акукоа (corrn to seemingly more appropriate tense), with HL 13 rel Chr: акукоа μεν lect-14: txt ABCEN p. rec εποι. bef τ. αγιοις σου (alteration of characteristic arrangement to more usual one), with HL 13 rel Chr Ec Thl: εν ιερ. bef εποι. Α:

txt BCEN m p am demid fuld .- om σου p.

15. rec μοι bef εστ., with EHL 13 rel coptt Archel Thdrt Thl Iren-int: txt ABCN e rec om 1st τε, with HL ins των bef εθνων BC1 Cyr. m p vulg syrr Did-c. rel Chr Thdrt Thl-sif: ins ABCEN p 13. 36 Thl-fin.

it is hardly possible to cherish the thought that the spots now pointed out can be the true ones. And so of all cases, where we have not unalterable or unaltered data to go on. Still, true as this is, we have sometimes proofs and illustrations unexpectedly appearing, as research goes on, which identify as authentic, sites long pointed out by tradition. So that our way seems to be, to seek for all such elucidations, and meantime to suspend our judgment: but never to lose sight of, nor to treat contemptuously a priori, a local belief.

Taρσέα] The first place where o specified. Tarsus was the he is so specified. capital of the province of Cilicia, a large and populous city (της Κιλ. πόλιν μεγάλην κ. εὐδαίμονα, Xen. Anab. i. 2. 23) in a fruitful plain on the river Cydnus, which flowed through the midst of it ('Cydnos, Tarsum liberam urbem procul a mari secans.' Plin. v. 27. Strabo, xiv. 673. Q. Curt. iii. 5. 1), with a swift stream of remarkably cold water. Strabo speaks most highly of its eminence in schools of philosophy: τοσαύτη τοις ενθάδε ανθρώποις σπουδή πρός τε φιλοσοφίαν και την άλλην έγκύκλιον ἄπασαν παιδείαν γέγονεν, ωςθ' ύπερβέβληνται καὶ 'Αθήνας καὶ 'Αλεξάνδρειαν και εί τινα άλλον τόπον δυνατόν είπειν, έν δ σχολαί και διατριβαί των φιλοσόφων καὶ τῶν λόγων γεγόνασι. διαφέρει δὲ τοσοῦτον, ὅτι ἐνταῦθα μὲν οἱ φιλομαθοῦντες ἐπιχώριοι πάντες εἰσί, xiv. 674. He enumerates many learned men who had sprung from it. It was (see Plin. above) an "urbs libera," i. e. one which, though under Rome, lived under its own laws and chose its own magistrates. This 'libertas' was granted to it by Antony (Appian. Civ. v. 7): and much later we find it a Roman colony. As a free city, it had neither the 'jus coloniarum,' nor the 'jus civitatis:' see ch. xxi. 39, also xxii. 28, and note. It is now a town with about 20,000 inhabitants, and is described as being a den of poverty, filth, and ruins. There are many remains of the old town (Winer, RWB.).

12. προςεύχεται] This word would set before Ananias more powerfully than any other, the state of Saul. 'Av. ov. A man, whose name in the same vision he knew to be Ananias. The sight of the man and the knowledge of his name were both granted him in his vision.

13. τοις άγίοις σου] This is the first time that this afterwards well-known appellation occurs as applied to the believers in Christ. 14.] It could hardly fail to have been notified to the Christians at Damascus by their brethren at Jerusalem, that Saul was on his way to persecute them. 15. σκ. ἐκλογῆs] A genit. of quality : as we say, 'the man of his choice.'

 $\frac{u \text{ ch. x. 36 reft. }^u}{v \text{ and constr.}}$ $\frac{u \text{ ciων } \tau \epsilon ' \text{ Iσραήλ}, 16 εγω γαρ " υποδείξω αὐτω " σσα δεί ABCEII Luke (iii. ' | Mis.) vi. 47. αὐτὸν " ὑπὲρ τοῦ " ὀνόματός μου παθεῖν. <math>\frac{17}{2}$ ἀπῆλθεν δὲ daf hi s. xx. 35 only. $\frac{35}{2}$ Ανανίας καὶ εἰςῆλθεν εἰς τὴν οἰκίαν, καὶ $\frac{3}{2}$ επιθεὶς επ' αὐτὸν $\frac{13}{100}$ οικίαν, καὶ $\frac{3}{2}$ επιθεὶς επ' αὐτὸν $\frac{3}{100}$ $\frac{8}{8}$ 85 οπις. Ανανίας καὶ εἰςῆλθεν εἰς τῆν οἰκίαν, καὶ $\frac{9}{8}$ επιθεἰς ἐπ' αὐτὸν κεh. τι, τὰς $\frac{9}{8}$ χεῖρας εἶπεν Σαοὐλ ἀδελφέ, ὁ κύριος ἀπέσταλκέν με, ελοπορία $\frac{3}{8}$ Ανανίας ὁ "ὀφθείς σοι ἐν τῷ όδῷ ῷ ἤρχου, ὅπως 'ἀνας-κ.ν. 23 βλεψης καὶ $\frac{1}{8}$ πλησθῆς πνεύματος ἀγίου. $\frac{18}{8}$ καὶ εὐθέως τοι εἰς 13 επι $\frac{1}{8}$ καὶ εὐθέως τοι $\frac{1}{8}$ καὶ εὐθένος το εὐρεν $\frac{1}{8}$ καὶ $\frac{1}{8}$ καὶ $\frac{1}{8}$ εὐρεν $\frac{1}{8}$ εὐρεν chereonly. Levit. xi. 9, μαθητών πμέρας τινάς, 20 και ευθέως έν ταις συναγωγαίς 12. (-πιζειν, Tobit x1. 13.) g = John xix. 30. Mark xv. 23. 1 Tim. iv. 4. only. μεταλ. τρ. (s. h. ii. 46. x xvi). 35, 34. προκλ. τρ. (x xvi). 36. i = here only. trans, Loke xxii. 43 only. 2 Kings xxii. 40. i = here only. Gen. xlviii. 2.

17. for $\delta \epsilon$, $\tau \epsilon$ A. τας χ. bef επ αυτ. C vss. om ino. HL b d g h k l m

coptt wth-rom Ee Thl. om η ηρχου Ν': ins Ν-corr¹.

18. [απεπεσαν, so ABCEHN p Thl-sif.] rec απ. τ. οφθ. bef αντ. (more usual instead of more characteristic arrangement), with CEHLN rel: txt AB m. ως (more usual word) ABN p. for τε, δε C'N al copt. rec aft τε

ins παραχρημα (addition for precision), with EL rel syr: om ABC'IHN d g l' m p 36 vulg Syr coptt arm.

19. ενισχυθη ΒС1. rec aft εγ. δε ins o σαυλος (commencement of an ecclesiastical portion: so lect-12 has εγεν. είναι τον παυλον), with HL rel Thl: txt ABCEN c p vulg syrr copt æth arm Chr. ins οντων bef εν δαμασκω HL b d g k m o Chr p vulg syrr copt æth arm Chr. Thl-fin.

See Winer, edn. 6, § 34. 3, b. Paul often uses this word σκεῦος in a similar meaning, see reff., especially Rom. ix., &c., where it is in illustrating God's sovereign power in election. βαστάσαι, perhaps in reference to the metaphor in iθνων This would hardly be understood at the time: it was afterwards on a remarkable occasion repeated to Paul by the Lord in a vision (see ch. xxii. 21), and was regarded by him as the specific command which gave the direction to his ministry, see Gal. ii. 7, 8. βασιλ.] Agrippa, and probably Nero. 16. ὑποδείξω] The fulfilment of this is testified by Paul himself, ch. xx. 23, 25: see also xxi. 11. 17. Σαούλ] The Hebrew form of Saul's name is only found here, and in the report of our Lord's previous address to him. κ. πλησθης πν. άγ.] I can hardly think, with De W. and Meyer, that these words imply that the Lord had said to Ananias more than is above related: I would rather view them as a natural inference from what was said in ver. 15. In ch. xxii. 14, where the command to Ananias is omitted, his speech contains much of the reason given in the command here. It is remarkable again how Paul, speaking there to an infuriated Jewish mob, gives the words spoken just that form which would best gain him a favourable hearing with them-e.g. & Oeds

τῶν πατέρων ἡμῶν, — ἰδεῖν τὸν δίκαιον πάντας ἀνθρώπους, avoiding as yet the hateful word έθνη. He there too gives άναστας βάπτισαι και απόλουσαι τας άμαρτίας σου, ἐπικαλεσάμενος τὸ ὅνομα αὐτοῦ as part of the exhortation of Ananias.

18. ώςεὶ λεπίδες] The recovery of sight is plainly related as miraculous, the consequence of the divinely-appointed laying on of the hands of Ananias. And this sealy substance which fell from his eyes was thrown off in the process of the instantaneous healing. έβαπτίσθη] It has been well remarked (Olsh.) that great honour was here placed upon the sacrament of baptism, inasmuch as not even Saul, who had seen the Lord in special revelation and was an elect vessel, was permitted to dispense with this, the Lord's appointed way of admission into His Church. 19. ἐνίσχ.] intrans. see reft. ἡμ. τινάς] Λ few days; of quiet,

and becoming acquainted with those as brethren, whom he came to persecute as infidels: but not to learn from them the gospel (οὐδὲ γὰρ ἐγὰ παρὰ ἀνθρώπου παρέλαβον αὐτό, οὕτε ἐδιδάχθην, (fal. i. 12), nor was the time longer than to admit of εὐθέωs being used, ver. 20,-and indeed the same εὐθέως of the whole space (including his preaching in our vv. 20, 21) preceding the journey to Arabia, in Gal. i. Penrson places that journey before κ ἐκήρυσσεν τὸν Ἰησοῦν, ὅτι Ἰοῦτός ἐστιν ὁ υίὸς τοῦ θεοῦ. και τ. inσ., 21 m ἔξίσταντο δὲ πάντες οὶ ἀκούοντες καὶ ἔλεγον Οὐχ ζεςτ. i. 4, οῦτός ἐστιν ὁ πορθήσας ἐν Ἱερουσαλὴμ τοὺς ◦ ἔπικαλου μένους τὸ ρονομα τοῦτο; καὶ ώδε q εἰς τοῦτο q ὲληλύθει, Γεςτ. 29. τος καὶ ἀδε q εἰς τοῦτο q ὲληλύθει, Γεςτ. 29. τος μένους αὐτοὺς ἀγάγη ἐπὶ τοὺς ἀρχιερεῖς. q ελικι 32. 22 Σαῦλος δὲ μᾶλλον εὐτοθυναμοῦτο, καὶ τσυκχυννεν τοὺς mɨx il. 13 σον τοὺς αποικοῦντας ἐν Δαμασκῷ, q συμβιβάζων q τοις κατοικοῦντας ἐν Δαμασκῷ, q συμβιβάζων q τοις κατοικοῦντας q τὸς q τὸς τος εκτοικοῦντος q τὸς τὸς τος q τον q τὸς τος q τον q τον

20. rec for ιησ., χριστον (doctrinal alteration? see note), with HL rel Chr: alii

aliter: txt ABCEN a c h p 13 vulg Syr Iren-int.
21. εξιστατο Ν'(but corrd). for εν, εις ΑΝ. εληλυθεν (alteration, not observing the force of the pluperf') E. gr HL p rel vss Chr Œc Thl: txt ABCN o (13)

36 E-lat. 22. aft eved. ins $\tau\omega$ logw C, ev $\tau\omega$ l. E. rec surecuver, with AHL rel: surecev E 57. 662 137. 180 Th1-fin: esurecuver 13: txt B¹CN. om 1st τ ous BN¹.

23, ins as bef nuepas H.

our έγένετο δέ,-which however is manifestly against the sense of the text:-Michaelis and Heinrichs, between vv. 19 and 20,-to which there is the same objection: Kuinoel and Olsh., after ver. 25,
—which the εὐθέως of Gal. i. 16 will not allow: Neander and Meyer, in the ἡμέραι ίκαναί of ver. 23, which time however in our text is certainly allotted to the progress of his preaching in Damascus, and the increase of the hostility of the Jews in consequence. See below. 20. 'Inσοῦν The alteration to χριστόν has probably, as Meyer suggests, been made from doctrinal considerations, to fix on & vids τοῦ θεοῦ the theological sense,—that Christ is the Son of God-instead of that which it now bears,-that Jesus is the Son of God, i. e. that Jesus of Nazareth as a matter of fact, is the Son of God, i. e. the Messiah expected under that appellation. Be this as it may, the following τὸ ὄνομα τοῦτο (ver. 21) is decisive for the reading 'Ίπσοῦν, and οὐτός ἐστιν ὁ χριστός ver. 22 still more so. 21. πορθήσας] 'Militari verbo usns est,' Erasm. So Æsch. Choeph. 680, οἱ 'γώ, κατ' ἄκρας ἐνθάδ' ὡς πορθούμεθα. See also Sept. c. Theb. 176 (194 Dind.). ἐληλύθει] had come here, implying the abandonment of the purpose. 22.] I regard the μαλλον ἐνεδυναμοῦτο, as the only words beneath which can lie concealed the journey to Arabia. Paul mentions this journey (Gal. i. 17) with no obscure hint that to it was

to be assigned the reception by him, in full measure, of the Gospel which he preached. And such a reception would certainly give rise to the great accession of power here recorded. I am the more disposed to allot that journey this place, from the following considerations. The omission of any mention of it here can arise only from one of two causes: (1) whether Paul himself were the source of the narrative, or some other narrator,-the intentional passing over of it, as belonging more to his personal history (which it was his express purpose to relate in Gal. i.) than to that of his ministry: (2) on the supposition of Paul not having been the source of the narrative,—the narrator having not been aware of it. In either case, this expression seems to me one very likely to have been used:—(1) if the omission was intentional,-to record a remarkable accession of power to Saul's ministry, without particularizing whence or how it came: (2) if it was unintentional, -as a simple record of that which was observed in him, but of which the source was to the narσυνέχυννεν] Chryrator unknown. sostom strikingly says, ατε νομομαθής ων έπεστόμιζεν αὐτοὺς καὶ οὺκ εἴα φθέγγεσθαι ἐνόμισαν ἀπηλλάχθαι τῆς ἐν τοῖς τοιούτοις διαλέξεως ἀπαλλαγέντες Στεφάνου, και Στεφάνου σφοδρύτερον εδρον έτερον. (Cramer's Catena.) ἡμέραι ίκαναί] In Damascus, see above on ver. 19. The whole time, from his cona con-ite, Phil. $\frac{24}{\text{in}}$ à $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\dot{\omega}\sigma\theta\eta$ dè $\tau\dot{\omega}$ $\Sigma a\dot{\nu}\lambda\dot{\omega}$ $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\beta\sigma\nu\lambda\dot{\eta}$ au $\dot{\tau}\dot{\omega}\nu$. $\dot{\sigma}$ $\alpha\sigma\epsilon\tau\eta$ - ABCEH LN abc 1.1. bch. xx. 3, 19. $\rho\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu\tau\sigma$ dè $\kappa\dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\alpha}$

24. rec $\pi a \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \rho o \nu \nu$ (mistake: see below), with HL 13 rel: txt ABCEN Fr-coisl p 36 Orig. rec for $\delta \epsilon$ ka1, $\tau \epsilon$ (the - τo of $\pi a \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \rho o \nu \tau \sigma$ being mistaken for $\tau \epsilon$, no other copula was wanted: and thus $\delta \epsilon$ ka1 was struck out: thus also the ka1 in 1, $\delta \epsilon$ a unnecessary aft $\delta \epsilon$), with H 13 rel Syr Chr Thl: $\delta \epsilon$ L 137. 180 syr coptt arm Thl: txt ABCEN Fr-coisl p 36 valg Orig. on $\tau \epsilon$ A d f k Orig. for $\eta \nu$ 4. to $\alpha \nu \epsilon \lambda$ 1, or $\alpha \nu \epsilon \lambda$ 2, apex. bcf autor ν 3.

version to his journey to Jerusalem, was three years, Gal. i. 18. άνελεῖν αὐτ.] έπὶ τὸν ἰσχυρὸν συλλογισμὸν ἔρχονται πάλιν οἱ Ἰουδαῖοι. οὐκέτι γὰρ συκοφάντας κ. κατηγόρους κ. ψευδομάρτυρας ἐπιζη-τοῦσιν, Chrys. Hom. xx. 24.] In 2 Cor. xi. 32, Paul writes, ἐν Δαμασκώ δ έθνάρχης 'Αρέτα τοῦ βασιλέως έφρούρει την πόλιν Δαμασκηνών, πιάσαι με [θέλων]. A somewhat difficult chronological question arises respecting the subordination of Damaseus to this Aretas. The city, under Augustus and Tiberius, was attached to the province of Syria: and we have coins of Damasens of both these emperors, and again of Nero and his successors. But we have none of Caligula and Claudius; and the following circumstances seem to point to a change in the rulership of Damaseus at the death of Tiberius. There had been for some time war between Aretas, king of Arabia Nabatwa (whose capital was Petra), and Herod Antipas, on account of the divorce by Herod of Arctas' daughter at the instance of Herodias, and on account of some disputes about their frontiers. A battle was fought, and Herod's army entirely destroyed (Jos. Antt. xviii. 5, 1). On this Antipas, who was a favourite with Tiberius, sent to Rome for help: and Vitellius, the governor of Syria, was commissioned to march against Aretas, and take him, dead or alive. While on his march, he heard at Jerusalem of the death of Tiberius (March 16, A.D. 37), and πόλεμον εκφέρειν οὐκέθ' δμοίως δυνάμενος διὰ τὸ εἰς Γάιον μεταπεπτωκέναι τὰ πράγματα (Antt. xviii. 5. 3), abandoned his march, and sent his army into their winter-quarters, himself returning to Antioch: Antt. ibid. This μεταπεπτωκέναι τὰ πρ. brought about a great change in the situation of Antipas and his enemy. Antipas was soon (A.D. 39) banished to Lyons, and his kingdom given to Agrippa, his foe (Antt. xviii. 7. 2), who had been living in

habits of intimacy with the new emperor (xviii. 6. 5). It would be natural that Arctas, who had been grossly injured by Antipas, should, by this change of affairs, be received into favour; and the more so, as there was an old grudge between Vitellius and Antipas, of which Jos. says (Antt. xviii. 4. 5), έκρυπτεν δργήν, μέχρι δὴ καὶ μετῆλθε, Γαΐου τὴν ἀρχὴν παρειληφότου.

Now in the year 38 Caligula made several changes in the East, granting Ituræa to Soæmus, Lesser Armenia and parts of Arabia to Cotys, the territory of Cotys to Rhametalces,-and to Polemon, the son of Polemon, his father's government. These facts, coupled with that of no Damascene coins of Caligula and Claudius existing (which might be fortuitous, but acquires force when thus combined), make it probable that about this time Damaseus, which belonged to the predecessors of Aretas (Jos. Antt. xiii. 5. 2), was granted to Aretas by Caligula. This would at once solve the difficulty. The other suppositions, -that the Ethnarch was only visiting the city (as if he could then have guarded the city to prevent Paul's escape), - or that Aretas had seized Damaseus on Vitellius giving up the expedition against him (as if a Roman governor of a province would, while waiting for orders from a new emperor, quietly allow one of its chief cities to be taken from him),—are in the highest degree improbable. The above is taken in substance from Wieseler, Chron. des Apost. Zeitalters, pp. 167-175. His further argument from a coin βασιλέως 'Αρέτα φιλέλληνος does not seem conclusive, as it leaves the latter title altogether unaccounted for. It probably (C. and H. i. pp. 101 and 132) belongs to a former Aretas. On εθνάρχης see note, 2 Cor. xi. 25.] The rending in the text, λαβ. οί μαθηταί αὐτοῦ, is umbiguous. Chrys. (see in var. readd.), al. take it as if Saul had disciples of his own who did this. The only

νυκτὸς f διὰ τοῦ g τείχους h καθῆκαν αὐτὸν i χαλάσαντες $^{f-2}$ ευκτὶ. 33 σης i εν k σπυρίδι. 26 1 παραγενόμενος δὲ 1 είς i Γερουσαλὴμ g εν g καὶ πάντες έφοβοῦντο g κολλάσθαι τοῖς μαθηταῖς καὶ πάντες έφοβοῦντο g κολλάσθαι τοῖς μαθηταῖς. 27 Βαρνάβας g μείν. g 2. g Βαρνάβας g μείν. g 2. g Βαρνάβας g μείν. g 2. g 3. g 4. g 4. g 4. g 4. g 4. g 5. g 6. $^{$ εξ θέπιλαβόμενος αὐτὸν ήγαγεν πρὸς τοὺς ἀποστόλους, δέ $^{\circ}$ επιλαρομενος αυτον $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ είδεν τον $^{\circ}$ κύριον, $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ καὶ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ διηγήσατο αυτοίς $^{\circ}$ πως έν τη όδω $^{\circ}$ είδεν τον $^{\circ}$ κύριον, $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ είλεν $^{\circ}$ είλεν $^{\circ}$ είλεν $^{\circ}$ είλεν $^{\circ}$ είλεν $^{\circ}$ είλεν $^{\circ}$ είλεν είλεν $^{\circ}$ είλεν είλεν $^{\circ}$ είλεν είλεν $^{\circ}$ είλεν είλεν είλεν $^{\circ}$ είλεν καὶ ὅτι ἐλάλησεν αὐτῷ, καὶ ་πῶς ἐν Δαμασκῷ ^ω ἐπαρ-ρησιάσατο ἐν τῷ ὀνόματι Ἰησοῦ. ²⁸ καὶ ῆν μετ αὐτῶν ρησιάσατο έν τῷ ὀνόματι Ἰησοῦ. 28 καὶ ἡν μετ αὐτῶν 87 τος 20 καὶ τὸν μετ αὐτῶν 81 εἰςπορευύμενος καὶ 9 έκπορευύμενος εἰς Ἱερουσαλήμ, 87 κατά 87 κατά

[John viii, 2.] ch. xiii, 14. xv. 4 only. Josh, xxiv, 11. och v, 13 reff. John xxii, 21 ch. xvii, 21 only. Prov. xxvi, 18 reff. John xxi, 20. day, ch. Jiv., ch. xvii, 38 reff. Prov xii, 13 Jogen, ch. xvii, xvii, 19 reff. r constr., ch. xvii, xvii, 10 reff. r constr., ch. xvii, 21 ch. xvii, 23 s. xvii, 18 reff. r constr., ch. xvii, 21 ch. xviii, 21 ch. xvii, 21 ch. xviii, 21 ch. xviii, 21 ch

αὐτοῦ καὶ γὰρ μαθητὰς εἶχεν εὐθέως). ree καθηκαν bef δια τ. τειχ., omg αυτον correction apparently, for the sake of perspicuity, to prevent λaβortes and δια του τειχουs being connected together), with HL (13) rel Chr: txt ABC(E III) N Fr-coisl p Orig Petr-alex Jer .- om autov EHL m rel: ins ABCN Fr-coisl p 13.

26. rec aft παρ. δε ins o σαυλος (insertion as in ver 19: further shewn by o παυλος in E &c), with HL 13 rel syr æth-pl Chr-txt Thl: ο παυλος E 33. 34. 105: om ABCN p vulg coptt with Chr-comm Jer. for ϵ s, $\epsilon \nu$ EHL rel (Ec-e B(sic: see table) CN a d f g o p(Treg expr, so also Scriv) 36. to more usual form, see reff) ABCN p: txt EHL 13. 36 rel Chr Thl. 27. om 3rd κ ax N\cdot : ins N-corr^{1-3}. ree ins τ ov bet $\eta\sigma$, with for eis, ev EHL rel Œc-ed Thl-sif: txt A επειραζεν (corrn

rec ins του bef ιησ., with EHLX p 13 rel;

κυριου, A 98-marg; του κυ a h k lect-12: om BC m o. 28. om και ϵ κπ. HL b d f l m o Chr₁ Thl-sif. rec (for ϵ 1s) ϵ ν , with H a h Chr₁: txt ABCELN p 13. 36 rel Chr₁ Œc Thl. (Meyer holds that ϵ 1s is owing to a wish to have a prep that may apply to one or other of the participles : but surely no corrector would have left εκπορ. εις together, and H which omits κ. εκπ. reads εν.) bef παρρ., with EHL rel vss Chr Thl: om ABCN p 13. 40 fuld æth-rom arm. aft τ . $\kappa \nu \rho$. ins $\iota \eta \sigma \sigma \nu$, with HLN^3 13 rel ath-pl Chr_1^* : for τ . $\kappa \bar{\nu}$, $\iota \bar{\nu}$ C 3. 10. 14. 38. 67². 80¹ Syr ath Chr_1^* : om $\tau \sigma \nu$ m: om $\kappa \nu \rho$. a h: txt $ABEN^1$ p 40 yulg syr coptt ath-rom arm Jer.

escape from this inference is by supposing an unusual government of a gen. by Aaβόντες, such as we sometimes find in Homer, e. g. άγκας λαβέτην άλλήλων, Il. ψ. 711; 'Οδυσῆος λάβε γούνων, Od. χ. 310: see also II. γ. 369, θ. 371; Od. ε. 428, τ. 480. So we have κρατήσας της χειρδς αὐτης, Luke viii. 54. But whether this is justified in a case where the whole person is concerned, as here, may be a question. If it is, it must be because not the taking and bringing him to the spot, but the act of laying hold of him to put him into the basket, is intended. δια τ. τείχους Further particularized by the addition of διά θυρίδος, 2 Cor. xi. 33. Such windows in the walls of cities are common in the East: see Josh. ii. 15, 1 Sam. xix. 12: and an engraving of part of the present wall of Damaseus in C. and H. i. p. 124.

σπυρίδι] σαργάνη, 2 Cor. xi. 33. See note there, and on Matt. xv. 37.

26. παραγ. Immediately: the purpose of this journey was to become acquainted with Peter, Gal. i. 18: a resolution probably taken during the conspiracy of the Jews against him at Damascus, and in furtherance of his announced mission to the Gentiles: that, by conference with the Apostles, his sphere of work might be agreed on. And this purpose his escape enabled him to effect. καί] Not but: the δέ follows. 27.] It is very probable that Barnabas and Saul may have been personally known to each other in youth. 'Cyprus is only a few hours' sail from Cilicia. The schools of Tarsus may naturally have attracted one who, though a Levite, was a Hellenist: and there the friendship may have begun, which lasted through many vicissitudes, till it was rudely interrupted in the dispute at Antioch (ch. xv. 39).' (C. and H., edn. 2, i. p. τους άποστ. Only Peter, and

w Mark 1. 27.
ix. 16. Lake καὶ "συνεζήτει "ποος τους "Ελληνιστάς. οι δε "έπεχείοουν ΑΒΕΕΗ
ΕΝΑΙΕΣ ² ανελείν αυτόν. ³⁰ α έπιγνοντες δε οι αδελφοι κατ- dfghk ήγαγον αυτον είς Καισάρειαν καὶ εξαπέστειλαν αυτον είς 13

31 'Η μεν οῦν εκκλησία εκαθ' εκλης της Ιουδαίας και Γαλιλαίας και Σαμαρείας είχεν είρηνην, ι οίκοδομου-23 only in Go-pp. Acts and Epp. μένη καὶ πορευσμένη τῷ k φόβω τοῦ k κυρίου, καὶ τῆ παρακλήσει του άγίου πνεύματος "έπληθύνετο. 32 έγέ-

passim. 1 παρακλ c ch. xxiii. 15 παρακλ reff. d ch. vii. 12 reff. L.P. ver. 42. ch. x. 87. xiv. 4, 17. 1 Thess v. 11. tell. deh. vii. 12 reff. L.P. e sing, w. lwo or more places adjoined, here only, f. Luke iv. 14, xxiii. 5, ver. 42, ch. x. 37, g. John xvi. 33. Rom. v. 1 only, h. e-ch. xx. 32. 1 Cor. viii. 1, x. 23, xiv. 4, 17. 1 Thess v. 11. v. ev. Luke i. 6. 1 Pet. iv. 3. 2 Pet. ii. 10. Josh. xxiii. 5 F. Rom. xii 3 Freff. mch. vi. 7 ref. m. xii 3 Freff.)

29. aft συνεζ. ins τε (but corrd) N1. ελληνας Λ many vss(Græcos): vulg has loquebatur quoque gentibus, et disputabat cum Græcis, but not um demid &c (corrn from ch xi. 20).

ree αυτον bef ανελ., with HL 13 rel Thl: txt ABCEN a h m p vss Chr.

add δια νυκτος Ε, νυκτος e 180 Syr syr-w-ast sah. 30. for καισ., ιεροσολυμα Α. om 2nd autor (as unnecessary) AE a2 h: ins BCHLN p rel syrr coptt Chr Thl. 31. τος αι μεν ουν εκκλησιαι &ς ειχον . . οικοδομουμεναι κ. πορευομεναι &ς επληθυνοντο (see note), with EHL rel syr Chr (Ee Thl-sif: txt ABCN p 13 vulg Syr copt sah æth arm Dion-alex Thl-fin.

James the Lord's brother, Gal. i. 18, 19. Probably there were no other Apostles there at the time: if there were, it is hardly conceivable that Saul should not have seen them. On his second visit, he saw John also (Gal. ii. 9). Perhaps he never saw in the flesh any other of the Apostles after his conversion. σατο | viz. Barnabas, not Saul. EALANVIOTÁS | See ch. vi. 1 and note. This he did, partly, we may infer, to avoid the extreme and violent opposition which he would immediately encounter from the Jews themselves, - but partly also, it may well be believed, because he himself in the synagogues of the Hellenists had opposed Stephen formerly. 30. ἐπιγνόντες δè] There was also another reason. He was praying in the temple, and saw the Lord in a vision, who commanded him to depart, for they would not receive his testimony : - and sent him from thence to the Gentiles: see ch. xxii. 17-21 and notes. His stay in Jerusalem at this visit was fifteen days, Gal. i. 18. €ls Kaiσάρειαν] From the whole east of the sentence, the κατήγαγον and έξαπέστειλαν, we should infer this to be Casarca Stratonis, even if this were not determined by the word Καισάρεια used absolutely, which always applies to this city, and not to Cæsarea Philippi (which De Dieu, Olsh., and others believe to be meant). From Gal. i. 21, it would appear that Saul about this time traversed Syria (on his way to Tarsus?). If so, he probably went by sea

to Seleueia, and thence to Antioch. The

έξαπέστειλαν looks more like a 'sending off" by sea, than a mere 'sending forward' by land. εἰς Ταρσόν] towards, 'for,' Tarsus. He was not idle there, but certainly preached the Gospel, and in all probability was the founder of the churches alluded to eh. xv. 23 and 41.

31.] FLOURISHING STATE OF THE CHURCH IN PALESTINE AT THIS TIME. Commencement of new section: compare μέν οδν, and note, ch. xi. 19. The reading έκκλησία can hardly (as Meyer) be an alteration to suit the idea of the unity of the church, -as in that case we should have similar alterations in ch. xv. 41; xvi. 5, where no variations are found in the chief MSS. More probably, it has been altered here to conform it to those places. description probably embraces most of the time since the conversion of Saul. De Wette observes, that the attention of the Jews was, during much of this time, distracted from the Christians, by the attempt of Caligula to set up his image in the temple at Jerusalem, Jos. Antt. xviii. 8. 2-9. μένη] See Matt. xvi. 18. It probably refers to both external and internal strength and accession of grace. Paul commonly uses it of spiritual building up: see reff. πορ. τῷ φόβ.] walking in the fear:

for construction see reff. :- not 'following after the fear' (Winer, edn. 2, § 31.1; not in edn. 6, see § 31. 9),—nor 'walking according to the fear' as their rule (Meyer),—nor 'advancing in the fear' (Bezu, Wolf). κ. τ. παρακλ. τ. άγ. πν. ἐπληθ.] And was multiplied (reff.)

32. rec λυδδαν (here and in ver 35 alteration to an inflected form from the original λυδδα: cf εις λυδδα παρελθων Jos. B J ii. 19. 1), with CEHL rel 36 Chr: λυδαν m 57: txt ABN 13. 40. (13 def here.)— N has εν λυδδα, but εν is marked for erasure by N¹ or corr¹.

33. rec air. lef oνoμ., with HL rel 36 Chr Thl-sif. om ονομ. 13: txt ABCEN k m p vulg Syr arm (coptt æth) Thl-fin. rec κραββατω, with EHL 13 rel Thl: txt ABCN p.—κραββατ. Β²(Μαί); κραβαττ. AB¹CEHLM³: κραβακτ. Ν¹.

34. ins ο κυρ. bef ιησ. A 15. 18. 36. 40. 68 vulg sah æth arm Till-fin Ambr Cassiod. om 2nd ο (alteration to the Name ιησ. χρ.) B¹(but "superadditur") CN ο 13:

ins A B-corr (appy) EHL p rel Chr.

by the exhortation of (i. e. inspired by) the Holy Spirit. This is the only rendering which suits the nage of the words. Those of the Vulg. 'consolatione repleantur,'—or Kuin, 'adjumento abundabant,' are unexampled, see reff. Neither must $\tau \bar{\rho}$ $\pi \alpha \rho a \lambda$. be coupled with $\tau \bar{\phi}$ $\phi \delta \beta \varphi$, as in E. V., and by Beza and Rosenmüller, which would leave $i k o \delta \phi \rho$, stanting by itself, and render the sentence totally unlike Luke's usual manner of writing.

writing. 32-35] Healing of Eneas at Lydda by Peter. This and the following miracle form the introduction to the very important portion of Peter's history which follows in θ , x_* —by bringing him and his work before us again. $32. \delta_{\epsilon\epsilon\rho\chi\delta\mu}.\delta.\pi.$] These words are aptly introduced by the notice in ver. 31, which shews that Peter's journey was not an escape from persecution, but undertaken at a time of peace, and for the purpose of visiting the churches.

πάντων may be neuter, 'all parts:' but it is probably mase, and ἀγίων understood. Wieseler (p. 145, note) doubts whether we can say διέρχεσθαι διὰ πάντων τ. ἀγίων, —but see reft. The καί makes the mase, more likely, as it presupposes some ἄγιοι in the mind of the writer before. As I have implied on ver. 31, this journey of Peter's is not necessarily consecutive on the events of vv. 1—30. But an alternative presents itself here; either it took place before the arrival of Saul in Jerusalem, or after his departure: for Peter was there during his visit (Gal. i. 18). It seems most likely that it was before his arrival.

For (1) it is Luke's manner in this first part of the Acts, where he is carrying on several histories together, to follow the one in hand as far as some resting-point, and then go back and take up another: see ch. viii. 2 thus taken up from αναιρέσει αὐτοῦ, ver. 1: ver. 4 going back to the διασπαρέντες:eh. ix. 1 taken up from viii. 3:-xi. 19, from viii. 4 again: -and (2) the journey of Peter to visit the churches which were now resting after the persecution would hardly be delayed so long as three whole years. So that it is most natural to place this section, viz. ch. ix. 32-xi. 18 (for all this is continuous), before the visit of Saul to Jerusalem, and during his stay at Damascus or in Arabia. See further on Λύδδα \ Lod, Neh. vii. 37. A large village near Joppa (ver. 38), on the Mediterranean (Jos. Antt. xx. 6. 2, κώμην τινὰ Λύδδαν λεγομ., πόλεως τὸ μέγεθος οὐκ ἀποδέουσαν), just one day's journey from Jerusalem (Lightf., Cent. Chor. Matth. præm. cxvi.). It afterwards became the important town of Diospolis. 33.
Alvéav] Whether a believer or not, does not appear; from Peter's visit being to

not appear; from Peter's visit being to the saints, it would seem that he was: but perhaps the indefinite ἄνθροπόν τυνα may imply the contrary, as also Peter's words, aumonneing a free and unexpected gift from One whom he knew not.

34. στρῶσ. σεαυτ.] Not 'for the future !' but 'immediately,' as a proof of his soundness.

35. πάντες... οἵτινες Νοτ

'all, who had turned to the Lord,' as Kuin.: this would make the mention of the fact unmeaning,—and surely more would see

ς 50 ch. xxiv. Λύδδα και τον Σάρωνα, ⁹ οίτινες ² επέστρεψαν ² επί τον ΑΒΕΕΗ LN ab c Λύδδα και τον Ζαρωνα, σετικές το αμαθήτρια ονόματι dighk ² κύριον. ³⁶ Έν Ίοππη δέ τις ην ^a μαθήτρια ονόματι dighk z ch. xxvi. 20 refl. a here only t. Dio'. Laert. iv. 2 (Att. Ταβιθά, η διερμηνευομένη λέγεται Δορκάς αυτη ην $\frac{1}{2}$ τοι. $\frac{1}{2}$ πλήρης $\frac{1}{2}$ ἀγαθών $\frac{1}{2}$ ἔργων καὶ $\frac{1}{2}$ ἔλεημοσυνών $\frac{1}{2}$ ὧν έποίει. $\frac{1}{2}$ ἐνείναις $\frac{1}{2}$ ἀσθενήσασαν αὐτὴν $\frac{1}{2}$ ἔνείναις $\frac{1}{2}$ ἀσθενήσασαν αὐτὴν $\frac{1}{2}$ ἔνείναις $\frac{1}{2}$ ἀποθανεῖν $\frac{1}{2}$ λούσαντες δὲ αὐτὴν ἔθηκαν έν $\frac{1}{2}$ ὑπερομω. d πλήρης c αγαθων c έργων και c έλεημοσυνων δων έποίει.

same view as Audday: but seeing tov before it, the transcriber could not make it an accus, fem., and has therefore made it a masc from sarpwas, not seeing that it was already an accus from sarpwy), with $b^1\,e\,k\,p\,36$: assarpwax f: assarpwax IIL a $b^2\,g\,h$ 1 o 13 Chr Thl-sif: txt A(appy) BCE d m (coptt) Thl-fin: σαρρωνα X.

36. εργ. bef αγ. BCE in 13 vulg spec syrr coptt: txt AHLR rel Chr Thl.

37. εθηκ, bef αντ. ΑΝ' p 40: om αντ. B: txt CEHLN° 13 rel Chr Thl. bef υπερ. ΑCE a h o Orig Thl: om BHLN p rel Chr. ins $\tau \omega$

38. rec λυδδης, with B°EHL rel 36: λυδδας AN³ (possibly the original as ABN agree in λυδδα v 32, 35): txt B°C p. (13 def.) om δυο ανδρ. HL a b d t g h l o Chr₁ (Ec Thl-sif. rec οκνησαι δ. ε. αυτων (alteration to avoid the harshness of the direct constr with πapak. Meyer thinks the direct constr has been written in the marg and found its way into the text), with C³(appy) HL 13. 36 rel syrr Chr: οκνησαι.. ημων coptt: txt ABC ER p vulg spec. (οκνησ[...] p.)

him than the believers merely. The similar use of olives in the ref. shews its meaning to be commensurate with the preceding mávtes, and to gather them into a class, of which that which follows is predicated. All that dwelt in L. and S. saw him ; - which also (i. e. and they) turned to the Lord. A general conversion of the inhabitants to τὸν Σάρωνα] the faith followed. Perhaps not a village, but (and the art. makes this probable) the celebrated plain of that name, extending along the coast from Casarea to Joppa, see Isa. xxxiii. 9; xxxv. 2; lxv. 10; Cnnt. ii. 1; 1 Chron. xxvii. 29; and Jerome on Isa. xxxiii. and lxv., pp. 436, 780. Mariti (Travels, p. 350) mentions a village Saren between Lydda and Arsnf (see Josh. xii. 18, marg. E. V.): but more recent travellers do not notice it. See Winer, RWB., where other places of the same name are mentioned.

36-43.] Raising of Tabitha The Dead. 36. ἐν Ἰόππη] FROM THE DEAD. Joppa was a very ancient Philistian city, on the frontier of Dan, but not belonging to that tribe, Josh. xix. 46; on the coast (ch. x. 6), with a celebrated but not very secure harbour (Jos. B. J. iii. 9.3; see 2 Chron, ii. 16; Ezra iii. 7; Jonah i. 3; 1 Macc. xiv. 5; 2 Macc. xii. 3),—situated

in a plain (1 Macc. x. 75-77) near Lydda (ver. 38), at the end of the mountain road connecting Jerusalem with the sea. The Maccabean generals, Jonathan and Simon, took it from the Syrians and fortified it (1 Macc. x. 74—76; xiv. 5, 34. Jos. Autt. xiii. 9. 2). Pompey joined it to the province of Syria (Autt. xiv. 4. 4), but Casar restored it to Hyrcanus (xiv. 10.6), and it afterwards formed part of the kingdom of Herod (xv. 7. 3) and of Archelaus (xvii. 11. 4), after whose deposition it reverted to the province of Syria, to which it belonged at the time of our narrative. It was destroyed by C. Cestius (Jos. B. J. ii. 18. 10); but rebuilt, and became a nest of Jewish pirates (Strabo, xvi. 759), in consequence of which Vespasian levelled it with the ground, and built a fort there (B. J. iii. 9. 3, 4), which soon became the nucleus of a new town. It is now called Jaffa ('Ιάφα, Anna Comnena. Alex. ii. p. 328), and has about 7000 inhabitants, half of whom are Christians. (Winer, RWB.) Ταβιθά] פְּבֵיהָא in Aramaic, answer-

ing to τος, Heb., δορκάς (Æl. Hist. An. xiv. 14), a gazelle. It appears also in the Rabbinical books as a female name (Lightf.): the gazelle being in the East a favourite type of beauty. See Cant. ii. 9, 17; iv. 5; vii. 3.

...αι χη-I.Nabc

εως ήμων. 39 p αναστάς δε Πέτρος q συνήλθεν αὐτοίς ον p ch. riii. 26 παραγενόμενον "ἀνήγαγον εις τὸ "ὑπερῷον, καὶ "παρο $^{-2}$ -ch. i. 21 σελ "ὑπερῷον, καὶ "παρο $^{-2}$ -ch. i. 21 σελ "ὑπερῷον, καὶ "και δεικ ς μεν έστησαν αὐτῷ πᾶσαι αὶ "χῆραι κλαίουσαι καὶ "ἐπιδεικ ς μεν αὐτῷν οὐσα (ch. xvi. 34) και καὶ ὑμάτια ὄσα ἐποίει " μετ αὐτῶν οὐσα (ch. xvi. 34) και δεικ το Δορκάς. 40 'ἐκβαλὼν δὲ ἔξω πάντας ὁ Πέτρος καὶ 10 Rom. x. 20 Τι 10 Τι 10 Τι 20 Θεις τὰ 2 γόνατα "προς ηὐζατο, καὶ 10 ἐπιστρέψας πρὸς τὸ 10 -ch. iτ. 10 σελ 10 Γι 10 Μακκ εί, αλ Ικαρς ή Δορκάς. 40 γ έκβαλων δε έξω πάντας ο Πέτρος καί ετώρα είπεν Ταβιθά, ⁴ ἀνάστηθι. ἡ δὲ ⁶ ἤνοιξεν τοὺς ακτικά και αι. ⁶ ὄφθαλμοὺς αὐτῆς, καὶ ἰδοῦσο τὸν Πέτρον ⁶ ἀνεκάθισεν. ^{15,17} και ¹ ἐκτικά θος ^{15,17} και ¹⁵ τοὺς Ιάγίους καὶ τὰς " χήρας " παρέστησεν αὐτὴν ζώσαν. 42 1 γνωστὸν δὲ ἐγένετο m καθ' m ὅλης της Ἰόππης, καὶ n ἐπί m ἐπί του κύριον. 43 ἐγένετο δὲ o ἡμέρας m ἤμι χνίλ. m ἐκανὰς pq μείναι αὐτὸν ἐν Ἰόππη q παρά τινι Σίμωνι r βυρσεί. m γλίλη τις χιλι τος m για τος pq μείναι αὐτὸν ἐν Ἰόππη q παρά τινι Σίμωνι r βυρσεί. m γλίλη τις m τις m γλίλη τις m Χ. 1 'Ανηο δέ τις έν Καισαοεία ονόματι Κορνήλιος, 5 έκα- Rev. st. 2. 2 Chon.

39. ins o bef πετρος C c o 130.

περιεστησαν αυτον c vulg E-lat spec Bas

40. παντας bef εξω C m vulg spee: om εξω e: Chr (Ec Thl-sif: ins ABCEN p copt Thl-fin. bef ιδουσα æth-pl.

rec om 1st kai, with L 13 rel vss ins παραχρημα bef ηνοιξεν E sah,

41. for 1st δε, τε A c Syr æth.
42. om της BC¹: ins AC³ELN rel Chr.

rec $\pi o \lambda \lambda$, bef $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau$, with L 13. 36 rel

vss Chr: txt ABCEN m p 40 vulg spec arm.

αυτον bef ημερας ικ. μ. ΑΕΝ3 a h p 40: om αυτ. ΒΝ1 **43.** for ικανας, τινας C 36. b: txt CL 13. 36 rel Chr.

CHAP. X. 1. rec aft tis ins nv (corrn, see ch ix. 36; not observing that the constr is carried on to ειδεν, ver 3), with rel vss Thl: om ABCELX p 13. 36 E-lat Chr.

Lightf. remarks, that she was probably a Hellenist, and thus was known by both names. 37. ἐν ὑπερώω] No art., as in the expressions els olkov, 'on deck,' &c., which usually occur after prepositions, ef. Middl. ch. vi. § 1. See 1 Kings xvii. 19. 39. πâσαι αί χ.] The widows of the place, for whom she made these garments. ἐποίει] 'was making,' i.e. used to make (i.e. weave): not 'had made.' έκβαλών] After the example of his divine Master, see reff. 43. βυρσεί From the extracts in Wetstein and Schöttgen, it appears that the Jews regarded the occupation of a tanner as a half-unclean one. In this case it would shew, as De W. observes, that the stricter Jewish practices were already disregarded by the Apostle. It also would shew, in how little honour he and his office were held by the Jews at Casarca.

CHAP. X. 1-48.] CONVERSION (BY

SPECIAL DIVINE PREARRANGEMENT) AND BAPTISM OF THE GENTILE CORNELIUS AND HIS PARTY. We may remark, that the conversion of the Gentiles was no new idea to Jews or Christians, but that it had been universally regarded as to take place by their reception into Judaism. Of late, however, since the Ascension, we see the truth that the Gospel was to be a Gospel of the uncircumcision, beginning to be recognized by some. Stephen, carrying out the principles of his own apology, could hardly have failed to recognize it: and the Cyprian and Cyrencean missionaries of ch. xi. 20 preached the word πρός τους Ελληνας (not -ιστάs), certainly before the conversion of Cornelius. This state of things might have given rise to a permanent schism in the infant church. The Hellenists, and perhaps Saul, with his definite mission to the Gentiles, might have

οm εκατονταρχ. L. σ πειρας B a b² g h¹ l o Chr. 2. rec aft ποιων ins τ ε, with L 13 rel æth-pl Œc Thl: om ABCEN p 40 vulg Syr

formed one party, and the Hebrews, with Peter at their head, the other. But, as Neander admirably observes (Pfl. u. Leit. p. 111), 'The pernicious influence with which, from the first, the self-seeking and one-sided prejudices of human nature threatened the divine work, was counteracted by the superior influence of the Holy Spirit, which did not allow the differences of men to reach such a point of antagonism, but enabled them to retain unity in variety. We recognize the preventing wisdom of God,-which, while giving scope to the free agency of man, knows how to interpose His immediate revelation just at the moment when it is requisite for the success of the divine work, -by noticing, that when the Apostles needed this wider development of their Christian knowledge for the exercise of their vocation, and when the lack of it would have been exceedingly detrimental, -at that very moment, by a remarkable coincidence of inward revelation with a chain of outward circumstances, the illumination hitherto wanting was imparted to them.'

1. Kaivapeia As this town bears an important part in early Christian history, it will be well to give here a full account of it. CESAREA (Palestine, Kaiσάρεια της Παλαιστίνης, called παράλιος, Jos. B. J. iii. 9. 1; vii. 2. 2; Antt. xiii. 11. 2, or ή ἐπὶ θαλάττη Κ., Jos. B. J. vii. 1. 3; 2. 1, or Stratonis (see below),distinguished from Cæsarea Philippi, see note Matt. xvi. 13) is between Joppa and Dora, 68 Rom. miles from Jerusalem according to the Jerus. Itinerary, 75 according to Josephus (i.e. 600 stadia, Antt. xiii. 11.2. B. J. i. 3. 5),-36 miles (Abulfeda) from Ptolemais (a day's journey, ch. xxi. 8),—30 from Joppa (Edrisi);—one of the largest towns in Palestine (Jos. B. J. iii. 9, 1), with an excellent haven (Jos. Antt. xvii. 5. 1, Σεβαστός λιμήν, - δν κατασκευάσας 'Ηρώδης πολλών χρημάτων έπι τιμη τη Kalσα-ρος καλεί Σεβαστόν). It was, even before the destruction of Jerusalem, the sent of the Roman Procurators (see ch. xxiii. 23 ff.; xxiv. 27; xxv. 1), and called by Tacitus (Hist. ii. 79) 'Judææ caput.' It was chiefly inhabited by Gentiles (Jos. B. J. iii. 9. 1;

ii. 14.4), but there were also many thousand Jewish inhabitants (Jos. B. J. ii. 18. 1; Antt. xx. 8. 7; Life, 11). It was built by Herod the Great (Amm. Marcell. xiv. 8, p. 29, Bipont. Beforetime there was only a fort there, called Στράτωνος πύργος, Jos. Antt. xv. 9. 6 al.; Strabo, xvi. 758; Plin. v.14)—fortified, provided with a haven (see ch. ix. 30; xviii. 22; Joseph. above), and in honour of Cæsar Augustus named Cæsarea (at length Καισάρεια Σεβαστή, Jos. Antt. xvi. 5. 1). Vespasian made it a Roman colony (Plin. v. 13). Abulfeda (Syr. p. 80) speaks of it as in ruins in his time (A.D. 1300). At present there are a few ruins ouly, and some fishers' huts. (From Winer, έκατοντάρχης] The subordi-RWB.) nate officer commanding the sixth part of a cohort = half a maniple. See Diet. of Gr. and Roman Antt. σπ. τ. καλ. Ίταλ.] A cohort ($\sigma\pi$.) levied in Italy, not in Syria. Mr. Humphry quotes from Gruter, Inser. i. p. 434, 'Cohors militum Italicorum vo-Inntaria, quæ est in Syria.' Biscoe (Hist. of the Acts, pp. 217-221) maintains that this was an independent cohort, not one attached to a legion. The legio Italica (Tacit. Hist. i. 59, 64; ii. 100; iii. 22) was not raised till Nero's time.

2. εὐσ. κ. φοβ. τ. θ.] i. e. he had abandoned polytheism, and was a worshipper of the true God: whether a proselyte of the gate, or not, seems uncertain. That he may have been such, there is nothing in the narrative to preclude: nor does Meyer's objection apply, that it is not probable that, among the many thousand converts, no Greek proselyte had yet been admitted by baptism into the church. Many such cases may have occurred, and some no doubt had: but the object of this providential interference seems to have been, to give solemn sanction to such reception, by the agency of him who was both the chief of the Apostles, and the strong upholder of pure Judaism. It is hardly possible that μαρτυρούμενος ύπλ δλου τοῦ ἔθνους τῶν Ἰουδαίων (ver. 22) should have been said of a Gentile not in any way conformed to the Jewish faith and worship. The great point (ch. xi. 3) which made the present event so important, was, that Cornelius was ανήρ ακροβυστίαν

μενος τοῦ θεοῦ α διὰ παντύς, 3 είδεν εν ο δράματι φανερώς, ach. il. 25 ref. μενίος του θευυ σια παντύς, είνει το φρημοίος του θεου $\frac{\text{ref.}}{\alpha}$ μενίος περὶ $\frac{\text{ref.}}{\alpha}$ κυάτην της $\frac{1}{2}$ ημέρας, αγγελον τοῦ θεοῦ $\frac{\text{ref.}}{\alpha}$ μετι Μαντί είχελθόντα πρὸς αὐτὸν καὶ είπόντα αὐτῷ Κορνήλιε. $\frac{4}{2}$ ὁ δὲ $\frac{\text{ref.}}{\alpha}$ είχελθόντα αὐτῷ καὶ $\frac{1}{2}$ έμφοβος γενόμενος είπεν Τί έστιν, $\frac{1}{4}$ μιμές χιϊί $\frac{1}{4}$ μιμές. κύριε; εἶπεν δὲ αὐτῷ Αὶ 1 προςευχαί σου καὶ αὶ y ἐλεημο- $^{\text{enc.}(w)}$ περὶ, Ματ. σύναι σου k ἀνέβησαν 1 εἰς m μνημόσυνον n ἔμπροσθεν τοῦ $^{\text{enc.}(w)}$, Αντ. (Α) n , Αντ. (Α) n θεου. 5 καὶ νυν πέμψον ἄνδρας είς Ἰόππην καὶ ° μετά-

only 1. Mocc. xiii. 22 ref. iii. 12 ref. ii. 14 xii. 23 only. ii. 23. 1 Mocc. xiii. 23 conly. ii. 23. 1 Mocc. xiii. 24 xii. 23 conly. ii. 23. 1 Mocc. xiii. 24 xii. 24 7, 10. 3 Kings iv. 29.

æth-rom Chr. for $\epsilon \imath \delta \epsilon \nu$, $\omega \epsilon \delta \epsilon \nu$ (but ω marked for crasure) \aleph^1 . om ev N.

3. rec om $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ (as unnecessary; this is much more probable than Meyer's support hat περι was a gloss on wseι: comp περι ωρ. εκτ., ver 9), with L rel (Chr) Œc: ins ABCEN k o 13. 36. 40 Damasc Thl-fin. — περι, omg ωςει, c d 3. 65. 67 (sah arm?). — ως Ν 36. 40 Damasc Thl-fin. rec εννατην, with L 13 rel: txt ABCEN a b g h k l m p.

4. om 2nd at C a d1 m p. om εις μνημοσυνον X1. rec ενωπιον (substitution for the less usual εμπροσθέν), with CEL 13 rel Sevrn Chr: txt ABN p 36. 40.

5. rec εις ιοπ. bef ανδρ., with L 13. 36 rel Chr: txt ABCEN m p vulg D-lat syrr ree om τινα (corrn from respect to the Apostle. This is much more prob than Meyer's suppose, that Twa was inserted to conform the first our. to the other. The same considerations have led to the var read in ver 32), with ELN 13 rel demid D-lat Syr sah Orig Chr Thl Iren-int: ins ABC p 36 vulg syr-marg copt arm. επικαλουμένον πετρον (corrn from ch xi. 13? or origl, and os επικαλείται a corrn from ver 32? the mss authority must decide) EL 13 rel Ec Thl: txt ABCN a h p 36 Chr₁.

6. σιμωνι bef τινι C m vss: om τινι D-lat. ins η bef σικια C lect-12. rec aft θαλ. adds συτος λαλησει σοι τι σε δει ποιεν (interpolation from ver 32, and ch ix. 6, combined: see also ch xi. 14), with (36?) demid ath-rom Thl-fin; ος λαλησει ρημ. προς σε . . . to σικος σου from ch xi. 14 4-marg 8. 26. 27. 73. 81 cot; ος λαλησει σοι 133: om ABCELN p rel vss Chr Thl-sif.

7. om 2nd o L g m lect-26. rec τω κορνηλιω (explanatory corrn for αυτω),

έχων. Doubtless also among his company (ver. 24) there must have been many who were not proselytes. δεόμενος τ. θεοῦ διὰ π.] From Cornelius's own narrative, ver. 31, as well as from the analogy of God's dealings, we are certainly justified in inferring, with Neander, that the subject of his prayers was that he might be guided into truth, and if so, hardly without reference to that faith which was now spreading so widely over Judæa. This is not matter of conjecture, but is implied by Peter's οίδατε το γενόμ. ρημα καθ' όλης της Ἰουδαίας. Further than this, we cannot infer with certainty; but, if the particular difficulty present in his mind be sought, we can hardly avoid the conclusion that it was connected with the apparent necessity of embracing Judaism and circumcision in order to become a believer on Christ.

τῷ λαῷ] The Jewish inhabitants, see ch. xxvi. 17, 23; xxviii. 17; John xi. 50; xviii. 14 al. 3. ἐν ὁράμ. φανερῶς] not in a trance, as ver. 10, and ch. xxii. 17, -but with his bodily eyes : thus asserting the objective truth of the appearance. ώς εὶ περὶ ωρ. ἐν.] It here appears that C.

observed the Jewish hours of prayer.
4. εls μνημ.] Not instar sacrificii (Ps. exli. 2) as Grot .: but, as E. V., for a memorial, 'so as to be a memorial.'

There has been found a difficulty by some in the fact that Cornelius's works were received as well pleasing to God, before he had justifying faith in Christ. But it is surely easy to answer, with Calvin and Augustine, 'non potuisse orare Cornelium, nisi fidelis esset.' His faith was all that he could then attain to, and brought forth its fruits abundantly in his life : one of which

 $^{\rm u.ch.[x.4]}_{\rm v.ch.[x.4]}$ $^{\rm u.ch.[x.4]}_{\rm v.ch.[x.4]}$ πουξιαστικό πουξ z John i. 29, &c. ch. xiv. 20 al. Exod. xxxii. 6. a here only †. ζόντων c ανέβη Πέτρος έπὶ τὸ d δωμα e προςεύξασθαι περί ωραν έκτην. 10 έγένετο δὲ Γπρόςπεινος, καὶ ήθελεν ε γεύσασθαι. παρασκευαζόντων δε αυτών εγένετο επ' αυτον (-pra, 2 Cur. xi. 28. -pos, Gen. xxxvil. κ έκστασις, 11 καὶ 1 θεωρεί τὸν m οὐρανὸν a ἀνεωγμένον καὶ 24.)
b dat., ch. ix.
3 reft.
c — Luke v. 19.
Josh. ii. 8.
4 Kings ix. " καταβαίνου ° σκευός τι ώς ε οθόνην μεγάλην, τέσσαρσιν θαρχαίς [δεδεμένον καί] ταθιέμενον έπι της γης, 12 έν | 17. | 24. | 27. | 28. | 27. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28. | 28.

with L 13 rel syr Chr: txt ABCEN p vulg Syr coptt wth arm. ree aft oik. ins αυτου (explanatory), with L 13, 36 rel vss Chr: om ABCEN p 40 arm.

8. ree αυτοις bef απαντα, with CL 13. 36 rel Chr: illis visum D-lat: txt ABEN p coptt. 9. for ekein, autwo (corrn to correspond with autois above) AELN dk op 13.36; an. EK. e: txt BC rel Chr Œe Thl. for $\epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \nu$, $\epsilon \nu \alpha \tau \eta \nu \aleph^3$. aft εκτ. ins της ημερας

10. rec εκεινων (probably from εκεινων having been in the margin in some MSS at ver 9, and thus inserted here by mistake, or as in note), with L rel Chr: txt ABCEN p ree επεπεσεν (corrn to avoid the repetition of εγενετο, and to the more usual word, see ch viii. 16 reff. Meyer holds επεπ. to have been origl: but being usually said of πνευμα, and thus seeming inappropriate to εκστασις, to have been altered in conformity with ch xxii. 17, γενεσθαι με εν εκστασει. But this is very careless: for, Luke i. 12, we have φοβος επεπ. επ αυτ., and so ch xix. 17: and xiii. 11, επεπ. επ αυτον αχλυς), with EL 13 rel vss Chr: (επεσεν 19. 78. 96 Clem:) txt ABCX d p 36 copt Orig.

11. rec aft καταβαιν. ins επ αυτον (al αυτω) (inserted to correspond with αχρις εμου, ch xi. 5), with L rel D-lat Chr Thl: om ABC2EN e p 13 vulg syrr coptt ath Orig. (C1 om μεγαλην C2. om δεδεμενον και ABC-EN 40 vulg wth arm has perished.) Orig, Cyr Thdrt: txt (C1 perhaps) L p rel 36 (D-lat syrr coptt) Chr Thl-sir.-transp δεδεμενον and καταβαινον c 13 Thl-fin.—also e has τεσσαρσιν αρχαιs immediately aft ανεωγ. και; 13, at end of ver. - καταβαινον is omd by leet-12 D-lat syrr sah; these vss have other varns, e. g. cælum apertum ex quattuor principiis ligatum vas quodam et(sie) linteum splendidum quod differebatur de calo in terram D-lat.

Β'(Vere), καθημένον m.

fruits, and the best of them, was, the earnest seeking by prayer for a better and more 7. ἀπηλθεν] So in perfect faith. Luke i. 38: - another token of the objective reality of the vision: εἰςελθόντα (ver. 3) and ἀπηλθ. denoting the real acts of the angel, not the mere deemings of Cornelius. λαλών must be regarded as the im-

perfect participle, as in John ix. 8. 9.] By δωμα, Jerome, Luther, Erasm., al., understand an upper chamber. But why not then ὑπερφον, a word which Lake so frequently uses? It was the flat roof, much frequented in the East for purposes of exercise (2 Sam. xi. 2; Dan. iv. 29, marg.), of sleeping in summer (1 Sam. ix. 26, by inference, and as expressed in

LXX),—of conversation (ib. ver. 25),—of mourning (Isa. xv. 3; Jer. xlviii, 38),-of erecting booths at the feast of tabernaeles (Neh. viii. 16), -of other religious celebrations (2 Kings xxiii. 12; Jer. xix. 13; Zeph. i. 5),-of publicity (2 Sam. xvi. 22; Matt. x. 27; Luke xii. 3. Jos. B. J. ii. 21. 5),of observation (Judg. xvi. 27; Isa. xxii. 1), —and for any process requiring fresh air and sun (Josh. ii. 6). (Winer, RWB. art. Dach.) [Kryv] The second hour of prayer: also of the mid-day meal.

The distance was thirty Roman miles, part of which they performed on the preceding evening, perhaps to Apollonia,—and the rest that morning. 10. γενσ. see reft. excluor is more likely to have been a cor-

 $\begin{array}{c} LR \ge b \ c \\ df g h k x Matt, vi, 26. vii, 20 [, xiii, 32 [, Luke viii, 5, xiii, 19, ch, xi, 6, Gen, i, 26, yeh, vii, 31 reff. x ch, xiii, 26 reff. Dan, vii, 5, a = Matt, xxii, 4, Luke xv, 23, 27, 30, John x, 10, ch, xi, 7 rolly, Dent, xii, 10; 2, 5, ver, 28, ch, xi, 8, 11 xi et al., 20, 11 ch, xi, 20, Rev, xxi, 27 only; 1 Macc, i, 62, cever, 28, ch, xi, 8, 1 Cur vii, 14, 2 Cur, vii, 7, Rev, xxi, 27 only; 1 Macc, i, 62, cever, 4, v, 5, Judic, xiii, 14, cr, 13 dl(xxv), 42 only†, g as above (f), Mark xiv, 72, John ix, 24, ch, xii, 9, Hen, xi, 29 only, Jer, 1, 13, ch, xii, 6, Cen, 1, 26, yeh, vii, 6, Gen, 1, 26, yeh, vii, 31 reff. xch, xii, 26, ch, xii, 14, reff. xii, 14, dec, xii, 14, dec, xii, 27, xii, 27, ch, xii, 27, xii, 27, ch, xii, 27, x$

12. rec $\tau\eta_5$ yps bef 1st kal ($\tau\epsilon\eta\rho\pi\pi\sigma\delta a$ $\tau\eta_5$ yps: see eh xi. 6), with L rel Chr: on τ . y. 343. 662. 163 D-lat sah (Ambr): txt ABCEN p (36) Syr copt arm Clem Orig Constt Thdrt.—ins τa $\epsilon \pi \iota$ bef τ . yps 36. rec ins τa $\epsilon \eta \iota$ pa ϵa to ϵf p. (from eh xi. 6), with L 13. 36 rel syr Chr, and, but aft $\epsilon \rho \pi$. E: om ABCEN p. 40 yug D-lat Syr copt arm Clem Orig, Constt Thdrt Thl-sif comm Aug Cassiod.—rec ins τa bef $\epsilon \rho \pi$, with L 13. 36 rel Clem Thdrt Chr Thl-fin: om ABCEN p $\epsilon \tau$ p. Grig. Constt Thl-sif.—(C¹ is ighle). rec ins τa bef $\tau \epsilon \tau$. (conforma to ϵh xi. 6), with C¹EL 13. 36 rel Clem Constt Chr Thdrt Thl: om ABCEN p $\epsilon \tau$

14. ree for και, η (conformn to ch xi. 8), with C D-gr EL p rel copt Chr: txt ABN

13. 36 vulg D-lat syrr sah Clem Orig, Constt Cyr-c Aug Ambr.

rection of $\alpha \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ as applying better to the people of the house, than the converse.

ξεστασις] The distinction of this appearance from the δραμα above (though the usage is not always strictly observed) is, that in this case that which was seen was a revelation shewn to the eye of the beholder when rapt into a supernatural state, having, as is the case in a dream, no objective reality: whereas, in the other case, the thing seen actually happened, and was beheld by the person as an ordinary spectator, in the possession of his natural senses.

in the possession of his natural senses. 11. $\tau \ell \sigma \sigma c$ $\Delta p \chi$] not, δy the four corners, which would certainly require the article, as in reff.—but by four rope-ends. This meaning of $\Delta p \chi \dot{\eta}$ is justified by Diod. Sic. i. p. 104, who, speaking of harpooning the hippopotamus, says, $\epsilon l \vartheta'$ $\epsilon \vartheta l \tau \dot{\sigma} u \dot{\epsilon} \mu m \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu r \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \nu r \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \nu r \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \nu r \dot{\epsilon} \nu r \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \nu r \dot{\epsilon} \nu$

At all events, as Neander observes (Pfl. u. L. p. 126, note), these four ἀρχαί (whether ends of ropes attached to the corners, or those corners themselves) are not without meaning, directed as they are to the four parts of heaven, and intimating that men from the North, South, East, and West, now were accounted clean before God, and were called to a share in his kingdom: see Luke xiii. 29. The symbolism is, as usual, fancifully exaggerated by Dr. Wordsw. in his note. The four apxal are the four gospels, because the word ἀρχή occurs somewhere near the beginning of each, &c., &c. Who can wonder, after this, VOL. II.

at the distrust of all Scripture symbolism by intelligent, but unspiritual minds?

I have retained the words $\delta\epsilon\delta$. $\kappa a i$, doubtfully, because it seems difficult to account for their insertion, but they may have been omitted to assimilate our text to ch. xi. 5.

12. πάντα τὰ τετ.] literally: not 'many of each kind,' nor 'some of all kinds,' in which ease the art, the sense of which is carried on from τὰ τετρ. to the subsequent words (see ch. xi. 6), would be omitted:—in the vision it seemed to Peter to be an assemblage of all creation.

τετρ., έρπ., πετ.] In eh. xi. 6, from which our text has been corrected, Peter follows the more strictly Jewish division: see there.

14.] Peter rightly understands the command as giving him free choice of all the creatures shewn to him. We cannot infer hence that the sheet contained unclean animals only. It was a mixture of clean and unclean,—the aggregate, therefore, being unclean. κύριε] So Cornelius to the angel, ver. 4. It is here addressed to the unknown heavenly speaker. On the clean and unclean beasts, &c.,

see Levit. xi.

15.] These weighty words have more than one application. They reveal what was needed for the occasion, in a figure: God letting down from heaven clean and unclean alike, Jew and Gentile,—represented that He had made of one blood all nations to dwell on the face of all the earth: God having purified these, signified that the distinction was now abolished which was 'added because of transgressions' (Gal. iii. 19),—and all regarded in his eyes as pure for the sake of

πορεύου σύν αυτοίς μηδεν ^b διακρινόμενος, ὅτι έγιδ ἀπ- kim ο pis

εκαθερισεν ACL mp: txt BDEN rel. for ov, ooi 15. φωνησας δε D-gr.

(itacism ! as E p kuvou for kow.) D 13.

16. rec (for ευθυς) παλιν (from ch xi. 10), with D-gr L 13 rel E-lat syr Chr (ανελημφθη bef παλιν D-gr): om 15. 36 D-lat Syr sah æth-pl arm Constt Ambr: txt ABCEN p vnlg syr-marg copt æth-rom.

D adds εγενετο. 17. αυτω Β k. for ειη, ει D1: txt D3. om και (corrn of Hebraism?) ABN p 36. 40 vulg arm: ins CDEL 13 rel fuld ath-rom Chr. om του bef κορν. D Thl-fin. BEN a b2 c g h o p: ano ACDL rel Chr Thl-sif. rec om του bef σιμ., with EL 13 rel Thl-sif: ins ABCDS c p επερωτησαντές D. 40 Chr Thl-fin.

18. επυθοντο ΒC

19. rec ενθυμ. (prob negligence of the significant compounded verb), with b: δια-νοουμένου 15. 18. 36 Did: txt ABCDEL(**) rel Chr.—διενθυμένου **. rec αυτω bef το πρευμα, with DEL 13 rel vss Chr: om αυτ. B copt: txt ACN m p vulg sah. rec aft ανδρες ins τρεις (conformn to ch xi. 11 and ver 7), with ACEN f p 13. 36 vulg Syr syr-marg Thl-fin; bef avd., copt; Tives arm; dvo B: om DHL rel spec syr Constt

Cyr-jer Chr Thl-sif Aug Ambr. rec ζητουσι, with ACDEL rel: txt BN p. 20. αναστα D¹ vulg coptt: txt D³. rec διστι, with L 13 rel Constt Ba rec διοτι, with L 13 rel Constt Bas, : txt

ABCDEHN h p 36. 40 Cyr-jer Bas, Did Thl-sif-comm.

21. τοτε κατ. DE Syr. ins ο bef πετρος DEL b d o Chr Thl-fin: om ABCHN p

His dear Son. But the literal truth of the representation was also implied ;-that the same distinctions between the animals intended for use as food were now done away, and free range allowed to men, as their lawful wants and desires invite them, over the whole creation of God: that creation itself having been purified and rendered clean for use by the satisfaction of Christ. The same truth which is asserted by the heavenly voice in Peter's vision, is declared Eph. i. 10; Col. i. 20; 1 Tim. iv. 4, 5. Only we must be careful not to confound this restitution with the άποκατάστασις πάντων of ch. iii. 21; see notes there. 16. (ml rois) denoting the certainty of the thing revealed : see Gen. xli. 32. 17. Valcknaer and Stier

understand ἐν ἐαυτῷ, as ch. xii. 11, where γενόμενος is expressed (see D in var. readd. here), - 'when he came to himself,' but without yevouevos this is very harsh, and it surely is better not to force from its obvious menning so natural a conjunction of words as έν έαυτῷ διηπόρει. φωνήσαντες having called out (some one), they were enquiring. The present, Ecvileral, is a common mixed construction between the direct and the indirect intern. 19.] See ch. viii. 29, note. 20. ἀλλά] 'make no question as rogation.

to who or what they are, -but :' - so also ch. ix. 6. εγώ] The Holy Spirit, shed down upon the Church to lead it into all the truth, had in His divine arrangements brought about, by the angel sent to

ἄνδρας εἶπεν Ἰδοὺ ἐγώ εἰμι ὃν ζητεῖτε τἰς ἡ εἰτία δι' ε—Luke τἰἰι τὴν πάρεστε; 22 οὶ δὲ εἶπαν Κορνήλιος ἐκατοντάρχης, ανὴρ δίκαιος καὶ ἀφοβούμενος τὸν ἀθεόν, εμαρτυρούμενός τον ὅκαὶ τὰν δίκοιος τοῦ ἄντοῦ καὶ ἀφοβούμενος τὸν Ἰουδαίων, ἱ ἐχρηματίσθη κτὶ. ἐχριματίσθη ἀνὴρ ἀγγέλου εἰς τἱνοῦς τῶν Ἰουδαίων, ἱ ἐχρηματίσθη ἀνηριών καὶ ἀκοῦσαι ἱρὴματα παρὰ σοῦ. 23 κ εἰςκαλεσάμενος οῦν αὐτοῦς ὶ ἐξένισεν. [™] τῆ δὲ ἐπαύριον [™] ἀναστὰς εἰς τὴν οἴκον εἰς τὴν οἰκον [†] ἐξένισεν. [™] τῆ δὲ ἐπαύριον [™] ἀναστὰς εἰς τὴν και ἀνοῦς, καὶ τινες τῶν ^µ ἀδελφῶν τῶν ἀπὸ Ἰόππης ^q συνῆλθον αὐτῷ. 24 [™] τῆ δὲ ἐπαύριον [†] ἐἰςῆλθον εἰς τὴν Καισάρειαν. ^ὁ δὲ Κορνήλιος ^{*} ἢν [†] προςδοκῶν καὶ ἀντοῦς, ^α συγκαλεσάμενος τοὺς ^γ συγγενεῖς αὐτοῦ καὶ καὶ καὶ τινες τῶν ἀναγκαίους φίλους. 25 ὡς δὲ ἐγένετο ^χ τοῦ [†] εἰς τὸν Πέτρον, ^χ συναντήσας αὐτῷ ὁ Κορνήλιος ^δ κορνήλιος ^δ κορνήλιος ^δ δὲ Πέτρος ἐκὶταν ^δ εἰς τὸν Πέτρον, ^χ συναντήσας αὐτῷ ὁ Κορνήλιος ^δ δὲ Πέτρος ἐκὶτεσοὶς ταις επεσών ^δ ἐπὶ τοὺς ^α πόδας ^δ προςεκύνησεν. ²⁶ ὁ δὲ Πέτρος ἐκὶτεσοὶς ταις επεσών ^δ ἐπὶ τοὺς ^α πόδας ^δ προςεκύνησεν. ²⁶ ὁ δὲ Πέτρος ἐκὶτεσοὶς ταις επέπες της πεσών ^δ ἐπὶ τοὺς ^α πόδας ^δ προςεκύνησεν. ²⁶ ὁ δὲ Πέτρος ἐκὶτεσοὶς ταις επέπες τοῦς μπα της θισξείτες τὰς μπα της θισξείτες τὸν τὰς πεσών ^δ ἐπὶ τοὺς ^α πόδας ^δ προςεκύνησεν. ²⁶ ὁ δὲ Πέτρος ἐκὶτεσοὶς ταις επέπες μπα της θισξείτες τὰς επέπες της επέπες της θισξείτες επέπες τὰς επέπες τὰ

(xvii, 20.) xxviii, 7. Heb. xiii, 20 alj † . Sir, xxix, 25. pass, ver, 6 ref.

(xvii, 20.) xxviii, 7. Heb. xiii, 20 alj † . Sir, xxix, 25. pass, ver, 6 ref.

(xvii, 20.) xxviii, 7. heb. xiii, 20 alj † . Sir, xxix, 25. pass, ver, 6 ref.

(xvii, 20.) xxviii, 32. he viii, 20 alj † . Sir, xxix, 25. pass, ver, 6 ref.

(xvii, 20.) xxviii, 32. he viii, 20 alj † . Sir, xxix, 25. pass, ver, 6 ref.

(xvii, 20.) xxviii, 32. hek xxiii, 32. hek xxiii, 34. fr.

(xvii, 21. al, 40. hek xxiii, 20. hek xxv, 45. per e you denystatorizory φ. at ye.

(xviii, 20.) xxviii, 32. hek xxii, 20. hek xxv, 45. per e you denystatorizory φ. at ye.

(xviii, 20.) xxviii, 32. hek xxii, 20. hek xxiii, 32. hek xxiiii, 32. hek xxiiii, 32. hek xxiiii, 32. hek xxiiii, 32. hek xxiiii,

13. 36 rel Thl-sif. for τ , and δ , autous C arm. ree aft andras sins tous aperalmenous apo tou korr. pros autou (explanatory interpolation, see 21 beginning an ecclesisatical portion), with H(but om τ ou) (f) Ec Thl-sif; τ . apera. upo korr. (alone) in som ABCDELN p 13 rel vulg syrr coptt with arm Chr Thl-fin. ins τ 1 bedeta η 1 bef τ 1s η D Syr (om 1st η 1 D-lat syr). for τ 1s η , τ 1 η 1 in om η 1 B.

22. [ειπαν, so ABCEN p.] add προς αυτον D Syr sah. aft κορν. ins τις D.gr Syr. for υπο, υφ D.

23. for ειςκ. ουν, τοτε προςκαλ. Ε: τοτε ειςαγαγων ο πετρος D 40 sah, introducens vulg E-lat Syr (addg Simon); ingressus D-lat. εξεν. bef αυτ. D 40 vss.

Yung E-lat Syr (addg Simon); ingressus D-lat. εξeν. Let avr. D 40 vss. ree for avaras, ο πετρος (avarτ. being erased as unnecessary, the <math>vacant space thus left in some copies has been filled up with ο πετρ. the subject of the verb), with HL rel Thl-sif: $ava\sigma\tau$. ο π. E c k m 13(ong δ) 36 syr Chr Thl-fin: txt ABCDN d p vulg Syr coptt avarborable on 2nd avarborable rec ins $\tau\eta s$ bet $\iota\sigma \pi$. (with none of our mss): on ABCDEHLN rel Chr Ge Thl-sif. $\iota\sigma\pi\eta s$ D¹: txt D-corr¹. $\sigma vv\eta \lambda \delta av$ D.

24. rec και τη (corrn appy to avoid the recurrence of τη δε, τη δε, ο δε), with HL 13 rel wth Chr Thl-fin: txt ABCDEN p 40 vulg syrr copt Thl-sif. εισηλθεν (corrn to suit εξηλθεν above) BD p wth Thl-sif: συνηλθον m: txt ΛΕΗL 13. 36 rel vss, -θaν CN. om την D m 133. ην προσδεχομενος αυτους και συγκ. D.

aft φιλους add περιεμεινεν D syr-marg.

25. ree om του, with I K 36 Ge: ins ABCELN p 13 rel Bas Chr Thl. aft ποδας ins αυτου g ο vulg Syr sah æth arm Thl-fin. for ver, προςεγγιζοντος δε του πετρου εις την καισαριαν, προδραμων εις των δουλων διεσαφησεν παραγεγονεναι αυτον. ο δε κορνηλιος εκπήδησας και συναντησας αυτω πεσων προς τους ποδας προςεκυνησεν αυτον D (αυτω D-corr') syr-marg.

Cornelius, their coming. 23. ἐξένισεν] This was his first consorting with men uncircumcised and eating with them (ch. xi. 3): though perhaps this latter is not necessarily implied. τιυς τῶν αδ.] Six, ch. xi. 12: in expectation of some weighty event to which hereafter their testimony might be required, as indeed it was, ib. 24. ἀναγκαίονε] his intimate

friends. So Jos. Antt. xi. 6. 4, φίλος ἀναγκαιότατος τῷ βασιλεῖ, and Xen. Mem. ii. 1. 14, φίλους πρὸς τοῦς ἀναγκαίοις καλουμένοις ἄλλους κτᾶυται βοηθούς. These, like himself, must have been fearers of the true God, or at all events must have been influenced by his vision to wait for the teaching of Peter. 25. τοῦ εἰξελθ.] This, the most difficult and

26. rec $av\tau$. bef $\eta\gamma$, with HL rel Thl-sif: txt ABCDEN a c d f h k m 13 Chr Thl-fin. for $ava\sigma\tau$, τ 1 π 01e1s D; syr has both. κ . $av\tau$. $\epsilon\gamma$. C Thdrt: κ 21 γ 42 ϵ 59 cmg $av\tau$ 05, E c h 13 Chr: om $av\tau$ 05 D sah: κ . γ 42 $\epsilon\gamma$ 42 ϵ 71. 137: txt ABHLN p rel 36 Mare Thl-sif.—rec for κ 41 $\epsilon\gamma$ 42, κ 434 κ 44. κ 44 DHL a b d f g h l m o 13: txt BN p lect-12. aft ϵ 141 κ 142 κ 154 κ 154 κ 154 κ 1555 κ 1655 κ 1755 κ 1655 κ 1755 κ 1655 κ 1655 κ 1755 κ 1655 κ 1755 κ 1

27. for k. συνομ. to ευρ., και ειςελθων τε και ευρεν D^1 (and lat).

28. Def $\epsilon\pi i \sigma$, ins $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i \omega$ D Aug. abeliato D. ins abdil bef alloop. D. gr leet 12 Syr sh. rec kai $\epsilon \mu \sigma$, with HL 13 rel Thl: $\kappa ai \ \mu \sigma$ p: txt ABCDEN 0. $\epsilon \delta \epsilon i \xi$, bef 0 ℓ . AEN vulg with. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon i \xi$, D.

best supported reading, is a harshness of construction hardly explicable (see Winer, edn. 6, § 41. 4) on any principles. It probably arose from taking the so frequent του with the infin. almost as one word, and equivalent to the infin. itself. τους πόδας viz. those of Peter. Kuinoel's rendering 'in genua provolutus' is clearly inadmissible. προςεκύν. "Adoravit; non addidit Lucas, 'eum.'
Euphemia." (Bengel.) May not the same reason have occasioned the omission of αὐτοῦ after πόδας? the one αὐτ. would almost require the other. It was natural for Cornelius to think that one so pointed out by an angel must be deserving of the highest respect; and this respect he shewed in a way which proves him not to have altogether lost the heathen training of hischildhood. He must have witnessed the rise of the custom of paying divine honours first to those who were clothed with the delegated power of the senate (Suet., Octav. 52, mentions, "templa etiam proconsulibus decerni solere"), and then κατ' έξοχήν to him in whom the imperial majesty centered. 26. καὶ ἐγὼ αὐτ. ἄνθρ. εἰμι] This was the lesson which Peter's vision had taught him, and he now begins to practise it :- the common honour and equality of all mankind in God's sight. who claim to have succeeded Peter, have not imitated this part of his conduct. See Rev. xix. 10; xxii. 8, in both which cases it is ξμπροσθ. των πόδων τοῦ άγγ., supporting the above rendering of $\ell\pi$. τ . $\pi\delta\delta\alpha$ s. 27.] The second $\ell s \eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ betokens the completion of his entering in; or (as De W. and Meyer) the former, his entering the house, - this latter, the chamber. 28.] ὑμεῖς, you, of all men, [best] know: being those immediately concerned in the obstruction to intercourse which the rule occasioned. See the gloss in ώς ἀθέμιτον . . .] that it is unlawful, . . . or 'how unlawful it is:' better the former, because in the order of the words, ἀθέμιτον has the stress on it: the other rendering would more naturally represent ως έστιν αθέμιτον. In both the reff. the ambiguity is the same. is some difficulty about this unlawfulness of consorting with those αλλόφυλοι who, like Cornelius, worshipped the true God. It rests upon no legal prohibition, and seems, at first sight, hardly consistent with the zeal to gain proselytes predicated of the Pharisees, Matt. xxiii. 15,—with Jos. Autt. xx. 2. 3 (Ἰουδαῖός τις ἔμπορος, ᾿Ανανίας όνομα, πρός τὰς γυναϊκας εἰςιὰν τοῦ βασιλέως (Monobazus, of Adiabene) εδίδασκεν αὐτὰς τὸν θεὸν εὐσεβεῖν), and with the Rabbinical comment Schemoth Rabba on Exod. xii. 4, "Hoe idem est quod scriptum dicit Jes. lvi. 3. Et non dicet filius advence qui adhæsit Domino, dicendo: separando separavit me Dominus a populo suo." But, whatever exceptions there may have been, it was unquestionably the general practice of the Jews to separate themselves in common life from uncircumcised persons. We have Juvenal testifying to this at Rome, Sat. xiv. 103, 'non monstrare vias, cadem nisi sacra colenti: Quæsitum ad fontem solos deducere verpos.' And Tacitus, Hist. v. 5, 'adversus omnes ulios hostile odium, separati epulis, discreti cu-never have this meaning, and in all cases

ἄνθοωπον, 29 διὸ καὶ p ἀναντιρρήτως ήλθον q μεταπεμφθείς. p μεταπεμφθείς. p και t πυνθάνομαι οῦν, s τίνι t λόγ ψ q μεταπέμψασθέ με ; 30 και t δικονήλιος έφη u 'Απὸ τετάρτης ήμέρας v μέχοι ταύτης φεταπείμνη t της ώρας w ήμην t νηστεύων καὶ t t την ένάτην t προςευχό t ενίπεις, και t ενίπεις t της ώρας t της t δικ t μενος έν τ t οἰκ t μον, καὶ ἱδοὺ ἀνὴρ έστη t ένώπιόν μου t ενίπεις t καὶ t καὶ φησιν Κορνήλιε, t ειςηκούσθη σου t προςευχὴ καὶ αὶ t έλεημοσύναι σου t έμνήσθησαν t ένώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ. t t πέμμον οῦν εἰς t Ιόππην καὶ t μετακέλεσαι Σίμωνα t t είτκαλείται Πέτρος οῦτος t ξενίζεται t καὶ, είτεις εν οἰκία Σίμωνος t βυρσέως t παρὰ θάλασσαν t t οῦς t παρα t γενόμενος λαλήσει σοι t t εὐ σύν έπεμψα πρόςς σε, γως, John iv. Αικτίλον και δαλί και δα του δια και δαλί και δα κ

Z abrol., ver, 9 reff. 52. Rev. iii. 3, 6 reft. 52. kev. iii. 3, a = ch. ii. 25 reff. 52. kanes ii. 2 only v. 2 Macc. xi. 8. Gev. xv. 6. (ch. ii. 10 v. r.) xii. 21. James ii. 2 only v. 2 Macc. xi. 8. Gev. xv. 6. xv. iii. 14, xii. 8, xxi. 1, i donly f. Who, vi. 12 al. cat. v. 10 Sym. el Cor. xiv. 21 reft. P., iv. 8. f. platr., ch. ix. 36 reft. g pass, Rev. xv. 10 only. Ezek. xvii. 22. mid. ch. xi. 16 al. fr. h. ch. vii. 14 reff. grass, Rev. xvi. 10 only. Ezek. xviii. 22. xvii. 32. xvii. 30. f. hl. ii. 33 only. nabsol., ch. xvii. 10. o. Mark vi. 25. ch. xi. 11. xxi. 32. xxiii. 30. f. hl. ii. 33 only.

29. αναντιρητως B1D p. aft μεταπεμφ. ins υφ υμων DE.

30. for τ etart, τ hs τ riths D^1 : nustertiana D-lat: txt D^2 (appy). for τ aut. τ hs, τ hs art D. om uptot. kai (erased perhaps, as nothing is said of fasting above, ever 3) AlBCN p vulg copt with arm: ins A^2DEHL 13.36 relsyst with TH—om kai... hou L. for kai τ , eu, τ hy evathy τ e D^1 . rec aft ev. ins wray, with H 13.36 rel Chr: om ABCDN p 40. kai proseux. apo ekt. wr. evo. ews evaths E. for 2nd moi, emou N.

31. η προςευχ. σου Ε 96. 142 lect-12 vulg D-lat: η δεησις σου e 80.

32. for ev oik. σ. β., παρα τινα σ. β. (corrn from ch ix. 43) C 36. 180. om σς παρ. λαλ. σω (to suit ver 6?) ABN p vulg copt wth-rom: ins CDEHL 13 rel vss Chr Thl.

33. aft προς σε add παρακαλων ελθειν προς ημας D syr-w-ast (D3 and lat ins σε aft

examine. Here, for instance :- the two parties concerned are ὑμεῖs, κὰγώ. ' Ye, though ye see me here, know, how strong the prejudice is which would have kept me away: and I, though entertaining fully this prejudice myself, yet have been taught &c.' 29. τίνι λόγω] on what account: the dative of the cause: see reff.: and cf. Hes. Theog. 626: γαίης φραδ-μοσύνησιν ἀνήγαγεν,—Winer, edn. 6, § 31. 6. c, and Bernhardy, Syntax, ch. iii. 14. 30. ἀπὸ τετ. ἡμ.] The rendering of Meyer and others, From the fourth day (reckoned back) down to this hour have I been fasting, is ungrammatical; for (1) this would require τῆςδε τῆς ὥρας, and (2) ήμην cannot possibly reach to the present time, but is the historical past: I was fasting. This being so, ἀπό τετάρτης ημέρας must indicate the time denoted by ήμην- 'quarto abhine die '-four days ago; see refl. (2), which fully justify this rendering. De Wette's and Neander's rendering, 'For four (whole) days was I (i. e. had I been) fasting up to this hour (i. e. the hour in which he saw the vision),'

where it is so rendered we may trace the significance of the simple copula if we does not satisfy ταύτης της ωρας, which must in that case be excluns, if indeed such an expression could be at all used of 'the time when the following incident took place.' The only legitimate meaning of ταύτ. τ. ωρ. I take to be this hour of the day: and this meaning is further established by the omission of ωραν after ἐνάτην. The hour alluded to is probably the sixth, the hour of the mid-day meal, which was the only one partaken by the Jews on their solemn days. (Lightf.) λαμπρξ] bright. In Luke (ref.) the brightness was in the colour: here, probably, in some superpartural shadeder. The convent width natural splendour. The garment might have been white (as in ch. i. 10), or not, but at all events, it was radiant with bright-31.] The two are separated here, which were placed together in ver. 4, and each has its proper verb: εἰsηκ. . . . ή προς ευχή κ. αι έλ.... έμνήσθ. The reading ενώπ. σου, for ενώπ. τοῦ θεοῦ, is remarkable, and had it more MS. authority, would seem as if it might have been genuine. It was much more likely to have been altered into τ. θεοῦ (as making the expression more solemn), than the converse; and the sense, 'We are all here

ελθ.). for το, δε D E-lat coptt. ins ενταχει hef περαγ. D. for ουν, δου D¹-gr: ιδου D-corr¹: txt D³-(and lat). for τ. θεου, σου D¹-(and lat) vulg Syr sah αth arm Bede. (See note.) om παρεσμεν D¹- sah. at ακουσαι ins βουλομενοι παρα σου D; νοίμπιος D-lat: βουλομ. (alone) Syr: παρα σου (alone) D³. om παντα D 96. 142 sah: τα προστ. σοι hef παντα Λ. rec υπο, with BHLN¹ p 13. 36 rel Chr: παρα Ε: txt ΛCDΝ³. *κυρίου (corrn to avoid repetition σf θεου f) ΑΒCΕΝ c 13. 36. 40 vulg syr copt arm: θεου DHL p rel Syr sah ath Chr. 34. το στομα hef πετρος D. at το στομα ins αντου ΛCΕΝ³ d k ο 36 Syr αth sah arm Th! com BDHLN¹ p an fuld Chr. καταλαμβανομενος D¹-(txt D²-ξ).

sah arm Thl: om BDHLN¹ p am fuld Chr.
35. αλλα Α. εσται Α Constt.

present before thee,' follows better on the

36. ins γαρ bef λογ. C¹ D-gr e 137 Syr syr-w-ast sah. om ον (corrn to simplify the constr) AB e p vulg coptt with: ins CDEHL(N¹) rel 36 syrr Cyr-jer Chr Thl. (13

two preceding verses. τὰ προςτ. Not doubting that God, who had directed him to Peter, had also directed Peter what to speak to him. 34. avoitas to ot. Used (see reff.) on occasions of more than ordinary solemnity. ἐπ' ἀληθείας κατ.] 'For the first time I now clearly, in its fulness and as a living fact, apprehend (grasp by experience the truth of) what I read in the Scripture (Deut. x. 17; 2 Chron. xix. 7; Job xxxiv. 19). 35.] and gives the explanation, -what it is that Peter now fully apprehends: but as opposed to προςωπολήμπτης in its now apparent sense. ἐν παντὶ έθνει κ.τ.λ. It is very important that we should hold the right clue to guide us in understanding this saying. The question which recent events had solved in Peter's mind, was that of the admissibility of men of all nations into the church of Christ. In this sense only, had he received any information as to the acceptableness of men of all nations before God. He saw, that in every nation, men who seek after God, who receive His witness of Himself without which He has left no man, and humbly follow His will as far as they know it,these have no extraneous hindrance, such as uncircumcision, placed in their way to Christ, but are capable of being admitted into God's church though Gentiles, and as

Gentiles. That only such are spoken of, is

agreeable to the nature of the case; for men who do not fear God, and work unrighteousness, are out of the question, not being likely to seek such admission. It is clearly unreasonable to suppose l'eter to have meant, that each heathen's natural light and moral purity would render him acceptable in the sight of God :- for, if so, why should he have proceeded to preach Christ to Cornclius, or indeed any more at all? And it is equally unreasonable to find any verbal or doctrinal difficulty in έργ. δικαιοσύνην, or to suppose that δικ. must be taken in its forcusic sense, and therefore that he alludes to the state of men after becoming believers. He speaks popularly, and certainly not without reference to the character he had heard of Cornelius, which consisted of these very two parts, that he feared God, and abounded in good works. The deeper truth, that the preparation of the heart itself in such men comes from God's preventing grace, is not in question here, nor touched upon.

36. ¬ἐν λόγον] The construction is very difficult. Several ways have been proposed of connecting and rendering this accusative. (1) Erasm., Wolf, Heinrichs, Kuin., &c., take ¬ἐν λόγον with οίδατε, and understand τὸ γεν. ῥῆμ. κ.τ.λ. as in apposition with it. "The word which, &c., ye know, viz. the γεν. β." But this immediate connexion of λόγ. and oίδ. is hardly consistent with the interruption of the sense by οἶτον π. κ. κέρος.

τοῖς ἀ υἰοῖς Ἰσραὴλ ͼ ͼ εὐαγγελιζόμενος ͼ εἰρῆνην διὰ Ἰησοῦ 4 ch. v. 21. vil. χριστοῦ' ͼ οὖτός ἐστιν ἡ πάντων ἡ κύριος. 37 ὑμεῖς οἴδατε 27 τὸ 1 γενόμενον ρῆμα 8 καθ' 8 ὅλης τῆς Ἰονδαίας, 1m ἀρξάμενος 1m ἀπόν τῆς Γαλιλαίας μετὰ τὸ βάπτισμα 6 6 εκήρυξεν 18 18 κι 18 18 κι 18 18 κι 18 18 18 κι 18 $^{$ h = Rom, x, 12 (Gal, iv, 1) only k ch. ix, 31 reft. L

17 only. Nah. i. 5.

i = Luke ii. 15. iii. 2. Johu x. 35. cb. vii. 31 al. Gen. xv. 1. Jer. i. 1.

h = Rom. x. 1.

lenstr., see note. m. ch. i. 22 ref. Gen. xv. 1. Jer. i. 1.

Exod, xxxii. 34. Matt. xxi. 1t. John i. 46. xi. 1. xii. 21 al.

j = ver. 28 ref.

iv. 27 refi.

def.)—ov is marked for erasure by X1, or more probably by X-corr1. 37. om υμεις B ath-rom. γεναμένον Ε: γεγονός C c. om ρημα D. rec αρξαμένον, with L p 13. 36 rel Ath Chr Thdrt Thl; quod factum est...incipiens vulg E-lat Iren-int Hil Ambr Faustin, q. f...cum cæpisset D-lat: txt ABCDEH 40. om 1st της D': ins D³. aft αρξ, ins γαρ AD vulg E-lat Ath Iren-int. 38. rec vasaper, with AHL a b d f g h l o p 13: txt BCDEN k m vulg syrr coptt æth

(2) Meyer, and Winer, edn. 6, § 62. 3 end, adopt virtually the same construction, but understand όμ. οίδ. to be a taking up of the sense which was broken by (in this case) the two parentheses εὐαγγ. χριστοῦ, and οὖτος . . . κύριος. This also is the rendering of E. V. But it does not sufficiently account for the two clauses parenthesized. Besides, it is an objection to both these, that the hearers did not know the λόγος- noverant auditores historiam de qua mox, non item rationes interiores, de quibus hoc versu.' Bengel. (3) Rosenm. and others understand κατά, 'secundum eam doctrinam quam Deus tradi jussit Israelitis,' or (4) take it as an accusativus pendens, 'ad sermonem filiis Israel missum pendens, adsermonem must strate missum quod attinct?.... But an accusative is never found thus standing alone, unless there be an anacoluthon, which (3) pre-cludes, and which would, if assumed in (4), give us a construction of unexampled harshness. (5) Grot. and Beza take τον λόγον εν, for εν λόγον, 'quem nuncium,' justifying it by Matt. xxi. 42, and so nearly (6) Kypke, 'verbum quod misit illud in omnes habet potestatem,' a rendering altogether out of all N. T. analogy, as is also (7) that of Heinsins, who understands λόγος as personal, 'Verbum quod misit Deus, omnium est Dominus,' a usage confined in the N. T. to the writings of St. John, and, even if admissible, most harsh and improbable here. (8) I agree in the main with De Wette, who joins τον λόγον with καταλαμβάνομαι, - and regards ver. 36 as exegetic of δτι . . . δεκτδς αὐτῷ ¿στι. Of a truth I perceive, &c..... (and recognize this as) the word which God sent to the children of Israel, preaching peace (see reff.) through Jesus Christ: (then, for the first time, ἐπ' ἀληθείας καταλαμβανόμενος this also, on the mention of Jesus Christ, he adds οὖτός

έστιν πάντων κύριος,) He is Lord of ALL MEN; with a strong emphasis on πάντων. I the more incline to this, the simplest and most forcible rendering, from observing that so far from δμείς σίδατε being (Meyer's objection) a harsh beginning to a new sentence, it is the very form in which Peter began his address to them ver. 28, ὑμεῖs έπίστασθε, &c.: and, as there it answers to κάμοί, so here also (ver. 39) to καὶ ἡμεῖς.

διὰ Ίησ. χρ. belongs to εὐαγγελ., 37. τὸ ἡῆμα the not to εἰρήνην. matter: not the thing, here or any where else: but the thing said, the 'materies' of the proclamation, in this case perhaps best 'the history.' γενόμενον] Not 'which took place,' but, which was spoken, 'published,' as E. V. See reff. This meaning, which βημα itself renders necessary, is further supported by καθ' όλης τ. 'Ιουδ., which can only be properly said, and is used by Luke (only, see reff.) of a publication, or spreading of a rumour, not of the happening of an event or series of events relating to άρξ. ἀπ. τ. Γαλ.] It was one person. from Galilee first that the fame of Jesus went abroad, as Luke himself relates, Luke iv. 14, 37 (44 v. r.); vii. 17; ix. 6 (xxiii. 5). Galilee also was the nearest to Cæsarea, and may have been for this reason expressly mentioned. ἀρξάμενος is an unexpected transference of the case and gender into that of the prime agent, a construction common enough in the Apocalypse (iv. 1 reff.), but surprising in St. Luke.

μετὰ τὸ βάπτ.] So also Peter dates the ministry of our Lord in ch. i. 22. (See note there.) 38. Ἰησοῦν τ. ἀπ. Nal.] The personal subject of the γενό-μενον βήμα, q. d. 'Ye know the subject Kypke, 'how that God anointed Jesus of

 $r=\text{Luke t. 17.}\atop \substack{\text{vi. lusl.}\\ \text{vi. lusl.}\\ \text{vi. lusl.}}$ αὐτὸν ὁ θεὸς πνεύματι άγίιν καὶ ' δυνάμει, ος ' διῆλθεν ABCDE H. Na ab abool...th. viii. têνερ γετῶν καὶ ' ιώμενος πάντας τοὺς ' καταδυναστευομένους e d f g h thronol.' (cync. Luke \) ὑπὸ τοῦ διαβάλου, ὅτι ὁ θεὸς ' ἢν μετ' αὐτοῦ· \ $\frac{39}{9}$ καὶ \) $\frac{1}{13}$ καταινώνεις $\frac{39}{13}$ καὶ $\frac{3}{13}$ καταινώνεις $\frac{39}{13}$ καταινώνεις

Chr Did. for $\omega_S \in \chi \rho$, $av\tau$, $ov \in \chi \rho$, D^1 syrr avh arm Bas₂ Faustin: $ov \in \chi \rho$, $av\tau ov$ D^3 , om $av\tau ov$ D^3 (and lat). in εv bef πv , $\alpha \gamma$. EL b in. $\alpha \gamma$. bef $\pi v \varepsilon u \rho$. D. for so, $ov\tau os$ D tol Syr sah Iren-int Faustin: $\omega_S R^1$ 13 lect-12 Thl-sif. Katadova at $\varepsilon v v v v \rho$. for $\delta ia\beta_{s_1}$, $\sigma a\tau ava_2 v v v v v v$.

39. vuess A D.gr. rec aft ημ. ins εσμεν, with HL 13 rel vss Cosm Thl: om ABCDEN p 36 syrr with Chr Iren-int-mss. for παντων, αντον D. om εν bef ιερ. BD p demid fuld Syr: ins ACEHLN 13. 36 rel am coptt Chr Cosm Iren-int- rec om 3rd και (its force not being seen), with 13 rel fuld copt Cosm Iren-int: ins ABCDEHLN rel 36 am demid tol syr arm Chr. [ανείλαν, so ABCDEN p 13.]

40. ins εν bef τη τρ. ημ. C κ (κ3 disapproving) m vss(some): μετα την τριτην ημεραν

D'(and lat). for autor, auto D o 45.

N.,' taking αὐτόν as redundant by a Hebraism. See a construction very similar in Luke xxiv. 19, 20. The fact of the anointing with the Holy Spirit, in His baptism by John, was the historical opening of the ministry of Jesus: this anointing however was not His first unction with the Spirit, but only symbolic of that which He had in His incarnation: so Cyril in Johan. lib. xi. p. 993, οὐ δήπου πάλιν ἐκεῖνό φαμεν ότι τότε γέγονεν άγιος δ κατά σάρκα χριστός, ότε τὸ πνεῦμα τεθέαται καταβαίνον δ βαπτιστής άγιος γὰρ ῆν καὶ ἐν έμβρύφ καὶ μήτρα ἀλλὰ δέδοται μὲν εἰς σημείον τῷ βαπτιστῆ τὸ θέαμα:—which unction abode upon Him, John i. 32, 33, and is alleged here as the continuing anointing which was upon Him from God.

Stier well remarks, how entirely all personal address to the hearers and all doctrinal announcements are thrown into the background in this speech, and the Person and Work and Office of Christ put forward as the sole subject of apostolic καταδυναστ. Subdued, preaching. so that he is their δυνάστης, and this power used for their oppression. Here, it alludes to physical oppression by disease (see Luke xiii. 16) and possession: in 2 Tim. ii. 26, a very similar description is given of those who are spiritually bound by the ό θεός ήν μετ' αὐτ.] So Nicodemus had spoken, John iii. 2; and probably Peter here used the words as well known and indicative of the presence of divine power and co-operation (see Judg.

vi. 16): beginning as he does with the outer and lower circle of the things regarding Christ, as they would be matter of observation and inference to his hearers, and gradually ascending to those higher truths regarding His Person and Office, which were matter of apostolic testimony and demonstration from Scripture,-His resurrection (ver. 40), His being appointed Judge of living and dead (ver. 42), and the predestined Author of salvation to all who believe on Him (ver. 43). καὶ ἡμεῖς] Answering to ὑμεῖς οἴδατε, ver. 37. 'You know the history as matter of universal rumour: and we are witnesses of the facts.' By this hueis Peter at once takes away the ground from the exagge-rated reverence for himself individually, shewn by Cornelius, ver. 25 (Stier): and puts himself and the rest of the Apostles in the strictly subordinate place of witnesses for Another. δν καὶ ἀνεῖλ.]. Whom also they killed. καί is not 'yet,' as Kninoel, but merely introduces, in this case passing over it without emphasis, a new fact in this history. He even omits all mention of the actors in the murder, speaking as he did to Gentiles : a striking contrast to ch. ii. 23; iii. 14; iv. 10; v. 30, -when he was working conviction in the minds of those actors themselves.

κρεμ. ἐπ. ξ.] So also ch. v. 30, where see note.

41.] Bengel would understand συνεφ. κ. συνεπ. of previous intercourse during His ministry, and parenthesize ob παιτ1 αὐτῷ,—finling a difficulty in

klmo p 13

τοῦ θεοῦ ἡμῖν, οἴτινες f συνεφάγομεν καὶ g συνεπίομεν αὐτῷ e Matt. xri. 28, vil. 42 cm. h στούνος signale. τοῦ θεοῦ ἡμῖν, °οἴτινες ' συνεφαγομεν και συνεπτυρών 63 (mile) 10 μετὰ τὸ 1 ἀναστῆναι αὐτὸν 1 έκ νεκρῶν. 42 καὶ 1 παρήγς 10 χειλεν ἡμῖν κηρύξαι τῷ λαῷ καὶ 1 διαμαρτύρασθαι ὅτι 10 (cor. τ. 11. αὐτός ἐστιν ὁ 10 ὡρισμένος ὑπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ 0 κριτὴς ζώντων 10 κης 10 ωρισμένος ὑπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ 0 κριτὸς 10 κης 10 και 10 κης 1 ...νεκρων και νεκρών. 43 ° τούτω πάντες οι προφήται ⁹ μαρτυρούσιν,

reff. 1 Chrom. ii. 24. i Mark vi. 14. ix. 9, 10. xii. 25. Luke xvi. 31. xxiv. 40. John xx. 9. tk. dxt. and sor., ch. xxi. 18 reff. lch. viii. 25 reff. m Malt. viii. 17. Luke xxiv. 21 al. n = ch. xxii. (28 reft.) 31. σ^2 . σ^2 core 27 reff. and 10. σ^2 . σ^2 core 27 reff. σ^2 core 37 reff. σ^2 core 38 reff. σ^2 core 38 reff. σ^2 core 39 reff. σ^2 core 39 reff. σ^2 core 39 reff. σ^2 core 30 reff. σ^2 co

41. ημιν bef υπο τ. θ. C Syr sah. aft συνεφ. ins αυτω C Syr. aft $\sigma \upsilon \nu \epsilon \pi$. autw ins kai supapestraphymer D² syr-w-ast : supestr. D¹, conversi D·lat. oin autop D. aft pekrw add hmeras μ' D syr-w-ast sah ath Cassiod ; di hmerw τεσσαρακοντα Ε.

for autos, outos (corrn, but unnecessary) 42. for παρηγγ., ενετειλατο D. BCDEL k 13 syrr coptt: txt AHN p rel vulg D-lat E-lat ath Chr Cosm (Ec Thl Iren-int.

43. τουτον HL: τουτο m 19. 662. 78 lect-2.

their having eaten and drunk with Him after His Resurrection. But this would make the significant oftives ("people who") . . . αὐτῷ very flat and unmeaning, especially after ver. 39: whereas the fact of their having eaten and drunk with Him after His Resurrection gives most important testimony to the reality and identity of His risen Body. And there is no real difficulty in it : Luke xxiv. 41, 43 and John xxi. 12 give us instances; and, even if συνεπίσμεν is to be pressed, it is no contradiction to Luke xxii. 18, which only refers to one particular kind of drinking. προκεχ. ὑπ. τ. θεοῦ] Had not Peter in his mind the Lord's own solemn words,—οδς δέδωκάς μοι ἐκ τοῦ κόσμου, John xvii. 6? 42. τῷ λαῷ] Here as elsewhere (ver. 2; John xi. 50 al. fr.), the Jewish people: that was all which, in the apostolic mind, up to this time, the command had absolutely enjoined. The further unfolding of the Gospel had all been brought about over and above this first injunction. Ch. i. 8 is no obstacle to this interpretation; for although literally fulfilled by the leadings of Providence, as related in this book, they did not so unкріт. ў. к. derstand it when spoken. νεκρ.] So also Paul, ch. xvii. 31, preaching to Gentiles, brings forward the appointment of a Judge over all men as the central point of his teaching. This expression gives at once a universality to the office and mission of Christ, which prepares the way for the great truth declared in the next It is impossible that the living verse. and dead here can mean (as the Augsburg Catechism, and Olshausen) the righteous and sinners:—a canon of interpretation which should constantly be borne in mind

is, that a figurative sense of words is never admissible, EXCEPT WHEN REQUIRED BY THE CONTEXT. Thus, in the passage of John v. 25 (where see notes), the sense of νεκροί is determined to be figurative by the addition of καl νῦν ἐστιν after ὥρα, no such addition occurring in ver. 28, where the literally dead, οἱ ἐν τοῖς μνημείοις, are mentioned. 43. πάντες οἱ προφ.] All the prophets, generically: not that every one positively asserted this, but that the whole bulk of prophetic testimony announced it. To press such expressions to literal exactness is mere trifling. See ch. ăφ. άμ. λαβ. κ.τ.λ.] The legal sacrifices, as well as the declarations of the prophets, all pointed to the remission of sins by faith in Him. And the universality of this proclamation, πάντα τον πιστ., is set forth by the prophets in many places, and was recognized even by the Jews themselves, in their expositions of Scripture, though not in their practice.

44.] Peter had spoken up to this point : and was probably proceeding (cf. €v τώ αρξασθαί με λαλείν, ch. xi. 15) to include his present hearers and all nations in the number to whom this blessing was laid open,-or perhaps beyond this point his own mind may as yet have been not sufficiently enlightened to set forth the full liberty of the Gospel of Christ,-when the fire of the Lord fell, approving the sacrifice of the Gentiles (see Rom. xv. 16): conferring on them the substance before the symbol,-the baptism with the Holy Ghost before the baptism with water: and teaching us, that as the Holy Spirit dispensed once and for all with the necessity of circumcision in the flesh, so can He also, when it pleases him, with the necessity of watervii. 96.

d Matt. sil. 16. John iv. 29. Mat. iii. 8 ext.
x. 13 reff. b Cht. vii. 15, 16 reff.
l constr., cb. xxi. 39 reff.
al. L.P. [exc. John viii. 7.] Exod. xii. 39 vat.

e - Luke vi. 29. f Gen. xxiii 6.
iconstr., bc. xxi. 89 reff.
m ch. xxi. 4, 10. xxviii 12, 14. 1 Cor xvi 7, 8
n ch. ix. 19. xv. 36. xvi. 12. xxiv. 24. xxv. 13 only.

44. επεσεν (mistake? or simple word for compound) AD 13. 36: txt BEHLN oprel Chr.

45. for οσοι, οι B vulg D·lat Syr coptt Vig: txt A D-gr ΕΗLΝ 13. 36 rel Chr Rebapt. συνηλθαν ΒΝ. του πν. τ. αγ. B(Mai) D³ 40 vss: του πν. αγ. B(Btly)

D1: txt AEHLN p 13. 36 rel Chr.

46. from λαλουντων to . . ν τον θεον is obliterated in D¹ (seeing (1) that D¹ fills up the space with txt written "laxius," (2) that Wetstein reports D¹ to have read μεγαλυνειν (omg και?), and (3) that D-lat has prævaricatis linguis: we may conjecture that D¹ possibly may have read γλωσσαις διαμεριζομεναις). for τοτε απεκρ., ειπεν δε D. ree ins o bef πετρ., with DEHL rel Œc Th¹: om ABN p Chr.

47. rec κωλ. bef δυν., with D-corr HL 13 rel Chr: κωλαι(corrd by D') τ. δυν. D'; δυν. τ. κωλ. E 40: txt ABN p. for τουτους, αυτους D-gr. rec καθως (corru to more usual expr: or to suit ch xv. S), with EHL rel Chr (Er Thl: ωπτερ D: txt ABN

a e h k p 13. 40 Epiph Chr-comm.

48. for $\tau\epsilon$, $\delta\epsilon$ BEN d p 13 syr coptt: txt AHL rel vulg æth Chr Ee Thl Rebapt.— $\tau\sigma\tau\epsilon$ proset. D Syr. autois AN 33 Syr sah. ree $\beta a\pi\tau \iota\sigma\theta$, bef $\epsilon\nu$ two, τ . κ ., with DEHL rel vss Chr Rebapt: txt ABN p 40 an demid Cyr-jer. for $\tau\sigma\nu$ $\nu\nu\rho$, $\iota\eta\sigma\sigma\nu$ $\chi\rho\iota\sigma\sigma\nu$ (corrn, as giving more precision to the baptismal formula) ABEN c d k p 2 13. 36 am syr coptt with Cyr-jer Chr Thl-fin Jer Rebapt: τ . $\kappa\nu\rho$. $\iota\eta\sigma$. $\chi\rho$. D p 1 fuld: τ . $\kappa\nu\rho$. $\iota\eta\sigma$. a h 38. 42. 57 Thl-sif: txt HL rel. for $\tau\rho\sigma\tau$, $\tau\rho\rho\sigma\epsilon\lambda\sigma\sigma\sigma\nu$ D. ins $\tau\rho\sigma\sigma$ autous bef $\epsilon\tau\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\sigma$ D-corr vulg-ed Syr sah with, so but $\delta\iota\sigma\mu\epsilon$. D'.

baptism: and warning the Christian church not to put baptism itself in the place which circumcision once held. See further in note on Peter's important words, ch. xi. 16.

The outpouring of the Spirit on the Gentiles was strictly analogous to that in the day of Pentecost; Peter himself describes it by adding (ch. xi. 15), ως περ καὶ ἐφ' ἡμας lv ἀρχη. Whether there was any visible appearance in this case, cannot be determined: perhaps from ver. 46 it would appear not. 45] We do not read that Peter himself was astonished. He had been specially prepared by the vision: they had not. The λαλεῖν γλώσσαις here is identitied with the λ. έτέραις γλ. of ch. ii. 4, by the assertion of ch. xi. 15, just cited; - und this again with the ἐλάλουν γλώσσαις of ch. xix. 6 :- so that the gift was one and the same throughout. On the whole subject, see note, ch. ii. 4. 47.] One great end of the unexpected effusion of the Holy Spirit was entirely to preclude the question which otherwise could not but have arisen, 'Must not these men be circumcised before baptism?' το "δωρ...το πνεύμα.] The two great Parts of full and complete baptism: the latter infinitely greater than, but not superseding the necessity of, the former. The article should here certainly be expressed: Can any forbid the water to these who have received the Strift?

The expression κωλῦσαι, used with τδ

i3a, is interesting, as showing that the practice was to bring the water to the candidates, not the candidates to the water. This, which would be implied by the word under any circumstances, is rendered certain, when we remember that they were assembled in the house. 48. προς ragev] As the Lord Hinself when on earth did not baptize (John iv. 2), so did not ordinarily the Apostles (see 1 Cor. i. 13—17, and note). Perhaps the same reason may have operated

XI. 1 Ήκουσαν δὲ οἱ ἀπέστολοι καὶ οἱ ἀδελφοὶ οἱ 0 $^{-\text{ch. ii. 40.}}_{xiii. 1. xv.}$ ὄντες $^{\circ}$ κατὰ τὴν Ἰουδαίαν ὅτι καὶ τὰ ἔθνη $^{\text{P}}$ ἐδέξαντο τὸν $^{13.2}_{xiii. 1. xv.}$ δυτες $^{\circ}$ κατά την 1ουδαίαν ὅτι καὶ τὰ ἔθνη $^{\circ}$ ἔδέξαντο τὸν $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ. $^{\circ}$ ὅτε δὲ $^{\circ}$ ἀνέβη Πέτρος εἰς 1ερουσα $^{\circ}$ Κήμ, $^{\circ}$ διεκρίνοντο πρὸς αὐτὸν $^{\circ}$ οἱ $^{\circ}$ ἐκ τεριτομῆς $^{\circ}$ λέ $^{\circ}$ γοντες ὅτι εἰςῆλθες $^{\circ}$ πρὸς ἀνδοας $^{\circ}$ ἀκροβυστίαν $^{\circ}$ ἔχοντας $^{\circ}$ τὸς τὶ διατικές $^{\circ}$ αὐτὸίς. $^{\circ}$ ἀρξάμενος δὲ Πέτρος $^{\circ}$ ἐξ $^{\circ}$ καὶ $^{\circ}$ τόντος $^{\circ}$ ἀκαθεξῆς λέγων $^{\circ}$ Έγω $^{\circ}$ ήμην ἐν πόλει $^{\circ}$ τόντική τος $^{\circ}$ κατάδει $^{\circ}$ ὅρος ενχόμενος, καὶ εἶδον ἐν $^{\circ}$ ἐκστάσει $^{\circ}$ ὅραμα, $^{\circ}$ ἀκατάβοινην $^{\circ}$ προς ενχόμενος, καὶ εἶδον ἐν $^{\circ}$ ἐκστάσει $^{\circ}$ ὅραμα, $^{\circ}$ ἀκατάβοινην $^{\circ}$ πκεῦρος τι ὡς $^{\circ}$ ὀθόνην μεγάλην τέσσρομην $^{\circ}$ Εκ. 35 ποδα της γης και τὰ θηρία και τὰ ερπετὰ και τὰ vhere only. ποδα της γης και τι σημια και το ξετινά του ουρανού. Τηκουσα δε και φωνής λεγούσης μα 14.

**Parternal row ουρανού. Τηκουσα δε και φωνής λεγούσης μα 16.0m.

**Parternal row ουρανού που το πο

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{iii. 30 a180.} \text{only.} & \textbf{x. b. x. 41 ref.} \\ \textbf{xx viii. 23 only.} & (\text{ob. xxx ii. 15.)} & \textbf{Adyo electronic, 10x. Anti. i. 12. 2.} & \textbf{a. ch. iii. 41 ref.} \\ \textbf{b constr., ch. x. 50.} & \textbf{xii. ii. 30.} & \textbf{y. 00 (Paul).} & \textbf{Mark xiv. 44}, & \textbf{G.41.} & \textbf{1.22.} & \text{ecc. ch. ii. 5 ref.} \\ \textbf{x. v ref.} & \textbf{x. d. ch. i. 10.} & \textbf{x. i. cel.} & \textbf{c. ch. vii. 31.} & \textbf{c. ch. vii. 31.} \\ \textbf{i. b. i. 10 ref.} & \textbf{h. ch. xiii. 6, xx. 4. xx xii. 15. 2. 2 ovr. x. 13, 14.} & \textbf{Rev. xiv. 20.} & \textbf{x. viii. 51.} \\ \textbf{i. ch. i. 10 ref.} & \textbf{k. ch. vii. 31.} & \textbf{32 ref.} & \textbf{32 ref.} & \textbf{32 ref.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} \\ \textbf{13.} & \textbf{13.} & \textbf{13.} & \textbf{23.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} \\ \textbf{13.} & \textbf{13.} & \textbf{13.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} \\ \textbf{14.} & \textbf{13.} & \textbf{33.} \\ \textbf{14.} & \textbf{13.} & \textbf{33.} \\ \textbf{14.} & \textbf{14.} & \textbf{14.} & \textbf{14.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} \\ \textbf{14.} & \textbf{14.} & \textbf{14.} & \textbf{14.} & \textbf{14.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} \\ \textbf{14.} & \textbf{14.} & \textbf{14.} & \textbf{14.} & \textbf{14.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{33.} \\ \textbf{14.} & \textbf{14.} & \textbf{14.} & \textbf{14.} & \textbf{14.} & \textbf{14.} & \textbf{33.} & \textbf{34.} & \textbf{34$

CHAP. XI. 1. ακουστον δε εγενετο τοις απ. κ. τοις αδ. οι εν τη ιουδ. D Syr (audito

vero apostoli &c D-lat, τοις εν τ. ιουδ. Dr). εδεξατο D1: txt D5.

2. rec και στε (alteration because the fact related seems a consequence of, rather than opposed to, ver 1?), with HL 13 syrr ath Chr: txt ABEN p 36 vulg coptt Jer Cassiod.

rec ιεροσολυμα, with (D)EHL 13. 36 rel Chr: ιηλμ κ: ίλημ' p: txt AB. D (and simly syr-w-ast) reads the verse thus: ο μεν ουν πετρος δια ικανου χρονου ηθελησαι $(-\sigma \epsilon \nu \ \dot{D}^s)$ πορευθηναι εις ιεροσολυμα και προςφωνησας τους αδελφους και $\epsilon \pi \iota =$ στηριξας αυτους πολυν λογον ποιουμενος δια των χωρων (civitates D-lat) διδασκων αυτους. os και (quia et) κατηντησεν αυτοις και απηγγιλέν αυτοις την χαριν του θέου οι δε (quia

erant) εκ περιτομης αδελφοι διεκρινοντο προς αυτον (judicantes ad eum).
3. rec πρ. αν. ακ. εχ. bef εισηλθες, with EHL 13 rel syrr Chr Thl-sif: txt ABDN a h p vulg coptt æth arm Thl-fin.
εισηλθεν and συνεφαγεν Β(sic: sec table) L c p 13. eth arm Thl-fin.

ει πλθεν and συνεφαγεν B(sic: see table) L c p 13.

ins συν bef αυτοις D.

4. rec ins o bef πετρος, with HL rel Œc Thl: om ABDEN p 13. 40 Chr.

τα bef καθεξης D. οπ καθεξης H1 41 copt.

5. ιοπ. bef πολ. D copt. om 2nd ev D1-gr 96: ins om $\pi \rho o s \epsilon v \chi o \mu \epsilon v o s \aleph^1$. καταβαινων (error?) A a p. τετρασιν D Epiph. with B2EH 13. 36 rel: ews D: txt AB1L. in ερπετα, ερ is written above the line 6. om τα (1st and 3rd) D1: ins D3.

om 4th Ta D.

7. rec om 1st και, with HL rel syr Chr Œe Thl-sif: for ηκ. δε και, και ηκ. D 15. 18.

in both eases,-lest those baptized by our Lord, or by the chief Apostles, should arrogate to themselves pre-eminence on that account. Also, which is implied in 1 Cor. i. 17, as compared with Acts vi. 2, the ministry of the Word was esteemed by them their bigher and paramount duty and office, whereas the subordinate ministration of the ordinances was committed to those who $\delta i\eta \kappa \delta \nu \partial \nu \tau \varphi \delta \nu \tau \varphi \delta \nu$.] = διηκόνουν τραπέζαις. $\dot{\xi}$ ν τῷ δν.] = $\dot{\epsilon}$ π $\dot{\eta}$ δν., ch. ii. 38, where see note. Wahl compares ἀποκτείνειν $\dot{\epsilon}$ ν τŷ προφάσει ταύτη, Lysias, p. 452. Chap. XI. 1—18.] Peter Justifies

BEFORE THE CHURCH IN JERUSALEM, HIS HAVING CONSORTED WITH MEN UNCIR-CUMCISED. κατὰ τ. 'Ιουδ.] in

Judæa, or perhaps more strictly, throughout Judæa. (See reff.) ότι κ. τ. έθν.] They seem to have heard the fact, without any circumstantial detail (but see on τον άγγελον below, ver. 13); and, from the charge in ver. 3,-from some reporter who gave the objectionable part of it, as is not uncommon in such cases, all prominence.

2.] of ἐκ περιτορής must have come into use later as designating the circuncised generally: in this case all those spoken of would belong to the circumcision. Luke uses it in the sense of the

time when he wrote the account.
4.] 'Having begun, set forth to them:'
i. e. began and set forth: not for ἥρξατο ἐκτιθέναι, as Kuinoel.

mch.x.13,14 μοι ^m Άναστὰς Πέτρε ^m θύσον καὶ φάγε. ⁸ είπον δὲ ^m Μη- ABDE δαμως κύριε, ὅτι " κοινον η " ἀκάθαρτον ουδέποτε " είς- cdfgh reff. n Matt. xv. 11 only. Dan. ηλθεν " είς τὸ " στόμα μου. 9 ἀπεκρίθη δε φωνη ° έκ δευτέρου οιίς, νοιιός το το ποτομα μου. απεκρινή σε φων. μη $^{\circ}$ κοίνου. ρε καθάρισεν σὺ μη $^{\circ}$ κοίνου. η μο καίνου, εκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ $^{\circ}$ Λ $^{\circ}$ θεος $^{\circ}$ εκαθάρισεν σὺ μη $^{\circ}$ κοίνου. η μο καίνου, αμφ. $^{\circ}$ ενένετο $^{\circ}$ επὶ τρίς, καὶ $^{\circ}$ ανεσπάσθη πάλιν αμφ. $^{\circ}$ το καίνους τρείς $^{\circ}$ ενένετο $^{\circ}$ επὶ τρίς, καὶ $^{\circ}$ ανεσπάσθη πάλιν $^{\circ}$ το καίνους τρείς $^{\circ}$ ανεπάσθη το τρείς $^{\circ}$ ανεπάσθη τρ απαντα είς τον ουρανόν. 11 και ίδου τέξ αυτής τρείς Amos ix. 2. Hab. i. 15 only. Bel only. Bel & Dr. 42 ανδρες επέστησαν έπι την οικίαν έν ή *ήμην, απεσταλ-Theod. r ch. x. 33 reff. μένοι ἀπὸ Καισαρείας πρός με. 12 είπεν δε τὸ [†]πνευμά s ch. x. 17 reff. t ch. x. 19 reff. u — ch. i. 21 μοι "συνελθείν αὐτοίς. ήλθον δε σύν εμοί και οί εξ άδελ-X σταθείς, Luke xviii. 11, 40. xix. 8. ch. ii. 14. v. 20. xvii. Ίόππην καὶ ⁹μετάπεμψαι Σίμωνα τον ⁹ έπικαλούμενον Πέτρου, 14 ος ελαλήσει ερήματα πρός σε ενοίς εδ σωθήση v_i 20. xvii. v_i 30 καὶ πας ο ο οίκος σου. v_i 15 v_i 80 τ v_i 60 τ v_i 15 v_i 80 τ v_i 1 v_i 1 v_i 1 v_i 2 v_i 1 v_i 2 v_i 3 v_i 2 v_i 2 v_i 3 v_i 2 v_i 3 v_i 2 v_i 3 v_i 3 v_i 2 v_i 3 v_i 3 v_i 3 v_i 4 v_i 6 v_i 6 v_i 6 v_i 6 v_i 6 v_i 6 v_i 7 v_i 6 v_i 7 v_i 10 only. 10 only. 10 cm/s. 10 cm/s. 2 v_i 6 v_i 10 only. 10 cm/s. 3 refi. 6 v_i 10 only. σὺ καὶ πᾶς ὁ οἶκός σου. 15 ἀ εν δὲ τῷ ε ἄρξασθαί με λαλείν επέπεσεν το πνευμα το άγιον έπ' αὐτούς, ε ώςπερ

36 Syr ath: txt ABEN o p 13 coptt. φωνην λεγουσαν D. αναστα D-gr1 vss:

rec ins παν bef κοινον (insertion from ch x. 14), with HL rel: om 8. ειπα D. ABDEN c o p 13. 36 vulg syrr sah arm Chr Epiph, Damasc. of ακαθαρτον, N1 wrote

only ακα, N-corr supplied -θαρ, N3 -τον.

9. ree ins μοι bef φωνη (from ch x. 15), with EHL rel syrr æth (Epiph?) Chr: om ABN p 36. 40 vulg coptt arm.— $\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon\tau\sigma$ $\phi\omega\nu\eta$ $\epsilon\kappa$ $\tau\sigma\upsilon$ $\sigma\upsilon$, $\pi\rho\sigma$ s $\mu\epsilon$ D. (aft $\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\nu$. ins $\delta\epsilon$ D² and lat.) $\epsilon\kappa$ $\delta\epsilon\upsilon$ r. bef $\phi\omega$. BE a h syr Chr Epiph: on $\epsilon\kappa$ δ . D 4. 10. rec π al ν bef $a\nu\epsilon\pi$. (see $\epsilon\kappa$) ϵ . I. 6, where π al κ 0 was introduced in this order), with EHL (13) rel syr Chr: txt ABDN p 40 vulg copt ϵ at arm.

11. * ήμεν ABDN 40: erant D-lat: ημην EHL p 13. 36 rel vss Chr.

12. rec μοι bef το πν. (corrn of arrangement), with EHL 13. 36 rel syrr Chr: txt ree aft αυτοις ins μηδεν διακρινομενον (interpolation from ch ABDN p vulg coptt. x. 20, as is shewn by the number of variations: some inserting it accurately, some from memory), with HL rel Chr; μηδεν διακριναντα A B(sic: see table) 8, p 13: μηδεν διακρινοντα ΕΝ1 36 : om D syr. om 2nd de D.

13. δε ABDN a h p 36 vulg syr copt (arm) Chr Thl-fin: om sah: τε EHL 13 rel Syr æth Œe Thl-sif. om 1st τον D. om αυτω ABN p copt æth: ins for $\alpha\pi\sigma\sigma\tau$., $\pi\epsilon\mu\psi\sigma\nu$ (from ch x. 5) B. DEHL 13 rel vss Chr. ιοππ. ins ανδρας (from ch x. 5), with EHL 13 rel syr Chr: om ABDN a h p 36 Syr coptt æth arm.

15. aft λαλ. ins αυτοις D æth. επεσεν D a. επ αυτοις D1: txt D'. ws D.

èμοῦ is a fresh detail. 12. οὖτοι] They had accompanied him to Jerusalem, and were there to substantiate the facts, as far as they had witnessed them.

13. τὸν ἄγγελον The art, almost looks as if the history of Cornelius's vision were known to the hearers. The difference between the vision of Cornelius and that of Peterishere again strikingly marked: while the latter is merely 'praying in the city of Joppa,' no place nor circumstance being named, the former sees the angel 'standing

in his house.' Notice also that Peter never names Cornelius in his speechbecause he, his character and person, was absorbed in the category to which he belonged,—that of men uncircumcised.

14. $\ell \nu$ of $\sigma \omega \theta$. $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. This is implied in the angel's speech : especially if the prayer of Cornelius had been for such a boon, of which there can be little doubt.

15. ἐν δὲ τῷ ἄρξασθαι . . .] See note on ch. x. 44, as also for the rest of the verse. 16.] ch. i. 5. This prophecy of the

 $^{\rm g}$ καὶ ἐφ' ἡμᾶς $^{\rm h}$ ἐν ἀρχῆ. $^{\rm 16}$ ἐμνήσθην δὲ τοῦ ρήματος $^{\rm h}$ John i. 1. τοῦ κυρίου, $^{\rm i}$ ὡς ἔλεγεν Ἰωάννης μὲν ἐβάπτισεν ὕδατι, $^{\rm i}_{\rm ac}$ ch. x. 28, τοῦ κυρίου, 'ὡς ἐλεγεν Ιωαννης μεν εβάπτισεν υδατι, 'ε ch. κ.28, υμεῖς δὲ k βαπτισθήσεσθε k έν πνεύματι ἀγίω. 17 Εί οῦν k ch. l. o τει 1 τὴν 10 ἴσην n δωρεὰν ἔδωκεν αὐτοῖς ὁ θεὸς ὡς καὶ ἡμῖν, n κιδι τὸν κύριον 'Ιησοῦν χριστόν, ἐγὼ [δὲ] n καὶ τὸν κύριον 'Ιησοῦν χριστόν, ἐγὼ [δὲ] n καὶ καὶ n τίς ἡμην δυνατὸς n κωλῦσαι τὸν θεόν; 18 'Ακούσαντες n δὲ ταῦτα n ἡσύχασαν καὶ n ἔδόξαζον τὸν θεὸν λέγοντες n κεν καὶ n τις Αρα n καὶ τοῖς ἔθνεσιν ὁ θεὸς τὴν n μετάνοιαν n είς καὶ τοῖς ἔθνεσιν ὁ θεὸς τὴν n μετάνοιαν n είς καὶ τοῖς ἔθνεσιν n θεὸς n n n δλίψεως τῆς n εδοι, χίν n εδοις $^$

 $\dot{19}$ Οἱ μὲν οὖν $\dot{\mathbf{w}}$ διασπαρέντες $\dot{\mathbf{x}}$ ἀπὸ τῆς $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ θλίψεως τῆς $\dot{\mathbf{n}}$ της $\dot{\mathbf{n}}$ και επίστης επίστης επίστης $\dot{\mathbf{n}}$ και επίστης

16. εμνησθημεν Α. rec om του (bef κυριου), with HL b d g Chr (Ee Thl-fin : ins ABDEN p rel Thl-sif. aft $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu$ ins or, \aleph^3 a e h.

17. δεδωκεν ℵ ο. om o beos D æth Aug Rebapt. om de ABDN ahko p 13. 36 vulg Syr æth arm Chr Did Thl-fin Aug Ambr Jer Rebapt : ins EHL rel syr sah Thl-sif. - om Tis p. aft τον θεον ins του μη δουναι αυτοις πνευμα αγιον πιστευσασιν επ αυτω D, simly 8 syr-w-ast Aug.

18. εδοξασαν BD2N e h p vulg syrr coptt æth Chr Thl-fin: εδοξαν D1: txt AEHL 13. 36 rel Thl-sif. apa ($\gamma\epsilon$ omd, its force not being seen : of note) A B(sic : see table) DN k p 40 : forsitan D lat Syr : utique E-lat : txt EHL 13. 36 rel Chr. ree εδωκ. bef εις ζ., with EHL 13 rel vss Chr: txt ABDR p 40 am om $\tau \eta \nu$ D. demid fuld tol.

Lord was spoken to his assembled followers, and promised to them that baptism which was the completion and aim of the inferior baptism by water administered to them by John. Now, God had Himself, by pouring out on the Gentiles the Holy Spirit, included them in the number of these bueis, and pronounced them to be members of the church of believers in Christ, and partakers of the Holy Ghost, the end of baptism. This (in all its blessed consequences, = the gift of μετάνοια, είς (ωήν, see on ver. 18) was (ver. 17) the ἴση δωρεά bestowed on them: and, this having been bestowed,to refuse the symbolic and subordinate ordinance,-or to regard them any longer as strangers from the covenant of promise, would have been, so far as in him lay, κωλῦσαι τὸν θεόν. 17.] πιστεύσασιν belongs to both αὐτοῖs and ἡμῖν; setting forth the strict analogy between the cases, and the community of the faith to both.

[δέ (omitted in some MSS., the transcribers perhaps not being aware of the construction) brings out the contrast after el oυν, as frequently after ¿πεί, e. g. Od. ξ. 178, τον επεί θρέψαν θεοί, έρνεϊ Ισον ... τοῦ δέ τις άθαυάτων βλάψε φρένας ἔνδον ἔτσας: Herod. iii. 68, εἰ μὴ αὐτὴ Σμέρδιν γινώσκεις, σὐ δὲ παρὰ ᾿Ατόσσης πύθου. See more examples in Hartung, Partikellehre, i. p. 184.] τίς ήμην δυν. A junction of two questions: (1)

Who was I that I should , as ref. Exod.,—and (2) Was I able to We have a similar instance in τίς τί ἄρη, Mark xv. 24. See Winer, edn. 6, § 66. 5. 3.

18.] [αρα γε is more than αρα. γε has the effect of insulating the sentence, q. d. whatever may be the consequences, or however mysterious the proceeding to us, this at least is plain, that God &c. Compare Matt. vii. 20, 'therefore, whatever they profess, from their fruits,' &c.: and the other reff.: and see Hartung's chap, on ye in his Partikellehre, vol. i. p. 344, ff.]

εἰς ζωήν] to be taken with τὴν μετάνοιαν έδωκεν, not with την μετάνοιαν alone, which would be more probably την εls ζωήν, hath given unto the G. also repentance,-that they may attain unto life. The involved position of the words in the present text is quite in St. Luke's manner.

19-30.] THE GOSPEL PREACHED ALSO IN ANTIOCH TO GENTILES. BARNABAS, BEING THEREUPON SENT BY THE APOSTLES FROM JERUSALEM, FETCHES SAUL FROM TARSUS TO ANTIOCH. THEY CONTINUE THERE A YEAR, AND, ON OCCASION OF A FAMINE, CARRY UP ALMS TO THE BRETHREN AT JERUSALEM. Our present section takes up the narrative at ch. viii. 2, 4. In vv. 19-21 it traverses rapidly the time occupied by ch. ix. 1-30, and that (undefined) of Saul's stay at Tarsus, and z = ch.iii.16. γενομένης $z \in \pi$ ὶ Στεφάνω a διῆλθον a εως Φοινίκης καὶ ABDE $z \in \pi$ 10.2 al. Κύπρου καὶ ΄Αντιοχείας, μηδενὶ $z \in \pi$ 10 λαδοῦντες τὸν $z \in \pi$ 10 λόγον cd fg h b h.i.y. 9.3 είμι είμη μόνον Ίουδαίοις. $z \in \pi$ 20 ήσαν δε τινες έξ αὐτῶν ἄνδρες $z \in \pi$ 32 γιιι είμη μόνον Ἰουδαίοις. οἴτινες ελθόντες είς ΄Αντιόχειαν $z \in \pi$ 43. Κύπριοι καὶ Κυρηναῖοι, οἴτινες ελθόντες είς ΄Αντιόχειαν $z \in \pi$ 44. ελδουν καὶ πρὸς τοὺς Έλληνας $z \in \pi$ 20 εὐαγγελιζόμενοι τὸν $z \in \pi$ 36. ελίλι 18. Gal. 1.16.

19. επι στεφανου ΑΕ 13. 40 vulg D-lat Thl-sif: απο του στεφανου D-gr: txt ΒΗLΝ p 36 syrr coptt Chr Œc Thl-fin. τον λογ, bef λαλ. D. μονοις D c vulg.

ιουδαιοι (sie) .

20. rec είςελθ. (perhaps from ver 3), with H 13 rel vulg Syr Th!: συνελα a: txt ABDELN op 36 syr coptt Chr.

rec om 2nd και (as not being understood, the whole sense having been confused by the reading ελληνιστας below), with DEHL13.36 rel fuld coptt Chr: ins ABK(marks for erasure were added, but rubbed out by N³) p am demid.—και συνείγτουν 40.

rec ελληντστας (apparently a correction, induced by the difficulty of preaching to Greeks as distinguished from Jews, having preceded the conversion of Cornelius: see note), with BP*EHL p 13. 36 rel (vulg and many versions do not seem to observe the distinction) Chr-txt Œct-xt Thl-txt: εναγ-

brings it down to the famine under Claudius.

19. \$\mu\tilde{e}\vec{v}\tilde{v}\tilde{v}\tilde{\text{J}}\tilde{A}\ resumption of what had been dropt before, see ch. viii. 4, continued from ver. 2: not however without reference to some narrative about to follow which is brought out by a \$\delta(\text{a}\) answering to the \$\mu\epsilon(\text{i}\),—see ch. viii. 5, also ch. ix. 31, 32; xxviii. 5, 6,—and implying, whether by way of distinction or exception, a contrast to that \$\mu\epsilon(\text{i}\) if \(\text{i}\) \(\text{c}\) in \$\text{Z}\cdot\tilde{\text{J}}\) on account of Stephen; see refl. Wolf, Kuin., Olsh., &c. render it 'after St.' the Vulg. sub Slephano, reading \$\epsilon\tilde{e}\) it \(\text{Z}\) repédavov.

διηλθον so ch. viii. 4, 40; ix. 32. Φοινίκης] properly, the strip of coast, about 120 miles long, extending from the river Eleutherus (near Aradus), to a little south of Tyre, and belonging at this time to the province of Syria: see ch. xv. 3; xxi. 2. Its principal cities were Tripolis, Byblos, Sidon, Tyre, and Berytos. It is a fertile territory, beginning with the uplands at the foot of Lebanon, and sloping to the sea, and held a distinguished position for commerce from the very earliest times. See Winer, RWB. Κύπρου Cyprus was intimately connected by commerce with Phænice, and contained many Jews (οὐ μόνον αἱ ἤπειροι μεσταὶ τῶν Ἰουδαϊκῶν ἀποικιῶν εἰσιν, ἀλλὰ καὶ νήσων αἱ δοκιμώτα-Tag. Εββοια, Κύπρος, Κρήτη. Philo, Leg. ad Caium, § 36, vol. ii. p. 587. See also Jos. Antt. xiii. 10. 4). See on its state at this time, note on eh. xiii. 7.

'Αντιοχείας] Λ city in the history of

Artnoxeias] A city in the history of Curistianity only second in importance to Jerusalem. It was situated on the river Orontes, in a large, fruitful, and well-watered plain, 120 stadia from the sea and its port Seleucia. It was founded by Seleunes Nicator, who called it after his father Antiochus, It soon became a great and populous city (²Aντ. ἡ μεγάλη, Philostr. Apoll. i. 16), and was the residence

of the Seleucid kings of Syria (1 Macc. iii. 37; vii. 2; xi. 13, 44; 2 Macc. v. 21), and (as an 'urbs libera,' Pliny, v. 18) of the Roman proconsuls of Syria. Josephus (B. J. iii. 2. 4) calls it μεγέθους τε ένεκα και της άλλης εὐδαιμονίας τρίτον ἀδηρίτως ἐπὶ της ὑπὸ Ῥωμαίοις οἰκουμένης έχουσα τόπον. Seleucus the founder had settled there many Jews (Jos. Antt. xii. 3. 1. See also xiv. 12. 6; B. J. ii. 18. 5; vii. 3. 3 -and contra Apion. ii. 4, αὐτῶν γὰρ ἡμῶν οί την 'Αντιόχειαν κατοικοῦντες, 'Αντι-οχεῖς ὀνομάζονται' την γὰρ πολιτείαν αὐτοῖς ἔδωκεν ὁ κτίστης Σέλευκος), who had their own Ethnarch. The intimate connexion of Antioch with the history of the church will be seen as we proceed. A reference to the principal passages will here be enough: see vv. 22, 26, 27; ch. xiii. 1; xv. 23, 35 ff.; xviii. 22. It became afterwards one of the five great centres of the Christian church, with Jerusalem, Rome, Alexandria, and Constantinople. Of its present state (Antakia, a town not onethird of its ancient size) a view is given in C. and H., where also, edn. 2, vol. i. pp. 149 ff., is a minute and interesting description of the city and its history, ancient and modern. See also Mr. Lewin's Life and Epistles of St. Paul, vol. i. p. 108 ff. (Principally from Winer, RWB.) 20. ex avrav not, of these, last mentioned Jews: but, of the Suσπαρέντες. This both the sense and the form of the sentence $(\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \ o \hat{\delta} \nu \dots \delta \hat{\epsilon})$ re-Kupnvaloi] of whom Lucius mentioned ch. xiii. 1, as being in the church at Antioch, must have been one. Symcon called Niger, also mentioned there, may have been a Cyrenean proselyte. "Ελληνας The retaining and advocacy of the reading Έλληνιστάς has mainly arisen from a mistaken view that the baptism of Cornelius must necessarily have preceded the conversion of all other

κύριον Ἰησοῦν. 21 καὶ ην d χεἰρ d κυρίου μετ' αὐτῶν, d Luke i, 8η, επολύς τε c ἀριθμὸς c ὁ πιστεύσας f ἐπέστρεψεν ἐπὶ τὸν ενόιον. 22 ὴκούσθη δὲ c ὁ δ λόγος h είς τὰ ὧτα τῆς i ἐκκλη ενόιας τῆς ἐν Ἰερουσαλημ g περὶ αὐτῶν, καὶ h ἐξαπέστειλαν ενέτε οιίγι Βαρνάβαν a διελθεῖν a ἔως Αντιοχείας 23 δς 1 παραγενό ενέτι 20 μενος καὶ ἰδῶν τὴν m χάριν τὴν τοῦ θεοῦ ἐχάρη, καὶ g Luke v. 1δ. μιτοις μιτος καὶ ἰδῶν τὴν τοῦ θεοῦ ἐχάρη, καὶ g Luke v. 1δ.

γελιστας X1: txt AD'X3 c Eus Chr-comm Œc-comm, Thl-fl-ms; gentiles Cassiod.

aft ιησ. ins χριστον D 96 æth-pl. 21. ην δε D-gr. rec om & (as unnecessary, not perceiving its force), with DEHL

13 rel Chr: ins ABN p 36.

22. aft 2nd της ins ουσης BEN ck p 13 Chr. rec ιεροσολυμοις (corrn: cf ver 2), with EHL rel Chr: txt ABDN p 36 sah. (13 def.) ins τα bef περι αυτων Ε k om διελθειν (as unnecessary; to simplify the constr: διελθ. εως is in Luke's manner) ABN p vulg Syr copt ath arm: ins DEHL 13. 36 rel syr Chr; ελθειν ins $\tau \eta s$ bef $a \nu \tau$. D^1 .

23. ins και bef παραγ. D-gr. rec aft την χαριν om την (as unnecessary: no reason can be given for its insertion in so unusual a connexion. It has peculiar force, see

Gentiles. But that reading gives, in this place, no assignable sense whatever: for (1) the Hellenists were long ago a recognized part of the Christian church,-(2) among these διασπαρέντες themselves in all probability there were many Hellenists,-and (3) the term 'lovdaiou includes the Hellenists, - the distinctive appellation of pure Jews being not Ἰουδαῖοι, but Ἑβραῖοι, ch. vi. 1. Nothing to my mind can be plainer, from what follows respecting Barnabas, than that these EALANVES were GEN-TILES, uncircumcised; and that their couversion took place before any tidings had reached Jerusalem of the divine sanction given in the case of Cornelius. See below: and Excursus ii. at the end of Prolegg, to Acts. 21. Îy xêp xup. µ. a.] By visible manifestations not to be doubted, the Lord shewed it to be His pleasure that they should go on with such preaching; αὐτῶν being, the preachers to the Gentiles, whose work the narrative now follows. 22.] ήκ. εἰς τ. ὧτα, a Hebraism, see reff. Bapνάβαν] himself a Cyprian, ch. iv. 36.

His mission does not seem exactly to have been correspondent to that of Peter and John to Samaria (nor can he in any distinctive sense, be said to have been an Apostle, as they were : see ch. xiv. 4, and note): but more probably, from what follows, the intention was to ascertain the fact, and to deter these persons from the admission of the uncircumcised into the church: or, at all events, to use his discretion in a matter on which they were as yet doubtful. The choice of such a man, one by birth with the agents, and of a liberal spirit, shews sufficiently that they wished to deal, not harshly, but gently and cau-

tiously,-whatever their reason was. 23, 24. It is on these verses principally that I depend as determining the character of the whole narrative. It certainly is implied in them that the effect produced on Barnabas was something different from what might have been expected: that to sympathize with the work was not the intent of his mission, but a result brought about in the heart of a good man, full of the Holy Ghost and of faith, by witnessing the effects of divine grace (τ. χάρ. την τοῦ θεοῦ, not merely, 'the grace of God,' but the grace which [evidently] was that of God: the expression is deliberately used). And this is further confirmed to my mind by finding that he immediately went and sought Saul. He had been Saul's friend at Jerusalem: he had doubtless heard of the commission which had been given to him to preach to the Gentiles : but the church was waiting the will of God, to know how this was to be accomplished. Here was an evident door open for the ministry of Sanl, and, in consequence, as soon as Barnabas perceives it, he goes to fetch him to begin his work in Antioch. And it was here, more properly, and not in Cæsarea, that the real commencement of the Gentile church took place, - although simultaneously, for the convincing of the Jewish believers at Jerusalem, and of Peter, and for the more solemn and authorized standing of the Gentile church, the important events at Cæsarea and Joppa were brought about. Dr. Wordsw.'s argument, that, as even EAAnvas may include Jews, we need $^{\rm n.ch.ix.\,98.}_{\rm xir.\,28.\,94.f.}$ π παρεκάλει πάντας τῆ ° προθέσει τῆς καρδίας $^{\rm p}$ προςμένειν $^{\rm ABDE}_{\rm LN. a.b.}$ $^{\rm o.e.}_{\rm 27 \, Im.\, nit.}$ $^{\rm o.e.}_{\rm 10.\,ch.\,xxvii.}$ τῷ κυρίῳ, $^{\rm 24}$ ὅτι ἡν ἀνὴο ἀγαθὸς καὶ $^{\rm q}$ πλήρης πνεύματος c df fest $^{\rm h.i.o.}_{\rm k.i.o.}$ 13 refl.) αίχιου καὶ πίστεως. καὶ ποοςετέθη $^{\circ}$ ὅχλος $^{\circ}$ ἰκανὸς $^{\circ}$ 8, sech. xiii. $^{\circ}$ 43. (ch. xviii. του κυρίω) $^{\circ}$ εξηλθεν δὲ $^{\circ}$ ιἰς Ταρσὸν $^{\circ}$ ἀναζητήσαι τω κυρίω. 25 " έξηλθεν δε " είς Ταρσον " αναζητήσαι 43. (θε χαι το κυρίω. 25 υ έξηλθεν δε υ τίς Ταρσον ' άναζητήσαι για τη διατική το κυρίω. 26 υ έξηλθεν δε υ τίς Ταρσον ' άναζητήσαι για το και εύρων ήγαγεν είς ' Αντιόχειαν. 26 υ έγενετο Μικκ 4.6. δε ω αυτοίς και ένιανον "όλον " συναχθήναι έν τη έκ-μικς νίι.] Ε δὲ " αὐτοῖς καὶ ἐνιαυτὸν ὅλον " συναχθηναι ἐν τῆ ἐκκλησία καὶ διδάξαι " ὅχλον $^{\rm st}$ ἰκανόν, $^{\rm y}$ χρηματίσαι τε ch. xix. 26. (1 Macc. xiii. 11.) * Χριστιανούς. (1.) as above(s). * πρώτως έν Αντιοχεία τούς μαθητάς h. xx. i7.
xxii. θ. u John i, 41. Matt. xi. 7. ch. xiv. 20. xvi. 10. 2 Cor. ii. 13. x. 6. 2 Macc. xiii. 2) only. w constr., here only. see ch. xxii. θ, 17. vii. 3 only (ch. x. 22 ref.) , χοηματίσας θ. λέλλην. 10.s. Antt. xiii. 11. 3 al. mss. x. 11. θ. ch. xxvi. 28. 1 Pet. iv. 16 only. v Luke ii. 44, 45 only. Job iii. 4.

note), with DEHL 13 rel Chr: ins ABX. ins εν bef τω κυρ. B 40 vulg coptt.

om τω κυριω B1: ins B2-marg (see table). 24. ανηρ bef ην N.

25. for ver, ακουσας δε οτι σαυλος εστιν εις θαρσον (ταρσ. D^8) εξηλθεν αναζητων αυτον' και ως (om ως D-corr) συντυχων παρεκαλεσεν (add αυτον D^6) ελθειν εις αντιοχειαν rec aft ταρσ. ins o βαρναβαs, with EHL p 13 rel vulg-ed(and am2) D syr-marg. syr Chr: om AB(D)N am1 fuld Syr syr-marg coptt arm. for αναζητ., αναστησει ree aft ευρ. ins αυτον, with HL rel vss(most but syr-w-ob): om ABEN a c h p В1. 36 Chr Chron. rec aft ηγαγ. ins αυτον (supplementary), with EHL rel coptt Chr Thl-fin: om ABN a d f h k o p 36 arm Chron Thl-sif.

26. for ver, οιτινες παραγενομένοι ενιαυτον ολον συνεχυθησαν (συναναχυθηναι τη εκκλησια και διδαξαι D', which conforms the follg to txt) οχλον ικανον· και τοτε πρωτον εχρηματισεν εν αυτ. οι μαθ. χρ. D: syr-marg has the former part. (corrn of constr), with HL Did Chr: txt ABEN c p 13. 36. 40. rec om 1st και (as unnecessary), with EHL rel 36 vss Did Chr: ins ABN syr Ath Vig. om ολον om 1st ev HL a b c d e g h l Thl-sif. rec $\pi \rho \omega \tau o \nu$, with E sah Chr. χρηστιανους N1 (but corrd) p. AD¹EHL rel: txt BD⁵N 36. εις αντ. A.

not suppose this to have been a preaching to Gentiles, is best answered by the context, in which the μηδενί εί μη μόνον 'Ιουδαίοις is clearly contrasted with ήσαν δέ καὶ πρὸς τοὺς "Ελληνας, which contrast cannot be maintained without excluding Jews from this latter term.

23. παρεκάλει] in accordance with his name, which (ch.iv. 36) was interpreted vibs παρακλήσεως. 25.] This therefore took place after ch. ix. 30: how long after, we have no hint in the narrative, and the question will be determined by various persons according to the requirements of their chronological system. Wieseler and Schrader make it not more than from half a year to a year: Dr. Burton, who places the conversion of Saul in A.D. 31,-nine years. Speaking à priori, it seems very improbable that any considerable portion of time should have been spent by him before the great work of his ministry began. Even supposing him during this retirement to have preached in Syria and Cilicia, -judging by the analogy of his subsequent journeys, a few months at the most would have sufficed for this. For my own view, see Pro-26. The unusual legg. to Aets, § vi. word πρώτως seems to imply priority not only in time, but also in usage: at Antioch first and principally. So we have in Aristot. Eth. Nie. viii. 5, πρώτως και κυρίως. Χριστιανούς] This name is never

used by Christians of themselves in the N. T. (but οἱ μαθηταί, οἱ πιστοί, or οἱ πιστεύοντες, οἱ ἀδελφοί, οἱ ἄγιοι, οἱ τῆς όδοῦ), only (see reff.) as spoken by, or coming from, those without the church. And of those, it cannot have arisen with the Jews, who would never have given a name derived from the Messiah to a hated and despised seet. By the Jews they were called Na (wpaîos, ch. xxiv. 5, and Galilæans: and Julian, who wished to deprive them of a name in which they gloricd (see below), and to favour the Jews, ordered that they should not be called Christiani; but Galikei, Greg. Naz. Orat. iv. (in Jul. i.) 86, p. 114. That it has a Latin form is no decided proof of a Latin origin: Latin forms had become naturalized among the Greeks, and in this case there would be no Greek adjective so ready to hand as the Latin possessive, sanctioned as it was by such forms as Pompeiani, Cæsariani, Herodiani (Christus being regarded as a proper name, see Tacit. Ann. xv. 44, '... quos vulgus . . . Christianos appellabat. Auctor ejus nominis Christus, Tiberio imperitante, per procuratorem Pon 27 'Εν ταύταις δὲ ταῖς ἡμέραις $^{\rm b}$ κατῆλθον ἀπὸ 'Ιερο- $^{\rm b}$ ch, τίΙ. 5 σολύμων $^{\rm c}$ προφήται εἰς 'Αντιόχειαν. 28 ἀ ἀναστὰς δὲ εἴς ἐξ $^{\rm c}$ κατῆλθον ἀνόματι "Αγαβος $^{\rm c}$ ἐσήμανεν $^{\rm f}$ διὰ $^{\rm c}$ τοῦ $^{\rm fg}$ πνεύματος $^{\rm h}$ λιμὸν μεγάλην $^{\rm i}$ μέλλειν $^{\rm i}$ ἔσεσθαι $^{\rm k}$ έζ $^{\rm k}$ ὄλην τὴν $^{\rm i}$ οἰκουμένην, ἥτις [καὶ] ἐγένετο $^{\rm m}$ ἐπὶ Κλαυδίου. $^{\rm 29}$ τῶν δὲ μαθ- $^{\rm c}$ τῆν, ο, ch. i.

15. 4; 0.34. Ezra x. 5.

16. 22 Mint Ezra x. 5.

16. 22 Mint Ezra x. 5.

16. 22 Mint Ezra x. 6.

16. 24 Mint Ezra x. 6.

16. 25 Mint Ezra x. 6.

16. 26 Mint Ezra x. 6.

16. 27 Mint Ezra x. 6.

16. 28 Mint Ezra x. 6.

16. 2

27. антаіз В с.

28. for αναστ. δε εις, ην δε πολλη αγγαλλιασις συνεστραμμενων δε ημων εφη εις D Aug.
εσημαινεν Β vulg D-lat Chron Aug: σημενων D-gr.
rec μεγαν (see note), with D!EHL rel 36 Chr Chron: om ε: txt ABD's p 40 Epiph Euthal Chron. (13 def.)
rec σστις (see above), with HL rel 36 Chr: txt ABDE's p 13. 40 Epiph Euthal Chron.
om και ABD's p 13. 40 vss Epiph Chron: ins EHL rel 36 Syr Chr.
rec aft κλαυδιον ins καισαρος, with EHL rel 36 syrr Epiph Chr Cassiod: om ABD's p 13. 40 vulg coptt ath arm Chron.

tium Pilatum supplicio affectus erat'). The name soon became matter of glorying among its bearers: ref. 1 Pet., Eus. H. E. v. 1, in the epistle of the churches of Lyons and Vienne, τοῦ ἡγεμόνος μόνον τοῦτο πυθομένου εί και αὐτὸς είη Χριστιανός, τοῦ δὲ (Epagathus) λαμπροτάτη φωνή δμυλογήσαντος, . . . and again, προς πάντα τὰ ἐπηρωτημένα ἀπεκρίνατο (Sanctus) τῆ 'Ρωμαική φωνή, Χριστιανός είμι. And in the Clementine Liturgy (Humphry, Comm. on Acts, p. 84), - εὐχαριστοῦμέν σοι, ὅτι τὸ ύνομα του χριστού σου ἐπικέκληται ἐφ' ήμας, και σοι προσφκειώμεθα. Before this, while the believers had been included among Jews, no distinctive name for them was needed: but now that a body of men, compounded of Jews and Gentiles, arose, distinct in belief and habits from both, some new appellation was required. It may be observed, that the inhabitants

of Antioch were famous for their propensity to jeer and call names; see instances in C. and H. i. p. 148, note 2. See several interesting particulars respecting the name collected in Dr. Wordsw.'s note: who however maintains that it was given by 27. έν τ. τ. ήμ.] the Church herself. It was during this year, ver. 26. προφήται] Inspired teachers in the early Christian church, referred to in the Acts, and in the Epistles of Paul (see reff. and ch. xix. 6; xxi. 9; Rom. xii. 6; 1 Cor. xii. 10; xiii. 2,8; xiv. 6; 1 Thess. v. 20). They might be of either sex (ch. xxi. 9). The foretelling of future events was not the usual form which their inspiration took, but that of an exalted and superhuman teaching, ranked by St. Paul above 'speaking with tongues,' in being the utterance of their own conscious intelligence informed by the Holy Spirit. This inspiration was,

VOL. II.

however, occasionally, as here, and ch. xxi. 10, made the vehicle of prophecy, properly so called. 28. "Aya β os] The same who prophesied Paul's imprisonment in Jerusalem, ch. xxi. 10, ff. From the form of his announcement there, we may infer the manner in which he έσήμανεν διὰ τοῦ πνεύματος here. It was τάδε λέγει τὸ πν. τὸ ἄγιον. The fem. usage of λιμός prevailed among the Dorians (cf. Aristoph. Acharn. 708) and later Greeks: see Meyer, edn. 2, and Lobeck on Phryn. p. 188. We find it sometimes also in Ionic poets, e. g. in Hom. Hymn. to Demeter, 311, λιμοῦ ὑπ' ἀργαλέης: see other examples in Palm and Rost, sub voce. **δλην τ.** οίκουμένην] not, 'all Judæa,' though in fact it was so: the expression is a hyperbolical one in ordinary use, and not to be pressed as strictly implying that to which its literal meaning would extend. That it occurs in a prophecy (Meyer) is no objection to this: the scope and not the wording of the prophecy is given. But see below.

ἐπὶ Κλαυδίου In the fourth year of Claudius, A.D. 14, there was a famine in Judæa and the neighbouring countries (Jos. Antt. xx. 2. 5). And three others are mentioned during his reign: one in Greece (Eus. Chron. i. 79), and two in Rome (Dio Cassius, lx. 11. Tacitus, Ann. xii. 43), so that scarcity enl Khavbiov did extend through the greater part of the 'orbis terrarum,' if it be thought necessary to press the words of the prophecy. The queen Helena of Adiabene and her son Izates helped the Jews with subsidies on the occasion (Jos. ibid., see also xx. 5. 2, where he calls it του μέγαν λιμόν), both of corn and I do not believe that the words money. ₹#1 KA. imply that the events just related were not also in the reign of Claudius:

ητων "καθώς ° ευπορείτο τις, "ωρισαν εκαστος αυτών ABDE n = ch. ll. 4. Mark iv. 33. Num. xxvi. είς θδιακονίαν πεμψαι τοις κατοικούσιν εν τη loudaia edigh 54 o here only. άδελφοίς, 30 δ και εποίησαν αποστείλαντες προς τους Lev. Exv. 26, 28, 49. Wisd x. 10 * πρεσβυτέρους " διὰ χειρὸς Βαονάβα καὶ Σαύλου. ouly.

(-pra, ch. ΧΗ. Ι' Κατ' εκείνον δε τον καιρου επέβαλεν Ηρώrefl. constr, here only. δης ο βασιλεύς τὰς χείρας * κακωσαί τινας των γ άπὸ

29. [ευπορειτο, so AB(D)EHN 13 a b e g k l Thl-sif.] οι δε μαθ. καθως ευπορουντο ωρισεν Α 951. aft was ins o N1: marked for erasure by N-corr1. om mpos 30. for o, or I.

B 11 31 copt. CHAP. XII. 1. ο βασ. bef ηρ. ℵ c1 p.

but they are inserted to particularize the famine as being that well-known one, and only imply that the author was not writing under Claudius. 29.] There is no need to suppose that the prophecy of Agabus preceded by any long time the outbreak of the famine : nor would it be any derogation from its prophetic character to suppose it even coincident with its first beginnings; it was the greatness and extent of the famine which was particularly revealed, and which determined the Christians of Antioch to send the relief. Baumgarten (vol. ii. p. 5), in tracing the gradual transition of the apostolie narrative from Jewish to Gentile Christianity, calls this contribution, sent from Antioch to Jerusalem, the first stretching out of the hand by the Gentile world across the ancient gulf which των δὲ μαθ. separated it from Israel. κ.τ.λ. is a mixture of two constructions, οί δὲ μαθηταί καθώς εὐπορεῖτό τις αὐτῶν.

The church at Jerusalem was poor, probably in connexion with the community of goods, which would soon have this effect; see ch. ii. 44, note. 30. πρεσβυτέρους] These were the overseers or presidents of the congregation, - unoffice borrowed from the synagogues, and established by the Apostles in the churches generally, see ch. xiv. 23. They are in the N. T. identical with επίσκοποι, see ch. xx. 17, 28; Titus i. 5, 7; 1 Pet. v. 1, 2. So Theodoret on Phil, i. 1, ¿πισκόπους τους πρεσβυτέρους καλεί αμφότερα γάρ είχον κατ' ἐκείνον τον καιρον τὰ ὑνόματα. The title ἐπίσκοπος, as applied to one person superior to the πρεσβύτεροι, and nuswering to our 'bishop,' appears to have been un-Respectknown in the apostolic times. ing the chronology of this journey to Jernsalem, see note on ch. xii. 25, and the table in the Prolegomenn.

CHAP. XII. 1 - 25.] PERSECUTION OF

Tas x. bef np. o B. D. THE CHURCH AT JERUSALEM BY HEROD AGRIPPA. MARTYRDOM OF JAMES THE BROTHER OF JOHN. IMPRISONMENT AND MIRACULOUS DELIVERANCE OF PETER. DEATH OF HEROD AT CÆSAREA. RETURN OF BARNABAS AND SAUL FROM JERU-SALEM TO ANTIOCH. 1. κατ' ἐκ. τ. SALEM TO ANTIOCH. Kaip. Before the arrival of Barnabas and Saul in Jerusalem. The famine in Judæa broke out under Cuspius Fadus, and continued under Tiberius Alexander, proeurators of Judgea. Now Cuspins Fadus was sent to Judaea by Claudius on the death of Agrippa (i.e. after Aug. 6, A.D. 44). The visit of Barnabas and Saul must have taken place about the time of, or shortly after, Agrippa's death. 'Ηρώδης ὁ βασιλεύς] HEROD AGRIPPA I., grandson of Herod the Great,-son of Aristobulus and Berenice (Jos. Antt. xvii. 1. 2; B. J. i. 28. 1). Having gone to Rome, to accuse Herod the Tetrarch (Antipas), and fallen under the displeasure of Tiberius for paying open court to Caius Cæsar (Caligula), he was imprisoned and cruelly treated; but, on the accession of Caligula, released, and at once presented with the tetrarchy of Philip (Traehonitis),-who had lately died,-and the title of king. On this, Antipas, by persuasion of his wife Herodins, went to Rome, to try to obtain the royal title also, but was followed by his enemy Agrippa, who managed to get Antipus banished to Spain, and to obtain his tetrarchy (Galilee and Perea) for himself. (Jos. Autt. xix. 8. 2.) Finally, Claudius, in return for services rendered to him by Agrippa, at the time of Caligula's death, presented him with Samaria and Judan (about 41 A.D., Jos. Autt. xix. 5. 1), so that he now ruled (Jos. ibid.) all the kingdom of Herod the Great. His character, as given by Josephus, Autt. xix. 7, 3, is important as illustrating the present chapter: ἐπεφύκει δὲ δ βασιλεὺς οῦτος aft εκκλ. add εν τη ιουδαια D syr-w-ast.

2. om δε 96 sah: και ανείλεν D Syr wth: ανείλ. δε και g 76. 1772. [μαχαίρη, so AB¹D⁴(?) Ν p.]

3. rec και iδ. (appy corrn to avoid recurrence of δε: or perhaps as agreeing better with the continuation of the same line of conduct), with DHL rel vss Chr-txt: txt ABEN p 13. 36 vulg coptt Chr-comm. om εστω Ν'. aft ιουδαιως ins η επιχειρησείς αυτου επι τους πιστους D. ins του bef συλλ. Ε. rec om αι, with B(Mai) HLN b¹ c¹¹ o Chr₁ CE: ins ADE p rel 36 Chr₁ Thl.

εὐεργετικός είναι έν δωρεαίς, και μεγαλοφρονήσαι έθνη φιλότιμος, και πολλοίς άθρόως δαπανήμασιν άνιστάς αύτον είς έπιφάνειαν, ήδόμενος τῷ χαρίζεσθαι, καὶ τῷ βιούν έν εὐφημία χαίρων . . . (see ver. 3) ... πραύς δε δ τρόπος 'Αγρίππα, και πρός πάντας το εὐεργετικον ὅμοιον. ἡδεῖα γοῦν αὐτῷ δίαιτα καὶ συνεχής ἐν τοῖς Ἱεροσολύμοις ήν, και τὰ πάτρια καθαρώς ἐτήρει. διὰ πάσης γουν αύτον ήγεν άγνείας, οὐδὲ ἡμέρα τις παρώδευεν αὐτῷ τῆς νομίμης χηρεύουσα θυσίας. This character will abundantly account for his persecuting the Christians, who were so odious to the Jews, and for his vain-glorious acceptance of the impious homage of the people, ver. 23. τ. χείρ.] A pregnant construction. In full, it would be ἐπέβ. τὰς χ. ἐπί τινας των ἀπό τ. ἐκκ., τοῦ κακῶσαι αὐτούς. Some expositors (Heinr., Kuin.), not seeing this, have endeavoured to give to $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \beta$. τ . χ . the unexampled meaning, not justified by Deut. xii. 7, xv. 10, of 'took in hand,' 'attempted.' The E. V. 'stretched forth his hands' (or, marg. 'began') is equally inadmissible. It should be, H. the K. laid hands on certain of the church, to vex τῶν ἀπό] See reff., and com-9. 2. Ἰάκωβον] Of him pare ch. vi. 9. we know nothing besides what is related in the Gospels. He was the son of Zebedee, ealled (Matt. iv. 21) together with John his brother: was one of the favoured Three admitted to the death-chamber of Jairus's daughter (Mark v. 37), to the mount of transfiguration (Matt. xvii. 1), and to the agony in the garden (Matt. xxvi. 37). He, together with John his brother (named by our Lord 'Boanerges,' 'sons of thunder'), wished to call down fire on the inhospitable Samaritans (Luke ix. 54), -and prayed that his brother and himself might sit, one on the right hand and the other on the left, in the Lord's kingdom (Matt. xx. 20 - 24). It was then that He foretold to them their drinking of the cup of suffering and being baptized with the baptism which He was baptized with: a prophecy which James was the first to fulfil. This is the only Apostle of whose death we have any certain record. With regard to all the rest, tradition varies, more or less, as to the place, or the manner, or the time of their Eusebius, H. E. ii. 9, relates, deaths. from the Hypotyposes of Clemens, who had received it έκ παραδόσεως των πρό αὐτοῦ, that the accuser of James, struck by his confession, became a Christian, and was led away with him to martyrdom, συναπήχθησαν οὖν ἄμφω, φησί, καὶ κατὰ τὴν όδὸν ήξίωσεν ἀφεθήναι αὐτῷ ὑπὸ τοῦ Ἰακώβου. δ δε άλίγον σκεψάμενος, είρηνη σοι, είπε, καί κατεφίλησεν αὐτόν. και οὕτως ἀμφότεροι δμοῦ ἐκαρατομήθησαν. Probably according to the Roman method of beheading, which became common among the later Jews. It was a punishment accounted extremely disgraceful by the Jews: see Lightf. in loc. See the character of Agrippa above.

προς. συλλ. A Hebraism: see reft.

al ήμ. τ. al. Wieseler (Chronol. der
Apost. Zeit. pp. 215–220) regards the
whole of the following nurrative as having
happened on one and the same day and
night, viz. that of the 14th of Nisan (April
1), λ.D. 44t. He takes το πάσχα in the
strict meaning, 'the passover,' i. e. the eating of the passover on the evening of the
14th of Nisan, and thinks that Herod was
intending to bring Peter forth on the next
morning. He finds support for this in the
four quaternions of soldiers, the guard for
one night (see below), and maintains that
the expression το πάσχα cannot apply to the
whole festal period, which would have been
την ἰροτήν, or ταύται τὰς ἡμέρας. But
Bleck (Beiträge zur Εν.-kritik, p. 144) calls

Fract, +13, \(\text{vol} \) in = Loke viii \(\text{phe kill} \) \(\text{phe kill} \) \(\text{vol} \) \(\text{phe kill} \) \(

4. for ον και, τουτον D copt. vulg E-lat. οπ τεσσαρσιν Η. εν φυλακη Ε-gr. παραδίδους Α, tradens οm 1st αυτον D vulg(not am). αγαγείν

A e. 5. rec εκτενης, with Λ^2 EHL p rel 36 Chr Sev-e (Ee Thl: txt Λ^1 (appy) BN 13. 40 vulg E-lat Lucif Cassiod.—πολλη δε προσευχη ην εν εκτενεία περι αυτου απο της εκκλ. πρ. τ. θ. περι αυτ.(sic) D (om 1st περι αυτου D-corr). om πρ. τον θεον B.

*περί A-corr BDN o p 13. 40 (probably a corrn, see ch viii. 15: the two are indifferently used in this connexion, see Lexx and reff: but περι is the more usual): υπερ (Δ1?)EHL rel 36 Chr Sev-c.

6. [ημελλ., so BELN c l p 13.] rec προαγειν (corrn), with DEHL rel Chr: προαγειν \aleph o: txt A a p 36, προεαγαγειν B 13. rec aut. bet π ρο, with HL rel Chr: txt ABDEN a h k o p 13. 36 Chr. om 1st o D lect-12. κοιμουμενος D^1 : txt D^8 . for τ ε, δε D E-lat copt: om e 133. π ρος τ η θυρα Λ .

this view most arbitrary and even unnatural; and I own, with all respect for Wieseler's general acumen, I am disposed to agree with this criticism. The whole east of the narrative,—the ἦσαν αἱ ἡμέραι, not ἦν ἡ ἡμέρα τῶν ἀζ., Luke's own expression in his Gospel, xxii. 7,-the intimation of enduring custody in the παραδούs φυλάσσειν αὐτ.,- the delay implied in the βουλόμενος, - in the imperfects ετηρείτο, ην γινομένη (not εγένετο),—the specification of τη νυκτι εκείνη as presupposing (notwithstanding what Wieseler says to the contrary) more nights preceding, - all this would be unaccountable in the precise historical diction of Luke, unless he had intended to convey an impression that some days elapsed. But still more decisive is his own definition of πάσχα, Luke xxii. 1, ή έορτη των άζύμων, ή λεγομένη πάσχα. So that μετά το πάσχα may well = μετά την έορτην των άζύμων. The argument from the four quaternions of soldiers proves nothing: the same sixteen (see below) may have had him in permanent charge, that number being appointed as adequate to the duties required. 4. τέσσαρσιν τετρα-Siois In military arrangements, Herod seems to have retained the Roman habits, according to which the night was divided into four watches, and each committed to four soldiers (διδόασι φυλάκεια δύο τὸ δὲ φυλάκειδν έστιν έκ τεσσάρων ανδρών, Polyb. vi. 33.7), to two of whom the prisoner was chained, the other two keeping watch before the doors of the prison, forming the first and second quards of ver. 10. It is plain that this number being mentioned is no sign that the custody was only for one night. μετ. τὸ πάσχα (see above) after the days of the feast, i.e. after the 21st of Nisan. Herod, who (ver. 1, note) observed rigorously the Jewish customs, would not execute a prisoner during the fenst: 'Non judiennt die festo' (Moed Katon v. 2, Meyer). ἀναγ. αὐτ. τ. άναγ. αὐτ. τ. λαώ] See ref.: to bring him out and sentence him in sight of the people.

5.] On the duration implied by this verse, see above.

6. ketry] emphatic: that very night, viz. which preceded the day of trial.

The practice of attaching a prisoner to one keeper or more by a chain is alluded to by several ancient authors: e. g. Seneca, de Tranquill. 10, 'Eadem custodia universos circumdedit, alligatique sunt etiam qui alligaverunt, nisi tu forte leviorem in sinistra catemm putas:' and Epist.

5: 'Quemadmodum cadem catema et

την φυλακήν. 7 και ίδου άγγελος κυρίου b επέστη, και b = cb. iv. 1 ς φως $\frac{d}{\epsilon}$ λαμψεν εν τω $\frac{e}{\epsilon}$ οικήματι $\frac{d}{\epsilon}$ πατάξας $\frac{d}{\epsilon}$ ε την $\frac{d}{\epsilon}$ την $\frac{d}{\epsilon}$ πλευράν τοῦ Πέτρου $\frac{d}{\epsilon}$ ήγειρεν αὐτὸν λέγων $\frac{d}{\epsilon}$ Ανάστα $\frac{d}{\epsilon}$ την $\frac{d}{\epsilon}$ πλευράν τοῦ Πέτρου $\frac{d}{\epsilon}$ ήγειρεν αὐτὸν λέγων $\frac{d}{\epsilon}$ Ανάστα $\frac{d}{\epsilon}$ την $\frac{d}{\epsilon}$...λεγων 8 πλευράν του Πέτρου h ήγειοεν αυτύν λέγων i Ανάστα ABDE j έν τάχει. και κεξέπεσαν αυτου αι αλύσεις έκ των Mart. v. 15 HLNab είς h κ χειοων. 8 είπεν τε ο άγγελος προς αυτον Ζωσαι και m ὑπόδησαι τὰ $^{\alpha}$ σανδάλιά σου, ἐποίησεν δὲ οὕτως, καὶ εm $^{\alpha}$ το τοίητι $^{\alpha}$ λέγει αὐτ $\tilde{\psi}$ $^{\alpha}$ Πεοιβαλοῦ τὸ ἱμάτιόν σου καὶ ἀκολούθει $^{\alpha}$ $^{$ λέγει αύτω "Περιρακου το ιματιοι μοι. $\frac{9}{6}$ καὶ έξελθων ηκολούθει, καὶ οὐκ ήδει ὅτι ἀληθίς $\frac{(\text{Exe. xv}]}{24}$ μοι. $\frac{9}{6}$ καὶ έξελθων ηκολούθει, καὶ οὐκ ήδει ὅτι ἀληθίς $\frac{(\text{Exe. xv}]}{(\text{exert. xd.})}$

viii. 21 al. Gen, xli, 4. icl. ix. 6 teff. j Rom. xvi, 20 teff. k ch. xxxiii. 32. Jannes i, 11 d. l. v.a. xxxiii. 4. id. ix. 6 teff. j Rom. xvi, 20 teff. k ch. xxxiii. 32. Jannes i, 11 d. l. v.a. xxiii. 14. l. v.a. xxiii. 15. Ezek, xvi, 18 tosouly. Nch. iv. 18. m Mark vi, 9. Eph. vi, 15 onty. 2 Grown, xxviii. 15. Ezek, xvii. 70 tony. n Mark vi, 6 onty. l. o. xx. 2. Judith x. 4. xvi, 9 onty. n o. Acts, here on y. Loke xii. 27 f. Rev. m., 5 al. Esth. v. l. Ezek, xvii. 7, 15. constr., els. xii. 16 ord. rch. xii. 17 els. 20 teff. g ch. iv. 13. iv. 16 al. xii. 15 ord. rch. xii. 16 reff. l. xii. 15 ord. xii. 16 ord. xii. 16 ord. xii. 17 ch. xii. 18 ord. xii. 19 ord

7. aft $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ ins $\tau \omega$ $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \omega$ D syr-w-ast sah æth. επελαμψεν, omg εν follg, D. for παταξας, νυξας D Syr, compungens Lucif. [εξεπεσαν, so ABDEN p.] aft χειρ. ins αυτου D-gr vulg Syr sah arm.

8. for τε, δε (alteration, as often, to more usual copula, but τε is characteristic of the Acts) BDEH a c 36 sah Thl-sif: txt ALN p 13 rel Syr wth Chr Œc Thl-fin. πρ. αυτ. bef o ay. L b. rec περιζωσαι (alteration for more precision, and

perhaps, as Meyer, to agree better with υποδησαι, also a compound), with EHL rel (Ec Thl: txt ABDX a p 13. 36 sah(add την οσφυν σου) Bas Chr-comm2. B1(Mai).

9. rec aft ηκολ. ins αυτω (supplementary, to corresp to μοι above), with EHLN3 rel am Clir: txt ABDN p 13. 40 tol arm. γενομ. L b c p 180. for δια, υπο (corrn, not observing the peculiar force of δια here, said of the secondary agent. This is much more probable than the converse. Both exprr are used by Luke: cf for δια, reff: for vπo, Luke ix. 7; xiii. 17; xxiii. 8. But this latter he uses always of our Lord, the prime Agent in the miracle. See also Eph v. 12) AH e l syr-marg Chr, Thl-fin: $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ e: txt BDELN 13. 36 rel vss Chr, (Ec Thl-sif. for $\delta \epsilon$, $\gamma \alpha \rho$ D 3. 15. 18. 36. 95. 180 tol Syr sah arm : om R1.

10. κ . $\delta \epsilon v \tau$. bef $\phi v \lambda$. D vulg Lucif. [ηλθαν, so ABN 13.] rec ηνοιχθη, with EHL Chr: txt φερ. εις τ. π. Ι. Syr: επι p 13. 96. 142. ABDN p 13. 36. (ηνυγη Β'DN: ηνοιγε 13.) aft εξελθ. ins κατεβησαν τους ζ προςηλθ. D 25. 951 (Ec: mss of Chr Thl-sif vary. βαθμους και D.

militem et custodiam copulat.' In the account of the imprisonment of Herod Agrippa himself by Tiberius, Jos. Antt. xviii. 6. 7, we read of the συνδεδεμένος αὐτῷ στρατιώτης. And we have an edict of Constantius, commanding, for binding prisoners, 'prolixiores catenas, si criminis qualitas etiam catenarum acerbitatem postulaverit, ut et cruciatio desit, et permaneat sub fida custodia.' (Wieseler, p. 414.) See note on ch. xxiv. 23; see also ch. έτήρουν την φυλ.] xxviii. 16, 20.

απηλθεν Α.

not, kept the watch (Raphel, Wolf, al.),—but guarded the prison. 7.] οἰκήματι, the chamber. It is in St. Luke's manner to relate simultaneously the angelic appearance and the shining of a light around : cf. Luke ii. 9; xxiv. 4; ch. x. 30. The light accompanied, or perhaps, as suggested here in syrmang, shone from, the angel.

9.] ἐξελθών, viz. from the υίκημα.

10.] The first and second watch or

guard cannot mean the two soldiers to whom he was chained, on account of

 \mathring{a} γγελος \mathring{a} π' \mathring{a} υτοῦ. 11 και \mathring{o} Πέτρος b εν έαυτ $\mathring{\psi}$ b γενόμενος $^{ABDE}_{HLN a b}$ b here only. (see Luke xv. 17.) Xen. 17.) Xen. Anab. i. p. είπεν Νυν οίδα ° αληθως ότι α έξαπέστειλεν κύριος τον cf gh k c = John vii. αγγελου αὐτοῦ καὶ εξείλατό με έκ τχειρὸς Ἡρώδου καὶ c = John vii.
26, xvii. 8
only Exod.
xxxiii. 16
deh. vii. 12
reff.
e.ch. vii. 10
reff.
feb. xxiv. 7.
John x. 58,
29 al. Mic.
iv. 10.
g Luke xxi. 28 πάσης της προςδοκίας τοῦ λαοῦ τῶν Ἰουδαίων. 12 " συνιδών τε ήλθεν έπὶ την οικίαν της Μαρίας της μητρός Ιωάννου του * επικαλουμένου Μάρκου, οῦ ἦσαν λίκανοὶ " συνηθροισμένοι και " προςευχόμενοι. 13 ορ κρούσαντος δέ iv. 10.
g Luke xxi. 26
only. Gen.
xlix. 10.
h = ch. xiv. 6
(v. 2. 1 Cor.
iv. 4) only f.
(Levit. v. 1.)
1 Macc. iv. αὐτοῦ τὴν θύραν τοῦ q πυλώνος τπροςηλθεν παιδίσκη ' ὑπακοῦσαι, ὀνόματι 'Ρόδη' 14 καὶ "ἐπιγνοῦσα τὴν " φωνὴν τοῦ Πέτρου ' ἀπὸ της χαρᾶς οὐκ ήνοιξεν τὸν 9 πυλώνα, * ειςδραμούσα δε * απήγγειλεν * εστάναι τον Πέτρον προ 21 al. i — Matt. xxl. 19. Luke xxiv. 1 al. Gen. xxii. 9. k ch. i. 23 reft. του 9 πυλώνος. 15 οι δέ προς αυτην είπαν Μαίνη. ή δέ ² διισχυρίζετο α ούτως α έχειν. οι δε έλεγον 'Ο αγγελός kch. i. 23 teft. Otto Vupitero OUTGO EXELV. Of CE EXEVOV O AYYKOG Ch. xiv. 21.

o and constr., lanke xii. 25 (Rev. iii 20). Judg. xix. 22 F (Cant. v. 2). Juddih xiv. and and that vii. 7, 8. Luke xi. 9, 10. xii. 30 only. constr., lanke xii. 25 (Rev. iii 20). Judg. xix. 22 F (Cant. v. 2). Juddih xiv. 4 only. remark, ch. vii. 31 ol. Lev. xii. 21 xii. 15 xii. 15

11. $\operatorname{rec} \gamma \epsilon \nu o \mu$. $\operatorname{bef} \epsilon \nu \epsilon \alpha \nu \tau \omega$, with EHL rel Chr: txt ABDN a c p 13 vulg Lucif.— $\alpha \nu \tau \omega$ B!, ot $\operatorname{bef} \alpha \alpha \eta \theta \omega$ DE ath Chr Lucif. ins o $\operatorname{bef} \kappa \nu \rho \iota o s$ B c 180: o $\operatorname{9} \operatorname{eos}$ a 27. 29. 36. 105. 163. [$\operatorname{e} \xi \epsilon \iota \lambda \alpha \tau o$, so ABDEHN p 13. 36.] ins $\epsilon \kappa$ $\operatorname{bef} \pi \alpha \sigma \eta s$ B 73 vulg Lucif. om $\tau \sigma \nu$ $\operatorname{A} \operatorname{cov} A$ Syr.

12. συν. δε A a k o p 13. 36 (Syr?) coptt: om τε 59¹: και συν. D: txt BEHLN rel vss Chr. rec om 1st της (as unnecessary?), with EHL rel 36(sic) Chr: ins

ABDN p. (13 def.)

13. rec for autón, ton petrou (explanatory, supidon beginning an ecclesiastical portion), with EHL rel 36 syr Chr: txt ABDN p 13 vulg Syr coptt arm Thl-fin. $\pi\nu\lambda\omega\nu\sigma_{S}$ is written by D⁶(?), the former reading which occupied more space having been obliterated: foris D-lat. $\pi\rho\sigma\eta\lambda\theta\epsilon$ B²(Mai) \aleph 3. $\nu\pi\alpha\kappa\sigma\nu\sigma\sigma\alpha$ \aleph^{1} : txt \aleph -corr¹. ν 0. $\rho\sigma\delta$. bef $\nu\pi\alpha\kappa$. D.

14. aft ηνοιξεν ins αυτω E c Syr syr-w-ast. for τον πυλωνα, την θυραν Ε.

ins και bef ειςδρ. δε D¹(and lat). om 2nd τον D¹: ins D³.

15. $o(\operatorname{sic})$ de $\epsilon[\lambda\epsilon]\gamma o\nu$ auth D^1 : of de πpos auth ν (without $\epsilon(\pi)$) D^3 .— $\epsilon(\pi)$ bef πp . aut. 13. [eipa, so ABR.] for elegan, eipa p lect-12. add aft elegan

 $\xi\xi\epsilon\lambda\theta\omega\nu$ above: but are probably the other two, one at the door of the chamber, the other at the outer door of the building. Then 'the iron gate leading into the city' was that outside the prison buildings, forming the exit from the premises. The situation of the prison is uncertain, but seems to have been in the city. The additional clause in D (see var. readd.) is remarkable, and can hardly be other than 11.] έν έαυτώ γ., as E. V. coming to himself: having recovered his self-consciousness. He was before in the half-consciousness of one who is dreaming and knows that it is a dream : except that in his case the dream was the truth, and his supposition the unreality. 12. συνιδών Not, considerans (as Vulg., Beza, Grot.): nor, 'being aware of the place of meeting,' with reference to what follows (Meyer), against which the agrist is de-

cisive, importing some single act and not a state : but, as reff., referring to what went before (cloa αληθώς κ.τ.λ.), having be-'Iwavvou] It is come aware of it. uncertain whether this John Mark was the same as the Evangelist Mark: but they have been generally believed to be the same. For a full account of him, see Prolegomena to Mark (Vol. I. § i.), His mother Mary was not sister, but aunt of Barnabas : see 15. ἄγγελός ἐστ. Col. iv. 10, note. αὐτοῦ] No other rendering but his angel will suit the sense; and with a few exceptions (Camero, Basnage, Hammond, and one or two more) all Commentators, ancient and modern, have recognized this meaning. Our Lord plainly asserts the doctrine of guardian angels in ref. Matt. (see note there): and from this we further learn in what sense His words were understood by the early church. From His words taken

εστιν αὐτοῦ. 16 ό δὲ Πέτρος c ἐπέμενεν d κρούων c ἀνοί- c εισιατ, John ξαντες δὲ εἶδαν αὐτοῦν καὶ i ἔξέστησαν. 17 g κατασείσας δὲ c κτόνι, αὐτοῖς τῆ χειρὶ h σιγῷν, i διηγήσατο αὐτοῖς i πῶς ὁ κύριος Αρτίσια, h ἐξήγαγεν k ἐκ τῆς i φυλακῆς. εἶπέν τε Απαγγείλατε c Απαγγείλατε c ἐτερον τοῖς ἀδελφοῖς ταῦτα. καὶ m ἔξελθιὸν ἐπορεύθη c εἰς n ἔτερον τόπον. 18 o Γενομένης δὲ o ἡμέρας ῆν p τάραχος c τόλι γιος c o οὐκ o δλίγος c ἐν τοῖς στρατιώταις o τί ἄρα ὁ Πέτρος c c εζνένετο. 19 'Ηρωδης δὲ ἐπιζητήσας αὐτον καὶ μὴ εὐρών, c καὶ, τίλ. o εἰν τοῖς στρατιώταις o τί ἄρα ὁ Πέτρος c o καὶς τοὶς o τοις αὐτον καὶ μὴ εὐρών, o τόλι τοις o τόλι γιος o ἐν τοῖς στρατιώταις o τί ἄρα ὁ Πέτρος o τοις στοις τοὶς αὐτον καὶ μὴ εὐρών, o τόν τοις o τοις αὐτον καὶ μὴ εὐρών, o τοις τοις o τοις o Καὶς τοις o καις εκέλευσεν o ἀπαχθηναι καὶ τοις τὸς o τοις o καις τὸς Καισάρειαν o διέτριβεν, κῶς ἀς κέλευσεν o διέτριβεν, κῶς ας κέλευσεν o διέτριβεν κοις κέλευσεν o διέτριβεν καις κέλεισεν o διέτριβεν καις κέλεισεν o διέτριβεν καις κέλεισεν $^$

προς αυτην τυχον D Syr. om δ Ν¹. rec αυτου bef εστ., with DEHLN³ 13 rel Orig: txt ABN¹.

16. om πετρ. D. εξανοιξ. δε και ιδοντες αυτ. και εξ. D¹. [είδαν, so AB.]
17. κατασισαντος δε αυτου σιγ. Α. for σιγαν, ινα σείγα... σιν D¹. ins εισηλθεν και bef διηγ. D Syr syr-w-ast. om 2nd αυτοις ΑΝ α p 13. 33. 69. 100. 105 lect-12 vulg arm: ins BDEHL rel 36 Chr. αυτον bef ο κυρ. Λ: εξηγαγεν bef αυτον

p 13. 40. 73. rec for $\tau\epsilon$, $\delta\epsilon$ (see above, ver 3), with DHL rel 36 syr copt Chr: txt ABEN p vulg Syr sah æth.

18. om ουκ ολεγ. D 76 Lucif: μεγαs 15. 18. 36. 180 Syr sah arm Cassiod.
 19. for δε, τε A a æth.
 αποκταθθηναι D¹-gr Syr copt: txt D-copt²-2.
 (2m, Ch. Thl.)

19. for $\delta \epsilon$, $\tau \epsilon$ A a $\alpha \epsilon h$. anormal D-gr Syr copt: LK D-corresponds to ϵh with $\theta \epsilon$ ka. σ . (insertion to answer to $\tau \eta \epsilon$ love.), with HL rel Chr ϵ Thl: om ABDEN a ep 13. 40. $\delta \epsilon \epsilon r \rho \psi \epsilon \nu$ A.

with the context (μή καταφρονήσητε ένδς τῶν μικρῶν τούτων) we infer that each one has his guardian angel: from this passage we find not only that such was believed to be the case, but that it was supposed that such angel occasionally appeared in the semblance (seeing that he spoke with the voice) of the person himself. We do not, it is true, know who the speakers were: nor is the peculiar form in which they viewed the doctrine binding upon us: it may have been erroneous, and savouring of superstition. But of the doctrine itself this may not be said, as the Lord Himself has asserted it. See Dr. Wordsw.'s in-teresting note here. For what purpose they supposed this angel to have come, does not appear in the narrative.

17. κατασείσας] see reff. His mo-

tive was haste: he tells briefly the particulars of his deliverance, and, while it was yet night, hastily departs.

¹aκώβω] James, the brother of the Lord, whom we find presiding over the church at Jerusalem, ch. xv. 18; xxi. 18; Gal. ii. 12. See Gal. i. 19; ii. 9. He appears also to be mentioned in 1 Cor. xv. 7. I believe him to have been one of those

άδελφοί τοῦ κυρίου mentioned Matt. xiii. 55; John vii. 5; ch. i. 14; 1 Cor. ix. 5, of whom I have in the note on the first of these passages maintained, that they were His real maternal brethren, sons of Joseph and Mary :- to have been an Apostle, as Paul and Barnabas, but not of the number of the twelve (see note on ch. xiv. 4):and to have been therefore of course distinct from James the son of Alphæus, enumerated (Matt. x. 3 ||) among the twelve. The reasons for this belief I reserve for the Prolegomena to the Epistle είς έτερον τόπον I see of James. in these words a minute mark of truth in our narrative. Under the circumstances, the place of Peter's retreat would very naturally at the time be kept secret. It probably was unknown to the person from whom the narrative came, or designedly left indefinite. And so it has remained, the narrative not following Peter's history any longer. We find him again at Jerusalem in ch. xv. Whether he left it or not on this occasion is uncertain. It is not asserted in ἐξελθών,—which only implies that he left the house. 18. γενομένης ἡμέρας] Wicseler argues from this, and I think ² here only t. Polyb. IX. 40. 20 η ν δὲ ² θυμομαχῶν Τυρίοις καὶ Σιδωνίοις ^α όμοθυμαδὸν ABDE Η IR. 8 α b 4 μέχρι τῆς 6 6 παρησαν 6 πρὸς αὐτόν, καὶ 6 πείσαντες Βλάστον τὸν cfg h k 6 τόν τόν τον τον 6 τον 6 τον 6 τον 6 επὶ τοῦ 6 κοιτῶνος τοῦ βασιλέως 6 ητοῦντο είρηνην, διὰ χοῦντες. Diod. Sie. τὸ 6 τρέφεσθαι αὐτῶν την χώραν 6 ἀπὸ τῆς 6 βασιλικης,

χούντες, το β τρέφεσθαι αὐτῶν τὴν χώραν $^{\rm h}$ ἀπὸ τῆς $^{\rm i}$ βασιλικῆς, χεῖ, 33 end, $^{\rm t}$ Αποιλικῆς, ανῖ, 33 end, $^{\rm t}$ Αποιλικῆς $^{\rm t}$ Αποιλικῆς $^{\rm t}$ Αποιλικῆς $^{\rm t}$ Αποιλικῆς $^{\rm t}$ Ενδυσάμενος $^{\rm th}$ έσθητα $^{\rm i}$ βασιλικῆς $^{\rm th}$ Ενδυσάμενος $^{\rm th}$ έσθητα $^{\rm i}$ βασιλικῆς $^{\rm th}$ Ενδυσάμενος $^{\rm th}$ έσθητα $^{\rm th}$ βασιλικῆς $^{\rm th}$ Ενδυσάμενος $^{\rm th}$ Ενδυσάμενος

21. om o B a. om και BN p 40.

rightly, that the deliverance of Peter must have taken place in the last watch of the night (3-6 A.M. in April), for otherwise his escape would have been perceived before the break of day, viz. at the next change of the watch. $\tau i \dots i \gamma \epsilon v \epsilon v \epsilon 0$ So Theoer. Id. xiv. 51, άδίστα Γοργοί, $\tau i \gamma \epsilon v \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha;$ 19. κατ. . . . εἰς Καισ.] These words are to be taken together, and έκει or έν Κ. to be supplied with διέτριβεν. Kuin. takes εἰs K. as = ἐν K. with διέτρ., and κατελθών alone, which is not so natural on account of the position of the 20. θυμομαχων] It is impossible that Herod should have been at war with the Tyrians and Sidonians, belonging as they did to a Roman province, and he himself being in high favour at Rome:-nor is this implied in our text. The quarrel, however it originated, appears to have been carried out on Herod's part by some commercial regulation opposed to their interest, dependent as they were on supplies from his territory. ἦν θυμ. is therefore best rendered as in E. V., was όμ. παρήσ. viz. by highly displeased. Blastus is a Roman name a deputation. (Wetst. from an inscription), and, from Herod's frequent visits to Rome, it is likely that he would have Romans as his contidential servants. Blastus was his cubicularius, or præfectus cubiculo (Suet. Dom. 16): see ch. viii. 27. εἰρήνην] not (see above) peace, in its strict sense, but διά τὸ τρέφεσθαι reconciliation. We learn from 1 Kings v. 11 that Solomon made presents of wheat and oil to Hiram in return for the cedar and fir-trees for the Lord's house: and from Ezek, xxvii. 17, that Judah and Israel exported wheat, honey, oil, and balm (or resin) to Tyre. In Ezra iii. 7 also, we find Zerubbabel giving meat, drink, and oil to them of Sidon and Tyre, to bring cedar-trees to Joppa. Mr. Humphry quotes from Bede, 'Tyrii necessariam habebant vicini regis amicitiam, co quod eorum regio valde angusta et Galilææ Damascique pressa finibus esset.' additional reason for their request at this particular time may have been, the prevalence of famine. 21. The account in Josephus is remarkably illustrative of the sacred text: τρίτον δὲ ἔτος αὐτῶ βασιλεύοντι της όλης Ἰουδαίας πεπλήρωτο, καὶ παρήν είς πόλιν Καισάρειαν συνετέλει δὲ ἐνταῦθα θεωρίας εἰς τὴν Καίσαρος τιμήν, ύπερ της εκείνου σωτηρίας εορτήν τινα ταύτην ἐπιστάμενος (probably the 'quin-quennalia,' B. J. i. 21. 8. Wieseler, p. 133). και παρ' αὐτὴν ήθροιστο τῶν κατὰ την επαρχίαν εν τέλει και προβεβηκότων είς ἀξίαν πλήθος. δευτέρα δε των θεωριών ήμέρα στυλήν ενδυσάμενος εξ ἀργύρου πεποιημένην πασαν, ως θαυμάσιον ύφην είναι, παρηλθεν είς το θέατρον άρχομένης ήμέρας. Ένθα ταῖς πρώταις τῶν ἡλιακῶν ακτίνων επιβολαίς δ άργυρος καταυγασθείς θαυμασίως ἀπέστιλβε, μαρμαίρων τι φοβερον καὶ τοῖς εἰς αὐτὸν ἀτενίζουσι φρικῶδες. εὐθὺς δὲ νὶ κόλακες τὰς οὐδὲ ἐκείνω πρὸς άγαθοῦ ἄλλος ἄλλοθεν φωνὰς ἀνεβόων θεὸν προςαγορεύοντες, Εὐμενής τε είης, ἐπιλέγοντες, εί και μέχρι νῦν ὡς ἄνθρωπον ἐφοβήθημεν, άλλὰ τοὐντεῦθεν κρείττονά σε θνητῆς φύσεως όμολογοῦμεν. οὐκ ἐπέπληξε τούτοις δ βασιλεύς οὐδὲ τὴν κολακείαν ἀσεβοῦσαν ἀπετρίψατο. ἀνακύψας δ' οὖν μετ' ὀλίγον τον βουβώνα της έαυτοῦ κεφαλής ύπερκαθεζόμενον είδεν ἐπὶ σχοινίου τινός ἄγγελον δέ τούτον εὐθὺς ἐνόησεν κακῶν εἶναι, καὶ διακάρδιον ἔσχεν ὀδύνην. (This owl, Eusebius, H. E. ii. 10, professing to quote αὐτούς. 22 ὁ δὲ 9 δῆμος 7 ἐπεφώνει Θεοῦ φωνὴ καὶ οὐκ 9 Λατε σην. ἀνθρώπου. 23 8 παραχρῆμα δὲ 1 ἐπάταξεν αὐτὸν ἄγγελος 8 Χωπ. 1:20 κυρίου 9 ἀνθ΄ ὧν οὐκ 7 ἔδωκεν τὴν 7 δόξαν τῷ θεῷ, καὶ της καὶ 1 χενόμενος 8 σκωληκόβρωτος 8 ἔξέψυξεν. 24 ὁ δὲ 7 λόγος 8 Αλ καὶ 12 τοῦ θεοῦ 9 ηὕξανεν καὶ 7 ἐπληθύνετο. 25 Βαρνάβας δὲ καὶ 12 Εκιτ. 13. $^{$

22. at beg, ins καταλλαγέντος δε αυτου τοις τυριοις D: reconciliatus est iis antem syr-w-ast. φωνη bef θεου HL b e f g l o vss: φωνη κυριου c: φωναι D^1 vulg Syr Lucif: $txt D^8$. ανθρωπων \aleph^1 .

23. avr. bef επατ. D c 180 Thl·fin. on την (alteration to more usual expr)
DEHL rel: ins ABN dl h k p 13. 36.
και καταβας απο του βηματος γενομ.
καληκοβρωτος(sic D): σκαλ. D²) ετι ζων και οντος εξεψυξεν D.

24. for θεου, κυριου B vulg. ηυξανετο Λ: ευξανε D1: txt D3.

Josephus, makes into an angel. Having prefaced his quotation, αὐτοῖς γράμμασιν ὧδέ πως τὸ θαῦμα διηγεῖται, he cites thus: ἀνακύψας δὲ μετ' ὀλίγον, τῆς ἑαυτοῦ κεφαλής ὑπερκαθεζόμενον είδεν ἄγγελον. τοῦτον εὐθὺς ἐνόησε κακῶν εἶναι αἴτιον κ.τ.λ. On the impossibility of acquitting the ecclesiastical historian of the charge of wilful fraud, see Heinichen's second Excursus in his edition of Eusebius. It may be a caution to us as to how much we may believe of his quotations of authors which do not remain to us.) αθρόον δέ αὐτῷ τῆς κοιλίας προςέφυσεν ἄλγημα μετά σφοδρότητος ἀρξάμενον. ἀναθεωρών οὖν πρός τους φίλους 'Ο θεός ύμιν έγώ, φησίν, ήδη καταστρέφειν ἐπιτάττομαι τὸν βίον, παραχρημα της είμαρμένης τὰς άρτι μου κατεψευσμένας φωνάς έλεγχούσης και δ κληθεις αθάνατος ύφ' ύμῶν ήδη θανὼν ἀπάγομαι. . . . συνεχῶς δὲ ἐφ' ἡμέρας πέντε τῷ τῆς γαστρός ἀλγήματι διεργασθελς του βίου κατέστρεψεν. Ant. xix. 8. 2.

The circumstance related in our text, of the answer to the Sidonian embassy, of which Josephus seems not to have been aware, having been one object of Herod on the occasion, shews an accuracy of detail which well accords with the view of the material of this part of the Acts having been collected at Cæsarea, where the event happened (see Prolegg, to Acts, § ii. 11). 23.] The fact may be correctly related by Josephus (see above): but our narrative alleges the cause of what happened to have been the discovery of the control of t pleasure of God, and the stroke to have been inflicted by His angel. Compare 2 Kings xix. 35; 1 Chron. xxi. 15, 16. But no appearance of an angel is implied : nor was I aware that such had ever been inferred; but I see in Valesius's note on Euseb. ii. 10, "Quasi vero non utrumque fieri potuerit, ut et bubo supra caput

Agrippæ, et ex alia parte angelus eidem appareret." σκωληκόβρωτος Απother additional particular: and one to be expected from a physician. In several cases of deaths by divine judgment we have accounts of this loathsome termina-tion of the discase. So Herodotus, iv. 205, $\dot{\eta} \Phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon r i \mu \eta$, . . . $\dot{\zeta} \omega \alpha \epsilon \dot{\nu} \dot{\lambda} \dot{\epsilon} \omega r \dot{\xi} \dot{\xi} \dot{\xi} \sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon}$ which he alleges as an instance that excessive indulgence of revenge, such as Pheretima had shewn against the Barcæans, is looked on with anger by the gods. See too the very similar account of the death of Antiochus Epiphanes, 2 Macc. ix. 5-9. So also Jos. Antt. xvii. 6. 5, describing the disease of which Herod the Great died, mentions σηψις σκώληκας έμποιοῦσα. So also Enseb. (viii. 16) of the death of Galerius. So also Tertullian, ad Scapulam, c. 3, vol. i p. 702, Migne, "Claudius Lucius Herminianus in Cappadocia, cum indigne ferens uxorem suam ad hanc sectam transiisse, solusque in prætorio suo vastatus peste vivus vermibus ebullisset, Nemo sciat, aiebat, ne gaudeant Christiani. Postca cognito crrore suo, quod tormentis quosdam a proposito suo excidere fecisset, pæne Christianus decessit." 24.] Similarly, tianus decessit." ch. v. 12 ff.; vi. 7; ix. 31, a general statement of the progress and prosperity of the church of God forms the transition from one portion of the history to another.

25.] The journey (ch. xi. 30) took place after the death, of Herod; see on ver. 1. The purpose of the mission would be very soon accomplished: Saul would naturally not remain longer in Jerusalem than was unavoidable, and would court no publicity: and hence there seems an additional reason for placing the visit after Herod's death; for, of all the persons whose execution would be pleasing to the Jews, Saul would

3 b Col. iv. 17 εκκλησίαν 11 προφηται και 1k διδάσκαλοι, ὅ τε Βαρνάβας klop 13 13 eff. t dth. xr. 3 καὶ Συμεων ὁ καλούμενος Νίγερ καὶ Λούκιος ὁ Κυρηναΐος, Κορηναΐος και και 1 τερικον ὁ καλούμενος Νίγερ καὶ Λούκιος ὁ Κυρηναΐος, Κορηναΐος και και 1 τερικον τοῦ 1 τετράρχου πούντροφος καὶ και 1 του 1 τετράρχου με σύντροφος καὶ και 1 του 1 τετράρχου με το 1 τετράρχου και 1 του 1 τετράρχου και 1 του 1 τετράρχου με το 1 τετράρχου και 1 του 1 τετράρχου και 1 του 1 τετράρχου και 1 του 1 του 1 τετράρχου και 1 του 1 του

i. 4 only. fch. xi. 1 reff. g ellips. of éxeî. Mark vli. 1. ch. xxii. 12. h ch. xi. 27 reff. HLN a l e ver. 12. fch. xii. 28, 29. fbh. iv. 11. k Rom. ii. 20. 1 Tim. ii. 7. 2 Tim. i. 11 t. 2 Macc. i. 1 to only. l Luke c d f g l iii. 19. ix. 7 l Mt. only. (-xxi), Luke iii. 1.) m here only t. = 2 Macc. ix. 29 only. Thus. ii. 50 (of klop diseases). Xen. Mem. ii. 3. 4.

25. $\alpha\pi\epsilon\sigma\tau\rho\epsilon\psi\epsilon\nu$ D¹: txt D³. for $\epsilon\xi$, $\alpha\pi\sigma$ D(E) b c o 36 vulg Chr-mss: $\epsilon\iota s$ BHL% k¹ p vss Chr-mss & Ct Thl: txt A13(sic) rel copt Chr.—aft $\iota\epsilon$, add $\epsilon\iota s$ $\alpha\tau\tau\iota o\chi\epsilon\epsilon\omega$ E a b c o Syr sah Cassiod. (The variations have apparently arisen from a confusion of marginal glosses. $\epsilon\iota s$ $\alpha\tau\tau$. may have been an explanatory gloss, afterwards substituted for $\epsilon\xi$ $\iota\epsilon\rho$; then $\alpha\tau\tau$. may have again been corrected to $\iota\epsilon\rho$, leaving the $\epsilon\iota s$ standing.) for 2nd $\kappa\alpha\iota$, $\tau\sigma\nu$ D¹: om ABN 36 vulg Syr: txt D³EHL p rel syr coptt Chr & CThl. (13 def.)

Chap. XIII. 1. rec aft $\eta\sigma\sigma\nu$ de ins $\tau\iota\nu\varepsilon$ (see note), with EHL 13. 36 rel syr Chr: om ABDR a p 40 vulg Syr sah æth Vig. for o $\tau\epsilon$, $\epsilon\nu$ ois D' vulg Vig: add $\eta\nu$ και D^3 -gr Vig. $\epsilon\pi\iota\kappa\sigma\lambda\nu\nu$. D o 180 lect-12. ou o bef κυρηναιος D. $\eta\rho$. και $\tau\epsilon\tau\rho$. D'(and lat): txt D'. $\tau\epsilon\tau\rho\sigma\sigma\nu$. (but σ ernsed) κ .

hold the foremost place. Our verse is probably inserted as a note of passage from the last recorded fact of Barnabas and Saul (ch. xi. 30), to their being found at Antioch (xiii. 1). 'Iwáw.'] See above on ver. 12.

CHAP. XIII. 1—XIV. 28.] FIRST MIS-SIONARY JOURNEY OF PAUL AND BARNA-BAS. Henceforward the history follows Saul (or Paul, as he is now [ver. 9] and from this time denominated), his ministry, and the events of his life, to the exclusion (with the sole exception of the council in ch. xv.) of all the other Apostles.

XIII. 1. The Tives of the rec. has been interpolated, to make it appear that the persons mentioned were not the only prophets and teachers at Antioch. The enumeration is probably inserted on account of the solemnity of the incident about to be related, that it might be known who they were, to whom the Holy Spirit entrusted so weighty a commission. That those enumerated were all then present, is implied by the $\tau \epsilon \ldots \kappa \alpha i$: see ch. i. 13. πρυφῆται] See on ch. xi. 27. διδάσκ.]
Those who had the χάρισμα διδασκαλίαs, see 1 Cor. xii. 28; Eph. iv. 11. They were probably less immediately the organs of the Holy Spirit than the προφηται, but under Hiscontinnal guidance in the gradual and progressive work of teaching the Word (see Neander, Pfl. u. L. p. 58). μεών ὁ καλ. Νίγερ] Nothing is known of him. From his appellation of Niger, he may have been an African proselyte. Λούκιος A Lucius, probably the same

person, is mentioned Rom. xvi. 21 as a συγγενής of Paul. There is no reason to suppose him the same with Λουκᾶς (Lucanus),—but the contrary; for why should Paul in this case use two different names? See Col. iv. 14; 2 Tim. iv. 11; Philem. 24. Wetstein, believing them to be the same, quotes Herodotus, iii. 131, πρῶτοι μὲν Κροτωνιῆται ἰπτροὶ ἐλέγοντο ἀνὰ τὴν Ἑλλάδα εἶναι, δεύτεροι δὲ Κυρηναῖοι, which certainly is curious enough.

Maναήν] The same name with Menahem (Maναήμ LXX) the king of Israel, 2 Kings xv. 14. A certain Essene, of this name, foretold to Herod the Great, when a boy going to school, that he should be king of the Jews (Jos. Antt. xv. 10. 5). And in consequence, when he came to the throne, he honoured Manaen, and πάντας ἀπ' ἐκείνου τους Έσσηνους τιμών διετέλει. It is then not improbable that this Manaen may have been a son of that one: but see below. The Herod here meant was Antipas, who with his brother Archelaus (both sons of Herod the Great by Malthace a Samaritan woman, see Matt. xiv. 1, note) παρά τινι ίδιώτη τροφάς είχον ἐπὶ Ῥώμης, Antt. xvii. 1. 3. Both were at this time exiles, Antipas at Lyons, Archelaus at Vienne.

roiντροφοs] Probably 'collactaneus' (Vulg.), foster-brother; not, 'brought up with,' for, if he had been brought up with Antipas, he would also have been with Archelaus: see above. In this case, his mother may have called her infant by the name of the person who had brought the Essenes into favour

Σαύλος. ^{2 η} λειτουργούντων δε αυτών τω κυρίω και η hereonlyt. (Heb. x. 1). ° νηστευόντων είπεν το πνεύμα το άγιον ^p'Αφορίσατε ° νηστευόντων είπεν τὸ πνευμα τὸ ἀγιον Αφορίσατε ΑΝΙΙ. Νίπ. 19 δή μοι τὸν Βαρνάβαν καὶ Σαῦλον είς τὸ ἔργον το οπ. 30 ref. ρ = 100m.; 1. 1. * προςκέκλημαι αυτούς. 3 τότε υηστεύσαντες και προς- Lev.xx.28. ευξάμενοι και επιθέντες τας χείρας αυτοίς απέλυσαν. Laike ii. 16. Τος. νίεος

Gen. xviii. 4. r constr., ver. 39 (Luke i. 25 !) only. παρά πόλεσιν, αῖε (i. e. πορ αῖε) άμφότεροι ξυμβώσιν, Thue, i. 28. see Matthiae, 595. 4. see . h. ii. 39. perf. pass., ch. xvii. 10. j. t. ii. 32. so ch. xxv. 12. [John ix. 22] 1 Pet. iv. 3. 4 Kings v. 25 ai. 19. perf. pass., ch. xvi veff. v. ch. xvii. 10. r = . l. xvii. 17. xvii. 23, 32, ch. xv. 30, 33 al. 1 Macc. xv. 43. (Gen. xv. 2.)

rec aft Tov ins TE, with a k o p 13 2. aft ειπ. ins αυτοις E vulg Syr sah æth. Thl-fin: om ABCDEHLN rel vss Ath Cyr-jer Bas, Chr. rec ins TOV hef Taux., with HLN1 rel Thdrt (Ec Thl: om ABCDE N-corr1 p 13 Epiph Cyr-jer Bas Chr.

3. aft προςευξ. ins παντες D. αυτ. bef τας χειρ. E b k o 38. D: add aurous E vulg Syr syr-w-ob ath Lucif Vig Jer.

with Herod, and no relationship with that Σαῦλος] person need have existed. mentioned last, perhaps because the prophets are placed first, and he was not one, but a teacher: or it may be, that he himself furnished the account. This circumstance, which has been objected to by some as invalidating the accuracy of the account, is in fact an interesting confirmation of it, as being eminently characteristic of him who spoke, as in 1 Cor. xv. 9; 2 Cor. xii. 6; Eph. iii. 8. See Baumgarten's striking remarks on this, vol. ii. p. 7 ff. From the arrangement of the copulæ, it would seem as if Barnabas, Symeon, and Lucius were prophets, -Manaen and Saul, teachers.

2. λειτουργούντων] The general word for the priestly service among the Jews, to which now had succeeded that of προφηται and διδάσκαλοι in the Christian church: ministering is therefore the only word adequate to render it, as E. V. after the Vulg. "ministrantibus Domino:"—more closely to define it is not only impracticable, but is narrowing an expression purposely left general. Chrys. explains it by κηρυττόντων, -alii aliter: and the Romanist expositors understand the sacrifice of the mass to be meant; but in early times the word had no such reference (see reff., and Suicer sub είπεν. τὸ πν. τ. αγ.] viz. by one of the prophets present, probably Symeon or Lucius: see above. The announcement being to the church, and several persons being mentioned, we can hardly, with Meyer, suppose it to have been an inner command merely to some one person, as in the case of Philip, ch. viii. 29. gives precision and force to the command, implying that it was for a special purpose,

τὸ ἔργον] Certainly, by ver. 4, we may infer that there had been, or was simultaneously with this command, a divine intimation made to Barnabas and Saul of the nature and direction of this work.

and to be obeyed at the time: see reff.

In general, it had already been pointed out in the case of Saul, ch. ix. 15; xxii. 21; xxvi. 17. It consisted in preaching to the Gentiles the unsearchable riches of Christ, Eph. iii. 8. In virtue of the foundation of the Gentile churches being entrusted to them, Saul and Barnabas become after this Apostles, not vice versa; nor is there the least ground for the inference that this was a formal extension of the apostolic office, the pledge of its continuance through the episcopacy to the end of time. The apostolic office terminated with the apostolic times, and by its very nature, admitted not of continuance: the episcopal office, in its ordinary sense, sprung up after the apostolic times (see the remarkable testimonies cited by Gieseler, I. i. p. 115 f. note, from Jerome on Tit. i. 7, and Aug. Epist. lxxxii. ad Hieron. 33, vol. ii. p. 290): and the two are entirely distinct. The confusion of the two belongs to that unsafe and slippery ground in church matters, the only logical refuge from which is in the traditional system of Rome. See the curious and characteristic note in Dr. Wordsw., in which he attempts to prove the identity of the two offices: and compare with it the words of Jerome, on Tit. i. 5, vol. vii. p. 699, "Episcopi noverint se magis consnetudine quam dispositionis dominicæ veritate presbyteris esse majores, et in commune debere ecclesiam regere." 3. νηστ. κ. προςευξ.] not, 'jejunio et precibus (viz. of ver. 2) peractis,' Kuin.: this was a new fasting and special prayer for Barnabas and Saul. Fasting and prayer have ever been connected with the solemn times of ordination by the Christian church; but the jejunia quatuor temporum, or ember days at the four seasons, for the special purpose of ordinations, were probably not introduced till the fourth or even fifth century. See Bingham, iv. 6. 6. ἐπιθ. τ. χ. αὐτ.] See on ch. w ch. xvii. 10 $\frac{4}{\cos 0.05}$, $\frac{6}{\cos 0.05}$, $\frac{4}{\cos 0.05}$ αὐτοὶ μὲν οὖν $\frac{8}{6}$ έκπεμφθέντες ὑπὸ τοῦ ἀγίου πνεύματος ABCDE HLN a.b. $\frac{5}{50}$. κατῆλθον εἰς Σελεύκειαν, ἐκείθέν τε $\frac{9}{6}$ ἀπέπλευσαν εἰς c díg h. k. s. rel. yii. 5 rel. $\frac{5}{\cos 0.05}$. Κύπρον, $\frac{5}{6}$ καὶ γενόμενοι ἐν Σαλαμῖνι $\frac{2a}{6}$ κατῆγγελλον τὸν $\frac{81}{13}$ y ch. xii. $\frac{2a}{\cos 0.05}$ $\frac{13}{\cos 0.05}$ $\frac{3}{\cos 0$

 $\chi_{0,0}^{(a)}$. Ασγού του θέου εν ταις συναγωγαίς των 1ουσαίων ευ hit? $\chi_{0,1}^{(a)}$ εξικιίνει εξίνον δὲ καὶ Ἰωάνυην εὐπηρέτην. $\frac{6}{4}$ διελθόντες δὲ ὅλην $\chi_{0,1}^{(a)}$ εξίνον $\chi_{0,1}^{(a)}$ εξίνον $\chi_{0,1}^{(a)}$ εξίνον $\chi_{0,1}^{(a)}$ εξίνον $\chi_{0,1}^{(a)}$ εξίνον $\chi_{0,1}^{(a)}$ εξίνεντική $\chi_{0,1}^{(a)}$ εξίν εξίνεντική $\chi_{0,1}$

(-\Acir, ch. xvii, 12) a ch. xv, 36, xvii, 12, ch. xvii, 12, ch. xvii, 12, ch. xvii, 13, lt. [veft. cs. xvii, 13, lt. [veft. xvii, 34] d. constr., Luke ii, 35, ch. xvii, 10, xiv 24, xv, 3, 4i ai, L, 0, ny, xv. ch. xvi, 5, Heb, iv, 14, Deut, ii, 7, ch. xvi, 5, Heb, iv, 14, Deut, ii, 7, ch. xvi, 5, Heb, iv, 14, Deut, ii, 7, ch. xvi, 5, Heb, iv, 14, Deut, ii, 7, ch. xvi, 5, Heb, iv, 14, Deut, ii, 7, ch. xvii, 15, xvii, 15, xvii, 10, xv

4. rec outo. (corra to more usual exprn), with E.gr HL copt (appy) Chr: of D lect-12 Ath: txt ABN a p 36 vulg D-lat E-lat syrr Ambr Vig. (C illegible.) [B(Mai expr) has $\epsilon \kappa \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \epsilon \nu \tau s$ mot $\epsilon \kappa \pi \epsilon \mu \mu \phi \tau s$ as Beh.] rec $\tau o \nu \pi \nu$, $\tau o \nu \alpha \gamma$, with EHL rel: τ , $\pi \nu$, $\alpha \gamma$, D¹: txt ABC² D-corr N a p 13 Ath. (C¹ illegible.) $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda \theta$. $\lambda : \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha - \beta \alpha \nu \tau s$ rec ins $\tau \eta \nu$ bef $\sigma \epsilon \lambda$, and $\kappa \nu \pi \rho$, with EHL rel Cc: ins 1st but om 2nd $\tau \eta \nu$ 13 Thl: om ABC²DN a o p Chron. syr-marg sah Cc Thl: om D 64.

5. γεν. δε D. εν τη σαλαμενι D: εν σαλαμινη ΑΕLΝ¹ p: εις σαλαμινη Ν¹: Salaminam vulg Lucif Cassiod: Salamina m fuld D-lat E-lat Lucif: txt BC rel. κατηγγελον L e e g¹ k p: κατηγγελον D 73. 96. 142 Chr. for θεου, κυριου D-gr Syr copt Lucif. υπηρετουντα αυτοις D syr-marg sah: in ministerio vulg: εχοντες μεθ εαυταν και ω. εις διακοιναν E. (The corrections have apply been made for per-

picuity.)

6. και [πε]ριελθ. (διελθοντων, omg και D*) δε αυτων D. rec om ολην (ολην and αχρι παφου being supposed to be inconsistent?), with HL rel Œe Thl: ins ABCDEN k p 36 vss Lucif. (13 def.) ηυρον Ε: ευραν Α. add εκει C. rec om ανδρα (as superfluous), with HL rel Œe: ins ABCDN k o p syrr copt ath Chr

4. ἐκπεμφ.] Under the guidvi. 6. ance of the Spirit, who directed their course. Σελεύκειαν] A very strong fortified city (supposed impregnable, Strabo, xvi. p. 751), fifteen miles from Antioch, -on the Orontes, and five miles from its mouth. It was founded and fortified by Seleucus Nicator (Strabo, xvi. 749), who was buried there (Appian, Syr. 63). It was called Seleucia ad mare, -and Pieria, or ή ἐν Πιερία, from Mount Pierius, on which it was built, to distinguish it from other Syrian towns of the same name. This mountain is called Coryphæus, Polyb. v. 59, where is a minute description of the town and its site. Among other particulars he mentions, πρόςβασιν δὲ μίαν ἔχει κατὰ τὴν άπδ θαλάττης πλευράν κλιμακωτήν καὶ χειροποίητον, έγκλίμασι καὶ σκαλώμασι πυκνοίς και συνεχέσι διειλημμένην. This excavated way is to this day conspicuous amongst the ruins of the city. It was under the Seleucid kings the capital of a district Scleucis, - and, since Pompey's time, a free city. Strabo, xvi. 751. Plin. v. 21. (Winer, RWB.; and Mr. Lewin, Life of St. Paul, from an art. by Col. Chesney in the Geogr. Society's Transactions.)
εἰς Κύπρον] The lofty outline of Cyprus

εἰς Κύπρον] The lofty outline of Cyprus is visible from the mouth of the Orontes (C. and H., edn. 2, i. p. 164). See below, ver. 7. It was the native country of Barnabas,—and, as John Mark was his kinsman, they were likely to find more accept-

ance there than in other parts. Salamis was the nearest port to Seleucia on the eastern side of the island. It had a good harbour (λιμένα έχουσα κλαυστόν χειμερινόν, Scylax, Peripl. p. 41). It was the residence of a king anciently (Herod. iv. 162), and always one of the chief cities of the island. There were very many Jews there, as appears by there being more than one synagogue. Their numbers may have been increased by the farming of the copper-mines by Angustus to Herod. On the insurrection of the Jews in the reign of Trajan, Salamis was nearly destroyed, and they were expelled from the island. Its demolition was completed by an earthquake in the reign of Constantine, who (or his immediate successors) rebuilt it and gave it the name of Constantia. The ruins of this latter place are visible near the modern Famagosta, the Venetian capital of the island (Winer, RWB., and C. and H. pp. 171, f.).

iπηρέτην] Probably for the administration of baptism: see also 1 Cor. i. 14—17. 6.] Paphos is on the western shore, with the length of the island between it and Salamis. It is Nea Paphos which is meant, about eight miles north of the Paphos more celebrated in classic poets for the temple and worship of Venus. It was destroyed by an earthquake in Angustus's reign, but rebuilt by him, Dio Cass. liv. 23. It is now called Baffa, and contains some important ruins. (Winer, RWB.)

ς ψευδοπροφήτην 'Ιουδαΐον, $\tilde{\psi}$ ὄνομα Βαριπσούς, $\tilde{\tau}$ δς $\tilde{\eta}_{\nu}$ κ Matt. vii. 15, σὺν τ $\tilde{\psi}$ h ἀνθυπάτ $\tilde{\psi}$ Σεργί $\tilde{\psi}$ Παύλ $\tilde{\psi}$, ἀνδρὶ ἱσυνετ $\tilde{\psi}$. Ε Matt. vii. 15, σὲν τ $\tilde{\psi}$ h ἀνθυπάτ $\tilde{\psi}$ Σεργί $\tilde{\psi}$ Παύλ $\tilde{\psi}$, ἀνδρὶ ἱσυνετ $\tilde{\psi}$. Ε Ματτ. vii. 13. Σαυλον ἱεπ την ενάκουσαι τὸν ὑλόγον τοῦ ὑθεοῦ. 8 m ἀνθίστατο εξήτησεν ἀκοῦσαι τὸν ὑλόγον τοῦ ὑθεοῦ. 8 m ἀνθίστατο τὸ ἀνόμας ὁ ἱμάγος (ο οῦτως γὰρ ο μεθερμηνεύεται Γοῦν ὑρια αὐτοῦ), ρ ζητών ἱβαστρέψαι τὸν ἡ ἀνθύπατον και τὸν ὑρια αὐτοῦ), ρ ζητών ἱβαστρέψαι τὸν ἡ ἀνθύπατον και τὸς ἡρια και τὸς ἐρια και Παῦλος, επλη και τὸς επικ. 30. Θεο και Παῦλος, επλη και τὸς επικ. 31. Θεο και Τος επικ. 31. Θεο και τὸς επικ. 31.

Thl; so, but aft τινα, E 36 vulg sah Lucif. ονοματι καλουμένον D. βαριησουα ν or ·μ] D¹: Barjesuban Lucif₃: Barsuma Syr: βαριησουν AD³HL p rel syr-marg Et Ill-sif Cassiod: βαριησου Ν 40. 96. 105 vulg copt arm: txt BCE 13 sah Chr Thl-fin. add ο μεθερμηνευεται ελυμας (paratus, i. e. ετοιμας, see on ver 8) E demid Lucif.

7. συνκαλεσαμενος D. και εξητησεν D^1 (και is marked for erasure by D-corr). 8. for ελυμ., ετ[ο or α]μας D^1 , eloemas D-lat: ελυμας D^1 . aft πιστεως ins επειδη ηδιστα ηκούεν αυτων D^1 (and lat) syr-w-ast: οτι ηδεως αυτων ηκούεν E.

τινα μάγον, κτ.λ.] On the prevalence of such persons at this time, see ch. viii. 9, note. The Roman aristocracy were peculiarly under the influence of astrologers and magicians, some of whom were Jews. We read of such in connexion with Marius, Pompey, Crassus, Cæsar,—and later with Tiberius: and the complaints of Horace and Juvenal shew how completely, and for how long a time, Rome was inundated with Oriental impostors of every description. See Hor. Sat. i. 2. 1; Juv. Sat. iii. 13-16; vi. 542-546; x. 93, and C. and H. pp. Βαριησοῦς] He had given himself the Arabic title of Elymas, 'the wise man' (from the same root as the Turkish 'Ulemah'), interpreted δ μάγος in our text. 7. τῷ ἀνθυπάτῳ] The Greek term for the Latin 'proconsul,' the title of the governor of those provinces which were (sembably) left by the emperors to the government of the senate and people. The proconsul was appointed by lot, as in the times of the republic; carried with him the lictors and fasces as a consul: but had no military power, and held office only for a year (Dio Cass, liii, 13). This last restriction was soon relaxed under the emperors, and they were retained five or even more years. The imperial provinces, on the other hand, were governed by a military officer, a Propraetor (ἀντιστράτηγος) or Legatus (πρεσβευτής) of the Emperor who was girded with the sword, and not revocable unless by the pleasure of the Emperor. The minor districts of the imperial provinces were governed by Procurators (ἐπίτροποι). (C. and H. pp. 173 ff.: Dio Cassius, liii. 13, 15: Merivale, Hist. of the Romans under the Empire, ch. xxxii.) The

title ἡγεμών, used in the N. T. of the proeurator of Judæa, of the legatus of Syria, and of the emperor himself, is a general term for any governor. But we never find the more definite title of ανθύπατος assigned in the N. T. to a legatus. Cyprus, as Dio Cassius informs us, liii. 12, was originally an imperial province, and consequently was governed by a proprator or legatus (so also Strabo, xiv. 685, γέγονε στρατηγική ἐπαρχία καθ' αὐτὴν ... ἐγένετο ἐπαρχία ἡ νῆσος, καθάπερ καὶ υῦν ἐστι, στρατηγική): but immediately after he relates that Assembles. after he relates that Augustus ὕστερον τὴν Κύπρου κ. την Γαλατίαν την περί Νάρ-βωνα τῷ δήμῳ ἀπέδωκεν, αὐτός δὲ την Δαλματίαν ἀντέλαβε. And in liv. 4, repeating the same, he adds, καὶ οὕτως ἀνθ-ὑπατοι καὶ ἐς ἐκεῖνα τὰ ἔθνη πέμπεσθαι ἥρξαντο. The title of Proconsul is found on Cyprian coins, both in Greek and Latin. (See C. and H. p. 187, who give an inscription [Boeckh, No. 2632] of the reign of Claudius, A.D. 52, mentioning the ανθύπατοι, a former and a present one, Julius Cordns and L. Annius Bassus). thing more is known of this Sergius Paulus. Another person of the same name is mentioned by Galen, more than a century after this, as a great proficient in philosophy. He was of consular rank, and is probably the Sergius Paulus who was consul with L. Venuleius Apronianus, A.D. 168, in the reign of M. Aurelius. Another S. P. was one of the consules suffecti in A.D. 94: but this could hardly have been the same.

8. 'Ελύμας] See above on ver. 6.

ελυμας See anove on ver. 6.
 διαστρέψαι . . . ἀπό] A pregnant construction, as ἀπόστητεν ἀπίσω, ch. v. 37.
 δ καὶ Παῦλος] This notice

teh.i. 10 refi. σθεὶς πνεύματος ἀγίου, t ἀτενίσας εἰς αὐτὸν 10 εἶπεν $^{\circ}$ Ω ABCDE με και και πάσης $^{\circ}$ ραδιουργίας, $^{\circ}$ νἱὲ c d t gh ν στος $^{\circ}$ χαι και πάσης $^{\circ}$ ραδιουργίας, $^{\circ}$ νἱὲ c d t gh και στος $^{\circ}$ και και πάσης $^{\circ}$ δικαιοσύνης, οὺ $^{\circ}$ παίση $^{\circ}$ και τα γ τος $^{\circ}$ δικαιοσύνης, οὺ $^{\circ}$ παίση $^{\circ}$ δικαιοσύνης $^{\circ}$ δικαιοσύνης

(1001.1.2%)

7 Thes. ii. 3. Job xiii. 7. x here only†. Xen. Rep. Lac, xiv. 4. (γημα, ch. xviii. 14.) y see

Matt. xiii. 38. John viii. 44. Ερh. ii. 2 sl.

2 w. gen. of thing, Patt. iii. 18 only. και οὐν ἐχθοὐν τῆς

φίσεως όλης τῆς ἀνθ.ωπίνης, Demo-th. κατ. Στεφά. 79, a = Matt. y, 6 al. Ps. exi. 9. b constr.,

Loke y. 4. ch. y. 42. v. i. 63. xx. 31. xxl. 32. Ερh. i. i6. Heb. x. 2. Isa. xxxviii. 20.

9. $\pi\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon$ 15 D. rec ins $\kappa\alpha$ 1 bef $\alpha\tau\epsilon\nu$., with DEH rel vss Œc Thl: om ABCLR c f p 13. 36. 40 Chr Lucif.

marks the transition from the former part of his history, where he is uniformly called Saul, to the latter and larger portion, where he is without exception known as Paul. I do not regard it as indicative of any change of name at the time of this incident, or from that time : the evidence which I deduce from it is of a different kind, and not without interest to enquirers into the character and authorship of our history. Hitherto, our Evangelist has been describing events, the truth of which he had ascertained by research and from the narratives of others. But henceforward there is reason to think that the joint memoirs of himself and the great Apostle furnish the material of the book. In those memoirs the Apostle is universally known by the name PAUL, which superseded the other. If this was the first incident at which Luke was present, or the first memoir derived from Paul himself, or, which is plain, however doubtful may be the other alternatives, the commencement of that part of the history which is to narrate the teaching and travels of the Apostle Paul,-it would be natural that a note should be made, identifying the two names as belonging to the same person.

The Kal must not be understood as having any reference to Sergius Paulus, 'who also (as well as Sergius) was called Paul.' Galen (see above) uses the same expression in speaking of his Sergius Paulus: Σέργιδς τε, δ και Παθλος , and then, a few lines down, calls him ὁ Παῦλος. signifies that Paulus was a second name borne by Saul, in conformity with a Jewish practice as old as the captivity (or even as Joseph, see Gen. xli. 45), of adopting a Gentile name. Mr. Howson traces it through the Persian period (see Dan. i. 7; Esth. ii. 7), the Greek (1 Macc. xii. 16; xvi. 11; 2 Macc. iv. 29), and the Roman (ver. 1; ch. i. 23; xviii. 8, &c.), and the middle ages, down to modern times. Jerome has conjectured that the name was adopted by Saul in memory of this event : 'Diligenter attende, quod hie primum Pauli nomen inceperit. Ut enim Scipio, subjecta Africa, Africani sibi nomen assumpsit, et Metellus, Creta insula subjugata, insigne Cretici suæ familiæ reportavit;-et imperatores nune usque

Romani ex subjectis gentibus Adiabenici, Parthici, Sarmatici nuncupantur: ita et Saulus ad prædicationem gentium missus, a primo ecclesiæ spolio Proconsule Sergio Paulo victoriæ suæ tropæa retulit, erexitque vexillum ut Paulus diceretur e Saulo.' (In Epist. ad Philem. 1, pp. 746 f.) It is strange that any one could be found capable of so utterly mistaking the character of St. Paul, or of producing so unfortunate an analogy to justify the mistake. [I may observe that Dr. Wordsw.'s apology, that Jerome does not say that the Apostle gave himself this name on this account, is distinctly precluded by Jerome's language, "erexitque vexillum ut Paulus diceretur e Saulo." This Dr. W., translating the final words "and instead of Saul was called Paul," has missed seeing. Notice too Augustine's "amavit," below. It is yet stranger that Augustine should, in his Confessions (viii. 4, vol. i. p. 753), adopt the same view: 'Ipse minimus Apostolorum tuorum . . . ex priore Saulo Paulus vocari amavit, ob tam maguæ insigne victoriæ.' (Elsewhere Augustine gives another, but not much better reason: 'Paulus Apostolus, cum Saulus prius vocaretur, non ob aliud, quantum mihi videtur, hoc nomen elegit, nisi ut se ostenderet parvum, tanquam minimum Apostolorum.' De Spir. et Lit. c. 7, vol. x. p. 207.) So also Olshausen. A more probable way of accounting for the additional name is pointed out by observing that such names were often alliterative of or allusive to the original Jewish name :- as Grotius in his note: ' Saulus qui et Paulus: id est, qui, ex quo cum Romanis conversari cœpit, boc nomine, a suo non abludente, coepit a Romanis appellari. Sie qui Jesus Judæis, Græcis Jason (or Justus, Col. iv. 11): Hillel, Pollio: Onias, Menelaus (Jos. Antt. xii. 5. 1): Jakim (= Eliakim), Alcimus. Apud Romanos, Silas, Silvanus, ut notavit Hieronymus: Pasides, Pansa, ut Suctonius in Crassitio: Diocles, Diocletianus: Biglinitza, soror Justiniani, Romane Vigilantia.'

ἀτενίσας εἰς αὐτόν] It seems probable that Paul never entirely recovered his sight as before, after the δόξα τοῦ φωτὸς ἐκείνου. We have several apparent allu-

 9 διαστρέφων τὰς $^{\circ}$ ὁδοὺς κυρίου τὰς d εὐθείας; 11 καὶ νῦν $^{\circ}$ $^{-}$ Rom, xi. 33. Heb, iii. $^{\circ}$ ἐδοὺ $^{\circ}$ χεἰρ $^{\circ}$ κυρίου $^{\varepsilon}$ έπὶ σέ, καὶ έση τυφλὸς μὴ $^{\circ}$ βλέπων $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ Κυρίου $^{\varepsilon}$ έπὶ σέ, καὶ έση τυφλὸς μὴ $^{\circ}$ βλέπων $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ καὶ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ ταραχρήμα δὲ $^{\circ}$ έπέπεσεν $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ καὶ $^{\circ}$ σκότος, καὶ $^{\circ}$ περιάχων εζήτει $^{\circ}$ τόι. $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ χειραχωγούς. $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ τότε ἰδὼν $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ ανθύπατος τὸ γεγονὸς $^{\circ}$ επίστευσεν $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ έκπλησσόμενος ἐπὶ τῆ $^{\circ}$ τοῦ κυρίου. $^{\circ}$ επίστευσεν $^{\circ}$ έκπλησσόμενος $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ$

13 w ' Αναχθέντες δε άπο της Πάφου * οί περὶ Παῦλου ; 2.5 μg. 1.6 μg.

om 1st πασης D¹ arm Lucif₂ Vig Orig-int: ins D².
 νιοι D¹: txt D².
 ins του bef κυριου ΒΝ¹(Ν³ disapproving).
 ins ουσας bef ευθειας D.

11. ins η bef $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho$. (but marked for erasure) D¹. rec ins $\tau \circ \upsilon$ bef $\kappa \iota \rho$. (with none of our mss): om ABCDEHLR rel. for $\alpha \chi \rho_1$, $\epsilon \iota s$ D. for $\delta \epsilon$, $\tau \epsilon$ CN ρ valge Syr copt with Lucif Jer: for $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu_1 \alpha \delta s$ and $\epsilon \iota s$ D (corrns, the copulative conjugation of the properties). $\epsilon \iota s \iota s$ corrections once simple expression of $\epsilon \iota s$ A B(sic: see table) DN Till-sif: txt CEHL 13. 36 rel Chr (Ec Thl-fin. om $\epsilon \iota s$) of $\epsilon \iota s$ autor $\epsilon \iota s$.

12. idem de D-gr Lucif. ins equames en kai bef epist. DE with Lucif: $\epsilon\kappa\pi\lambda$, bef epist. A: aft epist. ins two $\theta\epsilon\omega$ D; twe kur. omitting the rest, with. $\epsilon\kappa\pi\lambda\eta\tau\tau\sigma\mu$. B a b² g h k 13. for τ , $\kappa\nu\rho$, τ . $\theta\epsilon\omega$ C Vig: $\tau\omega$ conjustous 3: $\tau\omega\nu$ appropriate 4. 13. apecfetes(sic) B¹. ree ins $\tau\omega\nu$ bef paulou, with HL rel Ge Thl: om

sions to weakness in his sight, or to something which rendered his bodily presence contemptible. In ch. xxiii. 1, the same expression, $\delta \tau e v i \sigma a v \epsilon \delta \rho i \rho$, occurs, and may have some bearing (see note there) on his not recognizing the high priest. See also Gal. iv. 13, 15; vi. 11, and 2 Cor. xii. 7, 9, and notes. The traditional notices of his personal appearance (see C. and H. p. 181, note) represent him as having contracted and overhanging eyebrows.

Whatever the word may imply, it appears like the graphic description of an eye-witness, who was not Paul himself. So also περιάγων εξήπει χειραγωγούς, below.

νίὲ διαβ.] Meyer supposes an indignant allusion to the name Bar-jesus. This is possible, though hardly probable (see below).
 διαβ., as a proper name, has no article.

πάσ. δικ., of all that is right.

Staotp. κ.τ.λ.] the ob παύση evidently makes this apply, not to Elymas's conduct on this occasion merely, but to his whole life of imposture and perversion of others. The especial sin was, that of laying hold of the nascent enquiry after God in the minds of men, and wresting it to a wrong direction. κυρίου, here and ver. 11, is Jehovah. If, as some suppose, the reading of the name Bar-jesus, the repetition may be allusive: as in the other case might the δχθρὲ πάσ. δικαιστύνης to the name Jesus. But Meyer supposes the various readings in the forms

of the name (Barsuma, Barjesuban) to have arisen from a desire to reverence the Name Jesus. τυφλός μη βλέπων] so μνήρθητι μὴ ἐπιλάθη. Deut. ix. 7. 11. ἄχρι καιροῦ] The punishment was only temporary, being accompanied with a gracious purpose to the man himself, to awaken repentance in him. The sense given to ἄχρι κ. by Tittmann and Meyer here and at ref. Luke, of ἔως τέλους, is one of which it seems to me incapable. ἀχλὸς κ. σκότος] In the same pre-

eise and gradual manner is the healing of the lame man, ch. iii. 8, described : ἔστη (first), κ. περιεπάτει. So here, first a dimness came on him, -then total darkness. And we may conceive this to have been evinced by his gestures and manner under the infliction. έπὶ τῆ διδ. τ. KUP.] Hesitating as he had been before between the teaching of the soreerer and that of the Apostle, he is amazed at the divine power accompanying the latter, and gives himself up to it. It is not said that he was baptized: but the supposition is not thereby excluded: see ver. 48; ch. xvii. 12, 34; xviii. 8, first part. περί Π.] Is there not a trace of the narrator being among them, in this expres-Henceforward Paul is the principal person, and Barnabas is thrown into the background. Πέργην τ. Παμφ.] Perga lies on the Cestrus, which flows into the bay of Attaleia. It is sixty stadia from

7 refi.
d abs., ch. xvi. 13 refi.
xvi. 13 refi.
y 13 refi.
xvi. 16. ch. xvi. 14. xvviii. 23. Rom. iii. 21.
Harri vi. 16. ch. xvi. 14. xvviii. 23. Rom. iii. 21.
Harri vi. 22. Rom. 14. xviii. 23. Rom. iii. 21.
Harri vi. 14. xviii. 24. Rom. iii. 21.
Harri vi. 16. ch. xvi. 14. xviii. 24. Rom. iii. 21.
Harri vi. 16. ch. xvi. 14. xviii. 22. Rom. 14. xviii. 24. xviii

14. for αυτοι δε, παυλος δε και βαρναβας Ε. εγενοντο Α. ree της πισιδιχς, with DEHL p 13 rel vss: quæ est Pisidiæ tol: txt ABCN. for ειςελθ., ελθοντες

BCN p copt. $\tau \eta \nu (\text{sic D}^1 : \tau \eta \text{ D-corr}) \eta \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau \omega \text{ D.}$

15. rec om τιs, with D-corr EHL rel vss Clir Œc Thl: ins ABCD'N a p 13. 36 vulg Syr copt Cassiod.

εν νμιν bef λογος (alteration to connect λογος with παρακλ.)

ABC(H)N a c p 13 vulg: txt (D)EL rel Clir Œc Thl.—om εν Η.—aft λογ. ins σοφιαs D: sermo et intellectus in vobis exhortationis D-lat.

the mouth (είθ' δ Κέστρος ποταμός, δν αναπλεύσαντι σταδίους έξήκοντα Πέργη πόλις, Strabo, xiv. p. 667), "between and upon the sides of two hills, with an extensive valley in front, watered by the river Cestrus, and backed by the mountains of the Taurus." (C. and H. vol. i. p. 195, from Sir C. Fellows's Asia Minor.) The remains are almost entirely Greek, with few traces of later inhabitants (p. 194 and The inhabitants of Pamphylia were nearly allied in character to those of Cilicia (οί Πάμφυλοι, πολύ τοῦ Κιλικίου φύλου μετέχοντες, Strabo, xii. § 7): and it may have been Paul's design, having already preached in his own province, to extend the Gospel of Christ to this neighbouring people. John probably took the opportunity of some ship sailing from Perga. His reason for returning does not appear, but may be presumed from ch. xv. 38 to have been, unsteadiness of character, and unwillingness to face the dangers abounding in this rough district (see below). He afterwards, having been the subject of dissension between Paul and Barnabas, eh. xv. 37-40, accompanied the latter again to Cyprus; and we find him at a much later period spoken of by Paul, together with Aristarchus and Jesus called Justus, as having been a comfort to him (Col. iv. 10, 11): and again in 2 Tim. iv. 11, as profitable to him for the ministry. βιεδθόντες] It is not improbable that during this journey Paul may have encountered some of the 'perils by robbers' of which he speaks, 2 Cor. xi. 26. The tribes inhabiting the mountains which separate the table-land of Asia Minor from the

coast, were notorious for their lawless and marauding habits. Strabo says of Isauria, ληστών άπασαι κατοικίαι (xii. 6), and of the Pisidians, καθάπερ οἱ Κίλικες, ληστρικῶς ἤσκηνται, xii. 7. He gives a similar character of the Pamphylians. τιόχεια ή Πισιδία or πρός Πισιδία, Strabo, xii. 8, was founded originally (Strab. ib.) by the Magnetes on the Meander, and subsequently by Seleucus Nicator, and became, under Augustus, a Roman colony (έχουσα ἐποικίαν 'Ρωμαίων, Strabo, ib.:-'Pisidarum colonia Cæsarea, eadem Antiocheia.' Plin. v. 24. 'In Pisidia juris Italiei est colonia Antiochensium,' Paulus, Digest. i. 15). Its position is described by Strabo as being on a hill, and was unknown or wrongly placed till Mr. Arundell found its ruins at a place now called Yalobatch, answering to Strabo's description: where since an inscription has been found with the letters Antiocheae Caesare (C. and H. pp. 205, 207 note). 15.] The divisions of the law and prophets at present in use among the Jews were probably not yet arranged. Before the time of Antiochus Epiphanes, the Law only was read in the synagogues: but, this having been forbidden by him, the Prophets were substituted :- and, when the Maccabees restored the reading of the Law, that of the prophets continued as well. ἀπ-ἐστειλαν Then they were not sitting in the πρωτοκαθεδρίαι, Matt. xxiii. 6, but somewhere among the congregation. The message was probably sent to them as having previously to this taught in the city, and thus being known to have come for that purpose. See, as illustrating our narrative, σεως πρὸς τὸν λαόν, λέγετε. $^{16\ 1}$ ἀναστὰς δὲ Παῦλος καὶ $^{1-\text{ch.vi.}}$ 0 τεπ κατασείσας τῆ χειρὶ εἶπεν "Ανδρες Ίσραηλίται καὶ οἰ $^{1-\text{ch.vi.}}$ 10 τοῦ 10 φοβούμενοι τὸν 10 θεὸν, ἀκούσατε. 17 ὁ θεὸς τοῦ λαοῦ 0 $^{1-\text{ch.vi.}}$ 17 τούτου Ίσραηλ 0 έξελέξατο τοὺς 17 πατέρας 17 ημῶν, καὶ 10 16 τον λαὸν 0 ὕψωσεν ἐν τῆ 17 παροικία ἐν γῆ Λιγυπτψ, καὶ 10 16 καὶ 18 26 καὶ ως 18 37 τον λαὸν 19 5 ψωσεν ἐν τῆ 17 5 τηροφοφόρησεν αὐτοὺς 18 5 αὐτῆς. 18 5 καὶ ως 18 5 τεσσερακονταετῆ χρόνον 19 5 τροφοφόρησεν αὐτοὺς 18 6 καὶ 18 5 καὶ 18 6 καὶ 18 7 καθελὼν ἕθνη ἐπτὰ ἐν γῆ Χαναὰν τι 19 6 καὶ 19 8 καὶ 19 8 καὶ 18 8 καὶ 18 9 καὶ 18 9 καὶ 18 9 καθελὼν ἕθνη ἐπτὰ ἐν γῆ Χαναὰν τι 19 16. Τος

Egra viii, 25. s = ch. v, 26, xxiv, 7. there only. Exod vi. 1, 63.l (but w. \(\delta\). there only. (Rom. xii. 13 al) we h. xii. 17 ref.

Lilly Table 1, 2 Macc. vii. 27 only. z = Matt. iv. 1 | ch. vii. 30, &c. Deut. i. 3 is (roor. compl) Orig. in a = ch. xiz. 27. 2 Cor. x. 5. Ps. 1. is 5(7).

16. ins o bef παυλος D. aft or ins εν υμιν Η lect-11 Chr.

17. for toutou, tou B: om 40 vulg ath. om (as unnecessary) is rank EHL rel syrr Chr Ee Thi Lucif: ins ABCDs as g p 13 vulg copt sah(omg laou tout.). for 1st kal, due D¹: txt D⁵: ins $\tau\eta$ bef $\gamma\eta$ D. aryuptou ABN a b c² d p 13 vss: txt CDEHL rel 36 Chr Ee Thi.

18. om ωs DE vulg Syr sah æth. ετη μ (omg χρονον) D. rec ετροποφορησεν (alteration to what seemed a more appropriate word; see notes), with BC DHLN p rel 36 vulg (mores eorum sustinuit) syr-marg-gr Orig Chr Ec Thl-fin (ετροφοπορ. Thl-sif): txt ACE 13 syrr copt æth arm Constt(see Tischdf) Cyr Hesych.

Luke iv. 17 ff. and notes. 16. катаσείσας τ. χειρί] As was his practice; see ch. xxi. 40. See also ἐκτείνας τὴν χείρα, ch. xxvi. 1. On the character, &c. of Paul's speeches reported in the Acts, see Prolegg. § i. 13; ii. 17. The contents of this speech (vv. 16-41) may be thus arranged: I. Recapitulation of God's ancient deliverances of His people and mercies towards them, ending with His crowning mercy, the sending of the Deliverer and promised Son of David (vv. 16-25). II. The history of the rejection of Jesus by the Jews, and of God's fulfilment of His promise by raising Him from the dead (vv. 26-37). III. The personal application of this to all present,-the announcement to them of justification by faith in Jesus, and solemn warning against the rejection of Him (vv. 38-41). It is in the last degree unsafe to argue, as Dr. Wordsworth has done, that, because Strabo asserts the language of the Pisidians to have been neither Greek nor Lydian, St. Paul must have spoken to them by virtue of his miraculous gift of tongues. To the ques-tion put by Dr. W., "In what language did St. Paul preach in Pisidia?" we may reply, seeing that he preached in the synagogue after the reading of the law and prophets, "In the same language as that in which the law and prophets had just been read." οί φοβ. τ. θ. The (uncircumcised) proselytes of the gate; not excluding even such pious Gentiles, nor proselytes in any sense, who might be present. The speech, from the beginning

and throughout, is *universal* in its application, embracing Jews and Gentiles.

17. τοῦ λαοῦ τούτου] 'Hoc dicit Pisidis, Judæos digito monstrans' (Grot.). Or rather, perlans by the τούτου indicating, without gesture, the people in whose synagogue they were assembled. τ. πατ. ἡμῶν] It is evident that the doctrine so much insisted on afterwards by Paul, that all believers in Christ were the true children of Abraham, was fully matured already: by the τοῦ λαοῦ τούτου he alludes to the time when God was the God of the Jews only: by this ἡμῶν he unites all present in the now extended inheritance of the promises made to the fathers.

υψωσεν | Evidently an allusion to Isa. i. 2, where the word is also used in the sense of 'bringing up,' nourishing to manhood. This was done by increasing them in Egypt so that they became a great nation: see ref. Gen. There is no reference to any exaltation of the people during their stay in Egypt: whether by their deliverance (Calv., Heinr., Elsner), or by the miracles of Moses (Meyer), or by Joseph's preferment to honour (Beza, Grot.). έτροφοφόρησεν] That this is the right reading, is rendered highly probable by MS, authority here and still more in the LXX of ref. Deut., and, I conceive, decided by the Heb. of that passage, and by the expansion of the same image in Num. xi. 12. The compound verb (from δ, not ή, τροφός, as the similitude is that of a man [שִּיא bearing his son) implies carrying and caring for, as 19. έπτά | See a nurse : see ref. Macc.

VOL. II.

L

b bte conly. b construction b construction between the construction b construction construction b construction b construction b construction construction b construction con

20. ωs ετ. τετ. κ. πεντ. bef και μετα ταντα (see notes) ABCN p 13. 36. 40 vulg copt: ser. om μ. τ. D': txt D'EHL rel Syr æth Chr Œc Thl.—for ωs, εωs D'-gr: om AC: et quasi annis D-lat: quasi post annos vulg: et post annos æth-rom. aft εδωκ.

Deut. vii. 1; Josh. iii. 10; xxiv. 11. The unusual transitive sense of κατεκληρονόμησεν, justified by reff. LXX, has not been understood by the copyists, and has led to the rec. reading. From the occurrence of manifest references, in these opening verses of the speech, to Deut. i. and Isa. i., combined with the fact that these two chapters form the present lessons in the synagogues on one and the same sabbath, Bengel and Stier conclude that they had been then read. It may have been so: but see on ver. 15. Treating the reading of ABCN (see var. readd.) as an attempt at correcting the difficult chronology of our verse, and taking the words as they stand, no other sense can be given to them, than that the time of the judges lasted 450 years. The dative έτεσιν (see ch. viii. 11) implies the duration of the period between ταῦτα (the division of the land), and Samuel the prophet, inclusive. And we have exactly the same chronological arrangement in Josephus; who reckons (Antt. viii. 3. 1) 592 years from the Exodus to the building of Solomon's temple,arranging the period thus: (1) forty years in the wilderness: (2) twenty-five years under Joshua (στρατηγός δέ μετά την Μωυσέως τελευτήν πέντε κ. είκοσι, Antt. v. 1. 29): (3) Judges (below): (4) forty years under Saul, see on ver. 21: (5) forty years under David, 1 Kings ii. 11: (6) four years of Solomon's own reign. This gives 592-149 = 443 years (about, &s, 450) for the Judges, including Samuel. That this chronology differs widely from 1 Kings vi. 1, is most evident,-where we read that Solomon began his temple in the four hundred and eightieth (LXX, four hundred and fortieth) year after the Exodus. All attempts to reconcile the two are arbitrary and forced. I sub-join the principal. (1) Perizonius and others assume that the years during which the Israelites were subject to foreign tyrants in the time of the Judges are not reckoned in 1 Kings vi. 1, and attempt, by adding them, to make out the period-in direct contradiction to

the account there, which is, not that the Judges lasted a certain number of years, but that Solomon began to build his temple in the four hundred and eightieth year after the Exodus. (2) Calovius, Mill, &c. supply γενόμενα after πεντήκοντα, and construe, these things 'which happened in the space of 450 years,' viz. from the birth of Isaac to the division of the land. But why the birth of Isaac? The words too will not bear this construction. (3) Olshausen conceives the 450 years may include all from the Exodus, as far as the building of the temple. But to this the objection which he himself mentions is fatal, viz. that μετά ταῦτα and ἐκεῖθεν must beyond dispute give the termini a quo and ad quem of the period. (4) Others suppose various corruptions, here or at 1 Kings vi. 1, and by arbitrary conjecture emend so as to produce accordance.

It seems then that Paul followed a chronology current among the Jews, and agreeing with the book of Judges itself (the spaces of time in which, added together = exactly 450), and that adopted by Josephus, but not with that of our present Hebrew text of 1 Kings vi. 1. The objection to this view, that Josephus is not consistent with himself (Olsh.), -but in Antt. xx. 10. 1, contr. Apion. ii. 2 gives another chronology, has arisen from not observing that in the latter places, where be states 612 years to have elapsed from the Exodus to Solomon's temple, he reckons in the twenty years occupied in building the temple and the king's house, 1 Kings vi. 38; vii. 1. His words are, Antt. xx. 10. 1, ἀφ' ἦς ἡμέρας οἱ πατέρες ἡμῶν ἐξέλιπον Αίγυπτου Μωυσέως άγουτος, μέχρι τῆς τοῦ ναοῦ κατασκευῆς, δυ Σολομῶν δ βασιλεὺς ἐν Ἱεροσολύμοις ἀνήγειρευ, ἔτη δυοκαίδεκα πρός τοις έξακοσίοις. To reckon in the thirteen years during which he was building his own house may be an inaccuracy, but there is no inconsistency.

Dr. Wordsworth, contrary to his usual practice, takes refuge in the amended text of ABC, and then characterizes in the severest language those who have had the d κριτὰς τως Σαμουὴλ [τοῦ] προφήτου: 21 ε κάκειθεν f ήτή- d - here only σαντο βασιλέα, καὶ ε έδωκεν αὐτοῖς ὁ θεὸς τὸν Σαοὺλ $^{coft me, here only, meaning, meanin$ κείς βασιλέα, $\tilde{\psi}$ καὶ εἶπεν † μαρτυρήσας Εύρον Δαυείδ τον † τοις εκτού Ιεσσαί, ἄνδρα † κατὰ τὴν † καρδίαν μου, $\hat{\phi}$ ς † ποιήσει πάντα τὰ † † θελήματα μου. 23 † τούτου $\hat{\phi}$ θεος ἀπὸ του † † δικίς και κάντα τὰ † † θελήματα μου. 23 † τούτου $\hat{\phi}$ θεος ἀπὸ του † δικίςς και † δικίςς q σπέρματος r κατ' 18 έπαγγελίαν t ήγαγεν τ ψ ' l Ισραήλ $^{12}_{2}$ $^{Lakei, 69.}_{2}$ u σωτήρα ' l Ιπσοῦν, 24 v προκηρύξαντος ' l Ιωάννου w προ k $^{Lakei, 69.}_{2}$ l l ε Lakei, 69.

ins autois E sah Chr.

21. rec κις, with EHL rel: txt ABCDX.

om του Λ(appy) BR p.
[βενιαμειν, so ABCN: -μειμ p.]

[βενιαμειν, so ABCN: σειμειμ p.] 22. rec aυτοις bef τον δ. (alteration of arrangement, to connect aυτοις with the verb), with CEHL 13. 36 rel vss Chr: txt ABDN coptt.-om τον D.

om ανδρα BE: om also κατ. τ. κ. μου os E. 700, VION D 34.

23. ο θ. ουν απ. τ. σπ. αυτου D: οιιι απο τ. σπερματος Ν1. rec for ηγαγ., ηγειρεν (explanatory alteration, see ver 22), with CD 13.36 rel tol syrr sah Thdrt Thl-fin: txt ABEHLN b g l p vulg copt æth Ath Chr-comm Œc Thl-sif Aug. ιησ., σωτηριαν (see note) H(σρι αν) L b d f g h l 13 æth Chr, Thl-sif: σ. τον την. D:

om ιησ. o 42. 141. 16. 23. 37. 46. 56. 66. 76: txt ABCEN rel 36 vulg Syr copt arm Œc Aug.

moral courage to abide by the more difficult reading, charging them with "arbitrary caprice," "gratifying a sceptical appetite, &c. I cite this as an example of that elastic criticism, which by any means within reach, and at any price, smooths away every difficulty from the sacred text. Σαμουήλ] mentioned as the terminus of

the period of the Judges, also as having been so nearly concerned in the setting up over them of Saul and David.

21. Σαουλ ἄνδρα ἐκ φ. B.] It may be not altogether irrelevant to notice that a Saul, a man of the tribe of Benjamin, was speaking; and to trace in this minute specification something characteristic and έτη τεσσεράκοντα] So also Josephus: ¿βατίλευσε Σαούλ Σαμουήλου ζώντος έτη ὀκτώ πρὸς τοῖς δέκα τελευτήσαντος δε δύο και είκοσι, Antt. vi. 14. 9. In the O. T. the length of Saul's reign is not specified; 1 Sam. vii. 2 gives no reason, as Bengel thinks, why Saul's reign should have been less than twenty years, as the twenty years there mentioned do not extend to the bringing up of the ark by David, but only to the circumstances mentioned in the following verses. Biscoe has well shewn (p. 399), that as Saul was a young man when anointed king, and Ishbosheth his youngest son (1 Chron. viii. 33) was forty years old at his death (2 Sam. ii. 10), his reign cannot have been much short of that period. It is clearly against the construction to suppose Samuel's time as well as Saul's included in the forty years, following as they do upon the ξδωκεν. Yet this has been done by the majority of Commentators. 22. μεταστήσας] having deposed him (reff.): in this case, by his death, for David was not made king till then. Or perhaps μεταστ. may refer to the sentence pronounced against Saul, 1 Sam. xiii. 14, or xv. 23, 28, and ήγειρεν to the whole process of the exaltation of David to be king. But I prefer the former.

φ̃ κ. εἶπεν μ.] The two passages, Ps. lxxxix. (lxxxviii. LXX) 20, and 1 Sam. xiii. 14, are interwoven together: both were spoken of David, and both by prophetic inspiration. They are cited from memory, neither $\tau \delta \nu \ \tau \delta \hat{v}$ Ie $\sigma \sigma a$ nor $\delta s \dots \mu o \nu$ being found in them. These latter words are spoken of *Cyrus*, see reft. That such citations are left in their present shape in our text, forms a strong presumption that we have the speeches of Paul verbatim as delivered by him, and no subsequent general statement of what he said, in which case the citations would have been corrected by the sacred text. 23. κατ' έπαγγ. ἤγαγεν] viz. the promise in ref. Zech. (LXX), where the very word ἄγω is used; not however excluding the many other promises to the same effect. The reading σωτηρίαν has probably arisen from the

x 1 Thess. i. θ. προςώπου τῆς $^{\times}$ εἰςόδου αὐτοῦ y βάπτισμα y μετανοίας ABCDE HIN ab 10. 2 Pt.1. 1 roly. Ματ. ii i. 1 παντὶ τῷ λαῷ Ἰσραήλ. 25 ὡς δὲ z έπλήρου Ἰωάννης τὸν c d fg h. 1 δορήρον, ἔλεγεν Τί ἐμὲ ὑπονοείτε εἶναι; οὐκ c εἰμὶ ἑγώ, c ιοιν. εἰκὶ z ἀλλὶ ἰδοῦ ἔρχεται d μετὶ εὐνοις τὸ οὐκ εἰμὶ ἄζιος τὸ c επι. ii. 25 c ὑπόδημα τῶν ποδῶν c λῦσαι. 26 Ανδρες ἀδελφοί, νίοὶ εἰνὶ ἐγένους ᾿Αβοαὰμ καὶ οἱ ἐν ὑμῖν g φοβούμενοι τὸν θεόν, c ἡμῖν ὁ b λόγος τῆς i σωτηρίας i ταύτης k ἐξαπεστάλη.

27 οι γὰο ικατοικοῦντες ιέν Ίερουσαλημ και οι "ἄρχοντες Tudith xiv. 14. Sir.xxiii. αὐτῶν τοῦτον " ἀγνοήσαντες καὶ τὰς ° φωνὰς τῶν προφη-21 only. Dan. των τὰς P κατὰ P πᾶν σάββατον q ἀναγινωσκομένας τκοί-

2). xviii. 5. d - w person, Acts only, ch. xix. 4 (Paul), v. 37, vii. 5. g - ch. xi. 37 ref. g - ch. x. 2 ref. h constr., ch. xiv. 3 (of Paul), xx. 32 (Paul), 1 Cor. xii. 8. 2 Cor., v. 19, vi. 7. Eph., l. 32, Paul, 1 Lis. 2, Paul, 1 Lis. 2, Paul, 1 Lis. 3, vii. 4 Lis. 2, Paul, 1 Lis. 3, vii. 4 Lis. 2, Paul, 1 Lis. 3, vii. 4 Lis. 2, Paul, 1 Lis. 3, viii. 4 Lis. 2, Paul, 1 Lis. 3, viii. 5, viii. 4 Lis. 2, viii. 5, xviii. 5, xviii.

24. om $\pi \alpha \nu \tau_i$ HL d e f g h l o Chr-comm Œc Thl: om $\lambda \alpha \omega$ A d e f g h l o Chr-comm Œc: om $\iota \sigma \rho$. 68. 104 sah: txt BCDEN p 13. 36 vss. (*The variations have* perhaps been occasioned by those in ver 17 above) .- κ1 began to write ιηλ. bef λαω, but marked the letters for erasure.

25. rec ins o bef ιωαννης, with L 13 rel Chr Thl-fin: om ABCDEHN alp Ee Thlrec (for τι εμε) τινα με, with CDEHL 13 rel vss Chr: επληρουν D1. aft εγω ins ο χριστος E 68 lect-12 tol Thl-fin. txt ABN sah, $\tau \iota \mu \alpha \iota (= \tau \iota \mu \epsilon)$ p.

αλλα, and μεθ D^1 : μετ D^8 .

26. om και Β. εν ημιν AD p. aft θεον ins ακουσατε Ε. re ημιν) υμιν, with CEHL rel vss: txt A B(sic: see table) DN e p 13 syr-marg sah. aft o Aoyos ins outos C d 6. 36. 65. 133. 180 syr ath arm. rec απεσταλη, with EHL rel Œe Thl: txt ABCDN a h p 13. 36. 40 Chr.

27. om ev CE b d h k p 13 vulg (Syr?) Chr2. for αυτ. τουτ. αγν., αυτ . s D1: auton τ . annountes D^6 . for κ . τ . fonds, τ als τ as $\gamma \rho$. as $(\mu \eta \sigma \upsilon \nu \iota \nu \tau e \tau \tau \sigma \sigma \rho \sigma e \tau e \tau \sigma \sigma \rho \sigma e \tau e \tau \sigma \sigma e \tau e \tau \sigma \sigma e \tau \sigma \sigma e \tau \sigma \sigma e \tau \sigma \sigma e \tau \sigma \sigma$

αυτον παρεδωκαν πιλατω ινα εις αναιρεσιν D1 (ut interficeretur lat): D8 reads ητησαντο π. ανερεθ., without erasing any portion of D1: for ητησαντο, ητησαν τον(sie) 81.

contracted way of writing 'Ιησοῦν, thus: σωτηραίν; and then from ver. 26 σωτηρίαν was adopted. 24. εἰςόδου] referring to ἥγαγεν above—his coming forward publicly. 25.] As John was fulfilling his course (the expression is peculiar to Paul, see reff.) he said (not once but habitually). τί ἐμὲ ὑπ. είν.] Not, 'I am not that which ye suppose me to be, as Vulg. (reading τίνα, - quem me arbitramini esse, non sum ego); Luth., Grot., mini esse, non sun ego); παιη, τους kuin.,—making π((or π/ω) relative, which it will not bear; but What suppose yo me to be ? I am not He. See Luke iit. 15 ff. 26. π. σωπηρίας παύπης] viz. the salvation implied in Jesus being a σωπήρ—salvation by Him. 27.] The position of δμίν at the commencement of its clause in the last verse shews the emphasis to be on it, and now the reason is givenfor the Jews in Jerusalem have rejected it. See ch. xxii. 18-21. Tàs φωνάs is not governed by αγνοήσαντες, which makes the sentence an unusually harsh one in construction, requiring αὐτόν to be supplied after κριν., and αὐτάς after ἐπλήρωσαν. The kai, as often, merely introduces, without the emphasis implied by our 'even,' a new element into the sentence. It is perhaps hardly possible to find in our language or the Latin any one word which may give exactly this slight shade of meaning, and no more : paraphrased, the sense might be (but imperfectly and clumsily) thus represented: in their ignorance of Him (not only rejected His salvation, but) by judging Him, fulfilled the voices of the prophets, &c. 28.] Not, 'though,' but rather because they found no cause: when they found no cause of death in

δὲ $\frac{1}{4}$ ετέλεσαν πάντα τὰ περὶ αὐτοῦ $\frac{1}{4}$ γεγραμμένα, $\frac{1}{4}$ καθ- $\frac{1}{30}$ Επεὶ ὶ. ελόντες ἀπὸ τοῦ $\frac{1}{4}$ ξύλου $\frac{1}{4}$ ἔθηκαν $\frac{1}{4}$ εἰς μνημεῖον. $\frac{30}{6}$ δὲ $\frac{1}{4}$ Επεὶ ὶ. Ελόντες ἀπὸ τοῦ $\frac{1}{4}$ ξύλου $\frac{1}{4}$ ἔθηκαν $\frac{1}{4}$ εἰς μνημεῖον. $\frac{30}{6}$ δὲ $\frac{1}{4}$ Επεὶ ὶ. Το εἰος $\frac{1}{4}$ Γιαλικάναὶ. $\frac{1}{30}$ καὶ τοῦν εἰος $\frac{1}{4}$ απὸ τῆς Γαλιλαίας εἰς $\frac{1}{4}$ Εκιὸι Ι΄. Τοῦν δαόν. $\frac{1}{4}$ καὶ ἡμεῖς ὑμας $\frac{1}{4}$ εὐαγγελίζόμεθα τὴν πρὸς $\frac{1}{4}$ Γιανικάνιὶ. $\frac{1}{4}$ Καιὸι Καιὸν λαόν. $\frac{32}{4}$ καὶ ἡμεῖς ὑμας $\frac{1}{4}$ εὐαγγελίζόμεθα τὴν πρὸς $\frac{1}{4}$ Γιανικόν τοῦς $\frac{1}{4}$ πατέρας $\frac{1}{4}$ επαγγελίαν $\frac{1}{4}$ γενομένην, ὅτι $\frac{1}{4}$ τοὺς $\frac{1}{4}$ πατέρας $\frac{1}{4}$ επαγγελίαν $\frac{1}{4}$ γενομένην, ὅτι $\frac{1}{4}$ τοῦς $\frac{1}{4}$ κατέλιας $\frac{1}{4}$ κατέ

(see Rom. vii. 1 al.) e.c.h. ii. 40 reff. f Mark xv. 11 only. 2 Chron. xviii. 2. g = ch. x. 41 reff. h.c.h. i. 8 reff. i. i. double acc., here only. acc., ch. viii. 25 reff. k. absol., ch. vii. 19 reff. i. ch. i. 1. 4 reff. ii. m. e.h. vii 31 reff. n. ch. 12. 20 reff. olhere only. Exod. xxxii. 29 Ald. 2 Mac. viii. 10 only, but not $\sim \tau \alpha c k \pi \alpha \gamma c k \alpha c \kappa \alpha \lambda c \kappa \alpha c k \alpha c$

30. for ver, ον ο θέος ηγειρεν D: aft θέος ins vero D-lat: add tertia die vulg(not

tol)

31. out as ωφθη τοις συμαναβαίνουσιν αυτώ απ. τ. γ. εις ιερ. εφ ημερ. πλείονας D (-αναβασιν D-corr, πλείονς D's). rec om νυν (as unnecessary? hardly for Meyer's reason, that they had been now for some time His voitnesses), with BEIL rel athi-pl Chr: εισι bef νυν N: txt AC a k p 13. 36 Syr coptt æth-rom.—αχρί νυν D e 137 vulg syr-w-ast. om αυτου H.

33. for ως και, ουτως γαρ D. *rec τω ψ. τω δευτ. γέγραπται, with EL rel vulg Chr Thl Ambr: τ. πρωτω ψ. γεγ. D(no vss) Orig-scholexpr Georg-

him, they besought, &c. : see Luke xxiii. 29. The two verbs ἐτέλεσαν and ἔθηκαν bave still the same subject, viz. οί κατοικοῦντες κ.τ.λ. De Wette rightly remarks, that Paul, in this compendious narrative, makes no distinction between friend and foe in what was done to our Lord, but regards both as fulfilling God's purpose regarding him. I may add, that there is also a contrast between what men did to Him, and δ δὲ θεὸς ήγειρεν αὐτόν. seph and Nicodemus, be it observed, were both ἄρχοντες. Paul touches but lightly on the cross of Christ, and hastens on to the great point, the Resurrection, as the fulfilment of prophecy and seal of the Messiahship of Jesus. 31. The νῦν gives peculiar force to the sentence. Who are at this moment witnesses,-living witnesses; q. d. 'I am not telling you a matter of the past merely, but one made present to the people of the Jews $(\tau \hat{\varphi} \ \lambda \alpha \hat{\varphi})$ by living and autoptic testimony.

32. hueis buas He and Barnabas were not of the number of the συναναβάντες, ver. 31, nor was their mission to the Jewish people. 'They are at this moment witnessing to the people, we, preaching to you.' Stier observes (Red. d. Apost. p. 367) how entirely Paul sinks himself, his history and commission from Christ, in the great object of his preaching. avarrious The meaning having raised Him from the dead is absolutely required by the context: both because the word is repeated with ἐκ νεκρῶν (ver. 34), and because the Apostle's emphasis throughout the passage is on the Resurrection (ver. 30) as the final fulfilment (ἐκπεπλήρωκεν) of God's pro-mises regarding Jesus. This is maintained by Luther, Hammond, Le Clerc, Meyer, &c .: the other meaning, 'having raised up,' as in ch. vii. 37, προφήτην ύμιν αναστήσει δ κύριος,—by Calvin, Beza, Calov., Wolf, Michaelis, Rosenm., Heinrichs, Kuinoel, Olsh., and by Mr. Humphry. Meyer well q Heb. i. 5. v. δευτέρω ^q Υίός μου εί σύ, έγω σήμερον γεγέννηκά σε. rtans, ch. st. ii. 7. δτι δε ^{pτ} ἀνέστησεν αὐτὸν ^τ έκ νεκρών μηκέτι ^s μέλλοντα s axii. 31 32, 33 ^t ὕποστρέφειν είς ^ω διαφθοράν, οὕτως εἴοηκεν, ὅτι δώσω hl. 2 Μαςς. ύμιν τὰ ^νοσια Δαυείδ τὰ ^w πιστά. 35 διότι και έν ^x έτέρω t of a state, here only. see ch. viii. 25 reft. = ch. ii. 27 λέγει Ου βδώσεις τον δοσιόν σου δίδειν βδιαφθοράν. = 1sa. Iv. 3 βουλή εκοιμήθη και προςετέθη προς τους πατέρας ELNab ουκ είδεν είδεν διαφθοράν. 38 fg γνωστον οῦν ε έστω ύμιν,

xxii, 6. Fs. ODK * ELGED * CLIQPUOQUL'. - γνωστου 30D * Cot 10 Oματ., xxxviii, 2x eh. xvii. 7 reft. y Fs. xv. 10. see ch. ii. 27 reft. z - ch. xiv. 16. Eph. iii. 5. Judg. ii. 10. eh. xv. 34. xxiv. 33 only †. Wisd. xvi. 24 al. Gen. x liz. 15 Aq Symm. vii. 39 reft. 3 Kx1sos ii. 10. d(ch. ii. 41) = Gen. xliz. 19. Judg. ii. 10. evr. 130 reft. evr. 30 reft. g ch. ii. 41, 11. 10. xxviii. 22, 28. Ezaruv. 12, 13. alex (Œc) Tert Cypr-mss Hilexpr Jer Cassiod: τω δευτ. ψ. γεγρ. Η ο 4. 68. 76. 100: txt at end, D adds (from Ps ii. 8) αιτησαι παρ αιμου και ABCN a e p 13. 40 arm.

δωσω σοι $\epsilon \theta \nu \eta$ την κληρονομιαν σου και την κατασχέσιν σου τα πέρατα της γης. aft μελλ. ins 34. οτε D 137 Hil. aft autor add o beos E 68 Syr Thl-fin.

αυτυν E k 32. 66 Chr. 35. ree διο, with CEHL p2 13. 36 rel vss Chr Œe Thl: propter nos E-lat: txt ABX ετερως D: alias vulg: alia D-lat: εν τω ετ. 13. p1 sah : om D Syr æth.

37. for ov, o D1-gr: txt D-corr1. 36. om μεν D 26 vulg. remarks, that this meaning would hardly in our passage have been thought of or defended, had it not been that the subjoined citation from Ps. ii. has been thought necessarily to apply to our Lord's mission upon earth. 33.] The reading $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ πρώτ φ ψαλμ $\hat{\varphi}$ is explained thus: "hic psalmus qui nobis secundus est olim primus fuit, quod is qui præcedit, tanquam proæmium, numeratus non esset." Roseum. St. Paul refers the prophecy Arg. Ps. ii. in its full completion to the Resurrection of our Lord : similarly in Rom. i. 4, δρισθέντος υίοῦ θεοῦ ἐν δυνάμει ἐξ ἀναστάσεως νεκρών. 34. μηκέτι μέλλ.] Compare Rom. vi. 9, χριστός έγερθεις έκ νεκρών οὐκέτι ἀποθνήσκει θάνατος αὐτοῦ οὐκέτι κυριεύει. It is interesting to trace the same shades of thought in the speeches and epistles of Paul; and abundant opportunity of doing so will occur as we proceed. But here the ὑποστρ. εἰs διαφθ. does not merely imply death, so that Jesus should have once undergone it, and no more hereafter, as the E. V. seems to imply: but we must supply 'to die, and in consequence to' before the words, understanding them as the result of death, if it had dominion over him: thus the clause answers even more remarkably to Rom. vi. 9.

τὰ ὅσια is the LXX rendering of , ref. Isa., which in 2 Chron. vi. 42, they have translated τὰ ἐλέη. The word 'holy' should have been preserved in the E. V., as answering to τον δσιόν σου below; the mercies of David, holy and sure: or my holy promises which I made sure unto David.

35. διότι καί | wherefore also, -correspondent to which purpose, of His Christ not seeing corruption. ψαλμώ, referring to ver. 33. λέγει viz. δ θεόs, not David : the subject is continued from vv. 32 and 34, and fixed by εἴρηκεν and δώσω just preceding. δώσεις and δσιον accurately correspond to δώσω and goia before. See on ch. ii. 27. 36.] The psalm, though spoken by David,

cannot have its fulfilment in David. ἰδία γενεά] The dative commodi, not 'sua generatione,' which is flat in the extreme. David ministered only to the generation in which he lived: but διὰ τούτου, remission of sins is preached buiv, and to all who believe on Him. τῆ τοῦ θ. βουλῆ is best taken with $\delta\pi\eta\rho\epsilon\tau\dot{\eta}\sigma as$, not with $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\sigma\iota\mu\dot{\eta}\theta\eta:$ —as E. V., after he had served his own generation by the will (i. e. according to the appointment) of God. His whole course was marked out and fixed by God-he fulfilled it, and fell asleep. I prefer this, because joining τη του θ. β. with ἐκοιμήθη seems to diminish the importance of that verb in the sentence. (See, on the whole, 2 Sam. vii. 12; 1 Kings προςετ. κ.τ.λ. An expresii. 10.) sion arising from the practice of burying families together: see reff. and passim in O. T. 38. Paul speaks here of justification only in its lowest sense, as negative, and synonymous with remission of sins; he does not unfold here that higher sense of δικαιόω, the accounting righteous, which those who have from God are δίκαιοι. έκ πίστεως. It is the first office of the

ἄνδρες ἀδελφοί, ὅτι διὰ ^h τούτου ὑμῖν ⁱ ἄφεσις ⁱ άμαρτιῶν heb. ix. 20 Τ xiii. 39 ^k καταγγέλλεται, ³⁹ [καὶ] ¹ ἀπὸ πάντων ^m ὤν οὐκ ἦδυνή - ἰτὰν 31 ετα. ³⁶ [καὶ] ¹ ἀπὸ πάντων ^m ὤν οὐκ ἦδυνή - ἰτὰν 31 ετα. ³⁶ [καὶ ³⁶ [κα έργάζομαι έγω έν ταῖς ἡμέραις ὑμων, ἔργον ο οὐ μὴ " πιστεύσητε έάν τις ' έκδιηγηται ύμιν. 4 | 1 Cor. viii. B. x 12,

Cal. v. 15 al. f p ch. viii. 24. Luke xxi. 28. James v. l. Micah iii. 11. q pass, Luke ii. 24. iv, 12. ch. ii. 16. Rom. iv. 18. (set, ver. 34. Hch i 13. iv. 3, 4, 7.) r here ouly, Han, i. 5. ii. 5. Mart. xxxi 10 JMK. John iii. 24. vi. 25. ix. 4. 1 Cor. xxi. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Heb. J. ch. cuete, John xi. 26. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1. Hab. l. c. vi. 28. xxi. 3 ouly. Hab. l. c. (set, John xi. 26. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1. Hab. l. c. vi. 28. xxi. 3 ouly. Hab. l. c. (set, John xi. 26. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1. Hab. l. c. (set, John xi. 26. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1. Hab. l. c. (set, John xi. 26. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1. Hab. l. c. (set, John xi. 26. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. l. c. (set, John xi. 26. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. l. c. (set, John xi. 26. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. l. c. (set, John xi. 26. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. l. c. (set, John xii. 26. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. l. c. (set, John xii. 26. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. l. c. (set, John xii. 26. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. l. c. (set, John xii. 27. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. l. c. (set, John xii. 27. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. l. c. (set, John xii. 27. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. l. c. (set, John xii. 27. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. l. c. (set, John xii. 27. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. l. c. (set, John xii. 27. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. l. c. (set, John xii. 27. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. l. c. (set, John xii. 27. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. l. c. (set, John xii. 27. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. l. c. (set, John xii. 27. 1 Hab. L. c. (set, John xii. 27. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. L. c. (set, John xii. 27. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. L. c. (set, John xii. 27. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. L. c. (set, John xii. 27. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. Fs. kliii. 1 Hab. L. c. (set, John xii. 27. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. 1 Hab. L. c. (set, John xii. 27. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. 1 Hab. L. c. (set, John xii. 27. 1 Cor. xxii. 10. 1 H

38. υμ. bef εσ. AN. δι αυτου E d 65. 67. 133 æth: δια τουτο B1 15. 18. 34. 73. 101. 180.

39. om και AC'N am fuld demid tol æth-pl: ins BC3DEL rel vss Chr. aft και add μετανοια D syr-w-ast. εδυνηθητε A: ηδυνηθημεν D-corrl-gr. rec ins τω bef von. (corrn: but the art is not needed aft a preposition), with EL rel (Ec Th1: om ABCDN h p 13. 40 Chr. aft εν τουτω ins ουν D syr-w-ast. at end ins παρα θεω D 137 syr-marg. for δ ικαιουται, δ ικαιωθηναι (but eorrd) \aleph^1 .

40. απελθη X1. om εφ υμας (as unnecessary? or because a difficulty was found in identifying υμεις with the καταφρονηται of the citation?) BDN 13. 36 am tol: ins

ACEIL rel vss Chr al.

ins και επιβλεψατε (from **41.** for ιδ., ακουσατε Ε. καταφρονησατε Χ1. LXX) bef και θαυμασατε I o Chr Thl-fin; aft κ. θ. E(but om και) k 27. 29. 57. 69. 105. θαυμασετε X 1: -ζετε c. aft 1st εργον ins ο X1. ree εγω bef εργαζ. (corrn to LIX), with CEIL rel 36 demid Chr: εγω εγγαζομε εγω Ν: txt ABI kp 13 vulg shh arm. om 2nd εργω DEL b c d f g h k l o tol syrr ath-pl Chr Cosm Œc Thl-sir: is ABCIN p rel vulg coptt ath-rom Thl-fin. ree &, with c d h l 36 Cosm Œc txt ABCDEILN rel Chr Thl. εκδιηγειτω ΑL: γησετω D¹. at end ins και εσειγησαν D, κ. εσιγησεν syr-w-ast.

Spirit by which he spoke, ελέγχειν περί άμαρτίας, before He ἐλέγχει περί δικαιοσύνης: therefore he dwells on the ἄφεσις άμαρτιῶν, merely just giving a glimpse of the great doctrine of justification, of which he had such wonderful things to write and to say. 39.] [And] from all things, from which ye could not in (under) the law of Moses be justified, in Him (as $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ χριστῷ, ἐν κυρίῳ passim) every believer is (habitual pres.) justified. ἀπὸ πάντων (àφ') ων, from all things (sins), from which but not implying that in the law of Moses there might be justification from some sins; -under the law there is no justification (ἐν νόμφ οὐδε\s δικαιοῦται $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \ \tau \hat{\omega} \ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$, Gal. iii. 11):—but = Christ shall do for you all, that the law could not do: leaving it for inference, or for further teaching, that this was absolutely ALL: that the law could do nothing. The same thought is expanded Rom. viii. 3, 4, τὸ γὰρ άδύνατον τοῦ νόμου, ἐν ῷ ἠσθένει διὰ τῆς σαρκός, δ θεδς κ.τ.λ. δικαίωμα τ. νόμου πληρωθή ἐν ἡμῖν. This interpretation will be the more clearly established, when we remember that δικαιοῦν ἀπὸ ἀμαρτίας was not in any sense, and could not be, the office of the law, by

which came the knowledge of sin. The expression δικαιοῦν ἀπό is only once used again by Paul (ref.), and that where he is arguing against the continuing in sin.

δ πιστεύων is not to be joined with έν τούτω, which (see above) is contrasted with έν νόμω M. It is quite in Paul's manner to use παs δ πιστεύων thus absolutely: see Rom. i. 16; iii. 22; x. 4 (Gal. iii. 22). Still less, with Luther, can we take as far as δικαιωθήναι with ver. 38, and make έν τούτω...δικαιοῦται a separate sentence.
40.] The object of preaching the Gospel to the Jews first was for a testimony

to them: its reception was almost uniformly unfavourable: and against such anticipated rejection he now warns them. προφ.] The book of the prophets: see ch. iii. 18, note. 41. καταφρονηταί] So the LXX for pizz, 'among the heathen,' for which they seem to have read בּוֹנְדָים. So the Arabic, 'videte arrogantes:' and the Syriac, 'videte transgressores.' (Kuinoel.)

The prophecy was spoken of the judgment to be inflicted by means of the Chaldeans: but neither this nor any other prophecy is confined in its application to the occasion of which it was once spoken, but gathers up under it all analogous pro-

42 w Έξιόντων δὲ αὐτῶν * παρεκάλουν ⁹ εἰς τὸ ² μεταξὺ ΑΒCDE web. 276. 15. 42 w Έξιόντων δὲ αὐτῶν $^{×}$ παρεκάλουν y είς τὸ * μεταξὺ ABCDE και 7. Χανίι. 43 αινς 43 αληθήναι αὐτοῖς τὰ ρίματα ταῦτα. 43 αλυ- cdf gh k Εξεκό, Χανίι. σά θείσης δε της συναγωγής ηκολούθησαν πολλοί των καί Συλο-

αὐτοὺς f προςμένειν τη g χάριτι τοῦ θεοῦ. 44 Τῷ τε h έχομέν $_{\rm Ψ}$ σαββάτ $_{\rm Ψ}$ i σχεδὸν πᾶσα i πόλις kl συνήχθη με νος, έτι de Kai μεταξύ 1 ακούσαι τον m λόγον του m θεου. 45 ίδοντες δε οι Ιουδαίοι βασιλέων, τους οχλους ο έπλησθησαν το ζήλου, καὶ q ἀντέλεγον τοις Jos. B. J v. 4. 2, also

4. 2, a lso
Apinn, 1. 21. φ(λ.ππον · · · καί μεταξύ δ' Αλέξαιδρον τόν νίδν · · · , Plutarch, Inst. Lac. 42, only 1. Diod. Sic. xix. 25, ελυοε τ'ην εκκλησίαν. Polyb. v, 15. 3, λίεσν τ. συνοσίαν.

60. ch. xi. 14. xvii. 4, xvii. 7, 33, xvii. 7, 33, xvii. 27 only εκς. Matt. xiv. 9 (Mk. [from ba. xxix. 13.] Josh iv. 24. ch. ii. 10 reff.

c ch. ii. 10 reff.
c ch. xiv. 23 reff.
g ch. xiv. 3, xv. 11. xviii. 27, xx. 24, 32.
b - ch. xx. 15 reff.
1 ch. xix. 29. Heb. ix. 22 only i. 2 Macc. v. 2 only.
c v. 17.
c y - Rom. xiii. 13. 1 Cor. iii. 3. James iii. 14, 16. 1 Macc. viii. 16.

4 a - here
b Acts (ver.
b Acts (ver.
c ch. iii. 10 reff.
c ch. iii. 10 reff.
c ch. iii. 10 reff.
c ch. ii. 10 reff.
c ch. iii. 20 reff.
c

should be ascribed to the Gentiles, on acct of the hostility of the Jews, ver 45), with L rel Œc: om ABCDEIN a c k o p 13. 36 vulg syrr copt æth arm Chr Thl Cassiod.

om τα D1: ins D5. for μεταξυ, εξης D. 43. aft δε ins αυτοις X1(X3 disapproving). aft $\sigma \epsilon \beta$. ins $\tau o \nu \theta \epsilon o \nu E$ syrr. om autois (as unnecessary?) EL rel vulg Syr (Ee Thl-sif: om τω bef βαρν. DL. ins ABCDIN vss Chr Thl-fin a k p 36. (13 def.) επιθοντ. (επιθοντε or -θοντο?) D.

rec επιμενειν (perhaps corrn to avoid προςλαλουντες . . προςμενειν), with L 13 rel Thl-sif: txt ABCDEN e d k o p 36 Chr Thl-fin. at end ins εγενετο δε καθ ολης της πολεως διελθειν τον λογον του θεου D, so syr-marg, omg τ. θ.; εγ. δε κατα πασαν την πολιν φημισθηναι λογ. Ε.

44. rec for τε, δε, with ACDN a b o p 13: om æth-rom: txt BEL rel 36 syrr æth-pl Chr (Ec Thl: τοτε for τω τε L 59 (Ec. rec ερχομενω (alteration [so D (which every where alters εχομαι in this sense) ΔΧ 69 in Lu xiii. 33], the sense of εχοπενω not being perceived), with BC'DE'lLN p rel 36 Chr: επερχομενω 3. 95: txt AC'E' 13. 40. for θεου, κυριου AB2N a p 13. 36. 40 am fuld tol sah : for πασα, ολη D.

txt B'CEL rel demid copt Chr.-D has ακουσαι παυλου πολυν τε λογον ποιησαμενου περι του κυριου.

for τους οχλ., το πληθος D (sah) : om æth-45. for ιδοντες δε, και ιδοντες D. aft tois ins Loyois D' (and lat): Loyois tois D6 E Syr: om 1st tois D10. cedures of God's providence : such repeated

fulfilments increasing in weight, and approaching nearer and nearer to that last and great fulfilment of all the promises of grace and all the threats of wrath, by which every prophetic word shall be exhausted.

42. The insertions in the rec. have been made (see var. readd.) partly perhaps to remove the ambiguity in αὐτῶν, and to supply a subject to παρεκάλουν. But they confuse the sense. ἐξιόντων αὐτ., As they (the congregation) were going out, they (the same) besought. N.T. construction, παρεκάλουν λαληθήναι, i. c. the passive inf. after verbs of commanding, exhorting, &c., see Buttmann, Grammatik des N. T.lichen Sprachgebrauchs, § 141. 5, p. 236. He traces it to the infinence of the Latin jubere and the like. See, among his many examples, Mark v.

43; vi. 27; ch. v. 21; xxii. 24; xxv. 21. τὸ μεταξὺ σάβ. appears, by the usage of Luke, to mean the next sabbath-day, not 'the following week.' This last rendering would hardly suit els, which fixes a definite occasion,—nor ver. 44, which gives the result. The ref. to Josephus abundantly justifies this use of μεταξύ. δ. τ. σ. After the breaking up of the synagogue. oitives] Paul and Barnabas; and autois, to the Jews and proselytes: not vice versa, as Calvin inclines to believe: see a similar expression ch. xi. 23. There too, we have ή χάρις τοῦ θεοῦ similarly used of the work of the Gospel begun in the hearts of the converts. See also reff. 44.] Whether $\ell\rho\chi$, or $\ell\chi$, be read, the sense will be on the following sabbath-day: not, as Heinrichs, 'on the following week day.' συνήχθη] ' Ιη

x John xvii. 3. 1 Tim, vi. 12 only, (see 1 John i. 2, ii. 25.)
only, see ch. vii. 39.
b Isa, x lix. e P. 1 Thesa, v. 9. 1 Tim, I. 12. Pet. ii. s. cluste ii. 32.
refl. e ver. 22 refl. f.ch. i. s. e f. see g 2 Thesa, iii. 1 only,
x v. 2. Rom, x iii. I. 1 Oz. x vi. 15. (w. m. prós and a dat., 2 Mace. vi. 1 = e Rev. x iii. 10.
- Rev. x iii. 10.

om tov (as unnecessary: but it has force here) ABR c: ins CDEHL 13. 36 rel Chr Ee Thl. Alouefors ABER p 13: eighherors 64. 97 (the varr have perhaps been introduced from other similar expr., such as ch xvi. 14, and per 40; txt CDL rel 36 Chr Ee Thl. om antil. kal ABCLR ad e p 13. 36 Syr copt teth arm: evantomeror kal E (both the omission and the clumsy attempt in E seem to be emendations of the apparent tautology anterexpor. antileports; txt DI rel syr Chr Ee Thl.

46. παρρησιασαμενος D 105. rec δε (as bringing out the contrast), with EIL stocker on sah: tune vulg: txt ABCDN o p 36. 40 ach. on 2nd o D c 68 Thl-sif. [ειπαν, so ABDN p.] aft ειπ. Ins προς auτους D. on $\eta \nu C$ o 177: it is aft πρωτ. in D. on αναγκ. D-gr. for επειδη, επει C p Orig. on δε (from the two syll., -δηδε, occurring together) BD N syr copt Thi-sit: ins ACD 1 ELN 1 p 13 rel vss Orig. T flutty C DC DC DIl., κρινατε D1: κρινατε D1: γιαμενει D2: judicastis D-lat. εαντ. bef κριν. E vulg ThDrig. G Tρεφ. bef ημεις E.

47. $\epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \tau a \lambda \kappa \epsilon \nu$ D¹ e 47-marg Cyt Thdrt: $\epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota$ p. $\mu \nu$ bef $\epsilon \nu \tau$. 76. 95. 97. 137: txt D¹. txt Om $\delta \aleph^1$. aft $\delta \kappa \nu \nu$. Ins. (from lenid Aug.

48. και ακουοντ. τα D Syr æth.

Aug: εδοξαζε e g 97. 177².

for κυριου, θεου B D-gr E-gr copt Aug: om 105

Chη: 68 syrr æth have του θεου for του λ., του κυ., and 34, του θεου και του λ. του κυ.

(all corrns, or misunderstanding of corrns, from ch xi. 1): txt ACLN p vulg D-lat

E-lat.

αωνιαν Β.

the synagogue; it was the sight of the Gentile crowds in their house of prayer which stirred up the jeglousy of the Jews

which stirred up the jealousy of the Jews.
45. ἀντιλ. καί] These words (see var. readd.) form a graphic repetition, passing from the particular thing which they did, viz. contradict the words spoken by Paul, to the spirit in which they did it, viz. a contradictious and blaspheming one. It is no Hebraism. 46. πρώτον | See ch. 47. From LXXiii. 26: Rom. i. 16. alex., the vat. reading δέδωκα for τέθεικα. They refer the oe not to themselves as teachers (as Meyer seems to think), but to Christ. 48. τεταγμένοι The meaning of this word must be determined by the context. The Jews had judged themselves unworthy of eternal life : the Gentiles, as many as were disposed to eternal life, believed. By whom so disposed, is not here declared: nor need the word be in this place further particularized. We know, that it is Goo who worketh in us the will to believe, and that the preparation of the heart is of Him: but to find in this text pre-ordination to life asserted, is to force both the word and the context to a meaning which they do not contain. The key to the word here is the comparison of ref. 1 Cor. eig διακονίαν τοῖς ἀγίοις ἔταξαν ἐαυτούς, with ref. Rom. al οδσα [δξουσίαι] δπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ τεταγμέναι εἰσίν: in both of which places the agents are expressed, whereas here the word is absolute. See also ch. xx. 13. The principal interpretations are: (1) Calvin, &c., who find here predestination in the strongest sense: 'ordinatio ista nonnisi ad æternum Dei consilium potest referri' - 'ridiculum autem cavillum est referre hoe

49. και διεφ. D a æth. καθ ολης ΑΝ a k 13. 73.

50. παρωτρυνον D¹-gr: txt D\$: παρωξυναν p Ce. rec ins και bef τας ευσχ. (attempt at corrn, from misunderstanding), with ELR^1 rel vss Chr: om ABCDR^3 p 13. 36 Syr sah arm Cassiod. ins $\theta \lambda \epsilon \iota \psi \epsilon \iota \psi \mu \epsilon \gamma \mu \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ και bef $\delta \iota \omega \gamma$, D; $\theta \lambda$. κ . E. om $\tau \nu \nu$ $\hat{\text{D}}$. ABCDELN a c k p 13 Chr Thl-fin. om $\text{aut} \omega \nu$ B.

The state of the

52. rec for τε, δε (corrn), with CDELN p rel syr coptt Chr: txt AB 13.36 vulg

nd credentium affectum, quasi Evangelium receperint qui animis rite dispositi erant.' So the Vulgate, 'præordinati:' and Aug. 'destinati.' (2) 'Qui juxta ordinem a Deo institutum dispositi erant' (Franz, Calov .: but not Bengel [as De W.], who explains it as I have done above): (3) 'Quibus, dum fidem doctrinæ habebant, certa erat vita beata' (Morus, Kuinoel): (4) ' Qui ad vitam æternam se ordinarant' (Grot., Limborch, Wolf, al.): (5) 'Quotquot erant dispositi, applicati, i. e. apti facti oratione Pauli ad vitam æt. adipiscendam ' (Bretschneider): (6) taking τετ. militari sensu, 'Qui de agmine et classe erant sperantium vel contendentium ad v. æ.' (Mede, and similarly Schöttg.) There are several other renderings, but so forced as to be mere caricatures of exegesis: see Meyer. It may be worth while to protest against all attempts to join επίστευσαν with είς ζωήν αιώνιον, which usage will not bear. Dr. Wordsworth well observes that it would be interesting to enquire what influence such renderings as this of praordinati in the Vulgate version had on the minds of men like St. Augustine and his followers in the Western Church in treating the great questions of free will, election, reprobation, and final perseverance: and on some writers in the reformed churches who, though rejecting the authority of that version, were yet swayed by it away from the sense of the original here and in ch. ii. 47. The tendency of the Eastern Fathers, who read the original Greek, was, he remarks, in a

different direction from that of the Western School. 5. τὰς σεβ. γων.] Women had a strong religious influence both for and against Christianity: see for the former ch. xvi. 14; xvii. 4; Phil. iv. 3; 1 Cor. vii. 16; for the latter, compare Josephus's statement (B. J. ii. 20. 2), that the majority of the wives of the Damascenes were prosclytes, with ch. ix. 22—25. Strabo (vii. 3: Ĉ. and H. i. p. 219) says, ἄπαντες τῆς δεισιδαιμονίας ἀρχηγούν οἰονται τὰς γυναῖκας αὖται δὲ καὶ τοὺς ἄνδρας προκαλοῦνται πρὸς τὰς ἐπὶ πλέου θεραπείας τῶν θεῶν καὶ τοντιακρούς.

These were proselytes of the gate, or at least inclined to Judaism. ἐξέβαλον] Though the πρώτοι της πόλεως, at the instigation, probably, of their wives, were concerned, this seems to have been no legal expulsion: for we find them revisiting Antioch on their return, ch. xiv. 21; - but only a compulsory retirement for peace, and their own safety's sake. 51.] As commanded by our Lord, Matt. x. 14, where see note. 1κόνιον] A populous city, east of Antioch in Pisidia, lying in a fertile plain at the foot of, and almost surrounded by, Mount Taurus. It is reckoned by Xenophon (Anab. i. 2. 19) as belonging to Phrygia,—by Strabo (xii. 568), Ciecu (ad Famil. xv. 4), and Pliny (v. 25) to Lycaonia, of which it was at this time the capital, - by Ammianus Marcellinus (xiv. 2) to Pisidia. At this time, it was the capital of a distinct territory, ruled by a tetrarch (Plin. N. II. v. 27), and probably on that klop 13

b ch. ii. 4 reff.

καὶ πνεύματος άγίου. ΧΙV. 1 n Ἐγένετο δὲ ἐν Ἰκονίω n constr. ch. $^{\circ}$ κατὰ τὸ αὐτὸ εἰςελθεῖν αὐτοὺς εἰς τὴν συναγωγὴν τῶν $^{\circ}$ Εκοά. xxv. $^{\circ}$ Ἰουδαίων καὶ λαλῆσαι $^{\nu}$ οὕτως $^{\nu}$ ὤςτε πιστεῦσαι Ἰουδαίων $^{\circ}$ μομι μι 18. $^{\circ}$ Κημι μι 18. $^{\circ}$ Κημι μι 19. $^{\circ}$ Και 20. $^{\circ}$ Κημι μι 19. $^{\circ}$ Κημι 19. $^{\circ}$ Καμι 19. $^{\circ}$ Κημι 19 τε καὶ Ἑλλήνων πολὺ πληθος. ² οἱ δὲ ٩ ἀπειθήσαντες η John iii. 36. Ίουδαΐοι τέπηγειραν καὶ εκάκωσαν τὰς ψυχὰς τῶν έθνῶν Βι. Εχνά. πουδαίοι επηγείραν και εκακωσαν τας ψυχας των ευνών $\mathbf{k}^{(m)}$ των αδελφών. $\mathbf{k}^{(m)}$ των μέν οὖν $\mathbf{k}^{(m)}$ χρόνον $\mathbf{k}^{(m)}$ τον αδελφών. $\mathbf{k}^{(m)}$ τον $\mathbf{k}^{(m)}$ τον $\mathbf{k}^{(m)}$ τον $\mathbf{k}^{(m)}$ τον τον $\mathbf{k}^{(m)}$ τον τον $\mathbf{k}^{(m)}$ τ

z constr., clt. xiii. 26 reff. c ch. vii. 36 reff. d ch. v. 12. xix. 11, 26. Mark vi. 2 al. 2 Chron. xxxiv. 14. c e al. xxiii. 7. 1 Macc. vi. 45. f1 Cor. xv. 10. see 2 Kings ii. 10. g - ver. 14. see note. CHAP. XIV. 1. for autous, autou (see xiii. 46) D-gr: om a. οιη των ιουδαιων Χ'. for πεστευσαι, πιστευειν aft ουτως ins προς αυτους D: pref E, simly Syr.

D: θαυμασαι E, addg at end και πιστευσαι. 2. rcc απειθουντες (appy a corrn to the simpler and more usual pres part. Meyer

believes that the pres has been altered to the aor to give the plup sense, but this is hardly likely), with EL rel Chr: txt ABCN a o p 13. 36. 40 Thl fin. for oι δε to επηγειραν, οι δε αρχισυμαγωγοι των ιουδ. και οι αρχοντες της συναγωγης επηγαγον αυτοις διωγμον at end ins ο δε κυριος εδωκεν ταχυ ειρηνην κατα των δικαιων D, simly syr-marg. D demid syr-marg Cassiod; ο δε κυρ. ειρηνην εποιησεν Ε.

3. διετριβον A. aft διετρ. ins εκει E Syr syr-w-ob. διατρειψαντες παρησιασαμενοι D. ins επι bef τω λογω Λ κ1(κ3 disapproving). rec ins kai bef διδ., with CL a b p æth Thl: om ABDE(N) 13. 36 rel vulg syrr copt Chr Œc. διδοντος for αυτων, αυτου D'(hut corrd).

4. ην δε εσχισμενον D. for οι δε, αλλοι δε D. at end ins κολλωμενοι δια τον λογον του θεου D syr-marg; κολλωμενοι(alone) Syr.

account is not reckoned to any of the abovementioned districts. It became famous in the middle ages as the capital of the Seljukian Sultans, and had a great part in the growth of the Ottoman empire. It is now Konia, a town of 30,000 inhabitants. (Winer, RWB.; C. and H. i. pp. 220, f.)

52.] See, for similar "joyful perorations," as Dr. Wordsw. well designates them, Luke xxiv. 52; ch. v. 41; xii.

CHAP. XIV. 1.] κατὰ τὸ αὐτό, together (reff.): δμοῦ, Hesych.: not, 'in the same manner,' as Wolf and others.

ούτως ώςτε, as in E. V.; not εγένετο ωςτε..., as Vater. Έλλήνων] Probably here these are the σεβόμενοι τον θεόν, those of the uncircumcised who were more or less attached to the Jewish religion, 2. The past part. indicates who be-

lieved not, viz. when Paul preached. ἐκάκωσαν, 'male affecerunt,'-κακούργως διέθηκαν, Chrys. So Jos. Antt. xvi. 1. 2, κακοῦν, καὶ τῆς εὐνοίας ῆς εἶχεν εἰς τους παίδας άφαιρείν. Ver. 3 gives the sequel of ver. 1,-ver. 4, of ver. 2. The μέν οὖν, as usual (see ch. xi. 19), takes up the narrative which had been interrupted. 3. παρρ. ἐπὶ τ. κυρ.] A pregnant construction:—' speaking with boldness, which boldness was grounded on confidence in the Lord.' τῷ κυρίῳ is God : see ch. iv. 29, 30, and ch. xx. 32, τφ θεφ κ. τφ λόγω της χάριτος αὐτοῦ. without καί, defines μαρτυροῦντι: viz. by giving, &c. 4.] So Virg. Æn. ii. 39, 'Seinditur incertum studia in contraria vulgus.' Such a split into two factions was a common occurrence, on far less important occasions, in these cities of Oriental Greeks. (C. and H. i. p. 223.) тоїς атоото-Aois This is the first place where Paul and Barnabas are so called. St. Paul constantly vindicates the title in his Epistles: cf. Rom. i. 1; 1 Cor. i. 1; ix. 1; xv. 9; 2 Cor. i. 1; Gal. i. 1; Col. i. 1; 1 Tim. i. 1; 2 Tim. i. 1; Tit. i. 1. It seems to have been borne in this higher sense also by James the Lord's brother: see (fal. i. 19, and note, and the prolegg. to the Epistle of James: and by Barnabas, here and in 1 Cor. ix. 5, 6: see also Gal. ii. 9. So that there were, widening the word beyond the Twelve, fifteen Apostles, usually so called. The word was also used in a still wider sense: see Rom. xvi. 7;

έγένετο δορμή των έθνων τε καὶ Ιουδαίων σύν τοις ΑΒCDE h James ili. 4 ouly. Prov. iii. 25. άρχουσιν αυτών, 'ύβρίσαι και' κιθοβολήσαι αυτούς, catgh (-μαν, ch. vii. 57.) 6 1 συνιδόντες m κατέφυγον είς τὰς πόλεις τῆς Λυκαονίας i Matt. xxii. 6. i Matt, xxii, 6, Luke xi, 45, xxiii, 32, 1 Thess, il, 2 only, 2 Kings xix, 43, k ch, vii, 58 reff, i ch, xii, 12 reff, m Heb, vi, 18 only, Gen, Λύστραν καὶ Δέρβην καὶ τὴν "περίχωρον, 7 κάκεῖ ο εὐαγγελιζόμενοι β ήσαν.

8 Καί τις ανήρ εν Λύστροις ⁹ αδύνατος τοίς ποσίν ε εκάθητο χωλὸς εκ κοιλίας μητρός αὐτοῦ, ος οὐδέποτε ...ουδε-

αυτους bef κ. λιθ. Ε. 5. om τε D 133 sah Chr. ins $\tau\omega\nu$ bef 1008. D. aft κατεφ. ins οι αποστολοι C3 40 Thl. ins και bef κατεφ. D¹. ins εις bef λυστ. C'D-corr : και d. aft περιχ. ins ολην D1, simly ver 11. DE: pref vulg.

7. rec ησαν bef ευαγ., with CEHL rel Chr Œe Thl: txt AB D-gr & 36 c p 13. at end ins και εκεινηθη ολον το πληθος επι τη διδαχη· ο δε π. και β. διετριβον εν λυστροις 1); τον λογον του θεου και εξεπλησσετο πασα η πολυπληθια επι τη διδ. αυτων ο δε π. κ. β. διετρ. εν λ. E vulg-sixt.

8. αδυν. bef εν λ. ΒΧ¹: εκαθ. bef αδυν. D 137: om εν λ. DE. om $\chi\omega\lambda$. D. ree aft αυτου ins υπαρχων (interpolated from ch iii. 2), ins $\tau \eta s$ bef $\mu \eta \tau \rho$. D¹. with HL rel Chr: om ABCDEN c p 13. 36. elz περιεπεπατηκει (see note), with 57. 73. 76. 78. 80. 95. 96 Thl-sif: Steph περιπεπατηκει, with DEHL rel Chr: πεπατηκει 137: txt ABCN a p 13. 36.

9. aft outos ins ouk N. ηκουσεν (alteration to suit the other agrists, the force of the imperf being overlooked: see note) ADEHLN b c e p 13. 36: txt BC rel syr sah for $\lambda \alpha \lambda$., $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o \nu \tau o s \aleph^1$. aft λαλ. ins υπαρχων εν φοβω D. ατενισας δε αυτω ο. π. D: προς ον ατ. ο. π. Ε. ree πιστ. bef εχ., with EHL rel Chr: txt ABCDN a k p 13 am demid fuld sah.

10. om τη (as unnecessary, its force being overlooked) BCD'N k p: ins AD'EHL aft φωνη ins σοι λεγω εν τω ονοματι του κυριου ιησ. χρ. (interpolation from ch iii. 6) CDE a o 13 vss Thl-fin (om τω, and aft κυρ. ins ημων E): om ABHLX p rel

2 Cor. viii. 23; 1 Thess. ii. 6: in which latter place Silvanus and Timotheus seem to be included in it. 5.] ὁρμή is not a rush ('impetus,' Vulg.: 'assault,' E. V.), but as Hesych. βουλή, ἐπιθυμία. as is manifest from συνιδόντες, rightly rendered in E. V. they were aware of it; which it would be strange if they were not, if an assault had been made on them.
6. Λύστραν] τὰ Λ. also, ver. 8.

This, as well as Derbe (of both which very little further is known), was probably a small town at the foot of the singular mountain-mass known as the Kara-dagh, or black mountain, Lystra being S., and Derbe S.E. from Iconium. The sites are very uncertain. There are the ruins of about forty Christian churches on the north side of the Kara-dagh, at a place called by the Turks Bin-bir-Kilisseh (the 1001 churches), which the most recent travellers believe may be Lystra (C. and H. i. pp. 225 ff.). In one of these places (probably at Lystra, see note, ch. xvi. 1) Paul found and took up Timothy on his second journey; and from τέκνον, 1 Cor. iv. 17, compared with πατήρ, as defined ib. ver. 15, we are justified in concluding that he had been converted by the Apostle; and, if so, during this visit.

There appear to have been few Jews in the district: we hear of no synagogue.

Λυκαονίας] Strabo describes Lycaonia (xii. 6) as a hilly plain among the mountainspurs of Taurus, very ill watered, cold and bare, but exceedingly adapted for sheeppasture and the growth of wool.

8. ἐκάθητο] Not 'dwelt,' as Kuin., but

sat, probably in the forum or some place of resort. περιεπάτησεν is the historic past: who never walked. The pluperfect seeming more apt, it has been altered in the later MSS, accordingly. Meyer supposes the alteration to have been the other way, from "the constant pre-

πόδας σου ² ορθός. καὶ ^a ηλατο καὶ περιεπάτει. ¹¹ οι τε ^{2-here (Heb.} ὅχλοι ἰδόντες ὁ ἐποίησεν Παυλος ὑ ἐπῆραν την ὑ φωνήν αυτων Λυκαονιστὶ λέγοντες Οἱ θεοὶ ◦ ὁμοιωθέντες ἀνθομικό δρώπος ἀκατέβησαν πρὸς ἡμας. 12 ἐκάλουν τε τὸν οἰνίν, Ιολίν, Βαρνάβαν Δία, τὸν δὲ Παῦλον Ἑρμῆν, επειδη αὐτὸς ην κάνειθ, ο ήνουμενος τοῦ λόγου. 13 ος τε 8 ίερεὺς τοῦ Διὸς τοῦ 29 (from Isa.) οντος h προ της πόλεως ταύρους καὶ στέμματα έπὶ d Mai, xxviii.

reff. f = here only. Dan xi, 22 Theod. ὁ τῶν λόγων ἡγεμῶν, lamblich. de Myster, init, g of false gods, here only. 4 Kings xi, 18. h.ch. xii, 6, 14, ἄνασσ ' Όγκα πρὸ πόλεως, Esch. Theh. 162 (Dind.). i here only †. Zech. vi, 11 slii (Tromm.).

ορθως E-gr II syr-marg Thl-sif: add και vulg syr-txt copt ath Chr (Ec Thl-sif. περιπατει D syr-marg. aft 1st και ins παραχρημα Ε vss; ευθεως παραχρημα I). rec ηλλετο (alteration to suit the imperf περιεπατεί), with L 13 rel syr Thl, ηλετο Η: ανηλατο D¹, ανηλλατο D³: εξηλλατο Ε: txt ABCN vulg(exilivit et ambulabat) Chr.

11. rec $\delta \epsilon$ (alteration from the characteristic $\tau \epsilon$), with CDEHL p rel 13 vss Chr: txt ABN 36. 40 Syr æth. [B(Mai expr) reads δ not oπερ as Beh.] rec ins o bef παυλος, with HL p rel: om ABCDEN c 13 Chr. om $\tau \eta \nu$ D. 0111 αυτων X1.

ins τ ois bef arbp. D 1. $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o t$ (sic) \aleph^1 .

12. for $\tau \epsilon$, $\delta \epsilon$ D a b g 40 Chr. rec aft 1st $\tau o \nu$ ins $\mu \epsilon \nu$ (to answer to the folig

δε), with B(sic: see table) C3EHL 13 rel syr copt Cyr-e Chr: om AC1(D)N p 36 vulg. -om τον also D. διαν DEHL o p 40. for επειδη, επει κ' k. om o C'D. 13. ree for τε, δε, with DEHL rel syr coptt Œe Thl: txt ABCR 36. 40 vulg acth Chr-τοτε o p leet-12: τοτε C1, but τε is erased. - οι δε ιερεις . . ενεγκαντες . . ηθελον του οντος δ. D c 137. om $\tau \eta s$ D¹: ins D³. rec aft πολ. ins

αυτων, with C3EHL rel syr Chr: om ABC1DN a p 13. 36 vulg coptt.

ference which the Greeks gave in narration to the agrist over the plusq. perf.:" but qu ? 9.] The imperfect ήκουεν is important. He was listening to Paul's preaching, and, while listening, his countenance, read by the Apostle's gift of spiritual discernment, gave token of faith to άτεν. αὐτ.] See note on 10. μεγ. τῆ φ.] Raising be healed. ch. xiii. 9.

his voice above the tone in which he was before speaking. The article is important.

11. Αυκαονιστί The nature of this dialect is uncertain: its existence is further mentioned by Steph. Byzant., cf. τη τῶν Λυκαδνων φωνη, in note on ver. 20. The notice is inserted to shew that the Apostles had no knowledge of the inference drawn by the crowd, till they saw the bulls being brought to their doors, ver. 13. So Chrysostom: οὐκ ἦν τοῦτο οὐδέπω δῆλον τῆ γὰρ οἰκεία φωνῆ ἐφθέγγοντο, λέγοντες κ.τ.λ. διὰ τοῦτο οὐδὲν αὐτοῖς ἔλεγον Imeaning, "for this reason they, the Lycaonians, spoke unintelligibly to the Apostles:" έλεγον taking up the λέγοντες. Dr. Wordsw. has, in his ardour to vindicate Chrysostom from heterodoxy, fallen into the mistake of rendering, "therefore the Apostles said nothing to them "]· ἐπειδὴ δὲ είδον τὰ στέμματα, τότε ἐξελθόντες κ.τ.λ. Hom. xxx., p. 235 f. See, on the real nature of the gift of tongues, and the bearing of notices of this kind on its consideration, the note on ch. ii. 4. These ἐπιφάνειαι of the gods are frequent subjects of heathen poetry and mythology. Hom. Od. p. 484, says, καί τε θεοί ξείνοισιν ἐσικότες άλλοδαποίσι Παντοίοι τελέθοντες έπιστρωφωσι πόληας. It was in the neighbouring country of Phrygia that Jupiter and Mercury were said to have wandered, and to have been entertained by Baucis and Philemon: 'Jupiter hue, specie mortali, cummon: Jupiter mic, specie nortain, emining que parente Venit Atlantiades positis caducifer alis.' (Ov. Met. viii. 626, f.) Dio Chrysoston (Orat. xxxiii. p. 408) says, φασὶ τοὺς οἰκιστὰς ∜ρρως ἡ θεοὺς πολλάκις ἐπιστρέφεσθαι τὰς αὐτῶν πόλεις. (From Mr. Humphry's note.)

12.] This distinction is (besides the reason given) in accordance with what Paul himself cites (as the saying of his adversaries, it is true, but not therefore without some physical foundation), ή παρουσία τοῦ σώματος ασθενής. So Chrysostom, έμοι δοκεί και από της όψεως αξιοπρεπής είναι δ Βαρνάβας, Hom. xxx., p. 237. ήγούμενος τοῦ λόγου] So lamblichus,

of Hermes, in reff.: 'vocis et sermonis potens,' Maerob. Saturn. i. 8: λόγου προφήτης, Orph. H. xxvii. 4: λαλίστατος κ. λογιώτατος θεῶν ἀπάντων, Lucian, Gal-lus, 2. 13. πρὸ τ. π. (see reff.); i.e. of Zebs πρόπυλος: no ellipsis of leρού or any thing else. ταύρους κ. στέμματα Not for ταύρους ἐστεμμένους: the $^{k}=^{ch.\,x.\,17}$ τοὺς k πυλώνας ἐνέγκας σὺν τοῖς ὄχλοις ἤθελεν m θύειν. ABCDE

k=ch. 3.17 τούς *πυλώνας ενέγκας σύν τοις όχλοις 'ηθελεν " θυειν. Μευδι τα παιδιστεί. 4 'Ακούσαντες δὲ οἱ "ἀπόστολοι Βαρνάβας καὶ Παυλος εἰς hκ λοιο xi. 19. Διαρρήζαντες τὰ 'ἱματια αὐτῶν 'ᾳ' ἐξεπήδησαν εἰς τὸν κ. xi. 19. Διακ κ. τ. όχλον 'ε κράζοντες 15 καὶ 'ε λέγοντες '΄Ανδρες, τὶ ταῦτα χ. τ. χ. χ. 20 οιις (ch. x. ποιείτε; καὶ ἡμεῖς '' ὁμοιοπαθεῖς ἐσμεν ὑμῖν ἀνθρωποι, 13 ταῖ. '' ἐναγγελιζόμενοι ὑμᾶς '' ἀπὸ τούτων τῶν '' ματαίων '' ἐξεπίσιον κ. επιστείνει το και το κ. επιστείνει το κ. εναγγελιζόμενοι ὑμᾶς '' ἀπὸ τούτων τῶν '' ματαίων '' ἐναγγελιζόμενοι ὑμᾶς '' ἀπὸ τούτων τῶν '' ματαίων '' ἐναγγελιζόμενοι ὑμᾶς '' ἀπὸ τούτων τῶν '' ματαίων '' ἐναγγελιζόμενοι ὑμᾶς '' ἀπὸ τούτων τῶν '' ματαίων '' ἐναγγελιζόμενοι ὑμᾶς '' ἀπὸ τούτων τῶν '' ματαίων '' ἐναγγελιζόμενοι ὑμᾶς '' ἀπὸ τούτων τῶν '' ματαίων '' ἐναγγελιζόμενοι ὑμᾶς '' ἀπὸ τούτων τῶν '' ματαίων '' ἐναγγελιζόμενοι ὑμᾶς '' ἀπὸ τούτων τῶν '' ματαίων '' ἐναγγελιζόμενοι ὑμᾶς '' ἀπὸ τούτων τῶν '' ματαίων '' ἐχεινοι κ. Το κ.

αντα, τος εποιησετούς διατός την αντας της εποιησετούς καὶ την αντας της εποιησετούς καὶ την αντας της επαρωχημέναις αντακτικής εἴασεν πάντα της επαρωχημέναις αντακτικής εἴασεν πάντα της επαρωχημέναις αντακτικής εἴασεν πάντα της επαρωχημέναις εχενεσίς εἴασεν πάντα της επαρωχημέναις εχενεσίς εἴασεν επάντα της επαρωχημέναις εχενεσίς εἴασεν επάντας της εχενεσίους επαρωχημένα ε

q here only.

Dent. xxxiii. έθνη d πορεύεσθαι ταις e όδοις αυτών, 17 f καίτοι γε ουκ ε αμάρτυρον εαυτον h αφήκεν i αγαθουργών, k ουρανόθεν υμίν m ουρα-17 vat.

s Matriviii. 29. 1 ύετοὺς διδούς καὶ παιρούς καρποφόρους, εμπιπλών ΑΒΕΟΕ
ch. xvi. 17.

18 Kai efghk
web. xxvi. 18.

s Matt. viii. 22.
ct. xvi. 12.
Exol. v. 8.

Toophy Kall

"Euphorouving Tag Kapolag upwarin James v. 17.

outh v. Wisd. vii. 3 outh.

xx + 12.

14. ακουσας δε omg (so Syr) οι απ. D. [In N the as of βαρναβας is supplied perhaps εαυτων ABN3 13. 36: txt CDEHLN1 p rel Chr (Ec Thl. by corr'.] rec ειςεπηδησαν (corrn to suit εις τ. οχλον), with C3HL rel Thl-fin: ins was Di. txt ABC DEN a c p 13. 36 vulg Syr sah arm Chr Thl-sif-comm. for εις, επι C.

15. for λεγοντες, φωνουντες D!. ins $\epsilon\iota$ ($\epsilon\iota$ s?) bef $\tau\iota$ A1. om 2nd Kai D. υμιν bef εσμ. C 38. 93. 113 Chr; om υμ. H c 137: aft ανθρ. 13. επιστρεψητε, insg οπως bef απο, D flor Iren-int: υμιν τον θεον D flor Iren-int. rec τον θ. τον ζ. (alteration for more precision: επιστρεφητε, insg ινα bef απο, Ε. see note), with HL rel Chr: τον θ. ζ. D1: θ. τον ζ. N1: txt ABC D-corr EN3 a k p 13. τον ποιησαντα D. 40 Ath Thdrt.

16. for os, o D. for παντα, κατα D1.

17. καιτοι ABCN3 a pl 13 coptt Ath: καιγε DE (probably corrections: the γε or the τοι being deemed unnecessary): txt C³HLΝ¹ p² rel 36 Chr Thdrt Œc Thl. εαυτ., αυτον ΑΒΕΝ³ c: txt CDHLΝ³ 13 rel Ath Chr Thdrt.—αφηκ. bef εαυτ. D. rec αγαθοποιων (altern to more usual word), with DEL rel Chr Thdrt: αγαθοπων Η: txt ABCN Ath. rec ημιν, with a al: om AN' p 13 vulg æth Iren-mss²: αυτοις Syr sah Leo: txt BCDEHLN' rel flor spec syr Ath Thdrt Thl Iren. διδ. bef ver. AN p 13. 73 lect-12 vulg æth Iren-int-mss². εμπιμπλων DE, om τας DIrec ημων (corrn, the assertion seeming to be of general ins aft καρδιας D6. application to the speaker as well as his hearers), with AHLN3 13 rel copt ath Chr Leo: αυτων Syr sah: txt BCDEN be fklop am(and demid flor fuld tol) spec syr Ath Thdrt Thl-sif Iren.

garlands may have been to hang on the doors of the house where the Apostles were: or for manifold purposes connected with the sacrifice. 'Ipsæ denique fores, ipsæ hostiæ, ipsæ aræ, ipsi ministri et sacerdotes corum coronantur.' Wetst. πυλώνας are not the gates of the city, but the doors of the outer court of the house: see ch. xii. 13. 14. οί ἀπόhouse: see ch. xii. 13.

14. of ἀπόστολοι] See note on ver. 4.

The Apostles were within: on being told, they

έξεπήδησαν-rushed forth, into the crowd. 15. ματαίων viz. θεῶν: the words of ref. 1 Thess. ἐπεστρέψατε πρός τον θεόν ἀπὸ τῶν εἰδώλων, are remarkably like these. $\theta \epsilon \hat{o} \nu \xi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a$, without the articles, is characteristic of Paul: see Rom. ix. 26; 2 Cor. iii. 3; vi. 16; 1 Thess. i. 9; 1 Tim. iii. 15; iv. 10 al. It also occurs Heb. iii. 12; ix. 14; x. 31; xii. 22; Rev. vii. 2. 16.] Compare Rom. iii. 25, 26, and ch. xvii. 30. 17. Compare Rom.

ταῦτα λέγοντες † μόλις * κατέπαυσαν τοὺς ὄχλους † τοῦ † ch. xxvii. τ, μη " θύειν αὐτοῖς. 19 * 'Επῆλθαν δὲ ἀπὸ 'Αντιοχείας καὶ 'Κικονίου 'Ιουδαῖοι, καὶ πείσαντες τοὺς ὄχλους καὶ 'Κιτονίου 'Ιουδαῖοι, καὶ πείσαντες τοὺς ὄχλους καὶ 'Κιτονίου 'Ιουδαῖοι, καὶ πείσαντες τοὺς ὄχλους καὶ 'Κιτονίου 'Αντιοχείας καὶ 'Κιτονίου 'Αντιοχείας καὶ 'Κιτονίου 'Αντιοχείας καὶ 'Κιτονίου 'Κιτονίου 'Εξαναρον 'ξέω της πόλεως, νομίτης καὶ 'Κιτονίου 'Κιτονίου 'Εξαναρον 'ξέω της πόλεως, νομίτης καὶ 'Κιτονίου 'Αντιοχείας καὶ 'Κιτονίου 'Κι

18. μ oyis D coptt. κ atemausanto C¹. at end ins alla π orevesbai ekaston eis ta idia C k m p 13. 36 syr-marg arm.

19. at beg ins διατριβοντων (so D²: om δe D¹) αυτων και διδασκοντων, omg δe follg, DE a b f k m op 13. 36. 40; so, but om και, C; and, but om διδασκοντων, syr-marg arm Cassiod. [επηλθαν, so txt ABR p.] τινες ιουδ. απ. ικον. κ. αντ. D, τιν. απ. α. κ. ι. ιουδ. Ε vnlg Cassiod: οι απ. αντ. κ. ικ. και ιουδαιοι 15. 18. 180. for πεισαντες, επισεισαντες D syrr: om 2nd και D-corr. και διαλεγομενων αυτων παρρησια επεισαντ. οχλ. αποστημα απ (om al) αυτων λεγοντες στι ουδεν αληθελ κέγουσιν αλλα παντα ψευδονται C a k m p syr-marg arm. λιθοβολησαντες A 15. 18. 36. 180. om εξω Ν¹. rec νομισαντες, with CEHL rel 36 Chr (Ec Thl: txt ABDN p 13. 40. rec τεθναναι (corrn: the contracted form was the more common: so Meyer), with DEHL rel Chr (Ec Thl: txt ABCN a k p 13. 36.—τεθν. bef

20. kwkAwsav7es D¹: txt D². rec avr. bef τ . μ a0, ,with EHL: τ . μ a0, avrou (see ch ix. 25) D¹(and lat): txt ABCD 8 N e h k m² p 13 Chr. -avrow L.—E adds avrou. ins luotpav bef π 0\text{av} D. on π 0\text{av} to π 0\text{av} next ver (homevotel) N:

for η , $\tau \eta \nu$ D¹. $\sigma \nu \nu$ is written by D⁸, D¹ has perished.

i. 19, 20. The words ούρανόθεν δετούς διδούs had a remarkable applicability in a country where we have seen from Strabo (on ver. 6) that there was great scarcity of water. He relates that in one city of Lycaonia, where water was reached by digging the wells very deep, it was sold for money. The idea of Mr. Humphry, that the conclusion of this speech is a citation from some luric poet, seems improbable on other accounts, and is rendered more so by the above-noticed propriety. σαντες τοὺς ὅχλ.] ἄπιστοι γὰρ Λυκάονες, ώς καὶ 'Αριστοτέλης μαρτυρεί. Schol. on Homer, Il. δ. 88, 92. They stoned him, not in the Jewish method, but tunultuously and in the streets, dragging him out of the city afterwards. He refers to this stoning, 2 Cor. xi. 25, ἄπαξ ἐλιθάσθην. 20.] κυκλ., not to bury him, but, as would naturally be the case, in mournful anxiety and regret. avaorás The prima facie, and I think the right impression is, that this recovery was supernatural. It is not indeed so strongly implied, as to leave no doubt : especially as a blow from a stone would be likely to stun and occasion the appearance of death. Δέρβην See above, on ver. 6. Strabo, xii. 6, says of it,

της δ' Ίσαυρικης έστιν έν πλευραίς ή

Δέρβη, μάλιστα τῆ Καππαδοκίψ ἐπιπε-

φυκός, τὸ τοῦ ἀΑντιπάτρου τυραννεῖον τοῦ Δερβήτου (cf. Cicero, Epp. xiii. 73, 'Cum Antipatro Derbete mihi non solum hospitium verum etiam summa familiaritas intercedit ') . . . έφ' ἡμῶν δὲ καὶ τὰ Ίσαυρα κ. τὴν Δέρβην 'Αμύντας εἶχεν, ἐπιθέμενος τῷ Δερβήτη, κ. ἀνελὼν αὐτόν. And Stephanus Byzantinus, Δέρβη φρούριον 'Ισανρίας και λιμήν (for this, evidently an error, the French translators of Strabo propose to read λίμνη. There is a large lake, now called Ak Göl, near the presumed site of Derbe, see C. and H. i. 239) τινές δέ Δέλβειαν, ὅ ἐστι τῆ τῶν Λυκαόνων φωνῆ ἄρκενθος. (Wetst.) From this variety of the name, Δέλβεια, Mr. Hamilton thought the modern Divlé might be Derbe. Mr. Lewin (i. 167) objects, that there is no lake near Divlé: but this objection only affects the conjectural emendation mentioned above. From Derbe not being enumerated, 2 Tim. iii. 11, with Antioch, Iconium, and Lystra, as the scene of any of Paul's sufferings, we may perhaps infer that none befell him there. They may have fled to Derbe, as being in a different jurisdiction from Lystra; the latter being comprised in the Roman province of Galatia, whereas Derbe seems to have belonged at this time to Antiochus, king of Commagene. See Lewin, i. p. 168; Strabo, xiv. 5; Dio, lix. d constr., ch.
viii. 25 reff.
e trans., Matt.
xiii. 52.
(xxvii. 57
intr.) xxviii.
19 only †.
f = ch. xii. 12
reff. 21 d ευαγγελισάμενοι τε την πόλιν εκείνην καὶ c μαθητεύ- ABCDE 21 d εὐαγγελισαμενοι τε την πυσος σαντες είκανοὺς εὐπέστρεψαν είς την Λύστραν καὶ είς cfghk 1 mop Ίκόνιον καὶ είς Αντιόχειαν, 22 h επιστηρίζοντες τάς ψυχάς των μαθητών, παρακαλούντες κεμμένειν τη g ch. viii. 25 πίστει, και ὅτι " διὰ πολλων θλίψεων " δεῖ ἡμᾶς " είςg ch. viii. 23
reff.
h (=) ch. xv.
32, 41 only 1.
(2 Kings i. 6.)
i = ch. xi. 23.
xiii. 42 al fr. ελθείν είς την ορ βασιλείαν του P θεου. 23 9 Χειροτονήσαντες δε αυτοίς τκατ εκκλησίαν πρεσβυτέρους, τπροςευξάμενοι k constr., here only. Jer. li. (xliv.) 25. Sir. xxviii. 6. μετὰ νηστειών καιρέθεντο αὐτοὺς τῷ κυρίῳ κείς ον πεπιστεύκεισαν. 24 καὶ γ διελθόντες την Πισιδίαν ήλθον τοίς νόμοις Xen. Mem. iv. 4. 4. — (but with eν) Gal. iii. είς Παμφυλίαν, 25 καὶ 2 λαλήσαντες έν Πέργη του 2 λόγον α κατέβησαν α είς Αττάλιαν, 26 κακείθεν ο απέπλευσαν είς 10, from Dent.
xxvii 26. Heb. viii. 9 (b. xxviii. 30) only.
 J cb. xiii. 8 reff.
 n Matt. vii. 13 l. Luke xviii 25,
 John x X, 2, 9, Rom. v 12. Heb. ix. 12 only. Jer. xvii. 25,
 o Matt.
v 20. Mark x 20. John lin. 3 ab. Paul., never.
r = cb. xv. 21 reft.
v 2 Cor. viii. 1 reft.
v 2 Cor. vii. 5 reff.
v 2 Cor. vii. 6 reff.
v 2 Cor. xii. 6 reff.
v 3 Cor. xii. 6 reff.
v 4 Cor. xii. 6 reff.
v 5 Cor. xii. 6 reff.
v 6 Cor. xiii. 6 reff.
v 7 Cor. xii. 6 reff.
v 7 Cor. xii. 6 reff.
v 8 Cor. xii. 6 reff.
v 9 Cor. xii. 7 reff.
v 9 Cor. xii. 7 reff.
v 9 Cor. xii. 7 reff.
v 9 Cor. xii. 1 re

21. ευαγγελιζομενοι (corrn aft ver 7: see also ch xi. 20) ADEH a: txt BCLN3 p rel for τε, δε D 40. 96 coptt. for την πολ. εκ., τους εν τη πολει 36 vulg Chr. om την D 93. 113 Chr Thl-fin. for ικ. υπεστρ., πολλους υπεστρεφου D. D-gr. rec om eis bef ik. and avt. (as unnecessary: the circumstantial repetition of eis

is original), with DHL vulg E-lat Chr (Ee Thl: ins bef ικ. but om bef αντ. B(Mai):

om bef ικ. but ins bef αντ. m: txt AC E-gr & a p 13. 36. 40.

22. ins και bef παρακ. C a c 69. 100. 105. 137 Syr arm (Ec Thl-fin: παρακ. τε D-gr for emmereir, e ermereir(sic) X.] ελθειν D-gr. X3 vulg(not fuld tol).

23. rec πρεσβ. bef κατ εκκλ., with EHL rel Chr: txt ABCDN a k m p 13 vulg Syr антог L. κατα D. προς ευξ. δε D : και πρ. c f vss. $\pi \in \pi : \sigma \tau \in \nu \times \alpha \cdot \sigma \iota \nu$ D c e 78, 137.

aft διελθ. ins eis X. ηλθαν D. ins The bef 24. διελθ. δε D copt. παμφ. (to correspond with την πισ.) BCEN p 13. 40: om ADHL rel Chr.

25. εις περγην A p am demid: εις την περγην N (and 3?). aft τ. λογον ins του κυριου ACN (k) p 13. 40 vulg Syr syr-w-ast arm; του θεου Ε. [ατταλιαν, so at end, D 137 syr-w ast add ευαγγελιζομενοι αυτους. ABICDEN.]

26. om απεπλευσαν B1-txt (insd in marg).

8; lx. 8; Jos. Antt. xix. 5. 1.

ὑπέστρ.] They were not far from the famous pass, called the 'Cilician gates,' which leads direct into that province : but, notwithstanding all that had befallen him, Paul prefers returning by the churches which he had founded, to a short and easy journey to the coast by his own home. 22. ήμας] Is not this a token of the presence of the narrator again? My own conjecture would be, that he remained in Antioch during the journey to Iconium, &c., and back. The events between those two limits are much more summarily related than those before or after. In an art. in the Journal of classical and sacred philology, Camb., March, 1856, where the justice of the above conjecture is called in question, the writer says, 'here δεῖ ἡμᾶς εἰςελθ. &c. is the language of the preachers themselves, as the word ort shews:' and proceeds to remark justly on the transition from the oblique to the direct narrative, as especially characteristic of St. Luke's style, and corroborative of the unity of authorship between different parts of the Acts, and between the Acts and the Gospel. so, should we not rather look for bμas than huas? The writer, I am glad to see, joins with me in rejecting the 'common' explanation (see Prolegg. § i. 13) that ήμας is used by the writer 'as a Christian, and of all Christians:' to what then would be have it referred? I would rather, regarding the 871 as marking a transition to the direct narrative, take ἡμαs as an insensible translation into the first person on the part of the narrator, speaking of an exhortation which he heard and felt. хегрот.] 'cum suffragiis creassent,' Erasm.: not necessarily as the meaning of the word conventionally,-which had passed to any kind of appointment, see ch. x. 41: but by the analogy of ch. vi. 2-6. See ref. 2 Cor. The word will not bear Jerome's and Chrys.'s sense of 'laying on of hands,' adopted by Roman Catholic expositors. Nor is there any reason here for departing

΄ Αντιόχειαν, ὅθεν ήσαν ς παραδεδομένοι τῆ χάριτι τοῦ ς $^{\rm ch.xv.40}_{\rm 1Pel.li.23}$, θεοῦ εἰς τὸ $^{\rm d}$ ἔργον $^{\rm ch.xv.40}_{\rm ch.xv.40}$ $^{\rm ch.xv.40}_{\rm ch.xv.40}$ δε και ⁸ συναγαγόντες την εκκλησίαν ^h ανήγγελλον ὅσα α ref. x x 3.8 ^c ref. x x i.25 ^c ref. x x ii.25 ^c ref. x r ii.2 d HET' ΄ ἐποίησεν ὁ θεὸς ΄ μετ' αὐτῶν, καὶ ὅτι κ ἤνοιξεν τοῖς ἔθνεσιν ΄ κ θύραν πίστεως. ^{28 1} διέτριβον δὲ χρόνον ^m οὐκ ^{mn} ὀλίγον g HLN ab σύν τοις μαθηταίς. p 13

ΧV. 1 Καί τινες ο κατελθόντες από της Ιουδαίας έδίδασκον τους άδελφους ὅτι ἐὰν μὴ ^ρπεριτμηθῆτε τῷ δασκον τοὺς ἀδελφοὺς ὅτι εαν μη περιτιμήση. 1 3 4 έθει τῷ Μωυσέως, οὐ δύνασθε σωθήναι. 2 γενομένης οὖν 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 7 2 5 7 1 1 5 7 1 1 1 5 5 1 1 1 5 5 5 1 5 $^{$

k = 2 Cor. ii. 12 reff. o ch. viii. 5 reff.

27. συναξαντές D: συναγοντές p. ree ανηγγειλαν (corrn to aorist as more usual), with HL rel vulg Ee Thl: ανηγγελον p: ανηγγελαν m: απηγγειλαν Ε k Bas Chr: ανηγγειλον D: txt ABCN 13 Syr copt. ο θεος bef εποι. DN c 96, 133, 180 for μετ' αυτων, αυτοις (partly erased by D-corr) μετα των ψυχων αυτων D. 28. ree aft διετρ. ins εκει, with EHL rel Chr: om ABCDN p 13. 36. 40 vulg ath

Chap. XV. 1. aft ιουδαίας ins $\tau \omega \nu$ πεπιστευκότων από της αιρέσεως των φαρισαίων rec περιτεμνησθε (Meyer thinks the aor, in the sense 8. 137 syr-marg (see note). of the futurum exactum, may be an emendation. I shd rather think the present to have been the corrn, as being the simpler, and not therefore 'the more genuine,' as Bloomf.), with EHL rel Chr: txt ABCDN p 13. 36. 40 Constt Ath Epiph $(\pi \epsilon \mu \theta \mu \eta \pi \epsilon \ B^{\dagger})$: but corrd eadem manu: see table). Ath Chr (Ec Thl: ins ABC'N p: Tov 170. και τω εθει μω. περιπατητε D syrδυνησησθαι C: -σεσθε 36. 180. εθνι (but ν erased) N.

2. for our, de BC D-gr L a b h k p 36 Syr coptt: txt AEHN rel vulg D-lat syr Chr. εκτασεως D-gr: ενστασεως l. ree συζητησεωs, with 13 (Ee Thl-fin: om

E 68 vulg copt Jer: txt ABCDHLN p rel 36 syr sah arm Constt Chr Thl-sif.

from the usual meaning of electing by show of hands. The Apostles may have admitted by ordination those presbyters whom the churches elected. προςευξ. μ. νηστ. belongs to παρέθ., not to χειροτον. 25. 'Αττάλειαν A maritime town at the mouth of the river Catarrhactes, in Pamphylia, not far from the border of Lycia, built by Attalus Philadelphus, king of Pergamus, in a convenient position to command the trade of Syria or Egypt. It is still an important place, called Satalia. (Winer, RWB. C. and H. i. p. 242.) To reach it they had to cross the plain from 26.] οθεν, as being the centre whence their apostolic commission had spread. 27.] μετ' αὐτῶν, with [i. e. in dealing with] them, see refl: not to them, as usually: nor per ipsos, as Beza, &c. θύραν πίστ.] The same metaphor is used in the refl. by Paul, and shews, perhaps, his hand in the narrative. On χρόν. οὐκ δλίγ., see chronol. table in Prolegg.

CHAP. XV. 1-35.] DIFFERENCES RE-SPECTING THE NECESSITY OF CIRCUM-

VOL. II.

CISION FOR THE GENTILE CONVERTS. COUNCIL OF THE APOSTLES AND ELDERS AT JERUSALEM. 1. TIVES Called in Gal. ii. 4, παρεί κακτοι ψευδάδελφοι, οίτινες παρεις ηλθον κατασκοπήσαι την έλευθερίαν ήμῶν ἡν ἔχομεν ἐν χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ. the addition in var. readd, probably from ver. 5. Doubtless it represents the fact. In spite of the special revelations which had accompanied the reception of the first Gentiles into the church, the strong Judaizing party adhered to their old prejudices respecting the necessity of conformity to the law of Moses. With this party Paul was in conflict all his life; and even long after, we find it raising its head again in the sects of the Ebionites and the Neander (Pfl. u. L. p. 185, note) notices the account in Josephus (Antt. xx. 2. 4), where Izates, king of Adiabene, is converted to Judaism by a certain Ananias, who, for fear of a commotion among his people, allows him to remain uncircumcised —when a certain Eleazar, πάνυ περί τὰ πάτρια δοκών ακριβής είναι, prevails on him to perform the rite, for that without it

om $\tau\omega$ bef $\beta a \rho$. DE. for pros aut., sun autois D-gr Syr sah: autois 97. for etazan to pros D syr-marg have eleven yar o saulos menein outsets cabus etisteness (om D-lat) oi de elybudotes apo ierousalym party-yeilan autois $\tau\omega$ paulo k. $\tau\omega$ bar, kai tisin allois apabanen pros . . . om pros D-lat, and in conseq has alios ascendere apostolos &c. et aut ω n bef allow Sk. ins tous bef prob. C 180. om eis b c: en E. ins opus kribwoin ep autois bef perb. D 137 syrwat (ep autow) D³ 137).

3. $\epsilon\kappa\pi\epsilon\mu\phi\theta$. E. rec om $\tau\epsilon$ (as unnecessary), with AEHL rel Chr: ins BCDN p 36. ins $\tau\eta\nu$ bef $\sigma\mu$. DH b d f m o Thl. $\delta\eta\eta\gamma\rho\nu\mu\epsilon\nu$ 0 N': txt N-corr^{1,2}. 4. $\epsilon\rho\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\nu\nu\mu$ 0 AB k p: txt CDEHLN rel 36 Chr & Thl. (13 def.) rec amedex $\theta\eta\sigma\mu$ 0 a corrm, as being the usual word, of Luke viii. 40, ch xviii. 27, xxviii. 30,—and see reff), with CEHL Chr & Thl: $\pi\rho\rho\epsilon\delta\sigma\theta\eta\sigma\mu$ D'; $\nu\pi\epsilon\delta$. 36. 180;

προεεδ. kal: txt ABD²X p. add μεγαλως CD² 137 syr-w-ast sh Ambr Cassiod: mire D-lat; μεγως D¹. for υπο, απο (perhaps originally, as in C, a corrn to suit απεδεχθ, and thence adopted even in copies which read παρεδ.) BC 36. 180. om

Ist kai \aleph^1 : ins \aleph -corr^{1.3}. appreciatives D^1 : appreciative D-corr B. om $T \in \mathbb{N}^1$.

he could not be a Jew. On the idea that Cerinthus and Ebion were the Tivés here spoken of, see the patristic reff. in Dr. the possibility of question (see note to chronological table in Prolegg., where I have given the reasons), that this journey was the same as that mentioned Gal. ii. 1-10. In that case, Paul there (ver. 2) says that he went up κατά ἀποκάλυψιν. In this expression I cannot see it necessarily implied that the revelation was made to himself, but that there was some intimation of the Holy Ghost, similar perhaps to that in ch. xiii. 2, in accordance with which the church at Antioch sent him and Barnabas;—there being $\pi \rho o \phi \hat{\eta} \tau a \iota$ there, by whom the Spirit spoke His will. αλλους] Titus was one, Gal. ii. 1, 3, and that, in all probability, in order to give an example of a Gentile convert of the uncircumcision endowed with gifts of the Holy Spirit. Titus is not mentioned in the Acts: but only in 2 Cor., Gal., 2 Tim., and the epistle addressed to him. πεμφ.] This seems to have been something of an official escorting of them on the way,

and perhaps parting from them with solenn commendation to God: not, as Morus and Heinrichs, 'rebus ad iter suscipiendum instructis,' which would hardly be thus specified, being a matter of course. At all events, it shews that the mind of the church was with them, not with the Judaizers. This was also the case in Phonicia and Samaria, as is shewn by $\pi \bar{\alpha} \sigma \nu \nu$ below.

4.] On their arrival at Jerusalem, there seems to have taken place an official reception of them and their message, in public. There they related—as a most important datum for the determination of the question—God's dealings with them (see on ch. xiv. 27), and recounted the places where churches of believing Gentiles had been founded. This having taken place, a profest was entered on the part of the Pharisee believers,—in no way doubting the truth of these conversions, nor in any way disparaging the ministry of Paul and Barnabas,—that it was necessary to circumcise airois, those of whom they had spoken, and to command them to keep the law of Moses.

It may be objected, that this view would not be consistent with Paul's statement, Gal. ii. 2, ἀνεθέμην αὐτοῖς τὸ εὐαγγέλιον δ

σσα ὁ θεὸς $\frac{d}{d}$ έποίησεν μετ' αὐτῶν. $\frac{5}{6}$ εξανέστησαν δέ τινες · Mark xii. 101 τῶν $\frac{d}{d}$ απὸ τῆς $\frac{d}{d}$ αἰρέσεως τῶν Φαρισαίων $\frac{d}{d}$ πεπιστευκότες γενία. $\frac{d}{d}$ λέγοντες ὅτι δεί $\frac{d}{d}$ περιτέμνειν αὐτούς, $\frac{d}{d}$ παραγγέλλειν τε $\frac{d}{d}$ και τηρεῖν τὸν νόμον Μωνσέως. $\frac{d}{d}$ πουνήχθησάν τε οι χενία εξιδεί εξιδ

ch. xiii 44 refi.

n = here only. Wisd. ii. 17.

o = ch. viii, 21 refi.
p ch.
xxxiii, 29 v.r. only†, ("refis, ch, vi, s. -rrryiy; 1 Cor. i, 20.)

v. 21, &c. Loke ix, 8, 10, v. refi.
xliii. 1.

u = Mark vi, 4 al. constr., 3 Kings viii. 16 compared with 2 Chron. vi. 5, no tas
vith inf., ch. i. 24 (ref.). 2 Chron. vi. 6.

εποι. bef ο θ. D 38. 96. 137. 142. at end ins (from ch xiv. 27) και οτι

ηνοιξεν τοις εθνεσι θυραν πιστεως C3HL a b d f g Thl-fin.

5. for exam. to apo, D syr-marg have oi de paragreilautes autois avabainein prostrous presbuterous examestrau legarithes the sine audie (ex. mata tum apost, outes apo syrmarg: D oun legy, follg.) alt times in audies and per l. . the total 27.29. 99 Jer. for oti, we E. for te, de D1: $txt\ D^3(?)$.

6. rec for τε, δε (alteration of the characteristic τε to more usual copula), with ADEHLN rel 36 coptt Chr: txt BC d p 13 vulg ath Syr. om 2nd oι D. for

λογου, ζητηματος Ε 137 syr-marg: ρηματος 65.

7. rec $\sigma \psi_{\eta \tau_1}$ with HL rel: $\chi_{\eta \tau \eta \sigma}$. ABR a c p 13. 36. 40 coptt: txt CDE. aresthefer ev π_{ii} metr. kai einer D¹: avastas D³: aft autous ins ev $\pi \nu$. agive 137: om ev $\pi \nu_i$ and kai D-corr. om oti R³. rec e e. ev $\eta \mu \nu$ e el. (corrn of order:—

κηρύσσω έν τοις έθνεσιν, κατ' ίδίαν δὲ τοις δοκούσιν, μήπως είς κενόν τρέχω ή έδραμον. But I cannot see any inconsistency, if the words used in both cases be accurately weighed. Το the ἐκκλησία, ἀπόστολοι, and πρεσβύτεροι Paul and Barnabas gave a simple recital of how God had dealt with them among the Gentiles: but Paul did not lay before the whole assembly the Gospel which he preached among the Gentiles, viz. the indifference of the Mosaic law to their salvation (Gal. i. 7-9), for fear of its being hastily disparaged or repudiated, and so his work being hindered (μήπως κ.τ.λ.). But, in private interviews with the chief Apostles, James, Peter, and John (Gal. ii. 9), he did unfold the whole freeness of this Gospel, and so effectually, as to prepare the way for their full and public accordance with him at the 6. The Apostles and elders only are mentioned as having assembled: in which case παν το πληθος (ver. 12) must mean των πρεσβυτέρων, and the decision of ver. 22 must have been arrived at in a larger assembly. But most probably the deliberation of the Apostles and elders implied the presence of the brethren also, who are intended by παν τὸ πλ.,and there was but one assembly. The objection, that no one place could have held them, is nugatory: the official presence of all is assumed continually in such cases,

where the assembly is open to all. λόγου] matter (in this case) of dispute: see reff. 7.] A promiscuous debate, not perhaps without some angry feeling, ensued on their first coming together,—and among the multilude, as is implied in ver. 12,—man disputing with man. Πέτρος Partly on account of the universal deference paid to him, but principally because of his peculiar fitness to open the apostolic decisions on the subject, from having been made the instrument of the first public and approved reception of the Gentiles.
vers ξεπίστ.] In Peter's speeches in ch. x.,

υμεῖς ἐπίστ.] In Peter's specehes in ch. x., this phrase occurs at the beginning of a sentence, ver. 28, and ὑμεῖς οτᾶστες ver. 37: and we have traces of the same way of expressing the personal pronoun in his speeches, ch. ii. 15; iii. 14, 25. Such notices are important, as shewing that these reports are not only according to the sense of what was said, but the words spoken, verbatim.

ἀφ' ἡμ. ἀρχ.] In regard to the whole time of the Gospel up to that day (about 20 years), the date of the conversion of Cornelius, at least fifteen years before this (cf. Gal. ii. 1, and notes to chron. table in Prolegg.), would very properly be so specified. The length of time elapsed is placed by Peter in the strongest light, to shew that the question had in fact been settled by divine interference long since. Notice (in reff.) the

wch.i.16(Pet.) \acute{o} θεὸς \acute{w} διὰ τοῦ \acute{w} στόματος μου ἀκοῦσαι τὰ ἔθνη τὸν ABCDE refl. \acute{o} καρδιος secolic. \acute{o} χλύγον τοῦ \acute{v} ἐὐαγγελίου καὶ πιστεῦσαι. \acute{o} και \acute{o} γ καρδιος cd fg h (Hisa b fall) \acute{o} γνίωστης \acute{o} εὲὰς \acute{o} ἐμαρτῦρησεν αὐτοῖς, δοὺς τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ \acute{o} p 13 cors. \acute{a} τις \acute{o} τις \acute{o} και \acute{o} μίτι \acute{o} γνίωστης \acute{o} εὰς καὶ \acute{o} μίτι \acute{o} και \acute{o} μίτι \acute{o} μίτι \acute{o} και \acute{o} μίτι \acute{o} μίτι

and $\eta\mu$. corrn for $\nu\mu$. as it seemed more according to ecclesiastical propriety for Peter to describe the selection as made "from us apostles," than "from you the whole church"), with EHL rel (h o $\nu\mu$) vss Ge Thl Iren-int Ambr Rebapt: $\eta\mu\epsilon\nu$ o θ . $\epsilon\xi$, D-corrl c) 137: on $\epsilon\nu$. $\nu\mu$. m 99 Syr sah æth: txt ABCN a($\eta\mu\nu$) k p 13 Constt. om $\tau\sigma\nu$ bef $\sigma\tau\rho\nu$. D'E 96: ins D².

8. ο δε καρδ. ο θ. D. διεμαρτυρησεν C. om αυτοις E vulg sah Ambr Rebapt.—ree aft δους ins αυτοις (supplementary addn), with CEHL 36 Constt Chr Iren-int: επ αυτους D Jer: txt ABN p 13 Did.

9. και om A1. rec ουδεν, with ACDEN rel 36 Chr: txt BHL b g k l m.

om τε D.

10. at beg ins και E æth. om ουν(appy) C. om ζυγον κ¹(ins corr¹?).

rec om του (with c?): ins ABCDEHLÄ rel Chr Thdrt &c Thl. rec aft rησ. ins χριστου, with CD a m 13.36 Syr copt with pl Constt &c Thl-fin: om ABEHLM rel p am demid fuld syr sah with-rom Chr Thdrt Tert. πιστευσμεν Di-gr N.

12. συνκατατεθέμενων δε των πρεσβυτερων τοις υπο του πετρου ειρημενοις εσειγησεν παν κ.τ.λ. D syr-w-ast. εσιγησαν C c. απαν E a^1 f m 13 Thl-fin.

idioms, &c., peculiar to Peter: $-\dot{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\xi$, with inf., $-\delta\dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\alpha}$. $a\tau\delta\mu$., $-\kappa\alpha\rho\delta\mu$. (wost probably); $-\sigma$ characteristic of him, $\pi\epsilon\iota$ - $\rho\dot{\alpha}\xi$. τ . $\theta\epsilon\dot{\nu}y$. $-[\kappa\alpha\theta]\dot{\omega}s$ $\kappa\alphal$ $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\nu}$ (ch. x. 47: so &s $\pi\epsilon\rho$ $\kappa\alphal$, ch. iii. 17; xi. 15), $-\dot{\alpha}\lambda\chi\alpha(\omega\nu$ nove, compared with $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}$ $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\dot{\nu}$ ch. xi. 15. Compare also with $\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\dot{\alpha}\xi$. τ . $\theta\epsilon\dot{\nu}y$. $-\kappa\alpha\lambda\dot{\nu}\sigma\alpha\iota$ τ . $\theta\epsilon\dot{\nu}y$. ch. xi. 17. $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}$ $\dot{\nu}$ $\dot{\nu}$ $\dot{\nu}$ among you. If $\dot{\gamma}\mu\dot{\nu}$ be read,

then 'among us (Apostles): 'see var. read.
There is no ellipsis of 'me' after έξελ.:
the E.V. expresses the construction rightly.

8, 9.] The allusion is throughout to spiritual circumcision, as the purification of the heart. God, who saw deeper than the mere fleshly distinction between Jew and Gentile, who knows that the hearts of all are unclean, and that the same all-sufficient sacrifice can cleanse them all, if applied by faith (compare the remarkable parallel, 1 Pct. i. 18—22 incl.), put no difference between us and them, but has been pleased to render them spiritually clean. $\tau \hat{\eta} \quad \pi(\sigma \tau \epsilon_1, \text{ not simply } {}^c by$

faith ?' but by their faith, or by the faith in Christ. 10.] περ. (as κωλῦσαι, ch. xi. 17), tempt, by putting obstacles in the way of His evidently determined course.

έπιθείναι, infil., marking the intended result of πειράζετε: cf. βἢ δὲ θέειν, βἢ δ' lɨναι, μάστιζεν δ' ἐλάαν, &c. See Bernhardy. Syntax, p. 365. [Συγόν] See ref. fall. Peter could not be so much referring to the mere outward observance of ceremonies, which he himself and the Jewish converts thought it expedient to retain,—but to the imposition of the law, as a condition of salvation, on the consciences of the disciples. So Neander (Ph. u. L. p. 214). This being so, οδτε ... βαστάσαι will refer, not to the burden-someness of ceremonies, but to the far more grievous burden of legal death, of which Paul eries out so bitterly in Rom. vii. 24,—and says, (ful. v. 3, μαρτύρομαι ... παντὶ ἀνθρώπω περιτεμνομένε, δτι δφιλέτης ἐστὶν ὅλον τὸν νόμον ποιῆσαι.

11.] Seeing that we all in common

καὶ ἤκουου Βαρνάβα καὶ Παύλου "ἐξηγουμένων σσα α here his.
εποίησεν ὁ θεὸς σημεῖα καὶ "τέρατα ἐν τοῖς ἔθνεσιν δι
αὐτῶν. 13 μετὰ δὲ τὸ " σιγῆσαι αὐτοὺς ἀπεκρίθη ' Ιάκωβος
κέγων "Ανδρες ἀδελφοί, ἀκούσατέ μου. 14 Συμεών " ἐξ γηθοικικοῦς
ηγήσατο " καθὼς πρῶτον ὁ θεὸς "ἐπεσκέψατο λαβεῖν ἐξ γοικικοῦς
κέθνῶν λαὸν " τῷ ὀνόματι αὐτοῦ. 15 καὶ τούτῳ " συμφω here only, τοικικοῦς νότραπται (και) τοῦς καθὸς γέγραπται (και) τοῦς και) τοῦς και τοῦς και τοῦς και) τοῦς και τοῦς και τοῦς και) τοῦς και τοῦς και) τοῦς και τοῦς και τοῦς και τοῦς και τοῦς και) τος και τοῦς και) τος και τοῦς και

βαρναβαν και παυλον εξηγουμενοι D1: txt D8.

13. αναστας ιακ. ειπεν D Syr.

14. for επεσκ., επελεξατο Ε: εξελεξ. c¹ 137¹: εξελεξ. και 13. εξ εθνων bef λαβ. C. rec ins επι bef τω ονομ., with HL rel copt (Ec: om ABCDEN p 13. 36. 40 vulg syrr sah Constt Chr Procop Thl-sif-comm Thl-fin Iren-int Jer Rebapt.

15. for τουτω, τουτο HL o 13: ουτως D'(and lat) sah Iren-int: txt D3. συνφωνη-

σουσιν D^1 : txt D^3 .

believe that the grace of Christ is the sufficient, and only cause of our salvation, it can neither be reasonable nor according to God's will, to fetter that grace with superfluous and vexatious conditions. See nearly the same argument retorted on Peter himself, Gal. ii. 14 ff. κάκεινοι are the Gentile Christians, not our fathers; -their ground of trust is the same as ours: ours, no more than theirs. 12.] The multitude (see above) then, -and not before, on account of their mutual disputes,—being tranquillized by Peter's speech, quietly received from Paul and Barnabas an account of the seals of signs and wonders by which God had stamped the approval of their ministry among the Gentiles. The miracles at Paphos and Lystra would be among the principal of these. αὐτούς, viz. Paul and Barnabas. Both had spoken: doubtless wonders, unrecorded, had been wrought by the hand of Barnabas, which he had recounted. 'Ιάκωβος] See note, ch. xii. 17, and the prolegg. to the epistle of James. I assume here, that this is James the Just, the brother of the Lord, the author of the Epistle: and though an ἀπόστολος (Gal. i. 19: see also note on ch. xiv. 4), not one of the twelve. If we may presume to judge from the character of his Epistle, to say nothing of the particulars which tradition has handed down concerning him, his decision would come with remarkable weight on this occasion. For he is, among all the sacred writers of the N. T., the representative of the strictest adherence to and loftiest uppreciation of the pure standard of legal morality. All that the law was, from its intrinsic holiness, justice, and goodness

(Rom. vii. 12), capable of being to Christians, he would be sure to attribute to it. And therefore when his judgment, as well as that of Peter, is given in favour of the freedom of the Gentiles, the disputers, even of the Pharisaic party, are silenced. There does not seem to be in the following speech any decision ex cathedra, either in the knoward μου, or in the kγω knyω (ver. 19): the decision lay in the weightiness, partly no doubt of the person speaking, but wrincinally of the matter spoken by him.

principally of the matter spoken by him.

14. Συμεών] James characteristically uses this Jewish form of the name: so also Peter himself, 2 Pet. i. 1. The name occurs Gen. xxix. 33, LXX; Luke ii. 25; iii. 30; ch. xiii. 1; Rev. vii. 7: the name Simon, elsewhere used in the N. T. for Peter, is found in 1 Chron. iv. 20 (Heb. Σεμών, LXX-vat., Σεμειών, F.). for His name: dat. commodi. On ἐπεσκ. λαβ., see reff.: the infin., as ἐπιθεῖναι, ver. 10, note. \(\lambda\delta\epsilon\rangle\), answering to the λαόs, so well known as His by covenant before. 15. τούτω Neuter, to this; not, 'to Him,' in which case we should expect not of $\lambda \delta \gamma o \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho$, but of $\pi \rho o \phi \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota$ (Meyer). 16—18.] The citation from Amos is made freely from the LXX: differing widely in the latter part from our present Hebrew text, which see in loc. E. V. In all probability the LXX had another reading before them, substituting perhaps יְרְשֵׁר אָתִּי for יְרְשֵׁר אָתִי, and אָדָם for יִרְשֵׁר אַתִּי, and אָדָם for The existing Hebrew MSS. contain several minor variations, for which see Kennicott and De Rossi in loc. Of this we may at least be sure, that James, even if (as I believe) he spoke in Greek, and quoted as here given, would not himself

16. μετα δε D¹(and lat). επιστρεψω D. κατεστρεμμενα B: -στραμμενα (so LXX-A) Ν 13 Procop: ανεσκαμμενα Ε. οικοδομησω (2nd time) C¹(appy) 68.

17. om αν E a k Chr₂.

ποιησει D¹: txt D³.

for κυρ., θεον D æth. om ο ΕΝ¹. for ο ποιων, rec aft ταν. ins παντα, with H 36 Syr Chr: pref., EL

e f g l syr Thl-sif: om ABCDN m p 13 vulg copt æth Constt Iren-iut Rebapt.

18. rec at end adds εστι τω θεω πωτα τα εργα αυτου, with EHL rel syr Constt Chr: γνωστου απ' αι. (add εστιν D vulg syr-marg Iren) τω κυριω (om syr-marg) το εργου αυτου AD vulg syr-marg Iren-int: txt BCR a p 13.29.36.57.63.65.100.105.133. 180 coptt arm. (In the presence of so many apocryphal insertions as we find in the Acts, taking into account also the great variety, and seeing in it [cf many more variations in Scholz ad loc] an argument against the genuineness of the words,—seeing also that no possible reason can be given for their omission, if originally genuine, I have followed the authority of BCR, as also have Scholz and Tischendorf. Lachmann has adopted the reading of AD al [see above], which, as Meyer observes, is evidently an emendation of still later dute than the rec.)

20. om 1st του H. om απο (as unnecessary?) B D-gr N p 180 E-lat Œc: ins

(nor would the Pharisees present have allowed it) have quoted any rendering, especially where the stress of his argument lay in it, at variance with the original Hebrew.

The prophecy regards that glorious restitution of the kingdom to (the Son of) David, which should be begun by the incarnation of the Lord, and perfected by His reign over all nations. During the process of this restitution those nations, as the effect of the rebuilding, should seek the Lord, -to whomsoever the gospel should be preached. There is here neither assertion nor negation of the national restoration of the Jews. Be this as it may (and I firmly believe in the literal accomplishment of all the prophecies respecting them as a nation), it is obvious, on any deep view of prophetic interpretation, that the glorious things which shall have a fulfilment in the literal Israel, must have their complete and more worthy fulfilment in the spiritual theoracy, of which the Son of David is the Head.

17. ἐφ' οῦς ἐπικέκλ.]

Notice the same expression in the Epistle of James (rcf.).

18.] The variation of reading here is remarkable. The text which I have given is in all probability theoriginal,

and the words inserted in the rec, have been intended as a help out of their difficulty. Not only are they wanting in several ancient MSS., but they bear the sure mark of spuriousness,-manifold variations in the MSS. where they do occur. The sense, and account of the text seem to be this: the Apostle paraphrases the δ ποιῶν [πάντα] ταῦτα of the LXX, adding γνωστά ἀπ' alwoos, and intending to express 'saith the Lord, who from the beginning revealed these things,' viz. by the prophet (of old, see reff.) just cited. The addition in the rec. has been made to fill up the apparently elliptical γνωστά ἀπ' αἰωνος, which not being found in the passage of Amos, was regarded as a sentence by itself. These last words, κύρ. ὁ ποι. ταῦ. γr. ἀπ' αἰ., may perhaps be an allusion to the mystery of the admission of the Gentiles into the church, which was now being revealed practically, and had been from of old announced by the prophets: cf. Rom. xvi. 25, 26; Eph. iii. 5, 6, &c. 19.] ἐπιστρέφουσιν, not as E. V. ' are turned,' but are turning: the converts daily gathered into the church. In παρενοχλ. there is no meaning of 'præter, . . . insuper, molestiam creare :'

μάτων των v εἰδώλων καὶ τῆς w πορνείας καὶ τοῦ x πνικτοῦ v $^{Rom \ li. 22}$ καὶ τοῦ αἴματος. 21 Μωυσῆς γὰρ y ἐκ γενεῶν y ἀρχαίων w u κατὰ πόλιν τοὺς a κηρύσσοντας αὐτὸν ἔχει ἐν ταῖς συν u $^{loc \ ride}$ $^{loc \ ride}$ u κατὰ πάν σάββατον b ἀναγινωκόμενος. 22 Τότε v v v u v v u v v u v u v v u v v

li. 4. c. y see ver. 7 reff. c. Luke viii. 4. ch. xiv. 23. Tit. i. 5. isr. constr., ch viii 5 reff. d ch. xiii. 27 (reff.). c. e. v. . 23. 28. Luke i. 3. Esth. i. 19. d ch. v. 11. Rom. xvi. 23. 1 Cor. xiv. 23. 2 Chron. xxx. 24 Ald.

AC E-gr HL 13 rel vulg Constt Chr Iren-int. om και του πνικτου (appy, as Meyer, because in Levit no such command is formally expressed) D Iren-int Cypr Tert Jer(who says it was in some mss) Ambris(who ascribes it to Greek interpolators). on last του AB p 13. at end ins και οσα (add αν al) μη θελουσιν (-ωσιν al) εαυτοις (αντ. al) γινεσθαι ετεροις μη ποιείτε D a b e o 7. 27. 29. 60. 69. 98-marg 106 sah ath Iren-int Cypr

21. εχ. τους κηρ. C m: εχει τ. κ. αυτον ε[χει] D: three letters lost, erased by D-corr.

for αυτον, αυτου(sic) Ν1.

but simply 'molestiam creare:' see refl. 20.] ἐπιστείλαι, to send an ἐπιστολή: then τοῦ ἀπ., of the purpose of such epistle,-to the end that they may abstain, &c. άλισγ. belongs to είδώλων only. Meyer understands it to refer to the four genitives, the pollutions of (1) idols, (2) fornication, (3) things strangled, (4) blood. This he rests on the non-repetition of ἀπό before της πορν. But in this case the members do not correspond. The Gentile converts needed no command to abstain from the pollution of idolatry: and the use of the Alexandrine verb αλισγείν in reff. shews it to apply most naturally to pollution by eating. The $\lambda\lambda$. τ . $\epsilon i\delta$, are the things polluted by being offered to idols, about which there was much doubt and contention in the early church :- see Exod. xxxiv. 15, and 1 Cor. viii. and x. 19. πορνείας] It may seem strange that a positive sin should be made the subject of these enactments which mostly regard things in themselves indifferent, but rendered otherwise by expediency and charity to others. In consequence we have the following attempts to evade the simple rendering of the word: (1) Beza, Selden, Schleusner, explain it of spiritual fornication in eating things offered to idols: (2) Morus and Heinrichs, of the committal of actual fornication at the rites in idol temples: (3) Salmasius, of the sin of the whore-master: (4) Calovius, of concubinage: (5) Lightfoot, of marriage within the forbidden degrees: (6) Teller, of marriage with heathers : (7) Bentley would read xorρείαs, 'swine's flesh :' (8) πορκείαs has also been conjectured (probably not by Bentley, as stated in Meyer, De W., and this work, cdn. 1):-see other renderings in Meyer and De Wette. But the solution will best be found in the fact, that mopvela was

universally in the Gentile world regarded on the same footing with the other things mentioned, as an åðidøpope, and is classed here as Gentiles would be accustomed to hear of it, among those things which they allowed themselves, but which the Jews regarded as forbidden. The moral abomination of the practice is not here in question, but is abundantly set forth by our Lord and his Apostles in other places.

πνικτοῦ] as containing the blood,—see Levit. xvii. 13, 14. αίματος blood, in any shape : see Gen. ix. 4; Levit. xvii. 13, 14; Deut. xii. 23, 24. Cypr., Tertull., and others interpret the word of homicide, which is refuted by the context. Living as the Gentile converts would be in the presence of Jewish Christians, who heard these Mosaic prohibitions read, as they had been from generations past, in their synagogues, it would be well for them to avoid all such conduct and habits as would give unnecessary offence. Other meanings have been proposed: as 'that it was superfluous to command these things to the Jews, for they would hear them in the synagogues' (so an ancient Schol., Lyra, and Neander), -whereas no question whatever was raised about Jewish converts :- 'neque est metuendum, ut Moses propterea antiquetur,' Erasmus, al.: 'Pudori vobis foret et ignominiæ, si vos, homines Christiani hac in re inferiores a Judæis deprehenderemini, quod vos communione cum epulis sacrificialibus polytheismo favere videremini, quum illi Judæi monotheismo adhæreant tenacissime, eumque quavis septimana sibi inculcatum audiant,' Heinrichs. 'Nam quod ad Mosen attinet, non possunt, qui ex Judæis sunt, queri, eum sperni ab alienigenis nostri gregis, quando in nostris (?) non minus quam in Judaicis conventibus Moses, itu

 $^{\rm e\,John\,vi.70}$, $\tau \tilde{\eta}^{\rm d}$ έκκλησία $^{\rm e}$ έκλεξαμένους ἄνδρας έξ αὐτῶν πέμψαι εἰς ABCDE κι.ν.i.δ. 1. h.ν.α.b ι chon.xix. Αντιόχειαν σὺν τῷ Παύλῳ καὶ Βαρνάβα, Ἰούδαν τὸν c d f sh ι h.ν.α.b ι chon.xix. Αντιόχειαν Βαρσαββᾶν καὶ Σίλαν, ἄνδρας ἡγουμένους $^{\rm b\,Ioh}$ κι mo $^{\rm b\,Ioh}$ κι που $^{\rm c\,Iohn\,vii...20}$. καλούμενον Βαρσαββᾶν καὶ Σίλαν, ἄνδρας ἡγουμένους $^{\rm p\,Iohn\,vii...20}$ κι καλούμενον $^{\rm c\,Iohn\,vii...20}$ γράψαντες $^{\rm i}$ διὰ χειρὸς αὐτῶν Οὶ $^{\rm c\,Iohn\,vii...20}$ κι τοῦς $^{\rm i}$ αδελφοίς, $^{\rm c\,Iohn\,vii...20}$ γράψαντες $^{\rm i}$ διὰ χειρὸς αὐτῶν Οὶ $^{\rm iohn\,vii...20}$

οιώς τους αυτών Οι γραψαντες 'διά χειρός αυτών Οι (ΧΧΧΙΙ). (ΧΧΧΙΙ). απόστολοι και οι πρεσβύτεροι ^κ άδελφοι τοις ^k κατά την houstr, oh. 'Δ Αντιόχειαν και Συρίαν και Κιλικίαν ε άδελφοῖς τοῖς έξ

om τω (for uniformity) DHL rel 22. εδοξασεν D1. om εξ αυτων Α. Chr Œc Thl-sif: ins ABCEN a e p 13 Thl-fin. ins τω bef βαρν. a c 13 Thl-fin. rec επικαλουμένον (explanatory corrn), with H rel Chr Œc Thi: txt ABCDELN

p 13. 36 Constt. rec βαρσαβαν, with a 36 rel syrr Chr Œe Thl: βαραββαν D:

Βαρναβαν fuld wth: txt ABCEHLN b e m p 13 am coptt Constt. ηγουμένοις Ν¹. 23. rec aft αυτων ins ταδε (addition as the variations shew), with EHLN³ p 13. 36 syr Constt Chr; επιστολην περιεχουσαν ταδε CD wth-pl (but D has επιστ. bef δ. χ. α.); επιστολην και πεμψαντες περιεχουσαν 137 syr-marg; ουτως Syr; επιστ. ουτως sah: om ABN' vulg copt æth-rom. rec ins και οι bef αδελφ. (see note), with EHLR' rel 36 syrr coptt æth Constt Chr Œe Thl: om ABCDN' p 13 vulg arm Ath Iren-int om 1st rois C1(appy) 13. for κιλικιαν, κιλιαν Α, κιλειαν D. Pacian Vig. τοις εξ εθ. bef aδ. D.

ut ab antiquo factum est, legitur, et quidem sabbatis,' Grot., Hammond. On the reading of the law, &c., in the synagogues, see ch. xiii. 15, note. 22.] ἐκλεξαμένους must not (with Kuin., al.) be taken for έκλεχθέντας; the 1 aor. middle can never have a passive signification: see Lobeck's note on Phrynichus, p. 319: where he gives a collection of seeming instances of such usage and explains them. irregularities of case in words in apposition as we have here (ἀποστόλοις ἐκλεξαμένους γράψαντες) will not surprise any one versed in Hellenistic Greek. See e.g. Luke i. 73, 74; ch. xxv. 27; Heb. ii. 10 ; also ch. xxii. 17, εγένετο δέ μοι ύποστρέψαντι κ. προςευχομένου μου γενέσθαι με εν εκστάσει and Bαρσαββαν] Of this Judas ref. (h). nothing further is known than that (ver. 32) he was a 'prophet' (see ch. xiii. 1). Wolf and Grotius hold him to have been the brother of Joseph Barsabas, ch. i. 23.

Σίλαν] otherwise Silvanus (Σιλουαvós): the former name in the Acts, the latter in the Epistles of Paul. He also was a 'prophet' (ver. 32). He accompanied Paul on his second missionary journey through Asia Minor and Macedonia (ver. 40—ch. xvii. 10),—remained behind in Berœn (xvii. 14), and joined Paul again in Corinth (xviii. 5; 1 Thess. i. 1; 2 Thess. i. 1), where he preached with Paul and Timotheus (2 Cor. i. 19). The Silvanus (1 Pet. v. 12), by whom the first Epistle of Peter was carried to the churches of Asia Minor, seems to be the same person. Tradition however distinguishes Silas from Silvanus, making the former bishop of Corinth, the latter of Thessalonica. On the hypothesis which identifies Silas with Luke and makes him the author of the Acts, see Prolegg. to Aets, § i. 11. β , γ . I may repeat here, that in my mind the description of Silas here as one of the ήγούμενοι έν τοις άδελφοις, of itself, especially when contrasted with the preface to Luke's gospel, would suffice to refute the notion. It has been also supposed [by Burmann] that Silas [שֶׁלִישֶׁי] is the same name with Tertius, who wrote the Epistle to the Romans, Rom. xvi. 22: but without reason: see Winer, RWB., "Tertius," and Michaelis, Introd. vol. iv. p. 89, Marsh's transl. 23.] The omission of και of before ἀδελφοί, found (see var. read.) in all the first MSS., can (as Neander observes against De Wette) hardly have been occasioned by hierarchical considerations, seeing that it occurs as early as Irenæus, and that it would be equally against the strong hierarchieal view to call the presbyters πρεσβ. άδελφοί, writing, as they were, to the ἀδελφοίς. It seems very much more probable to me that the words kal of were inserted to bring the decree into exact harmony with the beginning of ver. 22. In this, the first official mention of πρεσβύτεροι, it is very natural that the import of the term should be thus given by attaching άδελφοί to it. See, on the whole, Dr. Wordsw.'s Kilikiav This mention of churches in Cilicia, coupled with the fact of Paul's stay at Tarsus (ch. ix. 30-xi. 25: see also Gal. i. 21), makes it probable that Paul preached the gospel there, and to Gentiles, in accordance with the vision which he had in the temple (ch. xxii. 21). έθνων 1 χαίρειν. 24 " έπειδη ηκούσαμεν ὅτι τινὲς " έξ ήμων 1 - ch. xxlii. " έξελθόντες " έτάραξαν ύμας λόγοις p ἀνασκευάζοντες τὰς 10 (1) 11 - 11 μένοις 'όμοθυμαδον ' έκλεξαμένους ανδρας πέμψαι ποὸς m Luke xi. 6. ύμας σύν τοις 'άγαπητοις ήμων Βαρνάβα και Παύλω, 26 " ανθοώποις " παραδεδωκόσιν τὰς " ψυχὰς αὐτῶν " ὑπέρ

cn. xiii. 40. xiv. 12. 1 Cor. i. 21, 22 al. L.P. (Matt. xxi. 46 v. r.)

1 Mace. xr. 3. n Matt. ii. 6. 1 John ii. 19. Deut. xiii. 13. i 7. v. 10. Prov. xii. 25. $\dot{\eta}_1$ rap $\dot{\eta}_1$ rate $\sigma e_{\hat{\eta}_1}$ $\dot{\sigma}_1$ rap. . . . Xen. Mem. ii. 6. 17. (see ch. xrii. 5. $\dot{\eta}_1$ p $\dot{\eta}_2$ who work of $\dot{\phi}_2$ w. $\dot{\phi}_3$ rap. Xen. Mem. ii. 6. 17. Ezek. iii. 19. r ver. 22 (reff.) s. ch. i. 14 reff. sch. i. 14 reff. sch. i. 14 reff. sch. i. 14 reff. ref. 21. r. 21. r. = 1 Cor. xiii. 3. Dan. iii. 28 (so). x = 2 xxxvi. 2. x = 1 Cor. xiii. 3. Dan. iii. 28 (so). x = 4 xxxvi. 2. x = 4 xxvi. 24. Rom. xvi. 4 al. Exod. xxi. 35. x = x ch. ix. 16 reff. o - ch. xvii. 8, 13. Gal. p bere only t. m. n. 6.17. p here only 7.
iv, 116. q Mark vii, 36 al.
ff. tw. gen. (Matt. xii, 18).
2. n = Matt. xii, 45. Gen.
w = Matt. ii, 20. John x. 11. cb. xx.

om εξελθ. BN1 a1 arm Constt for $\eta\mu\omega\nu$, $\nu\mu\omega\nu$ \aleph^1 . 24. for επειδη, επι δε X1. rec aft υμων ins λεγοντες περιτεμνεσθαι εξεταραξαν D1 a1. Vig: ελθοντες L. (add δει Ε Bede-gr) και τηρειν τον νομον (gloss from vo 1, 5), with CEHL syrr ath-pl (περιτεμνειν αυτους τα τεκνα Chr-edd) Iren (aft διεστειλ.): om ABDN p 13 vulg διεστειλομεθα D^1 : txt D^4 . coptt æth-rom Constt Ath Epiph Vig Bede.

25. εκλεξαμένοις (grammatical correction) ABL p 13 rel: txt CDEHN b f g 1 36

for ημ., υμων D-gr. Constt Chr Œe Thl.

χαίρειν] Not a rendering by Luke of the Hebrew שלום, as Grotius; for the Epistle was certainly written in Greek, as intended for Gentiles. The only other place where this Greek form of salutation occurs in the apostolic document (we have it in the letter of the chief captain Lysias, ch. xxiii. 26) is in James i. 1, which Bleek has remarked as a coincidence serving to shew his hand in the drawing up of this 24. Neander remarks (Pfl. u. L. p. 223, note) that έξ ἡμῶν έξ. is a presumption in favour of the reading kal of άδελφοί above: for that these men could hardly have gone out from among the Apostles and elders. But such a supposition is not necessary: ἡμῶν implies the church, the ἀδελφοί of whom they were the πρεσβύτεροι, whether καl of be inserted or not. ἀνασκ.] See ref. Thucyd., where it will be seen that it implies turning up the foundations :- for Brasidas cleared the ground and consecrated it. Cf. Passow, The words λέγοντες περιsub voc. τέμνεσθαι κ. τηρείν τον νόμον, inserted in rec. after ὑμῶν, are manifestly, in my view, an interpolation, from the desire to specify in what particulars these persons had sought to unsettle the souls of the Gentile brethren. The defence of the clause set up by Meyer and De Wette,-that if interpolated it must be from ver. 5, not from ver. 1, and that this is improbable, - is best answered by observing that in E, one of the principal authorities for the insertion, the δει after περιτέμνεσθαι betrays in very fact that the interpolation was from ver. 5, as also, but in a less degree, does the Aéγοντες. The reasons given by Meyer and De W. why the words should have been omitted,- the similarity of ending in b-MON

and v6-MON, -or to square it with ver. 1, seem to me nugatory. The former is very improbable,-and the latter would have required the preservation of λέγ. περιτέμνεσθαι. The variations also in the clause are strong presumptions against it. The persons to whom the epistle was addressed would very well know what it was that had disturbed their minds, and the omission of formal mention of it would be natural, to avoid prominent cause of offence to the Jewish converts by an apparent depreciation of circumcision and the observance of the law. 25. γεν. όμοθυμ. may mean either 'assembled with one accord,' as (perhaps) ch. i. 14; or 'having agreed with one consent' as Meyer. I prefer the former meaning. So we have adverbs as predicates after verbs substantive, e. g., είναι διαφερόντως, Plat. Legg. x. p. 892 c, κατύπερθε γίνεσθαι, Herod., &c. See Bernhardy, Syntax, p. 337. Βαρν. κ. Παύλ.] Paul has generally been mentioned first since ch. xiii. 43. (The exception, ch. xiv. 14, appears to arise from the people calling Barnabas Jupiter, and thus giving him the precedence in ver. 12, after which the next mention of them follows the same order.) But here, as at ver. 12, we have naturally the old order of precedence in the Jerusalem congregation preserved.

παραδ. τ. ψ. | See reff. The sacrifice of their lives was made by them : they were martyrs in will, though their lives had not as yet been laid down in point of fact.

This is mentioned to shew that Paul and Barnabas could have no other motive than that of serving the Lord Jesus Christ, and to awaken trust in the minds of the churches. But, although this was so, the Apostles and Elders did not think proper

τοῦ * ονοματος τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦ χριστοῦ. 27 ἀπ- ABCDE y - Malt. xxvii, 57, ch. xxi, 24. εστάλκαμεν ουν Ιούδαν καὶ Σίλαν, ⁹ καὶ ⁹ αὐτοὺς ² διὰ cá fgh λόγου ⁸ ἀπαγγέλλοντας τὰ αὐτά. ²⁸ ἔδοξεν γὰο τῷ ¹¹⁸ ch. xxi. 24.
z ver. 32.
2 Thess. ii.
2, 15.
a ch. xi. 13 reff.
constr., ch.
vi. 11 reft.
b ver. 10 reff. άγιω πνεύματι και ήμιν μηδέν πλέον δεπιτίθεσθαι ύμιν ° βάρος, πλην των δεπάναγκες, 29 ° απέχεσθαι δείδωλοεπιντία τα δάρος, πλην τῶν $\frac{d}{\epsilon}$ πάναγκες, $\frac{20}{\epsilon}$ απέχεσθαι $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ ε δαίνης $\frac{2}{\epsilon}$ ε δαίνης $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ ε διτών καὶ αἴματος καὶ $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ πνικτῶν καὶ $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ πορνείας $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ $\frac{1$ θύτων και αίματος και επνικτών και επορνείας έξ ων d here only †. Jos. Antt. x vi. 11. 2. Demosth. κατ. Τιμοκρ. p. 706. 22.
e gen., 1 Tim iv. 3. 1 Pet. ii. 11 only. Jer. vii. 10. (w. από, g. ver. 20 (ref.). h. Luke ii. 51 only. — Ps. xi. 7.
j see note. not as Eph. vl. 21. 2 Macc. ix. 10. k. here (2 Macc. ix. 10) only, 2 Maee, $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0})$ only. define $(\frac{k\pi_0 \alpha_0 \gamma_0 \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}_0 \mathbf{x}_0 \mathbf{x}_0})$, Job iii, 18 Symm.) ver. 20 reft [1 Cor. viii. 1 reft.] 1 sa. lvi. 2. i = 2 Cor. vii. 11 reft. (ch. \mathbf{x} xiii. 30 rec.) only. 2 Maee. xi. 33.

την ψυχην D Iren-int. at end ins εις παντα 26. παραδεδωκασιν D.

πειρασμον DE 137 syr-marg. ταυτα D1: hæc D-lat απαγγελουντας D-gr. 27. aft λογ. ins πολλου Ε.

syr sah æth-pl: om æth-rom: txt D2. 28. τω πν. τω αγ. ABN k p 13 Clem: txt CDEHL rel 36 Constt Cyr-jer Chr Bas, Œe [after ημιν N1 has written κ, but marked it for erasure. Thl Cypr Pacian.

for $v\mu i\nu$, $\eta\mu \epsilon i\nu$ D1: txt D8(?). ree aft των επαναγ. ins πλειον D 105. τουτων, with EL rel Chr (Ee: pref BCDHN a m p 13 vulg Constt Thl Iren-int: om A 15. 18. 36. 43. 180 Clem2 Epiph, Cyr Orig-int Pacian-mss (τουτων seems to have been a marginal supplementary gloss, which some inserted before, some after των επαναγκες) .- om των D R 13: ins D2(?).

29. rec κ. πνικτου (alteration for uniformity with ver 21), with A'EHLR' 13. 36 vulg Constt Chr Ec Thl Vig: om D Cyr-jer Iren-int Cypr Tert Ambr Pacian Jer (see on ver 57, 69, 105, 106, 137 syr-w-ast wth Iren-int Cypr. for $\epsilon \xi$, $\alpha \phi$ D. CDHL wth-rom: πραξητε E Thl-fin. 1) adds φερομένοι εν τω αγιω πνευματι: also Iren(ambulantes in sp. s.) Tert(vectante or rectante vos sp. s.).

to send only Paul and Barnabas, who were already so deeply committed by their acts to the same side of the question as the letter which they bore,-but as direct authorities from themselves, Judas and Silas also, who might by word confirm the contents of the Epistle. On the present part. (ἀπαγγ.) see reff. and Winer, edn. 6, § 45. 1. One account of it is, that during the mission implied in ἀπεστάλκαμεν they would be απαγγέλλοντες. But a far more probable one, that the pres. part. here, as so often, designates merely, carrying rather n logical than a chronological force: "as announcers of." 27.] τὰ αὐτά, us above, the contents of the Epistle (and any explanation required): not, as Neander, 'the same things as P. and B. have preached:' Sià loyou, by word of mouth, preached: οια λογού, ος που as opposed to 'by letter,' decides against this interpretation. 28. τῷ ἀγ. τν. καὶ ἡμ.] Not = $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ άγ. $\pi \nu$. ἐν ἡμ. (as Olsh),—but as, in ch. v. 32, the Holy Spirit, given to the Apostles and testifying by His divine power, is coupled with their own human testimony, -so here the decision of the Holy Spirit, given them as leaders of the church, is laid down as the primary and devisive determination on the matter, -and their own formal ecclesiastical decision follows, as giving utterance and scope to His will and command. The other interpretation weakens this accuracy of expression, and destroys the propriety of the sentence. Neander, in his last edn. of the Pfl. u. L. (p. 224, note), has given up the rendering of his former ones, ἔδοξεν γὰρ (τῷ ἀγίω πνεύματι) καὶ ἡμῖν, ' It seemed good (by the Holy Ghost) to us also,' i.e. as well as to Paul and Barnabas. It was plausible, but quite untenable. Such ambiguity, in such a document, would surely be out of the question. The judgment as to what things were ἐπάναγκες is implied ἐπιτίθ. had been used in έδοξεν, &c. by Peter, ver. 10. 29. On the construction of ἀπέχεσθαι with ἀπό in ver. 20, and with a simple gen, here, Tittm., de Syn. N. T. p. 225, says well that the difference arises 'non quoud rem ipsam, sed modo cogitandi, ita ut in priori formula sejunctionis eogitatio ad rem, in posteriori vero ad nos ipsos referatur.' His following remarks are worth reading. Εξ ων, from which things; not, as Meyer, 'according to which precepts;' see John xvii. 15. εὐ πράξ.] Not, 'ye shall prosper;' but us καλώς ἐποίησας, ch. x. 33; 3 John 6,-ye shall do well. the curious additions in var. readd.

μὲν οὖν ¹ἀπολυθέντες ™ κατῆλθον εἰς 'Αντιόχειαν, καὶ leh. sili. 8 ref. "συναγαγόντες τὸ ° πλῆθος ρ' ἐπέδωκαν τὴν q ἐπιστολήν. " "καὶν. 27 31 ἀναγνόντες δὲ ' ἐχάρησαν ' ἐπὶ τῷ " παρακλήσει, ρ Γεκείν. 19 32 Ἰούδας τε καὶ Σίλας, ' καὶ ' αὐτοὶ " προφῆται ὅντες, ' διὰ λόγον πολλοῦ ' παρεκάλεσαν τοὺς ἀδελφοὺς καὶ ' ἐπ - καὶν μετείν. 19 33 'ν ποιήσαντες δὲ ' χρόνον [†] ἀπελύθησαν q ch. 3.2 2 μετ' 2 εἰρήνης ἀπὸ τῶν ἀδελφῶν πρὸς τοὺς ἀποστείλαντας αὐτούς. 35 Παῦλος δὲ καὶ Βαρνάβας ' διέτριβον ἐν ε εικοίντες καὶ ' ἐναγγελιζόμενοι μετὰ καὶ τνει 27 (πελ. 19 ε ετέρων πολλῶν τὸν b λόγον τοῦ κυρίου.

Deut. xiii. 0. w ch. xiv. 22 refl. x ch. xviii. 23. Demosth. p. 302, οὐο ἐκοιησων χούου οὐοὲνα. y = ch. xx. 3. 2 Cor. xl. 25. James iv. 13. Prov. xviii. 23. xi 11eb. xi 31 only. Gen. xxvi. 29. see ch. xvii. 37 refl. a ch. xii. 10 r. fl. b ch. viii. 4 only. see l Cor. xv. 2: c = c h. xvii. 7 refl.

aft απολυθ, lins εν ημεραις ολιγαις D. rec ηλθον, with EHL rel Chr (Ee Thl-sif: txt ABCDN a p 13, 36, 40 vulg with Thl-sin. συναγοντες D¹: txt D². επιδεδωκαν Ε.

32. elz (for τε) δε, with D-gr vulg E-lat syr copt Thl-fin: om sah ath-rom: txt ABC E-gr HLR p 13 rel D-lat Syr ath-pl Clir Ge Thl-sif. for ουτες, υπαρχουτες Ε: aft ουτες ins πληρείς πνευματός αγίου D. οπ πολλου D 18. επεστηρίσαν CE 73: txt ABDHL Ν-corr¹ p 13. 36 rel Chr: om και επεστ. Ν¹.

33. rec for αποστ. αυτους, αποστόλους (perhaps an explanatory gloss, substituted for the genuine text;—but more probably a mistake, owing to αποστ. being common to the two words), with E(and Bede-gr) HL rel syrr copt Chr: txt ABCDN a p 13. 35. 40 vulg sah ath-ron Thl-fin Cassiod Bede.—N had εαυτους, but the ε has been marked and then erased.

[34. rec εδοξε δε τω σιλα επιμειναι αυτου (explanatory anticipation of ver 40), with CD 13 rel sah syr-w-ast arm CE Thl-fin (σειλεα D: παυλω ath: for επιμειναι, sustinere cos D-lat: for αυτου, αυτους CD', προς αυτους D-corr: om ABEILLR c dg h l mp am(and demid fuld al) Syr copt Chr Thl-sif).

αdd further μονος δε ισυδας επορευθη D vulg-ed arm(not ed-1805) Cassiod.

35. σ & π . D. κ at μ e τ a e τ . D¹: txt D⁵. at end $\kappa\nu$, which has perished in D¹, is supplied by D⁶(?).

 $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ρρωσθε] The customary 'valete' of the conclusion of epistles. 31. π αρακλήσει] It does not appear, because π αρεκάλεσαν follows in the sense of 'exhorted,' that this word need mean 'exhortation.' There was (De W.) very little exhortation in the letter: and it is much more natural to render it consolation here: it was the matter of their joy, which surely could not be said of the orders to abstain given in the letter. 32.] προφ. ὄντ. gives the reason for their superadding to the appointed business of their mission the work of exhorting and edifying. προφ., see ch. xi. 27; xiii. 1; Eph. ii. 20, 33.] ποι. χρ., having and notes. continued some time: see reff. [34.] On every account it is probable that the words forming this verse in ree. (see var. readd.) are an interpolation. For, (1) MSS, evidence against them is weighty,

especially as D, in the case of insertions in

the Acts, is of very low authority. (2) The αὐτοῦ is αὐτοῦς in C and D, and αὐτοῖς and

αὐτόθι in some cursives; and Dandthe Vulg.

shewing the copying of an indistinct marginal gloss which was not understood, and the latter betraving the secret of the whole, viz. that the notice was interpolated to account for Silas being found again at Antioch in ver. 40. (3) Internally con-sidered, the insertion is very improbable: coming after ἀπελύθησαν unexplained (which from its voice and tense implies that the dismissal actually took place and they departed) and followed by Haulos & after έδοξε δε τφ Σίλα. On Silas's subsequent presence at Antioch, see note, ver. We learn from Gal. ii. 10, that a condition was attached to the cordiality with which the Gentile mission of Paul and Barnabas was recognized by the chief Apostles: that they should remember the poor, i. e. the poor at Jernsalem :- that the wants of the mother church should not be forgotten by those converts, whose Judaical bond to her was thus cast loose. This was an object which Paul was ever most anxious to subscrve. See Gal. l. c.

add μόνος δε 'Ιούδ. ἐπορεύθη; the former

d ch. x. 48 reff. 36 Μετὰ δὲ d τινας d ἡμέρας εἶπεν πρὸς Βαρνάβαν Παῦ- ΗΙΝ α b ποθς. (and hole) (and hole) (b. xii. 2. b) (c. xiii. 2. c) (c. xiii. 4. τοῦ κυρίου, καῖς κείνουτν. 37 Βαρνάβας δὲ ἐβούλετο b (c. xiii. 2. c) (c. xiii. 2.

36. $\operatorname{rec} \pi \alpha \nu \lambda$. $\operatorname{bef} \pi \rho$. $\theta \alpha \rho \nu$., with DEHL rel : txt ABCN m p 13 vulg Thl-fin.—ins o $\operatorname{bef} \pi \alpha \nu \lambda$. D. for δn , $\delta \epsilon$ K!. rec aft rov a δ . ins $\operatorname{n} \mu \omega \nu$ (not perceiving the sense of r. $\operatorname{a} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$.), with HLN rel at the CE Thl: om ABCDEN a p 13. 36. 40 vulg syrr coptt arm Chr. aft a $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$. add rovs D e 36. 137. 180 Syr syr-w-ast. rec $\operatorname{\pi} \alpha \sigma$. bef $\operatorname{\pi} \delta \lambda$, with DEHL 13. 36 rel vss Chr: txt ABCN k m copt. of n O is D. for $\operatorname{n} \alpha \operatorname{rop} \gamma \rho_{\rm c}$, exp $\operatorname{p} \ell \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nabla$ 15. 18. 36. 180 (Syr $\operatorname{copt} \ell$). ins rot n bef $\operatorname{n} \omega \varepsilon$ E.

37. ree εβουλευσατο, with HL rel Chr Ec Thl-sif: εβουλευετο D: txt ABCEN a c e p 13. 36. 40 vulg syrr copt ath Thl-fin. συνπαραλαμβανειν Λ (13). ree τον (in place of και), with HL 13 rel Syr sah æth Œc Thl-sif: om D a c: και τον BN p: txt ACE h k 36 vulg syr copt arm Chr Thl-fin. επικαλουμενον CD c d

k p 13. 40.

38. for ηξίου, ουκ εβουλετο λεγων D. αποστατησαντα(sie) A: αποστησαντα D. ο αποστατησαντα(sie) A: αποστησαντα D. ο απο παμφ. C² ο αποστατησαντα D. αft εργ. add εις ο επεμφθησαν D tol. rec συμπαραλαβειν (corrn for conformity to ver 37), with EHL rel Chr (Ec Thl: txt ABCN a e p 36.—[συνπ., so AB¹CEN.] for μη συνπ. τ., D has τουτον μη είναι συν αυτοίς.

39. rec for δε, ουν (corrn to suit the sequence of the παροξ. on the last verse), with CEHL rel 36 syr Chr: txt ABDN p vulg coptt. αποχωρησαι Ε. τοτε βαρν.

παραλαβων τ. μ. επλευσεν D.

and note.] 35.] διδάσκοντες, to those who had received it,—εὐαγγελιζόμενοι, to those who had not.

36—CH. XVIII. 22.] PAUL'S SECOND MISSIONARY JOURNEY (unaccompanied by Barnabas, on account of a difference between them) THEOUGH ASIA MINOR TO MACEDONIA AND GREECE, AND THENCE BY SEA, TOUCHING AT EPHESUS, TO JE-

RUSALEM AND BACK TO ANTIOCH.

36. μετὰ δὲ τινας ἡμ.] How long, we are not informed: but perhaps (?) during this time took place that visit of Peter to Autioch mentioned Gal. ii. 11 ff. when he sacrificed his Christian consistency and better persuasions to please some Jadizers, and even Barnabas was led away with the dissimulation. On this occasion Paul boldly rebuked him. Sec, on the whole occurrence, notes to Gal. 1. c.

δή, see above, ch. xiii. 2. ἐν αῖς, because πᾶσαν πόλιν involves a plurality: so Xen. Mem. i. 2. 62, ἐἀν τις φανερός γένηται... τούτοις θάνατός ἐστιν ἡ ζημία:

cf. Herm. ad Viger. p. 40. 38. ήξίου] Not as Vulg. 'rogabat:' but 'æquum censebat,' as Beza. It gives Paul's refusal in the strongest manner. The position of the accusatives also forcibly expresses his decided rejection of one who had not dared to face the dangers of the untried country before. But Paul thought proper (as to) one who had fallen off from them from Pamphylia, and had not gone with them to the work, not to take with them that man. We may well believe that Paul's own mouth gave originally the character to the sentence. τὸν ἀποστ.] See ch. xiii. 13. It hence is evident that his departure was not by the authority of the Apostles (as Benson). 39. δ Παῦλος έξήτει το δίκαιον, δ Βαρνάβας το φιλάνθρωπον, Chrysostom: who also remarks on their separate journeys, - έμοι δοκεί και κατά σύνεσιν γεγενησθαι τον χωρισμόν, και πρός άλλήλους είπεῖν ὅτι ἐπειδή ἐγὼ οὐ βούλομαι, σὺ δὲ βούλει, Ίνα μη μαχώμεθα, διανειμώμεθα τοὺς τόπους. Εςτε πάνυ

40. sanlos E-gr. $\epsilon\pi.\delta\epsilon\xi\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma$ D, om $\tau\sigma\nu$ D¹: ins D⁵. rec (for $\kappa\nu\rho$.) $\theta\epsilon\nu$, with CEHL rel 36 syrr copt Chr: txt ABDN p 13. 40 am(and demid fuld tol al) sah Thl-fin. $\epsilon\sigma\nu$ D.

41. ins την bef κιλ. BD Thl-fin. at end ins παραδίδους τας εντολας των

πρεσβυτερων D demid fuld(not am tol al) syr-marg arm(not ed-1805).

Chap. XVI. 1. διελθων δε τα εθνη παυτα κατηντ. D syr-marg Cassiod. ins $\kappa a\iota$ bef εις δερβ. AB a m 13. 36. 40 syr copt. ins εις bef $\lambda v\sigma \tau$. ABN e p syr Thl-fin. exet bef ηv D: om εκει 32. 37. 57 æth. rec aft $\gamma v v$. ins $\tau u v o s$, with Ill rel Syr sah Œe Thl: om ABCDEN a k p 36. 40 vulg syr copt æth arm Chr Orig-int Jer. (13 def.) om $\iota v v \delta v a t s s$

2. *ικονι*ου ℵ.

είκοντες άλλήλοις τοῦτο ἐποίουν. Hom. xxxiv., p. 262. Yet it seems as if there were a considerable difference in the character of their setting out. Barnabas appears to have gone with his nephew without any special sympathy or approval; whereas Paul was commended to the grace of God by the assembled church. Mark afterwards received into favour by Paul, see Col. iv. 10; 2 Tim. iv. 11; and in the former of those places it would seem as if he was dependent for his reception on Paul's special commendation. Σίλαν He may perhaps have come down again to Antioch (see ver. 33) in Peter's company. We find (see above on ver. 22) a Silvanus in 1 Pet. v. 12, the bearer of that epistle to the congregations of Asia Minor. 41. Συρίαν κ. Κιλικ.] See note, ver. 23. Here we finally lose sight of Barnabas in the sacred record.

Chap. XVI. 1.] We have Derbe first, as lying nearest to the pass from Cilicia into Lycaonia and Cappadocia. Paul probably travelled by the ordinary road through the Cilician gates,' a rent or fissure in the mountain-chain of Taurus, extending from north to south through a distance of cighty miles. See various interesting particulars in C. and H. i. p. 301 ff. and notes.

ἐκεῖ] At Lystra: which, and not Derbe, was in all probability the birth-place of Timotheus: see on ch. xx. 4. This view is confirmed by ver. 2. He had probably been converted by Paul during his former visit, as he calls him his son in the Lord, 1 Cor. iv. 17; 1 Tim. i. 2; 2 Tim. i. 2; perhaps at Antioch in Pisidia, see 2 Tim. ii. 10, 11. His mother was Eunice, his grandmother Lois,—both women of well-known piety, 2 Tim. i. 5. Whether his father was a proselyte of the gate or not, is uncertain: he certainly was uncircumcised. He would be, besides his personal aptness for the work, singularly fitted to be the coadjutor to Paul, by his mixed extraction forming a link between Jews and Greeks.

2.] Some of these testimonies were probably intimations of the Spirit respectinghis fitness for the work; for Paul speaks, 1 Tim. i. 18, of τὰs προαγούσας ἐπὶ σὲ προφητείας (see ch. xiii. 1, 3). He was set apart for the work by the laying on of the hands of Paul and of the presbytery, 1 Tim. i. 1; 2 Tim. i. 6, πfer he had made a good confession before many witnesses, 1 Tim. vi. 12.
3. λαβών περιέτ.] As E. V. took and circumcised him. Every Israelite might perform the rite; see Winer, RWB., art. 'Beschneidung.'
διὰ τ. 'Ιουδ.] That he might not at once,

8tå r. 'lov8.] That he might not at once, wherever he preached, throw a stumbling-block before the Jews, by having with him one by birth a Jew, but uncircumcised. There was here no concession in doctrine at all, and no reference whatever to the duty of Timotheus himself in the matter. In the case of Titus, a Greek, he dealt

k plur. Mark Ιουδαίους τους οντας έν τοις k τόποις έκείνοις ήδεισαν ABCDE HLN a b ιώς 1 τως τους στος στος τους στος τους με τους στος πατέρα αυτού, ὅτι Ἑλλην " ὑπηοχεν. cdf εκ till.ch. γὰρ ἄπαντες τὸν πατέρα αυτού, ὅτι Ἑλλην " ὑπηοχεν. cdf εκ till.ch. κilmo αυτοίς τους τὸς πόλεις, ο παρεδίδοσαν αὐτοίς μισο αυτοίς αυτοίς αυτοίς μισο αυτοίς α

πετ. μ. στόλων καὶ πρεσβυτέρων των ἐν Ἱεροσολύμοις.

1 asc., here

(Jakevi.). 5 Αἰ μὲν οὖν ἐνολος. 5 Αί μεν ούν εκκλησίαι τεστερεούντο τη "πίστει, καί $\kappa^{(6)}_{01}$, $\kappa^{(2)}_{22}$ $^{\circ}$ έπερίσσευον τῷ ἀριθμῷ " καθ' ἡμέραν $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ διῆλθον δὲ τὴν κοίις. $\kappa^{(2)}_{01}$ $^{\circ}$ Φρυγίαν καὶ Γαλατικὴν χῶραν, $^{\circ}$ κωλύθεντες ὑπὸ τοῦ Ιλλας. $\kappa^{(2)}_{01}$

1 Macc. iii.

27. [And L. Cor. xi. 2 reff. (ch. xii. 4) p = Luke xi. 28. ch. vii. 53. xxi. 24. 1 Tio. v. 27. [And L. Cole, xii. 12 vi. 13 vi. 14 vi. 15 vi.

3. παντες CD m: txt ABEHLN p rel Chr Œc Thl. οτι ελλην ο πατηρ αυτου (corrn for simplicity) ABCN a m 13. 36. 40 sah Thl-fin: txt DEHL rel Chr Œc Thl-

4. for ver, διερχομενοι δε τας πολεις εκηρυσσον και παρεδιδοσαν αυτοις μετα πασης παρρησιας τον $\overline{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{v}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{v}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{v}}$ αμα παραδιδοντές και τας εντολας αποστ. $(\tau \omega \mathbf{v} \ \alpha \pi. \ \mathrm{D}^{5})$ κ. πρέσβ. τ. εν ιερ. D: aft τας πυλ. ins κηρυσσοντές μετα πασ. παρ. τ. κυρ. ιησ. χρ. syr-marg. ree παρεδιδουν, with HL rel 36 Chr: παρεδιδουσαν C: txt ABDEN p 13.

ree ins των bef πρεσβ. (corrn for uniformity), with EHL rel Chr: om ABCDN a p 13 rec ιερουσαλημ, with EHL Chr: txt ABCDN a p 13 vulg Thl-fin.

περιεσσευον Ε 3. 65. 951 Chr-mss. 5. om τη πιστ. D.

6. rec διελθοντες, with L rel vulg(transeuntes . . vetati sunt) Chr Œe Thl: txt ABCDEN a c d e k m p 13, 36, 40 syr copt arm Epiph Did. rec ins The bef yal.

otherwise, no such reason existing: Gal. ii. 3. 4. τὰς πόλ.] Iconium, and perhaps Antioch in Pisidia. He might at Iconium see the elders of the church of Antioch, as he did afterwards those of Ephesus at Miletus. If he went to Antioch, he might regain his route into Phrygia and Galatia by crossing the hills east of that city. 5.] This general notice, with μέν οδν, like those at ch. ix. 31, xii. 24, marks the opening of a new section.

6-9.] This very cursory notice of a journey in which we have reason to think so much happened,—the founding of the Galatian and Phrygian churches (see ch. xviii. 23, where we find him, on his second visit, στηρίζων πάντας τοὺς μαθητάς); the sickness of the Apostle alluded to Gal. iv. 13; the working of miracles and imparting of the Spirit mentioned Gal. iii. 5; the warmth and kindness of feeling shewn to Paul in his weakness, Gal. iv. 14, 15,seems to shew that the narrator was not with him during this part of the route; an inference which is remarkably confirmed by the sudden resumption of circumstantial detail with the use of the first person, at 6. Ppuyiar There were ver. 10. two tracts of country called by this name: 'Phrygiam utramque (alteram ad Hellespontum, majorem alteram vocant) Eumeni restituerunt.' Livy, xxxviii. 39.

It is with 'Phrygia Major' that we are here concerned, which was the great central space of Asia Minor, yet retaining the name of its earliest inhabitants, and on account of its being politically subdivided among the contiguous provinces, impossible to define accurately (see C. and H. i. p. 280, note 1). The Apostle's route must remain very uncertain. It is probable that he may have followed the great road (according to his usual practice and the natural course of a missionary journey) from Iconium to Philomelium and perhaps as far as Synnada, and thence struck off to the N.E. towards Pessinus in Galatia. That he visited Colossæ, in the extreme S.W. of Phrygia, on this journey, as supposed by some, and maintained with some ingenuity by Mr. Lewin (Life and Epistles of St. Panl i. 191 ff.), is very improbable (see Wieseler,

Chron. d. Apostgsch. pp. 28 ff.). Γαλατικήν χ.] The midland district, known as Galatia, or Gallo-græcia, was inhabited by the descendants of those Gauls who invaded Greece and Asia in the third century B.C., and after various incursions and wars, settled and became mixed with the Greeks in the centre of Asia Minor. They were known as a brave and freedom-loving people, fond of war, and either on their own or others' account, almost always in arms, and generally as cavalry. Jerome άγίου πνεύματος 2 λαλῆσαι τὸν 2 λόγον έν τῷ ΄Ασία, 2 κ. κ. l. l9 ref., 2 α έλθόντες δὲ 3 κατὰ τὴν Μυσίαν 6 έπείραζον είς τὴν 2 κις μπικ. Γιν επικ. Γι

(corrn for uniformity), with EHL 36 Epiph Did: om ABCDN p 13 Cæs. ins μηδενι bef λαλησαι D. aft τον λ. ins του θεου D vulg-ed spec syr copt.

7. for ελθοντες, γενομενην D¹: -νοι D². rec oni δε, with HL rel Chr (Ec Thl: ins ABCDEN a b¹ d k m p 13. 36. 40 Syr coptt Ath Epiph Did. for επειρ., ηθελαν D Syr. rec (for εις) κατα (perhaps merely a mislake, occasioned by κατα τ. μυσ. before: if an intentional alteration, the reason is not clear), with HL rel Œc Thl-sif: txt ABCDEN c k m p 13. 40 Epiph Chr Cyr Thl-fin. on 2nd την D. rec πορευεσθαι (corrn for the less usual inf. aor.), with CDHL 13 rel Chr Œc Thl-sif: txt ABCN m p 13. 60 Eyil Thl-fin. rec on 1ησου (see note), with HL rel sah Chr Œc Thl; κυριου C¹ demid: txt ABCPDEN m p 1 13. 36. 40 vulg syrr copt æth arm

8. διελθουτες D. κατηντησαν D-gr. 9. εν οραματι D-gr E-lat Syr. om δ

Jer Orig-int Vig.

(in the introduction to book ii. of his comm. on Galatians, p. 429) says that their speech was like that of the Germans in the neighbourhood of Treves: and perhaps Λυκαονιστί, ch. xiv. 11, spoken of the neighbouring district, may refer to this peculiar dialect. But Greek was extensively spoken. They were conquered by the consul Cn. Manlius Vulso, 189 B.C. (Livy xxxviii. 12, see 1 Macc. viii. 2), but retained their own governors, called as before tetrarchs, and afterwards kings (for one of whom, Deiotarus, a protégé of Pompey's, Cicero pleaded before Cæsar); their last king, Amyntas, passed over from Antony to Augustus in the battle of Actium. Galatia, after his murder, A.D. 26, became a Roman province. The principal cities were Ancyra, -which was made the metropolis of the province by Augustus,--Tavium, and Pessinus: in all, or some of which, the Apostle certainly preached. He was detained here on account of sick-

See further in Prolegg, to Gal. § ii. κωλυθέντες] By some special intimation, like that in ch. xiii. 2. 'Aσ(a] This name, applied at first to the district near the river Cayster in Lyduin (γοτίφ ψιλειμώνι, Καϋστρίου ἀμφὶ βέεθρα, Hom. II. β. 461), came to have a meaning more and more widely extended, till at last it cmbraced, as at present, the whole vast continent, forming one of the quarters of the globe. But we never find this meaning in Scripture. The Asia of the Acts is not even our Asia Minor,—which name is not used till Orosius (i. 2, p. 16) in the fourth century Λ.D.,—but only a portion of the western coast of that great peninsula. (A

ness (δι' ἀσθένειαν τῆς σαρκός, Gal. iv. 13).

om δια C. rec ins της, with CEHLN p 13
his full account of the history of the territory that and its changes of extent will be found in ans perperperson of the territory pp. 32—35. I confine myself to its invite the this Roman province of Asia,—Asia Propria, rely Plin. v. 28,—as spoken of in the Acts, coniculudes only Mysia, Lydia, and Caria, civy excluding Phrygia (ch. ii. 9 and here: intelled by the province of Asia,—Sia Pinghylia, Lydia, see ch. xix. 26, &c. pamphylia, Lydia, See ch. xix. 26, &c. pamphylia, Lydia, See ch. xix. 26, &c. province (senatorial: Hadrian, whose faprovince (senatorial: Hadrian, whose faprovince)

vourite province it was, took it from the senate). When they were come to (i. e. to the horders of) Mysia, they attempted to go into B. The expression πν. Ίησοῦ is remarkable, as occurring in all the great MSS., and from its peculiarity bearing almost unquestionable trace of genuineness,—the idea being quite untenable that the word '1ησοῦ has been inserted here, and nowhere else, on doetrinal grounds. If the report of this journey came from an unusual source, an unusual expression would be accountable. 8. παρελθόντες must from the context mean 'having passed by,' i. e. as regarded their work of preaching (cf. ch. xx. 16),-and not 'having passed by' as avoiding it; for they could not get to the coast without entering Mysia. I adhere to this interpretation, not withstanding what has been said against it by Dr. Bloomfield (Gr. Test. edn. 9). For this sense of παρέρχομαι, which is not figurative at all, but involved in the literal, cf. Hom. Il. θ . 239 : Aristoph. Vesp. 636, 7: Plat. Phædr. p. 278 fin. Τρωάδα] Troas (Alexandria Troas, in ho28. 2 Cor. vi 2, from Isa, xlix. 8. Heb. ii. 18. Rev. xii 16 only, 0 l Cor. ii. 16 refl. p ch. xiii. 2 refl. m - ch. xiii. 8 reff.

rel Chr: om A2(and appy A1) BD 40. rec ωφθη bef τω παυλω, with ACD1HL rel 36 syrr Chr: txt B D-corr EX m p 13. 40 vulg. ins wser bef anno D Syr sah. ree τις bef μακεδων, with HL rel syrr Chr: txt ABCDEN m p 13 Thl-fin.rec aft ανηρ τις ins ην, with HL rel Chr Œc Thl-sif: aft μακεδων τις ABCD X a m p 13 Thl-fin (these variations of position shew the word to be spurious, inserted to fill up the imagined constr, it not being observed that ανηρ &c is in apposn with οραμα): om aft εστως ins κατα το προςωπον αυτου D D1E 3. 47. 951. 103 Syr copt æth arm. syr-w-ast sah. ins και bef παρακαλων (supplementary corrn) ABCEN a p 13 vnlg

syrr æth: om DHL rel copt Chr2 Œc Thl. 10. for ως to στι, διεγερθείς συν διηγησατό το οραμα ημίν και ενοησαμέν στι D, simly εξητησαμεν(sic) N. om την (for uniformity with εις μακ. above : but

om autov D.

nour of Alex. the Great : now Eski Stamboul) was a colony juris Italici (see on ver. 12), and a free city, and was not reckoned as belonging to either of the provinces, Asia or Bithynia. Whether it was for this reason that Paul and his companions visited it, is uncertain. He may have had the design of crossing to Europe, if permitted, which the subsequent vision confirmed.

See ch. xx. 5; 2 Cor. ii. 12; 2 Tim. iv. 13. 9. The vision seems to have appeared in the same way as that sent to Peter in ch. x. It was an unreal apparition, designed to convey a practical meaning. The context precludes our understanding it as a dream. Μακεδών] known probably by the affecting words spoken by him. There would hardly be any peculiarity of dress by which a Macedonian could be recognized. 10. έξητήσαμεν] by immediate enquiry for a ship. This word is remarkable as the introduction of the first person in the narrative: which however is dropped at ch. xvi. 40, on Paul's leaving Philippi, and resumed again, ch. xx. 5, on occasion of sailing from Philippi. Thence it continues (in all places where we have reason to expect it: see below) to the end of the book. On the question, what is implied by this, we may remark, (1) That while we safely conclude from it that the writer was in company with Paul when he thus speaks, we cannot with like safety infer that he was not, where the third person is used. This latter must be determined by other features of the history. For it is conceivable that a narrative, even where it concerns all present, might be, in its earlier parts, written as of others in the third person, but might, when more intimacy had been established, or even by preference only, be at any point changed to the first. And again, the episodes where the chief person alone, or with his principal companion or companions, is concerned, would be many, in which the narrator would use the third person, not because he was not present, but because he was not concerned. This has not been enough attended to. If it be thought fanciful, I may refer to an undonbted instance in the episode, ch. xxi. 17, γενομένων ήμων είς Ίερ., to ch. xxvii. 1, ώς δὲ ἐκρίθη τ. ἀποπλείν $\eta \mu \hat{a}s, \ldots;$ during the whole of which time the writer was with or in the neighbourhood of Paul, and drops the we, merely because he is speaking of Paul alone. (2) One objection raised by De Wette to the common view, that Luke accompanied Paul from this time (except as above), is, that several times Paul's companions are mentioned, but Luke is never among them. On examining however one of the passages where this is done, we find that after the enumeration of Sopater, Aristarchus, Secundus, Gaius, Timotheus, Tychieus, and Trophimus, we are told, οὖτοι προελθόντες ἔμενον ἡμᾶς ἐν Τρωάδι: so that the writer evidently regards himself as being closely associated with Paul, and does not think it requisite to enumerate himself among the companions of the Apostle. This may serve as a key to his practice on other occasions. On the whole, and after careful consideration of the subject, I see no reason to doubt the common view, that Luke here joined the Apostle (whether, as Wieseler suggests, as a physician, on account of his broken health, must of course be matter of conjecture, but is not improbable), and from this time (except from ch. xvii. 1xx. 5), accompanies him to the end of the history. See the question of the authorό θεὸς q εὐαγγελίσασθαι αὐτούς. 11 rἀναχθέντες 5 δὲ q contr., ch. vii. 25 ref. 2 ατὸ Τρωάδος 5 εὐθυδρομήσαμεν είς 5 Σαμοθράκην, 7 7 τε 5 τε 5 κιι. 31. 15 επισύση είς Νεάπολιν, 12 έκειθέν τε είς 5 Φιλίππους, 5 κιι. 31. 32 επισύση της 7 μερίδος τῆς Μακεδονίας πόλις, 8 κο- 18 κιι. 31. 16 επισής 18 γεν. 32 ref. Ezek, giv. 7. 8 where only 1 γ veh. viii. 21 ref. Ezek, giv. 7. 8 where only 1 γ veh. viii. 21 ref. Ezek, giv. 7.

that was the first this the second mention) BCELN a k p 13 Thl-sif: ins AH rel Ce Thl-fin. rec (for θ eo) keppos, with DHL rel syrs sh Chr (EThl-sif) fren-int: txt ABCEN a l p 18.36 vulg copt ath Thl-fin Jer. across A

13 Thl-sif: τους εν τη μακεδ. D.

11. rec for $\delta\epsilon$, ow (corrn to suit the sequence on the foregoing ver), with BCHL rel 36 ϵ mappior α 20. (avag. D⁵) amo D 137 syr-marg. rec ins τ ny sbe τ posobos, with HL rel Thdrt CE Thl: om ABCDEN c m p 13. 10 Chr. for τ n τ s, τ n $\delta\epsilon$ (alteration of the characteristic τ e, which now, in Luke's own narrative, begins to be again very frequent) ABCELN a b c k m o p 13 syr coptt: κ ai τ n D: txt II rel vulg Syr ath Chr GE Thl. aft ϵ miour of the properties ϵ 12. which also be above) A B(sic: see table) CDEN a m p 13. 36 Thl-fiu:

12. kakesfer (alteration, see above) A B(sic: see table) CDER a m p 13. 36 Thl-fin: ex. δ e L 137 syr sah: txt H rel vulg Syr copt axth Chr Ge Thl-sif. for $\pi \rho \omega \tau$., kefaly D Syr. om 1st $\tau \eta$ s B: om $\tau \eta$ s μ ep. D c 14! 96. 105. 137. 142 syrr axth Cliff: μ epis E-gr. on 2nd $\tau \eta$ s (to make the sense cleaver: μ akesforms $\pi \delta \lambda$. expressing 'Macedonian city' better than $\tau \eta$ s μ akesforms $\pi \delta \lambda$.) ACER a in p 10: ins

ship of the Acts further discussed in the Prolegg. § i. 12-14. 11.] They had a fair wind on this occasion: in ch. xx. 6, the voyage in the opposite direction took five days. This is also implied by εὐθυδρομήσαμεν: see ref., where it has the same sense, viz., ran before the wind. The coincidence of their going to Samothrace also shews it: determining the wind to have been from the S. or S.S.E. It is only a strong southerly breeze which will overcome the current southwards which runs from the Dardanelles by Tenedos (C. and H. i. p. 336): and this, combined with the short passage, is another mark of the veracity of our narrative. They seem to have anchored N. of the lofty island of Samothrace, under its lee. είς Νεάπολιν] In an E. by N. direction, past the island of Thasos. It was not properly in Macedonia, but in Thrace, and twelve (ten, C. and H. i. 339, from the Jerusalem Itinerary) Roman miles from Philippi, which was the frontier town of Macedonia strictly speaking: see below. It was by Vespasian, together with the whole of Thrace, attached to the province of Macedonia (Winer, RWB.). Some Roman ruins and inscriptions serve to point out the Turkish village of Cavallo as its site. As regards the reading, τε or δέ, at the end of the verse, and ἐκεῖθέν τε or κἀκεῖθεν in ver. 12, I have retained Te in both places; because in the first D has kal for it, and in the second, B. These variations very much invalidate the testimony of the MSS., and render it probable that the characteristic VOL. 11.

τε is original in both places. 12.

Φιλίππους] Philippi was built as a military position on the site of the village Krenides (also called Datos, Αρρίαη, Βell. Civ. iv. 105, οἱ δὲ Φίλιποι πόλο ἐ στιν, ἡ Δάτος ἀνομάζετο πάλαι, καὶ Κρηνίδες ἔτι πρὸ Δάτου κρῆναι γάρ εἰσι περὶ τῷ λόφο ψαμάτων πολλαί, by Philip the Great of Macedon. The plain between the Gaugites, on which the town is situate, and the Strymon, was the field of the celebrated battle of Antony and Octavius against Brutus and Cassius (cf. Dio Cassius, xlvii. 41 ff.: Appian, ubi supra): see more below. There is now an insignificant place on its site retaining the name Filiba (or Philippigi?). Winer, RWB.

πρώτη της μερίδος της Μακεδονίας πόλις] The first Macedonian city of the district. It was the first Macedonian city to which Paul and his companions came in that district,—Neapolis properly belonging to Thrace. And this epithet of πρώτη would belong to it not only as regarded the journey of Paul and Silas, but as Wieseler remarks (Chron. d. Apgsch. p. 37, note) as lying furthest eastward, for which reason also the district was called Macedonia prima, though furthest from Rome. The other explanations are, (1) 'chief city,' as E.V. But this it was not: Thessalonica being the chief city of the whole province, and Amphipolis of the division (if it then subsisted) of Macedonia prima:—(2) πρώτη is taken as a title of honour (Hug, Kuin., De Wette), as we find in the coins of Pergamus and Smyrna

λωνία. ημεν δε έν ταύτη τη πόλει * διατοίβοντες * ημέρας ABCDE x ch. xii. 19 λ ωνία. ἦμεν δὲ ἐν ταύτη τἦ πόλει $^{×}$ διατρίβοντες γ ἡμέρας ABCDP reft. Y τινάς, 13 τῆ τε z ἡμέρα τῶν z σαββάτων a ἐξήλθομεν a ἔξω στις chairs. In this xii. Συσι τῆς b πύλης παρὰ ποταμόν, οὖ c ἐνομίζετο d προςευχὴ εἶναι, $^{p \cdot 13}$ Χ.Χ. 8. κει a

BDHL Chr (Ee Thl. (13 def.) $\eta \mu \eta \nu$ D¹: txt D¹. for $\tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta$, $\alpha \nu \tau \eta$ D-corr HL rel Chr (Ee Thl: txt ABCD¹EN a k p 13. 36 Syr.— $\tau \eta$ bef $\alpha \nu \tau \eta$ b o.

13. for $\tau \epsilon$, $\delta \epsilon$ D a c o 13 vulg E-lat syr coptt Thl. rec for $\pi \nu \lambda \eta s$, $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ (perhaps a margl $\exp l$ of $\tau \eta s$, $\pi \omega \eta s$, $\tau \rho s \lambda \omega s$) rethereone Chr: txt ABCDN ap 13. 40 vulg coptt. ins $\tau \nu \nu$ bef $\pi \sigma \tau a \mu$. D 1 142 Thl-sif. ενομιζομεν ABC 13. 40 copt ath-rom (-αμεν C): ενομιζεν : εδοκει D Epiph (altera-

tions from misunderstanding: see note): putabant arm: videbatur vulg: txt A1(appy) EHL rel 36 Chr Œe Thl. προσευχην Α2CN p 13. 40 copt æth: ευχη m 99: txt

(but not in the case of any city out of Asia Minor): (3) πόλις κολων. are united (Grot.),—'the first city which was a colony.' But there could be no reason for stating this: whereas there would be every reason to particularize the fact that they tarried and preached in the very first city to which they came, in the territory to donia prima, secunda, &c., made long before this by Æmilius Paulus (Livy, xlv. 29), still subsisted; this however is not necessary: uepls might be merely a geographical subdivision. Dr. Wordsworth finds his solution of the difficulty in "the Hellenistic sense of the word µερίs, viz. a frontier or strip of border land, that by which it (?) is divided from some other adjacent territory: see Ezek, xlv. 7." But this supposed sense may be questioned. Certainly in the place cited µspis has no such meaning. It there represents הלק, which is merely a part or κολωνία] Philippi was made a colonia by Augustus, as a memorial of his victory over Brutus and Cassius, and as a frontier garrison against Thrace. Its full name on the coins of the city was Colonia Augusta Julia Philippensis. A Roman colony was in fact a portion of Rome itself transplanted to the provinces (Aulus Gellius, xvi. 13, calls them 'ex civitate quasi propagatæ-populi Romani quasi effigies parvæ simulacraque'). The colonists consisted of veteran soldiers and freedmen, who went forth, and determined and marked out their situation, with all religious and military ceremonies. The inhabitants of the coloniæ were Roman citizens, and were still enrolled in one or other of the tribes, and possessed the privilege of voting at Rome. In them the Roman law was strictly observed, and the Latin language was used on their coins and inscriptions. They were governed by their own senate and magistrates (Dumm-viri, as the consuls at Rome: see on στρατηγοί below, ver. 20), and not by the

governor of the province. The land on which they stood was tributary, as being provincial, unless liberated from tribute by the special favour of the jus Italicum, or Quiritarian ownership of the soil. This Philippi possessed, in common with many other colonia and favoured provincial towns. The population of such places came in process of time to be of a mixed character: but only the descendants of the original colonists by Roman wives, or women of a people possessing the civitas, were Roman citizens. Hence new supplies of colonists were often necessary. See article 'Colonia' in Smith's Dict. of Antt., and C. and H. i. pp. 341, f. ἐν ταύτη τῆ πόλει] In this city,—as distinguished from the suburban place of prayer to which they afterwards, on the Sabbath, εξηλθον έξω της πύλης. Perhaps ταύτη may have been changed to $\alpha \hat{\nu} \tau \hat{\eta}$, to make the contrast stronger. ἐν αὐτῆ τῆ πόλει, as distinguished from έξω της πύλης, would be too strong an expression for the calm simplicity of St. Luke's narrative style. ποταμόν] a (or, the) river; viz. the small stream Gangites, or Gangas: Leake, p. 217, cited by C. and H. i. 341; not, as Meyer and De Wette, the Strymon, the nearest point of which was many miles distant. The name Krenides, formerly borne by the city, was derived from the fountains of this stream. From many sources we learn, that it was the practice of the Jews to hold their assemblies for prayer near water, whether of the sea, or of rivers : probably on account of the frequent washings cus-tomary among them. Thus a decree of the Halicarnasseans in Joseph. Antt. xiv. 10. 23, allows the Jews τας προςευχάς ποιείσθαι πρὸς τῆ θαλάσση κατὰ τὸ πάτριον ἔθος. Thus Juvenal, speaking of the 'madida Capena' at Rome, adds, 'Nunc sacri fontis nemus, et delubra locantur Judæis,' iii. 13. And Tertullian, de Jejuniis, ch. 16, vol. ii. p. 976, 'Judaieum certe jejunium ubique celebratur, quum omissis templis per omne καὶ $^{\rm c}$ καθίσαντες έλαλοῦμεν ταῖς $^{\rm f}$ συνελθούσαις γυναιζίν. $^{\rm cabs}$ καθίς και τις γυνὴ ὀνόματι Λυδία, $^{\rm g}$ πορφυρόπωλις πόλεως $^{\rm cabs}$ και τις γυνὴ ὀνόματι Λυδία, $^{\rm g}$ πορφυρόπωλις πόλεως $^{\rm cabs}$ και τις γυνὴ ἀρείαν τὸν $^{\rm i}$ θεόν, ἤκουεν, ῆς ὁ κύριος $^{\rm k}$ διὴνοιζεν τὴν καρδίαν $^{\rm i}$ προςέχειν τοῖς λαλουμένοις ὑπο τοῦ Παύλου. $^{\rm i}$ $^{\rm i}$ δις δὲ ἐβαπτίσθη, καὶ ὁ $^{\rm m}$ οἴκος αὐτῆς, $^{\rm i}$ και και τοῦν Παύλου. $^{\rm i}$ δις δὲ ἐβαπτίσθη, καὶ $^{\rm o}$ ποίκος αὐτῆς, $^{\rm i}$ τοῦν Γραίαν και $^{\rm i}$ διείαν και τοῦν κεκρίκατέ με $^{\rm p}$ πιστὴν $^{\rm i}$ τοὶ και και $^{\rm i}$ διείαν και $^{\rm i}$ δια $^{$ $\begin{array}{ll} l=ch \ \ viii.\ 6\ reff, \\ o=ch,\ xiii.\ 46\ reff, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} m=ch,\ x.\ 2\ reff, \\ p\ here\ only,\ see\ l\ Cor,\ iv.\ 17. \end{array}$

A1(appy) BEHL rel 36. συνεληλυθυιαις D. aft συνελθ. add ημιν CE N1(N3

disapproving) wth.

14. ins της bef πολεως D. ins ητις bef ηκ. E. ηкои**σ**єν D¹-gr L a c k 13 vulg Chr Œe Thl-fin. om του BD.

15. ins αυτη bef κ. ο οικ. Εκ3 d h 36, 38, 93, 97, 106-marg 113, 177, 180 demid fuld syrr sah arm Chr. ins πas bef o οικ. D a 43. 69 æth.

litus quocumque in aperto aliquando jam precem ad colum mittunt.' And ad Nationes, i. 13, vol. i. p. 579, he speaks of the 'orationes litorales' of the Jews. See also Philo in Flace. § 14, vol. ii. p. 535.

οῦ ἐνομ. προς. εἶναι Where a meeting for prayer was accustomed to be: i. e. 'where prayer was wont to be made,' as E. V. That this is the meaning here, is plain from the use of evouisero elvas, which could certainly not be said if the προςευχή were in this case a building dedicated to prayer. Were there no such qualification, we should understand the word of a mposευκτήριον or synagogue, as frequently used: τινας δε οίκους εαυτοίς κατασκευάσαντες ή τόπους πλατείς φόρων δίκην, προςευχάς ποιους κάλουν και ήσαν μέν το παλαίον προςευχών τόποι έν τε τοις Ιουδαίοις έξω πόλεως, και έν τοις Σαμαρείταις. Epi-phanius, Hær. 80, § 1, p. 1067: and again, soon after, άλλὰ καὶ προςευχης τόπος έν Σικίμοις, εν τη νυνί καλουμένη Νεαπόλει, έξω της πόλεως, εν τη πεδιάδι, ως από σημείων δύο, θεατροειδής, ούτως ἐν ἀέρι κ. αἰθρίω τόπω έστὶ κατασκευασθείς, ύπο των Σαμαρειτών πάντα τὰ τῶν Ἰουδαίων μιμουμένων. Josephus, Vit. p. 54, says, συνάγονται πάντες είς την προςευχήν, μέγιστον οίκημα πολύν ύχλον ἐπιδέξασθαι δυνάμενον.

The $\pi \rho o s \in \nu \chi \eta$ here was probably one of the open places spoken of in the above extracts from Epiph. The close of the verse also agrees best with an open place of resort. There seem to have been few, if any, Jews in Philippi: this assembly consisting merely of women attached to the Jewish faith. We hear of no opposition arising from Jews. There appears (ch. xyii. 1) to have been no synagogue.

14. πορφυρόπωλις The guild of dyers (υί βαφείς) at Thyatira have left inscriptions, still existing, shewing the accuracy of our narrative. The celebrity of the purple

dyeing of the neighbourhood is as old as Homer: ώς δ' ότε τίς τ' ελέφαντα γυνή φοίνικι μιήνη Μηονίς ή Κάειρα, παρήϊον φοινικι μετηνη τημοτού. ξμμεναι Ίππων, Il. δ. 141. So also Clau-dian, de Raptu Proserp. i. 270: 'non sic decus ardet eburnum Lydia Sidonio quod fæmina tinxerit ostro' (Lewin, i. 242).

Thyatira was a city of the province of Asia. Thus, although forbidden to preach the word in Asia, their first convert at Philippi is an Asiatic. Lydia is a proper name, not 'ita dieta a solo natali,' as Grot.: though its origin may have been that. It was a common female name. See Hor. Od. i. 8; iii. 9. A proselyte; see reff. N. T. ήκουεν, was listening,—when διήνοιξεν, the act of God, took place. διήνοιξεν] 'cor clausum per se: sed Dei est id aperire.' Bengel. τ. λαλουμένοις] It appears rather to have been consumer to have been consumer. rather to have been a conversation (ἐλαλοῦμεν, we spoke—and not τον λόγον) than a set discourse: the things which Paul was saying. έβαπτ., κ. ὁ οἶκος auτ. It may be (as Meyer maintains) that no inference for infant-baptism is hence deducible. The practice, however, does not rest on inference, but on the continuity and identity of the covenant of grace to Jew and Christian, the sign only of admission being altered. The Apostles, as Jews, would have proposed to administer baptism to the children, and Jewish or proselyte converts would, as matter of course, have acceded to the proposal; and that the practice thus by universal consent, tacitly (because at first unquestioned) pervaded the universal church, can hardly with any reason be doubted. See note on 1 Cor. vii. 14. εἰ κεκρίκατε] If ye have judged me; modestly alluding to the decision respecting her faithfulness implied by their baptizing her, and assuming that such a judgment had been passed. Similarly el

for κυριω, θεω D-gr wth. *μένετε (corrn to more usual?) ABDEN p 13:

μεινατε CHL rel 36 Chr Œc Thl. υμας Χ1.

16. rec om $\tau\eta\nu$, with DHL rel Chr: ins ABCEN p 13. 40 Orig Thl-fin. ocouran N!. rec $\pi\nu\theta\omega\omega\sigma$ s (see node), with D-corri EHL 13. 36 rel tol Chr: txt ABC'D'N p vulg Orig Ambr. rec $\pi\alpha\pi\nu\tau\eta\sigma\omega$ i, with ADHL rel Chr: txt BCEN p 13. 36 Orig. $\nu_{\mu\nu}$ N'. $\pi\omega\rho\epsilon\nu_{\tau}\sigma\sigma$ C. for $\alpha\nu\tau\eta$, δ in $\tau\sigma\nu\tau\sigma$ D (and lat). 17. $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\kappa\sigma\delta\sigma\nu\theta\sigma\sigma$ B D-gr N 36. 180. om $\tau\omega$ B Orig. ins κ at bef $\epsilon\kappa\rho\sigma\zeta$.

D¹-gr: $\epsilon \kappa \rho a \langle \mathbf{o} \mathbf{v} \ D^1$ -gr: $\operatorname{txt} D^3$, om $a \nu \theta \rho \rho m o i \ D^1$ (and lat^1) Lucif: ins D³-for $\kappa a \tau a \gamma \gamma$, $\epsilon u a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda (\rho \sigma \tau \epsilon D^1)$, rec $u_{\mu\nu}$ (alteration, as better suiting the person speaking), with BD E-gr N a b o 36 vulg syrr $\epsilon t h$ -pl Th $d \tau_3$: $\operatorname{txt} A C^2 H L$ p(sic) 13 rel E-lat coptt $\epsilon t h$ -rom Orig Chr Th $d \tau h$ -ms Eustath (Ee Thl Lucif.

18. om 1st δε H sah. om ο ABX: ins CDEHL rel 36 Chr.—επιστρ. δε ο π. τω

πμεῖς ἀνακρινόμεθα, ch. iv. 9.

This happened on other occasions; not on the same day, as Heinrichs and Kuinoel fancy. In that case (besides other objections), if they had gone back from the house of Lydia to the place of prayer, the word would certainly have been ἐξελθόντων, and not πορενομένων. In ver. 15 is implied their taking up their abode with Lydia:—
in this verse that they habitually resorted to this place of prayer to teach, and that what follows happened on such occasions.

It may be remarked that the E. V. of πορευομένων εἰς (τὴν) προςευχήν, 'as we went to prayer,' has given rise to a curious abuse of the expression 'going to prayer,' in the sense of 'beginning to pray,' among the lower classes in England. σαν πνεθμα πύθωνα On the whole subject of demoniacal possession, see note on Matt. viii. 32. This was a case in which the presence of the spirit was a patent fact, recognized by the heathen possessors and consulters of this female slave, and by them turned to account; and recognized also by the Christian teachers, as an instance of one of those works of the devil which their Lord came, and commissioned them, to destroy. All attempt to explain away such a narrative as this by the subterfuges of rationalism (as e. g. in Meyer, and even Lewin, i. 213, and apparently

Hackett, p. 222), is more than ever futile. The fact of the spirit leaving the girl, and the masters finding the hope of their gains gone, is fatal: and we may see, notwithstanding all his attempts to account for it psychologically, that Meyer feels it to be πύθωνα Plut. de Defectu Oracul. p. 414, says ώς περ τους έγγαστριμύθους Εὐρυκλέας (from a prophet, Eurycles), πάλαι, νυνί Πύθωνας προςαγορευομένους. It is difficult to decide internally between the probabilities of πύθωνα and πύθωνος:
I have retained the ancient reading, both from its external authority, and because I find so many Commentators explaining $\pi \dot{\nu} \theta \omega \nu$ to be a name of Apollo, or the serpent Python, that the alteration into the gen. may thus be easily accounted Dr. Wordsworth has an interesting note on the probable reason for this new term appearing in the narrative, now that St. Paul is brought directly into contact with Greek and Roman divination.

17.] **epage*, used to cry out: several occasions are referred to. The recognition of Paul and his company here by the spirit is strictly analogous to that of our Lord by the demons, Matt. viii. 29; Luke iv. 31: and the same account to be given of both: viz. that the evil spirit knew and confessed the power of God and His Christ, whether in His own Person or that of His

καὶ "έπιστρέψας τῷ πνεύματι εἶπεν " Παραγγέλλω σοι "έν m = ch. is. 40. ονόματι Ίησοῦ χριστοῦ "έξελθεῖν ἀπ' αὐτῆς. καὶ "έξῆλθεν \\ \text{constr.} γε αὐτῷ τῷ "ῷρᾳ. \\ \frac{10}{10} ιδόντες δὲ οἱ \\ \frac{5}{10} κύριοι αὐτῆς ὅτι έξ \\ \frac{10}{10} κιν. \\ \frac{10}{10} ιδιν. \\ \frac{10} ιδιν. \\ \frac{10}{10} ιδιν. \\ \frac{10}{10} ιδιν. \\ \frac{10} ιδιν. \\ \frac{10}{10} ιδιν. \\ \fra

wit, 21, x, 21, xii, 12, xiii, 31, xx, 19 only, L. Dan, v, 5 only, Eph. i, 18, iv, 4, Col. i, 23, ex, xiv, 5, asca, ch. ix, 27 reft. t - here (John v), 41, xii, 32, xx ii, 0, xx ii, 0, 1) only, Matta, xvii, 22, 1 pet, iii, 12 pet, iii, 13 only, Cen, xlvii iv, w - here &c, 5 times only, (ch. iv, 1 reft), x here only, Pa, ixxxvii, 16, y ch. ii, 30 reft, 2 ch. xiii, 5 reft.

 $\pi\nu$. και διαπον. D. παραγγέλω C a: παραγγέλω p. rec ins $\tau\omega$ bef ν ., with DHL 13 rel Ge Thl: om ABCER c h p Eustath Ath Chr Thdrt. $\nu\alpha$ εξελθη D: εξελθ 13. for εξηλθ, $\alpha\nu\tau$, τ , ω , εψθως εξηλθ. D ath-rom.

εξελθε 13. for εξηλθ. αυτ. τ. ω., ευθεως εξηλθ. D αυτ. το Δετ. το Δετ. το Δετ. 19. και ιδοντ. B Syr æth : om δε A^1 D-lat. —ως δε είδαν οι κυρ. της πεδισκης οτι απεστερησθαι της εργ. αυτ. ης ειχαν δι αντης D. rec ins τον bef σιλ. (corrn for uniformity), with ABEHLN p 13. 36 rel Eustath Chr: om CD 1. ηλκυσαν C: εσυραν Ε.

20. προςαγαγοντας D1: txt D-corr1.

[$\epsilon \iota \pi a \nu$, so ABEHN p.]

18. διαπονηθείς Not mere annovance is expressed by this word, but rather holy indignation and sorrow at what he saw and heard; the Christian soldier was goaded to the attack, but the mere satisfaction of anger was not the object, any more than the result, of the stroke. It is doubtful here, in mere grammar, whether the dat. $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha \tau_i$ is to be constructed with επιστρέψας or with είπεν. But considering 1) that the spirit could hardly be the object of a bodily movement on the part of the Apostle, except as represented by the possessed damsel, and 2) that ἐπιστρέφω is never elsewhere found with a dative, but always with a preposition, eis or πρός or ἐπί, it is much best to take τῶ πνεύματι with εἶπεν, and believe it to be thrown forward before its verb for the sake of emphasis.

19.] Her masters (a partnership of persons, not plur, for sing. They may have been the hæredes of some one to whom she had belonged) perceived that the hope of their gain had gone out (with the dæmon). {\pi_1}....\(\vec{e}\)(7\)\(\text{Ak}\). gives the idea of force having been used. So we have 'obtorto collo ad prætorem trabor,' Plaut. Pcm. iii. 5. 45. Paul and Silas only are apprehended as having been the principal persons in the company. When De Wette says that, if Luke here were the narrator, he must say something of Timotheus, as he mentions him ch. xvii. 14, xviii. 5.—and yet holds (on vcr. 10) that Timotheus himself is the narrator, he forgets that the same reasoning will apply to kim also, if it applies at all,

which I much doubt. When two persons of a company are described as being upprehended, we do not need an express assertion to assure us that the rest were έπ. τ. άρχοντας said generally : they dragged them to the forum to the authorities.—afterwards specified as στρατ-ηγοί. 20. στρατηγοίς] The Dunnviri of the colony, of whom at Capua Cicero says, 'cum in cæteris coloniis Daumviri appellentur, hi se Prætores (στρατηγούς) appellari volebant.' De Leg. Agr. c. 34. 'Messinenses,' says Wetstein, 'etiam nune (cir. 1750) Prætorem sive Præfectum urbis Stradigo appellant.' The name, as a rendering of Prætor, had come from the Greek title of similar magistrates: so Aristotle, Politie. vii. 3, έν ταῖς μικραῖς πόλεσι μία περὶ πάντων (ἀρχή)· καλοῦσι δὲ στρατ-ηγοὺς καὶ πολεμάρχους. Τουδ. ὑπάρ-χοντες . . . 'Ρωμ. οὖσιν J The distinction between δπάρχων and ων seems to be, that the former is used of something which the speaker or narrator wishes to put forward into notice, either as unknown to his reader or hearer, or in some way to be marked by him for praise or blame: whereas the latter refers to facts known and recognized, and taken for granted by both. Thus, we may notice that, when the fact of Paul and Silas being Romans is announced to the jailor, it is not ἀνθ. 'Ρωμαίους όντας, but ὑπάρχοντας; whereas here, both parties, the speakers and the addressed, being indisputably Romans, we have 'Pωμαίοις οὐσιν. The account of this may be, that ὑπάρχω is predicated of something of which the speaker informs the

bw.pres, cb. οὐκ εξεστιν ήμιν παραδέχεσθαι οὐδε ποιείν Ρωμαίοις ABCDE (xxi. 37) τ 22 IV d τ με a b (xx.i.3/) xxxii 25. Matt. xiv. 4 ούσιν. 22 Καὶ ασυνεπέστη ο όχλος κατ' αυτών, καὶ οι cd fg h klmo Ματίν το εξέτετ. 4 ποτρατηγοί περιτές επίνεντες των δεσμοφύλακε επίνεντες των δεσμοφύλακε (που μεριτές των εξάτος το επίνεντες των δεσμοφύλακε (που μεριτές των εξάτος το επίνεντες των δεσμοφύλακε (που μεριτές των εξάτος το επίνεντες των δεσμοφύλακε (που μεριτές των εξάτος των δεσμοφύλακε το επίνεντες των εξάτος των εξάτο "στρατηγοί "περιρήξαντες αὐτῶν τὰ ἰμάτια ἐκέλευον p 13 σους τους πόδας p ησφαλίσατο αὐτῶν εἰς τὸ q ξύλον. $^{25\tau}$ κατὰ δὲ τιὶ 10 μεσονύκτιον Παῦλος καὶ Σίλας t προςευχόμενοι u μισον u μισο

21. τα εθνη D'(and lat') 151: ηθη G: sectam tol Lucif. α ουκ εξ. ημας παραδεξασθαι ουτε ποι., ρω. υπαρχουσιν D.

22. και πολυς οχλ. συνεπεστησαν κατ. αυτ. κραζοντες τοτε (και D^s) οι D. [περιρηξ., so AB¹CDEHLN p 13.]

23. for τε, δε B p 40 E-lat copt. for $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon i \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$, $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma i \lambda \alpha s$ $\tau \epsilon \aleph^1$. τηρεισθαι D.

24. for os, o δε D. rec (for λαβων) ειληφως, with HL rel Chr Œc Thl: txt ABCDEN a m p 13. 36. 40. for $\epsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \epsilon \nu$, $\epsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \nu$ A. rec αυτων bef ησφ. (corrn of order), with C2DEHL rel 36 Chr: txt ABC1N p 13: ησφαλισαντο D1. εν τω ξυλω D al.

25. om to \aleph .—κατα δε μεσον της νυκτος D^1 : txt D^3 . ins o bef maulos D b o. ins o bef oilas C. ins kar bef or δεσμ. C Orig. δεσμοι D^1 : txt D^3 .

hearer, some prior knowledge which he possessed and now imparts, - eiul being predicated of the bare matter of fact. See eh. xvii. 27, 29; xxi. 20 (for both); xxii. 3; Gal. ii. 14 al., for ὑπάρχων: and for ων, John iii. 4; iv. 9 bis; Rom. v. 10 al.

'Versute composita fuit hæc criminatio ad gravandos Christi servos: nam ab una parte obtendunt Romanum nomen, quo nihil erat magis favorabile; rursum ex nomine Judaico, quod tune infame erat (especially if the decree of Claudius, expelling them from Rome, ch. xviii. 2, had at this time been enacted) conflant illis invidiam : nam, quantum ad religionem, plus habebant Romani affinitatis cum aliis quibuslibet, quam cum gente Judaica.' Calvin.

21. ἔθη . . .] "·Dio Cassius tells us that Mæcenas gave the following advice to Augustus: - τδ μέν θείον πάντη πάντως αὐτός τε σέβου κατὰ τὰ πάτρια, καὶ τοὺς άλλους τιμάν ἀνάγκαζε τοὺς δὲ ξενίζον-τάς τι περί αὐτό και μίσει και κόλαζε and the reason is alleged, viz. that such innovations lead to secret associations, conspiracies, and cabals, ἄπερ ἥκιστα μοναρ-χία συμφέρει." (C. and H. i. p. 356.) So Julius Paulus, Sentent. v. 21. 2, cited by Wetst., 'Qui novas et usu vel ratione incognitas religiones inducunt, ex quibus

animi hominum moveantur, honestiores de-

portantur, humiliores capite premuntur.'
22.] The multitude probably cried out tumultuously, as on other occasions (see Luke xxiii. 18; ch. xix. 28, 31; xxi. 30; xxii. 22, 23),—and the duumviri, without giving them a trial (ἀκατακρίτους, ver. 37), rent off their clothes, seil. by the lietors (τοῖς ραβδούχοις ἐκέλευσαν τὴν ἐσθῆτά τε περικαταρρῆξαι και ταῖς ράβδοις τὸ σῶμα ξαίνειν, Dion. Hal. ix. 39). The form was, 'Summove, lietor, despolia, verbera.' Seneca (C. and H. i. 357). See also Livy, ii. 8; Valer. Max. ii. 28, in Wetst. Erasmus fancied that the dunmviri rent their own clothes from indignation: but, to say nothing of the improbability of such a proceeding on the part of a Roman magistrate, a man could not very well περιβρηξαι his own garments.

24. το ξύλον] Also called κάλον, ποδοκάκη, and ποδοστράβη, and in Latin, nervus: so 'noctu nervo vinctus custodibitur,' Plant. Cap. iii. 5. 71. Eusebius (v. 1, vol. ii. p. 16, ed. Heinichen) mentions, speaking of the martyrs in Gaul, τὰς ἐν τῷ ξύλῳ διατάσεις τῶν ποδῶν ἐπὶ πέμπτον διατεινομένων τρύπημα.

25. προςευχ. υμν.] Not us E. V., 'prayed and sang praises,'—but, praying, sang

δὲ y σεισμὸς ἐγένετο μέγας, ιωςτε za σαλευθηναι τὰ ab θεμέλια y — Matt. vii. τοῦ c δεσμωτηρίου c ηνεφχθησαν δὲ d παραχρημα αἰ θύροι c εξυπνος δὲ za χανίι. y . za εξυπνος δὲ za χανίι. y εξυπνος δὲ za εξυπνος za εξυπνος za εξυπνος za εξυπνος δὲ za εξυπνος za εξυπ νενόμενος ο δεσμοφύλαξ και ίδων ανεωγμένας τας been plur, θύρας της φυλακής, ^{kl} σπασάμενος την km μάχαιραν ήμελλεν zi. 10. Res εαυτὸν $^{\circ}$ ἀναιοείν, νομίζων $^{\circ}$ έκπεφευγέναι τοὺς $^{\circ}$ δεσμίους. $^{\circ}$ διαμένους. $^{\circ}$ και 14.19. $^{\circ}$ διαμένους $^{\circ}$ δε φωνησεν δὲ φωνη μεγάλη ο Παῦλος λέγων Μηδεν $^{\circ}$ Μαλίν. $^{\circ}$ Διαμένες. $^{\circ}$ Διαμένες $^{\circ}$ Διαμένες. $^{\circ}$ Διαμένες $^{\circ}$ Διαμένες. $^{\circ}$ Διαμένες $^{\circ}$ Διαμένες $^{\circ}$ Διαμένες. $^{\circ}$ Διαμένες $^{\circ}$ Διαμ πράξης σεαυτώ $\frac{1}{4}$ κακόν ἄπαντες γάρ έσμεν ένθάδε. $\frac{1}{4}$ είντησας δε $\frac{1}{4}$ φωτα είς επήδησεν, καὶ είντρομος γενό $\frac{1}{4}$ είντησες δε $\frac{1}{4}$ φωτα είς επήδησεν, καὶ είντρομος γενό $\frac{1}{4}$ είντησες δε $\frac{1}{4}$ είντησες δε

f = ch, xxii.

f = ch, xxiii.

f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.
f = ch, xxiii.

26. ree ανεωχθ., with HL rel Chr: ηνοιχθ. ΑΕΝ p 13 Orig: txt BCD m Thl-fin. ree for 2nd δε, τε (perhaps to avoid the recurrence of δε, -perhaps because the copulative is more natural), with CHL rel vss Chr: txt ABDEN n c l h k m p 13 syr om παραχρημα Β Lucif Cassiod. coptt Thl-fin. ανελυθη D'N1.

27. for εξ. δε, και εξ. D Syr æth. δεσμοφυλας(sie) N. τ. θυρ. bef ανεωγ. C vulg(not am demid fuld). ins και bef σπασ. D-gr1. ree om The, with AEHLN p2 13. 36 rel Chr: ins BCD p1. [ημέλλ., so ABCELN p.] ανελειν С1. εκπεφυγεναι Α.

28. μεγ. bef φωνη AB D-lat am coptt.—παυλος bef μεγ. φων. B. om o BC18 13 Thl-sif. ins τι bef κακον D-gr. ποιησης Ε.

29. φωτα δε ετησας D. for γεν., υπαρχων C1 D-gr e k2 40. aft mposem. ins προς τους ποδας D1 vulg Syr syr-w-ob sah Lucif. ree ins τω bef σιλα (corrn for uniformity), with AC2EHLR p 13. 36 rel: om BC1D.

30. κ. προηγαγεν αυτ. εξω D: add τους λοιπους ασφαλισαμένος και(om και D-corr) D syr-w-ast. - προαγων N'. for εφη, ειπεν αυτοις D coptt.

praises, or in their prayers, were singing praises. The distinction of modern times between prayer and praise, arising from our attention being directed to the shape rather than to the essence of devotion, was unknown in these days: see Col. iv. 2.

'Nihil erus sentit in nervo, quum animus in cœlo est.' Tertullian ad Martyres, c. 2, vol. i. p. 623. The imperfects shew that they were singing, and the prisoners (in the outer prison) listening, when the earthquake happened.

26. πάντων τὰ δεσμὰ ἀνέθη] i. e. of all the prisoners in the prison: see below (ver. 28), άπαντες γάρ έσμεν ένθάδε. Doubtless there were gracious purposes in this for those prisoners, who before were listening to the praises of Paul and Silas; and the very form of the narrative, mentioning this listening, shews subsequent communication between some one of these and the narrator. Their chains were loosed, not by the earthquake, but by miraculous interference over and above it.

It is some satisfaction to find, that neither Meyer, De Wette, nor Kuinoel have attempted to rationalize this wonderful example of the triumph of prayer. See some excellent remarks on Baur's attempt to do so, in Neander, Pfl. u. L. p. 302, note 3.

27. ήμελ. έαυτ. άναιρ.] The law de Custodia Reorum (Wetst.) says, 'Ad commentariensem receptarum personarum enstodia observatioque pertineat, nee putet, hominem abjectumatque vilem objiciendum esse judici, si reus modo aliquo fuerit elapsus. Nam ipsum volumus hujusmodi pænæ consumi, cui obnoxius docebitur fuisse, qui fugerit.' Mr. Howson notices, by the examples of Cassius, Brutus, Titinius, and many of the proscribed, after the battle,that Philippi is famous in the annals of suicide (p. 361). 29. φωτα] Λ E. V., 'a light,' but lights, neut. plur. 29. φωτα Not as

30. προαγ. αὐτ. ἔξω] Into the outer prison: not perhaps yet outside the prison, which (from ἀναγαγών, ver. 31, when he takes them to his own house) seems to have been

31. [ειπαν, so AB C(appy) DEN p.] πιστευσαν Ν¹. for επι, εις E lect-12. rec aft μπουν ins χριστον, with CDEHL rel 36 vss Thdrt Chr: om ABN p 13

vulg copt Lucif. ins πas bef o olkos E a g 13 copt ath arm.

32. om τov D. for $\kappa u p$, $\theta e ov$ BN ath. ree (for $\sigma u v$) κau (alteration for simplicity, and to suit σv κau o olk. above), with EHL syrr Chr: txt ABCDN p 13. 36. 40 vulg Lucif ($\sigma v u$ N p).

33. Enumer D'(and lat): txt D². autos bef $\epsilon\beta$, D. ins oikeioi bef autou A; vioi in lect-17: Let Thl-lim.—o oikos autou 40 villa; (These exx may serve to illustrate the practice of insertion to fill up any ellipsis.)

arautes BX.

34. και αναγ. τε D¹: αν. δε C 13. 36 copt syr.

ADEHLN 13 rel vss Chr: om BC c p 36. 40 Lucif.
rec ηγαλλιασατο (alteration to more usual historic tense), with ABC² E.gr HLN p 13.
36 rel vulg syr copt Thl-fin: txt C¹(appy) D b g h m o E-lat Syr sah Chr Œe Thl-sif.
rec πανουκι, with B²HL rel: συν τω οικω αντου Ε: om Ε: txt AB¹CN 13.

underground, or at all events on a lower level in the same building. In this same space they seem to have been joined by the jailor's family, -to have converted and baptized them, and to have been taken (to the well?) and washed from their stripes; and afterwards to have been led up (by stairs? see ref.) to his house, and hospitably entertained. The circumstantiality of the account shews that some eye-witness related it. His question, connected with the δδον σωτηρίας of the dæmoniae in ver. 17, makes it necessary to infer, as De Wette well observes, that he had previously become acquainted with the subject of their preaching. He wanted no means of escape from any danger but that which was spiritual: the earthquake was past, and his prisoners were all safe. Bengel admirably remarks: 'Non audierat hymnos Pauli, nam dormierat, sed tamen vel antea vel postea senserat, quis esset Paulus.' τ. κύριον Not without allusion to the κύριοι, by which name he had just addressed them. So Bengel: 'non agnoseunt se do-Considering who the person was that asked the question,-a heathen in the depths of ignorance and sin, - and how indisputably therefore the answer embraces all sinners whatever,-there perhaps does not stand on record in the whole book a more important answer than this of Paul:or, I may add, one more strikingly cha-

for τω θεω, επι τον θεον D.

racteristic of the Apostle himself and his teaching. We may remark also, in the face of all attempts to establish a development of St. Paul's doctrine according to mere external circumstances,-that this reply was given before any one of his extant epistles was written. οικός σου does not mean that his faith would save his household,-but that the same way was open to them as to him: 'Believe, and thou shalt be saved: and the same of thy household.' ἀπό] A pregnant construction: 'washed them, so that they were purified from the blood occasioned by their stripes:' see refl. This is much more natural than to take àπό (as in ἀπὸ τῆς χαρᾶς [ch. xii. 14] and the like) as signifying 'on account of' (see Bernhardy, Syntax, p. 225).
34.] avay., see reff. and note on ver. 30.

πεπιστευκώς | Winer renders 'as one who has placed his trust in God :' but, as De W. observes, πεπιστευκώς must give the ground of his rejoicing (see 1 Cor. xiv. 18 [rec.], εὐχαριστῶ . . . λαλῶν, '1 give thanks . . . that I speak'). Thus the meaning will be, rejoiced that he with all his house had been led to believe (and thus as a necessary consequence to believe in) God. The expression πεπιστ. τῷ θεῷ could only be used of a converted heathen, not of a Jew, in ch. xviii. 8, of a Jew, we have ἐπίστευσεν τῷ κυρίῳ.

...ειρη-νη C. ΑΒDΕ

cdfgh

απέστειλαν οι ° στο ατηγοί τους βραβδούχους, λέγοντες οττ. 20,22 απετειλαν στο τους ανθρώπους έκείνους. 36 απήγγειλεν δε $^{\text{ref.}}$ $^{\text{sonlyt.}}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ Απόλυσον τοὺς ανθρώπους έκείνους. 36 απήγγειλεν δε $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ ὅτι $^{\rm s}$ ἀπέσταλκαν οι $^{\rm o}$ στρατηγοὶ ἵνα $^{\rm q}$ ἀπολυθῆτε $^{\rm t}$ νῦν $^{\rm iii.77.1}$ ως το τους εξελθόντες πορεύεσθε εν είρηνη. $\frac{37}{10}$ Ο δὲ Παῦλος $\frac{1}{10}$ τους $\frac{1}{10}$ Το δια Παῦλος $\frac{1}{10}$ τους $\frac{1}{10}$ Τους ἔφη πρός αὐτοὺς `Δεἰραντες ημας " οημοσια ακατακριτους, ανθρώπους ' 'Ρωμαίους ' ὑπάρχοντας, α ἔβαλαν είς α φυλακήν, καὶ νῦν λάθρα ἡμᾶς ' ἐκβάλλουσιν ; α οὐ γὰρ ι. Ι κιις αλλὰ ἐλθόντες αὐτοὶ ἡμᾶς ' ἐξαγαγέτωσαν. 38 ἀπήγγειλαν τεί. ν. 40 ιεί. ν.

w ch. xviii, 28. xx, 20 only t. 2 Macc. vi, 10 only (voc. ch. v. 18.) y ch. xxii 25. (Matt. xviii, 32. ch. xxi, 39. Exod., ii, 11.) z ch. ii, 30 reff. a vr. 23, 24. b Matt. i. 19. ii, 7. John xi. 28 only. Ps. c. 5. c Matt. ix. 25. ch. ix. 40. Gal. iv. 30, from Gen. xxi. 10. d here only. see note. e — ch. v. 10. viii. 30. Gen. xl. 14.

35. ημ. δε γεν. συνηλθον οι σστρατηγοι(sic) επι το αυτο εις την αγοραν και αναμνησθεντές τον σεισμον τον γεγονοτα εφοβηθησαν και απεστειλαν τους D syr-marg. λεγοντας D 68 Syr. at end ins ous εχθες παρελαβες D syr-marg.

36. και ειςελθων ο δεσμοφυλαξ απηγγ. D Syr. for δε, τε E-gr sah æth. om τουτους (from similarity of endings) BC D-gr a 36(sic): ins AEHLN p 13 rel valg D-lat Chr. ree απεσταλκασιν (grammatical corrn), with DEHL rel 36 Chr:

απεστειλαν C p: txt ABN. (13 def.) for εν ιερ., εις ιρηνην N: om D. 37. om $\pi \rho$. αυτους E ath. ins αναιτείους bef δείρ. D, so (but om ακατακρ.) Syr sah. [εβαλαν, so BDN.] αυτ. ημας bef ελθυντ. E: om ημ. II (Εc.

38. ree ανηγγ., with HL rel: txt ABDEN a m o p 36 Chr-comm Thl-fin. (13 def.)

had influenced the magistrates is not recorded. We can hardly suppose that the earthquake alone would have done so, as they would not have connected it with their prisoners; they may have heard what had taken place: but that, again, is hardly probable. I should rather set it down to calmer thought, repudiating the tumultuary proceeding of the evening before.

ραβδούχους] The lictors,—'bearers of the rods,' bacilli; which, and not fasces, were carried before the colonial dummviri: see Cieero, de Leg. Agr. ubi supra, on ver. 20.

36. Paul and Silas had returned to the prison: whither the jailor goes, accompanied by the lictors ($\delta \delta \in \Pi$. $\delta \phi \eta \pi \rho$. αὐτούς, ver. 37), to announce the order. 37.] δημοσία and λάθρα are op-

posed: the injury had been public: the reparation, not to Paul and Silas merely, but to the Gospel of which they were the heralds, must be public also. 'Pωμ. ὑπάρχ.] By the Lex Valeria, passed A.U.C 254, and the Lex Porcia, A.U.C. 506, Roman citizens were exempted from stripes and torture: by the former, till an appeal to the people was decided,-by the latter, absolutely. The following passages of Cieero illustrate our text: 'Porcia lex virgas ab omnium civium Romanorum corpore amovit.' Pro Rabirio, e. 3. 'Cardebatur virgis in medio foro Messanæ civis Romanus, judices : cum interea nullus gemitus, nulla vox alia istins miseri, inter dolorem crepitumque virgarum audiebatur, nisi hæe: Civis Romanns sum.' In Verrem, lib. v. 62, 63. 'Facinus est vinciri eivem Romanum; scelus verberari; prope parricidium, neeari.' Ibid. 66. Many others are given by Kuinoel, Biscoe, &c. question, how Paul came to be born a Roman citizen, see note on ch. xxii. 28: and on $\delta\pi d\rho\chi$, note, ver. 20. Another irregularity had been committed by the duumviri, in scourging them uncondemned : 'cansa cognita multi possunt absolvi : incognita quidem condemnari nemo potest.' Cie, in Verr. i. 9. 'Inauditi et indefensi tanquam innocenter perierant. Tae Hist. ii. 10. ἐκβάλλ.] are they thrusting us out? It does not follow, because $\partial \kappa \beta \delta \lambda \lambda \omega$ has no such sense in ch. ix. 40, &c., that therefore it has not here. The circumstances must determine; which here seem to require this sense: the ἐκβάλλειν λάθρα having a tinge of degradation in it, as it said of easting out that of which one is ashamed. οὐ γάρ] An elliptical nuswer to a question or position, the negative of which is self-evident: see Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. p. 48: Kühner, Gramm. § 741. 6: Hermann on Viger, p. 462. When it occurs with ἀλλά, it is best written without a stop between : cf. Aristoph. Ran, 58: μη σκώπτε μ', δ 'δελφ' οὐ γὰρ ἀλλ' έχω κακώς:-- ib. 193 : μὰ τὸν Δί οὐ γὰρ (seil. νεναυμάχηκα) άλλ' έτυχον όφθαλμιών, and 199, φέρε δή ταχέως αυτ' ου [\$\text{st.} \text{35.} \\ \text{c} \\ \text{c} \\ \text{τοίς} \\ \end{atom} \text{strong} \\ \text{stong} \\ \text{constants} \\ \text{c} \\ \text{constants} \\ \text{constants} \\ \text{c} \\ \text{constants} \\ \text{con

ι εκ. κνιί. 9 εκ. της φυλακής ειςήλθον πρὸς την Λυδίαν, καὶ Ισικιαν. 10 Ισικιαν. 10 Εξελυοντες δε ειςήλθον πρὸς την Λυδίαν, καὶ Ισικιαν. 10 Είσιντες 9 παρεκάλεσαν τοὺς ἀδελφούς, καὶ εξήλθον. 10 Είσιντες 10 Χυλιαν. 10 Είσιντες 10 Χυλιαν. 10 Είσιντες 10 Αμφίπολιν καὶ 10 Απολεχαϊ. 10 Είσιντες 10 Αναλεχαϊ. 10 Είσιντες 1

| 2 | 1 Thess. τ. 12 only, w. 7να, Mark vil. 26. Luke vil. 36. w. δπως, ch. xxiii. 20. k = Mark τ. 17. lw moix, ch. xx 8 red. m = Luke viii. 20. ch. xxviii. 20 al. 4 Kinga viii. 20. n = ch. xv. 82 refl o = ch. xv. 40 rel. p Luke viii. 1 only. Gen. xiii. 17. lss. lix. 8.

for $\delta\epsilon$, $\tau\epsilon$ E-gr N Syr ath. for τ 018, autois oi D'. aft τ auta ins τ a $\rho\eta\theta\epsilon\nu\tau\alpha$ $\pi\rho$ 05 tous $\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\eta\gamma$ 005 D Syr. rec kai $\epsilon\phi$ 08. (corrn to more natural copula), with EHL rel vulg Chr: txt ABN ρ 36. 40 copt.—0: $\delta\epsilon$ akousautes ot. $\rho\omega$. ϵ 16. ϵ 608 ρ 8. D.

39. for κ. ελθοντ., και παραγενομένοι μέτα φιλων πολλων εις την φυλακην D. πρωτουν Λ Thl-fin: -τησαν Ε. τος (for απελθειν απο) εξελθειν, with HLrol Chr: εξελθ. εκ (1)) Ε: εξελθ. απο n: tx LBN p 13. 36. 40 Ε. παρεκαλέσαν αυτους εξελθειν είπουτες ηγνοησαμέν τα καθ υμας, οτι εσται ανδρές δικαιοι και εξαγαγοντές παρκαλέσαν αυτους λεγοντές εκ της πολέως ταυτης εξελθατε μηποτέ παλιν συντραφωσιν ημείν επι-

κραζοντες καθ υμων D, simly 137 syr-w-ast.

40. for $\epsilon_{\rm K}$, and BN a h 38 Th1-fin. $\eta\lambda\theta\nu$ D E-lat. ree for $\pi\rho\sigma_{\rm S}$, $\epsilon_{\rm I}$ s (see note: and $\epsilon_{\rm I}^{\rm F}$ Mark v. 12, 13), with (none of our mss) Ec: txt ABDEHLN rel vulg sal arm C Int Th1. ree $\epsilon_{\rm I}^{\rm F}$ δ, $\epsilon_{\rm I}^{\rm F}$ σ, $\epsilon_{\rm I}^{\rm F}$ δ, $\epsilon_{\rm I}^{\rm F}$ σ, $\epsilon_{\rm I}^{\rm F}$ δ, $\epsilon_{\rm I}^{\rm F}$ σ, and with Chr: txt ABN p 13. 40 copt.— $\epsilon_{\rm I}^{\rm F}$ τ. αδ. διηγησαντο οσα εποιησεν κυριος αυτοις παρεκαλεσαντες (παρακαλεσαν[sic] $\tau_{\rm E}$ D-corr) αυτους και D Cassiod. $\epsilon_{\rm I}^{\rm F}$ $\epsilon_{\rm I}^{\rm F}$

Chap. XVII. 1. διελθοντες E. for αμφιπ., πολιν \aleph^1 : txt \aleph -corr¹. ins την bet απολλ. (for uniformity) ABEN a p 13: on (D)HL rel.— τ ην απ. κ. την αμφ. E. και κατηλθον (οι) και D-corr: κατηλθ. και D³) είς απολλωνίδα κακείθεν είς D.

γὰρ ἀλλὰ πειστέον. Mr. Humphry remarks, '8t. Paul submitted to be scourged by his own countrymen (five times, 2 Cor. xi. 24): for, though he might have pleaded his privilege as a Roman, to the Jews he come as a Jew," observing their ceremonics, and submitting to their law.'

38. ἐφοβ.] For the account which they might have to give at Rome, as in Verres' case, or even for their popularity with the very mob of Roman citizens who had demanded the punishment. 39. παρexálegav Not 'comforted:' but, as E. V., besought them: viz. not to make their treatment matter of legal complaint. In the request to depart from the city, the practors seem to shew fear of a change in the temper of the mob. See the eurious addition in the var. readd. 40. They do not depart hastily, or as though forced, but wait to reassure the brethren. πρός has probably been altered to els, on account of the verb, not because Audiau was mistaken (Meyer) for the country of that name.

παρεκ. exhorted, is better than comforted, E. V. The one in this case would imply the other. Chap. XVII.

L. Here (or rather perhaps at εξήλθον, in the preceding verse) we have the first per-

that the narrator did not accompany Paul and Silas. I should be inclined to think that Timotheus went with them from Philippi,-not, as is usually supposed, joined them at Bercea: see below on ver.
10. διοδεύσαντες The δδός, on which they travelled from Philippi to Thessalonica, was the Via Egnatia, the Macedonian continuation of the Via Appia, and so named from Egnatia ('Gnatia lymphis iratis exstructa,' Hor. Sat. i. 5), in the neighbourhood of which the latter meets the Adriatic. It extended from Dyrrhachimm in Epirus to the Hebrus in Thrace, a distance of 500 miles. The stages here mentioned are thus particularized in the itineraries: Philippi to Amphipolis, 33 miles: Amphipolis to Apollonia, 30 miles: Apollonia to Thessalonica, 37 miles. See more particulars in C. and H., i. pp. 368 ff.

son again dropped,-implying apparently

⁸ Aμφίπολιν | Anciently called lovid δοί, Thneyd. i. 100. Herod. vii. 114, lying in a most important position, at the end of the lake Cercinitis, formed by the Strymon, commanding the only easy pass from the coast of the Strymonic gulf into Macedonia. ('Amphipoleos, que objecta claudit onnes ab oriente sole in Macedonian adionnes ab oriente sole in Macedonian adiλωνίαν ήλθον είς θεσσαλονίκην, ὅπου ἡν [ἡ] συναγωγὴ $^{\rm q}$ Lukeiv. 10. τῶν Ἰουδαίων. $^{\rm 2}$ $^{\rm q}$ κατὰ δὲ τὸ $^{\rm qt}$ είωθὸς τῷ Παύλῳ εἰς ῆλθεν $^{\rm raskore}$ (α). Ματι χανίι πρὸς αὐτοὺς καὶ $^{\rm s}$ έπὶ σάββατα τρία $^{\rm t}$ διελέγετο αὐτοῖς $^{\rm t}$ ἀπὸ τῶν $^{\rm v}$ γραφῶν $^{\rm 3}$ $^{\rm w}$ διανοίγων καὶ $^{\rm x}$ παρατιθέμενος $^{\rm t}$ εἰι, κιὶίι, 31 οτι τὸν χριστὸν $^{\rm v}$ ἔδει παθείν καὶ $^{\rm z}$ ἀναστῆναι $^{\rm z}$ έκ νεκρῶν $^{\rm sc}$ εἰι, κιὶίι, καὶ ὅτι $^{\rm a}$ οὐτός ἐστιν ὁ χριστὸς ὁ Ἰησοῦς ὃν ἐγὼ $^{\rm b}$ καταγ καὶ ὅτι $^{\rm a}$ οὐτός ἐστιν ὁ χριστὸς ὁ Ἰησοῦς ὃν ἐγὼ $^{\rm b}$ καταγ $^{\rm coi}$ καὶ ὅτι $^{\rm coi}$ $^{\rm coi}$ καὶ τινες ἐξ αὐτῶν $^{\rm c}$ ἐπείσθησαν καὶ $^{\rm d}$ προς $^{\rm coi}$ είδ. Jude

ins $\tau\eta\nu$ bef $\theta\epsilon\sigma\sigma\alpha\lambda$. B 104. om $\dot{\eta}$ (see note) ABDN p 13. 40: ins EHL rel Chr (Ee Thl.

2. και κατα το εισωθος(sic) D'(and lat) ath. ο παυλος D vulg Syr ath. om και D. ε διελεξατο (alteration to historic aorist) ABN p 13: διελεχθη D E-gr e 36.40 Chr-comm: txt HL rel vulg E-lat Chr, (be Thl. for απο, εκ D.

3. om τον D¹: ins D³. rec ο χρ. ιησ., omg 2nd δ, with HL 13 rel (Ee Thl: χρ. ιησ. AD p Chr₁: ιησ. ο χρ. E e f h Syr copt æth Chr₁: ιησ. χρ. X: txt B.

tus, 'Liv, xlv, 30.) In consequence of this, the Athenians colonized the place, calling it Amphipolis, êπ ἀμφότερα περιβέοντος τοῦ Στρυμάνος. Thuc. iv. 102. It was the spot where Brasidas was killed, and for previously failing to succour which Thucydides was exiled; see Thueyd. iv. and v., and Grote's Hist. of Greece, vol. vi. p. 625 ff., where there is a plan of Amphipolis. After this it was a point of contention between the Athenians and Philip, and subsequently became the capital of Macquoina Prima,—see Livy, xlv. 30, where Paulus Æmilius proclaims, at Amphipolis, the freedom, and territorial arrangements of Maccdonia. It is now called Emboli.

'Aπολλωνίαν'] Its situation is unknown, but was evidently (see the distances above given) inhand, not quite half way from Amphipolis to Thessalonica, where the road crosses from the Strymonic to the Thermaic gulf. Leake saw some ruins at about the right spot, but did not visit them: and Cousinery mentions seeing, on an opposite hill, the village of Polina. Pliny mentions it (N. H. iv. 10), 'regio Mygdonias subjacens, in qua recedentes a mare Apollonia, Arethusa.' It must not be confounded with a better known Apollonia near Dyrnachium, on the western coast, also on the Via Egnatia. See C. and H. i. pp. 376 f.

Θεσσαλονίκην] At this time the capital of the province Macedonia, and the residence of the proconsul (Macedonia had been an imperial, but was now a senatorial province). Its former names were Emathia, Halia, and Therma: it received its name of Thessalonica from Cassander, on his rebuilding and embellishing it, in honour of

his wife Thessalonica, sister of Alexander the Great. So Strabo, lib. vii. excerpt. 10: who, ib. excerpt. 3, calls it Θεσσαλονικεία. It was made a free city after the battle of Philippi: and every thing in this narrative is consistent with the privileges and state of an urbs libera. We read of its δημος ver. 5, and its πολιτάρχαι ver. 6: not, as at the Roman colony of Philippi, of $\beta \alpha \beta \delta \delta \hat{\nu} \chi \omega i$ (lietors), and $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \omega i$ (dumviri), ch. xvi. 20, 35. It has ever been an important and populous city, and still continues such (pop. 70,000), being the second city in European Turkey, under the slightly corrupted name of Saloniki. For a notice of the church there, see Prolegg, to first Ep. to the Thessalonians, § ii. [ή] συναγ.] The article is in all probability genuine: implying that there was no other synagogue for the towns lately traversed: and shewing the same minute acquaintance with the peculiarities of this district as our narrative has shewn since the arrival at Neapolis. 2. κατά τ. είωθ. See marg. reff. in

E. V. Paul was most probably suffering still from his 'shameful treatment' at Philippi, 1 Thess. ii. 2. διελέγ.] argued, see reff. ἀπὸ τ. γραφ. is best taken with διελέγ, not with διανοίγων: see reff.

3. \$\frac{\pi}{2}\text{r} o \text{forg} \text{...} \] See examples of the change of construction, ch. i. \$\frac{\pi}{2}\text{xxiii}. 22; Luke v. 14. \text{ The rendering is nearly as E. V., literally that this is the Christ, namely, Jesus, whom I preach unto you. So Meyer. The \$\pi \chi \text{part} \delta \text{ above, and attaches to \$\delta \text{!} \eta \text{part} \delta \text{ above, and extended this necessity of suffering, &c., was predicated. Even the particularity of this \$\pi \delta \text{the particularity of this \$\pi \delta \text{the soften}\$.

εκληρώθησαν τῷ Παύλφ καὶ τῷ Σίλα, τῶν τε ° σεβομένων ABDE e ch. xlii. 43 refl. I = Matt. xxii. 88. Luke xv. Έλληνων πληθος πολύ, γυναικών τε των πρώτων βουκ edfgh χ. 13. gch xii. 18 refi. μενοι των k αγοραίων ανδρας τινάς πονηρούς καὶ όχλοποιήσαντες " έθορύβουν την πόλιν, και " έπιστάντες τη οικία Ιάσονος ° έζητουν αυτους η προαγαγείν είς τον 9 δημον. 6 μη ευρόντες δε αυτούς "έσυρον [τον] Ίάσονα καί τινας here (ch. xix. 38) only †. Herod. il. ε άδελφούς έπι τους τπολιτάρχας, "βοώντες ότι οι την 141 at (see Wetstein.) I here only †. ν οικουμένην ^w αναστατώσαντες ^{*} ουτοι και ^y ένθάδε πάρεισιν,

m Matt, 183 (Mk, ch, xx, 10 only, Judg, iii, 26, Nohum ii, 3, Wird, xviii, 10, Sir, xl, 6 only, (/gor, 6, xxi, 32, 18, 18, 20 only, (/gor, 6, xxi, 32, 20 only

aft τω σιλαια(sie) ins τη διδαχη 4. επιστευσαν E c 13. 40. om 2nd $\tau \omega$ B. πολλοι. omg τε, D. ins και bef ελληνων AD 13. 40 vulg copt.

πολλοι, omg τ_{ϵ} , D.

118 και δετ έλληθων ΑΓ 15. 40 ting cept.

129 πλ., with HL rel Chr., (Ee Thi-sift stat ABIEN a e h k m p 13 vilg syr coptt arm

129 τε μποροσε των αγωραίων ανδ. τ. ποντρου to beg of ver.

120 τε μποροσλαβ. ε 137: om ABN p 13. 36. 40. 142 vilg syr coptt arm.

120 το μπορολαβ. ε 137: om ABN p 13. 36. 40. 142 vilg syr coptt arm.

130 το μποροσλαβ. ε 137: om ABN p 13. 36. 40. 142 vilg syr coptt arm.

131 το μποροσλαβ. ε 137: om ΔΕΝ p 13. 36. 40. 142 vilg syr coptt arm.

132 το μποροσλαβ. ε 137: om ΔΕΝ p 13. 36. 40. 142 vilg syr coptt arm. 40 vulg syrr coptt arm.—οι δε απειθ. ιουδ. συνστρεψαντες, omg κ. προςλ., D.—ζηλωσαντες προςλαβομενοι, omg all the rest, 66 æth. rec τινας bef ανδρας, with DHLR τες προςλαβομενοι, omg all the rest, 66 æth. rel: txt ABE a h k p 13 vulg sah Thl-fin.—(τιν. αν. bef των αγ. D.) ins απειθησαντες Ε. οπι και σχλοπ. D with. εθορυβουσαν D. rec επισταντες $\tau \epsilon$ (for κ. επ.), with HL rel Chr (Ee Thl-sif: και επιστευσαν(sie) 13: txt ABDEN a k ιασωνος ADE d h k l m Thl-fin, so (exe A) in vv 6, 9. ın p 13 Thl-fin. αγαγειν, with H rel Chr Ce Thl: προσαγαγ. E c 137: αναγαγ. L 11: εξαγαγ. D-gr 101 copt ath-pl: txt ABN a b k o p 13: 36: 40, producere vulg D-lat E-lat syr.
6. ευραν DE a b Chr: ευραν Ν³: txt ABHLN³ p 36 (Ec Thl. om τον (as un-

necessary: or from similarity of endings, -ρον τον) ABDN p: ins EHL rel 36 Chr (Ec aft Tivas ins addous E. ιασωναν D1. TIVES D1: txt D2. aft βοων. ins και λεγοντες D. aft outor ins eight D1. Βοωνταs A leet-2.

(ἀπέθανεν) κ. ἀναστῆναι is reproduced in 1 Thess. iv. 4. 4. προςεκληρώθ.] were added (as if by lot, that being determined by God, who gave them the Holy Spirit of adoption: δs και ἐνεργεῖται ἐν ύμιν τοις πιστεύουσιν, 1 Thess. ii. 13) to the great family of which Paul and Silas were members. The sense is passive, not middle. The word is not uncommon in Philo. $\sigma \in \beta$. Exx. See reff. The aptitude of women for the reception of the Gospel several times appears in this book,—see above, ch. xvi. 13 fl., and below, vv. 12, 34. 5. προςλαβ.] Having taken to them, as their accomplices, to assist them in the οχλοποιήσαι which follows. άγοραίων Such men us Aristophanes calls πονηρός κάξ άγορας, — Demosthenes, περίτριμμα άγορας, — Xenophon, τον άγοραΐον ύχλον, - Pluturch, άγοραίους και δυναμένους ύχλον συναγαγείν: see many other instances in Wetstein, who mentions the modern 'canaille' (canalicola). Cicero ealls them 'subrostrani: Plautus, 'subbasilicani.' These may be alluded to in of ίδιοι συμφυλεταί, 1 Thess. ii. 14. (See note on ἀγοραῖοι, eh. xix. 38.) έπιστ., having fallen upon,-beset. 'Iárovos] With whom (ver. 7) Paul and Silas lodged. He appears, perhaps (?), again with Paul at Corinth, Rom. xvi. 21, but did not accompany him into Asia, ch. xx. 4. 6. πολιτάρχας] The following inscription, found on an arch at Thessalonica, is given from Boeckh, No. 1967, in C. and H. i. 395: πολειταρχουντων Σωσιπατρου του Κλεοπατρας και Λουκιου Ποντιου Σεκουνδου Πουβλιου Φλαουιου Σαβεινου Δημητριου του Φαυστου Δημητριου του Νικοπολεως Ζωιλου του Παρμενιώνος του και Μενισκου Γαιου Αγιλληιου Ποτειτου . . . Here we have this very title applied to the Thessalonian magistrates, shewing the exact accuracy of our marrative; and, curiously enough, we have three of the names which occur here, or in the Epistles, as companions of Paul: viz. Sosipater (of Beræa, ch. xx.

7. [πρασσουσιν, so ABDEHLN a b e d f g h k l o p 13 Chr Œe Thl-sif.] bef λ eyov τ es ϵ ivai A B(sic: see table) \aleph a c f h k 13 vulg syr: λ ey. ϵ iv. ϵ t. E: txt DHL rel vss Chr-comm (Ee Thl-sif.

8. for τον οχλ., την πολιν Ε. και εταραξεν τους πολ. και τον οχλ, ακουσαντες

(-τας D2) ταυ. D.

10. om ευθ. δια νυκτ. Α. εξεπεμψαν bef δια νυκτος N. ree ins The bef νυκτος, with EHL rel Chr Œe Thl-sif: om BDN a m p 13, 40 Petr Thl-fin.

4: see Rom. xvi. 21, and note); Secundus (of Thessalonica, ch. xx. 4); and Gaius (the Macedonian, note, ch. xix. 29). olk. avaστ.] The words presuppose some rumour of Christianity and its spread having before reached the inhabitants of Thessa-7. οὖτοι πάντες All these people, i. c. Christians, wherever found. A wider acquaintance is shewn, or at least assumed, with the belief of Christians, than extended merely to Jason and his friends.

ἀπέναντι . πράσσ.] Not 'do this in the face of the decrees,' which would require τοῦτο with πράσσ., but as E. V. The δόγματα in this case would be the Julian 'leges majestatis.' βασιλέα κ.τ.λ.] This false charge seems to have been founded on Paul's preaching much at Thessalonica concerning the triumphant $\pi \alpha \rho o v - \sigma \alpha$ of Christ. This appears again and again in his two Epistles: see 1 Thess. i. 10; ii. 19; iii. 13; iv. 13—18; v. 1, 2; 2 Thess. i. 5, 7—10; ii. 1—12; and particularly 2 Thess. ii. 5, where he refers to his having often told them of these things, viz. the course, and destruction of Antichrist, by whom these Jews might perhaps misrepresent Paul as designating Casar.

9. λαβόντες τὸ ἱκανόν] 'Satisdatione accepta; cither by sureties, or by a sum of money, or both. They bound over Jason and the rest (τινας ἀδελφούς, ver. 6) to take eare that no more trouble was given by these men: in accordance with which security they sent them away; and by

night, to avoid the notice of the ὅχλος.
10.] It does not follow, because Timotheus is not mentioned here, that therefore he did not accompany, or at all events follow, Paul and Silas to Berœa. He has never been mentioned since he joined

Paul's company at Lystra. The very intermitted and occasional notices of Paul's companions in this journey should be a caution against rash hypotheses. The general character of the nurrative seems to be, that where Paul, or Paul and Silas, are alone or principally concerned, all mention of the rest is suspended, and sometimes so completely as to make it appear as if they were absent: then, at some turn of events they appear again, having in some eases been really present all the time. I believe Timotheus to have been with them at Thessaloniea the first time, because it does not seem probable that Paul would have sent to them one to confirm and exhort them concerning their faith (1 Thess. iii. 2) who had not known them before, especially as he then had Silas with him. And this is confirmed by both the Epistles to the Thessalonians, which are from Paul, Silvanus, and Timotheus. From these Epistles we learn that, during his residence among them, Paul worked with his own hands (1 Thess. ii. 9; 2 Thess. iii. 8) to maintain himself: and from Phil. iv. 15, 16, that the Philippians sent supplies more than once towards his maintenance. Both these facts, especially the last, seeing that the distance from Philippi was 100 Roman miles, make it very improbable that his stay was so short as from three to four weeks: nor is this implied in the text: much time may have elapsed while the πληθος πολύ of ver. 4 were joining Paul and Silas. See further in Prolegg. to 1 Thess., Vol. III. § ii. 2 ff.

Βέροιαν According to the Auto-nine Itinerary 61, according to the Peutinger Table 57 Roman miles (S.W.) from Thessalonica. Berœa was not far from Pella, in Macedonia Tertia, Liv. xlv. 30, at

γενόμενοι είς την συναγωγήν ^m ἀπήεσαν των '[ουδαίων. ABDE HLN ab 11 "ούτοι δε ήσαν " ευγενέστεροι των έν Θεσσαλονίκη, ed fg h xxxiii. 8. n ch. ix. 20 reff. σου συν το του κατα τον λόγον r μετὰ πάσης s προθυμίας, r μετὶ s τὸ καθ r ήμέραν u ἀνακρίνοντες τὰς v γραφάς, εἰ w ἔχοι w και του s τοῦν ταῦτα s οὕτως. 12 πολλοὶ μὲν οὖν έξ αὐτῶν ἐπίστευσαν, s το s καὶ του s Ελληνίδων γυναικῶν τῶν s εἰσχημόνων καὶ r το s καὶ τον s ἐλληνίδων γυναικῶν τῶν s εἰσχημόνων καὶ s το s ἐν το s ἀνδρῶν s οὐκ s ὀλίγοι. s ἀνδρῶν s οὐκ s ὀλίγοι. s ὡς δὲ ἔγνωσαν οἱ s ἀπὸ τῆς s και του s και του s εἰσχημογέλν καὶ s και s ειν s Θεσσαλονίκης s Γουδαῖοι s τι καὶ ἐν τῆ Βεροία s κατμογέλν Θεσσαλονίκης Ιουδαΐοι ότι καὶ έν τη Βεροία κατηγγέλη xxix. 22. s 2 Cor. viii. 11, 12, 19, ix. 2 ύπὸ τοῦ Παύλου ὁ ab λόγος τοῦ b θεοῦ, ἦλθον κάκεῖ c σαλεύonly+. Ser. xlv. 23 on;y. οντες καὶ ^d ταράσσοντες τοὺς ^d ὅχλους. ¹⁴ εὐθέως δὲ τότε (- 405, Rom. i. 15.) t Luke xi. 3. 7 (xix. 47 only. see ch. ii. 46 reff. xiii. 50 reff. τον Παυλον εξαπέστειλαν οι αδελφοί πορεύεσθαι * ίως u = ch. iv. 9 reff. w ch. vii. 1 reff. y ch, xii. 18 reff. z = ch, ii. 5 reft. a ch, xiii. 5 reff. c = ch, ii. 25 (from Ps. xv. 8). 2 Thess ii. 2 only, (ch, xvi, 26 al.) 1 Macc. vi, 8, f see note, 4 Kings ii. 11 vat. 1 reff. e ch, vii. 12 reff.

 τ ε D 3, 32, 42, 57, 95 $^{\rm i}$ sah: δε p $^{\rm i}$. ree τ ων ιουδαιων bef $a\pi\eta$ εσαν (correction of order), with ABDN a k m p 13, 36 vulg Thl-fin: txt EHL rel Chr Œc Thl-sif.— ειξηεσαν Ε vulg.

11. ev_7ev_{EV} S-gr. ins $\pi\eta$ bef $\theta e\sigma\sigma$. D. aft $\lambda\sigma\gamma\sigma\sigma$ ins $\tau\sigma\sigma$ $\theta e\sigma\sigma$ E. for $\pi\sigma\sigma\eta\pi$ $\pi\rho\sigma\theta\nu\mu\mu\alpha\sigma$, $\pi\sigma\rho\rho\eta\sigma\alpha\sigma$ E-gr. om $\tau\sigma$ (as unnecessary) ADEN a h p 13. 36: ins BHL rel Chr., CE Thl. $e\chi e\iota$ Die c l (Ee Thl-sif: txt D²or s.

12. τινες μεν ουν αυτων D. om ουν E a¹ Thl-sif. aft επιστ, add τινες δε ηπιστησαν D 137. for ελλην to ολιγοι D has ελληνων και των ευσχημονων ανδρες κ. γυναικες ικανοι επιστευσαν: Græcorum et non placentium et viri et mulieres plures crediderunt D-lat.—ελληνίδων, and ins και bef ανδρες, D²-gr: for 15t και, γυναικων D3: for ανδρ κ. γυν., ανδρων ουκ ολιγοι D8: ικ. επιστ. are omd by D-corr.

13. om this DE. ot. (0) logy. (tou) beon kathygy. Eis befold [kai] epistessay kai hlbou [eis authu] D; o tou are insid by D5, kai and eis authu omd by D-corr. rec om kai tarasoutes, with EHL rel with Chr Ee Thl Cassiod: ins ABD28 a c m p 13. 36. 40 vulg syrr copt arm: $\tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau e$ at end ins ou dielimanoud D Syr.

14. for $\epsilon v\theta$. de tote ton, ton men our D Syr: statimque D-lat: om tote c 40. 137 syr sah. oi ad. exam. aperdein D. **Zwc (see note) ABEN p 13. 40: om D b^1 c

the foot of Mt. Bermius. It was afterwards called Irenopolis, and now Kara Feria, or Verria, and is a city of the second rank in European Turkey, containing from 15,000 to 20,000 souls. (Winer, RWB. C. and H. i. 399 f.) Wetstein quotes a remarkable illustration from Cicero in Pisonem, c. 26:-'Thessalonicam omnibus inscientibus noctuque venisti, qui cum concentum plorantium et tempestatem querelarum ferre non posses, in oppidum devium Berœam profugisti.' 11. εὐγενέστεροι Theophyl. and Œc. explain it by ἐπιεικέστεροι, but this is rather its result, than its meaning :- more noble is our best word for it : -of nobler disposition; -stirred up, not to envy, but to enquiry. ταῦτα viz. the doctrine of ver. 3, which Paul and Silas preached here also. 12.] The designation conveyed in Έλληνίδων is to be supplied before ἀνδρῶν also. So εἰς πᾶσαν πόλιν κ. τόπον, Luke x. 1. See Winer, edn. 6, § 59. 5. 13.] οἱ ἀπὸ τ. Θ., as E. V., of Thessalonica. No inference

that they came *from* Thess. can be drawn from this expression: but it is asserted below. See Heb. xiii. 24.

serted below. See Heb. xiii. 24. ηλθον κάκει σαλ.] Not, as E. V., 'they came thither also, and stirred up ...,' which destroys the force of the sentence: but they came, and stirred up there also ...: no journey having been related of them before, but a precisely similar act of exciting the people. From the distance, some time must have elapsed before this could take place: and that some time did elapse, we may gather from 1 Thess. ii. 18, where Paul relates that he made several attempts to revisit the Thessalonians (which could be only during his stay at Berca, as he left that town), but was hindered.

14. ώς ἐπὶ τ. θ.] The various readings

ing ως,—which cannot, here or any where else, be redundant (as De Dieu, Raphel, Wolf, Heinrichs, &c.); nor can it well here signify that his going, 'as if to the sea,'

θεος εκει. 15 Οἱ δὲ $^{\rm h}$ καθιστάνοντες τὸν Παῦλον η̈γαγον $^{\rm l}$ τως $^{\rm h}$ (-aret) καθιστάνοντες τὸν Παῦλον η̈γαγον $^{\rm l}$ τως $^{\rm h}$ (-aret) καθιστάνως καὶ $^{\rm k}$ λαβόντες $^{\rm k}$ έντολην πρὸς τὸν Σίλαν καὶ $^{\rm k}$ τως $^{\rm h}$ τως

reff. m 1 Cor. xi, 33, xvi. 11. Heb. x, 13. xi, 10. James v, 7 only 1. Gen. xiii, 9 al. n 1 Cor. xii, 5 only. Dent. ix, 18. (+σπιοτ, ch. xv. 33, of Paul.) ο - Luke i, 47, John xiii, 21, ch. xix, 21 (of Paul.) Rom. i. 1. xiii, 16. xii, 11. 1 Cor. ii. 11. v. 3, 4. xiv. 14, &c. Paul principally. pw. particip., - ch. viii, 13. xxiii. d.

o Syr sah: ω s HL rel 36 Chr Ec Thl. rec $\upsilon\pi\epsilon\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu$, with HL rel 36 Chr Ec Thlsif: $\upsilon\pi\epsilon\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu$ BN a e p: $\alpha\pi\epsilon\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu$ E 13: $\epsilon\pi\epsilon\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu$ m That Thl-fin (corrections to suit constr): txt AD Syr sah. rec for $\tau\epsilon$, $\delta\epsilon$ (correction of characteristic $\tau\epsilon$, and to avoid recurrence), with DHL rel vulg copt Chr That: txt ABEN c m p 13 syr ath Thl-fin. $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon$ before ϵ $\sigma\lambda\sigma$ H. om 2nd $\tau\epsilon$ D sah.

15. rec καθιστωντες (corrn of unusual form), with D°EHLN° 13 rel: αποκαθιστωντες 36: καταστανοντες D¹: καθισπαντες(sic) N¹: txt AB p. rec aft ηγ. ins αυτον, with EHL rel 36 Chr: om ABDN c m p 13 am fuld tol Thl-fin. ins των bed ωθ. Ε. παρηλθεν δε την θεσσαλιαν: εκωλυθη γωρ εις αυτους κηρυξαι τον λογον: λαβ. δε D. for εντολ., επιστολην Ε-gr Syr: add παρα παυλου D: απ αυτου Ε Syr arm.

ins $\tau \circ \nu$ bef $\tau \mu$. BN p 13. $\sigma \pi \circ s \in \nu \tau \alpha \chi \in I$ 0.

16. for autous, autou D'N' 96 Syr: txt D'3.—om $\tau \circ \nu$ $\pi \circ \nu \circ \nu$ om $\tau \circ D'$: ins D'3 or 4. ree $\epsilon \circ \nu \circ \nu$ should have been altered to the gen to suit the gen absolution, with D'HL rel Chr₂ (Ec Thl-sif: txt ABEN a k p 13. 36. 40 Chr₁ Thdrt Euthal Thl-fin.

was only a feint, to deceive his enemies (as Beza, Piscator, Grot., Olsh., Neander, &c.): for, as there is no mention of any land journey, or places passed through on his way to Athens, there can be little doubt that he did really go by sea. But $\dot{\omega}_{S} \in \pi l \tau$. θ . I believe simply to indicate the direction in which the Berean brethren sent him forth. &s is used thus before participles and prepositions, without any assignable reference to its (more usual) subjective reference in such a connexion. Thus Hermann on Soph. Philoct. 58, says 'cogitationem significat particula &s. Sed multo usu factum est, ut aliquando etiam ibi usurparetur, ubi non opus esset respici id, quod quis in mente haberet.' We have the same expression in Pausan. ii. 25, καταβάντων δέ (the walls of Tyrius) ώς ἐπὶ θάλασσαν, ἐνταῦθα οἱ θάλαμοι τῶν Προίτου θυγατέρων είσίν,-and Diod. Sic. xiv. 49, κελεύσας κατὰ τάχος λάθρα πλεῖν ως ἐπὶ Συρακοσίουs, - and Polyb. passim in Wetst., -e.g. καθήκουσαν (την Σελουκείαν) ώς ἐπὶ θάλασσαν, v. 59, - and with the same signification. Where he embarked for Athens, is not said: probably (C. and H. i. 403) at Dium, near the base of Mt. Olympus, to which two roads from Berœa are marked 15. καθιστ.] in the ancient tables. So Odyss. ν. 274, τούς μ' ἐκέλευσα Πύλονδεκαταστησαι καὶ ἐφέσσαι,—and Arrian, Ind. xxvii. 1, καταστήσειν αὐτοὺς μέχρι Kapuavias. Who these were is not said.

The course of Timotheus appears to have been, as far as we can follow it from the slight notices given, as follows:—when Paul departed from Bercæ, not having been able to revisit Thessalonica as he wished (1 Thess. ii. 18), he sent Timotheus (from Bercæ, not from Athens) to exhort and confirm the Thessalonians, and determined to be left at Athens alone (1 Thess. iii. 1). Silas meanwhile remaining to carry on the work at Bercæ. Paul, on his arrival at Athens, sends (by his conductors, who restructed) this message to both, to come to him as soon as possible. They did so, and found him (ch. xviii. 5) at Corinth. See Prolegg, to 1 Thess., Vol. 111.

'Aθηνῶν] See a long and interesting description of the then state of Athens, its buildings, &c., in C. and H. chap. x. vol. i. pp. 407 ff.; and Lowin, i. pp. 268 ff. It was a free city. Strabo (ix. 1) gives an epitome of its fortunes from the Roman conquest nearly to this time: 'Ρωμαῖοι δ' οὖν παραλαβύντεν αὐτοὺν δημοκρατουμένουν ἐφύλαξαν τὴν αὐτονομίαν αὐτοῖς κ. τὴν ἐλευθερίαν. ἐπιπεσὰν δ' ὁ Μιθριδατικὸς πόλεμος τυράννους αὐτοῖς κατ διαγύσαντα μάλιστα τὸν 'Αριστίωνα κ. ταὐτην βιασάμενον τὴν πόλιν. ἐκ πολιορκίας ἐλὰν Σύλλας ὁ τῶν 'Ρωμαίων ἡγεμῶν ἐκόλασε' τῷ πόλει δὲ συγγνώμην

ἔνειμε, καὶ μέχρι νῦν ἐν ἐκευθερία τε ἐστὶ κ. τιμῆ παρὰ τοῖς 'Ρωμαίοις. See also Theit. Ann. ii. 53. 16. κατείδωλον] This ἄπαξ λεγόμενον is formed after the analogy of κατάμπελος, κάθωβοος, &c. See reif. The multitude of statues and temples to the gods in Athens is celebrated with honour by classic writers of other nations, and with pride by their own. A long list of passages is given in Wetstein. The strongest perhaps is from Xen. de Repub. Ath., who calls Athens δλη βωμός, δλη θῦμα θεοῖς καὶ ἀπάθημα.

17.] The oδν (as De W. remarks against Meyer and Schneckenburger) does not necessarily give the consequence of what has been stated in ver. 16, but only continues the narration. See above on ch. xi. 19.

έν τῆ ἀγορά] Strabo (x. 1) speaking of the Eretrians in Eubœa says that some suppose them to have been named ἀπὸ τῆς ᾿Αθήνησιν Ἐρετρίας, ἡ νῦν ἐστιν άγορά (as distinguished from the Ceramicus, which was the old forum). It was the space before the στοὰ ποικίλη, where the Stoics held their διαλέξεις. 18. Έπικουρείων] The Epicurean philosophy was antagonistic to the gospel, as holding the atomic theory in opposition to the creation of matter, - the disconnexion of the Divinity from the world and its affairs, in opposition to the idea of a ruling Providence,-and the indissoluble union, and annihilation together, of soul and body, as opposed to the hope of eternal life, and indeed to all spiritual religion whatever. The Epicureans were the materialists of the ancient world. The common idea attached to Epicureanism must be discarded in our estimate of the persons mentioned in our text. The summum bonum of the real Epicureans, far from being a degraded and sensual pleasure, was ἀταραξία of mind, based upon φρόνησις,— perhaps the best estimate of the highest good formed in the heathen world;—and their ethics were exceedingly strict. But the abuse to which such a doctrine was evidently liable, gave rise to a pseudo-Epicureanism, which has generally passed current for the real, and which amply illustrated the truth, that 'curruptio optimi est pessima.' For their chimerical ἀταραξία, Paul offered them τὴν εἰρψην τὴν ὑπερεξγονσαν πάντα νοῦν, Phil. iv. 7.

έχουσαν πάντα νοῦν, Phil. iv. 7. Στοϊκών | So named from the στοά ποικίλη (see above), founded by Zeno of Cittium in the fourth century B.C., but perhaps more properly by Cleanthes and Chrysippus in the third century B.C. Their philosophy, while it approached the truth in holding one supreme Governor of all, compromised it, in allowing of any and all ways of conceiving and worshipping Him (see below, vv. 21, 25),-and contravened it, in its pantheistic belief that all souls were emanations of Him. In spirit it was directly opposed to the gospel,-holding the independence of man on any being but himself, together with the subjection of God and man alike to the stern laws of an inevitable fate. On the existence of the soul after death their ideas were various: some holding that all souls endure to the conflagration of all things, -others confining this to the souls of good men, -and others believing all souls to be reabsorbed into the Divinity. By these tenets they would obviously be placed in antagonism to the doctrines of a Saviour of the world and the resurrection,-and to placing the summum bonum of man in abundance of that grace which εν ασθενεία τελείται, 2 Cor. xii. 9.

τινες ἔλεγον...οἱ δέ] These are not to be taken as belonging the one to the Epicurcans, the other to the Stoics,—but rather as describing two classes, common perhaps to both schools,—the one of which

* δαιμονίων δοκεί $^{\rm b}$ καταγγελεὺς εἶναι $^{\rm c}$ ὅτι τὸν $^{\rm l}$ Ιησοῦν $^{\rm a}$ $^{\rm a-here only}$. καὶ τὴν $^{\rm c}$ ἀνάστασιν $^{\rm d}$ εὐηγγελίζετο [αὐτοῖς]. $^{\rm lg}$ $^{\rm e}$ έπι $^{\rm blie}$ $^{\rm observed}$ $^{\rm here only}$ $^{\rm here only}$ $^{\rm here only}$ $^{\rm here only}$ $^{\rm lg}$ $^{\rm here only}$ $^{\rm lg}$ $^{\rm lg}$ $^{\rm e}$ έπι $^{\rm lg}$ $^{\rm lg}$

xxii. 22, 23, 30 | L. John xi. 21, 25. ch. xxiii. 8, d constr., ch. xi. 20 reff. constr., Matt. xiv. 31. Luke ix. 47. ch. xxi. 30, 33. Isa. iv. 1. (acc, ch. ix. 27 reff.)

καταγγελλευς \aleph . for οι $\delta \epsilon$, οιδεν D^1 . om last clause D. rec αυτοις bef ευηγγελίζετο, with 36: om αυτοις $BL\aleph^1$ rel syr sah arm Chr: αυτοις a 14. 27. 29, 68. 69. 105. 106 Syr copt wth-pl: txt $AEL\aleph^3$ of k mp 13 vulg Th1. (The varr have principally been produced by αυτου being inserted after αναστασιν, it being imagined that the resurr of Jesus was intended. Hence the origi autous was transposed and altered, and, from αυτου and αυτοις being alternately erased, finally disappeared altogether. So Meyer.)

19. μετα δε ημέρας τινας επιλάβ, αύτου ηγαγον αυτον επί τον αρίον παγ. πυνθανομένοι και λέγ. D 137 syr. (om τον D^1 : ins D^2 : μ. δε ημ. τιν. are marked with ast in syr.)

for τε, δε B p 13. 36 coptt. αριον ADEN, so ver 22.

despised him and his sayings, and the other were disposed to take a more serious view of the matter, and charge him with bringing in new deities. σπερμολόγος σπερμο-λόγος είδος ἐστὶν ὀρνέου λωβωμένου τὰ σπέρματα· ἐξ οὖ οἱ 'Αθηναῖοι σπερμολόγους διατρίβοντας, διὰ τὸ ἀναλέγεσθαι τὰ ἐκ τῶν φορτίων ἀποβρέοντα, καὶ διαζῆν ἐκ τούτων. Eustath. ad Odyss. ε. 490, where Damm observes, σπερμολογείν, 'verbnm recentiorum; dicitur ἐπὶ τῶν ἀλαζονενομένων ἀμεθόδως ἐπὶ μαθήμασιν ἐκ τινῶν παρακουσμάτων, si quis quid arripnit forte ex disciplinis, eoque se imperite jactat: babbler is the very best English word: as both signifying one who talks fluently to no purpose, and hinting also that his talk is not his own. ξένων δαιμ.] άδικεῖ Σωκράτης καινὰ δαιμόνια εἰςφέρων, was one of the charges on which Athens put to death her wisest son. δαιμόνια is not plural for singular, as Kuin.: nor merely, though this is somewhat more probable, marks the category, as Meyer: nor can it refer (Chrys., Theophyl., Œcum., Hammond, Heinrichs) to Jesus and the àνάστασις, mistaken for a goddess (a sufficient answer to which strange idea is, that ή ἀνάστασις is merely a statement in the mouths of others, of the doctrine taught by Paul, which he would hardly ever, if ever, specify by this word, -compare vv. 31 and 32): but alludes (as De Wette) to the true God, the God of the Jews, and Jesus Christ His Son: the Creator of the world (ver. 24), and the Man whom He hath appointed to judge it, ver. 31. καταγγελεύς Compare ver. 23, end; which is an express answer to this charge. 19. ἐπιλαβ. No violence is implied : see reff. τὸν "Αρειον πάγον] There is no allusion here to the court of Areiopagus, nor should the words have been so rendered in E. V.,especially as the same 'Αρείου πάγου below VOL. II.

(ver. 22) is translated 'Mars' Hill.' We have in the narrative no trace of any judicial proceeding, but every thing to contradict such a supposition. Paul merely makes his speech, and, having satisfied the curiosity of the multitude who came together on Mars' Hill, departs unhindered :they brought him up to the hill of Mars. Dr. Wordsworth believes he finds a trace of a judicial proceeding in "Aνδρες 'Aθηναίοι, denoting rather a public apology than a private discussion: and in the conversion of Dionysius the Areopagite. But what words other than those would St. Paul have been likely to use in making a speech to a concourse of Athenians? for no one supposes it to have been a private discussion. And why should not Dionysius have been present? As a convert of note, he would naturally have his title attached. following note is borrowed from Mr. Humphry's Commentary :- 'It might be expected that on the hill of Mars the mind of the stranger would be impressed with the magnificence of the religion which he sought to overthrow. The temple of the Eumenides was immediately below him: opposite, at the distance of 200 yards, was the Aeropolis, which, being entirely occupied with statues and temples, was, to use the phrase of an ancient writer (Aristides), ἀντ' αναθήματος, as one great offering to the gods. The Persians encamped on the Areiopagus when they besieged the Aeropolis (Herod. viii. 52): from the same place the Apostle makes his first public attack on Paganism, of which the Aeropolis was the stronghold. Xerxes in his fanaticism burnt the temples of Greece (Æschyl. Pers.: Cie. de Leg. ii. 10). Christianity advanced more meekly and surely: and though the immediate effect of the Apostle's sermon was not great, the Parthenon in time became a Christian church (Leake, Athens, p. 277). Athens ceased to be a κατείδωλος πόλις,—

 $f_{\text{minkl.}}^{\text{Minkl.}}$ $f_{\text{post}}^{\text{Minkl.}}$ $f_{\text{post}}^{$

om 2nd η BD. and sou $D^1(Wtst)$. Asyomenh E $p: \kappa$ atagyellometry D-gr Syr wth: narratio doctrine D-lat.

20. φερεις D: εισφερει Ν¹: add ρηματα DE. *τίνα θέλει (mistake in veriting τι αν; which was the easier on acct of the plural ταντα) A B(sic: see table) Ν p 13. 40, quæ hæc sint sah: τινα θελοι α 69: τι αν θελοι DEHL rel vnlg(quidnam velint hæc esse) Chr (Ge Thl. ταντα bef θέλει c 137: om Ε.

21. aft επιδ. ins εις αυτους D-gr sah. [ηυκαιρούν, so ABDEN e p 13. 40 Œe Thl-fin.] ree for 2nd η, και (corrn to avoid the awkwardness of the recurrence of η with different meanings), with EHL p rel 36 vss Bas Chr: txt ABDN vulg syr sah. aft ακουεύ ins τι ABN, so vss but om the τι aft λεγ. (The repetition has

originated in the transposition for elegance.)
22. om o ABN Thl-sif. for $\epsilon\phi\eta$, $\epsilon\iota\pi\epsilon\nu$ N 180.

and the repugnance of the Greeks to images became so great, as to be a principal cause of the schism between the churches of the east and west in the eighth century.'

The hill of Mars was so called according to Paus. i. 28. 5, ὅτι πρῶτος Ἦρης ἐνταῦθα ἐκρίθη. It was on the west of the Acropolis. The Areiopagus, the lighest criminal court of Athens, held its sittings there. To give any account of it is beside the purpose, there being no allusion to it in the text. Full particulars may be found subvoce in Smith's Diet. of Gr. and Rom. Antt.

δυνάμ. γνῶν.] A courteous method of address (not ironien), as Kuin. and Stier).

21.] A remark of the narrator (as 1 believe, Paul himself, see Prolegg, to Acts, \S ii. 14) as a comment on the kawf and $\xi \nu l(\omega r a)$ of the verse before. $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \kappa \alpha \nu \rho a$ word: (loss. Vct. It is not a classic Attword: $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \kappa \alpha \nu \rho a$) when $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \kappa \alpha \nu \rho a$ (mark) $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \kappa \alpha \nu \rho a$ (mark) $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \kappa \alpha \nu \rho a$) $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \kappa \alpha \nu \rho a$ (mark) $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \kappa \alpha \nu \rho a$) $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \kappa \alpha \rho a$ (mark) $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \kappa \alpha \nu \rho a$) $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \kappa \alpha \nu \rho a$ (mark) $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \kappa \alpha \nu \rho a$) $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \kappa \alpha \nu \rho a$ (mark) $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \kappa \alpha \nu \rho a$) $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \kappa \alpha \nu \rho a$) $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \kappa \alpha \nu \rho a$ (mark) $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \kappa \alpha \nu \rho a$) Thom. Mag.

On this character of the Athenians, compare that given of them, Thucych iii. 38, μετὰ καινότητος μὲν-λόγου ἀπατααθαι ἄριστοι, where the scholiast evidently has our text in his mind; ταῦτα πρὸς τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους αἰνίττεται, οὐδέν τι μελετώντας πλὴν λέγειν τι καὶ ἀκούειν καινόν: —Demosth. (Philippic. i. p. 43), ἢ βούλεσθε, εἰπέ μοι, περιύντες αὐτῶν πυθέσθαι κατὰ τὴν ἀγορὰν Λέγεταὶ τι καινόν; γένοιτο γὰρ ἄν τι καινότερον ἢ Μακεδῶν ἀνὴρ κ.τ.λ. (so also in Philipp.

Epist. pp. 156, 157.) The comparative. καινότερον, is used as here by Theophr. in giving the character of a loquacious person: οίος έρωτησαι Έχεις περί τοῦδε εἰπείν καινόν; καὶ ἐπιβαλών ἐρωτᾶν Μὴ λέγεταί τι καινότερον; It implies, as we should say, 22.] The Comthe very last news. mentators vie with each other in admiration of this truly wonderful speech of the great Apostle. Chrysostom: τοῦτό ἐστι τὸ εἰρη-μένον τῷ ἀποστόλω, ἐγενόμην τοῖς ἀνό-μοις ὡς ἄνομος, Ἰνα κερδήσω ἀνόμους· 'Αθηναίοις γὰρ δημηγορῶν, οὐκ ἀπό προφητῶν οὐδὲ ἀπό τοῦ νόμου διελέχθη, ἀλλ' άπο βωμοῦ τὴν παραίνεσιν ἐποιήσατο. ἀπὸ τῶν οἰκείων αὐτοὺς ἐχειρώσατο δογμάτων διὸ οὐκ εἶπεν "ἄνομος," ἀλλ' "ώς ἄνομος." 'The oration of Paul before this assembly is a living proof of his apostolic wisdom and eloquence: we see here how he, according to his own words, could become a Gentile to the Gentiles, to win the Gentiles to the Gospel.' Neander, Pfl. n. L., p. 317. And Stier very properly remarks (Reden der Apostel, ii. 131), 'It was given to the Apostle in this hour, what he should speak; this is plainly to be seen in the following discourse, which we might weary ourselves with praising and admiring in various ways; but far better than all socalled praise from our poor tongues is the humble recognition, that the Holy Ghost, the spirit of Jesus, has here spoken by the Apostle, and therefore it is that we have in his discourse a masterpiece of apostolic wisdom.' The same Commentator gives the

΄ Λοείου πάγου έφη ΄ Ανδρες ΄ Αθηναΐοι, ' κατὰ πάντα ' $^{\rm s}$ ως ' $^{\rm ech}$ iii. $^{\rm 22}$ κατὰ πάντα ' $^{\rm s}$ ως ' $^{\rm ech}$ το δεισιδαιμονεστέρους ὑμᾶς θεωρῶ΄ $^{\rm 23}$ $^{\rm u}$ διερχόμενος γὰρ καὶ ' $^{\rm s}$ $^{\rm ech}$ $^{\rm cor}$ χ΄ ἀναθεωρῶν τὰ ' $^{\rm w}$ σεβάσματα ὑμῶν εὖρον καὶ ' $^{\rm x}$ βωμὸν ἐν there only the complete $^{\rm u}$ $^{\rm w}$ έπεγέγραπτο $^{\rm z}$ Αγνώστω θε $\overline{\omega}$. $^{\rm u}$ $^{\rm u}$ $^{\rm b}$ ἀγνοοῦντες $^{\rm u}$ $^{\rm cor}$ $^{\rm u}$ $^{\rm cor}$ $^{\rm u}$ $^{\rm cor}$ $^{\rm u}$ $^{\rm u}$ $^{\rm cor}$ $^{\rm u}$ $^{$

14. (-μονία, ch. xxv. 19 only.) compar, yer. 21. xii. 7 only.†, ά. της κικικι τῶν ποιημέττων, Diod. Sic, xiv. 109. w 2 Thess. ii. 4 only. Wied, xiv. 20, xv. 17, vat. F. (not A.) Bel and Dr. 22 Theod.only. x here only. Jer. vii. 31 al. y Mark xv. 26. Rev. xxi. 12. Heb. viii. 10, x, 16 only. Num. xviii. 2, 3. z here only. Jer. vii. 22 ref.. w 2 Theod. xii. 22 ref.. w 2 theology of the ch. xiii. 22 ref.. w 2 theology of the ch. xiii. 22 ref.. w 2 theology of the ch. xiii. 22 ref.. w 2 theology of the ch. xiii. 22 ref.. w 2 theology of the ch. xiii. 2 theology of theology of the ch. xiii. 2 theology of theology of the ch. xiii. 2 theology of theology of the ch. xiii. 2 theology of theology of the

23. for analewow, διιστορων D^1 , perspiciens D-lat; ιστορων $Clem_1$: $txt D^5$.

substance of the speech thus: 'He who is (by your own involuntary confession) unknown to you Athenians (religious though you are),—and yet (again, by your own confession) able to be known,—the allsufficing Creator of the world, Preserver of all creatures, and Governor of mankind,now commandeth all men (by me His minister) to repent, that they may know Him, and to believe in the Man whom He hath raised from the dead, that they may stand in the judgment, which He hath committed to Him.' ἄνδρες 'Αθ.] The regular and dignified appellation familiar to them as used by all their orators,of whose works Paul could hardly be altogether ignorant. κατά π., in every point of view: see reff. δεισιδαιμονέστέρους] carrying your religious reverence very far: an instance of which follows, in that they, not content with worshipping named and known gods, worshipped even an unknown one. Blame is neither expressed, nor even implied: but their exceeding veneration for religion laid hold of as a fact, on which Paul, with exquisite skill, engrafts his proof that he is introducing no new gods, but enlightening them with regard to an object of worship on which they were confessedly in the dark. So Chrysost.: δεισ., τουτέστιν εὐλαβεστέρους ως περ εγκωμιάζειν αὐτοὺς δοκεῖ, οὐδὲν βαρὰ λέγων. To understand this word as E. V. 'too superstitious' ('superstitiosiores,' Vulg., so Luther, Calov., Wolf), is to miss the fine and delicate tact of the speech, by which he at once parries the charge against him, and in doing so introduces the great Truth which he came to preach. The word itself has both senses: δεισιδαίμων, δ εὐσεβής, Hesych. : $- \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau οιούτ \varphi$ (in battle) γάρ δη οἱ δεισιδαίμονες ήττον τοὺς ἀνθρώπους φοβοῦνται, Xen. Cyrop. iii. 3. 58: and on the other hand, Theophrast. Char. 16, explains δεισιδαιμονία by δειλία πρός τδ δαιμόνιον: and Pollux, εὐσεβής, θεῶν ἐπιμελής, ό δὲ ὑπερτιμῶν, δεισιδαίμων καὶ δεισί-The character thus given of the Athenians is confirmed by Greek writers:

thus, Pausan. i. 24. 3, 'Αθηναίοις περισσότερόν τι ή τοῖς ἄλλοις ἐς τὰ θεῖά ἐστι σπουδής. See other instances in Wetstein. Josephus, c. Apion. ii. 11, calls them εὐσεβεστάτους τῶν Ἑλλήνων. 2 looking over, 'reconnoitring.' 23.] ἀναθ., βάσμ.] not, as E. V., 'devotions:' but objects of religious worship, temples, altars, statues, &c.: see reff. over and above the many altars to your own and foreign deities. πολλά γάρ των ξενικῶν ίερῶν παρεδέξαντο, . . . καὶ δὴ καὶ τὰ Θράκια καὶ τὰ Φρύγια, Strabo, x. p. 472. ἀγνώστω θεω Το an (not, the) unknown God. That this was the veritable inscription on the altars (not as Jerome on Tit. i. 12, p. 707, 'Inscriptio aræ non ita erat ut Paulus asseruit: ignoto Deo: sed ita: Diis Asiæ et Enropæ et Africæ, Diis ignotis et peregrinis. Verum quia Paulus non pluribus Diis ignotis indigebat sed uno tantum ignoto Deo, singulari verbo usus est'), the words φ ἐπεγέγραπτο, on which had been inscribed, are decisive. Meyer well remarks, that the historical fact would be abundantly established from this passage, being Paul's testimony of what he himself had seen, - and spoken to the Athenian people. But we have our narrative confirmed by the following: Paus. i. 1. 4, ἐνταῦθαι καὶ βωμοί θεῶν τε ὀνομα-ζομένων ἀγνώστων, καὶ ἡρώων καὶ παίδων τῶν Θήσεως και Φαλήρου:-Philostratus, Vit. Apollon. vi. 3, σωφρονέστερον τὸ περὶ πάντων θεῶν εὖ λέγειν, καὶ ταῦτα ᾿Αθήι ησιν, οὖ καὶ ἀγνώστων δαιμόνων βωμοί ίδρυνται. On which Winer well says, that it by no means follows that each altar had the inscription in the plural, θεοîs άγνώστοις, but more naturally that the plural has been used to suit Bwuoi, and that the inscription on each was as here. The commonly cited passage of (Pseudo-) Lucian, Philopatr. 9, and 29, νη τον άγνωστον ἐν ᾿Αθήναις, is no testimony, the dialogue being spurious, and the reference to our text evident. The origin of such altars has been variously explained: Diog. Laert. (vit. Epimenid.) says, that Epimenides, on occasion of a plague, advised the

ε εὐσεβεῖτε, α τοῦτο έγω αταγγέλλω υμῖν. 24 ο θεὸς ο ABDE c 1 Tim. v. 4 only †. Eur. Phæn. 1331. (see ch. iii. 12 reff.) « ποιήσας τον « κόσμον και πάντα τὰ έν αυτώ, ^f ούτος ^g ούοα- cd fg h α το και το και ε το και ε το και ε το και ε το κ νοῦ καὶ gh γῆς i ὑπάρχων gh κύριος οὐκ εν k χειροποιήτοις p 13

| 10sh, iii, 11, 13, | ich, viii, 16 reft. | iv. 3, x, 18, James iii. 7, 1 Prt. ii, 13 only. | Nom. v, 6. | Nom. v, 6. | Nom. v, 10. | Nom. v,

 σ εβαστα \aleph . η ($\eta\nu$ D^2) γ εγραμμενον D. rec ov and τουτον (see note), with Λ^2 EHL \aleph^3 13. 36 rel vss Clem Ath Chr Cosm Ee Thl Aug: o and τουτον p: txt rec ov and τουτον (see note), with A¹BDN¹ vulg Orig Jer.

24. rec κυρ. bef υπαρχ., with DHL rel Clem, Chr Iren-int: txt ABEN a k m p 13.

40 yulg(cum sit dom.) Clem, Thdrt, Thl-fin. κατοικοι D1: txt D8.

25. for ουδε, οδε D': txt D'. rec ανθρωπων (probably an error), with EHL 13 rel vss Chr Thdrt, Cosm: txt ABDN a p vulg Clem, Thdrt, Iren-int.—ανθρ. bef χειρων Ν. ins ως bef προςδεομενος Ν'(Ν' disapproving) 25 D-lat E-lat for Tivos, autos D8: om D1 Thart(twice, but once in only one ms) Iren-int. οτι ουτος ο δους D1: for lect-12. lect-13. om autos H 16. 37. 56. 100 Chr.

Athenians to let go white and black sheep from the Areiopagus, and on the spots where they lay down to erect altars τω προςήκοντι θεώ: δθεν, he adds, έτι καὶ νὖν ἐστιν εύρεῖν κατὰ τοὺς δήμους τῶν 'Αθηναίων βωμοὺς ἀνωνύμους. Eichhorn conjectures that they may have been ancient altars erected before the use of writing, and thus inscribed in after-times. But I should rather suppose that the above aneedote furnishes the key to the practice: that on the occurrence of any remarkable calamity or deliverance not assignable to the conventionally-received agency of any of the recognized deities, an unknown God was reverenced as their author. That the God of the Jews was meant (as supposed by Calov., Wolf, al.) is very improbable.

'Quod ignotis Diis altare erexerant, signum erat nihil ipsos tenere certi : habebant quidem ingentem Deorum turbam sed dum illis permiseent ignotos Deos, hoc ipso fatentur nihil de vera Divinitate se habere compertum. . . . Inde apparet inquietudo, quod se nondum defunctos fatentur, ubi popularibus Diis litarunt,' &c. Calvin.

δ....τοῦτο] The υν and τοῦτον of the ree, have probably been alterations from reverential motives. The neuters give surely the deeper, and the more appro-priate sense. For Paul does not identify the true God with the dedication of, or worship at, the altar mentioned : but speaks of the Divinity (το θείον) of whom they, by this inscription, confessed themselves ignorant. (It may however be a warning of the uncertainty of à priori internal evidence for readings, that De Wette and Meyer suppose the masculines to have been altered to produce this very sense, and to avoid the inference that Paul identified the unknown God with the Creator.) But even a more serious objection lies against the masculines. The sentiment would thus be in direct contradiction to the assertion of Paul himself, 1 Cor. x. 20, ά θύουσιν, δαιμονίοις καὶ οὐ θεῷ θύουσιν. Compare also our Lord's words, John iv. 22, ύμεις προςκυνείτε δ οὐκ οἴδατε. εὐσεβεῖτε, we have another confirmation of the sense above insisted on for δεισιδαιμονεστέρους. He wishes to commend their reverential spirit, while he shews its misdirection. An important lesson for all who have controversies with Paganism and Romanism. катаүү.] (See above, καταγγελεύs ver. 18.) I am declaring,making manifest, to you. ὑμεῖς με προελάβετε, φησίν έφθασε ύμῶν ἡ θεραπεία τὸ ἐμὸν κήρυγμα. Chrys. 24.7 ' No wonder, that the devil, in order to diffuse idolatry, has blotted out among all heathen nations the recognition of Creation. The true doctrine of Creation is the proper refutation of all idolatry.' Roos. Einl. in die bibl. Geschicht., cited by Stier, Red. der Apost. ii. 140, who remarks, 'Only on the firm foundation of the Old Testament doctrine of Creation can we rightly build the New Testament doctrine of Redemption: and only be, who scripturally believes and apprehends by faith the earliest words of Revelation, concerning a Creator of all things, can also apprehend, know, and scripturally worship, THE MAN, in whom God's word, down to its latest canonical Revelation, gathers together all things.

ούκ ἐν χειρ.] A remarkable reminiseence of the dying speech of Stephen: see ch. vii. 48. Mr. Humphry notices the similarity, but difference in its conclusion, of the argument attributed to Xerxes in Cieero, Leg. ii. 10: 'Xerxes inflammasse templa Græeiæ dieitur, quod parietibus

 $^{\circ}$ πνοὴν καὶ τὰ πάντα, 26 ἐποἰησέν τε ἐξ ἐνὸς $^{\circ}$ εἴματος $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ here (ch. παν ἑθνος ἀνθρώπων $^{\circ}$ κατοικεῖν $^{\circ}$ ἐπὶ παντὸς $^{\circ}$ προςώπου $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ$ της της δορίσας τηροςτεταγμένους και τας w. gen., Rev. [11], 10 al., 11] της γης οριοάς προςτειαγμένουν ^{27 xy} ζητείν τον ^y θεόν, iii. lo all. v οροθεσίας της ^w κατοικίας αὐτών, ^{27 xy} ζητείν τον ^y θεόν, acc., Ezek

xxxviii. 12. r = Luke xxi. 35. (xii. 56.) Gen. xi. 8. x. 42. xi. 29. ver. 31. Rom. i. 4. Heb. iv. 7 only. L.P.H. Num. xxxiv. 6. s Luke xxii. 22. ch. ii. 23 t = here only. u absol., Gal. iv. 10. Gen. i. 14.
w here only †. (-θετείν, Exod. xix.
w here only †. (xiv. y here only †. (xiv. xiv.) y here only †. (xiv. xiv.) y here only †. (chon. xii. 30.) (ch. x. 33 reff.) u a 12 Alins in Hexapl.) x. 20 only. Exod. xxxiii. 7,

δους, διδους D-corr¹ or ²: δους Η Clem₁ Chr₁, οπι και τα παντα 13 Syr. Steph (for και τα) κατα, with HL rel Thdrt₁ Ee Thl-fin. (*Meyer thinks* κατα παντα ver 22 was still in the copyist's mind. At all events, it seems to be an error): και κατα 40: txt A B(Mai expr) DE(X) p 36 vulg syr æth arm Clem, Chr Thdrt, Cosm Thlsif. -om Ta X1.

26. om τε DE syr: δε m. om αιματος ABN p 13. 40 vulg copt æth Clem Bede: ins DEHL rel 36 syrr Tharts, Chr_{sspe} Cosm Ge Thi Iren-int. (Meyer well remarks on the omission, that it is more likely to have happened owing to ενος αιματος, than that αιματος should be a gloss on ενος—for that this would be rather given by ανθρωπου.) for εθνος, γενος a c 23. 69. 96. 104. 137. 142 vulg syr-marg Clem Thl-fin Iren-

int. ανθρωπου D-gr. rec παν το προσωπον (corrn for ease of constr), with HL rel Chr Thdrt Cosm: $\pi \alpha \nu \pi \rho o s \omega \pi o \nu$ E Thdrt₁: txt ABDN p 13. 36 Clem. rec $\pi \rho o \tau e \tau a \gamma \nu$, with D¹ 13 b f k. $p r \alpha finiens$ Iren-int: $\tau e \tau a \gamma \mu$. a 14¹. 69: txt AB D-corri or 2 EHLN rel vss Clem Ammon Chr Cosm Œc Thl. κατα οροθεσιαν D1-gr Iren-int: txt D5.

27. ins μαλιστα bef ζητειν D-gr. rec for $\theta \in \mathcal{OV}$, $\kappa \cup \mathcal{O} \cup \mathcal{O}$ (in this case we can hardly suppose kup, to be genuine, as De W. and Meyer, simply from the à priori difficulty of Paul having used the expression when speaking to heathers: the copyists are uniformly so careless where these two words are concerned, as to leave such considerations very uncertain), with E rel Cosm Thl-sif: το (for τι, or τι το?) θειον εστιν D Iren-int: txt ABHLX a d p 13. 36. 40 vulg syrr coptt ath Chr Ec Thl-fin Hil Ambr.

includerent deos, quibus omnia deberent esse patentia et libera, quorumque hic mundus omnis templum esset et domus.'

Where Paul stood, he might see the celebrated colossal statue of Athena Polias, known by the Athenians as $\hat{\eta} \Theta \epsilon \hat{\alpha}$, standing and keeping guard with spear and shield in the enclosure of the Acropolis.

25.] θεραπεύεται, is (really and truly) served. So θεδς οὐ μυκτηρίζεται, Gal. προςδ.] ἐνδεῖσθαι μέν ἐστι τὸ παντελώς μη έχειν προςδείσθαι δε το έχειν μέν μέρος, έτι δὲ δεῖσθαι πρὸς τὸ τέλειον. Ulpian (in Wetst.). As the assertion of Creation contradicted the Epicurean error, so this laid hold of that portion of truth, which however disguised, that school had apprehended: 'Omnis enim per se divûm natura necesse est | Immortali ævo summa cum pace fruatur. | | Ipsa suis pollens opibus, nihil indiga nostri,' Lucret. i. 57. There is a verse in 2 Macc. xiv. 35, remarkable, as compared with the thoughts and words of Paul here : σύ, κύρις, των όλων ἀπροςδεής ὑπάρχων, εὐδοκήσας ναδυ της σης κατασκηνώσεως εν ημίν γενέσθαι. τινός] neuter, as referring to the temples and statues offered by the Athenians. ζωήν κ. πνοήν He is the Preserver, as well as the Creator, of all; and all things come to us from Him. Compare, on τὰ πάντα, David's words.

1 Chron. xxix. 14, σὰ τὰ πάντα, καὶ ἐκ 26. de Ét évòs τῶν σῶν δεδώκαμέν σοι. ain. was said, be it remembered, to a people who gave themselves out for abroχθονες: but we must not imagine that to refute this was the object of the words: they aim far higher than this, and controvert the whole genius of polytheism, which attributed to the various nations differing mythical origins, and separate guardian gods. It is remarkable, that though of all people the Jews were the most distinguished in their covenant state from other nations of the earth, yet to them only was given the revelation of the true history of mankind, as all created of one blood; a doctrine kept as it were in store for the gospel to proclaim. Not, 'hath made of one blood,' &c., as E. V., but caused every nation of men (sprung) of one blood, to dwell, &c. See Matt. v. 32; Mark vii. 37. παντὸς προςώπου] The omission

of the art. may be accounted for by the words following ἐπί (see Middleton, vi. 1): or, perhaps, by the parallelism of παν ξθνος, παντός προςώπου: or perhaps, as παs οίκος 'Ισραήλ, ch. ii. 36, because πρόςωπον της γης is regarded as one appellative. See note on πασα οἰκοδομή, Eph. καιρ. όροθ. He who was before (ver. 24) the Creator, then (ver. 25) the Preserver, is now the Gover-

z = here (Luke εί ἄρα γε z ψηλαφήσειαν αὐτὸν καὶ ευροιεν, ακαί αγε οὐ αθ υμας ποιητών τη ποιητών το θεου ουκ 1 οφείλο - c d f g h κ η γένος οῦν α υπάρχοντες τοῦ θεου οὐκ 1 οφείλο - c d f g h κ μ m γαράγματι 13

ψηλαφησαισαν D: -σαιεν a 3. 64. 95'. 105: -σειεν Eχ 40 \times 40. αυτο D1: txt D1. for $\kappa\alpha\iota$ (bef $\epsilon\nu\rho.) ~\eta$ AD 36. 40 vulg(not tol) salı Iren-int. rec καιτοιγε (alteration to more usual word; the readg και τοι is not, as D1. Meyer thinks, any sign that rec is genuine, but merely that to in the marg had been sometimes prefixed to the γε, sometimes substituted for it), with N a Chr Cosm Thifin: καιτοι ΑΕ Clem: και τε D¹: txt BHL p 13. 36 rel Did Thl-sif. ου μακραν ον υμων A¹L k m. υπαρχοντος Ε lect-12 Clem : απεχοντα 69. $(\omega \nu D^3) \alpha \phi D.$ 98-marg 105: om D1: txt D5.

aft εσμέν ins το καθ ημέραν D. 28. auin D1: txt D3(?). ωςπερ D.

των κ. υμ. bef τινες D. ημας Β(Mai expr) 33. 68. 95. 96. 105. 137 copt. for του, τουτου D¹ e l2 192. ποιητων D Iren-int Aug Ambr Ambrst Quæst Pac. 21. 96 Iren-int: αυτου E2 35. 68: ipsius E-lat vulg Hil: τουτων 3: τουτο 137.

29. ins $ov\tau\epsilon$ bef $\chi\rho v\sigma\omega$ D1. η αργυρω Ν. æth.

nor of all men: prescribing to each nation its space to dwell in, and its time of endurance. προςτετ., not προτ., appointed, 'ordered by Him.' 27.] ζηpointed, 'ordered by Him.' 27.] ζη-τεῖν does not depend on ἐποίησεν, but gives the intent of the above-mentioned providential arrangements: that they might seek God. τον κύριον (as rec. and one uncial MS. have) has probably been a careless mistake of a transcriber: τί τὸ θείον ¿στιν, which appears to have been the reading of D, is one of its own strange glosses.

el apa if by any chance, denoting a contingency apparently not very likely to happen, see Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 410.

ψηλαφήσειαν] Originally an Æolie form, but frequent in Attic Greek, for ψηλαφήσαιεν, see Luke vi. 11. On the word itself, compare Aristoph. (Pax, 691): προτοῦ μέν οὖν | ἐψηλαφῶμεν ἐν σκότω τὰ πράγματα, | νυνὶ δ' ἄπαντα πρός λύχνον Βουλεύσομεν. These lines, as Mr. Humphry observes, 'seem at once to illustrate the figurative use of the verb, and to express the condition of man prior and subsequent to revelation.' καί γε] ' Not that HE is distant from us, but that we are ignorant of Him.' See Rom. x. 6, 8; Jer. xxiii. 23, 24. καί γε, 'et quidem:' see Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 398 f.

28. There is no justification for the pantheist in this. It is properly said only of the race of men, as being His offspring, bound to Him: proceeding from, and upheld by, and therefore living, moving, and being in Him :- but even in a wider sense His Being, though a separate objective Personality, involves and contains that of His creatures. See Eph. i. 10, where the same is said of Christ. ἐν αὐτῷ must not be taken for 'by Him:' the subsequent citation would in that case be irrelevant.

ζωμ. κιν. ἐσμ.] 'A elimax: out of God we should have no Life, nor even movement (which some things without life have, plants, water, &c.), nay, not any existence at all (we should not have been).' Meyer. Storr's explanation of $(\omega_{\mu\epsilon\nu})$ by 'vivinus bente ac hilare,' and Kninoel and Olshausen's of $\epsilon\sigma_{\mu}\epsilon_{\nu}$ by 'real being,' i.e. 'the spiritual life,' are evidently beside the purpose; the intent being to shew the absolute dependence for every thing of man on God, and thence the absurdity of supposing the Godhead like to the works of his (man's) τοῦ γὰρ κ. γ. ἐσμ.] Aratus, in the opening lines of the Phænomena πάντη δὲ Διὸς κεχρήμεθα πάντες τοῦ γὰρ και γένος ἐσμέν. Kleanthes also, Hymn. in Jov. 5, has έκ σοῦ γὰρ γένος ἐσμέν. Aratus was a native of Tarsus, about 270 B.C., and wrote astronomical poems, of which two, the φαινόμενα and διοσημεία, remain. Kleanthes was born at Assos, in Troas, about 300 B.C. The Apostle, by the plural, seems to have both poets in his

ὅμοιον. 30 τοὺς μὲν οὖν q χρόνους τῆς t ἀγνοίας s ὑπεριδὼν q constr. ch. ii. 21 τeft. ό θεὸς t τὰ t νῦν u παραγγέλλει τοῖς ἀνθρώποις πάντας t καθότι s ἔστησεν ἡμέραν t ν μέτανοείν, 31 × καθότι s ἔστησεν ἡμέραν t ν t καιισόνη, t t ν t δίκαισόνη, t t ν t εν t δίκαισόνη, t t ν t εν t δίκαισόνη, t t ν t εν t και χείν t t ανδρί α ψ ε ωρισεν, f πίστιν fg παρασχών πασιν, h αναστήσας αυτον h έκ νεκρων. 32 ακούσαντες δε i ανάστασιν i νεκρων οί μεν εχλεύαζον, οι δε είπαν Ακουσόμεθά σου περί και πάλιν. 33 ούτως ο Παύλος εξηλθεν εκ ν hark 1 2ε.

άσφαλείας,

Loke ix. 6. ch. xxiv. 3. xxviii. 22. 1 Cor. iv. 17 only. Isa. xlii. 22. (xyi. ch. xxi. 28.) xxi. 20. (xyi. ch. xxi. 28.) c. x = ch. ii. 24 rch. (xyi. ch. xxi. 28.) c. x = ch. ii. 24 rch. (xyi. ch. xxi. 28.) c. x = ch. xiv. 27. (xyi. ch. xxi. 28.) xxiv. 28. (xyi. ch. xxi. 28.) xxiv. 28. (xyi. ch. xxi. 28.) xxiv. 27. (xyi. ch. xxi. 28.) xxiv. 28. (xyi. ch. xxi. 28.) xxiv. 28. (xyi. ch. xxi. 28.) xxiv. 29. (xyi. ch. xxi. 28.) xxiv. 20. (xyi. ch. xxi. 29.) xxiv. 20. (xyi. ch. xxi. 29

30. aft της αγνοιας ins ταυτης D¹ vnlg. και τους χρον. μεν ουν Ε: et t tidem vnlg. παριδων D¹: περιδων D¹ 103: despiciens vnlg: txt D-corr. και τους χρον. μεν ουν Ε: et tempora quidem vulg. rec πασι (alteration, to agree with ανθρωποις. Meyer and De απαγγελλει ΕΝ1. Wette's idea, that mage was altered to mayras to soften the assertion that God commanded avθ. πασι πανταχου, - is in the highest degree improbable), with HL rel spee æth Ps-Ath, Chr Thdrt Cosm Œe Thl Iren-int: ινα παντες D1: omnibus at omnes Syr: txt ABD EX 13. 36. 40 Ath Cyr Chron: ut omnes ubique panitentiam agant vulg D-lat coptt Aug.

31. rec διοτι (explan of καθοτι), with HL rel Chr Œc Thl-sif: καθο 18, 36, 180: txt ABDEN a c 13 Ath Thdrt, Eulog Cyr Chron Thl-fin. for εν η μ. κρ., κρειναι D: om 2nd ev D-gr. aft ανδρι ins ιησου D judicari Iren-int; judicare Aug.

παρεσχειν(sic) D, παρασχειν 32. 57, exibere D-lat.

rec παλιν περι τουτου. 33 και ουτως, with HL rel 36 32. [ειπαν, so BEN.] Chr Œe Thl-sif: txt AB(DE)№ 13. 40 arm Thl-fin.-om кал DE.

The τοῦ refers to Zeus in both cases, the admission being taken as a portion of truth regarding the Supreme God, which even heathen poets confessed. καί has no connexion here, but is (see above) part of the verse in Aratus.

30. ὑπεριδών In this word lie treasures of mercy for those who lived in the times of ignorance. God overlooked them: i.e. corrected not this ignorance itself as a sin, but the abuses even of this, by which the heathen sunk into deeper degradation. The same argument is treated more at length in The $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota$ of the rec. and $\tilde{\iota} r a$ Rom. i. ii. πάντες of D1 have both been corrections occasioned by the apparent difficulty of $\tau o \hat{s}$ $\hat{a} \nu \theta \rho \hat{\omega} \pi o \hat{s} \pi \hat{a} \nu \tau \alpha s$. The genuine reading gives the emphatic $\pi \hat{a} \nu \tau \alpha s \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi o \hat{v}$, following on the foregoing assertion of vv. 25, 26, its proper place. 31. καθότι] See var. read. and reff.:—used by Luke and him only: 'seeing that,' inasmuch as. έν δικαιοσ.] δικαιοσ. is the cha-

racter of the judgment,—the element, of which it shall consist. ἐν ἀνδρί] Not, 'in (by) a man,' but by (i. e. in the person of) the man: the art. is omitted after the preposition: see Midd. vi. 1. The èv is not instrumental, properly speaking, here

or any where else. Its judicial use is only a particular case of its usage of investiture or elementary condition: in the judge the judgment consists, is constituted; he is its vehicle and expression. See ref. 1 Cor. and note for examples of this use.

πίστ. κ.τ.λ.] 'Quia res erat vix credibilis, argumentum adfert eximium.' Grotius.

32. ἀναστ. νεκρ.] Perhaps here, when they heard of a resurrection of dead men,' viz. of that of Christ, νεκρών being generic. But the same words are used in ref. 1 Cor. πως λέγουσιν έν ύμιν τινες οτι ανάστασις νεκρών οὐκ ἔστιν; so that I would rather take them here to mean that they inferred the general possibility of the resurrection of the dead, as a tenet of Paul's, from the one case which he menoi οί δέ We must not allot these two parties as some have done, the former to the Epicureans, the latter to the Stoics: the description is The words ἀκουσόμεθα need not be taken as ironical. The hearing not having taken place is no proof that it was not intended at the time: and the distinction between these and the mockers seems to imply that they were in earnest.

33. οῦτως 'In this state of the

ver. 24.

Heb, x, 20.)

 n system (m), mn μέσου αὐτῶν 34 τινὲς δὲ ἄνδρες $^{\circ}$ κολληθέντες αὐτῷ ABDE (m), xxiii. 10, ρ ἐπίστευσαν, ἐν οἶς καὶ Διονύσιος ὁ ᾿Αρεοπαγίτης καὶ γυνὴ εἰς h (co, t.). (i. n , n , * τῷ st γένει, " προςφάτως έληλυθότα ἀπὸ τῆς Ίταλίας, καὶ άπό, ch. i 4. Πρίσκιλλαν γυναϊκα αὐτοῦ, διὰ τὸ ὁ διατεταχέναι Κλαύs Mark vii. 28. διου τ χωρίζεσθαι πάντας τους Ίουδαίους ἀπὸ τῆς Ῥώμης.

t = ch, vii, 19, 2 Cor, xi, 26, Esth. ii, 10, u here only. Deut. xxiv. 5, (-τος) v Luke viii, 55, 1 Cor, vii, 17 al, L.P., exc. Matt. xi, 1, Ezek. xxi, 19, (-ταγή, ch. vii, 53.) 34. εκολληθησαν D1: txt D4. for o (bef $\alpha \rho \epsilon o \pi$.), $\tau \iota s$ D: om B. αρεοπ. ins ευσχημων D, complacens D-lat. om και γυν. ον δ. D: aft γυν. ins τιμια Ε.

CHAP. XVIII. 1. om δε ABN a 13 vulg copt: ins (D)EHL rel 36 Chr Œc Thl.—και μετα ταυτα Syr wth.—αναχωρησας δε, ong μετα ταυτα, D. rec aft χωρισθεις ins ο παυλος (inserted just as δε was omitted, at beginning of an ecclesiastical portion), with AEHL rel 36 Chr: om BDX 13 vulg sah Aug. for εκ, απο D.

τεταχεναι DEL f k m 13: τεχεναι(sic) X1: προστεταχ. 2. εληλυθα D1 : txt D2. κλαυδιος D1: txt D-corr1: om B. a d: διατεταχθεναι 137. 173. rec (for 2nd απο) εκ (prob corrn to suit χωρισθ. εκ in ver 1. So De Wette: Meyer thinks the aπo to have been a corrn to suit απο της ιταλ., but the other suppn is much more likely, the same verb occurring in both), with H c f h l Chr: om aft ρωμης ins οι κε κατωκησεν(-σαν D-corr') εις την 13: txt ABDELN rel.

popular mind:' (with an expectation of being heard again?) 34. Διονύσιος δ 'Ap.] Nothing more is known of him. Euseb. H. E. iii. 4; iv. 23, relates that he was bishop of Athens, and Niceph. iii. 11, that he died a martyr. The writings which go by his name are undoubtedly spurious. γυνή] Not, as Chrys., de Sacerd. iv. 7, p. 412, seems to infer from the form of the expression, -- ἡκολούθησεν αὐτῷ μετὰ της γυναικός, the wife of Dionysius: this

would have been ή γυνη αὐτοῦ. Chap. XVIII. 1.] Corinth was at this time a colony (see note, ch. xvi. 12), the capital of the Roman province of Achaia, and the residence of the proconsul. For further particulars, see Prolegg. to 1 Cor. § ii. 2. Ἰουδαῖον] It appears that Aquila and Priscilla were not Christians at this time: it is the similarity of employment only which draws them to Paul, and their conversion is left to be inferred as taking place in consequence: see ver. 26.

Ποντικόν τ. γ.] It is remarkable, that Pontius Aquila is a name found in the Pontian gens at Rome more than once in the days of the Republic (see Cicero, ad Fam. x. 33; Suet., Jul. Cas. 78; Smith's Diet. of Biogr., art. Aquila, Pontius); whence some have supposed that this may have been a freedman of a Pontius Aquila, and that Ποντ. τῷ γένει may have been an inference from his name. But besides that Luke's acquaintance with the real origin of Aguila could hardly but have been accurate, -Aquila, the translator of the O. T. into Greek, was also a native of Pontus.

From the notices of Aquila and Priscilla in the Epistles, they appear to have travelled, fixing their abode by turns in different principal cities, for the sake of their business. In ver. 19, we have them left at Ephesus (see also ver. 26); in 1 Cor. xvi. 19, still there; in Rom, xvi. 3 ff., again at Rome; in 2 Tim. iv. 19, again at Ephesus.

διὰ τὸ διατεταχέναι . . .] Suet. Claud. 25, says, 'Judæos impulsore Chresto assidue tumultuantes Roma expulit:' but as he gives this without any fixed note of time, - as the words 'impulsore Chresto' may be taken in three ways (as indicative either (1) of an actual leader of that name, or (2) of some tumult connected with the expectations of a Messiah, or (3) of some dispute about Christianity), -Neander well observes, that after all which has been said on it, no secure historical inference respecting the date of the event, or its connexion with any Christian church at Rome, can be drawn. It was as a Jew that Aquila was driven from Rome: and there is not a word of Christians here. If one could identify this expulsion of the Jews with that of the 'mathematici' in Tacitus (Ann. xii. 52), which took place Fausto Sulla, Salv. Othone Coss. (A.D. 52), we might be on surer ground,—but this is very uncertain, and even improbable. The two could hardly " προςῆλθεν αὐτοῖς, 3 καὶ διὰ τὸ " ὁμότεχνον εἶναι " ἔμενεν " here only see ch. x 2.8 " παρ' αὐτοῖς καὶ 2 ἢογάζετο, ἦσαν γὰρ " σκηνοποιοὶ τῆ ἔκι. «ἐ επι τέχνη ' 4 ° διελέγετο δὲ ἐν τῆ συναγωγῆ " κατὰ πάν τίς Lake σάββατον, " ἔπειθέν τε Ἰουδαίους καὶ "Ελληνας. 5 ὡς δὲ Ιις Τις Κατῆλθον ἀπὸ τῆς Μακεδονίας ὅ τε Σίλας καὶ ὁ 4 Τις Τις Τις μοθεος, 8 συνείχετο τῷ λόγῳ ὁ Παῦλος, h διαμαρτυρόμενος a here only a .

αχαιαν D, simly syr-marg. αυτω D1-gr: txt D2. add o παυλος D.

3. one είναι D. εμεινείν ΕΗL Chr Thl: manebat Ε-lat. προς αυτους D. [ηργαζετο, so AΒ¹DΕ k 13: ηργαζοντο κ¹.] om last clause D. rec

την τεχνην, with H rel 36 Œc Thl: txt ABELN c g l 13. 40 Chr.

4. Oin ver am¹ fuld lat-mss-in-Bede: ειςπορευομενος δε εις την συναγωγην κατα παν σαβατον διελεγετυ και εντίθεις το ονομα του κυριου ιησου και (oin και D-corr) επίθεν δε ου μονον ιουδαιους αλλα και ελληνας D; simly vulg-ced syr-marg aft σαββατον ins εντίθεις το ονομα του κυριου ιησου.

for παν, μιαν H: παντα 13.

5. for ws de kathledge, pareyevout de D. om the L h k. for o te, tote Dl-gt: oti 0. om d bef the D 42. 173. ree for two logs, two missinderstanding: or perhaps, as Meyer, originally a scholium on sureixeto, and thence has usurped the place of the origit two logs, with H rel 36 syr-marg arm Chr Ee Thl: txt ABDELN c 13. 40 vulg syrr coptt ath Bas Chr-ms Thdrt. om d bef wav. D. diamapturoureros $\frac{1}{2}$ 0 db 68 Thl-fin.

have been united. The circumstance related by Dio Cassius, Ix. 6, which seems to contradict Suctionius and our text,—του 'Του 'Του δαίους πλεονάσαντας αδθις, ὥστε χαλεπώς αν ὥνευ ταραχής ὅνα τοῦ ὅχλου σφῶν τῆς πόλεως εἰρχθήναι, οἰκ ἐξήλασε μέν, τῷ δὲ πατρία νόμιφ βίω χρωμένους ἐκόλευσε μὴ συναθροίζεσθαι,—probably describes a step taken by Claudius previously to this expulsion, which not improbably occasioned the tumults which made the expulsion necessary. The edict soon became invalid, or the prohibition was taken off: we find Aquila at Rome, Rom. xvi. 3, and many Jews resident there, ch. xxviii. 17 ff.

3. ἡργάζετο] "The Jewish Rabbis having no state pay, it was their practice to teach their children a trade. 'What is commanded of a father towards his son?' asks a Talmudic writer. 'To circumcise him, to teach him the law, to teach him a trade.' Rabbi Judah saith, 'He that teacheth not his son a trade, doth the same as if he taught him to be a thief:' and Rabban Gamaliel saith, 'He that hath a trade in his hand, to what is he like? He is like a vineyard that is fenced.'" C. and H. i. p. 58.

The places where Paul refers to his supporting himself by his own manual labour are,—ch. xx. 34 (Ephesus):—1 Cor. ix. 12 ff.; 2 Cor. vii. 2 (Corinth):—1 Thess. ii. 9; 2 Thess. iii. 8 (Thessalonica). In 2 Cor. xi. 9, we learn that supplies were also brought to him at Corinth from Mace-

donia, i. e. Philippi, see Phil. iv. 15. σκηνοποιοί] The general opinion now is, that Paul was a maker of tents from the 'cilicium,' or hair-cloth of Cilician goats. Thus Kuinoel, citing from Hug and Eichhorn, says of the former, "Ad hanc sententiam comprobandam monuit, Ciliciam, Pauli patriam, refertam fuisse hircis et capris villosis, eorumque villis Cilices usos esse ad conficiendum pannum, Cilicium inde dictum. Suidas: Κίλικος τράγος δ δαπύς τοιοῦτοι γὰρ ἐν Κιλικία γίνονται τράγοι, όθεν και τὰ ἐκ τῶν τριχῶν συντιθέμενα Κιλίκια καλοθνται. Hoc panno usos esse milites, nautas, Nomadas, ad tentoria conficienda, v. Vegetius, de Re Mil. iv. 6. Plin. N. H. vi. 28, 'Nomades, infestatoresque Chaldæorum scenitæ et ipsi vagi, sed a tabernaculis cognominati, quæ ciliciis metantur, ubi libuit.' Solin. 33, 'Scenitæ caussam nominis inde ducunt, quod tentoriis succedunt, nec alias domos habent, ipsa autem tentoria cilicina sunt ; ita nuncupantur velamenta caprarum pilis texta." If it be objected, that Paul would hardly find the raw material for this work in cities far from Cilicia, it may be answered, that this would not be required in the fabrication of tents from the haircloth, which doubtless itself would be an article of commerce in the markets of Greece. Chrysost calls Paul sometimes σκηνοβράφος, sometimes σκυτοτόμος, a leather-cutter, imagining that the tents were made of leather; έπλ σκηνοβραφείου i Rom, ziii. 2. James iv 6. Še αὐτῶν καὶ k βλασφημούντων l ἐκτιναξάμενος τὰ ἰμάτια c ἀξιατια το ἀξιατια c ἀξιατια ασουμένων μίων d λίπος χί. 3. James χί. 3. Κίπος χί. 34. James d αὐτῶν καὶ k βλασφημούντων l ἐκτιναξάμενος τὰ ἰμάτια c αξιατια d αξιατια d αξιατια d αξιατια d d μισια d d d απο τοῦ o νῦν είς τὰ έθνη πορεύσομαι. d καθαρὸς ἐγῶ d ἀπὸ τοῦ o νῦν είς οἰκίαν τινὸς ὀνόματι d τέπ. Δίπ. 3. Γασια d μεταβὰς ἐκείθεν ῆλθεν είς οἰκίαν τινὸς ὀνόματι d τέπ. Δίπ. Αχανίί. 25. Rom. 1. Τουστον d σεβομένου τὸν r θεόν, οὖ ἡ οἰκία ἡν s συνυμοροῦσα d 26 καρια d d τοῦ d τοῦ d τοῦ d οὐτοῦ, καὶ πολλοὶ d d d τοῦν Κορινθίων ἀκούοντες d επίστευον καὶ έβαπτίζοντο. 2 κοις ν. 16. Δίκ τοῦν d κοι χίι. 40. χίι. 41. Δίν. 11. 11. γε. 18 οἰν. d και χίι. 41. Δίκ ν. 28. Καις 1. 1. 19. 2 Δίας ν. 11. 19. 24 οἰν. d και χίι. 40. χίιι. 41. Δίι. 41. δίιι. 40. χίιι. 41. χίιι. 41. γε. 18 οἰν. d καν δετίθ. d νέλ και τείδ. Αν δετίθ.

om τοις ιουδ. AH 1771. ins ειναι bcf τ. χριστ. (see ver 28) ABDN a b d k o 13. 36 vulg Syr syr-w-ast arm Bas Thl-fin: om EHL rel Chr Thdrt Œc Thl-sif. ins κυριον bef ιησ. D.

6. at beg ins pollow $\delta \epsilon$ logon geinomeron kai graphy diermreomerom D syr-marg, for aptitags, [e]ti tags. D'gp: apbistarend 15. 18. 36: txt D'(D'-gp is very imperf in vv 6, 7). aft ektiva ins o paulos D tol. aft τa imatia ins aptoo D b o k sah Thl-sif; pref, 40. 69. $\epsilon \gamma \omega$ aff $\gamma \mu \omega$ D'(?) (and lat).

πορευομαι D1H1L Syr Chr(some mss).

7. om kai D(?) ins D² for ekeilen, [aho ton aku]\a D(?) 137. eish\den A D(?) \(\mathbb{N} \) a 13 vulg Syr syr-marg sah ath Thl-fin: txt BD²EHL rel 36 syr copt Chr &C Thl-sif. ovolat[o]\ b^1: om A 2, 30. 104 ath: txt D² ins \(\tau \text{tion} \) bef invoton B¹ D²-gr; titon EN 7. 15. 36. 81 vulg syr copt arm Jer, and (omg longton) 2. 30 Syr sah Cassiod (originally prob a mistake arising from ovolation \(\text{Th} \) a being taken for the abbreviated form of titon or tition): om AB²D¹HL ath Chr &E Thl-sif. \(\sigma \text{vuolopowo} \a \text{A}. \)

8. ο δε αρχισ. κρισπ. D. εις τον κυριον D. for συν, εν H^1 . ακουσαντες HL c m syr (Ec-ed Thl. at end add πιστευοντες τω θεω δια τ. ονοματος

του κυριου ημων ιησου χριστου D.

έστως δέρματα έρβαπτε (in Catena). 5.] See ch. xvii. 15; 1 Thess. iii. 6. συνείχετο τῷ λόγῳ] 'When Silas and Timotheus returned from Macedonia, they found Paul anxiously occupied in dis-coursing to the Jews. This I believe to be the meaning: that they found him in a state of more than ordinary anxiety,-more than usually absorbed in the work of testifying to the Jews (see reff.) :- a crisis in the work being imminent, which resulted in their rejection of the word of life. (On the whole character of his early preaching at Corinth, see notes, 1 Cor. ii. 1-5.) Thus only, the be in ver. 5 and that in ver. 6 will both besatisfied: he discoursed in the synagogue, &c.... but when Silas and Timo-theus returned, he was earnestly occupied in discoursing, &c. But, as they opposed themselves and blasphemed, &c. Dr. Wordsw. adopts the view that after the arrival of Silas and Timotheus with supplies from Macedonia, Paul gave up his tent-making and gave himself up (συνείχετο) to preaching. But surely this is ungrammatical. The aor. (ώs κατηλθον) and imperf. (συνείχετο) require the render-

ing 'when they returned, they found him συνεχόμενον.'
6.] αίμα as in ch. xx. 26. The image and nearly the words, are from Ezek. xxxiii. 4. De Wette should have known better than to call a citation from the LXX an 'unpaulinifder Spradgebraud.' ἀπὸ τοῦ νῦν Νοι absolutely, only at Corinth: for ver. 19 we find him arguing with the Jews again in the synagogue at Ephesus. I have adopted the punctuation of Lachmann, erasing the colon after ἐγώ: I shall heneforth with a pure conscience go to the Gentiles.

7.] In order to shew that he henceforth separated himself from the Jews, he, on leaving the synagogue, went no longer to the honse of the Jew Aquila (who appears afterwards to have been converted), but to the house of a Gentile proselyte of the gate, close to the synagogue: q. d. 'in the sight of all the congregation in the synagogue' for this seems to be the object in mentioning the circumstance.

8.] On this, a schism took place among the Jews. The ruler of the synagogue attached himself to Paul, and was, together with Gains, baptized by the Apostle himself (I Cor. i. 14):

 9 εἶπεν δὲ ὁ κύριος x ἐν x νυκτὶ δι y ὁράματος τῷ Παύλ ψ x 1. Thes. v. 2 only. Ps. M) φοβοῦ, ἀλλὰ λάλει καὶ μὴ x σιωπήσης, 10 a διότι ἐγώ y κακῖι 1; b είμι μετὰ σοῦ, καὶ οὐδὲὶς c ἐπιθήσεταὶ σοι d τοῦ c κακῶσαὶ z Σιώκεὶ 20 sil. Ατές, here ος, x διότι λαός ἐστί μοι πολὺς ἐν τῷ πόλει ταύτη, b κιίς 13 sil. 11 f ἐκάθισεν δὲ ἐνιαυτοῦν καὶ μῆνας ξξ x διδάσκων ἐν αὐτοῖς a Γιώκεὶ 33 sil. Γρημος y τος y λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ. 12 Γαλλίωνος δὲ b ἀνθυπάτου ὄντος b κιίκεὶ 33 sil. Γρημος y Λχαΐας i κατεπέστησαν k όμοθυμαδὸν οὶ Γουδαῖοι τῷ c b che sully 1 sil. c c constr., 1 cor. x. 13 reft. c ch. sili. 6 reft. i i here only i κελι. 14 reft. g ch. sili. 6 reft. i i here only i κελι. 14 reft.

10. at beg ins αλλα (but marked for erasure) N¹. om σοι D-gr E.

11. ree τε (for δε), with E-gr HL rel Syr æth Chr (Ee Thl: txt ABN a e m 13 vulg
E-lat syr coptt.—και εκαθ. D. add εν κορινθω D Syr syr-w-ast; εκει 40 demid sah
arm. aft ενιαντ. ins κ. ενα Ν (but κ is marked for erasure by Ν¹). for εν
αυτ., αυτους D-gr 4 Syr æth; αυτοις 37. 56. 100.

12. rec ανθυπατευοντος, with EHL rel Chr Ee Thl: txt ABD \aleph 36. 40. οι ιουδαιοι bef ομοθυμαδον B g coptt. for τω παυλω και, συνλαλησαντες μεθ εαντων

and with him many of the Corinthians (Jews and Gentiles, it being the house of a proselyte), probably Aquila and Priscilla

also, believed and were baptized.

9. λάλ. κ. μὴ σιωπ.] So, for solemnity's sake, we have an affirmation and negation combined. John i. 3. See also Isa, lyiii. 1.

combined, John i. 3. See also Isa, Iviii. 1.

10. ἐπιθ. σοι] See ref. and examples of this usage in Wetst. :—shall set on thee, as E. V. λαός ἐστί μοι πολύς] See John x. 16. As our Lord forewarmed Paul in Jerusalem that they would not receive his testimony concerning Him, so here He encourages him, by a promise of much success in Corinth. The word λαός, the express title before time of the Jews, is still used now, notwithstanding their secession.

11.] The year and a half may extend either to his departure, or to the incident in vv. 12 ff. Meyer would confine it to the latter, taking '&&dorey in the sense of 'remained in quiet'.' but (see reff.) it will hardly bear such emphasis: and seeing that the incident in vv. 12 ff. was a notable fulfilment of the promise,—for though they set on him, they could not hurt him,—I should be disposed to take the other view, and regard ver. 12 to iwavás, ver. 18, to have happened during this time.

12. Γαλλίωνος] His original name was Marcus Annæus Novatus: but, having been adopted into the family of the rhetorician Lucius Junius Gallio, he took the name of Junius Annæus Gallio. He was brother of Lucius Annæus Seneca, the philosopher, whose character of him is in exact accordance with that which we may infer from this narrative: 'Nemo mortalium mihi tam dulcis est, quam hie omnibus: 'Gallionem

fratrem meum, quem nemo non parum amat, etiam qui amare plus non potest.' He is called 'duleis Gallio' by Statius, Silv. ii. 7. 32. He appears to have given up the province of Achaia from ill health: 'Illud mihi in ore erat domini mei Gallionis qui cum in Achaia febrem habere cœpisset, protinus navem ascendit, clamitans non corporis esse sed loci morbum.' Senec. Ep. 104. He was spared after the execution of his brother (Tacit. Ann. xv. 73): but Dio Cassius, lxii. 25, adds, of άδελφοί υστερον ἐπαπώλοντο, and Euseb. Chron. ad ann. 818 (A.D. 66), says that he put an end to himself after his brother's death. ἀνθυπάτου | See note on ch. xiii. 7. Achaia was originally a senatorial province (Dio Cass. liii. 12), but was temporarily made an imperial one by Tiberius, Tacit. Ann. i. 76, 'Achaiam ac Macedoniam, onera deprecantes, levari in præsens proconsulari imperio, tradique Cæsari placuit.' Claudius (Suet. Claud. 25) 'Provincias Achaiam et Macedoniam quas Tiberius ad curam suam transtulerat, senatui reddidit.' τ. 'Axatas The Roman province

of Achaia contained Hellas and the Peloponnesus, and, with Macedonia, embraced all their Grecian dominions. It was so called, according to Pausanias (vii. 16. 7), because the Romans ἐχειράσωντο Ἑλληνως δι' ἀχαίων τότε τοῦ Ἑλληνωροῦ προεστηκότων (the Achaian league). "The βημα is mentioned three times in the course of this narrative (see vv. 16, 17). It was of two kinds: (1) fixed in some public and open place: (2) moveable, and taken by the Roman magistrates to be placed wherever they might sit in a judicial character. Pro-

επι τον παυλον και επιθεντες τας χειρας D; ins επιθ. τ. χ. αυτω syr-w-ast sah. for

emt, παρα Ν.

13. ins καταβοωντες και bef λεγοντες D. rec outos bef αναπ. (corrn of characteristic order), with DEHL rel 36 vulg Chr: txt ABN a h k 13 arm Thl-fin.

πείθει Η 40: ανατρεπεί 1. 65. 133.

14. om ουν (see note) ABDEN a b c o 13. 36. 40 vulg syrr sah æth arm Chr Thl; ins HL rel Cec.—om ην L d m 25: η A¹. ins ανδρες bef ιουδαίοι D vulg. ανεσχομην ΒΝ: 13; so, omg αν, A. 33. 34. 36 (confusion arising from ανηνεσχ.).

15. rec ζητημα (corrn to suit αδικημα and ραδιουργημα above: the plur has a meaning, see note), with D HL rel 13 Chr Ge Thl-fin: txt AB D'-gr E-gr R a e 40 vulg syrr coptt arm Thl-sif. for εστυ, εχετε D-gr. rec att κριτης ins γαρ, with EHL rel 36 syrr sah Chr: txt ABDN 13 vulg copt æth. for βουλομαι, θελω D.

16. απελυσεν D1 133: txt D4, abject D-lat.

17. [a]πολαβομενοι D¹-gr; txt D'. rec aft παντες ins οι ελληνες (see note), with DEHL 13 rel syrr sah æth Œc Thl; οι ιουδαιοι 36. 180; ιουδ. 15. 18: om ABK e¹ vulg copt Chr-comm(but om παντες too). ins μετα (? there is a space, but the writing has perished) bef σωσθενην D: adprehendentes eum . . . cum Sosthemen D-lat.

bably here and in the case of Pilate (John xix. 13), the former kind of seat is intended. See Smith's Dict. of Antiquities, under 'Sella.' See also some remarks on "the tribunal,—'the indispensable symbol of the Roman judgment-seat,' in the Edinburgh Review for Jan. 1847, p. 151." C. and H. vol. i. 494. 13. παρά τ. νόμον Against the Mosaic law:-the exercise of which, as a 'religio licita,' was allowed to the Jews. 14.] Though MSS. authority is so strong against the ov, I have retained it, as also has Tischendorf. Its omission may be easily accounted for, from the copyists finding it unnecessary and seemingly out of place: but on no supposition can its insertion be rendered probable. It stands very appropriately here, referring to the complaint of the Jews, either as uttered by them, or perhaps recapitulated by Gallio :- 'Ye have charged this man with lawless conduct. If now this had really been so ?

κατὰ λόγον] See reff. We have the oppo-

site παρά λόγον in 2 Macc. iv. 36. αν ήνεσχ. ύμ.] I should have borne with (patiently heard) you. 15.] ζητήματα has apparently been altered to ζήτημα to snit the sense, there being but one question before Gallio. But the plural expresses contempt: If it is questions, &c .: as we should say, 'a parcel of questions.' See ch. xxiii. 29. ονομάτων] e. g. Paul asserted Jesus to be the Christ, which the Jews denied. This to a Roman would be a question of names. τ. καθ. ὑμᾶς, with emphasis: see reff. So Lysias (ch. xxiii. 29) declined to decide Paul's case, and Festus (ch. xxv. 20) though he did not altogether put the enquiry by, wished to judge it at Jerusalem, where he might have the counsel of those learned in the Jewish 17. πάντες Apparently, all the mob, i. e. the Gentile population present. Sosthenes, as the ruler of the synagogue $(\grave{a}\rho x)$ = either the ruler, or one of the rulers; perhaps he had succeeded Crispus), had been the chief of the complainant Jews, α ἀρχισυνάγωγον ἔτυπτον ὑ ἔμπροσθεν τοῦ ¹ βήματος καὶ α ver. 8 τem. 24 τοὐδὲν τούτων τῷ Γαλλίωνι εμελεν. 18 Ὁ δὲ Παῦλος εκτι ἀπροςμείνας εἡμέρας εἰκανάς, τοῖς ἀδελφοῖς ἱ ἀποταξι ἀμενος 8 ἔξέπλει εἰς τὴν Συρίαν, καὶ σὺν αὐτῷ Πρίσκιλλα ακτι ᾿Ακύλας, ἡ κειράμενος ἐν Κεγχρεαῖς τὴν κεφαλήν 10 λιμίλ. 10

1 Tim. i. 3. v, 5 only, Jadg, iii, 25 P. Wisd, iii, 9 only. ech. ix, 23 reff. f = ver, 21, Mark vi. 40. Loke ix, 61, xiv. 33. 2 Cor. ii, 13 only; (Jer. xx., 2, 1 Mace, xi. 3 only.) Jos. Antt. viii. 13. r. g ch. xx. 50 reff. b. ch viii, 32. 1 Cor. xi. 6 bis only, 2 Kings xiv. 20.

εμελλεν EHLK. tunc Gallio fingebat eum non videre D-lat, D¹ has $\tau \dots \omega$ γαλλιω....εν, but the rest is illegible: txt D¹.

18. aft παυλος ins εφη χι: erased by χι. επλευσεν, navigavit D vulg: εξεπλευσεν Ε2, enavigavit E-lat. rec την κεφαλην hef εν κεγχρεαις, with DEH L

and therefore, on their cause being rejected, and themselves ignominiously dismissed, was roughly treated by the mob. From this, certainly the right explanation, has arisen the gloss of Ελληνες. The other gloss, of 'Ιουδαΐοι, has sprung from the notion that this Sosthenes was the same person with the Sosthenes of 1 Cor. i. 1, a Christian and a companion of Paul. But, not to insist on the improbability of the party driven from the tribunal having beaten one of their antagonists in front of the tribunal,—why did they not beat Paul himself? There is no ground for supposing the two persons to be the same, Sosthenes being no uncommon name. If they were, this man must have been converted afterwards; but he is not among those who accompanied Paul into Asia, either in ver. 18, The earelessness of Gallio or ch. xx. 4. about the matter clearly seems to be a further instance of his contempt for the Jews, and indisposition to favour them or their persecution of Paul. Had this been otherwise meant, certainly καί would not have been the copula. 'So little did the information against Paul prosper, that the informers themselves were beaten without interference of the judge.' Meyer.

18.] It has been considered doubtful whether the words $\kappa \epsilon \iota \rho$. τ . $\kappa \epsilon \phi$. $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$. apply to Paul, the subject of the sentence, or to Aquila, the last subject. The former is held by Chrys., Theoph., Aug., Jer., Isid., Bede, Calv., Beza, Calov., Wolf, Olsh., Neand., De Wette, Baumgarten, Hackett, Wordsworth (whose note may be profitably consulted), al.: - the latter by (Vulg.), Grot., Alberti, Kuinoel, Meyer, al., and recently Mr. Howson, vol. i. p. 498. But I quite agree with Neander (Pfl. u. Leit. p. 348, note), that if we consider the matter carefully, there can be no doubt that they can only apply to Paul. For, although this vow differed from that of the Nazarite, who shaved his hair at the end of his votive period, in the temple at Jerusalem, and burnt it with his peace-offering (Nnm. vi. 1—21), Josephus gives us a description of a somewhat similar one, B. J. ii. 15. 1, τους γάρ ή νόσω καταπονουμένους ή τισιν άλλαις ανάγκαις, έθος εύχεσθαι πρό τριάκοντα ήμερων ής ἀποδώσειν μέλλοιεν θυσίας, οίνου τε ἀφέξεσθαι και ξυρήσασθαι τὰς κόμας, -where it appears from ξυρήσασθαι (which, as Neander observes, if it applied to the end of the time, would be ξυρήσεσθαι [or perhaps rather θρέψειν]), that the hair was shaved thirty days before the sacrifice. At all events, no sacrifice could be offered any where but at Jerusalem: and every such vow would conclude with a sacrifice. Now we find, on comparing the subsequent course of Aguila with that of Paul,—that the former did not go up to Jerusalem, but remained at Ephesus (ver. 26): but that Paul hastened by Ephesus, and did go up to Jerusalem: see ver. 22. Again, it would be quite irrelevant to the purpose of Luke, to relate such a fact of one of Paul's companions. That he should do so apologetically, to shew that the Apostle still countenanced conformity with the law, is a view which I cannot find justified by any features of this book: and it surely would be a very far-fetched apology, and one likely to escape the notice of many readers, seeing that Aquila would not appear as being under Paul's influence, and even his conversion to the Gospel has not been related, but is left to be implied from ver. 26. Again, Meyer's ground for referring κειράμ. to Aquila,that his name is here placed after that of his wife,—is untenable, seeing that, for some reason, probably the superior character or office in the church, of Priscilla, the same arrangement is found (in the best MSS, at ver. 26, and) at Rom. xvi. 3; 2 Tim. iv. 19. Lastly, the very form of the sentence is against a change of subject at κειράμενος. There are, from ver. 18 to 23 incl., -a section forming a distinct narration, and complete in itself,-no less

ree aft μειναι ins παρ αυτοις

ik είχεν γὰρ il εὐχήν. 19 m κατήντησαν δὲ εἰς "Εφεσον ABDE i ch. xxi, 23 κάκείνους " κατέλιπεν ° αὐτοῦ, αὐτὸς δὲ εἰςελθών εἰς την cd fg n συναγωγήν $^{\rm p}$ διελέχ θ η τοῖς Ἰουδαίοις. 20 $^{\rm q}$ έρωτώντων $^{\rm k1m}$ 1. 30. 1 — as above [i] (James v. 15) only. Gen. xxxi. 13. m ch. xvi. 1 reft. δε αὐτων 'έπὶ πλείονα χρόνον μείναι οὐκ επένευσεν, ...χοο1.

rel vss Chr: om εν κεγχ. æth-rom: txt (characteristic order) ABX a m 13 vulg Thl-

πρ ο seuxην D', orationem D-lat.

19. rec κατηντησε (alteration to singular to suit κατελιπεν below), with HL rel 36(sic) vulg syr copt Chr: καταντησας D-gr: txt ABEN k 13.40 tol D-lat Syr sah æthκαι εκεινους EH b d e f g l m o Chr Œc Thl-sif: και τω επιοντι σαββατω εκεινους D: aft εφεσον ins τω επ. σαβ. 137 syr-w-ast. κατελειπεν ΑΗL 13. for αυτου, εκει (more usual word) ΛDEN 13 rel 40: txt BHL 36 Chr.

(corrn to more usual form) ABN a 13 Thl-fin: διελεγετο Dk vulg(but am disputavit): txt EHL rel 36 Chr Thdrt Œc Thl-sif. 20. for $\delta \epsilon$, $\tau \epsilon$ D¹ Syr æth: txt D⁸. om αυτων 137 : αυτον D'L b d g2 k m2 o

 $\epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \iota \aleph^3$.

Thl-fin: txt D8. (explanatory addn), with DEHL rel Syr syr-w ob copt Chr; εκει tol sah arm: παρα-

 $\pi \lambda \iota o \nu$ D.

μειναι αυτοις 25: txt ABN c 13. 36. 40 vulg æth. [αλλα, so ABDEN befgko 13 Œc Thl-21. (On the whole verse, see note.) ree απεταξατο, omg και, with HL rel syr copt Chr (Ec Thl-sif: txt ABDEN a 13. 15. 36. 40. 105. 180 vulg æth arm Thl-fin,—om αλλα αποταξ. και Syr. (aft απεταξ.) ins αυτοις, with EHL rel 36 Thl: om ABDN. ins δει με παντως την εορτην την ερχομενην ποιησαι εις ιεροσολυμα, with (D)HL rel 36. 40 demid syrr Chr (Ec Thl, but D has την εορτην ημεραν in the gr for the solemnem diem in the lat, and omits the 2nd $\tau \eta \nu$, D1 has also $\delta \epsilon$ for $\mu \epsilon$; corrd by D8: aft θελοντος ins sed nunc volo agere festum venturum in Jerusalem ath-pl: om ABEN a 13.15.105.180 vulg coptt æth-rom arm. rec aft παλιν ins δε, with HL rel 15. 103. 180 syr Chr (Ec Thl-sif: om ABDEN a 13. 36. 40 vulg coptt æth .- Syr demid Thil-fin have $\kappa \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu$.—D omits $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu$ as well as $\delta \epsilon$. καμψ of ανακαμψω has

than nine agrist participles, eight of which indisputably apply to Paul as the subject of the section: leaving it hardly open to question that κειράμενος also must be re-There need be no enferred to him. quiry what danger can have prompted such a vow on his part, when we recollect the catalogue given by him in 2 Cor. xi. Besides, he had, since his last visit to Jerusalem, been νόσω καταπονούμενος (see Jos. above, note on ch. xvi. 6, and Prolegg. to Gal. § ii. 3): it is true, a considerable time ago, but this need not prevent our supposing that the vow may have been then made, to be paid on his next visit to Jerusalem. That he had no sooner paid it, is accounted for by his having been since that time under continual pressure of preaching and founding churches, and having finally been detained by special command at Corinth. That he was now so anxious to pay it (ver. 21), consists well with the supposition of its having been long delayed. ev Keyxpeais] Keyχρεαί κώμη κ. λιμην απέχων της πόλεως όσον έβδομήκοντα στάδια. τούτφ μέν χρώνται πρός τους έκ της 'Ασίας, πρός δέ τους έκ της 'Ιταλίας τῷ Λεχαίφ. Strabo,

viii, 380. There was soon after a Christian church there: see Rom. xvi. 1. "Εφεσον | Ephesus was the ancient capital of Ionia (Ptol. v. 2. 8), and at this time, of the Roman proconsular province of Asia,on the Cayster, near the coast, between Smyrna and Miletus. It was famed for its commerce, but even more for its magnificent temple of Artemis (see ch. xix. 24, 27, and notes). See a full account of its situation and history, secular and Christian, in the Prolegg. to Eph. § ii. 2-6; and an interesting description, with plan, in Mr. Lewin's Life and Epistles of St. Paul, i. 344 ff. αὐτοῦ] Perhaps this may be said proleptically, referring to his journey to Palestine (De Wette): but on account of the δέ which follows, I should rather understand it to mean that the Jewish synagogue was (as sometimes the ease, see Winer, RWB., 'Synagogen') outside the town, and that Priscilla and Aquila were left in the town. διελέχθη, aor., referring to one, and a transient occasion : διελέγετο, imperf., ver. 4, of his long stay, and continual discourses in the Corinthian syna-21. The omission of the words here inserted in rec., δεῖ με πάντως τὴν πρὸς ὑμᾶς τοῦ ὁ θεοῦ ὁ θέλοντος, ς ἀνήχθη ἀπὸ τῆς γ τεεὶ Cor. iv. Έφέσου, 22 καὶ κατελθών εἰς Καισάρειαν, γ ἀναβὰς καὶ 22 ἀσπασάμενος τῆν ἐκκλησίαν 3 κατέβη 3 εἰς Αντιόχειαν, ς κλικι, δ. 23 καὶ 5 ποιήσας 5 χρόνον τινὰ 6 ἔξῆλθεν, 6 διερχόμενος καθεξῆς τῆν Γαλατικὴν χώραν καὶ Φρυγίαν, 5 στηρίζων γ τοῦς μαθητάς.

vii. 6, xxi. 8, Jonah i. 3, -ch, xxi. 7, xxv. 13, Exod, xviii. 7, -c absol, ch, xv. 40, cm, b - ch, xv. 33 reff. -c absol, ch, xv. 40, cm, d ch, xiii. 6 refl. -c ch, iii. 24 refl. † -f = Rom. i. 11, xvi. 25 al. P. p. 1. 12 (14).

ins και bef ανηχθη EHL 13 rel 40 æth-pl Chr: om ABD perished in D1: txt D3. a 15. 36. 105. 180 vulg sah æth-rom arm: aft ανηχθη ins δε κ1(κ3 disapproving). for ανηχθη to αναβας, ακυλαν δε κατελιπεν εν εφεσω αυτος γαρ εν πλοιω αχθεις ηλθεν εις καισαρειαν αναβ. δε syr-marg; simly 97. 137: Et Aquilam et Priscillam reliquit for the, tou D1: Ephesi, et ipse iter fecit per mare ac venit Cæsaream Syr.

22. ins και bef αναβας D. (This και was perhaps intended to be placed bef ανηχθη.

but insd here by mistake.)

23. ins $\kappa \alpha i$ bef $\kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \xi \eta s$ $\aleph^1(\aleph^3)$ disapproving). κατεξης D¹: txt D¹.rec επιστηριζων, with DEHL rel 36 Chr Thl Œc: txt ABN 13.—pref και D 38.

έορτην την έρχομένην ποιησαι είς Ίεροσόλυμα, seems necessitated on the principle of being guided in doubtful cases by the testimony of our most ancient MSS. The text thus produced is the shortest and simplest, and the facts, of other glosses having been attempted on this verse, and of ms. 36 inserting the words without altering the construction to suit them, and D omitting the καί before ἀνήχθη, and the δέ before ἀνακάμψω, tend perhaps to throw discredit on the insertion. The gloss, if such it be, has probably been owing to an endeavour to conform the circumstances to those related in ch. xx. 16. If they stand, and for those who read them, it may still be interesting to enquire at what feast they may be supposed to point. (1) Not at the Passover: for the ordinary duration of the 'mare clausum' was (Livy xxxvii. 9) till the vernal equinox. According to Vegetius, de Re Milit. iv. 39, 'ex die iii. Id. Novembr. usque in diem vi. Id. Martii, maria claudebantur.' And we are not at liberty to assume an exceptional case, such as sometimes occurred (Philo, Leg. ad Caium, § 29, vol. ii. p. 573; Tacit. Ann. xii. 43; Plin. ii. 47). Hence, if the voyage from Corinth at all approached the length of that from Philippi to Jerusalem in ch. xx., xxi., he would have set sail at a time when it would have been hardly possible. (2) Not at the feast of Tabernacles. For if it were, he must have sailed from Corinth in August or September. Now, as he stayed there something more than a year and a half, his sea-voyage from Berœa to Athens would in this case have been made in the depth of winter; which (especially as a choice of land

or water was open to him) is impossible. (3) It remains, then, that the feast should have been *Pentecost*; at which Paul also visited Jerusalem, ch. xx. 16. (The above is the argument of Wieseler, Chron. d. Apostelgesch. pp. 48-50, who however allows too long for the voyage from Corinth, forgetting that from the seven weeks' voyage of ch. xx. xxi. are to be taken seven days at Troas (xx. 6), seven at Tyre (xxi. 4), one at Ptolemais (xxi. 7), ημέραι πλείους at Cæsarea (xxi. 10),-in all certainly not less than three weeks.) The Apostle's

promise of return was fulfilled ch. xix. 1 ff. 22. ἀναβάς To Jerusalem: for (1) it would be out of the question to suppose that Paul made the long detour by Cæsarea only to go up into the town from the beach, as supposed by most of those who omit δεί 'Ispoo. in ver. 21, and salute the disciples,—and (2) the expression κατέβη είς 'Aντ., which suits a journey from Jerusalem (ch. xi. 27), would not apply to one from άσπ. τ. έκκλ.] The pay-Cæsarea. ment of his vow is not mentioned, partly because it is understood from the mere mention of the vow itself, ver. 18,-partly, perhaps, because it was privately done, and with no view to attract notice as in ch. xxi.

23. Paul's visit to the churches IN GALATIA AND PHRYGIA. Either (1) Galatia is here a general term including Lycaonia, and Paul went by Derbe, Lystra, Iconium, &c. as before in ch. xvi., or (2) he did not visit Lycaonia this time, but went through Cappadocia: to which also the words διελθόντα τὰ ἀνωτερικὰ μέρη (ch. xix. 1) seem to point, ἡ ἄνω 'Aola being the country east of the Halys.

24 Ίουδαΐος δέ τις Άπολλως ονόματι, Άλεξανδοευς ABDE g ver. 2 reff, h here only †. Herod. ii. 77. i ch. xvi. 1 reff, k = Luke xxiv. στῷ εγένει, ἀνὴο κλόγιος, κατήντησεν εἰς Εφέσον, στῷ κ δυνατὸς ὢν ἐν ταῖς γοαφαῖς. 25 m οῦτος ῆν κατηχημένος τὴν οροδὸν τοῦ κυρίου, καὶ τ ζέων τῷ σε πνεύματι Jer. xxxix (xxxii.) 19. l ch. xvii. 2 reff. m ch. ix. 20 reff. n Luke i. 4. έλάλει καὶ έδίδασκεν 'άκριβως τὰ περί τοῦ Ίησοῦ, " έπιστάμενος μόνον τὸ βάπτισμα 'Ιωάννου' 26 ° οὖτός τε

Eph. v. 15. 1 Thess, v. 2 (ver. 26 reff.) only. Deut. xix. 18. Wisd. xix. 17 only. Dan. vii. 19 Theod. $(-\beta \dot{\eta} \varsigma$, ch. xxvi, 5. $-\beta \epsilon \iota \alpha$, xxii, 3. $-\beta \sigma \bar{\nu} \nu$, Matt. ii. 7.) u.ch. xix. 15 reff. v.ch. ix. 20 reff.

24. απολλωνιος D: απελλης X1 15. 180 seholl copt arm: Apollon wth-rom: Apollo vulg E-lat syrr sah.—ονοματι bef απ. D 13.

nig E-lat syrr sah.—ονοματι bef απ. D 13. γενει bef αλεξανδρευς, omg τω, D. 25. ος ην κατηχημενος εν τη πατριδι τον λογον του κυριου D. for την οδον, σουν D(ας above) ο λο από το δον. for την οδον, τον λογον D(as above) a b o 36. 672. 76. 6.672.76. om του bef κυρ. B k Thl-sif. i απελαλει D1, eloquebatur D-lat: ελαλει δε Β. ins w bef exaxer N1: erased by N3. om 2nd του D 13, 40, 68, 69, 137. ree for ιησ., κυριου (see notes. The varn in the art is no argument [as De Wette] agst the genuineness of the readg: the constant own of artt aft prepp might easily lead to this: thus we have it omitted also bef kupiou), with HL rel Chr (Ee Thl-sif: txt ABDEN a c h 13. 36 40 vulg syrr coptt æth arm Thl-fin Aug.

We find Christian churches in Cappadoeia, 1 Pet. i. 1. On this journey, as connected with the state of the Galatian churches, see Prolegg. to Gal. § iii. 1. καθεξης implies taking the churches in order; regularly visiting them, each as they lay in his One work accomplished by him in this journey was the ordaining (but apparently not collecting) a contribution for the poor saints at Jerusalem : see 1 Cor. Timotheus and Erastus probably accompanied him, see ch. xix. 22; 2 Cor. i. 1; and Gaius and Aristarchus, ch. xix. 29; and perhaps Titus, 2 Cor. xii. 18 al. (and Sosthenes? [1 Cor. i. 1], but

see on ver. 17.)
24—28.] APOLLOS AT EPHESUS, AND 'Aπολλώς] abbreviated IN ACHAIA. from 'Απολλώνιος: see var. read.

'Αλεξανδρεύς] Alexandria was the great seat of the Hellenistic language, learning, and philosophy (see ch. vi. 9). A large number of Jews had been planted there by its founder, Alexander the Great. The celebrated LXX version of the O. T. was made there under the Ptolemies. There took place that remarkable fusion of Greek, Oriental, and Judaic elements of thought and belief, which was destined to enter so widely, for good and for evil, into the minds and writings of Christians. We see in the providential calling of Apollos to the ministry, an instance of adaptation of the workman to the work. A masterly exposition of the Scriptures by a learned Hellenist of Alexandria formed the most appropriate watering (1 Cor. iii. 6) for those who had been planted by the pupil of Gamaliel. Abytos either (1) learned,

as Philo, Vit. Mos. i. 5, vol. ii. p. 84, Αίγυπτίων οἱ λόγιοι, and Jos. B. J. vi. 5. 3, who distinguishes, in the interpretation of the omens preceding the siege, οἱ ἰδιῶται from οί λόγιοι,—or (2) eloquent: so Jos. Antt. xvii. 6. 2 calls Judas and Matthias, 'Ιου-δαίων λογιώτατοι and πατρίων έξηγηταί νόμων. The etymologists make the former the ancient,-the latter a subsequent meaning. So Thom. Mag.: λογίους τοὺς πολυϊστορας οἱ ἀρχαῖοι ᾿Αττικίζοντες, ὡς καὶ 'Ηρόδοτος' λογίους δὲ τοὺς διαλεκτικοὺς οί ὕστερον. The latter meaning is most appropriate here, both because the peculiar kind of learning implied by Abyios would not be likely to be predicated of Apollos, and because the subsequent words, δυνατὸς ἐν τ. γραφαῖς, sufficiently indicate his learning, and in what it lay. λόγιος as applied to Papias by Eusebius, prolegg. to Matt. § ii. 1 (α) note.

25.] Apollos had received (from his youth?) the true doctrine of the Messiahship of Jesus, as pointed out by John the Baptist: doubtless from some disciple of John: but more than this he knew not. The doctrines of the Cross,-the Resurrection,—the outpouring of the Spirit,—these were unknown to him: but more partieularly (from the words ἐπιστ, μόνον τὸ βάπτ. 'Ιωάν.) the latter, as connected with Christian baptism: see further on ch. xix. The mistake of supposing that he did not know Jesus to be the Messiah, has arisen from the description of his subsequent work at Corinth, ver. 28, but by no means follows from it: this he did before, but not so completely. The same mistake has led to the alteration of 'Inσου into the

ἤοξατο " παρρησιάζεσθαι έν τῷ συναγωγῷ, ἀκούσαντες "ch. iz. 27 ref. αθτοῦ Ποίσκιλλα καὶ ' Ακύλας ' προςελάβοντο αὐτόν, "ch. xvii. 5 καὶ ' ἀκριβέστερον αὐτῷ ' ἐξέθεντο τὴν ' ὁδόν. ' 27 βουλο ' ωκείς 29 μένου δὲ αὐτοῦ ' διελθεῖν ' ἑἰς τὴν ' Αχαΐαν ' προτρεψάμενοι το κ. i ἀδελφοὶ ' ἔγραψαν τοῖς μαθηταῖς ' ἀποδέξασθαι αὐτόν, ' ὑξεντικός ' ὁς " παραγενόμενος ' συνεβάλετο πολὺ τοῖς ' πεπιστευκόστικός ' διὰ τῆς ' ἡ χάριτος' ' 28 ' κ ἐντόνως γὰρ τοῖς ' Ιουδαίοις ' κοις κοις ' ὶ διακατηλέγχετο ' ὁ δημοσία ' ἐπιδεικνὺς διὰ τῶν ' γραφῶν α chi, id tref. εντίκι τον χριστὸν ' Ιησοῦν.

26. for outos, ητος D1: txt D1: ουτως m. om τε D-gr H sah æth-pl.

om τη D¹; ins D¹. και ακουσαντος D¹(et quum audivissent D·lat) Syr: txt D·corr!

rec ακυλας και πρισκιλλα (alteration of characteristic order, cf Rom xvi.
3, 2 Tim iv. 19), with DHL rel 36 syrr sah Chr: txt ABEN 13 vulg copt æth.—

ακυλα Ν. εξεθοντο D: -θετο Η. rec ins του θεου bef οδου, with HL rel Chr:

την οδ. του θεου ΔΒΝ ε k m 13. 40 am fuld tol syr sah arm Thl-fin: τ. οδ. τ. κυριου E
g 36. 177 demid Syr: του λογον του κυρ. 66¹. 98-marg 105 lect-58: scripturas domini

Cassiod (all these, as shewn by the varr, are supplementary emendations of the simple

την οδου): txt D.

27. Επ νετ, εν δε τη εφεσω επιδημουντες τινες κορινθιοι και ακουσαυτες αυτου παρκαλουν διελθειν συν αυτοις εις την πατρίδα αυτων συνκατανευσαυτος δε αυτου οι εφεσιοι εγραφαν τοις εν κορινθοι μαθηταις οπως αποδεξωνται του ανδρα ος επιδημησας εις την αχαιαν πολυ (πολυν D^1) συνεβαλλετο εν ταις εκκλησιας D^1 , D^1 , D^2 συνεβαλλετο D^2 της D^2 , D^2 συνελαβετο D^2 συνεδιασον εν το εν τον εντικού D^2 συνεβαβετο D^2 συνεβασον εν εντικού D^2 συνεβαβετο D^2 συνεδιασον εν εντικού D^2 συνεδιασον εν εντικού D^2 συνεδιασον εντικού D^2 εντικού D^2 εντικού D^2 D^2

εις την αχαιαν bef διελθειν Ε. συνεβαλλετο Α D-gr 57, 99 Thl-sif: συνελαβετο 30, 133, οπ δια της χαριτος (D) c 137 vulg(not tol) syr Bas-sel Aug.

28. aft δημοσία liis και κατ οικον Ε. iiis διαλεγομένος και bef επιδεικνυς D 137. τον τησ. ειναι χριστον D sah : om τον Ε.

κυρίου of the rec., it having been well imagined that he could not teach $k \kappa \mu \beta \hat{\omega}$ $n \times 70^{\circ}$ $1/7 n \sigma \hat{\omega}$ if he did not know him to be the Messiah: whereas by these words is imported that he knew and taught accurately the facts respecting Jesus, but of the consequences of that which he taught, of all which may be summed up in the doctrine of Christian baptism, he had no idea.

ἐπιστ. μόνον] Meyer well remarks, that it is not meant that he was absolutely ignorant of the fact of there being such a thing as Christian baptism, but ignorant of its being any thing different from that of John: he knew, or recognized in baptism only that which the baptism of John was: a sign of repentance.

26. ἀκριβέστερον] The former accuracy was only in facts: this is the still more expanded accuracy of doctrine. That was merely τὰ περl τοῦ Ἰησοῦ, as He lived and ministered on earth: this included also the promise of the Spirit, and its performance.

27. προτρεψάμενοι] probably Priscilla and Aquila principally. It may have been from their account of the Corinthian church, that he was desirous to go to Achaia. Vol. II.

After προτρεψ. not Apollos, but the disciples (at Corinth) must be understood as an object. Otherwise αὐτόν would have been expressed. So the remarkable reading of D. συνεβ.] contulit, Vulg. contributed, to their help. διά τής χάριτος Bengel., Olsh., Meyer, and others join these words with συνεβάλετο, and understand them 'by the Grace of God which was in him.' But this, from their position, is very unnatural; and hardly less so from the διά, whereas such a sense would rather require τŷ χάριτι. In the only other two places where the expression occurs (reff.), it refers (1) to the electing grace of God, ref. Gal., (2) to the grace assisting believers to His service, ref. Heb. So that I adopt the more natural rendering of the E. V., those who had believed through grace. "The γάρ should be noticed. His coming was a valuable assistance to the Christians against the Jews, in the controversies which had doubtless been going on since Paul's departure." C. and H., 28. διακατηλέγedn. 2, ii. p. 10. хето, argued down, as we say, - 'proved it in their teeth :' and then the διά gives

m constr., ch. iv. δ ref. iv. δ ref. ch. xiii. δ ref. c

Chap. XIX. 1. for εγενετο to ελθειν, D syr-marg have θελοντος δε του παυλου κατα την ιδιαν βουλην πορευεσθαι εις ιεροσολυμα είπεν αυτω το πνευμα υποστρεφειν εις την ασιαν διελθων δε τα αν. μ. ερχεται. απολλων $\Lambda^2 L$ 40 Enthal: απελλην N^1 180. κατελθειν AEN a b o 13. 40 Jer. rec ευρων, ong τε in ver 2 (alteration to simplify constr and get rid of the characteristic τ e), with (D)EHL rel sah Chr: txt

ABN 13 vulg copt Fulg.

2. τε see above.

am tol syr Jer.

αλλ ουδε πν. αγ. λαμβανουσιν τινεε ηκουσαμεν D'(and lat) syrmarg, simly sah: txt D'.

A Β(είε: see table) D³.

3. ϵ_{irr} & D a 133 lect-58: o $\delta\epsilon$ ϵ_{irr} AEN 13 vulg copt Jer: $\epsilon_{irr}\epsilon_{\nu}$ our e syr Marc: txt BHL rel 36 æth Chr. rec adds $\pi_{\rho\sigma\sigma}$ autous, with HL rel vss Chr Marc: om ABDEN a e h 13. 36 vulg syr arm; autous 40 lect-12 Thl-fin. [$\epsilon_{irr}\alpha_{\nu}$, so txt ABEN 13: $\epsilon_{\lambda}\epsilon_{\gamma\sigma}$ D.]

the sense of continuity, - that this was not

done once or twice, but continuously.

CHAP. XIX. 1-41.] ARRIVAL, RESI-

DENCE, AND ACTS OF PAUL AT EPHESUS. 1. τὰ ἀνωτερικὰ μέρη] By this name were known, the eastern parts of Asia Minor, beyond the river Halys, or in comparison with Ephesus, in the direction of that river. So Herodotus, speaking as a Halicarnassian, calls even the neighbourhood of Sardis τὰ ἄνω τῆs 'Aσίαs, i. 177; including in the term, however, many of the inland districts, Assyria, Babylonia, &c. So that the reading avatorised, which is found in three cursives and Theophyl-sif., τινας μαθητάς These is a good gloss. seem to have been in the same situation as Apollos, see on ch. xviii. 25. They cannot have been mere disciples of John, on account of πιστεύσαντες, which can bear no meaning but that of believing on the Lord Jesus: but they had received only John's baptism, and had had no proof of the descent of the Holy Spirit, nor knowledge of His gifts. 2. ἐλάβ. πιστεύσ.] The agrist should be faithfully rendered: not as E. V. ' Have ye received the Holy Ghost since ye believed?' but Did ve receive the Holy Ghost when ye became (not, when ye had become : cf. προςευξάμενοι εἶπαν, ch. i. 21, and Winer, edn. 6, § 45. 6. b, also note on ver. 29) believers ? i. e. 'on your becoming believers, had ye the gifts of the Spirit conferred on you!' —as in ch. viii. 16, 17. This is both grammatically necessary (see also Rom.

xiii. 11, ἐγγύτερον ἡμῶν ἡ συτημία ἡ ὅτε ἐπιστεύσαμεν), and absolutely demanded by the sense; the enquiry being, not as to any reception of the Holy Ghost during the period since their baptism, but as to one simultaneous with their first reception into the church: and their not having then received Him is accounted for by the deficiency of their baptism. Δλλ' οὐδέ]

On the contrary, not even . . . ἡκούσαμεν] Here again, not, 'we have not heard,' which would involve an absurdity: 'nam neque Mosen neque Johannem Baptistam sequi potuissent, quin de Spiritu Sancto ipso audissent' (Bengel); -but we did not hear, at the time of our conversion :- Our reception into the faith was unaccompanied by any preaching of the office or the gifts of the Spirit, -our baptism was not followed by any imparting of His gifts: we did not so much as hear Him mentioned. ¿στιν cannot, from its position, be emphatic, nor does it mean "were to be had" (Wordsw.), as John vii. 39. The stress of the sentence is on ηκούσαμεν: so far from receiving the Holy Ghost, they did not even hear of His existence. Tiros only will find an objection to this rendering in $\epsilon \sigma \tau l \nu$ (expecting $\tilde{\eta} \nu$): the present is commonly used after the agrist of declarative verbs or verbs of sense, in the clause which contains the matter declared, seen, or heard: the action being transferred pro tempore to the time spoken of. See 3.] Paul's question establishes the above rendering, to what then (over

^x Εἰς τὸ Ἰωάννου βάπτισμα. ⁴ εἴπεν δὲ Παῦλος Ἰωάννης y constr., Luke y ἐβάπτισεν y² βάπτισμα ² μεταιοίας, τῷ λαῷ a λέγων b εἰς ελπάκ 4.3. Luke iii. 3. τὸν ἐρχόμενον ° μετ' αὐτὸν ad Ἰνα b πιστεύσωσιν, ° τουτέστιν εἰς τὸν Ἰησοῦν. b ἀκούσαντες δὲ x ἐβαπτίσθησαν x εἰς τὸ ablatt. y. see halt. y. see ha

3, xii. 10. Mark iii. 9. b. w. eic. ch. x. 43 reff. c. w. person, ch. xiii. 25 (Paul) reff. darrangement of word, John xiii. 29. Rom. xii. 31. 1 Car. ix, xii. 2 Cor. ii. 4. Cal. ii. 1. xxii. 40. Mark vii. 2. ch. i. 19. Rom. (i. 12) vii. 18 al. Philem. 12. Heb. ii. 14 al. 1. Pet. iii. 20. 4. for δε, τε Η 192 acth Thl-sif. iii. so bef παυλος D a 180 lect-58. rec

aft warpys ins μεν (see ch i. 5), with EHL rel syrr copt thr Marc Ce Thl: om ABDN a 13. 40 vnlg sah. ree ins χριστον bef νησ., with HL rel 36 Chr: for τον νησ., χριστον D: add χρ. 105 leet-12 D-lat sah æth-pl arm: om ABEN a 13. 40 vnlg syr copt æth-rom Jer Fulg. (13 def).

Ghost at your first believing) were ye baptized? If the question and answer in ver. 2 regarded, as in E. V., the whole interval since their conversion, this enquiry would have been more naturally expressed in the perfect. See Gal. iii. 27, where there is the same necessity of preserving the historical sense of the aorists. ϵ is τ i] unto (with a view to, as introductory to) what profession? They answer, unto (that indicated by) the baptism of John, viz.: repentance, and the believing on Jesus, then to come, but now (see ch. xviii. 25, note) the object of our 4. είς τ. έρχ. . . . ἵνα π.] This peculiar inversion of words, see reff., seems to mark the hand of Paul. iva does not give (as Meyer) the mere purpose of his baptism (saying that he baptized in order that), but combines, as in similar uses of προςεύχομαι ίνα and the like, the purport and purpose together: 'He commanded them that they should (purport)—and he spoke to them, that they might (purpose).' See this discussed 5.] Two in note on 1 Cor. xiv. 13. singular perversions of this verse have occurred: (1) the Anabaptists use it to authorize the repetition of Christian baptism, whereas it is not Christian baptism which was repeated, seeing that John's baptism was not such, but only the baptism which they now for the first time received; and (2) Beza, Calixtus, Calov., Suicer, Glass., Buddeus, Wolf, and al., wishing to wrest this weapon out of the hands of the Anabaptists, oddly enough suppose this verse to belong still to Paul's discourse, and to mean, 'and the people when they heard him (John), were baptized into the name of the Lord Jesus.' This obviously is contrary to fact, historically: and would leave our present narrative in a singular state: for Paul, having treated their baptism as insufficient, would thus proceed on it to impose his hands, as if it were sufείς τὸ ὄν. τ. κυρ. Ἰησοῦ ficient.

if ve did not so much as hear of the Holv

Two questions arise here: (1) Was it the ordinary practice to rebaptize those who had been baptized either by John or by the disciples (John iv. 1 f.) before baptism became, by the effusion of the Holy Spirit, λουτρον παλιγγενεσίας? This we cannot definitely answer. That it was sometimes done, this incident shews: but in all probability, in the cases of the majority of the original disciples, the greater baptism by the Holy Ghost and fire on the day of Pentecost superseded the outward form or sign. The Apostles themselves received only this baptism (besides probably that of John): and most likely the same was the case with the original believers. But of the three thousand who were added on the day of Pentecost, very many must have been already baptized by John; and all were rebaplized without enquiry. (2) What conclusion can we deduce from this verse respecting the use or otherwise of baptism in the name of the Father, and the Son, and the Holy Ghost, in the apostolic period? The only answer must be, that at that early time we have no indication of set formulæ in the administration of either sacrament. Such formulæ arose of necessity, when precision in formal statement of doctrine became an absolute necessity in the church: and the materials for them were found ready in the word of God, who has graciously provided for all necessities of His church in all time. But, in matter of fact, such a baptism as this was a baptism into the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost. As Jews, these men were already servants of the living God-and by putting on the Son, they received in a new and more gracious sense the Father also. And in the sequel of their baptism, the imposition of hands, they sensibly became reci-pients of God the Holy Ghost. Where such manifestations were present, the form of words might be wanting; but with us, who have them not, it is necessary and imperative. Mr. Howson regards (i. 517; ii. 13) St. Paul's question in our ver. 3 as

 $^{\rm x}$ ονομα τοῦ κυρίου Ἰησοῦ. $^{\rm 6}$ καὶ Γέπιθέντος αὐτοῖς τοῦ $_{\rm HLN\,a\,b}^{\rm ABDE}$ Παύλου Γχεῖρας $^{\rm g}$ ήλθεν τὸ $^{\rm g}$ πεῦμα τὸ ἄγιον Γέπ αὐτούς, c $^{\rm cf\,g\,hk}_{\rm m\,o\,l\,3}$ f ch. viii. 17 reff. g here only. Ezek. ii. 2. h ch. ii. 4 reff. i ch. ii. 17, 18, from Joel ii. 28. of hisν έλάλουν τε h γλώσσαις και ι έπροφήτευου. 7 ήσαν δε οί 28. of hisπάντες ἄνδρες. κώςεὶ *1 δώδεκα. 8 είςελθων δὲ είς την here first.
k = ch. ii. 41
al. fr.
l ch. vi. 2 al. fr. συναγωγην " έπαρρησιάζετο " έπὶ μηνας τρείς ° διαλεγόμενος και βπείθων τα περί της βασιλείας του θεού. desadio, ch. xxiv. Il 9 ώς δέ τινες τέσκληρυνοντο και δηπείθουν τκακολοv. r. only. γούντες την " όδον " ένωπιον " του πλήθους, " αποστας απ' Esth, ii. 10. Esth. 12 only. m ch. ix. 27 αὐτῶν γ ἀφώρισεν τοὺς μαθητάς, καθ' ἡμέραν οδιαλεm ch. 1x, 27
reff.
n ch. xiii. 3t
reff.
o ch. xviii. 2
reff. absol.,
ch. xviii. 4 γόμενος εν τη * σχολη Τυράννου. 10 τουτο δε έγένετο η έπι έτη δύο, ώςτε πάντας τους κατοικούντας την eff. b. xviii. 4. constr. here (ch. xxviii. 23 rec.) only. \mathbf{r} Acts, ch. i. 3. viii. 12. xiv. 22 (xx. 25 v. r.) xxviii. 23, 31 only. Lake and Mark passimark ix. 39 only. \mathbf{r} Rom. ix. 18 ref. = ch. ix. \mathbf{r} 2 ref. xiv. 2 refi. \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r} = 1 Cvr. 1, 29, 3. John 6. G. Tom we about, ch. ii. 6 refi. \mathbf{r} x ch. xx. 8 reff. \mathbf{r} y = Mart, xiii. \mathbf{r} = 7 Cvr. 1, 29, 41, 42, 22 Cvr. vi. 17. Gal. ii. 12 only. (ch. xiii. 2 refi.) Gen. ii. 10. \mathbf{r} x ch. xx. 82 refi. \mathbf{r} x ch. xx. 82. Luke vi. 22, 2 Cvr. vi. 17. Gal. ii. 12 only. (ch. xiii. 2 refi.) Gen. ii. 10. \mathbf{r} x ch. xx. 82. Luke vi. 22 x x viii. 40 refi. onlyt. (Gen. xxxiii. 14. 4 Prox. xxxiii. 4 Prox. xxxiii. 14. 4 Prox. xxxiii. 14. 4 Prox. xxxiii. 14. 4 Prox. xxxiii. 4 Prox. xxxiiii. 4 Prox. xxxiii. 4 Prox. xxxiiii. 4 Prox. xxxiii. p ch. xviii, 4.

5. aft akous. de ins touto D (vss). om tou D¹ lect-58: ins D^3 . aft iys. ins chiptotou D 64. 137 vss Jer Ambr: add further eis aftering amartime D syr-w-ast

(and Jer in ver 4).

6. $\epsilon m \cdot \theta \epsilon \nu \tau o(\sin)$ D¹: \cot D². ree ins τas bef $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho as$, with EL rel 36 Chr Mare: om ABHR e m. (13 def.)— $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho a$ D am demid Syr $\alpha \epsilon b$, D also places $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho a$ bef $\tau o \nu \pi \alpha \nu \lambda o \nu$. for $\eta \lambda \partial_{\nu}$, $\epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \omega s$ $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$ D Jer: $continuo\ venit$ tol. $\epsilon \pi \alpha \nu \tau o \iota s$ D¹ leet.58: ιtst D¹. for $\tau \epsilon$, $\delta \epsilon$ D-gr o 25 E-lat coptt: om m D-lat arm. rec $\pi \rho o \epsilon \phi \eta \tau$, with EHL rel Chr: $\epsilon \phi \eta \tau \epsilon \iota o \nu$ a¹: ιtst ABDN 36. (13 def.)

7. * rec δεκαδύο, with HL rel Chr Œc Thl-sif: δωδεκα (see ch xxiv. 11) ABDEN

a k m 13, 36, 40 Chr-ms Thl-fin.

8. aft ειςελθων δε ins ο παυλος D Syr æth. ins εν δυναμει μεγαλη bef επαρρησιαζετο D Syr-marg. om τα BD lect-12 vss: ins AEHLA 13. 36 Chr. for θεου, κυριου 36 (so e in ver 10; and for κυριου, θεου k in ver 20).

10. for wste to $\epsilon\lambda\lambda$, $\epsilon[\omega]$ s π antes of κατοικουντές την ασίαν $[\eta]$ κουσαν τους λογους

indicative that the name of the Holy Ghost was used in the baptismal formula. But the inference seems to me insecure.

6.] See ch. viii. 17; x. 46, and note on ch. ii. 4: and on $\epsilon \pi \rho \rho \phi$, ch. xi. 27, note.

7.] οἱ πάντ., in all: so Herod. vii.
 4, βασιλεύσαντα τὰ πάντα ἔτεα ἔξ τε κ. τριήκοντα: Thue. v. 120, πεσύντων δὲ τῶν πάντων πολλῶν. See Külmer, § 489 e.

9.] Probably the school of Tyrannus was a private synagogue (called Beth Midrasch by the Jews), where he might assemble the believing Jews quietly, and also invite the attendance of Gentiles to hear the word. But it is also possible that, as commonly supposed, Tyrannus may have been a Gentile sophist. The name occurs as a proper name, 2 Macc. iv. 40 vat.,—and with τωος (see var. readd.).
10. ἔτη δύο] We

cannot derive any certain estimate of the length of Paul's stay in Ephesus from these words,—even if we add the three months of ver. 8,—for vv. 21, 22 admit of an interval after the expiration of the two years and three months. And his own expression, ch. xx. 31, *pieriar*, implies that it was longer than from this chapter would at first sight appear. He probably (compare his announced intention, 1 Cor. xvi. 8, with his expectation of meeting Titusat Troas, 2 Cor. ii. 12, 13, which shews that he was not far off the time previously arranged) left Ephesus about or soon after the third Pentecost after that which he kept in Jerusalem. See Prolegg. to 1 Cor. § vi. *mávray 7. *kar.] Hyperbolical:—all had

πάντας τ. κατ.] Hyperbolical:—all had the opportunity, and probably some of every considerable town availed themselves of it.

Ασίαν ἀκοῦσαι τὸν ° λόγον τοῦ ° κυρίου, Ίουδαίους τε καὶ $\stackrel{\text{c.h. xiii. 49}}{\text{reft.}}$ "Ελληνας. $\stackrel{\text{11 d}}{\text{δυνάμεις}}$ τε οὐ τὰς ° τυχούσας ὁ θεὸς $\stackrel{\text{d. Aitt. vii.}}{\text{22. ch. ii. 22.}}$ έποίει f διὰ τῶν χειοῶν Παύλου, $\stackrel{\text{12}}{\text{ωςτε}}$ καὶ έπὶ τοὺς $\stackrel{\text{c.h. xiii. 49}}{\text{c.e.h. ii. 51.}}$ έποίει f διὰ τῶν χειοῶν Παύλου, $\stackrel{\text{12}}{\text{ωςτε}}$ καὶ έπὶ τοὺς $\stackrel{\text{c.e.h. xiii. 61.}}{\text{c.e.h. xiv. iii. 7.}}$ ασθενοῦντας $\stackrel{\text{g. anoyές ρεσθαι}}{\text{αποφές ρεσθαι}}$ άπὸ τοῦ $\stackrel{\text{h. χρωτὸς}}{\text{λρωτὸς}}$ αὐτον $\stackrel{\text{g. anoyer καὶ}}{\text{μερες καὶ}}$ "Ελληνας. 11 d δυνάμεις τε ου τὰς ετυχούσας ὁ θεὸς ασουδα-1 σουδάρια η κοιμικίνθια και απαλλάσσεσθαι απ' αυτών ΑΒΡΕ ΗΕΝ α δ τας νόσους τα τε ^m πνεύματα τὰ ^m πονηρὰ ⁿ έκπορεύεσθαι. cdfgh 13 ο έπεχείοησαν δέ τινες και των ^ρπεριερχομένων Ίου-

τας τυχούpiav. id. i. 42, 12.

ούχ ὁ τνχών $^{\prime}$ $^{\prime}$ (said of Moses), Longin, de Subl. $^{\prime}$ 9. fch. xiv. 3 reff. g w. eri, fev. xxi. 10. eis, Luke xvi. 22. 1 Cor. xvi. 3. Rev. xvii. 3. 2 Chron. xxxvi. 7. absol., Mark xv. 1 only. here only. Exod, xxviii. 38 st (32). i Luke xxi. 20. John xi. 44. xx. 7 only + kret only. Exod, xxviii. 38 st (32). i Luke xxi. 20. John xi. 44. xx. 7 only + kret only. Exod, xxviii. 38 st (42). i Luke xxi. 20. John xi. 44. xxi. 31. do xi. xxi. 11. do xi. 31. constr. Xen. Anab, vii. 1. 4. be Luke vii. 21. viii. 2. Acis, here, &c. 4 times only. Luke only, exc. Mart. xii. 45. I Kinga xix. 9. u Mart. xvii. 21 only. och. ix. 29 reff. y p - here ouly. Xen. (Econ. x. 10. (ch. xxviii. 13 reff.)

του κυριου ιουδαιοι και ελληνες D^1 -gr: txt(but απαντας) D^4 . rec aft kup. ins ιησου, with L rel Chr Œe Thl: om ABDEHN a c k 13. 36. 40 vulg syrr coptt arm.

11. for τε, δε D'-gr a h 38 syr copt Thl-sif: txt D4. rec εποιει bef ο θεος, with HL rel syrr copt ath Chr (Ec Thl-sif: txt ABDEN m 13 am(and demid fuld tol) sah arm Thl-fin.

12. rec επιφερεσθαι (prob corrn to suit επι τ. ασθ.: see note), with DHL rel Chr: περιφ. 96. 142: txt ABEN a 13. 36. 40, deferrentur vulg. for ή, και 7. 68. 104. 105 vulg-ed(and tol) coptt Thl-fin: η και Ď-gr arm. απαλλασεσθαι B1(Rl) h1 o.

om 2nd τα D. rec εξερχέσθαι (more usual word for the going out of evil spirits, see Luke iv. 35, 36, 41, viii. 2, 29, 33 al, ch viii. 7, xvi. 18), with HL rel Chr Œe Thl: txt ABDEN a e d k 13. 36. 40. ree adds απ αυτων (supplementary insertion), with HL rel Chr (Ee Thl: εξ αυτων sah: om ABDEN a e d k 13. 36. 40 vulg syrr copt arm.

13. rec (for και) απο, with L 13 rel copt Chr Œe Thl-sif: και απο Η 25. 73. 951. 98.

To this long teaching of Paul the seven churches of Asia owe their establishment.

11. οὐ τὰς τυχ.] See reff. miracles of no ordinary kind. In what they differed from the usual displays of power by the Apostles, is presently related : viz. that even garments taken from him were endued with miraculous power. 12. The rec. reading, ἐπιφέρεσθαι, may have been occasioned by the $\epsilon \pi i$ preceding: the other, again, by the ἀπό following: in such uncertainty the reading of the ancient MSS. must prevail. σουδ.] handkerchiefs: see ref. Luke, and notes there.

σιμικ.] not napkins, but semicinctia, aprons, such as servants and artisans use. αμφότερα λινοειδηείσι, Schol. Diseases, and possession by evil spirits, are here plainly distinguished from each other. The rationalists, and semi-rationalists, are much troubled to reconcile the fact related, that such handkerehiefs and aprons were instrumental in working the cures, with what they are pleased to call a popular notion founded in superstition and error. But in this and similar narratives (see ch. v. 15, note) Christian faith finds no difficulty whatever. All miraculous working is an exertion of the direct power of the Allpowerful; a suspension by Him of His ordinary laws: and whether He will use any instrument in doing this, or what instru-

ment, must depend altogether on His own purpose in the miracle—the effect to be produced on the recipients, beholders, or hearers. Without His special selection and enabling, all instruments were vain ; with these, all are capable. In the present case, as before in ch. v. 15, it was His purpose to exalt His Apostle as the Herald of His gospel, and to lay in Ephesus the strong foundation of His church. And Hetherefore endues him with this extraordinary power. [Dr. Wordsw. sees an especial fitness in this having occurred at *Ephesus* (see on ver. 19), and refers to God having shewed in Egypt that His power was greater than that of Satan working by magicians: and it may well have been so.] But to argue by analogy from such a case, - to suppose that because our Lord was able, and Peter, and Paul, and in O. T. times Elisha, were enabled to exert this peculiar power, therefore the same will be possessed by the body or relies of every real or supposed saint, is the height of folly and fanaticism. The true analogy tends directly the other way. In no cases but these do we find the power. even in the apostolic days: and the general cessation of all extraordinary gifts of the Spirit would lead us to the inference that \hat{a} fortiori these, which were even then the rarest (οὐχ αἱ τυχοῦσαι), have ceased also.

13. See note on Matt. xii. 27,

δαίων q έξορκιστῶν rs ονομάζειν r έπὶ τοὺς t έχοντας τὰ ABPE τρόπους Ετηρκήσεων ^m πυεύματα τὰ ^m πονηρὰ τὸ ^s ουρμα τοῦ ^s κυρίου 'Ιησοῦ, λέ- cdfgh k m ο 13 γουτες " 'Ορκίζω ύμᾶς του Ίησοῦν 'ου Παῦλος ' κηρύσσει. 14 ήσαν δέ τινες Σκευα Ιουδαίου "αρχιερέως έπτα

 $\sum_{i=1}^{(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{(n)}$ νίοι [οι] τούτο × ποιούντες. 15 αποκριθέν δέ το m πνευμα Παύλον επίσταμαι ύμεις δε τίνες έστε; 16 Καὶ εφαλό-

ούς τους το πενος ο ανθρωπος επ αυτους εν ψ η τους εν κατ αυτων, ως τε κατιών, ως τε γους εν κατ αντων, ως τε κατιών τους εν κατ αντων τους εν κατιών τους εν τους εν

99 syr arm: et de vulg: ek D 43 (the kai has been omd either as unnecessary, or perhaps, as Meyer, because it seemed unworthy of St. Paul to couple him with these: then the amo or $\epsilon \kappa$ inserted, to define the gen more exactly): txt ABEN a c m Syr. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \rho \chi o \mu \iota \nu$ D1. rcc opki $\zeta o \iota \iota e \nu$ (alteration to suit the plurals preceding), with

HL rel vss Chr Œc Thl: εξορκιζομεν a o 36: txt ABDEN 13. 40 vulg copt Cassiod. om του D1: ins D3. ins κυριον bef ιησ. N1. rec ins o bef παυλος, with

L rel Œc Thl: om A B(Mai) DEHX c m 13. 40 Chr.

14. for ver, εν οις και υιοι σκευα τινος ιερεως ηθελησαν το αυτο ποιησαι εθος ειχαν τους τοιουτους εξορκιζειν και ειςελθοντες προς τον δαιμονιζομενον ηρξαντο επικαλεισθαι το ονομα λεγοντες παραγγελλομεν σοι εν ιησου ον παυλος κηρυσσει εξελθειν (εξ bef κηρ. D1) τινος B(D) E-gr 36 demid Syr copt (alteration, τινες not appearing to the copyist to agree with the definite επτα): τινας m: txt AHLN 13 rel vulg E-lat syr Chr Œe Thl. rec υιοι bef σκευα (omg it after επτα), with (D) HL rel 36 (Syr copt) syr Chr: om m 31. 180: txt ABEN a 13(sie) 141. 15. 18. 40 vulg arm (sah). σκευια Α. ιουδαιοι Ι. om or (originally perhaps owing to or of vior preceding) ABN a 13.

15. $\tau \circ \tau \in \alpha \pi \in \kappa \rho : \theta \eta \tau \circ \pi \nu$. $\tau \circ \pi \circ \nu$. $[\kappa \alpha :] \in \iota \pi \in \nu$ D, $\kappa \alpha : \text{insd by D}^4$. rec om autois, with EHL rel Œc Thl-sif: ins ABDN a c m 13. 36 vulg syrr coptt æth arm Chr Thl-

ins μεν bef ιησουν B E-gr 83 c 40. 137 syr.

16. rec εφαλλομενος, with (D)EHLX, rel Chr (Ee Thl: εναλλομ. D: txt A B(Vere rec επ' aυτουs bef o ανθρωπος (alteration of characteristic order), with (D)HL vss Chr (Ee Thl-sif: om επ αυτους a 69. 105 arm: E places it aft το πονηρον: txt ABN c m 13. 40 am(and demid fuld) Chr-comm Thl-fin. - eis autous D vulg.

rec ins και bef κατακυριευσαs, with HLN1 rel 36 vulg Chr: om ABDEN3 a c 13, 40 cont κυριευσας D: κρατησας 15. 18. 36. 180: κατακυριευσαν ΑΕΗL rel: -σεν a: txt BN c o 13. rec for αμφοτερων, αυτων (corrn to suit επτα above : see note), with HL rel Syr coptt: αυτου d: omnium syr æth-rom; om E: txt ABDN a 13, 36, 40 vulg

respecting the Jewish exorcists. These men, seeing the success of Paul's agency in casting out devils, adopt the Name of Jesus in their own exoreisms. ιερέως] The word must be used in a wide sense. He may have been chief of the priests resident at Ephesus: or perhaps chief of one of the twenty-four courses. τινες does not belong to έπτά, see

ch. xxiii. 23, but stands alone, recalling the Tives of the preceding verse. Without the of it would be, 'certain men, &c. were attempting this,' ησαν and ποιοῦντες being taken together. With it, They were (it was) certain men, seven sons, &c. who attempted this. 15. The narrative, from describing the nature of the attempt,

passes to a single case in which it was tried, and in which (see below) two only of the brothers were apparently concerned.

No difference between γινώσκω and ἐπίσταμαι must be pressed:—the two verbs are apparently used as separating Jesus and Paul, so that they do not stand together in the same category :- as in E. V., Jesus I know, and Paul I know: the One being God in heaven, the other man on earth.

16. ἀμφοτέρων The weight of MSS. evidence for this reading is even surpassed by its internal probability. There would be every reason, as seven have been before mentioned, for altering it into αὐτῶν: but no imaginable one for substituting it for αὐτῶν. Two only, it would seem, were γυμνοὺς καὶ $^{\circ}$ τετραυματισμένους $^{\circ}$ έκφυγεῖν ἐκ τοῦ οἴκου $^{\circ}$ Lake xx. 12 κέτου. 17 τοῦτο δὲ ἐγένετο $^{\circ}$ γνωστὸν πάσιν Ιουδαίοις $^{\circ}$ Δικ. 18 τε καὶ $^{\circ}$ Ελλησιν τοῖς $^{\circ}$ κατοικοῦσιν τὴν $^{\circ}$ Εφεσον, καὶ $^{\circ}$ Επέπεσεν φόβος ἐπὶ πάντας αὐτούς, καὶ $^{\circ}$ ἔμεγαλύνετο $^{\circ}$ τοῦν κυρίου Ἰησοῦ. $^{\circ}$ πολλοί τε τῶν $^{\circ}$ πεπιτός $^{\circ}$ ποῦ κυρίου Ἰησοῦ. $^{\circ}$ πολλοί τε τῶν $^{\circ}$ πεπιτός $^{\circ}$ Λυντες τὰς $^{\circ}$ πράξεις αὐτῶν. $^{\circ}$ Ι $^{\circ}$ ἴκανοὶ δὲ τῶν τὰ $^{\circ}$ περιτός $^{\circ}$ κατοικοῦσνων $^{\circ}$ συνενέγκαντες τὰς $^{\circ}$ βίβλους $^{\circ}$ κατ $^{\circ}$ παίπεντίοι τοῦν καὶ $^{\circ}$ ενώπιον πάντων $^{\circ}$ καὶ $^{\circ}$ συνεψήφισαν τὰς $^{\circ}$ τημας αὐτῶν καὶ $^{\circ}$ ενώπιον πάντων καὶ $^{\circ}$ τουνεψήφισαν τὰς $^{\circ}$ υτιμάς αὐτῶν καὶ $^{\circ}$ ενώπιον πάντων καὶ $^{\circ}$ τουνεψήφισαν τὰς $^{\circ}$ υτιμάς αὐτῶν καὶ $^{\circ}$ ενώπιον πάντων $^{\circ}$ καὶ $^{\circ}$ συνεψήφισαν τὰς $^{\circ}$ υτιμάς $^{\circ}$ κατ $^{\circ}$ Αὐτῶν καὶ $^{\circ}$ ενώριον $^{\circ}$ άργυςίου $^{\circ}$ μυςιάδας πέντε. $^{\circ}$ Ούτως $^{\circ}$ Κατι $^{\circ}$ Κατι $^{\circ}$ τοῦν κοι $^{\circ}$ κυρίου $^{\circ}$ δύγος $^{\circ}$ η μοιάδας πέντε $^{\circ}$ ουτως $^{\circ}$ Κατι $^{\circ}$ Κατι $^{\circ}$ τοῦν κοι $^{\circ}$ κυρίου $^{\circ}$ δύγος $^{\circ}$ η μοιάδας πέντε $^{\circ}$ ουτως $^{\circ}$ (Line χιλ) αὐτων $^{\circ}$ κυρίου $^{\circ}$ ενοίου $^{\circ}$ ενο

Thi-fin. $\epsilon \nu_i \sigma \chi \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu \aleph^+ e$: $\epsilon \kappa \sigma \tau_i \sigma \chi \nu \sigma \epsilon$ e. aft $\epsilon \kappa \phi \nu \gamma \epsilon_i \nu$ ins autous Λ . 17. ins τ 01s bef 10vd. E 192. om $\tau \epsilon$ DE sah. om $\tau \eta \nu$ Λ^+ E c 137.

emesey (mistake: or prep ond as unnecessary) AD 13, emese $E.-\phi \circ \beta$ os bef $\epsilon \pi$. D. ins o bef $\phi \circ \beta$ os \aleph^1 . om $\tau \circ \upsilon$ D (o¹?) 101. 133.

18. for $\tau \epsilon$, $\delta \epsilon$ D 36 coptt. πιστευοντων D: $-\sigma αντων$ E 28. (Mai states expragst Bch that there is no insn aft $\epsilon \xi o \mu$.)

19. om δε D'-gr: τε E syrr æth Bas Chr. των περι τα εργα D': txt D². aft συνεγκαντες ins και D. κατεκαυσαν E vulg. συνκατεψηφισαν E.

last και Di: ins D2.

20. rec ο λογος hef του κυριου (corrn of characteristic order), with (E)HLN 13.36 rel copt Chr: txt ABN .— for κυρ., θεου Ε 21.73. 1062 rulg syr sah arm. ουτως κατα κρατος ενισχυσεν και η πιστις του θεου ηυξανε και επληθυνετο (επληθυνε D¹) D. ισχυσεν Ν.

thus employed on this particular occasion: and Luke has retained the word as it stood in the record furnished to him. Whether any similar occurrence happened to the rest, we are not informed: this one is selected as most notorious. γυμνούς With their clothes torn off them. The natural effect of such an occurrence was to induce a horror of magical arts, &c., which some were still continuing to countenance or practise secretly, together with a profession of Christianity. Such persons now came forward and confessed their error. The πράξεις of this verse denotes the association with such practices: the next verse treats of the magicians themselves. 19. περίεργα] 'male sedula' ('euriosa,' Hor. Epod. xviii. 25). τls των περιέργων in Aristænet. Ep. ii. 18, is 'a magician' (Kuin.). τὸς βίβλους] Μαgical formulæ, or receipt-books, or written amulets. These last were celebrated by the name of 'Εφέσια γράμματα. So Eustath. ad Hom. Od. τ. p. 691 (Knin.): Ἐφέσια γράμματα—ἐπφδαὶ γάρ τινες φασὶν ἐκεῖναι ήσαν, ας και Κροίσος έπι της πυρας είπων ώφελήθη και έν 'Ολυμπία δε φασί,

Μιλήσιον καὶ Ἐφέσιου παλαιόντων τόν Μιλήσιον μὰ δύνασθαι παλαίεν διὰ τὸ τὸν ἔπερον πεὶ τῷ ἀστραγάλος ἔχειν τὰ Ἐφέσια γράμματα: ὧν γνωσθέντων καὶ λυθέντων αὐτῷ, τριακοντάκις τὸ ἐξῆς πεσεῖν τὸν Ἐφέσιον. See more illustrations in Wetst. They were copies of the mystic words engraved on the image of the Ephesian Artemis. Eustath. in C. and H. ii. 16. ἀργ. μυρ. πέν.] 50,000 drachmæ,

i. e. denarii: for the drachma of the Augustan and following ages was not the real Attic drachma, but the Roman denarius—about \$\$\bar{k}\$d. of our money: which makes the entire value about £1770. That drachma and not shekels (Grot., Hamm.) are meant, is plain: for Luke is writing o a Grecian town, and to a Greek. 20 κατὰ κράτος] "Eo modo dicitur urb alpεῖσθαι κατὰ κράτος, que vi expugnalur apud Plut. Αροphth, p. 176. Hine lucem mutuatur locus, Act. xix. 20, ubi dicitur verbum Domini κατὰ κράτος i αχόειν, per vim invalescere, quasi oppugnans ct vi expugnans corda hominum." Hermann on Viger, p. 632. So κατὰ μικρύν, κατὰ διλ-γον, καθ ὑπερβολήν, κατὰ κόσμον. See

 21 $^{\circ}\Omega_{\varsigma}$ δε $^{\circ}$ επληρώθη ταῦτα, $^{\circ}$ έθετο ο Παῦλος εν τῷ $^{\circ}$ ABDE Η Η ΑΒΑ Β c - Luke vii. 1. d = Luke ix. 44. xxi. 14. ch. v. 4. ° πνεύματι [†] διελθών την Μακεδονίαν καὶ 'Αχαΐαν πο- c d f g h k m o 13 ρεύεσθαι είς Ιεροσόλυμα, είπων ὅτι Εμετὰ τὸ γενέσθαι Hagg ii. 19, see ch. i. 7, e ch. xvii. 16 με έκει h δεί με και 'Ρώμην i ίδειν. 22 αποστείλας δε είς

ε ch. χνίμ. 10 με εκει τοει με τοι της της της της της της τος της τος την Μακεδονίαν δύο των k διακονούντων αύτω, Τιμουεον ε ch. 116, 28 αλ. 16, 26 αλ. 17. καὶ $^{\prime\prime}$ Εραστον, αὐτὸς $^{\prime\prime}$ έπέσχεν χρόνον $^{\prime\prime}$ είς τὴν Ασίαν. $^{\prime\prime}$ Ασίαν. $^{\prime\prime}$ Εραστον, αὐτὸς $^{\prime\prime}$ έπέσχεν χρόνον $^{\prime\prime}$ τάραχος $^{\prime\prime}$ οὐκ τος $^{\prime\prime}$ οὐκ τος $^{\prime\prime}$ εκείνον $^{\prime\prime}$ τάραχος $^{\prime\prime}$ εκείνον $^{\prime\prime}$ εκείνον $^{\prime\prime}$ τάραχος $^{\prime\prime}$ οὐκ τος $^{\prime\prime}$ εκείνον $^{\prime\prime}$ εκείνον $^{\prime\prime}$ τάραχος $^{\prime\prime}$ οὐκ τος $^{\prime\prime}$ εκείνον $^{\prime\prime}$ εκείνον $^{\prime\prime}$ τάραχος $^{\prime\prime}$ οὐκ τος $^{\prime\prime}$ εκείνον $^{\prime\prime}$ εκείνον $^{\prime\prime}$ εκείνον $^{\prime\prime}$ τάραχος $^{\prime\prime}$ εκείνον $^{\prime\prime}$ τάραχος $^{\prime\prime}$ εκείνον $^{\prime\prime}$ εκείνον | 4 αγυροκόπος | ποιων | ναους | αργυρονς | Αρτέμιδος | δτεβιούς | αντικόν | αντικόν

12. Xeu. (A. Xeu. (A

21. for ωs to ταυτα, τοτε D. (o) παυλος bef εθετο DE 137 copt.—om ο D 137. διελθειν ADE k. ins την bef αχαιαν (corrn for om ev E-gr 40. 68 al. uniformity) ADE a b d o 13: om BHLN rel 36 Chr Œc Thl. ins και bef πορευree ιερουσαλημ, with HL rel 36 (Ec Thl-sif: txt ABEN c k 40 vulg εσθαι D. Chr-comm Thl-fin, ιεροσολυσολυμα D.

22. for αποστ. δε, και αποστ. D Syr æth. om την EN b k m o. for διακονουντων αυτω, διακονουν $[=-\omega v\,^?]$ αυτων A: for αυτω, αυτων e. written $\epsilon_i\pi$, but marked it for erasure. aft χρονον ins oλι aft αυτω N1 has aft χρονον ins ολιγον D-gr 25: τινα χρ.

εν τη ασια D sah.

24. for ονοματι, ην D-gr: om D-lat sah. ναον αργυρουν Ν1. om αργυρους Β. ins os bef $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon_1 \chi \epsilon$ (repeating the termination of Aptemidos) D. παρειχε (confusion from Tois folly) AIDE: txt A2BHLX rel 36 Chr (Ee Thl. rec εργασιαν bef ουκ ολιγην, with EHL rel syr Chr (Ee Thl-sif: txt ABDX k m 13 vulg Thl-fin.

Bernhardy, Syntax, p. 241, f.

ταῦτα] The occurrences of vv. 19, 20. έν τῷ πν.] An expression mostly used by Paul, see ref. δεί As he was sent to the Gentiles, he saw that the great metropolis of the Gentile world was the legitimate centre of his apostolic working. Or perhaps he speaks under some divine intimation that ultimately he should be brought to Rome. If so, his words were literally fulfilled. He did see Rome after he had been at Jerusalem this next time: but after considerable delay, and as a prisoner. Cf. the same design expressed by him, Rom, i. 15; xv. 23-28; and Paley's remarks in the Horæ Paulinæ. He intended himself to follow after Pentecost, 1 Cor. xvi. 8. This mission of Timothy is alluded to 1 Cor. iv. 17 (see ib. i. 1); xvi. 10. The object of it was to bring these churches in Mucedonia and Achaia into remembrance of the ways and teaching of Paul. It occurred shortly before the writing of 1 Cor. He was (1 Cor. xvi. 11) soon to return :- but considerable uncertainty hangs over this journey. We find him again with Paul in Macedonia, 2 Cor. i. 1: but apparently he had not reached Corinth. See 1 Cor. xvi.l.c.; and 2 Cor. xii. 18, where he would probably have been mentioned,

had he done so. On the difficult question respecting a journey of Paul himself to Corinth during this period, see notes, 2 Cor. xii. 14; xiii. 1,-and Prolegg. to 1 Cor. § v.

"Epactov] This Erastns can hardly be identical with the Erastus of Rom. xvi. 23, who must have been resident at Corinth: see there: and therefore hardly either with the Erastus of 2 Tim. iv. 20: see note there. ϵ is τ . 'A σ (a ν] i. e. in (but beware of imagining ϵ is to be 'put for' $\epsilon \nu$, here or any where. It gives the direction of the tarrying, as in the expressions ές δόμους μένειν, Soph. Ag. 80, and διεκαρτέρουν είς την πατρίδα, Lycurg. cont. Leoer., p. 158. It is far better to take it thus, with Meyer, than with Winer, Gr., edn. 6, § 50. 4. b, as importing 'in favour of,' 'for the benefit of') Ephesus: Asia is named by way of contrast with Macedonia, just before mentioned. This is evident by the following event taking place at Ephesus.

24. ναοὺς ἀργ.] These were small models (ἀφιδρύματα) of the celebrated temple of the Ephesian Artemis, with her statue, which it was the custom to carry on journeys, and place in houses, as a charm. Chrys. και πως ένι ναούς άργυρους γενέσθαι; Ίτως ώς κιβώρια μικρά. Ammian. Marcellin, xxii. 13: 'Asclepiades philoso 25 οὖς x συναθροίσας, καὶ τοὺς y περὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα ἐργάτας, x ch. xii. 12 only. Deut. εἶπεν ἀνδρες, ἐπίστασθε ὅτι ἐκ ταύτης τῆς u ἐργασίας ἡ y y-luke x. $\frac{a}{\epsilon}$ ευπορία ήμιν $\frac{2}{\epsilon}$ στιν, $\frac{26}{\epsilon}$ καὶ $\frac{b}{\epsilon}$ θεωρείτε καὶ ακούετε $\frac{b}{\epsilon}$ στι ου $\frac{40,41}{\epsilon}$ περί $\frac{\pi}{\epsilon}$ στι ου $\frac{40,41}{\epsilon}$ περί $\frac{\pi}{\epsilon}$ στι ου $\frac{40,41}{\epsilon}$ περί $\frac{\pi}{\epsilon}$ στι ου $\frac{40,41}{\epsilon}$ στι ου $\frac{\pi}{\epsilon}$ στι ου $\frac{40,41}{\epsilon}$ στι ου $\frac{\pi}{\epsilon}$ στι ου $\frac{\pi}{\epsilon$ μόνον Ἐφέσου ἀλλὰ ος τεδον πασης της ποιως στι $\frac{1}{7}$ Εφέσου ἀλλὰ ος τείστησεν $\frac{1}{6}$ κανὸν $\frac{1}{6}$ χλον, λέγων $\frac{1}{7}$ τι μέχοι $\frac{1}{1}$ μέχον δε $\frac{1}{1}$ μέχον δε $\frac{1}{1}$ μέχον δε $\frac{1}{1}$ μέχον $\frac{1}{1}$ τουτο ικινδυνεύει ήμιν το κ μέρος είς ι απελεγμον " έλθειν, " αλλά και τὸ τῆς "μεγάλης "θεᾶς ιερον 'Αρτέμιδος pq είς οὐθέν $^{\rm q}$ λογισθῆναι, $^{\rm r}$ μέλλειν τε και $^{\rm s}$ καθαιρείσθαι τῆς $_{\rm b}$ Mark xvi. $_{\rm t}$. John iv. 19. ΄ μεγαλειότητος αὐτῆς ην όλη η ΄Ασία καὶ η ι οἰκουμένη xii. 19. ch

(-eigtai.

(Oan, iii, 27 [94].)

c ch, xiii, 41 reff.
d ch, xviii, 4 reff.
e = here only.
(c h, xii), 22 refl.) Joah, xiv, 8, τ ἀ ἐκεῖ πάντα πρότ Λακδαιτοπιονε μετέστησεν, Xen, Hell, ii, 2, 5, fch, xi, 24 (reft.
h, xi, 2

25. for ous, outos (omg και) D 137 tol sah: c has outos but retains και. αυτας(sie) N. for εργατας, τεχνετας D-gr: τεχνεταις D1: artifices E-lat. ειπεν, εφη D. add mpos autous D vss. aft ανδρες ins συντεχνειται D syr-w-ast επιστασται(sic) D. ree ημων (corrn, as more usual constr), with HL rel syrr æth Chr, Œc Thl-sif: txt ABDEN e d 13. 40 vulg coptt Thl-fin.

26. ακουετε και θεωρειτε D Syr. om oti D. ins ews bef efector D 141: της a m Thl-fin.—ipsius Ephesi D-lat. εφεσιου D. και A D-gr L 13. 36. 40. 106. 180 demid Syr Chr Thl-sif: om BEHN rel vulg D-lat coptt Œe Thl-fin. om της D1 m: ins D2. aft ουτος ins τις τοτε D1: hic quidam tune D-lat. om πεισας N. απεστησεν Ε. aft or, ins outor Degr. $γενομενοι <math>D^1$ 68 : $γεινομ. D^2$. om or Nº 57.

ημιν bef κινδυνευει D m (-νευσει D^2 N). 27. om δε E-gr. το μερος bef κινδ. ημ. A c 137. om αλλα Ν¹. rec αρτεμιδος bef ιερον (corrn of characteristic order), with ABLN 13. 36 rel Œc Thl-fin: txt DEH b f g o Chr Thl-sit Jer. rec ουδεν, with DEL 13. 36 rel Chr: txt ABHN d f. λογισθησεται (emendation of constr) ADE vulg Syr: txt BHLN rel 36 Chr Ee Thl. μ elle (1) a e vss Thl: txt BD*EHLN 13 rel Chr Ee. -alla καθερισθαι μ elle (1) D. Steph (for τ ε) δε, with HL rel vulg Chr Thl: om a e: txt A B(Mai expr) Ex e 13 Ec Jer. rec την μεγαλειστητα (see note), with HL rel vulg syr copt Chr Œc Thl: txt ABEN a e 13. 36. 40 sah.—om τ. μεγ. αυτης D. (Mai notes expr agst Bch that B does not om auths nv.) for $\eta \nu$, η D1. om 2nd n om 1st η BD. Bkm.

phus deæ cœlestis argenteum breve figurentum quocunque ibat secum solitus efferre...' Diod. Sic. i. 15: ναούς χρυσούς δύο. Dio Cass. χχχίχ. 20: νεώς "Ηρας βραχύς έπι τραπέζης τινός πρός άνατολῶν ίδρυμένος. We may find an exact parallel in the usages of that corrupt form of Christianity, which, whatever it may pretend to teach, in practice honours similarly the "great goddess" of its imagination. 25. τὰ τοιαῦτα] All sorts of memorials or amulets connected with the worship of Artemis. Mr. Howson (ii. p. 98) suggests that possibly Alexander the coppersmith may have been one of these craftsmen: see 2 Tim. iv. 14. 26.] The people believed that the images themselves were gods: τὰ χαλκᾶ καὶ τὰ γραπτὰ

καὶ λίθινα μὴ μαθόντες, μηδὲ ἐθισθέντες ἀγάλματα καὶ τιμὰς θεῶν, ἀλλὰ θεοὺς καλεῖν. Plutarch de Isid. p. 379, c (Wetst.): see ch. xvii. 29. And so it is invariably, wherever images are employed professedly as media of worship. The genitives 'E ϕ . and 'Aσ. are governed by ὅχλον. ήμιν is best taken as the dativus incommodi, not for ἡμῶν, nor with τὸ μέρος, but with κινδυνεύει. μέρος, as we say, department. άλλὰ καί] but that eventually even the temple itself of the great goddess Artemis will be counted for nothing. μεγάλη was the usual epithet of the Ephesian Artemis: Xen. Ephes. i. p. 15: ὀμνύω τε την πάτριον ήμιν θεόν, την μεγάλην Έφεσίων Αρτεμιν. There is an inscription in Boeckh, 2963 c, con218

ν ch. xiii. 43 ν σέβεται. 28 ἀκούσαντες δὲ καὶ γενόμενοι w πλήρεις x θυμοῦ HBDE h. H. Sa ab HBS ab

λου δε βουλομένου είς ελθείν είς τον δημον, ουκ είων ς νει. 27 ει. κει πότες λου δε βουλομενου ειζελυείν εις και των Ασιαρχών, όντες χει. τ. 26. ει. αὐτὸν οι μαθηταί. ³¹ τινές δὲ και των Ασιαρχών, όντες κ. 17. Χιίι. 43. σει. τ. αὐτῷ φίλοι, πεμψαντες πρὸς αὐτὸν ⁸ παρεκάλουν, μη 11.

cen, xi, 9. 1 Kings v, 12, xiv, 20 only, $(-\chi \hat{\nu} \nu \epsilon \nu_t, \nu \epsilon, 32.)$ b ch, vii, 57 (ref.). ch iv, 9 only $^+$, $(-\tau \mu_t^2 \epsilon \sigma^2 \delta \omega_t, 1 \text{Peb}, \chi, (3.2))$ d ch, vi. 12 ref. sii, 22 refl. e 20 Cr. viii, 19 only $^+$, xii, 22 refl.

bef εκραζον ins δραμοντες εις το αμφοδον D 137, 28. ταυτα δε ακουσ. D vss. om n D1: ins D+. simly syr-marg.

29. ree aft η πολις ins ολη (see ch xxi. 30), with EHL rel syr sah Chr Œc Thl: pref ολη, D 36(sic) Syr æth: om ABN 13. 40 vulg copt arm. rec om της, with (D1)EN3 k 13: ins A B(sic: see table) D6HLN rel Chr Thl. - συνεχυθη ολ. η π. αισχυνης D1-gr. for τε, δε D-gr m copt : om sah arm. ins και bef συναρμακεδονες D1: μακεδονα 15. 180: μακεδονιας d 56. 117. 1771: om πασαντες D. 100: txt D4 or 8. rec ins του bef παυλου (with e?): om ABDEHLR rel.

30. ree του δε παυλου (possibly from the concurrence of παυλου παυλου), with EHL rel 36 Chr (Ec Thl: βουλομενου δε του παυλου D: του παυλου δε κ3 k: txt ABK m 13. for our $\epsilon \imath \omega \nu$ autov oi $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha \imath$, oi $\mu \alpha \theta$. $\epsilon \kappa \omega \lambda \upsilon o \nu$ D Syr eth: non sinebant D-lat.

31. for οντες, υπαρχοντες D. αυτου E-gr: amici ejus vulg. αυτον №1 100.

taining the words της μεγαλης θεας αρτεμιδος προ πολεως. The same inscription also mentions γραμματεύς and ανθύπατος. C. and H. ii. 98. The temple of Artemis at Ephesus, having been burnt to the ground by Herostratus on the night of the birth of Alexander the Great (B.C. 355), was restored with increased magnificence, and accounted one of the wonders of the ancient world. Its dimensions were 425×220 feet, and it was surrounded by 127columns, 60 feet high. It was standing in all its grandeur at this time. See C. and H. eh. xvi. vol. ii. pp. 84 ff. γαλειότητος is the more difficult and probably original reading: and that she should be deposed from her greatness, whom &c.

29. είς τὸ θέατρον] The resort of the populace on occasions of excitement, as Wetst. shews by many instances. So Tacit. Hist. ii. 80, 'Tum Antiochensium theatrum ingressus, ubi illis consultare mos est.' 'Of the site of the theatre, the seene of the tumult raised by Demetrius, there can be no doubt, its ruins being a wreck of immense grandeur. I think it must have been larger than the one at Miletus; and that exceeds any I have elsewhere seen. Its form alone can now be spoken of, for every seat is removed, and the proscenium is a heap of ruins.' Fellows, Asia Minor, p. 274. 'The theatre of Ephesus is said to be the largest known of any that have remained to us from antiquity. C. and H. ii. p. 83, note 3. συναρπ.

It is not implied that they seized Gains and Aristarchus before they rushed into the theatre: compare προς ευξάμενοι εἶπαν, ch. i. 24, also ch. xviii. 27, and Winer, edn. 6, § 45. 6. b. | \(\text{Taïov}\) A different person from the Gaius of ch. xx. 4, who was of Derbe, and from the Gaius of Rom. xvi. 23, and 1 Cor. i. 14, who was evidently a Corinthian. Aristarchus is mentioned ch. xx. 4; xxvii. 2; Col. iv. 10; Philem. 24. He was a native of Thessalonica. 31. 'Ασιαρχῶν' The Asiarchæ were officers elected by the cities of the province of Asia to preside over their games and religious festivals. Of these it would be natural that the one who for the time presided would bear the title of δ 'Aσιάρχος: cf. Eus. H. E. iv. 15: but no more is known of such presidency. Wetst. quotes several inscriptions and coins in which the name occurs, and eites many analogous names of like officers elsewhere: Ciliciarcha, Syriarcha, Phœniciarcha, Hel-ladarcha, &c. The Asiarch Philip at Smyrna is mentioned by Eusebius (H. E. iv. 15) as presiding in the amphitheatre at the martyrdom of Polycarp. These Ephesian games in honour of Artemis took place in May, which whole month (another singular coincidence with the practices of idolatrous ('hristendom) was sacred to, and named Artemisian after, the goddess. In Boeekh, Inser. 2954, we have the decree ύλον τον μηνα τον ἐπώνυμον τοῦ θείου ὀνόματος εἶναι ἰερον καὶ ἀνακεῖσθαι τῆ $^{\rm h}$ δούναι ξαυτὸν είς τὸ $^{\rm c}$ θέατρον. 32 $^{\rm i}$ ἄλλοι μὲν οὖν $^{\rm i}$ ἄλλο $^{\rm h-here only}$ είς τὸ $^{\rm c}$ θέατρον. $^{\rm h}$ εκκλησία $^{\rm l}$ συγκεχυμένη, καὶ $^{\rm m}$ οἱ $^{\rm hoise}$ $^{\rm hoise}$ αὐτὸν τῶν Ἰουδαίων ὁ δὲ ᾿Αλέξανδους q κατασείσας τὴν ${}^{(W)}_{k}$ χεῖοα ἤθελεν r ἀπολυγεῖσθαι τῷ Γδήμῳ. 34 ε΄ επιγνόντες δὲ 38 only. Sir. χειδα ηθέλεν απολογείου αι τη σημφ.

στι Ιουδαίος έστιν, φωνη εγένετο μία εκ πάντων ως 1ch. ii. 6refi. καταστείλας δε ο χραμματεύς τον σχλον φησιν «Matt.xiv.» "Ανδρες Εφέσιοι, τίς γ γάρ έστιν ανθρώπων ος ου γινώσκει την Έφεσίων πόλιν ενεωκόρον ουσαν της μεγάλης προβιβιίσας

κιον είς λόγους ἀπομύητους, Ρογία xxiv. 3.7. συμ $β_*$, 1 Cor. ii. 16 ref. τ Luke xii. II. xxi. 3.9 mly. Jer. xxiv. (xivi.) 4 F, non. ii. 15. \circ (ch. xii. 17 refl. \circ 1. Luke xii. II. xxiv. 14. Aris, ch. xxiv. 1.0. xxiv. 2.0 mly. \circ 3.1 ke xii. II. xxiv. 15. \circ 1. Luke xii. II. xxiv. 15. Luke xii. II. xxiv. 15. \circ 1. Luke xii. II. xxiv. 15. Luke xii. I

πλειστοι D-gr. 32. om τι D 42 vulg. η γαρ εκκλησια ην D. συνεληλυθασιν Η: -λυθησαν Ι. EVEKEV, with DEHL rel: txt ABN 13.36 Thl-fin. Thl-sif.

33. *συνεβίβασαν ΑΒΕΧ a (corrn, perhaps on acct of the unusual word, perhaps to avoid the repetition of $\pi \rho o$): our εβιβασαν 13(appy): κατεβιβ. D¹, distraxerunt D·lat, detrax. vulg: $\pi \rho o \epsilon \beta$ ιβασαν D¹ or 8 HL rel 36 Chr Œc Thl. elz $\pi \rho o \delta \lambda \lambda o \nu$. αυτων L1 b των, with DL b2 c g m o 13. 36 Thl: txt ABEHX rel 40 Chr Œc. τη χειρι DN3 40 Chr o our A k demid fuld tol: o o' our X1. 40 Thl-sif. for $\eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$, $\eta \theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \aleph^1$. for $\delta \eta \mu \omega$, $\lambda \alpha \omega E$.

34. rec επιγνοντων (corrn, to avoid the pendent nominative), with a b o 36 Œe: txt

ABDEHLN 13 rel Chr Thl-sif. om $\epsilon\kappa$ D, so vulg coptt. wsei B 13. $\kappa\rho\alpha\zeta$ ov $\epsilon\kappa$ An. om η D: ins D⁴. $\mu\epsilon\gamma$. η art. $\epsilon\phi$. is repeated in B. 35. κατασεισαs DE c 137 Thl-sif: compescuisset D-lat, sedasset vulg E-lat.

τον οχλον bef ο γραμματευς B m 130 copt. εφη E, dixit vulg. for εφεσιοι, rec ανθρωπος (corrn), with DHL rel syr æth Chr Œc Thl-sif (pref δ D'): txt ABEN a c k m 13. 36. 40 vulg Syr copt (sah) ath arm Thl-fin. εφεσ., ημετεραν D: vestram D-lat. πολιν bef εφεσ. E coptt. ναοκορον D^1 : for ουσαν, ειναι D: add και X1(X3 disapproving). rec aft μεγαλης txt D2. ins θεαs, with HL rel wth Chr (Ec Thl: om ABDEN c 13. 36. 40 vulg syrr coptt Isid.

θεώ, άγεσθαι δὲ ἐπ' αὐταῖς (seil. τοῦ μηνὸς ήμέραις) τὰς ἐορτὰς καὶ τὴν τῶν ᾿Αρτεμισίων πανήγυριν. C. and H. ii. 95. δοῦναι] Kypke remarks: 'latet in phrasi, quod periculum Paulo in theatro immineat.' E. V. adventure himself; an excellent 33.] ἐκ τ. ὅχλ. some of προεβ, urged fortranslation. προεβ. urged forthe multitude. ward, through the crowd; the Jews pushing him on from behind, 'propellentibus.'

It is uncertain whether this Alexander is mentioned elsewhere (but see on 2 Tim. iv. 14). He appears to have been a Christian convert from Judaism, whom the Jews were willing to expose as a victim to the fury of the mob: or perhaps one of themselves, put forward to clear them of blame 34. ἐπιγνόντες] on the occasion. The nom. is an anacoluthon, as in ch. xxiv. 5 al. See Winer, edn. 6, § 63, i. 1.

They would hear nothing from a Jew, as being an enemy of image worship. 35. καταστ.] When he had quieted, lulled, the crowd. ὁ γραμματεύς] the town-clerk is the nearest English office corresponding to it. He was the keeper of the archives, and public reader of decrees, &c., in the assemblies. Thueyd. vii. 10, την επιστολην επέδοσαν· δ δε γραμματεύς της πόλεως παρελθών ἀνέγνω τοις 'Αθηναίοις. 'Among the Ephesian inscriptions in Boeckh, we find the following: Μ. Ι. Αυρ. Διονυσιον τον ιεροκηρυκα και β ασιαρχον εκ των ιδιων Τ. Φλ. Μουνατιος φιλοσεβαστος ο γραμματευς και ασιαρχησας. No. 2990.' C. and H. ii. 96. No. 2990. C. and H. ii. 96. γάρ gives a reason for the καταστείλας. See νεωκόρον Herm. on Viger, p. 829. Probably a virger or adorner (Suidas says, not a sweeper: ὁ τὸν νεών κοσμών κ. εὐτρεD-lat.

chere only t. Αρτέμιδος καὶ τοῦ ° διοπετοῦς ; 36 d ἀναντιρρήτων οὖν ABDE ΠΑΝ αὐτό μένν το ὑτων ° δέον ἐστὶν ὑμᾶς w κατεσταλμένους c ὑπ το ὑτων το ὑτων c δέον ἐστὶν ὑμᾶς w κατεσταλμένους c ὑπ το ὑτων αὐν μποὶν c προπετὲς πράσσειν. 37 h ἢγάγετε γὰρ αἰνε οἰνε, τοὺς ἄνδρας το ὑτους οὔτε i ἱεροσύλους οὔτε k βλασφηλομιάς ποῦντας τὴν i θεὸν ἡμῶν. 38 εἰ μὲν οὖν Δημήτριος καὶ οἰ

xi. 2. xxxiii. (100ντας την σευν της σευν της

διοςπετους D 68: hujus jovis D-lat: joviseprolis E-lat: jovisque prolis vulg.
36. αναντιρητων B·L. τουτων bef οντων A b ο: οια τουτων A· 13. aft προπετες ins τι N³. [πραπτειν, so ABDEHLN 13 το[(not in) Chr Œc Thl.]
37. aft τουτους ins ενθαδε D syr-marg. for ουτε (twice), μητε D. τec την θεαν (εοντη), with D·Ε² a b¹ ο 13 Œc Thl-fin: txt ABD²Ε'HLN rel 36 Chr-c Thl-sif. rec νμων, with E¹-gr HL rel vulg syr copt ath-rom Chr Œc Thl-fin: txt

ABDE²N b f o 13 E-lat Syr sah æth-pl Chr-c Thl-sif.

38. aft δημητριος ins ουτος D Syr: pref δ e 137.

σ bef και D': txt D': ree προς τνα λογον bef εχουσιν (alteration of characteristic order), with 13(appy): txt AB(D)EHLN rel vulg Chr Thl.—ins αυτους bef τινα D, cum aliquo quendam

 $\pi i (\omega \nu, \lambda \lambda)$ où $\delta \sigma \alpha \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$) of the temple: here used as implying that Ephesus had the charge and keeping of the temple. The title is found (Wetst.) on inscriptions as belonging to Ephesus: η φιλοσεβαστος Εφεσιων βουλη και ο νεωκορος δημος καθιερωσαν επι ανθυπατου Πεδουκαιου Πρεισκεινου ψηφισαμενου Τιβ. Κλ. Ιταλικου του γραμματεως του δημου (Boeckh, No. 2966); and seems to have been specially granted by the emperors to particular cities: thus we have ὅσα ἐπετύχομεν παρὰ τοῦ κυρίου Καίσαρυς ᾿Αδριανοῦ δι ᾿ ἀντωνίου Πολέμωνος δεύτερον δόγμα συγκλήτου, καθ' & δis νεωκόροι γεγόναμεν: and on coins of Hadrian, Έφεσίων δίς νεωκόρων, &c.: and similarly of Elagabalus, Νικομηδέων τρὶς νεωκόρων: of Maximin, Μαγνήτων νεωκόρων 'Αρτέμιδος. See also C. and H. ii.

τ. διοπετοῦς] Το give peculiar sanctity to various images, it was given out that they had fallen from heaven; so Euripides of the statue of Artemis at Tauris, ἐνθ΄ ᾿Αρτεμες σὴ σὐγρονος Βωμοὺς ἔχει, ḥ λαβεῦ τ᾽ ἄγαλμα θεᾶς δ φασὶν ἐνθάδε | εἰς τούςδε ναοὸς οὐρανοῦ πεσεῖν ἔπο. Ι ph. Ταυτ. 86, and 977, he calls it διοπετὲς ἄγαλμα, οὐρανοῦ πέσημα. So also Pausan. Att. 26, τὸ δὲ ἀγιόστατον . . ἐστὲν ᾿Αθγιός ἄγαλμα ἐν τῆ νῦν ἀκροπόλει . . . φἡμη δ΄ ἐς αὐτὸ ἐχει, πεσεῖν ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ. The image is described by Pliny, xvi. 72: 'de

p. 89, where will be found an engraving

of a coin exhibiting both the words νεω-

κόρος and ανθύπατος (ver. 38).

ipso simulacro Deæ ambigitur. Cæteri ex ebeno esse tradunt: Mucianus ter consul ex his qui, proxime viso eo, scripsere, vitigineum, et nunquam mutatum, septies restituto templo.' 37.] From this verse it appears that Paul had proceeded at Ephesus with the same caution as at Athens, and had not held up to contempt the worship of Artemis, any further than unavoidably the truths which he preached would render it contemptible. This is also manifest from his having friends among the Asiarchs, ver. 31. Chrysostom, however, treats this assertion of the town-clerk merely as a device to appease the people: τοῦτο ψεῦδος. ταθτα μέν πρός τον δήμον. refers to the προπετές with which he had charged them: 'and this eaution is not unneeded,-for &c.' See Meyer; and Herm. as above, on ver. 35. άγόραιοι] court-days (the grammarians distinguish ἀγοραῖος, 'circumforaneus,' an idler in the market, and ἀγόραιος, as in our text : so Suidas : but Ammonius vice versa: and the distinction is now believed to be mere pedantry): and ἄγονται implies that they were then actually going on. They were the periodical assizes of the district, held by the proconsul and his assessors (see below). The Latin phrase for ayopalous ayew was conventus agere, or peragere, or convocare : cf. Cas. B. G. i. 54; v. 1; viii. 46. Hence the district itself was called conventus. See Smith's Diet. of Antiquities, art. Conventus.

 39 εἰ δέ τι περὶ 5 ἐτέρων t ἐπιζητεῖτε, ἐν τῆ u ἐννόμ ψ v ἐκκλη- s - $^{ch. xvii.}$ σία * ἐπιλυθήσεται. 40 καὶ γὰρ * κινδυνεύομεν * ἐγκαλεῖσ - $^{1800 \text{mis.} 7}$ θαι * στάσεως περὶ τῆς * σήμερον, μηδενὸς * αἰτίον * ὑπάρ - $^{100 \text{mis.} 21}$ ουντρος περὶ οῦ [οῦ] δυνησόμεθα 4 ἀποδούναι λόχον τῆς $^{100 \text{mis.} 21}$ ουντρος περὶ οῦ [οῦ] δυνησόμεθα 4 ἀποδούναι λόχον τῆς $^{100 \text{mis.} 21}$ χοντος περί οὖ [οὐ] δυνησόμεθα ἀ ἀποδοῦναι λόγον τῆς είθισμένα συστροφής ταύτης. 41 και ταῦτα είπων δαπέλυσεν την κ. ἔννομα, Xen. Cyr. viii. 7. 10. — ver. 32. Judith xiv. 6. εκκλησίαν.

 $X\dot{X}$. $\dot{X}^{\rm g}$ Μετὰ δὲ τὸ $\dot{X}^{\rm h}$ παύσασθαι τὸν $\dot{X}^{\rm hi}$ θόου $\dot{X}^{\rm goo}$ σους $\dot{X}^{\rm hit}$ διεάμενος $\dot{X}^{\rm goot}$ Παῦλος τοὺς μαθητὰς καὶ $\dot{X}^{\rm h}$ παρακαλέσας, $\dot{X}^{\rm goot}$ σει xiliy. καλεσάμενος ο Παύλος τους μαθητας και παρακαλεσας, ben killed a distribution of the second of the s καλεσάμενος ο Παύλος τους μαθητάς και καρακαλέσας,

19, 25, ch, xxiv, 5, Prov. xvii, 14. a constr., here only. (ch. xx. 28.) b Luke xxiii. 4, 14, 22 conlyt., (-ox, Heb. v. 9), v. viii, 16 teff. d Alatt, xii, 36. Luke xxi. 22, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 13, 14, 15, 14, 32 reff. n ch. xiii. 6 reff.

39. for περι ετερων, περαιτερων (seems like a mistake from itacism) Β d 13. 36: επιζητειται (itacism?) & c d o. περ ετερον Ε. εν τω νομω εκκλησια D1, so, but εκκλησιας D'(and lat): txt D'.

40. σημερον ενκαλεισθαι στασεως μηδενος αιτιου οντος D. περι ου ου δυνησομεθα (perhaps, as Meyer, from a careless repetition of ov: more likely, as Bornemann in loc, inserted by those who placed a colon at υπαρχοντος and regarded περι . . . ταυτης as a new member of the sentence) A B(sic: see table) HLN b c e f g h m o syrr arm: (for οδ, ουν L1:) om οὐ DE 13. 36 rel vulg coptt Chr-comm (Ee Thl-fin. (prob the simple verb was substituted for the compd rather than vice versa: both exprr are in ordinary use) HL b d e g Œc-ed Thl-sif: txt ABDEN 13. 36 rel Chr ins περι bef της συστρ. (consequent on regarding συστρ. as in Œc-ms Thl-fin. apposn with the preceding gen:-q. d. viz. concerning this συστρ.) ABEN dk m 36. 40 D-lat Syr arm Thl-fin; om DHL rel vss Chr (Ec Thl-sif. (13 def.)

CHAP. XX. 1. for προςκαλ., μεταπεμψαμενος BEN m 13. 36. 40 coptt æth-rom Thl-fin: μεταστειλαμενος a 69. 98-marg 105: txt ADHL rel Chr Œc Thl-sif. ins πολλα bef παρακ. D. rec om παρακαλεσας (see note), with HL rel Chr (Ec Thl-sif: ins ABDEN a c m 13. 36 copt Thl-fin. - παρακελε [v] σας D1? ασπ., αποσπασαμενος D¹: και ασπ. ΕΝ: ασπασαμενος τε D⁴ a c m 36 Thl-fin.πορευεσθαι (corrn) ABEN 36 Thl-fin: om D 27. 662. 105: txt HL 13(sic) rel Chr (Ee

om την BDELN a b c k m o Thl-fin: ins AH 13 rel Chr Œc Thl-sif. 2. ins παντα bef τα μερη D. εκεινη D!: txt D'. for παρακαλεσας αυτους, χρησ[αμενο]s(?) D¹ gr : txt D².

Pliny, H. N. v. 29 fin., mentions Ephesus as one of these assize towns. ύπατοι] there are (such things as) proconsuls: the fit officers before whom to bring these causes: a categoric plural. So the Commentators generally. But may not the 'consiliarii' of the proconsul who were his assessors at the 'conventus,' held in the provinces, have themselves popularly borne the name? We find in Jos. B. J. ii. 16.1, that Cestius, the ἡγεμών of Syria, on receiving an application respecting Florus's conduct at Jerusalem, μετὰ ἡγεμόνων ἐβουλεύετο,—which ἡγεμόνες were his assessors, or consiliarii. (See on ch. xxv. 12, and Smith's Dict. of Antt., ut supra.)

έγκαλ. άλλ.] let them (the plaintiffs and defendants) plead against one another. 39.] Legitimus cœtus est, qui a magistratu civitatis convocatur et regitur.' Grot. The art. points out the

regularly recurring assembly, of which they 40.] yáp assumes that all knew. this assembly was an unlawful one. μηδενὸς κ.τ.λ.] There being no ground why (i. e. in consequence of which) we shall be able to give an account, i.e. 'no ground whereon to build the possibility of our giving an account.' The reading $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ ob ob (see digest) seems to involve the sentence in almost inextricable confusion. To read $\pi\epsilon\rho$ 1 $\tau\hat{\eta}s$ $\sigma v\sigma\tau$. τ . and take it in apposit. with $\pi\epsilon\rho$ 1 $o\tilde{b}$, 'hujus rei, videlicet conventus hujus' (Bornemann), is very harsh.

CHAP, XX, 1-XXI, 16.7 JOURNEY OF PAUL TO MACEDONIA AND GREECE, AND THENCE TO JERUSALEM. 1.] παρακαλέσας has probably been omitted on account of the two participles coming together: or perhaps on account of the same word occurring again in ver. 2.

 9 λόγω πολλ $\tilde{\phi}$ $\tilde{\eta}$ λ θ εν είς τ $\tilde{\eta}$ ν Έλλάδα, 3 7 ποιήσας τε $^{\text{ABDE}}_{\text{HLN ab}}$ q ch. xv. 32, r ch. xv. 33 reff. μηνας τρείς, γενομένης αὐτῷ επιβουλης ὑπὸ τῶν 'Ιου-cdfgh s cb. ix. 24 reff. t ch. xiii. 13 reff. δαίων μέλλοντι τανάγεσθαι είς την Συρίαν εγένετο υτε μαίτω, 11. υ γνώμης ' τοῦ ' ὑποστρέφειν διὰ Μακεδονίας, 4' κουν29 και. ἡν. 39. κοινέτ,
19. κοιν x here only †. 2 Mace, xv. καὶ Τρόφιμος. 5 οῦτοι [δε] * προελθόντες δεμενον ήμας 2 only. y = ch. xi. 5 reff. b = here only. Job xxxvi. 2. (see ver. 23.) a = ver. 13, 2 Cor. ix, 5, (ch. xii, 10 al.) Gen, xxxiii, 14.

3. for τε, δε D 38 E-lat copt. for γενομ., και γενηθεισης D2: κ. γενηθεις D1-gr. επιβουλης bef αυτω ABEN a h 13: txt DHL rel vulg Chr (Ec Thl. αγεσθαι Ε. rec γνωμη, with HL rel: txt AB'EN 13. 36 .ηθελησεν αναχθηναι εις συριαν ειπεν δε το πνευμα αυτω υποστρεφειν δια της μακεδονιας

D syr-marg.

4. for συνειπετο δε αυτω αχρι, μελλοντος ουν εξειεναι αυτου μεχρι D syr-marg. om αχρι της ασιας (to conform to follg; cf note) BN 13 (comitari eum D-lat.) vulg(not demid) æth Bede. ree om πυρρου (see note), with HL rel syrr æth Chr (Ec Thl-sif: ins ABDEN a b m o 13. 36. 40 vulg syr-marg coptt arm Thl-fin Orig-int ins o bef δερβαιος A : δουβ[ε]ριος βεροιος №1: βερυιαιος D-gr1: txt D1. D1, doverius D-lat : txt D1. for ασιανοι, εφεσιοι D syr-marg sah. EUTUXOS D.

5. rec om δε, with DHL rel 36 vulg Syr Chr Œc Thl-sif: ins ABEN a e 13. 40 syr προς ελθοντες A(?) B1(Mai) E-gr HLX f g k m. ELEIVOV (but i copt Thl-fin.

erased) X. for ημας, αυτον D-gr.

Notices of this journey may be found 2 Cor. ii. 12, 13; vii. 5, 6. He delayed on the way some time at Troas, waiting for Titus, -broke off his preaching there, though prosperous, in distress of mind at his nonarrival, 2 Cor. ii. 12, 13,-and sailed for Macedonia, where Titus met him, 2 Cor. Macedonia, where Titus met him, 2 Cor. vii. 6. That Epistle was written during it, from Macedonia (see 2 Cor. ix. 2, καυχώμα, 'I am boasting'). He seems to have gone to the confines at least of Illyria, Rom. xv. 19. αὐτούς | The Macedonian brethren: so ch. xvi. 10 al., see reft., and Winer, edn. 6, § 22. 3. 'Eλ-λ-X-1 Abbin see ab. viv. 21. λάδα Achaia, see ch. xix. 21. ποιήσας This stay was made at Corinth, most probably: see 1 Cor. xvi. 6, 7: and was during the winter, see below on ver. 6. During it the Epistle to the Romans was written: see Prolegg. to Rom. § iv. μέλλοντι ἀνάγεσθαι] This purpose, of going from Corinth to Palestine by sea, is

implied ch. xix. 21, and I Cor. xvi. 3—7.
τοῦ ὑποστρ.] The genit. is not (as
Meyer) governed directly by γνώμης, which would be more naturally followed by εls τδ $\delta\pi$.: but denotes the purpose, as in reff.

4. ἄχρι τ. 'Ασίας] It is not hereby implied that they went no further than to Asia: Trophimus (ch. xxi. 29) and Aristarchus (ch. xxvii. 2), and probably others, as the bearers of the alms from Macedonia and Corinth (1 Cor. xvi. 3, 4), accompanied him to Jerusalem. Σώπατρος Πυρρου Bepoualos This mention of his father is

perhaps made to distinguish him (?) from Sosipater, who was with Paul at Corinth (Rom. xvi. 21). The name Πύρρου has been erased as that of an unknown person, and because the mention of the father is unusual in the N. T.:—no possible reason can be given for its insertion by copyists.

³ Aρίσταρχος] See ch. xix. 29; xxvii. 2; Col. iv. 10; Philem. 24. Secundus is altogether unknown. The Gaius here is not the Gaius of ch. xix. 29, who was a Macedonian. The epithet $\Delta \epsilon \rho \beta \alpha \hat{i} os$ is inserted
for distinction's sake. Timotheus was from Lystra, which probably gives occasion to his being mentioned here in close company with Gaius of Derbe. All attempts to join Δερβαίος with Τιμόθεος in the construction are futile. Timothens was not of Derbe, see ch. xvi. 1, 2: and the name Caius (Γάιος, Gr.) was far too common to create any difficulty in there being two, or three (see note, ch. xix. 29) companions of Paul so called. With conjectural emendations of the text (Δερβ. δὲ Τιμοθ., Kuin., Valck.) 'Aσιανοί T. we have no concern. K. T.] Tychicus is mentioned Eph. vi. 21, as sent (to Ephesus from Rome) with that Epistle. He bore also that to the Colossians, Col. iv. 7, at the same time. See also 2 Tim. iv. 12; Tit. iii. 12. Trophimus, an Ephesian, was in Jerusalem with Paul, ch. xxi. 29: and had been, shortly before 2 Tim. was written, left sick at Miletus. (See Prolegg. to 2 Tim. § i. 5.)

5. οὖτοι The persons mentioned in

έν Τοωάδι 6 ήμεῖς δὲ c έξεπλεύσαμεν μετὰ τὰς d ήμέρας τῶν $^{ch. xv. 39}$ d ἀζύμων ἀπὸ Φιλίππων, καὶ ἤλθομεν πρὸς αὐτοὺς εἰς d εἰκ. 3 ταϊ τὴν Τοωάδα c ἄχρι ἡμερῶν πέντε, οῦ f διετριψαμεν ἡμέρας g κακ. 1.13 εἰκ. g λιακ. 1.3 είν. g κακ. 1.3 επτά. f Έν δὲ g τῆν μιᾶ τῶν g σαββάτων h συνηγμένων τοκ. 3.1 είν. g λιακ. 3.1 είν. g κλάσαι ἄρτον, ὁ Παῦλος k διελέγετο αὐτοῖς μέλ g εις εἰκ. g κλία σιε g κλία τε g κλία τε g κλία τε g κλία τε g κλία τος g κλία τε g κλία τος g κλία τε g κλία το g κλία τε g κλία το g κλία το g κλία το g κλία το g κλία τε g κλία τε g κλία το g κλί

om την D. ree αχρις, with H rel: απο ΕΝ 13: infra Ε-lat: txt ABL d
 for αχρι ημερων πεντε, πεμπταιοι D. for ου, οπου ΑΕΝ 13: ου και

40. 137: και e: εν η και D: txt BHL rel 36 Chr Œc Thl.

7. for $\delta \epsilon$, $\tau \epsilon$ D Syr æth. om $\tau \eta$ E k. aft $\mu \alpha$ ins $\pi \rho \omega \tau \eta$ D-gr. rec for $\eta \mu \omega \tau$, $\tau \omega \nu$ $\mu \alpha \delta \eta \tau \omega \nu$ (alteration to suit avrous—see note), with HL rel Bas &c Thisfit x ABDEN α^1 e 13. 36. 40 vulg syrr copt æth arm Chr₂ Thl-fin Aug. ree ins $\tau \omega \nu$ bef $\kappa \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha$, with D &c Thl-fin: om ABEHLN 13 rel Chr₂ Thl-sif.

ver. 4: not only Tychicus and Trophimus. The mention of Timotheus in this list, distinguished from ἡμαs, has created an insuperable difficulty to those who suppose Timotheus himself to be the narrator of what follows: which certainly cannot be got over (as De Wette) by supposing that Timotheus might have inserted himself in the list, and then tacitly excepted himself by the ήμαs afterwards. The truth is apparent here, as well as before, ch. xvi. 10 (where see note), that the anonymous narrator was in very intimate connexion with Paul; and on this occasion we find him remaining with him when the rest went forward. προελθ. $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$.] For what reason, is not said: but we may well conceive, that if they bore the contributions of the churches, a better opportunity, or safer ship, may have determined Paul to send them on, he himself having work to do at Philippi : or perhaps, again, as Meyer suggests, Paul may have remained behind to keep the days of unleavened bread. But then why should not they have remained too? The same motive may not have operated with them: but in that case no reason can be given why they should have been sent on, except as above. It is not impossible that both may have been combined : - before the end of the days of unleavened bread, a favourable opportunity occurs of sailing to Troas, of which they, with their charge, avail themselves: Paul and Luke waiting till the end of the feast, and taking the risk of a less desirable conveyance. That the feast had something to do with it, the mention of μετά τ. ή. τ. àζ. seems to imply: such notices being not inserted ordinarily by Luke for the sake of dates. The assumption made by some (see, e. g. Mr. Lewin, p. 587), that the rest of the company sailed at once for Troas from Corinth, while Paul and Luke went by land to Philippi, is inconsistent with συνείπετο, From the notice here, we learn ver. 4.

that Paul's stay in Europe on this oceasion was about three-quarters of a year: viz. from shortly after Pentecost, when he left Ephesus (see on ch. xix. 10), to the next Easter. 6. ἄρχ. ἡμ. πέντε] in five days, see reff. The wind must have been adverse: for the voyage from Troas to Philippi (Neapolis) in ch. xvi. 11, seems to have been made in two days. It appears that they arrived on a Monday. pare notes, 2 Cor. ii. 12, ff. 7. ἐν τῆ μιά τ. σαββ.] We have here an intimation of the continuance of the practice, which seems to have begun immediately after the Resurrection (see John xx. 26), of assembling on the first day of the week for religious purposes. (Justin Martyr, Apol. i. 67, p. 83, says, τη του ηλίου λεγομένη ημέρα πάντων κατά πόλεις ή άγρους μενόντων έπὶ τὸ αὐτὸ συνέλευσις γίνεται.) Perhaps the greatest proof of all, that this day was thus observed, may be found in the early (see 1 Cor. xvi. 2) and at length general prevalence, in the Gentile world, of the Jewish seven-day period as a division of time, - which was entirely foreign to Gentile habits. It can only have been introduced as following on the practice of especial honour paid to this day. But we find in the Christian Scriptures no trace of any sabbatical observance of this or any day: nay, in Rom. xiv. 5 (where see note), Paul shews the untenableness of any such view under the Christian dispensation. The idea of the transference of the Jewish sabbath from the seventh day to the first was an invention of later times.

was an invention of the times. At the known is the known in the Holy Communion was at this time inseparable from the ἀγάπαι. It took place apparently in the evening (after the day's work was ended), and at the end of the assembly, after the preaching of the word (ver. 11).

αὐτοῖς, in the third person, the dis-

ι τι κιίι 42 λων 1 έζιέναι m τη έπαύριον, n παρέτεινέν τε τον λόγον ABDE m ch. κ. ντεπ. n μέχρι p μεσονυκτίου 1 8 ήσαν δὲ 9 λαμπάδες r ίκαναὶ ἐν τω c σ΄ σ΄ κ. ντεπ. 1 δυπ. κ. κ. 1 1 δυπ. κ. 1 1 δυπ. κ. 1 1 1 δυπ. κ. 1 1 δυπ. κ. 1 1 δυπ. 1

x 30.
1 Tim, vi, 14 al. Ps. civ. 19.
x vi, 15 al. Ps. civ. 19.
x vi, 17 al. Ps. civ. 19.
x vii, 17 al. Ps. civ. 20.
x vii, 18.
x vii, 19.
x vii,

om Te D.gr.

8. υπολαμπαδες D, faculæ D-lat. rec for ημεν, ησαν (see above on ημων, ver 7), which e k: txt ABDEHLN 13. 36 rel vulg syrr sah arm Chr Thl-fin. om συντίνενοι Ε.

9. rec καθημένος (corru to more usual form), with HL rel Chr Œe Thl: txt ABDEN a 13, 36. om νέανιας Ε. επι τη θυριδι κατεχομένος υπνω βαρεί D. om του bef παυλου D. for απο, υπο DH b o 40 Chr Œe-ed. πέσων, omg και follg, Ε. και ος πρθη D¹-gr.

course being addressed to the disciples at Troas: but the first person is used before and after, because all were assembled, and partook of the breaking of bread together. Not observing this, the copyists have altered ήμων above into των μαθητών, and ημεν into ησαν, to suit αὐτοῖς.
8. λαμπάδ. iκ.] This may be noticed, as Meyer observes, to shew that the fall of the young man could be well observed: or, perhaps, because many lights are apt to increase drowsiness at such times. Calvin and Bengel suppose, in order that all suspicion might be removed from the assembly ('ut omnis abesset suspieio scandali,' Beng.); Kuin. and partly Meyer, that the lights were used for solemnity's sake,—for that both Jews and Gentiles celebrated their festal days by abundance of lights. But surely the adoption of either Jewish or Gentile practices of this kind in the Christian assemblies was very improbable.

9.] Who Entychus was, is quite uncertain. The occurrence of the name as belonging to slaves and freedmen (Rosenm. and Heinrichs, from inscriptions), determines nothing. θυρίδος | On the window seat. The windows in the East were (and are) without glass, and with or without shutters.

καταφερόμενος ὅπν.] Wetstein gives many instances of the use of καταφέρομα, either absolute, or with είς ὅπνον, signifying 'to be oppressed with, borne down towards, sleep.' Thus Aristotle, de sonna et vig. iii. p. 456. b. 31, ed. Bekk. : τὰ ὑπνωτικὰ . . . πάντα . . . καρηβαρίαν . . . ποιεῖ . . . καλ καταφερόμενοι καλ νυστάζοντες τοῦτο δοκοῦσιν πάσχειν, καὶ ἀδυνατοῦσιν αἴρειν την κεφαλήν και τὰ βλέφαρα: and Diod. Sic. iii. 57, κατενεχθείσαν είς υπνον ίδειν ύψιν. I believe the word is used here and below in the same sense, not, as usually interpreted, here of the effect of sleep, and below of the fall caused by the sleep. It implies that relaxation of the system, and collapse of the muscular power, which is more or less indicated by our expressions 'falling asleep,' 'dropping asleep.' This effect is being produced when the first participle is used, which is therefore imperfect,-but as Paul was going on long discoursing, took complete possession of him, and, having been overpowered, entirely relaxed in consequence of the sleep, he fell. In the ήρθη νεκρός here, there is a direct assertion, which can hardly be evaded by explaining it, 'was taken up for dead,' as De Wette, Olsh.;or by saying that it expresses the judgment of those who took him up, as Meyer. It seems to me, that the supposition of a mere suspended animation is as absurd here as in the miracle of Jairus's daughter, Luke viii. 41-56. Let us take the narrative as it stands. The youth falls, and is taken up dead: so much is plainly asserted. (First, let it be remembered that Luke, a physician, was present, who could have at once pronounced on the fact.) Paul, not a physician, but an

δε ο Παύλος ε επέπεσεν αὐτῷ καὶ συμπεοιλαβών εἶπεν ε ver. 87. (ch. ωτου... Μη $^{\epsilon}$ θορυβείσθε $^{\epsilon}$ ή γὰο h ψυχη αὐτοῦ έν αὐτῷ έστιν. $^{hhb.ii.s.}_{\text{fhere oute.}}$ read. ABCDE 11 i ἀναβὰς δὲ καὶ k κλάσας τὸν ἄρτον καὶ γευσάμενος, goth, xii. 5 th LLN a b m ἐφ΄ ἰκανόν τε "ομιλήσας ἄχοι ο ἀὐγῆς, "οὕτως 9 ἐξῆλθεν. h $^{+6}$ ch. xv. ca " ἀνήχθημεν επὶ την "Ασσον, εκείθεν " μέλλοντες " ἀνα- 2 Μας είναι here only. 2 Μας και μέλλοντες " ἀνα- 2 Μας είναι λαμβάνειν τον Παϋλον' ουτως γὰο " διατεταγμένος ην. ** xxiii. 8.** λαμβάνειν τον Παύλου ουτως γαο εδιατεταγμένος ήν, nelnie site. χαμροιτείν του τα πεζεύειν. 14 ώς δε b συνέβαλλεν ήμιν καίν. 26 only. Prov.

xxiii, 30, ohere only, Isa, lix, 9, 2 Macc, xii, 9 only, p — John iv. 6, ch, xxvii, s — Matt, ii, 18, v, 4, Luke xvi, 25, Gen, xxvi, 07, co, siir, xxxiv, [xxxi], 20, Xca, Mem, iv. 1, 1), ver. 5, ver. 5, ver. 6, ver

 aft επεπεσεν ins επ' c 106: επεσεν επ D. συμπεριβαλων C1, and add αυτου C: συμπαραλαβων c k 40. 105; add αυτον a 36. ins και bef ειπεν D1-gr.

11. rec om τον (the force of the art being overlooked,—see note), with D2EHLN3 rel Chr (Ec Thl-sif: ins ABCD'N' 13 Thl-fin. for τε, δε D-gr E-gr Thl-sif. [αχρι, so A B¹(Mai) C²EN (Ec-ed Thl-sif.)] αυτης Ν1.

12. for ηγαγον δε τον παιδα, D has ασπαζομενων δε αυτων ηγαγεν τον νεανισκον.

13. προςελθ. A B'(Mai) EH f g h k m o Chr Thl-sif: κατελθ. D Syr. rec (for 2nd επι) εις, with DHL rel 36 Chr (Ee Thl-sif: txt επι, εις D d 133. ABCEN 13. 40 Thl-fin. for ασσον, θασον, or θασσον L o(but not in ver 14) 73-6-8. 99. 100-1 syrr sah: agov b1 f k 13. 106 æth: vagov 15. 18. 36, and so in ver 14. rec ην bef διατεταγμενος (ην διατ. is St. Luke's habit almost uniformly, but it

is not the habit of the great MSS to alter this order), with DHL rel Chr (Ec Thl: εντεταλμενος ην C 15. 36. 180: txt ABEN a m 13. ins ως bef μελλων D 36.

αυτος Ε.

14. om δε C¹(appy). rec συνεβαλεν (alteration to historic agrist as so freq), with CDHL rel 36 vulg E-lat Chr (Ec Thl: συνεβαλλον R1: txt ABER3 40. 1st eis, επι X1.

Apostle, - gifted, not with medical discernment, but with miraculous power, goes down to him, falls on him and embraces him,-a strange proceeding for one bent on discovering suspended animation, but not so for one who bore in mind the action of Elijah (1 Kingsxvii.21) and Elisha (2 Kings iv. 34), each time over a dead body,-and having done this, not before, bids them not to be troubled, for his life was in him. I would ask any unbiassed reader, taking these details into consideration, which of the two is the natural interpretation, - and whether there can be any reasonable doubt that the intent of Luke is to relate a miracle of raising the dead, and that he mentions the falling on and embracing him as the outward significant means taken by the Apostle 11. The intended breakto that end? ing of bread had been put off by the acciτὸν ἄρτ., as ch. ii. 42. Were it not for that usage, the article here might import, 'the bread which it was intended to break,' alluding to ἄρτ. above. γευσάμενος] having made a meal, see

reff. The agape was a veritable meal. Not VOL. II.

'having tasted it,' viz. the bread which he had broken; - though that is implied, usage decides for the other meaning. ούτως 12.] As in ' After so doing ?' see reff. the raising of Jairus's daughter, our Lord commanded that something should be given her to eat, that nature might be recruited, so doubtless here rest and treatment were necessary, in order that the restored life might be confirmed, and the shock re-covered. The time indicated by αὐγή must have been before or about 5 A.M. ; which would allow about four hours since the miracle. We have here a minute but interesting touch of truth in the narrative. Paul, we learn afterwards, ver. 13, intended to go afoot. And accordingly here we have it simply related that he started away from Troas before his companions, not remaining for the reintroduction of the now recovered Eutychus in ver. 12.

13. "Ασσον] A sea-port (also called Apollonia, Plin. v. 32) in Mysia or Troas, opposite to Lesbos, twenty-four Roman miles (Pentinger Table) from Troas, built on a high cliff above the sea, with a descent so

είς την Ασσον, γ αναλαβόντες αυτον ήλθομεν είς Μιτυ-1 ηλθοe ch. viii. 40 reff. d ch. xiii. 4 λήνην, 15 κακείθεν $^{\rm d}$ αποπλεύσαντες τη $^{\rm e}$ έπιούση $^{\rm f}$ κατην- $^{\rm ABCDE}$ ΗLN a b refl.
e ch. xvl. 11
refl.
f ch. xvl. 1 refl.
g here ouls t.
Exod. xxviii. τήσαμεν ^g αντικούς Χίου. τη δε h ετέρα παρεβάλομεν cdfgh είς Σάμον, και μείναντες εν Τρωγυλίω τη εχομένη ηλθομεν είς Μίλητον. 16 1 κεκρίκει γάρ ο Παύλος " παρα-αὐτῷ, τὴν ἡμέραν τῆς ٩ πεντηκοστῆς 18 γενέσθαι 8 είς Luriar Ίεροσόλυμα. 17 'Απὸ δὲ τῆς Μιλήτου πέμψας είς "Εφεmapa Buπαροραν Λείν. Τεροσόλυμα. $11^{''}$ Απὸ δὲ της Μιλήτου πέμψας εις Γ. φετηνας της τον μετεκαλέσατο τοὺς $\frac{u^{*'}}{m^{*'}}$ πρεσβυτέρους της $\frac{u^{*'}}{m^{*'}}$ εκκλησίας. $\frac{h^{*'}}{m^{*'}}$ κειναί 3.8. Loke xiii. 33. ch. xiii. 44. xxi. 26. Heb. vi. 9 only. 2 Maen. xii. 30. $\frac{h^{*'}}{m^{*'}}$ εκκλησίας. $\frac{h^{*'}}{m^{*'}}$ κειναί 3.5. Loke xiii. 33. ch. xiii. 44. xxi. 26. Heb. vi. 9 only. 2 Maen. xii. 30. $\frac{h^{*'}}{m^{*'}}$ εκκλησίας. $\frac{h^{*'}}{m^{*'}}$ εκ

ree αντικρυ (corrn), with B2H rel: txt AB1CDELN 13, 36. και εκειθεν Ε. for ετερα, εσπερα B 15. 19. 73. παρελαβομεν D1-gr: txt D+.

om και μειναντες εν τρωγυλιω, and aft τη ins δε ABCEN 13 vulg: txt (the occasion of the own has probably been, that Trogylium is not in Samos, which at first sight the text appeared to imply) DHL rel 36 syrr sah Chr (Ec Thl.-rec τρωγυλλίω, with H rel 36: τρωγυλια D.gr: txt (D)L h m o (e f g k) Syr sah Chr Œe Thl-fin, Trogylio ερχομένη D1 a m 951. 96. 142. D-lat.

16. ree expire (an ecclesiastical portion begins at ver 16, which has occasioned the alteration of the pluperf into the independent historic aor), with C3HL rel Chr Ec Thl-sif: txt ABC DEN a 13. 36 vulg. for οπως μη γενηται αυτω χρονοτριβησαι, μηποτε γενηθη αυτω κατασχεσις τις D: ut non contingeret ei morandi quis D-lat. ree (for ειη) ην, with L rel 40 Chr Œc Thl: txt (but looks like a gramml corru)

ABCEN a 13. 36 .- om ei δυνατον ein DH æth-rom. εις την ημεραν D: τη ημερα Η. ιερουσαλημ ΑΕΚ a e 13. 40: txt BCDHL rel 36 Chr. for eis, ev D1: txt D4.

om Tous E. 17. μετεπεμψατο D.

precipitous as to have prompted a pun of Stratonieus, the musician (see Athen. viii., p. 352), on a line of Homer, II. ζ. 143, "Ασσον ίθ', ως κεν θωσσον δλέθρου πείρωθ Strab. xiii. 1, p. 126, Tauchn. Paul's reason is not given for wishing to be alone: probably he had some apostolic visit to make. 14. Μιτυλήνην] The capital of Lesbos, on the E. coast of the island, famed (Hor. Od. i. 7. 1 Epist. i. 11, 17) for its beautiful situation. It had two harbours: the northern, into which their ship would sail, was μέγας κ. βαθύς, χώματι σκεπαζόμενος, Strabo, xiii. 2, p. 137. 15. παρεβάλ.] we put in: so Charon, in the Frogs, to his boatman, ώόπ, παραβαλοῦ, 180; and 271, παραβαλοῦ τῷ κωπίω: see many examples in Wetst. Then they made a short run in the evening to Trogylium, a cape and town on the Ionian coast, only forty stadia distant, where they spent the night. He had passed in front of the bay of Ephesus, and was now but a short distance from it.

Mίλητον The ancient capital of Ionia (Herod. i. 142). See 2 Tim. iv. 20, and note. 16. KEKPÍKEL] We see here that the ship was at Paul's disposal, and probably hired at Philippi, or rather at Neapolis, for the voyage to Patara (ch. xxi. 1), where he and his company embark in a merchant vessel, going to Tyre. The separation of Pauland Luke from the rest at the beginning of the voyage may have been in some way connected with the hiring or outfit of this vessel. The expression Kekpikel (or Ekpive, which will amount to the same thing, only it must not be taken 'for the pluperfeet,' here or any where else) is too subjectively strong to allow of our supposing that the Apostle merely followed the previously determined course of a ship in which he took παραπλ. τ. "Εφ.] He a passage. may have been afraid of detention there, owing to the machinations of those who had caused the uproar in ch. xix. F. M., in his notes, gives another reason: "He seems to have feared that, bad he run up the long gulf to Ephesus, he might be detained in it by the westerly winds, which blow long, especially in the spring." But these would affect him nearly as much at 17. The distance from Miletus to Ephesus is about thirty miles.

 18 ως δὲ w παρεγένοντο w πρὸς αὐτόν, εἶπεν αὐτοῖς $^{\circ}$ Υμεῖς w Μικι Ii.13. Lake vi. 4. επίστασθε, zy ἀπὸ πρώτης ἡμέρας y ἀφ΄ ῆς z ἐπέβην είς δίς κοιις $^{\circ}$ τὴν ΄Ασίαν, a πῶς μεθ΄ ὑμῶν τὸν πάντα χρόνον r ἐγενόμην, εκ. 3.3 refi

(xxvii 2 refi.) Josh, xir, 9.

(Rom. vii (i) 25 al5) anly, exc. Matt. vi, 24. Loke xvi, 13. Ps. i, 11. ce en ont) Ps. (see note) Ps. (d (all possible) ch iv, 29, xxii, 1. Rom. i, 29. Eph. i, 3. 2 Pet. i, 5. Jude 3 al. Ps. i, 11 refi. li 3. Col. ii, 18, 23. iii, 12. Paul only, exc. Pet. v. 5†, (-φουν, 1 Pet. ii) 8. ¬φρονέν, Ps. cxxx, 2) [-1 - Loke xxii; 18. Gal iv, 14. al. Deut, iv, 3. [-1] cg. ch, iii 10 ref. [-1] ch, iii 27. Cal. iii, 12. Heb, x, 38 onlyr. Diod. Sic. xiii, 70, εiv το λοιπόν ο Κύρον ἐκέλευσεν αἰτείν, μηθόν ὑποστελλόμευν. So Jos. B. J. i. 20. 1.

18. for παρεγενοντο, εσκληρυνοντο Ε-gr. aft αυτον ins ομου οντων αυτων Α: ομοσε οντ. αυτ. D¹ 40-marg (ομωσ εοντων D¹): ομοθυμαδον Ε 73: et simul essent vulg (interpolations for particularity): txt BCHLN rel 36 Chr Gc Thl. for αυτοις, προς αυτους D^1 : πρ. αυτοις D^4 . aft επιστασθε ins αδελφοι D: pref 5. 8. 73 sall. for $\alpha \phi$, $\epsilon \phi$ D1: om h 38. 93: txt D4. for εις, επι E. for mws to

εγενομην, D has ως τριετιαν η και πλειον ποταπως μεθ υμων ην παντος χρονου: D-corr has πως for ποταπως, D'(?) τον παντα χρονον, and D5 adds εγενομην: fui per omni tempore D-lat.

19. aft κυριω add μεθ υμων C c 15. 18. 36 Chr-txt. rec ins $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ bef $\delta \alpha \kappa \rho$. (prob interpolation: see 2 Cor ii. 4), with CHL rel 36 syr ath-rom arm Chr Ec Thl: om ABDEN 13. 40 vulg Syr copt sah æth-pl Lucif. συμβαινοντων C.

He probably, therefore, stayed three or four days altogether at Miletus. πρεσβ.] called, ver. 28, επισκόπους. circumstance began very early to contradict the growing views of the apostolic institution and necessity of prelatical episcopacy. Thus Irenaus, iii. 14. 2, p. 201: 'In Mileto convocatis episcopis et presbyteris, qui erant ab Epheso et a reliquis proximis civitatibus.' Here we see (1) the two, bishops and presbyters, distinguished, as if both were sent for, in order that the titles might not seem to belong to the same persons, - and (2) other neighbouring churches also brought in, in order that there might not seem to be ἐπίσκοποι in one church only. That neither of these was the case, is clearly shewn by the plain words of this verse: he sent to Ephesus, and summoned the elders of the church (see below on διῆλθον, ver. 25). So early did interested and disingenuous interpretations begin to cloud the light which Scripture might have thrown on ecclesiastical questions. The E. V. has hardly dealt fairly in this case with the sacred text, in rendering ἐπισκόπουs, ver. 28, 'overseers:' whereas it ought there as in all other places to have been bishops, that the fact of elders and bishops having been originally and apostolically synonymous might be apparent to the ordinary English reader, which now it 18. The evidence furnished by this speech as to the literal report in the Acts of the words spoken by Paul, is most important. It is a treasure-house of words,

idioms, and sentiments, peculiarly belonging to the Apostle himself. Many of these appear in the reff., but many more lie beneath the surface, and can only be discovered by a continuous and verbal study of his Epistles. I shall point out such instances of parallelism as I have observed, in the notes. The contents of the speech may be thus given : He reminds the elders of his conduct among them (vv. 18-21): announces to them his final separation from them (vv. 22-25): and commends earnestly to them the flock committed to their charge, for which he himself had by word and work disinterestedly laboured (vv. 26-35). άπὸ πρ. ήμ.] These words hold a middle place, partly with ἐπίστασθε, partly with εγενόμην. The knowledge on their part was coextensive with his whole stay among them : so that we may take the words with $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon$, at the same time carrying on their sense to what follows.

μεθ' ὑμ. ἐγεν.] So 1 Thess. i. 5,

οἴδατε οἶοι ἐγενήθημεν ἐν ὑμῖν,—ii. 10,

the sole exception of the assertion of our Lord, 'Ye cannot serve God and mammon,' reff. Matt., Luke, the verb δουλεύω for 'serving God' is used by Paul only, and by him seven times, viz. besides reff., Rom. xii. 11; xiv. 18; xvi. 18; Col. iii. 24; 1 Thess. i. 9. μετ. π. ταπ.] Also a Pauline expression, 2 Cor. viii. 7; xii. 12. 20. των συμφέροντων bef υπεστειλαμην C. οm μη D Incif. οm υμας D

Thl-sif Lucif Jer. κατ οικους και δημοσια D. 21. διαμαρτυραμενος H Bas-ιns Thl-sif: -ρουμενος D¹. rec ins τον bef θεον (corrn for uniformity), with ADHL 13. 36 rel Bas-ιns Œe Thl-fin: om BCEN d h k Bas Chr Thl-sif. aft πιστιν ins την EHL rel Bas Chr Œe Thl: om A B(Mai) CN a 13. 36; also D, which reads δια τ. κυρου ημ. ιησ. χρ. οm ημων Ε. ree aft ιτσ. ins χριστον (common addn), with ACDEN 13. 36 rel Syr copt æth-pl Chr Thl-fin: om BHL b e g h syr sah æth-rom Bas Œe Thl-sif Lucif.

22. rec εγω bef δεδεμενος, with DHL rel am Chr Epiph: txt (characteristic order) ABCEN a k 13 vulg Ath-mss Thl-fin. ιεροσολυμα D. συναντησαντα (prob originally a mistake) A D-gr E-gr H m 13: συμβησομενα (gloss) C a 15. 36. 68. 69. 180 lect-12 Ath-mss₂ Thl-fin: txt BLN rel vss Ath Chr Œc. εμοι ΒΝ¹. for

ειδως, γινωσκων D.

23. το αγ. πν. D-gr: το πν. μοι το αγ. c 47. 137 Epiph Chr. οι κατα πολιν ins πασαν bef πολιν D vulg Syr æth Lucif.

διεμαρτυρατο ΑΕΝ³ 13. 40 Ath-mss. rec οιν μοι (αν ανινεσεναγγ ?), with HL rel æth-roin Œc Thl-sif: ins ABCDEN a b d e h m 13. 36. 40 vulg syrr copt æth-pl arm Ath Cyr-jere, Bas Thl-fin Lucif Jer. rec λεγον, with A B(sic : see table) CN rel: txt DEHL 13 f (k?) I'm 36 Epiph. rec με bef και θλιψεις (alteration perhaps to ανοία μεμενονων), with L rel Thdrt Œc Thl-fin: με aft μενονων νss, so D(but for με, μοι): txt ABCEHN a c k 13. 40 vulg arm Cyr-jer Bas Did Chr Thl-sif. at end add εν ιεροσολυμοις D vulg(not demid al) syr-w-ast sah Lucif.

24. ree λογον, with AD¹EHLN³ 13 rel 40: txt BCD¹N¹ Syr sah æth arm. rec aft ποιουμαι ins ουδε εχω, with EHL rel 36: ins εχω ουδε bef ποιουμαι AN³ 13. 40, εχω

μοι ουδε D1: om BCD'N1 vulg Syr sah æth arm.

iv. 14. 20. ὑποστειλάμην] So again ver. 27. The sense in Gal. ii. 12 is similar, though not exactly identical—'reserved himself,' withdrew himself from any open declaration of sentiments. In Heb. x. 38 it is different. τῶν συμφερ.] See refi. 21. εἰς θ. . . . εἰς τ. κύρ. 1. This use

21. $\epsilon_i \epsilon_j$ 0... $\epsilon_i \epsilon_j$ 7. $\kappa(p_i)$ 1.] This use of $\epsilon_i \epsilon_j$ is mostly Pauline: and in ch. xxiv. 24 it seems to be taken from his own expression. 22. $\delta_i \delta_i \delta_j \epsilon_j \epsilon_j$ where $\delta_i \epsilon_j \epsilon_j$ 1. This interpretation is most probable, both from the construction, and from the usage of the expression $\epsilon_i \delta_j \epsilon_j \epsilon_j \epsilon_j$ where the principal instances are given. The dative, as here, is found Rom. xii. 11, $\epsilon_i \delta_j \epsilon_j \epsilon_j \epsilon_j \epsilon_j$ (Cor. v. 3,

παρών τῷ πνεύμ. (1 Cor. xiv. 15, 16?),— 2 Cor. ii. 13, οὐκ ἔσχηκα ἄνεσιν τῷ πν. μου, and al., see also ch. xix. 21. How he was bound in the spirit is manifest, by comparing other passages, where the Holy Spirit of God is related to have shaped his apostolic course. He was bound, by the Spirit of God leading captive, constraining, his own spirit. As he went up to Jern-salem δεδεμένος τῷ πνεύματι, so he left Judica again δεδεμένος τῆ σαρκί, —a prisoner according to the flesh. no detailed knowledge of futurity-nothing but what the Holy Spirit, in general forewarnings, repeated at every point of his journey (κατά πόλιν; see ch. xxi. 4, 11, for two such instances), announced, viz., imprisonment and tribulations. That here no

ree aft την ψυχην ins μου, with

 $^{\circ}$ τιμίαν έμαυτ $\tilde{\psi}$ ώς $^{\circ}$ τελειώσαι τὸν $^{\circ}$ δοόμον μου καὶ την $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ - James τ.7. $^{\circ}$ διακονίαν ην $^{\circ}$ ἔλαβον $^{\circ}$ παρὰ τοῦ κυρίου Ἰησοῦ, $^{\circ}$ διαμαστύρασθαι τὸ εὐαγγέλιον της $^{\circ}$ χάριτος τοῦ θεοῦ, $^{\circ}$ τοῦ τοῦς εντινος $^{\circ}$ καὶ νῦν $^{\circ}$ ἰδοῦ ἐγὰ οἶδα ὅτι οὐκέτι $^{\circ}$ ὅμεσθε τὸ $^{\circ}$ πρόσωπόν μου ὑμεῖς πάντες, ἐν οἶς $^{\circ}$ διηλθον $^{\circ}$ κηρύσσων την $^{\circ}$ βασιε $^{\circ}$ εντικοίς $^{\circ}$ τοῦς κυρίσσων την $^{\circ}$ βασιε $^{\circ}$ εντικοίς $^{\circ}$ εντικοίς $^{\circ}$ τοῦς τοῦς $^{\circ}$ εντικοίς $^{\circ}$ εντικ

D'EH vulg: om ABC D'(and lat) LX c 13, 36, 40. εμαντου D1: txt D2. for ωs, εως N3: ωςτε E b c d o 13. 40. 137: ως το C 104: του D. rec aft τον δρομον μου ins μετα χαρας (interpolation appy: see Phil i. 4, Col i. 11, Heb x. 34 &c: the finishing his course appearing not emphatic enough), with CEHL rel 36 syr Chr (Ec Thl: om ABDN 13. 40 vulg Syr coptt æth Lucif Ambr.

aft διακονιαν ins του λογου D vulg Lucif Ambr. for ην, ον D1-gr: txt D4. παρελαβον D b c k o 137. aft διαμαρτυρασθαι ins ιουδαιοις και ελλησιν D sah

om του bef θεου D1: ins D6. Lucif.

25. om ιδου Ε 1 13. 40. 73 Syr Lucif. οιδα bef εγω C m Syr: om εγω 180 Irenint. for ουκετι, ουκ Ν. rec aft την βασιλειαν ins του θεου (supplementary addn, as shewn by the variations), with EHL rel vulg Syr æth Thdrt Œc Thl; του ιησου D sah; τ. κυρ. ιησ. Lucif: om ABCN c 13. 36 syr copt arm Chr.

inner voice of the Spirit is meant, is evident from the words κατὰ πόλιν. (Two of the three other places where this phrase occurs are from the mouth or pen of Paul.)

23. τὸ πν. διαμαρτύρ.] compare Rom. viii. 16, $\tau \delta$ $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha$ $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\pi \nu$. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. 24.] The reading in the text, amidst all the varieties, seems to be that out of which the others have all arisen, and whose difficulties they more or less explain. The first clause is a combination of two constructions, οὐδενὸς λόγου ποιοῦμαι την ψυχην έμαυτοῦ, and οὐ ποιοῦμαι (ἡγοῦμαι, Phil. iii. 7, 8) τὴν ψυχὴν τιμίαν ἐμαυτῷ. The best rendering in English would be, I hold my life of no account, nor precious to me. Then again the confused construction of the former clause shews itself in the &s of the latter, which is not 'so that,' but 'as,' q. d. before, 'so precious.' 'I do not value my life, in comparison with the finishing my course.' Render then the whole verse: But I hold my life of no account, nor is it so pre-cious to me, as the finishing of my τελειώσαι] See the same image, with the same word, remarkably expanded, Phil. iii. 12-14. There in ver. 12 he has used τετελείωμαι,—and,—as is constantly the case when we are in the habit of connecting certain words together, the δρόμος immediately occurs to him, which he works into a sublime comparison δρόμον A similitude peculiar to Paul: occurring, remarkably enough, in his speech at ch. xiii. 25. He uses it without the word $\delta \rho$., at 1 Cor. ix. 24-27, and Phil. iii. 14. καὶ

τ. δ.] and (i. e. even) the ministry, &c. καί in this sense gives that which, in matter of fact, runs parallel with the metaphorical expression just used,-stands beside it as its antitype. ἔλαβον] Compare Rom. i. 5, δι' οδ ἐλάβομεν χάριν κ. other churches besides that of Ephesus must have been present. But it might just as well have been argued, that every one to whom Paul had there preached must have been present, on account of the word $\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau \epsilon s$. If he could regard the elders as the representatives of the various churches, of which there can be no doubt, why may not he similarly have regarded the Ephesian elders as representatives of the churches of proconsular Asia, and have addressed all in addressing them? Or may not these words have even a wider application, viz., to all who had been the subjects of his former personal ministry, in Asia and Europe, now addressed through the Ephesian elders? See the question, whether Paul ever did see the Asiatic ohurches again, discussed in the Prolegg, to the Pastoral Epistles, \S ii. 18 ff. I may remark here, that the word $o\hat{\imath}\delta\alpha$, in the mouth of Paul, does not necessarily imply that he spoke from divine and unerring knowledge, but expresses his own conviction of the certainty of what he is saying: see ch. xxvi. 27, which is much to our point, as expressing his firm persuasion that king Agrippa was a believer in the prophets: but certainly no infallible knowledge of his heart :- Rom. xv. 29, where

x ch. x xvi. 22. λ είαν. x λ διότι x μαοτύρομαι ύμιν έν τη y σήμερον y ήμερα, ABCDE HLN ab ούπεστειλάμην τοῦ μη σαναγγείλαι πάσαν την βουλήν τοῦ

8. 2 Cor. ΓΙΟ & Γ & Ο ΓΡΩ (10 ΠΡΟ ΕΡΙΚΕ 10 Ο ΓΡΟ ΕΙΝΕΙ (10 ΕΙΝΕΙ ΕΙΝΕ

26. ree (for διοτι) διο, with CHL 13. 36 rel Thl: txt A B(sic : see table) EX g : διο και f 32. 57. 101.—for διοτι to οτι, αχρι ουν της σημέρον ημέρας D1, propter quod hodierno die D-lat: txt D 5 . rec (for $\epsilon \mu \mu$) $\epsilon \gamma \omega$ (see ch xviii. 6, where there is no varn), with AHL rel copt Bas₁ Chr₂ (Ec Thl-sif: $\epsilon \gamma \omega$ $\epsilon \mu \mu$ a 69. 105 arm Gild: $\epsilon \mu \mu$ $\epsilon \gamma \omega$ sah Jer: txt BCDEN c 13. 36. 40 vulg syrr Amm Bas₂ Chr₁ Damasc. aft παντων add υμων E a d e l syrr copt æth.

27. om μη D¹-gr 662. 73. 81. 1771 Lucif: ins D5(?). rec υμιν bef πασαν τ. βουλ. τ. θ., with AEHLN3 rel syrr coptt Bas, Chr Œc Thl Iren-int Jer Gild: txt BCDN1 m

13 vulg. - ημιν D1: txt D4.

28. on our ($\pi pos \epsilon \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ is the beginning of an ecclesiastical portion) ABDN o 13. 36 lectt vulg copt Did Thdrt Lucif: ins CEHL rel spec syrr Chr (Ee Thl Iren int.

also a firm persuasion is expressed :- Phil. 19, 20, where olδα, ver. 19, is explained to rest on ἀποκαραδοκία και ἐλπίς in ver. 20. So that he may here ground his expectation of never seeing them again, on the plan of making a journey into the west after seeing Rome, which he mentions Rom. xv. 24, 28, and from which, with bonds and imprisonment and other dangers awaiting him, he might well expect never to return. So that what he here says need not fetter our judgment on the above ques-26.] The use of μαρτύρομαι is peculiar to Paul, see reff. 28. προςέχ. έαυτοις If we might venture to trace the hand of Luke in the speech, it would be perhaps in this phrase: which occurs only as in reff. τ. ποιμνίφ This similitude does not elsewhere occur in l'aul's writings. We find it (reff.) where we should naturally expect it, used by him to whom it was said, 'Feed my sheep.' But it is common in the O. T. and sanctioned by the example of our Lord Himself. τὸ πν. τ. ἄγ.] See ch. xiii. 2. ἔθετο] So Paul, reff. 1 Cor. ἐπισκόπους

See on ver. 17, and Theodoret on Phil. i. 1, ἐπισκύπους τοὺς πρεσβυτέρους καλεῖ· ἀμφότερα γὰρ εἶχον κατ' ἐκεῖνον τὸν καιρὸν τὰ ὀνόματα (Olsh.). The question between θεοῦ and κυρίου rests principally on internal evidence-which of the two is likely to have been the original reading. The MSS, authority, now that it is certain that B has Ocov a prima manu, as also N, is weighty on both sides. The early patristic authority for the expression alua θεοῦ is considerable. Ignat. Ephes. i., p. 614, has αναζωπυρήσαντες έν αίματι θεοῦ.

Tertull. ad Uxor. ii. 3, vol. i., p. 1293, "pretio empti, et quali pretio? sanguine Dei." Clem. Alex., 'Quis dives salvus,' c. 34, vol. ii., p. 344, has δυνάμει θεοῦ πατρός, κ. αίματι θεοῦ παιδός, κ. δρόσω πνεύματος aylov. On the other hand Athanasius (contra Apol. ii. 14, vol. ii., p. 758) says, οὐδαμοῦ δὲ αἷμα θεοῦ δίχα σαρκὸς παραδεδώκασιν αί γραφαί, ή θεδν δίχα σαρκός παθόντα ή άναστάντα. In attempting to decide between the two readings, the following alternatives and considerations may be put: (I.) IF KUPÍOU WAS THE ORIGINAL, it is very possible (1) that some busy scribe may have written at the side, as so often occurs, θεού. This having been once done, the interests of orthodoxy would perpetuate the gloss, and by degrees it would be adopted into the text and supersede the original word, or become combined with it, as is actually the case in HL and a vast body of mss. Or, continuing supposition I., it may have been (2) that the expression έκκλησίαν τοῦ κυρίου, not found any where else, may have been corrected into the very usual one, ἐκκλ. (τοῦ) θεοῦ, which occurs eleven times in the Epistles of Paul. Or (3), which I consider exceedingly improbable (see below), the alteration may have been made solely in the interest of orthodoxy.

Such are possible, and the two former not improbable, contingencies. other hand (II.) IF Ocov WAS THE ORI-GINAL, but one reason can be given why it should have been altered to kuplou, and that one was sure to have operated. It would stand as a bulwark against Arianism, an assertion which no skill could evade, which must therefore be modified. If θεοῦ ι ποιμαίνειν τὴν ἐκκλησίαν τοῦ *θεοῦ, ῆν * περιεποιήσατο i John xxi. 16. I Pet. v. 2. διὰ τοῦ σἴματος τοῦ ιδίου. 29 ἐγὼ οἶδα ὅτ 1 είς ελεύσονται 1 Τηπ. iil. 3 μετα τὴν m ἄφιξίν μου n λύκοι o βαρεῖς είς ὑμᾶς μὶ n καχι. Ιδ. 18.3. 5. xxxi. 18. 18.3. 6.

l John x. 1. ch. xix. 30. m here only f. 3 Macc. vii. 18. Herodot. ix. 77. n = Matr. vii. 15 (x. 16. Luke x. 3. John x. 12 bis) only. (Ezek. xxii. 27.) o = here only. (ch. xxv. 7 reff.)

το αγ. πν. D-gr. **Κυοίπυ ΑC¹DE a 13. 36. 40 syr-marg coptt arm fren-int Amm Eas Ath-ms (Constt) Did Chr(on Eph iv. 12) Thdor-stud Thl-fl-ms Lucif Aug Jer Sedul: χρατου Sγr æth-pl Orig(but has also την εκκλησιων alone) Ath--mss Thdrt₂ (cf συντρέχετε εἰς τὴν ἐκκλησίων τοῦ κυρίου ἡν περιεποιήσωτο τῷ αἰμωτι τοῦ χριστοῦ Constl): κυριου και θεου C³HL rel: κυριου θεου 3. 55²: θεου ΒΝ c vulg syr syr-lect Igu Ps-Ath Ερίρμβ, Bas Antch Ge Thl-flu Ambr Ors Cassiod Primas. aft περιεποιησωτο ins εαυτω D suh, sibi constituit Iren. rec του ιδιου αιμωτος (alteration, says Meyer, owing to θεου, because του ιδιου might be referred to Christ [as a gen]: but swrely this is carrying subtlety somewhat too far. It has been evidently a corru for simplicity, not observing the emphasis), with HL rel Ath Chr Œ Thl: txt ABCDER a c m 13. 36. 40 arm Did Iren-int Lucif.

29. rec aft $\epsilon_{\gamma\omega}$ ins γa_{ρ} (to connect and strengthen the sentence), with C³EHL rel syrr sah Chr Ge Thl: $\sigma\tau_{\epsilon}$ $\epsilon_{\gamma\omega}$ B: $\epsilon_{\gamma\omega}$ $\delta\epsilon$ \aleph^3 copt: κa_{ϵ} $\epsilon_{\gamma\omega}$ with: txt ACDN+13. 36 vulg Iren-int Lucif Jer. rec aft $\omega \delta a_{\epsilon}$ ins τ_{σ} τ_{σ} (like preceding), with C³EHL rel syr Chr Ge Thl: on ABC¹DN a 13. 36 Thdrt Iren-int Lucif Jer. ϵ_{σ}

stood in the text originally, it was sure to be altered to kupiou. The converse was not sure, nor indeed likely, from similar reasons, the passage offering no stumblingblock to orthodoxy. (III.) PAULINE USAGE must be allowed its fair weight in the enquiry. It must be remembered that we are in the midst of a speech, which is (as observed in the Prolegg. to Acts, § ii. 17 a) a complete storchouse of Pauline words and expressions. Is it per se probable, that he should use an expression which nowhere else occurs in his writings, nor indeed in those of his contemporaries? Is it more probable, that the early scribes should have altered an unusual expression for an usual one, or that a writer so constant to his own phrases should here have remained so? Besides,—in most of the places where Paul uses ἐκκλησία τοῦ θεοῦ, it is in a manner precisely similar to this,as the consummation of a climax, or in a position of peculiar solemnity, ef. 1 Cor. x. 32; xv. 9; Gal. i. 13; 1 Tim. iii. 5, 15; and, cæteris paribus, I submit that the present passage loses by the substitution of κυρίου the peculiar emphasis which its structure and context seem to require in the genitive, introduced as it is by $\pi \rho os$ έχετε ποιμαίνειν, and followed by the intensifying clause ην περιεποιήσατο διά τοῦ αίματος τοῦ ἰδίου. (IV.) On the whole then, weighing the evidence on both sides, -seeing that it is more likely that the alteration should have been to kuplou than to θεοῦ, -more likely that the speaker should have used beou than kupiou, and more consonant to the evidently emphatic position of the word, I have decided for the rec. reading, which in Edd. 1, 2 I

had rejected. And this decision is confirmed by observing the habits of the great MSS, respecting the sacred names. It appears that B has no bias for beds where the others have κύριος: we find it thus reading in Luke ii. 38 (so DLX'EN); ch. xvi. 10 (so ACEN); xvii. 27 (so AHLN); xxi. 20 (so ACELN); Col. iii. 16 (so ACiDIFX); while on the other hand it has ku iv in Rom. xv. 32, where the others have θυ or χυ ιυ; χυ in Eph. v. 21, where rec. has θυ; κυ in ch. viii. 22, with ACDEN, where rec. and the mss. have $\overline{\theta v}$: similarly in ch. x. 33, and xv. 40; in Rom. x. 17 $\chi \nu$, with CD¹N¹, for $\theta \nu$: xiv. 4, κs , with AC'N, for θs . This evidence seems to remove further off the chance of deliberate alteration here to θεοῦ, and leaves the above considerations their full weight. (V.) Of course any reading which combines the two, κυρίου and θεοῦ, is by the very first principles of textual criticism inadmissible. (VI.) The principal names on either side are—for the rec. θεοῦ, Mill, Wolf, Bengel, Matthäi, Scholz: for kuplov, Grotius, Le Clere, Wetst., Griesb., Kuin., De Wette, Meyer, Lachmann, Tischendorf, Tregelles. περιεπ. Luke and Paul (in pastoral Epp. only), see reff. 29.] apits is here used in an unusual sense. An instance is found, Jos. Antt. iv. 8. 47, where Moses says, ἐπεὶ πρὸς τοὺς ἡμετέρους ἄπειμι προγόνους, καὶ θεὸς τήνδε μοι την ημέραν της πρός εκείνους αφίξεως ωρισε which is somewhat analogous, but more easily explained. That in Herod. ix. 77 (init.) also seems analogous. In Demosth. de Pace, p. 58 (fin.) we have την τότε άφιξιν είς τους πολεμίους εποιήσατο, which

30. om 1st αυτων B e sah æth. for αποσπαν, αποστρεφείν D-gr Syr. rec

(for εαυτων) αυτων, with CDEHL rel Bas Chr Œc Thl: txt ABN.

31. $\nu \nu \kappa \tau \acute{a} \nu \Lambda$. for $\kappa \alpha_i$, $\delta \epsilon$ D': txt D4. at end ins $\nu \mu \omega \nu$ DE a b c d k o xi. 2.] C-lat is deficient from ver 31 to ch xii. 2.]

32. υμν(sic) Ν. rec aft υμας ins αδελφοι (for solemnity: were it genuine, as Meyer observes, there would be no possible reason for omitting it), with CEHL rel 36 with rom Chr: aft τω θεω, c 137 lect.58: om ABDN 13 vulg syrr coptt with rel Jer Oros.

for $\theta \epsilon \omega$, κυριω B 33. 68 coptt. rec εποικοδομησαι, with HL rel Chr_{εxpr} (our this have been the history of its alteration, to render the word more strictly appropriate?): txt ABCDEN 13. 36. add νμας DE 29. 76 lect-58 sah æth: pref, a both 14; 66? 69. 76. 81. 105! Syr Chr₁. rec aft δουν, in ωμν, with CHL 13. 36 rel vss: om ABDEN vulg copt. rec om $\tau \eta \nu$, with DHL rel: ins A B(sic: sec table) CEN. add αντον Λ. aft $\epsilon \nu$ ins $\alpha \nu$ [...]s (? αντοις) D¹. for $\pi \alpha \sigma \nu$, $\tau \omega \nu$ παντων D = cr.

33. for 1st η, και D vulg(not am &c) spec Œc.

36. add υμων DE spec arm.

34. rec aft αυτοι ins δε, with 13: γαρ 106: om ABCDEHLX rel vulg syrr æth arm

is most like the usage here. Perhaps, absolutely put, it must signify 'my death;' see the above passage of Josephus.

λύκοι βαρεῖς] not persecutors, but false teachers, from the words elsel. els ὑμᾶς, by which it appears that they were to come in among the flock, i. e. to be baptized Christians. In fact ver. 30 is explanatory of the metaphoric meaning of ver. 29.

of the metaphoric meaning of ver. 29. φείδομαι is only used by Paul, except 2 Pet. ii. 4, 5. 30.] ὑμῶν αὐτ. does not necessarily signify the presbyters: he speaks to them as being the whole flock.

31.] μνημ. ὅτι is önly (reff.) used by Paul. νύκτα κ. ἡμέραν] This expression is remarkable: we have it (see reff.) in Mark, but Luke always uses the genitive, except in the speeches of Paul: and so Paul himself, except as in reff.

νουθετῶν (reff.) is used only by Paul. On the three years spoken of in this verse,

On the three years spoken of in this verse, see note, ch. xix. 10. We may just remark

here (1) that this passage being precise and definite, must be the master key to those others (as in ch. xix.) which give wide and indefinite notes of time: and (2) that it seems at first sight to preclude the idea of a journey (as some think) to Crete and Corinth having taken place during this period. But this apparent inference may require modifying by other circumstances: cf. Prolegg. to 1 Cor. § v. 4. 32. τ. λόγ. της χάρ. αὐτ.] I should be inclined to attribute the occurrence of this expression in ch. xiv. 3, to the narrative having come from Paul himself, or from one imbued with his words and habits of thought. See τῷ δυν.] Clearly spoken of ver. 24. God, not of the word of His grace, which cannot be said δοῦναι κληρον., however it might οἰκοδομῆσαι. The expression κληρον. ἐν τ. ἡγ. πασ. is strikingly similar to της κληρονομίας αὐτοῦ ἐν τοῖς ἀγίοις. Eph. i. 18, addressed to this same church,

γινώσκετε ὅτι ταῖς η χρείαις μου καὶ τοῖς οὖσιν μετ' ἐμοῦ η $\frac{c}{10}$, κοπικί $\frac{c}{10}$ κατι $\frac{c}{10}$ κα ό ύπηρέτησαν αι χείρες αύται^{. 35 p} πάντα ^q ύπέδειξα ύμιν ὅτι οὕτως ^r κοπιῶντας δεῖ ^s ἀντιλαμβάνεσθαι τῶν ^t ἀσθενούντων, "μυημονεύειν τε τῶν "λόγων τοῦ "κυρίου Ίησοῦ, οικ. Μίϊ. 38 τε αὐτὸς εἶπεν "Μακάριον ἐστιν " μᾶλλον διδόναι ἢ ρεσιτε. λαμβάνειν. $\frac{36}{6}$ καὶ ταῦτα εἰπὼν "θεἰς τὰ "γόνατα αὐτοῦ $\frac{3}{6}$ καὶ ταῦτα εἰπὼν "θεἰς τὰ "γόνατα αὐτοῦ $\frac{3}{6}$ καὶ ταῦτα εἰπὼν "θεὶς τὰ "γόνατα αὐτοῦ $\frac{3}{6}$ καὶ ταῦτα εἰπὼν "θεὶς τὰ "γόνατα αὐτοῦ $\frac{3}{6}$ καὶ ταῦτα εἰπὼν "θεὶς τὰ "γόνατα αὐτοῦ $\frac{3}{6}$ κιὶ τοῦ "ἐκανὸς δὲ "κλανθμὸς "Πις. Νές τὰ "κις. Τὰ "ἐκις. Τὰ "κις. Τὰ "ἐκις. Τὰ "κις. αυτου θεωρείν. * προέπεμπον δε αυτον είς το πλοίον.

Bas Chr Thl-sif Aug. οιδατε Α. τας χρειας(sic) D^1 : txt D^2 . aft xp.

Bas chr thisit ang. oidate A. tas creatists D^* : tat D^* . are χp , wo his past D^1 . aft with his most D sah: now avea Syr copt with. 35. ins kai bef paits C^1D^2 do 36. 40 Syr. for paits, apaid D^1 : txt D^2 . two asbesonetwise bef withambaressal A. om $\tau \epsilon (\Lambda^1)$ D^1 copt: ins D^2 . to large D^2 D^2 copt. 1 and D^2 of 4. 142, 22, 42, 57, 65, 69, 73, 96, 99, 126, 156, 163, 191, 192 lect. 58 sah æth arm Chr Thl-sif: του λογου h 26. 38. 40. 93 lect-18 vulg (both corrns, because but one saying is cited). om ihs of A 2. 30. 68. 96. 142 Epiph Chr Bas, outs and makarios D^1 : txt D^2 . ree didoval bef mallon, with a m: txt ABCDEHLN 13 rel vulg spec syr arm Chr Damase Thl Aug. 36. $\epsilon \imath \pi a s$ D¹: txt D-corr¹. om $\alpha \imath \sigma \tau ov$ D¹: ins D°.

συμπασιν L.

om аитоіs C1 36 arm. προςευξατο Β1(Mai) D.

37. for $\delta \epsilon$, $\tau \epsilon \aleph$. rec εγενετο bef κλαυθμος (corrn of order to bring κλαυθμος and παντων together), with HL rel Œc Thl-sif: txt ABCDEN a h k m 13. 40 vulg om του D-corr e 180 Thl-sif. κατεφιλων 🛚 1.

38. μαλιστα επι τω λογω hef οδυνωμενοι, omg ω ειρηκει (ins D-corr1) and adding (aft οδυν.) οτι ειπεν, D^1 : om ειπεν D-corr. for ουκετι μελλουσιν, μελλει σο ι D1: om autou D1: ins D5. for εις, επι D.

See also ch. xxvi. 18. 33.] See 1 Sam. xii. 3; and for similar avowals by Paul himself, 1 Cor. ix. 11, 12; 2 Cor. xi. 8, 9; 34.] See 1 Cor. iv. 12, which he wrote when at Ephesns. $\chi \rho \epsilon i \alpha$, with a gen. of the person in want, is an expression of Paul only; see among reff.

δπηρετεῖν is used only twice more; once by Paul, ch. xiii. 36, once of Paul, ch. xxiv. 23.

The construction is varied in this sentence. $\tau \alpha \hat{s} \times \rho$. $\mu o v$, $\kappa \alpha l$ (not $\tau \hat{\omega} v \ \tilde{v} \nu \tau \omega v$, bnt) $\tau o \hat{s} \sigma \hat{v} \sigma v \ \mu \epsilon \tau' \ \hat{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{v}$. This is not without meaning—his friends were among his χρείαι—he supplied by his labour, not his and their wants, but his wants and them.

αί χ. αὐται] also in Paul's manner: compare των δεσμών τούτων, ch. xxvi. 29,-and ch. xxviii. 20. πάντα] In all things: so Paul (only), see reff. κοπιῶντας] A word used by Paul fourteen times, by Luke once only (Luke v. 5 [xii. 27 v. r.]). των ἀσθενούντων Not here the weak in faith

(Rom. xiv. 1. 1 Cor. viii. 9), as Calvin, Beza, Grot., Bengel, Neander, Meyer, Tholnck, -which the context both before and after will not allow :- but the poor (τοὺς πένητας ἀσθενοῦντας, Aristoph. Pac. 636. 8 τε γὰρ ἀσθενέστερος ὁ πλούσιός τε τὴν δίκην ἴσην ἔχει, Eurip. ap. Stob. exv. [Wetst.]), as Chrys., Theoph., Heinrichs, Kuin, Olsh., De Wette. Μακ. ἐστιν κ.τ.λ.] This saying of our Lord is one of very few not recorded in the Gospels, which have come down to us. Many such must have been current in the apostolic times, and are possibly preserved, unknown to us, in such epistles as those of James, Peter, and John. Bengel remarks, 'alia mundi sententia est:' and cites from an old poet in Athenæus, viii. 5, ἀνόητος δ διδούς, εὐτυχής δ' δ λαμβάνων. But we have some sayings the other way: not to quote authors who wrote after this date. and might have imbibed some of the spirit of Christianity, we find in Aristotle, Eth.

Chap. XXI. 1. $\text{ana}\chi\theta\epsilon\nu\tau$ as \mathbb{N}^1 : om $\text{ana}\chi\theta$. $A^1(\text{appy})$: ins aft $\eta\mu$ as A^2 . $\frac{\pi\sigma\sigma\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu\tau\epsilon}{\sigma\pi\sigma\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu\tau\epsilon}$ BE². $[\kappa_a]\iota$ $\epsilon\pi\iota[\beta]$ $an\tau[\epsilon]$ s $\text{ang}\chi\theta\eta\mu\epsilon\nu$ anormades to $\text{ano}\psi\nu$ D1: txt D1. for $\eta\lambda\theta\rho\mu\epsilon\nu$, $\eta\lambda\rho\mu\epsilon\nu$ D. rec kap., with H1 In: Comm vulg: Chio tol: txt ABCDEN rel 36 syrr coptt arm Ge Th1-fin Cassiod, Cho am. (13 def.) for $\epsilon\xi\eta$, $\epsilon\pi\iota\nu\nu\sigma\eta$ D. om $\tau\eta\nu$ (bef $\rho\delta\delta\nu$) CD 40 Chr₂. $\pi\pi\epsilon\rho\alpha$ AC. at end ins $\kappa\epsilon\mu$ $\mu\nu\rho\rho$ D vulgans, $\mu\nu\rho\rho\rho$ ash.

2. διαπερουν Ε 73. 105: διαπερον LN a k Thl-fin: διαπορευομενυν 137.

2. οιαπερούν Ε. 73. 100: οιαπερού ΕΝ΄ α Κ. Int-Int: οιαπορευομενών 131.

3. Steph αναφαναντες (corru, not perceiving the force of the passive), with B²(sic: see table) Ν α² b c o Chr(some mss): txt AB CEHL 13. 36 rel. οιπ την Ε. οιπ και Α k m demid(not am fuld). καταλειποντες ΑΗL h¹ 13 (but -πόντες ΗL).

om και A k m demid(not am fuld). καταλειποντες AHL h¹ 13 (but -πόντες HL). om επλεομεν Α: επλευσαμεν Ε²: navigavimus vulg E-lat: collavimus D-lat. rec (for κατηλθημεν) κατηχθημεν, with CHL rel Chr Ge Th!: depositi sunus E-lat: venimus vulg: enavigavimus D-lat: txt ABEN 13 coptt æth. εκει H d 133 vulg syr Chr Ge Thl. rec ην bef το πλοιον, with HL rel 36: txt ABCEN c 13. 137.

Νίουπ. iv. 1, μᾶλλύν ἐστιν τοῦ ἐλευθερίου τὸ διδόναι οῖς δεῖ ἢ λαμβάνειν ὅθεν δεῖ, καl μὴ λαμβάνειν ὅθεν οὐ δεῖ. τῆς ἀρετῆς γὰρ μᾶλλον τὸ εὖ ποιεῖν ἢ τὸ εὖ πάσχειν. XXI. 1.] The E. V. \checkmark After we had gotten from them, 'does not come up to the original : δείκνυσι τὴν βίαν τῷ εἰπεῖν ἀποσπασθένπας ἀπ' αὐτῶν, Chrys.

cύθυδρομ.] See ref., having run before the wind. Cos, opposite Chidus and Halicarnassus, celebrated for its wines (εὕκαρπος πᾶσα, οῦνφ δὲ καὶ ἀρίστη, καθάκερ Κίσκ κ. Λέρδος, Strab. xiv. 2), rich stulls ('nec Cow referunt jam tibi purpure,' Hor. iv. 13. 13), and ointments (γίνεται δὲ μόρα κάλλιστα κατὰ τόπους ... ἀμαράκισον δὲ Κῶρν καὶ μήλισος, Athen. xv. p. 688). The chief town was of the same name (Hom. II. β. 677), and had a famous temple of Æsculapius (Strabo, ibid.). It was the birth-place of Hippocrates. The modern name, Stanchio, is a corruption of ἐν τὰν Κα. See Winer, RWB.

Rhodes was at this time free, cf. Straho, xiv. 2; Tae. Am., xii. 58; 'Redditur Rhodiis libertas, adempta sape ant firmata, prout bellis externis meruerant, ant domi seditione deliquerant.' See also Suet. Claud. 25, 'Rhodiis (libertatem) ob penitentium veterum delictorum reddidit.' It was reduced to a Roman province under Vespasian, Suet. Vesp. 8. The situation of its chief town is praised by Straho, I. c.

The celebrated Colossus was at this time broken and lying in ruins, ib. in Lycia ('caput gentis,' Liv. xxxvii. 15), a large maritime town, a short distance E. of the mouth of the Xanthus. It had a temple and oracle of Apollo, Herod. i. 182. ' Delius et Patareus Apollo,' Hor. iii. 4. There are considerable ruins remaining, Fellows, Asia Minor, p. 219 ff. Lycia, p. 115 ff. Winer, RWB. Here they leave their ship hired at Troas, or perhaps at Neapolis (see note on xx. 16), and avail themselves of a merchant ship bound for 3. avapavertes] for the construction, see reff. and Winer, edn. 6, § 39. 1: having been shewn Cyprus, literally. Wetst. cites from Theophanes, p. 392, περιεφέροντο έν τῷ πελάγει, ἀναφανέντων δέ αὐτῶν τὴν γῆν, εἶδον αὐτοὺς οἱ στρατnyol. 'The graphic language of an eyewitness, and of one familiar with the phraseology of seamen, who, in their own language, appear to raise the land in approaching it.' Smith, Voyage and Shipwreck of St. Paul. But would not this remark rather apply to the active participle? Compare 'nerias Phicacum abscondimus arces,' En. iii. 291. εὐών υμον] se. αὐτήν, i. e. to the E. This would be the straight course from Patara to Tyre.

έπλ. είς Σ.,—we held our course, steered, for Syria. κατήλθ.] we came down to, the result of having borne

 \mathbf{x} ἀποφορτιζύμενον τὸν \mathbf{y} γόμον. $\mathbf{4}$ \mathbf{z} ἀνευρόντες δὲ τοὺς \mathbf{x} here only the matter than the matter \mathbf{y} δια τοῦ ἡμέρας ἑπτά, \mathbf{c} οἴτινες τῷ \mathbf{y} ξεμθώων \mathbf{y} ελεγον \mathbf{d} διὰ τοῦ πνεύματος μὴ \mathbf{c} ἐπιβαίνειν εἰς \mathbf{c} τοῦς τοῦς ενώματος μὴ \mathbf{c} ελεγον \mathbf{d} διὰ τοῦ \mathbf{c} τοῦς ελεγον \mathbf{d} διὰ τοῦς \mathbf{c} ελεγον \mathbf{d} τὰς \mathbf{c} ελεγον $\mathbf{$

y Rev. xviii, 11, 12 only. Exed. xxiii, 5 only. b cb. xviii, 19 reff. c ch. x. 41 reff. 18. (xxvii. 2 reff.) f constr., ver. 1 al. 2. 2. h = Lukeli, 6, 22, 43.

z Luke ii. 16 only †. a = ch. x. 48 reff. d ch. xi. 28 reft. e = and constr., ch. xx. g = here (2 Tim. iii. 17) only †. Jos. Autt. iii.

4. rec και ανευροντες (corrn of copula, as frequently), with C³HL rel D-lat syrr wth Chr Thl: txt ABC¹E a m 13. 36. 40 vulg copt Thl-fin.

om τους (corrn, the art not seeming in place) HL b e d e f g h k l o 137 Chr Thl-fin.

avros (alteration to suit ortwes which follows) AEL k Thl-fin: προς αντονος Chr₂, apud eos D-lat E-lat: txt BCHN 13 rel.

exerca sagaufeu (substitution of more usual word), with EHL rel vulg Chr Did CE Thl-sif: txt ABCN 13(appy) 36. 40 Thl-fin.

rec ιερουσαλημ, with HL rel Epiph

Chr Did: txt ABCEN a k 13, 36, 40 vulg D-lat Thl-fin.

5. rec ημας bef εξαρτισαι (alteration of order to avoid ημας τας ημερας), with CHLN rel 36 Chr: txt ABE; ο στο δε εγεντο εξελθείν ημας ημερας εξαρτισαι επ. 13: sequents autem die exeuntes ambulamus viam nostram D-lat: post hos autem dies amb. v. n.

Tύρον] This city, so down upon. well known for its commercial importance and pride, and so often mentioned in the O. T. prophets, was now a free town (Jos. Antt. xv. 41. Strabo, xvi. 2, οὐχ ὑπὸ τῶν Βασιλέων δ' ἐκρίθησαν αὐτόνομοι μόνον, αλλά καὶ ὅπὸ τῶν Ῥωμαίων) of the pro-vince of Syria. ἐκεῖσε] If this is an adv. of motion as generally, the reference may be to the carrying and depositing the cargo in the town (De Wette), or to the thitherward direction of the voyage (Meyer): but in the only other place where excios occurs (ref.) it simply $= \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, so that perhaps no motion is included. άποφορτ. The pres. part. indicates the intention, 4. δέ] Implyas διαπερῶν before. ing, 'the crew indeed were busied with unlading the ship: but we, having sought out (by enquiry) the disciples.' ' Finding disciples' (E. V.) is quite wrong. It is not improbable that Paul may have preached at Tyre before, when he visited Syria and Cilicia (Gal. i. 21) after his conversion,-and again when he confirmed the churches (ch. xv. 41): τους μαθ. seems to ήμ. έπτ.] The time taken in unlading:—they apparently proceeded in the same ship, see ver. 6. The notice here is very important, that these Tyrian disciples said to Paul by the Spirit, that he should not go to Jerusalem, -and yet he went thither, and, as he himself declares, δεδεμένος τῷ πνεύματι, bound in spirit by the leading of God. We thus have an instance of that which Paul asserts 1 Cor. xiv. 32, that the spirits of prophets are subject to prophets, i. e., that the revelation made by the Holy Spirit to each man's spirit was under the influence of that man's will and temperament, moulded by and taking the form of his own capacities and resolves. So here: these Tyrian prophets knew by the Spirit, which testifed this in every city (ch. xx. 23), that bonds and imprisonment awaited Paul. This appears to have been announced by them, shaped and intensified by their own intense love and anxiety for him who was probably their father in the faith (see on ver. 5). But he paid no regard to the prohibition, being himself under a leading of the same Spirit too plain for him to mistake it. See below on v. 10 ff

mistake it. See below on vv. 10 ff. 5. ἐξαρτίσαι This is ordinarily a naval word, signifying to fit out or refit a ship (with or without πλοΐον, Passow). But this can hardly be the meaning here. Meyer would render 'when we had spent these days in refitting, so that τ . $\dot{\eta}\mu$. would be the accusative of duration,— 'when we had refitted during the days.' But not to mention that Tas hu., without ταύτας, would be harsh in such a connexion,—is not the aorist ἀξαρτίσαι fatal to the rendering? Would it not in this case be present, if implying the continued action during the days,—perfect, if implying that that action was over (in which latter case $\hbar\mu$, would be dative)? The aorist, as almost invariably in dependent clauses, must refer to some one act occurring at one time. So that if the meaning given by Theoph., (Εc. πληρωσαι (Hesych. τελειώσαι) be found nowhere else, it is almost necessary so to understand the word here. And it is doing no violence to its import : the same verb which indicates the completion of a ship's readiness for a voyage, might well be applied to the completion of a period of time. Our own word 'fulfil' has undergone a similar change of meaning since its first composition: and πληρώσαι is used both of manning a ship and of ful-

FIGH. Expr. $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{$

Syr. om $\epsilon\xi\epsilon\lambda\theta$ o $\nu\tau\epsilon$ s A 105. $\gamma\nu\nu\alpha\iota\xi\nu\nu$ CE: txt ABN rel. om $\epsilon\omega$ s N D-lat. in N $\pi\rho\sigma$ s $\epsilon\nu\xi\alpha\mu$ e $\nu\sigma$ 0 is written before $\epsilon\pi\nu$ 1 τ 2. $\epsilon\nu$ 3, but marked for erasure by N 1 σ 7 3 3,

and repeated in its proper place.

5, 6. rec for προςευξαμένοι απησπασαμέθα αλληλους και, προςηυξαμέθα και ασπασαμένοι αλληλους, with HL rel vulg Chr Ec Thl: txt A B(sic: see table) CEN a d 13. 36. 40 Syr.—προςευξ. L 4. 100. 106 Chr Εc.—απέσπασαμέθα C: απησπασαμένοι 40: απησπασμέθα N.

6. rec επεβημεν (corrn to more usual term), with HL 13 rel Œc Thl-sif: ενεβημεν

(more usual) BEN3 k 73 Chr: txt ACN1 a c d 36, 40, 137 Thl-fin.

7. κατεβημεν (corrn to more usual word) ΑΕΧ³. πτολεμαιδαν Χ¹.

επεμειναμέν Α k 40.

8. rec aft exendences ins on $\pi e \mu$ to ν paulou (exend. begins an ecclesiastical portion), with HL rel aeth-rom Ge Thl-sif; or aposton 47 lect 13. 14: om ABCE(R) e e h k 13. 36. 40 valg D-lat syrr copt arm Chr Thl-fin.—R has σ written, but marked for erasure 'prima manu.' Steph $\eta \lambda \theta o \nu$ (to suit or $\pi e \mu$ to $\tau a \nu \lambda \nu$), with HL rel Ge Thl-sif: txt ABCEN k 13. 36 valg syrr copt ath Eus Chr.— $\eta \lambda \theta a \mu \nu$ B. reins to be over (for precision), with a 13: om ABCEHLR rel Eus Chr Ge Thl. [D-lat is deficient in vv 8—11; but readings are preserved in Scriv's notes.]

filling a period of time. έξελθ.] from the house where they were lodged. εως εξω τ. π.] "We passed through the city to the western shore of the ancient island, now the peninsula, hoping to find there a fitting spot for the tent, in the open space between the houses and the sea." Robinson, iii. 392. ¿πὶ τὸν αἰγιαλόν] "Yet had we looked a few rods further, we should have found a very tolerable spot by a threshing-floor, where we might have pitched close upon the bank, and enjoyed, in all its luxury, the cool sea-breeze, and the dashing of the surge upon the rocky shore." id. ibid. 7. τὸν πλοῦν δια-νύσ.] Having ended our voyage, viz. the whole voyage, from Neapolis to Syria. The E. V., 'when we had finished our course from Tyre,' is allowable, but this would more probably have been τον ἀπο Tύρου. 'With their landing at Ptolemais their voyage ended: the rest of the journey was made by land.' (De Wette.) ἀπδ Τύρου will thus be taken with κατηντήσαμεν. Πτολεμαΐδα] Auciently Accho ('Ακχώ, LXX, Judg. i. 31,—in Gr. and Rom. writers 'Aκη, Ace), called Ptolemais from (probably) Ptolemy Lathurus (Jos. Antt. xiii. 12. 2 ff., see 1 Macc. x. 56 ff.; xi. 22, 24; xii. 45, 48; 2 Macc. xiii. 21). It was a large town with a harbour (Jos. Antt. xviii. 6. 3). It was never (Judg. i. 31) fully possessed by the Jews, but belonged to the Phænicians, who in after times were mixed with Greeks. But after the captivity a colony of Jews is found there (Jos. B. J. ii. 18. 5). The emperor Claudius gave it the 'civitas,' whence it is called by Pliny, v. 17; xxxvi. 65, 'Colonia Claudii Cæsaris.' It is now called St. Jean d'Acre, and is the best harbour on the Syrian coast, though small. It lies at the end of the great road from Damascus to the sea. Population now about 10,000. distance from Ptolemais to Casarea is fortyfour miles. For Cæsarea, see on ch. x. 1.

8. Φιλ. τ. εὐαγγ.] It is possible that he may have had this appellation from his lawing been the first to travel about preaching the gospel: see ch. viii. 5 ff. The office of Evangelist, see reff., seems to have answered very much to our

6 - 11.

ν έμείναμεν ν παρ' αὐτῷ. 9 τούτῳ δὲ ήσαν θυγατέρες τέσ- dch, xix. 6 σαρες παρθένοι $\frac{d}{d}$ προφητεύουσαι. $\frac{10}{c}$ επιμενόντων $\frac{c}{c}$ ε $\frac{c.k. x. 48 \text{ reff.}}{c.m. ii. 40}$ ημέρας † πλείους, † κατήλθέν τις ἀπὸ τῆς Ἰουδαίας † ετία, τεία, τεία το † προφήτης ὀνόματι Ἄγαβος, † Ι καὶ ἐλθὼν πρὸς ἡμᾶς hæi... τεία το † προφήτης ἀνόματι Ἄγαβος, † Ι καὶ ἐλθὼν πρὸς ἡμᾶς hæi... τεία το † προμέντην το Παύλου, δήσας ἐαυτοῦ τοὺς † τεία Μακ x. εία το † με το cdfgh πόδας και τὰς χειρας είπεν Τάδε λέγει τὸ πνεύμα τὸ και τὰς Ναιτίι. 4 kimo αγιον, τον ανδρα ου έστιν ή κ ζώνη αυτη ουτως δήσουσιν 1.18. Rev. έν Ιερουσαλήμ οι Ἰουδαίοι καὶ παραδώσουσιν είς χείρας 3 Kings ii. 5. 22 al. fr. Job xvi, 12.

9. rec παρθενοι bef τεσσαρες, with EHL rel Œc Thl: παρθενοι bef θυγατερες C Syr

Eus: txt ABN a k m 13 D-lat.

10. ree aft επιμενοντων δε ins ημων (addn for precision), with ELX3 rel syr-marg προφητης bef απο της ιουδαιας L. Chr: αυτων X1: txt ABCH k 13. 36 syr Bas.

11. om και D-lat: ανελθων δε D^1 -gr: txt D^2 . rec for εαυτου, τε αύτου (in some late mss abrov probably from misunderstanding, supposing that it was Paul's hands and feet that he bound), with HL rel Chr (Ec Thl: txt ABCDEN a b c (m) o 13. 36 Cyr-jer Bas, also Orig (δησ. εαυτον χειρων κ. ποδων) Aug Cassiod. rec τας χειρως και τους ποδας (corrn from Luke xxiv. 39, 40? see var read John xi. 14: so De W. Meyer thinks rob. k. x. arose from its being the natural order of binding: but surely this would be more likely to be the origi order of narrating, than to strike a copyist as necessary to be observed), with A a cd m coptt ath Chr(omg ras and rows) Ge and Orig(above): txt BCDEHLN 13 rel 40 vulg syrr arm Cyr-jer Bas Thl. for ev, ess om or D1 Chr D 26, 63, 97, 98, 106 Chr Epiph and (prefixg $\alpha\pi\epsilon\lambda\theta o\nu\tau\alpha$) Orig. (Ee Thl-sif: ins D². aft $\epsilon\iota s$ ins $\tau\alpha s$ $\aleph^1(\aleph^3)$ disapproving).

missionary: Theodoret, on Eph. iv. 11, says, εκείνοι περιϊόντες εκήρυττον: and Euseb. H. E. iii. 37, ξργον ἐπετέλουν εὐαγγελιστῶν, τοῖς ἔτι πάμπαν ἀνηκόοις τοῦ τῆς πίστως τοις ετι παμπω μηκους τοῦ τῆς πίστως λόγου κηρύττευ τὸν χριστὸν φιλοτιμούμενοι, καὶ τὴν τῶν θείων εὐαγγελίων παρεδιδύναι γραφήν. The latter could hardly have been part of their employment so early as this; nor had εὐαγγέλιον in these times the peculiar meaning of a narrative of the life of Christ, but rather embraced the whole good tidings of salvation by Him, as preached to the Jews and Heathens. See Neander, Pfl. u. L., pp. 258, 264. Euseb., iii. 31, appa-L., pp. 258, 264. rently mistakes this Philip for the Apostle: as did also (see Valesius's note, Euseb. l. e.) Clement of Alexandria and Papias.

οντος ἐκ τ. ἐπτά] See ch. vi. 5, and note. Meyer and Winer (edn. 6, § 20. 1. c.) well remark (see De Wette also), that the participle without the article implies that the reason why they abode with him was that he was one of the seven: 'ut qui esset,' &c. and in English being (one) of the seven. The fact of Philip being settled at Cæsarea, and known as δ εὐαγγελιστής, seems decisive against regarding the occurrence of ch. vi. 8 ff. as the establishment of any permanent order in the church.

9. This notice is inserted apparently without any immediate reference to the history, but to bring so remarkable a circumstance to the knowledge of the readers. The four daughters had the gift of προφητεία: see on ch. xi. 27. Eusebius (see, however, his mistake above) gives from Polycrates traditional accounts of them,-that two were buried at Hierapolis, and one at Ephesus. From that passage, and one cited from Clement of Alex. (δύο θυγατέρες αὐτοῦ γεγηρακυῖαι παρθένοι, Polyer., Euseb. iii. 31. . . . Φίλιππος τὰς θυγατέρας ἀνδράσιν ἐξέδωκε, Clem., Eus. iii. 30), it would appear that two were afterwards married, according to tradition. find an argument for the so-called 'honour of virginity' in this verse, only shews to what resources those will stoop who have failed to apprehend the whole spirit and rule of the gospel in the matter. They are met however on their own ground by an argument built on another misapprehension (that of Philip being a deacon in the ecclesiastical sense): ὥςτε οὖν καὶ τῷ κοινωνήσαντι γάμων διακονείν έξεστι.

10.] This Agabus in all probability is identical with the Agabus of ch. xi. 28. That there is no reference to that former mention of him, might be occasioned by different sources of information having furnished 11. | Similar symthe two narratives. bolical actions accompanying prophecy are found 1 Kings xxii. 11: Isa. xx. 2; Jer. xiii. 1 ff.; Ezek. iv. 1 ff., 9 ff.; v. 1, &c. De Wette remarks that τάδε λέγει τὸ πνεθμα τὸ ἄγιον is the N. T. prophetic formula, instead of τάδε λέγει ὁ κύριος of

m=Matt. xiv. ἐθνῶν. 12 ὡς δὲ ἡκούσαμεν ταῦτα, m παρεκαλοῦμεν ἡμεῖς $\frac{ABCDE}{HLN}$ a b 36 al. fr. 2 Macc. ix. 26. constr., τε καὶ οἱ "ἐντόπιοι ° τοῦ μὴ ρ ἀναβαίνειν αὐτὸν εἰς Ίεοου- cd fg h σαλήμ. 13 τότε ἀπεκρίθη ὁ Παῦλος Τί η ποιείτε κλαίοντες χχνί.7. ο ch. ii. 12 reff. καὶ τουνθρύπτοντές μου την καρδίαν; έγω γὰρ οὐ μόνον p ch. xi. 2 refi. δεθηναι άλλὰ καὶ ἀποθανείν είς Ἱερουσαλημ τετοίμως "έχω τύπερ του τονόματος του κυρίου Ίησου. 14 μη ιτα. 1 του 1 του 1 του 1 του 2 του 2 * πειθομένου δε αυτου * ήσυχάσαμεν είπόντες Του κυρίου

om τε D Thl-sif. 12. παρακαλουμέν D1: txt D1. aft οι εντοπιοι ins τον παυλον D æth. επιβαινειν D. om αυτον Ε 93. 95 Bas. at end add $\tau o \tau \epsilon$ (see next ver) C m 13. 40.

13. rec απεκριθη δε, with C1 13 syr Chr: απεκριθη τε HL d f g h k l m æth Œe Thl: ειπεν δε προς ημας D (from the various assignment of τοτε to ver 12 or ver 13, it was omitted altogether, and then some copula became necessary): txt ABC2Ex 13 rel 36. 40 vulg Syr coptt arm Cassiod. aft maulos ins kai eimer AEN a b d k o 13 vulg Syr copt ath arm Cassiod. om κλαιοντες και \aleph^1 . for συνθρυπτοντες, θορυβουντες D1 Tert Jer: txt D5. for γαρ, δε E-gr 951 vulg-ms Tert,. ins βουλομαι D. for εις, εν κ (but εις is written over the line 'prima ut videtur manu'). ετοιμως εχω bef εις ιερουσαλημ A æth. aft ιησου ins χριστου CD Syr arm Cyr Thdrt Tert Jer, Ambrst Aug.

14. ins oι bef ειποντες D1. aft ειπ. ins προς αλληλους D. rec το θελημα bef του κυριου (alteration of characteristic order), with DHL rel vss Chr: txt ABCEN m 13 vulg arm.—for κυρ., θεου D-gr 32. 73 æth. ree γενεσθω (corrn to more usual), with HL 13 rel Chr: txt ABCDEN f g m o 36. (γεω. AB¹DEN.)
15. τινας ημερας D-gr. ree αποσκευασαμενοι, with c 13: παρασκευασ. C a 7.

69. 73. 105: αποταξαμένοι D: επισκεψαμένοι Η 68. 106: præparati vulg syrr copt æth: præparantes E-lat: referimus nos D-lat: txt ABEL(N) rel 36. 40 Pamphil Chr Œe Thl-sif Thl-fin-comm. -- επισκευασαμενον (but corrd) κ1. CDL'N': om N'. ree ιερουσαλημ, with HL 13 rel vulg (Ee Thl: txt ABCDEN a 36 Euthal Chr.

16. om $\sigma \nu \nu \eta \lambda \theta \sigma \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \omega \nu \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \omega \nu D^1$ (and lat). for $\alpha \pi \sigma$, $\epsilon \kappa D^1$: txt D^2 . ins εκ bef των μαθ. E vulg. for αγοντες, ουτοι δε ηγαγον D, simulque adducerunt

the O. T. 12. τοῦ μή A similar gen. after exhortation, is found ch. xv. 20.

13. The $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$, which has been changed in the rec. for the ordinary copula, gives solemnity to the answer about to be related : q. d. It was then that Paul said.

συνθρύπτοντες] The present part. does not imply the endeavour merely, here or any where else, but as Meyer quotes from Schaefer, Eurip. Phæn. 79, 'Vere incipit actus, sed ob impedimenta caret eventu.' yap Either, 'your proceeding is in vain, for ... '-or 'cease
to do so, for ... 'sis 'Iep.] on my arrival at: the motion to, which was the subject in question, is combined with that which might result on it : see reff. and ch. 14. τ. κ. τὸ θέλ. γιν.] One of the passages from which we may not unfairly infer, that the Lord's prayer was used

by the Christians of the apostolic age. See note on 2 Tim. iv. 18. 15. ἐπισκενασάμενοι] The remarkable variety of reading in this word shews that much difficulty has been found in it. The rec. aποσκευασάμενοι (which may perhaps have arisen from the mixture of ἀποταξάμενοι (D) with ἐπισκευασάμενοι), would mean, not, 'having deposited our (useless) baggage,' -but, 'having discharged our baggage, 'unpacked the matters necessary for our journey to Jernsalem, from our coffers.' But ἐπισκ. is the better supported reading, and suits the passage better: having packed up, made ourselves ready for the journey. 'Carriages' in the E. V. is used, as at Judg. xviii. 21 (where it answers to τδ βάρος, LXX-vat), for baggage, things carried. 16.] Two renderings are given to the latter clause of this verse : (1)

 $^{\circ}$ ἄγοντες d παρ $^{\prime}$ $\ddot{\psi}$ $^{\circ}$ ξενισθώμεν Μνάσων $^{\prime}$ τινι Κυπρ $^{\prime}$ τος $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ ch.xvii.13 $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ άχοχαί $_{\psi}$ μαθητ $\ddot{\eta}$. 17 $^{\circ}$ γενομένων δὲ ἡμῶν $^{\circ}$ εἰς $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ ch.xvii.13 $^{\circ}$

αρχαιψ μασητή. Υγευσητενών σε ημών ετς τεριστορής σολγμα 1 άσμένως k ἀπεδέξαντο h ήμᾶς οι ἀδελφοί. 18 τη ε-ch. κ 6 ref. δὲ 1 ἐπιούση m εἰς γίει ο Παῦλος σὺν ἡμῖν e πρὸς Ἰάκωβον, g ch. κ. 7 ref. ΛΒΕΟΕ ΠΙΑΘΑ σάμενος αὐτοὺς q ἐξηγεῖτο καθ e ὲν r ἔκαστον s ων ἐποίησεν h τοις κ. Ν. ε. ο θεὸς εν τοῖς έθνεσιν διὰ τῆς ' διακονίας αὐτοῦ. 20 οἱ δὲ ο θέος εν τοις έθνεσιν διά της ΄ διακονίας αύτοῦ. 20 οἰ δὲ Ηπιστ, 3οι ακούσαντες ^ω έδόξαζον τον θεόν, εἶπόν τε αὐτῷ ΄ Θεωρεῖς, ^ΔΙσεο, ωις γ. Δ. Μας. ιν. Δ. Δ. Μας. ιν. Δ. Μας. οι Δ

D-lat. add ημας DE sah arm. for παρ ω, προς ous D1-gr(Wetst: txt Ussher). aft ξενισθ. add και παραγενομενοι εις τινα (την syr-marg) κωμην εγενομεθα παρα D Syr-marg. νασωνι D¹(and lat) fuld tol: ιασωνι & demid copt: μνασω B g 1. 18. om $\tau \iota \nu \iota A^{1}$. μαθητη bef αρχαιω D(Wetst) sah. D-lat has the passage thus: et cum venerunt in quendam civitatem fuimus ad nasonem quendam cyprium discipulum antiquum et inde exeuntes venimus hierosolyma susceperunt autem nos cum latitia fratres. [readings of D-gr are in Scriv's notes, see above on ver 8.7

17. rec εδεξαντο (substitution of simpler word), with HL rel Œe Thl: υπεδεξ. D(Mill &c): txt ABCEN a k 13. 36. 40 Chr-comm.

18. for δε, τε AEN 40 syrr æth: txt BCHL 13. 36 rel vulg D-lat E-lat coptt Chr Œe Thl. for $\pi \alpha \rho$., $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu \delta \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \tau \omega D^1$: txt D^6 . aft οι πρεσβυτεροι ins συνηγμενοι D 34.

19. ous $\alpha\sigma\pi\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu$ os(sie) διηγειτό ενα εκαστον ως εποίησεν D^1 -gr: txt D^2 .

D¹: ins D-corr¹. on δια Ν l.

20. ακουοντες HL k. εδοξασαν DN Thl-fin. rec κυριον, with sah Œc: txt ABCELN a d f g k o 13. 36. 40 vulg Syr copt arm Chr Thl. ree κυριον, with DH rel syr τε, ειποντες CD c g h m syr Chr. (ειπαν ΕΧ : ειπεν 13.) οπ αυτω D.

till ver. 18.

making Μνάσωνι, &c. depend on ἄγοντες, and agreeing by attr. with &, as E. V., ' and brought with them one Mnason, with whom we should lodge' (so Beza, Calvin, Wolf, Schött., &c.): and (2) resolving the attraction into ἄγοντες παρά Mνάσωνα, παρ' & ξ. 'bringing us to Mnason, &c. (So Grot., Valcknaer, Bengel, De Wette, Meyer, al.) Both are legitimate: and it is difficult to choose between them. The probability of Mnason being a resident at Jerusalem, and of the Cæsarean brethren going to introduce the company to him, seems to favour the latter: as also does the fact that Luke much more frequently uses αγω with a person followed by a preposition than absolutely. Of Musson nothing further is known. άρχαίω probably implies that he had been a disciple έξ άρχηs, and had accompanied our Lord during His ministry. See ch. xi. 15, where the term $\xi = \lambda \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ is applied to the time of the Pentecostal effusion of the Spirit.

17-XXIII. 35.] PAUL AT JERUSA-LEM: MADE PRISONER, AND SENT TO CESAREA. 17. οἱ ἀδελφοί] The Christians generally: not the Apostles and elders, as Kuin., who imagines from vv. 20, 21, that 'cœtus non favebat Paulo.' But (1) this is by no means implied: and

'the brother of the Lord:' the president of the church at Jerusalem: see ch. xii. 17: xv. 13; Gal. ii. 12, and notes,-and Prolegg. to the Epistle of James, § i. 21-37. On the particular kind of attraction (reff.), in a gen. plur. after a partitive adjective, see Winer, edn. 6, § 24. 2. b. 20.] While they praised God for, and fully recognized, the work wrought by him among the Gentiles, they found it requisite to advise him respecting the suspicion under which he laboured among the believing Jews. They, led, naturally perhaps, but incorrectly (see 1 Cor. vii. 18), by some passages of Paul's life (and of his already-written Epistles?), in which he had depreciated legal observances in comparison with faith in Christ, and spoken strongly against their adoption by Gentile converts,-apprehended that he advised on the part of the Hellenistic believers, an entire apostasy from Moses and the ordinances of the law. θεωρείς This can hardly be a reference (as Olsh.) to the elders present, as representatives of the μυριάδεs of believing Jews; for only those

of Jerusalem were there:—but refers to

Paul's own experience, and knowledge of

(2) James and the elders are not mentioned

18. Ἰακωβον James,

22. πάν-

(for εν τοις ιουδαιοις) ιουδαιων, with HL rel syr Chr Thdrt₂ (Ec Thl: εν τη ιουδαια D Syr sah Jer Aug: om εν τ. ιουδ. Ν: txt ABCE a 13. 36. 40 vulg copt with Ambrst. aft παντες ins ουτοι D (τουτοι D¹) 38 tol Syr Ambrst Aug Jer.

21. $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \chi \delta \eta \sigma \omega$ 25. 40: $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \kappa \eta \sigma \omega$ D¹: diffamaverunt D·lat: txt D². om de R¹. $\kappa \alpha \tau$ equ p¹!. for partas, east D¹(and lat): om AE 13 yilg copt Jer Ang: txt BCD¹HLN rel 36 yes Chr Ee Thl. 1008a1015 D¹: txt D¹. on $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ P Jer: $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ R¹. $\omega \eta$ of $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ P Jer: $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ R¹. $\omega \eta$ of $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ P Jer: $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ R¹. $\omega \eta$ of $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ P Jer: $\lambda \epsilon \omega$ P Jer:

22. om δει συνελθείν πληθος and γαρ (expunged as not understood) BC¹ 15. 73. 130 syrr coptt with arm: ins AC²DEHLN rel vulg Chr Œc Thl.—ins το bef πληθος D¹.—ree πληθος bef συνελθείν, with DHL rel Chr: txt AC²EN a d h 13. 40 vulg.—om γαρ C²: om γαρ στι Ν¹. εληλυθες Β.

23. for δ , $o\pi\epsilon\rho$ E. for $\epsilon\phi$, $a\phi(sie)$ N.

24. επ αυτους A a 13: εις αυτους D. rec ξυρησωνται, with AB²C(D¹)HL rel 36 Chr: txt B¹(sie) D¹EN e k l o 13.—ξυρωνται D¹. rec γνωσι (grammatical corn aft va), with HL rel Syr Chr Ec Thl-sif, cognoscant D-lat: txt ABCDEN a d m 13 (36) 40 vulg syr coptt (Thl-fin) Jer Aug.—(-σωνται 36

the vast numbers of the Jews who believed at Jerusalem, and elsewhere in Judæa. πόσαι μυριάδες is perhaps not to be strictly taken: see reff. Baur suspects, on account of this expression, that the words τῶν πεπιστ. are spurious; but quite without reason. Eusebius quotes from Πεσεείρημας (Π. Ε. ii. 23), πολλῶν καὶ τῶν ἀρχόντων πιστευόντων ἢν θόρμβος τῶν Ἰουδαίων καὶ γραμματέων καὶ Φαρισαίων λεγόντων ὅτι κινδυνεύει πᾶς ὁ λαὸς Ἰησοῦν τὴν χρησηλι προεκδοῦν. (Οι the Ίησοῦν του χριστου προςδοκάν. On the other hand, Origen (tom. i. in Joann. § 2, vol. iv. p. 3) says, that probably the whole number of believing Jews at no time had amounted to 144,000. On είσιν . . . ὑπάρ-χυυσι, see note, ch. xvi. 20, 21. 21. κατηχήθησαν they were sedulously informed (at some time in the mind of the speaker. The sense of the aor, must be preserved. Below, ver. 24, it is the perfect): viz., by the unti-Pauline judaizers. τοις έθεσιν the dat. of the rule, or

but a multitude (of these Judaizers) will meet ainly come together: 'they will meet and disease your proceeding in a hostile manner.' 23. εὐχήν] A vow of Nazarites. This vow must not be confounded, historically or analogically, with that of ch. kviii. 18: see note there, and Num. vi. 2—21. 24. παραλαβών] having taken to thyself, as comrades. άγν. σὰν αἶν-ῖ] become a Nazarite with them. The same expression occurs in the LXX, Num. vi. 3, in describing the Nazarite's duties. δαπάν. ἐπ' αῦν-ῖ] "More apud Judæos receptum erat, et pro insigni pictatis officio habehatur, ut in pauperum Nasirecorum gratiam ditiores sumptus erogarent ad sacrificia (see Num. vi. 14 fl.) quae dum illi tonderentur, offerre necesse

τως δ. συνελθ. πλ.] Not, as E. V., Calv., Grot., Calov., 'the multitude must needs come together,' i.e. there must be a meeting

of the whole church (τὸ πληθος, ch. ii. 6):

form, after which : see reff.

τες ὅτι 'των 'κατήχηνται πεοὶ σοῦ 'οὐδέν ἐστιν, ἀλλὰ taltr. Luke 'ν στοιχεῖς 'καὶ 'κατήχηνται πεοὶ σοῦ 'ν οὐριον. 25 περὶ 'ι κ. δοὰ τῶν ' πεπιστευκότων ἐθνῶν ἡμεῖς 'επεστείλαμεν, 'κςἱ ναντες μηδὲν τοιοῦτον 'ν τηρεῖν αὐτούς, εἰ μηὶ 'ς φυλάσσεσθαι ἀὐτοὺς τό τε ' εἰδωλόθυτον καὶ [τὸ] αἶμα καὶ 'κ πνικτὸν καὶ 'κ πορυκίαν. 26 τότε ὁ Παῦλος ' παραλαβὼν τοὺς καὶ 'κ πορυκίαν. 26 τότε ὁ Παῦλος ' παραλαβὼν τοὺς καὶ 'κ πορυκίαν. 26 τότε ὁ Παῦλος ' παραλαβὼν τοὺς καὶ 'κ πορυκίαν. 26 τότε ὁ Παῦλος ' κπληρωσιν τῶν ἡμερῶν γ κπληρωσιν τῶν ἡμερῶν γ κπληρωσιν τῶν ἡμερῶν γ καὶ 'κ κατοῦ 'κ ἀγνισμοῦ, ἕως οῦ ' προςηνέχθη ὑπὲρ 'κ ενὸς ἑκάστον ε κλ. χι. λι. λι. χι. γ καντων ἡ 'πο προςφορά. 27 ὡς δὲ ' ξεμελλον αὶ ἐπτὰ ἡμέραι καὶς χι. 10 κπλ. χι. 10 κπληνισμοῦς και τοῦς και

2 Tim. iv. 15. 2 Kings xx, 10. g = ch. xx. 15 reft. bc. x

Thl-fin.) ins $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota$ bef $\omega\nu$ C a e 36. 40. ins $\kappa\epsilon\iota$ bef $\sigma\tau\iota\iota\chi\epsilon\iota$ s A: $\sigma\tau\iota$ $\pi\rho\rho\epsilon\nu\upsilon$ D¹-gr: ambulans D-lat: txt D² $\sigma\tau^4$. om $\kappa\epsilon\iota$ D¹(and lat): txt D² $\sigma\tau^4$. ree $\tau\sigma\nu$ $\nu\rho\iota\rho\sigma\nu$ bef $\phi\nu\lambda\sigma\sigma\sigma\omega\nu$, with HL rel Syr Œe Thl-sif: txt ABCDEN a e m 13 vulg Chr Thl-fin.

25. for εθνων, ανθρωπων Ε. at εθνων ins ουδεν εχουσι λεγειν προς σε, and (att ημεις) γωρ D sah. απεστειλαμεν (more usuad word) BD 1 40 syr copt: txt ΛCEHLΝ 13. 36 rel vulg D-lat Syr sah Chr Œc Thl. κρινωντες D¹ 100: txt D² οτ⁴. ο μη μηδεν τοιουτον τηρειν αυτους ει μη ΑΒΝ 13. 40 vulg Syr copt eth (prob because no such clause is found in the apostolic decree ch xv. 28. If can hardly have been interpolated): ins CDEHL rel 36 syr arm Chr Aug.—τοιουτο CE. ο μπ τε D c 137. ο μπ το bcf αιμα ΛΒCDΝ α c 13: απο ιδωλοθυτων και αιματος και πνικτον και πορνιας Ε: txt HL rel Chr Œc Thl. ο μπ και πνικτον D sah Jer Aug: ο μπ και 15. 36. ins το bcf πνικτον 1 μπ 40. 99 Chr Thl-fin. Syr æth-pl invert the order, πορν. κ. πνικτ. κ. αιμα.

26. om σ DE. $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \sigma \sigma$ D. $\epsilon \iota s \eta \lambda \theta \epsilon v$ D. for $\epsilon \omega s$ $\sigma \omega s$ D: donec D-lat. om σ D.

27. συντελουμενης δε της εβδομης ημερας D: cum advenissed dies septimus Syr. ημερλον EL e k m. aft o1 ins δε D-gr. a only of aπo1 is written by D1, the rest supplied by D2. aft 10υδα101 ins εληλυθοτες D. θ εασαμενοι αυτον εν τω

erat." Kypke. Jos. Antt. xix. 6. 1, relating Agrippa's thank-offerings at Jerusalem, says, διὸ κοὶ Ναζιραίων ξυρᾶσθαι διέταξε μάλα συχνούς. On the shaving the head, see Num. vi. 18. De Wette remarks: 'James and the elders made this proposal, assuming that Paul could comply with it salvá conscientiá, - perhaps also as a proof, to assure themselves and others of his sentiments: and Paul accepted it salva conscientia. But this he could only have done on one condition, that he was sure by it not to contribute in these four Nazarites to the error of justification by the works of the law. He might keep, and encourage the keeping of the law,—but not with the purpose of thereby deserving the approbation of God.' 25.] See ch. xv. 28, 29. 26.] Paul himself entered into the vow with them (σὺν αὐτοῖς άγν.), and the time settled (perhaps the least that could be assigned: the Mischna requires VOL. II.

thirty days) for the completion of the vow, i. e. the offering and shaving of their heads, was seven days. No definite time is prescribed in Num. vi., but there, seven days is the time of purification in case of uncleanness during the period of the vow.

διαγγέλλων] making known to the ministers of the temple. Την έκπλήρωσιν] the fulfilment, i. e. that he and the men had come to fulfil: announcing their intention of fulfilling.

ιέρω bef οι α. τ. α. ιουδαιοι C 180: θέασ. αυτον bef οι α. τ. α. ιουδ. c 137. συνεχέαν C 180: συνεφχον 20. 41: συνεκευησαν τε Ε: concitavernnt vulg E-lat: confiderunt D-lat. οπ παντα Ε 2. 41. επέβαλαν ΑΝ¹: επιβαλλουσον D: επέβαλλου b¹ ο Thl-sif. rec τας χειρας bef επ αυτον (corrn of arrangement), with HL rel coptt (Ec Thl-sif; txt ABCDER a c h k m 13. 40 vulg syrr arm Chr Thl-fin.

D-corr: κεκοινωνκεν (but ν marked and erased) R¹.
29. for προεωρ., εορακοτες HL, εωρακοτες dfghklm vulg(not tol) sahæth Chr

Thl-sif. om τον β. ενομισαμεν D: putaverunt D-lat. om ο D.
30. τον παυλον Ε d. om αυτον D fuld. for και to θυραι, εκλισθησαν ευθεως

(σαν being written above the line) 81.

31. rec (for πε) δε, with D HL rel 36 vulg syr coptt Chr: txt ABER a Syr æth. (13 def.)—[και] ζητ. D'. rec συγκεχυται, with EHLN³ rel Chr Œc Thl, confusa est D-lat E-lat: txt ABDN¹ 13, confunditur vulg. (συγχυνεται Β²[Mai] 13.)

Of the votive period: not (as Chrys. and Bede) since Paul's arrival in Jerusalem. Five days of the seven had passed: see on ch. xxiv. 11. Cf. on the whole, Dr. Wordsworth's note. ἀπὸ τ. 'Ασ.] From Ephesus and the neighbourhood, where Paul had so long taught. 'Paulus, dum fidelibus placandis intentus est (viz. the believing Jews), in hostium furorem incurrit (viz. of the unbelieving Asiatic Jews).' Calv., in Meyer, who adds, 'In how many ways had those who were at Jerusalem this Pentecost, already persecuted Paul in Asia?' Notice the similarity of the charge against him to that against Stephen, ch. vi. 13. "Elly one generic plural: only one is intended, see next verse. They meant, into the inner court, which was forbidden

29. Τρόφ.] See ch. xx. to Gentiles. 4, note. We here learn that he was an Ephesian. 30.] The Levites shut the doors to prevent profanation by a riot, and possibly bloodshed, in the temple: hardly, as Bengel, 'ne templi tutela uteretur Paulus:'-the right of asylum was only (Exod. xxi. 13, 14) for murder unawares (Meyer). But by ver. 14 there, and by Joab's fleeing to the altar, 1 Kings ii. 28 ff., we see that it was resorted to on other occasions. 31. ζητούντων κ.τ.λ. By beating him: see ver. 32. ἀνέβη] went (was carried) up; up, either because of his high station, as commanding officer, or because he was locally stationed in the tower Antonia, overlooking (from the N. W.) the temple, where the riot was. \tag\$\tag{\varphi}\$ χιλιάρχω τ. σπ. Claudius Lysias (ch.

καὶ q έκατοντάρχας r κατίδραμεν έπ r αὐτούς, οἱ δὲ ἰδόντες q ς h και τοὺς στρατιώτας s έπαύσαντο τύ s χιλίαρχον καὶ τοὺς στρατιώτας s έπαύσαντο τύ s χιλιι. Χου. πτοντες τὸν Παῦλον. ³³ τότε 'έγγίσας ὁ χιλίαοχος ^{xvi.11. Xen.}
" ἐπελάβετο αὐτοῦ καὶ ' ἐκέλευσεν δεθῆναι ^w ἀλύσεσι δυσί, ³⁰ (-δρομή, ² Macc, vi.). καὶ ἐπυνθάνετο τίς $\begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}v \end{bmatrix}$ εἴη καὶ τί ἐστιν πεποιηκώς scinttr, ch. $^{\text{xinst}}_{\text{cube}}$ xi. 33. 34 x ἄλλοι δὲ x ἄλλο τι y ἐπεφώνουν ἐν τῷ ὅχλῳ· μὴ xi. 35. ch. xii. 35. $34 \times \mathring{a}\lambda\lambda$ οι δε \mathring{a} $\mathring{a}\lambda\lambda$ ο τι \mathring{b} \mathring{a} τερωνουν εν το \mathring{b} \mathring{a} \mathring{b} όου \mathring{a} δυναμένου δε αὐτοῦ γνῶναι τὸ \mathring{a} \mathring{a} άσφαλὲς διὰ τὸν \mathring{a} \mathring{b} όου \mathring{b} \mathring{a} \mathring{b} \mathring{a} \mathring{b} \mathring{b} \mathring{a} \mathring{b} \mathring{b} \mathring{a} \mathring{b} \mathring{b} βολην ὁ Παῦλος λέγει τῷ χιλιάρχω h Εί 'έξεστίν μοι είπειν τι πρός σε; ο δε έφη κ Ελληνιστί γινώσκεις; a Matt. xxvt. δ.

24. Mark v. 3c. ch. xz. l. xxiv. 18 only. Jer. xxx. (xiiz.) 2. (Jeliv ch. xxii. 3). b = - to do n. xxi i. 2 ch. xxii. 10, xc. Heb. (xi. 3d x 3ii.), 11. Rec. xxi 9 niy. 10. xxii. 8. vec do only. xxi i. xxii. 10, xxii. 10, xxii. 10 terf. 1 xiv. 44 (only), Cic. de Fin. ii. 5.

32. for παραλ., λαβων B, sumptis D-lat.

rec εκατονταρχουs, with D2HL rel 36

Chr Œe Thl: txt ABD EN 13.

33. εγγισας δε HL rel Syr Œc Thl: txt ABDEN a e m 13. 36 vulg syr æth Chr Thl-fin. αλυσεσιν δυσιν DEH: αλυσεσι δυσιν m: txt A B(Mai) LN 13 rel. rec ins aν bef ειη, with EHL rel Chr Œc Thl: om ABDN a 36. (13 def.) εστιν πεποικως(sic) D1.

34. for αλλο τι, αλλα D syr Chr. rec (for επεφωνουν) εβοων, with HL rel Chr Œc Thl-sif: επεβοων c (m) 25. 40 Chr-ms: txt ABDEN 13. 36 Thl-fin. δυναμένος δε and om αυτου (emendation of style), with HL rel 36 Chr: txt AB(D)EX m 13 sah Thl-fin.—και μη δυν. αυτ. D.

35. for επι, εις D. for βαστ. αυτον, τον παυλον βαστ. D. for oxlou, λαου D.

36. om του λαου D. rec κραζον (grammatical emendation), with DHL rel Chr Œc: txt AB E-gr N a b d k o 13. 36. 40 Syr copt Thl. αναιρεισθαι D-gr: tollite D-lat.

37. om o παυλος D : o π. bef εις arm. τω χειλιαρχ. αποκριθεις ειπεν D. for ειπειν, λαλησαι D. om τι DHL d f g h l tol Syr æth arm Thl-sif: ins ABEN 13. 36 rel vulg syr copt Chr Thl-fin.

xxiii. 26), the tribune of the cohort (whose proper complement was 1000 men). 33. ἀλύσ. δυσί] See ch. xii. 6. He would

thus be in the custody of two soldiers.

τίς [αν] εἴη, who he might be (subjective possibility): and τί ἐστιν πεπ., what he had done (assuming that he must have done something). 34. παρεμβ.] The camp or barracks attached to the tower Antonia; -or perhaps 'into the tower' itself: but the other is the more usual meaning of παρεμβ. "For a full history and description of the fortress of Antonia, see Robinson, i. pp. 431, 435; Williams, Holy City, i. 99; ii. 403—411; Howson, ii. 311." Wordsworth. 35. ἀναβαθμ.] The steps leading up into the tower. The description of the tower or fort Antonia in

Jos. B. J. v. 5. 8, sets the scene vividly Jos. B. J. v. 5. 8, sets the scene titiding before us: - πυργοιείδη δε δόσα τό πῶν σχῆμα, κατὰ γωνίαν τέσσαρσιν ἐπέροις διείληπτο πύργοις: ὧν οΙ μὲν ἄλλοι πεντήκοντα τό ΰψος, δ δὲ ἐπὶ τῆ μεσημβρινῆ καὶ κατ' ἀνατολ)ν γωνία κείμενος ἐβδομήκοντα πηχῶν ἢν, ῶς καθορῶν ἄλου ἀπ' αὐτοῦ τό ἰερόν, καθὰ δὲ συνῆπτο ταῖς διεξείνες διαθορῶν δου ἐποδομένος καθὰ δὲ συνῆπτο ταῖς διεξείνες διαθορῶν δου ἐποδομένος διαθορῶν δου ἐποδομένος διαθορῶν δου ἐποδομένος διαθορῶν δου ἐποδομένος διαθορῶν τοῦ ίεροῦ στοαῖς, εἰς ἀμφοτέρας εἶχε καταβάσεις δι' ὧν κατιόντες οἱ φρουροί, καθηστο γὰρ ἀεὶ ἐπ' αὐτης τάγμα 'Ρωμαίων, καὶ διϊστάμενοι περί τὰς στοὰς μετὰ τῶν ύπλων, έν ταις έρρταις τον δημον, ώς μήτι νεωτερισθείη, παρεφύλαττον φρούριον γὰρ ἐπέκειτο τῆ πόλει μὲν τὸ ἱερόν, τῷ ίερῷ δὲ ἡ ᾿Αντωνία. 37. Ἑλληνιστὶ YIY.] as 'Græce nescire,' Cic. pro Flace. 4, -τους Συριστί ἐπισταμένους, Xen. Cyr.

38 οὐκ ἄρα σὰ εἶ ὁ Αἰγύπτιος ὁ πρὸ τούτων τῶν ἡμερῶν ABDE ΗΙΝΑΒ l ob. xvii, 6 reff, m here only†, Jos. 8, J. ii, 13, 3, αναστατώσας και έξαγαγων είς την έρημον τους τετρα-cdfgh κιςχιλίους ἄνδρας των " σικαρίων; 39 είπεν δε ο Παυλος n ch. xvi. 37 o here only \$. Έγω " ἄνθρωπος μέν είμι Ἰουδαίος Ταρσεύς, της Κιλικίας o here only 7.
Gen. xxx.
42. Job xlii.
11 only.
p Luke xv 15.
xix.14 11eb.
viii. 11 only.
Prov. xi 9.
q Matt. xix. 8.
Luke ix 61.
ch. xxvii. 3 ουκ ο ασήμου πόλεως η πολίτης, δέομαι δέ σου θεπίτρεψόν μοι λαλησαι προς τον λαόν. 40 9 έπιτρέψαντος δε αυτου ο Παύλος έστως έπὶ των ' αναβαθμών ' κατέσεισεν τη χειρί

τω λαω, πολλής δε τσιγής γενομένης προςεφώνησεν τη ch. xxvii. 3 xxxii. 14. t Rev. viii. 1 only. Wisd. xviii. 14 only. $\bar{\eta}\nu$ πολλή πανταχύθεν u absol., Luke xiii. 12. xxiii. 20 only. 2 Chrud. xxix. 28 Ald. 2 Macc. xv. r ver. 35. σιγή. Xen. Cyr. vii. 1. 25. 15 ooly. dat., ch. xxii. 2.

σιρικαριων Ε. **38**. for ουκ αρα, ου D. εξαναστατωσας Ε.

for ταρσευς to πολιτης, εν ταρσω δε της κιλικιας γεγεννημενος 39. om ειμι N1. for επιτρ., συνχωρησαι D: cujus rogo obsegro autem mihi D-lat. λογον bef λαλ. X1(X3 disapproving).

40. ins και bef επιτρεψαντος δε D1-gr: και επιτ., omg δε, D-lat Syr: om δε L 96. for αυτου, του χιλιαρχου D salı. εστως ο π. επ. τ. αν. και σεισας 1). for τω λαω, τον λαον H e k Chr(some mss): προς αυτους D Syr. τε ησυχειας D. YEVOLEVAS bef σιγης B.

vii. 5. 31: and reff. There is no ellipsis of λαλεῖν. 38. οὐκ ἄρα σὺ εἰ] Thou art not then, as I believed The E. V., after the Vulg., 'art not thou' ('nonne tu es . . .') would require ãρ' οὐ or обкои, Winer, edn. 6, § 57. 3. See also Luke xvii. 17; John xviii. 37. Alγύπτιος] The inference of the tribune was not, as in Bengel, 'Græce loquitur: ergo est Ægyptius;' but the very contrary to this. His being able to speak Greek is a proof to Lysias that he is not that Egyptian. This Egyptian is mentioned by Josephus, Antt. xx. 8. 6, ἀφικνεῖται δέ τις έξ Αλγύπτου κατά τοῦτον τον καιρον είς τὰ Ἱεροσόλυμα, προφήτης είναι λέγων, καὶ συμβουλεύων τῷ δημοτικῷ πλήθει σὺν αὐτῷ πρὸς ὄρος τὸ προςαγορευόμενον Ἐλαιῶν έρχεσθαι, δ και της πόλεως άντικρυς κείμενον ἀπέχει στάδια πέντε θέλειν γάρ, έφασκεν, αὐτοῖς ἐκεῖθεν ἐπιδεῖξαι, ὡς κελεύσαντος αὐτοῦ πίπτοι τὰ τῶν Ἱεροσολύμων τείχη, δι' ὧν τὴν εζεοδον αὐτοῖς παρέξειν έπηγγέλλετο. Φηλιξ δέ ως επύθετο ταῦτα, κελεύει τοὺς στρατιώτας ἀναλαβεῖν τὰ οπλα, καὶ προςβάλλει τοῖς περὶ τὸν Αίγύπτιον καὶ τετρακοσίους μέν αὐτῶν άνείλε, διακοσίους δε ζώντας έλαβεν. δ δε Αίγύπτιος αὐτὸς διαδράσας ἐκ τῆς μάχης άφανης έγένετο. But in B. J ii. 13, 5, he says of the same person, περί τριςμυρίους άθροίζει των ήπατημένων, περιαγαγών δέ αὐτοὺς ἐκ τῆς ἐρημίας εἰς τὸ Ἑλαιῶν καλ. διαφθαρηναι κ. ζωγρηθηναι πλείστους των σύν αὐτώ. It is obvious that the numerical accounts in Jos. are inconsistent with our text, and with one another. This latter being the case, we may well

leave them out of the question. At different times of his rebellion, his number of followers would be variously estimated; and the tribune would naturally take it as he himself or his informant had known it, at some one period. That this is so, we may see by noticing that our narrative speaks of his leading out,-whereas Josephus's numbers are those whom he brought back from the wilderness against Jerusalem, by which time his band would have augmented considerably. Tous TETP.] the four thousand,-the matter being one of notoriety. σικαρίων] From siea, a dagger; they are described by Jos. B. J. ii. 13. 3, ἕτερον εἶδος ληστῶν ἐν 'Ιεροσολύμοις ὑπεφύετο, οἱ καλούμενοι σικαρίοι, μεθ' ήμέραν και έν μέση τῆ πολει φονεύοντες ανθρώπους μάλιστα δὲ ἐν ταις έορταις μισγόμενοι τώ πλήθει, καλ ταις ξοθήσεσιν ύποκρύπτοντες μικρά ξι-φίδια, τούτοις ξνυττον τοὺς διαφόρους. ... πρῶτος μὲν οῦν ὑπ' ἀὐτῶν Ἰωνά-θης ὁ ἀρχιερεὺς ἀποσφάζεται μετά δὲ αὐτὸν καθ ἡμέραν ἀνηροῦντο πολλοί . . . 39. μέν] Our The art. is generic. indeed,-implying 'not the Egyptian, but,' -exactly renders it: I indeed am: so Aristoph. Plut. 355, μὰ Δι' ἐγὰ μὲν οῦ. See Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 413. ἀσήμου πόλ.] See note, ch. ix. 11. The expression is an elegant one, and very

 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \eta s \Lambda$.

common. Wetst. gives many examples, and among them one from Eurip. Ion 8, έστιν γάρ οὐκ ἄσημος Έλλήνων πόλις. There was distinction in his being a πo -

λίτης of an urbs libera. "Many of the coins of Tarsus bear the epigraphs μητρόπολις and αὐτόνομος." Wordsw. from

ν Εβραΐδι Ψ διαλέκτω λέγων [XXII.] 1 Ανδρες ἀδελφοὶ ν ch. xxii. 2. xxvi. 14 καὶ πατέρες, ἀκούσατέ μου τῆς πρὸς ὑμᾶς νυνὶ κατολο- «ch.i.i) gree. Ακοίσιους. καὶ πατέρες, ἀκούσατέ μου τῆς πρὸς ὑμας νυνὶ απολο- ν κh. 19 refl. γίας. 2 ἀκούσαντες δὲ ὅτι τῆ y Ἑβραΐδι y διαλέκτων προςεφωνεί αὐτοῖς, μᾶλλον ab παρέσχον bc ήσυχίαν. καὶ φποιν 3 Έγω είμι ἀνὴρ Ἰουδαΐος, γεγεννημένος ἐν Ταρσω τῆς Κιλικίας, d ἀνατεθραμμένος δὲ ἐν τῆ πόλει ταύτην c παρὰ τοὺς πόδας Γαμαλιήλ, f πεπαιδευμένος κατὰ a των τοῦ b πατρώου νόμου, i ζηλωτὴς ὑπάρχων i την b δόδν i ἐδίωξα m ἄχρι m θανάτου, n δεσμεύων καὶ o παρα- a την b δόδον i ἐδίωξα m ἄχρι m θανάτου, n δεσμεύων καὶ o παρα- a την b δόδον i ἐδίωξα m ἄχρι m θανάτου, n δεσμεύων καὶ o παρα- a την b δόδον i ἐδίωξα m ἄχρι m θανάτου, n δεσμεύων καὶ o παρα- a την a δοδούς είς φυλακὰς ἄνδρας τε καὶ γυναίκας, b ως καὶ ὁ b δοδικαίτων a την a την

Prov. vii. 9. (-cos.) Tim. ii. 2. 1 Pet. iii. 4.) deh. vii. 20, 21 only f. Wisd. vii. 4, vat. P. find A.) only. e Luke viii. 38, ch. iv. 33, 37, v. 2 al. 4 Kings iv. 37 Ald. f.—ch. vii. 22 reff. g here only. Dan. vii. 10 (see note and ch. svait. 29 reff.). xs viii. io. 2 Macc. vi. 1 vat. ich. xsi. 20 reff. (-λοῦν r. h. xxi. vi. 4. xxvii. 17 only. Prov. xs viii. io. 2 Macc. vi. 1 vat. ich. xsi. 20 reff. (-λοῦν r. h. xxi. vi. 4. xxvii. 17 only. Frov. (Matl. xxii. 4) only. Rev. ii. 10. xii. 11 only. ie/χρ θαν., 2 Macc. xiii. 14. on. n. here (Matl. xxii. 4) only. Gen. xii. 11. oconstr., ch. viii. 3 reff. p Rom. x. 2. Gal. fv. 15. Col. iv. 13. q. = Luke xxii. 60 (1 Tim. iv. 14) onlyt. (Susan. 50 Theod. F.)

for εβραιδι, ιδια A.

CHAP. XXII. 1. ree vuv, with a f 13 Chr Ec: txt ABDEHLN rel 36 Thl.

2. προσφωνει DEH am fuld tol Œe Thl-sif: προσφωνησεν L a b e k o 36. 40, adlocutus est E-lat: txt ABN rel Chr Thl-fin, loquebatur demid. [D-lat is deficient from om αυτοις D: αυτων A1(perhaps). this point to ver 10. ησυχιαν, ησυχασαν Ď.

3. ree aft εγω ins μεν, with HL rel syr copt æth Chr Œc Thl: om ABDEN a 13.36 εν ταρσω τ. κιλ. bef vulg sah. ανηρ bef ειμι Ν'. ιουδαιος bef ανηρ D. γεγεννημένος D: γεγενημένος A o. γαμαλιηλου B 36 Chr. παιδευομενος aft πεπαιδευομενος ins δε H k m Chr. om υπαρχων D vulg. υμεις παντες D.

4. for os, και D Syr æth. μεχρι D e: εωs k. φυλακην D 96. 142. 180 am

5. om o D¹ 56. 180: ins D-corr¹. aft αρχιερευs ins ανανιαs 137 syr-w-ast. μαρτυρησει D: εμαρτυρει B: επιμαρτυρει 137. for παν, ολον D.

Akermann, p. 56. 40. $\tau \hat{\eta}$ E $\beta \rho$. $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda$.] The Syro-Chaldaie, the mothertongue of the Jews in Judæa at this time: his motive is implied (ch. xxii. 2) to be, that they might be the more disposed to listen to him. CHAP. XXII. 1.7 This speech of Paul repeats the narrative of his conversion to Christianity, but this time most skilfully arranged and adapted (within legitimate limits) to avoid offence and conciliate his hearers. Proofs of this will appear as we go on. See an enquiry into its diction and rendering into Greek, in the Prolegg. § ii. 17, B. 3.] De Wette and others would place the comma after ταύτη, so to make the two clauses, beginning with γέγ, and ἀνατ, exactly correspond. But (not to insist, with Meyer, on the reason that a new circumstance is introduced with each participle) it is surely better, as the rule of the sentence seems to be to place the participles before the words which qualify them, to take $\vec{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ταύτη παρά τ. π. Γ., all as the qualification of ἀνατεθραμμένος, and punctuate, as commonly done, after Γαμαλιήλ. Gamaliel, see note, ch. v. 34. The expression παρὰ τ. πόδ. (see ch. iv. 35, note) indicates that the rabbi sat on an elevated seat and the scholars on the ground or on benches, literally at his feet.

ката акр.] (The art. omitted aft. a prep.) According to the strict acceptation of the law of my fathers; = κατὰ τὴν ἀκριβεστάτην αίρεσιν της ημετέρας θρησκείας, ch. xxvi. 5;-i. e. as a Pharisee. So Jos. B. J. ii. 8, 18, Φαρισαΐοι . . . οἱ δοκοῦντες

μετὰ ἀκριβείας έξηγεῖσθαι τὰ νόμιμα. Some of the older Commentators make τοῦ πατρώου νόμου governed by πεπαιδ., and take κατ' ἀκρίβ. adverbially: which would give a very vapid sense, the accuracy and carefulness of his education having been already implied in παρὰ τ. π. Γαμαλιήλ.
καθὼς . . .] Not meaning 'in the same way as YE are all this day' (but now

παο ων καὶ 'έπιστολὰς δεξάμενος πρὸς τοὺς ἀδελφοὺς ABDE r = ch. ix. 2 sch. xxi. 3 only. Job xxxix. 29. είς Δαμασκον επορευόμην, άξων και τους εκείσε όντας cdfg h δεδεμένους είς Ίερουσαλήμ, ίνα τιμωρηθώσιν. 6 " έγένετο τῶν ἐκείσε δεδεμένους εἰς Ἱερουσαλήμ, ἵνα ἱτιμωρηθῶσιν. ο ἱεγένετο ἐμοῦν, Ιοῦς Απι. 11. 21. δε μοι πορευομένω καὶ ἑεγγίζοντι τῆ Δαμασκῷ Ἦπερὶ απις Εκκ. χρεσημβρίαν ἑξαίφνης ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ў περιαστράψαι φῶς ικοὶν των ἐκανὸν περὶ ἐμές, ἔπεσὰ τε εἰς τὸ αεδαφος καὶ ἤκουσα κιν. 11. 5. 3. 13. δε. φωνῆς λεγούσης μοι Σαούλ Σαούλ, τί με ὑδιώκεις; ¾ ἐγω κιν. 13. δε. ἀπεραίθην Τίς, εξ. κίοις ἱπέν τε πρός με ἑχίνης καὶ ἤκουσα καὶ ἔκονοῦς καὶ ἐκανοῦς καὶ ἔκονοῦς καὶ ἐκανοῦς καὶ ἔκονοῦς καὶ ἐκανοῦς καὶ ἐκ φωνής λεγούσης μοι Σαούλ Σαούλ, τί με ⁶ διώκεις; ⁸ έγω ...μοι d. δε ἀπεκρίθην Τίς εί, κύριε; είπέν τε πρός με Έγω Ημκαρ νέδι και δὲ ἀπεκρίθην Τίς εἶ, κύριε; εἶπέν τε πρός με Έγω $\frac{1}{2}$ κων εἰπ. Σαι εἰμι Ἰησοῦς ὁ Ναζωραῖος ον σὰ διώκεις. $\frac{9}{2}$ οἱ δὲ σὰν $\frac{1}{2}$ και εἰμι Ἰησοῦς ὁ Ναζωραῖος ον σὰ διώκεις. $\frac{9}{2}$ οἱ δὲ σὰν $\frac{1}{2}$ και κιὶ $\frac{1}{2}$ και εἰμι διντες τὸ μὲν φῶς ἐθεάσαντο [καὶ εἰμορβοι ἐγένοντο], σαις $\frac{1}{2}$ και $\frac{1}{2}$ και $\frac{1}{2}$ τὴν δὲ φωνὴν οὖκ ὅπκουσαν τοῦ λαλοῦντός μοι. $\frac{10}{2}$ εἶπον σοις $\frac{1}{2}$ εὶ Τὶ ποιήσω, κύριε; ὁ δὲ κύριος εἶπεν πρός με ε΄ Λνατεπ. είμι Ίησους ο Ναζωραίος ον συ διώκεις. 9 οι δε συν klimo a here only.

Β λ κατεί με δια τας πορεύου εις Δαμασκόν, κακεί σοι ° λαληθήσεται περί rs. cxviii. 20, h ver. 4. c ch. x. 4 reff. d ch. ix. 4 reff. e ch. ix. 6 (reff.). πάντων ων τέτακταί σοι ποιησαι. 11 ως δε ουκ εν- ...παναπικ. 4 τεπ. είς δλεπον $^{\rm h}$ άπὸ τῆς $^{\rm i}$ ξόξης τοῦ φωτὸς ἐκείνου, $^{\rm k}$ χειοαγω- ΑβΕΗ $^{\rm ceh}$ κιι $^{\rm ceh}$ κιι $^{\rm ceh}$ χειοαγω- $^{\rm k}$ χειοαγω- ΑβΕΗ $^{\rm ceh}$ κιι $^{\rm ceh}$ χειοαγω- $^{\rm k}$ χειοαγω- $^{\rm k}$ λειο $^{\rm k}$ λειος $^{\rm k$

26. constr, here only. Xen. de Rep. Lac. xi. 6, τ of δ è $\tilde{\pi} \epsilon \sigma \theta u \tau \tau \tau \tau \kappa \tau u$. g = Mark viii. 25 only t. h = ch. xii. 14 reft. Exod. vi. 9. i = Luke ix. 31, 32. 1 Cor. xv. 40, 41. 2 Cor. iii. 7, 18. Exod. xvi. 40. kch. ix. 8 only. Jug. xvi. 26 F. only. $(\gamma \delta \tau, ch.$ xiii. 11.) 1 Luke ix. 18 only. Jer. iii. 20. Esdr. vi. 2. 2 Mace. ix. 4 only.

aft wv om και D 3 fuld coptt. for ποος τους αδ., παρα των αδελφων D. om αξων to δεδεμενους Η. ekei D: ut adducerem inde vinctos vulg. for eis (bef iepovo.), ev D.

6. for eyev. to meshabp. D^1 has engigonal $\delta[\epsilon \mu]$ of meshabplas damaskw (th dam. D^2): txt D6. for $\epsilon \kappa$, $\alpha \lceil \pi \sigma \rceil$ D¹: txt D². περιεστραψεν Ε 137: -ψαι D-corr: -ψα

μ[ε] D¹. 7. for επ. τε, και επ. D.

7. for επ. τε, και επ. D. [επεσα, so ABEHN d f m 36. 40 Ath Thl.] σανλε σανλε (as lat, ver 13) D 1 25. for ειμι, ει D¹: txt D² or 3. for eimi, et D1: txt D2 or 3. at end ins σκληρον σοι προς κεντρα λακτιζειν E demid syr-marg Ath.

8. aft απεκριθην ins και ειπα Ν. for $\tau \epsilon$, $\delta \epsilon$ D (al?). *εμε* ΒΧ¹. να(o-

paios X1.

9. N¹ has omitted σαν in εθεασαντο. οm και εμφοβοι εγενοντο ABHX 13 vnlg Syr copt arm Bede: ins DEL rel (36) syr sah ath Chr (Ec Thl. (On the one hand we may place the possibility of own from similarity of endings [so Meyer]; on the other, interpolation from the ειστηκεισαν εννεοι of chix. 7: the fact noticed by Tischdf that εμφ. γεν. is a phrase almost peculiar to St. Luke does not tell distinctly either way: εννεοι could not be used in this connexion.) ηκουον Ε-gr N3.

om κυριος D k, simly sah æth. 10, ειπα D. εντετακται Β(Mai) : εντεταλfor περι to σοι, τι σε δει (see ch ix. 6) H 41. 34. 951. 98-marg 100 ται B2(Vere).

Chr: de omnibus quæ te oporteat facere vulg (E-lat). om σοι Ε.

11. ουδενεβλεπον (i. c. either ουδεν εβλεπον οτ ουδ' ενεβλεπον) Β: εβλεπον Ε 18, aveβλ. 68. 100 Thl-fin: ut autem surrexi (surrexit D1) non videbam D-lat syr-marg. for υπο, απο A.

in another way): but as ye all are this day: 'I had the same zealous character (not excluding his still retaining it) which you all

shew to-day.' A conciliatory comparison.
5. δ ἀρχ.] 'The High Priest of that day, who is still living:' i. e. Theophilus, see on ch. ix. 1. Similarly, the whole Sanhedrim = 'those who were then members, and now survive.' παρ' ὧν καί from whom, moreover. πρὸς τοὺς ἀδελφ.] to the Jewish (their) brethren (see ch. xxviii. 21). Bornemann's rendering,

'against the (Christian) brethren,' is altogether inadmissible. If ever Paul spoke to the Jews as a Jew, it was on this occasion.

kal rows ik.] even those who were there.

kal rows if resolved, we will resolved, we will resolved. would be είς Δαμασκόν,—a similar construction to είς οἶκόν ἐστιν, Mark ii. 1, 'those who had settled at Damascus and were then there.' 6.] On Paul's conversion and the comparison of the accounts in chapp. ix., xxii., and xxvi., see notes on ch. ix. I have there treated of the dis-

12. rcc (for enlabh) eusebhs, with E rel Ec: om A vulg (the omn has prob been because the sentence is complete without the epithet: eusebhs, a gloss on eulabhs): txt BHLN a b c g k o 13. 36. 40. μ arturophevos A¹. aft κατοικουντων ins ev δαμασκω (supplementary gloss) HL 13 rel demid tol syr ath arm Chr_1 Thl: aft 1008, 73: om ABEN f g vulg Syr copt Ec.

εμε ABN. εβλεψα Λ.

14. προεχειρησατο AL k: προεχειρησατο (but s marked and erased) N. om 1st και A¹. om του A k 1 95¹.

15. μαρτ. αυ. πρ. π. ανθρ. bef εση Β. aft ων ins τε E-gr b c o 36.

16. rec (for αυτου) του κυριου, with HL rel Thl-sif Œc: add ιησου k 43. 99 (explanatory corrections): txt ABEN a c 13. 36 vulg D-lat syrr coptt æth arm Chr Thl-fin.

17. προςευχομενω, οmg μου, Ε e 93. 95. for με, μοι L α²-marg 99. 106. 137: om 25. 40. 96. 105 arm. in Ν σθαι οf γενεσθαι is written twice.

18. for ιδειν, ιδον \$\mathbb{R}\$ 180 sah. rec ins την, with EHL rel 36 Chr Thl Œc: tes-

timonium meum D-lat: om (as unnecessary?) ABN a 13.

crepancies, real or apparent. 11.] See notes, ch. ix. 8, 18. 12. That Ananias 11.] See was a Christian, is not here mentioned,— and ἀνηρ . . . 'Ιουδαίων is added: both, as addressed to a Jewish audience. Before the Roman governor in ch. xxvi., he does not mention him at all, but compresses the whole substance of the command given to Ananias into the words spoken by the Lord to himself. A heathen moralist could teach,- 'Quid de quoque viro, et cui dicas, sæpe videto' (Hor. Ep. i. 18. 68): and a Christian Apostle was not unmindful of the necessary caution. Such features in his speeches are highly instructive and valuable to those who would gather from Scripture itself its own real character: and be, not slaves to its letter, but disciples of its spirit.

13. ἀνέβλ. εἰς αὐτόν] De W. re-

marks, that the two meanings of $\partial \nu \alpha \beta \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega$ here unite in the word: I looked, with recovered sight, upon him. 14—16 is not related, but included, in ch. ix. 18, 19.

14. δ θ . τ . $\pi \alpha \tau$. $\dot{\eta} \mu$.] So Peter, ch. iii. 13; v. 30. In ch. ix. 17, δ kúptos is the word: this title is given for the Jews.

τον δίκαιον] So Stephen, ch. vii. 52. How forcibly must the whole scene have recalled him, whom presently (ver. 20) he mentions by name. 16. ἀπόλουσαι...] This was the Jewish as well as the Christian doctrine of baptism.

See ref. 1 Cor. and note. adrow] of Jesus, row Sikadov. Paul carefully avoids mentioning to the Jesus this Name, except where it is macoidable, in ver. 8: so abr ba again, ver. 18. 17.] viz. are lated ch. ix. 26—30, where nothing of

q constr. ch. Κύριε, αὐτοὶ ἐπίστανται ὅτι ἐγὼ q ἤμην τ φυλακίζων καὶ ΑΒΕΗ κατα τὰς συναγωγὰς τοὺς απιστεύοντας επί $\frac{1}{2}$ καὶ ὅτε τες εχύννετο τὸ αἴμα Στεφάνου τοῦ μάρ-

 $t_{\text{Disc}, x_i, \theta_i}^{\text{Linker, g.}}$, τυρός σου, καὶ αὐτὸς q ημην * έφεστως καὶ g συνευδοκών $^{\text{Linker, g.}}$ $^{\text{Linker, g.}}$ καὶ z φυλάσσων τὰ ἰμάτια των a ἀναιρούντων αὐτόν. 21 καὶ $^{\text{Disc}}$ $^{\text{Disc}}$

refl. wree note.
2 Tim., iv. 6 only. Zech. i. 10.
y 1 Cor. vii. 12, 13 refl.
z = Luke xi. 21. Exod. xxii. 7.
z = Luke xi. 21. Exod. xxii. 7.
z = Luke xi. 21. Exod. xxii. 7.

19. πεπιστευκοτας Ε: qui credebant vulg D-lat E-lat.

20. rec εξεχειτο (corrn to more usual form), with HL rel Chr: txt ABEN 13. 36 Thl-lin. (εξεχνετο (corrn to more usual form), with HL rel Chr: txt ABEN 13. 36 Thl-lin. (εξεχνετο Β²Ε 13. 36: txt AB'N) οπ στεφανου Α 68: τ. μαρτ. bef στεφ. 38. 73 (the omn is hardly accontable, if it was originally in the text: at the same time, the MS authority is too light to allow of its being now omitted. Meyer suggests the similarity of ending, στεφανου του: but this would occasion the onn of του, not ο στεφανου): txt BEHLN Chr Thl Cc. πρωτομαρτυρου L a c k m: πρωτου μαρτ. 7 syr. εστως Α 37. rec aft συνεύδικων ins τη αναιρεσεί αυτου (interpolated from ch with: 1), with HL rel (13) 36 syr Chr Thl Cc: τη βουλη των αναιρουντων αυτον (and λιθαζοντων for αναιρ. below) Syr: om AB D(appy: D-lat ends with consentiens) EN 40 vulg coptt with.
Thl-sif (Cc: ins ABDEN rel 36 vulg coptt.—φυλ. τε c 137.

this vision, or its having been the cause of his leaving Jerusalem, is hinted. 18.] περί ἐμοῦ is to be taken with μαρτυρίαν, not with the verb, as Meyer and Winer maintain. Their objection, that then it must be την μαρτ. την περί έμου is answered by remarking, (1) that Paul does not always observe accuracy in this usage of the article: e. g. Eph. vi. 5, ὑπακούετε τοις κυρίοις κατά σάρκα, for τ. κυρ. τοις κατὰ σάρκα, or τοῖς κατὰ σάρκα κυρίοις, which he has written in the ||, Col. iii. 22, -1 Thess. iv. 16, οἱ νεκροὶ ἐν χριστῷ άναστήσονται πρώτον. See also Rom. vi. 4; Col. ii. 14, and notes: -and (2) that there may have been a reason for the irregularity here, inasmuch as, if either the article had been expressed after μαρτ., or την π. έμ. μαρτ. had been used, σου would have appeared to be governed by mapaδέξονται- 'they will not receive from thee thy testimony concerning me,'-which is not precisely the meaning intended to be conveyed. (See Mr. Green's Gram. of N. T. p. 163.) 19. The probable account of this answer is, that Paul thought his former great zeal against Christ, contrasted with his present zeal for Him, would make a deep impression on the Jews in Jerusalem: or, perhaps, he wishes by his earnest preaching of Jesus as the Christ among them, to undo the mischief of which he before was the agent, and therefore alleges his former zeal and his consenting to Stephen's death as reasons why he should remain in Jerusalem. can only refer to the same persons as the subjects of παραδέξονται above: not (as Heinrichs) to the foreign Jews ; " Ideirco

iter apostolicum extra urbem detrectat, quod undique odio petitum se iri prævidet, Hierosolymis autem in apostolorum collegio delitescere se posse opinatur:"-a motive totally unworthy of Paul, and an interpretation which happily the sentence will not bear. 20. μάρτυρός σου] "Ε. V. 'thy martyr,' following Beza: Vulg., and Erasm., testis tui. The Apostle may have here used the (Hebrew, as, as Wordsworth) word in its strict primary sense; for a view of Christ in His glory was vouchsafed to Stephen, and it was by bearing witness of that manifestation that he hastened his death (ch. vii. 55 ff.). The present meaning of the word martyr did, however, become attached to it at a very early period, and is apparently of apostolic authority: e. g. Rev. xvii. 6, and Clem. Rom. 1 Cor. v., p. 217 (cited in note on ch. i. 25). . . . The transition from the first to the secondary sense may be easily accounted for. Many who had only seen with the eye of faith, suffered persecution and death as a proof of their sincerity. For such constancy the Greek had no adequate term. It was necessary for the Christians to provide one. None was more appropriate than μάρτυρ, seeing what had been the fate of those whom Christ had appointed to be His witnesses (ch. i. 8). They almost all suffered : hence to witness became a synonym for to suffer; while the suffering was in itself a kind of testimony." (Mr. Humphry.) Dr. Wordsw. well designates this introduction of the name of Stephen "A noble endeavour to make public reparation for a public sin, by public confession in the same place where the sin was comC Kat eιπεν... ABCDE HLNab

είπεν πρός με Πορεύου, ὅτι ἐγὼ είς ἔθνη b μακρὰν c έξ-babsol, Luke αποστελώ σε. $\frac{22}{\text{Hκουον}}$ δὲ αὐτοῦ ἄχρι τούτου τοῦ $\frac{\text{xr. 20. ch.}}{28\,\text{refl.}}$ Ερh. λόγου, καὶ $\frac{\text{d}}{\text{έπηραν}}$ την $\frac{\text{d}}{\text{φωνην}}$ αυτών λέγοντες ' Αἴρε $\frac{\text{dos. 30. cm.}}{\text{inj. 2ceh.}}$ ἀπὸ τῆς γῆς τὸν $^{\rm f}$ τοιοῦτον, οὐ γὰο $^{\rm g}$ καθῆκεν αὐτὸν ζην. $^{\rm cch}$ vii. $^{\rm 12}$ ch. ii. $^{\rm 14}$ ref. $^{\rm g}$ κραυγαζόντων τε αὐτῶν καὶ $^{\rm i}$ ρίπτοῦντων τὰ ἰμάτις $^{\rm cch}$ vii. $^{\rm 33}$ ref. ref. κραυγαζωντων τε αυτών και 'ριπτουντών τα ιματια -ch. vii. 38 καὶ 'κουιορτὸν βαλλόντων είς τὸν ἀέρα, $\frac{24}{\epsilon}$ έκέλευσεν ὁ $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ της χιλίαρχος εἰς άγεσθαι αὐτόν εἰς τὴν $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ παρεμβολήν, $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ είπας $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ κιί. 2 και $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ μάστιζιν 'ἀνετάζισθαι αὐτόν, τια $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ έπιγνῷ δὶ ἡν $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ αἰτίαν $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ κιί. 2 και $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ της $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ της

 $\epsilon\theta\nu$ os E-gr 25. εξαποστελλω D e Ath: αποστελω E-gr.

22. ηκουσαν D syrr. rec καθηκον (the meaning of the imperf not being apprehended, as the varr shew), with a Thl-fin (Ec: καθηκει 68. 69. 982. 105: καθηκαν 18. 43: txt ABCDEHLN rel 36 Hip Ath Chr2 Thl-sif.

23. κραζοντων C c g l o Chr Thl sif Œc-êd. rec δε (alt τ ε), with DEHL \aleph rel 36 vulg copt Chr: txt ABC Syr æth. rec δε (alteration of characteristic

24. rec αυτον bef ο χιλιαρχος, with HL rel 36 Thl-sif (Ec: om e 137, 142: txt ABCDEN a m 13, 36, 40 cm.) dropped out when the order was altered.) rec ειπων (more usual form), with HL (13) rel 36 Chr: ειπε δε k: txt ABCDEN. εταζεσθαι Ε m 40: εξετ. 4: ανεταζειν D1: txt D2. γνω Α 13. 36 Chr. κατεφωνουν D c 137. for αυτω, περι антон D: антон 137.

25. rec προετεινεν (to suit the subject o χιλ., no more persons having been mentd: this the varr shew), with k l m o Œc: προςετείνεν Η Thl-sif: προετείνον ΑΕ Thl-fin: txt BLN a b c g h 13. 36 Chr(some mss have προσετείνον) vss, προσετείναν CD 40. 137. (f is doubtful.) εκατονταρχην D 73. om ο παυλος D syr Chr: ins

mitted." ." καὶ αὐτός] I myself also. 21.] The object of Paul in relating this vision appears to have been to shew that his own inclination and prayer had been, that he might preach the Gospel to his own people: but that it was by the imperative command of the Lord Himself 22. τούthat he went to the Gentiles. του τ. λόγου] viz. the announcement that he was to be sent to the Gentiles. 'Populi terrarum non vivunt,' was the maxim of the children of Abraham. Chetubb. fol. iii. 2 καθηκέν] ' deeuerat :' implying, he ought to have been put to death long ago (when we endeavoured to do it, but he escaped). 23. ριπτούντων] Not 'flinging off their garments,' as preparing to stone him, or even as representing the action of such preparation: the former would be futile, as he was in the custody of the tribune,-the latter absurd, and not borne out by any known habit of the Jews: but shaking, jactitantes, their gar-

ments, as shaking off the dust, abominating such an expression and him who uttered it. The casting dust into the air was part of the same gesture. Chrys. explains it, ριπτάζοντες, ἐκτινάσσοντες. 24.7 The tribune, not understanding the language in which Paul spoke, wished to extract from him by the scourge the reason which so exasperated the Jews against him. In this he was acting illegally: 'Non esse a tormentis incipiendum, Div. Augustus constituit.' Digest. Leg. 48, tit. 18, c. 1 (De W.). ἐπεφών.] they were thus crying out against him. 25. And while they were binding him down with the thongs. Dr. Bloomfield quotes from Dio Cassins, xi. 49, 'Αντίγονον έμαστίγωσε σταυρώ προδήσαντες, and explains rightly, I think, the $\pi \rho o$ in both verbs to allude to the position of the prisoner, which was, bent forward, and tied with a sort of gear made of leather to an inclined post. De W. and others render τοις ίμασω, for the

Παύλος] * Εί * ἄνθρωπον 'Ρωμαίον καὶ * ἀκατάκοιτον * έξ- ABCDE v = ch. i. 6 reff. w ch. xvi. 37 reff. εστιν υμίν μαστίζειν; 26 ακούσας δε ο εκατόνταοχος cfgh x ch. xvi. 37 προςελθών τῷ χιλιάρχῳ ἀπήγγειλεν λέγων Τί μέλλεις 13 χαιμένι.» προςελθών τῷ χιλιάρχῳ ἀπήγγειλεν λέγων Τί μέλλεις γω pres, κεί l'eff. ποιείν ; ὁ γὰρ ἄνθρωπος οὖτος Ῥωμαῖος ἐστιν. ²⁷ προς-μένε σοίγ. Σά. Wied.ν. ελθών δὲ ὁ χιλίαρχος εἶπεν αὐτῷ Λέγε μοι, σῦ Ῥωμαῖος 11 lonly. εί; ὁ δὲ ἔφη Ναί. 28 ἀπεκρίθη ὁ χιλίαρχος Έγω πολ-(-γοῦν, Matt. x. 17 a = here (1heb.) $\lambda o \bar{v}^a \kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda a i o v \tau \dot{\eta} v b \tau o \lambda i \tau \epsilon i a v \tau a \dot{v} \tau \eta v \dot{c} \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \dot{a} \mu \eta v.$ \dot{o} Πετε (18το). $\frac{1}{2}$ δὲ Παῦλος ἔφη Ἐγὼ δὲ καὶ γεγεννημαι. $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1$

ABCEHLR 13. 36 rel vss Thl Œe, but copt arm put it after ειπεν. (If the words originally formed part of the text it is very unlikely that they should have been omitted, while insertions of this kind are very common; but the mss evidence being so very strong, it seems best to insert the words in brackets.) εξεστιν υμιν bef ανθρωπον . . . for $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \aleph^1$.

26. for akousas $\delta \epsilon$, touto ak. D. εκατονταρχης ACDN1: txt BEHLN3 13. add στι ρωμαιον εαυτον λεγει D 137. rec απηγγειλεν bef τω χιλιαρχω (alteration of order to avoid the ambiguity, προς ελθ. τω χ. οr τω χ. απηγγ.), with IIL rel Thl-sif Ec: txt ABCDEN a (e) h k m 13. 40 vulg copt arm Chr Thl-fin.

—[ε]πηγ. D¹(Wtst, Kipl): txt D². om λεγων D c 137 syr: D syr-w-ast ins αυτω in place of λεγων. rec ins opa bef τι (interpolated appy to give precision, and break the abruptness of the text), with DHL rel wth Chr: om ABCEN 13, 36, 40 vulg syrr copt arm. om γαρ D¹ æth: ins D²(?).

27. τοτε προςελθ. ο χ. επηρωτησεν αυτον D. for αυτω, τω παυλω L. rec ins & bef ov (interpolated, to make the interrogation plainer), with L rel demid Chr: om ABCDEHS a e f h m 13. 36 am fuld tol syrr copt arm Ammon-c,

for εφη ναι, ειπεν ειμι D.

28. rec aft απεκ. ins τε, with H rel vulg Thl Œc: δε BCEN a c k 13. 36 syrr copt: om AL 40 arm Chr: και αποκριθείς ο χ. [και] είπεν (αυτω) D, και erased, αυτω added by D^2 ? for πολλου, οιδα ποσου D and "alia editio" mentd by Bede. (Remarkable, and possibly original, πολλου being a gloss: but if so, the genuine reading has been now overborne by the intruder.) om Thy C. παυλος δε εφη D: om II. om 2nd δε CN1 42. 96. 142 Thl-sif: om δε και copt. γεγενημαι A D-corr e

m1 13. ins πολιτης bef ρωμαιος E vulg.

29. for ευθεως ουν, τοτε D. om $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^1$. scourge' (dat. commodi); but why should μάστιξιν be varied? and can it be shewn (as Dr. B. asks) that the word in the plural will bear this meaning? ἐκατόνταρχον] The 'centurio supplicio præpositus' of Tacitus and Sencea,-standing by to superintend the punishment.

ανθ. κ.τ.λ.] See ch. xvi. 37, note. 28.] Dio Cassius, lx. 17, mentions that, in the reign of Claudius, Messalina used to sell the freedom of the city, and at very various prices at different times : ή πολιτεία μεγάλων το πρώτον χρημάτων πρα-θείσα, έπειθ' ούτως ύπο της εύχερείας έπευωνήθη, ὥετε καὶ λογοποιηθῆναι ὅτι κὰν ὑάλινά τις σκεύη συντετριμμένα δῷ τινί, πολίτης έσται. ἐγὼ δὲ καὶ γεγ.] But I (besides having the privilege like thee of being a Roman citizen) was also born one. How was Paul a Roman

citizen by birth? Certainly not because he was of Tarsus: for (1) that city had no such privilege, but was only an 'urbs libera,' not a Colonia nor a Municipium: and (2) if this had been so, the mention of his being a man of Tarsus (ch. xxi. 39) would have of itself prevented his being scourged. It remains, therefore, that his father or some ancestor must have obtained the civitas, either as a reward for service ('urbes, merita erga P. R. allegantes, civitate donavit,' Suet. Aug. 47) or by purchase. It has been suggested that the father of Saul may have been sold into slavery at Rome, when Cassius laid a heavy fine on the city for having esponsed the cause of Octavius and Antony, Appian, B. C. iv. 64, and very many of the Tarsians were sold to pay it. He may have acquired his freedom and the citizenship afterwards.

viii. 26. m pass., Matt. xxvii. 12. ch. xxv. 16 only †. 2 Mace. x. 13. ch. iv. 15 reff. p ch. xxiii. 15 reft. q constr., here only. (see ch. vi. 6.) i. r. w. dat., r. w. dat.,

rec ην bef αυτον, with HL rel Chr Thl-sif Œc: txt ABCEN 13 Thl-fin. for δεδεκως, δεδωκως A¹ 36. 38. 73. 99. 101. 106 Thl-sif: δεδηκως A²C: δεδοικως 96². 105. add και αυτη τη ωρα ελυσεν αυτον syr-w-ast: και παραχρημα ελυσεν αυτον 137. (Henceforth in Acts, D being deficient, its readings may be approximated to by noticing those of its nearest cognates, 137 and syr-w-ast.)

30. επιουση c 137. om το Ε. κατηγορείτο c 137. rcc (for υπο) παρα, with HL g in Chr Thl-sfi. c: txt ABCEN 13. 36 rel 137 Chr Thl-sfi. grephs be fe λύνεν 137 syr-w-ast. rcc aft αυτον ins απο των δεσμων (supplementary gloss), with HL rel ath-pl Thl Ec: om ABCEN a 13. 40 vulg syrr copt with arm Chr. rcc ελθείν (see note: or the preceding σεν perhaps, as Meyer, caused the omn of συν-), with HL rel Syr copt with Thl-sif Ec: eiseλθείν 99 137: συνείελθείν c: txt ABCEN a b k m o 36. 40 vulg sah with Chr Thl-sfin. (13 def.) rcc for παν, ολον (see H/k vix 55), with HL rel Thl-sif Cc: txt ABCEN a c k k m 13. 36. 137 Chr Thl-sfin, απαν 40. (omne vulg, but so also in Mk xiv. 55 and Matt. xxvi. 59.) rcc aft συνεδριον ins αυτων (gloss, referring to ιυδαίων αδουε), with HL rel (Syr) Thl Gc: om ABCEN a c h 13. 36. 40. 137 vulg syr coptt with arm Chr.

CHAP. XXIII. 1. τω συνεδριω bef ο παυλος ΑCEN a 13 vulg Syr Lucif: txt BHL

See Mr. Lewin, i. p. 4. But this is mere conjecture. 29. kal... $\delta \epsilon$] moreover, 'more than that.' $\epsilon \phi \circ \beta$.] There is no inconsistency (as De W.) in the tribune's being afraid because he had bound him, and then letting him remain thus bound. Meyer rightly explains it, that the tribune, having committed this error, is afraid of the possible consequences of it ('facinus est vinciri civem R., scelus verberari,' Cic. Verr. v. 66), and shews this by taking the first opportunity of either un-doing it, or justifying his further detention, by loosing him, and bringing him before the Sanhedrim. His fear was on account of his first false step; but it was now too late to reverse it: and the same reason which leads him to continue it now, operates afterwards (δ δέσμιος Π., ch. xxiii. 18) when the hearing was delayed. That ην δεδεκώς cannot, as Bloomfield and Wordsworth suppose, refer only to the binding before scourging, its immediate juxtaposition with έλυσεν in the next verse sufficiently shews. Besides, the mere circumstance of a preparation for scourging having been begun in ignorance, and left off as soon as the knowledge was received, would rather have relieved, than occasioned, the fear of the tribune. A more cogent reason still is, that ην δεδεκώς can properly only apply to

an action still continuing when the fear was felt: that he had put him into custody. 'The centurion believed Paul's word, because a false claim of this nature, being easily exposed, and punishable with death (Suet. Claud. 25), was almost an un-precedented thing.' Hackett. 30. τὸ τί] The art. is opexegetical: see reff. It seems remarkable that the tribune in command should have had the power to summon the Sanhedrim: and I have not seen this remarked on by any Commentator. Some of the ancient correctors of the text, however, seem to have detected the difficulty, and to have altered συνελθείν into the vapid $\ell\lambda\theta\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$ in consequence. $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\gamma$.] From Antonia to the council-room. According to tradition (see Biscoe, p. 147, notes), the Sanhedrim ceased to hold their sessions in the temple about twenty-six years before this period. Had they done so now, Lysias and his soldiers could not have been present, as no heathen was permitted to pass the saered limits. Their present council-room was in the upper city, near the foot of the bridge leading across the ravine from the western cloister of the temple. Lewin, p. 672.

XXIII. 1.] ἀτενίσας seems to describe that peculiar look, connected probably with infirmity of sight, with which Paul is described before as regarding those before

τευμαι.

 $s= {
m (all \ pos-sible) ch. \ xx.}$ έγω $s= \pi$ άση tu συνειδήσει t άγαθη $t= \pi$ πεπολίτευμαι τ $\psi= \theta$ ε $\psi= \pi$ άχοι $t= \pi$ ΑΒCE $t= \pi$ siblejch, xx. εγω παση συντισμότι της δε αρχιερεύς 'Ανανίας × έπέταξεν ε fg h 10 refi 11 tm. 1.5, 10, ταύτης της ήμέρας. ² όδε αρχιερεύς 'Ανανίας × έπέταξεν ε fg h kl mo 1 ref. ii w. ³ τότε 13 21. (Heb. xiii. 18.) ο Παύλος προς αὐτον είπεν Τύπτειν σε μέλλει ο θεός, v Phil. i. 27 " τοίχε b κεκονιαμένε καὶ σὸ c κάθη κρίνων με κατά τὸν only t. μετά πάσης νόμον, και παρανομών κελεύεις με τύπτεσθαι; 4 οι δε · apetns Extlibe γ παρεστώτες είπον Τον αρχιερέα του θεου «λοιδορείς;

τευμάι,

3ο Life, 439 and § 2. τοῖε νόμοις πολιτεύεσθαι, 2 Macc. vi. 1. (-τευμά, Phil, iii, 20.)

μεςs, hete only. Xen, Anah. ii. 3.6. w. inf. aor., Mark vi. 39. Luke viii. 31 al. Esth. i. 8.

y. — Mark xiv. 47, 67, 70. Luke xiv. 24. John xviii. 22. xiv. 26.

e. Evol. xxxii. 3 al. (see Eph. ii. 14.)

E. Soul xxxii. 3 al. (see Eph. ii. 14.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 14.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 14.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 14.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al. (see Eph. ii. 16.)

E. Soul xxxii. 2 al.

rel 36 Chr Th
l Œc.—om δ B e 40. 137 Chr $_2$ της ημέρας bef ταυτης e m 13, 137. τ. παρεστ. αυτω bef επεταξεν e 137: om 2. for επεταξεν, εκελευσεν C a 36. αυτω Ν1.

3. προς αυτον bef ο παυλος Ν: ειπεν bef προς αυτον C vulg(not am fuld tol): om πρ. αυτ. 100. κεκονιασμενε C1 Orig. for παρανομών, παρα τον νομον E vulg Lucif.

4. ειπαν BN.

him: and may perhaps account for his not knowing that the person who spoke to him was the high priest, ver. 5. See ch. xiii. 9, note. The purport of Paul's assertion seems to be this: being charged with negleeting, and teaching others to neglect the law of Moses, he at once endeavours to disarm those who thus accused him, by asserting that up to that day he had lived a true and loyal Jew,-obeying, according to his conscience, the law of that divine πολιτεία of which he was a covenant member. Thus πεπολίτευμαι τῷ θεῷ will have its full and proper meaning : and the words are no vain-glorious ones, but an important assertion of his innocence. vias He was at this time the actual high priest (ver. 4). He was the son of Nebedæus (Jos. Antt. xx. 5. 2),—succeeded Joseph son of Camydus, Antt. xx. 1. 3; 5. 2,—and preceded Isnael, son of Phabi (Antt. xx. 8. 8, 11). He was nominated to the office by Herod, king of Chalcis, in A.D. 48 (Antt. xx. 5. 2); and sent to Rome by Quadratus, the prefect of Syria, to give an account to the emperor Claudius (Antt. xx. 6. 2): he appears, however, not to have lost his office, but to have resumed it on his return. This has been regarded as not certain,-and the uncertainty has produced much confusion in the Pauline chronology. But as Wieseler has shewn (Chronol. d. Apostelgeschichte, p. 76, note), there can be no reasonable doubt that it was so, especially as Ananias came off victorious in the cause for which he went to Rome, viz. a quarrel with the Jewish procurator Cumanus,-who went with him, and was condemned to banishment (Antt. xx. 6. 3). He was deposed from his office

not long before the departure of Felix (Antt. xx. 8. 8), but still had great power, which he used violently and lawlessly (ib. 9. 2): he was assassinated by the sicarii at last (B. J. ii. 17. 9). 3.7 It is perfeetly allowable (even if the fervid rebuke of Paul be considered exempt from blame) to contrast with his conduct and reply that of Him Who, when similarly smitten, answered with perfect and superhuman meekness, John xviii. 22, 23. Our blessed Saviour is to us, in all His words and acts, the perfect pattern for all under all circumstances: by aiming at whatever He did in each case, we shall do best: but even the greatest of his Apostles are so far our patterns only, as they followed Him, which certainly in this case Paul did not. That Paul thus answered, might go far to excuse a like fervent reply in a Christian or a minister of the gospel,-but must never be used to justify it: it may serve for an apology, but never for an example.

τύπτειν σε μέλλει κ.τ.λ.] Some have seen a prophetic import in these words :-- see above on the death of Ananias. But I would rather take them as an expression founded on a conviction that God's just retribution would come on unjust and τοιχε κεκον.] Lightfoot's brutal acts. interpretation, "quod (Ananias) colorem tantum gestaret pontificatus, cum resipsa evanuerit," is founded on the hypothesis (for it is none other) that the high priesthood was vacant at this time, and Ananias had thrust himself into it. The meaning is as in ref. Matt.; and in all probability Paul referred in thought to our Lord's saying.

κάθη κρίνων με] This must not be taken as favouring the common interpreta-

h pres., ch. xvi. 38 reff

5 ἔφη τε ὁ Παῦλος Οὐκ ἥδειν, ἀδελφοί, ὅτι ἐστὶν ἀρχ- τεχου.xxii. ιερεύς γέγραπται γὰρ [ὅτι] τ"Αρχοντα τοῦ λαοῦ σου οὐκ το Εκσά. Ιτ. εξεκά. Ιτ. εξεκά. Εκκακῶς. 6 γνοὺς δὲ ὁ Παῦλος ὅτι τὸ ἐν μέρος μέστιν Ιτ. κ. ixi. 14. Ιτ. vii., 21.

καλῶς εἰπεῖν, w. acc., Luke vi. 26,

5. rec om 2nd ort, with CEHL rel 36 Chr Thl-sif Ec: ins ABN k 13 sah Thl-fin.

tion of ver. 5 (see below): for the whole Sanhedrin were the judges, and sitting to judge him according to the law. Hence we see that not only by the Jews, but by the tribune, who was present, Ananias was regarded as the veritable high 5. (1) The ordinary interpriest. pretation of these words since Lightfoot, adopted by Michaelis, Eichhorn, Kuinoel, and others, is, that Ananias had usurped the office during a vacancy, and therefore was not recognized by Paul. They regard his being sent to Rome as a virtual setting aside from being high priest, and suppose that Jonathan, who was murdered by order of Felix (Antt. xx. 8. 5), was appointed high priest in his absence. But (a) there is no ground whatever for believing that his office was vacated. He won the cause for which he went to Rome, and returned to Jerusalem: it was only when a high priest was detained as hostage in Rome, that we read of another being appointed in his room (Antt. xx. 8. 11): and (β) which is fatal to the hypothesis, Jonathan himself (& άρχιερεύs) was sent to Rome with Ananias (B. J. ii. 12. 6, τοὺς ἀρχιερεῖς Ἰωνάθην και 'Ανανίαν ἀνέπεμψεν ἐπὶ Καίσαρα). Jonathan was called by the title merely as having been previously high priest. He succeeded Caiaphas, Antt. xviii.
4. 3: and he was not high priest again afterwards, having expressly declined to resume the office, Antt. xix. 6.4. Nor can any other Jonathan have been elevated to it,-for Josephus gives, in every case, the elevation of a new high priest, and his whole number of twenty-eight from Herod the Great to the destruction of Jerusalem (Antt. xx. 10. 5) agrees with the notices thus given. (See Wieseler, Chron. Synops. der 4 Evv. p. 187, note: and Biscoe, pp. 48 ff.) So that this interpretation is untenable. (2) Chrys. and most of the ancient Commentators supposed that Paul, having been long absent, was really unacquainted with the person of the high priest. But this can hardly have been : and even if it were, the position and official seat would have pointed out to one, who had been himself a member of the Sanhedrim, the president of the council. (3) Calvin, Camerar., al., take the words ironically: 'I could not be supposed to know that one who

conducted himself so cruelly and illegally, could be the high priest.' This surely needs no refutation, as being altogether out of place and character. (4) Bengel, Wetst., Kuinoel, Olsh., Neander, al., understand the words as an acknowledgment of rash and insubordinate language, and render obk ήδειν, 'I did not give it a thought,' 'I forgot:' and so Dr. Wordsworth. But as Meyer remarks, 'reputare' is never the meaning of eldévai; and were any pregnant or unusual sense intended, the context (as at 1 Thess. v. 12) would suggest it. (5) On the whole then, I believe that the only rendering open to us, consistently with the simple meaning of the words, and the facts of history is, I did not know that it (or he) was the high priest: and that it is probable that the solution of his ignorance lies in the fact of his imperfect sight—he heard the insolent order given, but knew not from whom it proceeded. I own that I am not entirely satisfied with this, as being founded perhaps on too slight premises: but as far as I can see there is no positive objection to it, which there is to every other. The objection stated by Dr. Wordsworth, "If St. Paul could not discern that Ananias was high priest, how could he see that he sat there as his judge?" would of course be easily answered by supposing that Paul who had himself been a member of the Sanhedrim may have known Ananias by his voice: or indeed may not (as above) have known him at all personally. It is hardly worth while to notice the rendering given by some, 'I knew not that there was a high priest.' Had any such meaning been intended, it would have been further specified by the construction. Besides which, it renders Paul's apology irrelevant, by eliminating from it the person who is necessarily its subject. Υέγραπται γάρ] Implying in this, 'and the law is the rule of my life.' Even in this we see the consummate skill of Paul. 6. Surely no defence of Paul for adopting this course is required, but all admiration is due to his skill and presence of mind. Nor need we hesitate to regard such skill as the fulfilment of the promise, that in such an hour, the Spirit of wisdom should suggest words to the accused, which the accuser should

6. rec εκράξεν, with AEHL rel vulg Chr: txt BCN 36. rec (for 2nd φαρισαιων) φαρισαιου (corrn, the relation being conceived to be that of a son to his father only), with EHL rel vss Chr: txt ABCN 13. 36. 40 vulg Syr Tert. on 2nd εγω B copt. 7. for λαλησαιτος, επωστος ΑΕΝ³ a b k o 13. 40 Thl-fin: ειπαιτος Ν¹: txt B(sic:

see table) CHL rel 36 Chr Thl-sif (Ec. for εγενετα, επεπεσεν B¹; επεσεν B². rec ins των bef σαδ. (insu for uniformity), with HL rel 36 (Ec: om ABC b k m o Thl-

sif. - των σαδδ. και φαρ. EN e g m syr Chr Thl-fin. διεσχισθη Ε.

8. σαδδουκαι(sic) Ν¹. om μεν B o vulg E-lat sah: ins ACEHLN rel 36 syrr copt Chr. rec for 1st μητε, μηδε (corrn, see note), with HL rel Chr Thl-sif Œc: txt ABCEN a c h k l 13. 36. 40 Thl-fin.

9. rec (for τωες των γραμματεων) οι γραμματεις, with rel Thl-sif: γραμματεις HL f wth Ce: τωες (and om του μερους) AE 13 vulg copt: τωες γραμματεικ k 212 Syr: τωες των (φαρισαιων) γραμματεων n: txt B(C)R a c 13. 36. 40 sah arm Did Chr₁ Thl-fin. – quidam scribarum et pars pharisacorum sah: scriba et pharisaci wth: for μερους,

not be able to gainsay. All prospect of a fair trial was hopeless: he well knew from fact, and present experience, that personal odium would bias his judges, and violence prevail over justice : he therefore (Neand.) uses, in the cause of Truth, the maxim so often perverted to the cause of falsehood, 'divide et impera.' In one tenet above all others, did the religion of Jesus Christ and the belief of the Pharisees coincide: that of the resurrection of the dead. That they looked for this resurrection by right of being the seed of Abraham, and denied it to all others, -wherens he looked for it through Jesus whom they hated, in whom all should be made alive who had died in Adam,-this was nothing to the present point: the belief was common-in the truest sense it was the hope of Israel-in the truest sense does Paul use and bring it forward to confound the adversaries of Christ. At the same time (De W.) by this strong assertion of his Pharisaic standing and extraction, he was further still vindicating himself from the charge against him. So also ch. xxvi. 7. υί. Φαρισαίων A son of Pharisees, i.e. 'A Pharisee of Pharisees,' - 'by descent from father, grandfather, and upwards, a pure Pharisee.' This meaning not having been appre-

hended, the -wv was altered into -ov.

έλπ. κ. ἀναστ.] the hope and the resurrection of the dead. The art. is omitted after the prep., see Midd. ch. vi. 8.] See note, Matt. iii. 7, for both Pharisees and Sadducees: and for an account of the doctrine of the latter, Jos. Autt. xviii. 1. 4; B. J. ii. 8. 14. In the latter place he says, ψυχης την διαμονήν, καὶ τὰς καθ' ἄδου τιμωρίας καὶ τιμὰς ἀναι-ροῦσι. The former μήτε has been altered to μηδέ to suit τὰ ἀμφότερα, because with αναστ. μήτε άγγ. μήτε πν. three things are mentioned (and thus we have hac omnia as a var.): whereas, if μηδέ is read, the two last are coupled, and form only one. But τὰ ἀμφ. is used of both things, the one being the resurrection, the other the doctrine of spiritual existences: the two specified classes of the latter being combined generically.— $\tau \grave{a}$ $\mathring{a}\mu \phi$., them both,—both of them,—the 9.] The sentence is an aposiopesis, not requiring any filling up: answering to our Engl. But what if a spirit (genus) or an angel (species) have spoken to him? Perhaps in this they referred to the history of his conversion as told to the people, ch. xxii. On the recent criticism which sees in all this a purpose in the ρουδεν. χοντο λέγοντες Οὐδὲν κακὸν y εὐρίσκομεν ἐν τῷ ἀνθρώπῳ y - Luke χχίι. 14. Η Κα α b 10 πολλῆς δὲ γενομένης a στάσεως, * φοβηθεὶς ὁ χιλίαρχος * χέι. 3h iii. 6. Η Κα α b 10 πολλῆς δὲ γενομένης a στάσεως, * φοβηθεὶς ὁ χιλίαρχος * χέι. 7. Μακ * χέι. 7. Μακ * τευμα d καταβὰν a άρπάσαι αὐτὸν f έκ μέσου αὐτῶν ἄγειν c c Μακ c d c c

(xvi. 11 reff.). i = ch. iv. 1 reff. kch. viii. 25 reff. w, acc., ch. xviii. 2x. xviii. 2x. xviii. 23 Exod, xviii. 20. l ter. 15, ch. xxxiii. 31. Sir. xix. 30. m = ch. xix. 22 reff. ch. y, 12 reff. o ch. xii. 18 reff. pch. xix. 40 reff. 4 Kngs xv. 15, q here, fc. 3cc. Mark xiv. 71 only. Num. xxi 2. r.ch. ix. 9.

γενους 99. 105: ins εκ bef τ . γραμμ. C. aft διεμαχοντο ins προς αλληλους \aleph . om εν \aleph 137. ree aft αγγελος ins μη θεομαχωμεν (interpola from ch ν . 39), with C3HL rel 36 sah; quid est in hoc? Syr: om ABC EN 13. 40 vulg syr copt ath arm, also (from their explanations) Ammon Euthal Chr Isid Thl Œe.

10. $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ bef genoments AC vulg sam: txt BEHLN p 13. 36 rel Syr Chr Thl Ec. $-\gamma \epsilon \nu \rho \omega \epsilon \nu s$ (but η is written above 0) N! * ree $\epsilon \nu \lambda a \beta \eta \theta \epsilon i c$, with HL rel Thl-sif Ge: $\phi \sigma \beta \eta \theta \epsilon i c$ ABCEN a cp 13. 36. 40. 137 arm Chr Thl-fin Lucif. a $\tau \alpha \nu \omega$ CE. ** $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \mu \omega$ ka H rel 137 vulg syr sah Thl Ec: txt ABCEN a fp 13. 36 Syr Chr. ** one $\epsilon \kappa \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega$ and $\epsilon \nu \kappa \omega$ ** a $\tau \alpha \nu \omega$ correspond to the exposurable of the system of the exposurable of the exposurab

deducere vulg syr: txt BCHLN p 13. 36 rel sah Thl Œc. om τε B m copt.

11. rec aft θερσει ins πωνές with C³HL p rel arm-zoh(1805) Thl Œc Cassio Ambrst;
aft ειπεν ins Paulo Syr αth, αντω b o: om ABC¹EN 13. 36. 40 vulg syr copt arm

Chr Lucif. διεμαρτυρου C.

12. for δε, τε B c syrr wth.

rec τινες των ιουδαίων συστροφην (corrn to snit ver 13), with HL rel vulg Syr sah Thl-sif CE Lucif: txt ABCEN (a) p 13.36.40.137 syr copt wth arm Chr Thl-sin.—(L k m have συστροφην bef τινες; c 137 syr Chr Thl-sin, aft οι ιουδ.: a omits οι.)

om λεγοντες CN3 a b c h ο 40 syrr arm Chr. (ins syr-marg.)

for αποκτεινωσυν, ανελωσιν Α h 14.38.113 Chr.

writer to compare Paul with Peter, see Prolegg. to Acts, § iii. 4. 10.] The fact of all our best MSS, reading $\phi \circ \beta \eta \theta \epsilon ls$ here, and not the unusual word εὐλαβηθείς, must carry it into the text. It is one of those cases where, notwithstanding our strong suspicion that the later MSS, contain the true reading, we are bound to follow our existing authorities: no sufficient subjective reason being assigned for the correction either way. διασπασθή] to be taken literally, not as merely = 'should be killed.' The Pharisees would strive to lay hold of him to resene him: the Sadducees, to destroy him, or at all events to secure him. Between them both, there was danger of his being pulled asunder by them. 11.] By these few words, the Lord assured him (1) of a safe issue from his present troubles; (2) of an accomplishment of his intention of visiting

Rome; (3) of the certainty that however he might be sent thither, he should preach the gospel, and bear testimony there. So that they upheld and comforted him (1) in the uncertainty of his life from the Jews: (2) in the uncertainty of his liberation from prison at Casarea: (3) in the uncertainty of his surviving the storm in the Mediterranean: (4) in the uncertainty of his fate on arriving at Rome. So may one crumb of divine grace and help be multiplied to feed five thousand wants and anxieties.

-pregnant.

to Paul, the subject of the former verse. The copyists thought it unlikely that all the Jews were engaged in it, and so altered it to τινες των 'Ιουδ., and then transposed it for euphony. Wetstein and Lightf. adduce instances of similar conspiracies,-not to eat or drink till some object be gained.

 * συνωμοσίαν ποιησάμενοι, 14 $^{\rm t}$ οἴτινες $^{\rm u}$ προςελθόντες τοῖς $^{\rm ABCE}$ $^{\rm HLNab}$ άρχιερεῦσιν και τοῖς πρεσβυτέροις εἶπαν $^{\rm vw}$ Αναθέματι cat find s nere only.

(-6\u03c4ng, Gen.

xiv. 13.)

t = ch. x. 41

reff.

v Rom. ix. 3

refl.

w Oent will s here only. ^{9 w} ανεθεματίσαμεν * εαυτούς μηθενός ⁹ γεύσασθαι εως οῦ απο- p 13 κτείνωμεν τον Παυλον. 15 ° νυν ουν ύμεις εμφανίσατε w Deut. xiii. τῷ χιλιάρχῳ ^b σὺν τῷ ^c συνεδρίῳ, ὅπως ^d καταγάγη αὐτὸν 15. x 2 Cor. iii. 1 είς ύμας ως μέλλοντας διαγινώσκειν ε ακοιβέστερον reff.
— and constr.,
Luke xiv. 24.
1 Kings xiv. τα περί αυτου ήμεις δε προ του κεγγίσαι αυτον ετοι-2d. x. 83 reff. μοί έσμεν τοῦ " ἀνελεῖν αὐτόν. 16 n ἀκούσας δὲ ὁ υίὸς τῆς Heb. (ix. 24.) xi, 14 only. Esth. ii. 22. = 1 Cor. i. 2. 2 Cor. i. 1. Phil. i. 1. ch. iv. 15 reff. έχει γὰρ ἀπαγγεῖλαί τι αὐτῷ. 18 ὁ μὲν οὖν " παραλαβων c ch. iv. 15 ren.
d act., = Luke
v. 11. ch. ix.
30. xxii. 30.
vv. 20, 28. αυτον ήγαγεν προς τον χιλίαρχον, καί φησιν Ο δέσμιος ... χι Παύλος προςκαλεσάμενός με "ηρωτησεν τούτον τον ABEH Rom. x. 6, L.P. 3 Kings i. 33. pass., ch. xxvii 3. xxviii. 12. νεανίσκον αγαγείν πρός σε, ^τέχοντά τι λαλησαί σοι. Δίζη hκ

13. rec πεποιηκοτες (corrn appy to connect πεπ. ησαν as pluperf), with H rel Chr Thl Œe: ποιησαντες L e g 11. 27. 29. 80. 126: om o: txt ABCER a 13. 36. 40 Thl-fin.

14. [ειπαν, so ABCE p.] ree μηδενος (corrn to more usual form), with

BCEHN rel 36: txt AL k. (13 def.) 15. syr-marg (and simly sah Lucif) has nunc igitur rogamus vos ut hoc nobis faciatis, ut quum congregaveritis consessum, indicetis tribunc ut producat eum ad nos.

rec aft oπωs ins auριον (interpoln from ver 20), with HL rel Thl Œe: om ABCEN a p ree αυτον bef καταγαγη, with H rel 13. 36 vulg syrr copt ath arm Chr Lueif. Chr: txt ABCELN a g h k m p 13. 40 vulg arm Chr-c Lueif. (corrn to more usual), with CHL rel 36 Chr: txt ABEN p sah. ree (for εις) προς ακριβεστερον bef διαγινωσκειν C c 1 m 40, 137 vulg Syr Lucif. (γινωσκ. C.) om τα 137. 2nd Tov EN a g.

nd τον ΕΝ ag. at end ins εαν δεη και αποθανειν 137 syr-marg.
16. clz το ενδερον, with HL rel Chr Thl-sif Ee: txt ABCEN ack p 13. 36.—B2

has την ένεδραν(sie). παραγεναμενος Β1.

17. for εφη, ειπεν L p 36. 180. rec τι bef απαγγειλαι, with απαγε ΒΝ ρ. CHLN rel 36 vulg Chr Thl Œe: txt ABE k p 13.

18. ree νεανιαν (from preceding verse), with BHL rel 36: txt ΛΕΝ a g p 13. 40. σοι is written over the line by B1.

See 1 Sam. xiv. 24 ff.; and Jos. Antt. xv. 8. 14. It is understood from the narrative that it was to the Sadducees, among the chief priests and elders, that the murderers went. That the high priest belonged to this sect, cannot be inferred with 15.] σὺν τῷ συνεδρ. any accuracy. belongs to ύμεις, or perhaps better to ξμφανίσατε—do you give official intimation (intimation conveyed by the whole Sanhedrim). ὅπως expresses the purpose of εμφαν., - τοῦ ἀν. αὐτ., that of ετοιμοί ἐσμ. (Meyer). διαγιν. άκρ. 7 not

as E. V. 'enquire something more perfectly:'-but (see reff.) to determine with greater accuracy, or perhaps, neglecting the comparative sense, to determine accurately. 16.] It is quite uncertain whether Paul's sister's son lived in Jerusalem, or had accompanied him thither. The ἡμᾶs of ch. xx. 5, will include more than merely Luke. But from his knowledge of the plot, which presupposes other acquaintances than he would have been likely to make if he had come with Paul, I should suppose him to have been domi-

19 × ἐπιλαβόμενος δὲ τῆς * χειρὸς αὐτοῦ ὁ χιλίαρχος καὶ * Mack viii. 23. Zech. xiv. y ἀναχωρήσας ² κατ' ίδιαν α ἐπυνθάνετο Τί ἐστιν ὃ t ἔχεις τ Matt. 11. 12. άπαγγείλαί μοι; 20 είπεν δὲ ὅτι οι Ἰουδαίοι b συνέθεντο c τοῦ d έρωτῆσαί σε d ὅπως c αύριον τὸν Παῦλον f κατ c Κίπες c Χίκες c c Χίκες c c του ερωτησαί σε οπως αυριών του Παυλον και καιμένει α γάγης είς τὸ α συνέδριον α ώς μέλλων τι α κριβέστερον επικι χέτιλι α κυνθάνεσθαι περὶ αὐτοῦ. α σὸ οῦν μὴ πεισθῆς αὐτοῖς α τό τοι α ενεδρεύουσιν γὰρ αὐτὸν έξ αὐτῶν ἄνδρες πλείους τεσσε α χ. 20. (εί, δ. 19.7). John ix. 22 only, 1 Kings xxii 13. Dan. ii. 9 Theod. ράκοντα, " οίτινες " ανεθεμάτισαν έαυτους μήτε " φαγείν ρακοντα, "οιτινες "ανεθεματισαν εαυτους μητε φαγεινος μήτε μήτε "πιείν έως οῦ "ἀνέλωσιν αὐτόν" καὶ νῦν εἰσιν ἔτοιμοι, "προςδεχόμενοι τὴν ἀπὸ σοῦ p ἐπαγγελίαν. 22 ὁ μὲν οῦν 11 αἰωκε γίλιαρχος q ἀπέλυσεν τὸν νεανίσκον, $^{\tau}$ παραγγείλας μηθενι είπ. 12 ἐκλαλῆσαι ὅτι ταῦτα $^{\tau}$ ἐνεφάνισας πρός n με. 23 καὶ $^{\tau}$ τρος καλεσάμενος δύο $^{\tau}$ τινὰς τῶν ἑκατονταρχῶν εἰπεν $^{\tau}$ είπ. $^{\tau}$

(-δρα, ver. 16. ch. xxv. 3.)

q = ch. xiii. 3 reff.
q = ch. xiii. 3 reff.
pomosth. περί τ. παραπρ. p. 363. 23.

Lonstr. ch. d. x vii. 3 l. reff.

Lonstr. ch. d. x vii. 3 l. reff.

Lonstr. ch. d. x vii. 3 l. reff.

x ch. xvii. 15.

ch. xvii. 3 l. reff.

1 con. xx 8 vii. 15.

d. con. xvii. 15.

d. con. xvii. 15.

d. con. xvii. 15.

lon. xvii. 15.

d. con. xvii. 15.

experiment (3) d. xvii. 3 l. reff.

x x 31. xiz. 35 only. 2 kings vii. 3 see Malt. xxii. 5.

(Matt. xvi. 3 l. Luke vii. 3. 1 Pet. iii. 20). w. eir, Gen. xiz. 19. Jos. Autt. xii. 4. 9, end. vv. 12-15. och. xxiv. 15 reff. pch i. 4 reff. s here only +. Judith xi. 9 only. τίς ὁ εκλαλήσως;

επυνθανετο bef κατ ιδιαν A. επιλαβομενου(sie) Ν¹.

ree εις το συνεδριον καταγ. τον παυλον, with HL rel Thl-fin 20. συνεθοντο Η'. Œe: καταγαγης bef του παυλου L e 137 syrr coptt (perhaps transpositions to avoid αυριον τον παυλον): οι τον παυλον (homæotet) 40: txt ABEN a mp 13 am(and denid fuld tol) Chr. rec μελλοντες (corrn to suit ver 15), with b² c d l Thl-fin Œ: μελλοντα HL a m Thl-sif: μελλοντων N³ f g h k 36. 137 Chr: txt ABE o p 40 copt ins τι bef περι Η1, τα Η2. æth, μελλον Ν1 b1 13.

21. rec ετοιμοι bef εισιν, with HL rel 36 vulg Chr: txt ABER a m p 13. 40 Thl-fin. 22. rec νεανιαν, with HL p rel 36 Chr: txt ABEN a 13. 40. προς εμε ΒΝ. 23. τινας bef δυο BN p 13: om τινας 73. for εβδομηκοντα, εκατον 137 syr-marg

δεξιοβολους A (ms mentd by Erasm): jaculantes dextra Syr: lancearios vulg salı æth : jaculatores syr copt.

διασωσι B1 ο: διασωσουσιν Ε m: διασωσονται 24. aft παυλον ins νυκτος 137.

ciled at Jerusalem, possibly under instruction, as was formerly Paul himself, and thus likely, in the schools, to have heard the scheme spoken of. 21. (την) the scheme spoken of. έπαγγελίαν] not, 'an order' (as Rosenm., al.), nor 'a message' (as Grot., Beza, Wolf, στρατιώτας, the ordinary heavyarmed legionary soldiers: distinguished below from the iππεîs and δεξιολάβοι. δεξιολάβους] This word has never been satisfactorily explained. Suidas, Pha-VOL. II.

vorinus, Beza, Kuin., al., explain it παραφύλακες:—Meursius, in his Glossarium Græcobarbarum,—a kind of military lictors, παρὰ τὸ λαβεῖν τὴν τοῦ δεσμίου δεξιὰν;—the Vulgate, lancearios (spearmen, E. V.):—Meyer, a sort of light-armed troops, rorarii or velites,-either jaculatores or funditores. He quotes a passage from Constantine Porphyrogenitus (οί δε λεγόμενοι τουρμάρχαι είς ύπουργίαν των στρατηγών έταχθησαν. σημαίνει δέ τοιοῦτον ἀξίωμα τον έχοντα ὑφ' έαυτον στρατιώτας τοξοφόρους πεντακοσίους, καλ πελταστὰς τριακοσίους, καὶ δεξιολάβους έκατόν) where they are distinguished from bowmen and peltastæ,-and derives the

σωσιν πρὸς Φήλικα τὸν ^g ήγεμόνα, ²⁵ γράψας ^h έπιστολήν ΑΒΕΗ g = here &c., 3ce. ch xxiv. 1, 10. xxvi. 30. Matt. xxvii. 2, &c. Luke xx. 20. (Gen. xxxvi. 15 al.) Jos. Antt. xviii. [περι]έχουσαν τὸν ^k τύπον τοῦτον. ²⁶ Κλαύδιος Λυσίας dfgh τῷ ¹ κρατίστω ε ήγεμόνι Φήλικι ™ χαίρειν. 27 τὸν ἄνδρα p 13 τούτον ο συλλημφθέντα ύπο των Ιουδαίων και μέλλοντα Antt. xviii 3. 1. h ch. ix. 2 reff. i = 1 Pet. ii. 6 (Luke v. 9) ο αναιρείσθαι υπ' αυτών ^Pέπιστας συν τω ^q στρατεύματι ΄ έξειλάμην [αὐτὸν] μαθών ὅτι Ῥωμαῖός ἐστιν. 28 βουλόonly. 2 Macc. xi. μενός τε επιγνώναι την αιτίαν δι ην τένεκάλουν 16. ἡ μέν έπιστολή αὐτῷ, κατήγαγον αὐτὸν είς τὸ συνέδριον αὐτῶν. 29 ον περιείχε το συνεόριον αυτών. Το συνεόριον αυτών. Το συνεόριον αυτών. Το συνεόριον αυτών. Το συνεόριον το συνεόριον αυτών. Το συνεόριον αυτών. Το το προτοκά το περι τοῦτον

40. aft ηγεμονα add εις καισαρειαν 95\cdot .137, so (aft διασωσωσιν) syr-w-ast. at end ins εφοβηθη γαρ μηποσε αρπασαντες αυτον οι ιουδαιοι αποκτενωσι και αυτος μεταξυ εγκλημα εχη ως αργυριον ειληφως 137 syr-w-ast Cassiod, so also vulg-cd(not am demid fuld tol &c) and (aft διασωσυ) arm-usc(rejected by Zohrab).

25. ree περιεχουσαν, with AHL rel 36 Chr Thl Œe, περιεχουσα f: om sah: εχουσαν

BEN a e p 13. 137.

27. [εξειλαμην, so ABEN p 13.] om αυτον (as superfluous in the constr)

ABEN a d p 13. 36 vulg Chr (Ec: ins HL rel Thl.

28. rec (for $\tau\epsilon$) $\delta\epsilon$, with HL rel E-lat syr copt Chr Ee: our sah: txt ABEN 36 vulg Syr ath Thl. rec graval, with EHL rel Chr: txt AB(sic) N a c k p 13, 36, 137 Chr-ms. on (passing from autw to autw) κατηγαγον αυτον εις το συνεδρίον αυτων B¹ p: ins B¹-marg(see table). on αυτον AN k 13, 137.

af αυτων ins μωυσέως και ιήσου τινος 137 syr-marg.
 om δε L b g h o p 40.
 Thr. rec εγκλημα bef εχοντα, with EHL rel Chr: txt ABN a b h l m o p
 40 vnlg Thl-fin.
 at end ins εξηγαγον αυτον μολις τη βια 137 syr-wast(but

απηγ.).

name from grasping the weapon with the right hand, which the peltastæ and bownen could not be said to do. The reading of Λ, δεξωβόλους (jaculantes dextrá Syr.) is apparently a correction.

24. δια-

σώσωσιν] escort safe the whole way.
Φήλικα] Felix was a freedman of the Emperor Clandius: Suidas and Zonaras gave him the prænomen of Claudius, but Tacit. (Ann. xii. 54) calls him Antonius Felix, perhaps from Antonia, the mother of Claudins, as he was brother of Pallas, who was a freedman of Antonia (Tacit. ib. and Jos. Antt. xx. 7. 1). He was made sole procurator of Judea after the deposition of Cumanus (having before been three years joint procurator with him, Tacit. ib.) principally by the influence of the High Priest Jonathan (Antt. xx. 8. 5), whom he afterwards procured to be murdered (ibid.). Of his character Tacitus says, 'Antonius Felix per omnem sævitiam et libidinem jus regium servili ingenio exercuit,' Hist. v. 9. His procuratorship was one series

of disturbances, false messiahs, siearii and robbers, and civil contests, see Jos. Antt. Xx. 8. 5, 6, and 7. He was eventually (A.D. 60) recalled, and accused by the Casarean Jews, but acquitted at the instance of his brother Pallas (Ant. xx. 8. 10). On his wife Drusilla, see note, ch. xxiv. 24. 25.] [περ.] ξχ., τύπ., 22. reff. 26. κρατίσταγ] See ref. Luke.

This letter seems to be given (translated from the Latin) as written, not merely according to its general import (see the false statement in ver. 27): from what source, is impossible to say, but it may be imagined that the contents transpired through some officers at Jerusalem or at Cæsarea friendly to Paul. Such letters were called elogia: so Modestin, Dig. lib. 49, tit. 16, leg. 3 (Facciolati): 'Desertorem auditum ad suum ducem eum elogio præses mittet,' ' with an abstract of the articles brought against him.' 27. σὺν τῷ στρ.] with the troop; see above ver. 10, and note, έξειλ. μαθών ὅτι 'Ρ. ch. xxi. 32.

 30 ς μηνυθείσης δέ μοι d έπιβουλης c είς τὸν ἄνδρα ἔσεσθαι, c Linke xx. 37. John xi. 57. f έξ αὐτης ἔπεμψα πρός σε, g παραγγείλας καὶ τοῖς h κατηγόροις λέγειν i τὰ i πρὸς αὐτὸν k έπὶ σοῦ. 31 Οἱ μὲν g καθεσίλι χίν. 37. οἰν στρατιώται κατὰ τὸ i διατεταγμένον αὐτοῖς m ἀναλα- c ch. ix. 1 ref. σύν στρατιωται κατα το διατεταγμένου αυτοίς ανακτός β ανακτός τον Παύλον ήγαγον η δια νυκτός είς την Αντι- β τη δε β επαύριον β εάσαντες τους β ιππεις β ιδιονικίι. β επατρίδα, β τα ανακτός β είς την β τα ανακτός β είς την β είς την βολήν. 33 " οίτινες είςελθόντες είς την Καισάρειαν καί " αναδόντες την " επιστολην τῷ * ήγεμόνι, " παρέστησαν "ἀναδόντες τὴν "ἐπιστολὴν τῷ "ἡγεμόνι, "παρέστησαν $\frac{v_1, v_2, v_3}{v_3, v_4, v_4, v_5}$ καὶ τὸν Παῦλον αὐτῷ. $\frac{34}{4}$ ἀναγνοὺς δὲ καὶ "ἐπερωτή- lok. xxviii. 10 σας "ἐκ "ποίας "ἐπαρχίας 'ἀἐστίν, καὶ πυθόμενος ὅτι και διακτίκιας, $\frac{35}{4}$ ° Διακούσομαί σου, ἔφη, ὅταν καὶ οι κχχίν. 14. κλ. κχιν. 19. $\frac{35}{4}$ ° Διακούσομαί σου, ἔφη, ὅταν καὶ οι κχχίν. 14. κλ. κχιν. 19. $\frac{35}{4}$ ° Διακούσομαί σου, ἔφη, ὅταν καὶ οι κχχίν. 19. $\frac{35}{4}$ ° Διακούσομαί σου, ἔφη, ὅταν καὶ οι κχχίν. 19. $\frac{35}{4}$ ° Διακούσομαί σους κριν ἡ διακτίκιας κχιν. 19. $\frac{35}{4}$ ° Διακούσομαί σους κριν ἡ διακτίκιας κχιν. 19. $\frac{35}{4}$ ° Διακούσομαί σους κριν ἡ διακτίκιας κχιν. 19. $\frac{35}{4}$ ° Διακούσομαί σους κριν ἡ διακτίκιας κχιν. 19. $\frac{35}{4}$ ° Διακούσομαί σους κριν ἡ διακτίκιας κχιν. 19. $\frac{35}{4}$ ° Διακούσομαί σους κριν ἡ διακτίκιας κριν ἡ διακτίκιας κχιν. 19. $\frac{35}{4}$ ° Διακούσομαί σους κριν ἡ διακτίκιας κριν

30. ree ins μελλειν bef εσεσθαι (see ch xi. 28; xxiv. 15; xxvii. 10), with HL rel Chr The Ec: om ABEN a p 13. 36. 40. 137 vulg with. ree aft εσεσθαι ins υπο των ιουδαιων (explanatory gloss), with HL rel Syr sah Thl (Ec): om ABEN a c p 13. 36. 40. 137 vulg syr copt arm.—for εξ αυτης, εξ αυτων ΑΕΚ a c p 13. 40 syr arm: txt BHL rel 36 Syr copt Thl Co.—επιβ. εσεσθαι εις τον αυδρα εξ αυτων επεμψα κ.τ.λ. 13: et quum mihi perlatum esset de insidiis, quas paraverant illi, misi ζe vulg: aft aft τ. κατηγοροις ins αυτου Ε Syr coptt. εξ αυτης ins ουν L. αυτον, αυτους AN 13 vulg coptt: αυτου 40: om τα B E-lat Syr. om επι σου p: for επι, περι 67. 137. ree at end adds ερρωσο, with ELN p rel 36 demid tol syrræth-pl (Chr) Thi Ec; ερρωσθε (see ch xv. 29) H 26. 78. 100. 101 Chr(mss and edd): om AB 13 am fuld coptt æth-rom.

31. ree ins της bef νυκτος, with HL rel Thl-sif Ec: om (ef ch v. 19; xvi. 9; xvii.

10) ABEN p 13, 40, 137 Chr Thl-fin.

32. rec πορευεσθαι (corrn for less usual exprn), with HL rel 36 syr Cyr Thl Œc, ire E-lat, ut irent vulg : passed over by Syr sah : txt ABEN e p 13, abire copt. επεστρεψαν Ν.

οm και τον παυλον Ε: om τον 137. 33. τω ηγεμονι bef την επιστολην I m 40. 34. rec aft αναγνους δε ins ο ηγεμων (supplementary), with HL rel sah Thl Ec: om aft κιλικιας ins εστιν Λ X1(but ABEN p 13. 36. 40 vulg syrr copt ath arm Chr. αναγνους δε την επιστολην επηρωτησε τον παυλον εκ ποιας marked for erasure) 68. επαρχιας ει και είπεν κιλικίας και πυθομένος είπεν ακουσομαι όταν κ.τ.λ. syr-marg: simly 137 ins την επιστολην, has ει for εστιν, and continues εφη κιλιξ κ. πυθ. εφη ακουσ. σου οταν κ.τ.λ.

Ectiv] This was an attempt to conceal the fault that he had committed, see ch. xxii. 29. For this assertion cannot refer to the second rescue, see next verse.

30.] Two constructions are combined here: (1) μηνυθείσης επιβουλής της εσομένης, and (2) μηνυθέντος, επιβουλήν έσεσθαι.

31.] Antipatris, forty-two Roman miles from Jerusalem, and twenty-six from Cæsarea, was built by Herod the Great, and called in honour of his father. It was before called Kapharsaba (Jos. Autt. xiii. 15.1; xvi. 5.2). In Jerome's time (Epitaph, Paulæ, 8, vol. i. p. 696) it was a 'semirutum oppidum' (Winer, RWB.). They might have well made so much way during the night and the next day,-for the text will admit of that interpretation,— $\tau \hat{\eta} \ \epsilon \pi \alpha \nu \rho$, being not necessarily the morrow after they left Jerusalem, but after they arrived at Antipatris.

32. τοὺς ἱππεῖς] As they had now the lesser half of their journey before them, and that furthest removed from Jerusalem. The $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota o \lambda \acute{a} \beta o \iota$ appear to have gone back with the soldiers.

διακούσ.] 'The expression is in conformity with the Roman law; the rule was, "Qui

dfgh klmo

f ver. 30 reff. g absol., ch. xvii. 10 reff. h John xviii. 28 | Mt. Mk., 33. xiz. 9, Phil, i, 13 only †.

^f κατήγοροί σου ^g παραγένωνται, κελεύσας έν τῷ ^h πραι- ABEH τωρίω του Ἡρώδου ἱ φυλάσσεσθαι αὐτόν.

XXIV. 1 Μετά δὲ πέντε ἡμέρας k κατέβη ὁ ἀρχιερεύς 'Ανανίας μετὰ πρεσβυτέρων τινῶν καὶ Ιρήτορος Τερτύλ-λου τινός, ^m οίτινες ^{no} ένεφάνισαν τῷ ^p ήγεμόνι ° κατὰ τοῦ Παύλου. ^{2 q} κληθέντος δὲ αὐτοῦ ἤρξατο ^r κατηγορεῖν ὁ p ch. xxiii. 24 &c. reff. q = ch. iv. 18. 2 Kings ix. 9.

35. om και 37. 101. 137 vulg(not am demid) syrr copt æth Thl-sif. εκελευσε τε (emendation of style), with HL 13. 36 rel Chr: κελευσαντος \aleph^1 : txt Λ B(sic: see table) \aleph^3 c k p 40. 137 syr Thl-fin. for του, τω B: om HL rel ree αυτον bef εν τω πραιτωριω, 137 Chr Euthal Thl Œe: txt AEN e g h m p 13. with HL rel 36 Chr: txt ABEN e k p 13. 40. 137 vulg arm Thl-fin.

CHAP. XXIV. 1. for $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon$, $\tau \iota \nu \alpha s$ A. ree (for πρεσβ. τινων) των πρεσβυτερων, with HL rel Syr copt ath Œc: txt ABEN c k m 13. 36. 40. 137 vulg syr sah arm Syr 2. om autov B. Thl-sif.

cum elogio mittuntur, ex intrego audiendi sunt." Hackett. ἐν τῷ πραιτ. τ. 'Hρ.] The procurator resided in the former palace of Herod the Great. Here Paul was 'militi traditus' (Digest. cited by De W.), not in a prison, but in the build-

ings attached to the palace.

CHAP. XXIV. 1-XXVI. 32. PAUL'S IMPRISONMENT AT CÆSAREA. 1. μετά πέντε ήμ.] After five days - or on the fifth day-from Paul's departure for Casarea. This would be the natural terminus a quo from which to date the proceedings of the High Priest, &c., who were left in Jerusalem. That it is so, appears from ver. 11. See πρεσβ. τινών] The more note there. ancient MSS. reading this, all we can say is that we have not sufficient authority to retain the reading of the rec. των πρεσβυτέρων, though it appears more likely to be original, and to have given offence as seeming to import that the whole Sanhedrim went down. This is one of the cases where, in the present state of our evidence, we are obliged to adopt readings which are not according to subjective canons of criticism.

ρήτορος An orator forensis or causidieus, persons who abounded in Rome and the provinces; sometimes called συνήγοροι, or δικολόγοι. Kuin. says: 'Multi adolescentes Romani qui se foro dederant, cum magistratibus in provincias se conferebant, ut caussis provincialium agendis se exercerent, et majoribus in urbe actionibus præpararent.' So Cælius (see Cic. pro Cælio, c. Τερτύλλου Λ diminu-30), in Africa. tive from Tertius, as Lucullus from Lucius, -Catullus from Catius. The name occurs Plin. Ep. v. 15; and Tertulla, Suet. Aug. 69 (Wetst.). eveφάνισαν] (not, 'appeared,' ¿autous, sub.; -see reff.) laid

information; and, as it seems, not by writing, but by word of mouth, since they appeared in person, and Paul was called to 2.7 'Inter præcepta confront them. rhetorica est, judicem laudando sibi benevolum reddere.' (Grot.) Certainly Tertullus fulfils and overacts the precept, for his exordium is full of the basest flattery. Contrast with πολλης είρ. τυγχ., Tac. Ann. xii. 54: 'Interim Felix intempestivis remediis delicta accendebat, æmulo ad deterrima Ventid. Cumano, cui pars provinciæ habebatur: ita divisis, ut huie Galilæorum natio, Felici Samaritæ parerent, discordes olim, et tum, contemptu regentium, minus coercitis odiis. Igitur raptare inter se, immittere latronum globos, componere in-sidias, et aliquando præliis congredi, spo-liaque et prædas ad Procuratores referre;' —Hist. v. 9, quoted above, on eh. xxiii. 21; - and Jos. Antt. xx. 8. 9, οί πρωτεύοντες τῶν τὴν Καισάρειαν κατοικούντων 'Ιουδαίων είς την 'Ρώμην ἀναβαίνουσι, Φήλικος κατηγορούντες και πάντως άν έδεδώκει τιμωρίαν των είς 'Ιουδαίους άδικημάτων, εἰ μὴ πολλὰ αὐτὸν δ Νέρων τῷ ἀδελφῷ Πάλλαντι παρακαλέσαντι συνεχώρησε . . . There was just enough foundation for the flattery, to make the falsehood of its general application to Felix more glaring. He had put down some rebels (see ch. xxi. 38, note) and assassins (Antt. xx. 8. 4), 'ipse tamen his omnibus erat nocentior' (Wetst.). It has been remarked (by Dean Milman, Bampton Lectures, p. 185) that the character of this address is peculiarly Latin (but qu. ?); and it has been inferred from a passage in Valerius Maximus (cited at length in C. and II., vol. i. p. 3), that all pleadings, even in Greek provinces, were conducted before

της σης * προυσίας, * πάντη τε καὶ ў πανταχοῦ ε ἀποδεχόμεθα, ακράτιστε Φῆλιξ, μετὰ σπάσης ἀ εὐχαριστίας. $\frac{1}{2}$ Ματι την αδε μὴ επὶ πλείον σε εκρκόπτω, παρακαλῶ ἀκοῦναι σαὶ σε ἡμῶν ἡ συντόμως τῷ σῷ ἱτιεικεία. $\frac{5}{2}$ κ εὐρόντες ο here ωὶς γὰρ τὸν ἄνδρα τοῦτον $\frac{1}{2}$ λοιμὸν καὶ πκινοῦντα η στάσιν πασιν τοῖς Ἰουδαίοις τοῖς κατὰ τὴν $\frac{1}{2}$ κινοῦντα η στόσιν πασιν τοῖς Ἰουδαίοις τοῖς κατὰ τὴν $\frac{1}{2}$ κινοῦντα η στόσιν πασιν τοῖς Ἰουδαίοις τοῖς κατὰ τὴν $\frac{1}{2}$ κινοῦντα η στόσιν $\frac{1}{2}$ κατὰ τὴν $\frac{1}{2}$ κινοῦντα η στόσιν $\frac{1}{2}$ κινοῦντα η στόσιν $\frac{1}{2}$ κινοῦντα η στόσιν $\frac{1}{2}$ κινοῦντα η στόσιν τοῖς Ἰουδαίοις τοῖς κατὰ τὴν $\frac{1}{2}$ κινοῦντα η στόσιν $\frac{1}{2}$ κινοῦντα η στότις $\frac{1}{2}$ κατα η στότις $\frac{1}{2}$ κατ ιερον επείρασεν ' βεβηλωσαι, ον και " έκρατήσαμεν [και 2 Maco. iv. 6

(voice0a4, Rom. xiii. 17. ach. xxiii. 26 reff. bch. xvii. 11 reff. c - c - oh. xx. 19 reff. c -

3. ree κατορθωματων, with HL rel Chr Thl Œc: txt ABEN p 13. 36. 137 Chr-ms. γενομενων L c 137 Thl-fin: γιγνωμενων m.

4. εκκοπτω L rel Thl-fin : κοπτω A¹(appy) m 13. (ενκοπτω AB¹ΕΝ.) ακουσαι E: om σε L e m 36 Chr.

5. στασεις (corrn as suiting better πασι τ. ιουδ. κ.τ.λ.) ABEN p 13. 36. 40 vulg copt Chr Thl-fin (Ec: txt HL rel syrr sah æth Thl-sif.

6—8. om from και κατα to προς σε ABHLN d g¹ h l p am¹ (and fuld tol) coptt : ins

Roman magistrates in Latin. But Mr. Lewin has well observed (ii. 684), "under the emperors trials were permitted in Greek, even in Rome itself, as well in the senate as in the forum [Dio Cassius, lvii. 15, says of Tiberius, πολλάς μέν δίκας έν τη διαλέκτω ταύτη (viz. Greek) καὶ ἐκεῖ (in the senate) λεγομένας ἀκούων, πολλάς δὲ καὶ αὐτός ἐπερωτῶν]; and it is unlikely that greater strictness should have been observed in a distant province. The name Tertullus proves little, as the Greeks, and even the Jews, very commonly adopted Roman names." On this latter point, see note, ch. xiii. 9. διόρθωμα is 'an amelioration or reform ' κατόρθωμα, 'res præclare facta,' generally, whether military or civil ('quæ nos aut recta aut recte facta dicamus, si placet, illi autem appellant κατορθώματα.' Ĉic. de Fin. iii. 7). Phrynichus remarks, p. 250, άμαρτάνουσιν οἱ βήτορες οὖκ εἰδότες ὅτι τὸ κατορθῶσαι, δόκιμον. τὸ δ' ἀπὸ τούτου ὅνομα ἀδόκιμον, τὸ κατόρθωμα,-where see Loheck's note. I have, as always where reason to the contrary is not very clear, followed the authority of the most ancient MSS. προνοίας 'providentiæ.' 'Hoc vocabulum sæpe diis tribuerunt' (Beng.). 'Providentia Cæsaris' is a common phrase on the coins of the emperors (Mr. Humphry). 3. $\pi \acute{a} \nu \tau \eta \kappa$. $\pi a \nu \tau a \chi o \hat{v}$] helongs to $\mathring{a} \pi o \delta \epsilon \chi$., not to γινομένων, in which case they would naturally precede the participle,— We receive, &c., not only here in thy presence, but also at all times and in all places. A refinement of flattery.

4. ἐπὶ πλεῖον viz. than the matter demands: too long. έγκόπτ.] See συντόμως] As Meyer observes. we need not supply λεξόντων, but take συντ. as the measure of the time implied in ἀκοῦσαι. 5. λοιμόν] See reff. and Demosth. p. 794. 5, οδτυς οδν αὐτόν έξαιτήσεται δ φαρμακός, δ λοιμός . . . The construction here is an anacoluthon, there being nothing to follow up the part. εδρόντες. The part. cannot be taken for the finite verb. See Winer, edn. 6, § 45. 6. b.

ή οἰκουμένη] would here mean the Roman 'orbis terrarum.' Ναζωρ.] This is the only place in the N. T. where the Christians are so called. The Jews could not call them by any name answering to Christians, as the hope of a Messiah was professed by themselves. [6.] Considerable difficulty rests on the omission of the words και κατά to πρὸς σέ. Their absence from the principal MSS., their many variations in those which con-

(with consid varr, see below) E 13 rel 36. 40 syrr ath Chr Thl Ec Cassiod. (See notes.) for $\eta\theta\epsilon\lambda$, $\eta\beta\omega\lambda\eta\theta\eta\mu\epsilon\nu$ (or $\epsilon\beta$) in 40. 66? rec kriew, with rel Thl-fin Ec txt E a b g² k in 0 13. 36 Chr Thl-sif. a roo kar para para paro e k two considerables arou ek two considerables around each exist expense $\eta\mu\omega$ in. 8. at kekeuras ins kai a g² 92. 42. 57. 69. 133 arm. om autou 69. rec existence in the considerables around each existence around e

With ret: προς h. a 40. 155.

8. for οδ, ω Ε 36: ων b m¹ o 8. 15. 27. 29. 66¹. 106. 180: txt ABHLN vulg copt
Chr Thl Ce. om αυτος A vss: αυτους 40. at end ins ειποντος δε αυτου

ταυτα 137 syr-wast.

9 rec συνεθεντο, with b ο Œc: απεκριναντο sah æth: adjecerunt vulg E-lat: litigarunt Syr: txt ABEHLN p rel 36. 40. 137 Chr Thl: συνεπείθοντο 13. 180.

ree δε (alteration of characteristic τε), with HL 13. 36 rel E-lat Chr: om copt:
 ain them, are strongly against their genumoved principally by the agrist ἐκρα-

tain them, are strongly against their genuinchess; as also is the consideration that no probable reason for their omission can be suggested. On the other hand, as De Wette observes, it is hardly imaginable that so little should have been assigned to the speaker as would be if these words were omitted. Besides this, the historic agrist ἐκρατήσαμεν seems to require some sequel, some reason, after his seizure, why he was there present and freed from Jewish durance. The phænomena are common enough in the Acts, of unaccountable insertions, and almost always in D (here deficient). See a list of such in Prolegg. to Acts, § v. 3. But in this place it is the omission which is unaccountable, for no similarity of ending, no doctrinal consideration can have led to it. The two reasons cited from Matthæi by Bloomfield, ed. 9,-1) "that the critics believed the Jews hardly likely to have accused Lysias himself,"-2) "because the words $\pi \alpha \rho'$ ob, at ver. 8, must be referred to Paul: though by its (sic) position, it seems to refer to Lysias," are futile and childish enough (on the latter of them, see below); and I only refer to them, to shew by what sort of considerations English readers are still supposed to be influenced.

I still retain the words, in dark brackets, being as much at a loss as ever to decide respecting them, and being τήσαμεν, inexplicable without any sequel. It may of course be said that this very circumstance may have given rise to their insertion. But of the two it seems to me less likely that Tertullus should have ended with ἐκρατήσαμεν, than that an abridgment of his speech should have been attempted. It may be a question how far we can detect traces of deliberate abridgment, in our early MSS., of the text of the Acts.] 8.] παρ' οῦ, if the disputed words be inserted, refers naturally enough to Lysias; but if they be omitted, to Paul, which would be very unlikely,that the judge should be referred to the prisoner (for examination by torture [Grot. and al.] on one who had already claimed his rights as a Roman citizen can hardly be intended) for the particulars laid to his charge. Certainly it might, on the other hand, be said that Tertullus would hardly refer the governor to Lysias, whose interference he had just characterized in such terms of blame; but (which is a strong argument for the genuineness of the doubtful words) remarkably enough, we find Felix, ver. 22, putting off the trial till the arrival of Lysias. 9. συνεπέθ. joined in setting upon him, bore out Tertullus in his charges. 10. ἐκ πολλῶν έτῶν Felix was now in the seventh year ό Παῦλος, $^{\rm h}$ νεύσαντος αὐτῷ τοῦ $^{\rm t}$ ἡγεμόνος λέγειν, $^{\rm k}$ 'Ex $^{\rm hotou \, sin}$ εσ πολλῶν ἐτῶν $^{\rm i}$ ὅντα σε κριτὴν τῷ ἔθνει τοὑτῷ ἐπιστάμενος ικ. 35 οπιγ. $^{\rm in}$ εὐθύμως $^{\rm n}$ τὰ $^{\rm n}$ περὶ ἐμαυτοῦ $^{\rm o}$ ἀπολογοῦμαι, $^{\rm in}$ δυναμένου $^{\rm in}$ δυναμένου $^{\rm in}$ τὸ $^{\rm in}$ περὶ ἐμαυτοῦ $^{\rm o}$ ἀπολογοῦμαι, $^{\rm in}$ δυναμένου $^{\rm in}$ δος εκὶ $^{\rm in}$ σου $^{\rm p}$ ἐπιγνῶναι ὅτι οὐ πλείους εἰσίν $^{\rm p}$ μοι ἡμέραι $^{\rm in}$ δόδεκα $^{\rm in}$ τοικτ. μεταφή $^{\rm in}$ $^{\rm$

txt ABEN a¹ e p 40. 137 Syr æth Thl-sif. for ετων, ενιαντων Ε. aft κριτην ins δικαιον Ε e e h k 36. 40. 137 syr Chr(ολκ έστι ταθτα κολακείας τὰ βήματα, τὸ μαρτυρηθαι τῷ δικαισύνην) Thl Ανit. ree ενθυμοτερον, with HL rel Chr Thlsif Œe: txt ABEN e d p 13. 36. 40. 137 vss Ath Thl-fin.

11. om σου Α.

12. rec γνωνα, with HL 13 rel Chr Œc: txt ABEN b c k o p rec aft ημεραι ins η: om A B(Bch Verc) EHLN rel.

*rec δεκαδύο (see ch xix. 7 reff), with HL rel 36 Chr Œc: δωδεκα ABEN c m p 13. 40. 137 Thl. προκυνησαι Ε 137 sah, adorare vulg. rec (for εις) εν, with L rel Chr Œc: om 13: txt ABEHN a² d p 13. 36. 40 coptt Thl.

12. τινας Ε-gr. rec επισυστασιν, with HL rel: εποστασιαν p¹: αποστασιαν p²: txt ABEN 13. 40 vulg. (There is the like varn in the MSS in the only other place where the word occurs.) for 3rd ουτς, ουδε p.

of his procuratorship, which began in the twelfth year of Claudius, A.D. 52. The contrast between Tertullus's and Paul's 'captatio benevolentiæ' is remarkable. The former I have characterized above. But the Apostle, using no flattery, yet alleges the one point which could really win attention to him from Felix, viz. his confidence arising from speaking before one well skilled by experience in the manners and customs of the Jews. 11. ἡμέραι δώδεκα] The point of this seems to be, that Felix having been so long time a judge among the Jews, must be well able to search into and adjudicate on an offence whose whole course was comprised within so short a period. The twelve days may be thus made out: 1. his arrival in Jerusalem, ch. xxi. 15-17; 2. his interview with James, ib. 18 ff.; 3. his taking on him the vow, ib. 26; 3-7. the time of the vow, interrupted by-7. his apprehension, ch. xxi. 27; 8. his appearance before the Sanhedrim, ch. xxii. 30 ff.; 9. his departure from Jerusalem (at night); and so to the 13th, the day now current, which was the 5th inclusive from his leaving Jerusalem. This, which is also De Wette and Meyer's arrangement, is far more natural than that of Kuin., Olsh., Heinr., &c., who suppose that the days which he had already spent at Cæsarea are not to be counted, because his raising disturbances while in cus-

tody was out of the question. The view advocated by Wiescler (Chron. der Apost.gesch. pp. 103 ff.), that Paul was apprehended on the very day of his appearance with the men in the temple, I cannot but regard, notwithstanding his arguments in its favour, as inconsistent with the text of ch. xxi. 26, 27; as also his idea that the Apostle did not take the vow on himself: the expression σὺν αὐτοῖς άγνισθείς clearly negativing the latter supposition; and $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ήμερῶν τοῦ άγνισμοῦ, ver. 26, being manifestly, unless to one warped by a hypothesis, identical with ai έπτὰ ἡμέραι of ver. 27. See note there. I mention this here, because these suppositions materially affect his arrangement of the twelve days, which he gives thus: 2nd, from Cæsarea to Jerusalem; 3rd, interview with James; 4th, (Pentecost) visit to the temple with the Nazarites, and apprehension; 5th, before the Sanhedrim; 6th, departure from Jerusalem; 7th, arrival in Cæsarea; then, five days from that (but see note on ver. 1), Ananias, &c., leave Jerusalem (but how does this appear from ver. 1? κατέβη must surely denote their arrival at Casarea, where the narrator, or, at all events, the locus of the history is); 13th, arrival of Ananias, &c., at Cæsarea, and hearing (improbable) of Paul. So that the above hypotheses are not the only reasons for rejecting Wieseler's arrangement.

* κατὰ τὴν πόλιν. 13 ουτε * παραστῆσαι δύνανταί σοι περί ΑΒΕΗ w Luke viii. 39. xv. 14. x = here only. γων νυνὶ κατηγοροῦσίν μου. 14 ομολογῶ δὲ τοῦτό σοι, dfg h παραστήσαι ότι ταύτην ὅτι κατὰ τὴν α ὁδὸν ῆν λέγουσιν ο αἴρεσιν οὕτως ο λατρεύω p 13 exe laxiv,
Jos. Autt.
viii. 2. 5.
Xen. Œc.
Xii. 1.
y constr, John
xvii. 9. Heb.
v. 8. 2 Pet.
ii. 12.
and constr. τῷ ἀ πατρώω θεῷ, ε πιστεύων πᾶσιν τοῖς ε κατὰ τὸν ε νόμον καὶ [τοῖς έν] h τοῖς g προφήταις γεγραμμένοις, 15 i έλπίδα C πιδα και [τοις εν] τοις προφηταις γεγγραφίους, έχων είς τον θεον ην και και τοι κοντοι προςδέχονται, ΑΕCE ιι 12. 2 από οποκτη, $\frac{1}{10}$ ανάσταστν $\frac{1}{10}$ μέλλειν εσεσναι υκκινών $\frac{1}{10}$ απούς κοπον $\frac{1}{10}$ συνείδηστν $\frac{1}{10}$ με cf. $\frac{1}{10}$ κετί. $\frac{1}{10}$ ες τούτω καὶ αὐτὸς $\frac{1}{10}$ ασκώ $\frac{1}{10}$ απούς κοπον $\frac{1}{10}$ συνείδηστν $\frac{1}{10}$ με cf. $\frac{1}{10}$ ες $\frac{1}{10}$ ες ^m ἀνάστασιν ⁿ μέλλειν ⁿ ἔσεσθαι δικαίων τε και άδίκων, cdfgh rer. 5.

tets vii. 7 reff.

d ch, x xii. 3 reff.

12 reff.

g ch, xxii. 15 reff.

g ch, xxii. 15 reff.

p ch, xxii. 15 reff.

g ch, xxii. 25 reff.

g ch, xxii. 27 reff.

g ch, c Acts vii. 7 reff. John xvi. 30 al. Soph. Electr. 1024. i. 12 reff.

13. ουδε BN p. Steph aft παραστησαι (Tischdf is wrong) ins με, with c f g l m 66 · 69 · 78 · 80 · 96 · 97 · 100 · 104 · 106 · 142 Chr-ms Ce ; μοι 2 · 18 · 161 ; σοι 15 · 133 · 180 ; με νυν Η 27 · 28 · 98 · 99 Th-isf; μοι νυν 177 · οπ ABELN p 13 · 136 ic) revs Chr Ce. ree aft δυνανται οπ σοι, with HL rel Syr sah æth Chr Thl Ce : ins ABEN a² d g h m p 13 · 40 vulg Syr copt arm. ree (for νυνι) νυν, with EHL 13 · 36 rel: om k 3 · 30 · tx t ABN d m p 137 Th-fin.

14. ins μου bef θeω 137: patri deo meo vulg. om πασιν B. om τον B 56
Chr. Steph om τοις εν, with AHL Ν³(εν τοις) 13 rel vulg coptt ath Thl-sif Œc: elz om τοις with swrp. Forbh Chr. vtz BENH b. ek m. on 36. 40.137 Thl-fin

elz om τοις, with syrr Epiph Chr: txt BEN¹ b c k m o p 36. 40. 137 Thl-fin.

15. for εις, προς CN a 68. 69 Thl-fin. om τον C 180. om ουτοι N.
rec aft εσεσθαι ins νεκρων (supplementary addition), with EHL rel 36 syrr with Thlsif: om ABCN c k p 13. 40 vulg coptt arm Chr Thl-fin.

16. rec δε (και not being understood), with H 13 rel copt (Ec: δε και cg 25. 80.100. 177 arm Chr Thl-sif: ; ε και m: txt ABCELN b d k o p 40. 137 vulg syrr sah Thl-fin. εχων HL rel 36. 137 Chr: txt ABCEN d p 13 vulg syrr coptt Thl Œe.

12. κατὰ τὴν πόλ.] throughout the city, 'any where in the city;' as we say, 'up and down the streets.' The & here has its peculiar force, of taking off the attention from what has immediately preceded, and raising a new point as more worthy of notice. But ('if' thou wouldst truly know the reason why they accuse me'), 'hinc illæ lacrymæ.' αίρεσιν, in allusion to αίρεσεως used by Tertullus, ver. 5. The word is capable of an indifferent or of a bad sense. Tertullus had used it in the latter. Paul explains what it really was. ουτως = κατά ταύτην. Notice in the words πατρώφ θεφ the skill of Paul. The term was one well known to the Greeks and Romans, and which would carry with it its own justification. "Invisum quippe erat gentibus, nominatim etiam Romanis, si quis se peregrinis aut dis aut deorum cultibus addiceret; præterea Judais per multa imperatorum et magistratuum deereta et senatus consulta sancita erat potestas, Deum patrium colendi, patriis ritibus et sacris utendi. Jos. Antt. xiv. 17; xvi. 4" (Kninoel). In his

address to the Jews (ch. xxii. 14) the similar expression δ θ, τῶν πατέρων ἡμῶν, brings

out more clearly those marépes, in whom Felix had no interest further than the identification of Paul's religion with that of his ancestors required. κατὰ τ. ν.] See on κατ. τ. πόλιν, above. Then (if the words in brackets be omitted: and it is not easy to imagine that St. Luke wrote them) the dat. is used of the personal agents, the prophets. He avoids saying 'by Moses,' because the mention of the law would carry more weight. 15. αὐτοὶ οῦτοι lt would appear from this, that the High Priest and the deputation were not of the Sadducees. But perhaps this inference is too hasty; Paul might regard them as representing the whole Jewish people, and speak generally, as he does of the same hope ch. xxvi. 7, where he assigns it to τδ δωδεκάφυλον ήμῶν. νεκρών, inserted here in some MSS, to fill up the meaning, is not likely to have been spoken by the Apostle. The juxtaposition of those words, which excited mockery even when the Gospel was being directly preached, would hardly have been hazarded in this defence, where every expression is so carefully weighed.

16. ἐν τούτψ] Accordingly, i. e. 'having and cherishing this ἔχειν "πρὸς τὸν θεὸν καὶ τοὺς ἀνθρώπους 'διὰ παντός. s=Rom.v.1 17 "δι ἐτῶν δὲ 'πλειόνων " ἐλεημοσύνας 'ποιήσων ' ἐς τὸ teh. ii. 25 reft. ii. 26 reft. ii. 27 reft. ii. 28 reft. ii. έμέ. 20 $\mathring{\eta}^{\rm n}$ αὐτοὶ $^{\rm n}$ οὖτοι εἰπάτωσαν τί εὖρον [έν έμοὶ] al. z absol., ch.

28 reff. b = Mark vi. 48. Luke xvi. 10 al. cb. h6 al. cb. ke xvii. 6 Ezek. xxiii. 24. f ch. xxi. g ch. xxiii. 30 ar ff. h sec h. x. 33. l opt. (sobjective possibility), = ch. xvii. 27. Luke xxiii. 23 al. n vcr. 15. ar vcr. 15. a ch. xxi. 26 reff. d = ch. v. 26 al. xxi. 24 reff. d = 34 reft. Ezek vii. 11. k Matt. v. 23. Mark xi. 25 al. Winer, edn. 6, § 41. 4. c.

aft προς ins τε L b e d h l o 137 syr Chr Thl-fin.

δια παντος bef προς E c: om

δια παντος 32. 42. 571. 137. 17. παρεγενομην bef ελεημοσυνας κ.τ.λ. (transposa for perspicuity), with HL rel

vss Chr; και προσφ. bef παρεγ. Ex3 c 137: om παρεγ. A: txt BCX m p 13. 40 vulg Thl-fin. 18. *ree σίς, with HL rel Chr Thl-sif: ais (corrn to suit προσφορας?) ABCER b1

b o 13, 36, 40, 137 Thl-fin. aft bopuBou ins et apprehenderunt me clamantes et dicentes tolle inimicum virum demid. elz om δε, with HL Thl-fin: ins ABCER p 13 rel 36. 40. 137 vulg syr coptt Thl-sif Œe. ins $\tau\omega\nu$ bef $\alpha\pi\sigma$ CE b c f o 36. 40. ιουδαιων E b c o 36 syr Thl. 137 syr Thl.

13/ syr 1nl. 1000a(w) E 0 0 0 3 syr 1nl.
19. Steph δε, with HL b f g k l m o 137 sah æth Chr. (Ec: txt ABCEN p 13. 36 rel vulg syrr copt Chr. Thl. rec με, with HL rel 36 Chr: txt ABCEN p 13.
20. for η, ει (itacism?) AC. rec ins ει bef τι (corrn from ver 19), with a c vulg syr (Ec: om ABCEHLM p 13. 36 rel Syr copt arm Chr Thl. om εν εμοι ABN p 13. 40: ins CEHL rel 36 vss Chr Thl Œe.

hope; see reff. kaí] also, 'as well as they.' 17.] dé refers back to the former dé, ver. 14. 'But the matter of which they complain is this, that after an absence of many years,' &c. See 1 Cor. xvi. 3, 4; 2 Cor. viii. ix. notes, ch. xx. 4. De W. observes, that ἡγνισμ. can only refer to προσφ., not to έλεημ.: thus als may have been altered to ols, to give a general neuter sense, amidst which occupations: and the sense will be among, or engaged in which offerings: it being in the temple. But this seems far-fetched and unlikely, and Meyer's supposition, that of s has been altered to a s to suit $\pi \rho os$ φοράs, certainly has an air of probability. The use of a verb referring to two substantives, to only one of which it is applicable, is too common to require illustration. But, as so often in this book, we must follow the best MSS., our only fixed evidence, as against any questionable subjective considerations. The construction is irregular. A subject to εδρον has to be supplied by a reference to some nominative case implied in οὐ μετὰ ὕχ. οὐδ. μ. θορ., thus: amidst which they found me purified in the temple, none who detected me in the act of raising a tumult but certain Asiatic Jews This would leave it to be inferred that no legal officers had appre-

hended him, but certain private individuals, illegally; who besides had not come forward to substantiate any charge against him. Bornemann would supply οὐχ οὖτοι μέν before τινες δέ; but the objection to this is, that the negative οὐ μετὰ ὅχ..... stands already as the proper opponent clause to Tives de, and we should thus have two negative clauses together. On this sense of δέ, see Viger, ed. Hermann, p. 16, note 24; and Hermann's note, p. 702. 19. The latter remarks, "intelligitur in hac formula, quam malum, stultum est, vel simile quid." 19.] ἔχοιεν, not ἔχουσιν, implying the subjective possibility merely, and disclaiming all knowledge of what the charge might be. The sentence is an anacoluthon: δε? is absolutely asserted in the present: then exoler in the opt. follows, as if the hypothetical έδει had been used: and hence the correction to ¿δει. [So I wrote in former editions, and so I still believe: but the text must follow the evidence of the great MSS.7 On the opt. after the hypothetical indicative, see Bernhardy, Syntax, p. 386 ff. is a skilful argument on the part of the Apostle :- it being the custom of the Romans not to judge a prisoner without the accusers face to face, he deposes that his real accusers were the Asiatic Jews who

ο ch. sviii. 14 ο ἀδίκημα στάντος μοῦ ε ἐπὶ τοῦ ρ συνεδρίου, $\frac{21}{\eta}$ περὶ μίας ABCE ρ ch. iv. 15 τeff.

α ch. xii. 27 ταύτης q φωνῆς r ῆς εκκρωξα ἐν αὐτοῖς ἐστώς, ὅτι περὶ ε ἀτι περὶ ε ὰτι περὶ ε ὰτ περὶ ε ὰτ περὶ ε ὰτ περὶ ε ὰτι περὶ ε ὰτι περὶ ε ὰτι περὶ ε ὰτ περὶ ε

Sentenen.

Lex. V. T. in του.) τό πλουσωτέραν τὴν πόλιν ποιείν ἀναβολούμεθια, Χεπ. Μεπ. iii, β. (-βολή; ch. xxv.

17. w ch. xxiii, 25 reft. x = ch. ix, 2 reft.

2 ah.

2 ch. xxiii, 15, α reft.

5 ch. xxii. β reft.

6 ch. xxi. δ reft.

1 ch. xxiii. 15, α reft.

6 ch. xxi. δ reft.

1 ch. xxiii. 15, α reft.

6 ch. xxi. δ reft.

1 ch. xxiii. 15, α reft.

1 ch. xxiii. 12, α reft.

2 ch. xxii. 3 (reft.) x. xx. δ reft.

21. $\phi\omega\nu\eta$ s bef $\tau\alpha\nu\tau\eta$ s E c k 137 syr Thl-fin. rec $\epsilon\kappa\rho\alpha\xi\alpha$, with EHL rel 36 Thl-fin: txt ABCN a b d m o p 13. 40 Chr₃ Thl-sif Ce. rec $\epsilon\sigma\tau\omega$ s bef $\epsilon\nu$ autors (corm to avoid ambig of reference of $\epsilon\nu$ autor), with HL rel syrr Chr Ce: txt ABCEN c hr p 13. 40. 187 vulg syrr copt Thl. om $\epsilon\gamma\omega$ C. rec $\nu\phi$. (corn, the force of $\epsilon\phi$ not being perceived), with EHLN rel 36 vulg Chr: txt ABC m p 13. 40 syr (ath).

22. rec at beg ins ακουσας δε ταντα (omitting the δε following), with L rel 36 Thl Ec: om ABCEHN e p 13. 40. 137 vulg syrr copt æth arm Chr.—ο φηλίζ ανέβαλετο αυτους L &c as above: ο φηλίζ bef αντους e Chr: αυτους p. rec ειπαν (corra more nemal form), with EHL rel 36: txt ABCN p. for καθ, καπεσε(but corrd) Ν¹.
23. aft διαταξαμενος ins τε, with H rel vulg Chr; δε L: om ABCEN b¹ c o p 13. 36.

40. 137 syrr copt arm Thl-fin. for εκατοντ., χιλιαρχη Ν', but corrd by N'-or corrirec (for αυτου) του παυλου, with HL rel Syr æth Chr: txt ABCEN e k p 13. 36.
40. 137 vulg syr copt arm Thl-fin. rec aft υπηρετειν adds η προsερχεσθαι, with
HL rel 36 Chr: om ABCEN p 13 vulg syrr copt arm.

first raised the cry against him in the temple,—not the Sanhedrim, who merely received him at the hands of others,—and that these were not present. 20.] Or let these persons themselves say, what fault they found in me while I stood before the Sanhedrim, other than in the matter of this one saying.....τ serves for τ δλο. So in English: What fault but this: i.e. What other fault but this: 21.] δφ ψμ, before you: less usual than bo ψμ, which is probably a correc-

than ὑφ΄ ὑμ., which is probably a correction.

22. ἀνεβάλετο αὐτ.] 'ampliavit cos:' viz. both parties. ἀκρ. εἰδώς eos:' viz. both parties. τὰ π. τ. όδ. These words will bear only one philologically correct interpretation, having more accurate knowledge about the way: not, 'till he should obtain more accurate knowledge' (ungrammatical): nor, ' since he had now obtained' (viz. by Paul's speech: but eldés cannot be rendered 'certior factus'). But this, the only right rendering, is variously understood. Chrys. says: ἐπίτηδες ὑπερέθετο (he adjourned the case purposely), οὐ δεόμενος μαθείν, άλλὰ διακρούσασθαι βουλόμενος τους 'Ιουδαίους. ἀφείναι οὐκ ἤθελε δι' ἐκείνους. Luther and Wolf: "distulit, ... non quod seetæ ignarus esset, aut pleniorem sibi notitiam ejus comparare vellet, sed quia, cum satis-illam jam cognitam haberet, Judieos amplius sibi molestos esse nolebat." But these interpretations, as De W. observes, overlook the circumstance, that such a reason for adjournment would be as unfavourable to Paul, as to the Jews. Meyer explains it, that he adjourned the case, 'because,' &c. But this (De W.) would imply that he was favourably disposed to Paul. The simplest explanation is that given by De W.: He put them off to another time, not as requiring any more information about 'the way,' for that matter heknew before,—but waiting for the arrival of Lysias. Whether Lysias was expected, or summoned, or ever came to be heard, is very doubtful. The real motive of the 'ampliatio' appears in ver. 26. The comparative implies, "more accurate than to need additional information." τὰ καθ' ὑμ.] I will adjudge your matters. So in reff. also. 23.] διαταξάμενος is in apposition with είπας, and both belong to ἀνεβάλετο. ἄνεσιν] De W. and Meyer explain this of 'custodia libera,' φυλακή άδεσμος (Arrian, Exp. ii. 15). But this can hardly be. Lipsius (Excurs. II. on Tacit. Ann. iii. 22; vi. 3, cited by Wieseler, Chron. d. Apost.-g. p. 380) says, 'Præter custodiam militarem alia duplex, apud magistratus, etapud vades. Apud magistratus, quum reus Consuli, Pratori, Ædili, inter 24 Μετὰ δὲ ἡμέρας ἡτινὰς ἱπαραγενόμενος ὁ Φῆλιξ ḥεh.x 48 ref. σὺν Δρουσίλλη τῆ γυναικί, οὔση Ἰουδαία, ἡμετεπέμψατο κεὶ κεὶ κεὶ τὸν Παῦλον καὶ ἤκουσεν αὐτοῦ περὶ τῆς ἱείς χριστὸν $\overset{\circ}{\underset{\stackrel{\sim}{\text{col}}}{\text{col}}}$ πίστεως. 25 ma διαλεγομένου δὲ αὐτοῦ περὶ το δικαιοσύνης καὶ 7 εγκρατείας καὶ τοῦ 9 κρίματος τοῦ 7 μέλλοντος, 16 refi. [stii.1.] * ἔμφοβος γενόμενος ὁ Φηλιξ ἀπεκοίθη Τὸ τοῦν τέχον και si tent πορεύου, "καιρον δὲ "μεταλαβῶν "μετακαλέσομαι σε betonity. 26 ἄμα καὶ ἐλπίζων ὅτι " χρήματα δοθήσεται αὐτῷ ὑπὸ (title) only.

 $-\tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon a \theta a u$, 1 Cor, vii, 9, ix, 25.) q = Heb. vi. 2. 1 Pet. iv. 17. Rev. xx. 4. (Pror. xx. if 5 al.) r = Matt. xii. 32. Rom. v. 14 al. s ch. x. 4 reff. there only. Tobit vii. 11. u = Gal. vi. 10. Hegg. i. 2, 4. v = (and constr.) here (ch. ii. 48 reff.) only. μ εταλ. καιρ. άρμόττοντα, Polyh, ii. 16. 15. w ch. vii, 14 reff. x ch. iv. 37 reff.

24. τινας bef ημέρας ΑΕ c 137 vulg Syr: txt BCHLM 13. 36 rel vss Chr. rec aft γυναικι ins αυτου, with EN^{1.3} rel vulg Thl-sif Œc: pref ιδια BC² N-corr¹ 36 syr-marg Ammon-c Thl-fin: ins both A p: om both C¹HL a b k m o Chr. (Both ιδια and αυτου are additions to fix the sense of γυναικι.) aft ιουδαια ins παρακαλουση οπως ιδη τον παυλον και ακουση τον λογον ως ουν εβουλετο ικανον ποιησαι εποιησεν τουτο syrmarg. ins και bef μετεπεμψατο Ν¹(Ν³ disapproving). om αυτου C. aft χριστου ins ιησουν ΕLΝ¹ d f g h l m p 36 vulg syr copt æth arm Chr Thl-fin; 17σου(sie) B: pref, am(and fuld tol) æth: om A C¹-²(appy) HN-corr¹-³ 13 rel Syr Thl-sif Œc.

μελλοντος bef κριματος (omg του) C m 25. εγκρατείας και δικαιοσύνης Ν. 40 arm Chr-comm₁ rec aft $\mu\epsilon\lambda\lambda$ 00000 ins $\epsilon\sigma\epsilon\sigma\theta$ 01 (appy a corrn aft ver 15), with HL rel Chr₂ Thl Ee: om ABCEN p 13. 36. 40. 137. aft $\epsilon\mu\phi$ 080 s ins $\delta\epsilon$ A. παραλαβων A: λαβων a b d k o p 13. 40 Chr εχων L 13: εχουν Η. Thl-sif.

26. rec ins δε bef και, with (none of our mss) copt Thl-fin Œc: om ABCEHLN p

dum et Scnatori, etiam non e magistratu, committebatur: quod nonnisi in reis illustrioribus usurpatum, eaque custodia libera dieta: vid. Tacit. Ann. vi. 3; Sall. Cat. xlvii.; Liv. vi. 36; Cic. Brut. xcvi.; Dio lviii. 3. Custodia apud vades, quum eorum periculo fidejussoribus reus tradebatur: vid. Tacit. Ann. v. 8; Suet. Vitell. 2. Now, Wieseler argues, as Paul was not bailed, -and was not 'e reis illustrioribus,' and besides was delivered to a centurion to keep, his cannot have been 'custodia libera,' but 'militaris:' relaxed however as much as was consistent with safe custody. He cites Josephus, who says (Antt. xviii. 6. 10) of the custody of Agrippa, φυλακή μèν γὰρ καὶ τήρησις ἢν, μετὰ μέντοι ἀνέσεως της είς την δίαιταν. Remission, or relaxation, would be a better rendering than 'liberty.' 24. παραγέν.] Into the hall or chamber where Paul was to Δρουσίλλη] She was daughter of Herod Agrippa I. (see ch. xii.) and of Cypros,-and sister of Agrippa II. She was betrothed at six years old (Jos. Antt. xix. 9. 1) to Epiphanes, son of Antiochus, king of Commagene; but (Antt. xx. 7. 1) he declining the marriage, not wishing to be circumcised and become a Jew, she was married to the more obsequious Azizus, king of Emesa. Not long after, Felix, being enamoured of her beauty, persuaded her, by means of a certain Simon, a Cyprian magician (see note on ch. viii. 9), to leave her husband and live with him (Antt. xx. 7. 2). She bore him a son, Agrippa: and both mother and son perished in an eruption of Vesuvius, in the reign of Titus The Drusilla mentioned by Tacitus (Hist. v. 9), a granddaughter or Antony and Cleopatra, must have been another wife of Felix, who was thrice married, and each time to persons of royal birth; 'trium reginarum maritus,' Suet. Claud. 28. 25.] It is remarkable that Tacitus uses of Felix (Ann. xii. 54) the expression 'cuncta malefacta sibi impune ratus.' The fear of Felix appears to have operated merely in his sending away Paul: no impression for good was made on him. 26.] 'Lex Julia de repetundis præcipit, ne quis ob hominem in vincula publica conjiciendum, vinciendum, vincirive jubendum, exve vinculis dimittendum; neve quis ob hominem condemnandum absolvendumve aliquid acceperit.' Digest. xl. 11. 3. Cited by Mr. Humphry, who observes: Albinus, who succeeded Festus, so much encouraged this kind of bribery, that no malefactors remained in prison, except those who did not offer money for their liberation (Jos.

τοῦ Παύλου, διὸ καὶ ⁹ πυκνότερον αὐτὸν ^k μεταπεμπόμενος ΑΒCE y here only t. 2 Macc. vid. ² ωμίλει αυτώ. ^{27 a} Διετίας δε ^b πληοωθείσης έλαβεν ^{c d f g h} ki m o S only. (-vos, Luke Tim. ε διάδοχον ο Φηλιξ Πόρκιον Φηστον, θέλων τε de χάριτα v. 33, 1 Tin v. 23 only, Ezek, xxxi, 3 F. only.)

z Luke xxiv.
14, 15. ch.
xx. 11 only. εί καταθέσθαι τοις Ιουδαίοις ο Φηλιξ ε κατέλιπεν τον Παῦλον 6 δεδεμένον.

xx. 11 only, w. dat., here only. Prov. xxiii. 30. w. dat., here only. Prov. XXV. 1 Φῆστος οὖν 1 έπιβὰς τῆ k ἐπαρχία μετὰ ch. xxvii. 30 only t τρεῖς ἡμέρας 1 ἀνέβη εἰς 1 Γεροσόλυμα ἀπὸ Καισαρείας, (τής, Matt. 1 2 mn ἐνεφάνισάν τε αὐτῷ οἱ ἀρχιερεῖς καὶ οἱ $^\circ$ πρῶτοι τῶν 3 3 (3.3) see ch. a ch. xxviii. 30 only †. Ίουδαίων "κατά του Παύλου, και [4] παρεκάλουν αυτόν b = ch. vii. 23 reff.

refi. chere only, 1 Chron, with 17, so successorem accepit, Plin, Epist, iz, 13, and 4 clear, and a control of the chere only a chere o

13. 36 rel 137 vulg Chr Thl-sif. om αυτω B: αυτω bef δοθησεται c. rec aft παυλου ins οπως λυση αυτον (a gloss from the marg), with HL rel 36 copt eth-pl Chr The (Ee: om ABCEN p 40 vulg syrr arm. for ωμιλει, διελεγετο C 15. 18. 36. 180. 27. aft φηστον ins τον δε παυλον ειασεν εν τηρησει δια δρουσιλλαν 137 : παυλω δε τετρεψεν εν φυλακη λαλειν syr-marg. for $\tau \epsilon$, δε \mathbf{R}^3 b c d e g h k l^2 o p 2 13. 40. επετρεψεν εν φυλακη λαλειν syr-marg. 137 vulg Syr copt Chr Thl. ree χαριτας, with H rel 36 Ammon-c Thl-sif Œc: χαριν (see ch xxv. 9) ELN3 c k 40. 137 vulg (Syr copt) Chr Thl-fin: txt ABCN1 p 13.

Chap. XXV. 1. $\tau \eta \in \pi \alpha \rho \chi \in \iota \omega \ A \ \aleph^1(-\chi \iota \omega) : \tau \eta \nu \in \pi \alpha \rho \chi \iota \alpha \nu \ p.$

2. ενεφανησαν Η 25. 26. 68. 105 Thl-fin Œc (so also ch xxiii. 22; xxiv. 1; xxv. 15). rec δε (alteration of characteristic τε), with EHL rel syr copt Thl-sif: txt ABCN k p 13. 40 vulg Syr æth Chr Thl-fin Œc. rec o αρχιερευς, with H rel Thlsif (Ec: txt ABCELN e d p 36. 40. 137 vulg Syr copt æth arm.

B. J. ii. 14. 1). St. Paul did not resort to this mode of shortening his tedious and unjust imprisonment, and Tertullian ('de Fuga in Persecutione,' 12, p. 116) quotes his conduct in this respect against those who were disposed to purchase escape from persecution: a practice which prevailed and became a great evil in the time of Cyprian. See his Epistles, lii. and lxviii., denouncing the Libellatici. 27.

διετίας | viz. of Paul's imprisonment. Πόρκιον Φῆστον Festus appears to have succeeded Felix in the summer or autumn of the year 60 A.D.: but the question is one of much chronological difficulty. It is fully discussed in Wieseler, Chron. d. Apost.-g. pp. 91—99. He found the province (Jos. Antt. xx. 8. 10) wasted and harassed by bands of robbers and sicarii, and the old plague of false prophets. He died, after being procurator a very short time,—from one to two years. Josephus (B. J. ii. 14. 1) contrasts him, as a putter down of robbers, favourably with his successor Albinus. On the deposition, &c., of Felix, see note, ch. xxiii. χάριτα καταθέσθαι] See reff. 'Est locutio bene Græca, Demostheni quoque usitata et Xenophonti: quales locutiones non pancas habet Lucas, ubi non alios inducit loquentes, sed ipse loquitur,

et quidem de rebus ad religionem non pertinentibus.' Grot. The reading χάριτα, brought into the text by the evidence of the best MSS., has apparently been a correction to suit the context, only one such act being spoken of. The plural would describe the wish of Felix to confer obligations on the Jews, who were sending to complain of him at Rome,-and so win their favour. δεδεμένον There was no change in the method of custody, see note on ver. 23. He left him in the 'custodia militaris' in which he was.

XXV. 1.] The term ἐπαρχία is properly used of a province, whether imperial or senatorial (see note on ch. xiii. 7),—but is here loosely applied to Judæa, which was only a procuratorship, attached to the province of Syria. So also Josephus calls Festus ἔπαρχος, Antt. xx. 8. 11; as also 2. οἱ ἀρχ.] It has Albinus, ib. 9. 1. been imagined, that & apx. of the rec. has been a correction to suit the former part of the narrative. But it may be that of άρχιερείς has been substituted for it, to suit the assertion of Festus, ver. 15. So Meyer and De Wette. The High Priest now was Ishmael the son of Phabi, Jos. Antt. xx. 8. 11. πρώτοι is more general than πρεσβύτεροι, though most of the first men

must have been members of the Sanhedrim.

3 ταίτούμενοι * χάριν κατ' αὐτοῦ, αὅπως ' μεταπέμψηται ' = ch. xii. 20. Matt. vii. 91. αὐτὸν εἰς ' Ιερουσαλήμ, " ἐνέδραν ποιοῦντες ' ἀνελεῖν αὐτὸν ' διμε xis. 4 διμε xis. 4

3. for kat, pag C e 18. 36. 105. 180 tol Syr Chr-txt. ιεροσολυμα E k 96. ενεδρον c 137 Chr. at end ins οι την ευχην πεποιηκοτες κατα το δυνατον ινα εν ταις χεροιν αυτων γενηται syr-marg.

4. rec εν καισαρεια, with HL rel 36 Chr: txt ABCEN p 13. 40. om μελλειν Ε.

εκπορευεσθαι bef εν ταχει 🖰 '.

rec δυύατοι bef εν υμιν (transposition for perspicuity), with HL rel syr ath ThI Ee: txt ABCE N(but ημιν for υμιν) m 13. 40 vulg arm Chr-comm. καταβαντες N. rec (for ατοπον) τουτω, with HL rel syrr copt Chr ThI Œe: τουτω ατοπον a c g² m 137: ατοπον bef εν τω ανδρι b c o: om 105. 133: txt ABCEN d p 13. 40 vulg arm Lucif.

7. rec om autor, with H rel copt Chr Thl-sif Œe: ins ABCLN b o 36. 40 Lucif: auto E p 13 Thl-fin.

of m p Chr Thl-fin.

rec autaquata, with rel 36 Thl-sif Œe: txt ABCEHLN a²

of m p Chr Thl-fin.

rec perportes, with HL rel 36 syr copt tæth Chr Thl Œe:

rec adds κατα του παυλου (omg του παυλου neat ver), with rel 36 syr Chr Thl Œe: τω παυλω Ε: κατ' αυτου L 17. 18.

68 Syr copt æth: om ABCHN p vulg arm Lucif.

8. rec aft απολογ. ins αυτου (corrn following on the insertion of κατα του παυλου

Festus, relating this application, ver. 15, calls them πρεσβότεροι.

παταδίκην, ver. 15.
ποιοῦντες, not for ποιήσοντες: they were making, contriving, the ambush already. The country was at this time, as may be seen abundantly in Jos. Antt. xx., full of sicurii; who were hired by the various parties to take off their adversaries.

5. of δυνανοί] not, as in Ε. V., those among you that are able [to go down ?]: but, the powerful among you: those who from their position and influence are best calculated to represent the public interests. See Meyer and Wordsworth.

6.] The

number of days is variously read: which has probably arisen from the later MSS, which have η for the $\delta\kappa\tau\Delta$ of the more ancient ones: thus η has been omitted on account of the η following. It is possible, as Meyer also observes, that a perverted notion of the necessity of an absolute precision in details in the inspired text, may have occasioned the erasure of one of the numbers. 7. $\pi\epsilon\mu(\epsilon\tau\eta\sigma\Delta\tau)$ without the $\alpha\tau\delta\tau$, as in rec., this night mean round the $\beta\eta\mu\alpha$, or round Festus: and perhaps the insertion has been made to clear this up. $\kappa\alpha\tau\Delta\phi\epsilon\rho\sigma\tau\tau\epsilon$, bringing against

him: see var. readd. and ref.

w 1 Cor. vl. 18 ουτε w είς τον νόμον των Ιουδαίων ουτε είς το ιερον ουτε HIM a b reff. x ch, xxiv. 27 (reff.). y ch, xi. 2 reff. z = ver. 20. Rom, id. 4, from Ps. 1. 4 είς Καίσαρα τὶ "ημαρτον. 9 ὁ Φηστος δὲ θέλων τοῖς c dfg h Ίουδαίοις × χάριν * καταθέσθαι, ἀποκριθείς τῷ Παύλω P 13 είπεν Θέλεις γείς Ιεροσόλυμα γαναβάς έκει περί τούτων $^{(0)}_{\alpha}$ κοιθήναι $^{\alpha}$ έποῦ $^{\alpha}$ έμοῦ ; $^{(1)}$ εἶπεν δὲ ὁ Παῦλος $^{\alpha}$ Έπὶ τοῦ 1 βή- $^{\alpha}$ κοιθήναι $^{\alpha}$ έπ έμοῦ ; $^{(1)}$ εἶπεν δὲ ὁ Παῦλος $^{\alpha}$ Έπὶ τοῦ 1 βή- $^{\alpha}$ κοι ματος Καίσαρος ἐστώς b εἰμι, οῦ με δεῖ z κρίνεσθαι. Ίου- $^{(1)}$ τοῦ $^{(2)}$ εἰς $^{(2)}$ εἰς $^{(2)}$ εὶς $^{(2)}$ εἰς $^{(2)}$ εῖς $^{(2)}$ ε δαίους οὐδεν ηδίκησα, ώς καὶ σὰ c κάλλιον d έπιγινώσκεις. xxvi, 26, 11 εί μεν οῦν ἀδικῶ καὶ εαζιον εθανάτου πέπραχά τι, οὐ v. 2 al. c. v. v. 2 al. c. v.

above), with H rel 36 Chr Thl-sif (Ec: του πανλου απολογουμενου αυτου L: txt ABCEN c mp 13. 40. 137 vulg syrr copt æth Thl-fin Lucif.—add δε (aft the first word) E 36 am(and demid fuld) Thl-sif Lucif, τε Syr. om τι 57. 80. 105: τινα 137.

9. for δε, ουν A k 40. ree τοις ιουδαιοις bef θελων, with HL rel Chr Thl-sif Œc: txt ABCEN e k m p 13. 40. 137 vulg arm Thl-fin. χαριτα A. rec κρινεσθαι, with HL rel 36 Chr: txt ABCEN d k p 13. 40 Thl-fin.

εστως bef επι του βηματος καισαρος X1 m: B has it in both 10. om o A f.

aces. ηδικηκα Β**Χ** (p). γινωσκεις C e d¹ 2. 30. 137. 11. ree (for ουν) γαρ (corrn, as Meyer, because ει μεν ουν seemed contradictory to ουδεν ηδικησα), with HL rel vss Thl-sif Œc: om 40 E-lat: txt ABCEN dkp 36 copt Chr-

3. These were the three principal charges to which the πολ. κ. βαρ. αἰτ. of the Jews referred (Meyer). 9.] κριθηναι, the aor., refers to the one act, of deciding finally concerning these charges. This not having been seen, the later MSS. have substituted kepireofla, which is more 'going to law,' 'being involved in a trial.' The question is asked of Paul as a Roman citizen, having a right to be tried by Roman law: and more is contained in it, than at first meets the eye. It seems to propose only a change of place; but doubtless in the ἐκεῖ κριθῆναι was contained by implication a sentence prononnced by the Sanhedrim. ἐπ' ἐμοῦ may mean no more than επί σοῦ, ch. xxvi. 2, viz., that the procurator would be present and sanction the trial: so Grot., "visne a synedrio judicari me præsente?" Otherwise, a journey to Jerusalem would be superfluous. Festus may very probably have anticipated the rejection of this proposal by Paul, and have wished to make it appear that the obstacle in the way of Paul being tried by the Sanhedrim arose not from him, but from the prisoner himself. 10. Paul's refusal has a positive and a negative ground -1. 'Cæsar's tribunal is my proper place of judgment : 2. To the Jews I have done no harm, and they have therefore no claim to judge me' (De W.). έπ. τ. β. Kaio.] Meyer quotes from Ulpian, "Quæ acta gestaque sunt a procuratore Cæsaris, sic ab co comprobantur, atque si a Casare ipso gesta sint." In ού με δει κρίνεσθαι, Dr. Wordsworth has again fallen into the

mistake of supposing µ€ (and again in ver. 11) to be emphatic (see note, Matt. xvi. 18), which it cannot possibly be under any circumstances. The form of the sentence which would express the sense built by him on this error, would be, οδ δεῖ ἐμὲ κριθηναι, or οδ ἐμὲ δεῖ κριθηναι. But the sense, when thus given, surely is wholly alien from the person speaking and from the situation: as is also the understanding δεί as alluding to divine intimation made to him. The δε? is simply of his right as a Roman citizen: the $\mu\epsilon$ simply enclitic, and of no rhetorical force at all. κάλλιον Not 'for the superlative,' here or any where else:—the comparative is elliptical, requiring 'than 'to be supplied by the hearer: so also in reff. Here, the ellipsis would be readily supplied from Festus's own speech, which appeared to assume that there was some ground of trial before the Sanhedrim. κάλλιον will therefore mean, better than thou choosest to confess. We have an ellipsis of the same kind in our phrase 'to know better.' it may be in this case as in 2 Tim. i. 18, 'better, than that I need say more on it :' but I prefer the other interpretation.

11.] Both readings, εἰ μὲν γάρ, and εἰ μὲν οὖν, will suit the sense. In the former case, it is, 'For if I am an offender, ' in the latter, If, now, I am an offender, -taking up the supposition generally, after having denied the particular case of his having offended the Jews. Meyer and De Wette are at issue about the internal probability of these readings: I am disposed to agree with Meyer that a difficulty

[†] παραιτούμαι τὸ ἀποθανείν εἰ δὲ ^βοὐδέν ἐστιν ^β ὧν [†] Loke xiv, 18, 10. 1 Tim, οὖτοι ^h κατηγορούσίν μου, οὐδείς με δύναται αὐτοῖς ⁱ χα ⁻ χα ⁻ χα ¹ κατοθαι. Καίσαρα ^k ἐπικαλούμαι. ¹² Τότε ὁ Φῆστος ^κ ἐπικάλλαλήσας μετὰ τοῦ ^m συμβουλίου ἀπεκρίθη Καίσαρα ^h ἀποκέκλησαι, ⁿ ἐπὶ Καίσαρα ⁿ πορεύση. ¹³ ο ['] Ημερῶν δὲ ^ρ διαγενομένων ^ο τινῶν ['] Αγρίππας ὁ βα - k ⁻ καισες, δε ⁻ καισες τος την τος δαιναίτης τος δε τ

3 ° ¹ Ημερών δὲ ^P δια γενομένων ° τινών ΄ Αγρίππας ο βα- κ ^{cab}ere, &c. d. times, c. b. xxvi. 32, xxviii. 19 only. see ch. ii. 21. Luke ix. 30 Mk. xxii. 4 only. Exod. xxxiv. 35, xv. 22 Theol. x c. 11. xvii. 11. xvii. 14. b) Prov. p Mark xvii. 1. c. h. xxvii. 9 only + 2 Macc. xi. 26 only.

comm Thl-fin. (13 def.) for κai , $\eta \to 29$ vulg Syr Chr-comm. for τo , $\tau o v \to 1$ for $u \to 1$ for $u \to 1$ for $u \to 1$ for autois, $\tau o v \to 0$ for autois CL 36: txt ABEHR p rel Chr Thl Ec.

12. συμβουλου L 18: συνεδριου C: συνεδ. κ. συμβ. 68.

was felt in the οδν (no expression is more frequently misunderstood and altered than μεν οδν) and it was corrected into γάρ. This el assumes the conviction after proof; as the following & does the acquittal. ού. με δύν.] Said of legal possibility: 'non fas est aliquem' The dilemma here put by Paul is, "If I am guilty, it is not by them, but by Casar, that I must be (and am willing to be) tried, sentenced, and punished. If I am innocent, and Casar acquits me, then clearly none will be empowered to give me up to them : therefore, at all events, guilty or innocent, I am not to be made their victim." έπικαλ.] I call upon, i. e. appeal to (provoca d) Cæsar. This power (of 'provocatio ad populum') having existed in very early times (e.g. the case of Horatius, Livy i. 26), was ensured to Roman citizens by the Lex Valeria (see Livy ii. 8, U.C. 245), suspended by the Decemviri, but solemnly re-established after their deposition (Liv. iii. 55, U.C. 305), when it was decreed that it should be unlawful to make any magistrate from whom there did not lie an appeal. When the emperors absorbed the power of the populus and the tribunitial veto in themselves, the 'provocatio ad populum 'and 'appellatio ad tribunos' were both made to the princeps. See Smith's Dict. of Antt. art. Appellatio. In Pliny's celebrated Epistle respecting the Bithynian Christians (x. 97), we read, "Fuerunt alii similis amentiæ: quos, quia cives Romani erant, adnotavi in urbem remittendos."

12. $\operatorname{supBouk(ou)}$ The 'conventus,' or $\operatorname{supBouk(ou)}$ The 'conventus,' as sembled to try causes on the àzopaïo. (huipau), see ch. xix. 38. A certain number of these were chosen as judices, for the particular causes, by the proconsul, and these were called his 'consiliarii' (Suct. Tib. 33), or 'assessores' ($\operatorname{ruipepo}_{out}$, Suct. Galb. 19). So in Jos. (B. J. ii. 16. 1), Cestius, on re-

ceiving an application from Jerusalem respecting the conduct of Florus, μετὰ ἡγεμόνων έβουλεύετο, i. e. with his assessors, or συμβούλιον. He consulted them to decide whether the appeal was to be conceded, or if conceded, to be at once acted on. (Mr. Lewin cites from the Digests, xlix. 5. 7: 'Si res dilationem non recipiat, non permittitur appellare.') The sense is stronger and better without a question at επικέκλησαι. Thus were the two-the design of Paul (ch. xix. 21), and the promise of our Lord to him (ch. xxiii. 11)-brought to their fulfilment, by a combination of providential circumstances. We can hardly say, with De W. and Meyer, that these must have influenced Paul in making his appeal; that step is naturally accounted for, and was rendered necessary by the difficulties which now beset him; but we may be sure that the prospect at length, after his long and tedious imprisonment, of seeing Rome, must at this time have cheered him, and caused him to hear the ἐπὶ Καίσαρα πορεύση of Festus with no small emotion. 13.] HEROD AGRIPPA II., son of the Herod of ch. xii. (see note on ver. 1 there), was at Rome, and seventeen only, when his father died (Jos. Antt. xix. 9. 1). Claudius (ib. 9. 2) was about to send him to succeed to the kingdom, but was dissuaded by his freedmen and favourites, and sent Cuspius Fadus as procurator instead. Soon after, Claudius gave him the principality of Chalcis, which had been held by his uncle Herod (Antt. xx. 5. 2),—the presidency of the temple at Jerusalem and its treasures (Antt. xx. 1. 3), -and the appointment of the High Priest. Some years after the same emperor added to his jurisdiction the former tetrarchy of Philip, and Batanæa, Trachonitis, and Abilene (Antt. xx. 7.1), with the title of King (B. J. ii. 12. 8). Nero afterwards annexed Tiberias, Tarichea, Julias, and fourteen αθε χτί.1 τεβ. σιλεὺς καὶ Βερνίκη q κατήντησαν εἰς Καισάρειαν r ἀσπα-μένα το τολ χτίι. σόμενοι τὸν Φῆστον. 14 ὡς δὲ s πλείους ἡμέρας t διέτριβον εἰνς τος s κατά τὸν Παῦλον, τος τος βασιλεῖ u ἀνέθετο v τὰ v κατά τὸν Παῦλον, t μεν τος t ανέλιας λέγων t Ανήρ τις ἐστὶν w καταλελειμμένος ὑπὸ Φήλικος t τος t τος

13. om τινων c k 1. 36. 137 syrr Chr: τριων 3. 95. 108. βερενικη C¹ arm: βερηνικη (appy) C¹, but ver 23, C has βερονικηs, and so here E-lat demid tol Cassiod. ασπασωμενοι AEHLN copt seth Thl-sif: txt B p rel 36 vulg E-latt syrr Chr Thl-fin Ge. (C is uncertain.)

14. διετριβεν H d f g k l æth-rom Thl-sif Œc-ed.

ενεφανισθησαν B

(Mai). aft ενεφανισαν ins μοι E-gr vulg arm. rec
δικην (see note), with EHL p rel 36 Chr Thl (Ec: txt ABCN 13.40 Bas, damnationem
vulg.

16. τινι C o 27. 29. 105 Bas. ree aft ανθρωπον ins εις απωλειαν, with HL rel 36 Syr syr-w-ast Chr Thl Ee: om ABCER c p 13. 40 am fuld coptt arm Ath Thdrt Bas Acta-chalced; damnare [= χαριζ...εις απωλειαν] vulg-ed: donare am fuld. εχαι bef κατα προσωπον Ν. for τε, δε Β Ε-gr.

neighbouring villages to his kingdom (Antt. xx. 8. 4). He built a large palace at Jerusalem (ib. 8. 11); but offended the Jews by constructing it so as to overlook the temple (ib.), and by his capricious changes in the high priesthood, -and was not much esteemed by them (B. J. ii. 17. 1). When the last war broke out, he attached himself throughout to the Romans. He died in the third year of Trajan, and fifty-first of his reign, aged about seventy (Winer, Βερνίκη] The Macedonian RWB.). form (Βερενίκη or Βερονίκη) for Φερενίκη. She was the eldest daughter of Herod Agrippa I., and first married to her uncle Herod, prince of Chalcis (Antt. xix. 5. 1). After his death she lived with Agrippa her brother, but not without suspicion (φήμης ξπισχυούσης, ὅτι τῷ ἀδελφῷ συνήει, Ληtt. xx. 7.3; see also Juv. Sat. vi. 156 ff.); inconsequence of which (ούτως γάρ ελέγξειν φετο ψευδείς τὰς διαβολάς, Antt. ib.) she married Polemo, king of Cilicia. The marriage was, however, soon dissolved (ib.), and she returned to her brother. She was afterwards the mistress of Vespasian (Tac. Hist. ii. 81), and of Titus (Suct. Tit. 7; Winer, RWB.). άσπασόμενοι οπ his accession to the procuratorship, to gain

his favour. 14. ἀνέθετο] laid before, so reff. He did this, not only because Agrippa was a Jew, but because he was (see above) governor of the temple.

15. It seems more probable that the unusual word καταδίκη should have been changed to δίκην, especially as κατά precedes, than the converse. Luke never uses δίκη, except as personified, ch. xxviii. 4; and in the only two places besides where it occurs in the N. T. (2 Thess. i. 9; Jude 7), it has the sense of condemnation or punishment; and in neither place is there any various reading. 16. χαρίζεσθαι] The various reading. 16. χαρίζεσθαι] The words inserted in the rec., εἰς ἀπώλειαν, are a correct supplement of the sense; to give up, i. e. to his enemies, and for destruction. De W. remarks, that the construction of $\pi \rho i \nu$ with an opt. without $\xi \nu$, is only found here in the N. T. (not that it occurs with &v). Hermann, on Viger, p. 442, restricts the opt. with πρlv ή to cases where 'res narratur ut eogitatio alicujus: ' so Paus., μη πρότερον φάναι ζη-τοῦντι μηνύσειν πρίν ἢ οί καὶ ἐν ᾿Ακροκορίνθω γένοιτο ύδωρ. On the practice of the Romans, here nobly and truly alleged, see citations in Grot. and Wetst. in loc. τόπον This use of τόπος

περὶ τοῦ $^{\rm m}$ έγκλήματος. 17 $^{\rm n}$ συνελθόντων οὖν $^{\rm m}$ $^{\rm m}$ καθίσας επὶ τοῦ ' βήματος εκέλευσα ' ἀχθηναι τὸν ἄνδοα ' ρικικινο 18 περὶ οῦ ⁸ σταθέντες οἱ 'κατήγοροι οὐδεμίαν " αἰτίαν "ἔφερον ποῦ δεινοῦ τοῦ δεινοῦ - κατήνος της Επικικινος σοῦ τοῦ δεινοῦ (κατήνος) (κατήνος 18 περὶ οὖ 8 σταθέντες οὶ 1 κατήγοροι οὐδεμιαν 8 αιτιαν 18 εφερον 19 ων έγω 19 ὑ πενόουν 19 πονηράν], 19 8 ζητήματα δέ τινα περὶ 19 της ίδὶας 9 δεισιδαιμονίας εἰχον 20 πρὸς αὐτόν, καὶ περί τινος 19 19 1 μοτοῦ τεθνηκότος, 19 ον 8 έφασκεν 19 Παῦλος ζῆν. 19 θηναι αυτον είς την του k σεβαστου διάγνωσιν, έκέλευσα vr. 7. web. xiii. 25 h τηρεισθαι αὐτὸν ἔως οῦ m ἀναπέμψω αὐτὸν πρὸς Καίσαρα. x ch. xv. 2 reff.

y here only t_1 = Jas. Antt. xix. 5. 3. ($t_1\omega v_1$ ch. xvii, 22.) x = ch. xxiv. 19 reff. a ch. xxiv. 9 reff. b Mark vi. 20 v. r. Luke xxiv. 4. John xiii. 22. 2 Cor. iv. 8. Gal. iv. 20 only. Cor. xxxii. 7. v. eice, here only, see Mathien, 578. (-pia, Luke xxi. 22. b) y t. c. = Rom. iv. 20. d = 1 Thm. vi. 4. 2 Thm. iv. 23. Tht. iii. 9 John iii. 25. ch. xv. 2. 1 Thm. i. 9 John reff. 12 Pet. ii. 4. here only. v. v. v. 9. g ver. 11 y. V. Wial. iii. 180. y d. (-y $v_1\omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon v_2$ ch. xxiv. 22.) m. = Luke xxii. 7. (1), 15 (Philem. 11) only t. Polyb. i. 7. 12.

17. rec ins αυτων, with ΛΕΗLΑ p 13(sic) rel Chr Thl (Ec: om B 40. 42. 57. 81. 951. 97: ενθαδε bef αυτων C c (137). μηδεμιαν bef αναβολην Ε k. ποιησαμενοι Ν'. 18. rec επεφερον, with H rel Chr Thl Œc: υπεφερον 80 lect-5: txt ABCELN c p 13. 36. 40. 137. rec υπενοουν bef εγω, with EHL rel 36 Chr Thl-sif Œc: txt ABCN m p 13 vulg Thl-fin. rec om πονηραν, with HL rel Chr Thl-sif Œc: ins πονερων BEN³ p; malum vulg; πονηρα C2N¹; πονηριας arm; πονηραν AC¹ c k m 13(sie) 36. 40. 137 am(malam) syrr copt ath Thl-fin.

for εφασκεν, ελεγεν c 137. 19. for αυτον, αυτους Α. 20. rec ins εις, with CE rel: om ABHN b d f h k l o p Thl-sif Œc .- om περι c m rec τουτου (corrn to suit παυλος, or ιησου?), with H 137: aft $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ ins $\tau \eta \nu$ h k. rel Chr₁: txt ABCELN c h k m p 13. 36. 40 Syr copt æth Chr₁ Thl-fin.

rec ιερουσαλημ, with L 13 rel: txt ABCEHN c k m p πορευεσθαι, κρινεσθαι Χ1. κριθηναι Ι. 36. 137 Thl-fin. αυτον bef τηρεισθαι c 13. 68. 137. 21. for τηρηθηναι, τηρεισθαι C. πεμψω (neglect of force of compound), with HL rel Chr: txt ABCEN c k m p 13. 36.

as the Lat. 'locus,' is not found in good 18. περί οῦ σταθ.] See ver. 7 : E. V., 'against whom,' supposing περί οδ to refer to [έπ] έφερον, is wrong. The word πονηράν or πονηρών, added in the best MSS, at the end of this verse, looks very like a gloss to explain ων or αιτίαν, and this suspicion is strengthened by the variations in its form and place. 'Hine iterum conjicere licet, imo aperte cognoscere, adeo futiles fuisse calumnias ut in judicii rationem veuire non debuerint, perinde ac si quis convicium temere jactet.' Calv.

40. 137 Thl-fin.

19.] δεισιδαιμ. is used by Festus in a middle sense, certainly not as = 'superstition,' E. V., speaking as he was to Agrippa, a Jew. 20. See the real reason why he proposed this, ver. 9. This he now conceals, and alleges his modesty in referring such matters to the judgment of the Jews themselves. This would be pleas-VOL. II.

άπορ. είς] ing to his guest Agrippa. so σὺ δ' εἰς τὰ μητρός μὴ φοβοῦ νυμφεύ-ματα, Soph. Œd. Tyr. 980; and ἀμφινοῶ έs τέρας, Antig. 372. ἔλεγον] There is a mixed construction between I said, wilt thou?' as in ver. 9, and 'I asked him whether he would θηναι is not for είς τὸ τηρ. (as Grot. and De W.), but follows directly on ἐπικαλεσα-μένου. The construction is again a mixed one between 'appealing so as to be kept,' and 'demanding to be kept.' τοῦ] This title, = Augustus, was first conferred by the senate on Octavianus (avrds γενόμενος άρχη σεβασμοῦ καὶ τοῖς ἔπειτα, Philo de Legat. ad Caium, 21, vol. ii. p. 566), and borne by all succeeding emperors. Dio Cassius (liii. 16) says: Αυγουστος, ώς καὶ πλεϊόντι ἡ κατὰ ἀνθρώπους ών, ἐπεκλήθη. πάντα γὰρ τὰ ἐντιμότατα καὶ τὰ ἱερώτατα αύγουστα προςαγορεύεται, εξ οῦπερ καὶ

22 'Αγρίππας δὲ πρὸς τὸν Φῆστον " Έβουλόμην καὶ αὐτὸς ΑΒΕΕ ΗΕΝ Α Β n imperfi... = 22 'Αγρίππας δὲ πρὸς τὸν Φῆστον " Έβουλόμην καὶ αὐτὸς (ch. xxii... 22), τοῦ ἀνθοώπου ἀκοῦσαι. " Αὔοιον φησὶν ἀκοῦση αὐτοῦ. see Winer. 23 μΤς στι με εξετρούρου ἐλθόντος τοῦ 'Αροίππα καὶ τῆ 23 μ Τη οῦν βεπαύριον ελθόντος του Αγρίππα και της a. 2. o 1 Cor. xv. 32 Βερνίκης ημετά πολλής τραντασίας και είςελθύντων reff.
pch. x. 9 reff.
q = ch. v. 26,
xxvii 10 al.
fr. 1 Mace.
ix. 37. είς το δάκροατήριον σύν τε χιλιάρχοις καὶ ἀνδράσιν τοῖς κατ' έξοχην της πόλεως, και κελεύσαντος του Φήστου " ήχθη ὁ Παῦλος. 24 καί φησιν ὁ Φῆστος ᾿Αγοίππα τούτον περί οῦ ἄπαν τὸ πληθος τῶν Ἰουδαίων " ἐνέτυγόν (-τίκ, Rom. μοι έν τε Ἱεροσολύμοις καὶ × ἐνθάθε [΄΄ ἐπι] βοῶντες μὴ δείν (i.13) ii. 13) there only 1. Joh xxxix. 28 only ... u ver. 6. ... 1 ver. 6. ... v here only 7. Prov. viii. 27. Wisd. ix, 10 only 4. Whom. viii. 20, 34. xi. 2. Heb. vii. 25 only 4. Wisd. xiv. 1 only 4. Weid. xiv. 1 only 5. Weid. xiv. 1 only 4. Weid. xiv. 1 only 5. Weid v here only. Prov. viii. 27. Wisd. ix. 10 only. x ch. xvi. 28 reff. y here

22. ree aft φηστον ins εφη, with CEHL p rel 36: ειπεν a: om ABX 13 am. (ει was written and rubbed out by N3.) rec ins o δε bef αυριον, with CEHL p 13 rel (36): om ABN vulg copt. (The account of both these insertions I take to have been, that as the words stood, αγριππας appeared to be the subj of φησιν,—and εφη and ο δε were inserted to distinguish the speakers.)

23. ειςελθοντος Ε. ακρωτηριον Χ1. ree ins τοις bef χιλιαρχοις (the usage of omg art aft a preposition not being recognized), with HL rel 36 Chr: om ABCEN e k p 13. 40. 137. ree aft κατ' εξοχην ins ουσι (supplementary interpoln), with

EHL rel 36: om ABCN p 13, 40 Chr-comm.

24. [απαν, so ABCELN c k p 13. 36. 40. 137 Thl-fin.] ενετυχεν Β 25. 40. syr-marg has ut traderem eum iis ad tormentum sine defensione. Non potui autem tradere eum propter mandata quæ habemus ab Augusto. Si autem quis eum accusa-turus esset, dicebam ut sequeretur me in Cæsaream, ubi custodiebatur. Qui quum venissent, clamaverunt ut tolleretur e vita. Quum autem hanc et alteram partem audivissem, comperi quod in nullo reus esset mortis. Quum autem dicerem: Vis judicari cum iis Hierosolyma? Cæsarem appellavit. De quo nihil certum scribere domino meo

σεβαστον αὐτον καὶ έλληνίζοντές πως, ὥsπερ τινὰ σεπτόν, ὰπὸ τοῦ σεβάζεσθαι, προςείπον. On ἀναπέμψω, Bornemann cites Lucian, Τοχ. § 17: ὁ δὲ βασιλεῖ τῷ μεγάλφ ἀναπέμπει αὐτόν. 22.] έβουλόμην does not (as Calv.) imply any former wish of Agrippa to hear Paul. It is, as Meyer explains it, a modest way of expressing a wish, formed in this case while the procurator was speaking, but spoken of by Agrippa as if now past by, and therefore not pressed. We say somewhat similarly, 'I was wishing.' See ref. Rom. and note there. Cf. Aristoph. Av. 1027 : ἐκκλησιάσαι δ' οδν έδεόμην οίκοι μένων: and see other examples in Bernhardy, Syntax, p. 373 ff. Agrippa, as a Jew, is anxious to hear Paul's defence, as a matter of national interest. The procurator's ready consent is explained, ver. 26. φαντασία is of frequent use in this sense in Polybius and later Greek writers. Herodotus uses the verb φαντάζεσθαι for 'superbire,' vii. 201: δρậς ως τὰ ύπερέχοντα ζωα κεραυνοί δ θεός, οὐδ' ἐᾶ φαντάζεσθαι. See Wetst., who finely remarks on the words, 'In eadem urbe, in qua

pater ipsorum a vermibus corrosus ob superbiam perierat.' ἀκροατήριον] after the Latin 'auditorium:' perhaps no fixed hall of audience, but the chamber or saloon set apart for this oceasion. χιλιάρ-Xois Jos. (B. J. iii. 4. 2), speaking of Titus's army, says, προςεγένοντο δέ καλ ἀπό Καισαρείας πέντε (σπείραι). These, then, were the tribunes of the cohorts stationed at Cæsarea. Stier remarks (Red. der Apostel. ii. 397), "Yet more and more complete must the giving of the testimony in these parts be, before the witness departs for Rome. In Jerusalem, the long-suffering of the Lord towards the rejectors of the Gospel was now exhausted. In Antioch, the residence of the Præses of Syria, the new mother church of Jewish and Gentile Christians was flourishing; here, in Casarea, the residence of the procurator, the testimony which had begun in the house of Cornelius the centurion, had now risen upward, till it comes before this brilliant assembly of all the local authorities, in the presence of the last king of the Jews."

24. ἄπαν τὸ πλ. At Jerusnlem (ver. 1) literally, by the popular voice (probably) of αὐτὸν ζῆν μηκέτι. 25 έγὼ δὲ z κατελαβόμην μηδὲν a ἄξιον z = ch. v.13 αὐτὸν a θανάτου πεπραχέναι, b αὐτοῦ δὲ b τούτου c έπι: a εκικαλεσαμένου τὸν d σεβαστόν, c έκρινα πέμπειν. 26 περι c εκιναίτι b ι ἀσφαλές τι γράψαι τῷ c κυρίῳ οὐκ b ἔχω, διὸ i προ d εκιχιι. c ήγαγον αὐτὸν b ἐφ΄ ὑμῶν καὶ μάλιστα b ἐπὶ σοῦ, βασιλεῦ t εκιχιι c Ληρίππα, ὅπως τῆς d ἀνακρίσεως γενομένης m σχῶ τί b δὶς είτι. t γράψω c t αλογον γάο μοι δοκεῖ πέμποντα o δέσμιον t εκιχιι. t τί εκιχιι. t εκιχιι. reff. k ch. xxiii. 30 reff. μη και τας κατ' αυτοῦ P αίτίας q σημαναι.

(νειν. ch. xxiv. 8 al.)

(Σκοά, vi. 12. Numb, vi. 12. Wisd. xi. 15 only.)

(Σκοά, vi. 12. Numb, vi. 12. Wisd. xi. 15 only.)

och. xvi. 25, 27 ref.

ver. 18. qc. xi. 28 ref.

r and constr., ch. xxviii. 16. 1 Cor. xiv. 31. 1 Tim. ii. 12. Xen. Cyr. viii. 4. 20.

s Matt. viii. 3al. Gen. xiv. 22. ἀι έτειιε τήν δεξείν διν. δημηγορήσων, Polyæa. iv. p. 317. (Wahl.)

habeo. rec επιβοωντες, with CEHL rel: βοωντες ABN p. rec ζην bef αυτον, with HL rel Chr₁ Thl-sif Œe: txt ABCEN a¹ b k m o p 13. 40 vulg Syr arm Chr-

25. rec καταλαβομένος and ins και aft πεπραχέναι, with HL X1(but om και) rel 36 syr Thi (13 Thi-fin retain και): txt ABCEN³ p 40 vulg syr copt. rec θαυατου bet αυτου (transp of characteristic order), with HLN rel 36 Chr: om αυτου p 73 Chr: αυτον bef αξιον g 68 arm Thl-fin: θ. πεπραχεναι bef αυτον c 105. 137: txt ABCE 13. for τουτου, του παυλου Β'(but παυλου has 40 am(and demid fuld tol) Thl Œc. dots placed over it by the original scribe, see table). rec aft πεμπειν ins αυτον,

with EHL rel vss Chr Thl (Ee: om ABCEN p 13, 36, 40 vulg arm. 26. $\alpha\sigma\phi$ aλως C. $\pi\rho\sigma$ sγγαγω E-gr l 16. 17: $\epsilon\pi\eta\gamma\alpha\gamma$. Å. R-corr¹⁻³. $\kappa\rho$ sγσκως E. for $\sigma\chi\omega$, $\epsilon\chi\omega$ AE p 137 Thl-fin. with EHL rel 36 Chr: txt ABCN p 13 syr. 27 $\pi\omega$ rσγωγωγ. I. 37, 42, 132. Γ with place we of Γ om σου N1: ins rec γραψαι,

27. πεμποντι L 37. 43. 133. E vulg place μη aft αιτιας.

CHAP. XXVI. 1. επιτετρεπται L: επιτετραπται b c o p 137 Thl-fin. rec (for π ερι) υ π ερ, with BL rel Chr: txt ACEHX c p 13. 36.—λαλειν π ερι σ. c 137.

some tumultuous outery :-- here, by their deputation. 25. αὐτοῦ δὲ τούτου he himself moreover. These reasons did really coexist as influencing his determination. Mr. Lewin cites, on ver. 12, Dig. xlix. 1. 16: 'Constitutiones quæ de recipiendis, necnon, appellationibus loquuntur, ut nihil novi fiat, locum non habent in eorum persona quos damnatos statim puniri publici interest, ut sunt insignes latrones, vel seditionum concitatores, vel duces factionum.'

26. ἀσφαλές] fixed, definite. The whole matter had been hitherto obscured by the exaggerations and fictions of the Jews. τῷ κυρίῳ] viz. Nero. Augustus and Tiberius refused this title; Caligula and (apparently) all following bore it. "Thus Tertulliau, Apol. xxxiv. vol. i. p. 450: 'Augustus imperii formator ne dominum quidem dici se volebat;' and Suet. Aug. 53: 'Dominum se appellari ne a liberis quidem aut nepotibus vel serio vel joco passus est;' and Tib. 27: 'Dominus appellatus a quodam denuntiavit ne se amplius contumeliæ causa nominaret.'

Caligula accepted the title, according to Victor, ap. Eckhel, viii. 364. Herod Agrippa had applied it to Claudius (Philo ap. Spanheim. Numism. ii. 482); but it was not a recognized title of any emperor before Domitian. Suet. Dom. 13: 'Martial,—Edictum Domini Deique nostri.'" Mr. Humphry. γράψω has apparently been altered to γράψαι to suit the τί γράψαι above. Olsh. remarks, that now first was our Lord's prophecy Matt. x. 18, Mark xiii. 9 fulfilled. But Meyer answers well, that we do not know enough of the history of the other Apostles to be able to say this with any certainty. James the greater, and Peter, had in all probability stood before Agrippa I. See ch. xii. 2, 3. XXVI. 1.] The stretching out of the hand by a speaker was not, as Hammond supposes, the same as the κατασεlειν τη χειρί of ch. xii. 17; xiii. 16. The latter was to ensure silence; but this, a formal attitude usual with orators. Apuleius, Met. ii. p. 54 (Meyer), describes it very precisely: 'Porrigit dextram et ad

τείνας τὴν $^{\rm s}$ χείοα $^{\rm t}$ ἀπελογείτο $^{\rm 2}$ Περὶ πάντων ών $^{\rm ABCE}_{\rm HLN\,a\,b}$ t ch. xix. 33 u ch. xix. 38 reff. " έγκαλουμαι ύπο Ἰουδαίων, βασιλεύ Αγρίππα, " ηγημαι cdfgh τεία στι κ. Σγκαλουμαι υπο Τουσαίων, βασίλευ Αγρίππα, ηγημαί είπο δ. επίπ. Ίουδαίους * έθων τε καὶ " ζητημάτων. διὸ δέομαι " μακροx bere only ‡. x bere only ‡.

i Kings
xxviii. 8.
= Sus. 42
Thead.
y constr., ch.
xxiv. 10 reff.
see Eph. iv.
2. iii. 17, 18.
Col. iii. 16 al.
Paul chiefly.
see Wingt, θύμως ακούσαί μου. 4 την μέν ούν ο βίωσιν μου [την] α έκ de νεότητος, την f ἀπ' ἀρχης γενομένην έν τῷ έθνει μου έν τε Ίεροσολύμοις, ε ισασι πάντες Ίουδαιοι 5 h προγινώ-² Πι. Γ. 18. ret only t. ('μος, Exod, xxxi', 6, 'μiα, Rom, ii. 4, '-μείν, 1 Cor, xiii. 4.) cchere only t. Prol. Sir. only. Ex. xxxviii. 6 Xymm. ('owis, Plet iv. 2) (Mark x, 2) of L. Jer, xxii. 2). geh, v. 6. Heb. xii. 7, Lames i. 19 only t. Heb. xii. 7, Lames i. 19 only t. 1 = 2 Pet, iii. 7 (only. (Rom xii. 2) ref. 1, 10 t. 10 t

παυλος ins πεποιθως και εν πνευματι αγιω παρακληθεις syr-marg. rec απελογειτο bef εκτ. την χειρα, with HL rel syr Chr Thl-sif Ee: txt ABCEN k m p 13. 40 vulg

Syr copt arm Thl-fin .- Tas xeipas c 137.

m constr., here only. ch. xxiii. 6, xxiv. 21.)

2. for περι παντων to ζητηματων, 137 has περι παντων των κατα ιουδαιους εθνη τε και ζητηματων επισταμενος. rec μελλων απολογεισθαι επι σου σημερον (simplifu of order), with (none of our mss) Ec: txt ABCEHLN p 13 rel Chr Thl, but of these EHL a b c d f g h k l o vulg syr Chr Thl-sif have απολογ. bef σημερον.—for μελλων, μελλω ρ.

3. σε bef οντα CN1 m2 73 Chr,: om σε 180. om παντων A 17. 25 copt æth. ιουδαιων AE d f. ηθων HL a d f g m Thl-fin : εθνων A 15. 27. 105.

aft (ητηματων ins επισταμενος ACN' 13 (Ee: aft μαλιστα (above), 15. 18. 36 Syr: aft σε, 7: aft σε ins ειδως 6. 29. rec aft δεομαι ins σου, with CHL rel Syr copt Chr: om ABEN k p 13. 36. 40 vulg syrr æth arm.

4. rec ins την, with AC2ELN p 13 Chr: om BC1H m c.—την απ' αρχης bef την εκ νεοτητος Ε. ree om τε (misapprehension), with CHL p 13. 36(sic) rel vulg Chr: ins AB E-gr ℵ 40 Syr. ισασιν CE: txt ABN rel. rec ins or bef τουδ. (more usual exprn), with AC2HLN rel 36: txt BC1E d k m p 13 Chr-comm 2.

5. προςγινωσκοντές C1. om με c 137.

6. ree (for εις) προς (corrn, see note), with CHL rel 36 Chr: txt ABE d p 13. 40.

instar oratorum conformat articulum, duobusque infimis conclusis digitis ceteros eminentes porrigit.' The hand was chained— τούτων τ. δεσμ., ver. 29. 2.] There 2.] There is no force in Meyer's observation, that by the omission of the art. before 'Ιουδαίων, Paul wishes to express that the charges were made by some, not by all of the Jews. That omission is the one so often overlooked by the German critics (e. g. Stier also here), after a preposition. See Middl. ch. vi. § 1, and compare κατά 'Ιουδαίουs in the next verse, of which the above cannot be said.

μέλλων contains the ground of ηγημαι, in that I am to defend myself. . 3. γν. όντα σε For the construc-

tion see reff.; and cf. Viger (ed. Hermann), p. 337, where many examples are givene. g. Herod. vi. 109: ἐν σοὶ νῦν ἔστιν ἡ καταδουλώσαι 'Αθήνας, ή έλευθέρας ποιήσαντα μνημόσυνον λιπέσθαι κ.τ.λ.

4.] The μέν οδν takes up ἀπολογεῖσθαι: q. d. 'well, then, to begin my apology.'

5. ἀκριβεστάτην] See ch. xxii. 3: κατὰ ἀκρίβειαν τοῦ πατρώου νόμου. Jos. (B. J. i. 5. 2) calls the Pharisees σύνταγμά τι Ἰουδαίων δοκοῦν εὐσεβέστερον εἶναι τῶν άλλων, και τούς νόμους άκριβέστερον άφηγείσθαι. The use of the term finds another example in Eph. v. 15, βλέπετε πως ακριβως περιπατείτε, which command it illustrates. Θρησκεία] ή λατρεία δθεν και έτερόθρησκος, έτερόδοξος. Suidas.

We have an instance here of alpeous used in an indifferent sense. 6. The rec. text has apparently been corrected after ABCE hklm

° πατέρας ° ήμων έπαγγελίας γενομένης ύπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ peh. xão ref. ἔστηκα ^ρκρινόμενος, ⁷ είς ἣν τὸ ^α δωδεκάφυλον ἡμῶν εν gives only t. τ εκτενεία ⁸ νύκτα και ημέραν [†] λατρευον ελπίζει ¹⁸ καταντη - ¹⁸ καταντη be dfg σαι, περί ης έλπίδος εγκαλουμαι υπό Ίουδαίων, βασιλευ. (-νής, 1 Pet. $^{
m o\,p\,13}$ $^{
m 8}$ τί $^{
m w}$ ἄπιστον $^{
m x}$ κρίνεται $^{
m y}$ παρ $^{
m v}$ ὑμῖν $^{
m z}$ εἰ ὁ θεὸς νεκροὺς $^{
m iv,8}$ $^{
m por,i.}$ (22.)

u - Paul (1 Cor. x. 11. xiv. 36. Eph. iv. 13. Phil. iii. 11) only. (ch. xv. i 1 rei.) re above (n). w - here only (1 Cor. vi. 6 al.) 1. Demosth., p. 15, ult., και κά τον ἴσως. x - ch. xiii. 46 reff. y - Matt. vi. 1. Rom. ii. 13. Eph. 2 Rom. viii. 13, 17. Col. iii. 1. v ch. xix, 38 reff. see above (n). Δι' οὐδὲν ἄπιστον ἴσως.

rec om ημων, with HL 13 Thl-sif Œc: ins ABCEN b c d m o p 36. 40. 137 vulg syrr copt æth arm Chr Thl-fin. om του L 142.

καταντησειν Β. rec ins των bef ιουδαιων (with none of 7. λατρευων H 73. our mss): om ABCEHILN rel. rec aft βασιλευ ins αγριππα, with HL rel 40 syrr: om BCEIN p 13 vulg Chron Thl-sif.—rec βασ. αγρ. bef υπο [των] ιουδαιων, with HL rel syr Chr: om βασιλευ [αγριππα] Λ 18. 36; βασιλευ (with or without αγρ.) aft υπο 10υδ. BCEIN a2 d k m p 13. 40 vulg Syr æth Chron Thl-fin.

ch. xiii. 32; for there we have $\pi \rho \delta s$, and no $\eta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. The ϵis has its propriety here, combining the ideas of address towards, and of ethical relation to, its object: so ές δ' δμας έρω μῦθον, Æsch. Pers. 159: ψόγος ès "Ελληνας μέγας, Eur. Bacch. 778 (735): δημοκρατίας κατίστα είς τὰς πόλιας, Herod. vi. 43. See Bernhardy, Syntax, p. 217, where many more examples are given.

The promise spoken of is not that of the resurrection merely, but that of a Messiah and His kingdom, involving (ver. 8) the resurrection. This is evident from the way in which he brings in the mention of Jesus of Nazareth, and connects His exaltation (ver. 18) with the universal preaching of repentance and remission of sins. But he hints merely at this hope, and does not explain it fully; for Agrippa knew well what was intended, and the mention of any king but Cæsar would have misled and prejudiced the Roman procurator. There is great skill in binding on his former Pharisaic life of orthodoxy (in externals), to his now real and living defence of the hope of Israel. But though he thus far identifies them, he makes no concealment of the difference between them, ver. 9 ff.

τὸ δωδεκάφυλ.] The Jews in Judæa and those of the dispersion also. See James i. 1. There was a difference between Paul and the Jews, which lies beneath the surface of this verse, but is yet not brought out: he had already arrived at the accomplishment of this hope, to which they, with all their sacrifices and zeal, were as yet only earnestly tending, having it yet in the future only (so Rom. x. 2: ζῆλον θεοῦ ἔχουσιν, ἀλλ' οὐ κατ' ἐπίγνωσιν). It was concerning this hope (in what sense appears not yet) that he was accused by the Jews. The adverb ἐκτενῶs and subst. ἐκτένεια are disapproved by the philologists, as belonging to later Greek. See

Lobeck on Phrynichus, p. 311. We have the adj., Æsch. Suppl. 990: ἐκτενης φίλος. 8.] Having impressed on his hearers the injustice of this charge from the Jews, with reference to his holding that hope which they themselves held, he now leaves much to be filled up, not giving a confession of his own faith, but proceeding as if it were well understood, 'You assume rightly, that I mean by this hope, in my own case, my believing it accomplished in the crucified and risen Jesus of Nazareth.' Then, this being acknowledged, he goes on to shew how his own view became so changed with regard to Jesus; drawing, by the μèν οὖν (ver. 9), a contrast in some respects between himself, who was supernaturally brought to the faith, and them, who yet could not refuse to believe that God could and might raise the dead. All this he mainly addresses to Agrippa (ver. 26), as being the best acquainted with the circumstances, and, from his position, best qualified to judge of them. It may be, as Stier suggests, that if not open, yet practical Sadduceism had tainted the Herodian family. Paul knew, at all events, how generally the highly cultivated, and those in power and wealth, despised and thought άπιστον the doctrine of the resurrection.

εί έγείρει] not, as commonly rendered, 'that God should raise the dead' (E. V.): but the question is far stronger than this, if the conjunction be taken in its literal meaning: why is it judged by you a thing past belief, if God raises the dead? i. e. 'if God, in His exercise of power, sees fit to raise the dead (the word implying that such a fact has veritably taken place), is it for you to refuse to believe it? Compare the declaration of our Lord, Luke xvi. 31 : οὐδ' ἐάν τις ἐκ νεκρῶν ἀναστῆ πεισθήσονται. We have many instances of this use of ci:-Xen. Mem. i. 1.

 $_{a-ch.x.40}$ $_{a}$ έγείρει; $_{b}$ έγω μέν οῦν $_{b}$ έδοξα έμαυτ $_{b}$ $_{c}$ πρὸς τὸ ὅνομα ΑΒCE b w. dat.,
here on'y. Ίησου του Ναζωραίου δείν πολλά δεναντία ποάζαι, bedfg 10 ο και εποίησα εν Ιεροσολύμοις, και πολλούς τε των ορ 13 6. see 1 Cor. iii. 18 reff. Herod. ii. 93, and exx. in « άγίων έγω έν φυλακαῖς [†]κατέκλεισα, την παρά των αρχιερέων ε έξουσίαν h λαβών, i αναιρουμένων τε αυτών Wetst. κατήνεγκα 1 ψήφον, 11 καί m κατά πάσας τὰς συναγωγάς 19 refl. d = ch. (xxvii. 4) κχυίδι. 17. 1 Thes. si. 15. πολλάκις τιμωρών αὐτοὺς ἡνάγκαζον βλασφημείν, 17t. ii. 8.

9. om uev B. ins $\tau o v$ bef $\iota \eta \sigma$. $\aleph^1(\aleph^3)$ disapproving). ναζοραιου Ν. 10. for o, διο B. εποιησαν ℵ¹ (but corrd). rec om 1st τε, with BHL rel: ins δε 36. 180: txt ACEIN p 13. rec om 2nd εν (as unnecessary), with H rel Chr: ins ABCEILN bkm op 13, 36, 40, 137 vulg. for 2nd Te, de H a2 c 137 om αυτων Ε. E-lat syr copt Thl-fin. κατηνεγκαν Ν. 11. om τε B: δε E-gr copt.

12. rec ins και bef πορευομένος, with HL p(e sil Seriv) rel Syr Chr Thl-sif (Ec: om ABCEIN c p(Tischolf) 13. 36. 40 vulg copt with arm Thl-fin. om την E a b e h k om της παρα (as unnecessary) AEI 40 vulg syrr: om παρα BR c p 137: om The 80 Thl-fin: txt CHL 13 rel Chr Thl-sif Œc.

13. om ημερας X1. for κατα την, κατην(sic) N.

13, έθαύμαζε δε εί μη φανερον αὐτοῖς έστίν: ib. 18, δσα δε πάντες ήδεσαν, θαυμαστόν εί μη τούτων ενεθυμήθησαν: ib. i. 2. 13, έγω δ' εἰ μέν τι κακὸν ἐκείνω τὴν πόλιν ἐποιησάτην οὐκ ἀπολογήσομαι: on which examples Hermann remarks, ad Viger. p. 504, "in his locis omnibus rem non dubiam et incertam indicat el, sed plane certain et perspicuam." 9. Henceforward he passes to his own history,-how he once refused, like them, to believe in Jesus: and shews them both the process of his conversion, and the ministry with which he was entrusted to others.

μέν οὖν, well then, resuming the character described vv. 4, 5. 10, 11.] This is the διωγμός μέγας of ch. viii. 1. We are surprised here by the unexpected word άγίων, which it might have been thought he would have rather in this presence avoided. But, as Stier remarks, it belongs to the more confident tone of this speech, which he delivers, not as a prisoner defending himself, but as one being heard before those who were his audience, not his judges. κατήνεγκα ψήφον can hardly be taken figuratively, as many Commentators, trying to escape from the inference that

the veavias Saul was a member of the Sanhedrim; but must be understood as testifying to this very fact, however strange it may seem. He can hardly have been less than thirty when sent on his errand of persecution to Damaseus. The genitive is supposed by Elsner and Kypke to be dependent on κατήνεγκα; but this is harsh, and it is better to take (as most Commentators, and Meyer, and De W.) it as absolute, and κατήνεγκα as local, 'detuli sententiam:' when their deaths were being compassed, I gave in my vote (scil. against them, as in ref.). On the fact, cf. συνευδοκών τῆ αλυαρέσει αὐτοῦ, ch. viii. 1. 11. τιμωρῶν) viz. by scourging; compare Matt. x. 17. ψάγκαζον does not imply that our did blanchers. (Christ see Blive the converging to the convexperior to the converging to the converging to the converging to that any did blaspheme (Christ: so Pliny, Ep. n. 97, speaks of ordering the Bithynian Christians 'maledicere Christo,' and adds, 'quorum nihil cogi posse dicuntur qui sunt revera Christiani'): the imporf. only relates the attempt. The persecuting the Christians even to foreign cities, forms the transition to the narrative 12. ev ols In which things (being engaged).

βασιλεῦ, * οὐρανόθεν ʰ ὑπὲρ τὴν ° λαμπρότητα τοῦ ἡλίου ach, κίν. 17 απεριλάμψαν με φῶς καὶ τοὺς σὺν ἐμοὶ πορευομένους, 14 πάντων τε ° καταπεσόντων ἡμῶν εἰς τὴν γῆν ἱ ἤκουσα 14 πάντων τε ° καταπεσόντων ἡμῶν εἰς τὴν γῆν ἱ ἤκουσα 14 Εροαίδι h διαλέκτω Σαοὺλ 14 Τὰκουσαν πρός με τῆ 12 Εβραίδι h διαλέκτω Σαοὺλ 14 Τὰκους 15 Σαοὺλ, τἱ με 15 διάκεις; 15 σκληρόν σοι πρὸς 15 κέντρας εἶπεν Έγω εἰμι Ἰησοῦς ον σὸ 15 διώκεις. 16 άλλὰ 16 αναξείτι 15 Εγώ δὲ εἶπα Τίς εἶ, κύριες 16 άλλὰ 16 αναξείτι 15 εῖς τοῦτο γὰρ κοις τις κατοτρίτας τις κατοτρίτας τος 16 κατοτρίτας τις κατοτρίτας τος 16 κατοτρίτας 16

14. rec $\delta\epsilon$ (altern of characteristic $\tau\epsilon$), with HL rel copt Chr: txt ABEIN e p 13. 36. 40. 137 rulg syrr Thl-fin. on $\eta\mu\omega\nu$ B d. aft $\gamma\eta\nu$ ins $\delta\alpha$ to ψ obsor $\epsilon\gamma\nu$ are (for left over τ) and τ in τ) and τ in τ

15. om δε I. [έιπα, so ABCÉHN k I p Thl-fin.] rec om κυριος, with II actually I Chr Thl-sif Œc : ins ABCEILN k m p 36 vulg syrt copt arm Thl-fin. (13 def.) aft ειπεν ins προς με E Syr copt arth-pl. aft ιησ. ins ο ναζωραιος 40.

137, o va (aphvos Syr syr-w-ast.

notes on ch. ix. 3—8, where I have treated of the discrepancies, real or only apparent, between the three accounts of Saul's conversion. See also ch. xxii. 6—10.

14. τη Έβρ. διαλ.] These words are expressed here only. In ch. ix. (see note) we have the fact remarkably preserved by the Hebrew form Σαούλ; in ch. xxii. he was speaking in Hebrew (Syro-Chald.), and the notice was not required. Beware again of the supposed emphatic us of Dr. Wordsworth.] $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho$. $\sigma oi \pi \rho$. κ . λ .] This is found here only; in eh. ix. the words are spurious, having been inserted from this place. The metaphor is derived from oxen at plough or drawing a burden, who, on being pricked with the goad, kick against it, and so cause it to pierce deeper. (See Schol. on Pind. l. c. below.) It is a Greek, and not (apparently) a Hebrew proverb; but this is no reason why it should not be used in Hebrew, just as it is in Latin. Instances of its use are Pind. Pyth. ii. 173: χρη δὲ πρὸς θεὸν οὐκ ἐρίζειν... φέρειν δ' ἐλαφρῶς ἐπαυ-χένιον λαβόντα ζυγὸν ἀρήγει. ποτὶ κέντρον δέ τοι λακτιζέμεν τελέθει όλισθηρός οίμος. Æschyl. Agam. 1633: πρός κέντρα μη λάκτιζε, μη πήσας μογής. Eurip. Bacch. 791 : θυμούμενος πρός κέντρα λακτίζοιμι, θνητός ών θεφ. See also Alsch. Prom. 323, and other examples in Wetst.; Plantus (Truc. iv. 2. 59); and Terence,

Phorm. i. 2. 27: 'Nam quæ inscitia est, advorsum stimulum calces?' 18. There can be no question that Paul here condenses into one, various sayings of our Lord to him at different times, in visions, see ch. xxii. 18-21; and by Ananias, ch. ix. 15; see also ch. xxii. 15, 16. Nor can this, on the strictest view, be considered any deviation from truth. It is what all must more or less do who are abridging a narrative, or giving the general sense of things said at various times. There were reasons for its being minute and particular in the details of his conversion; that once related, the commission which he thereupon received is not followed into its details, but summed up as committed to him by the Lord himself. It would be not only irreverent, but false, to imagine that he put his own thoughts into the mouth of our Lord; but I do not see, with Stier, the necessity of maintaining that all these words were actually spoken to him at some time by the Lord. The message delivered by Ananias certainly furnished some of them; and the unmistakeable utterings of God's Spirit (τὸ πνεῦμα Ἰησοῦ, eh. xvi. 7) which supernaturally led him, may have furnished more, all within the limits of truth.

16.] Els toûto refers to what follows, $\pi \rho o \chi \epsilon \iota \rho$. &c.,— $\gamma \acute{a} \rho$ gives the reason for $\mathring{a} \nu \acute{a} \sigma \tau \eta \theta \iota$, &c. (Meyer.) $\pi \rho o \chi \epsilon \iota \rho$.]

ων τε είδες "ων τε οφθήσομαι σοι, 17 εξαιρούμενος ABCE HILD a n constr., see σε έκ του λαού και έκ των έθνων, εις ούς εγώ " άπο- bedig νεσιμετε, Μετκ $\frac{1}{11.1}$ 1. Γους τέλλω σε $\frac{18}{6}$ ανοίξαι όφθαλμοὺς αὐτῶν, $\frac{1}{8}$ τοῦ $\frac{1}{9}$ επιστρέψαι $\frac{1}{6}$ τις $\frac{1}{18}$ κιπ $\frac{1}{18}$ ² έπὶ τὸν θεών, * τοῦ λαβείν αὐτοὺς ° ἄφεσιν ° ἁμαρτιών καὶ

aft eides ins He BC1 (appy) 137 syr 16. om και στηθι B1. προχειρασθαι Λ. Ambr Aug. for σo_i , $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^1$.

17. rec om 2nd εκ, with CHL rel 36 vulg E-lat Chr Thl-sif Œe: ins ABEIN kl p 13. 40 fuld Thl-fin. rec for εγω, νυν (marginal gloss, which has overborne the εγω), with (none of our msp. Thl-fin have both: txt ABCEHILM rel syr copt ath-pl arm Chr Thl-sif Aug. rec σε bef αποστελλω, with HL rel copt Chr Ec: txt ABCEIN c d f k m p 13 vulg syrr æth-pl Thl.— αποστελω HI a c d g k demid copt Thl-sif: εξαποστελλω C m p 13. 36 Thl-fiu.

18. for αυτων, τυφλων EI tol Ang. αποστρεψαι AH b c m o p Chr Thl-sif Aug: υποστρ. 27. 78 Chr-ms: txt BCEILX 13. 36 vulg. ins απο bet της εξουσίας CEL a c 36. 137(vulg) Thl-fin: om ABHN p 13 Chr Thl-sif (Ee. aft yylagu. ins magiv

(see ch xx. 32) E.

μάρτυρα ὧν τε είδες] Stier See reff. remarks, that Paul was the witness of the glory of Christ: whereas Peter, the first of the former twelve, describes himself (1 Pet. v. 1) as 'a witness of the sufferings of Christ, and a partaker of the glory that shall be revealed.' So true it was that this ἔκτρωμα among the Apostles, became, by divine grace, more than they all (1 Cor. xv. 8-10). The expression ὑπηρέτην ὧν είδες may be compared with υπηρέται του λόγου, which Luke ealls the αὐτόπται, Luke i. 2. ὧν τε δφθήσομαί σοι] (1) ¿φθ. must be passive, not (as Bornemann, Winer [not in edn. 6, § 39. 3, note I], Wahl, al.) causative ('videre faciam'),—but as E. V., I will appear unto thee. (2) the gen. is exactly paralleled (Mever) by Soph. (Ed. Tyr. 788, $\delta \nu$ μεν $i \kappa \delta \mu \eta \nu = \tau \sigma \delta \tau \omega \nu$ (rather $i \kappa \epsilon (\nu \omega \nu)$ δι' δ $i \kappa \delta \mu \eta \nu$. So here $\delta \nu = \tau \sigma \delta \tau \omega \nu$ (ἐκείνων) δι' & ὀφθ., the things in (or on account of) which I will appear to thee. That such visions did take place, we know, from ch. xviii. 9; xxii. 18; xxiii. 11; 2 Cor. xii. 1; Gal. i. 12. 17. έξαιρούμενός σε] Mil.; Gail. 122 delivering thee from, as E. V.: not, as Kuin., al., and Conyb., 'choosing thee out of:' see refl.' τοῦ λαοῦ] as elsewhere, the Jewish people. 'Hie armatur contra omnes metus qui cum manebant, et simul præparatur ad crucis tolerantiam.' Calvin. els ous to both,

the people, and the Gentiles; not the Gentiles only. 18. τοῦ ἐπιστ.] not, as Beza, and E. V., 'to turn them: but, that they may turn; see ἐπιστρέ-The general reference φειν, ver. 20. of ous becomes tacitly modified (not expressly, speaking as he was to the Jew Agrippa) by the expression σκότος and εξουσία τοῦ σατανᾶ, both, in the common language of the Jews, applicable only to the Gentiles. But in reality, and in Paul's mind, they had their sense as applied to Jews,-who were in spiritual darkness and under Satan's power, however little they thought it. See Col. i. 13. λaβ. A third step : first the opening of the eyes-next, the turning to God-next, the receiving remission of sins and a place among the sanctified; see ch. xx. 32. This last reference determines πίστει τŷ

els έμέ to belong not to ἡγιασμένοιs but to λαβείν. Thus the great object of Paul's preaching was to awaken and shew the necessity and efficacy of πίστις ή είς εμέ. And fully, long ere this, had he recognized and acted on this his great mission. The epistles to the Galatians and Romans are two noble monuments of the Apostle of FAITH. 19. ἀπειθής] See Isa. l. 5 in 20. τοις έν Δαμ. πρ.] See ch. els belongs to ἀπήγγελ. (De LXX. W.), not to τοιs (ἐν Δαμ.) as Meyer; see Luke viii. 34; and on this sense of els,

καὶ Ἱεροσολύμοις, ['είς] πᾶσάν τε τὴν χώραν τῆς Ἰουδαίας $^{1-}$ and construction to τοῖς ἔθνεσιν ἀπήγγελλον m μετανοεῖν καὶ n ἐπιστρέφειν n ἐπὶ τὸν θεόν, op ἄξια τῆς o μετανοίας ἔργα πράσσοντας, n απόδιλο. $^{1-}$ ἐνεκα τούτων με οὶ Ἰουδαῖοι o συλλαβόμενοι ἐν τῷ ἰερῷ o o τῶκειρίσασθαι. o ἐτικουρίας οὖν u τυχὼν τῆς ἀπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ ἄχρι τῆς ἡμέρας ταύτης v ἔστηκα, o ρεοὶ χχρι τῆς ἡμέρας ταύτης v ἔστηκα, o ρεοὶ χχρι τῆς ἡμέρας ταύτης v ἔστηκα, o ρεοὶ χχρι o o " μαρτυρόμενος " μικρώ τε καὶ " μεγάλω, οὐδὲν y έκτὸς y έκτὸς y εκτὸς y εκ

only, Prov. xxvi. 18 F. (aot A.) only.

sch. v. 30 only there only.

xid: 18 only.

sch. viii. 10. 11cb viii. 11. Bev. xi. 18. xiii. 16 al. Isa. ix. 14.

y = here only.

y = here only.

y = 1 Cor. xv. 27. Isa. xxvi. 15.

y = 1 Cor. xv. 27. Isa. xxvi. 15.

b = ver. 8. 3 Kings 1. 51 al.

c here only †.

20. rec om 1st $\tau\epsilon$, with EHL 13. 36 rel Chr: ins ABN p. 36. 40 Syr Thl: τ ors $\epsilon\nu$ ce 137 lect-12: om BHLN p rel Chr Ec. ins $\epsilon\nu$ bef $\epsilon\rho$. AE k om $\epsilon\iota$ s ABN (on acct of -ois preceding ?). om την Η' 96. 142. Steph απαγγελλων, with HL g m (Εc: απαγγελλω 14. 88. 65. 76. 95. 97. 99. 104. 113. 133. 177 Chr: απηγελλω 13: ins ζωντα bef θεον m 36. 40 arm. παρηγελλον 96: txt ABEN p rel 36 vulg. aft aξια ins τε E.

21. οι τουδ. συλλαβ. bef με A a2 e 137 syr: οι τουδ. bef με EL m p Chr Thl-fin: οια om οι BLN m p 13 Chron Thl-fin. συλλαβουμενοι N. ins οντα bef εν τω ΕΝ3 m p 13. 36. 40. 137 vulg Chron: οντα με Ν1. διαχερωσασθαι Ν1.

22. rec for απο, παρα (more usual), with HL rel Chr: txt ABEN p 13. 36. 40 Chron rec μαρτυρουμένος (see notes), with E a f g Thl-fin (Ec: μαρτυρωμένος 13: txt ABHLN p rel 36. 40 vulg Chr Chron Thl-sif.

22.] The οὖν note on ver. 6 above. refers to the whole course of deliverances which he had had from God, not merely to the last. It serves to close the narrative, by shewing how it was that he was there that day,-after such repeated persecutions, crowned by this last attempt to destroy μαρτυρόμενος] The mere love of paradox and difficulty, as it seems to me, has led De Wette and Meyer to prefer the ordinary reading -ρούμενος, although very weakly supported by MSS., and yielding hardly any appropriate sense. μαρτυρού-μενος must be passive, and signify (see reff. below) 'testified to,' 'borne witness of: the datives μικρφ and μεγάλφ must be the agents, 'by small and great' (to which there is no objection grammatically, but every objection analogically, see ch. x. 22; xvi. 2; xxii. 12, in all which $\mu\alpha\rho\tau\dot{\nu}$ ρουμαι is followed by $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\delta}$), and $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\omega\nu$ must be predicative, 'as saying?' i.e., 'that I say.' But this would be contrary to the fact: Paul was not thus borne witness of by all, but on the contrary accused of being a despiser of the law by a great majority of his own countrymen. There ean, I think, be no question either critically or exegetically of the correctness of the other reading μαρτυρόμεμος, bearing witness, as directly appropriate to the office to which Paul was appointed,-that of a witness (ver. 16); and then μικρώ τε καλ μεγάλφ, to small and great, so flat and meaningless on the other interpretation, admirably suits the occasion,-standing as he was before an assembly of the greatest of the land. 23. ei] not for δτι—but just as in ver. 8,—if,—if at least: meaning, that the things following were patent facts to those who knew the prophets. See Heb. vii. 15, where et has the same sense. παθητός] not, as Beza, 'Christum fuisse passurum' (so E. V., 'should suffer'): but as Vulg., 'si passibilis Christus.' Paul does not refer to the prophetic announcement, or the historical reality, of the fact of Christ's suffering, but to the idea of the Messiah as passible and suffering being in accordance with the testimony of the prophets. That the fact of His having suffered on the cross was in the Apostle's mind, can hardly be doubted: but that the words do not assert it, is evident from the change of construction in the next clause, where the fact of the bringing life and immortality to light by the resurrection is spoken of, - εί παθητός δ χρ., εί μέλλει καταγγέλλειν. In Justin Martyr, Tryph. c. 89, p. 187, the follow-

 $^{\rm d}$ = Col. i. 18. πρώτος $^{\rm d}$ έξ $^{\rm e}$ ἀναστάσεως $^{\rm e}$ νεκρῶν φῶς $^{\rm a}$ μέλλει $^{\rm f}$ καταγ- ABEH ref. ii. 5 ref. γέλλειν τῷ τε λαῷ καὶ τοῖς ἔθνεσιν. $^{\rm 24}$ ταῦτα δὲ αὐτοῦ d figh k Lima be καὶ 11. 8 ἀπολογουμένου ὁ Φῆστος $^{\rm h}$ μεγάλμ $^{\rm h}$ τῆ $^{\rm h}$ φωνῆ φησιν $^{\rm 130}$ οίκ. xxii. 11. 8 ἀπολογουμένου ὁ Φῆστος $^{\rm h}$ μεγάλμ $^{\rm h}$ τῆ $^{\rm h}$ φωνῆ φησιν $^{\rm 130}$ άλλα άληθείας και ο σωφορούνης ρήματα ρ αποφθέγγομαι.

k - John vii. 15. Eur. Hippol. 951, 26 επίσταται γάρ περί τούτων ο βασιλεύς, προς ον καί Hippol. Bol. There only. Pr. xxiix. 4 (Phos. ix. 7) with F. inc. 1. Arroll are properly and a properly are properly are properly and a properly are properly are properly and a properly are properly and a properly are properly are properly and a properly are properly a

23. μελλειν ΗΝ1 m1 p 40. rec om τε (as unnecessary), with L rel 36 Chron Thl-sif (Ec: ins AB E-gr HN b h k l o p 13. 40 Chr Thl-fin.

rec εφη (corrn to historical tense), 24. λαλουντος αυτ. κ. απολ. E vulg æth-pl. with HL rel vulg Œc: εφωνησε 35: ειπε c 64. 137: txt ΛΒΕΝ k p 13. 40 Chr-comm

25. aft o δε ins παυλος ABEN d p 13 (36) 40 vulg Thl-fin: om HL rel syr Thl-sif [αλλα, so AELN rel(not h) Chr Thl Œc.] Œe.

ing words are put into the mouth of Trypho the Jew: παθητόν τον χριστόν, ὅτι αί γραφαί κηρύσσουσι, φανερόν έστι. See also the same, Tryph. c. 36, p. 133, and e. 76, p. 173. πρώτος έξ ἀναστάσεως = πρώτος άναστάς, οτ πρωτότοκος έκ των νεκρῶν, Col. i. 18, but implying that this light, to be preached to the Jews (& Aaós) and Gentiles, must arise from the resurrection of the dead, and that Christ, the first έξ ἀναστάσεωs, was to announce it. See Isa. xlii. 6; xlix. 6; lx. 1, 2, 3; Luke ii. 24.] The words 32; ch. xiii. 47. ταῦτα ἀπολογουμένου must refer, on account of the present part., to the last words spoken by Paul: but it is not necessary to suppose that these only produced the effect described on Festus. Mr. Humphry remarks, "Festus was probably not so well acquainted as his predecessor (ch. xxiv. 10) with the character of the nation over which he had recently been called to preside. Hence he avails himself of Agrippa's assistance (xxv. 26). Hence also he is unable to comprehend the earnestness of St. Paul, so unlike the indifference with which religious and moral subjects were regarded by the upper classes at Rome. His self-love suggests to him, that one who presents such a contrast to his own apathy, must be mad: the convenient hypothesis that much learning had produced this result, may have occurred to him on hearing Paul quote prophecies in proof of his assertions."

\[
\text{\mad}, \text{ not merely, 'thou ravest,' nor 'thou art are art are arthered.'}
\] art an enthusiast:' nor are the words

spoken in jest (Olsh.),-but in earnest

(θυμοῦ ἦν κ. ὀργῆς ἡ φωνή, Chrys.). Festus finds himself by this speech of Paul yet more bewildered than before (De W.).

τὰ πολλά γράμμ.] Meyer understands Festus to allude to the many rolls which Paul had with him in his imprisonment (we might compare τὰ Βιβλία, μά-λιστα τὰs μεμβράνας of 2 Tim. iv. 13) and studied (so also Heinrichs and Kuinoel), -but the ordinary interpretation, thy much learning, seems more natural, είς μ. περιτρέπει] ι. 25.] ἀλήθεια and so De W. Is turning thy brain. may be spoken warmly and enthusiastically, but cannot be predicated of a madman's words: σωφροσύνη is directly opposed to μανία. So Xen. Mem. i. 16, recounting the subjects of Socrates' discourses, The δίκαιον, τί άδικον τί σωφροσύνη, τί μανία τί ἀνδρία, τί δειλία. The expresion λληθείας &c. βήματα, though of course in sense = βήματα λληθή, &c., yet has a distinctive force of its own, and is never to be confounded with, or supposed to be put by a Hebraism for the other. Such forms occur in classic as well as Hellenistic writers, and indeed in all languages: the idea expressed by them being, the derivation of the quality predicated, from its source: -so here, words (not merely true and sober, but) of truth and soberness, - springing from, and indicative of, subjective truth and soberness.

26. Agrippa is doubly his witness, (1) as cognizant of the facts respecting Jesus, (2) as believing the prophets. This latter he does not only assert, but appeals to the faith of the king as a Jew for its establishτων οὐ 8 πείθομαι οὐθέν 7 οὐ γάο 6 έστιν ἐν 6 γωνία πεπρα 8 6 Luke xx. γμένον τοῦτο. 27 πιστεύεις, βασιλεῦ ΄Αγοίππα, τοῖς 6 τοιοιτις, η προφήταις; οἶδα ὅτι πιστεύεις. 28 ὁ δὲ ᾿Αγοίππας προφ xx. 10 κd. γδι η Παῦλον 8 Έν ολίγω με πείθη 8 χριστιανὸν ποιήσαι. Γρει li. 7 κm Ps. χριστιανὸν με γει με γει με γει με γει με εξό. 1 μει κι 1. 1 μει li. 7 κm Ps. γ here bis. Ερι lii. 3 only. see 1 Pet. v. 12. xi. 26. 1 pet. iv. 10 only.

26. om $\kappa a \in B$ 25. om $\tau \in B$ a 36. 137. rec $\sigma v \delta \epsilon \nu$, with HL rel Chr: om A E(but see below) 13. 40: txt B N'(N* disapproving) p: om 1st σu a b c o p. $\delta v = 0$ 36. $\delta v = 0$ 36. $\delta v = 0$ 40: $\sigma v = 0$ 41.

ment. ἐν γωνία.... τοῦτο] This, the act done to Jesus by the Jews, and its sequel, was not done in an obscure corner of Judea, but in the metropolis, at a time of more than common publicity.

of more than common publicity.
28. ἐν ὀλίγω] These words of Agrippa have been very variously explained. (1)
The rendering 'propenodum,' 'param
abest, quin,' ('almost,' E. V.) adopted by
Chrys., Beza, Grot., Valla, Luther, Piscator, Calov., &c. is inadmissible, for want of any example of έν ὀλίγφ having this meaning, which would require ὀλίγου (ὀλίγου μ' ἀπωλέσας, Aristoph. Vesp. 829, and al.), or ὀλίγου δεῖ, or παρ' ὀλίγου.
(2) Calvin, Kuinoel, Schöttg., Olsh., Neander, take it for ἐν ὀλίγω χρόνω, which certainly is allowable, but does not correspond to μεγάλφ below, nor, as I believe, does it come up to the general sense of the expression. (3) The phrase ἐν ὀλίγω occurs in Greek writers with various nouns understood according to the nature of the case,and sometimes it will bear any of several supplements with equal propriety. Thus in Demosth. p. 33. 18, βάδιον είς ταὐτδ πάνθ' δσα βούλεταί τις αθροίσαντα έν ολίγω, where Schaefer in his Index Græcitatis says, seil. χρόνφ, aut χώρφ, aut λόγφ, aut πόνω. So also here we may understand λόγω or πόνω (or χρόνω?)—or still better as it seems to me, leave the ellipsis unsupplied (see Eph. iii. 3). We have a word in English which exactly expresses it,one which has fallen into disuse, but has no equivalent; lightly: i. e. with little pains, few words, small hesitation. Then next as to the reading, I have followed the most ancient MSS., in editing moinoat and not γενέσθαι. This being so, we have to choose between πείθεις of BX and πείθη of A. It is almost impossible to give any assignable meaning to the former; and I suspect it has come in by a confusion of the two readings. Whereas $\pi \epsilon i \theta \eta$ seems to take up the πείθομαι of ver. 26. The

received reading has probably found its way in from first imagining that πειθ- had to do with Paul's persuading Agrippa, and then the ποιησαι having no sense, became conformed to the γενέσθαι in the Apostle's speech below. And now, as to the sense of Agrippa's saying. In determining this, enough attention has not been paid to two points : (1) the present tense, $\pi \epsilon i\theta \eta$, thou art persuading thyself, art imagining; and (2) the use, in the mouth of a Jew, and that Jew a king, of the Gentile and offensive appellation χριστανός. Το my mind, the first of these considerations decides that Agrippa is characterizing no effect on himself, but what Paul was fancying in his mind, reckoning the πείθομαι which he had expressed above: the second, that he speaks of something not that he is likely to become, but that contrasts strangely with his present worldly position and intentions. I would therefore render the words thus: Lightly (with small trouble) art thou persuading thyself that thou canst make me a Christian: and understand them, in connexion with Paul's having attempted to make Agrippa a witness on his side, - ' I am not so easily to be made a Christian of, as thou supposest. Most of the ancient Commentators (especially as reading $\pi\epsilon i\theta\epsilon$ 1s) take the words as implying some effect on Agrippa's mind, and as spoken in earnest: but this I think is hardly possible, philologically or exegetically. I may add that the emphatic position of both ἐν δλίγφ and χριστιανόν, before their respective verbs, strongly confirms the view taken above. I must again caution the reader against the mistake committed by Dr. Wordsworth, in supposing the enclitic µe to be emphatic, which it cannot be, ἐμέ being required in such a case. Indeed, a more insignificant position than it here holds, next to the most emphatic word of the sentence, cannot be conceived.

29. rec aft ο δε παυλοs ins ειπεν, with HL rel Chr, εφη 36: om ABN p 13. 40. 137 vulg syr. ευξαμην Ν¹L c¹ (f) l p. rec (for μεγαλω) πολλω (see notes), with HL rel 36 wth Chr Thl Œe: txt ABN k m p 13. 40 vulg syrr copt arm.

30. rec ins και ταυτα ειποντος αυτου bef ανεστη (addn for perspicuity), with HL rel syrw-ast ThI Œc: και ταυτα ειποντος 137 Syr asth-rom: om ABN c p 13 vulg Syr seth-pl arm.—rec om τε: txt as above, but c 13. 40 syr-txt copt Chr have δε.

31. αξιον bef θανατου A c copt : η δεσρων bef αξιον ΒΝ k m p 13. 40 vulg. τι bef πρασσει ΑΝ k m p 13 vulg.

32. επικεκλ. AL 40 Thl: txt BHX p 13. 36 rel Chr Œc.

CHAP. XXVII. 1. και ουτως εκρινέν ο ηγέμων αναπέμψαι καισαρά 64: και ουτως

29. I could wish to God, that whether with ease or with difficulty (on my part), not only thou, but all who hear me today, might become such as I am, except only these bonds. He understands ev ολίγφ just as Agrippa had used it, easily, with little trouble, with slight exertion :' and contrasts with it έν μεγάλφ (πολλω has been an alteration to suit the imagined supplement χρόνω), with difficulty, 'with great trouble,' 'with much labour.' Those interpreters who understand χρόνω above, render this 'sen tempore exiguo opus fucrit, suo multo ' (Schött.); those who take ev oa. for 'almost,' 'non propemodum tantum, sed plane' (Grot.):
'not only almost, but altogether,' E. V.
In εὕχεσθαι θεῷ the dative implies the direction of the wish or request to God: so Æsch. Agam. 852, θεοίσι πρώτα δεξιώσομαι: Il. γ. 318, θεοίσι δὲ χείρας άνέσχον, and freq. See examples in Bernhardy, Syntax, p. 86. δεσμῶν] He shews the chain, which being in 'custodia militaris,' he bore on his arm, to connect him with the soldier who had charge of him. 31, πράσσει] generally, of his life and habits. No definite act was alleged against him: and his apologetic speech was in fact a sample of the acts of which he was accused. 32.] Agrippa in these words delivers his judgment as a Jew: 'For aught I see, as regards our belief and practices, he might have been set at liberty.' But now he could not: 'nam appellatione potestas judicis, a quo appellatum est, ecssare incipit ad absolvendum non minus quam ad condemnandum. Crimina enim integra servanda sunt cognitioni superioris.' Grot.

Chap. XXVII. 1—XXVIII. 31.]
Patu's voyage to Rome and sojourn There. I cannot but express the benefit I have derived in my commentary on this section, from Mr. Smith's now well-know treatise on the voyage and shipwreck of St. Paul: as also from various letters which he has from time to time put into my hands, tending further to elucidate the subject. The substance of these will be found embodied in an excursus following the chronological table in the prolegomena.

1.] τοῦ (see refl.) contains the purpose of ἐκρίθη. The matter of the decision implied in ἐκρίθη is expressed in this form as if governed by the substantive κρίστε, as in ch. xx. 3, ἐγένετο γμάμης τοῦ ὑποστρέφειν. Μεγει remarks that the expressions κελεύειν Ἰνα, εἰπεῖν Ἰνα, θέλειν Ἰνα, ἀκ. are analogous. ἡμᾶς] Here

τὴν Ἰταλίαν, ^m παρεδίδουν τόν τε Παῦλον καί τινας ^m = ch. xii. 4 xxiii. 16 ετέρους ⁿ δεσμώτας ἐκατοντάρχη ὀνόματι Ἰουλί ψ ^o σπείρης ⁿ, ^m vr. 42 only. ^p σεβαστῆς. ^{2 q} ἐπιβάντες δὲ πλοί ψ ᾿Αδραμυττην $\tilde{\psi}$ μέλ ch. xie. λοντι ^τ πλείν [είς] τοὺς κατὰ τὴν ᾿Ασίαν τόπους, ^s ἀνήχ ^p ch. xie. Ire.

see ch. 3xx | 51,25, xxx, 1) only, old, here only, q = ch. xxi, 2 (Matt. xxi, 6, from Zech. ix, 9, ch. xx, 18, xxi, 4, xxii, 17, constr. (accus.) here only, Isa. xiii, 10, what in it is reflected.

εκρίνεν αυτον ο ηγ. αναπεμψαι καισαρί 97: ως ουν εκρίνεν ο ηγ. του πεμπεσθαι αυτον προς καισαρά τη επιουσή καιδεσας τον εκατοντάρχον ονοματι ιουλιανόν σπειρης σεβαστής παρεδίδου αυτω τον παυλον συν επέροις δεσμοταίς syr-inarg: και εκρίνε περι αυτου ο φηστος πεμπεσθαι αυτον προς καισαρά εις την ιταλ. κ.τ.λ. Syr. παρεδίδου A a 40 demid syr copt Thi-sif. om ετερούς c p\ 137 syr: δεσμ. bef ετ. L. ins ιουλιω bef as well as after ονοματι κ!.

2. aft $\epsilon\pi_i\beta$. ins ϵ_{V} c 137. adjacupthyw A, all vary. rec μ ellowers (corrate osuit $\epsilon\pi_i\beta$ aupes), with HL rel vulg Chr: txt ABN a b c d o p 13. 36.40.137 am syrt record with plarm. rec one (is, with HL rel Chr Thl-sif CE: insert (B¹ according

we have again the first person, the narrator having, in all probability, remained in Palestine, and in the neighbourhood of Paul, during the interval since ch. xxi. 18.

παρεδίδουν] Who? perhaps the assessors with whom Festus took counsel on the appeal, ch. xxv. 12; but more likely the plural is used indefinitely, the subject being 'they,' = 'on' (Fr.), or 'man' (Germ.)

they,' = 'on' (Fr.), or 'man' (Germ.).

λτέρους δ.] This expression, says
Meyer, is purposely chosen, to intimate, that they were prisoners of another sort (not also Christians under arrest). But be W. shews this to be a mistake, by ἔτεραι
πολλαί, Luke viii. 3, = ἕλλαι πολλαί, Mark xv. 41, in both places meaning 'many others of the same class, as far as δεσμώται is concerned: further, nothing is implied in the narrative, one way or the other.

σπείρης σεβαστης] There is some difficulty in determining what this cohort was. We must not fall into the mistake of several of the Commentators, that of confounding this σπ. σεβαστή with an Ίλη ίππέων καλουμένη Σεβαστηνών, mentioned by Josephus, B. J. ii. 12. 5, and Antt. xx: 6. 1, this latter implying 'natives of Samaria' (Σεβαστή), -whereas our word is the same adjective as that name itself, and cannot by any analogy have reference to it. More than one of the legions at different times bore the honorary title 'Augusta.' Wetst. quotes from Claudian de Bell. Gild. 'Dictaque ab Augusto legio: from inscriptions in Mauritania, Legio III. Aug., II. Aug., VIII. Aug.: from Ptolemy, ii. 3, λεγεών δευτέρα σεβαστή (in Britain); iv. 3, λεγεων γ. σεβαστή; but of a 'cohors Augusta,' or 'Augustana,' we never hear. De Wette and Meyer suggest (but we have no historical proof of the supposition) that it was one among the five cohorts stationed at Cæsarea (see note, ch. xxv. 23) thus distinguished as the body-guard of the emperor (?), and therefore chosen for any services immediately concerning him, as in this case. Meyer thinks it may be the same (but then would the appellations be different?) with the σπείρα Ἰταλική of ch. x. 1. It is remarkable that almost all the Commentators have assumed, without any reason, that this σπ. σεβαστή must have been stationed at Casarea, whereas it may well have been a cohort, or body of men so called, at Rome. Wieseler is the only one that I have seen who has not fallen into this error. He controverts the other interpretations (Chron. d. Apost.-g. note, p. 391), and infers that Julius belonged to the Augustani, mentioned Tacitus xiv. 15, and Suet. Nero, 20 and 25 (see also Dio Cass. 1xi. 20: ἢν μὲν γάρ τι καὶ ἴδιον αὐτῷ σύστημα ές πεντακιςχιλίους στρατιώτας παρεσκευασμένον Αὐγούστειοί τε ώνομάζοντο και έξηρχον των επαίνων, and lxiii. 8), who appear to have been identical with the evocati (veterans specially summoned to service by the emperors), and to have formed Nero's body-guard on his journey to Greece. The first levying of this band by Augustus, Dio relates, xlv. 12. To this Julius seems to have belonged,-to have been sent on some service into Asia, and now to have been returning to Rome.

We read of a Julius Priscus, Prefect of the Pratorian guards under Vitellius, who killed himself 'pudore magis quam necessitate,' after the military murder by Mucianus of Calpurnius Galerianus. This was ten years after the date of our narrative; but the identity of the two must be only conjectural. 2. 'λδραμνττηφ' Adramyttium ('Αδραμνττιον, -ειον, or 'λτραμνττινον, and in Plin. v. 32, Αdramyttees) was a scaport with a harbour in Mysia, an Athenian colony. It is now a village called Endramit. Grotius, Drusius, and others

t = ch. xx. 15. θημεν, όντος σὺν ἡμίν 'Αριστάρχου Μακεδόνος Θεσσαλονικέως, 3 τη τε 'έτέρα " κατήχθημεν είς Σιδωνα, ' φιλανθρώπως τε ο Ἰούλιος τῷ Παύλῷ * χρησάμενος * ἐπέτρεψεν only. (act, ch. xxiii. 15 refl.) προς τους φίλους πορευθέντι , έπιμελείας ² τυχείν. v here only t. 2 Macc. ix. 27 only.

κείθεν δαναχθέντες α ύπεπλεύσαμεν την Κύποον δια το ABLN a φιλ. δια-

to Tischdf) c 36. 137: ins es A B(Mai) & p 13. 40 Thl-fin. αρισταρχος \aleph^1 . aft θεσσαλον. add δε αρισταρχου και σεκουνδου syr: -νικεων δε αρισταρχ. κ. σεκ. 137 uncial (see ch xx. 4).

ιουλιανος A syr- of about 3. for τε, δε LN3 k m p 40 vulg copt Chr. σιδονα Χ1. Steph om Tous, with eo: ins ABHLN p 13 rel Chr Thl Œc. rec cent.] πορευθεντα, with HL rel Chr Thl-sif Ec: txt ABN p 13. 36 Thl-fin.

erroneously suppose Adrumetum to be meant, on the north coast of Africa (Winer, RWB.). πλεῖν [εἰς] τοὺς] The bracketed els is in all probability an insertion to help off the harshness of the construction. But the accusative is indicative of the direction. We have ηλθε Πολυνείκης χθόνα, Eur. Phœuiss. 110. See Winer, edn. 6, § 32. 1, on the accus. after neuter verbs, and Bernhardy, Syntax, pp. 114 ff., and other instances in Wetstein. 'Αριστάρχ.] See ch. xix. 29; xx. 4; Col. iv. 10; Philem. 24. In Col. iv. 10, Paul ealls him his συναιχμάλωτοs, but perhaps only figuratively: the same term is applied to Epaphras, Philem. 23, where follows 'Αρίσταρχος, Δημᾶς, Λουκᾶς, οἱ σύνεργοί μου. 3. Σιδῶνα] This eelebrated city is generally joined in the N. T. with Tyre, from which it was distant 200 stadia (Strabo, xvi. 756 ff.), and of which it was probably the mother city. It was within the lot of the tribe of Asher (Josh. xix. 28), but never conquered by the Israelites (Judg. i. 31; iii, 3). From the earliest times the Sidonians were renowned for their manufactures of glass ('Sidon artifex vitri,' Plin. v. 19), linen (πέπλοι παμποίκιλοι ἔργα γυναικῶν Σιδονίων, Il. ζ. 290), silversmith's work (Il. ψ. 743, and Od. o. 115, &c.), and for the hewing of timber (1 Kings v. 6; Ezra iii. 7). In ancient times, Sidon seems to have been under Tyre, and to have furnished her with mariners (see Ezek. xxvii. 8). It went over to Shahnaneser, king of Assyria (Jos. Antt. ix. 14. 2); but seems under him, and afterwards under the Chaldwans and Persians, to have had tributary kings of its own (Jer. xxv. 22; xxvii. 3; Herod. viii. 67). The Sidonians furnished the best ships in Xerxes's navy, Herod. vii. 96, 99. Under Artaxerxes Ochus Sidon freed itself, but was by him, after a severe siege, taken and destroyed (Diod. Sic. xvi. 43 ff.). It was rebuilt, and soon after went over to Alexander, keeping its own vassal kings. After his death it was alternately under Syrian and Egyptian rule, till it fell under the Romans. The present Saida is west of ancient Sidon, and is a port of some commerce, but insecure, from the sanding up of the harbour (Winer, RWB. See also Robinson, vol. iii. pp. 415 ff., who gives an account of the history of Sidon during the middle ages). πορευθέντι] this dat. looks very like a grammatical correction: the πορευθέντα of the ree. would be an instance of an acc. with inf. after a dat. preceding, as ch. xxvi. 20; xxii. 17. The φίλοι here mentioned were probably Christian brethren (see ch. xi. 19, where the Gospel is said to have been preached in Phœnicia; and ch. xxi. 3, where we find brethren at Tyre); but it is usual in that case for άδελφοί or μαθηταί to be specified: ef. ch. xxi. 4, 7. The επιμελείας τυχείν was perhaps to obtain from them that outfit for the voyage which, on account of the official precision of his custody at Cæsarea, he

could not there be provided with. ὑπεπλεύσαμεν sailed under, i. e. 'in the lee of,' Cyprus. "Ubi navis vento contrario cogitur a recto cursu decedere, ita ut tunc insula sit interposita inter ventum et navem, dicitur ferri infra insulam." Wetst., who also says, "Si ventus favisset, alto se commisissent, et Cyprum ad dexteram partem reliquissent, ut Act. xxi, 3, nunc autem coguntur legere littus Ciliciæ, inter Cyprum et Asiam." With this explanation Mr. Smith agrees; and there can hardly be a doubt that it is the right one. The κατὰ τὴν ᾿Ασίαν τόποι of ver. 2 being to the west of Pamphylia (which was not in Asia, ch. ii. 10), the direct course thither would have been S. of Cyprus; but having the wind contrary, i. e. from the W. or N. W. ("the very wind which might have been expected in this part of the Mediter-

con-

5. om την a 137. πλευσαντες Hr. add δι ημερων δεκαπεντε c 137 syr-wast. κατηλθαμεν ΑΝ: κατηλθημεν b d h o 14, 38, 57, 66, 76, 93, 97, 98-marg 113 lect-5 \times Cc: ηλθομεν 25 vnlg Syr Jer. for μυρρα, λυστρα A vulg copt arm-marg Cassiod Bede: λυστραν \times : μοιρων Hr: σμυρναν m Bede-gr: σμυρα arm: txt B, and μυρα L 13 rel syrr Chr Thl \times Ce Jer.

6. κακείθεν Α 1 24: κακείσε m 15. 25. 36. 40. 180. οm την Hr b c h k l o.

aft αυτο ins τουτο κ'(κ3 disapproving).

ranean at this season (summer). Admiral de Saumarez writes, Aug. 19, 1798, 'We have just gained sight of Cyprus, so invariably do the westerly winds prevail at this season." Smith, p. 27), they kept under shelter of Cyprus, i. e. between Cyprus and Cilicia; and so διαπλεύσαντες, having sailed the whole length of the sea off Cilicia and Pamphylia, they came to Myra. See the account of the reverse voyage, ch. xxi. 3, where, the wind being nearly in the same quarter (see ver. 1, εὐθυδρομήσαντες eis τ. Kŵ), the direct course was taken, and they left Cyprus at a distance (for so αναφ. seems to imply) on their left, in going to Tyre. On the διαπλεύσαντες, &c., it may be well to quote (from Smith) the testimony of M. de Pages, a French navigator, who, on his voyage from Syria to Marseilles, informs us that after making Cyprus, "the winds from the west, and consequently contrary, which prevail in these places during the summer, forced us to run to the north. We made for the coast of Caramania (Cilicia), in order to meet the northerly winds, which we found accordingly." 5. Μύρδα] είτα Μύρα έν είκοσι σταδίοις ύπερ της θαλάττης έπὶ μετεώρου λόφου, Strabo xiv. 3,—Λέντλος ἐπιπεμφθείς 'Ανδριάκη Μυρέων ἐπινείω, τήν τε ἄλυσιν ἔρρηξε τοῦ λιμένος, και εἰς Μύρα ἀνήει. The neighbourhood is full of magnificent ruins; see Sir C. Fellows's Lycia, ch. ix. The name still remains. The various readings merely shew that the copyists were unacquainted with the place.

6.] The Alexandrian ship may have been laden with corn for Rome; but this cannot be inferred from ver. 38, for the ship had been lightened before, ver. 18. On her size, see below, ver. 37. Most probably this ship had been prevented taking the direct course to Italy, which was by the south of Crete, by the prevailing

westerly winds. Under such circumstances, says Mr. Smith (p.32), "ships, particularly those of the ancients, unprovided with a compass, and ill calculated to work to windward, would naturally stand to the N. till they made the land of Asia Minor, which is peculiarly favourable for such a mode of navigation, because the coast is bold and safe, and the elevation of the mountains makes it visible at a great distance; it abounds in harbours, while the sinuosities of its shores and the westerly current would enable them, if the wind was at all off the land, to work to windward, at least as far as Cnidus, where these advantages ceased. Myra lies due N. from Alexandria, and its bay is well calculated to shelter a windbound ship. The Alexandrian ship was not, therefore, out of her course at Myra, even if she had no call to touch there for the purposes of commerce." the present, should be rendered en her 7. βραδυπλ.] It is evident voyage. that the ship was encountering an adverse wind. The distance from Myra to Cnidus is only 130 geogr. miles, which, with a fair wind, would not take more than one day. Mr. Smith shews that the wind was N. W., or within a few points of it. "We learn from the sailing directions for the Mediterranean, that, throughout the whole of that sea, but mostly in the eastern half, including the Adriatic and Archipelago, N.W. winds prevail in the summer months; . the summer Etesiæ come from the N.W. (p. 197); which agrees with Aristotle's account of these winds,—οἱ ἐτησίαι λεγό-μενοι μίξιν ἔχοντες τῶν τε ἀπὸ τῆς ἄοκτου φερομένων κ. ζεφύρου, de Mundo, ch. iv. According to Pliny (ii. 47), they begin in August, and blow for forty days.

μόλις] with difficulty: not as E. V., 'scarce,' which being also an adv. of time, gives the erroneous idea to the

μὴ ^m προςεῶντος ἡμᾶς τοῦ ἀνέμου, ⁿ ὑπεπλεύσαμεν τὴν ABLN a Κρήτην κατὰ Σαλμώνην, ^{8 |} μόλις τε ° παραλεγόμενοι hklm o_{n 13} m here only †.
n ver. 2 only †.
o ver. 13 only †.
Diod. Sic. αυτήν ήλθομεν είς τόπον τινά καλούμενον Καλούς p ch. viii. 11 Λιμένας, ῷ ἐγγὺς ἦν πόλις Λασέα. ^{9 ρ}ίκανοῦ δὲ

7. προς εξωντος Χ. 8. om τινα A 133 Syr. πολις bef ην AN a^2 13. for λασαια, αλασσα Α 40. 96. 109 syr-marg (Alasa): Thalassa vulg eth and mss mentd by Jer: Thessala al: λαισσα N3: txt BHrL p 13 rel syr copt æth-pl Chr Thl Œc Jer (of these, HrL rel[exe m] syr Chr Thl (Ee have [through common confusion of vowels] Aasaaa), Aassaa X1.

γεν. κατά] having come favourable. over against, as E. V. Cuidus is a peninsula at the entrance of the Ægean Sea, between the islands of Cos and Rhodes, having a lofty promontory and two harbours, Strabo, xiv. 2. "With N.W. winds the ship could work up from Myra to Cnidus; because, until she reached that point, she had the advantage of a weather shore, under the lee of which she would have smooth water, and, as formerly mentioned, a westerly current; but it would be slowly and with difficulty. At Cnidus that advantage ceased." Smith, p. 37. μη προςεώντ.] The common idea has been that the prep. in composition implies that the wind would not suffer them to put in at Cnidus. But this would hardly be reconcileable with the fact; for when off Cnidus they would be in shelter under the high land, and there would be no difficulty in putting in. I should be rather inclined to regard this clause as explaining the μόλις above, and the mpos in composition as implying contribution, or direction: 'with difficulty, the wind not permitting us by favouring our course.' ύπεπλ. τ. Κρ. κ. Σαλμώνην] "Unless she had put into that harbour (Cnidus), and waited for a fair wind, her only course was to run under the lee of Crete, in the direction of Salmone, which is the eastern extremity of that is-Salmone (Capo Salomon) is described by Strabo (x. 4) as όξυ ἀκρωτήριον τὸ Σαμώνιον, ἐπὶ τὴν Αίγυπτον νεῦον, καὶ το Σαμωνίον, επί την Γιγντάς Υοδίων νήσους. Pliny (iv. 12) calls it Sammonium.

8. μόλις παρ.] "After passing this point (Salmone), the difficulty they experienced in navigating to the westward along the coasts of Asia, would recur; but as the south side of Crete is also a weather shore with N.W. winds, they would be able to work up as far as Cape Matala. Here the land trends suddenly to the N., and the advantages of a weather shore cease, and their only resource was to make for a harbour. Now Fair Havens is the harbour nearest to Cape Matala, the farthest point to which an ancient ship

English reader that the ship had scarcely

reached Cnidus when the wind became un-

could have attained with N.W.-ly winds." παραλεγ. does not, as Smith, ib. Servius on Æn. iii. 127 supposes, imply that the ship was towed ("funem legendo, i. e. colligendo, aspera loca prætereunt "), but, as Meyer explains it, that, the places on the coast being touched (or perhaps, rather, appearing) one after another, are, as it were, gathered up by the navigators. Smith (p. 42) exposes the mistake of Eustathius (adopted by Valpy, from Dr. Falconer), by which the ship taking the S. coast of Crete is attempted to be explained: viz. δυελίμενος ή Κρήτη πρός την βόρραν: whereas there are, in fact, excellent harbours on the N. side of Crete, -Souda and Spina Longa. Καλούς Λιμένας] The situation of this anchorage was ascertained by Pococke, from the fact of the name still remaining. "In searching after Lebena farther to the west, I found out a place which I thought to be of greater consequence, because mentioned in Holy Scripture, and also honoured by the presence of St. Paul, that is, 'the Fair Havens, near unto the city of Lasea;' for there is another small bay about two leagues to the E. of Matala, which is now called by the Greeks good or fair havens (λιμέονες καλούς):" [Calolimounias of Mr. Brown's letter: see excursus as above. Travels in the East, ii. p. 250: cited by Mr. Smith, who adds: "The most conclusive evidence that this is the Fair Havens of Scripture, is, that its position is precisely that where a ship circumstanced as St. Paul's was, must have put in. I have already shewn that the wind must have been about N.W.; -but with such a wind she could not pass Cape Matala: we must therefore look near, but to the E. of this promontory, for an anchorage well calculated to shelter a vessel in N.W. winds, but not from all winds, otherwise it would not have been, in the opinion of seamen (ver. 12), an unsafe winter harbour. Now here we have a harbour which not only fulfils every one of the conditions, but still retains the name given to it by St. Luke." Smith, p. 45. He also gives an engraving of the place from a sketch by Signr. Schranz, the

θεορω Ν¹. ree φορτου, with b e¹ o Thl-fin Œc: txt ABH LN p 13 rel 36.40.
 137 Chr Thl-sif. υμων L²Ν³ lect-12.
 11. ree επειθετο bef μαλλου, with H L rel syrt Thl-sif Œc: txt ABN k m p 13.40

artist who accompanied Mr. Pashley in his travels. There is no ground for identifying this anchorage with καλή ἀκτή mentioned as a city in Crete by Steph. Byzant. For this is clearly not the name of a city, by the subjoined notice, & έγγυς ην πόλις Λασέα. Nor is there any reason to suppose, with Meyer, that the name καλοί λιμ. was euphemistically given, -because the harbour was not one to winter in: this (see above) it may not have been, and yet may have been an excellent refuge at particular times, as now, from prevailing westerly winds. Aaréa] This place was, until recently, altogether unknown; and from the variety of readings, the very name was uncertain. Pliny (iv. 12) mentions Lasos among the cities of Crete, but does not indicate its situation. It is singular, and tends to support the identity of Lasos with our Lasca, that as here Alassa, so there Alos, is a various reading. The reading Thalassa appears to have been an error of a transcriber from -aλaσσa forming so considerable a part of a word of such common There is a Lisia named occurrence. in Crete in the Peutinger Table, which may be the same. [On the very interesting discovery of Lasea by the Rev. G. Brown in the beginning of the year 1856, see the excursus at the end of Prolegg. to Acts. The ruins are on the beach, about two hours eastward of Fair Havens. iκανοῦ χρ.] Not 'since the beginning of our voyage,' as Meyer:—the time was spent at the anchorage. τοῦ πλοός] Not 'sailing,' but the voyage, viz. to Rome,-which henceforth was given up as hopeless for this autumn and winter. That this is the meaning of ὁ πλοῦς, see ch. xxi. 7. And by observing this, we VOL. II.

avoid a difficulty which has been supposed to attend the words. Sailing was not unsafe so early as this (see below); but to undertake so long a voyage, was.

την νηστείαν The fast, κατ' έξοχήν, is the solemn fast of the day of expiation, the 10th of Tisri, the seventh month of the Jewish ecclesiastical year, and the first of the civil year. See Levit. xvi. 29 ff. ; xxiii. 26 ff. This would be about the time of the autumnal equinox. The sailing season did not close so early: 'Ex die igitur tertio iduum Novembris, usque in diem sextum iduum Martiarum, maria clauduntur.' Vegetius (Smith, p. 45, note) de Re Milit. iv. 39. 10.] From the use of $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \hat{\omega}$ here, and from the saying itself, it seems clear to me that Paul was not uttering at present any prophetic intimation, but simply his own sound judgment on the difficult question at issue. It is otherwise at vv. 22-24. As Smith remarks, "The event justified St. Paul's advice. At the same time it may be observed, that a bay, open to nearly one half the compass, could not have been a good winter harbour." (p. 47.) μετὰ εβρεως is interpreted by Meyer as subjective- 'accompanied with presumption on our part :' but not to mention that this would be a very unusual sense, ver. 21, κερδησαι την υβριν ταύτ. κ. τ. ζημίαν, is decisive (De W.) against it. μέλλειν A mixing of two constructions, see Winer, edn. 6, § 44. 8, note 2. This is most flagrant in later writers, as Pausanias and Arrian,—see Bernhardy, Syntax, p. 369; but is also found earlier, e. g. Plat. Charm., p. 165: οὐκ ἃν αἰσχυνθείην ότι μη ούχι δρθώς φάναι είρηκέναι. Isæus, περί τοῦ φιλοκτ. κληρ. p. 57: ἐπειδή

vulg arm Chr-comm Thl-fin. rec ins του bef παυλου, with H'L 13. 36 rel Chr Thl (Εε: om ABN p.

12. rec πλείουs, with H^tL 13. 36 rel Chr Thl Œc: txt ABN p 40. rec κακείθεν, with H^t rel syr Thl Œc: txt ABLN b e h k o p 13. 36. 40 vulg Syr arm Chr. δυνανται A.

δὲ προςδιαμεμαρτύρηκεν ὡς νίθν εἶναι γνήσιον Εὐκτήμονος τοῦτον... See other treferences in Winer, l. c. 11. τ. νανκλήρω] the owner of the ship. Wetst. cites from Plutarch, ναύτας μέν ἐκλέγεται κυβερνήτης, καὶ κυβερνήτην ναύκληρος. So Hesych.: ναύκληρος, ὁ δεσπότης τ. πλοίον,—and Χεπ. Œcon. viii. 12: φορτίων, ὅσα νανκλήροις κέρδους ἔψεκα ἄγεται. (Kuin.)

12.] See above on ver. 8. The anchorage was sheltered from the N.W., but not from nearly half the compass. Grotius and Heinsius's rendering of προς παραχειμ., 'ad vitandam tempestatem,' is contrary to usage, besides being singularly inconsistent with the fact in more ways than one. For this purpose the anchorage was εὔθετος, and in it they had (see next verse) actually ridden out the storm, before they ereiver The rareiver of the rec. would be thence also, as from their former stopping-places. Ptolemy (iii. 17) calls the haven Φοινικοῦς, and the city (lying some way inland) Φοῦνες. Strabo (x. 4) says, τὸ δὲ ἐνθεν ἰσθμός ἐστιν ὡς ἐκατὸν σταδίων, ἔχων κατοικίαν πρὸς μὲν τῆ βορείω θαλάτης 'Αμφιμάλλαν, πρὸς δὲ τῆ νοτίω Φοινική τῶν Λαμπέων. This description, and the other data belonging to Phœnice, Smith (p. 48) has shewn to fit the modern Lutro, which, though not known now as an anchorage, probably from the silting up of the harbour, is so marked in the French admiralty chart of 1738, and "if then able to shelter the smallest craft, must have been capable of receiving the largest ships seventeen centuries before." [See an inscription making it highly probable that Alexandrian ships did winter at Lutro, in the excursus at the end of Prolegg, to Acts.

βλέποντα κατὰ λίβα κ. κατὰ χῶρον] looking (literally) down the S.W.
and N.W. winds; i. c. in the direction
of these winds, viz. N.E. and S.E. For
λίψ and χῶρος are not quarters of the

compass, but winds; and κατά, used with a wind, denotes the direction of its blowing,-down the wind. This interpretation, which I was long ago persuaded was the right one, I find now confirmed by the opinion of Mr. Smith, who cites Herod. ίν. 110, ἐφέροντο κατὰ κῦμα καὶ ἄνεμον, and Arrian, Periplus Euxini, p. 3, ἄφνω νεφελή ἐπαναστᾶσα ἐξερράγη κατ' εὖρον. So also κατὰ ρόον, Herod. ii. 96. And in Jos. Antt. xv. 9.6, the coasts near Cæsarea are said to be δύσορμα διὰ τὰς κατὰ λίβα προςβολάς. See also Thucyd. vi. 104. In the reff, the substantive is not one of motion like $\lambda(\psi)$, $\chi\hat{\omega}\rho\sigma$, or $\dot{\rho}\delta\sigma$, but of fixed location, as μεσημβρία σκόπος. The direction then is towards the spot indicated, just as in the present case it is in that of the motion indicated. The harbour of Lutro satisfies these conditions; and is even more decisively pointed out as being the spot by a notice in the Synecdemus of Hierocles, Φοινίκη ήτοι 'Αράδενα' νῆσος Κλαῦδος. Now Mr. Pashley found a village called Aradhena a short distance above Lutro, and another close by called Anopolis, of which Steph. Byz. says, 'Αράδην πόλις Κρήτης' ἡ δὲ 'Ανωπόλις λέγεται, διὰ τὸ εἶναι ἄνω. From these data it is almost demonstrated that the port of Phœnice is the present port of Lutro. Ptolemy's longitude for port Phænice also agrees. See Smith, pp. 51 ff. Mr. Smith has kindly sent me the following extract from a letter containing additional confirmation of the view: Loutro is an excellent harbour; you open it unexpectedly, the rocks stand apart and the town appears within. During the Greek war, when cruising with Lord Cochrane, chased a pirate schooner, as they thought, right upon the rocks; suddenly he disappeared, and when rounding in after him,-like a change of scenery, the little basin, its shipping, and the town of Loutro, revealed themselves.' See Prof. Hackett's note,

13. υποπνευσαντεs(sie) **Χ**.

impugning the above view and interpretation; which however does not alter my opinion. Mr. Howson gives his solution thus: "The difficulty is to be explained simply by remembering that sailors speak of every thing from their own point of view, and that the harbour (see chart in C. and H. ii. 397) does look-from the water towards the land which encloses it-in the direction of S.W. and N.W." But I cannot believe, till experience can be shewn to confirm the idea, that even sailors could speak of a harbour as 'looking' in the direction in which they would look 13. ὑποπνεύσαντος] when entering it. as E. V., softly blowing, compare ὑπο-μειδιάω. The S. wind was favourable for them in sailing from Fair Havens to Phœnice. δόξ. τ. προθ. κεκρατ.] imagining that they had (as good as) accomplished their purpose; i. e. that it would now be a very easy matter to reach Phœnice. άραντες "may be translated either 'weighed,' or 'set sail;' for ancient authors supply sometimes τàs ἀγκύρας, and sometimes τὰ ίστία Julius Pollux, however, like St. Luke, supplies neither, which is certainly the most nantical way of expressing it : he says, αἴροντες ἀπὸ τῆς γῆς, lib. i. 103." Smith, p. 55. ἀσσον παρ.] They erept close along the land till they passed Cape Matala. "A ship which could not lie nearer to the wind than seven points, would just weather that point which bears W. by S. from the entrance of Fair Havens. We see therefore the propriety of the expression ἀσσον παρ., 'they sailed close by Crete,' which the author uses to describe the first part of their passage." Smith, p. 56. The Vulg. has: 'quum sustulissent de Asson,' connecting apartes with "Aooov, and understanding the latter as the name of a Cretan town. There is an Asus mentioned by Pliny (iv. 12), but it is 'in Mediterraneo,' not on the coast,and the construction would be inadmissible. Erasmus, Luther, &c., have taken 'Accou as the accusative of direction, 'when they had weighed for Assus.' But besides the local objection, this construction also would be most harsh, as apartes does not indicate

the progress of their voyage, but only the setting out. Heinsius took $\delta \rho a \nu \tau \epsilon_S = \delta \nu a - \phi a \nu \epsilon_T \epsilon_S$, ch. xxi. 3,—'postquam Asos attollere se visa est' (Meyer). But there can be little doubt that all of these are mistakes, and that $\delta a \sigma \sigma v$ is the adverb.

14. ἔβαλεν κατ' αὐτῆς] These difficult words have been taken in three ways: (1) (The common interpretation) referring αὐτῆς to τὴν Κρήτην just mentioned. Thus they might mean, (a) 'drove (us) against Crete,' or (β) 'struck (blew) against Crete,' i. e, in the direction of Crete. Now of these, (a) is contrary to the expressed fact:—they were not driven against Crete. And (B) is as inconsistent with the implied fact. Had the wind blown in the direction of Crete at all, they, who gave themselves up to it, and were driven before it (ἐπιδόντες ἐφερόμεθα, ver. 15), must have been stranded on the Cretan coast, which they were not. (2) referring αὐτης to the ship, understood. This is adopted by Dr. Bloomfield and Mr. Smith. (The latter, I find by a letter received since this note was written, now understands it as I have explained it below.) But not to mention the harshness occasioned by having to supply a subject for αὐτη̂s which has never yet been mentioned,-a decisive objection against this rendering is, that the ship throughout the narrative is τὸ πλοΐον, not ή ναθε, in every place except ver. 41, and $\tau\delta$ $\pi\lambda$. occurs in the very next clause, which, had this been meant of the ship, would certainly have been expressed συναρπασθείσης δέ, οτ συναρπασθείσης δε αὐτῆς. (3) referring αὐτης to προθέσεως. In that case έβαλεν κατ' αὐτης must either (α) = κατέβαλεν ἡμᾶς ἀπ' αὐτῆς, asPlato, Euthyph. 15 Ε, ἀπ' ἐλπίδος με καταβαλών μεγάλης ἀπέρχει, which is harsh, and hardly allowable; or (β) be understood, taking the neuter sense of βάλλω (ποταμός είς ἄλα βάλλων, Il. λ. 722), as meaning blew against it, so as to thwart their design. And so Luther: 'erhob sich wider ihr Bornehmen.' But this mixture of literal and figurative is also barsh, and hardly allowable. (4) A method has occurred to me of rendering the words, which seems to remove all harshness, whether of

c here only to $\alpha \dot{\nu}$ της $\dot{\alpha}$ υεμος $\dot{\alpha}$ τυφωνικὸς $\dot{\sigma}$ καλούμενος $\dot{\alpha}$ εὐρακύλων. ABLN (είων, Isa.) 15 g συναρπασθέντος δὲ τοῦ πλοίου καὶ μὴ δυναμένου $\dot{\alpha}$ αντης $\dot{\alpha}$ η κὶ Γ΄ β bre only to $\dot{\alpha}$ το $\dot{\alpha}$ ανέμω $\dot{\alpha}$ επιδόντες $\dot{\alpha}$ εφερόμεθα. $\dot{\alpha}$ 16 l νησίον (Wisd, Sil. i) a here only to $\dot{\alpha}$ τως $\dot{\alpha}$ ανέμω $\dot{\alpha}$ επιδόντες $\dot{\alpha}$ εφερόμεθα. $\dot{\alpha}$ 16 l νησίον (κεία, Sil. i) a here only to $\dot{\alpha}$ (Let $\dot{\alpha}$ conly is a second condition). The conly contribution is $\dot{\alpha}$ (Let $\dot{\alpha}$ constant $\dot{\alpha}$ is $\dot{\alpha}$ contribution.

14. for κατ' αυτης, κατα ταυτης Κ. rec ευροκλυδων, with H^rL p(ευρο κλυδω) rel
Syr Chr Thl Œc: ευρυκλυδων B² 40. 133: ευρακλυδων syr: ευρακυκλων arm: aquilo
maris (ong τυφ. ο καλ.) æth: ευτρακηλων copt: ευρακηλων sah: ευρακοιδων (ilacism)
13: txt (see note) A B'(see table) K, confirmed by Euroaquilo vulg Cassiod, by 13 sah
and in some measure (ευρακ.) by syr arm copt.

15. δυνομένου Β1. aft επιδοντές ins τω πλεοντί κ. συστείλαντες τα ίστια c 137:

τη πνεουση κ. συναγοντες το σκευος ως εφερεν εφερομεθα syr-w-ast.

reference in $\alpha \hat{v} \tau \hat{\eta} s$, or of construction. There can be no question that the obvious reference of $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ is to Crete. then is έβαλεν κατ' αὐτῆς? έβαλεν applied to wind may be understood as above, neuter, or reflective, 'blew,' 'rushed.' Assuming this, and that there is no object to be supplied between έβαλεν and the preposition, κατ' αὐτηs may surely be rendered, as in βη δέ κατ' Οὐλύμποιο καρήνων,-κατ' 'Ιδαίων ὀρέων,-κατά πέτρης, &c., viz. down (from) Crete, 'down the high lands forming the coast.' It is a common expression in lake and coasting navigation, that 'a gust came down the valleys.' And this would be exactly the direction of the wind in question. When they had doubled, or perhaps were now doubling, Cape Matala, the wind suddenly changed, and the typhoon came down upon them from the high lands ;- at first, as long as they were sheltered, only by fits down the gullies, but as soon as they were in the open bay past the cape, with its full violence. This, the hurricane rushing down the high lands when first observed, and afterwards συναρπάζων το πλοΐον, seems to me exactly to describe their changed circumstances in passing the cape. A confirmation of this interpretation may be found by Luke himself using $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \beta \eta$ to express the descending of a squall from the hills on the lake of Gennesareth, Luke viii. 23, where Matt. and Mark have only ἐγένετο and γίνεται. Mr. Smith also suggests κατά τοῦ κρημνοῦ, Luke viii. 33, as confirmatory. The above is also Mr. Howson's view. See, in the excursus appended to the Prolegg. to Acts, the confirmation of this view in what actually happened to the Rev. G. Brown's party. τυφωνικός "The sudden change from a south wind to a violent northerly wind, is a common oecurrence in these seas. (Captain J. Stewart, R.N., in his remarks on the Archipelago, observes, "It is always safe to anchor under the lee of an island with a northerly

wind, as it dies gradually away; but it would be extremely dangerous with southerly winds, as they almost invariably shift to a violent northerly wind.") The term 'typhonic' indicates that it was accompanied by some of the phenomena which might be expected in such a case, viz. the agitation and whirling motion of the clouds caused by the meeting of the opposite currents of air when the change took place, and probably also of the sea, raising it in columns of spray. Pliny (ii. 48), speaking of 'repentini flatus,' says, 'vorticem faciunt qui Typhon vocatur:' Aul. Gell. xix. 1, 'Turbines etiam crebriores . . et figuræ quædam nubium tremendæ quas τυφῶναs vocabant.'" Smith, p. 60.

εὐρακύλων I have adopted the reading of ABX, according to my principle of going in all cases where there is no overpowering objection, by our most ancient MSS. It may be that εὐρακύλων had become in common parlance corrupted into eupoκλύδων, an anomalous word, having no assignable derivation, but perhaps arising from the Greek sailors having changed the Latin termination into one having significance for themselves. Mr. Smith, in his appendix, 'On the Wind Euroclydon,' has satisfactorily answered the objections of Bryant to the compound εὐρακύλων,by shewing that elpos properly, was not the S.E., but the E. wind; and that compounds of Greek and Latin in the names of winds are not unknown, e.g. Euro-Auster.

The direction of the wind is established by Mr. S., from what follows, to have been about half a point N. of E.N.E.; and the subsequent narrative shews that the wind continued to blow from this point till they reached Malta. 15. συναρπ.] being hurried away, 'borne along,' by it: see refl. avτοφθαλμεῖν] It is hardly likely that this term, which is used so naturally and constantly of men facing an enemy (Polyb. i. 17. 3, and eight times more), and also metaphorically of resisting

m o p 13

δέ τι "ύποδραμόντες καλούμενον $K[\lambda]$ ανδα, "ίσχύσαμεν "here only t. "c. φην αραστες ο μόλις "περικρατείς γενέσθαι της "σκάφης, "17 ην ἄραντες στάς της "σκάφης, "17 ην ἄραντες στάς της "κεπίνι 18 κατες... "βοηθείαις "έχρωντο, "ύποζωννύντες τὸ πλοίον, φοβού- μεταποιής το αραστες το καινίτες μη είς την "σύρτιν "έκπέσωσιν "χαλάσαντες τὸ καινίτες το καινί

not =. (-0s, 2 Mace. xii, 3, 6.)
r Heb. iv. 16 only. Ps. vii, 10. Sir. xl. 24 al. (-θείν. ch. xxi. 28. -θός, Heb. xiii, 6.)
only †. 2 Mace. iii. 19 only. Polyb. xxvii. 3. 3. Plat. Rep. x. 616. 3, cliou γάρ τοῦνο τό φῶε σύνδεσιον τοῦ οὐραιοῦ, οἰον τὰ ὑποζώματα τ. τρημῶῦ, οὕτο πάσαν ξυνέχου τὴν περιφορίν. see Thucyd. i. 29. u here only t. v - vv. 26, 29 only. Diod. Sic. ii. 60, εκπεσείν eis αμμους, and al. w ch. ix. 25 reff.

16. υποδραμουντες B1(Mai) 93. 95. rec κλαυ-, with AHrL N(but λ erased) p rel 13. 36. 40. 137 syr syr-marg-gr Chr Thl Œc: καυ- B vulg æth Jer, Kyra or Keuda Syr, Gaudem Cassiod. - rec -δην, with HIL rel: -δαν c 25 lect-12, -dam fuld: -δα BN p 13. 40. 137 vulg syr syr-marg-gr copt æth. (A has only KAA, the remaining letters are gone at the end of a line.) rec μολις bef ισχυσαμέν (corrn of order?), with HIL rel 36 syrr copt æth-pl Chr: txt ABN m p 13 (40) vulg.

17. βοηθειας Hr c p 36. 96 lect-12: -θιαν Ν1. εκπλεσωσιν Ν1. om 70 81.

temptation (μη δύνασθαι τοῖς χρήμασιν ἀντοφθαλμεῖν, Polyb. xxviii. 17. 18), should have been originally a naval term, derived from the practice of painting eyes on either side of the beaks of ships. More probably the expression was transferred to a ship from its usage in common life.

ἐπιδόντες] So Plutarch de Fortun. Rom. cited in note on ver. 26. Either 'the ship,' or 'ourselves,' may be supplied: or better perhaps, neither, but the word taken generally—giving up. ἐφερό-μεθα] passive: we were driven along.

16. ὑποδραμόντες] running under the lee of. "St. Luke exhibits here as on every other occasion, the most perfect conmand of nautical terms, and gives the utmost precision to his language by selecting the most appropriate: they ran before the wind to leeward of Clauda, hence it is ύποδραμόντες: they sailed with a side wind to leeward of Cyprus and Crete: hence it is ὑπεπλεύσαμεν" (Smith, p. 61, Kλαῦδα Here again, there can be little doubt that the name of the island was Καῦδα, or Γαῦδα, as we have in some MSS., or, as in Pliny and Mela, Gaudos: but Ptol. (iii. 7) has Κλαύδος, and the corruption was very obvious. The island is the modern Gozzo. σαμ. μόλ. κ.τ.λ.] "Upon reaching Clauda, they availed themselves of the smooth water under its lee, to prepare the ship to resist the fury of the storm. Their first care was to secure the boat by hoisting it on board. This had not been done at first, because the weather was moderate, and the distance they had to go, short. Under such circumstances, it is not usual to hoist boats on board, but it had now become necessary. In running down upon Clauda, it could not be done, on account of the ship's way through the water. To enable them to do it, the ship must have been rounded to, with her head to the wind, and her sails, if she had any set at the time, trimmed, so that she had no head-way, or progressive movement. In this position she would drift, broadside to leeward. I conclude they passed round the east end of the island: not only because it was nearest, but because 'an extensive recf with numerous rocks extends from Gozzo to the N.W., which renders the passage between the two isles very dangerous' (Sailing Directions, p. 207). In this case the ship would be brought to on the starboard tack, i. e. with the right side to windward." "St. Luke tells us they had much difficulty in securing the boat. He does not say why : but independently of the gale which was raging at the time, the boat had been towed between twenty and thirty miles after the gale had sprung up, and could scarcely fail to be filled with water." Smith, pp. 64, 17.] ἄραντες, having taken on board. βοηθείαις measures to strengthen the ship, strained and weakened by labouring in the gale. Pliny (ii. 48) calls the typhoon 'præcipua navigantium pestis, non antennas modo, verum ipsa navigia contorta frangeus.' Grot., Heinsius, &c., are clearly wrong in interpreting βοηθεί., 'the help of the passengers.' ὑποζωννύντες τ. πλ.] undergird-ing, or frapping the ship. "Το frap a

ship (ceintrer un vaisseau) is to pass four or five turns of a large cable-laid rope round the hull or frame of a ship, to support her in a great storm, or otherwise, when it is apprehended that she is not strong enough to resist the violent efforts of the sea: this expedient, however, is rarely put in practice." Falconer's Marine Dict. :- Smith, p. 60, who brings several instances of the practice, in our own times. See additional x here only, from his constraints and the first section of the constraints and the constraints are constraints as a constraint of the constraints are constraints and the constraints are constraints as a constraint of the constraints are constraints and the constraints are constraints and the constraints are constraints as a constraint of the constraints are constraints and the constraints are constraints as a constraint of the constraints are constraints and the constraints are constraints. The constraints are constraints are constraints and the constraints are constraints and constraints are constraints and constraints are constraints and constraints are constraints and constraints are constraint

18. for δε, τε A 25 spec Syr æth-pl.

19. ree ερριψαμεν (corra to first person to suit αυτοχειρες: so Meyer, which is much more probable than that, as De W., -αμεν should have been altered to -αν, to suit εποιουντο: see note), with HL rel syrr copt wth-pl Clir Thl Œc: ερειψαν Β¹: εριψαν Ν: txt AB²C a b o p 13. 36. 40 vulg spec.

ones in C. and H. ii. 404, f. Horace seems to allude to it, Od. i. 14. 3, 'ac sine funibus Vix durare carinæ Possint imperiosius Æquor.' See reff. την σύρτιν The Syrtis, on the African coast; there were two, the greater and the lesser (ai φοβεραί καὶ τοῖς ἀκούουσι Σύρτεις, Jos. B. J. ii. 16. 4), of which the former was the nearer to them. ἐκπέσωσιν] See reff. and add φερόμενοι τῷ πνεύματι.... έξέπιπτον πρός τὰς πέτρας, Herodot. viii. χαλ. τ. σκεῦος] "It is not easy to imagine a more erroneous translation than that of our authorized version: 'Fearing lest they should fall into the quicksands, they strake sail, and so were driven.' It is in fact equivalent to saying that, fearing a certain danger, they deprived themselves of the only possible means of avoiding it." Smith, p. 67. He goes on to explain, that if they had struck sail, they must have been driven directly towards the Syrtis. They therefore set what sail the violence of the gale would permit them to carry, turning the ship's head off shore, she having already been brought to on the starboard tack (right side to the wind). The adoption of this course would enable them to run before the gale, and yet keep wide of the African coast, which we know they did. But what is χάλ. τὸ σκεῦος? It is interpreted by Meyer, De W., and most Commentators, of striking sail (as E. V.): but this (see above) could not be: "In a storm with a contrary wind or on a lee-shore, a ship is obliged to lie-to under a very low sail: some sail is absolutely necessary to keep the ship steady, otherwise she would pitch about like a cork, and roll so deep as to strain and work herself to pieces." Encycl. Brit. art. 'Seamanship:' Smith, p. 72, who inter-

prets the words, lowering the gear, i. e. sending down upon deck the gear connected with the fair-weather sails, such as the suppara, or top-sails. A modern ship sends down top-gallant masts and yards, a cutter strikes her topmast, when preparing for a gale. In this case it was perhaps the heavy yard which the ancient ships carried, with the sail attached to it, and the heavy ropes, which would by their top-weight produce uneasiness of motion as well as resistance to the wind. See a letter addressed to Mr. Smith by Capt. Spratt, R.N., quoted in C. and H. ii. p. 405, note 5. ούτως i. e. "not only with the ship undergirded, and made snug, but with storm-sails set, and on the starboard tack, which was the only course by which she could avoid falling into the Syrtis." Smith, ib. 18. ἐκβολ. έποι. The technical terms for taking cargo out of a ship, given by Julius Pollux, are ἐκθέσθαι, ἀποφορτίσασθαι, κουφίσαι τὴν ναθν, ἐπελάφρυναι, ἐκβολὴν ποιήσασθαι τῶν φορτίων. So that both here, and afterwards in ver. 38 (ἐκούφιζον τ. πλοΐον), St. Lnke uses appropriate technical phrases." Of what the freight consisted, we have no intimation. Perhaps not of wheat, on account of the separate statement of ver. 38. See ref. 19. τ. σκευὴν τ. πλ. ἔρρ.] ἡ σκευή is the furniture of the ship—beds, moveables of all kinds, cooking utensils, and the spare rigαὐτόχειρες is used with ἔρριψαν as shewing the urgency of the dangerwhen the seamen would with their own hands, cast away what otherwise was needful to the ship and themselves. This not being seen, αὐτόχ. has been supposed to imply the first person, and ερρίψαμεν has crept in: see var. readd. The sun and stars were the only guides of

 $^{\rm p}$ περιηρείτο έλπὶς πάσα $^{\rm q}$ τοῦ σώζεσθαι ἡμᾶς. $^{\rm 21}$ πολλῆς $^{\rm p}$ $_{\rm 16,\ Heb.x.}^{\rm p}$ τε $^{\rm r}$ ἀσιτίας $^{\rm s}$ ὑπαρχούσης, τότε $^{\rm t}$ σταθεὶς ὁ Παῦλος ἐν $^{\rm 11(rr.40)}$ οοίς, zech. μέσω αὐτῶν εἶπεν Έδει μέν, ὧ ἄνδρες, $^{\rm u}$ πειθαρχήσαντάς $^{\rm const.c.h.}_{\rm const.c.h.}$ μοι μὴ $^{\rm v}$ ἀνάγεσθαι ἀπὸ τῆς Κρήτης, $^{\rm w}$ κερδῆσαί τε τὴν $^{\rm three onlyt.}_{\rm (ros, ver.}$ στηναι, καὶ ίδου ε κεχάρισταί σοι ὁ θεὸς πάντας τους τό μιανθή-ναι τὰς h πλέοντας μετὰ σοῦ. 25 διὸ εὐθυμεῖτε, ἄνδοες πιστεύω χείρας κερ-

| Jos. Anit ii, 3, 2, 2 | x ver 10, | x ver 10, | y ch. iv. 29 reff. | z ver, 9 only (reff.), a here bis, James v, 13 only, Ps, kvii, 15 Ald, only, (see ver, 35 al.) | b Rom, xi i 5 only†. (-βάλλευ, Mark x, 50, Heb. x, 35), | c - ch. xv. 26 reff, | d John viii, 10, 1d, xv. 28 xx, 23, | Dent. i, 86, | cch. vii, 7 reff. | f Rom. xiv. 10, | Dan, vii, 10, | g 2 Cor. ii, 10 reff. | y cch. viii, 10 reff. | g 2 Cor. iii, 10 reff. | y cch. viii, 20 reff. | y cch. vii. 29 reff. | y cch. vii. 20 reff. |

20. πλειους N1 e g 101. om λοιπον Β. rec $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha$ bef $\epsilon \lambda \pi \iota s$, with CHr L(π . η ελ.) N rel 36 syr Chr: txt AB k m p 13 vulg spec copt.

21. rec δε, with HIL rel syr copt Chr: txt ABCR c p 13. 40. 137 vulg spec Syr om τοτε A 21. for αυτων, ημων c 137. æth-pl Thl-fin. εμμεσω Α. om της H^r. 22. ουδεμια bef ψυχης № 80.

23. for ταυτη, τηδε X1. rec τη νυκτι bef ταντη, with (none of our mss) syr (Ec: txt ABCH^τLN rel 40. 137 vulg arm Chr Thl-sif (Thl-fin om ταυτ.). rec αγγελος bef του θεου (corrn of order), with H^tL rel vulg spec; bef ω κ. λατρευω 13: txt ABCN m 40. 137. rec om εγω, with BC¹H^tL p 13 rel spec syrr Chr Thl Œ: ins AC2N 40 vulg copt æth arm.

the ancients when out of sight of land. The expression, all hope was taken away, seems, as Mr. Smith has noticed, to betoken that a greater evil than the mere force of the storm (which perhaps had some little abated: - χ. οὐκ ὀλίγου seems to imply that it still indeed raged, but not as before) was afflicting them, viz., the leaky state of the ship, which increased upon them, as is shewn by their successive lightenings of her. 21. ἀσιτίας] "What caused the abstinence? A ship with nearly 300 people on board, on a voyage of some length, must have had more than a fortnight's provisions (and see ver. 38): and it is not enough to say with Kuinoel, 'Continui labores et metus a periculis effecerant ut de cibo capiendo non cogitarent.' 'Much abstinence' is one of the most frequent concomitants of heavy gales. The impossibility of cooking, or the destruction of provisions from leakage, are the principal causes which produce it." Smith, p. 75: who quotes instances. But doubtless anxiety and mental distress had a considerable share in it. τότε brings vividly before us the consequence of the ασιτία-when they were in that condition, languid and exhausted with fasting and fears. κερδησαι] 'lucrifecisse,' to have gained, not = to have incurred,— but to have turned to your own account, i. e. 'to have spared or avoided.' So Jos. in ref. Aristotle, Magn. Mor. ii. 8, φ κατά λόγον ζημίαν ην λαβείν, τον τοιούτον κερδάναντα εὐτυχη φάμεν ('if he escape it'). Plin. vii. 40, 'quam quidem injuriam lucrifecit ille.' Cicero, Verr. i. 12, 'lucretur indicia veteris infamiæ' (' may have them wiped out,' and so make gain of them by getting rid of them). See on ver. 10. "The Bpiv was to their persons, the $\zeta\eta\mu\dot{a}\nu$ to their property." C. and H. ii. 410, note 4. 22.] The neglect of precision in ἀποβολή ψυχης οὐτος του precision in αποβολή ψυχής οδεφιία . . . πλην τοῦ πλοίου is common enough. So Rev. xxi. 27, οὐ μη εἰς έλθη . . . πᾶν κοινὸν κ. ποιῶν βδέλυγμα . . . εἰ μὴ οἰ γεγραμμένοι εν τῷ δ. τ. ζωῆς. See Winer, edu. 6, § 67. I. e. 23.] Paul charactarians himself e. d. λ . Paul characterizes himself as dedicated to and the servant of God, to give solemnity to and bespeak credit for his announcement. At such a time, the servants of God are highly esteemed. 24. κεχάρισται] " Etiam centurio, subserviens providentiæ divinæ, Paulo condonavit captivos, ver. 43..... Non erat tam periculoso alioqui tempore periculum, ne videretur Paulus, quæ necessario dicebat, gloriose dicere." Bengel. μετὰ σοῦ] "Paulus,

i ch. xv. 11 γ αο το υτο στι στι ας εσται καυ 27 Ως δε $\frac{a \, b \, c \, d}{m \, p \, h \, l}$ μ οι. $\frac{26}{2}$ είς νήσον δε τινα δεί ήμας $\frac{b}{m}$ έκπεσείν. $\frac{27}{m}$ Ως δε $\frac{a \, b \, c \, d}{m \, p \, h \, l}$ ι 11 τεΙ. 2 μοι. 20 είς νῆσον δέ τινα δεῖ ἡμᾶς $^{\rm k}$ έκπεσεῖν. 27 $^{\rm k}$ Ως δὲ $^{\rm k}$ καιστιτίς $^{\rm k}$ τεσσαρες καιδεκάτη νὺξ ἐγένετο $^{\rm m}$ διαφερομένων ἡμῶν ἐν $^{\rm m}$ ελεί, $^{\rm m}$ τεσσαρες κατὰ εκάτη νὺξ ἐγένετο $^{\rm m}$ διαφερομένων ἡμῶν ἐν $^{\rm m}$ ελεί, $^{\rm m}$ ελείς $^{\rm m}$ ελεί, $^{\rm m}$ ελείς $^{\rm m$ προςάγειν τινα αὐτοῖς χώραν, 28 καὶ s βολίσαντες t εὖρον " οργυιάς είκοσι, " βραχύ δε " διαστήσαντες και πάλιν see ch. xvi. 25. Matt. xxv. 6. * βολίσαντες 'εύρον " υργυιάς δεκαπέντε, 29 φοβούμενοί p ch. xiii. 25 | d. M. | q here bis. Rev. xviii. 17 only t. (-τικός, 3 Kingsix. 27. Jonab i. 5.) (ch. xvi. 20 refi.) Josh. iii. 9. 1 Kings vii. 10 al. fr. shete bis only t. (-λri, La xix. 19. 1 Chron. xx. 2. u here bis only t. v = Luke xxii. 58. ii. 7 [from Ps. viii. 3], β. xiii. 22) only. Isa, Ivii. 17. w Luke xxii. 58. iv. Luke xxii. 58. xiv. 3 Kings ix. 27. Jonah i. 5.)

s hete bis only t. (-\lambda j, L), Loke xxii. 41.)

r = ber only,

r = Luke xxii. 58. ch. v. 34 (John vi. 7. Heb.

w Luke xxii. 59. xxiv. 51 only, tr., Isa, ltx. 2, reff.

26. nuas bef der B.

27. επεγενετο A p vulg: txt BCHrLX rel 36 Chr. for προςαγειν, προςανεχειν B^2 : προςαχείν $B^1(R)$: προςεγγίζειν c 137: προςαγαγείν 40: προαγαγείν \aleph^1 . 28. for 1st και, οιτίνες \aleph^1 . οργύας (twice) B(Btly) b^1 p 13, so (once) H^r ο: οργύιας B ("teste Thoma Btl" Tischdf.).—Mai and Verc edit οργύιας without any

remark. for 2nd eupov, eupomey C1.

in conspectu Dei, princeps navis, et consiliis gubernator." Ib. 26. δα Spoken prophetically, as also ver. 31: not perhaps from actual revelation imparted in the vision, but by a power imparted to Paul himself of penetrating the future at this crisis, and announcing the Divine counsel.

Mr. Humphry compares and contrasts the speech of Cæsar to the pilot under similar circumstances: τόλμα κ. δέδιθι μηθέν, ἀλλὰ ἐπιδίδου τ $\hat{\eta}$ τύχη τὰ ἰστία καὶ δέχου τὸ πνεῦμα, τῷ πνέοντι πιστεύων, ότι Καίσαρα φέρεις και την Καίσαρος τύχην, Plut. de Fortun. Rom. p. 518. διαφερ.] driven about, or up and down, as E. V., not 'drifting through,' as Dr. Bloomf., though this may have been the fact; see examples below. speaking of the tumult during which Galba was murdered, τοῦ φορείου καθάπερ έν κλύδωνι δεῦρο κἀκεῖ διαφερομένου (probably from Tacitus, 'Agebatur huc illuc Galba, vario turbæ fluctuantis impulsu,' Hist. i. 40); Philo, de Migr. Abr. p. 454, έπαμφοτερισταὶ πρὸς ἐκάτερον τοῖχον, ὥς-περ σκάφος ὑπ' ἐναντίων πνευμάτων δια-φερόμενον, ἀποκλίνοντες. The reckoning of days counts from their leaving Fair Havens: see vv. 18, 19. 'Aδρία Adria, in the wider sense, embraces not only the Venetian Gulf, but the sea to the south of Greece :-- so Ptolemy (iii. 16), ή δὲ Πελοπόννησος δρίζεται . . . άπο δυσμών καὶ μεσημβρίας τῷ ᾿Αδριατικῷ πελάγει. So also (iii. 4) ἡ δὲ Σικελία δρίζεται ἀπὸ δὲ ἀνατολῶν ὑπὸ τοῦ ᾿Αδρίου πελάγους. In fact, he bounds Italy on the S., Sicily on the E., Greece on the S. and W., and Crete on the W. by this sea, which notices sufficiently indicate its dimensions. So also Pausanias (v. 25),

speaking of the straits of Messina, says that speaning of the real state of the sea there is θαλάσσης χειμεριωτάτη πάσης. οί τε γὰρ ἄνεμοι ταράσσουσιν αὐτην ὰμφοτέρωθεν τὸ κῦμα ἐπάγοντες, ἐκ τοῦ Αδρίου, καὶ ἐξ ἔτέρου πελάγους δ καλείται Τυρσηνόν. ὑπενόουν] What gave rise to this suspicion? Probably the sound (or even the apparent sight) of breakers. "If we assume that St. Paul's Bay, in Malta, is the actual scene of the shipwreck, we can have no difficulty in explaining what these indications must have been. No ship can enter it from the east without passing within a quarter of a mile of the point of Koura: but before reaching it, the land is too low and too far from the track of a ship driven from the eastward, to be seen in a dark night. When she does come within this distance, it is impossible to avoid observing the breakers: for with north-easterly gales, the sea breaks upon it with such violence, that Capt. Smyth, in his view of the headland, has made the breakers its distinctive character." Smith, p. 79.

I recommend the reader to study the reasonings and calculations by which Mr. Smith (pp. 79-86) has established, I think satisfactorily, that this χώραν could be no other than the point of Koura, east of St. Paul's Bay, in Malta. προςάγειν] was approaching them. The opposite is ἀναχωρείν, 'recedere.' 'Lucas optice loquitur, nautarum more.' Kuin. βολίσαντες βυλίζειν, ήγουν βάθος θαλάσσης μετρείν μολυβδίνη καθέτω, ή τοιούτω τινί. Eustath. on II. ε. p. 427 (Wetst.).

όργυιάς] όργυιὰ σημαίνει την έκτασιν των χειρων σύν τῷ πλάτει τοῦ στήθους (Etymol. Magn.) = therefore very nearly Every particular here corone fathom. responds with the actual state of things.

τε μή που κατὰ τραχεῖς τόπους εκπέσωμεν, εκ χνν. 5, 7 κεπ. 2 πρύμνης ρίψαντες δάγκύρας τέσσαρας εὔχοντο 3 τριώμεναν 4 γενέσθαι. 30 τῶν δὲ 4 ναυτῶν 6 ζητούντων 5 κατὰ 7 τῷ ἐκατοντάρχη καὶ τοῖς στρατιώταις Έαν μὴ οὖτοι xi, 2. d ch, xii, 18 refl. c = ch, xiii, 8 refl. f ch, ix, 25 refl. g ver, 16, h Mark xii, 40 | L. John xv, 22. Phili i, 18. 1 Thess, ii, 5 only. Ps, cxl, 4, refl. ver, 41 only †. ($\gamma e \acute{v}$, Ezek, xxvii, 29.) l = here only- Ps, lix, 8 (10), elsw. w. $\chi e \acute{r} \rho$, ch xxvii, 21.

ree μηπως (corrn to simpler 29. for τε, δε CN c p 13 vulg syr copt Thl-sif. word), with H^IL rel 36 copt Chr: $\mu\eta\pi\omega$ A: txt BCN e p 13. 40 Thl-sif. ($\pi\sigma\nu$ is written above the line by N^{I} or corr^I.) rec (for $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha$) $\epsilon\iota s$, with H^IL rel 36 Chr: rec εκπεσωσιν, with c d f p sah: txt ABCH^rLN 13 [ευχοντο, so B¹CH^r.] πρωρης A d 13: πλωρης Ν¹. rec μελλοντων txt ABCN c p 13. 40 Thl-sif. rel 137 vulg syrr copt Chr.

30. εκφυγειν Α c 96. 137. 142. bef αγκυρας (corrn of order for euphony), with HILN rel am Chr Thl Œc: txt ABC

m p 13. 40.

At twenty-five fathoms depth (as given in evidence at the court-martial on the officers of the Lively, wrecked on this point in 1810), the curl of the sea was seen on the rocks in the night, but no land. twenty fathoms would occur somewhat past this: the fifteen fathoms, in a direction W. by N. from the former, after a time sufficient to prepare for the unusual measure of anchoring by the stern. And just so are the soundings (see Capt. Smyth's chart, Smith, p. 88), and the shore is here full of τραχει̂s τόποι, mural precipices, upon which the sea must have been breaking with great violence. 29. ἐκ πρύμνης The usual way of anchoring in ancient, as well as in modern navigation, was by the bow : 'anchora de prora jacitur.' But under certain circumstances, they anchored by the stern; and Mr. Smith has shewn from the figure of a ship which he has copied from the "Antichità de Ercolano," that their ships had hawse-holes aft, to fit them for anchoring by the stern. "That a vessel can anchor by the stern is sufficiently proved (if proof were needed) by the history of some of our own naval engagements. So it was at the battle of the Nile. And when ships are about to attack batteries, it is customary for them to go into action prepared to anchor in this way. This was the case at Algiers. There is still greater interest in quoting the instance of the battle of Copenhagen, not only from the accounts we have of the precision with which each ship let go her anchors astern as she arrived nearly opposite her appointed station, but because it is said that Nelson stated after the battle that he had that morning been reading Acts xxvii." C. and H. ii. p. 414. The passage from Cæsar, Bell. Civ. i. 25, ' has quaternis ancoris ex quatuor angulis distinebat, ne fluctibus moverentur,' is not to the purpose, for it was in that case a platform composed of two vessels, and anchored by the four corners. "The anchorage in St. Paul's Bay is thus described in the Sailing Directions: 'The harbour of St. Paul is open to E. and N.E. winds. It is, notwithstanding, safe for small ships; the ground, generally, being very good: and while the cables hold, there is no danger, as the anchors will never start." Smith, εύχοντο] Uncertain, whether their ship might not go down at her anchors: and, even supposing her to ride out the night safely, uncertain whether the coast to leeward might not be iron-bound, affording no beach where they might land in safety. Hence also the ungenerous but natural attempt of the seamen to save their lives by taking to the boat. See Smith, 30.] "We hear of anchors p. 97. 30.] "We hear of anchors being laid out from both ends of a ship (έκατέρωθεν), Appian, Bell. Civ. p. 723." ib. ἐκτείνειν] because in this case they would carry out the anchors to the extent of the cable which was loosened. 31. Eav $\mu\dot{\eta} \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$] "Mirum est quod reliquos vectores salvos posse fieri

negat, nisi retentis nautis: quasi vero Dei promissionem exinanire penes ipsos fuerit. Respondeo, Paulum hic de potentia Dei præcise non disputare, ut eam a voluntate et mediis sejungat: et certe non ideo fidelibus virtutem suam Deus commendat, ut contemptis mediis torpori et socordiæ indulgeant, vel temere se projiciant, ubi certa est cavendi ratio. Neque tamen propterea sequitur, mediis vel adminiculis alligatam esse Dei manum, sed quum Deus hune vel $^{
m m. Markir. 43}$, μείνωσιν έν τῷ πλοίῳ, ὑμεῖς σωθῆναι οὐ δύνασθε. $^{
m 32}$ τότε ABCLN as look $^{
m 43}$, μοίνωσιν έν τῷ πλοίῳ, ὑμεῖς σωθῆναι οὐ δύνασθε. $^{
m 32}$ τότε $^{
m 43}$ ab c of $^{
m 63}$ col. $^{
m 12}$ $^{
m 64}$ σχοινία τῆς $^{
m 8}$ σκάφης, $^{
m g. kh}$ $^{
m 13}$ σχοινία τῆς $^{
m 8}$ σκάφης, $^{
m g. kh}$ $^{
m 13}$ σχοινία τῆς $^{
m 9}$ σκάφης, $^{
m mop 13}$ σης $^{
m 13}$ σχοινία τῆς $^{
m 9}$ σκάφης, $^{
m 9}$ σκαρμεία $^{
m 13}$ σχοινία τῆς $^{
m 9}$ σκάφης, $^{
m 9}$ σκαρμεία $^{
m 13}$ σχοινία τῆς $^{
m 9}$ σκαρμεία $^{
m 13}$ σχοινία $^{
m 13}$ σχοινία $^{
m 13}$ σχοινία $^{
m 13}$ σχοινία $^{
m 14}$ σχοινία $^{
m 15}$ σχοινία $^{
m 1$ σαιν. Έναι καὶ εἴασαν αὐτὴν $^\circ$ εκπεσείν. 33 $^{\rm p}$ ἄχοι δὲ οὖ $^{\rm q}$ ἡμέρα $^{\rm r}$ ἤμελη John ii. 15 ο οιιν. 2 Κιαρε λεν $^{\rm q}$ γίνεσθαι, $^{\rm s}$ παρεκάλει ο Παῦλος ἄπαντας $^{\rm t}$ μεταλανίιι. 2. o = ch. xil. 7 reff. βείν τροφής, λέγων Τεσσαρεςκαιδεκάτην σήμερον ήμέραν reff. p ch. vii. 18 reff. q ver. 29. r — ch. xxi. 27 "ποοςδοκώντες, "ασιτοι " διατελείτε μηθέν "προςλαβόμενοι. 34 διὸ * παρακαλῶ ύμᾶς * μεταλαβεῖν " τροφῆς * τοῦτο γὰρ reff.
s and constr.,
ch. xxiv. 4.
t ch. ii. 46 reff.
u ch ix. 19 reff.
v ver. 27.
Gen. xiv. 5.
w absol., Matt.
xxiv. 50. ch.
(iii. 5. x. 24
reff.) xviii. ^a προς της ύμετέρας σωτηρίας ^b ύπάρχει οὐδενος γαο ύμων cd θρίξ ἀπὸ τῆς κεφαλῆς d ἀπολείται. 35 είπας δε ταυτα και λαβών ἄρτον ευχαρίστησεν τῷ θεῷ Ενώπιον πάντων, καὶ εκλάσας ηρξατο έσθίειν. 36 h εύθυμοι δε reff.) xxviii. νενόμενοι πάντες και αυτοί επροςελάβοντο " τροφής. x here only t. (-ria, ver. 37 j ήμεθα δὲ k αί k πασαι Ψυχαί εν τῷ πλοίω διακόσιαι y here only.

Deut. ix. 7.

Jer. xx. 18. 2 Macc. v. 27 only. x = xx . i. i. 2 Macc. τ. 27 only.

z = ver. 36 nnly. (ch. xxviii. 2 al.)

λεπασκέψομεθα δείν τι ἡμίν πρός λόγου τ. Plat. Gorg. 439.

x 30. 1 Kings xiv. 43.

x 1 Kings xiv. 43.

g ch. ii. 40 ref. Luké xii. 18.

b here only t. 2 Macc. xi. 25 only. (-ων. ch. xxiv. 10. γείν. γεν. 22. γεν. 23. γεν. 24. γεν. 24. γεν. 25. γεν. 26. vv. 22, 25.) k so ch. xix.7.

31. μεινωσιν bef εν τω πλοιω X1 c h.

32. rec οι στρατιωται bef απεκοψαν (corrn of order for perspicuity), with H^rL rel coptt Chr: txt ABCR c m 13. 40. 137 vulg syrr æth Thl-sif.

33. rec εμελλεν bef ημερα, with H^rL rel syrr æth Chr Thl Œc: txt ABCN p 13 vulg.

[ημελλεν, so BCL e l p 13. 40 Thl-sif.] rec μηδεν, with CH^rL rel 36 Chr:

txt ABN 40. προσλαμβανομενοι (corrn to suit προσδοκωντες) Α 40 lect-12.

34. aft διο ins και B.

παρακα(sie) Ν.

του προςλαβείν (from προςλ. αbove),

with HrL rel Thl-sif CE: txt ABCN b d h k op 13, 36. 137 Chr Thl-fin.

αdd τ.

β1: τινος c. for προς, προ B 101 sah.

μαετερας AL a h syr Thl-fin: txt BCH'N

rec (for απο) εκ (corrn from Luke xxi. 18),

with HrLN rel Thl Ee: txt ABC p 13. 36. 40. 137.

rec πασειται (corrn from Luke xxi. 18),

κεε 3 Κίπρς si, 5.2, 1 Κίπρς siv. 43. 2 Κίπρς siv. 11. If, αs Meyer supposes, απολ. νενε

α corrn from Luke xxi. 18, we should not have had the future, but as there, ou μη

αποληται), with HrL rel syr sah Chr: txt ABCN m p 13. 40 vulg Syr copt acth arm

Thl-fin.

35. rec ειπων (corrn to more usual form), with H L p 13 rel 36: txt ABCN 24.

ηυχαρ. Α p 137: ευχαριστησας κ: και ευχαριστησας 40.

36. απαντες \aleph^1 (but a erased). προς ελαβον Λ 40: προς ελαμβανον e: μετελαμ-

βανον 137 : μεταλαβαν(sic) X.

37. $\operatorname{rec} \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ (corrn to more usual form), with CH-L 13. 36 rel Chr: $\operatorname{tx} ABR$ p. 40. $\operatorname{rec} \epsilon \nu$ tw thou be a masa ψ . (corrn of order to connect $\psi \nu \chi a$ and diak.), with H-L rel syr Chr: $\operatorname{tx} ABCN$ k mp 13. 40. 137 vulg (Syr) copt arm Chr-comm Thl-sif.

om at A k mp, two as be at Chr-comm Thl-sif. for diakosta $\epsilon \beta \delta \omega \eta \kappa \nu \nu \tau a$ as possibly; noting " $\sigma \delta \zeta$ Tischendorf, v ix recté"). for diakosta, ws (mislake arising from ω of thou and ε of the numeral, so Tischeff) B sah. for $\varepsilon \xi$, $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon$

illum agendi modum ordinat, hominum sensus continet, ne præscriptas sibi metas transiliant." Calvin.

33.] This precaution on the part of Paul was another means taken of providing for their safety. All would, on the approaching day, have their strength fully taxed: which therefore needed recruiting by food.

∴ until it began to be day: i.e. in the interval between the last-mentioned occurrence and daybreak, Paul employed the time, &c.

προςδοκῶντες] waiting

the cessation of the storm. The following expressions, ἄστ. διατ., μηθ. προςλ, are spoken hyperbolically, and cannot mean literally that they had abstained entirely from food during the whole fortnight.

 εβδομηκονταίξ. 38 πορεσθέντες δὲ $^{\rm u}$ τροφῆς $^{\rm n}$ έκούφιζον $^{\rm m}$) cor. ir. 8. το πλοῖον $^{\rm o}$ έκβαλλόμενοι τὸν σῖτον εἰς τὴν θάλασσαν, $^{\rm m}$ εκούμιζον $^{\rm m}$ ενείνεστος τὴν γῆν οὐκ $^{\rm q}$ έπεγίνωσκον, $^{\rm log}$ εκόλπον δὲ τινα $^{\rm s}$ κατενόουν ἔχοντα $^{\rm t}$ αίγιαλόν, εἰς $^{\rm c}$ οι here oils, $^{\rm c}$ εβουλεύοντο, εἰ δυναιντο, $^{\rm v}$ έξωσαι τὸ πλοῖον. $^{\rm d}$ καὶ $^{\rm pv}$ τον $^{\rm s}$ ενείνεστας $^{\rm c}$ άγκύρας $^{\rm c}$ περιελόντες $^{\rm v}$ είων εἰς τὴν θάλασσαν, ἄμα $^{\rm c}$ επέντες τὰς $^{\rm c}$ ζευκτηρίας τῶν $^{\rm b}$ πηδαλίων, καὶ $^{\rm c}$ επάραντες $^{\rm c}$ επενεικίας τῶν $^{\rm b}$ πηδαλίων, καὶ $^{\rm c}$ επάραντες τὸς $^{\rm c}$ επενεικίας τῶν $^{\rm b}$ ενεικίας επέντες $^{\rm c}$ επέντες

38. $\operatorname{ins} \tau \eta s \operatorname{bef} \tau \rho o \phi \eta s \operatorname{H}^s L \operatorname{d} g \operatorname{l} \operatorname{m} \operatorname{Chr}$. $\operatorname{\epsilon} \kappa \beta a \lambda o \mu \epsilon \nu o i \operatorname{L} a$. $\operatorname{om} \tau \eta \nu \operatorname{R}^1$.

39. $\operatorname{for} \operatorname{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \gamma$, $\operatorname{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \omega \kappa o \nu o b 25$. $\operatorname{for} \operatorname{\epsilon} i s$, $\tau \rho o s \operatorname{A}$. $\operatorname{rec} \operatorname{\epsilon} \beta o \nu \lambda e \nu \sigma a \nu \sigma v$ with HiL rel syr $\operatorname{Chr} \operatorname{Thi} (\operatorname{Ec} : \operatorname{\epsilon} \beta o \nu \lambda o \nu \sigma o \operatorname{A} \operatorname{p} \operatorname{eth} \operatorname{pl} : \operatorname{txt} \operatorname{BCN} \operatorname{13}(\operatorname{sic}) \operatorname{36}.$ 40 vulg . for $\operatorname{\delta} \nu u a \nu \tau o$, $\operatorname{\delta} \nu u \tau \sigma \nu$ $\operatorname{CH}^s \operatorname{L} \operatorname{He} \operatorname{He$

he eats." De Wette. **36.**] When we reflect who were included in these πάντες, -the soldiers and their centurion, the sailors, and passengers of various nations sand dispositions, it shews remarkably the influence acquired by Paul over all who sailed with him.

37.] Explanatory of πάντες: q. d., 'and this was no small number; for we were,' &c.

λεούφ, τ. πλοῖον] See above on ver. 18. This wheat was either the remainder of the cargo, part of which had been disposed of in ver. 18-or was the store for their sustenance, the cargo having consisted of some other merchandise. And this latter is much the more likely, for two reasons: (1) that σîτοs is mentioned here and not in ver. 18, which it would have been in all probability, had the material cast out there been the same as here; and (2) that the fact is related immediately after we are assured that they were satisfied with food: from whence we may infer almost with certainty that o σιτος is the ship's provision, of part of which they had been partaking. It is a sufficient answer to Mr. Smith's objection to this ("to suppose that they had remaining such a quantity as would lighten the ship is quite inconsistent with the previous abstinence," p. 99), that the ship was provisioned for the voyage to Italy for 276 persons, and that for the last fourteen days hardly any food had been touched. This would leave surely enough to be of consequence in a ship ready to sink from hour to 39.] It may be and has been suggested, that some of the Alexandrian seamen must have known Malta;—but we may answer with Mr. Smith that "St. Paul's Bay is remote from the great harbour, and possesses no marked features by which it might be recognized." p. 100. κόλπον ἔχοντ. αἰγιαλόν] α

mentators suppose that it should be aiyiaλου έχουτα κόλπου, since every creek must have a beach: but what is meant is, a creek with a smooth, sandy beach, as distinguished from a rocky inlet.
Not, 'to thrust in,' as E. V., but to strand, 'to run a-ground:' so Thucyd, ref., and more in Wetst.

*\footnote{\partial}\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\partial}\text{ They cut away all four anchors (the περι may allude to the cutting round each cable in order to sever it, or to the going round and cutting all four), and left them in the sea (είς τ. θάλ. 'in the sea, into which they had been cast'). This they did to save time, and not to encumber the water-logged ship with their additional weight. (2) They let loose the ropes which tied up the rudders. "Ancient ships were steered by two large paddles, one on each quarter. When anchored by the stern in a gule, it would be necessary to lift them out of the water, and secure them by lashings or rudder bands, and to loose these bands when the ship was and to hoose these values when the ship was again got under way." Smith, p. 101. (3) They raised (ἐπαίρειν, 'to raise up,' contrary to κατέχειν, 'to haul down,' a sail) their ἀρτέμων to the wind. It would be impossible in the limits of a note to give any abstract of the long and careful reasoning by which Mr. Smith has made it appear that the 'artemon' was the foresail of the ancient ships. I will only notice from him, that the rendering 'mainsail' in our E. V. was probably a mistaken translation from Bayfius or De Baif, the earliest of the modern writers 'de re navali,' and perhaps the only one extant when the translation was made: he says, "est autem artemon velum majus navis, nt in Actis Apost. xxvii. etenim etiam nunc nomen Veneti vulgo retinent et artemon vocant." These words, 'velum majus,' they rendered by mainsail; whereas

creek having a sandy beach. Some Com-

τον d αρτέμωνα τη e πνεούση f κατείχον f είς τον t αίγιαλόν. ABCLN d here only †. 41 g περιπεσόντες δε είς τόπον h διθάλασσον, i επέκειλαν ghkl f = here only.
Polyb. i. 25,
Thucyd.
viii. 23,
g Luke x. 80. την k ναῦν καὶ ή μεν πρώρα m ερείσασα έμεινεν aσάλευ-

τος, ή δὲ ° πρύμνα ρ ἐλύετο ὑπὸ τῆς βίας [τῶν κυμάτων]. h here only t. τας αποκτείνωσιν, μήτις "έκκολυμβήσας "διαφύγη" 43 ό alk Fikas διθάλατσον είναι τὸ δε εκατοντάρχης, βουλόμενος "διασωσαι τον Παύλον, * έκωλυσεν αυτούς του βουλήματος, έκέλευσέν τε τους κόν, Strabo.

| 1, p. 11, | ihere only f. | Hom. Od. 6, 148, | khere only 3 Kings ix. 26. | lver, 30, | m here only. | Prov. v, 5, | Polyh. iii. 48, 1, | n Heb. xii. 28 only. | Exod. xiii. 10, | Deut, vi. 8, xi. 18 only. | over, 29 refi. | p = Rev. v. 2. | Esdr. i, 55 (52), | q.c., v. 26 reff. Acts only. | r Matt. | viii. 24 | Mk. xiv. 24. | Jude 13 only. | Ps. cvi. 25. | s = ch. v. 38 reff. w. vva, here only. | t ver. lonly, Gen. xxxix. 20. u here only †. Diod. Sic. xx. 88. $(\kappa \Delta n \mu \beta, \text{ver.} 43)$ w here only Josh. viii. 22. w see ch. xxiii. 24 reft. x ch. x. 47 reft. constr., here only. Mc. ii. 4. Xen. Cyr. ii. 4. 23. Polyb. ii. 8. 8. y Ron. ix. 19. 1 Pet. iv. 3 only †. 2 Macc. xv. 5 only. όπερ ην τούτοις βούλημι, Demosth, 1109, 15.

40. rec artemora, with L 13 rel: txt ABCH*% a b² c d f g l m² p. 41. rec epwheilar, with B²H*(epohlar) L rel 36: txt AB¹C% p 13. 40. for εμενεν AHr c h vulg: txt BCLN 13 rel copt Chr Thl Œc. πρωρα, πρωτη Λ. διελυετο L m 137 lect-12: ελυτο N. απο N1 k. om των κυματων (possibly because the transcriber's eye passed from των to των in ver 42) ABX1: ins CHTLX3 13. 36 rel Chr: a vi maris vulg: a fluctibus maris æth.

42. om δε C1. ins ινα bef μητις κ3. εκκολυβησας(sie) 🗙 : εγκολυμβ. g. ree διαφυγοι (grammatical emendation, see note), with k m: txt ABCHILN p 13 rel

36. 137 Chr Thl.

43. τον παυλον bef διασωσαι A 13. 68. 8-pe. for βουληματος, βηματος X1: βουλευματος a f. for $\tau \epsilon$, $\delta \epsilon$ C e p 13. 40. 137 syr copt.

the largest sail of the Venetian ships at the time was the foresail. The French 'artimon,' even now in use, means the sail at the stern (mizen). But this is no clue to the ancient meaning, any more than is our word mizen to the meaning of the French misaine, which is the foresail. The usual technical name of the foresail was δόλων, that of the mizen, επίδρομος. See on the whole question, Smith's Dissertation on the Ships of the Ancients, appended to his Voyage and Shipwreck of St. Paul. τῆ πνεούση seil. αὔρφ. Dat. commodi;—for the wind (to fill) ;-or (according to Meyer and De Wette) of direction,-to the wind. (4) They made for the beach. The expression, κατέχειν [ναθν or νηί] είς . . . for "to steer to land," is not uncommon in the classics : cf. examples in Wetst. It seems to get this meaning by a pregnant construction, "to keep the ship for, to keep one's course in the ship in hand and direct it towards"

41. τόπον διθάλασσον At the west end of St. Paul's Bay is an island, Selmoon or Salmonetta, which they could not have known to be such from their place of anchorage. This island is separated from the mainland by a channel of about 100 yards wide, communicating with the outer sea. Just within this island, in all probability, was the place where the ship struck, in a place where two seas met. έκειλαν επικέλλειν is used by Homer (ref.) in the sense of 'adpellere navem.' Its commoner use is intransitive: see Hom. ib. ver. 138, and Apollon. Rhod. ii. 352, 382; iii. 575. In Od. c. 114, it is said of the ship itself, ηπείρω ἐπέκελσε. The ἐποκέλλειν of the rec. is used several times by Thucydides, and has the same twofold usage: cf. Thueyd. iii. 12; iv. 28; viii. 102: they ran the ship a-ground. circumstance which follows, would, but for the peculiar nature of the bottom of St. Paul's Bay, be difficult to account for. The rocks of Malta disintegrate into very minute particles of sand and clay, which when acted on by the currents, or by surface agitation, form a deposit of tenacious clay: but in still water, where these causes do not act, mud is found; but it is only in the creeks where there are no currents, and at such a depth as to be undisturbed by the waves, that mud occurs. . . . A ship therefore, impelled by the force of the gale into a creek with a bottom such as that laid down in the chart, would strike a bottom of mud, graduating into tenacious clay, into which the fore part would fix itself and be held fust, while the stern was exposed to the force of the waves," Smith, p. 103. 42.] "va gives not only the purpose, but the substance of the βουλή. Their counsel

was,-to kill, &e.: this it was, and to this διαφύγοι has probably been . a correction to suit eyévero. But the sub-

A.) Caot. viii. 9. Ezek, xxvii. 5 only, refi. 2. e see ch. xii. 1. xv. 5, g constr., Luke vii. 37, ch. xix. 31, xxli. 29. Ezek xxi. 64, see ch. xxvii. 39, hbbis, Rom. i. 14, 1 Cor. xiv. 11 (bis). Col. iii. 11 only. Ezek xxi. 31, xv. 1 (bis). Col. iii. 11 only. Ezek xxi. 31, xv. 1 (bis). Col. iii. 11 only. Ezek xxi. 31, xv. 1 (bis). Col. iii. 11 only. Fack xxii. 32, xv. 1 (bis). Col. iii. 1 (bis). This iii. 20, xxvii. 31, xv. 1 (bis). This iii. 20, xxvii. 31, xv. 1 (bis). This iii. 20, xv. 1 (bis). This iii. 31, xv. 1 (bis). This iii. 31, xv. 1 (bis). This iii. 32, xv. 2 (bis). This iii. 32, xv. 3 (bis). This iii. 32, xv. 3 (bis). This iii. 31, xv. 32, xv. 3 (bis). This iii. 31, xv. 32, xv. 3 (bis). This iii. 32, xv. 32, xv. 33, xv. 34, xv.

εκκολυμβαν Β. αποριψαντας CN. της γης Ν1 e.

2. rec de (altern of characteristic τ e), with Htln rel 36 copt Chr: txt ABC c p 13. 40 syrr with Thl-sif. [$\pi a \rho \epsilon_1 \chi a \nu$, so ABN] rec avayavtes (corrn to more precise word), with Htl rel 36 Chr₃ Thl Gc: txt ABCN p 13. 40. $\pi \rho o s a \nu e \lambda a \nu e$

junctive after the past is merely a mixture of construction of the historic past with the historic present, and is used where the scene is intended to be vividly set before 43.] ἀπορρίψαντας is the reader. reflective, sc. ξαυτούς. λοιπούς] soil. ἐπὶ τὴν γῆν ἐξιέναι.
τινων τῶν ἀπὸ τ. π.] probably, as E. V.,
broken pieces of the ship:—some of the parts of the ship : the σανίδες being whole planks, perhaps of the decks. δια- $\sigma\omega\theta$. ἐπί] may be \equiv διασ. κ. ἀφικέσθαι ἐπί, -a constructio prægnans, but this need not be, as διασωθήναι is to get safe through, and ent is simply the direction in which the act is carried out. XXVIII. 1. Meλίτη The whole course of the narrative has gone to shew that this can be no other than Malta. The idea that it is not Malta, but Meleda, an island off the Illyrian coast in the Gulf of Venice, seems to be first found in Constantine Porphyrogenitus, de Adminiculis Imperii, p. 36νησος μεγάλη τὰ Μέλετα ήτοι το Μαλοζεαται, ην έν ταις πράξεσι τ. αποστ. δ ἄγιος Λουκᾶς μέμνηται, Μελίτην ταύτην προςαγορεύων. It has been adopted by our own countrymen, Bryant and Dr. Falconer, and abroad by Giorgi, Rhoer, and more recently Paulus. It rests principally on three mistakes:—1. the meaning of the cognition by the aor. ἐπέγνωμεν.

2. βάρβαροι] A term implying very much what our word natives does, when speaking of any little-known or new place. They were not Greek colonists, therefore they were barbarians (Rom. i. 14). If it be necessary strictly to vindicate the term, the two following citations will do so: ἔστι δὲ ἡ νῆσος αὔτη (Malta) Φωνίκων ἄποικος, Diod. Sic. v. 12.—ἐν δὲ Σικελοί, Φοίνικες, Τρῶες, Seylax, Periplus, p. 4. προςκάβ.] received us, not to their fire (Μεγεν), but as in refl. ἐστόν ', Post ingentes ventos solent imbres

νετόν] 'Post ingentes ventos solent imbres sequi.' Grot. τον έφεστ.] not, 'which

here only.

10 b vi.17.

5 ο μεν ουν ^f αποτινάζας το θηρίον είς το πυρ επαθεν ABLκ
(γαίκεθαι, Μακ κίν.54.) y here only. Jub xx. 25.

πόν οργάνων εἰς ἀκίνητον καθήπτε. So Xeo. Cyneg. vi. 9.

ακεί 22 refi.

γοιλο με με το ξείνει το καθήπτε. So Xeo. Cyneg. vi. 9.

ε – here (2 Thess. i. 9. Jude
7) only. Democh. 422, li 1. 722, 25.

Γιωδεκτίκ, 5 only. 140g. xvi. 20 F. 1. Kings x. 2. Lan. li. 7

3. σφρυγανων (but σ marked for erasure) N¹. rec om τι (as unnecessary), with H¹L rel 36 syr Chr: ins ABCR(perhaps prima manu: in small letters) 13. 40 vulg(not am) Thl-fin, τε p. επεθεντες(sic) Ν. rec (for απο) εκ (see note), with rel Chr Thl-sif (Ec: txt ABCH¹L'N be ε k op 13. 36. 40. 137 Thl-fin, α calore vulg. rec εξελθουσα (corrn, the compound διεξ. not being elsw found in N T, and its force not being seen, vide note), with BCN p 13. 36 rel Chr-comm Thl-fin Œc: txt AH²L a d f g k 1 o Thl-sif. καθηψατο C b h o 36. 40. 137 Chr Phot Niceph.

4. είδαν Β. ree ελεγον bef προς αλληλους (corrn of order for perspicuity), with H^{*}L rel copt Chr Œc: om προς αλληλους Syr: txt ABCS c m p 13. 40. 137 valg syr

Thl. om 2nd Ths X1.

only. (-ayua, 1sa. i. 31, Symm.)

5. αποτιναξαμένος (corrn from ch xiii. 51, xviii. 6? so De W.) ΛΗ'L p rel 13.36.40. 137 Chr Thl-fin: txt BR a c f m Thl-sif Œc. for κακον, πονηρον c: om R¹.

came on suddenly '(Meyer), but which was on us:—another instance of overlooking the present sense of ἐστηκα. Ψύχος]
This is decisive against the Scirocco, which is a bot and sultry wind even so late as the month of November, and moreover (Smith, p. 109) seldom lasts more than three days.

3. συστρέψαντος] "vineti officium faciebat submisse, aliis quoque inserviens." φρυγάνων From the circum-Bengel. stance of the concealed viper, these were probably heaps of neglected wood gathered έπιθέντος κ.τ.λ. The in the forest. difficulty here is, that there are now no venomous serpents in Malta. But as Mr. Smith observes, "no person who has studied the changes which the operations of man have produced on the animals of any country, will be surprised that a particular species of reptiles should have disappeared from Malta. My friend, the Rev. Mr. Lands-borough, in his interesting excursions in Arran, has repeatedly noticed the gradual disappearance of the viper from the island since it has become more frequented. Perhaps there is nowhere a surface of equal extent in so artificial a state as that of Malta is at the present day, - and nowhere has the aboriginal forest been more completely cleared. We need not therefore be surprised that, with the disappearance of the woods, the noxious reptiles which infested them should also have disappeared." pp. 111, 112. The reading ἐκ τ. θέρμ. has been an explanation of $\alpha\pi\delta$, which here

signifies from locally, not 'on account of.' To suppose the converse ("the ἀπό was adopted by those who thought the sense was 'on account of the fire," Dr. Bloomf.),—
is simply absurd; for 1) no man ever could suppose the sense of $\epsilon \kappa$ in such a connexion to be this: and 2) even if any one did, he would not have substituted another ambiguous preposition, ἀπό. Paul had placed the faggot on the fire, and was settling or arranging it in its place, when the viper glided out of the heat and fixed διεξελθ. gives the more on his hand. precise sense, and is a less usual word than $\hat{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\lambda\theta$. The serpent glided out through the καθήψεν attached itself: a sticks. usage unexampled in earlier Greek. The narrative leaves no doubt that the bite did veritably take place. 4.] The natives, who were sure to know, here positively declared it to have been a venomous serpent. I make these remarks to guard against the disingenuous shifts of rationalists and semirationalists, who will have us believe either that the viper did not bite, or that if it did, it was not venomous. πάντως φον. ¿στ.] 'vincula videbant,' Beng. idea of his being a murderer is not to be accounted for (as Elsner, Wolf, Kuin.) by the member which was bitten (for this would fit any erime which the hand could commit), -nor by supposing (Heinsins) the bite of a serpent to have been the Maltese punishment for murder; it is accounted for by the obviousness of the crime as belonging to

οὐδὲν κακόν. 6 οἱ δὲ e προςεδόκων αὐτὸν h μέλλειν g ch. iii. 5 reff. i πίμπρασθαι n λ καταπίπτειν i άφνω νεκρόν. m επὶ m πολὸ i hecouşt. εἰς αὐτών n προςδοκώντων καὶ o θεωρούντων μηδὲν p άτοπον εἰναι εἰς αὐτὸν γινόμενον, q μεταβαλόμενοι ελεγον αὐτὸν είναι g κοτια τὸ θεόν. 7 Έν δὲ r τοῖς r περὶ τὸν τόπον έκεῖνον g ύπῆοχειν g κενίι. i. g λωρία τῷ u πρώτ u πρώτ u πησου, o νόματι Ποπλί u , o ς o ς εκιίι. i. 12. g λωρία τῷ u πρώτ u πρώτ u νησου, o νόματι Ποπλί u , o ς o ς εκιίι. i. 12. g λωρία τῷ u πρώτ u νησου, o νόματι Ποπλί u ν, o ς εκιίι. i. 12. g λείς ii. 13.

xvi. 26 only. Josh. x. 9. m here only. (see ch. xx 9, 11.) 2 Kings iii, 1. μίσος οὐκ ἐπὶ τολοὐ ἀντέγει, Thuc. ii. 61. a absol., ch. xxvii. 33 ref. o, w, partielp, ch. xvii. 16, p Luke xxiii. 4. ch. xxv. 5. 2 Thess. ii. 2 only. Jobir 8. q here only. Josh. viii. 12. Jos. b. 13. v. 9.3, καλόν πρό ἀνηκέστου συμφορία μεταβαλέσθαι: and freq. act. inter, Jobx. 8. 2 Mace. vi. 29 rat. F. (not Δ.) r = here only. πρέατο ταπευσύσθαι τή περί τὰς Θήλαν, Diod. Sci. 15. 50. sec ch. xii. 13. sch. iii. 6 ref. tch. i. 18 ref. and ooustr, ch. xiii. 50 ref.

6. προεεδοκουν H^cL 13, 40 Th1-sif. πιπρασθαι A ο 1, 3, 4, 68 Ec-cel: πεπρασθαι lect-12: εμπιμπρασθαι 27, 29: εμπιπρασθαι \aleph^1 40, 66?, 98-marg 105. προεδοκουντων A: -κοντων L f k p. θεωρωντων \aleph^1 : θεωρησαντων c: θεωμενων L. μηθεν B. rec μεταβαλλομενοι, with H^cL M 3 rel: tx M b c p 40. ελεγων M. rec θεων bef αυτον ειναι, with M L rel M M rel: M M M M ενν M ε

ειναι a c k m 13 Thl-sif: txt BN p vulg Thl-fin.

the most notorious delinquents, and the aptness of the assumed punishment, -death for death. ή δίκη] Justice, or Nemesis. What the Phœnician islanders called her, does not appear; but the idea is common to all religions. 5.7 "Luke does not so much as hint, that any divine intervention took place." De Wette. True enough: but why? Because Luke believed that the very dullest of his readers would understand it without any such hint. According to these rationalists, a fortunate concurrence of accidents must have happened to the Apostles, totally unprecedented in history or probability. Besides, did not the natives themselves in this case testify to the fact? None were so well qualified to judge of the virulence of the serpent,-none so capable of knowing that the hanging on Paul's hand implied the communication of the venom :- yet they change him from a murderer into a god, on seeing what took place. Need we further evidence, that the divine power which they mistakenly attributed to Paul himself, was really exerted on his behalf, by Him who had said ὄφεις ἀροῦσιν? See below on ver. 8. The fact that St. Lnke understood what the natives said, is adduced by Dr. Wordsw. as another proof (see his and my note on ch. xiv. 11) that the Apostles and Evangelists commonly understood unknown tongues. But such an inference here has absolutely nothing to rest on. Are we to suppose that these $\beta \delta \rho \beta \alpha \rho \rho i$ had no means of intercourse with Greek sailors? 6.] Both these, the inflammation of the body, and the falling down dead suddenly, are recorded as results of the bite of the African serpents. Mr. Humphry quotes from Lucan, ix. 790,

'Nasidium Marsi cultorem torridus agri Percusit Prester (an African serpent named from this very verb πίμπρασθαι): illi rubor igneus ora Succendit, tenditque cutem, percunte figura: and, of the bite of the asp, ix. 815: 'At tibi, Leve miser, fixus præcordia pressit Niliaca serpente cruor: nulloque dolore Testatus morsus, subita caligine mortem Accipis, et somno Stygias descendis ad umbras.' προςδοκών-των] not, as E. V., 'when they had looked,'—but when they were long looking.

—but when they were long looking.

μεταβαλ.] There is no need to
supply τ. γνώμπν, though it is sometimes
expressed:—so οἱ πλεῖστοι τῶν ἀνθρώπων
μεταβάλλονται πρὸς τὰ παρόντα, κ. ταῖς
τύχαις εἴκουστ, Lysias, pro Nicia fratre
(Wetst.): μεταβάλλεσθαι δοκεῖ καὶ οὐδὲν
ἔχειν πιστὸν ἡ πόλις, Demosth. pro Megalop. (id.),—in neither of which places
can τ. γνώμπν well be understood.

can τ. γνώμην well be understood. θεόν] "Comparabant vel Herculi qui in ulnis adhuc jacens angues superavit: vel Æsculapio, qui cum serpente pingitur." Wetst. and so also Grot. But so much as this can hardly be inferred: nor are we sure of the theogony of these Phænician 7.] πρώτος Μελιταίων barbarians. was probably an official title: the more so, as Publius can hardly have borne the appellation from his estates, during his father's lifetime. Two inscriptions have been found in Malta, at Citta Vecchia, which seem to establish this view: a Greek one, containing the words α(υλος) κ(αστρι)κιος κυρ. προυδινς ιππευς ρώμ πρωτός μελιταιών και πατρων αρξας και αμφιπολευς α σ (Αὐγούστω $\sigma \epsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi}$) $\theta \epsilon \omega$, and a Latin one, with the same title, 'Mel. primus.' If so (and his Roman name further confirms it),

7. rec there bef $\eta\mu\epsilon\rho\alpha s,$ with AH+LN p (13) rel 36 Chr Thl Œe: om a 69: txt B e k m 40. 137.

8. ree δυσεντερια, with p rel 36 Chr: -τεριοις 13: -αις 25. 40: txt ABHILN m.

rec for δε, our (seemingly more natural copula), with H^cL rel 36 Syr Thl Œc: txt ABIN e g k p 13. 40. 137 syr copt Chr. aft γενομ. ins νγλους H^c. om και B. rec ε εχοντες ασθενιας bef εν τη γησω, with H^cL rel 36 syr Chr: txt ABIN k in p

13. 40 vulg Syr copt Thl-fin. προηρχον Β.
10. for τα, τας Α 137: om Ν¹. rec την χρειαν (Meyer thinks τας χρειας α gloss for τα προς την χρειαν,—De W., that the plur has crept in from ch xx. 31. But Bornemann rightly objects (1) that the τας preceding in A 137 shews the transcriber's eye to have passed on to τας of τας χρειας in earlier copies, (2) that the use of the plur

is much rarer than of the singular: see also note), with HtL p rel 36 Chr: txt ABIN 13. 40. 137 vulg syr.

11. $\eta \chi \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu H^r a b^l k l m o$.

Publius was legatus of the Prætor of Sicily, to whose province Malta belonged; see Cic. in Ver. ii. 4. 18. ἡμᾶς] Hardly perbaps more than Paul and his companions, and, it may be, Julius. At ver. 10, a special reason had occurred for his honouring Paul and his company: at present, his hospitality must have been prompted by the courtesy of Julius, who could hardly fail himself to be included in it. The three days were probably till they could find a suitable lodging. perois] Hippocrates also uses the plural. It probably indicates the recurrence of fever fits. δυςεντερίω] δυςεντερία, 'Ατ-τικώς' -ριον, "Ελληνες. Μαιίς; -dysentery. Dr. Falconer makes this an argumentagainst' Melita Africana' being meant. " Such a place, dry and rocky, and remarkably healthy, was not likely to produce a disease which is almost peculiar to moist situations." But Mr. Smith answers, that the changed circumstances of the island might produce this change also: and besides, that he is informed by a physician of Valetta, that the disease is by no means uncommon in Malta.

χεῖρας αὐτῷ] It is remarkable, that so soon after the 'taking up of serpents,' we should read of Paul having 'taid his hands on the sick and they recovered.' See the two in close connexion, Mark xvi. 18.

10. TIMALS The ordinary interpretation of this as rewards, gifts, may be right, but is not necessary. In all the passages quoted to support it, Sir. xxxviii. 1, Cicero, ad Diversos, xvi. 9 ('Curio misi ut medico honos haberetur'), the expression τιμή is general, and the context renders an inference probable as to what sort of τιμή is meant. See especially 1 Tim. v. 3, 17 and notes. Here there is no such unavoidable indication, whereas the other meaning is rendered probable by the form of the sentence, which opposes to these Timal, bestowed on them during their whole stay, τὰ πρός τ. χρείας, with which they were loaded at their departure. Render it therefore honoured us with many honours (or 'distinctions,' or 'attentions'). την xpelar has perhaps been an alteration after St. Paul's ἄπαξ κ. δls είς τὴν χρείαν μοι επέμψατε, Phil. iv. 16. 11.] They probably set sail (see on ch. xxvii. 9) not

κεχειμακότι ἐν τῆ νήσφ, ᾿Αλεξανδοίνφ, ρασασήμφ ρακειομής Διοςκούροις. 12 καὶ 9 καταχθέντες εἰς Συρακούσας Γέπ 12 εσε ενέιναμεν ἡμέρας τρεῖς 13 ὅθεν 8 περιελθόντες 14 κατηντή της ενότου 8 δευτεραῖοι ἤλθομεν εἰς Ποτιόλους, 14 οὔ εὐρόντες 16 καὶ 18 και 18 και δελφοὺς 8 παρεκλήθημεν παρ' αὐτοῖς Γέπεμείναι ἡμέρας 16 τις και 16 καὶ $^$

Thacyd, iv. 30.

Xen Cyr. v. 2. 2, heg.

z ch. xiv. 10 reff.

x constr., ch. xiii. 42, but pass, here only.

y = Rom. v. 12 reff.

12. ημεραις τρισιν B. 13. περιελοντες BX^1 .

14. rec (for $\pi \alpha \rho$) ϵn^2 , with H^{*}L rel Chr Thl-sif (Ec: txt ABIN d m¹ p 13.36.40 Thl-fin. $\epsilon n \mu \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ H^{*} e 137 Thl: $\epsilon n \mu \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \tau \epsilon s$ rec $\eta \lambda \delta \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ with H^{*}I p rel 36: $\epsilon \iota s \eta \lambda \delta \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ L: txt ABN. $-\eta \lambda \theta$. bef $\epsilon \iota s$ ($\tau \eta \nu$) $\rho \omega \mu \eta \nu$ AI p 13.40 vulg arm. —om $\tau \eta \nu$ AI a b c h o 13.40.137 Thl-fin.

15. om oι B 96. rec εξηλθον, with H^rL rel 36 syrr æth-pl Chr: txt ABIN p 40

earlier than the sixth of the ides of March (i. e. Mar. 10). παρασήμω Διοςκούροις with the sign (of) the Dioscuri, as ὀνόματι Ποπλίω, ver. 7; not, 'with the Dioscuri as a sign.' So in the inscription found by the Rev. G. Brown at Lutro (Phœnice) in Crete, given at length in the excursus at the end of the prolegg. to Acts, we have "gubernator navis parasemo Iso-pharia." The ancient ships carried at their prow a painted or carved representation of the sign which furnished their name, and at the stern a similar one of their tutelar deity. Sometimes these were one and the same, as appears to have been the case with this ship. Cyril, in Cat., says, έθος αεί πως εν ταις 'Αλεξανδρέων μάλιστα ναθσι πρός γε τη πρώρη δεξιά τε καὶ είς εὐώνυμα γραφάς είναι τοιαύτας. See Virg. Æn. x. 209; Ovid, Trist. i. 9. 1; Pers. Sat. vi. 30. Castor and Pollux, sous of Jupiter and Leda, were considered the tutelar deities of sailors. See Hor. Od. i. 3. 2; 12. 28. 12.] Syraeuse is about eighty miles, a day's sail, from Malta.

13.] περιελθόντες apparently denotes the roundabout course of a vessel tacking with an adverse wind. That the wind was not favourable, follows from ἐπιγενομένου below. Mr. Lewin's account is, "as the wind was westerly, and they were under shelter of the high mountainous rauge of Etna on their left, they were obliged to stand out to sea in order to fill their sails, and so came to Rhegium by a circuitous sweep." And he cites a case of a passage from Syracuse to Rhegium, in which a similar circuit was taken for a similar reason, p. 736. The day at Rhegium, as perhaps the three at Syracuse before, was spent probably in waiting for the wind.

έπιγ. νότ.] the South wind having Vol. II.

sprung up,-succeeded the one which blew before. Seutepaioi viz. after leaving Rhegium: a distance of about 180 nautical miles. Ποτιόλους] Puteoli (anciently Dicaerchia, Strab. v. 4, now Puzzuoli) was the most sheltered part of the bay of Naples. It was the principal port of Southern Italy, and, in particular, formed the great emporium for the Alexandrian wheat ships. Strabo, xvii. 1. Seneca (Ep. 77) gives a graphic account (cited by Smith, p. 117) of the arrival of the Alexandrine fleet at Putcoli: "Subito nobis hodie Alexandrinæ naves apparuerunt, quæ præmitti solent et nuntiare secuturæ classis adventum; tabellarias vocant. Gratus illarum adspectus Campaniæ est. Omnis in pilis Puteolorum turba constitit, et ex ipso genere velorum, Alexandrinas quamvis in magna turba navium intelligit, solis enim licet supparum (the topsail) intendere quod in alto omnes habent naves. Nulla enim res æque adjuvat cursum, quam summa pars veli; illine maxime navis urgetur. Itaque quoties ventus increbuit majorque est quam expedit, antenna submittitur, minus habet virium flatus ex humili: cum intrare capreas et promontorium ex quo 'Alta procellos speculatur vertice Pallas,' cæteræ velo jubentur esse contentæ, supparum Alexandrinarum insigne est."

14.] These Christians were perhaps Alexandrines, as the commerce was so considerable between the two places.

overs after this stay with them: imply-

ing that the request was complied with, 15.] The brethren at Rome had heard probably by special message sent by some of their fellow-voyagers. See a detailed account of the stages of the journey not here mentioned, in C and H. ii., pp. 438 ff. $\tau \hat{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{i} \eta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$] the news consequence.

 $^{a\,(\text{in N.T. ab}}$ a a a a a a b a b b a b a c c b c a b c c b c a b c c b c b c b c c b b c c b c c c b c c c b c c c b c c

14. $(\cdot,\tau_{av}^{(r)}, M_{att})$ $(\cdot,\tau_{av}^{(r)}, M_{att}$

d here only.

π ω Δ () Job xvii. 9. (-σείν, ch. xxiii. 11.)

g here only +

xiv. 22. k = ch. xii. 4 reff.

copt with [-θαν, so BIN.] (13 def.)

υπαντησιν Ν : συναντησιν g. ημων I ε

d g k op 13. 36. 40 Thl-sif: νμν \aleph^1 . rec αχρις, with H·IL rel 36: txt ABN p 13. aft αχρις π was written by \aleph^1 , but marked and erased.

16. rec ηλθομεν (the force of the compound not being regarded), with L rel 36 vulg syr Chr Thl Œ: ηλθον H·: txt ABIN d m p 13. 40 Syr copt æth. (-θαμεν A, but not BIN rel.) ins την bef ρωμην L \aleph^1 (\aleph^3 disapproving) c 137 lect-12 3-pe. *rec aft ρωμην ins \hat{o} εκατόνταρχος ° παρέδωκε τοὺς \hat{f} δεσμίους τ $\hat{\psi}$ g στρατο-

πεδάρχη(-άρχω HrLgl[k?]lm), and τω δὲ Παύλω ἐπετράπη, with HrL rel 36 syr-w-ast Thi Œc: om ABIN p 40 vulg (Syr) arm Chr. (13 def, but has not space

cerning us, i. e. that we were coming. Άππίου Φόρου κ. Τ. Ταβερνων] Luke writes as one of the travellers to Rome, who would come on Appii Forum (forty-three miles from Rome) first. It was on the Via Appia ("Censura clara eo anno (U.C. 442) Appii Claudii, et C. Plautii fuit : memoriæ tamen felicioris ad posteros nomen Appii, quod viam munivit et aquam in urbein duxit, eaque unus perfecit." Liv. ix. 29), which leaving Rome by the Porta Capena, passed through the Pontine marshes, as far as Capua. Being not far from the coast (Strabo, v. 233), it was the resort of sailors ('Forum Appî differtum nautis, cauponibus atque malignis.' Hor. Sat. i. 5. 3. It has been suggested to me, that these may have been sailors belonging to the canal boats, as Appii Forum is too far inland to have been resorted to by sailors from the coast), and an unpleasant halting-place for travellers, having, besides, 'aqua deterrima' (ib. ver. 7). 'Tres Tabernæ' was a 'taberna deversoria,' or way-side inn, ten miles nearer Rome. Cicero mentions both in the letters to Atticus, ii. 10, 'Ab Appii Foro hora quarta: dederam aliam paullo ante Tribus Tabernis.' The brethren were in two The brethren were in two parties: some had come the longer, others the shorter distance, to meet the Apostle. We have in Jos. Antt. xvii. 12. 1, an account of the pretended Alexander, on his way to Rome, landing at Dicæarchia (Puteoli, see above), and it is added, προσελτοοι, see αιονού, απα το ιδιατές, προστοθώντος είς τὴν 'Ρώμην λόγου τοῦ περί αὐτοῦ, πῶν τὸ τῆδε 'Ιουδαίων πλῆθος ὑπαντιάζοντες ἐξήεσαν. Suet. relates, οιι Caligula's return from Germany, "populi R. sexum, ætatem, ordinem omnem usque ad vicesimum lapidem effudisse se." Cal. c. 4. And Tacit. Ann. iii. 5, speaking of the honours paid by Augustus to the body of

Drusus, says, "ipsum quippe asperrimo hiemis Ticinum usque progressum, neque abscedentem a corpore simul urbem intraθάρσος Both encouragement visse." as to his own arrival, as a prisoner, in the vast metropolis,-in seeing such affection, to which he was of all men most sensible; and encouragement as to his great work so long contemplated, and now about to commence in Rome, -- in seeing so promising a beginning for him to build on. The omission of the words δ $\epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \dots$ to στρατοπεδάρχω(-χη) may have been originally caused by the transcriber's eye passing from $-\alpha\rho\chi\sigma$ to $-\alpha\rho\chi\omega$, as in syr. ('permisit centurio Paulo'): this done, the emendation of the text so as to construe by ejecting δ έκατόνταρχος was obvious.

It does not follow, from the singular being used, that there was but one præfectus prætorio at this time, and that one Burrus ;though it may have been so. The prefect mentioned might be one of the two who preceded Burrus, or one of the two who followed him-so that no chronological datum is here contained (against Wieseler, who builds upon it: Chron. der Apostg. p. 86). He attempts to meet the above argument by accounting it improbable that the prisoners would be consigned to either of the prefects; this may have been so,but they certainly would be delivered to one, not to both; and the fact might well be thus related. Luke is not so precise in Roman civil and military matters, as that he necessarily should in this case have written ένλ των στρατοπεδάρχων. 'prafectus pratorio' was the person officially put in charge with the prisoners sent from the provinces: so Plin. Epp. x. 65, "Vinetus mitti ad præfectos prætorii mei The prætorian camp was outside the Porta Viminalis, where it had been

| 11. Gal. ii. 3, 14. 1 Macc. ii. 25. | a ch. xxv. 11 reff. | b = ch. xxii. 15 reff. | c = constr., ch. xxii. 13, xxiii. 17, 18. Luke vii. 40. xii. 50. Winer, § 44. 3, | d = ch. xxiv. 21 reff. | c = berro only. Xen. Cyr. i. 5.7, b usia παρεκέλεσα. | f = ch. xxi. 40. Luke viii. 20 al. 4 Kings viii. 22 or. i. ch. xxii. 43 orly. k. xod. i. vi. 18. | f = ch. xxi. 40. Luke viii. 20 al. 4 Kings or ch. xxiv. 2 xii. 1 conly v. 4 Macc. xxi. 3. | ch. xxiv. 6 reff. | ch. xxii. 6 reff. | k. Luke xvii. 2 Mk. k. Luke xvii. 2 Mk. k. xxiv. 2 Mk. k. xxiv. 2 xiv. 3 xiv. 3

enough for the addition.) for εαυτ., αυτον Β. add εξω της παρεμβολης 137

demid syr-w-ast.

18. ins πολλα bef ανακριναντες c 137 syr-w-ast. ανακρινοντες \aleph^1 . add με

N1(N3 disapproving).

19. Aft ioudaiwy ins kai epikrasovtov aire τον εχθρον ημών c 137 syr-w-ast. aft μου ins ou (but marked and erased) \aleph^1 . rec κατηγορησαι, with H-L rel 36 Chr: txt ABN p 13. 40. add αλλ ινα λυτρωσωμαι την ψυχην μου εκ θανατου c 137 syr-w-ast.

20. παρεκαλεσαν (but ν erased) \aleph^1 . for προςλ., λαλησαι H^r . εινεκεν A, so

X, but i erased.

21. [ειπαν, so ABH^τΝ p.] εδεξαμεθα bef περι σου Λ 13 vulg æth-pl Thl-fin: txt BH^τLΝ p rel 36 syrr Chr Thl-sif Œc.—for περι, κατα Ν.

fixed and fortified by Sejanus: see Tacit. Ann. iv. 2.] ἐπετράπη τῷ II.] This permission probably resulted from the letters of Festus, expressing that no crime was laid to the charge of Paul; perhaps also partly from the favour of Julius, and his report of the character and bearing of Paul on the journey. στρατώτη] a Practorian, to whom he was chained; see below, yer, 20; and note onch. xxiv. 23.

(ch. xviii. 2) had either tacitly or openly been abrogated some time before this. Priscilla and Aquila had returned when the Epistle to the Romans was written, Rom. xvi. 3. Paul was naturally anxious to set himself right with the Jews at Rome—to explain the cause of his being sent there, in case no message had been received by them concerning him from Judea,—and to do away if possible with the unfavourable prejudice which such letters, if received, would have created respecting his character.

The fact of his sending for them, and their coming to him, seems to shew (as in the gloss on ver. 16: see digest) that he was not imprisoned in the Prætorian camp, but was already in a private lodging.

18. ἐβούλ. ἀπολῦσαι] This may have been at ch. xxv. 8. The possibility of such a release is asserted by Agrippa, ch. xxvi. 32.

19.] 'My appeal was a defensive and necessary step—not an offensive one, to complain of my nation.' The inf. aor. of the rec. would point to some one definite charge: κατηγορείν means 't o play the accuser against my nation in any thing?' indicating the habit. 20.] παρεκάλεσα is here in its primary meaning, I have called you to me.

διά ταύτ. τ. alτ., for the reason just stated: because I have no hostile feeling to my nation. Then ενεκεν γάρ... adds another motive; for not only so, but I may well wish to see and speak with you, being a prisoner for the hope of Israel (see ch.

X

22. ακουσαι bef παρα σου LN b d o 40 æth: om ακουσαι 13.—for παρα, περι H'. ree εστιν bef ημιν, with H'L rel vulg spec syr copt Chr Thl-sif Æc: txt ABN k m p 13.

40 Thl-fin.—υμιν p.

23. *ree η κον, with H^tL rel Chr Thl (Ee: ηλθον ABN k p 13. 36. 40. (ηλθαν A.) διαμαρτυρουμένος p 36 Thl-fin: διαμαρτυραμένοι \aleph^1 : -ραμένος \aleph^3 : παραπείθεμενος A. om 1st τ ε \aleph^1 : και πείθων d. ree ins τ α bef περι (as rec in ch viii. 12, and tx in ch xix. 8), with L rel Chr Thl (Ee: om ABH^tN a c p 13. 36. 40. 137 vulg spec sytt.

xxvi. 6, and notes). 21. It may seem strange that they had received no tidings concerning him. But, as Meyer well remarks, (1) before his appeal, the Jews in Judæa had no definite reason to communicate with the Jews in Rome respecting him, having no expectation that Paul, then a prisoner in Judæa, and the object of their conspiracies there, would ever go to Rome, or come into connexion with their brethren there. And (2) since his appeal, it would have been hardly possible for them to have seut messengers who should have arrived before him. For his voyage followed soon after his appeal (ch. xxv. 13; xxvii. 1), and was so late in the year, that for the former reason it is as unlikely that any deputation from them should have left before him, as for the latter, after him. Had any left within a few days, the same storm would have in all probability detained them over the winter, and they could not certainly have made a much quicker voyage than Paul's ship to Puteoli. Still, as cusual, non-official tidings might have reached them, Paul shewed this anxiety. It appears, however, that none had come. Olshausen's view, that the banishment of the Jews from Rome under Claudius had interrupted the relations between the Roman and Judaan Jews, is hardly probable: see on ver. 17. The be and mer are inverted: " mer si dicitur non sequente &, aut intelligi potest δέ, aut omittitur illa pars orationis in qua sequi debebat & quæ nliguando præcedit." Herm. and Viger., p. 839. It precedes, because it connects with the foregoing.

åξ. παρὰ σοῦ, we beg of thee: see reff. τῆς αἰρ. ταὐτ.] To which they perhaps inferred that Paul belonged, from ver. 20: or they might have heard thus much generally respecting him by rumour, though they had received no special message.

Their short notice of Christianity is perhaps the result of caution, seeing as they did the favour shewn by the authorities towards Paul (see Hackett, p. 392): or perhaps of dissimulation. Many Commentators have noticed the omission of all mention of the Christian Church at Rome, and of Paul's connexion with or work among them. And some recently in Germany (e.g. Bauer) have called in question the credibility of the Acts on this account. But without any reason: for the work of the Apostle among churches already founded is not the subject of our history, and is seldom related by Luke, without a special reason. Of the three years at Ephesus (ch. xx. 31), the year and a half (ch. xviii. 11), and three months (ch. xx. 3) at Corinth, we know from the narrative nothing that took place among the Christians themselves. Besides, one great object of this history is to shew forth Paul as working out the Lord's implied command (ch. i. 8), to preach the Gospel 'to the Jew first, and also to the Gentile,' and, having every where done this, it is but natural that he should open his commission in Rome by assembling and speaking to the Jews.

23. τ. ξενίαν] Probably the μίσθωμα of ver. 30: hardly, as Olsh., the house of Aquila. πείθων] persuading: not 'teaching,' as Kuin., nor 'trying to per-

του προφήτου πρός τους ° πατέρας ° ύμων 26 ° λέγων η Πορεύ- $\mathbf{E}_{\pi o \rho}$ τοῦ προφήτου προς τοὺς "πατέρας "υμων" Λεγων 'Πορεν- \mathbf{a}_{π} κυθητι προς τὸν λαὸν τοῦτον καὶ είπὸν 'Ακοῆ ἀκούσετε καὶ \mathbf{a}_{π} Laket of \mathbf{a}_{π} ΔΒΕΙΝ θητι πρὸς τὸν λαὸν τοῦτον καὶ είπὸν 'Ακοῆ ἀκούσετε καὶ \mathbf{a}_{π} Τοῦς \mathbf{a}_{π ωσίν βαρέως ήκουσαν, και τους όφθαλμούς αυτών Wisd. x t εκάμμυσαν μήποτε ίδωσιν τοῖς ὀφθαλμοῖς καὶ τοῖς ώσιν αλλήλους $\stackrel{*}{\epsilon}$ θνεσιν $\stackrel{*}{\omega}$ απεστάλη τούτο τὸ $\stackrel{*}{\omega}$ σωτήριον τοῦ $\stackrel{*}{\theta}$ εοῦ αὐτο $\stackrel{*}{\alpha}$ $\stackrel{*}{\omega}$ $\stackrel{*}{\omega}$

n chi iii. 21 reff. oh. iii. 23 reff. opn. ii. 21 reff. opn. ii. 22 reff. opn. ii. 21 reff. opn. ii. 21 reff. opn. ii. 21 reff. iii. 21 reff. opn. ii. 21 re

24. aft μεν ins ouv X1.

π. τ. πατερας υμων bef δια ησ. τ. for δια, περι X1. 25. for 1st δε, τε Ν1. προφ. A.-rec ημων (most prob altered to conform it to Paul's being a Jew, and to the tone of his other speeches: not as Meyer and Bornemann, altered to vu. to distinguish him from the Jews, or because the speech was solely addressed to Jews. The vu. here has an important and characteristic meaning), with HrL rel 36 vulg spec copt Chr Thl Œc Ambr Jer: txt ABN k p 13. 40 Syr Ath Cyr-jer Bas Did Vig Quæst.

26. rec λεγον, with AHr rel 36 Chr Œe: txt BLN f 13 Thl. rec ειπε (commoner form), with e Thl Œe: txt ABEHILN p 13 rel Chr. ακουσητε and βλεψητε AE p 13 Thl-sif: -σετε and -ψητε H'N' Bas: txt BLN' rel 36 Chr Thl-fin Œc. L p Thl-fin: συνιετε 13.

27. εβαρυνθη Ν'. aft 1st ωσιν ins αυτων AN b d o 13 tol Syr æth-pl arm Thlom και τη καρδια συνωσιν 😚 . επιστρεψουσιν AÊ p Thl-fin. fin Jer₂(om,) Vig. rec ιασωμαι (so in Matt xiii. 15), with E p 13 Chr Œe: txt ABH LX g1 1 137 Sev Thl.

rec om τουτο (as unneces-28. ree εστω bef υμιν, with AEHrLN rel: txt B m p. sary?), with EHILN' tol ath Thi Ce: ins ABN' cp 13. 36. 40 vulg E-lat syrr copt Chr. [29. rec ins και ταῦτα αὐτοῦ εἰπόντος ἀπηλθον οἱ Ἰουδαῖοι, πολλην

ἔχοντες εν εαυτοίς ουζήτησιν, with HIL rel 36 syr-w-ast with Chr Thl Ee: om ABEN c p 13. 40 am(with demid fuld &c) spec Syr copt. (In the pancity of uncial snade.' Meyer well remarks,-Paul, on his part, subjectively, performed that indicated by πείθειν; that this did not produce its objective effect in all his hearers, does not alter the meaning of the word. εἰπόντος] they departed, but not before Paul had said one saying. It is very remarkable, that the same prophetic quotation with which our Lord opened his teaching by parables, should form the solemn close of the historic Scriptures. the πορεύθ. κ. εἰπόν is referred to himself, in his application of the prophecy. These words are not cited by our Lord (Matt. xiii.

28.] τοῦτο was probably omitted as superfluous, and perhaps to suit Luke iii. 6. It adds greatly to the force: this, the message of God's salvation, q. d. 'there is no other for those who reject this.' αὐτοὶ καὶ ἀκ.] They will also

(besides having it sent to them) hear it. "Quod expertus erat Paulus in multis Asiæ et Europæ urbibus, ut apud gentes sermonis felicior esset seges, idem et nune futurum prospiciebat." Grot. This verse has not the usual characteristic of spurious passages,-the variety of readings in those MSS, which contain it. It

30 a Ενέμεινεν δε δεετίαν όλην εν ίδιω αμισθώματι, και ABELN a ch. xiv. 22 reff. b ch. axiv. 27 ch. xxiv. 27 d απεδέχετο πάντας τοὺς είςπορευομένους πρὸς αὐτόν, gh kl Mait. h. lb. 2 Marc. x. 3) 31 f κηρύσσων τὴν lg βασιλείαν τοῦ εθεοῦ καὶ διδάσκων h τὰ receh. xx.

πεοί του κυρίου Ιησού χριστού μετά πάσης παρρησίας Chere only t. (Deut. 8 x di. 18.) (-ovk ἀκωλύτως.

σθαι, Matt. xx. 1, 7) d ch. ii. 41 reff.

ΠΡΑΞΕΙΣ ΑΠΟΣΤΟΛΩΝ.

w. πρός, here only. Es(h. ii. 14. reff.), g ch. xix. 8 reff. h ch. xxiii. k here only †. Job xxxiv. 31 Symm. (-ov, Wisd. vii. 22.) h ch. xxiii. 11. Sir. fch. xx. 25 (reff.). i ch. ii. 29 reff. xix. 30.

MSS, and secing that there are no considerable varr in the omitted passage, I have treated it as doubtful. It is perhaps one of those many additions which D alone of the first class MSS would have contained, had it been preserved to us, and was inserted on acct of the abrupt transition from ver 28 to ver 30: but see notes.)]
30. rcc (for ενεμ.) εμεινεν, with ΛΕΗ·LΝ3 rel 36 Chr: επεμεινεν c 137. 156: txt ΒΝ¹

rec aft δε ins o παυλος, with II'L rel 36 tol Syr syr-w-ast p 13.—ενεμιναν(sie) X1. æth Chr Thl Œc: om ABEN e p 13. 40 vulg copt arm. aft αυτον ins ιουδαιους

137: ιουδαιους τε και ελληνας e tol syr-w-ast.

31. aft διδασκων, add quoniam hic est Christus filius Dei, per quem omnis mundus judicabitur tol: aft ακωλυτως, λεγων υτι ουτος εστιν χριστος ιησους ο νιος του θεου δι' ου κοσμος ολος μελλει κρινεσθαι syr demid. om ιησου X1 c. e 15, 18, 36, 40, 43, 96 am fuld harl syr Chr-ms.

Subscription. πραξεις των αγιων αποστολων ΑΕΗτL: om d g l m: τελος των πραξιών b ο: τελ. συν θεω των πρ. τ. απ. 137: τελος τ. πραξ. τ. αγιών αποστ. f: πραξεις των αποστολων p: txt BX.

may perhaps, after all, have been omitted as appearing superfluous after ver. 25.] 30, 31. It is evident that Paul was not released from custody, but continued with the soldier who kept him,-(1) from the expressions here; he received all who came in to him, but we do not hear of his preaching in the synagogues or elsewhere: he preached and taught with all boldness and unhindered, both being mentioned as remarkable circumstances, and implying that there were reasons why this could hardly

have been expected: and (2) from his constantly speaking of himself in the Epistles written during it, as a prisoner, see Eph. vi. 19, 20; Col. iv. 3, 4; Philem. 9; Philipp. passim. On the whole question regarding the chronology of his imprisonment,- and the reason of this abrupt ending of the history, see Prolegg. to Acts, § iv. 4-7:and on its probable termination and the close of St. Paul's life, see the Prolegg. to the Pastoral Epistles, § ii. 17 ff.

ΠΡΟΣ ΡΩΜΑΙΟΥΣ.

...αποστολος G. ABCEK LN abc dfghk lmno I. $^{-1}$ Παῦλος a δοῦλος $^{'}$ Ιησοῦ χριστοῦ, b κλητὸς a πος a $^{-a}$ $^{-bhil.l.}$ b $^{-b}$ $^{-a}$ $^{-bhil.l.}$ $^{-b}$ $^{-b}$ $^{-a}$ $^{-bhil.l.}$ ^{-b

d ighk Title.—rec paulou tou αποστολου η πρός ρωμαίους επίστολη: επ. παυ. πρ. ρω. l: l m n o του αγίου και πανευφημίου αποστ. παυ. επ. προς ρω. L 14. 44. 80: παυλου επ. πρ. ρω. m: r pρ. ρω. παυ. επ. k: παυ. επ. πρ. ρω. 17: txt ABCN n o and D at head of pages. (προς ρ[. .]α[.]υς is legible in C.)

Сиар. I. 1. хріотом bef індом B(sie: see table) am(with fuld tol &c) Orig₂ Aug Ambr Ambrst Bede.

Chap. I. 1-7. Address of the Epis-TLE, WITH AN ANNOUNCEMENT OF PAUL'S CALLING, TO BE AN APOSTLE OF THE GOS-PEL OF THE SON OF GOD. "Epistola tota sic methodica est, ut ipsum quoque exordium ad rationem artis compositum sit. Artificium quum in multis apparet, quæ suis locis observabantur, tum in eo maxime, quod inde argumentum principale deducitur. Nam Apostolatus sui approbationem exorsus, ex ea in Evangelii commendationem incidit: quæ quum necessario secum trahat disputationem de fide, ad eam, quasi verborum contextu manu ducente, delabitur. Atqueita ingreditur principalem totius Epistolæ quæstionem, fide nos justificari: in qua tractanda versatur usque ad finem quinti capitis." Calvin. Paul in the addresses of his Epistles never uses the common Greek formula xalpeir (James i. 1), but always a prayer for blessing on those to whom he is writing. In all his Epistles (as in both those of Peter, and in the Apocalypse) this prayer is for χάρις and εἰρήνη, except in 1 and 2 Tim., where it is for χάρις, έλεος, and εἰρήνη, as in 2 John. In Jude only we find έλεος, εἰρήνη, and ἀγάπη. The address here differs from those of most of Paul's Epistles, in having dogmatic clauses parenthetically inserted: -such are found also in the Epistle to Titus, and (in much less degree) in that to the Galatians. These dogmatic clauses regard, 1. the fore-aunouncement of the

Gospel through the prophets: 2. the description and dignity of Him who was the subject of that Gospel: 3. the nature and aim of the apostolic office to which Paul had been called,—including the persons addressed in the objects of its ministration.

 δοῦλος 'Ĭ. χ.] so also Phil. i. 1,
 and Tit. i. 1 (δοῦλος θεοῦ, ἀπόστ. δὲ χ. 'I.), -but usually ἀπ. χ. 'I. (2 Cor. Eph. Col. 1 Tim. 2 Tim.): [κλητός] απ. χ. 'Ι. (1 Cor.), -simply ἀπόστολος (Gal.), -δέσμιος χ. 'I. (Philem.), but in almost all these places the reading varies between χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ and 'Ιησοῦ χριστοῦ. The expression answers to the Hebr. מבר קהוה, the especial O. T. title of Israel, and of individuals, as Moses, Joshua, David, Daniel, Job, and others, who as prophets, kings, &c., were raised up for the express work of God. See Umbreit's note, Der Brief an die Römer auf dem Grunde des alten Testaments ausgelegt, p. 153 f. It must not be rendered slare with Schrader, nor pius cultor with Fritzsche: because, as Mehring remarks, the former excludes the element of freewill, while the latter does not express the entire dedication to Christ.

κλητὸς ἀπόστ.] In naming himself a servant of Jesus Christ, he bespeaks their attention as a Christian speaking to Christians: he now further specifies the place which he held by the special calling of God; called, and that to the very highest office, of an apostle; and even more—

among the Apostles, not one by original selection, but one specially called. "Ceteri quidem apostoli per diutinan eum Jesu consuctudinem educati fuerunt, et primo ad sequelam et disciplinam vocati, deinde ad apostolatum producti. Paulus, persecutor antehac, de subito apostolus per vocationem factus est. Ita Judæi erant samet ex promissione: Græci, sameti ex mera vocatione, ver. 6. Præcipnam ergo vocatus apostolus cum vocatis sametis similitudinem et conjunctionem habebat." Bengel.

άπόστολος must not be taken here in the wider sense, of a missionary, as in ch. xvi. 7, but in its higher and peculiar meaning, in which the Twelve bore the title (οῦς καὶ ἀποστόλους ἀνόμασεκ, Luke vi. 13), and Paul (and perhaps Barnabas), and James the Lord's brother. This title was not conferred on Paul by the ἀφορίσατε δή μοι of the Holy Spirit, Acts xii. 2, but in virtue of his special call by the Lord in person; compare σκείου ἐκλογῆς, Acts ix. 15, with ξέλεξάμης, John vi. 70; xiii. 18; xv. 16; Acts i. 2. "Neque enim iis assentior, qui cam de qua loquitur vocationen ad æternam Dei electionem referant." Calvin.

άφωρισμένος] not in Acts xiii. 2, merely, though that was a particular application of the general truth :- but (as in Gal. i. 15, δ ἀφορίσας με ἐκ κοιλίας μητρός μου) from his birth. "Idem Pharisæi etymon fuerat : hoe autem loco Paulus se non solum ex hominibus, ex Judæis, ex discipulis, sed etiam ex doctoribus segregatum a Deo significat." Bengel. els for the purpose of announcing. εύαγγέλιον θεοῦ = $\tau \delta$ εὐαγ. τ οῦ θ., which (see reff.) is the usual form. Bp. Middleton (on ver. 17) remarks on the anarthrousness of Paul's style, and cites from Dion. Hal, de Comp. Verb. e. 22, as a character of the αὐστηρὰ άρμονία, that it is ὀλιγοσύνδεσμος, αναρθρος. See the passage cited at length in the Prolegomena, § v. 2, -the good tidings sent by (not concerning) God. The genitive is not, as in τδ εὐαγγέλιον της βασιλείας, Mutt. iv. 23, one of apposition, but of possession or origin; God's Gospel. And so, whenever the expression 'the Gospel of Christ' occurs, it is not 'the Gospel about Christ.' but Christ's Gospel; that Gospel which

flows out of His grace, and is His gift to men. Thus in the very beginning of the Epistle, these two short words announce that the Gospel is of God,—in other words, that salvation is of grace only.

2. This good tidings is no new invention, no after-thought,-but was long ago announced in what God's prophets wrote concerning His Son: - and announced by way of promise, so that God stood pledged to its realization. ἐπειδή δὲ καὶ καινοτομίαν ἐψεκάλουν τῷ πράγματι, δείκνυσιν αὐτὸ πρεσβύτερον Ἑλλήνων ὄν, καὶ ἐν τοῖς προφήταις προδιαγραφόμενον. Chrys. Hom. ii. p. 431. γραφ. άγ.] not, 'in sacred writings,'—nor 'in passages of Holy Writ:'—but in the Holy Scriptures. The expression used is defined enough by the adjective, to be well understood without the article;—so πνεῦμα ἀγιωσ. below,—πν. ἄγιον passim. See Winer, edn. 6, § 19. 2 (and for nouns in government, Middleton, ch. iii. § 6). But one set of writings being holy, it was not necessary to designate them more partienlarly. See also above on εὐαγγ. θεοῦ. This expression (εὐαγγ. δ προεπηγγ.) is used in the strictest sense. Moses gave the Law: the prophets proclaimed the Gos-

pel. See Umbreit's note, p. 159.

3. περὶ τοῦ viοῦ αὐτοῦ] belongs to δ προεπ. above,—which he promised beforehand, &c., concerning His Son, i. c. 'which (good tidings) He promised beforehand, &c., and indicated that it should be concerning His Son.' This is more natural than to bind these words to ἐὐαγρ, θεοῦ which went before. Either meaning will suit ver. 9 equally well. Christ, the Son of God, is the great subject of the good news.

γενομένου] not δυτος, see John i.
1-3, and notes. κατὰ σάρκα] On the side of His humanity, our Lord ἐγένετο; that unture of His begins only then, when He was γενόμενος ἐκ γυναικός, Gul. iv. 4.
σάρξ is here used exactly as in

σάρξ is here used exactly as in John i. 14, δ λόγος σάρξ έγένετα, to signify that whole nature, body and soul, of which the outward visible tabernacle of the FLESH is the concrete representation to our senses. The words ἐκ σπέρματος Δανείδ cust a hint back at the promise just spoken of. At the same time,

n Gal. iv. 29. o here only, see John xiv. 17, 2 Tim. i, 7, 1 John iv. 6, 1 Thess. iii, 13 only, Ps. xev. 6, xevi, 12, exliv. 5, 2 Marc. iii, 12 only, (bis), Rev. viii, 11 al., 1 Corr. xv. 12 reft. L.P.H.

in so solemn an enunciation of the dignity of the Son of God, they serve to shew that even according to the human side. His descent had been fixed in the line of him who was Israel's anointed and greatest 4. The simple antithesis would have been, τοῦ μὲν γενομένου . . . ὕντος δὲ υίοῦ θεοῦ κατὰ πνεῦμα, see 1 Tim. iii. 16. But (1) wonderful solemnity is given by dropping the particles, and taking up separately the human and divine nature of Christ, keeping δ vids αὐτοῦ as the great subject of both clauses, and thus making them, not contrasts to one another, but correlative parts of the same great whole. And (2) the Apostle, dwelling here on patent facts,-the announcements of prophecy,-the history of the Lord's Humanity,-does not deal with the essential subsistent Godhead of Christ, but with that manifestation of it which the great fact of the Resurrection had made to men. Also (3) by amplifying πνεθμα into πν. άγιωσύνης, he characterizes the Spirit of Christ as one of absolute holiness, i. e. as divine, and partaking of the Godhead: όρισθέντος] "Multo plus see below. dicit quam ἀφωρισμένος, ver. 1: nam ἀφορίζεται unus e pluribus, δρίζεται unicus quispiam." Bengel. See reff. Nor does it = προορισθέντος, as vulg. prædestinatus, and as Irenæus (iii. 22. 1, p. 219) and Augustine de Prædest. Sanctorum, c. 15, vol. x. p. 982 :- "Prædestinatus est ergo Jesus, ut qui futurus erat secundum carnem filius David, esset tamen in virtute Filius Dei secundum Spiritum Sanctificationis: quia natus est de Spiritu Sancto et Virgine Maria." But this is one of the places where Augustine has been misled by the Latin :—the text speaks, not of the fact of Christ's being the Son of God barely, but of the proof of that fact by His Resurrection. Chrysostom has given the right meaning: τί οδυ ἔστιν ὁρισθέντος; τοῦ δειχθέντος, ἀποφανθέντος, κριθέντος, δμολογηθέντος παρά της άπάντων γνώμης και ψήφου Hom. ii. p. 432. That an example is wanting of this exact use of the word, is, as Olsh. has shewn, no objection to such use; the δρίζειν here spoken of is not the objective 'fixing,' 'appointing' of Christ to be the Son of God, but the subjective manifestation in men's minds that He is so. Thus the objective words ποιείν (Acts ii. 36), γεννᾶν (Acts xiii. 33) are used

not = αγιον; this epithet would be inapplicable here, for it would point out the Third Person in the Blessed Trinity, whereas it is the Spirit of Christ Himself, in distinction from His Flesh, which is spoken of. And this Spirit is designated by the gen. of quality, άγιωσύνης, to shew that it is not a human, but a divine Spirit which is attributed here to Christ,-a Spirit to which holiness belongs as its The other interpretations ceressence. tainly miss the mark, by overlooking the κατὰ σάρκα and κατὰ πνεῦμα, the two sides of the Person of Christ here intended to be brought out. Such are that of Theodoret (διὰ τῆς ὑπὸ τοῦ παναγίου πνεύματος ένεργουμένης δυνάμεως),—Chrys. (ἀπό τοῦ πνεύματος, δι' οῦ τὸν ἄγιασμὸν ἔδωκεν), &c. Calvin and Olshausen seem to wish to include the notion of sanctifying (άγιασμός) in άγιωσύνη,—which however true, is more than strictly belongs to the words. See by all means, on the whole,

Umbreit's important note, pp. 164-172. $\xi\xi$] not 'from and after' (as Theodoret, Luther, Grotius, al.), nor $= \hat{\alpha}\pi\delta$, which could not be used here, but by, as indicating the source, out of which the demonstration proceeds. άναστάσεως νεκρών not = αναστ. έκ νεκρών, - which, besides the force done to the words, would be a weakening of the strong expression of the Apostle, who takes here summarily and by anticipation the Resurrection of Jesus as being, including, involving (ἐγώ εἰμι ή ανάστασις, John xi. 25) the (whole) Resurrection of the dead. So that we must not render as E. V. 'the resurrection from the dead,' but the resurrection of the dead, regarded as accomplished in that of Christ. It was the full accomplishment of this, which more than any thing declared Him to be the Son of God: see John v. 25-29. Thus in these words lies wrapped up the argument of ch. vi. 4 ff. 1ησ. χρ. τ. κυρ. ήμ.] Having given this description of

*=ch.xii.3. βομεν * χάριν καὶ ' ἀποστολὴν μα είς μα ὑπακοὴν μα πίστεως μα μα μα μα τοῖς ἔθνεσιν ἡ ὑπὰς τοῦς ἀνόματος αὐτοῦ, $\frac{6}{5}$ ἐν οἶς $\frac{6}{5}$ εν οῖς $\frac{6}{5}$ εν οῖς έστε καὶ ύμεις κλητοί Ίησοῦ χριστοῦ, 7 πάσιν τοῖς

klmn

7. om εν ρωμη G schol-in-47(το εν ρωμη, ουτε εν τη εξηγησει, ουτε εν τω ρητω (on the for αγαπητ. θεου, εν αγαπη θεου G am fuld DI-lat Ambrst-ms: om omission μνημονευει). E 82.

prolegomena.)

the Person and dignity of the Son of God, very Man and very God, he now identifies this divine Person with JESUS CHRIST, the Lord and Master of Christians,-the historical object of their faith, and (see words following) the Appointer of himself to the 5. δι' οῦ] as in Gal. apostolic office. i. 1; 1 Cor. i. 9, designating the Lord Himself as the Agent in conferring the grace and Apostleship. έλάβομεν] not 'all Christians,' - but we, the Apostle himself, as he not unfrequently speaks. No others need be here included in the word. Those to whom he is writing cannot be thus included, for they are specially contrasted with the subject of ἐλάβομεν by the following ὑμεῖs. Nor can the aor. ἐλάβομεν refer to any general bestowal of this kind, indicating, as it must, a definite past event, viz. the reception of the Apostleship by himself. To maintain (as Dr. Peile, Annotations on the Epistles, vol. i. Appendix) that the subject of ελάβομεν must be the same as the ἡμῶν which has preceded, is to overlook, not only the contrast just noticed, and the habit of Paul to nse indiscriminately the singular or plural, when speaking of himself,-but also the formulary character of the expression, 'Jesus Christ our Lord,' in which the 'we' alluded to in 'our' is too faintly indicated to become the subject of a following verb.

χάριν] Hardly, as Augustine, "gratiam cum omnibus fidelibus, apostolatum autem non eum omnibus communem habet" (Olsh.): for he is surely speaking of that peculiar χάρις, by which he wrought in his apostleship more than they nll; see reff. άποστολήν Strictly, apostleship, 'the office of an Apostle,' see reff.: not any mission, or power of sending ministers, resident in the whole church, which would be contrary to the usage of the word. The existence of such a power is not hereby denied, but this place refers solely to the office of Paul as an Apostle. Keep the χάρ. κ. άποστ. separate, and strictly consecutive, avoiding all nonsensical figures of Hendiadys Hypallage, and the

like. It was the general bestowal of grace, which conditioned and introduced the special bestowal (καί, as so often, coupling a specific portion to a whole) of apostleship: ef. 1 Cor. xv. 10. eis with a view to,- 'in order to bring about.' ὑπακοὴν πίστεως The anarthrous character above remarked (on εὐαγγ. θεοῦ, ver. 1) must be here borne in mind, or we shall fall into the mistake of supposing 5. π . to mean 'obedience produced by faith.' The key to the words is found in ref. Acts, πολύς τε ὅχλος τῶν ἱερέων ὑπήκουον τῆ πίστει, compared with Paul's own usage of joining an objective genitive with ύπακοή, see 2 Cor. x. 5, εἰς τὴν ὑπακοήν τοῦ χριστοῦ. So that πίστεως is the faith; not = 'the gospel which is to be believed' (as Fritzsche, citing ch. x. 16), but the state of salvation, in which men stand by faith. And so these words form an introduction to the great subject of the Epistle. έν πασιν τ. έθν.] in order to bring about obedience to the faith among all (the) nations. The Jews do not here come into account. There is no inclusion, and at the same time no express exclusion of them : but Paul was commissioned as the Apostle of the Gentiles, and he here magnifies the great office entrusted to him. ὑπὲρ τ. ὀν. αὐτ.] on behalf of His name, i. c. 'for His glory:' see reff. "In the name of Christ is summed up what He had done and was, what the Christian ever bore in mind, the zeal which marked him, the name wherewith he was named." Jowett. See also Umbreit's note. The words are best taken as belonging to the whole, from $\delta i'$ ov to $\xi \theta \nu \epsilon \sigma i \nu$.

6. έν ols . . .] The whole to χριστοῦ should be taken together: among whom ye also are called of Jesus Christ; otherwise, with a comma at ὑμεῖs, the assertion, 'among whom are ye,' is flat and un-De Wette and Calvin would take 'Ιησοῦ χρ. as a gen. of possession, because the call of believers is generally referred to the FATHER: but sometimes the Son is said to call likewise, see John v. 25; c χάρις ύμιν και c είρηνη ἀπὸ θεοῦ πατρὸς ήμων και c see introduc-

(Wisd. xviii. 2.) 2 Macc. i. 11 only.

17, 28. 1 Cor. i. 4. 2 Cor. xii. 21.

Phil. i. 3, iv. 19. Philem. 4. Rev. ii. 7, iii. 12 (four times) only. f Acls xiii. 5 reff.

8. om δια ιησ. χρ. ℵ¹(ins corr¹) c. rec (for περι) υπερ (see note), with D3GL rel Chr Thdrt Thl Œc: txt ABCD'KN o 17 Damasc.

1 Tim. i. 12:—and with ἀγαπητοί θεοῦ following so close upon it, the expression ean I think hardly be taken otherwise than as called by Jesus Christ. ἐκλεκτοὶ αὐτοῦ, Matt. xxiv. 31, eited by De W. is hardly parallel. 7.] This verse follows, in the sense, close on ver. 1. åγ. θ., κλητ. άγ.] Both these clauses refer to all the Christians addressed: not (as Bengel) the first to Jewish, the second to Gentile believers. No such distinction would be in place in an exordium which anticipates the result of the Epistle-that Jew and Gentile are one in guilt, and one in Christ.

άπ. θ. πατ. ήμ. κ. κυρ. 'Ι. χ.] Not, as Erasmus, 'from God, the Father of us and of our Lord Jesus Christ,'-but from God our Father, and from the Lord Jesus Christ. God is the Giver of Grace and

Peace,—Christ the Imparter.

8-17.] OPENING OF THE EPISTLE. His thankfulness for the faith of the Romans: remembrance of them in his prayers : wish to visit them : hindrances hitherto, but still earnest intention of doing so, that he may further ground them in that Gospel, of which he is not ashamed, inasmuch as it is THE POWER OF GOD TO ALL WHO BELIEVE. This leads to the announcement (in a citation from the Scripture) of one great subject of the Epistle,—viz.: Justification by Faith.

8.] This placing himself in intinate

connexion with his readers by mention of and thankfulness for their faith or Christian graces, is the constant habit of Paul. The three Epistles, Gal., 1 Tim., and Titus, are the only exceptions: Olsh. adds 2 Cor., but in ch. i. 3-22 we have an equivalent: see especially vv. 6, 7, 11, 14. $\mu \epsilon \nu$] The corresponding $\delta \epsilon$ follows, ver. 13. 'Ye indeed are prospering in the faith: but I still am anxious further to advance that frnitfulness.' There is no έπειτα to follow to πρώτον. τῷ θεῷ μου] ὅρα μεθ' ὅσης διαθέσεως εὐχαριστεῖ. οὐ γὰρ εἶπε, τῷ θεῷ, ἀλλὰ τῷ θεῷ μου δ καὶ οί προφηται ποιούσι, το κοινον ίδιοποιούμενοι. και τί θαυμαστόν εί οί προφήται; αὐτός γὰρ αὐτὸ συνεχῶς ὁ θεὸς φαίνεται ποιῶν

έπὶ τῶν δούλων, θεὸν 'Αβραὰμ καὶ 'Ισαὰκ καὶ Ἰακὼβ ἰδιαζόντως λέγων ἐαυτόν. Chrys. Hom. iii. p. 436. διὰ 'I. χ.] " Velut per Pontificem magnum: oportet enim seire eum qui vult offerre sacrificium Deo, quod per manus Pontificis debet offerre." Origen. So also Calvin, "Hic habemus exemplum, quomodo per Christum agendæ sunt gratiæ, seeundum Apostoli præceptum ad Heb. xiii. 15." Olshausen says, "This is no mere phrase, but a true expression of the deepest conviction. For only by the Spirit of Christ dwelling in men's hearts are thanksgivings and prayer acceptable to God." But perhaps here it is better to take the words as expressing an acknowledgment that the faith of the Romans, for which thanks were given, was due to, and rested on the Lord Jesus Christ: see ch. vii. 25, and rendering περί This prep. and ὑπέρ both occur in this connexion, see 1 Cor. i. 4; Col. i. 3; 1 Thess. i. 2; 2 Thess. i. 3; Eph. i. 16; Phil. i. 4:—and it is impossible to say, in cases of their confusion by the MSS., which may have been substituted for the other. The internal criticism which would adopt $\delta \pi \epsilon \rho$ as being the less usual, may be answered by the probability that $i\pi\epsilon\rho$, being known to be sometimes used by Paul, may have been substituted as more in his manner for the more usual $\pi\epsilon\rho l$. So that MS. authority in such cases must be our guide; and this authority is here decisive. The difference in meaning would be, that ὁπέρ would give more the idea that thanks were given by Paul on their behalf, as if he were aiding them in giving thanks, for such great mereies: whereas περί would imply only that they were the subject of his thanks,that he gave thanks concerning them.

ή πίστις ύμ.] "In ejusmodi gratulationibus Paulus vel totum Christianismnm describit, Col. i. 3, sqq.,-vel partem aliquam, 1 Cor. i. 5. Itaque hoc loco fidem commemorat, suo convenienter instituto, vv. 12, 17." Bengel. καταγγέλλεται] De Wette notices the other side of the report, as given by the Jews at Rome, Acts xxviii. $\begin{array}{c} \frac{g}{2} \frac{Cor. i. 23}{Dhil. i. s.} \\ \frac{g}{10} \frac{Cen.}{Cen.} \\ \frac{g}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{en}{10} \\ \frac{g}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{en}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{en}{10} \\ \frac{g}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{en}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{en}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{en}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{en}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{en}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac{en}{10} \frac{h}{10} \frac$

9. $\mu a \rho \tau \nu \rho$ D¹. for 1st $\mu o \nu$, $\mu o \iota$ D¹G b¹ o vulg syrr arm Thdrt₁ lat-ff. for ωs , $\pi \omega s$ G, q uomodo G-lat.

10. for ει πως, οπως L o 5. 71. 77. 93 leet-12.

22, to Paul himself. This praise was in the Christian churches, and brought by Christian brethren. ἐν ὅλω τῷ κόσμω] A popular hyperbole, common every where, and especially when speaking of general diffusion through the Roman empire, the 'orbis terrarum.' The praise would be heard in every city where there was a Christian church,-intercourse with the metropolis of the world being common to 9.7 "Asseveratio pia, de re necessaria, et hominibus, remotis præsertim et ignotis, occulta." Bengel. There could be no other witness to his practice in his secret prayers, but God: and as the assertion of a habit of incessantly praying for the Roman Christians, whom he had never seen, might seem to savour of an exaggerated expression of affection, he solemnly appeals to this only possible testi-mony. To the Eph., Phil. (see however Phil. i. 8), Col., Thess., he gives the same assurance, but without the asseveration. The thus calling God to witness is no uncommon practice with Paul: see reff. in φ λατρ.] The serving God in his spirit was a guarantee that his profession was sincere, and that the oath just taken was no mere form, but a solemn and earnest appeal of his spirit. See also Phil. iii. 3 (present text), and John iv. 24. "The LXX use λατρεύω generally (not so, but only in a few places, c. g. Num. xvi. 9, Ezek. xx. 32; it is mostly rendered by λειτουργείν; λατρεύειν for the most part rendering ישבה) for the Heb. שָרָה, which mostly implies the service of the priests in the temple: e.g. Num. iii. 31; iv. 12; xviii. 2, &c. The Apostle means then, that he is an intelligent, true priest of his God, not in the temple, but in his spirit, - not at the altar, but at the gospel of His Son." Umbreit. εὐαγ.] ή τοῦ εὐαγγελίου προςθήκη τὸ είδος δηλοί της διακονίας, Chrys. Hom. iii. p.

438. His peculiar method of λατρεία was concerned with the gospel of the Son of God. "Quidam accipiunt hane particulam, quasi voluerit Paulus cultum illum, quo se prosequi Deum dixerat, ex eo commendare, quod Evangelii præscripto respondeat: certum est autem, spiritualem Dei cultum in Evangelio nobis præcipi. Sed prior interpretatio longe melius quadrat, nempe quod suum Deo obsequium addicat in Evangelii prædicatione." Calvin. See εὐαγγέλιον, Phil. iv. 15. ώς Not to be taken with ἀδιαλείπτως, but (see reff.) depends on μάρτυς: my witness, πάντοτε belongs to that the following, not to the preceding words. This latter construction would not be without example, – ἐν παντὶ καμρῷ ἀδιαλεί-πτως, 1 Mace. xii. 11, but this very example shews that if so, its natural place would be close to ἀδιαλείπτως. The whole phrase is a favourite one with Paul, see reff. " πάντοτε vice nominis accipio, ac si dictum foret, 'In omnibus meis orationibus, seu quoties precibus Deum appello, adjungo vestri mentionem." Calvin. αἱ προςευχαί μου must be understood of his ordinary stated prayers, just in our sense of my prayers: "quoties ex professo et quasi meditatus Deum orabat, illorum quoque habebat rationem inter alios." Calv.

10. εἴ πως] if by any means.
No subject of δεόμενος is expressed, but it is left to be gathered from this clause, as in Simon's entreaty, Λets viii. 24, δεήθητε ὑμεῖς ὑπὲρ ἐμοῦ . . . ὅπως μηδὲν ἐπέλθῃ ἐπ' ἐμὲ ὧν εἰρήκατε, where ὕπως κ.τ.λ. is not the contents of the prayer, but the end aimed at by it.

"βῆ ποτέ] before

long:—lit., 'at last, some day or other.'
εὐοδωθήσομαι] I shall be allowed, prospered: see reff., and Deut.
xxviii. 29: and cf. Umbreit's note. The
rendering, 'I might have a prosperous
journey' (Yulg, and E. V.), is etymologically

θεοῦ ἐλθεῖν πρὸς ὑμᾶς 11 μ ἐπιποθῶ γὰρ ἰδεῖν ὑμᾶς, ἴνα μ w.inf., 2 Cor. τὶ γμεταδῶ w χάρισμα ὑμῖν x πνευματικὸν γ εἰς τὸ z στη- i.4. $^{(P_a)}$ ριχθῆναι ὑμᾶς, 12 τοῦτο δέ ἐστιν a συνπαρακληθῆναι ἐν τις τοῦτο δέ ἐστιν a συνπαρακληθῆναι ἐν τις τοῦτο δέ ἀστιν a συνπαρακληθῆναι ἐν τις τοῦτος ὑμῶν τε καὶ ἐμοῦν , νοὶ, λii. 8 τις νοὶ, λii. 8 τις τοῦτος ὑμῶν τος καὶ ἐμοῦν , νοὶ, λii. 8 τις τοῦτος μπον τος καὶ ἐμοῦν , νοὶ, λii. 8 τις τοῦτος το 13 ου θέλω δε υμας ις αγνοείν, αδελφοί, ο ὅτι πολλάκις d προεθέμην έλθειν προς ύμας, και εκωλύθην f αχρι του g δεύρο, ΐνα τινὰ h καρπὸν σχ $\tilde{\omega}$ καὶ ἐν ὑμῖν καθώς καὶ ἐν $^{w=ch.\ v.\ 15,\ 16.\ d.\ 25.\ 16.\ d.\ 25.$

constr, 1 Thess. ii. 8. 2 Macc. viii. 12. Xen. Anab. iv. 5, 5.

12. τουτ εστιν, omg δε, A latt(but G-lat has id est aut hoc enim est). παρακληθηναι, with B2(sic : see table) L : txt AB1CDGX. om 2nd ev G. aft miotews ins the G.

13. for ου θελω, ουκ οιομαι D¹G Ambrst. for δε, γαρ C 73 fuld: om k¹. ree καρπου bef τινα (with none of our mss): om τινα L 42. 115 Syr copt: txt ABCDGKN rel vulg gr-ff lat-ff.—for $\tau \iota \nu \alpha$, $\tau \iota$ D¹. 48. 109. 178 æth. for $\sigma \chi \omega$, $\epsilon \chi \omega G 77$. om 2nd kai G b o

incorrect; the passive of δδόω, 'to shew the way,' 'to bring into the way,' must be 'to be shewn the way,' or 'brought into the way.' So Herod. vi. 73, ώs τῷ Κλεομένεϊ εὐωδώθη τὸ ἐς τὸν Δημάρητον πρῆγμα. ἐν τῷ θελ. τοῦ θεοῦ] in the course of,—by, the will of God. ἐλθεῖν belongs to εὐοδωθήσομαι, not to δεόμενος. ἐπιποθῶ] not ' I vehemently desire :' ἐπί does not intensify, but merely expresses the direction of the $\pi \delta \theta os$, see Herod. v. 93, and compare such expressions as μή προςεώντος ήμας του ανέμου, Acts xxvii. 7. ΐνα τὶ μεταδώ χάρισμα πν.] That the χάρισμα here spoken of was no mere supernatural power of working in the Spirit, the whole context shews, as well as the meaning of the word itself in reff. And even if χάρισμα, barely taken, could ever (1 Cor. xii. 4, 9 are no examples, see there) mean technically a supernatural endowment of the Spirit, yet the epithet πνευματικόν, and the object of imparting this χάρισμα, confirmation in the faith, would here preclude that meaning. Besides, Paul did not value the mere bestowal of these 'gifts' so highly, as to make it the subject of his earnest prayers incessantly. The gift alluded to was παράκλησις, as De Wette observes. πνευμ., spiritual:springing from the Spirit of God, and imείς τὸ parted to the spirit of man. στηρ. ύμ.] Knowing the trials to which they were exposed, and being conscious of the fulness of spiritual power for edification (2 Cor. xiii. 10) given to him, he longed to impart some of it to them, that they might be confirmed. "The Apostle does not say eis τὸ στηρίζειν ύμ., for this

belongs to God; see ch. xvi. 25. He is only the instrument: hence the passive." Only the histories the reserved to the served of the Philippi. 12.] είτα έπειδη καί τοῦτ σφόδρα φορτικὸν ήν, ὅρα πῶς αὐτὸ παραμυθεῖται διὰ τῆς ἐπαγωγῆς. Γνα γὰρ μὴ λέγωσι, τί γάρ; σαλευόμεθα καί περιφερόμεθα, και της παρά σοῦ δεόμεθα γλώττης είς τὸ στηναι βεβαίως, προλαβών άναιρεῖ τὴν τοιαύτην ἀντίρρησιν οὕτω λέγων (ver. 12). ως αν εί έλεγε, μη υποπτεύσητε ότι κατηγορών ύμων είπον, οὐ ταύτη τῆ γνώμη έφθεγξάμην το βημα άλλα τί ποτέ έστιν, ὅπερ ήβουλήθην εἰπεῖν; Πολλάς ύπομένετε θλίψεις ύπο των διωκόιτων περιαντλούμενοι έπεθύμησα τοίνυν ύμας ίδειν, ίνα παρακαλέσω, μάλλον δε οὐχ ίνα παρακαλέσω μόνον, άλλ' ίνα και αὐτὸς παράκλησιν δέξωμαι. Chrys. Hom. ii. p. 440. The inf. συμπαρακληθήναι is parallel with στηριχθηναι, εμέ being understood: that is, that I with you may be comforted among you, each by the faith which is in the other. That the gift he wished to impart to them was παράκλησιs, is implied in the συνπαρακλ. See the same wish expressed in different words ch. xv. 32, and the partial realization of it, Acts xxviii. 15.

ἐν ἀλλήλοις, which might otherwise be ambiguous, is explained by δμών τε καί èμοῦ to mean which we recognize in one another: or as above and in A. V. R. The expression "mutual faith," of the E. V. should properly mean, faith which each has in the other. πίστις is used in the most general sense—faith as the necessary condition and working instrument of all Christian exhortation, comfort, and confirmation; producing these, and evidenced by them. οὐ θέλ. δ. ὑμ. ἀγ. Α

i Acts xxviii. τοῖς λοιποῖς ἔθνεσιν. 14 Έλλησίν τε καὶ 1 βαρβάροις, ABCDG 23 , Galiii. σοφοῖς τε καὶ 18 ἀνοήτοις 1 ὀφειλέτης εἰμί 15 πούτως 1 τὸ c afgli 13 , Him 13 κατ εμὲ 0 πρόθυμον καὶ ὑμῖν τοῖς ἐν Ῥώμη 19 εὐαγγελί 17 κατ σασθαι. 16 οὐ γὰρ 0 ἐπαισχύνομαι τὸ εὐαγγέλιον 18 δύναμις

15. for $\tau \circ \kappa \alpha \tau \in \mu \varepsilon$, $\circ \varepsilon \pi \in \mu \varepsilon$ G: quod in me promptum est vulg G-lat Scdul, Pel: quod in me est promtus sum D-lat Ambra Ambrat Ruf Scdul, ins $\varepsilon \nu$ bef $\nu \mu \nu$ D1 b1 o am fuld¹ D-lat G-lat: $\varepsilon \pi$ G. om $\tau \circ \iota s \varepsilon \nu \rho \omega \mu \eta$ G.

16. for το, επι G: super G-lat: de Aug Vig. ree aft ευαγγελιον ins του χριστου, with D³KL rel Thl Ec: om ABCD GN 17 vulg syrr copt arm Orig Eus Bas Cyr Chr

Pauline formula: see rest. καὶ ἐκωλ. ἄχρ. τ. δεῦρο is best as a parenthesis, as it is impossible that Tνα can depend on ἐκωλιθην. So Demosth. p. 488. 7, ἐμοῦ δ; ἄ ἄνδρες 'λθ., δοκεὶ Λεπτίνης (καὶ μοι πρὸς λιὸς μηδὲν ὀργιαθῆς οὐδὲν γὰρ φλαῦρον ἐρῶ σε) ἡ οὐκ ἀνεγνωκέναι τοὺς Σόλωνος τόμους ἡ οὐ συνιέγαι. The reason of the hindrance is given in ch. xv. 20—22: it was, his φιλοτιμία to preach the gospel where it had not been preached before, rather than on the foundation of others.

καρπόν Not, 'wages,' or 'result of my apostolic labour,' for such is not the ordinary meaning of the word in the N. T., but fruit borne by you who have been planted to bring forth fruit to God. This fruit 1 should then gather and present to God; cf. the figure in ch. xv. 16: see also Phil. i. 22 and note. 14.] The connexion seems to be this: He wishes to have some fruit, some produce of expended labour, among the Romans as among other Gentiles. Till this was the case, he himself was a debtor to every such people: which situation of debtor he wished to change, by paying the debt and conferring a benefit, into that of one having money out at interest there, and yielding a καρπός. The debt which he owed to all nations was (ver. 15) the obligation laid on him to preach the gospel to them; see 1 Cor. ix. 16. Ελλ. – βαρβ. – σοφ. – ἀνοήτ.]

These words must not be pressed as applying to any particular churches, or as if any one of them designated the Romans themselves,—or even as if σοφοῖν belonged to ερλησιν, and ἀνοήτοις to βαρβάροις. They are used, apparently, merely as comprehending all Gentiles, whether considered in regard of race or of intellect; and are placed here certainly not without a prospective reference to the universality of guilt, and need of the gospel, which ho is presently about to prove existed in the Gentile world. Notice that he does not

call himself a debtor to the Jews-for they can hardly be included in βαρβάροις (see Col. iii. 11). Though he had earnest desires for them (ch. ix. 1-3; x. 1), and every where preached to them first, this was not his peculiar ὀφείλημα, see Gal. ii. 7, where he describes himself as πεπιστευμένος τὸ εὐαγγέλιον τῆς ἀκροβυστίας, καθώς Πέτρος της περιτομής. 15. οῦτως "Est quasi . . . illatio a toto ad partem insignem." Bengel. 'As to all Gentiles, so to you, who hold no mean place among them. 16. The οὐ γὰρ ἐπαισχύvoual seems to be suggested by the position of the Romans in the world. 'Yea, to you at Rome also: for, though your city is mistress of the world, though your emperors are worshipped as present deities, though you are elated by your pomps and luxuries and victories, yet I am not ashamed of the apparently mean origin of the gospel which I am to preach; for (and here is the transition to his great (and here is the transition to his great theme) it is,' &c. So for the most part, Chrysostom, Hom. iii. p. 444. δύναμις γὰρ θ. ἐστίν] The gospel, which is the greatest example of the Power of

God, he strikingly calls that Power itself. (Not, as Jowett, 'a divine power,' nor is δικαιοσ. θεοῦ below to be thus explained, as he alleges.) So in 1 Cor. i. 24 he calls Christ, the Power of God. But not only is the gospel the great example of divine Power; it is the field of agency of the power of God, working in it, and interpenetrating it throughout. The bare substantive δύναμις here (and 1 Cor. i. 21) carries a superlative sense: the highest and holiest vehicle of the divine Power, the δύναμις κατ' έξοχήν. "It is weighty for the difference between the Gospel and the Law, that the Law is never called God's power, no, but light, or teaching, in which a man must walk, Ps. xxxvi. 10; exix. 105; Prov. vi. 23; Isa. ii. 5." Umbreit.

And the direction in which this power acts

γὰο θεοῦ ἐστιν είς σωτηρίαν παντὶ τῷ πιστεύοντι, Ἰου- $\frac{s}{s}$ - τες ετας δαίψ τε πρώτον καὶ Έλληνι. $\frac{17}{s}$ δικαιοσύνη γὰο $\frac{t}{s}$ θεοῦ ἐν $\frac{c}{s}$ Pet. i, J. (Mahri t. 5.).

Thdrt Procop Damase Phot Tert Arnob Hil Ruf. om ϵ is $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha \nu$ G. for $\iota \sigma \nu \delta \epsilon$ (sic) \aleph^1 : txt \aleph -corr¹. om $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \nu$ BG Tert: ins ACDKL \aleph 17 rel Orig Chr Thdrt Damase Thl Ec Ruf Bede.

in the gospel is els σωτηρίαν—it is a healing, saving power: for as Chrysostom reminds us, there is a power of God els κόλασιν, and els ἀπώλειαν, see Matt. x. 28.

But to whom is this gospel the power of God to save? παντί τῷ πιστεύοντι. The universality implied in the παντί, the condition necessitated in the πιστεύοντι, and the δύναμις θεοῦ acting εἰς σωτηρίαν, are the great subjects treated of in the former part of this epistle. All are proved to be under sin, and so needing God's righteousness (ch. i. 18-iii. 20), and the entrance into this righteousness is shewn to be by faith (ch. iii. 21—v. 11). Then the δύναμις θεοῦ in freeing from the dominion of sin and death, and as issuing in salvation, is set forth (ch. v. 11-viii 39). So that if the subject of the Epistle is to be stated in few words, these should be chosen: τὸ εὐαγγέλιον, δύναμις θεοῦ εἰς σωτηρίαν παντί τῷ πιστεύοντι. This expresses it better than merely 'justification by faith,' which is in fact only a subordinate part of the great theme, - only the condition necessitated by man's sinfulness for his entering the state of salvation: whereas the argument extends beyond this, to the death unto sin and life unto God and carrying forward of the sanctifying work of the Spirit, from its first fruits even to its completion. 'loud. πρῶτον κ. Ελλ.] This is the Jewish expression for all mankind, as "Ελλ. κ. βαρβ. ver. 14 is the Greek one. "Ελλ. here includes all Gentiles. πρῶτον is not first in order of time, but principally (compare ch. ii. 9), spoken of national precedence, in the sense in which the Jews were to our Lord of You, John i. 11. Salvation was ἐκ τῶν Ἰουδαίων, John iv. 22. See ch. ix. 5; xi. 24. Not that the Jew has any preference under the gospel; only he inherits, and has a precedence. οὐδε γὰρ ἐπεὶ δὴ πρῶτός ἐστι, καὶ πλέον λαμβάνει τῆς χάριτος ἡ γὰρ αὐτὴ δωρεὰ καὶ τούτω κὰνείνω δίδοται ἀλλὰ τάξεώς ἐστι τιμὴ μόνον τὸ πρῶτος. Chrys. Hom. 17. An explanation, how iii. p. 415. the gospel is the power of God to salvation, and how it is so to the believer :because in it God's righteousness (not His attribute of righteousness,- 'the righteousness of God,' but righteonsness flowing from, and acceptable to Him) is unfolded,

and the more, the more we believe. I subjoin De Wette's note on δικ. θεοῦ. "The Greek δικ. and the Heb. ברקה are taken sometimes for 'virtue' and 'piety' which men possess or strive after, - sometimes imputatively, for 'freedom from blame' or 'justification.' The latter meaning is most usual with Paul : Suc. is that which is so in the sight of God (ch. ii. 13), the result of His justifying forensic Judgment, or of 'Imputation' (ch. iv. 5). It may certainly be imagined, that a man might obtain justification by fulfilling the law: in that case his righteousness is an ίδία [δικαιοσύνη] (ch. x. 3), a δικ. ἐκ τοῦ νόμου (Phil. iii. 9). But it is impossible for him to obtain a 'righteousness of his own,' which at the same time shall avail before God (ch. iii. 20; Gal. ii. 16). The Jews not only have not fulfilled the law (ch. iii. 9—19), but could not fulfil it (vii. 7 ff.): the Gentiles likewise have rendered themselves obnoxious to the divine wrath (i. 24-32). God has ordained that the whole race should be included in disobedience. Now if man is to become righteous from being unrighteous,-this can only happen by God's grace, - because God declares him righteous, assumes him to be righteous, δικαιοί (iii. 24; Gal. iii. 8) :- δικαιούν is not only negative, 'to acquit,' as הצדיק Exod. xxiii. 7; Isa. v. 23; ch. ii. 13 [where however see my note], but also positive, 'to declare righteous:' but never 'to make righteous' by transformation, or imparting of moral strength by which moral perfection may be attained. Justificatio must be taken as the old protestant dogmatists rightly took it, sensu forensi, i. e. imputatively. God justifies for Christ's sake (ch. iii. 22 ff.) on condition of faith in Him as Mediator: the result of His justification is δικαιοσύνη έκ πίστεωs, and as He imparts it freely, it is δικαιοσύνη θεοῦ (gen. subj.) or ἐκ θεοῦ, Phil. iii. 9: so Chrys. &c. (δικ. θεοῦ is ordinarily taken for δικ. παρα θεφ, as Luth.: 'bie Gerechtigfeit bie vor Gott gilt:' compare ch. ii. 13; iii. 20; Gal. iii. 11; but that this is at least not necessary, see 2 Cor. v. 21). This justification is certainly an objective act of God: but it must also be subjectively apprehended, as its condition is subjective. It is the acquittal from guilt, and cheer-

17. for γαρ, δε A Clem. aft δικαιος ins μου (as LXX-A) C¹; aft εκ πιστεως (as LXX-B) syr Eus Jer: txt ABDGKLN rel Clem Chr Thdrt Iren-int Ambr.

fulness of conscience, attained through faith in God's grace in Christ,-the very frame of mind which would be proper to a perfectly righteous man,-if such there were,-the harmony of the spirit with God, -peace with God. All interpretations which overlook the fact of imputation (the R.-Cath., that of Grotius, Baumgarten-Crusius, &c.) are erroneous." To say, with Jowett, that all attempts to define δικαιοσ. θεοῦ are "the after-thoughts of theology, which have no real place in the interpretation of Scripture," is in fact to shut our eyes to the great doctrinal facts of Christianity, and float off at once into uncertainty about the very foundations of the Apostle's argument and our own faith: of which uncertainty his note here is an ėν αὐτῷ in it, eminent example. ' the gospel:' not, in τω πιστεύοντι.

ἀποκαλύπτεται generally used of making known a thing hitherto concealed: but here of that gradually more complete realization of the state of justification before God by faith in Christ, which is the continuing and increasing gift of God to the believer ἐκ πίστεως] " ἐκ in the gospel. points to the condition, or the subjective ground. πίστις is faith in the sense of trust, and that (a) a trustful assumption of a truth in reference to knowledge = conviction: (b) a trustful surrender of the soul, as regards the feeling. Here it is especially the latter of these: that trust reposed in God's grace in Christ, which tranquillizes the soul and frees it from all guilt,-and especially trust in the atoning death of Jesus. Bound up with this (not by the meaning of the words, but by the idea of unconditional trust, which excludes all reserve) is humility, consisting in the abandonment of all merits of a man's own, and recognition of his own unworthiness and need of redemption." De Wette.

eis πίστιν | ἀπὸ πίστεως ἄρχεται κ. eis πιστεύωντα λήγει (Œcum.) seems the most probable interpretation, making πίστιν almost = τοὺς πιστεύωντας, see ch. iii. 22: but not entirely,—it is still the aspect, the phase, of the man, which is receptive of the δικαιοσύνη θεοῦ, and to this it is revealed. The other interpretations,—"for the increase of faith' (Meyer),—'that faith

may be given to it' (Fritzsche, Tholuck, Krebs),—'proceeding from faith, and leading to a higher degree of faith'. (Baumg.-Crus.),—do not seem so suitable or forcible. It will be observed that ἐκ π. εἰs π. is taken with ἀποκαλύπτεται, not with δικαιοσύνη. The latter connexion would do for ἐκ π., but not for εἰs π.

would do for $\epsilon \kappa \pi$, but not for $\epsilon i s \pi$. καθώς γέγρ.] He shews that righteousness by faith is no new idea, but found in the prophets. The words (ref.) are cited again in Gal. iii. 11; Heb. x. 38, in the former place with the same purpose as here. They are used in Habakkuk with reference to credence given to the prophetic word: but properly speaking, all faith is one, in whatever word or act of God reposed: so that the Apostle is free from any charge of forcing the words to the present purpose. The two ways of arranging them, δ δίκαιος -- ἐκ πίστεως ζήσεται, and ὁ δίκαιος ἐκ πίστεως - ζήσεται, in fact amount to the same: if the former, which is more agreeable to the Heb., be taken, ζήσεται must mean, 'shall live on,' endure in his δικοιοσύνη, by means of faith, which would assert that it was a δικαιοσύνη of faith, as strongly as does the latter. See by all means, on the quotation, Umbreit's note: and Delitzsch, der Proph. Habakuk, p. 51 ff. This latter remarks (I quote from Philippi), "The Apostle rests no more on our text than it will bear. He only places its assertion, that the life of the just springs from his faith, in the light of the N. T."

CHAP. I. 18-XI. 36. THE DOCTRINAL EXPOSITION OF THE ABOVE TRUTH: THAT THE GOSPEL IS THE POWER OF GOD UNTO SALVATION TO EVERY ONE THAT BELIEV-ETH. And herein, ch. i. 18-iii. 20,-inasmuch as this power of God consists in the revelation of God's righteousness in man by faith, and in order to faith the first requisite is the recognition of man's unworthiness, and incapability to work a righteousness for himself,—the Apostle begins by proving that all, Gentiles and Jews, are GUILTY before God, as holding back the truth in unrighteousness. And FIRST, ch. i. 18.] He 18-32, OF THE GENTILES. first states the general fact, of all mankind; but immediately passes off to the consideraπάσαν z ἀσέβειαν καὶ ἀδικίαν ἀνθρώπων τῶν τὴν ἀλήθειαν z ch. xi. 20 έν ἀδικία a κατεχόντων, 19 b διότι τὸ c γνωστὸν τοῦ θεοῦ i ii. 16. Tit. II. Jade

15, 18 only. Jer. v. 6. (-βης, ch. iv. 5. -βείν, 2 Pet. ii. 6.) a = 2 Thess. ii. 6 (see note). b = Acta xvin. 10, or 1 Cor. xv. 9. c = Acts i. 19 ref. (see note.) constr., see Winer, § 34. 2.

18. ins των bef ανθρωπων D'G.

tion of the majority of mankind, the Gentiles; reserving the Jews for exceptional consideration afterwards. ἀποκ. γάρ] The statement of ver. 17 was, that the RIGHTROUNESS of GoD is revealed. The necessary condition of this revelation is, the DESTRUCTION of the righteonsuces of MAN by the revelation of God's anger against sin,

ἀποκαλύπτεται, not in the Gospel (as Grot.): not in men's consciences (as Tholuck, ed. 1, Reiche): not in the miserable state of the then world (as Köllner): but (as implied indeed by the adjunct ἀπ' οὐρανοῦ,—that it is a providential, universally-to-be-seen revelation) in the PUNISH-MENTS which, ver. 24, God has made to follow upon sin, see also ch. ii. 2 (so De W., Meyer, Tholuck, ed. 5, &c.). So that ἀποκ. is of an objective reality here, not of an evangelic internal and subjective unfolding.

δργή θεοῦ is anthropopathically, but with the deepest truth, put for the righteousness of God in punishment (see ch. ii. 8; v. 9; Eph. ii. 3; Matt. iii. 7; John iii. 36). It is the opposite, in the divine attributes, to Love (De W.).

ἀπ' ούρ. (see above) belongs to ἀποκαλύπτεται, not to θεοῦ, nor to ὀργὴ θεοῦ (ἡ àπ' οὐρ.). ἀπ' οὐρ.). ἀσέβειαν, godlessness; ἀδικίαν, iniquity: but neither term is exclusive of the other, nor to be formally pressed to its limits. They overlap and include each other by a large margin: the specific difference being, that ἀσέβ. is more the fountain (but at the same time partially the result) of ἀδικία, - which ἀδικ. is more the result (but at the same time partially the fountain) of ἀσέβεια. ἀδικ. is the state of the thoughts and feelings and habits, induced originally by forgetfulness of God, and in its turn inducing impieties of all kinds. We may notice by the way, that the word aσέβεια forms an interesting link to the Pastoral Epistles. άνθρ. τῶν την άλ. ἐν ἀδικία κατεχόντων] of men who hold back the truth in iniquity: who, possessing enough of the germs of religious and moral verity to preserve them from abandonment, have checked the development of this truth in their lives, in the love and practice of sin. That this is the meaning of κατεχόντων here is plain from this circumstance: that wherever κατέχω in the N. T. signifies 'to hold,' it VOL. 11.

is emphatic, 'to hold fast,' or 'to keep to,' or 'to take or have complete possession of: see for the first, Luke viii. 15; 1 Cor. xi. 2; xv. 2; 1 Thess. v. 21; Heb. iii. 6, 14; x. 23: for the second, Luke xiv. 9 (every other place except the lowest being excluded): for the third, Matt. xxi. 38; 1 Cor. vii. 30. Now no such emphatic sense will apply here. If the word is to mean 'holding,' it must be only in the loosest and least emphatic sense: 'having a half and indistinct consciousness of, which does not at all correspond to the κατά, indicating vehemence of purpose, as in καταφιλέω, &c. But the meaning 'keeping back,' 'hindering the development of -while it has a direct example in Paul's own usage in ref., and in Luke iv. 42, and indirect ones in [the spurious John v. 4] Acts xxvii. 40; eh. vii. 6; Philem. 13,admirably suits the sense, that men had (see vv. 19 ff.) knowledge of God sufficient, if its legitimate work had been allowed, to have kept them from such excesses of enormity as they have committed, but that this ἀλήθεια they κατείχον ἐν ἀδικία, i.e. crushed, quenched, in (as the element, conditional medium in which) their state and practice of unrighteousness. It is plain that to take έν ἀδικία for ἀδίκως (as Theophyl. and Reiche) is to miss the force of the expression altogether—the pregnant ev, 'in and by,' implying that it is their αδικία,—the very absence of δικαιοσύνη for which the argument contends,—which is the status wherein, and the instrument whereby, they hold back the truth lit up in their consciences. 19.] διότι, because, may either give the reason why the anger of God is revealed, and thus apply to all that follows as far as ver. 32, being taken up again at vv. 21, 24, 26, 28 (so Meyer): or may explain $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dots \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \chi$. (so Thol.); which latter seems most probable: the subauditum being, '(this charge I bring against them), because.' For he proves, first (ver. 20) that they had the άλήθεια; then (vv. 21 ff.) that they held τὸ γνωστόν, that which is it back. To Ywortow, hat wither the known, the objective knowledge patent and recognized in Creation:—so Chrys, Theodoret, Luther, Reiche, Meyer, De Wette, al.:—not 'that which may be known' (as Orig., Theophyl., Che., Erasm., Your Charles of the Comment of the

11cb. xi. 27
only, Gen. τους, 21 q διότι τ γνόντες τον τ θεον ουχ ως θεον ε δοζασαν
1.2 lsa.
1.2 lsa.
1.2 lsa.
1.2 lsa.
1.2 lsa.
1.2 lsa.
1.3 mace, ix. 5 only,
1.5 ph. ii. 10 only, 1sa. xxix. 10.
only, Nam. xxiv. 2. lob. x. 4 only,
1.5 ph. ii. 10 only, 1sa. xxiv. 2. lob.
1.5 ph. ii. 10 only, 1sa. xxiv. 2. lob.
1.5 ph. ii. 10 only, 1sa. xxiv. 2. lob.
1.5 ph. ii. 10 only, 1sa. xxiv. 2. lob.
1.5 ph. ii. 10 only, 1sa.
1.5 ph. iii. 10 only, 1sa.
1.5 ph. ii

19. for $\delta_{io\tau_i}$, σ_{i} D'G Chr. ree $\gamma a \rho$ bef $\theta \epsilon o s$, with D³KL Ath, Th1 Œe: txt ABCD'GN m 17 Orig3 Ens Ath, Chr Thdrt. 20. for $\alpha o \rho$, $\sigma_{i} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{i}$ om $\alpha \delta_{i} \sigma_{i} s$ om $\alpha \delta_{i} \sigma_{i} s$ L.

Beza, Grot., al.), which would assert what, as simple matter of fact, was not the case, that all which could be known of God was φανερόν ἐν αὐτοῖς. He speaks now not of what they might have known of God, but of what they did know. Thus τὸ γνωστ.τ. θεοῦ will mean, that universal objective knowledge of God as the Creator which we find more or less in every nation under heaven, and which, as matter of historical fact, was proved to be in possession of the great Gentile nations of antiquity.

φαν. ἐστ. ἐν αὐτοῖς] is evident in them, i. e. in their hearts: not, to them (as Luth.),—nor, among them (as Erasm., Grot., &c.); for if it had been a thing acknowledged among them, it would not have been κατεχόμενον. Every man has in him this knowledge; his senses convey it to him (see next verse) with the phænoò θ. γ. ἐφ.] gives mena of nature. the reason why that which is known of God is manifest in them, viz. because God Himself so created the world as to leave impressed on it this testimony to Him-Notice, and keep to, the historic aorist, εφανέρωσεν, not hath manifested it' (perf.), but manifested it, viz. at the Creation. This is important for the right understanding of ἀπὸ κτ. κόσμ. ver. 20.

20. For (justifying the clause preceding) His invisible attributes (hence the plur, applying to δύναμις and θειδνης which follow), ἀπὸ κτίστως κόσμ, from the time of the creation, when the manifestation was made by God: not = ἐκ κτίστως κ. ' by the creation of the world; which would be tautological, τοῦς ποιμαν νοούμενα following, besides that κτίσις κόσμου cannot = ἡ κτίσις, in the sense of 'the creation,' i. e. 'the creatures.' Himbreit has here a long and important note on O. T. prophecy in general, which will be found well worth study. τοῦς ποιψι, νοούμ, being understood (apprehended by the mind, see reff.) by means of

His works (of creation and sustenance,—not here of moral government),—καθοράται, are perceived; not, 'are plainly seen,'—this is not the sense of κατὰ in καθοράκ, but rather that of looking down on, taking a survey of, and so apprehending or perceiving. ΄΄ τε ἀίδ. αὐτ. δύν.] His eternal Power. Το this the evidence of Creation is plainest of all: Eternal, and Almighty, have always been recognized epithets of the Creator.

κ. θειότης] and Divinity (not Godhead, which would be $\theta\epsilon\delta\tau\eta s$). The fact that the Creator is divine ; - is of a different nature from ourselves, and accompanied by distinct attributes, and those of the highest order,-which we call divine. είναι αὐτ. ἀναπολ.] είς τὸ with an inf. never properly indicates only the result, 'so that;' but is often used where the result, and the intention, are bound together in the process of thought. This is done by a very natural habit in speaking and writing, of transferring one's self to the position of the argument, and regarding that which contributed to a result, as worked purposely for that result. And however true it is, that in the doings of the Allwise, all results are purposed, -to give the sense 'in order that they might be inexcusable,' would be manifestly contrary to the whole spirit of the argument, which is bringing out, not at present God's sovereignty in dealing with man, but man's inexcusableness in holding back the truth by unrighteousness. els ro, then, in this case, is most nearly expressed by wherefore, or so that. See Winer, edn. 6, § 44. 6. où διά τοῦτο ταῦτα πεποίηκεν δ θεός, εἰ καὶ τοῦτο εξέβη, οὐ γὰρ Ίνα αὐτοὺς ἀπολογίας ἀποστερήση, διδασκαλίαν τοσαύτην είς μέσον προύθηκεν, άλλ' Ίνα αὐτὸν ἐπιγνῶσιν άγνωμονήσαντες δε πάσης εαυτούς απεστέρησαν ἀπολογίας. Chrys. Hom. iv. p. 450.

21. διότι] expands ἀναπολογήτους— without excuse, because . . . γνόντες]

η τηθχαρίστησαν, άλλ' εματαιώθησαν έν τοῖς διαλο- t1 cor. xl. 24 $\frac{22\cdot y}{2}$ φάσκοντες είναι σοφοί $\frac{2}{3}$ έμωράνθησαν $\frac{23}{3}$ καὶ $\frac{3}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{3}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{3}{3}$ είναι σον $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{3}{3}$ είναι $\frac{1}{3}$ είναι $\frac{$ 1 φθαρτοῦ ἀνθρώπου καὶ 2 πετεινών καὶ 1 τετραπόδων καὶ 3 παρέδωκεν αὐτοὺς 0 φικοι έν 1 καὶ 1 παρέδωκεν αὐτοὺς 0 θέος εν 1 σοκ 1 καὶ 1 παρέδωκεν αὐτοὺς 0 θέος εν 1 σοκ 1 καὶ 1 ι έρπετων. 24 k διὸ [k καὶ] ι παρέδωκεν αὐτοὺς ὁ θεὸς έν ταίς mn έπιθυμίαις των "καρδιών αὐτών είς ° ἀκαθαρσίαν Loke xxiii.

45. Rev. viii. 12) only.

45. Rev. viii. 12) only.

5 Loke xxiii. 20 only.

5 Acts xxiv. 9. xxv. 19 only. Gen. xxvi. 20. 2 Macc. xiv. 27, 32 only.

15. Lake xiv. 34) only. Jer. x. 13. 2 Kings xxiv. 10.

6 1 Cor. ix. 25. xv. 52. 1 Tim. i. 17. 1 Pet 1, 4, 23. iii. 4 only †. Wisd. xii 1. xviii. 4 only. (-e/ra, ch. ii. 7) constr. p. 1. c.

6 1 Cor. ix. 25. xv. 52. 1 Tim. i. 17. 1 Pet 1, 4, 23. iii. 4 only †. Wisd. xii 1. xviii. 4 only. (-e/ra, ch. ii. 7) constr. p. 1. c.

7 1 Cor. ix. 25. xv. 53, 51. 1 Pet 1. ix. 23 only †. Wisd. ix. 15 49. 2 Macc. vii. 14 only.

14 only.

15 1 Cor. ix. 25. xv. 55, 51. 1 Pet 1. ix. 23 only †. Wisd. ix. 15 49. 2 Macc. vii. 14 only.

16 2 constr. vv. 96, 28. ch. vii. 17. Eph. iv. 19. Isa. xxxiii. 23. Sr. iv. 19.

17 1 Sa. xxvii. 23 constr. vv. 96, 28. ch. vii. 17. Eph. iv. 19. Isa. xxxiii. 23. Sr. iv. 19.

2 Cor. xii. 21. Eph. iv. 19 als) only, exc. Matt. xxiii. 27. Prov. vi. 16.

[ηυχαριστησαν, so ACDEN c d k l m n 17 Clem Orig Eus Ath 21. om η A. Cyr Thdrt Thl.] αλλα B. καρδια bef αυτων D¹G vulg.

23. ηλλαξαντο K c g h k Orig₁ Eus Cyr Thdrt₁ Thl. 24. om και ABCN 17 vulg Orig Did Damasc Aug Ambrst Pelag: ins DGKL rel syr

'with the knowledge above stated.' This participle testifies plainly that matter of fact, and not of possibility, has been the subject of the foregoing verses. From this point, we take up what they MIGHT HAVE DONE, but DID NOT. θεὸν ἐδόξ.] They did not give Him glory (δοξάζω here principally of recognition by worship) As God, i. e. as the great Creator of all, distinct from and infinitely superior to all His works. Bengel well divides εδόξασαν and ηὐχαρίστησαν-"Gratias agere debemus ob beneficia: glorificare ob ipsas virtutes divinas." They did neither: in their religion, they deposed God from His place as Creator,-in their lives, they were ungrateful by the abuse of έματαιώθησαν ταπιις, vanus fuit, is used of worshipping idols, 2 Kings xvii. 15; Jer. ii. 5, and הבל, vanitas, of an idol, Dent. xxxii. 21; 1 Kings xvi. 26 al.: and hence probably the word ματαιόω was here chosen. διαλογισμοῖς] their thoughts: but generally in N. T. in a bad sense: they became vain (idle, foolish) έσκοτίσθη ή in their speculations. άσύν. αὐτ. καρδ.] ἀσύνετος is not the result of ἐσκοτ.,—' became darkened so as to lose its understanding,'-but the converse, -their heart (καρδία of the whole inner man,-the seat of knowledge and feeling) being foolish (unintelligent, not retaining God in its knowledge) became dark (lost the little light it had, and wandered blindly in the mazes of folly). 22. φάσκοντες είν. σοφ.] Not, 'because they professed themselves wise,' but while they professed themselves wise - professing themselves

to be wise. The words relate perhaps not so much to the schools of philosophy, as to the assumption of wisdom by the Greeks in general, see 1 Cor. i. 22, of which assumption their philosophers were indeed eminent, but not the only examples.

23. ήλλαξαν κ.τ.λ.] quoted from ref. Ps., only την δόξαν αὐτῶν, 'their glory,' of the Psalm, is changed to 'God's glory,'—viz. His Power and Majesty visible in the Creation. ¿v represents the conditional element in which the change άφθάρτου and φθαρτοῦ subsisted. shew by contrast the folly of such a substitution: He who made and upholds all things must be incorruptible, and no corruptible thing can express His likeness.

δμοιώματι εἰκόνος the similitude of the form-είκονος generalizes it to mean the human form,-it not being any one particular man, but the form of man (examples being abundant) to which they degraded God,-and so of the other creatures. Deities of the human form prevailed in Greece-those of the bestial in Egypt. Both methods of worship were 24-32.] Immopractised in Rome. rality, and indeed bestiality, were the sequel of idolatry. 24. The Kai after sequet of naturers. 22. The kat after both may import, As they advanced in departure from God, so God also on His part gave them up, &c.;—His dealings with them had a progression likewise. παρέδωκεν] not merely permissive, but judicial: God delivered them over. As

sin begets sin, and darkness of mind deeper darkness, grace gives place to judgment, and the divine wrath hardens men, and

P του 9 ατιμάζεσθαι τὰ σώματα αὐτων έν αὐτοῖς, 25 1 οἴτινες ABCDG p = 1 Cor. x. 13 reff. q Acts v. 41 * μετήλλαξαν την ' ἀλήθειαν τοῦ θεοῦ " έν τῷ ' ψεύδει και cátgh reff.
r = Acis x. 41
reff.
s here bis only.
Esth. ii. 20
vat. A. (not
F.) Esdr. i.
31 (29) al.
+ bere only. w έσεβάσθησαν και x έλάτρευσαν τῆ y κτίσει z παρά τὸν ο 17 ^a κτίσαντα, ος έστιν ^b εὐλογητὸς ^{bc} εἰς τοὺς αίωνας, ἀμήν. 26 διὰ τοῦτο d παρέδωκεν αὐτοὺς ὁ θεὸς εἰς e πάθη f ἀτιμίας:

αί τε γαρ ε θήλειαι αυτών Γμετήλλαξαν την h φυσικήν

Ath Chr Thdrt Thl Œe. om o bees C1(appy) Did Ath-mss. rec εαυτοις, with D'EGKL 17 rel Chr. Thart Damase The Ee: txt ABCD'& copt Chr.

26. for χρησιν, κτισιν D1: sensum D-lat.

aft παρα φυσιν, add χρησιν D'G vulg arm Jer.

27. for τε, δε AD¹G d l 17 vulg syr Clem Ath Chr Thdrt Damase Thl Aug Ruf Ambrst: om C a1 b h o copt Orig Jer Ruf1: txt BD3KL& Syr æth Œc. ree (1st) αρρενες, with ACD3LN rel Ath Chr Thdrt Thl: txt BD1G (c?) Athen

hurries them on to more fearful degrees of depravity. ἐν ταῖς ἐπιθ.] in the lusts—not by nor through the lusts (as Erasmus and E. V.); -the lusts of the heart were the field of action, the department of their being, in which this dishonour took ἀκαθαρσίαν] more than mere place. profligacy in the satisfaction of natural lust (as Olsh.); for the Apostle uses cognate words ἀτιμάζεσθαι and ἀτιμία here and in ver. 26 :- bestiality; impurity in the physical, not only in the social and religious τοῦ ἀτιμάζεσθαι] the genitive may imply either (1) the purpose of God's delivering them over to impurity, 'that their bodies should be dishonoured,' or (2) the result of that delivering over, 'so that their bodies were dishonoured,' or (3) the nature of the ἀκαθαρσία, as πάθη ἀτιμίας below, - 'impurity, which consisted in their bodies being dishonoured.' The second of these seems most accordant with the usage of the Apostle and with the argument. ἀτιμάζεσθαι is most likely passive (Beza, al. De Wette), as the middle of ἀτιμάζω in not found in use. And this is confirmed by the old and probably gennine reading avrois, which has been altered to ¿autois from imagining that 'they' was the subject to ἀτιμάζεσθαι. So that their bodies were dishonoured among them. 25. This verse casts light on the $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ $\dot{a} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta$. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ $\dot{a} \delta i \kappa \dot{i} \alpha$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \chi \dot{\delta} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ of ver. 18. The truth of God (the

true notion of Him as the Creator) which they professed, they changed into (see on έν, ver. 23) a lie (ψεῦδος = ης ψ, used of idols, Jer. xvi. 19), thus counteracting its legitimate agency and depriving it of all power for good. σεβάζομαι, of the honour of respect and observance and reverence, -λατρεύω, of formal worship with sacrifice and offering. Both verbs belong to τη κτίσει; though σεβάζομαι would require an accusative, λατρεύω, the nearest, takes the government. кт.] the thing made, the creature—a general term for all objects of idolatrous worship. mapá, beyond-which would amount to the exclusion of the Creator.

The doxology expresses the horror of the Apostle at this dishonour, and puts their sin in a more striking light. But we need not supply εί καὶ οὖτοι Εβρισαν, as εύλογητός is Blessed, κατ' έξοχήν: the LXX put for it the perf. part., Ps. exvii. 24. The adjective is usually of 26. πάθη God: the participle, of man. άτιμ.,—see above, ver. 24,—stronger than άτιμα πάθη, as setting forth the status, άτιμία, to which the πάθη belonged. Contrast 1 Thess. iv. 4, τδ ξαυτοῦ σκεῦος κτᾶσθαι ἐν τιμῆ. χρῆσιν] usum venereum; see examples in Wetstein. This abuse is spoken of first, as being the most revolting to nature. "In peccatis arguendis sæpe scapha debet scapha dici. Pudorem præposterum ii fere postulant qui

^q έξεκαύθησαν έν τη Γορέζει αὐτῶν εἰς ἀλλήλους, ° ἄρσενες ^{q here only}. 20. Diod. εν αρσεοίν την ανχικών π λάνης αὐτων έν εαυτοίς $\frac{x}{a}$ πο- $\frac{10}{10}$ επιμισθίαν ην έδει της $\frac{x}{a}$ πλάνης αὐτων έν εαυτοίς $\frac{x}{a}$ πο- $\frac{10}{10}$ επιμισθές $\frac{x}{a}$ πο- $\frac{10}{10}$ επιμισθές $\frac{x}{a}$ πο- $\frac{10}{10}$ επιμισθές $\frac{x}{a}$ πο- $\frac{x}{a}$ πολαμβάνοντες. ²⁸ καὶ καθώς οὐκ ⁹ εδοκίμασαν τὸν θεὸν λαμβάνοντες. 28 καὶ καθώς οὐκ 9 έδοκίμασαν τὸν θεὸν 81 , xxiii. 28 έχειν έν 8 έπιγνώσει, 5 παρέδωκεν αὐτοὺς ὁ θεὸς 5 εἰς 18 here (Rev. xxi 1.5) oily. 5 έλοκ μον νοῦν 7 παρέν 18 18 καθήκοντα 29 6 πεπληρώς. ' άδόκιμον νοῦν, ποιείν τὰ μὴ ακαθήκοντα, 29 ° πεπληρωμένους f πάση g άδικία, hk πονηρία, il πλεονεξία, km κακία,

(-μων, 1 Cor.

xii. 23. -4 ove(v. 1 Cor. vii. 36.) u = ch. ii. 9 reff. v 2 Cor. vi. 13 only 1. w = James v. 20. 2 Pet. ii. 18. Jude 11. Ezek. xxxiii. 10. y = here only t. Jos. Anti. 1. (14. Nom. xxxiv. 14. 2 Mace. iv. 40. vi. 24. vii. 6 only 7 iii. 10. v y = here only t. Jos. Anti. 11. 7. 4 see ch. xiv. 22 reff. 1 Cor. iii. 32 reff. ch. iii. 2 = 1 Tini. ii. 4. segree è verrour or de airiac, Tinic. ii. 18. see Viger, 50. vi. 22 colly. 10. vi. 10. vi. 10. vi. 10. vi. 20. vi. 10. vi. 10. vi. 20. vi. 10. vi.

αρρενες (2nd) ΛCΝ¹ b¹ 17 Clem Orig Ath₅ Chr Thdrt: txt BDGL εν αρρεσι ΛΝ¹ 5. 17 Clem Orig Ath₁ Thdrt: txt BCDGL Ν-corr¹ Orig Eus Œc. N-corr1 Thi Œc. th₁ Chr Thl Œc. for εαντ., αυτοις BK 35. 28. om ο θεος A N'(ins corr') 2 Nyss Ath Damase Hil-mss Vict-tun: Chr has it bef Ath, Chr Thl Œc.

29. rec aft αδικια ins πορνεια, with L rel syrr Thdrt Thl Œc Ennod, and D'EG vulg Lucif Ruf Ambrst aft κακια, omg πονηρια: om ABCK 17 copt æth Ephr Bas Chr Isid κακια bef πλεονεξ. ΑΝ Ephr Aug: κακ. Max Gennad Damasc Aug Ruf-comm. πον. πλ. C (d) 17 copt wth Isid Max Damasc : κακ. πορνεια πλεον. D'G 2. 46. 71. 92 (aft πορν. ins πονηρ. D3): txt BK(omg πονηρ.) L rel syr Bas Chr Thdrt Thl Œc.

pudicitia carent . . . Gravitas et ardor stili judicialis, proprietate verborum non violat verecundiam." Bengel. 27.] τὴν àσχημ. perhaps, as De W., 'the (well-known, too frequent) indecency,'—'cui ipsa corporis . . . conformatio reclamat, Bengel: but more probably the article is only generic, as in 2 Pet. i. 5-8 reτην ἀντιμισθίαν The peatedly. Apostle treats this à τιμία into which they fell, as a consequence of, a retribution for, their departure from God into idolatry,with which in fact it was closely connected. This shame, and not its consequences, which are not here treated of, is the avriμισθία of their πλάνη, their aberration from the knowledge of God, which they received. This is further shewn by hu έδει in the past tense. εί γὰρ καὶ μὴ γέεννα ην, μηδέ κόλασις ήπείλητο, τοῦτο πάσης κολάσεως χεῖρου ἢν. εἰ δὲ ἤδονται, τὴν προςθήκην μοι λέγεις τῆς τιμωρίας. Clnys. Hom. v. p. 457. ἐν ἐαυτοῖς, Chrys. Hom. v. p. 457. in their own persons, viz. by their degradation even below the beasts.

28.] The play on δοκιμάζω and ἀδόκιμος can hardly be expressed in any other language. 'Non probaverunt' and 'reprobum' of the Vulgate does not give it. Because they reprobated the knowledge of God, God gave them over to a reprobate mind, is indeed a very inadequate, but as far as the form of the two words is concerned, an accurate representation of it.

(Mr. Conybeare gives it, - "As they thought fit to cast out the acknowledgment of God, God gave them over to an onteast mind.") For åðóκμος is not 'judicii expers' (as Beza, Tholuck, &c.), but reprobate, rejected by God. God withdrew from them His preventing grace and left them to the evil which they had chosen. The active sense of ἀδόκιμος, besides being altogether unexampled, would, in the depth of its meaning, be inconsistent with the assertion of the passage. God did not give them up to a mind which had lost the faculty of discerning, but to a mind judicially abandoned to that depravity which, being well able to exercise the δοκιμασία required, not only does not do so, but in the headlong current of its abandonment to evil, sympathizes with and encourages (ver. 32) its practice in others. It is the 'video meliora proboque,' which makes the 'deteriora sequor' so peculiarly criminal.

ούκ έδοκίμασαν έχειν is not = έδοκίμ. οὐκ έχειν (as Dr. Burton): the latter would express more a deliberate act of the judgment ending in rejection of God, whereas the text charges them with not having exercised that judgment which would, if exercised, have led to the retention of God in έχειν έν έπιγν.] So their knowledge. Job xxi. 14,-"they say to God, Depart from us: for we desire not the knowledge of thy ways," and xxii. 15-17.

29-31.] πεπληρωμένους belongs to the-

n=Matt. n μ εστοὺς $^{\text{opq}}$ φθόνου, $^{\text{o}}$ φόνου, $^{\text{opr}}$ ἔριδος, δόλου, $^{\text{s}}$ κακυηθείας, ABCDG xxiii, 24, and $^{\text{matt.}}$ ψ ιθυριστάς, $^{\text{20}}$ $^{\text{u}}$ καταλάλους, $^{\text{v}}$ θεοστυγείς, $^{\text{w}}$ ὑβριστάς, $^{\text{cd f g h}}$ $k \mid \text{Im n}$ 1. Nah., i.i. $^{\text{matt.}}$ ὑπερηφάνους, $^{\text{xz}}$ ἀλαζόνας, $^{\text{h}}$ ψευρετὰς κακών, $^{\text{w}}$ γονεύσιν $^{\text{o}}$ 17 $^{\text{o}}$ $^{\text{o}}$ $^{\text{matt.}}$ xxiii, 181 $^{\text{matt.}}$ $^{\text{matt.}$ $^{\text{matt.}}$ $^{\text{matt.}$ $^{\text{matt.}}$ $^{\text{matt.}}$ $^{\text{matt.}}$ $^{\text{matt.}$ $^{\text{matt.}}$ $^{\text{matt.}}$ $^{\text{matt.}}$ $^$

Nah.i. 10 ** Dπεθηθράνους;
 (Gal. v. 20, 21.]
 (Gal. v. 20, 21.]
 Jamesiv. 5.
 1 Pet, ii. 1 only. Wisd vi. 23 (25).
 1 Macc. viii. 1 donly.
 a here only†.
 3 Jamesiv. 5.
 1 Pet, ii. 1 only. Wisd vi. 23 (25).
 1 Macc. viii. 1 donly.
 a here only†.
 a Yazova, Aristot. Rhet. ii.
 there only†.
 (-'¿cu, Ps. X.1.).
 va Prov. xx. 1.
 ya Prov. xx. 1.
 ya Prov. xx. 1.
 ya Prov. xx. 1.
 ya Sabove (x). Luke i, 5.1 Jamesiv. iö.
 1 Prov. xx. 1.
 ya sabove (x). Luke i, 5.1 Jamesiv. iö.
 1 Prov. xx. 2.
 ya sabove (x). Luke i, 5.1 Jamesiv. iö.
 1 Prov. xx. 2.
 ya sabove (x). Unke i, 5.1 Jamesiv. iö.
 1 Prov. xx. 2.

φονων G D¹-lat Lucif Ennod: εριδος bef φονου Λ. om δολου A Bas.
30. κακολαλους D¹. [vv 27—30 are in a difft hand from the rest of D.]

subject of $\pi o \iota \epsilon \tilde{\nu} \nu$, understood. The reading $\pi o \rho \nu \epsilon l a$ appears to have arisen out of $\pi o \nu n \rho l \epsilon l$, and is placed by some MSS, after that word, by some after $\kappa \omega \epsilon l \epsilon_0$, ouniting $\pi o \nu$. The Apostle can hardly have written it here, treating as he does all these immoralities of the heart and conscience as results of , and flowing from, the licentious practices of idolatry above specified. Accurate distinctions of ethical meaning can hardly be found for all these words. Without requiring such, or insisting on each excluding the rest, I have collected the most interesting notices respecting them. Umbroth has illustrated their LXX usage and Hebrew equivalents.

άδικία Perhaps a general term, comprehending all that follow: such would be according to the usage of the Epistle: but perhaps to be confined to the stricter import of injustice; of which on the part of the Romans, Wetst. gives abundant testimonies. movneig Ammonius interprets το πονηρόν, το δραστικον κακοῦ,used therefore more of the tempter and seducer to evil. πλεονεξία] covet-ousness (not as 1 Thess. iv. 6, see there), of which the whole provincial government and civil life of the Romans at the time was full. 'Quando | major avaritiæ patuit sinus?' exclaims Juvenal, soon after this. Sat. i. 87. κακία] more the passive side of evil-the capability of and proclivity to evil,—the opposite to ἀρετή:—so Arist. Eth. Nic. ii. 3. 6, ύπόκειται άρα ή άρετη είναι των βελτίστων πρακτική ή δέ κακία, τουναντίον. φθόνου and φόνου are probably put together from similarity of sound. So Eurip. Troad. 770 ff., & Tuyδάρειον έρνος, οὔποτ' εἶ Διὸς | πολλῶν δὲ πατέρων φημί σ' ἐκπεφυκέναι, | 'Αλάστορος μέν πρώτον, είτα δὲ φθόνου, | φόνου τε, θανάτου θ', όσα τε γη τρέφει κακά.

κακοηθείας] see reff. ψιθυρ. secret maligners,—καταλ. open slanderers. The distinction attempted to be set up by Suidas and others, between θεομισής, όπο θεοῦ μισούμενος, and θεομίσης, δ μισῶν τὸν

θεόν, has been applied to θεοστυγεῖς also, which has therefore been written θεοστύγεις. But the distinction is untenable; all compound adjectives in ης being oxyton. θεοστυγής is never found in an active

sense, 'hater of God,' but always in a passive, hated by God (cf. Eur. Troad. 1205, ή θεοστυγής Έλένη: Cycl. 395, τώ θεοστυγεί άδου μαγείρω: ib. 598: so θεοφιλής, Demosth, 1486 ult.: εὐτυχεστάτην πασῶν πόλεων τὴν ὑμετέραν νομίζω καὶ θεοφιλεστάτην: and Æsch. Eum. 831); and such is apparently the sense here. The order of crimes enumerated would be broken, and one of a totally different kind inserted between καταλάλους and ὑβριστάς, if θεοστ. is to signify 'haters of God.' But on the other supposition,-if any crime was known more than another as 'hated by the gods,' it was that of 'delatores,' abandoned persons who circumvented and ruined others by a system of malignant espionage and false information. And the crime was one which the readers of this part of Roman history know to have been the pest of the state; see Tacitus, Ann. vi. 7, where he calls the delatores 'Principiquidem grati, et Deo exosi.' So also Philo, ap. Damascen. (quoted by Wetst.) διάβολοι και θείας αποπέμπτοι χάριτος, οι την αυτην εκείνω διαβολικήν νοσούντες κακοτεχνίαν, θεοστυγείς τε καλ θεομισείς πάντη. It does not follow that the delatores only are intended, but the expression may be used to include all those abandoned persons who were known as Diis exosi, who were employed in pursuits hateful and injurious to their kind. So Wetst., Meyer, Rückert, Fritzsche, De Wette:-the majority of Commentators incline to the active sense, -so Theodoret, Œc., Erasm., Luther, Calv., Beza, Estius, Grot., Tholnek, Reiche, &c. ὑβριστάς] opposed by Xenoph. Mem. i. and Apol. Socr. to σώφρων, 'å discreet and modest man:' but here perhaps, as said by Paul of lumself, ref. 1 Tim., 'qui contumelià afficit,' 'an insulting person.' ὑπερηφάνους]

κὶ ἀπειθεῖς, 31 ε ἀσυνέτους, α ἀσυνθέτους, ε ἀστόργους, $\frac{b \text{ Lake i.i.17.}}{a νελεήμονας}$, $\frac{32}{8}$ ε οἴτινες τὸ $\frac{hi}{6}$ δικαίωμα τοῦ $\frac{b \text{ Ev}}{b}$ $\frac{hk}{6}$ έπιγνόντες, ὅτι οἱ τὰ τοιαῦτα πράσσοντες $\frac{mn}{a}$ ἄξιοι $\frac{n}{6}$ θανάτου $\frac{hk}{6}$ εἰσιν, οὐ μόνον αὐτὰ ποιοῦσιν, ἀλλὰ καὶ $\frac{n}{6}$ συνευδοκοῦσιν $\frac{(-\theta_{\text{eli}}, -\theta_{\text{eli}}, -\theta_{\text{eli}})}{(-\theta_{\text{eli}}, -\theta_{\text{eli}}, -\theta_{\text{eli}})}$ τοῖς πράσσουσιν.

II. 1 Δ to 1 2 2 2 2 3 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 5 6

only†. Æschin. p. 47, 29. f here only. Prov. v. 9. xi. 17. f here only. Prov. v. 9. xi. 17. f h 200 xxxiv. 27. i = Luke i. 6, ch. ii. 26, viii. 4. Heb. ix. 1, 10. Evod. xv. 25. k = 1 Cor. xiii. 12 reft. m = of persons, ch. xiii. 46. Matt. x. 10 al. Deut. xxv. 2. n Acts xxiii. 29 reft. o 1 Cor. vii. 12, 13 ref. pch i. 20 only†. q = ch. xiv. 3, 4 reft.

31. rec aft αστοργουs ins ασπονδους (gloss in marg to explain ασυνθετους), with CD³ KLN³ rel yulg syrr Chr(omg ασυνετους) Thdrt; pref, 17. 76 Thl; bef ασυνθετους D³:

om ABD'GN' fuld' copt Ephr, Damase Lucif.

έστι δε ύπερηφανία καταφρόνητίς τις πλην

32. επιγνωντες I. 17: επιγνωσκοντες B 80: γνοντες Thi: ειδοτες 116 Chr: add ουκ ενογισαν B Bas: ουκ εγγωσαν G 8-pe: ου συγκαν 15: non intellexerunt, or the like, latt. ου μονον γαρ (see above) D¹: ου μ. δε 46 Bas: και ου μ. vulg arm Ambrst. ποιουντες and συνευδοκουντες B: ου μον. οι ποιουντες αυτα αλ. και οι συνευδοκουντες some mentd by Isid vulg(not am¹) D²-lat G-lat arm (Clem-rom) Ephr₁ Bas.

αύτοῦ τῶν ἄλλων, Theophr. Char. 34. It may be observed that Aristotle, Rhet. ii. 16, mentions ύβρισταί and ὑπερήφανοι 'as examples of τφ πλούτφ & έπεται ήθη. άλαζόνας] see reff. δοκεί δὲ καὶ άλαζών είναι ό θρασύς και προςποιητικός ανδρείας, Aristot, Eth. Nic. iii. 10. δοκεί δη δ μέν άλαζων προςποιητικός των ἐνδόξων εἶναι, καὶ μὴ ὑπαρχόντων, καὶ μειζόνων ἡ ὑπάρχει ... [ένεκα δόξης καὶ τιμῆς] καὶ γὰρ ή ύπερβολή και ή λίαν έλλειψις άλαζονικόν, έφευρ. κακ.] 'Sejanus Ibid. iv. 13. omnium facinorum repertor habebatur, Tacit. Ann. iv. 11:—'soclerumque inventor Ulixes,' Virg. Æn. ii. 161: στασιάρχαι, φιλοπράγμονες, κακῶν εύρεταί, ταραξιπόλι-δες, Philo in Flace. § 4, vol. ii. p. 520: πάσης κακίας εδρετής (of Antiochus Epiph.), 2 Macc. vii. 31. ασυνέτους, destitute of (moral) understanding, see Col. i. 9, and reff. Here perhaps suggested by the similarity of sound to acuvbérous, without good faith, οὐκ ἐμμένοντας ταῖς συνθή-Kais, Suid. and Hesych. In the same sense, εὐσυνθετείν and ἀσυνθετείν are opposed by Chrysippus and Plutarch (see ἀστόργους μη ἀγαπῶντάς τινα, Hesych. And Athenæus, speaking of οἱ καλούμενοι ὅρνιθες μελεαγρίδες, - ἐστὶ δὲ ἄστοργον πρὸς τὰ ἔκγονα τὸ ὕρνεον, καὶ ὀλιγωρεῖ τῶν νεωτέρων, xiv. p. 655 c. "In hac urbe nemo liberos tollit, quia, quisquis suos hieredes habet, nee ad eœnas nec ad spectacula admittitur." Petronius, 116. (Wetst.) Apostle advances to the highest grade of moral abandonment,-the knowledge of God's sentence against such crimes, united with the contented practice of them, and encouragement of them in others. τὸ δικαίωμα τ. θ.] the sentence of God, unmistakeably pronounced in the conscience.

őτι κ.τ.λ.] viz. that they who do such things are worthy of death; this is the sentence, and must not be enclosed in a parenthesis, as in Wetstein, Griesbach, θανάτου, what sort of and Scholz. death? Probably a general term for the fatal consequence of sin; that such courses lead to ruin. The word can hardly be pressed to its exact meaning: for many of the crimes mentioned could never be visited with judicial capital punishment in this world (as Grot.): nor could the heathen have any definite idea of eternal, spiritual death, as the penalty attached to sin (Calov.),-nor again, any idea of the connexion between sin and natural death.
"Life and Death," remarks Umbreit, "are ever set over against one another in the O. T. as well as in the N. T., the one as including all good that can befall us, the other, all evil." p. 246. The description here given by the Apostle of the moral state of the heathen world should by all means be compared with that in Thucyd. iii. 82-81, of the moral state of Greece in the Peloponnesian war: and a passage in Wisd. xiv. 22-31, the opening of which is remarkably similar to our text: είτ' οὐκ ήρκεσε τὸ πλανᾶσθαι περί τὴν τοῦ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \ \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$, $\hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \hat{\alpha} \ldots$, ver. 22, and again ver. 27, ή γὰρ τῶν ἀνωνύμων είδώλων θρησκεία παντός άρχη κακοῦ καὶ αἰτία και πέρας ἐστίν.

II. 1—29.] Secondly, THE SAME, that all are guilty before God, IS PROVED OF THE JEWS ALSO. And first, vv.1—11, no man (the practice of the Jews being hinted

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm r\,ch,\,xiv,\,22.} \\ {\rm i\,\,Pr\,ci,\,\,li,\,12.} \\ {\rm s\,\,ch,\,xiii,\,\,8.} \\ {\rm i\,\,Cor\,\,i\,\,r\,\,ch} \\ {\rm i\,\,Cor\,\,i\,\,ch} \\ {\rm i\,\,ch} \\ {\rm i\,\,ch}$

Chap. II. 1. ins $\kappa\rho_{1\mu\alpha\tau_1}$ bef $\kappa\rho_{1\nu\epsilon_1s}$ C¹ m 73. 80. 93. 179 syr-w-ast copt Jer. 2. for $\delta\epsilon_1$ $\gamma\alpha\rho$ CR m 17. 80. 122. 179 vulg D-lat copt arm Chr Pelag: txtABDGKL red Thdrt Damase Thi Ge Tert: om 23 ath.

3. for τουτο, τουτω A.

at) must condemn another, for all alike are guilty. 1.] The address passes gradually to the Jews. They were the people who judged-who pronounced all Gentiles to be born in sin and under condemnation: -doubtless there were also proud and censorious men among the Gentiles, to whom the rebuke might apply, but these are hardly in the Apostle's mind. This is evident by comparing τὰ γὰρ αὐτὰ πράσσεις δ κρίνων with vv. 21-23, where the same charge is implied in a direct address to the Jew. διό, on account of this δικαίωμα θεού decreeing death against the doers of these things-FOR thou doest them thyself. Therefore thy setting thyself up as a judge, is unjustifiable. κρίνων] The Jew is not yet named, but hinted at (see above) : not in order to conciliate the Jews (Rückert), but on account of the as yet purposely general form of the argument. This verse is in fact the major of a syllogism, the minor of which follows, vv. 17-20, where the position here declared to be unjustifiable, is asserted to be assumed by the Jew.

serted to be assumed by the season of the delta of the delta of the matter in which?

2.] of 8. δξ, 'adqui secimus'—now we know.

**weeta 'a serial according to truth, as E. V., be Wette:—not, 'truty,' 'revera' (as Raphel, δc.)—for of δρακρ, on which the emphasis is, implies certain knowledge. Nor does κατὰ ἀλ. belong to κρῦμα, 'judgment according to truth' (as Olsh.),—but to ἐστίν, is, (proceeds) according to justice (John viii. 16).

3.7 Here he approximates nearer to the Jews. They considered that because they were the children of Abraham they should be saved, see Matt. iii. 7, 9. σύ has the viz. ὅτι σὰ ἐκφ., following. emphasis on it, thou thyself,- 'thou above all others.' 4.] \u00e4, or (introducing a new error or objection, see ch. iii. 29; vi. 3; xi. 2), 'inasmuch as God spares thee day by day (see Eccles. viii. 11), dost thou set light by His long-suffering, ignorant that His intent in it is to lead thee to repentance?' πλούτου, - a favourite word with the Apostle (see reff.),-the fulχρηστ., as shewn ness, 'abundance.' by His ανοχή and μακροθ. (reff.)

åγνοῶν, not knowing, - being blind to the truth, that . . . Grot., Thol., al. would render it 'not considering:' but as De Wette remarks, it is a wilful and guilty ignorance, not merely an inconsiderateness, which is blamed in the question. ayer, is leading thee: this is its intent and legitimate course, which thy blindness will frustrate. 'Malo deducit quam invitat; quia illud plus quiddam significat. Neque tamen pro adigere accipio, sed pro manu ducere.'
Calvin. 5.] I am inclined with Lachmann to regard the question as continued. If not, the responsive contrast to the question in ver. 4 would begin more emphatieally than with $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \dots$; it would be σὺ δὲ κατὰ or θησαυρίζεις δὲ σεαυτῷ κατὰ But the enquiry loses itself in the digressive clauses following, and nowhere comes pointedly to an end. I have therefore not placed a mark of interrogaρότητά σου καὶ ὶ ἀμετανόητον καρδίαν ^m θησαυρίζεις σεαυτῷ $^{\rm n}$ $^{\rm$

p = 1 Cor. i. 7, 2 Thess. i. 7 al,
2 Macc. xii. 41.)

q here only t. Hos. vi. 5 (for \(\text{Decivit}\)) fincert, in Hexapl. (\(-\xi\)\(\xi\)

5. for αποκαλυψεως, ανταποδοστως Α πch Cæs-arel. ins και bef δικαιοκριστας D³KLN³ 17 rel syr ach Orig₃ Eus Ephr Bus Chr Thdrt_{supe}; της 3, 33, 35, 108, 121: om ABD'GN¹ vulg Syr copt goth Orig₃ Damase Œc Iren-int Cypr Lucif.

tion at ἄγει or at θεοῦ, as Lachm. does,but have left the construction to explain itself. Katá] not, 'in proportion to' (Meyer), but as E. V. after, 'in consonance with,' 'secundum,'—describing the state out of which the action springs: see ver. 7, καθ' ὑπομονήν. άμεταν.] not admitting that μετανοία to which God is leading thee. έν ήμέρα, not for, nor = είς ἡμέραν, nor should it be rendered 'against the day,' as E. V. I need hardly remind any accurate scholar, that such an interpretation as 'èv for els' is nowhere to be tolerated. It belongs to δργήν, wrath in the day of wrath, 'wrath which shall come upon thee in that day,'-not to θησαυρίζειs, imagining which has led to the mistake. The ἡμέρ. ὀργῆs is the day of judgment, viewed in its relation to sinάποκαλ. δικαιοκρ.] ners: see reff. the manifestation (public enforcement, it having been before latent though determined) of God's righteous judgment. The reading αποκ. καὶ δικαιοκρ. would mean, 'the appearance (reff.) of God, and his righteous judgment,'-not referring merely to the detection of men's hearts, as Origen, Theophyl., Rückert. But the reading is not strongly upheld, nor is it according to the mode of speaking in the argument—see ch. i. 17, 18.

in the approximate the training of the carefully kept in its place in the argument. The Apostle is here speaking generally, of the general system of God in governing the world,—the judging according to each man's works—punishing the evil, and rewarding the righteous. No question at present arises, how this righteousness in God's sight is to be obtained—but the truth is only stated broadly at present, to be further specified by and by, when it is clearly shewn that by ξργα νόμου no flesh can be justified before God. The neglect to observe this has occasioned two mistakes: (1) an idea that by this passage it is proved that not faith only, but works also in some measure, justify before God

(so Toletus in Pool's Syn.), and (2) an idea (Tholuck 1st edn. and Köllner) that by έργου ἀγαθοῦ here is meant faith in Christ. However true it be, so much is certainly not meant here, but merely the fact, that every where, and in all, God punishes evil, and rewards good. 7, 8. τοις μὲν καθ΄ ὑπ.... ὀργὴ κ. θυμός] To those who by endurance in good works seek for glory and honour and immortality (will He render) eternal life: but to those who are (men) of self-seeking, and disobey the truth, but obey iniquity (shall accrue) anger and wrath, &c. The verb ἀποδώσει, ver. 6, should have two accusatives, representing the two sides of the final retribution,—ζωήν αἰών. and ὀργήν, &c. But the second of these is changed to a nominative and connected with foras understood, and made the first member of the following sentence, δόξα δὲ κ.τ.λ. being opposed to it. Thus also two datives belong to ἀποδώσει, viz. τοῖς . . . ζητοῦσιν,and τοις . . . αδικία. Το ζητουσιν belong δόξ. κ. τιμ. κ. ἀφθ. as its accusatives, and καθ' ὑπομ. ἔργ. ἀγ. as its adverb. This, as De Wette remarks, is the only admissible construction: (in opposition to (a) Œeum. and Beza, who divide έργ. άγ. from καθ' ύπομ. (iis quidem qui secundum patientem expectationem quærunt boni operis glo-riam),—(β) Bengel, Knapp, Fritzsche, Olsh., and Krehl, who take τοῖs · · · · άγαθού as meaning 'those who endure in good works' (as Œe. does τοῖς καθ' ὑπομ. those who endure, absol.), and δόξαν ζητοῦσιν, as in apposition with it,-(γ) Photius (in Œcum.), Luther, and Estius, who take it, $\tau \circ \hat{i}s \cdot \dots \cdot \hat{j} \tau \circ \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu \cdot \hat{j} \omega \hat{n} \nu$ alw.,— $\delta \delta \xi \alpha \nu \cdot \kappa \cdot \tau \cdot \lambda \cdot \dots - (\delta)$ Reiche, who takes rois µév,- to the one, alone, and makes καθ' ύπομ. parallel to κατά τὰ ἔργα, representing the rule of judgment, taking the rest as (γ) . έργου, sing. of moral habitude in the whole, the general course of life and action (see reff.). absolute imparted glory like His own, see Matt. xiii. 43; John xvii. 22:-τιμήν, re-

1 al. Ps. $\angle O(EPOV - TO - KRKOV, 10VOR(10) TE \pi OGTOV KG1 - LANT/10 C_X = -bohn xviii. 37, ch. iii. 26, iv. 12, 14, -Gal. iii. 7 al. x. 19, \quad \text{2} \ \text{2} \ \text{col} \ \text{x}\ \text{2} \ \text{1} \ \text{1} \ \text{1} \ \text{1} \ \text{2} \ \text{1} \ \text{2} \ \text{1} \ \text{1} \ \text{2} \ \text{1} \ \text{1} \ \text{2} \ \text{3} \ \text{3} \ \text{2} \ \text{3} \ \text{2} \ \text{3} \ \text{4} \ \text{3} \ \text{3} \ \text{4} \ \text{3} \ \text{3} \ \text{4} \ \text$

8. $\epsilon \rho \eta \theta \epsilon \iota as \ A \ f$: $\epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \theta \iota as \ B^1 D^2 G$: $\epsilon \rho \iota \theta \iota as \ D^1$. om $\mu \epsilon \nu \ BD^1 G R^1 \ Thl$: ins $AD^3 KLR^3$ rel 17 syr Orig Ephr Chr Thdrt Damase Thl $Ee \ Ruf_2$, ree $\theta \nu \mu as \ \kappa a\iota \ \rho \rho \gamma \eta$, with $D^3 KL \ 17$ rel syr Thdrt Ee: txt $ABD^1 G R$ m vulg Syr arm Orig Ephr Damase Thl. 9. $\iota o\nu \delta a\iota \omega$ and $\epsilon \lambda \lambda \gamma \mu \iota \ G$ m 1. 109 D^1 -lat.

cognition, relative precedence, see Matt. x. 32; xxv. 34:- ἀφθαρσίαν, incorruptibility: so the aim of the Christian athlete is described, 1 Cor. ix. 25, as being to obtain στέφανον ἄφθαρτον. 8. τοῖς δὲ ἐξ έριθείας] as in reff., to be supplied by οδσιν, those who live in, act from, are situated in and do their deeds from— ἐριθεία as a status, as οί έξ spoken of place. έριθεία, - not from έρις, from which it is distinguished 2 Cor. xii. 20; Gal. v. 20, but from έριθος, a hired workman, whence ἐριθεύω or -ομαι, properly 'to work for hire,' but met, and generally, 'ambitum exercere,' used principally of official persons, who seek their own purposes in the exercise of their office, and (according to the analogy of παιδεία from παιδεύω, δουλεία from δουλεύω, αλαζονεία from αλαζονεύομαι) εριθεία, 'ambitus,' 'self-seeking,' 'greed.' It stands opposed to ύπομονη έργου αγαθοῦ, which requires selfdenial and forbearance. There seems to be no reason why this, the proper meaning, should not here apply, without seeking for a more far-fetched one, as 'the party spirit of the Jews,' Rückert. mistake of rendering it 'contentiousness,' and imagining a derivation from epis prevailed universally (Orig., Chrys., Theodoret, Theophyl., (Eeum., Hesyeh., ηριθεύετο, ἐφιλονείκει Vulg., Erasm., Grot., &e., and even the more recent English Commentators, Bloomf., Slade, and Peile, vois & έριθείας, i. e. τοις έρίζουσι) according to De Wette, down to Rückert, who first suggested the true derivation. It appears to have arisen from epeblico being somewhat similar in sound. Aristotle uses it in the sense of 'ambitus,' canvassing for office, in Polit, v. 3,-μεταβάλλουσι δε αί πολιτείαι και άνευ στάσεως διά τε τὰς ἐριθείας, ὥςπερ έν 'Ηραία' έξ αίρετων γάρ διά τοῦτο ἐποίησαν κληρωτάς, ὅτι ἡροῦντο τοὺς ἐριθευομέ-

νουs. Fritzsche, who has an excursus on the word, renders of $i\xi$ $\xi \rho i\theta$,—'malitiosi fraudum machinatores.' Ignatius, ad Philad. § 8, p. 704, opposes $\xi \rho i\theta$, to $\chi \rho i\sigma \tau o \mu o \theta i\theta$. On the whole, self-seeking seems best to lay hold of the idea of the word: see note on Phil. i. 16, 17.

word: see note on Fin. 1. 10, 17. $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ Hindering (see ch. i. 18) the truth which they possess from working, by self-abandonment to iniquity.

δργή κ. θυμός] According to this arrangement (see var. readd.) the former word denotes the abiding, settled mind of God towards them (ή ὀργή τ. θεοῦ μένει ἐπ' αὐτόν, John iii. 36), - and the latter, the outbreak of that anger at the great day of retribution. So the grammarians: θυμός μέν ἐστι πρόςκαιρος (excandescentia, as Cicero)· όργη δέ πολυχρόνιος μνησικακία, Ammon. See the same further brought out by Tittmann, Syn. i. p. 131. 9. θλίψ. κ. στεν.] An expression from the LXX (see reff.): the former signifying more the outward weight of objective infliction,-the latter the subjective feeling of the pressure. It is possible, in the case of the suffering Christian, for the former to exist without the latter: so 2 Cor. iv. 8, ἐν παντὶ θλιβόμενοι, άλλ' οὐ στενοχωρούμενοι. But here the objective weight of infliction and the subjective weight of anguish, are coέπὶ πασαν ψ. ανθ.] probably a periphrasis for the sake of emphasis and solemnity. Had it been (as Fritzsche and Meyer) to indicate that the soul is the suffering part of the man (nearly so Olsh.), it should have been as De W. observes, έπὶ ψυχὴν παντός ανθρ., οι ἐπὶ πασαν κατεργ. ψυχὴν ἀνθρώπων (see reff.). κατεργάζομαι and έργάζομαι seem to have but this slight difference, - that κατεργάζομαι, answering rather to our 'commit,' is more naturally used of evil, as manifested and judged of by separate acts among 10 ° δόξα δὲ καὶ τιμὴ καὶ p εἰρήνη παντὶ τῷ q ἐργαζομένῳ n = $^{ver.7.}$ r τὸ r ἀγαθόν, Ἰουδαίῳ τε πρῶτον καὶ g Ελληνι. 11 οὐ γάρ $^{ini.6.1}$ και s προςωπολημψία παρὰ τῷ 0 θεῷ $^{ini.2.3}$ σου γὰρ $^{ini.6.1}$ ανώ $^{ini.6.1}$ καὶ $^{ini.6.1}$ καὶ $^{ini.6.1}$ καὶ $^{ini.6.1}$ καὶ $^{ini.6.1}$ καὶ $^{ini.6.1}$ ανώ $^{ini.6.1}$ καὶ $^{ini.6.1}$ ανώ $^{ini.6.1}$ καὶ $^{ini.6.1}$ ανώ $^{ini.6.1}$

xii. 21. xiii. 3, 4. Philem, 14 al. 2 Kings xiv. 17. see John v. 29. 1 Pet. iii. 11. S ph. xii. Col. iii. 25. James ii. 1 only \(\). \(\left(\text{try} \), \(\text{try} \), \(\left(\text{try} \), \(\text{try} \), \(\text{try} \), \(\left(\text{try} \), \(\text{try} \),

τω εργαζ. το αγαθον bef παντι G.
 om τω D¹.

men, whereas ἐργάζομαι, answering to our 'work,' is used indifferently of both good and evil. That this is not always kept to, see reff., especially ch. vii. 18, and Plat. Legg. iii. p. 686, end, in both which places, however, definite acts are spoken of. The pres. part. denotes the status or habit of the man. 'Ιουδ. τ. πρώτον Because the Jew has so much greater advantages, and better opportunities of knowing the divine will: and, therefore, pre-eminent responsibility. 10. εἰρήνη Here in its highest and most glorious sense, see 11.] This remark serves as the transition to what follows, not merely as the confirmation of what went before. As to what preceded, it asserts that though the Jew has had great advantages, he shall be justly judged for his use of them, not treated as a favourite of Heaven: as to what follows, it introduces a comparison between him and the Gentile to shew how fairly he will be, for those greater advantages, regarded as πρώτος in responsibility. And thus we gradually (see note on ver. 1) pass to the direct comparison between him and the Gentile, and consideration of his state. 12-16.] The justice of a GENERAL judgment of ALL, but according to the advan-12. ὅσοι γ. ἀνόtages of each. $\mu\omega s$ ] For as many as have sinned without (the) law (of Moses): shall also perish without (the) law (of Moses): i. e. it shall not appear against them in judgment. Whether that will ameliorate their ease, is not even hinted, -but only the fact, as consonant with God's justice, stated. That this is the meaning of avouws is clear from 1 Cor. ix. 21. That even these have sinned against a νόμος, is presently (ver. 14) shewn. Chrys. says (Hom. vi. p. 466), δ μεν γαρ Ελλην ανόμως κρίνεται τὸ δὲ ἀνόμως ἐνταῦθα οὐ τὸ χαλεπώτερον, άλλὰ τὸ ἡμερώτερον λέγει (this is perhaps saving too much, see above) τουτέστιν,

οὖκ ἔχει κατηγοροῦντα τὸν νόμον. τὸ γὰρ ἀνόμως τοῦτ' ἐστι, χωρὶς τῆς ἐξ ἐκείνου κατακρίσεως, φησίν, ἀπὸ τῶν τῆς φύσεως λογισμῶν καταδικάζεται μόνων δ δὲ Ἰουδαῖος, ἐννόμως, τουτέστι, μετὰ τῆς φύσεως καὶ τοῦ νόμων κατηγοροῦντος ὅσφ γὰρ πλείονος ἀπὴλαυσεν ἐπιμελείας, τοσότφ μείζονα δώσει δίκην. καί (De W.) serves to range ἀπολ., as well as $\Re μαρτ.$ under the common condition ἀνόμως: As many as without the law have sinned, without the law shall also perish.

άπολοῦνται, the result of the judgment on them, rather than κριθήσονται, its process, because the absence of the law would thus seem as if it were the rule by which they are to be judged,-whereas it is only an accident of that judgment, which depends on other considerations. νόμφ, under (in, as a status) the (Mosaic) law; not 'a law,' which would make the sentence a trnism: it is on that very undeniable assumption, 'that all who have had a law given shall be judged by that law,' that the Apostle constructs his argument, asserting it with regard to the Mosaie law in the case of the Jews, and proving that the Gentiles have had a law given to them in the testimony of their consciences. As to the omission of the article, no inference can be drawn, as the word follows a preposition: see ver. 23, where ἐν νόμφ unquestionably means 'in the law of Moses.' Besides, these verses are no general assertions concerning men who have, and men who have not, a law revealed (for all have one), but a statement of the case as concerning Jews and Gentiles. νόμος, throughout, signifies the law of Moses, even though anarthrous, in every place, except where the absence of the article corresponds to a logical indefiniteness, as e.g. ξαυτοίς είσιν νόμος, ver. 14: and even there not 'a law:' see note. And I hope to shew that it is never thus anarthrously used as $\equiv \delta \nu \delta \mu \sigma s$, except where usage will account for such omission of the διὰ νόμ. κριθ.] Now, shall be judged by the law: for that will furnish the measure and rule by which judgment

* ἀκροαταὶ νόμου δίκαιοι ⁹ παρὰ τῷ θεῷ, ἀλλ' οὶ ² ποιηταὶ ABDG νόμου "δικαιωθήσονται. 14 όταν γαο έθνη τα μη νόμον cdfgh οικατωνησονται.

3 1 Cor. iii. 19. (2. iii. 19. (2. ii. 19. (2. i έχοντες έαυτοῖς είσιν νόμος, ^{15 d} οἵτινες ^e ένδείκνυνται τὸ ^f ἔργον τοῦ νόμου ^g γραπτὸν έν ταῖς καρδίαις αὐτῶν, $\frac{2810017}{1000}$ του τομού $\frac{7}{1000}$ της $\frac{1}{1000}$ συνειδήσεως καὶ $\frac{1}{1000}$ μεταξύ $\frac{1}{1000}$

a = Paul (Acts Output (17) (17) (18) (1

13. ree ins του bef 1st νομου, with KL 17 rel Meion-e Chr Thdrt Phot: om ABDGΝ om τω BDi ki: ins AD3GKLN rel Meion-e Chr Thdrt. Damase. οι, αλλα G. rec ins του bef 2nd νομου, with D3KL 17 rel Mcion-e Chr Thdrt Phot: om ABD GN Damase. aft δικαιωθησονται ins παρα θεω G.

14. for γαρ, δε G ath arm Orig₁(om₁). ins τα bef εθνη G k. rec ποιη (grammatical corrn), with D³ rel Chr Thdrt: ποιει KL a 17: ποιουσιν D G: txt ABN rec moin " for outor, or toloutor G vulg D-lat Orig, Hil Pelag Fulg. Clem Origa Damase.

15. ενδιγνυται Α: ενδικν. GR. της συνειδησεως bef αυτων DG Ang: αυτοις τ, συν, toli Chr Jer Ruf: αυτοις τ, σ, αυτων vulg Pelag Ambret: txt ABKLN 17 rel.

13. This is to explain to will proceed. the Jew the fact, that not his mere hearing of the law read in the synagogue (= his being by birth and privilege a Jew) will justify him before God, but (still keeping to general principles and not touching as yet on the impossibility of being thus justified) the doing of the law. Tov has been apparently inserted in both cases in the later MSS, from seeing that vouos was indisputably the law of Moses, and stumbling at the unusual expression of ακροαταί νόμου. But the of in both cases is generic, and άκροατής-νόμου, ποιητής-νόμου (almost as one word in each case), 'a hearer-of-thelaw,' a 'doer-of-the-law.' So that the correct English for οἱ ἀκροαταὶ νόμου is hearers of the law, and for οἱ ποιηταὶ νόμου, doers of the law. It is obvious, that with the omission of the του in both places, the whole elaborate and ingenious criticism built by Bp. Middleton on its use, falls to the ground. (See Middleton, Gr. Art. in loc.) His dictum, that such an expression as οί ἀκροαταὶ νόμου is inadmissible, will hardly in our day be considered as deciding the matter. 14.] έθνη, the Gentiles; see ch. iii. 29; xi. 13; xv. 10, 12. In this place, έθνη τὰ μὴ νόμ. έχοντα is the only way in which the sense required could be expressed, for τὰ ἔθνη τὰ μὴ ν. έχ., would mean 'those Gentiles who have not the law,' as also would έθνη μη νόμον έχ., whereas the meaning clearly is, the Gentiles not having the law.

νόμον Again, 'the law,' viz. of Moses. A law, they have; see below.

φύσει, by nature, τοῖς φυσικοῖς ἐπόμενα λογισμοῖς, Schol. in Matthaï. τὰ

τοῦ νόμου π.] do things pertaining to the law, e. g. abstain from stealing, or killing, or adultery. But it by no means follows that the Apostle means that the Gentiles could fulfil the law, do the things, i.e. all the things enjoined by the law (as De Wette): he argues that a conscientious Gentile, who knows not the law, does, when he acts in accordance with requirements of the law, so far set up the (see below on the art.) law to himself. τὰ τοῦ νόμου is interpreted by Beza, Wetst., and Elsner, 'that which the law does,' i.e. make sanctions and prohibitions: but this can hardly be. The Apostle does not deny certain virtues to the Gentiles, but maintains the inefficiency of those, and all other virtues, towards man's salvation.

έαυτοις είσιν νόμος are to themselves (so far) the law, not 'a law,' for a law may be just or unjust, God's law or man's law : there is but one law of God, partly written in men's consciences, more plainly manifested in the law of Moses, and fully revealed in Jesus Christ. The art. could not have been here used without stultifying the sentence by distributing the predicate, making the conscientious heathen to be to himself the whole of the law, instead of the law, so far as he did the works of the law.' Cf. Aristot. Eth. iv. 14, & de xapleis κ. ἐλευθέριος οὕτως έξει οἶον νόμος &ν έαυτῷ. 15.] ἐνδείκν., by their conduct shew forth,—give an example of.
τὸ ἔργ. τοῦ νόμου = τὰ τοῦ νόμου

above: but sing, as applying to each of the particular eases supposed in the ὅταν ποιῶσιν. If it had here been τὰ ἔργα τοῦ νόμου, it might have been understood to

ἀλλήλων τῶν 1 λογισμῶν m κατηγορούντων n καὶ n ἀπο- 12 Cor. x. 5 only. Ρος n εν θεον λογουμένων. 16 ἐν ἡμέρα $^\circ$ ἤ p κρινεί δ θεὸς τὰ q κρυπτὰ n m τον ἀνθρώπων, κατὰ τὸ r εὐαγγέλιόν r μου διὰ Ἰησοῦ n Αcls xiv. 13 n LNabe n ζριστοῦ. 17 εἰ δὲ σὺ Ἰουδαῖος n επονομάζη καὶ 1 επαναπαύν n berrouly. n I Mace. vii. 6.

n abs., Luke xxi. 14. Acts xxvi. 1(xix. 33 reff.), L.P. Jer. xii. 1. o constr., 2 Cor. i. 4. Mett. xxir. 50. q. xxir. 50. q. Matt. vi. 4 al. Deut. xxix. 29 constr., 1 Cor. i. v. 5. 2 Cor. iv. 2. Isa. xxii. 29 constr., 1 Cor. iv. 5. xiv. q. 25. 2 Cor. iv. 2. Isa. xxii. 28 here only. Gen. iv. 17, 25. 2 Tim. ii. 8 only. see 2 Cor. iv. 3. 1 Thess. is. 6. 25. Meta. 11. l. v. dat., 1 Macv. vii. 17, 25. t. — here (Luke x. 6) only. (Nun. xi. 25.) Misch iii. 1. v. dat., 1 Macv. viii. 12.

διαλογισμων G.

16. rec (for \tilde{p}) στ_e, with DGKLN 17 rel vulg syr Ath Chr Thdrt Œe Ruf₄: txt AB tol Syr copt Cyr Damase($\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}$ \tilde{p}) Ambr Aug Ambrst. $\chi \rho$. bef $\eta \sigma$. $B(\aleph^{1/2}): \epsilon \nu \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega$ $\iota \eta \sigma$. Orig: om $\iota \eta \sigma$. Tert Sia $\iota \tilde{\nu} \chi \tilde{\nu}$ is written by \aleph -corr¹ over an erasure. add τον κυριον $\eta \iota \omega \nu$ D G-lat Ambr.

17. rec for ει δε, ιδε (see note), with D3L rel syr Chr Thdrt Œc: txt ABD1KN d2

mean the whole works of the law, which the indefinite ὅταν prevents above.

γραπτὸν ἐν τ. κ. αὐτ.] Alluding to the tables of stone on which the law was written: see a similar figure 2 Cor. iii. 3.

σημαρτ. αὐτ. τ. συνειδ.] This is a new argument, not a mere continuation of the ἐνδεἰς s above. Besides their giving this example by actions consonant with the law, their own conscience, reflecting on the thing done, bears witness to it as good.

συμμ., not merely = $\mu\alpha\rho\tau$., as Grot., Thol., nor = una testatur, viz. as well as their practice,-but confirming by its testimony, the συν signifying the agreement of the witness with the deed, as con in contestari, confirmare:-perhaps also the συν may be partly induced by the συν in συνειδήσεως, -referring to the reflective process, in which a man confers, so to καὶ μετ. άλλ. speak, with himself. κ.τ.λ. and their thoughts (judgments or reflections, the self-judging voices of the conscience, which being corrupted by sinful desires are often divided) among one another (i. e. thought against thought in inner strife) accusing, or perhaps excusing (these two participies are absolute, describing the office of these judgments,and nothing need be supplied, as 'them,' or 'their deeds'). Notice the similarity of this strife of conscience, and its testimony, as here described, to the higher and more detailed form of the same conflict in the Christian man, ch. vii. 16. what has this verse reference? Hardly to that just preceding, which surely speaks of a process going on in this life (so however Chrys. takes it. See also a fine passage in Bourdaloue's Sermons, Vol. i. Serm. ii. p. 27, ed. Paris, 1854): nor, as commonly assumed, to κριθήσονται (ver. 12), which only terminates one in a series of clauses connected by γάρ:-but to the great affirmation of the passage, concluding with ver. 10. To this it is bound, it appears to me, by the τὰ κρυπτὰ τῶν ἀνθρώπων, answering to πασαν ψυχην ανθρώπου, ver. 9. This affirmation is the last sentence which has been in the dogmatic form :-- after it we have a series of quasi-parenthetic clauses οὐ γάρ-δσοι γάρ-οὐ γάρ-δταν γάρ; i. e., the reasons, necessitated by the startling assertion, are one after another given, and, that having been done, the time is specified when the great retribution shall take place. κατά τὸ εὐαγγ. μου] See according to (not belonging to κρινεί as the rule of judgment, but to the whole declaration, 'as taught in,' 'as forming part of') the Gospel entrusted to me to teach. διὰ '[ησ. χρ.] by Jesus Christ, viz. as the Judge-see John v. 22: —belongs to κρινεί. See also Acts xvii. 31. 17—24.] The pride of the Jews in their law and their God contrasted with their disobedience to God and the 17. εὶ δέ This has been in the later MSS. changed into ibé, apparently to avoid the anacoluthon, or perhaps merely by mistake originally. The anacoluthon, however, is more apparent than real. It is only produced by the resumption of the thread of the sentence with ov, ver. 21. Omit (in the sense) only that word, and all proceeds regularly- But if thou art denominated a Jew, and &c. . . ., thou that teachest thy neighbour, dost thou not teach thyself?' &c. The et & ov carries on the apostrophe from ver. 5, since when it has been broken off by reference to the great day of retribution and its rule of judgment; the $\sigma \dot{\nu}$ identifies the person addressed here as the same indicated by the σου and σεαυτώ there, and by & ανθρωπε in ver. 1. Thus the Apostle by degrees sets in his place as a Jew the somewhat indefinite object of his remonstrances hitherto, - and reasons with him as such. No stress on έπ-,-art named, 'denominated,'- 'hast the name put on thee;' see έπαναπ.] Used of false trust,

vulg G-lat Syr Clem Damase Thl. επαναπαυει Κ 17(sic). rec ins $\tau \omega$ bef νομω, with D³KL 17 rel Thdrt Thl Ee: om ABD¹R Clem Did Chr-comm (and mss) Damase.

20. om διδασκ. νηπιων Α.
21. ins τον bef ετερον L n 1. 30. 38. 93.

see reff. The $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ of the rec. has been inserted in the later MSS, before $\nu \delta \mu \varphi$, because it here clearly applied to the 'law of Moses,' and the absence of the article gave offence. It is omitted, because 'the law' is not here distributed—it is not the law itself in its entirety, which is meant, but the fact of having or of knowing the law:—the strict way of expressing it would perhaps be, 'in the fact of possessing a law,' which condensed into our less accurate English, would be in one word, in the law: viz. 'which thou possessest.'

καυχ. &ν θ.] viz. 'as thy Covenant God'.'
'as being peculiarly thine.'
'δ θέλ.] θεόs having been just mentioned, it is left to be inferred that θέλημα refers to Him.

δοκμ. τ. διαφ.] provest (in the sense of sifting and coming to a conclusion on) things which differ, ελευτία ἐλλήλοις, δικαιοσύνην κ. άδικίαν, κ.τ.λ. Theod. κρίνεις τί δεί πράξαι κ.τ. τι μη δεί πράξαι, Theophylact. The Vulg.' 'probas utiliora,' and E. V. 'approvest the things that are more excellent,' is somewhat flat in meaning, and not so applicable.

κατηχ. ἐκ τοῦ νόμ.] being (labitually, not in youth only,—force of pres.) instructed (not merely eattechtefully but didactically, in the synagogues, &c.) out of the law (τοῦ νόμον, though after a preposition—because the haw is distributed—it is the book of the law, the law itself, out of which the κατάχισμες takes place).

19. πέποιθας, sometimes with ἐαυτῷ or ἐφ' ἑαυτῷ (see Luke xviii, 9), and sometimes with δτι (Luke, ib.; Gal. v. 10; Phil. ii. 24; Heb. xiii. 18),—regardest thyself as,—art confident in thyself as being. δδηγὸν τυφλ.] We can hurdly say

with Olsh., that the Apostle undoubtedly refers to the saying of our Lord, Matt. xv. 14.—but rather that both that saying and this were allusive to a title 'leaders of the blind' given to themselves by the Pharisees, with which Paul as a Pharisee would be familiar. Similarly, the following titles may have been well-known and formal expressions of Jewish pride with reference to those who were without the covenant.

20.] μόρφωσιν, not the mere apparent likeness (Theophylact, &c.), but the real representation. The law, as far as it went, was a reflexion of the holiness and character of God. Hardly so much is here meant (Olsh.), as that the law contained a foreshedowing of Christ,—for the Apostle is speaking now more of moral truth and knowledge, by which a rule of judgment is set up, sufficient to condemn the Jew as well as the Gentile. But after all, this clause (ἔχοντα...νόμφ) is not to be pressed as declaring a fact, but taken subjectively with regard to the Jew, witerπέποιθας, and understood of his estimate of the law. ἐντφ νόμφ, because the book of the law, is denoted.

22. δ βδελ. τ. είδ. Ιεροσυλεῖς] The contrast here must be maintained; which it will not be if we understand Ιεροσυλεῖς of robbing the temple of God of offerings destined for him (Jos. Antt. xviii. 3, 4). And τὰ είδωλα leads into the kind of robbery which is meant. Thou who abborrest idols, dost thou rob their temples? That it was necessary to viudicate Jews from such a charge, appears from Acts xix. 37: and Jos. Antt. iv. 8. 10 gives as a law, μὴ συλῷν ἰερὰ ξενικά, μηδ ἀν ἐπονσμασμένοτ ἤ τνει θεῷ κειμήλιον λαμβωτειν.

r Isa, Iii, 5. Tit, Ii, 5. saksol, — John vi, 63 only. Hab, ii, 18. Xen, Anab. v. 1, 12. there will be a look of the property of the property

25. on γαρ d m vulg D-lat wth arm lat-ff. for πρασσης, φυλασσης D¹; observes vulg D-lat; custodias Aug. ακροβιστια(but corrd) \aleph^1 .

26. for τα δικαιωματα, δικαιωμα G-gr G²-lat harl¹. φυλασσει L Damase. ουχ BN 44 Damase: txt DGKL 17 rel Chr Thdrt Thl Œc. (A uncert.)

27. om η єк фиσ. акров. G.

23.] ἐν νόμφ, see above (ver. 17) for the omission of the art.—but it is not διὰ παραβάστων νόμου, because α παράβασιν is τοῦ νόμου, the law being broken as a whole (see James ii. 10: and on παραβάστης νόμου below, ver. 25). And τῆς παρ. τ. νόμ., is thy breaking of the law.

This question comprehends the previous ones. 24.] 'For what is written in the prophet Isaiah, is no less true now of you:' the fact is so, as it is written.'

25-29. Inasmuch as CIRCUM-CISION was the especial sign of the covenant, and as such, a distinction on which the Jewish pride dwelt with peculiar satisfaction: the Apostle sets forth, that circumcision without the keeping of the law commission without the keeping of the day is of no avail, and that true circumcision and true Judaism are matters of the heart, not of the flesh only. ἀλλ' ή περιτομή μέγα, φησίν. δυολογῶ κὰγώ, ἀλλὰ πότε; ὅταν ἔχη τὴν ἔνδον περιτομήν. καὶ σκόπει σύνεσιν, πῶς εὐκαίρως τὸν περί αὐτῆς εἰκηγαγε λόγον, οὐ γὰρ εὐθέως ἀπ' αὐτῆς ἤρξατο, ἐπειδὴ πολλὴ ἦν αὐτῆς ἡ ὑπόληψις: ἀλλ' ἡνίκα ἔνδειξεν αὐτοὺς ἀπὸ του μείζονος προςκεκρουκότας και της eis θεδν βλασφημίας αίτίους, τότε λοιπόν λαβών τον ἀκροατήν κατεγνωκότα αὐτών, καί γυμνώσας της προεδρίας, εἰςάγει τὸν περί περιτομής λόγον, θαρβών ότι οὐδείς αὐτῆ ψηφιείται λοιπόν. Chrys. Hom. vii. 474. 25.] περιτομή, chosen as an example in point, and as the most comprehensive and decisive example; and µèv γάρ binds it on to the foregoing reasoning : q.d. 'in the same way circumcision &c.' νόμον, not τὸν νόμον, πράσσης,—because the latter would import the perfect fulliment of the whole law: whereas the supposition is of acting according to the law, doing the law. παραβάτης νόμον here, not τοῦ νόμον, the παραβάτης νόμον, like ἀκροατὴς-νόμον and ποιητής-νόμον, ver. 13, being a designation generally of a law-breaker, as those of a law-heaver and law-fulfiller. ἀκροβ. γέγ.] counts for nothing: the Jewish transgressor is no better off than the Gentile transgressor.

26. ἡ ἀκροβ.] i.e. oi ἐν τῆ ἀκροβωστία.

τὰ δικαίωμ.] plainly, the moral requirements, not the ceremonial: for one of the very first of the latter was, to be circumcised. The case is an impossible only as shewing manifestly, that circumcision, the sign of the covenant of the Law, was subordinate to the keeping of the Law itself. The articles shew how completely hypothetical the case is—no less than entire fulfilment of all the moral precepts of the law being contemplated.

ούχὶ ἡ ...] 'In such a case would not be

be counted as a circumcised person? 27.] I prefer with De Wette (and Erasm.), Luth., Bengel, Wetst., Knapp, and Meyer, to regard this verse not as a continuation of the question, but as a separate emphatic assertion, and as leading the way to the next verse. κρινεί, 'shall rise up in judgment against,' judge indirectly by his example. See Matt. xii. 41, 42, where κατακρίνω is used in a sense precisely similar.

ἡ ἐκ ψύστως ἀκροβ.] 'he, who

σὲ τὸν διὰ ε γράμματος καὶ περιτομῆς "παραβάτην νόμου. ABDG f -ch. iv. 11. 28 ου γαρ ο h εν τω h φανερω Ιουδαίος έστιν, ουδε ή h εν cdfgh $τ_{\tilde{\psi}}^{h}$ φανερ $\tilde{\psi}^{i}$ έν $\tilde{\sigma}$ αρκὶ περιτομή, $\tilde{\tau}^{29}$ άλλ $\hat{\sigma}^{hk}$ έν $\tilde{\tau}^{\tilde{\psi}}_{\tilde{\phi}}^{klmn}$ ο 17

om του bef θε. D2G a. for 2nd $\epsilon \nu$, os G D-lat. **29**. [αλλα, so BD¹G.] aft beou ins eater D1 vulg lat-ff.

remains in his natural state of uncircumcision.' ἐκ φύσ. is contrasted with διὰ γράμ. κ. περιτ. below. The position of έκ φύσεωs decides for this rendering and against joining it with τελοῦσα, which would require ή ἀκροβυστία, ἐκ φύσεως τὸν νόμον τελοῦσα. τὸν νόμ. τελ.] such is the supposition-that an uncircumcised man could fully act up to the (moral) requirements of the law. It is not ἡ τὸν νόμ. τελ.; because ἀκροβ. is used in the widest abstract sense: no distinction is made between one and another uncircumcised person, but some one man is taken as an example of ἀκροβυστία. So that the omission of the art. does not give a new hypothetic sense, 'if it fulfil the law,' but merely rostates the hypothesis: fulfilling (as it does, as we have supposed) the law.

σε τὸν παραβάτην νόμου] Here again the position of δια γράμματος κ. περιτομής, between τον and παραβάτην, sufficiently shews that, as ἐκ φύσεως above, it is a qualification of σè τον παραβάτην νόμου. Bp. Middleton (it appears, Gr. Art. in loc. and compare his ref.) would take σὲ τὸν διὰ γράμματος κ. περιτομῆς (ὕντα), thee who art a professor of the law and a circumcised person,' and understand εlvai after παραβάτην.—shall adjudge thee to be a transgressor of the law. But this appears exceedingly forced, and inconsistent with the position of παραβ. νόμου, which if it had been thus emphatic, would certainly have been placed either before, or immediately after κρινεί. We may well imagine that such an interpretation would not have been thought of, except to serve the supposed canon, that, 'if τόν were immediately the article of παραβάτην, νόμου depending on it could not be anarthrous.' See above on mapaß, vóu, ver. 25, and on διά γρ. κ. περ.] διά (see reff.) is here used of the state in which the man is when he does the net, regarded as the medium through which the act is done. It is rightly rendered by in E. V. (not,

'in spite of,' as Köllner and al.) γράμματος] 'litera scripta,' the written word: here in a more general sense than in ver. 29, where it is pressed to a contrast with $\pi\nu\epsilon\hat{v}\mu\alpha$: thee, who in a state of external conformity with the written law and of circumcision, art yet a transgressor of the law. In vv. 28, 29, supply the ellipses thus: in ver. 28, fill up the subjects from the predicates, -οὐ γὰρ ὁ ἐν τῷ φανερῷ ('Ιουδαίος) 'Ιουδαίός ἐστιν, οὐδὲ predicates from the subjects, - alla o en τῷ κρυπτῷ 'Ιουδαῖος ('Ιουδαῖός ἐστιν), καὶ περιτομή καρδίας έν πνεύματι οὐ γράμματι (περιτομή έστιν). Thus the real Jew only, and the real circumcision only, are expressed in both verses. This is the arrangement of Beza, Estius, Rückert, De Wette: Erasm., Luther, Meyer, Fritzsche, take 'louδaîos, and έν πν. οὐ γράμ., as the predicates in ver. 29; but the latter gives a very vapid sense, besides that the opposition of δ έν τῷ φανερῷ, and δ έν τῷ κρυπτῷ is, as De W. observes, also vapid.

29. έν τῷ κρ. as belonging to 'Ιουδ. is parallel with καρδίαs as belonging to περιτομή, both designating the inner and spiritual reality, of which the name of Jew and the carnal circumcision are only the signs.

περ. καρδ. is no new expression :- we have it virtually in Deut. x. 16; Jer. iv. 4: see also Acts vii. 51. $\ell \nu \ m \nu$. où $\gamma \rho$.] in spirit, not in letter. Not merely 'spiritually, not externally:' nor does $\pi \nu$, allude to the necessitating cause of circumcision (the uncleanness of the inner man) (Ee., Grot., Estius, Fritzsche): - nor signify the material ('quæ spiritu constat,' Erasm.): nor the rule (Meyer), -but as De Wette rightly, the living power or element, wherewith that inner sphere of being is filled, - èv being as in Acts xvii. 28, of that in which any thing lives and moves, -compare χαρά ἐν πν. $\dot{\alpha}\gamma(q)$, ch. xiv. 17, $-\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\alpha}\pi\eta$ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\pi\nu$., Col. i.

III. 1 Τί οὖν τὸ p περισσὸν τοῦ <code> loυδαίου</code>, $\mathring{\eta}$ τίς $\mathring{\eta}$ p -Matt.v. 37, q ψφέλεια τῆς περιτομῆς; 2 πολὺ r κατὰ r πάντα r τρόπον. n της n πρωτον s μὲν $[^s$ γὰς] o στι t έπιστεύθησαν τὰ u λόγια τοῦ t (set. Eccles.) θεου. 3 τι γάρ; εί "ηπιστησάν τινες" μη ή "απιστία g Jude 16 only.

 $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{Nom}, \mathbf{x}\mathbf{r}$ iii, 7. see Acts $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}$, 11. s 1 Cor. \mathbf{x} i, 18. t = 1 Cor. \mathbf{x} i, 17. constr., acts $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$ i, 3. Gat. ii. 7. 1 Thess, iii. 4. $\pi a \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{u} \theta \mathbf{v} \mathbf{r}$ $\mathbf{v} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{r}$ $\mathbf{v} \mathbf{r}$ $\mathbf{v} \mathbf{r}$ \mathbf{r} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{v} $\mathbf{$ r - Num. xviii. 7. see Acts xv. 11.

CHAP. III. 1. om & GN1.

2. ree aft μεν ins γαρ, with AD3KLN 17 rel syr Thdrt Phot Thl Œe; om BD1G vulg Syr Chr Aug Orig. aft επιστευθησαν ins αυτοις G2. 3. ηπειθησαν Λ, deliquerunt Pacian. καταργηση L b1 o Chr-mss2: καταργει

8, $-\delta o u \lambda \epsilon \dot{u} \epsilon i \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \kappa \alpha i \nu$. $\pi \nu$., ch. vii. 6, $-\epsilon l \nu \alpha i$ έν πν., ch. viii. 9. So that πνεῦμα here is not man's spirit, nor properly the Holy Spirit, but the spirit, as opposed to the letter, of the Jewish law and of all God's revelation of Himself. ού] viz. Ἰουδαίου, —of the true Jew. περιτομή καρδ. as belonging to him, is subordinate. έπαινος of such a character, (for έπαινος it must be,) can only come from Him who sees $\vec{\epsilon}\nu$ $\tau\hat{\varphi}$ $\kappa\rho\nu\pi\tau\hat{\varphi}$ (Matt. vi. 4, 6), and ean discern the heart. III. 1—20.] Taking INTO ALL FAIR ACCOUNT THE REAL AD-VANTAGES OF THE JEWS, THESE CANNOT, BY THE TESTIMONY OF SCRIPTURE ITSELF CONCERNING THEM, EXEMPT THEM FROM THIS SENTENCE OF GUILTINESS BEFORE God, IN WHICH ALL FLESH ARE IN-VOLVED. 1-4.] The circumcised Jew did unquestionably possess great advantages, which were not annulled by the rebellion of some. 1.] ouv, 'quæ cum ita sint.' If true Judaism and true circumcision be merely spiritual, what is the profit of external Judaism and ceremonial eircumcision? $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \sigma'$] advantage, profit, pre-eminence,—see reft. It is best to take the question, not as coming from an objector, which supposition has obscured several parts of this Epistle, but as asked by the Apostle himself, anticipating the thoughts of his reader. 2.] πολύ answers the first question of ver. 1, but takes no account of the second, as it is virtually included in the first. Nor can it be properly regarded as answered in ch. iv. 1 ff. (see there.) κατά πάντα τρ.] not merely omnino, but as E. V. in every way, i. e. in all departments of the spiritual life. πρῶτον] The Apostle begins as if intending to instance several of these advantages, but having mentioned the greatest, leaves it to his reader to fill in the rest, and turns to establish what he has just asserted. For $\pi\rho\hat{\omega}\tau\sigma\nu$ can only be first,—'secondly,' &c., being to follow: -not, 'primarium illud' (as Beza),-nor Vol. II.

'præcipue' (as Calv.), - nor 'id quod præcipuum est' (as Calov.), all of which are attempts to avoid the anaeoluthon: compare a similar one at ch. i. 8. see reff.-they were entrusted with. τὰ λόγια τ. θεοῦ] These words look very

like a reminiscence of Stephen's apology, see Acts vii. 38. These oracles are not only the law of Moses, but all the revelations of God hitherto made of Himself directly, all of which had been entrusted to Jews only. By these they were received into a special covenant, which advantage is therefore included in their being entrusted with the divine oracles.

3. And this advantage is not cancelled, nor the covenant annulled, by their disobedience. γάρ; For what? ('quid enim?' Hor. Sat. i. 1. 7.) The γάρ confirms the preceding-the ti indicates some difficulty, or anticipated objection to it. τινες If we place an interrogation at γάρ, we must render this, suppose some were unfaithful; if only a comma, as in E. V., ' For what if . . . ' The former seems preferable, as more according to usage. See Phil. i. 18. ήπίστησαν, did not believe. If this seem out of place here, where he is not speaking of faith or want of faith as yet, but of ἀδικία (ver. 5) and moral guilt, we may meet the objection by remembering that unbelief is here taken more on its practical side, as involving disobedience, than on the other. They were ἄπιστοι, unfaithful to the covenant, the very condition of which was to walk in the ways of the Lord and observe His statutes. The word may have been chosen on account of $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\upsilon} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ above and τ . πίστιν τ. θεοῦ below. μη ή άπ. κ.τ.λ. shall their unfaithfulness (to the covenant: see above, and Wisdom xiv. 25: in the root of the matter, their unbelief, as in reff.: and the substantive ἀπιστία is bound to the verb $\eta \pi i \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$, but its rendering must be ruled by the contrast to $\dot{\eta}$ $\pi l \sigma \tau \iota s$ $\tau o \hat{v}$ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$, which must be "the

y=Matt.xxiii. αὐτῶν τὴν y πίστιν τοῦ θεοῦ z καταργήσει; z=4 μὴ γένοιτο ABDG κικαι $\gamma_{\text{ss.}}^{\text{int.}}$ αυτών την πουτές το δε όν θελος $\gamma_{\text{ss.}}^{\text{int.}}$ αυτών την πουτές δε άνθρωπος $\gamma_{\text{ss.}}^{\text{int.}}$ (cd. ε h. si. 22 μμπ (cer. 3). 2 μμπ (cer. 3). 1. 2 μμπ (cer. 3). 1. 3 ke Heb. σου και νικήσης εν τῷ κρίνεσθαί σε. 5 εί δε ἡ ε αδικία

47: κατεργασει 5: κατηργησε 28. 76 syrr Cypr Pelag Vig. 4. for γινεσθω, εστω G; est vulg D-lat Syr Cypr, est and esto G-lat, sit Ambr: for δε, γαρ G D-lat Syr Cypr Ambrst Sedul: ουν arm. γενεσθω L c Chr. for καθως, καθαπερ BN Thart: ως 73: καθο 76. νικησεις ΑDΝ η: νικησις 17. aft opynv ins autou N1 (N3 disapproving). 5. δικαιοσυνην bef θεου G vulg.

faithfulness of God") cancel (nullify) the faithfulness of God? 'Because they have broken faith on their part, shall God break faith also on His?' 4.] μη γέν., let it not be: see reff. The Apostle uses this expression of pious horror, when he has supposed or mentioned any thing by which the honour, truth, or justice of God would be compromised, as here by His covenant-word being broken. It is often found in Polybius, Arrian, and the later Greek writers.

γινέσθω κ.τ.λ. rather let us believe all men on earth to have broken their word and truth, than God His. Whatever becomes of men and their truth, His truth must stand fast.' The citation which follows goes to the depth of the matter. It is the penitent confession of a sinner, that he is sensible how entirely against God his sin has been, and how clearly his own unworthiness sets God's judgment against sin vindicated before him. And to this meaning the objection in the next verses is addressed, - see below. That thou mightest be justified (shewn to be just) in thy sayings (sentences, words of judgment), and mightest conquer when Thou art judged, - קבֶּבֶּיבָ, 'in thy judging,' which cannot well be our rendering of ev $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ κρίνεσθαί $\sigma \epsilon_i$ —i. e. 'when thy dealings are called in question by men.' 5. In the citation, the penitent regarded his sin as having been the instrument of bringing out God's justice into clearer light. On the abuse which might be made of such a view,-the Apostle founds another question:- 'It would almost seem as if God

would be unjust in inflicting His wrath (the consequences of His wrath) on men whose very impiety has been the means whereby His own righteousness has been shewn forth, and established.' ήμων of the Jews' (Grot., De Wette, &c.), not 'of all men' (Fritzsche), for only to the Jews can ver. 7 apply. δικαιοσύνην viz. that established by the δικαιοῦσθαι of ver. 4; not His goodness (as Chrys., Theodoret, Grot., al.), -nor His truth (Beza, al.).

κατὰ ἄνθρωπον λέγω] said, as elsewhere by Paul, to excuse a supposition bearing with it an aspect of inconsistency or impiety :not implying that he speaks in the person of another, but that he puts himself into the place of the generality of men, and uses

arguments such as they would use.

6.] He does not enter into the objection and answer it in detail, but rejects at once the idea of God being unjust, alluding probably to Gen. xviii. 25, by recalling to mind, that the Judge of all the earth must do right. έπεί, for (i. e. 'if it were so,' 'alioquin'). τὸν κίσμον is not the Gentiles (Bengel, Reiche, Olsh., al.), nor is the respondent in ver. 7 a Gentile (Olsh., al., not Bengel), but one of the ἡμῶν in ver. 5, only individualized to bring out one such case of pretended injustice more strikingly.

7.] This follows (connected by γάρ) upon ver. 6, and shews that the supposition if curried out, would overthrow all God's judgment, and (ver. 8) the whole moral life of man. How shall God judge the world? For, if the truth (faithfulness) of God abounded (was manifested, more σματι 'έπερίσσευσεν ' είς τὴν δόξαν αὐτοῦ, ' τί ἔτι καγὼ t Phil. 1.20. Thess. ir. 1 hess. ir. 1 hess. ir. 1 hess. ir. 1 hess. ir. 20. $^{\circ}$ κάμαρτωλὸς * κρίνομαι; $^{\circ}$ καὶ μὴ καθὼς $^{\circ}$ βλασφη- $^{\circ}$ ποίντι τι μούμεθα καὶ καθώς φασίν τινες ἡμᾶς λέγειν ὅτι ποιήσωμεν $^{\circ}$ τι είχι. 10. τὰ κακὰ ἴνα ἔλθη τὰ ἀγαθά; ὧν τὸ * κρῖμα $^{\circ}$ ἔνδικύν $^{\circ}$ τίχι. 10. $^{\circ}$ κρῖτιν. $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ Τί οὖν; $^{\circ}$ προεχόμεθα; $^{\circ}$ οὐ· πάντως. $^{\circ}$ προεχόμεθα; $^{\circ}$ οὐ· πάντως. $^{\circ}$ προεχίν. $^{\circ}$ τη τη τη τη τη την είχι. $^{\circ}$ τη την την είχι. $^{\circ}$ τη την είχι. $^{\circ}$ την την είχι. $^{\circ}$ την την είχι. $^{\circ}$ την την είχι. $^{\circ}$ είχι. $^{\circ}$ την είχι. $^{\circ}$ είχι $^{\circ}$ την είχι. $^{\circ}$ είχι. $^{\circ}$ είχι. $^{\circ}$ είχι $^{\circ}$ ε

7. for γαρ, δε A d 5. 23. 57. 74. 124 harl copt Damasc.

8. om 2nd και BK a 39. 74. om στι G vulg 76. 120 Epiph Aug Pelag Ruf Ambrst. om τα bef κακα D'.

9. προεχωμεθα AL k: ερουμεν wth: προκατεχομεν περισσον D¹(and lat) G 31 Syr Chr.mss² Ambrst.mss Ruf: κατεχόμεν π. Thdrt Sev: tenemus D-lat G-lat.

clearly established) by means of my falsehood (nnfaithfulness), to His glory (so that the result has been the setting forth of His glory), why any longer (έτι, this being so, -assuming the premises) am I also (i.e. as well as others,-am I to be involved in a judgment from which I ought to be exempt) judged (to be judged,-the pres. expressing the rule or habit of God's proceeding) as a sinner? And (shall we) not (in this case rather say), as we (I Paul, or we Christians) are slanderously reported, and as some give out that we (do) say (ὅτι recitantis), "Let us do evil that good may come?" whose condemnation (not that of our slanderers [Grot., Tholuck], but that of those who so say and act) is according to justice (not only by the preceding argument, but by the common detestation of all men, for such a maxim as doing evil that good may come).

The way adopted generally (Calv., Beza, Grot., Bengel, Wolf, Rückert, Köllner, Tholuck) is to connect ver. 7 by γάρ with ver. 5, and to regard κατὰ ἄνθρ. κόσμον as a series of parentheses; but I very much prefer that given above, which, in the main, is De Wette's. Fritzsche and Schrader strangely enough regard κάγώ as bona fide the individual Paul, and κρίνομαι as the judgment passed by his adversaries ("nam si Dei veracitas meo peccatoris mendacio abunde in Dei laudem cessit. cur adhuc ego quoque, Paulus, tanquam facinorosus ab hominibus reus agor," &c.): Reiche, Olsh., &c. put ver. 7 into the mouth of a Gentile: Bengel, into that of a Jew. Doubtless the main reference of this part of the argument is to Jews: but the reasoning from the introduction of the words τον κόσμον (see above) is general, applying both to Jew and Gentile, and shewing the untenableness of any such view as that of the Jewish objection of ver. 5.

9-20. The Jew has no preference,

but is guilty as well as the Gentile, as shewn by Scripture; so that no man can by the law be righteous before God. 9.] τί ουν cannot be joined with προεχόμεθα (Œc., &c.), because οὐδέν would then have been αc.), because ovoer woma then have been the answer. There is considerable difficulty in προεχόμεθα. The meaning of προέχομαι every where else is passive, 'to be surpassed,' and προέχω, act., is to surpass, or have the pre-eminence. So Plut. p. 1008 × (West 1) ... (38) 1038 D (Wetst.), κατ' οὐδὲν προεχομένοις ύπὸ τοῦ Διός, ' cum Jove minores non sint:' and Herod. i. 32, δ μέν δη μέγα πλούσιος ἀνόλβιος δέ, δυοῖσι προέχει τοῦ εὐτυχοῦς μόνον, &c. (see Wetst.) Those therefore who have wished to preserve the usage of the word, have variously interpreted it in that attempt: (a) Wetst. would render it passively, and understand it (as spoken by a Jew) 'Are we surpassed by the Gentiles?' But (1) for this inference there is no ground in what went before, but the contrary (vv. 1 ff.),—and (2) the question if it mean this, is not dealt with in what follows. (3) Œcum. (2nd altern.) regards it as said by a Gentile, 'Are we surpassed by the Jews!' but for this question there is no ground in the preceding, for all has tended to lower the Jews in comparison and reduce all to one level. (γ) Reiche and Olsh, take it passively, and render, 'Are we preferred (by God)?' but no example of this meaning occurs, the above use in Plutarch not justifying it. (8) Koppe and Wahl render, taking it as the middle voice, 'What can we then allege (as an excuse)?' but this will not suit οὐ πάντως. (ε) Meyer, 'What then, have we an excuse?' but προεχόμ. has not this meaning. (() Fritzsche, 'What then? do we excuse ourselves (i. e. shall we make any excuse)?' But (1) προεχ. is put absolutely; and (2) the answer would rather be μηδαμώς than οὐ πάντως, which replies to a question on matter of fact. Besides (3) the argument υτικ και τη Ελληνας πάντας g ὑφ κιν αρους τε και Έλληνας πάντας g ὑφ κιν αρους τε και Έλληνας πάντας g ὑφ κιν αρους h δίκαιος i οὐδὲ είς: i 10 οὐκ εστιν o k συνιών, οὐκ εστιν o k συνιών, οὐκ εστιν o h h ενός. 13 ^p τάφος ανεφγμένος ο ^q λάουγξ αὐτῶν, ταῖς γλώσσαις αὐτῶν ^rέδολιοῦσαν. ⁸ίος ^tἀσπίδων ὑπὸ τὰ reff.
m ch. xvi, 17.
I Pet, iii, 11
ouly, = Job
xxxiv, 27.
Mal. ii, 8.
Psa. lii, 8.
n here only,
4 Kings tii,
19. Jer. xi. αχείλη αὐτῶν. 14 ° ὧν τὸ στόμα ° ἀρᾶς καὶ × πικρίας 9 γέμει. 15 2 όξεις οι πόδες αυτων ^a έκχέαι ^a αίμα. 16 b σύντριμμα καί 19. fer. xi. 16. Polyb. i. c ταλαιπωρία εν ταίς όδοις αυτών, 17 και d όδον d ειρήνης

16. days. TAACTHOOM.

18 e ουκ έστιν f φόβος θεου απενανικ.

(ch. ii. 3 ref.) ουκ έγνωσαν.

18 e ουκ έστιν f φόβος θεου απενανικ.

18 e ουκ έστιν διανικ.

18 e ουκ έστιν f φόβος θεου απενανικ.

18 e ουκ έστιν διανικ.

18 e ουκ έστιν f φόβος θεου απενανικ.

19 μα απενανικ.

20 μα απενανικ.

20 μα απενανικ.

21 μα απενανικ.

21 μα απενανικ.

21 μα απενανικ.

22 μα απενανικ.

23 μα απενανικ.

24 μα απενανικ.

24 μα απενανικ.

25 μα απενανικ.

26 μα απενανικ.

26 μα απενανικ.

26 μα απενανικ.

27 μα απενανικ.

28 μα απενανικ.

29 μα απενανικ.

29 μα απενανικ.

20 μα απενανικ.

20 μα απενανικ.

20 μα απενανικ.

20 μα απενανικ.

21 μα απενανικ.

22 μα απενα

om yap D1. σαμεθα D'G 31. 891 æth Chr-2-mss Œe-comm, causati sumus latt. απαντας G: παντα n. for $v\phi$, $v\pi o$ B. aft 100δ. $\tau \epsilon$ ins $\pi \rho \omega \tau o \nu$ A. 10. ουδ D1 l.

11. om 1st o ABG: ins DKL& Chr Thdrt Damase Thl Œc. om 2nd o BG. for εκζ., ζητων Β. om 2nd our estiv B 672 ins o hef ποιων DN.

12. ηχρεωθησαν ΑΒ' Β' G. Syr æth.

13. λαρυξ A d k : -υνξ G.

14. aft στομα ins αυτων B 17.

would then go to shew, not that all are sinners, as it does, vv. 10-20, but that all are liable to God's wrath, without excuse. (n) The only way left seems (with Theophyl., Ec. (1st altern.), Schol. in Matthaï, Pelag., Vulg., Erasm., Luther, Calv., Beza, Grot., Bengel, Tholuek, Köllner, Schrader, De Wette, al.) to take προεχόμεθα as middle, and understand it as $\pi\rho o\epsilon \chi o\mu \epsilon \nu$ —Have we (Jews) the (any) preference? We have an use of παρέχομαι as active, Acts xix. 24, Tit. ii. 7. See also Winer, edn. 6, § 38. 5. οὐ πάντως] No, by no means. This would more naturally be πάντως οὐ, see reff. But we have οὐδὲν πάντως for 'not at all,' Herod. v. 34. (Winer quotes οὐ πάνυ, 'no by no means,' from Demosth. Olyuth. II. § 21, but I cannot find it.) The meaning 'not in every way,' 'not altogether,'—as 1 Cor. v. 10 and Theophr. de Caus. Plant. vi. 24 (Wetst.), ποιεί γάρ οὐ πάντως, άλλ' έὰν οὐλή τις ή ὑπόκαυστος,-will not apply, for it does not agree with what follows, where the Apostle proves absolute equality in respect of his argument.

προητ. . . . είναι] we have before proved (chs. i. ii.) both Jews and Gentiles all to be under sin; the construction is not acc. and inf ., -that Jews and Gentiles are under

sin,—but 'Ιουδ. . . . πάντας is acc. after the verb, and δφ' άμ. είναι the matter of the charge,-q.d. 'we have before brought in guilty Jews and Gentiles all as sinners.

10-18. Proof of this universal sinfulness from the Scripture, said directly (ver. 19) of the Jews, but a portion including, and taken for granted of, the Gentiles. Compare throughout the LXX (reff.).

11. In the Psalm, - Jehovah looked down from heaven on the children of men, to see el έστι συνιών ἡ ἐκζητῶν τ. θ. He found none. This result is put barely by the Apostle as the testimony of Scripture, giving the sense, but departing from the letter. 13.] ¿δολιοῦσαν, an Alexandrine form for ¿δολίουν; see Lobeck, Phryniehus, p. 349. The open sepulchre is an emblem of perdition, to which their throat, as the

instrument of their speech, is compared.

15.] The LXX (Isa. l. c.) have of δὲ πόδες αὐτῶν ἐπὶ πονηρίαν τρέχυυσι, ταχινοὶ ἐκχέαι αἷμα καὶ οἱ διαλογισμοὶ αὐτῶν διαλογισμοί ἀπὸ φόνων (ἀφρόνων Ε.). σύντριμμα καὶ ταλαιπωρία ἐν ταῖς όδοῖς αὐτῶν, καὶ όδον εἰρήνης οὐκ οἴδασιν (ἔγνω-σαν, F.). 19.] He proves the applicability of these texts to the Jews by their being found in the Jewish Scriptures : F μω
λαλει...
ABDF
KLN a b
c d f g h
k l m n
o 17
(On the
omission
of G see
proleg.)

ὀφθαλμῶν αὐτῶν. 19 οἴδαμεν δὲ ὅτι ὅσα ὁ νόμος λέγει, $^{\rm h}$ - Luke vili. $^{\rm d}$ τοῖς $^{\rm h}$ ἐν τῷ νόμῷ λαλεῖ, ἵνα πᾶν στόμα $^{\rm i}$ φραγῷ καὶ $^{\rm k}$ ὑπό - $^{\rm i}$ είκος γένηται πᾶς ὁ κόσμος τῷ $^{\rm d}$ εῷ. $^{\rm 20}$ διότι $^{\rm t}$ ἐξ $^{\rm m}$ ἔργων $^{\rm m}$ νόμου $^{\rm n}$ οὐ $^{\rm lo}$ δικαιωθήσεται $^{\rm np}$ πᾶσα $^{\rm p}$ σὰρξ $^{\rm q}$ ἐνύπτον αὐ - $^{\rm 20}$ Τοῦ· διὰ γὰρ νόμου $^{\rm c}$ ἐτίγνωσις ἁμαρτίας. $^{\rm 21}$ Νυνὶ δὲ $^{\rm three}$ the σηντισιν τοῦ·

παραβαίνη ὑπόδικος ἔστω τῷ παθόντι, Demosth. 518. 3. l ver. 30, ch. iv. 2, v. 1. Gal. ii. 16 (3ce). iii. 8, 24. James ii. 24 (bils), 25. m = ver. 28. Gal. ii. 16 (3ce). iii. 2, 5, 10 only, n Matt. xxiv. 22. Acts. x 14. Gal. iii. 16. Exod. xv. 26. Psa. cxlii. 2. o = o. bi. ii. 17 or p. Acts ii. 17 refl. q = Luke xvl. 15. Ps. 1. c. r cb. i. 28. x. 2. Epb. iv. 13 all¹, Paul. Heb. x. 26. 2 Pet. i. 2, 38. ii. 20 only. Prov. ii. 5.

19. for $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota$, $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon \iota \ \mathbb{N}^1$ vulg D-lat Orig for $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon \iota$, $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota$ D¹F.
20. ov $\delta \iota \kappa$. bef $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \rho \gamma$. $\nu o \mu$. DF fuld F-lat Ambrst. $\epsilon \pi \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ F.

not in any Gentile representation, which might exclude Jews, but spoken universally, in those very books which were the cherished possession of the Jews themselves. δνόμος Here, the whole O. T., the law, prophets, and Psalms: see John x. 34, where our Lord cites a Psalm as in 'the law.' τοῖς ἐν τῷ ν. λαλεῖ] it speaks (not says, - λαλέω is not ' to say,' see John viii. 25, note) to (or for, dat. commodi: i. e. its language belongs to, is true of, when not otherwise specified) those who are in (under) the law. So that the Jews cannot plead exemption from this description or its consequences. in order that—not 'so that:' the bring-ing in all the world guilty before God is an especial and direct aim of the revelation of God's justice in the law,—that His grace by faith in Christ may come on all who abandon self-righteousness and believe the παν στόμα φραγή If the gospel. Jew's mouth is shut, and his vaunting in the law taken away, then much more the Gentile's, and the whole world (see above ver. 6) becomes (subjective, as γινέσθω 20.] The ver. 4) guilty before God, solemn and important conclusion of all the foregoing argument. But not only the conclusion from it: it is also the great truth, which when arrived at, is seen to have necessitated the subordinate conclusion of ver. 19, the stopping of every month, &c. And therefore it is introduced, not with an illative conjunction, 'wherefore' (which διότι will not bear), but with 'because.' Because by the works of the law (God's law: whether in the partial revelation of it written in the consciences of the Gentiles, or in the more complete one given by Moses to the Jews,-not, by works of law: no such general idea of law seems to have ever been before the mind of the Apostle, but always the law, emanating from God) shall no flesh be justified before Him (the future as implying possibility,perhaps also as referring to the great day

perhaps also as a citation from ref. Ps. LXX, οὐ δικαιωθήσεται ἐνώπιόν σου πᾶς $o\dot{v} \dots \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha$, which we render by nulla, must be kept in the mind to its logical precision : All flesh-subject-shall be-copula-not justified-predicate). The Apostle does not here say either (1) that instification by legal works would be impossible if the law could be wholly kept, or (2) that those were not justified who observed the prescribed sacrifices and offerings of the ceremonial law (of which he has never once spoken, but wholly of the moral): but he infers from his argument on matters of fact, a result in matter of fact: 'Mankind, Jew and Gentile, have all broken God's law, and are guilty before Him: Man keeps not God's law. By that law then he cannot arrive at God's righteousness.' διὰ γὰρ] For by the law (as before, whether partially known to the Gentile or more fully to the Jew) is the knowledge of sin (whatever knowledge each has,-whether the accusing and excusing of the Gentile's conscience, or the clearer view of offence against Jehovah granted to the Jew). The reasoning is: -the law has no such office, in the present state of human nature manifested both in history and Scripture, as to render righteous: its office is altogether different, viz.

when πασα σάρξ shall stand before God,-

of man. Compare Gal. ii. 16.
21—V. 11.] The entrance into God's RIGHTSOUSNESS (ch. i. 17) IS SHEWN TO BE BY FAITH. 21—26.] The Apostle resumes the declaration of ch. i. 17 (having proved that man has no righteonsness of his own resulting from the observance of God's law): viz. that God's righteonsness is revealed by Christ, whose atoning Death is, consistently with God's justice, sufficient for the pardon of sin to those who believe in Him. 21. vvv[] Is this of time, 'now,' in contradistinction to ages past, = êv r\varphi v\varphi v kaip\varphi, vcr. 26,—or is it

to detect and bring to light the sinfulness

*-νετ.28. * χωρίς νόμου ' δικαιοσύνη θεοῦ ' πεφανέρωται, ' μαρτυ-8,0. Ι τοι. Ι τεκαι το ρουμένη ' ὑπὸ τοῦ *νόμου καὶ τῶν *προφητῶν, 2^{2t} δικαιοσύνη τοι. Ι τεκι ' δὲ θεοῦ διὰ * πίστεως ' Ινσοῦ Χυστοῦν Αυστοῦν ' δὲ θεοῦ διὰ * πίστεως ' Ινσοῦ Χυστοῦν ' Αυστοῦν ' Α ρουμένη "ύπὸ τοῦ "νόμου καὶ τῶν "προφητῶν, 22 δικαιοσύνη C και ν δὲ θεοῦ διὰ "πίστεως 'Ιησοῦ χριστοῦ, bείς πάντας [και φητων... ΑΒCDF

 \mathbf{x} Acts xiii. 15 θ (εου, \mathbf{x} εου \mathbf{x} εου \mathbf{x} ενειτείτει \mathbf{x} ε ενειτείτει \mathbf{x} ενειτείτε \mathbf{x} ενειτείτε \mathbf{x} ενειτείτε \mathbf{x} ενειτείτε \mathbf{x} ενειτείτε $\mathbf{x$

21. μαρτυρομένη D1.

22. for τησ. χρ., εν χριστω τησ. A: om Chr: om τησου B Tert: txt CDFKLN 17 rel vss Clem Orig Thdrt Thl Œe Pelag Ambrst Chrom Bede. οm και επι παντας (possibly from homeotel: on the other hand, the longer text may be the junction of two readings) ABCN copt ath arm Clem (Orig) Cyr Aug Ruf-comm: ins DFKLN 17 syrr vulg(but am demid al Damase om εις παντ. και) Chr Thdrt Thl Œc Ambrst Chrom Bede.

merely = 'as things are,' 'now we find?' The former is held by Grot., Bengel, Tholuck, Reiche, Olsh., Rückert, al.,-the latter by Fritzsche, Meyer, and De Wette. The former is true in sense, and applicable to the circumstances of the gospel: but the meaning is too strong, where no contrast of time is expressly in view. I therefore prefer the latter, especially as Paul's usage elsewhere justifies it; see ch. vii. 17: 1 Cor. xv. 20. χωρίς νόμου] without the (help of the) law, 'independently of the law:' not 'without the works of the law; for here it is not the way to the δικ. θεοῦ which is spoken of

(which is faith), but that δικ. itself. δικαιοσ. θεοῦ] God's righteousness: in what sense, see ch. i. 17, and note.

πεφανέρωται] viz. in the facts of the gospel. The perfect sets forth the manifestation of this righteousness in history as an accomplished and still enduring fact-the ἀποκαλύπτεται of ch. i. 17 denotes the continual unfolding of this rightcourness in the hearts and lives of faithful believers.

μαρτυρουμένη κ.τ.λ.] being borne witness to (pres. because the law and prophets remain on record as a revelation of (lod's will) by the law and the prophets (not merely the types and prophecies, but the whole body of the O. T., see Matt. xxii. 40). 22. δικαιοσ. δè θ.] but that (so δέ in Herod. vii. 8, ᾿Αρισταγόρη τῷ Μιλησίῳ, δούλῳ δὲ ἡμετέρῳ,—and i. 114, ὑπὸ τοῦ σοῦ δούλου, βουκόλου δὲ παιδόs: the contrast being between the general mention which has preceded, and the specific distinction now brought in. See Hartung, Partikellehre i. 168 ff.) the righteousness of God (i.e. 'I mean, the righteonsness of God δια πίστεως '1. χρ.') which is (h is not necessary, the art. being

often omitted in cases where the ear is reminded of a usage of the cognate verb with a preposition, such as δικαιοῦσθαι διὰ πίστεως. Compare Col. i. 4, ἀκούσαντες την πίστιν ύμ. εν χριστώ 'Ιησ., and Eph. iii. 4, δύνασθε νοήσαι την σύνεσίν μου έν τῷ μυστηρίω [συνιέντες ἐν πάση σοφία oceurs Dan. i. 4 Theod.]. See Winer, edn. 6, § 20. 2. b) by the faith in Jesus Christ (gen.: see reff.). εἰς πάντ. [κ. έπὶ πάντ.] depends on πεφανέρωται,—(is revealed) unto ('towards,' 'so as to penetrate to') all[, and upon ('over,' 'so as to be shed down on,' but in the theological meaning, no real difference of sense from eis; this repetition of prepositions to give force is peculiar to Paul, see ver. 30, and Gal. i. 1) all] who believe. Probably the repetition of $\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau as$ was suggested by the two kinds of believers, Jew and Gentile, so as to prepare the way for οὐ γάρ ἐστι διαστολή (but still no essential difference in the interpretations of els and ent must be sought). 23. της δόξης τοῦ θεοῦ Of the praise which comes from God, see reff. (so Grot., Thol., Reiche, Fritz., Meyer, Rückert, De Wette): not, 'of praise in God's sight' (Luther, Calv., Estius, Köllner): not, 'of glory with God,' as ch. v. 2 (Ee., Beza, al.), -for he is not speaking here of future reward, but of present worthiness: nor, of the glorious image of God which we have lost through sin (Calov., al., Rückert, Olsh.), which is against both the usage of the word, and the context of the passage.

24. δικαιούμενοι agrees with πάντες, without any ellipsis; nor need it be resolved into καὶ δικαιοῦνται: the participial sentence is subordinated to the great general statement of the insufficiency of all to attain to the glory of God. It is

only, L.P.H. Dan.iv, 32 LXX only. (*oiv, Exod, xxi, 8. Zeph, iii, 1.) 1 = ch, vi, 11, viii, 24, m = hrre(chi, 1.3. Eph, i. 9) only. Polyb, i, 32, 9, πουθέμειοι τούν γροσφομάχουν. (Ho, lx. 5) only, see noie, 20 — Matt. xii. 27, 28 al. pcl. i, 5 ref. q here bis. 2 Cor, viii. 24. Phil. i, 28 only †. r here only †. (ιέναι, Sir, xxiii, 2.)

25. ιλαστηρείον F: om arm: propiliatorem D-lat vulg-sixt harl² Ambrst Oros₁ Jer Ambr: propiliationem vulg E-lat syr: placationem Hil.

rec ins της bef πιστεως, with BC3D¹KL 17 rel Chr-txt Thdrt tGe: om C¹D¹FR Orig₃ Eus Bas Cyr Damase Thl.

—om δια πιστεως Α Chr-comm(and 2-mss). for 1st αυτου, αυτου Β 47. for παρευν, πωρωσω 46: παραυνεσω 69. 116: propositum D¹-lat Aug Ambrst Pelag-comm.

not necessary, in the interpretation, that the subjects of $\pi dxres$ and $\delta uxauo \mu evo$ should be in matter of fact strictly commensurate:— all have sined—all are (must be, if justified) justified freely, &c.'

δωρεάν see reff.: here, 'without merit or desert as arising from earnings of our own; ' 'gratis.' τῆ αὐτοῦ χάριτι] by His grace, i.e. 'His free undeserved Love,' as the working cause (De W.). διὰ τῆς ἀπολ. κ.τ.λ. By means of the propitiatory redemption which is in (has been brought about by, and is now in the Person of) Christ Jesus. ἀπολύτρωσις, redemption by a λύτρον, propitiation, -and, as expressed by the preposition ἀπο, redemption from some state of danger or misery : here, -redemption from the guilt of sin by the propiliatory sacrifice of Christ's death, see reff. and Matt. xx. 28. In Eph. i. 7 this ἀπολύτρωσις is defined to = ή άφεσις των παραπτωμάτων.

25.] προέθετο, not here 'decreed,' as in reff. N. T.,—but put forth, set forth, manifested historically in His inearnation, sufferings, and exaltation. Wetst. quotes Thucyd. ii. 31, τὰ ὀστὰ προτίθενται τῶν ἀπογενομένων, 'they expose the bones of the decased to public view.' [λαστήριον] as a propitiatory offering.

the deceased to public view. I knorrhovo] as a propitiatory offering. So we have σωτήρια, Exod. xx. 24, — χαριστήριον (εὐχαιρτήριον Λ.), 2 Macc. xii. 45, — and καθάρσιον, Herod. i. 35, in the sense of thank-offerings and offerings of purification (no subst., as θθμα, need be supplied,—the words being themselves substantives): and we have this very word in Dio Clurysos. Orat. ii. p. 184 (cited by Stuart), where he says that the Greeks offered an Ιλαστήριον τŷ 'λθήνα, a propitiatory sacrifice. The ordinary interpretation (Theodoret, Theophyl., Luth., Calv., Grot., Calov., Wolf, Olsh.) is founded on the sense in which the LXX use the word, as signifying the golden cover of the ark of the covenant, between the Cherubim, where Jehovah appeared and whence He gave His oracles. τὸ

ίλαστήριον πέταλον ην χρυσοῦν, ἐπέκειτο δὲ τῷ κιβωτῷ. ἐκατέρωθεν δὲ εἶχε τὰ τῶν χερουβίμ ἐκτυπώματα. ἐκείθεν τῷ ἀρχιερεί λειτουργούντι εγίνετο δήλη του θεου ή εὐμένεια . . . τὸ ἀληθινὸν ἱλαστήριον ὁ δεσπότης ἐστὶ χριστός ἐκεῖνο δὲ τὸ παλαιδν τούτου τον τύπον ἐπλήρου. ἁρμόττει δε αὐτῷ ὡς ἀνθρώπῳ τὸ ὕνομα, οὐχ ὡς θεῷ٠ ώς γὰρ θεός, αὐτὸς διὰ τοῦ ἱλαστηρίου χρηματίζει. Theodoret: on which Theophylact further, - έδήλου δὲ πάντως τὴν ανθρωπίνην φύσιν, ήτις πῶμα ἦν τῆς θεότητος, ἐπικαλύπτουσα ταύτην. The expression occurs in full, ίλαστήριον ἐπίθεμα, Exod. xxv. 17: elsewhere ίλαστήριον only, as ref. Heb. See also Philo, Vit. Mos. iii. 8, vol. ii. p. 150. But De Wette well shews the inapplicability of this interpretation, as not agreeing with είς ἔνδειξιν κ.τ.λ. (which requires a victim, see below), and as confusing the unity of the idea here, Christ being (according to it) one while a victim (ἐν τῷ αὐτοῦ αἵματι), and another, something else. The other interpretation (Vulg. propitiationem: so E. V.: Beza, Rückert, al.: adj. — Rosenmüller, Wahl), which makes ίλαστήριον an adj. agreeing with δν, 'a propitiator,' hardly agrees with προέθετο, implying an external demonstration of Christ as the ίλαστήριον, not merely an appointment in the divine œconomy.

διὰ πίστεως] by faith, as the subjective means of appropriation of this propitiation:—not to be joined with ἐν αὐτοῦ αἰματι (but the omission of τῆς is no objection to this, see above on ver. 22), as Luth, Calv. al., Olsh., Rückert,—for such an expression as πίστις or πιστείω ἐν τῷ αἰμ. Τι. χρ. would be nnexampled,—and (which is decisive) the clause ἐν τῷ αὐτοῦ αἰματι requires a primary, not a subordinate place in the sentence, because the next clause, εἰς ἔνδ. τ. δικ. αὐτ., directly refers to it. As διὰ πίστ. is the subjective means of appropriation, so ἐν τῷ αἰμ. αὐτοῦ is the objective means of manifestation, of Christ as a propitiatory sacrifice. αἰμα doco is the objective means of manifestation, of Christ as a propitiatory sacrifice.

shere only †, των * ποογεγουότων † άμαρτημάτων ἐν τῆ αὐνοχῆ τοῦ ABCDF ½ Macc. xiv. $\frac{1}{3}$ only. $\frac{1}{3}$

26. ree om την, with D³KL Chr Thdrt Thl Œe; ins ABCD¹N Clem Cyr. (F 17 omit from δικ. αυτου ver 25 to δικ. αυτου ver. 26.) om και F fuld Ambrst. for δικαιουντα, δικαιουν D¹. om ισσου F 52 E·lat; for ιησ., ιησ. χρ. vulg copt Thdrt Ambrst Pelag Ruf; χρ. ιησ. D¹-lat; του κυρ. ημ. ιησ. χρ. Syr: ισσουν DL b d f g m o 17 Clem Œe; txt ABCKN am fuld D²-lat syr æth Chr Thl Œe, Aug Oros.

Tos, but refers to propitiation by blood,—

the well-known typical use of it in sacrifice. είς ἔνδειξιν κ.τ.λ.] in order to the manifestation of His righteousness: this is the aim of the putting forth of Christ δικαιοσύνη. as an expiatory victim. not truth (Ambrst., al.),-not goodness (Theodoret, Grot., Hammond, Koppe, Rosenm., Reiche),—not both these combined with justice (Beza), - not justifying or sin-forgiving righteousness (Chrys., Aug., Estius, Krehl, B.-Crus.), - not the righteousness which He gives (Luther, Elsner, Wolf, al.), which last would repeat the idea already contained in ver. 21 and rob εls το είναι αὐτ. δίκαιον of all meaning, -not holiness, which does not correspond to δίκαιος and δικαιοῦν, - but judicial righteousness, JUSTICE (as Orig., Calov., Tholuck, Meyer, Schrader, Rückert ed. 2, al.). This interpretation alone suits the requirements of the sense, and corresponds to the idea of δικαιοῦν, which is itself judicial. A sin-offering betokens on the one side the expiation of guilt, and on the other ensures pardon and reconciliation: and thus the Death of Christ is not only a proof of God's grace and love, but also of His judicial rightcourness which requires punishment and expiation. (Mainly from De Wette.) διὰ τ. πάρεσιν from De Wette.) Sià τ . πάρεσιν $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$.] = διὰ τ δ παριέναι τ δν θεδν τ ὰ προγ. άμαρτήματα έν τη άνοχη αὐτοῦ, and contains the reason why God would manifest His judicial righteousness; on account of the overlooking of the sins which had passed, in the forbearance of God: i.e. to vindicate that character for justice, which might seem, owing to the suspension of God's righteous sentence on sin in former ages in His forbearance, to be placed in question :- to shew, that though He did not then fully punish for sin, and though He did then set forth inadequate means of (subjective) justification,-yet He did both, not because His justice was slumbering, nor because the

nature of His righteousness was altered,but because He had provided a way whereby sin might be forgiven, and He might be just. Observe, πάρεσις is not forgiveness, but overlooking, which is the work of forbearance (see Acts xvii. 30), whereas forgiveness is the work of grace,—see ch. ii. 4:
—nor is τῶν προγεγ. άμ., 'the sins of each man which precede his conversion' (Calov.), but those of the whole world before the death of Christ. See the very similar words Heb. ix. 15. The rendering διά, 'by means of' (Origen, Luth., Calv., Calov., Le Clerc, Elsn., Koppe, Reiche, Schrader), is both ungrammatical 26. πρὸς τὴν ἔνδ. and unmeaning. κ.τ.λ. The art. distinguishes this ένδειξις from the former, as the fuller and ultimate object, of which that ἔνδειξις was a subordinate part :- with a view to the (or His) manifestation of His righteousness in this present time. The shewing forth that He was righteous throughout His dealings with the whole world, by means of setting forth an adequate and complete propitiation in the death of Christ, was towards, formed a subsidiary manifestation to, His great manifestation of His righteousness (same sense as before, judicial righteousness, justice) under the Gospel. The joining $\pi \rho bs$ $\tau \eta \nu$ $\ell \nu \delta e \xi$, $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. with $\ell \nu$ $\tau \eta$ $\delta \nu \nu \chi \eta$ $\delta \tau$, $\delta e \sigma 0$ (Bezu, Rückert 2 ed., Thol., al.) would draw off the attention from the leading thought of the sentence to a digression respecting the $\partial \nu o \chi \dot{\eta} \tau$. θ ., which is not probable.

eis τὸ civaι κ.τ.λ.] in order that He may be (shewn to be:—the whole present concern is with the δεεξες, the exhibition to men of the rightcousness of God) just in who is of (the) faith in Jesus (τὸν ἐκ κίστ. Ἰησ., him who belongs to, stands in, works from as his standing-point, faith in Jesus; see ch. ii. S, note, and reft].

27-IV. 25.] JEWISH BOASTING ALTOGETHER REMOVED by this truth, NOT

27. aft kauchhois ins sou F latt Thl-comm Aug_{1^*} for ouch, our $\mathrm{D}^1\colon$ ou F. om 2nd dia $\mathrm{D}^1.$

28. λογιζωμεθαι D³K. *rec our (prob corrn from missinderstands of λογιζομαι to conney a conclusion: see note), with BCD³KL 17 rel syrr Chr Thdrt Thl Gerpa D1°F8 latt copt Cyr Damasc Ambrst Ruf Aug Ambr. rec πιστει bef δικαιουσθαι (to throw emphasis on πιστει, supposing the ver to convey a solemn conclusion), with KLN³ 17 rel syrr Chr Thdrt: for πιστει ανθρωπον, ανθρ. δια πιστεως F vulg wth Aug: txt ABCDN¹ copt.

29. om η n 39¹ Thdrt: $\mu\eta$ A¹(appy) 39² Hil: $\epsilon\iota$ 77: an latt. $\mu\nu\nu\nu$ B a b 23. 39. 47. 48. 76 Clem, Ath, Chr, (mss vary) Cyr Thl (but aft $\iota\nu\nu\delta$. Clem Ath.): $\mu\nu\nu$ by txt ACFKLN 17 rel Ath, Thdrt $\Xi\epsilon_{\iota}$ tantum latt. ree aft $\nu\nu\chi$ ins $\delta\epsilon_{\iota}$ with L 17 rel syr Chr Cyr, Thdrt Thl $\Xi\epsilon_{\iota}$ om ABCDFKN k latt Syr copt Clem. Ath Chr-ms.

Cyr, Damase.

30. rec επειπερ (corrn), with D^{1.3}FKLK³ 17 rel vss Eus Ath Chr Thdrt Thl Œ: quoniam quidem latt Ambr: txt ABCD²N¹ copt Clem Orig Cyr, Did Damasc: siquidem Jer Pacian. om o D¹ Orig.

however BY MAKING VOID THE LAW, nor BY DEGRADING ABRAHAM FROM HIS PRE-EMINENCE, but BY ESTABLISHING THE LAW, and shewing that Abraham was really JUSTIFIED BY FAITH, and is the FATHER 27.] ή καύχησις, OF THE FAITHFUL. the boasting, viz. of the Jews, of which he had spoken before, ch. ii., not 'boasting' in general, which will not suit ver. 29. (So Theodoret, τὸ ὑψηλὸν τῶν Ἰουδαίων φρόνημα,—Chrys., Theophyl., Œc.:—Vulg.: gloriatio tua: Bengel, Rückert, Meyer, De Wette, al.) ἐξεκλ.] οὐκ ἔτι χώραν διά π. ν. κ.τ.λ. By έχει, Theodoret. what law (is it excluded)? (is it by that) of works? No, but by the law (norma, the rule) of faith. The contrast is not here between the law and the Gospel as two dispensations, but between the law of works and the law of faith, whether found under the law, or the Gospel, or (if the case admitted) any where else. This is evident by the Apostle proving below that Abraham was justified, not by works, so as to have whereof to boast, but by faith. λογιζόμεθα, not 'we conclude,' but we hold, we reckon, see reff.: the former is against N. T. usage; and has probably eaused the change of γάρ into οὖν, by some who imagined that this verse was a conclusion from the preceding argument. For we hold (as explanatory of the verse preceding, -on the other supposition the two verses are disjointed, and the conclusion comes in most strangely), that a man is justified

by faith, without the works of the law (not works of law); and therefore boasting is excluded. 29. In shewing how completely Jewish boasting is excluded, Paul purposes to take the ground of their own law, and demonstrate it from that. He will shew that God is not (the God) of Jews alone, but of Gentiles, and that this very point was involved in the promise made to Abraham, by believing which he was justified (ch. iv.), and therefore that it lies in the very root and kernel of the law itself. But, as often elsewhere, he passes off from this idea again and again, recurring to it however continually,-and eventually when he brings forward his proof-text (πατέρα πολλῶν ἐθνῶν τέθεικά σε, iv. 17), Abraham's faith, and not this fact, has become the leading subject. είπερ] if at least (if we are to hold to what is manifest as a result of our former argument) God is One, who shall justify the circumcision (= the Jews, after the analogy of ch. ii. 26) by (¿κ, as the preliminary condition,-the state out of which the justification arises) faith, and the uncircumcision (the Gentiles) through (by means of) their faith. Too much stress must not be laid on the difference of the two prepositions (see ver. 22 and note). The omission of the art. in ἐκ πίστ. and its expression in διὰ τῆs $\pi i \sigma \tau$, are natural enough: the former expresses the ground of justification, generally taken, ἐκ πίστεωs, by faith: the latter the means whereby the man lays hold on justi1 Paul (ch. ii. πίστεως καὶ ἀκροβυστίαν διὰ τῆς πίστεως. 31 νόμον ABCDF 25. iv. 4 8c. 5 ούν m καταργούμεν διὰ τῆς πίστεως; n μὴ γένοιτο, ἀλλὰ cafgh klm in 3. Gen. xrii. νόμον $^{\circ}$ ίστάνομεν. 31 γίνοιτο, αλλὰ $^{\circ}$ αίστεως 5 10 κι 5 κι 5 κι 5 κι 5 οιτ

31. rec ιστωμεν, with D³KLN³ rel Chr Thdrt Thl Œc: συνιστωμεν 17. 65. 93 lect-6: περιστωνομεν D¹: txt ABCD²FN¹ Orig Cyr Procop Damase.

Chap. IV. 1. rec abraam τ ov π atera η mw bef evraneva, with KL 17 rel syrt Chr Thdrt Thl Ee Gennad Phot: om ϵ vraneva B 47^1 : ins bef abraam ACDFX latt Eus

fication, διὰ τῆς πίστεως, by his faith: the former is the objective ground, the latter the subjective medium. Jowett's rendering of περιτομήν έκ πίστεως, 'the circumcision that is of faith,' though ingenious, is hardly philologically allowable, nor would it correspond to the other member of the sentence, which he rightly renders 'and the uncir-cumcision through their faith.' To understand της πίστεως (as Mr. Green, Gr. p. 300) as referring to πίστεως just mentioned ' by the instrumentality of the identical faith which operates in the case of the circumcised,' is to contradict the fact : the faith was not, strictly speaking, identical in this sense, or the two cases never need have been distinguished. See vv. 1, 2. But again the Jew may object, if this is the case, if Faith be the ground, and Faith the medium, of justification for all, circumcised or uncircumcised, surely the law is set aside and made void. That this is not so, the Apostle both here asserts, and is prepared to shew by working out the proposition of ver. 29, that the law itself belonged to a covenant whose original recipient was justified by faith, and whose main promise was, the reception and blessing of the Genνόμον, not 'law,' but the law, as every where in the Epistle. We may safely say that the Apostle never argues of law, abstract, in the sense of a system of precepts,-its attributes or its effects,but always of THE LAW, concrete, - the law of God given by Moses, when speaking of the Jews, as here: the law of God, in as far as written in their consciences, when speaking of the Gentiles: and when including both, the law of God generally, His written as well as His unwritten will.

Many Commentators have taken this verse (being misled in some cases by its place at the end of the chapter) as standing by itself, and have gone into the abstract grounds why faith does not make void the law (or moral obedience); which, however true, have no place here: the design being to shew that the law itself contained this

very doctrine, and was founded in the promise to Abraham on a covenant embracing Jews and Gentiles,—and therefore was not degraded from its dignity by the doctrine, but rather established as a part of God's dealings,—consistent with, explaining, and explained by, the Gospel.

IV. 1-5.] Abraham himself was justified by faith. The reading and punctuation of this verse present some difficulties. As to the first (see var. read.), the variation in the order of the words, and the reading προπάτορα seemed to me formerly, however strongly supported, to have sprung out of an idea that κατὰ σάρκα belonged to πατέρα. This being supposed, εύρηκέναι appeared to have been transposed to throw πατέρα ήμ. κατὰ σάρκα together, -and then, because Abraham is distinctly proved (ver. 11) to have been in another sense the father of the faithful, πατέρα to have been altered to the less ambiguous προπάτορα, ancestor, a word not found in the N. T., but frequent in the Fathers. I therefore in the 3rd edition of this vol., with De Wette, Tholuck, and Tischendorf (in his last edn.), retained the rec. text. Being now however convinced that we are bound to follow the testimony of our best MSS., and to distrust such subjective considerations as unsafe, and generally able to be turned both ways, I have adopted the reading of A(B)CDFN &c., bracketing εύρηκέναι as of doubtful authority, omitted as it is by B. Grot., Le Clerc, and Wetst. punctuate, τί οὖν ἐροῦμεν; εύρηκ. σάρκα: - and Matthaï, τίοδν; ἐροῦμ. σάρκα; supplying δικαιοσύνην (or more rightly an indefinite τι) after εύρη-κέναι. But as Thol. well remarks, both these methods of punctuating would pre-suppose that Paul had given some reason in the preceding verses for imagining that Abraham had gained some advantage according to the flesh : which is not the ease.

οὖν] The Apostle is here contending with those under the law from their own standing-point: and he follows up his νόμον

 r προπάτορα ἡμῶν s κατὰ s σάρκα ; 2 εἰ γὰρ ΄Αβραὰμ t έξ t here only t έργων t έδικαιώθη, uv έχει vw καύχημα. ΄Αλλ΄ οὖ x πρὸς chi arefi. ch. iii. t0 θεόν 3 τί γὰρ ἡ y γραφὴ λέγει ; z Έπίστευσεν δὲ ΄Αβραὰμ $^{rec.}_{1 \text{co. xv. 31.}}$ $^{tor.}$ τ. $^{tor.}$ τ. $^{tor.}$ $^{tor.}$

Cyr Damasc Ambrst. rec (for προπατ.) πατερα, with C³DFKLN-corr¹ 17 rel latt syr Chr Thdrt Gennad Phot Thl Œc: patriarcham Syr: txt ABC'N¹-3 copt æth arm Eus (Chr-comm₁) Cyr Damasc.

2. alla F. rec ins $\tau o \nu$ bef $\theta \epsilon o \nu$, with D3KL 17 rel Chr Thdrt: om ABCD1FK Cyr.

3. in \aleph $\gamma a \rho$ has been written twice, but the first erased. om $\delta \epsilon$ (as unnecessary) D¹F b o latt Chr Cypr.

ίστάνομεν, by what therefore ('hoc concesso,' 'seeing that you and I are both upholders of the law') shall we say, &c. This verse, and the argument following, are not a proof, but a consequence, of νόμον $i\sigma\tau$., and are therefore introduced, not with γάρ, but with οὖν. εύρηκέναι viz. towards his justification, or more strictly, earned as his own, to boast of. σάρκα belongs to εύρ., not (as Chrys., Theophyl., Erasm.) to προπάτορα ήμ. For the course and spirit of the argument is not to limit the paternity of Abraham to a mere fleshly one, but to shew that he was the spiritual father of all believers. And the question is not one which requires any such distinction between his fleshly and spiritual paternity (as in ch. ix. 3, 5). This being so, what does ката барка mean? It cannot allude to circumcision; for that is rendered improbable, not only by the parallel expression έξ ἔργων in the plural, but also by the consideration, that circumcision was no έργον at all, but a seal of the righteousness which he had by faith being yet uncircumcised (ver. 11),-and by the whole course of the argument in the present place, which is not to disprove the exclusive privilege of the Jew (that having been already done, chs. ii. iii.), but to shew that the father and head of the race himself was justified not by works, but by faith. Doubtless, in so far as circumcision was a mere work of obedience, it might be in a loose way considered as falling under that category: but it came after justifica-tion, and so is chronologically here excluded. κατά σάρκα then is in contrast to κατά πνεθμα, - and refers to that department of our being from which spring works, in contrast with that in which is the exercise of faith: see ch. viii. 4, 5. For if Abraham was justified (assuming, as a fact known to all, that he was justified by some means) by works, he hath matter of boasting (not expressed here whether in the sight of men, or of God, but taken

generally: the proposition being assumed, 'He that has earned justification by works, has whereof to boast'). Then, in disproof of this,-that Abraham has matter of boasting, - whatever men might think of him, or attribute to him (e.g. the perfect keeping of the law, as the Jews did), one thing at least is clear, that he has none before God. (πρός, probably as in the second ref., with, in the sense of chez: apud Deum.) This we can prove, (ver. 3) for what saith the Scripture? Abraham believed God (God's promise) and it (τὸ πιστεῦσαι) was reck-oned (so LXX. Heb., 'He reckoned it') to him as (ch. ii. 26) righteousness. The whole question so much mooted between Protestantson the one hand, and Romanists, Arminians, and Socinians on the other, as to whether this righteousness was reckoned (1) 'per fidem,' being God's righteousness imputed to the sinner; or (2) 'propter fidem,' so that God made Abraham righteous on account of the merit of his faith, lies in fact in a small compass, if what has gone before be properly taken into account. The Apostle has proved Jews and Gentiles to be all under sin: utterly unable by works of their own to attain to righteousness. Now faith, in the second sense mentioned above, is strictly and entirely a work, and as such would be the efficient cause of man's justification,—which, by what has preceded, it cannot be. It will therefore follow, that it was not the act of believing which was reckoned to him as a righteous act, or on account of which perfect righteousness was laid to his charge, but that the fact of his trusting God to perform His promise introduced him into the blessing promised. God declared his purpose (Gen. xii. 3) of blessing all the families of the earth in Abraham, and again (Gen. xv. 5) that his seed should be as the stars of heaven, when as yet he had no son. Abraham believed this promise, and became partaker of this blessing. But this blessing was, justification by faith in Christ. Now

*= ch. ix. 8 τ $\tilde{\psi}$ θε $\tilde{\psi}$, καὶ * έλογίσθη αὐτ $\tilde{\psi}$ * είς δικαιοσύνην. 4 τ $\tilde{\psi}$ δὲ ABCDF τείς Prov. 1 Νας. 15.2 $\tilde{\psi}$ είναι είναι

4. rec ins τo bef $o\phi \epsilon_i \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ (appy as agreeing better with the idea of a definite obligation incurred: i. e. $= \tau \delta$ doeind $\mu \nu \nu$, 'what is due from the employer,' as indeed Bloomf. explains if), with none of our mss: ins ABCDFKLX rel.

5. ασεβην D'FN.

6. for καθαπερ, καθως DF. ins o bef δανειδ DF Chr-comm,

Abraham could not, in the strict sense of the words, be justified by faith in Christ, -nor is it necessary to suppose that he directed his faith forward to the promised Redeemer in Person; but in so far as God's gracious purpose was revealed to him, he grasped it by faith, and that righteousness which was implied, so far, in it, was imputed to him. Some have said (Tholuck, e. g.) that the parallel is incomplete—Abraham's faith having been reckoned to him for righteousness, whereas, in our case, the righteousness of Christ is reekoned to us as our righteousness, by faith. But the incompleteness lies in the nature of the respective cases. In his case, the righteousness itself was not yet manifested. He believed implicitly, taking the promise, with all it involved and implied, as true. This then was his way of entering into the promise, and by means of his faith was bestowed upon him that full justification which that faith never apprehended. Thus his faith itself, the mere fact of implicit trust in God, was counted to him for righteousness. But though the same righteousness is imputed to us who believe, and by means of faith also, it is no longer the mere fact of believing implicitly in God's truth, but the reception of Christ Jesus the Lord by faith, which justifies us (see vv. 23-25 and note). As it was then the realization of God's words by faith, so now: but we have the Person of the Lord Jesus for the object of faith, explicitly revealed: he had not. In both cases justification is gratuitous, and is by faith: and so far, which is as far as the argument here requires, the parallel is strict and complete. 4. τῷ ἐργαζομ.] (q. d. τφ έργάτη, but the part, is used because of the negative τφ μη έργαζ. following)- to the workman (him that works for hire, that earns wages, compare προςηργάσατο, Luke xix. 16) his wages are not reckoned according to (as a matter

of) grace (favour), but according to (as a matter of) debt. The stress is on κατὰ χάριν, not on λογίζεται, which in this first member of the sentence, is used hardly in the strict sense, of imputing or reckoning, but of allotting or apportioning:its use being occasioned by the stricter λογίζεται below. And the sentence is a general one, not with any peculiar reference to Abraham, -except that after κατά χάριν we may supply $\delta s \tau \hat{\varphi} A\beta \rho \alpha d\mu$, if we will; for this is evidently assumed. 5. But to him who works not (for hire,-is not an έργάτης looking for his μισθός) but believes on (easts himself in simple trust and humility on) Him who justifies (accounts just, as in ver. 3) the ungodly ('impious:' stronger than 'unrighteous: -no allusion to Abraham's having formerly been in idolatry, - for the sentence following on ver. 4, which is general and of universal application, must also be general,including of course Abraham: ἀσέβεια is the state of all men by nature), - his faith is reckoned as righteousness.

χάριν is of course implied.
6-8.] The same is confirmed by a passage from David. This is not a fresh example, but a confirmation of the assertion involved in ver. 5, that a man may believe on Him who justifies the ungodly, and have his faith reckoned for righteousness. The applicability of the text depends on the persons alluded to being sinners, and having sin not reckoned to them. åσεβείς and λογίζομαι are the two words to be illustrated. The Psahn, strictly speaking, says nothing of the imputation of righteousness, -but it is implied by Paul, that the remission of sin is equivalent to the imputation of righteousness - that there is no negative state of innocence - none intermediate between acceptance for righteousness, and rejection for sin. 6. λέγ. τὸν μακ. pronounces the blessedness, 'the congratu $\begin{array}{c} ^k \ \mu \text{akaqishin tou } \text{div} \text{discover} \text{$

8. for ϕ , δb BD¹GN¹: txt ACD¹FKLN³ rel. $\lambda \sigma \gamma \iota \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ K n 17. 9. aft $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \sigma \iota \mu \eta \nu$ ins $\mu \sigma \iota \nu \sigma \nu$ D harl (not am demid fuld) Ambrst Pelag. for 2nd $\epsilon \pi \iota$, $\epsilon \iota$ s C: om 29. 33: om $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \nu$ a. om $\sigma \iota$ BD¹N. om $\eta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota$ s K: ins aft $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota \sigma \sigma$. 17. 62.

10. om οντι F vulg(not fuld¹) D³-lat Cyr Ambrst Pelag Aug.

11. περιτομην AC1 syrr Orig-schol Eus Cyr-jer Chr₁ Cyr₂ Procop Damase: txt

It is very clear that this righteousness must be χωρίς ἔργων, because its imputation consists in the remission and hiding of offences, whereas none can be legally righteous in whom there is any, even the smallest offence. 8.] οὐ μὴ λογίση-Tat, as the same construction usually in the N. T., is *future* (Winer, edn. 6, § 56. 3), and must be referred to the great final judgment. Or we may say with Olsh. that the expression is an O. T. one, regarding sin as lying covered by the divine long-suffering till the completion of the work of Christ, at which time first real forgiveness of sins was imparted to the ancient believers; compare Matt. xxvii. 53; 1 Pet. iii. 18. In this last view the future will only refer to all such cases as should arise. 9-12.] This declaration of blessedness applies to circumcised and uncircumcised alike. For Abraham himself was thus justified when in UNCIRCUMCISION, and was then pronounced the father of the faithful, uncircumcised as well as circumcised. μακαρισμός of course includes the fact, on account of which the congratulation is pronounced,—the justifica-9. ἐπί] sc. λέγεται, see καί, presupposes an affirmative answer to the latter clause; which affirmative answer is then made the ground of the argumentation in vv. 10, 11, 12:—On the uncircumcision (-cised) also. For we say, &c. The stress is on τφ Αβραάμ, not on ή πίστις: for we say that TO ABRAHAM

lation:' in allusion perhaps to the Heb.

form, איזרי '(O) the blessings of,'

faith was reckoned for righteousness. 10.] πως, under what circums? The interval between the recognition of his faith (Gen. xv. 6) and his circumcision, was perhaps as much as twenty-five, certainly not less (Gen. xvii. 25) than fourteen years. 11. And he received (from God) the sign (token, or symbol) of circumcision (gen. of apposition, see reff. The reading περιτομήν appears to have been an alteration on account of σφραγίδα following), a seal (the Targum on Cant. iii. 8, cited by Tholnck, has the expression, 'the seal of circumcision,' and in Sohar, Levit. vi. 21, it is called 'a holy sign.' So also Baptism is called in the Acta Thomæ, § 26, ή σφραγίε τοῦ λουτροῦ, and elsewhere in the Fathers simply \$\delta\$ σφραγίς. Grabe, Spicil. Patr. i. 333) of the righteousness (to stamp, and certify the righteousness) of the faith (gen. of apposition [but not in appos. with bur. by construction],- of the righteonsness which consisted in his faith,'-not, 'of his justification by faith:' the present argument treats of faith accounted as righteousness) which was (or, 'which he had:' This may refer either to δικ. or to πίστ.,—but better to the former, because the object is to shew that the righteousness was imputed in uncircumcision) during his uncircumcision. In literal historical matter of faet, Abraham received circumcision as a seal of the covenant between God and him (Gen. xvii. 1-14). But this covenant was only a renewal of that very one, on the promise of which Abraham's faith was exercised, Gen. xv. 5, 6,-and each successive

BC°DFKLN 17 rel latt copt Orig-e Chr, Cyr, Thart Thi Ce. aft $\sigma\phi\rho\alpha\gamma\iota\delta\alpha$ ins $\delta\iota\alpha$ F: $\tau\eta s$ $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\tau o\mu\eta s$ L. om $\tau\eta s$ bef $\delta\iota\kappa$ A. om $\tau\eta$ DF b c o Procop Damase. for $\delta\iota$, $\delta\iota\alpha$ AD'F K(e sil) L: txt BCD²-N rel. om $\kappa\alpha\iota$ ABN¹ a demid tol Orig-schol Cyr Damase: ins CDFKLN³ 17 rel latt syr ath Thart Thi Ce. om $\tau\eta\nu$ C°D'N: for $\tau\eta\nu$, $\epsilon\iota s$ A d 32. 114. 124 Syr Cyr, ad justitiam vulg D³-lat G-lat

Ambrst Pelag Aug. 12. om τ_0 sous κ κ $\pi \epsilon_{\text{Pi}\tau \circ \mu \eta \sigma}$ (hom ∞ 0) \mathbb{N}^1 : ins \mathbb{N} -corr¹. rec ins $\tau \eta$ bef $\alpha \kappa \rho o$ -bustia, with D³KL rel Chr Thart Thl (Ee, om ABCD)FR a' c f h l m n Procop Damasc (Ee, $-\tau \eta \pi \pi \iota \sigma \tau$, $\tau \eta s$ $\epsilon_{\nu} \tau \eta$ $\alpha \kappa \rho \circ \beta$. DKL a b c f g h k l n o 17 vulg(not am fuld

harl') Thort Thl-sif (Ec, lat-ff: om πιστεως X1: ins X-corr'.

renewal of which was a fresh approval of that faith. The Apostle's point is,-that the righteousness was reckoned, and the promise made, to Abraham, not in circumcision, but in uncircumcision. clyat In order that he might be (not 'so that he is;' see Gal. iii. 7) the father of all that believe in uncircumcision (διά, see reff., - 'conditionis'). Abraham is the father of the faithful. But the triumph and recognition of that faith whereby he was constituted so, was not during his circumcision, but during his nneireumeision:-therefore the faithful, his descendants, must not be confined to the circumcised, but must take in the uncircumcised also. On πατέρα in this sense, Tholnek compares the expression Gen. iv. 20; 1 Mace. ii. 51 (Φινεές δ πατήρ ήμῶν èν τῷ (ηλῶσαι (ῆλον), and Maimonides, 'Moses is the father of all the prophets who sneceeded him.' See also our Lord's saying John viii. 37, 39. The Rubbinical look Wighted Lords. book Michlal Jophi on Mal. ii. (Thol.) has a sentiment remarkably coincident with that in our text: "Abraham is the father of all those who follow his faith." λογ. κ.τ.λ.] (is in fact parenthetical, whether brackets are used or not; for otherwise the construction from the former to the latter πατέρα would not proceed) in order that the righteousness (which Abraham's faith was reckoned as being,-the righteousness of God, then hidden though imputed, but now revealed in Jesus Christ)

12. καὶ (εἶs τὸ εἶναι αὐτὸν) πατέρα περιτομῆς...] And (that he might be) father of the circumcision (the circum-

might be imputed to them also.

cised) to those (dat. commodi 'for those,' 'in the case of those') who are not only (physically) of the circumcision, but also who walk (the inversion of the article appears to be in order to bring out more markedly τοις έκ περιτ. and τοις στοιχ.,who are not only of ἐκ περιτ., but also of στοιχοῦντες) in the footsteps (reff.) of the faith of our father (speaking here as a Jew) Abraham (which he had) during uncircumcision. (The art. would make it 'during his uncircumcision,'-but the sense is better without it, the word being gene-13-17. Not through the ralized.) LAW, but through THE RIGHTEOUSNESS OF FAITH, was THE INHERITANCE OF THE WORLD promised to Abraham: so that not only they who are of the law, but they who follow Abraham's faith are HEIRS OF THIS PROMISE. 13.] γάρ, strictly for. The argumentation is an expansion of πατέρα πάντ. τῶν πιστευόντων above. If these believers are Abraham's seed, then his promised inheritance is theirs. διὰ νόμου] not, 'under the law,'-nor, 'by

bà vápou] not, 'under the law,'—nor, 'by works of the law:'—nor, 'by the riphteousness of the law:' but, through the law, so that the law should be the ground, or efficient cause, or medium, of the promise. None of these it was, as matter of historical fact. For not through the law was the promise (made) to Abraham, or (f in negative sentences answers to ked in aftirm, see Matt. 't. 17) to his seed, viz. that he should be heir of the world, but by the righteousness of faith. This specification of the promise has perplexed most of the Commentators. The actual promise, Gen. (xii. 2, 3) xiii. 14—17; xv. 18; xvii.

ματι αὐτοῦ, $^{\rm h}$ τὸ $^{\rm i}$ κληρονόμον αὐτὸν εἶναι κόσμου, ἀλλα $^{\rm h}$ τὸ w. Inf. Thess, iii. δια δικαιοσύνης πίστεως, $^{\rm 14}$ εἰ γὰο οἰ $^{\rm h}$ έν νόμου $^{\rm i}$ κληρονό $^{\rm i}$ $^{\rm i}$ Τhess, ii. 10. $^{\rm i}$ κεκένωται $^{\rm i}$ η πίστις καὶ $^{\rm k}$ κατήργηται $^{\rm i}$ έπαγγελία. $^{\rm i}$ $^{\rm leh. i. 2}$ $^{\rm leh. i. 2}$ $^{\rm leh. i. 3}$ $^{\rm l$

[der. xiv. 2, xv. 0 only, 17]. James I, Sch. iii. 3 refi. 1 = ch. ii. 5, 8 refi. 1 = ch. ii. 5, 8 refi. 3 s. ii. 3, 135 20 cm; 17, James I, Sch. ii. 13 cm, ch. v. 1, C. Al, iii. 19, 1 Tim, ii. 40 e. b. ii. 25, 13 cm, ch. v. 11, C. Al, iii. 19, 1 Tim, ii. 40 e. b. ii. 20, 30 al. 1 = ch. v. 10 only. iii. 20, 30 al. 2 Macc. xv. 10 only.

am η N¹: ins N-corr¹.
 ree ins του bef κοσμου, with KL 17 rel Thdrt Th (Ec: on ABCDFN d Damase.
 for δια δικαιοσυνης, δικαιοσυνης F. (διακαιοσυνης Gl.)
 for ου, που Gl.
 rec (for δε) γαρ (see note), with DFKLN³ rel latt syrr Chr (στι ο νομ. for ο γαρ ν. above) Cyr (Ec Ambrst Aug. Bede: txt ABCN¹ syr-marg copt Thdrt Thl Julian Ambr Ruf.
 παραβαστες (idacism) AF.

8, was the possession of the land of Canaan. But the Rabbis already had seen, and Paul, who had been brought up in their learning, held fast the truth,-that much more was intended in the words which accompany this promise, 'In thee (or in thy seed) shall all families of the earth be blessed,' than the mere possession of Canaan. They distinctly trace the gift of the world to Abraham to this promise, not to the foregoing. So Bemidbar Rabb. xiv. 202. 3 (Wetst.),—'Hortus est mundus, gnem Deus tradidit Abrahamo, cui dictum est, "et eris benedictio" (see other citations in Wetst.). The inheritance of the world then is not the possession of Canaan merely (so that $\kappa \delta \sigma \mu \sigma \nu$ should = $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$) either literally, or as a type of a better possession,-but that ultimate lordship over the whole world which Abraham, as the father of the faithful in all peoples, and Christ, as the Seed of Promise, shall possess: the former figuratively indeed and only implicitly,-the latter personally and actually. See ch. viii. 17; Matt. v. 5; 2 Tim. ii. 12; Another difficulty, that 1 Cor. xv. 24. this promise was made chronologically before the reckoning of his faith for righteousness, is easily removed by remembering that the (indefinite) making of the promise is here treated of as the whole process of its assertion, during which Abraham's faith was shewn, and the promise continually confirmed. αὐτόν includes his seed.

14.] The supposition is now made which ver. 13 denied, — and its consequences shewn. For if they who are of the law (who belong to the law, see reff.: not, 'who keep the law,' nor is δίκαιοι to be supplied) are inheritors (i. e. inherit 'ejus rei causā,' by riertue of the law: they may be inheritors by the righteousness of faith, but not quoud their legal standing), faith is (thereby) made empty (robbed of its virtue and rendered use

less), and the promise is annulled (has no longer place). How and why so? The Apostle himself immediately gives the rea-15. For the law works (brings about, gives occasion to) wrath (which from its very nature, excludes promise, which is an act of grace, -and faith, which is an attribute of confidence) ;- but where (or, for where; but I should regard yap as introduced to suit the idea of the second clause rendering a reason for the first) there is no law (lit. 'where the law is not'), neither (is there) transgression. 'We should rather expect (says De W.) the affirmative clause, "And where the law is, there is transgression:" but the negative refers to the time before the Mosaic law, when there was no transgression and therefore also no wrath.' Yes; but not because there was no transgression then; the purpose of the Apostle here is not to deny the existence of the law of God written in the heart (which itself brings in the knowledge of sin) before Moses, but to shew that no promise of inheritance can be by the law, because the property of the law is, the more it is promulgated, to reveal transgression more, -not to unfold grace. So that comparatively (see notes on ch. vii.) there was no transgression before the law of Moses; and if we conceive a state in which the law whether written or unwritten should be altogether absent (as in the brute creation), there would be no transgression whatever.

But observe (see ch. v. 12—14) that this reasoning does not touch the doctrine of the original tain of our nature in Adam,—only referring to the discrimination of acts, words, and thoughts by the conscience in the light of the law: for παράβασις is not natural corruption, but an net of transpession: nor does the Apostle here deny the former, even in the imaginable total absence of the law of God. 16.] For this (viz. the following) reason it (the

p ver. 4. ellips., ρ κατά χάριν, θείς τὸ είναι Βεβαίαν την επαγγελίαν ABCDF παντί τῷ τοπέρματι, οὐ τῷ εκ τοῦ νόμου μόνον, άλλα cdfg h καὶ τῷ εκ πίστεως ''Αβραάμ, ος έστιν πατηρ πάντων ο 17 $\frac{11. (v.r.)}{13. (v.l.)} \frac{1}{10. (v.r.)} \frac{17}{(\kappa a \theta \omega_{\rm G})} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \rho a \pi \tau a i^{\rm V} \ddot{\sigma} r_{\rm I} \pi a \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \ddot{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \ddot{\omega} \nu$ $\frac{1}{(v.l.)} \frac{1}{(v.l.)} \frac{1}$ only.
s Acts i. 1 reff. ποιούντος τούς νεκρούς καὶ «καλούντος τὰ μὴ ὅντα ώς

16. aft πιστεωs ins ιησου D'(and lat1). aft $\iota\nu\alpha$ ins η A 45. 80 arm. μονον and και F(and F-lat) 91 D-lat: oin και fuld harl1. aft vouov ins εστιν D'. 17. επιστευσας F, credidisti vulg-mss(demid flor fuld tol, besides F-lat) D-lat Syr Ambrst Vig Pelag; credent wth: επιστευσαν D1.

inheritance, -not the promise; the promise was not strictly speaking ἐκ πίστεως: -nor must we supply they, meaning the heirs, who although they might fairly be said to be έκ πίστεως [compare οἱ ἐκ νόμου above, and reff.] could hardly be without harshness described as being κατὰ χάριν) was by faith that it might be (strictly the purpose;—not, 'so that it was') according to grace (free unmerited favour. As the law, bringing the knowledge of guilt, works wrath, -so the promise, awakening faith, manifests God's free grace,—the end for which it was given); in order that the promise might be sure (not, 'so that the promise was sure:' this was the result, but the Apostle states this as the aim and end of the inheritance being by faith, -quoad the seed of Abraham, that they all might be inheritors, -as the manifestation of God's grace was the higher nim and end) to all the seed, not only to that (part of it) which is of the law (see ver. 14), but to that which is of the faith (walks in the steps of the faith, ver. 12) of Abraham (it is altogether wrong to make 'Aβραάμ depend on σπέρματι expressed or understood, as Œcum., Koppe, and Fritzsche). The part of the seed which is of the law here is of course confined to believing Jews; the seed being believers only. This has been sometimes lost sight of, and the whole argument of vv. 13-16 treated as if it applied to the doctrine of justification by faith without the works of the law, a point already proved, and now presupposed, - the present argument being an historical and metaphysical one, proceeding on the facts of Abraham's history, and the natures respectively of the law and grace, to prove him to be the father of all believers, uncircumcised as well as circumδς έστιν πατήρ πάντων ήμῶν] cised.

By the last declaration, the paternity of Abraham, which is co-extensive with the inheritance, has been extended to all who are of his faith; here therefore it is reas-

serted: ἡμῶν meaning τῶν πιστευόντων.
17. καθώς γέγρ.] The words (ref.) are spoken of the numerous progeny of Abraham according to the flesh: but not without a reference to that covenant, according to the terms of which all nations were to be blessed in him. The Apostle may here cite it as comparing his natural paternity of many nations with his spiritual one of all believers: but it seems more probable that he regards the prophecy as directly announcing a paternity far more extensive than mere physical fact substantiated.

These words are parenthetical, being merely a confirmation by Scripture testimony of $\delta s \in \delta \sigma \tau \iota \nu \pi \alpha \tau$. $\pi \acute{a} \nu \tau$. $\acute{\eta} \mu$., with which (see below) the following words are immediately connected. έναντι οδ ἐπίστευσεν θεοῦ] The meaning appears to be, 'Abraham was the father of us all,-though not physically, nor in actuality, seeing that we were not as yet,yet in the sight and estimation of God,in his relation with God, with whom no obstacles of nature or time have force,'

The resolution of the attraction must be κατέναντι θεοῦ, κατέναντι οῦ ἐπίστευσεν, as in ref. Luke, before God, in whose sight he believed. [Chrysostom's interpretation (and similarly Theodoret, al.), - ως περ ο θεδς ουκ έστι μερικός θεός, άλλὰ πάντων πατήρ, οῦτω καὶ αὐτὸς τὸ γὰρ 'κατέναντι' ὁμοίως ¿στί,-does not fall in with the context, and is certainly a mistake.]

τοῦ ζωοπ. τ. νεκρ.] Who quickens the dead;—a general description of God's almighty creative power (see 1 Tim. vi. 13), applied particularly to the matter ουτα' 18 ος b παρ' έλπίδα c επ' c ελπίδι d επίστευσεν, c είς το b = $^{Acts \, viii}$. γενέσθαι αὐτον πατέρα πολλῶν έθνῶν κατὰ το f είρημένον ελεκί ab εξιουμένον ab εξιουμέν ab εξιουμέν ab εξιουμέν ab εξιουμέ f Acts xiii. 40 reff. i ch. xiv. 1 only.

thing, Luke xxiv. 26 only. e ver. 11 reff. h = 2 Cor. xi. 21. xiii. 9. ch. xiv. 2, 21. 1 Macc. xi. 49. 24. Isa, lvii. 1. l Col. iii. 5. Heh. xi. 12 only†.

[in κατα seems to have γενασθαι F(but not G). 18. εφ ελπιδι C1D1F. been written twice, and the first erased.] at end add ως αι αστερες του ουρανου και το αμμον της θαλασσης F vulg-sixt (with flor F-lat al) some lat-ff, simly 106. 108marg Thi; sicut stellæ cæli harli G-lat; sicut arena maris fuld mar, sicut arena quæ est in litore maris tol.

19. ins εν bef τη πιστει D'F vulg-sixt(with F-lat) D'-lat G-lat Syr copt Julian Bede. om ov (see notes) ABCN am fuld-corr Syr copt Chr₁ Damasc Julian: ins DFKL tyr Chr₁ ThI Œc Ambrst Bede. om $\eta \delta \eta$ BF am(and demid harl) old-lat rel latt syr Chr, Thl Œc Ambret Bede. Syr æth Chr Epiph Ruf: ins ACDKLN rel fuld syr-w-ast Thdrt. (syr-w-ast fuld Bede

in hand—the deadness of generative physical power in Abraham himself, which was quickened by God (but νεκρούs is a wider term than νενεκρωμένον, the genus, of which that is a species). The peculiar excellence of Abraham's faith was, that it overleaped the obstacles of physical incapa-

city, and nonenity, and believed implicitly God's promise. Compare 2 Cor. i. 9. καὶ καλ. τὰ μὴ ὄντ. ὡς ὄντα] Much difficulty has been found here: and principally owing to an idea that this clause must minutely correspond with the former, and furnish another instance of God's creative Almightiness. Hence Commentators have given to καλ είν the sense which it has in reff., 'to summon into being,' and have understood ώς όντα as if it were είς τὸ είναι. Thus, more or less, and with various attempts to escape from the violence done to the construction, Chrys., Grot., Elsn., Wolf, Fritzsche, Tholuck, Stuart, De Wette, al. I see however in this latter clause not a repetition or expansion of the former, but a new attribute of God's omnipotence and eternity, on which Abraham's faith was fixed. Who calleth (nameth, speaketh of) the things that are not, as being (as if they were). This He did in the present case with regard to the seed of Abraham, which did not as yet exist: -the two key-texts to this word and clause being, εν Ίσαὰκ κληθήσεταί σοι σπέρμα ch. ix. 7 (see note there),—and Acts vii. 5, ἐπηγγείλατο δοῦναι αὐτῷ εἰς κατάσχεσιν αὐτὴν καὶ τῷ σπέρματι αὐτοῦ μετ' αὐτόν, ούκ όντος αὐτῷ τέκνου. These τέκνα, which were at present in the category of $\tau \dot{a}$ μη όντα, and the nations which should spring, physically or spiritually, from him, God ἐκάλει ως ὅντα, spoke of as having an existence, which word Abraham believed. And here, as in the other clause, the καλείν τὰ μὴ ὄντα ὡς ὄντα is not confined to the case in point, but is a general attribute of Vol. II. all God's words concerning things of time, past, present, and future, being to His Omnipotence and Omniscience, all one. His purposes, when formed, are accomplished, save in so far as that evolution of secondary causes and effects intervenes, which is also His purpose. This also Abraham apprehended by his faith, which rested on God's absolute power to do what He had promised 18-22.] A more detailed (see below). description of this (Abraham's) faith, as reposed on God's Omnipotence. Who against hope (where there was nothing to hope) believed in (\$\epsilon \pi l, \text{ with dat.,} in its literal import signifying close adherence, is accordingly used to connect an act with that to which it is immediately attached as its ground or accompaniment. Thus here, the hope existed as the necessary concomitant and in some sense the condition of the faith) hope, in order to his becoming the father of many nations (i. e. as a step in the process of his becoming, and one necessary to that process going forward. He would never have become, &c., had he not believed. To render είς τὸ γεν. 'that he should become,' and connect it with ἐπίστευσεν [Theophyl., Beza, all., De Wette] is against Paul's usage, who never connects πιστεύω with a neut. inf.,-and not justified by Phil. i. 23; 1 Thess. iii. 10.

The mere consecutive sense, 'so that he became,' here, as every where, is a weakening of the sense, - and besides, would introduce an objective clause in a passage which all refers subjectively to Abraham).

ουτως] viz. as the stars of heaven : see l. c., - and compare Ps. cxlvii. 4. 19. The reading (with or without ov?) must first be considered. Reading ov, the sense will be, And not being weak in faith, he paid no attention to, &c. Omitting ob, 'And not being weak in (his) faith, he was well aware of, &c .- but did not,' &c. Of these,

m here only. σρωμένον, ^m εκατονταέτης ^u που ^o ὑπάρχων, καὶ τὴν ^p νέ- ABCDF πίστει, " δους " δόξαν τω θεω, 21 και * πληροφορηθείς ὅτι ο p 2 Cor. iv. 10 only t. q Luke ii. 23 (from Exod. γ έπηγγελται δυνατός έστιν και ποιησαι. 22 2 διὸ [καί] * ἐλογίσθη αὐτῷ * εἰς δικαιοσύνην.
 23 οὐκ ἐγράφη δὲ δί αὐτὸν μόνον ὅτι * ἐλογίσθη αὐτῷ, ²⁴ ἀλλὰ καὶ δί ἡμᾶς, Xiii. 2) only. Gen. xx. 18.

20. om δε F æth. αλλα Β. εδυναμωθη Ε.

21. om 1st kai (as unnecessary : but the repetitions of kai are characteristic) D'F

22. om και BD¹F Syr copt: ins ACD³KLN rel vulg syr Thdrt Thl Œe Ambrst Julian Sedul.

23. μονον bef δι αυτον DF latt. at end ins εις δικαιοσυνην D4 vulg(not am) Syr Chr Cyr Thdrt(prefixing η πιστις) Thl Ruf Ambrst Sedul.

the second agrees the better with είς δὲ τὴν $\epsilon \pi$. ver. 20,—but the first very much better suits the context; the object being, to extol Abraham's faith, not to introduce the new and somewhat vapid notice of his being well aware of those facts of which it may be assumed as a matter of course that he could not be ignorant. The Apostle does not want to prove that Abraham was in his sound senses when he believed the promise, but that he was so strong in faith as to be able to overleap all difficulties in its way. The erasure of où seems to have been occasioned by the use of καί instead of οὐδέ before την νέκρωσιν. And the following δέ, without being strongly adversative, falls well into its place-He took no account of, &c. The rendering, 'And he did but not, being weak in faith, take account of, &c.' (omitting où, and making μή the ruling neg. particle of the clause), is ungrammatical: où would be required. Abraham did indeed feel and express the difficulty (Gen. xvii. 17), but his faith overcame it, and he ceased to regard it. But most probably Paul here refers only to Gen. xv. 5, 6, where his belief was implicit and unquestioning. έκατ. που Abraham's own ex-

pression in l. c., where he also describes Sarah as being 90. His exact age was 99. Gen. xvii. 1, 24. 20.] On $\delta \epsilon$, see above. But with regard to (ref.) the promise of God he doubted not through unbelief - (De Wette thinks from the analogy of πιστεύειν els τι, that els τ. $\ell\pi$. is perhaps the immediate object of διακρίνεσθαι: q. d. 'did not disbelieve in the promise of God'), but was strong (lit. 'was strengthened,' 'shewed himself

strong') in faith (dat. of reference, 'with regard to faith.' τη ἀπ. and τη πίστ., because both are here strictly abstract, being set against one another as oppoδούς δόξ. τ. θ.] viz. by recognizing His Almighty power (see reff., especially Luke). 21. πληρ., see ch. xiv. 5, being fully persuaded. yeltat is not passive (nor 8 nom.), but middle, and 'God' the subject; that, what He has promised, He is able also 22.] διό, on account of to perform. the nature of this faith, which the Apostle has now since ver. 18 been setting forth ;because it was a simple unconditional eredence of God and His promise. If we read καί, it imports besides being thus great and admirable, it was reckoned to him for righteonsness: $-\epsilon$ λογίσθη, viz. τ δ πιστεῦσαι τ $\hat{\varphi}$ θε $\hat{\varphi}$. 23–25.] Application of that which is said of Abraham, to all believers on Christ. 23.] ἐγράφη, was written, not the more usual γέγραπται, 'is written:' similarly in the parallel, 1 Cor. x. 11; and in our ch. xv. 4. The acrist asserts the design of God's Spirit at the time of penning the words: the perfect may imply that, but more directly asserts the intent of our Scriptures as we now find them. Now it was not written on his account alone (merely to bear testimony to him and his faith) that it was imputed to him, -but on our account also (for our benefit, to bear testimony to us of the effieacy of faith like his. Observe that διά in the two clauses has not exactly the same sense,- on his account' being = (1) to celebrate his faith, -and (2) on our account = for our profit; see on ver. 25), to whom οῖς μέλλει α λογίζεσθαι, τοῖς α πιστεύουσιν α έπὶ τον α τοῦς α

g ch. v. 18 only t. Levit. xxiv. 22 only. k John xvi. 33. Acts ix. 31 only. Ezek. xviii. 26. h ch. iii. 20 reff. f = Acts x. 40 reff. = ch. ii. 10 reff.

 εγειροντα Α. 25. for δικαιωσιν, θικαιοσινην(sic) D4, δικαιοσυνην a 17. 73. 77. 891. 93 lect-13 lect-14 Syr Chron.

CHAP. V. 1. rec εχομεν, with B2FX-corr1 rel Syr(Etheridge: see also Mehring p 457 ff') syr Did Epiph Cyr₂ Ambrst₁ Sedul: txt AB¹(sic: see table) CDKLR¹ f h¹ m 17 latt(including F-lat) Syr copt Chr Cyr Thdrt Damasc Thl Œc Ruf Pelag Oros Aug

it (i. e. τὸ πιστεύειν τῷ θεῷ, as ver. 22) shall be imputed (for righteousness:—μέλλει λογ. is a future, as ch. iii. 30; v. 19 (Thol.),—not, as Olsh. al., spoken as from the time and standing of Abraham), namely, (to) us who believe on (this speeifies the $\eta \mu \hat{a}s$: and the belief is not a mere historical but a fiducial belief) Him who raised Jesus our Lord from the dead (the central fact in our redemption, as the procreation of the seed of promise was in the performance of the promise to Abraham, see ch. i. 4; 1 Cor. xv. 14 ff.; and resembling it in the ζωοποιῆσαι τοὺς νεκρούς).

24.] ἐκ νεκρῶν is always anarthrous, as indeed verpoi sometimes is (for 'the dead') in classic writers, e.g. Thucyd. iv. 14; v. 10, end: and see Winer, edn. 6, § 19. 1. The omission may in this phrase be accounted for by the preposition (Middleton, ch. vi. 1): but I suspect Winer is right in leading for the paragraph of the shear of t looking for the cause of the absence of the article after prepositions rather in the usage of the particular substantive than in any idiom of general application. 25.] Here we have another example of the alliterative use of the same preposition where the meanings are clearly different (see above, vv. 23, 24). Our Lord was delivered up (to death) for or on account of our sins (i.e. because we had sinned): - He was also raised up (from the dead) for or on account of our justification (i. e. not because we had been, but that we might be justified). This separate statement of the great object of the death and resurrection of Christ must be rightly understood, and each member of it not unduly pressed to the exclusion of the other. The great complex event by which our justification (death unto sin and new birth unto righteousness) has been made possible, may be stated in one word as the GLORIFICAsisted of two main parts,-His Death, and His Resurrection. In the former of these,

He was made a sacrifice for sin; in the latter, He elevated our humanity into the participation of that Resurrection-life, which is also, by union with Him, the life of every justified believer. So that, when taking the two apart, the Death of Christ is more properly placed in close reference to forgiveness of sins,-His Resurrection, to justification unto life everlasting. And thus the Apostle treats these two great events, here and in the succeeding chapters. But he does not view them respectively as the causes, exclusively of one another, of forgiveness and justification: e.g. (1) ch. v. 9, we are said to be justified by His blood, and 2 Cor. v. 21 God made Him sin for us, that we might become the righteousness of God in Him: and (2) 1 Cor. xv. 17, if Christ is not raised, we are yet in our sins. So that, though these great events have their separate propriety of reference to the negative and positive sides of our justification, the one of them cannot be treated separately and exclusively of the other, any more than can the negative side of our justification, the non-imputation of our sin, without the positive, the imputation of God's righteousness. It will be seen from what I have said above that I cannot agree with Bp. Horsley's view, that as our transgressions were the cause of Jesus being delivered up, so our justification must be the cause of His being raised again. Such a pressing of the same sense on διά is not necessary, when Paul's manifold usages of the same preposition are considered: and the regarding our justification (in the sense here) as a fact past, is inconsistent with the very next words, δικαιωθέντες έκ πίστεως, which shew that not the objective fact, but its subjective realization, is here meant.— In these words (of ver. 25) the Apostle introduces the great subject of chaps. v.-viii., -DEATH, as connected with SIN,-and LIFE, as connected with RIGHTEOUS-

2. rec aft $\epsilon\sigma\chi\eta\kappa\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu$ ins $\tau\eta$ $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon\iota$ (marginal gloss), with CKLN¹ rel vulg syr copt ath-pl Chr₁ Thlet GE lat-ff: $\epsilon\nu$ $\tau\eta$ $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon\iota$ AN-corr¹(but $\epsilon\nu$ erased) 93. 124 fuld Syr Tit-bostr Chr₁ Thl: om BDF old-lat ath-rom Ambrst₁ Hil Aug. for $\chi\alpha\rho\nu$, $\chi\alpha\rho\alpha\nu$ A d¹: $\chi\alpha\rho\nu$ (sic) m. $\epsilon\phi$ D¹F.

NESS. The various ramifications of this subject see in the headings below.

CHAP. V. 1-11.] The blessed consequences of justification by faith. 1. It is impossible to resist the strong MS. authority for the reading έχωμεν in this verse. For indeed this may well be cited as the crucial instance of overpowering diplomatic authority compelling us to adopt a reading against which our subjective feelings rebel. Every internal consideration tends to impugn it. If admitted, the sentence is hortatory. 'Being then justified by faith, let us have peace with God.' (This is the only admissible sense of the first person subjunctive in an affirmative sentence like the present. The usage is an elliptical one: ἴωμεν, 'that we go, i.e. 'it is time,' or in an address, 'permit, &c. that we go.' Thus Od. x.77, έλθωμεν ἀνὰ ἄστυ: ΙΙ. χ. 450, ἴδωμ', ἅτιν' έργα τέτυκται. See other examples in Kühner, Gramm. § 463. The deliberative sense, attempted to be given by Dr. Tregelles [see Kitto's Journal of Bibl, Lit. No. xiv. p. 465 ff.], can only have place in an interrogative or dubitative clause, and every example given by Mr. Green, whom he cites for his supposed sense, as well as by Kühner [§ 464], is of this kind. Besides, to call the sense 'we ought to have,' deliberative, seems a misnomer.) But how can man be exhorted to have peace with God? To be reconciled to God, he may, 2 Cor. v. 20: but of this there is no mention here, and having (been allowed to believe in and enjoy) peace with God, depends on, not our reconciliation to Him, not any thing subjective in ourselves, but the objective fact of His reconciliation to us. If, as some say, έχωμεν = κατέχωμεν, Heb. x. 23, the article would be required before εἰρήνην, and (perhaps) before πρός or διά. Besides which there are two objections in the form of the sentence to this reading : (1) Exomer is compled by Kal (di' où Kal) to ἐσχήκαμεν, and this connexion necessitates, in my view, that the first verb should assert a fact, as the second undoubtedly does. Had the former verb been έχωμεν, we should hardly have found the kal where it is. (2) If έχωμεν be hortatory, καυχώ- $\mu \in \theta a$, in verse 2, must be so likewise: (for if we were exhorted to the lesser degree of confidence, εἰρήνην ἔχειν, such exhortation can hardly be founded on the existence already of the greater degree, καυχασθαι κ.τ.λ.) which, both as to sense and construction, is very improbable. I believe (but see below) an account of the reading may be sought, as in 1 Cor. xv. 49, in a tendency of those who transcribed some of our MSS, to give such assertions a hortatory, or, where interrogative, a deliberative form: thus we have σωθησώμεθα in some MSS., ver. 10,—ζήσωμεν ch. vi. 2,—πιστεύωμεν or πιστεύσωμεν, and συνζήσωμεν ch. vi. 8,—ὑπακούσατε ch. vi. 17,—προςεύξωμαι (bis) 1 Cor. xiv. 15,-πείθωμεν 2 Cor. v. 11, -πιστεύωμεν John iv. 42,συνζήσωμεν and συμβασιλεύσωμεν 2 Tim. ii. 11, 12:-or perhaps the whole ground of the account to be given of the w is better shifted to a more general habit of the MSS. (even the greatest and best, see instances in prolegg. to Vol. I. ch. vi. § i. 36, 37) to confound o and ω : so that in very many eases, such variation can hardly be called a different reading at all.

The whole pussage is declaratory of the consequences flowing from justification by faith, and does not exhort, but assert. Nor, would it seem, does the place for exhortation arrive, till these consequences have been in the fullest and freest manner set forth, -indeed so fully and freely, that the objection arising from their supposed abuse has first to be answered. Being therefore justified ('having been justified:'-it is an act past on the Christian, not like sanctification, an abiding and increasing work) by (as the ground) faith, let us (believers in Christ: I render the existing text) have peace ('reconcilement;' the opposite of oryh, see ver. 9) with ('in regard of,' see reff.) God through (by means of) our Lord Jesus Christ. With regard to the nature of this peace (= state of reconciliation, 'no more condemnation, as ch. viii. 1) see above, on the reading έχωμεν. 2.] Through

 q δόξης τοῦ θεοῦ. 3 τοὺ μόνον δέ, 1 ἀλλὰ καὶ p καυχώμενοι q $^{-\text{ch. II. 7}}$ p ἐν ταῖς s θλίψεσιν, εἰδότες ὅτι ἡ s θλῖψις 1 ὑπομονὴν τός τίὶι 23, ακατεργάζεται, 4 ἡ δὲ t ὑπομονὴ t δοκιμήν, ἡ δὲ t δοκιμή s ΜαΙτ. εἰὶ. ἐλπίδα t 5 ἡ δὲ ἐλπὶς οὐ w καταισχύνει, ὅτι ἡ x ἀγάπη τοῦ t οἰ, ΜαΙτ. εἰὶ. t κιις καρδίαις ἡμῶν z δὶα 2 πνεύματος t ch. iv. 15 ταϊ. ἀγίου τοῦ δοθέντος ἡμῖν t εἰ γε χριστὸς ὄντων ἡμῶν v τός i. 2. t απέθανεν έτι b κατὰ καιρὸν c ὑπὲρ t ἀσεβῶν ἀπέθανεν t τιὶ t καιδ. Εἰὶι. t εἰι. t εἰι

Ps. lxvii. 31 Symm. w ch. ix. 33 St x. 11 (from Isa, xxviii. 16), 2 Cor, viii. 14 al. x = ch. a = 1 C rr. ix. 22. see 1 Cor. iv. 10. Prov. xxiii. 22. Ps. cv. 12. b = here only. Num. xxii. 23. (Johu v. 4.) see 7 stm. ix. 13. c = John vi. 51. x. 15. xi. 51, 52. Luke xxii. 19 sl. fr. c = John vi. 51. xi. 51. x

3. aft ou μονον δε ins τουτο D¹. rec καυχωμεθα (mechanical repetition from preceding ver), with ADFK L(-o-) ℵ rel Tit-bostr Chr Thdrt Thl Œe Cypr: txt BC Origo Tert.

for ημων, υμων ℵ¹.

6. rec (for $\epsilon\epsilon \gamma\epsilon$) $\epsilon\tau_i \gamma a\rho$, with ACD¹⁻³KN rel syr Epiph₂ Chr Thdrt Damase Ruf: $\epsilon\epsilon s \tau_i \gamma a\rho$ D²F: at quid enim latt Iren-int Faustin: $\epsilon\epsilon_i \gamma a\rho$ fuld¹ copt Isid Aug: $\epsilon\epsilon_i$ h: $\epsilon\epsilon_i \delta\epsilon$ L Syr: txt B. rec (aft $a\sigma\theta\epsilon_i \epsilon \omega\nu$) om $\epsilon\tau_i$, with D³KL rel: ins ABCD¹FN latt Isid-ms Damase Iren-int Faustin.

whom we have also (so διδ [καί], cli. i. 24; iv. 22, where kal, if read, serves to shew the coherence and likelihood of that which is asserted-answering almost to our 'as might be expected') had our access (the persons spoken of having come to the Father by Christ,-see Eph. ii. 18,-the access is treated of as a thing past. τŷ πίστει and $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\tau\hat{\eta}$ $\pi l\sigma\tau\epsilon\iota$ appear to have been glosses, explanatory of the method of access. This access would normally take place in baptism) into this grace (namely, the grace of justification, apprehended and held fast subjectively [from what follows]; not, τὸ πάντων ἐπιτυχεῖν τῶν διὰ βαπτίσματος ἀγα- $\theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ [Chrys. al.], which is inconsistent with έν ή έστηκ.: not, 'the Gospel' [Fritz.], for the same reason; not, 'hope of blessedness' [Beza], for that follows: least of all 'the grace of the apostolic calling' [Semler], which is quite beside the purpose) wherein we stand (see parallels in reff. 1 and 2 Cor.; i.e. abide accepted and acquitted with God; see also 1 Cor. x. 12, and ch. xi. 20); and (couple to εἰρήν. ἔχωμεν, not to $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\hat{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\kappa$.) triumph in the hope (καυχάομαι is found with $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}$, $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$, $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\iota}$, indee (κανχαρια) is found with ϵm , $\epsilon \rho$, $\pi \epsilon \rho$, $\pi \epsilon \rho$, $\pi \epsilon \rho$, and $\Gamma ho l_i$ with an acc, of the object. In Heb. iii. 6 we have $\tau \delta$ καύχημα $\tau \eta s$ $\epsilon \lambda \pi \ell n o s$ of God (of sharing God's glory by being with Christ in His kingdom, John xvii. 24, see reft.).

3.] And not only so (not only mist we triumph in hope, which has regard to the future), but triumphing in (not omidst; the θλ is the ground of triumph) tribulations, knowing (because we know) that (our) tribulation works endurance (supposing, i. e. we remain firm under it), and our endurance, approval (of our faith and trust, 2

Cor. ii. 9; ix. 13: not, 'proof' [δοκιμασία], as Grot.; nor 'experience,' as E. V.,— 'δοκιμή est qualitas ejus, qui est δόκιμος.' Bengel,—the result of proof), and (our) approval (fresh) hope; and (our) hope (us) not (by disappointing us; 'mocks us not'); because God's love (not 'the love of God,' i. e. man's love for God, —as Theodoret, and even Aug., misled by the Latin; see reff., and compare the explicit την ξαυτοῦ ἀγάπην εἶs ἡμᾶs, which answers to this in ver. 8) is (has been) poured out ('effusa,' not 'diffusa' [Vulg.], which latter word perhaps misled Aug., owing to whose mistake the true interpretation was lost for some centuries, although held by Orig., Chrys., and Ambrose. See Trench on St. Augustine, ch. v. p. 89:i.e. 'richly imparted') in our hearts (èv may be taken pregnantly, ekkex. els kal méver èν,-or better, denotes the locality where the outpouring takes place,-the heart being the seat of our love, and of appreciation and sympathy with God's love) by means of the Holy Spirit (who is the Outpourer, John xvi. 14; 1 Cor. ii. 9, 10) who was given to us (Olsh. rightly refers the aorist part. to the Pentecostal effusion of the Holy Spirit). 'Prima hæc est in hac tractatione Spiritus Sancti mentio. Nimirum ad hunc usque terminum quum perductus est homo, operationem Sp. Sancti notanter denique sentit.' Bengel.

6.] The text here is in some confusion,—see var. readd. The whole may perhaps have arisen from an ecclesiastical portion having begun χριστὸς ὅντων ἡμῶν ἀσθενῶν ἔτι... When this found its way into the text, ἔτι was repeated. This of-

e Acts xiv. 18. 7° μόλις γὰρ $^{\circ}$ ὑπὲρ δικαίου τὶς ἀποθανεῖται $^{\circ}$ ὑπὲρ γὰρ ABCDF xxiii. 7. 8. 1161. 19 th. 11. 11. 19 th. 11. 11. 19 th. 11. 11. 11. 19 th. 11. 11. 11. 11. 19 th. 11. 19 th. 11. 11. 19 th. 11. 19 th. 11. 11. 10 th. 11. 19 th. 11. 10 th. 11. 19 th. 11

7. μογις N1: txt N-corr1. om 2nd γαρ L 2. 32. 62 lect-18: δε 238.

8. rec att ημας ins ο θεος (supplementary insertn, as is shewn by the variations in its position), with ACKN rel copt Chr (Ec: bef εις ημας, DFL latt syr Dial Chr-ms, Thart Thi Iren-int Aug: bef δε arm: transp freely Syr Faustin: om B. on ετι 109 Dial: for ετι, ει Syr Chr: ει ετι D²b(and lat¹) F tol Cypr Hil Aug; Ruf Pelag Ambrst. ημων bef οντων L Chr.

9. om ουν D¹F fuld copt arm Dial Iren-int Cypr. aft δικαιωθεντες ins εν (but

erased) X1.

fended the transcribers: but the first ἔτι could not be erased, because γάρ followed; it may then have been conjecturally emended to εί (and γάρ to γέ as in B, or δέ as in L), or els Ti, - some retaining et in both places. The place of eti is often, in the case of absolutes, at the beginning of a sentence, with the subject of the sentence between it and the word or words to which it applies; so έτι αὐτοῦ λαλοῦντος, Matt. xii. 46,- ἔτι δὲ αὐτοῦ μακρὰν ἀπέχοντος, Inke xv. 20, &c. On reconsideration, however, seeing that if we follow the most ancient MSS., we must either repeat έτι, which seems very unlikely to have been originally written, or adopt the reading of B, I have taken the latter alternative. If, that is (on ε τ γε, see note, 2 Cor. v. 3, and Eph. iii. 2), Christ when we were yet weak ('powerless for good;'-or even stronger than that :- there seems in this verse to be a tacit reference to Ezek. xvi. See especially vv. 7, 8 of that chap, in the LXX, σὸ δὲ ἦσθα γυμνὴ καὶ ἀσχημονοῦσα . . . καὶ διῆλθον διὰ σοῦ καὶ ἴδον σε, καὶ ἰδοὺ καιρός σου . . . καλ διεπέτασα τὰς πτέρυγάς μου έπὶ σέ, καὶ ἐκάλυψα τὴν ἀσχημοσύνην σου, και ώμοσά σοι και είς ηλθον εν διαθήκη μετά σοῦ, λέγει κύρισς), at the appointed time (compare reff. and Gal. iv. 4, and καιρός in the quotation above) Christ died for ('on behalf of,' see reff.) ungodly men (not ὑπἐρ ἡμῶν, because the Apostle wishes to bring out fully by this strong antithesis, which he enlarges on in the next verses, the greatness of the divine Love to man). 7.] The greatness of this Love, of Christ's death on behalf of the impious, is brought out by shewing that there is none such among men, nay that such a self-sacrifice, -not unexampled where a good man, one loving his fellowmen and loved by them, is to be rescued,is hardly found to occur on behalf of the

pious and just. For hardly will any one die on behalf of a just man (masc., - not neuter, for justice or rightcousness' sake,' as Jer., Erasm., Luth., al.: for the matter in hand is Christ's death on behalf of persons)-for (this second 'for' is exceptive, and answers to 'but I do not press this without exception,' understood) on behalf of the good man (the art. as pointing him ont generally, as in the expression, 'the fool,' 'the wise man,' 'the righteous,' 'the wicked') perhaps (τάχα opens a possibility which μόλις closes) one is even found to venture (the pres. implies habituality—it may occur here and there) to die.

The distinction here made between δίκαιος and ἀγαθός, is also found in Cicero, de Of. iii. 15, 'Si vir bonus is est qui prodest quibus potest, nocet nemini, recte justum virum, bonum non facile reperiemus.' (But some edd. read 'istum virum bonum.') The interpretation which makes δίκαιος and αγαθός refer to the same man, and the second clause = 'I do not say that such a thing may not sometimes occur,' is very vapid, and loses sight of the antithesis between δίκαιος, and

8.] But (as distinguished from human examples) He (i.e. God. The omission of δ θεδs, which critical principles render necessary, is in keeping with the perfectly general way in which the contrast is put, merely with τ/s, not δνθράπων τ/s. The subject is supplied from † λ γλπη τοῦ θεοῦ, ver. 5) gives proof of ('establishes' (refl.); —not 'commends') His own love (ων., as distinguished from that of men in ver. 7) towards us, in that while we were yet (as opposed to νῦν in the next verse) sinners (= ἀσθεῶν = ἀσεβῶν, and opposed to δίκαιοs and ἀγαθός, ver. 7) Christ died for us. 9 = 11.] The Apostle further shews the blessed fruits of justification,

αὐτοῦ m σωθησόμεθα δι΄ αὐτοῦ ἀπὸ τῆς n ὀργῆς. 10 εἰ γὰρ m $^{m-Matt.i.21}$, εξθροὶ ὅντες o κατηλλάγημεν τῷ θεῷ διὰ τοῦ θανάτου τοῦ m $^{m-Matt.i.21}$, νιοῦ αὐτοῦ, k πολλῷ k μᾶλλον o καταλλαγέντες p σωθησό $^{m-Matt.i.21}$, εθα p εν τῆ q ζωῆ αὐτοῦ. 11 r οὐ μόνον δέ, r ἀλλὰ καὶ o o n $^{hat.i.95}$. ii ii καυχώμενοι s εν τῷ θεῷ διὰ τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν i Τησοῦ m χριστοῦ, δι΄ οῦ νῦν τὴν t καταλλαγὴν ἐλάβομεν. i o o

reff. q=2 Cor. iv. 10, 11, 12. r ver. 3. sch. ii. 17 reff. t(=) ch. xi. 15. 2 Cor. v. 18, 19 only 1. (1sa. ix. 5.) 2 Mace. v. 20 only. u = Acts xxiv. 2 reff. v = W1SD. xiv. 14 F. (7) see John i. 9.

om 1st του F(but not G). 10. A omits from τω θεω to τω θεω ver 11. 11. aft ου μονον δε ins τουτο D'F fuld' arm Ambrst. for καυχωμενοι, καυχω-

 $μ_\epsilon θα$ L b (c d -o-) h m al $_{15}$ (in Sz) latt arm Thl: καυχωμεν F. (So Mai and Btly: Tischdf has passed it over.) οπ χριστου Β.

viz. salvation, both from wrath, and with life. The argument proceeds from the beginning of the chapter: but the connexion, as so frequent with St. Paul, is immediately with the parenthetical sentences just preceding. Much more then (if He died for us when sinners, a fortiori will He save us now that we are righteous by virtue of that His death) having now been justified by His blood (see remarks on ch. iv. 25), we shall be saved by Him from the wrath (to come, or of which we know: force of the art.). 10. The same is substantiated in another form: 'we were enemies (see below) when He died and reconciled us: much more now that we have been reconciled, and He lives, shall we by His life be saved.' For if, being enemies $(i\chi\theta\rho\rho)$ may either be active, as Col. i. 21, 'haters of God;' so $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\theta\rho d$, ch. viii. 7; Eph. ii. 15: or passive, as ch. xi. 28,—'hated by God.' But here the latter meaning alone can apply, for the Apostle is speaking of the Death of Christ and its effects as applied to all time, not merely to those believers who then lived: and those unborn at the death of Christ could not have been εχθροί in the active sense), we were reconciled (καταλλάσσεσθαί τινι also may be taken of giving up anger against any one,-see ref. 1 Cor., and Jos. Autt. vi. 7, οὐ γὰρ ἐώρα τὸν θεὸν διαλλαττόμενον, - or of being received into favour by any one,—see 1 Kings xxix. 4, εν τίνι διαλλαγήσεται οῦτος τῷ κυρίφ αὐτοῦ; and Jos. Antt. v. 2. 8, διαλυσάμενος τὰς μέμψεις, καταλλάττεται πρὸς αὐτήν,—the latter of which meanings, were received into favour with God, must for the reason above given be here adopted) to God by means of the Death of His Son (this great fact is further explained and insisted on, in the rest of the chapter), much more, having been reconciled (but here comes in the assumption that the

corresponding subjective part of reconciliation has been accomplished, viz. justifieation by faith: compare 2 Cor. v. 19, 20, θεδς ην έν χριστώ κόσμον καταλλάσσων έαυτῷ . . . δεόμεθα ὑπέρ χριστοῦ, καταλ-λάγητε τῷ θεῷ. Both these, the objective reception into God's favour by the death of Christ, and the subjective appropriation, by faith, of that reception, are included), we shall be saved by means of His Life (not here that which he now does on our behalf, but simply the fact of His Life, so much enlarged on in ch. vi.: and our 11.7 A further step sharing in it). still-not only has the reconciled man confidence that he shall escape God's wrath, but triumphant confidence,—joyful hope in God. But (aber) not only so, but (for= bern) making our boast in God (particip. not as the finite verb, but in every case either the consequence of an anacoluthon, or finding its justification in the construction: so here "not only shall we be saved," but that in a triumphant manner and frame of mind. See Winer, edn. 6, § 45. 6) through our Lord Jesus Christ, through whom we have now (not in contrast with the future glory, 'even now,' as Thol., for that would be more plainly expressed, - but as in ver. 9) received (our) reconciliation (to God). 12—VIII. 39.] THE POWER OF GOD (ch. i. 16) IS SET FORTH AS FREEING FROM THE DOMINION OF SIN AND DEATH, AND ISSUING IN SALVATION. 12-19.] The bringing in of RECONCILIATION and LIFE by CHRIST in its analogy to the bringing in of SIN and DEATH by ADAM. 12.] This verse is one of acknowledged difficulty. The two questions meeting us directly are (1) To what does διὰ τοῦτο refer? (2) ωςπερ, 'like as,' may introduce the first member of a comparison, the second being to be discovered; or may introduce the second, the first having to be discovered. I shall

12. ϵ_{is} $\tau o \nu \kappa o \sigma \mu o \nu$ bef η amaptia DF latt(am fuld &e though not vulg-ed) Ambr. rec aft and parous ins o danatos (marginal gloss specifying the subj of $\delta \eta \lambda \delta \epsilon_{\nu}$, as is shewn by the varr), with ABCKLN rel vulg ThI Ee Augalig; aft $\delta \eta \lambda \delta \epsilon_{\nu}$ Syr arm Chr Thatt: bef ϵ_{is} π . a. syr-w-ast; om DF barl Aug_{supe} Ambr Pac, Leo Bede.

endeavour to answer both questions in connexion. (1) I conceive διὰ τοῦτο to refer to that blessed state of confidence and hope just described: 'on this account,' here meaning, 'quæ cum ita sint:' 'this state of things, thus brought about, will justify the following analogy.' Thus we must take $\mathcal{L}_{S\pi\epsilon\rho}$, either (a) as beginning the comparison, and then supply, 'so by Christ in His Resurrection came justification into the world, and by justification, life; or (β) as concluding the comparison, and supply before it, 'it was,' or 'Christ wrought.' This latter method seems to me far the best. For none of the endeavours of Commentators to supply the second limb of the comparison from the following verses have succeeded: and we can hardly suppose such an ellipsis, when the next following comparison (ver. 16) is rather a weakening than a strengthening the analogy. We have example for this use of &sme
ho, in Matt.xxv. 14, and of καθώs, Gal. iii. 6. Consequently (the method of God's procedure in introducing life by righteousness resembled the introduction of death by sin: 'it was') like as by one man (the Apostle regards the man as involving generic succession and transmitting the corrupt seed of sin, not the woman: but when he speaks of the personal share which each had in the transgression, 1 Tim. ii. 14, he says, 'Adam was not deceived, but the woman being deceived was in the transgression'), sin (as a POWER ruling over mankind, see ch. iii. 9, and ver. 21,-partly as a principle which exists in us all, and developes itself in our conduct, partly as a state in which we are involved; but the idea here must not be confined [Calv.] to original sin, as it reaches much wider, to sin both original and actual: nor to the habit of sinning [as Olsh.]: nor is it merely the propensity to sin [as Röthe]: nor is sin personified merely, as in eh. vii. 8, 11) entered into the world (not 'esse copit,' 'primum commissa est,' as Reiche, Fritz., and Meyer: but literally,—'entered into,' 'gained access into,' the moral world,-for sin involves moral responsibility. So Gal, iii. 23, πρό τοῦ δὲ ἐλθεῖν τὴν πίστιν, ' before the faith came in'), and by means of sin (as

the appointed penalty for sin, Gen. ii. 17; iii. 19) death (primarily, but not only, physical death: as άμαρτία, so θάνατος, is general, including the lesser in the greater, i.e. spiritual and eternal death. See ch. vi. 16, 21; vii. 10; viii. 6; 2 Cor. vii. 10), and thus (by this entering in of sin and death; i. e. in fact, by this connexion of sin and death, as appears by έφ' ψ πάντες ημαρτον) death (whether δ θάν, be genuine or not, death is the subject of διηλθεν) extended to all men (see reff. De W. well says that $\pi \acute{a} \nu \tau$. $\grave{a} \nu \theta \rho$. differs from κόσμον, as the concrete part from the abstract whole, and διέρχ. from εἰςέρχεσθαι, as the going from house to house differs from the entering a town. that although the subject of διηλθεν is plainly only death, not sin and death, yet the spreading of sin over all men is taken for granted, partly in the ουτως, partly in the following clause), because (έφ' φ, lit. of close juxtaposition: and so 'on ground of; 'on condition that,' which meaning, if rightly applied, suits the case in hand. Life depended on a certain condition, viz. obedience : Death on another, viz. disobedience. Mankind have disobeved: the condition of Death's entrance and diffusion has been fulfilled: Death extended to all men, as a consequence of the fact, that,posito, that, = because, all have sinned. Orig., Aug., Beza, and Estius render it as Vulg., 'in quo' [Adam]: Chrys., Theophyl., Chc., Elsner, 'propter quem:' Grot., 'per quem') all sinned (see ch. iii. 23:—not 'were sinful,' or 'were born in sin,' as Calvin would restrict the meaning: sin, as above remarked, is here, throughout, both original and actual; in the seed, as planted in the nature by the sin of our forefather: and in the fruit, as developed

by each conscious responsible individual in his own practice. So that Calvin's argument,—'hic non agi de actuali peccato, colligere promptum est: quia si reatum

quisque sibi arcesseret, quorsum conferret Paulus Adam cum Christo?' does not

apply, and the objection is answered by

Paul himself, where he says, distinguishing

between the παράπτωμα and the χάρισμα

below, vv. 15, 16, τδ δὲ χάρισμα ἐκ πολ-

ἐν κόσμῳ, ἁμαρτία δὲ οὐκ ¾ ἐλλογεῖται μὴ ὄντος νόμου, ħere only. $\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}$, Phile. $\frac{1}{4}$ ἀλλὰ $\frac{1}{a}$ ἐβασίλευσεν ὁ θάνατος ἀπὸ ᾿Αδὰμ $\frac{1}{a}$ μέχρι $\frac{1}{a}$ κοιι $\frac{1}{a}$. Μωυσέως καὶ ° ἐπὶ τοὺς μὴ ἁμαρτήσαντας $\frac{1}{a}$ ἐπὶ τῷ c takie i, 33, 13, 27.

Gen. xxxvii. 8. dof time, Matt. xi, 23. Acts x, 30. xx. 7. 1 Tim. vi. 14 al. Ps. civ. 19. e Lukei. 59. Ezra ii. 61. Neh. vii. 63.

13. ελλογατο ΛΝ-corr¹-marg: ελλογείτω f, ενελογείτο (imputabatur) Ν¹ 52. 108 vulg(but not am) G-lat syrr copt with lat-ff: λελογισται lect-19: ευλογείται 71. 77. 14. [αλλα, so BD.] for επί, εν B Chr Thdrt, in similitudine (or -nem) latt Iren-int Jer.

λών παραπτωμάτων είς δικαίωμα. The παράπτωμα was not only that of one, the original cause of the entry of sin, but the often repeated sins of individual men:-nor, 'suffered the punishment of sin,' as Grot. and Chrys., θνητοί γεγόνασι). how entirely this assertion of the Apostle contradicts the Pelagian or individualistic view of men, that each is a separate creation from God, existing solely on his own exclusive responsibility, -- and affirms the Augustinian or traducian view, that all are evolved by God's appointment from an original stock, and though individually responsible, are generically involved in the corruption and condemnation of their original. 13. How, consistently with ch. iv. 15, could all men sin, before the law? This is now explained. For up to (the time of) the law (= ἀπὸ 'Αδ. μέχρι Μωυσ. ver. 14: not 'during the time of the law,' as Orig., Chrys., -τοῦ νόμου δοθέντος, έως δ νόμος ήν,-Theodoret,-an allowable rendering of the words, but manifestly inconsistent with the sense; -nor, 'as far as there was law, there was sin,' as Dr. Burton,-which is both inadmissible from the μέχρι Μωύσεωs following, and would not answer to the simple matter of fact, $\hat{\eta}\nu \hat{\epsilon}\nu$ $\kappa\delta\sigma\mu\varphi$) there was sin in the world ('men sinned,' see Gen. vi. 5-13; committed actual sin: not, men were accounted sinners because of Adam's sin: the Apostle reminds us of the historical fact, that there was sin in the world during this period): but sin is not reckoned (as transgression) where the law is not. έλλογείται has given rise to much dispute. Very many Commentators (Aug., Ambr., Luth., Melanc., Calv., Beza, Rückert, Tholuck, Stuart, al.) explain it of consciousness of sin by the sinner himself, as in ch. vii. 7: but (1) as De Wette observes, this is not the natural sense of the word, which implies two parties, one of whom sets down something to the account of the other (ref.): (2) this interpretation would bring in a new and irrelevant element,-for the Apostle is not speaking in this chapter at all of subjective human consciousness, but throughout of objective

truths with regard to the divine dealings: and (3) it would be altogether inconsistent with the declarations of ch. ii. 15,-where in this sense the ἐλλογισμός of sin by the νόμον μη έχοντες is distinctly asserted. I am persuaded that the right sense of έλλ. is, reckoned, 'set down as transgression,'-'put in formal account,' by God. In the case of those who had not the written law, άμαρτία is not formally reckoned as παράβασιs, set over against the command ; but in a certain sense, as distinctly proved ch. ii. 9-16, it is reckoned and they are condemned for it. Nor is there any inconsistency, as Tholuck complains, in this view. Other passages of Paul's writings support and elucidate it. He states the object of the law to be, ch. vii. 13, Ίνα γένηται καθ' ύπερβολην άμαρτωλὸς ή άμαρτία διὰ τῆς ἐντολῆς. The revelation of the law exaggerated, brought into prominent and formal manifestation, the sinfulness of sin, which was before culpable and punishable, but in a less degree. With this view also agree Acts xvii. 30; ch. ii. 12, ὅσοι ἀνόμως ἡμαρτον, ανόμως και απολούνται,-and iii. 25, in so far as they state an analogous case. The objection to taking οὐκ ἐλλογεῖται relatively, 'is not fully reckoned,' will hardly be urged by those who bear in mind the Apostle's habit of constantly stating relative truths as positive, omitting the qualifying particles: see e.g. ch. vii. 7, where with ἀμαρτίαν and with οὐκ ἤδειν both, we must supply qualifications (see notes there). 14.] But (notwithstanding the last assertion that sin is not fully reckoned where the law is not) death reigned (was a power to which all suc-cumbed) from Adam to Moses (μέχρι Mωυσ. = ἄχρι νόμου above): i. e. althoughthe full έλλογισμός of sin did not take place between Adam and Moses, the universality of death is a proof that all sinned, -for death is the consequence of sin :- in καὶ ἐπὶ τ. confirmation of ver. 12. μη άμ.] even (notwithstanding the different degrees of sin and guilt out of, and under, the law) over those who sinned not according to the similitude (reff.)

15. om 1st και B. aft πολλω ins ουν A Syr. om εν F-gr.

of the TRANSGRESSION of Adam. (1) επλ τφ όμ. belongs to άμαρτ. and not to έβασίλευσεν (as Chrys., Theophyl., Bengel, Elsn., al.),-for that would bring in, in the words τούς μή άμαρτήσαντας, an absolute contradiction to έφ' & πάντες ήμαρτον, by asserting that there were some who did not sin. (2) The emphasis lies on παράβασις, as distinguished from άμαρτία. Photius (in De W.),—δ μεν ('Aδ.) ώρισμένην κ. νομοθετηθείσαν έντολην παρέβη κ. ήμαρτεν οἱ δὲ ἡμάρτανον τὸν αὐτοδίδακτον της φύσεως λόγον ἐνυβρίζοντες.
They had all sinned: but had not like Adam, transgressed a positive revealed command. (3) There is no reference here, as some Commentators (Beza, al.) have supposed, to the case of children and idiots,nor (as Grot., Wetst.) to those who lived pious lives. The aim is to prove, that the seed of sin planted in the race by the one man Adam, has sprung up and borne fruit in all, so as to bring them under death; -death temporal, and spiritual; - of these, some have sinned without the law, i.e. not as Adam did, and as those after Moses did: and though sin is not formally reckoned against them, death, the consequence of sin, reigned, as matter of historical fact, over them also. It is most important to the clear understanding of this weighty passage to bear in mind, that the first member of the comparison, as far as it extends, is this: 'As by Adam's trans-gression, of which we are by descent inheritors, we have become (not by imputation merely, but by propensity) sinners, and have thus incurred death, so &c.' (see ος έστιν τύπος τ. μελλ.] who is a figure (or type: not thus used by LXX, see Umbreit's note) of the future (Adam). This clause is inserted on the first mention of the name Adam, the one man of whom he has been speaking, to recall the purpose for which he is treating of him, -as the figure (ref.) of Christ. τοῦ μέλλ., not ' qui futurus erat,' as Beza, Reiche; but spoken from the Apostle's present standing, 'who is to come.' The fulfilment of the type will then take place completely, when, as 1 Cor. xv. 22, ἐν τῷ χριστῷ πάντες ζωοποιη-θήσονται. Still less, with Koppe, can ös be taken by attr. for ö, and τοῦ μέλλοντος be interpreted 'of that which is to come,'

viz. life and salvation: see 1 Cor. xv. 45. Many suppose these words os $\epsilon \sigma \tau$. $\tau \psi \pi$. τ. μέλλ. to be the apodosis of ver. 12: but see there. 15-17.] Though Adam and Christ correspond as opposites, yet there is a remarkable difference, which makes the free gift of grace much more eminent than the transgression and its consequences, and enhances the certainty of its end being accomplished. But not (in all points) as the act of transgression (of Adam, as the cause inducing sin and death on his race), so also is the gift of grace (i. e. justification: not a direct contrast, as ὑπακοή in ver. 19: the Apostle has more in mind here the consequence of the $\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\pi\tau$., and to that opposes the χάρισμα. De W.). 15. εί γὰρ κ.τ.λ.] Distinction the first, in DEGREE: -and in the form of a hypothetical inference 'a minori ad majus." For if by the transgression of the one [man] the many [have] died, much more did the grace of God, and the gift abound in (by means of) the grace of the one man Jesus Christ towards the many. (1) The first question regards πολλώ μαλλον. Is it the 'a fortiori' of logical inference, or is it to be joined with emeplorevorev as quantitative, describing the degree of abounding? Chrys. (πολλφ γάρ τουτο εύλογώτερου), Grot., Fritz., Thol., adopt the former, and provided only the same thing is said here as in ver. 17, the usage there would decide it to be so: for there it cannot be quantitative. But I believe that not to be so. Here, the question is of abounding, a matter of degree, there, of reigning, a matter of fact. Here (ver. 16) the contrast is between the judgment, coming of one sinner, to condemnation, and the free gift, of (see note below) many offences, to justification. So that I think the quantitative sense the better, and join πολλώ μαλλον with επερίσσευσεν, in the sense of much more abundant (rich in diffusion) was the gift, &c. (2) χάρις, not the grace working in men, here, but the grace which is in, and flows from, God. (3) ἐν χάριτι τ. τοῦ . . . , not to be joined (Thol.) with ἡ δωρεά, as if it were ἡ ἐν χάρ. (which would be allowable), but with έπερίσσ. The grace of our Lord Jesus Christ (His self-offering love, see 2 Cor. viii, 9) is the medium by which the free gift

tire, T James i, 17 only †.

u = ch.i.f., xvi. 26, 1 Pet.i. 22, v here bis, ch. viii. 1 only †, (**\varepsilon e.c., ch. iii. 1, *\varepsilon e.c., ch. iii. 2, ch. i

16. for amapringarros, amaprinmaros D(and lat¹) F fuld¹(not am harl¹ al) Syr Thdrt Aug_(txt_{sepe}) Ruf Pelag Ambr-comm Sedul : amapringos(si) N¹. om $\gamma a \rho$ F-gr 45 leet-19, syr has it w-ast. at end add $\langle \omega n \rho \rangle$ D¹(and lat¹) fuld¹ seth.

is imparted to men. (4) The agrist $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho i \sigma \sigma$. should here be kept to its indefinite historical sense, and not rendered as a perfect, however true the fact expressed may be: both are treated of here as events, their time of happening and present reference not being regarded. 16.] Distinction the second, in KIND. The former difference was quantitative: this is modal. And not as (that which took place) by one that sinned, so is the gift. question whether any thing, and what, is to be supplied before δι' ένδι άμαρτ. Röthe, Meyer, and Tholuck (and so E. V.), would supply nothing, and render, 'And not as by one having sinned, so is the gift.' But (De W.) this has against it, (1) that since the γάρ following gives the reason for this sentence, this must contain implicitly all that that next expands in detail; which is not merely the distinction between springing from one man and out of many offences, but much more: and (2) that thus διά would = ἐκ or vice versa, whereas διά characterizes the bringer in, and $\epsilon \kappa$ the occasion. Others have supplied τὸ κρίμα (Bengel, Köllner): τὸ κατάκριμα (Theophyl., Reiche): δ θάνατος εἰςῆλθεν (Grot., Estius, Koppe) :- but inasmuch as it is purposely left indefinite, to be explained in the next verse, it is better to supply an indefinite phrase which may be thus explained: e.g. τὸ γενόμενον, 'that which took place by one,' &c. τὸ μὲν γὰρ κ.τ.λ.] For the judgment (pronounced by God upon Adam) was by occasion of one man (having sinned, - supply άμαρτήσαντος: παραπτώματος would be hardly allowable, and would not help the sense, inasmuch as many sinners, as well as many sins, are implied in πολλ. παραπτ. below), unto condemnation (its result, in his own case and that of his posterity: supply, as in ver. 18 is expressed, [ἐγένετο] εἰς πάντας ἀνθρώπους); but the free gift was by occasion of many transgressions (where sin abounded, ver. 20, there grace much more abounded: the existence of the law being implied in παραπτ.) unto justifica-tion. The only difficulty here is the sense of δικαίωμα. The ordinary meaning of the word is τὸ ἐπανόρθωμα τοῦ ἀδικήματος, 'the amendment of an evil deed:' so Aristotle, Eth. Nicom. v. 10, διαφέρει δὲ τὸ άδίκημα καὶ τὸ ἄδικον, καὶ τὸ δικαίωμα καὶ τὸ δίκαιον ἄδικον μὲν γάρ ἐστι τῆ φύσει ἢ τάξει το αὐτὸ δὲ τοῦτο ὅτα πραχθῆ, ἀδίκημά ἐστι πριν δὲ πραχθῆνοι ούπω, άλλ' άδικον. όμοίως δὲ καὶ δικαίωμα. καλείται δὲ καὶ τὸ κοινὸν μᾶλλον δικαιο-πράγημα, δικαίωμα δὲ τὸ ἐπανόρθωμα τοῦ άδικήματος. But this, which Aristot. insists on as the proper, but not perhaps usual sense of the word, is not to be pressed in the N. T., and does not, though upheld by Calv., Calov., Wolf, and Röthe, suit the context as contrasted with κατάκριμα. Other renderings are, 'an abso-lutory sentence' (Meyer, Fritz., al.): 'a righteous act,' as in ver. 18; Baruch ii. 19; 'righteousness,' as in Rev. xix. 8 (where see note): 'a righteous cause,' or what (LXX Inv. ii) (iii) (iii) (iii) plea (LXX, Jer. xi. 20): 'justification' (E. V., Luth., De Wette, al.). The first seems to me to be right, as standing most exactly in contrast with κατάκριμα; the use of the -ua being partly perhaps accounted for by the alliteration of the ending marking more strongly the antithesis. Thus as κατάκριμα is a sentence of condemnation, so δικαίωμα will be a sentence of acquittal. This in fact amounts to justifica-17. Distinction the third, also in KIND; that which came in by the one sinner, was the reign of DEATH: that which shall come in by the One, Jesus Christ, will be a reigning in LIFE. For (carrying on the argument from ver. 15, but not so as to make parenthetical Röthe ver. 16,-for δικαιοσύνης presupposes δικαίωμα) if by the transgression of the one man (the reading έν [τώ] ένι παραπτώματι goes with

17. for τω του ενος, εν ενί ΑΓ; εν τω ενί D: εν ενος 47 am(with demid al) Origg: τω 44: txt BCKLN vulg D-lat Syr Chr Thdrt Thi Ge Aug. οπ της δωρεως Β-49 Irren-int Origg Chr-comm Augssepe Bede: την δωρεων 672 Thi Ruf: add και 63 vulg syrr Chr-inss² Cyr Isid Thdrt Ge-comm Ambrat Pelag. οπ της δικαισο. C 70 Orig. ins τη bef ζωη L k 17. 93. βασιλευουσιν ο 17. 47. 77. 91 copt Orig Chr₁. χρ. bef ιησου Β Origg. (agst Orig₁ Iren-int.)

18. aft ενως ins ανθαωσιν Ν (N3 dismovments)

18. aft ενος ins $\alpha \nu\theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma v \aleph^1$ (\aleph^3 disapproving). παραπτωμα $F(per\ unius\ delictum)$ 37. 46. for δικαιωματος, το δικαιωμα DG; και δικαιωμα $F(per\ unius\ justitiam)$.

άμαρτήματος for άμαρτήσαντος in ver. 16: both have evidently been corrections death reigned by means of the one man, much more (logical—a fortiori) shall they who receive the abundance of the grace and of the gift of righteounsess (ver. 15: beware of the shallow and weakening notion, that it is "for της δικαισσύνης δεδωρημένης") reign in life (eternal) by means of the one (Main) Jesus Christ.

means of the local control seasons. The reproved answers to ℓ περίσσευσεν, ver. 15: $\tau \eta_S \chi d \mu r \sigma_S$, to $\eta_{\chi} \chi \sigma_0 \vartheta \epsilon \sigma_0 \vartheta$; only here, as at ch.i.5, the word signifies not only the grace flowing from God, but the same grace implanted and working in man:— $\delta \omega \rho \epsilon \alpha_S$, to $\delta \omega \rho \epsilon \epsilon$ there, but qualified by $\tau \eta_S \delta \kappa \alpha \omega \sigma \delta \nu \eta_S$, answering to $\delta \kappa \alpha \ell \omega \rho \omega$ in ver. 16.

The present λαμβάνοντες, instead of λαβόντες, is not merely used in a substantive sense, receptores (as Fritz, and Meyer), but signifies that the reception is not one act merely, but a continued process by which the $\pi\epsilon\rho$ is imparted. (So Röthe, De W., Thol.) " Antithesis to δ θάνατος έβασ. We should expect ή ζωή βασιλεύσει, but Paul designedly changes the form of expression, that he may bring more prominently forward the idea of free personality. $\zeta \omega \eta$ is not only corporeal (the resurrection), but also spiritual and moral,—as also in θάνατος we must include διά της άμαρτίας ver. 12. βασιλεύσουσιν is brought in by the antithesis: but it is elsewhere used (see refl.) to signify the state of blessedness, partly in an objective theocratic import (of the reign of the saints with ('hrist), partly in a subjective moral one, because reigning is the highest development of freedom, and the highest satisfaction of

all desires." De Wette. 18. Recapitulation and co-statement of the parallel and distinctions. Therefore (ἄρα οὖν, see reff., is placed by Paul at the beginning of a sentence, contrary to classical usage) as by means of one trespass (not, 'the transpression of one, as Erasm., Luth, Calv., Koppe, Fritz., Thol., which is contrary to usage, and to ver. 17, where that meaning is expressed by τῷ τοῦ ἐνὸς παραπτώματι. In this summing up, the Apostle puts the antithetical elements as strongly and nakedly as possible in contrast; and therefore abridges the 'trespass of one' and 'the righteousness of one' into 'one trespass' and 'one righteousness') it came upon (ἐγένετο, indefinite, being supplied) all men unto condemnation, - so also by means of one righteous act (the Death of Christ viewed as the name of His Obedience, see Phil. ii. 8 = ή ύπακοη τοῦ ένδς below; not as in ver. 16,-nor Righteousness, as Thol., which would not contrast with παραπτ., a single act) it came upon all men (in extent of grace, --in posse, not in esse as the other) unto justification of (conferring, leading to) life. For (in explanation of ver. 18) as by the disobedience of (the) one man the many (= πάντες ἄνθρωποι above, but not so expressed here, because in the other limb of the comparison πάντ. ἄνθρ. could not be put, and this is conformed to it : see there) were made (not 'were accounted as Grot. al.]: nor 'became by imputation' [Bezn, Bengel]: nor 'were proved to be' Koppe, Reiche, Fritz.]: see reff.) sinners (ποτ ὑπεύθυνοι κολάσει, as Chrys., Theophyl: 'actual sinners by practice,' is

 $^{\rm g}$ άμαρτωλοι $^{\rm h}$ κατεστάθησαν $^{\rm i}$ οι πολλοί, οὕτως καὶ $^{\rm cl}$ δια $^{\rm h}$ Επ. 118. $^{\rm min}$ της $^{\rm h}$ ὑπακοής τοῦ ἐνὸς δίκαιοι $^{\rm h}$ κατασταθήσονται $^{\rm i}$ οι $^{\rm benta κνιι}$ πολλοί. $^{\rm 20}$ νόμος δὲ $^{\rm i}$ παρειςήλθεν, $^{\rm i}$ να $^{\rm m}$ πλεονάση το κιώς 16 τει. $^{\rm int}$ παράπτωμα. οῦ δὲ $^{\rm m}$ έπλεύνασεν ἡ άμαρτία, $^{\rm o}$ ὑπερεπερίσ $^{\rm const.}$ οι $^{\rm int}$ επ. $^{\rm int}$ στο κιώς τος $^{\rm int}$ στο κιώς τος $^{\rm int}$ στο κιώς στο $^{\rm int}$ επ. $^{\rm int}$ στο κιώς τος $^{\rm int}$ στο κιώς στο $^{\rm int}$ επ. $^{\rm int}$ στο κιώς στο $^{\rm int}$ σ

λάθρα νυκτός ἐντός τῶν τειχῶν, Polyb. ii. 55. 8. 15 al4.) only, exc. 2 Pet. i. 8. 2 Chron. xxiv. 11, (-ῶς, Mark vii. 37.) m Paul (here bis. ch. vi. 1. 2 Cor. iv. u ver. 15. o 2 Cor. vii. 4 only t.

19. aft 2nd evos add andrownou D'F Iren-gr $\operatorname{Cyr}_2\operatorname{Aug}_1(\operatorname{om}_{\operatorname{aliq}})\operatorname{Ambr}_1\operatorname{Bede}.-\tau ou$ evos andr. bef unakons F.

20. for 1st δε, γαρ L. for οῦ, οπου F.

meant, the disobedience of Adam having been the inlet to all this: compare έφ' & πάντες ημαρτον ver. 12 and the notes, on the kind of sin spoken of in this whole passage, as being both original and actual), so also (after the same manner or analogy likewise) by means of the obedience (unto death, see on last verse) of (the) One man shall (future, because, as in ch. iii. 30, justification, as regards the many, is not uet completed. De W.) the many (= $\pi o \lambda$ λοί, compare Matt. xxvi. 28; Mark x. 45, but thus expressed because πολλοί would not have answered in the other limb of the comparison. In order to make the comparison more strict, the πάντες who have been made sinners are weakened to the indefinite οἱ πολλοί, the πολλοί who shall be made righteous are enlarged to the indefinite οἱ πολλοί. Thus a common term of quantity is found for both, the one extending to its largest numerical interpretation, the other restricted to its smallest) be made (see above) righteous (not by imputation merely, any more than in the other case: but 'shall be made really and actually righteous, as completely so as the others were made really and actually sinners.' When we say that man has no righteousness of his own, we speak of him as out of Christ: but in Christ and united to Him, he is made righteous, not by a fiction, or imputation only of Christ's righteousness, but by a real and living spiritual union with a righteous Head as a righteous member, righteous by means of, as an effect of, the righteousness of that Head, but not merely righteous by transference of the Righteousness of that Head; just as in his natural state he is united to a sinful head as a sinful member, sinful by means of, as an effect of, the sinfulness of that Head, but not merely by transference of the sinfulness of that Head). See the whole question respecting πάντες and οί πολλοί treated in Tholuck's Comm. in loc. 20.] How the law (of Moses) came in, in the divine economy. But (i. e. the two things spoken of ver. 19 did not simply and immediately happen) the law (of Moses: not law, in the abstract, nor 'the law of nature,' as Dr. Peile,-nor even the law of God in its general sense, as often in ch. i. ii.;—but here strictly THE LAW OF MOSES, as necessitated by vv. 13, 14 in this same argument) came in besides (besides the fact of the many being made sinners, and as a transition point to the other result: formed a third term, besides these two, in the summary of God's dealings with man: compare προςετέθη, Gal. iii. 19:-not πρός καιρον έδόθη, Theophyl.: not, came in between Christ and Adam [the fact, but not the interpretation], as Theodoret and Calv.: - not = εἰς ῆλθεν merely), -in order that (τελικως, its design, -not merely ἐκβατικως, its result, as Chrys., al.; -here, and every where else. So of ver. 21) the trespass (created by the law; for where no law, no transgression, ch. iv. 15:-not merely the knowledge of sin, but actual transgression) might multiply (in actual fact : not 'be abundantly exhibited,' or any such evasive sense). No possible objection can be taken to this statement by those who view the Law as a preparation for Christ. If it was so, then the effect of the Law, the creating and multiplying transgression, was an end in the divine purposes, to bring out the necessity of One who should deliver from sin and bring in righteousness. "Those who weaken this telie Tra into 'so that,' in order to guard the Apostle from what seems to them a doctrine unworthy of God, overlook equally his firm standing on the acknowledged ground of historic fact and actuality, as the humility with which here, as ever (ch. xi. 33, 34), he bows before the mystery of the οἰκονομία τοῦ θεοῦ." Umbreit. But (this terrible end, the multiplying of transgression, was not, however, God's ultimate end : He had a further and gracious one) where ('when,' De Wette, after Grot., al.: but Tholuck justly remarks that instances of this meaning of ob in prose are wanting. In verse it seems to occur, Eur. Iph. Aul. 96, but even there may be rendered 'in the case where') sin

 $\begin{array}{ll} \eta \mu \omega \nu , \\ (\text{Exod. xii.} \\ 30 \, \text{val.}) \\ \text{Su val.}) \\ \text{VI.} & ^{1} \, ^{\text{T}} \, \text{T\'e} \, \, \vec{o} \, \vec{v} \, ^{\text{T\'e}} \, \vec{o} \, \vec{o} \, \vec{\mu} \, \vec{e} \, \vec{v} \, ; \, \, ^{\text{a}} \, \vec{\epsilon} \, \pi \, (\mu \dot{\epsilon} \, \nu \, \omega \mu \, \epsilon \, \nu \, \, \tau \, \hat{\eta} \, \, \dot{\alpha} \, \mu \, \alpha \, \rho \, - \dot{\ell} \, q \, , \, \, \dot{\ell} \, \nu \, \, \dot{\alpha} \, \dot{\eta} \, \\ \text{i. th. ii. i. a reft.} \\ \text{Vol. c. a. d.} \\ \text{X} & \frac{1}{2} \, \text{vis.} \, \dot{\eta} \, \dot{\gamma} \, \dot{\epsilon} \, \nu \, \, \dot{\epsilon} \, \dot{\tau} \, \dot{\eta} \, \dot{\epsilon} \, \dot{\tau} \, \dot{\tau} \, \dot{\eta} \, \dot{\tau} \, \dot{\alpha} \, \dot{\tau} \, \dot{\eta} \, \dot{\tau} \, \dot{\alpha} \, \dot{\tau} \, \dot{\eta} \, \dot{\tau} \, \dot{\eta} \, \dot{\tau} \, \dot{\eta} \, \dot{\tau} \, \dot{\tau} \, \dot{\eta} \, \dot{\tau} \, \dot{\tau$

21. om τω F. for βασιλευση, -σει KL c 11 o 77. 115-6-21-2. χρ. bef ιησ. B.

Chap. VI. 1. $\text{rec } \epsilon \pi_i \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu \mu \epsilon \nu$, with rel Chr Thdrt Gennad-c Diod-c Thl Ec Tert Aug_{sæpe}, permanebimus vulg G-lat: $\epsilon \pi_i \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ KR 1. 57. 68. 109 lect-13 copt Gild: $\epsilon \pi_i \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ ins $\epsilon \nu$ bef $\tau \eta$ A, in peccato latt.

2. aft οιτινέs ins γαρ F latt syrr (not Tert al). (ησωμέν CFL 17 Diod Chr-ms,

(the generic of the specific παράπτωμα) abounded (not 'did much more abound,' as E. V.: for words compounded with 5πέρ have a superlative, not a comparative signification, e. g. ref. ὁπερλίαν, ὑπερνιάω, κ.τ.λ.,—and l'aul often uses these compounds. The E. V. has likewise destroyed the force of the comparison by rendering the different words πλεονάζω and περισσεύω both by one word 'abound').

21.] The purpose of this abounding of grace:—its ultimate prevalence and reign, by means of righteousness, unto life eternal. That, as sin reigned (the historic indefinite past, because the standing-point of the sentence is, the restitution of all things hereafter) in death (èv, of that in and by which the reign was exercised and shewn: death was the central act of sin's reign. He does not here say, 'death reigned by sin,' as in vv. 12-14, because sin and grace are the two points of comparison, and require to be the subjects), so also grace may reign by means of (not èv here, though it might be so, if δικαιοσ. applied to our being made righteous: but as it applies to the Righteousness of Christ making us righteous, it is διά) righteousness, unto (leading to) life eternal through (by means of) Jesus Christ our Lord ('Jam ne memoratur quidem Adamus,

Columber The Columbian Col

1. What then shall we say?—
the introduction of a difficulty or objection
arising out of the preceding argument, and

referring to ch. v. 20. See ch. iii. 5. ἐπιμένωμεν, ' must we think that we may persist,'-the deliberative subjunctive. So είπωμεν ή σιγωμεν, Eur. Ion 758: παρέλθω δόμους, Med. 1275. See Kühner, Gramm. § 464, and note on ch. v. 1. May we persist in (our natural state and commission of) sin, that (God's) grace may multiply (ch. v. 20)? 2.] μη γέν. may multiply (ch. v. 20)? 2.] μη γέν. (see reff.), used of some inference in itself abhorrent from reverence or piety, or precluded by some acknowledged fact inconsistent therewith. The latter is here the ground of rejection. An acknowledged fact in the Christian life follows, which pre-cludes our persisting in our sin. We who (offives describing quality, not merely matter of fact) died (historic acrist, not perf. as in E.V.: the time referred to being that of our baptism) to sin (reff. and examples in Wetst.:-became as separate from and apathetic towards sin as the dead corpse is separate from and apathetic towards the functions and stir of life: μένειν ακίνητον ως περ τον νεκρόν, Chrys. 'Sin,' $\tau \hat{\eta}$ au. = as above), how any longer shall we live in it $(=\pi\epsilon\rho)$ πατείν έν - but not, as De W., ζην with a dative: (giv ev Tivi is a further step than (ην τινι, implying introition, and not merely sympathy)?

3.] Or (supmercly sympathy)? posing you do not assent to the argument in the last verse, see reff.) are ye ignorant (the foregoing axiom is brought out into recognition by the further statement of a truth universally acknowledged) that all we who were (i.e. all of us, laving been) baptized into Christ Jesus ('into participation of,' 'into union with,' Christ, in his capacity of spiritual Mastership, Headship, and Pattern of conformity) were baptized into (introduced by our

3. om ιησουν Β 31, 39, 73, 109, 118, 120, 124 lect-8 Chr Thdrt Th
l Ambr $_1$: ιησ. bef χριστ. 80 Syr æth.

om τον D'F k¹. for δια, υπο D¹(appy).
 for αλλα, αμα F latt. ins αναστ. aft αυτου F Syr arm.

baptism into a state of conformity with and participation of) His Death? The Apostle refers (1) to an acknowledged fact, in the signification, and perhaps also in the manner (see below) of baptism,—that it put upon us (Gal. iii. 27) a state of conformity with and participation in Christ;—and (2) that this state involves a death $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\mu} \mu a \mu a \tau \hat{\mu}$ even as He died $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\mu} \mu a \mu \tau \hat{\mu}$ (ver. 10);—the meaning being kept in the background, but all the while not lost sight of, that the benefits of His death were likewise made ours by our introduction into the covenant.

4. A further explanation of the assertion in the last verse proceeding (obv) on its concession by the reader. We were then (not the temporal but inferential 'then:' q. d. "You grant my last position: Well then,"...) buried with Him (καθάπερ ἔν τινι τάφω τῷ ὕδατι καταδυόντων ἡμῶν τὰς κεφαλὰς ὁ παλαιὸς ἄνθρωπος θάπτεται, καὶ καταδὺς κάτω κρύπτεται δλως καθάπαξ, Chrys. on John iii. Hom. xxv. 2, vol. viii. p. 151) by means of our baptism into (His) death (τοῦ βαπτ. είς τον θάνατον belong together, not συνετάφ. είς τ. θ., which would hardly bear any sense. The absence of the art. before \$\epsilon\$ is no objection to this;—it is unnecessary, because no distinction from any other baptism is brought out, and το βάπτ.-είs-τον-θάν. is connected as one idea); in order, that, as Christ was raised from the dead by the glory (δόξα and δύναμις are cognate ideas ; compare the import of the Heb. ir and the LXX in Ps. lxviii. 35 [lxvii. 34 LXX], Isa. xii. 2: and τὸ κράτος τῆς δόξης in Col. i. 11. The divine δόξα includes all that manifests the Creator to the creature: and hence also his Almightiness. Tholuck.

The renderings 'in Dei gloriam' [Beza, Bretschneider], and 'because He is the image of the Father' [Dr. Burton, altern.], are inadmissible for $\delta \iota \acute{a}$ with a gen.) of the Father (Theodoret makes $\acute{\eta}$ $\delta \delta \acute{\xi} \imath \tau v \hat{v} \pi \alpha$ -

 $\tau \rho \delta s = \dot{\eta}$ oikeía $\theta \epsilon \delta \tau \eta s$ of the Son, which is manifestly wrong), thus we also should walk in newness of life (not = 'a new life;'-nor are such expressions ever to be diluted away thus: the abstract καινότητι is used to bring the quality of newness, which is the point insisted on, more into prominence, compare 2 Thess. ii. 11; 1 Tim. vi. 17; Winer, edn. 6, § 34. 3. The comparison is not only (as Stuart) between our Lord's physical death and resurrection, and our spiritual; but reaches far deeper: see notes on vv. 10, 11). 5. The Apostle confirms the last verse by a necessary sequence that those who are united to Him in His Death, shall be also in His resurrection. For (confirmatory) if we have become united with the likeness of His Death (σύμφυτος = either (1) 'congenital,'-as διὰ τὴν σύμφυτον δικαιοσύνην, spoken of Samuel, Jos. Antt. vi. 3. 3,-or (2) 'cognate,' of like nature, - or (3) 'arising simultaneously,'or (4) 'grown together,'—or (5) 'planted with,' 'consitus.' The rendering of Syr., Vulg., Luth., E. V., 'planted together,' is inadmissible, ·φυτοs being not from φυτεύω, but from φωε a slo is that of Erasm, and Calv.,—'institii.' The fourth meaning, 'grown together,' intimately and progressively united,—'coaluimus,' as Grot.,—seems here to apply best. Obs. σύμφ. is to be connected with $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \mu$, not with $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ χριστώ understood, as in ver. 6: in which case we should have to supply τφ δμοιώματι again before της αναστάσεως, which would be not only grammatically difficult, but would not correspond to the sense: for Christians, it is true, partake of the likeness only of Christ's death, but of His actual Resurrection itself, as the change of construction shews: see below), so shall we be also (ἀλλά after a hypothetical clause serves to strengthen the inference: see reff., and Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. p. 40) with His Resurrection (a change of con-

6. ins και bef τουτο B: τουτο δε 179.

καταργηση Λ ath.

struction: because it could not well have been said σύμφυτοι τοῦ δμοιώματος τ. θ. above, the gen. after adjectives compounded with σύν denoting the thing actually partaken [cf. Kühner, § 519, and Bernhardy, Syntax, p. 171: who cites examples in σύντροφος, Soph. Philoet. 203, -σύννομος, Eur. Hel. 1508, —σύμφωνος, Aristoph. Av. 658, —συμφυής, Plat. Legg. iv. p. 721, —συνήθης, ib. v. p. 739, —σύμψηφος, Cratyl. p. 398], and hardly the mere figure or likeness of it,-and similarly it could not well here be said σύμφ. τη άναστάσει, because the dat, would not be strong enough to denote the state of which we shall be actual partakers. The future is used perhaps because of the inference, as a logical sequence,- 'If, &c., A shall = B:'but more probably with a deeper meaning, because the participation in His Resurrection, however partially and in the inner spiritual life, attained here, will only then be accomplished in our entire being, when we 'shall wake up after his likeness').
6.] Knowing (recollecting) this,

that our old man (former self, personality before our new birth-opposed to Kaivos or νέος άνθρ., καινη κτίσις, - see Col. iii. 10; 2 Cor. v. 17; Eph. iv. 22-24,-not merely the guilt of sin, nor the power of sin, but the man. The idea is not Jewish, as Tholnek has shewn: the passage quoted from the Sohar-chadaseh not bearing the meaning commonly given to it, -and if it did, that book itself being a production probably of the sixteenth century) was (at our baptism) crucified with Him (the great key to our text is ref. Gal. As the death of the Lord Jesus was by erucifixion, the Apostle uses the same expression of our death to our former sinful self, which is not only by virtue of, but also in the likeness of, Christ's death,—as signal, as entire, as much a death of cutting off and putting to shame and pain), in order that (the aim and end of the συσταυρωθηναι) the body of sin might be annulled ("τὸ σῶμ. τῆς άμαρτ. belongs together, and της άμαρτ. is not to be joined with καταργ. as being ἀπὸ τῆς ἀμαρτ. [Theodoret, Wuhl];—nor is τὸ σῶμ. τ. ἀμ., 'the totality of sin' [Orig. 2, Theophyl. 1, Grot.]; nor 'the substance or essence of sin,' after the Heb. [Rabbinical] usage of מַצֶּם and אָנָם [Schöttg.]; nor, 'the mass of sin' [Thol. i.] ;-nor a mere figure to carry out the idea of being crucified with Christ [Calov., Wolf, Reiche, Olsh., Stuart (2), al.]; -nor = ἡ σὰρξ τ. ἀμαρτ.; but 'the body, which belongs to or serves sin,' in which sin rules or is manifested, $\equiv \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta$, ver. 13, in which is δ νόμος της άμαρτίας, ch. vii. 23,—τδ σωμα τ. θανάτου, ch. vii. 21,—αί πράξεις τοῦ το σώματος, ch. viii. 13, -τὸ σώμα τῆς σαρκός, Col. ii. 11." De Wette: with whom agree Orig. (1), Theophyl. (2), Beza, Bengel, Meyer, Tholuck, Stuart (1), al. But as De W. further remarks, we must not understand that the body is the seat of sin, or at all events must not so understand those words as if the principle of sin lay in the body, which is not true, for it lies in the καταργηθή, might be rendered powerless (annulled as far as regards activity and energy. The word occurs twentyfive times in Paul's Epistles [elsewhere, Luke xiii. 7, Heb. ii. 14 only], and does not appear to signify absolute annihilation. but as above. Gregory of Nyssa has gone into the meaning in his discourse on 1 Cor. xv. 28, vol. i. p. 1325), that we should no longer serve (be slaves to) sin (i. e. that the body should no longer be under the dominion of sin, see below, ver. 12). The difficulty of this verse arises from the Apostle having in a short and pregnant sentence expressed a whole similitude, joining, as he elsewhere does in such cases, the subject of the first limb of the comparison with the predicate of the second. Fully expressed, it would stand thus: 'For, as a man that is dead is acquitted and released from guilt and bondage (among men: no reference to God's judgment of him): so a man that has died to sin is acquitted from the guilt of sin and re-leased from its bondage. I express δεδικ. by this periphrasis in both cases, because I believe that all this is implied in it: 'is acquitted,' 'has his quittance,' from sin, so that Sin (personified) has no more chains on him, either as a creditor or as a master: cannot detain him for debt, nor sue him for service. A larger referκαίωται 8 άπὸ της άμαρτίας. 8 εί δὲ t άπεθάνομεν σὺν $^{t-2}$ Cor. v. 15. what is, 28. what is, 28. where $^{t-2}$ $^{t-2$ χριστῷ, "πιστεύομεν " ὅτι καὶ "συνζήσομεν αὐτῷ, 9 είδότες " χριστώ, πιστευομέν οτι και συνζησομέν αυτώ, είδοτες $^{\text{Activity}}$ δείτι χριστὸς $^{\text{w}}$ έγερθείς έκ $^{\text{w}}$ νεκρών ουκ έτι ἀποθνήσκει $^{\text{Trefi.}}$ τιτεί. $^{\text{Trefi.}}$ θάνατος αὐτοῦ οὐκ έτι $^{\text{w}}$ κυριεύει. $^{\text{10 y}}$ δ γὰρ ἀπέθανεν, $^{\text{niji.}}$ $^{\text{27 im ii. ii.}}$ ότι χριστος αὐτοῦ οὐκ ἔτι χκυριεύει. 10 yο γαρ απευανεν, οπιγι. τη z άμαρτία ἀπέθανεν a ἐφάπαζ c yο δὲ ζη, b ζη τ $\overline{\phi}$ θε $\overline{\phi}$. w γετ. δι. τη z άμαρτία ἀπέθανεν a ἐφάπαζ c γο δὲ ζη, b ζη τ $\overline{\phi}$ θε $\overline{\phi}$. w γετ. δι. δι. δι. a δι. a δι. δι. δι. a δ άμαρτία, " ζωντας δε τω θεω έν χριστω Ίησου. 12 μη

iii. 16. y acc. of object, Gal. ii. 20. Rev. xviii. 7. z dat., Col. iii. 23 al. e Heb. vii. 27. ix. 12. x. 10 (1 Cor. xr. 6) only t. constr., ch. xiv. 14. Phil. iii. 13. Wisd. xv. 15. d 2nd pers., 2 Cor. vii. 11 ref. e constr., bere only, see th. vii. 8.

8. for δε, γαρ F tol(and F-lat): our Syr. (G-lat has autem aut enim.) rec συζ., with B²CKL rel: txt AB¹DFN in 17.— ωμεν CK h Thl: συνζησομεθα F. τω χριστω D¹F latt(not demid fuld tol) Syr Aug. Bede. for autw.

11. rec aft νεκρους μεν ins ειναι, with KLN3 rel vss Did Thart Hil: bef νεκρ. μεν, BCN¹ Cyr Damasc: om ADF 17 copt aeth Chr-ms Tert₂. rec at end adds τω κυριω ημων, with CKLN rel copt Syr(but pref to χρ. ιησ.) Chr Thl Ambrst Ruf: om ABDF demid flor harl tol ath syr Bas Cyr Thdrt Thl-comm Ec-comm Tert, Hil Aug Pelag Sedul Bede.

ence is thus given to δεδικ. than the purposes of the present argument, which is treating of the power, not the guilt of sin, required: but that it is so, lies in the nature of άμαρτία, the service of which is guilt, and the deliverance from whose service necessarily brings with it acquittal.

8-11.] This new life must be one dedicated to God. 8.] Now (continuing the train of argument) if we died with Christ, we believe that we shall also (the future as in ver. 5,because the life with Him though here begun, is not here completed: and the πιστεύομεν used more of dogmatic belief, than of trust, though the latter meaning is not altogether absent) live with Him.

9. This and the following verse explain what sort of a life with Christ is meant, by what we know of the Resurrection-life of Christ himself. The only difficulty here is in οὐκ ἔτι κυριεύει, as implying that Death had dominion over Christ, which we know it had not: see John x. 17, 18; ii. 19; Acts ii. 24. But this vanishes, when we remember that our Lord, by submitting to Death, virtually, and in the act of death, surrendered Himself into the power of Death. Death could not hold Him, and had no power over Him further than by his own sufferance: but power over Him it 10. For had, inasmuch as He died. (the proof of the foregoing) the death which He died (not 'in that He died,' as E. V., nor is δ for καθ' δ, either here or in ref. Gal., but the accus. objective, governed by the verb. So also of & Se (n below) unto sin He died (De Wette well remarks that we must in expressing this verse abide by the indefinite reference to sin in which VOL. II.

the death of Christ is placed; if we attempt to make it more definite, 'for sin,' or 'to that state, in which He suffered the punishment of sin,' we shall lose the point of comparison, which lies in 'to sin' and 'to God.' If we are to expand the words 'died to sin,' we must say that our Lord at death passed into a state in which He had 'no more to do with sin'-either as tempting Him [though in vain], or as requiring to be atoned for [this having been now effected], or as met by Him in daily contradiction which He endured from sinners) once for all (so that it is not to be repeated: see reff.); but the life which He liveth (see above) He liveth unto God (indefinite again, but easily filled up and explained: to God,-as being glorified by and with the Father, as entirely rid of conflict with sin and death, and having only God's [properly so called] work to do,-as waiting till, in the purposes of the Father, all things are put under Him: -and to for God, as being the manifestation and brightness of the Father's glory). 11.7 exhortation to realize this state of death unto sin and life unto God with Christ. Thus (after the same manner as Christ) do ye also (imperative : Meyer only holds it to be indic.) account yourselves (better than 'infer yourselves to be,' as Chrys. and Beza, - see reff. and on ch. iii. 28) dead (indeed) unto sin (as ver. 2 and following), but alive unto God in Christ Jesus (i. e. 'by virtue of your union with Him:' not through [διά] Christ Jesus; in this chapter it is not Christ's Mediatorship, but His Headship, which is prominent. $-\epsilon \nu \chi \rho$. In σ ., is not [Reiche, Meyer, Fritz.] to be joined with both νεκρ. τη άμ. and ζωντ. τ. θ., but

οῦν ^f βασιλευέτω ἡ ἀμαρτία ἐν τῷ ^g θνητῷ ὑμῶν σώματι, ABCDF f = ch. v. 11 reff. g ch. viii. 11. 1 Cor. xv. 53, 54 2 Cor. iv. 11. v. 4 only Job xxx. 23. h Acts iii. 19. vii. 19. ch. 1 ι είς τὸ ὑπακούειν ταῖς ἐπιθυμίαις αὐτοῦ. 13 unde cdfgh κ παριστάνετε τὰ μέλη ὑμῶν "ὅπλα ἀδικίας τῆ ἁμαρτία, αλλά κπαραστήσατε " έαυτους τω θεω ωςεί έκ νεκρων vii. 19. ch. 1. 11, 20 al. i cb. i. 24 reff. k = here &c. (5 times). Luke ii. 22. ζωντας, και τὰ ιμέλη ύμων "ὅπλα δικαιοσύνης τῷ θεῷ. 14 άμαρτία γαρ ύμων ου * κυριεύσει* ου γάρ έστε " ύπο νόμον, αλλά ο ύπο χάριν.

th. xii. 1. POLODy (1783 to 1784 to 1785) Pr. v 3. Pr. v 4. Pr. v

 επακουειν F. ree αυτη εν ταις επιθ. αυτου (appy a combination of the two readings), with C3KL rel syr Chr Thdrt Thl Œe: αυτη, omg the rest, DF spec Iren-int Tert Vict-tun: txt ABC'N vulg(not F-lat) D2-lat Syr coptt æth arm Orig, Epiph Antch Damase Jer Aug Sedul Bede.

13. rec ωs, with DFKL 17 rel Chr Thdrt Thl Œe: txt ABCN Epiph Damase.

ζωντες D'F. om τα bef 2nd μελη B.

14. for 1st ου, ουκετι X1: marked for erasure by X3 but the marks erased.

Γαλλα, so BCD FR1.

only with the latter, next to which it stands, and of which it is literally and positively, whereas of the other it is only figuratively [τῷ ὁμοιώμ., ver. 5] and negatively true).

12, 13. Hortatory inferences from ver. 11: from μή to τη άμαρτία, negative, answering to verpoùs $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\dot{\alpha}\mu$.,—then positive, answering to $\zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha s$ $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$.

12. βασιλευέτω answers to the imagery throughout, in which Sin is a master or lord. It is hardly right to lay a stress on it, and say (as Chrys.) οὐκ εἶπε μη οὖν ζήτω ή σὰρξ μηδὲ ἐνεργείτω, ἀλλ', ἡ ἁμαρτία μη βασιλευέτω. οὐ γὰρ την φύσιν ήλθεν ἀνελεῖν, ἀλλὰ την προαίρεσιν διορθώσαι: it is no matter of comparison between reigning and indwelling merely, but between reigning and being deposed.

But why τφ θνητφ όμ. σώματι? Orig., al., explain it 'dead to sin,' which it clearly cannot be. Chrys., Theodoret, Grot., and Reiche suppose the word inserted to remind us of the other life, and the shortness of the conflict, or (Theophyl.) of the shortness of sinful pleasures; Köllner,-to point out that it is dishonourable to us to serve Sin, whose reign is confined to the mortal body; Fritzsche, 'quoniam, qui peccato ministrum se prabet, adhuc in mortali corpore hærere nec nisi fragilis vitæ meminisse videtur;' De Wette, Tholuek, al., that the Apostle wishes to keep in view the connexion between sin and death on the one hand, and that συνζην which is freed from death on the other. This last view seems the most probable. See 2 Cor. iv. 11 and There is considerable uncertainty in the reading of the latter part of this verse. That which I have adopted is supported by the primary MSS, and has the approval of Lachmann, Tischendorf, Meyer,

13. Nor render (see and De Wette. reff. :- as a soldier renders his service to his sovereign, or a servant to bis master) vour members (more particular than 'your bodies;' the individual members being instruments of different lusts and sins) as instruments (or, 'weapons,' as Vulg., most of the Greek expositors, and Luth., Calv., Beza, Tholuck, which latter defends this rendering by Paul's fondness for military similitudes, and by the occurrence of δψώνια below, ver. 23;-but as De W. observes, the comparison here is to servitude rather than soldiership) of unrighteousness to sin; but render (the present imperat. above denotes habit,-the exhortation guards against the recurrence of a devotion of the members to sin: this aorist imperat., on the other hand, as in ch. xii. 1, denotes an act of self-devotion to God once for all, not a mere recurrence of the habit) yourselves (not merely your members, but your whole selves, body, soul, and spirit) to God, as alive from having been dead (as in vv. 4 ff. and Eph. ii. 1-5), and your members as instruments (see above) of righteousness to God (dat, 'commodi,' as indeed is τη άμαρτ. above, the dat. after παριστ. being there left to be supplied, 14. An because of $\tau \hat{\eta}$ au, following). assurance, confirming (by the ydo) the possibility of the surrender to God commanded in the last verse, that sin shall not be able to assert and maintain its rule in those who are not under the law but under grace. The future κυριεύσει eaunot be taken as a command or exhortation, which use of the future would if not always, yet certainly here, require the second person,and would hardly suit a personification like The second part of the verse άμαρτία.

15. rec αμαρτησομεν, with rel Chr Thdrt₁ Thl Ce: ημαρτησαμεν F, peccavimus am harl D³-lat G-lat: txt ABCDKLN c m n 17 Clem. [αλλα, so BCFN:]

16. ins η bef ουκ D¹F demid flor harl¹ sah Sedul Bede. om εις θανατον DE Syr sah arm-zob(1805) Aug.

refers back to ch. v. 20, 21, where the law is stated to be the multiplier of transgression,—and accords with 1 Cor. xv. 56, ή δύναμις της άμαρτίας, δ νόμος. The stress is on κυριεύσει: q. d. 'Your efforts to live a life of freedom from the tyranny of sin shall not be frustrated by its after all tyrannizing over you and asserting its dominion: for ye are not under that law which is the strength of sin, but under that grace (here in the widest sense, justifying and sanctifying,-grace in all its attributes and workings) in which is no condemnation,' ch. viii. 1. It will be seen from the above, that I interpret κυριεύσει rather of the eventual triumph of sin by obtaining domination over us, than of its reducing us under its subjection as servants in this life. This is necessary, both to fit this verse into the context, and to suit the question which arises in the next. See Calvin's masterly note. So also Tholuck and De Wette.

The discussions (in Stuart and al.) as to whether vou. is the moral or ceremonial law, and as to whether we are bound by the former, are irrelevant here: the assertion being merely that of the general matter of fact, about which there can be no question, that we (Christians) are not under the law, placed in a covenant of legal obedience, but nnder grace,-placed in a covenant of justification by faith and under the promise of the indwelling Spirit-subjects of a higher law-even the law of the spirit of life in Christ Jesus, ch. viii. 2. Whether we are bound by the law, and how far, depends on how far the law itself spoke the immutable moral truth of God's government of the world, or was adapted to temporary observances and symbolic rites now abolished,the whole of which subject is not under consideration here. I make these remarks to justify myself for not entering into those long and irrelevant discussions with which many of our commentaries are interrupted, and the sense of the Apostle's argument confounded.

15—23. The being under grace (free from the condemnation of sin) and not under the law, is no en-

couragement to sin: for (vv. 16-19) we have renounced the service of sin, and have become the servants of righteousness: and (vv. 20-23) the consequences of the service of sin are terrible and fatal, whereas those of the service of righteousness are blessed and glorious. τί οὖν (se. ἐστίν); = τί οὖν ἐροῦμεν; άμαρτήσωμεν] Must we imagine that we may sin? may we sin ?the aor, because he is speaking of committing acts of sin: on the deliberative subjunctive, see ver. 1. This question is not, any more than that of ver. 1, put into the mouth of an objector, but is part of the Apostle's own discourse, arising out of what has preceded, and answered by him in the following verses. 'You are the servants either of God or of sin,-there is no third course.' The former part of the verse as far as ὑπακούετε reminds them merely of an universal truth,that the yielding ourselves servants for obedience to any one, implies the serving, being (in reality) the servants of such person. Then this is applied in the form of a dilemma, implying that there is no third service, q. d. 'Now this must be true of you with regard either to sin or to God.' Know ye not, that to whom ye yield yourselves servants with a view to obedience, his servants ye are to whom ye obey, (and in this case) either (ήτοι-ή only occurs here in N. T. ήτοι in alternatives is exclusive, cf. Herod. i. 11, δίδωμι αΊρεσιν, δκοτέρην βούλεαι τραπέσθαι . . . ήτοι κείνου γε του ταῦτα βουλεύσαντα δεί ἀπόλλυσθαι, ή σε του έμε . . . Isocr. άντιδ. p. 317, ήλθεν αν ήτοι κατηγορήσων ή καταμαρτυρήσων, and see Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 355 f.) (servants) of sin, unto death ('with death as the result,'not physical death merely, nor eternal death merely, but DEATH [by sin] in its most general sense, as the contrast to [life by RIGHTEOUSNESS,-the state of misery induced by sin, in all its awful aspects and consequences :- and so throughout this passage and ch. vii.), or of obedience (τοῦ

17. ins kabaras bef kardias A 13. 26 Chr_1 -mss(txth. 1), ex toto corde wth.

18. for δε, ουν CN1: om 37. 39. 62 lect-12 tol copt.

θεοῦ, sc.—obedience to Him who alone

ought to be obeyed) unto righteousness

(with righteousness as its result; not im-

19. for δουλα (twice), δουλευειν F latt. om εις την ανομιαν Β Syr Sedul.

puted merely, nor implanted merely, but RIGHTEOUSNESS in its most general sense as the contrast to death,-the state of blessedness induced by holiness, and involving in it, as a less in a greater, eternal life: and so throughout this passage)? 17, 18.] The dilemma solved for them by reference to the matter of fact: that they were once servants of sin, but on receiving the gospel, obeyed its teaching: and consequently were freed from the service of sin, and became the servants of righteousness :- and this in the form of a thanksgiving to God (1 Cor. i. 14) whose work in them it was.

There is a stress on ήτε as referring to a state past. So Eph. v. 8: on account of which stress apparently the uév, which would naturally follow it, is omitted. 17. ὑπ. διδαχής] Attr.: the simple construction would be ὑπηκούσατε τῷ τύπῳ τῆς διδ. εἰς $\delta \nu$ (or $\delta \nu$) παρεδόθητε, ye obeyed ($\delta \pi$. on account of ὑπακοή above) from the heart (reff.) that form of teaching (so μόρφωσις ch. ii. 20: see examples in Fritzsche, vol. i. p. 418; most probably used of the practical norma agendi accompanying the doctrine of the gospel; so Calv., Luth., Beza, Reiche: - De W. thinks it is the Pauline form of teaching, of justification by faith, distinguished from the Judaistic) to which ye were delivered (this inversion to the passive agrees admirably with τύπος, as a mould, exemplar, or pattern after which they were to be fashioned: so κατά τὰ δόγματα τυποῦσθαι, Arrian. Enchir. ii. 19 [Thol.]: and Beza,—'hoc

dicendi genus magnam quandam emphasin

videtur habere. Ita enim significatur evangelicam doctrinam quasi instar typi cujus18. έλευθ. δικαιοσ.] And (this verse is closely united with the foregoing; Rückert, Reiche, and Meyer think that it might be stated as a syllogistic conclusion, of which the dilemma is the major, and the fact of ver. 17 the minor) being freed from sin, ye were enslaved (see on next verse) to righteousness. For the expression έδουλώθητε the Apostle apologizes: 'it is not literally so; the servant of righteousness is no slave, under no yoke of bondage; but in order to set the contrast between the former and the new state better before you, I have used this word:' I speak as a man (according to the requirements of rhetorical antithesis) on account of the (intellectual, as De W. and Thol.: not moral, as Meyer and Olsh.) weakness of your flesh (i.e. ' because you are σαρκικοί and not πνευματικοί, and want such figures to set the truth before you.' Orig., Chrys., Theodoret, Calv., Estius, Wetst., al., take these words in a totally different sense: 'I require of you nothing which your fleshly weakness will not bear '): for (explanatory of ¿δουλώθ.) like as ye (once) rendered up your members (as) servants to impurity and to lawlessness (two divisions of ἀμαρτία—impurity, against a man's self, - lawlessness against God), unto lawlessness (both which, ἀκαθ. and ανομ., lead to ανομία, result in it: 'qui justitiæ serviunt, proficiunt: ἄνομοι, iniqui, sunt iniqui, nihil amplius.' Bengel: not 'from one avoula to another,' as Œcum., Theophyl., Luth., Grot., Erasm.,

aft $our\omega s$ ins $\kappa \alpha$ K 7 tol Syr arm Tert_ Sedul. for 2nd $\delta ou\lambda \alpha$, $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha$ A. 21. rec om $\mu \nu r$, with ACD*KLN¹ rel Clem Chr Thl Ee: ins BD¹FN³ syr Chr-mss Thdrt. for $\delta \epsilon_{\gamma}$ re(but corrd) N¹. at end ins $\epsilon \sigma \tau \nu$ F latt(not fuld).

al.: because [De W.] ἀνομία is not an act, but a principle), so now render up your members (as) servants to righteousness (see ver. 16) unto (leading to, having as its result, perfect) holiness—(contrast o ἀνομία, and both embracing their respective consequences). 20—23.] As a further urging of the above exhortations, the Apostle contrasts the end of their former life with that of their present.

mer the wint not their present.

20.] γάρ introduces a motive for the foregoing: but the verse belongs to the following: for ver. 22 is the contrast to it. Meyer and Fritz. think it to be an explanation of ver. 19, but are certainly unistaken. For when ye were servants of sin, ye were free in relation to (dat. of regard or reference, Winer, edn. 6, § 31. 1) righteomsess. There is doubtless a latent irony in the use of ἐλεύθεροι here; but it must not be brought out too strongly: it does not appear, till the end of that freedom is declared.

21.]

'Well, then, ye were free: and what was the benefit?' οῦν concedes and assumes.

There are two ways of pointing:

(1) that of E. V., carrying on the question to ἐπαισχύνεσθε, and supplying ἐπ' ἐκείνοις before ἐφ' οῖς, adopted by Chrys., Œc., Vulg., Beza, Grot., Estins, Bengel, Reiche, Meyer, Fritz., Stuart, al. But this though good as far as construction is concerned, is inconsistent with the N. T. meaning of καρπός, which is 'αctions,' the fruit of the man considered as the tree, not 'wages,' or 'reward,' the fruit of his actions: see below, ver. 22, and ch. i. 13, note. So even Phil. i. 22 (see note). So that I much prefer (2) the punctuation of Theod. Mops., Theodoret, Theophyl., Luth., Melaneth., Koppe, Flatt, Tholuck, Rückert, Köllner, Olsh., Lachm., Griesb.,

De Wette, al., placing the interrogation at τότε, and making έφ' οίς ν. ἐπαισχ. the answer. What fruit then had ye at that time? (Things, deeds) of which ye are now ashamed. το μὲν γὰρ τέλ. ἐκ. θ.] the reason of their present shame. For the end (= virtually ὀψώνια, ver. 23, and would be a mere repetition of καρπός on the first method of punctuation above) of those things (those καρποί consisting of sinful acts) is death (death in the widest sense, see note on ver. 16,—physical, which has been the end of sin, in which we all are involved,—and spiritual and eternal, which will be the end of actual sin if followed out). Contrast of your present state to that former one: freedom from sin as a master, - servitude (compare ανθρώπινον λέγω, ver. 19) to God (a higher description than merely δικαιοσύνη, the actual antithesis to άμαρτία, ver. 18. The devil would be the corresponding antithetical power: and not unfrequently appears in the teaching of Paul: but usually in casual expressions, as Eph. iv. 27; vi. 11; 2 Tim. ii. 26, not as the principal figure in a course of argument),-fruit (see on καρπός, above, ver. 21,—and remark τον καςπόν, your fruit, fruit actually brought forth, q. d. έχετε καρπόν, καὶ ὁ καρπὸς ὑμῶν ἁγιασμός) unto (leading unto perfect) sanctification,—and the end (governed by έχετε) life everlasting. 23.] The ends of the two courses placed pointedly and autithetically, and the inherent difference, that whereas death (see above) is the wages (ô4. = pay, or ration, of soldiers; compare the similitude in ver. 13, and remarks there) of sin, earned and paid down, -eternal life is no δψώνιον, nothing earned, but the free gift of God to His

τῷ κυρίῳ ἡμῶν. VII. 1 m ' Η m ἀγνοείτε, ἀδελφοί, γινώ- ABCDF

29. Sn. 9. xh. 21

9, xh. 21 r = 1 Cor, vii. 27, 30, sch. iii, 3 reff. tyrr. 6, Gal. v. 4. u = ch. vi. 18, 22, 2 Cur, xi. 3. v gen. of reference, Mark i. 4. John v. 2ν bis. 2 Cur, ix. 13 al. Winer, veh. 14 only. Ezek, xvi. 38 al. x here's constyl. Levit, xxii. 12. x here's constyl. Levit, xxii. 12. z dent. xxiv. 2 (4), Jer. iii. 6, § 30. 2. β. wch. 14 only. Ezek. xvi. 38 al. z = here 3cc only. Levit. xxii. 12.

CHAP. VII. 1. γιγνωσκουσιν L. 2. om 2nd Tov F(but not G). 3. aft (wvros, add xp G. χρημ. bef μοιχ. DF latt goth Jer. add n yuvn A copt Orig, Chr. aft o avno ins avrns DF Syr.

soldiers and servants; -and that in (not 'through,'-true enough, but not implied in èv, see above on ver. 11) Christ Jesus our Lord. VII. 1—6.] The explanation and proof of the assertion ch. vi. 14, οὐ γάρ ἐστε ὑπὸ νόμον, ἀλλὰ ὑπὸ χάριν: the answer to the question of vi. 15 having occupied vi. 16-23. 1-4.] The Christian is dead to the law by being dead with Christ, and has become His.

1.] Connect with ch. vi. 14, which is in fact the sentence immediately preceding. Reiche and Meyer connect with vi. 23; 'The gift of God is eternal life in Jesus Christ our Lord: this you can only doubt by being ignorant, &c. Krehl believes ch. vii. to be the expansion

of 'Death is the wages of sin,'—and ch. viii., of 'the free gift of God is eternal life.' But not only does this division not hold, for much of ch. viii. regards the conflict with sin and infirmity,-but the prominence of vouss as the subject here forbids the connexion with δψώνια της άμαρτ. θάνατος. The steps of the proof are these: The law binds a man only so long as he lives (ver. 1) :- e. g. a married woman is only bound to her husband so long as he lives (vv. 2, 3):-so also the Christian being dead with Christ and alive to Him is freed from the law (ver. 4). αδελφοί Not addressed particularly

to Jewish Christians: see below: but generally to the Roman church. κουσιν γ. νόμ. λαλ.] For I am speaking (writing) to men acquainted with the law; i.e. the persons to whom I address this epistle are such as know the law: not 'I speak to those who know the law,' as if he were now addressing a different class of persons, - which would require τοις γάρ γινώσκουσιν την νόμον τοῦτό φημι, see Gal. iv. 21. Nor does the knowledge of the law here affirmed of the Romans prove that the majority of them were Jewish Christians: they may have been Gentile ότι ὁ νόμ. κυρ. τοῦ proselytes. άνθρ....] that the (Mosaie: for of that, and not of any other law, is the whole argument) law hath power over a man (not ὁ νόμ. τοῦ ἀνθρώπου, 'a man's law,' and κυριεύει absolute, 'has dominion,'-as Hamm, and Dr. Burton, which is very questionable Greek and still worse sense) as long time as he (the man, see vv. 4 and 6 :- not the law, as Origen, Erasm., Grot., Estius, al., which would introduce the irrelevant question of the abrogation of the law, whereas the whole matter in argument is the relation of the Christian to the law) lives. 2.] For (not merely = e. g., but, as Thol., the example is itself the proof) the married (ref.) woman is bound by the law to the living husband: but if the husband have died, she is set free from (lit. annulled from) the law of ('regarding,' compare reff. and δ νόμος τοῦ λεπροῦ, Levit. xiv. 2) the husband (no hypallage).

3.] And accordingly (apa our, from the same consideration, it follows that') while her husband lives, she shall be called (see ref.: -and on this use of the future, as declaring what shall follow on a condition being fulfilled, Winer, edn. 6, § 40. 6) an adulteress, if she attach herself to (become the wife of) another man: but if her husband have died, she is free from the law (τοῦ ἀνδρός), so that (it matters little whether του μή is the result or the purpose: it is better always to keep the latter in view, and to regard the result in such sentences as for the moment spoken of as the purpose to which its constituents contributed) she is not an adulteress, though she have attached herself to another man. So far all is

έστὶν απὸ τοῦ νόμου, τοῦ μὴ εἶναι αὐτὴν μοιχαλίδα εl Cor. x. 13 refl. 22 γενομένην ἀνδρὶ ανέτερφ. 4 ωςτε ἀδελφοί μου, καὶ ὑμες αλιδα εlθανατώθητε τῷ νόμψ διὰ τοῦ σώματος τοῦ χριστοῦ, εἰς τιδο 22 γενέσθαι ὑμᾶς ανέτερφ, τῷ ἐκ νεκρῶν ἱ έγερθέντι, ἴνα χριτικίς δικ. 22, 20 r. γι. ρ. ε καρποφορήσωμεν τῷ θεῷ. δ ὅτε γὰρ ἦμεν ἐν τῆ δ σαρκί, δ chron. δ ε καρποφορήσωμεν τῷ θεῷ. δ ὅτε γὰρ ἦμεν ἐν τῆ δ σαρκί, δ chron.

e dat., th. vi. 10, 11. f 1 Cor. xv. 12 reff. g here bis. Matt. xiii. 23 1. Mark iv. 28. Col. i 6, 10 only. Hab. iii. 17. Wisd. x. 7 only. (-pos, Acts xiv. 17.) h = ch. viii. 12 al. see note.

4. και υμεις bef αδελφοι μου Ν.
-φορεσαι in ver 5 F.
5. ημην D1. om 1st εν F.

for μου, μοι F. καρποφορεσωμεν and

clear. But when we come to the application of the example, this must carefully be borne in mind, as tending to clear up all the confusion which has here been found hy Commentators:—that the Apostle is insisting on the fact, that DEATH DISSOLVES LEGAL OBLIGATION: but he is not drawing an exact parallel between the persons in his example, and the persons in his application. The comparison might be thus made in terms common to both: (1) Death has dissolved the legal obligation between man and wife: therefore the wife is at liberty to be married to another: -(2) Death has dissolved the legal obligation between the law and us: therefore we are at liberty to be married to another. So far the comparison is strict. Further it will not hold: for in the example, the liberated person is the survivor, -in the thing treated, the liberated person is the dead person. And so far from this being an oversight or an inaccuracy, it is no more than that to which, more or less, all comparisons are liable; and no more can be required of them than that they should fit, in the kernel and intent of the similitude. If it be required here to apply the example further, there is no difficulty nor inconsistency in saying (as Chrys. al.) that our first Husband was the Law, and our second is Christ; but then it must be carefully borne in mind, that we are freed, not by the law having died to us, (which matter here is not treated,) but by our having died It is not necessary with to the law. Calv. and Tholuck, to suppose that in ver. 4 there is an euphemistic inversion, 'we are dead to the law,' instead of ' the law is dead to us;' indeed such a supposition would, from what is said above, much weaken the argument, which rests on our being slain with Christ, and so freed from the law.

4.] So then (inference both from ver. 1, the general fact, and vv. 2, 3, the example), my brethren, ye also (as well as the woman in my example, who is dead to the law of her husband) were slain to the

law (crucified, see Gal. ii. 19, 20. The more violent word is used instead of àπεθάνετε, to recall the violent death of Christ, in which, and after the manner of which, believers have been put to death to the law and sin,-and the historic acrist to remind them of the great Event by which this was brought about) by means of the (crucified) Body (compare διὰ τῆς προςφορᾶς τοῦ σάματος τοῦ Ἰησ. χρ., Heb. x. 10) of Christ, that you should become attached to another. tached to another, (even) to Him who was raised from the dead (alluding both to the comparison in vv. 2, 3, γένηται ἀνδρὶ ἐτέρφ, and to ch. vi. 4, 5, ἴνα ὥsπ. $\eta \gamma \epsilon \rho \theta \eta$ $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau b s$ $\kappa. \tau. \lambda.), that we should (here strictly final, as Thol., Meyer, De$ W., &c. Not merely echatic, as Fritzsche) bring forth fruit (alluding to καρπόν, ch. vi. 22, and at the same time [Luke i. 42] earrying on the similitude of mar-riage. Not that this latter must be pressed, for there is only an allusion to it: nor on the other hand need the least objection be raised to such an understanding of the words, as any one conversant with St. Paul's way of speaking on this subject will at once feel: compare 2 Cor. xi. 2; Eph. v. 30-32) to (dat. commodi, ' to the honour of') God.

5, 6.] In the fleshly state (before we died with Christ) sinful passions which were by the Law worked in us and brought forth fruit to death: but now that we are dead to the law, we are no longer servants in the oldness of the letter, but in the neoness of the spirit. The Law (ch. v. 20, alluded to again vi. 14) was the multiplier of sin. To this thought, and the inferences from it, the Apostle now recurs, and contrasts the state under the law in this respect, with that of the believer in Christ. For when we were in the flesh (= virtually, "under the law:" see the antithesis in ver. 6: so almost all Commentators, ancient and modern,—except Beza, Bengel, Reiche, and Thol., who take it to mean the mere fleshly state, in which the Spirit is not yet energizing, and Ambrat., Calox.

6. ree αποθανοντος (see note): του θανατου DF latt Jer: txt ABCKLN rel am¹ syrr copt goth ath arm Bas Chr Cyr Thdrt Dannasc Tert Ruf. om ημας BF.

Olsh., al., who interpret it of the state of the unregenerate. But how does $\ell \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\sigma a \rho \kappa i$ denote 'under the law?' Some say, on account of its carnality, as more or less Theodoret, Œc., Hammond, Grot., al.: some, on account of the power of sin under the law,—as Chrys., Theophyl., Calv., al.: best of all is it to understand it, with Rückert, Köllner, Meyer, Fritz., De Wette, as pointing to the period before death with Christ, in which we were sensual and sinful: so that έν τῆ σαρκὶ εἶναι forms a contrast with θανατωθήναι. But, as De W. observes, it must not with Fritz. be rendered 'quum viveremus,' as this is never the sense of $\ell \nu \ [\tau \hat{\eta}] \ \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa l \ [\epsilon \hat{l} \nu \alpha i], -not$ even 2 Cor. x. 3: nor, I may add, Phil. i. 24) the stirrings ('passions of sins,' objective gen., which led to sins: not by hendiadys for παθήμ. άμαρτωλά, which, as always, destroys the force) of sins, which were by means of the law (the incitements,-not the sins, in this place, though ultimately it was so, the incitement leading to the sin. The full meaning of διὰ τοῦ νόμου must be kept, 'which were by means of the law :' i. e. the law occasioned them. Locke argues for the rendering, 'under the law,' 'in the time of the law,' which would destroy the force of the argument connecting the law with sin, here put so strongly as to require the question of ver. 7) wrought ('energized:' not pass., but middle: see note on Gal. v. 6) in our members (the instruments of sin, ch. vi. 13) to the bringing forth of fruit (see on τοῦ μή ver. 3: the καρποφ. was the final object of their energizing, not the mere result. καρποφ. here, the allusion to progeny is very distant, if it exists at all. Meyer makes it refer to an adulterous state, and personifies θάνατος; but this can hardly be) unto death (only a verbal antithesis to $\tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$: - 'whose end was death'): now (opposed to 876, ver. 5) have we been delivered (annulled) from the law, having died (to that) wherein we were held (the reading αποθανόντος cannot even be brought into discussion, as it appears to be only a conjecture of Beza's, arising from a misunderstanding of the text [and of Chrysostom's commentary, who did not read it],-see the analogy explained on ver. 1: the other reading, τοῦ θανάτου, is a correction to suit ver. 5. So that ἐν ξ either refers directly to νόμου, ἀποθανόντες being absolute and parenthetic, or we must understand ἐκείνφ aft. ἀποθ. I prefer the latter, as suiting better the style of the Apostle and the whole connexion. The omission of the demonstrative pron. probably is oceasioned by a desire to give especial prominence to the fact of ἀποθανόντες, or perhaps on account of the prepos. $\alpha\pi\delta$ in composition, as in ch. x. 14, $\pi\hat{\omega}s$ οὖν ἐπικαλέσωνται εἰς ὃν οὐκ ἐπίστευσαν ;), so that we serve (not 'should serve,' as E. V.: the pres. describes the actual state: -understand 'God' after serve) in the newness of the Spirit (i. e. of the Holy Spirit of God, who originates and penetrates the Christian life :- the first mention of the Spirit so much spoken of in ch. viii.) and not in the oldness of the letter (the law being only a collection of precepts and prohibitions, but the Gospel a service of freedom, ruled by the Spirit, whose presence is liberty). καινότης and παλαιότης are not as in ch. vi. 4, καινότητι $\zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s$, attributes of the genitives which follow them, but states in which those genitives are the ruling elements.

T-25.] An explanation of the part which the law has in bringing out sin, by which the law has in bringing out sin, by example of the Apostle's own case. In this most important and difficult passage, it is of the first consequence to have a clear view of the form of illustration which the Apostle adopts, and of the reason why he adopts it. The former has been amply treated of by almost all Commentators: the latter, too generally, has escaped their enquiry. But it furnishes, if satisfactorily treated, a key to the other. Insk then first,

 $\vec{\alpha}$ λλα την αμαρτίαν ουκ \vec{x} έγνων εί μη δια νόμου $\vec{\tau}$ την \vec{y} τε \vec{x} τω omitted. John ix, 38, xr. 22. xix.

11. Gal. iv. 15. Winer, edn. 6 \vec{r} 42. 2. \vec{y} = ch. i. 26. [2 Cor. x. 8.]

why St. Paul suddenly changes here to the first person? And the answer is, because he is about to draw a conclusion negativing the question (δνόμος άμαρτία;) upon purely subjective grounds, proceeding on that which passes within, when the work of the law is carried on in the heart. And he is about to depict this work of the law by an example which shall set it forth in vivid colours, in detail, in its connexion with sin in a man. What example then so apposite, as his own? Introspective as his character was, and purified as his inner vision was by the Holy Spirit of God, what example would so forcibly bring out the inward struggles of the man which prove the holiness of the law, while they shew its inseparable connexion with the production of sin? this be the reason why the first person is here assumed (and I can find no other which does not introduce into St. Paul's style an arbitrariness and caprice which it least of all others exhibits), then we must dismiss from our minds all exegesis which explains the passage of any other, in the first instance, than of Paul himself: himself indeed, as an exemplar, wherein others may see themselves: but not himself in the person of others, be they the Jews, nationally or individually, or all mankind, or individual men. This being done, there arises now a question equally important,-Of what self is it that he speaks throughout this passage? Is it always the same? If so, is it always the carnal, unregenerate self? or always the spiritual, regenerate? Clearly not the latter always; for to that self the historical account of vv. 7-13 will not apply, and still less the assertion, in the present, of ver. 14. Clearly not the former always: for to that the assertion of ver. 22 will not apply, nor that of ver. 25. Is it always the complex self, made up of the prevailing spiritual-regenerate, with the remains of the carnal-unregenerate? Not always this: although this seems nearer to satisfying the conditions: for in the description ver. 9, έγω έζων χωρίς νόμου ποτέ, and in έγω σάρκινός είμι κ.τ.λ. ver. 14, there is no complexity, but the $\ell\gamma\omega$ is clearly the carnal man. Therefore not always the same. If not always the same, where is the distinction? If we look carefully, the Apostle himself will guide us to it. Having carried on the ἐγώ unqualified and unexplained till ver. 18, he there has occasion to say οὐκ οἰκεῖ ἐν ἐμοὶ ἀγαθόν. But he is conscious that, as he had written to the Cor. (1 Cor. iii. 16), τὸ πνεῦμα τοῦ θεοῦ οἰκεῖ ἐν

ύμιν: he therefore finds it necessary to correct himself by an explanation, what εγώ he meant, and adds to έν έμοί, - τουτέστιν έν τη σαρκί μου. So that εγώ there is equivalent to ή σάρξ μου, i. e. 'myself in my state of life to the law and sin, and acting according to the motions of sin.' Again, when the approval of the law of God is affirmed (not the mere $\theta \in \lambda \omega$, which I will treat by and by), it is not barely έγώ, but to avoid confusion, in ver. 22 the Apostle adds κατά τὸν ἔσω ἄνθρωπον, and in ver. 25. prefixes αὐτός; in both cases shewing that (see notes below) he speaks of the complex man, himself made up of an έσω, and an έξω άνθρωπος, of δ νους and ή σάρξ. Are we then justified in assuming, that up to ver. 22 the carnal-unregenerate self is spoken of, but after that the complex self? Such a supposition would not be consistent with the assertion of the $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega$ from ver. 15 onwards: no such will existing in the carnal unregenerate man. I believe the true account will be nearly as follows:-from ver. 7-13 incl. is historical, and the έγώ there is the historical self, under the working of conviction of sin, and shewing the work of the law; in other words, the carnal self in the transition state, under the first motions towards God generated by the law, which the law could never have perfected. Then at ver. 14, Paul, according to a habit very common to him, keeps hold of the carnal self, and still having it in view, transfers himself into his present position, -altering the past tense into the present, still however meaning by εγώ (in ver. 14), ή σάρξ μου. But, having passed into the present tense, he immediately mingles with this mere action of the law upon the natural conscience, the motions of the will towards God which are in conflict with the motions towards sin in the members. And hence arises an apparent verbal confusion, because the έγω e.g. in ver. 17, of whom it is said, οὐκ ἔτι ἐγὼ κατεργάζομαι αὐτό, being the entire personality, the complex self, is of far wider extent than the eye of whom it is said οὐκ οἰκεῖ ἐν ἐμοί, τουτέστιν ἐν τῆ σαρκί μου, ἀγαθόν. But the latter ἐγώ, in this part of the chapter, is shewn to be (vv. 17, 20) no longer properly εγώ, but ή ολκοῦσα ἐν ἐμοὶ ἁμαρτία,—and so it passes altogether out of sight after ver. 20, and its place is taken by the actual then exist-ing complex self of Paul, compounded of the regenerate spiritual man, sympathizing with God's law, serving God's law, in conflict with the still remaining though deca $\frac{z \, ch. i. 24}{t \, cl.}$ $\frac{y}{\gamma} \dot{a} \dot{\rho}$ $\frac{z}{\epsilon} \dot{\pi} \iota \dot{\theta} \nu \mu \dot{i} \dot{a} \nu$ οὐκ $\frac{\eta}{\eta} \dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon}$ $\frac{1}{\epsilon} \dot{\mu} \dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\delta}$ νύμος $\frac{\dot{\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ Οὐκ $\frac{ABCDF}{KLN \, ab}$

ABCDF KLNab cdfgh klmn o17

dent earnal man, whose essence it is to serve the law of sin, to bring captive to the law of sin. This state of conflict and division against one's self would infallibly bring about utter ruin, and might well lead to despair (ver. 24), but for the rescue which God's grace has provided by Jesus Christ our Lord. And this rescue has been such, that I, the αὐτὸς ἐγώ of ver. 25, the real self, the nobler and better part of the man, serve, with the vovs (see there) the law of God: whereas it is only with the flesh, according to which (ch. viii. 4) I do not walk, but overcome and mortify it, that I serve (am still subject to) the law of sin. Then this subjection of the flesh to the law of sin, to the δουλεία της φθορας, is fully set out, in its nature, - consequences to the carnal,-and uses to the spiritual, -in ch. viii.

Any thing like a summary of the exegesis of this passage would be quite beyond my limits. I must refer the student to commentaries on this epistle alone,—and espacially to that of Tholuck, where a complete and masterly history is given. It may suffice here to say, that most of the ancients supposed èyá to represent mankind, or the Jews generally, and the whole to be taken chronologically,—to ver. 9 as before the law, after ver. 9 as under the law. This was once Augustine's view, Prop. 44 in Ep. ad Rom. vol. iii. p. 2071, but he afterwards changed it (Retract. i. 23, vol. i. p. 620) and adopted in the main that advocated above.

The default of a history of the exegesis will be found to be in some measure compensated by the account of opinions given under the separate verses below.

7.] τί οὖν ἐρ., see note, ch. vi. 1. ο ν. άμαρτία; Is the law (not, as Jowett, 'conscience,' but in our case, the revealed law of God, which awoke the conscience to action) sin ?-not 'the cause of sin,' which in one sense the Apostle would not have denied,-but sin, abstract for concrete, sinful, or, as Bengel, 'causa peccati peccaminosa.' δ νόμος itself being abstract, that which is predicated of it is abstract also. The contrast is, δ νόμος άγιος, νετ. 12. The question itself refers back to ver. 5, τὰ παθήματα τῶν άμαρτιῶν τὰ διὰ τοῦ νόμου. It is asked, not by an objector, but by the Apostle himself, in anticipation of an objection. ἀλλά] Is but here in contrast to δ νόμ. άμαρτ., meaning, 'so far from that,'-or is it a qualification of μη γένοιτο, meaning 'but still it is true, that . . . ?' Neither explanation exactly suits the context, which is, by a proper elucidation of the law's working as regards sin, to prove it to be holy. I would rather understand ἀλλά, but what I mean is . . . ,—I say not that, but There surely is no contrast to δ νόμ. ἁμαρτία, see ver. 8.

ούκ ἔγνων 'non cognoscebam, ni ...,' -I was living in a state of ignorance of sin, were it not This construction comprehends in it οὐκ ἃν ἔγνων as a consequence, and is therefore often said to be put for it; but it has its propriety, as here, where a historical state is being described, and the unconditional indicative is more appropriate. Tholuck makes it = 'non cognoveram, ni . . . ,' in which case the indie. expresses more plainly than the conjunctive the absolute dependence of the fact on the condition. There is some difficulty in understanding the mutual relation of the clauses, την άμ. οὐκ ἔγνων, and τήν τε γάρ ἐπιθ. οὐκ ἤδειν. It is well known that TE differs from Kal, in not coupling things co-ordinate, but attaching things subordinate, to a former. Thus Thueyd. i. 9 begins 'Αγαμέμνων τέ μοι δοκεί, on which Poppo remarks (cited by Thol.), ' Sequitur exemplum auetæ Græcorum opulentiæ ductum ex rebus Agamemnonis et causis expeditionis Trojanæ;' an example being a subordinate verification of a general categorical statement. The γάρ also shews that the second clause is subordinated to, and alleged in substantiation of the first. Then what is auapria? Is it sin in act, or sin in principle,-the principle of sin? Not sin in act, so that άμ. ουκ έγν. should mean, 'I had not known sin,' i.e. 'had not sinned:' as Fritz.: for then the law would have truly and actually been the cause of sin: nor, sin in act, so that the meaning were, 'I had not known the nature of a sinful act:' for this would not agree with the subordination of ἐπιθυμία below: the ἐπιθ. being more general $(\pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \nu + \epsilon \pi \iota \theta)$ than the particular acts which it induced. But the reference must be to sin in principle, the principle of sin: I had not recognized such a thing as sin, but by means of the law. So Calv., Melaneth., Calov., Rückert, Köllu., Olsh., Thol., De Wette. The law here is in the full sense of the Mosaic law as regarded himself,-not excluding the wider sense on which I have insisted in the former part of

the Epistle when applied to others. The $\tau \in \gamma \Delta p$. For neither ('neque enim') had I known (by experience: 'known any thing of') concupiscence (the motions of the flesh towards \sin_{λ} —whether acted on or not,—whether consented to or

xi. 12 bis. Gal. v. 13. 1 Tim. v. 14 only. P. Ezek. v. 7 only. c = Luke xxiii. 56. 1 Tim. vi. 14. c = Luke xx. 19 reff. c = Luke xx. 19 reff. c = Luke x. 10 reff. c = Luke x. 10 reff. c = Luke x. 10 reff. c = Luke x. 11 reff. c = Luke x. 11 reff. c = Luke x. 13 reff. c = Luke x. 12 reff. c = Luke x. 13 reff. c = Luke x. 13 reff. c = Luke x. 14 reff. c = Luke x. 15 reff. c = Luke x. 16 reff. c = Luke x. 16 reff. c = Luke x. 16 reff. c = Luke x. 17 reff. c = Luke x. 18 reff. c = Luke x. 18 reff. c = Luke x. 19 reff. 19 reff. c = Luke x. 19 reff. c

7. om $\tau \in F$ latt. 8. om δε D(and lat1). ins η bef $\alpha\mu\alpha\rho\tau\iota\alpha$ \aleph^3 . Pel.

for 2nd vopos, loyos L. επιθυμησης Κ. rec κατειργασατο, with AB2CFKLN rel: txt B1D d. aft νεκρα ins ην FK latt Syr Jer Aug Sedul Ambrst Ruf txt

9. εζην Β: εζουν 17.

not:- this motion he would not have perceived, because he was simply moving with it) if the law had not said, Thou shalt not desire (reff. Exod. Deut.). 'Desire,' in the above sense. The Apostle omits all the objects there specified, and merely lays hold of the idea contained in ἐπιθυμή- $\sigma \epsilon is$. And it may well be said and strictly, that the 'desire' there spoken of would lead to all kinds of sin-therefore murder, adultery, &c., if carried out: and that the prohibition of desire there serves as an example of what the law actually forbids elsewhere. 3.] But (proceeding with the development of sin by means of the law) sin (the sinful principle or propensity, but without any conscious personification on the part of the Apostle,—see some excellent remarks on personification in Tholuck) taking occasion (ἀφορμή, as its derivation shews, means more than mere opportunity,-it indicates the furnishing the material and ground of attack, the where-with and whence to attack. The words here are not to be joined, as Luth., Olsh., Meyer, with διὰ τ. ἐντολῆs: — for (1) ἀφορμ. λαβεῖν διά would not express whence the ἀφορμή is taken, as παρά or ek, but only by what means some àφ. is taken from some source, -which would not here suit the Apostle's meaning, seeing that the source itself was the commandment,and (2) ver. 13, διὰ τοῦ ἀγ. κατεργ., decides and (2) ver. 10, the vot aproductly, as frequently, see Wetst.) by means of the commandment (not = τοῦ νόμου, but the tenth commandment, the prohibition in question) wrought in me (not 'wrought out, 'brought into action,' but 'originated') all (manner of) concupiscence; for without the law sin is (not 'was:' the omission of the verb substantive shews the sentence to be a locus communis, - and compare ch. iv. 15) dead (powerless and inactive: compare 1 Cor. xv. 56, ή δύναμις τ. άμαρτίας ὁ νόμος). This deadness of sin without the law must not be understood as meaning that sin was committed but not

recognized, the conscience being not informed nor awakened: such a statement would be true, but would not touch the matter argued here. Erasmus (Thol.) well explains the νεκρά,—'Quum ante legem proditam (but see below) quædam peccata nescirem, quædam ita scirem, ut mihi tamen licere putarem, quod vetita non essent,levius ac languidius sollicitabatur animus ad peccandum, ut frigidius amamus ea, quibus ubi libeat potiri fas sit. Cæterum legis indicio proditis tot peccati formis, universa cupiditatum cohors irritata prohibitione coepit acrius ad peccandum sollici-tare.' Compare also Prov. ix. 17, and (Wetst.) Ovid. Amor. ii. 19. 3, 'Quod licet ingratum est, quod non licet acrius urit:' and ib. iii. 4. 17, 'Nitimur in vetitum semper, cupimusque negata:' and Seneca, de Clem. i. 23 (Thol.), 'Parricidæ cum lege cœperunt, et illis facinus pœna monstravit:' and a remarkable passage from Cato's speech in Livy xxxiv. 4, 'Nolite eodem loco exis-timare, Quirites, futuram rem, quo fuit, antequam lex de hoc ferretur. Et hominem improbum non accusari tutius est, quam absolvi, et luxuria non mota tolerabilior esset, quam erit nunc, ipsis vinculis, sicut fera bestia, irritata, deinde emissa.

9.] It is a great question with Interpreters, of what period Paul here speaks. Those who sink his own personality, and think that he speaks merely as one of mankind, or of the Jews, understand it of the period before the law was given: some, of Adam in Paradise before (?) the prohibition: those who see Paul himself throughout the whole think that he speaks, -- some, of his state as a Pharisee: this however would necessitate the understanding the legal death which follows, of his conversion, which cannot well be: some, of his state as a child, before that freedom of the will is asserted which causes rebellion against the law as the will of another: so Meyer, Thol., al. Agreeing in some measure with the last view, I would extend the limits further, and say that he speaks of all that time, be it

1 Luke x. 21 (32. ch. xiv. (33. ch. xiv. 4) $\frac{1}{4}$ νέζησεν, $\frac{10}{6}$ έγω δὲ $\frac{1}{6}$ ἀπέθανον καὶ $\frac{10}{6}$ ευρέθη μοι ἡ έντολὴ ABCDF (5.ν. 1) οιίγ. $\frac{1}{6}$ π είς $\frac{10}{6}$ ζωήν, αὕτη $\frac{1}{6}$ είς $\frac{10}{6}$ θάνατον. $\frac{11}{11}$ ἡ γὰο ἁμαοτία ε d fgh $\frac{11}{6}$ τείς $\frac{10}{6}$ είς εντολῆς $\frac{1}{6}$ έντολῆς $\frac{1}{6}$ είησα πησέν με, καὶ $\frac{1}{6}$ τιν. Deal. $\frac{1}{6}$ αὐτῆς $\frac{1}{6}$ ἀπέκτεινεν. $\frac{12}{6}$ ἄςτε ὁ $\frac{1}{6}$ μεν νόμος ἄγιος, καὶ ἡ $\frac{1}{6}$ τείς $\frac{1}{6}$ καὶ $\frac{1}{6}$ τείς $\frac{1}{6}$ είτοι $\frac{1}$

10. om 2nd η L m¹ 48. 77. 100 Chr-ms.

13. rec for εγενετο, γεγονε (corrn, the historic aor not being understood), with KL rel Chr Cyr-e Gennad-e Thdrt Ee Thl: om F: txt ABCDN Meth Damasc. [αλλ,

mere childhood or much more, before the law began its work within him,-before the deeper energies of his moral nature were aroused (see on έλθούσης below). But (ϵζων opposed, but only formally, to νεκρά, and so having δέ: so Meyer and De W.) I was alive (not merely 'lived,' 'went on,' but emphatic, 'vivus eram,' as Aug., i. e. 'lived and flourished,'-contrasted with ἀπέθανον below) without the law (the law having no recognized place in my moral existence) once; but when the commandment (above, ver. 8) came (purely subjective; not 'was enacted,' 'came in,'but 'came to me,' as we say, 'came home to me,' 'was brought home to me'), sin sprung into life (not 'revived:' however true it may be that sin was merely dormant, the idea insisted on here, is, that it was dead and came to life, began to live and flourish: -but this is not to be compared with ἀνέβλεψα in John ix. 11; see note there), 10. but I died (ceased to live-and-flourish as before,—fell into that state of unhappiness, which ever afterthat state of unhappiness, when ever after-wards under the gospel he calls \$\frac{\phi}{\phi} \alpha \text{div} a_{\text{or}} \text{, ver. 24, ch. viii. 2):} and (not an additional particular, but = 'and so,' —merely changing the subject from '1,' to 'the commandment') the commandment which was for (tending to) life (compare ch. x. 5, \text{ \text{order} a mothous above the subject of the subj πος ζήσεται έν αὐτοῖς, and reff. there: the life is one of prosperity primarily, but capable of, and indeed requiring [x. 5] a higher interpretation), this (very commandment) (αύτη directs attention in a marked way to the antecedent subject : so frequently αὐτός and ἐκεῖνος: see Matt. xxiv. 13: Winer, edn. 6, § 23. 4) was found (subjective - οὐκ εἶπεν ὅτι ἡ ἐντολὴ γέγονέ μοι θάνατος, άλλ' ευρέθη, το καινου και παράδοξον της άτοπίας ούτως και παρασζον της ατοπιας συνας έρμηνεύων, Chrys.) by me (to be) unto (tending to) death (explained on ἀπέθ. above).
 11.] For (explanatory how

ver. 10 happened) sin (the sinful principle within me) taking occasion (absol. as in ver. 8, where see note),-by means of the commandment deceived me (there is a plain reference to the Tempter deceiving Eve, which was accomplished by means of the commandment, exciting doubt of and objection to it, and lust after the forbidden thing: see reff. 2 Cor., 1 Tim.), and by it slew me (i. e. brought me into the state of misery and death, menticned in ver. 10; -but there is an allusion again to the effect of the fall as the act of the Tempter). 12.7 So that (seeing it was not the law in general, nor this particular commandment, that wrought concupiscence in me, but the sinful principle in me taking advantage of these, which them-Selves were given ϵis ($\omega \eta \nu$ and not ϵis $\theta d\nu a \tau o \nu$) the law (indeed) is holy ($\mu \epsilon \nu$, as understanding a δέ to follow-' but it was sin,' &c.: which does follow in an expanded form, in ver. 13), and the commandment (οὐκ ἐπιθυμήσεις, ver. 8) holy and just and good (Theodoret thus accounts for the epithets: άγίαν προςηγόρευσεν ώς το δέον διδάξασαν δικαίαν δέ, ώς ὀρθῶς τοῖς παραβάταις τὴν ψῆφον ἐξενεγκοῦσαν ἀγαθην δέ, ώς ζωην τοῖς φυλάττουσιν εὐτρεπίζουσαν. See also 1 Tim. i. 13. Did then the good (= 'that which was good, i.e. ή ἐντολή, but made abstract for the sake of greater contrast) become death (so δ νόμ., άμαρτία, ver. 7) to me? Was it, after all, the commandment itself that became to me this death of which I speak? Far from it: but (it was) sin (that became death to me. The construction adopted by Vulg., Luth., al., ἀλλὰ ἡ ἁμαρτία, ἵνα φανῆ ἁμ., διὰ τ. ἀγ. μοι κατεργαζομένη $[\hat{\eta}v]$ θάνατον, is hardly admissible); -that it might appear (be shewn to be) sin, (by) working death to me by means of the good (that which was good: see above. The misuse and

perversion of good is one of the tests

so BCF a k m. (A uncert.)] $\eta_{\alpha\mu\alpha\rho\tau\alpha}$ bef $a_{\mu\alpha\rho\tau\omega\lambda\sigma}$ DF tol arm ${\rm Aug_1}$ Ambrst. 14. for γa_{ρ} , $\delta \epsilon$ ADL syr-marg Origi, Cyr Thdrt Aug, Hil Ruf Ambr Bede: om eth arm ${\rm Aug_2}$ Jer₁: txt BCFKR rel vss Orig₂ Tit Did Chr Cyr Phot Thl &c Augg, Jer₁, rec $\sigma a_{\rho\kappa\kappa\sigma\sigma}$ (corra to more usual and appy more appropriate word? but the two are constantly confused), with K(e sil) LN³ Orig Chr Thdrt Phot &c Thl: txt ABCDFN¹ b¹ o 17 Meth Ephr Nyss Bas Cyr Thdrt Damasc.

whereby the energy of evil is detected; so that sin, by its perversion of the [good] commandment into a cause [evil] of death, was shewn in its real character as sin. That this is the rendering is evident by the following clause, which is parallel with it. Erasm., Valla, Elsner, Dr. Burton, al., make ἀμαρτία the subject: 'that sin might appear to be working death, &c.' ['so that sin appears to have effected my death,' &c. Dr. Burton, most ungrammatically : there is no objection to this on the ground of άμαρτ. being anarthrous, as even Bp. Middleton himself reluctantly acknowledges;the objection lies in the context, as above), that (explains and runs parallel with the former Tva, as in 2 Cor. ix. 3, where he adds to the 2nd Ίνα, καθώς ἔλεγον) sin might, by means of the commandment, become above measure sinful: i. e. that sin, which was before unknown as such, might, being vivified and brought into energy by (its opposition to) the commandment, be brought out as being (not merely 'shewn to be') exceedingly sinful (sinful in an exaggerated degree-prominent in its true character as the opponent of God). 14.] On the change into the present tense here, see above in the remarks on the whole section. Hitherto has been historical: now the Apostle passes to the present time, keeping hold yet of the carnal έγω of former days, whose remnants are still energizing in the renewed man. For (by way of explaining and setting in still clearer light the relative positions of sin and the law, and the state of inner conflict brought about by their working) we know (it is an acknowledged principle amongst us, see reff.) that the law is spiritual (sprung from God, who is a Spirit, and requiring of men spiritual purity. These meanings, which have been separately held by different Commentators,

may, as Thol. and De W. observe, well be united): but I (see beginning of section) am carnal (σάρκινος, stronger than σαρκιко́s; carneus rather than carnalis, but it is doubtful whether the two endings were not used indiscriminately: see Tholuck), sold (into slavery, see reff.: but the similitude must not be exacted in all particulars, for it is only the fact of slavery, as far as its victim, the man, is concerned, which is here prominent) under (to, and so as to be under the power of) sin. Tholuck (who differs from the view of this section advocated above, yet) adds here: "The έγώ appears here in its totality as sinful, while in vv. 16, 20 it is distinguished from sin. That Paul does not here bear in mind this distinction, may be justified by the maxim, 'à potiori fit denominatio;' the ἐγώ is a slave, and has not his own will: as ver. 23 shews, the $\epsilon\gamma\dot{\omega}$ which is hostile to sin, the $\nu\delta\mu$ os τ o $\hat{\nu}$ ν o δ s, is under coercion, and the man is a captive. So Arrian in Epict. ii. 22: ὅπου γὰρ τὸ ἐγὰ καὶ τὸ ἐμόν, ἐκεῖ ἀνάγκη βέπειν τὸ ζῶον, εἰ ἐν σαρκί, ἐκεῖ τὸ κυριεῦον εἶναι, εἰ ἐν προαιρέσει, ἐκεῖνο (qn. ἐκεῖ ˀ) εἶναι." The latter clause of the verse is the very strongest asser-tion of man's subjection to the slavery of sin in his carnal nature. 15.] For (a proof of this πεπράσθαι under sin, viz. not being able to do what I would, vv. 15-17) that which I perform (am in the habit of doing) I know not (act blindly, at the dictates of another: which is proper to a slave. σκοτούμαι φησί, συναρπάζομαι, ἐπήρειαν ὑπομένω, οὐκ οἶδα πῶς ὑποσκελίζομαι, Chrys. The meaning, 'I approved not, introduced by Aug and held by Erasm., Beza, Grot., Estius, Semler, al., is not sanctioned by usage,—see note on 1 Cor. viii. 3,—and would make the following clause almost a tautology): for (explanation of last

VII.

g here only $^{\rm t}$. $^{\rm t}$ $^{\rm t$

15. om 1st τουτο DF goth Meth, Pelag Ambr, (copt om both): ins ABCKLN rel vulg Orig Meth, Chr Thdrt Aug. αλλα Ν.

16. συνφημι DFN. for καλος, καλον εστιν F.

17. [αλλα, so BDFL.] for οικουσα, ενοικουσα ΒΝ am Ambrst (ενοικει am Ambrst and follg ver).

18. ins το bef αγαθον F Meth₃ Cyr. for δε, γαρ, and for καλον, αγαθον F. rec (for ον) ουχ ευρισκω, with DFKL rel arm-marg Chr Thdrt Thl Œc Jer Sedul: txt ABCN vulg Syr copt arm Meth Procl Cyr gr-mss-mentd-by-Aug Aug_{swpe}.

assertion, shewing how such blind service comes to pass) not what I wish, that do I (this $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$ is not the full determination of the will, the standing with the bow drawn and the arrow aimed; but rather the inclination of the will,—the taking up the bow and pointing at the mark, but without power to draw it:—we have $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$ in the sense of to vish, 1 Cor. vii. 7, 32; xiv. 5; 2 Cor. xii. 20), but what I dislike (= ob $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$, ver. 19: no distinction in intensity between $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$ and $\mu a \dot{\omega}$, that I do (no distinction here between $\eta \dot{\rho} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega$ and $\pi o \dot{\omega}$, as apparently in Johniii. 20, 21, where see noter for they are interchanged in vv. 19, 20).

The Commentators cite several parallel passages from profane writers: e.g. Seneca, Hippol. 601, 'Vos testor omnes cœlites, hoc quod volo, me nolle; '- Epictetus, Enchiridion ii. 26, έπει γαρ δ αμαρτάνων οὐ θέλει άμαρτάνειν, άλλὰ κατορθώσαι, δήλον ὅτι ὁ μεν θέλει οὐ ποιεῖ, καὶ ὁ μὴ θέλει ποιεῖ: -the well-known lines of Ovid, Met. vii. 19, 'aliudque cupido, Mens aliud suadet: video meliora proboque, Deteriora sequor:' -Plautus, Trinummus iii. 2. 31, 'Scibam ut esse me deceret, facere non quibam 16.] But if (= 'now miser:'-&c. seeing that;' takes up the foregoing and draws an inference from it) what I wish not, that I do, I agree with (bear witness to) the law that it is good (viz. 'in that the law prohibits what I also dislike, -the law and I are as one in proscribing the thing, -the law, and my wish, tend the same way'). 17.] Now however ('quod autem quam ita sit,' not of time, as Grot., 'nunc post legem datam,'-or Koppe, 'ex quo Christianus factus sum') it is no longer (not a chronological, but a logical sequence, 'it can no more be said, that;' see reff.) I that perform it (κατεργ. as recalling vv. 8-15), but sin that dwelleth in me. Here the έγώ is not the complex responsibleself, by which the evil deed is wrought, and which incurs the guilt of working it: but the self of the WILL in its higher sense, the ἔσω ἄνθρωπος of ver. 22. The not bearing this in mind has led to error in interpretation and doctrine: e.g. when it is supposed that the Christian is not responsible for his sins committed against his spiritual will and higher judgment; whereas we are all responsible for the ξργα of the sin that dwelleth in us, and it is in this very subjection to and involution with the law of sin in our members, that the misery consists, which leads to the cry in ver. 24.

18.] An explanation of the οἰκοῦσα ἐν ἐμοὶ ἀμαρτία of the last verse. For I know (by experience, detailed in the next verse) that there dwells not in me, that is, in my flesh, (any) good (thing). I said, sin that dwelleth in me, because I feel sure, from experience, that in me (meaning by 'me' not that higher spiritual self in which the Spirit of God dwells, but the lower carnal self: see on this important limitation the remarks at the beginning of the section), dwells no good thing. And what is my proof of this? How has experience led me to this knowledge? (the proof from experience) the wish (to do good) is present with me ($\pi \alpha \rho$., not metaphorical, see reff., but, as προκείμαι in Homer, used commonly of meats served up to, lying before, any one); but to do that which is good, is not (the absence of εύρίσκω in ABCN, and the variations of γινώσκω έχω,-and besides, the somewhat unusual termination of the sentence with ov,-are toostrong presumptions of its being an inter-

èsròy ἄνθρ., Plat. Rep. ix. p. 589. v \rightarrow and constr., Heb. x. 25. w = Matt. viii, 21-vv. 3, 4 al. x ch. vi. 13 reff.

19. ins τουτο bef ποιω C c vulg Jer_{aliq} Ruf-comm. [αλλα, so BD'N.] for ου θελω, μισω F vulg-sixt(with F-lat) Thdrt Aug₁ Ruf-comm: om G.

20. rec aft θελω ins εγω (corrn for emphasis: or for conformity with εγω below?), with AKLN rel syr copt goth Thdrt Œc Augsepe: om BCDF b o latt Syr æth arm Chr-ms, Cyr Thl-comm Ambr Pelag Aug, Ambrst. αλλα BD¹N.

21. om στι to παρακειται F.
 22. for θεου, κυριου 34: νους Β.

polation, to allow of its retention) (present with me). 19. And this οὐ παρακείσθαι of the doing good is shewn by my acts, in that I do not the good that I wish (to do), but the evil which I do not wish, 20. The inference of ver. 17 restated, with the premiss of ver. 16 in the place of $\nu\nu\nu$ $\delta\epsilon$:—but its meaning is now clearer and deeper than then; we know now that the εγώ which does not the evil thing, is the better $\epsilon \gamma \omega$ of the $\epsilon \sigma \omega$ $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega$ - $\sigma \sigma s$,—whereas the $\epsilon \mu \sigma i$ in which sin dwells and rules, though included in the complex self, is the lower έγώ, ή σάρξ μου. And so the way is now prepared for at once setting forth the conflict within us between these two. 21.] I find then (i. e. as appears from what has been detailed) the (this) law (presently to be defined as the law of sin in my members, and exemplified in the following words: so τοῦ ἡήματος τοῦ κυρίου, ώς έλεγεν, Acts xi. 16:-των λόγων τοῦ κυρίου Ἰησοῦ, ὅτι αὐτὸς εἶπεν,— Acts xx. 35 (De W.). This is the view of Calv., Beza, Grot., Estius, Wolf, Winer, Meyer, De Wette, al. It cannot well be referred to the Mosaic law, as, with various forced arrangements and constructions, Chrys., Theophyl., Theodoret, Tholuck, Olsh., Fritz., Köllner; the great objection being, that all these do violence to the context. Tholuck's remark, that had νόμον meant as above, it would have been anarthrous, or τόθτον τον νόμον, is sufficiently answered by the above examples: and the dative after εύρίσκω, to which he also objects as inadmissible in any language, is justified by Soph. Œd. Col. 966, οὐκ των εξεύροις εμοί | άμαρτίας ὅνειδος οὐδέν,— and by Plat. Rep. iv. p. 421, ἔτερα . . τοῖς φύλαξιν ευρήκαμεν, 'alia invenimus nos-

tris eustodibus observanda,' Ficin.) to mo (for myself) wishing to do good, that (consisting in this, that) evil is present with (see above, ver. 18) me.

22, 23.] Explanation of the conflict above alleged to exist. For I delight in (σύν not signifying participation with others, but as perhaps in συνλυπούμενος, Mark iii. 5, and in the phrase σύνοιδά μοι ; denoting 'apud animum meum.' Thol. συνήδομαι is a stronger expression than σύμφημι, yer. 16) the law of God after the inner man (= vovs, ver. 25,-see reff. - and compare Peter's δ κρυπτός της καρδίας άνθρωπος, ref. 1 Pet. But not merely the mental and reasoning part of man: -for that surely does not delight in the law of God: —it is absolutely necessary to presuppose the influence of the Holy Spirit, and to place the man in a state of grace before this assertion can be true. And it is surprising to find Commentators like Tholuck and De Wette, while they acknowledge that συνήδομαι is stronger than σύμφημι, yet denying the gradual introduction of the spiritual man in the description of this conflict. True, THE SPIRIT is not yet introduced, because purposely kept back until treated of as the great Deliverer from this state of death; the man is as yet described as compounded of the outer and inner man, of ή σάρξ and δ νοῦς, and the operations of the two are detailed as if unassisted,even the term πνεθμα for the human spirit being as yet avoided,-but all this is done, because the object is to set the conflict and misery, as existing even in the spiritual man, in the strongest light, so that the question in ver. 24 may lead the way to the real uses and blessed results of this conflict in ch. viii.); but I see (= 'find :'-as if he

23. aptistrat. K. aichal. (omg me) two pom. tou poos mou two pti A. rec om 2ud ef, with (A)CL rel syrr Meth. Cas Chr Cyr (Ee Thl: ins BDFKR bl c k m no 17 latt coptt goth Clem Thdrt.

25. rec for χαρις τω θεω, ευχαριστω τω θεω (see notes), with AKLN¹ rel syrr goth Orig. Chr Œc Thl: η χαρις του θεω D vulg Thdrt-comm(appy) lat-fi, η χαρις του κυριου F: txt B 213 sah ath Meth Orig., and χ. δε τω 6. C² (C¹ uncert) N-corr¹ 10. 17. 31. 73.

were a spectator of that which is going on within) a different law (differing in kind and aim, not = allos merely) in my members $(= \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a \rho \kappa i \mu \sigma \nu$, ver. 18), warring against $(\hat{a} \nu \tau \iota \sigma \tau \rho$. is not to be joined with $\beta\lambda\epsilon\pi\omega$ so as to $= \lambda\nu\tau\iota\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\epsilon\dot{\nu}$ - $\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, though that would be an allowable construction, see Acts viii. 23; 1 Cor. viii. 10,-but βλέπω-μου forms an independent sentence antithetic to συνήδομαιἄνθρωπον) the law of my mind (the consent viz., to the law of God, which my mind yields; not = the law of God, any more than the different law in my memthe law of sin,-but both meaning the standard or rule set up, which inclination follows: - the one in the vovs, in harmony with the law of God, -the other in the μέλη or σάρξ, subservient, and causing subservience, to the principle or law of sin), and bringing me (the whole complex self-the 'me' of personality and action) into captivity with (ev, not exactly 'by means of,' but pointing out the department in which, the investiture with which, the taking captive has place. Nor would the simple dative be 'by means of,' as Chrys., Theodoret, Theophyl.,—but merely 'to:' the dat. commodi aft. $al\chi\mu\alpha\lambda$.) the law of sin (the sinful principle, of resistance to God's law, ή άμαρτία as awakened and set energizing, ver. 9, by that law) which is in my members. Commentators have much disputed whether the ετερος νόμος, and the νόμος της άμαρτ., both έν τοῖς μέλεσιν μου, are different, or the same. The former view is held by Calv., Beza, Köllner, Rückert, De W.: the latter by Reiche, Meyer, Fritz., Tholnek. It appears to me (see above) that the identity cannot be maintained without introducing great confusion into the sentence.

24.] The division of the man against himself, his inward conflict, and miserable state of captivity to sin in the flesh, while with the mind he loves and serves the law of God. From this wretched condition, which is a very death in life, who shall deliver him? σώματος cannot well be figurative, 'universitas vitiorum,' or 'mortifera peccati massa,' but must, on account of the part which ή σάρξ and τὰ μέλη have hitherto borne, be literal. Then, how is τούτου to be taken? Some (Syr., Erasm., Calv., Beza, Olsh., Winer) join it with σώματος, and (not Winer) justify the construction as a Hebraism: but Winer has refuted the notion (edn. 6, § 34. 3. b) of a Hebraism, and the arrangement has no Greek example. It can only be joined with θανάτου; - and that most fitly, as the state which he has been describing is referred to by τοῦ θανάτου τούτου. Then the body of this death will mean, 'the body whose subjection to the law of sin brings about this state of misery,' compare σωμα της άμαρτίας, ch. vi. 6. From this body, as the instrument whereby he is led captive to the law of sin and death, he cries out for deliverance: i. e. to be set free, as ch. viii. 2, from the law of sin and death.

Some Commentators, misled by the notion of a Hendiadys (σώματος τοῦ θ. = θυητοῦ σώματος), a most fruitful source of error in exegosis, have imagined that the verse implies a wish to be deliverent from the body (by death), and expresses a weariness of life,

The cry is intered, as De Wette well observes, in full consciousness of the deliverance which Christ has effected, and as leading to the expression of thanks which follows. And so, and no otherwise, is it to be taken. 25.] The rec. $\epsilon b_{X} a_{D} u \tau \hat{\omega}$ has but slender authority, and in the great variety of readings, it is not easy to determine. $\hat{\eta}_{X} a_{D} \hat{\sigma}_{D} \hat{\sigma}$

The sentence is (not, of course, constructionally, as the var. readg ἡ χόρις τοῦ θεοῦ, but logically) an answer to the preceding question: Thanks to God (who hath accomplished this) by means of Jesus Christ our Lord. This exclamation and τῷ θεῷ διὰ Ἰησοῦ χριστοῦ τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν. ° ἄρα ° οὖν $^{\rm ech.v.\,18\,refl.}_{\rm rev\,r.\,25.}$ αὐτὸς ἐγὼ τῷ μὲν Ἰνοὶ $^{\rm ech.v.\,18\,refl.}_{\rm voiμ}$ θεοῦ, τῆ δὲ σαρκὶ $^{\rm ech.v.\,18\,refl.}_{\rm sector}$ νόμῷ αμαρτίας. VIII. $^{\rm I}$ οὐδὲν ἄρα νῦν $^{\rm h}$ κατάκριμα $^{\rm II}_{\rm sector}$ $^{\rm hets}_{\rm xx}$ τοῖς ἐν χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ $^{\rm 2}$ ὁ γὰρ νόμος τοῦ $^{\rm 1}$ πνεύματος τῆς iver. $^{\rm 10}_{\rm xi}$ res. $^{\rm 18}_{\rm xi}$ r

80. 93 copt arm Meth Cyr Paulin Jer₁. μεν FR¹ latt lat-ff.

 $\epsilon \gamma \omega$ bef autos D¹(and lat) vulg.

ulg. om

Chap. VIII. 1. om $\nu\nu\nu$ D¹ Syr æth arm Cyr Jer Victorin Prædest. rec at end ins $\mu\eta$ κατα σαρκα περιπατουσιν (so far, with AD² vulg Syr goth arm Bas Chr lat-ff) alla κατα πνευμα (supplied from ver 4, from a misunderstanding of the argument: see notes), with D³KLN³ rel Thdrt Œc Thl: om BCD¹FN¹ coptt æth Orig-schol Ath Cyr Dial Ruf Aug.

thanksgiving more than all convince me, that Paul speaks of none other than himself, and carries out as far as possible the misery of the conflict with sin in his members, on purpose to bring in the glorious deliverance which follows. Compare 1 Cor. xv. 56, 57, where a very similar thanksἄρα οὖν κ.τ.λ.] These giving occurs. words are most important to the understanding of the whole passage. We must bear in mind that it had begun with the question, Is the law sin? The Apostle has proved that it is NOT, but is HOLY. He has shewn the relation that it holds to sin, viz. that of vivifying it by means of man's natural aversion to the commandment. He has further shewn, that in himself, even as delivered by Christ Jesus, a conflict between the law and sin is ever going on: the misery of which would be death itself, were not a glorious deliverance effected. He now sums up his vindication of the law as holy; and at the same time, sums up the other side of the evidence adduced in the passage, from which it appears that the flesh is still, even in the spiritual man, subject (essentially, not practically and energetically) to the law of sin, -which subjection, in its nature and consequences, is so nobly treated in ch. viii. So then (as appears from the foregoing), I myself (I, who have said all this against and in disparagement of the law; I, who write of justification by faith without the deeds of the law: not 'I alone,' without Christ, as opposed to the foregoing, -as De Wette, Meyer: nor, 'ego idem,' I, one and the same person, as Beza, Erasın., Calv., Olsh.: nor 'ille ego,' as Grot., Thol. See, for the meaning given above, ch. viii. 26 [αὐτὸ τὸ πνεῦμα]; ix. 3; xv. 14; 2 Cor. xii. 13, in all which places [see on ch. xv. 14] it has the same force) with my mind (indeed) ($\delta \nu o \hat{\nu} s = \delta \epsilon \sigma \omega \delta \nu \theta \rho$. as in ver. 23) serve the law of God (cf. VOL. II.

συνήδομαι, ver. 22), but with my flesh the έγώ of ver. 18; and the σάρξ throughout of ch. viii.) the law of sin. It remains to be seen how this latter subjection, which in the natural man carries all with it, is neutralized, and issues only in the death of the body on account of sin, in those who do not walk after the flesh, but after the Spirit. CHAP. VIII. 1-39.] In the case of those who are in Christ Jesus, this divided state ends in the glorious triumph of the Spirit over the flesh: and that (vv. 1-17), though incompletely, not inconsiderably, even here in this state, -and (vv. 18-30) completely and gloriously hereafter. And (vv. 31-39) the Christian has no reason to fear, but all reason to hope; for nothing can sever him from God's love in Christ. 1-17.] Although the flesh is still subject to the law of sin, the Christian, serving not the flesh, but walking according to the Spirit, shall not come into condemnation, but to glory with Christ. 1. There is therefore (an inference from ch. vii. 25, because with their mind, and that mind dwelt in and led by the Spirit of Christ, they serve, delight in, the law of God) now (this vûv is emphatic, and follows upon the question and answer of vii. 24, 25, -rebus sic stantibus,-now that a deliverance has been effected from the body of this death, by Christ. This is certain from the $\gamma d\rho$ which follows, setting forth the fact of the deliverance) no condemnation (reff.; \equiv the penal consequence of sin original and actual) to those (who are) in Christ Jesus. The expression $\ell\nu$ $\chi\rho$. In σ . refers particularly to the last place where God's gift of life eternal in Christ Jesus our Lord was spoken of, ch. vi. 23,-and generally to all that was said in that chapter of our incorporation into and union with Him. The words μή κατά σάρκα περιπατοῦσιν, ἀλλὰ κατὰ πνεῦμα, 'walking

k ch. vi. 18 refl. i ζωῆς ἐν χοιστῷ Ἰησοῦ κ ἢλευθέρωσέν * με ἀπὸ τοῦ νόμου ABCDF κ. Κ. κ. a. b s refl. coustr. στος ἀμαρτίας καὶ τοῦ θανάτου. 3 τὸ γὰο Ἰ ἀδύνατον τοῦ c d fg h κ. κ. κ. γτος ἀμαρτίας καὶ τοῦ θανάτου. 3 τὸ γὰο Ἰ ἀδύνατον τοῦ c d fg h κ. κ. κ. κ. γτος ἀνουν, m ἐν ῷ n ἢσθένει διὰ τῆς σαρκός, ὁ θεὸς τὸν ἑαυτοῦ o 17 m = II-b. ii. 18, n = 2 cor, xiii. 3 .

2. * $\sigma\epsilon$ BFN spec Syr Chr₃(but mss vary) Aug; $\eta\mu\alpha$ s copt æth Dial Meth: $\mu\epsilon$ ACDKL rel vulg syr sah goth æth Chr Thdrt Œe Thl Tert Jer Ambr.

as they do not according to the flesh but according to the Spirit,' are probably a gloss introduced from ver. 4, right enough in sense (see there), but out of place here, because this moral element of 'those in Christ' is not yet brought in: the present assertion is general, and is made good in detail by and by. See digest. For (a reason why there is no condemnation) the law (norma, method \equiv influence, as in έτερον νόμον, ch. vii. 23,—used here perhaps for sharper contrast to the νόμος αμαρτ. below) of the Spirit of life (the. Lord and Giver of life-life used in an incipient higher sense than έζων in ch. vii. 9,-see below) freed me (aor., referring to the time of his conversion. There is no stronger proof to my mind of the identity of the speaker in the first person throughout with the Apostle himself, than this extension of that form of speaking into this chapter: nothing more clearly shews, that there he was describing a really existing state within himself, but insulating, and as it were exaggerating it [as so often], to bring out more clearly the glorious deliverance to follow) in Christ Jesus (I follow the more regular grammatical arrangement in taking $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$ $\chi\rho$. $\tilde{I}\eta\sigma$. with the verb. Thus also Thol. and De Wette.

It may be taken [notwithstanding the absence of the art., at which indeed only tiros will stumble] with $\zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s$, as Luther, which seems to suit ch. vi. 23,-or with τοῦ πν. τ. ζ., as Piscator and Flatt, -or with δ νόμ. τ. π. τ. ζ., as Calv.) from the law of sin (vii. 25) and death (death again here bears a higher meaning than in ch. vii. We are now on higher ground :κατάκριμα having been mentioned, which is the punishment of sin, death now involves that, and is not only temporal misery, but eternal ruin also. This 'law of the Spirit of life' having freed him from the law of sin and death, so that he serves another master, all claim of sin on him is at an end-he is acquitted, and there is no condemnation for him). planation of ver. 2, shewing the method of this liberation) that which was not in the power of the law (the construction is a nominativus pendens, as in ref. Heb., in apposition with the following sentence, $\delta \theta \epsilon \delta s$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$: so Rückert, Meyer, Fritz., De W., Tholuck: Winer, § 32. 7, makes it an acc. governed by ἐποίησεν understood [stating however in edn. 6, the nom. pendens as an alternative]: Olsh. al., make it an acc. absol. or supply κατά: Camerarius and Beza, διά; but the above seems the simplest. τὸ ἀδύνατ. τοῦ νόμου may mean either, 'that part of the law which was impossible,'-' could not be obeyed,' —as τδ γνωστόν τοῦ θεοῦ, ch. i. 19;—or, —as to yward to be out the law? $= \frac{1}{3}$ ddynamic the inability of the law? $= \frac{1}{3}$ ddynamic τ . ν , as τ 0 χ 0 χ 0 τ 0 τ 0 τ 0 τ 0 eoû, ch. ii. 4;—or, that which was unable to be done by the law? Of these, the first is out of the question, because ν 0 μ 0 must be the subject of $\epsilon \nu \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta$. $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$.:—the second would give the first clause the meaning, 'that wherein the inability of the law shewed itself,' viz. its powerlessness διὰ τ. σαρκός. The third yields by far the best meaning: see below on διά τ. σ.) in that (this clause gives a reason and explanation of the ἀδύνατον, see however the note on ref. Heb.) it was weak (the Apostle keeps in mind his defence of the holiness of the law undertaken in ch. vii., and as Chrys. observes, δοκεί μέν διαβάλλειν τον νόμον, εί δέ τις άκριβώς προς έχοι, και σφόδρα αὐτὸν έπαινεί ... οὐδὲ γὰρ εἶπε τὸ πονηρὸν τοῦ νόμου, ἀλλὰ τὸ ἀδύνατον καὶ πάλιν ἐν ῷ ἡσθένει, οὐκ, ἐν ῷ ἐκακούργει, ἐν ῷ έπεβούλευε. Hom. xiv. p. 563) through the flesh (i. e. in having to act through the flesh: not, 'on account of the flesh,' i. e. of the hostility, or weakness of the flesh, which would be διὰ τὴν σάρκα. The flesh was the medium through which the law,being a νόμος έντολης σαρκίνης, Heb. vii. 16,-wrought, and οἱ ἐν σαρκί the objects on which. So the gen. here is similar to that in 2 Cor. ii. 4, ἔγραψα δμίν διὰ πολ-λων δακρύων, and 1 Pet. v. 12, δι' ὀλίγων ĕγραψα, indicating the state in or medium through which, the action is earried on), -God (did) sending His own Son (the stress is on ¿autoù, and the word is pregnant with meaning:—His own, and therefore like Himself, holy and sinless. This implication should be borne in mind, as the suppressed antithesis to άμαρτ., three times repeated afterwards. Another antithesis may be implied - \(\xi\au\tau\tau\tau\tau\), and thereυἰὸν πέμψας ἐν $^{\circ}$ ὁμοιώματι $^{\mathsf{P}}$ σαρκὸς $^{\mathsf{P}}$ ὁμαρτίας καὶ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ περὶ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ σερὶ. 32 refi. ὁμαρτίας καὶ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ περὶ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ κοι $^{\mathsf{q}}$ εν την ἁμαρτίαν ἐν τῆ σαρκὶ, $^{\mathsf{q}}$ ίνα τὸ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ τὸ. $^{\mathsf{q}}$ τὸ. Nom. viii. 8. Lev. v. 11. reh.ii. refi.

fore spiritual, not acting merely through the flesh, though in its likeness, but bringing a higher spiritual life into the manhood) in the likeness of the flesh of sin (the flesh whose attribute and character was sin. The gen. is not = άμαρτωλοῦ, but implies far more -the belonging to and being possessed by. De Wette observes, 'The words ἐν ὁμοιώμ. σαρκ. άμ. appear almost to border on Docetism; but in reality contain a perfectly true and consistent sentiment. σὰρξ ἁμαρτ. is flesh [human nature, John i. 14; 1 John iv. 2; Heb. ii. 14] possessed with sin: the Apostle could not then have said εν σαρκὶ άμ. without making Christ partaker of sin: nor could be have said merely εν σαρκί, for then the bond between the Manhood of Jesus, and sin, would have been wanting: he says then, ἐν ὁμοιώμ. σαρ. ἁμ.,—meaning by that, He had a nature like sinful human nature, but had not Himself a sinful nature,-compare Heb. iv. 15: ob γάρ έχομεν άρχιερέα μη δυνάμενον συνπαθήσαι ταίς ἀσθενείαις ἡμῶν, πεπειρασ-μένον δὲ κατὰ πάντα καθ' δμοιότητα χωρίς άμαρτίαs. The likeness must be referred not only to σάρξ, but also to the epithet της άμ.:-it did not however consist in this, that He took our sins [literally] on Himself, and became Himself sinful [as Reiche], which would not amount to likeness of nature, -- but in this, that He was able to be tempted, i. e. subjected to sensuous incitements, e. g. of pain, which in other men break out into sin, but in Him did not.' See Phil. ii. 7, and note. $\sigma \acute{a} \rho \xi$ is not = $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$, but as in John i. 14, the material, of which man is in the body compounded),-and on account of sin (to be joined with πέμψας, not as Chrys. al. Vulg., with κατέκρινεν: least of all as Luther, "und verdammete die Sünde in Fleisch durch Sünde." The 'for,' or 'on account of' sin, is at present indefinite, and not to be restricted to Christ's death as a sin-offering, which is not just now the subject. 'On account of sin, then, = to put away sin, as reff. Heb.), condemned sin in the flesh (not 'the sin which was in the flesh,' which would probably [not certainly] have been $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \ \dot{\epsilon} \nu \ \tau$. σ ., and which is against the context, in which $\dot{\alpha} \mu$. is throughout an absolute principle. κατέκρινεν is allusive to κατάκριμα ver. 1. Hence it has been taken to mean that God condemned, punished, sin in the flesh by the death of Christ: so Orig., Erasm., Calv., Melancthon, Calov., Olsh., al. But thatcan hardly be the meaning here, for several reasons. 1. The Apostle is not speaking of the removal of the guilt, but of the practice of sin, and of the real fulfilment of the law in those who are in Christ. It is this which even in ver. 1 is before him, grounding as he does the οὐδὲν κατάκριμα on the δουλεύω νόμω θεοῦ—on the new and sanctifying power of the Spirit by Christ, in spite of the continued subjection of the flesh to the law of sin. 2. The context shews that the weakness of the law was, its having no sanctifying power; -it could arouse sin, but it could not condemn and east it out. This indeed is the burden of ch. vii. The absence of justifying power in the law has already been dealt with. 3. The following verse clearly makes the fulfilling the δικαίωμα of the law no matter of mere imputation, but of περιπατείν κατά πνεύμα.

We must then look for the meaning of κατακρίνειν in the effects and accompaniments of condemnation,-victory over, and casting out of sin. See, for example, John xii. 31, where κρίσις τοῦ κόσμου τούτου is explained by δ άρχων τοῦ κόσμου τούτου ἐκβληθήσεται ἔξω, and ib. xvi. 11. As early as Irenæus [Hær. iii. 20. 2, p. 214] this was seen to be the sense: 'ut condemnaret peccatum, et jam quasi condemnatum projiceret illud extra carnem :'-so Chrys., ἐνίκησεν αὐτήν, τὴν δύναμιν αὐτῆς έξέλυσε.—Œcum. 2, πως έξηρε; κατακρίνας αὐτὴν—καὶ δείξας άλοῦσαν. πῶς οὖν ἑάλω καὶ ἥττηται; ἐν τῆ σαρκὶ αὐτοῦ. προςιέναι γὰρ βουληθεῖσα κ. μὴ ἰσχύσασα ἐάλω κ. ἥττηται,—and Theophyl. [τὴν σάρκα] ήγίασε κ. ἐστεφάνωσε, κατακρίνας την άμαρτίαν ἐν τῆ σαρκὶ προςληφθείση καὶ δείξας ὅτι οὐ φύσει ἁμαρτωλὸς ἡ σάρξ. And so, in modern times, Beza, Vitringa, Bengel, the Schmidts, Rosenm., Meyer, De Wette, Tholuek, Locke, Stuart, al., and mainly Grot., Reiche, and Fritz., who however render it 'interfecit' or 'supplicio affecit,' and understand the occasion to have been the Death of Christ,-though the condemnation of sin is owing to His sinlessness, not to His sacrifice. I have dwelt at length on this question, as being very important to the right apprehension of the whole chapter, in this part of which not the justification, but the sanctification, of Christians is the leading subject. It is a strong confirmation of the above view, that God's condemnation of sin in the flesh by

7. for διοτι, οτι F. for δυναται, ουναται Ν1.

for διοτι, οτι F.
 ins τω bef θεω D.

Christ is stated in ver. 3 as the ground of [ver. 2] my being freed from the law of sin and death: because, viz. Christ's victory over sin is mine, by my union with Him and participation in His Spirit. σαρκί is not 'in His flesh,' or 'by means of His flesh,' as Orig., Syr. [Peschito], Beza, Grot., Reiche, Olsh., al., but 'in the flesh,' which Christ and ourselves have in 4.] in order that (the purcommon), pose of God's condemning sin in the flesh) the requirement of the law (= all its requirements [statutes], but here combined in one for the sake of more distinct objectivity. The variations in interpretation of ver. 3 have given rise to corresponding ones here. But here the matter has been more complicated still by the Vulg. rendering δικαίωμα, 'justificatio,' which has thrown the weight of the Romanist interpreters on the side of 'justitia imputata.' The usage of the word itself would preclude any such reference here, besides the considerations urged in the note above) might be fulfilled in us (find its full accomplishment; -not merely = 'be performed by us,'-for the Apostle has a much deeper meaning, viz. that the aim of God in giving the Law might be accomplished in us, in our sanctification, which is the ultimate end of our redemption, Eph. ii. 10; Col. i. 22. The passive is used, to shew that the work is not ours, but that of God by His grace, Olsh., Thol., De Wette) who walk (not ' walking as we do,' which would be anarthrous,but a description of all those of whom the above is true) not after the flesh but after the Spirit (who, notwithstanding that we are bound up with a σάρξ άμαρτίαs, do not walk in our daily life according to, or led by, the νόμος της αμαρτίας δ έν τοις μέλεσιν ήμων, but according to and led by the νόμος του πνεύματος της ζωής, in Christ Jesus-members of Him, and participating

in that victory over sin which He obtained, by which the power of sin in our flesh is broken). 5. For (explanation of the last) those who live according to the flesh (but so not quite = $\pi\epsilon\mu\nu\pi\sigma\nu\bar{\nu}\nu\tau\epsilon$, but nearly:—the latter is the evidence of the former, and a consequence of it: of $\kappa\alpha\pi\delta$ of $\kappa\alpha\pi\delta$ but so = 0 $\kappa\alpha\mu\nu$ of it is a surface for, and strive after, see reff.) the things belonging to the flesh (its objects of desire): but those (who live according to the Spirit (= of $\pi\nu\nu\nu$) according to the Spirit (= of $\pi\nu\nu\nu$) according to the Spirit (the higher aims and objects of desire of the spiritual life).

T

6. For (the spiritual man cannot seek the things of the flesh, because) the mind (thoughts, cares, and aims, as above) of the flesh is (ends in—the copula [=], as when it joins the two signs of an algebraic operation ;- 'amounts to, being worked out') death (not merely physical, nor mere unhappiness, as sometimes in ch. vii., but as in ver. 2, in the largest sense, extending to eternity); but the mind (thoughts, cares, and aims) of the Spirit, is (see above) life and peace (in the largest sense, as above). In this argument there is a suppressed premiss, to be supplied from ver. 2; viz. 'The Spirit is the Spirit of life.' Hence it follows that the spiritual man cannot mind the things of the flesh, because such mind is death. The addition καλ εἰρήνη seems to be made to enhance the unlikelihood of such a minding,—the peace of the Spirit being a blessed contrast to the tumult of the fleshly lusts, even in this life.

7.] Because (reason why the mind of the flesh is death) the mind of the flesh is emity (contrast to εἰρήνη above) against God (it being assumed that God is the source of τωη and that έχθρα against Him is the absence of all true peace): for it is not subject (or, 'does not submit itself,'

 9 ὑμεῖς δὲ οὐκ ἐστὲ $^\circ$ ἐν $^\circ$ σαρκὶ ἀλλὰ $^\circ$ ἐν πνεύματι, † εἴπερ $^\circ$ $^\circ$ $^\circ$ $^\circ$ $^\circ$ $^\circ$ ελείν ὑμῖν. $^\mathsf{h}$ εἰ δὲ τις $^\mathsf{i}$ πνεύμα χριστοῦ $^\mathsf{i}$ εκκι, ιι $^\mathsf{h}$ οὐκ $^\mathsf{i}$ ἔχει, οὔτος οὐκ ἔστιν αὐτοῦ. $^\mathsf{i0}$ εἰ δὲ χριστὸς εν εκκι, ιι $^\mathsf{i0}$ ὑμῖν, τὸ μὲν σῶμα νεκρὸν διὰ ἀμαρτίαν, τὸ δὲ πνεύμα ζωη διὰ δικαιοσύνην. $^\mathsf{i1}$ εἰ δὲ τὸ πνεύμα τοῦ $^\mathsf{k}$ ἐγείραντος $^\mathsf{i}$ $^\mathsf{i0}$ τὸ $^\mathsf{i0}$ $^\mathsf{i0}$

9. [αλλα, so BD¹ℵ.]

10. om ει δε χρ. εν νμ. F. aft σωμα ins εστιν F. [δια, so ABCD³FL g m.] for ζωη, ζη F vulg(not am fuld harl¹) arm.

11. ins τον bef ιησ. ABN¹ o: om CDFKLN¹ rel (Clem) Cyr-jer Chr Thdrt GE Thl. ree ins τον bef χριστον, with KLN³ rel Hipp Thdrt GE Thl: om AB(C)D¹-³FN¹ gr-lat-ff.—for χριστον, χρ. ιησ. Λ(aft νεκρ.) D'N¹(aft νεκρ.) gr-lat-ff: ιησ. χρ. C(aft νεκρ.) vulg copt æth: τον ιησουν lect-13, τον κυριον 114. 115, τ. κυρ. ημ. ιησ. χρ. Syr: txt BD³FKL rel syr sah Thdrt Dial-with-Maced GE Thl Iren-int Did Tert₂ Hil. om και ΑΝ 39. 47. elz δια του ενοικουντος αυτου πνευματος (see noles), with ACN

perhaps better) to the law of God, -for neither can it be (this was proved in ch. vii.):

8.] but (takes up the other and inferential member of the proposition, answering to a suppressed μέν pre-ceding,—τδ μέν φρόνημα κ.τ.λ. Calv., Beza, al. render it 'therefore,' and so E. V., 'so then,' erroneously) they who are in the flesh (as their element of life and thought: nearly = κατὰ σάρκα οντες above, which however denotes the rule which they follow. In 2 Cor. x. 3, the two are distinguished : έν σαρκί γάρ περιπατούντες οὐ κατὰ σάρκα στρατευόμεθα) cannot please God. Melanethon remarks (Thol.),- 'Hie locus maxime refutat Pelagianos et omnes qui imaginantur homines sine Spiritu Saneto legi obedire.' But (oppos. to οἱ κατ. σάρ. ὄντες) ye are not in the flesh (see above), but in the Spirit, if so be that ('provided that;' not 'since,' as Chrys., Olsh., al., which would be ἐπείπερ: Chrys. tries to prove etmeρ = eπeiπeρ here by adducing ref. 2 Thess., where, however, as here, the meaning is, 'if so be that,' 'if at least.' That this is the meaning here is evident by the exception which immediately follows). But (this must be rightly understood: for) if any man has not (οὐκ, and not μή, because it belongs to the verb and not to el. De W. See Winer, edn. 6, § 55. 2. d) the Spirit of Christ $(=\pi\nu. \theta\epsilon o\hat{\nu})$ above. Obs. here that πν. θεοῦ, πν. χριστοῦ, and χριστός, are all used of the Holy Spirit indwelling in the Christian), he is not His (belongs not to Him, in the bigher and blessed sense of being united to Him as a member of Him). 10. But (eontrast to the last verse) if Christ is in you

(= πν. θεοῦ οἰκεῖ ἐν ὑμ., see 2 Cor. iii. 17), the (your) body indeed is dead on account of sin (still remains dead, see 2 Cor. iv. 11—14, under the power of death physical [and eternal?] because of sin which it, per se, stands in, and serves), but the (your) spirit (τὴν ψυχὴν λέγει, ἀς πνευματικήν δῶν, γεγενημένην. Schol. ap. Matthæi [Thol.]: or rather perhaps he uses πνεῦμα, regarding our spirits as possessed and penetrated by God's Spirit) is life (this would hardly be said if only our human spirits were meant, but the description would be in the adjectival form) on account of righteousness (of justification, which is not now under treatment, but the implanted righteousness of justification, which is not now under treatment, but the implanted righteousness of the sanctification of the Spirit. This appears not only from the context, but also from the διὰ ἀμαρτίαν, which answers to it).

11.] But (δέ takes up and continues the supposition in the former verse, with which in fact this is nearly identical, but with the important additional particular [whence the contrast] τοῦ ἐγείραντ. κ.τ.λ.) if the Spirit of Him who raised Jesus from the dead, dwells in you (which Spirit is therefore powerful over death, and besides renders you partakers of Christ's Resurrection), He who raised Christ from the dead (the personal name, JESUS, reminds more of the historic fact of the resurrection of the one Person, Jesus: the official and mystical name, CHRIST, of the body of which He is the Head and we the members,-all raised with Him by the one Spirit dwelling in all) shall quicken (not merely ἐγερεῖ, because it is not merely the resurrection of

Dial-with-a-Macedonian (cited by Wetst. The Maced. says, ούτως οὐ γέγραπται Διὰ τοῦ, ἀλλὰ Διὰ τό, ἐὰν οὖν που ἐν ἡ δεύτερον ἀντίγραφον εύρεθη ἐσφαλμένον παρ' ὑμῖν ... to which the Orthodox replies, έχομεν δείξαι ὅτι ἐν ὅλοις ἀρχαίοις ἀντιγράφοις ούτω κείται έπει δε νομίζεις τούτο αντιλεγόμενον είναι, πληροφορήθητι και έξ άλλης γραφικής αποδείξεως. Maced. είπε, τοῦτο γαρ αντιλέγεται) syr copt æth Clem Hipp γραφικής αποδείξεως. Μασεία είπε, του ο γρα συτικέγεται) syr copt acto Ckell Hipp Cyr-jer Ath, Bas, Epiphy, Clur₂ Cyr Mac Aug_{sspe} Did Ambr Vig: txt BDFKL b e f g h k l n o 17 latt Syr sah Orig₃ Meth Clur₁ Thdrt Sevrn Max Œe-comm Thl Iren-int Tert Hil Ambrst Ruf Jer Aug₁ Ambr_{aliq} Pelag Fuld Sedul. 13. for του σωματος, της σαρκου DF latt Orig₃ Iren-int Tert Did Cypr Ambr Aug Jer Did Ruf Pelag Ambrst Sedul Bede: txt ABCKLN rel sah Orig₅ Chr Thdrt.

the body which is in the Apostle's view,see below) even your mortal bodies (the higher phase of the (woroteiv takes place in the spirit of man: and even of that which takes place in the body, there are two branches-one, the quickening it from being a tool of unrighteousness unto death [eternal],—the other, the quickening it out of death [physical] to be a new and glorified body. And the καί joined with θνητά, here, signifies that the working of the πνεθμα ζωοποιοθν shall not stop at the purely spiritual resurrection, nor at that of the body from dead works to serve the living God, but shall extend even to the building up the spiritual body in the future new and glorious life), on account of His Spirit which dwells in you. Here the reading is much disputed, whether it be the acc. or gen.: see var. readd. The gen. can only mean, 'by means of,' through,' His Spirit, &c.: this the acc. may include, (it not being specified for what reason it is on the Spirit's account, and leaving it open to be His presence, or His agency,) but must be rendered 'on account of,' or 'because of,' His Spirit, &c. Thus both may imply that the Holy Spirit is the agent in the quickening; but the gen. cannot bear the other meaning, that God will quicken, &c. because of His Spirit, &c. Hence in dispute with the Macedonians, who denied the divinity of the Holy Spirit, the gen. reading was important to the orthodox, as expressing agency, and that alone. But it seems pretty clear that the variation was older than the time of this heresy, and, however it may then have been appealed to, its origin cannot be assigned to any falsification by either of the then disputant As to how far the Holy Spirit is the direct Agent in the resurrection

of the body, see note on πνεθμα ζωοπ., 1 Cor. xv. 45, and on 2 Cor. v. 5. Here, His direct agency cannot be in any way surprising, for it is the whole process of bringing from death to life, extending even to the mortal body, which is here spoken of-and unquestionably, 'the Lord and Giver of Life' is the agent throughout in this quickening. 'Non de ultima resurrectione, quæ momento fiet, habetur sermo, sed de continua Spiritus operatione, quæ reliquias carnis paullatim mortificans, cœlestem vitam in nobis instaurat.' Calv.:but perhaps 'non solum de ultima resurrectione,' would have been more correct: for it certainly is one thing spoken of.

12, 13.] So then, brethren, we are (inference from the assurance in the last verse) debtors (we owe fealty: to what or whom, he leaves the reader to supply from ver. 11), not to the flesh, to live according to the flesh (Chrysostom well explains the qualification, τοῦ κατὰ σ. ζ.,καί γὰρ πολλὰ αὐτἢ ὀφείλομεν, τὸ τρέφειν αὐτήν, τὸ θάλπειν, τὸ ἀναπαύειν, τὸ θε-ραπεύειν νοσοῦσαν, τὸ περιβάλλειν, καὶ μυρία έτερα λειτουργεῖν. ἐν' οὖν μὴ νο-μίσης ὅτι ταὐτην ἀναιρεῖ τὴν διακονίαν, είπων οὐκ ἐσμ. ὀφ. τῷ σαρ., έρμηνεύει αὐτὸ λέγων τοῦ κ. σ. ζην . . . τουτέστι μη ποιώμεν αὐτην κυρίαν της ζωης της ήμετέρας. Hom. xv. p. 113): for if ye live according to the flesh, ye will (μέλλετε of the certain end of your present course) die (ζην and ἀποθν. here in their full and pregnant sense, involving body and soul here and hereafter: but not to be understood as excluding the carnal from any resurrection-only from that which is truly $\zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$,—any more than the spiritual are exempted from all death, but only from that which is truly θάνατος): but if by the Spirit ye slay (abolish, annul) the deeds

z constr., 2 Cor. iv. 13. Eph. i. 17. 2 Tim. i. 7. Excod., xx. 2 al., b. - ch. v. 13 reff., c. vr., 23. ch. ix., 4. Gal. iv. 5. Eph. i. 5 only, t. d. Gal. iv. 6. Eph. i. 5 only †. d. Gal. iv. 6. Eph. i. 5 only †.

14. rec εισιν υιοι θεου (corrn of order, as is also v. θ. ει.), with KL rel Chr Thdrt Iren-int: νι. θε. εισ. ACDN fuld æth Orig, Damase Cypr Cassiod Gaud: txt BF am(with demid al) Syr Orig, Did Hil₂ Aug Ruf Bede.

15. [αλλα, so ABCℵ.]

(hardly as Thol. 'sensu obsceno,' but as Col. iii. 9, the whole course of habits and action which has the flesh for its prompter) of the body (= $\tau_{15}^{20} \sigma a \rho \kappa \delta_{5}$, but here concrete to give more vivid reality: compare $\tau_{15}^{20} \epsilon \rho \gamma \sigma a \rho \kappa \delta_{5}$ (Gal. v. 19), ye shall live (not $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \langle \tilde{\gamma} \nu, \text{this } Life$ being no natural consequence of a course of mortifying the deeds of the body, but the gift of God through Christ: and coming therefore in the form of an assurance, 'ye shall live,' from Christ's Apostle. On $\zeta_{17}^{20} \kappa$, see above).

14.] For (ground of the assurance contained in (βησεσθε) as many as are led by (reff.;—the slaying the deeds of the body by the Spirit, implies the being under the Spirit's guidance) the Spirit of God, these (emphatic—'these and no others') are Sons of God. νῶρ θ. differs from τέκνον θ. in implying the higher and more mature and conscious member of God's family, see Gal. iv. 1—6, and note on θ. Hence our Lord is never called τέκνον but always νίὸς θεοῦ. This latter, applied to a Christian, signifies 'one born of God' in its deepest relation to him,—and hence a partaker of His nature, 1 John iii. 9; 1 Pet. i. 23 (Tholuck, similarly Olsh.).

15, 16.] Appeal to the Consciousness of the Christian to confirm the assertion (assumed for the moment that he is led by God's Spirit) that he is a son of God. For (confirmantis) ye did not receive (at your becoming Christians) the spirit of bondage (= 'the Spirit which ye received was not a spirit of bondage.' πν. is not merely a spirit, a disposition, but evidently refers to the same $\pi\nu$, which afterwards is $\pi\nu$. $\upsilon io\theta \epsilon \sigma$., and $a\dot{\upsilon}\tau\dot{\upsilon}$ $\tau\dot{\upsilon}$ $\pi\nu$. The Apostle seems however in this form of expression, both here and elsewhere, see reff., to have combined the objective Πνευμα given to us by God with our own subjective πνεθμα. In the next verse they are separated) again (it has been imagined here that the πάλιν must refer to a former bestowal of the πνευμα δουλείαs, and consequently that the reference is to the O. T. dispensation. In this two different

sets of Commentators have found difficulties; (1) those, as Chrys.,—who would hold from John vii. 39, that the Holy Spirit was absolutely not given under the O. T., and (2) those, as Cocceius, who holding Him to have been given, deny that His character was πν. δουλείας. But there seems to me to be no occasion to go back for the reference of πάλιν to the O. T. The state of the natural man is δουλεία: the Holy Spirit given to them, the agent of their birth into, and sustainer of, a new state, was not a πν. δουλείας πάλιν εis φ., a spirit merely to retain them in, or take them back into their old state, viz. a state of slavery : - to whom, or whether to different masters, is not here in question, but the state merely-the object of the gift of the Holy Spirit was not to lead them back into this) towards fear (so as to bring about or result in fear, see ch. vi. 19. $\pi \acute{a} \lambda \iota \nu$ can hardly, as De W., be taken with $\epsilon is \phi \acute{o} \beta$.), but ye received the Spirit of (the Spirit whose effect was, see above) adoption (this stricter meaning, and not that of mere sonship, is plainly that intended by the Apostle, both here and in reff. So Fritz., Meyer, Olsh., Harless on Eph. i. 5, Tholuck: on the other hand Luther, Winer, Rückert, De Wette, al., see on ver. 23. Of course, the adoption to be a son involves sonship, but not the converse), in whom (compare ἐν πνεύματι ch. ii. 29, and ver. 9. Luth. and Tholuck, 'through, by means of, whom:' but 7b $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu \alpha = \text{Him } in \text{ whom, not merely Him } by$ whom, not being merely an external agent, but an indwelling and pervading power) we cry (the carnest expression of supplicating prayer, see reff. LXX) Abba, Father (I have said, on ref. Mark, that δ πατ. does not appear to be a mere explanation of but to have been joined to it in one phrase, as a form of address: expressing probably, a corresponding 'my father,' אָבָי, in the Heb. expression. Luther, to express the familiarity of Abba, renders 'lieber Vater,' 'dear Father'). See on the whole, the strictly parallel place, ref.

16. at beg ins $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$ D: aft $\omega\nu\tau$ 0 ins $\gamma\omega\rho$ 115. 124 vulg(demid harl¹ mar¹: not am) Cyr Thdrt Thl Ruf Pel.

17. for 1st κληρον., συνκληρονομοι D^1 . μ εν Λ .]

[συνπασχ., so AB¹CDF**χ**.—συνπασχω-

16.] And this confidence is grounded on the testimony of the Spirit itself. So Chrys.: οὐ γὰρ ἀπὸ τῆς φωνῆς ίσχυρίζομαι μόνον, φησίν, άλλὰ καὶ ἀπὸ τῆς αἰτίας ἀφ' ῆς ἡ φωνὴ τίκτεται οὐ γὰρ τοῦ χαρίσματός ἐστιν ἡ φωνὴ μόνον, άλλὰ καὶ τοῦ δόντος τὴν δωρεὰν παρακλήτου αὐτὸς γὰρ ἡμᾶς οὖτος ἐδίδαξε διὰ τοῦ χαρίσματος οὕτω φθέγγεσθαι. Hom. xv. p. 579. This verse being without copula, is best understood to refer to the same as the preceding, and the assertion to concern the same fact as the last verb, κράζομεν,-as if it were αὐτοῦ τοῦ πν. συμμαρτυρούντος κ.τ.λ., grounding that fact on an act of the indwelling Spirit Himself. See again Gal. iv. 6. Spirit itself (not 'idem Spiritus,' as Erasm. and similarly Luth., Reiche, al.: the αὐτό expresses the independence, and at the same time, as coming from God, the preciousness and importance of the testimony) testifies to our spirit (see ch. ii. 15, and note: not 'una testatur:' the σύν in composition does not refer to $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \nu$. $\dot{\eta} \mu$., but to agreement in the fact, as in 'contestari,' 'confirmare') that we are children of God. What is this witness of the Spirit itself? All have agreed, and indeed this verse is decisive for it, that it is something separate from and higher than, all subjective inferences and conclusions. But on the other hand it does not consist in mere indefinite feeling, but in a certitude of the Spirit's presence and work continually asserted within us. It is manifested, as Olsh. beautifully says, in His comforting us, His stirring us up to prayer, His reproof of our sins, His drawing us to works of love, to bear testimony before the world, &c. And he adds, with equal truth, "On this direct testimony of the Holy Ghost rests, ultimately, all the regenerate man's conviction respecting Christ and His work. For belief in Scripture itself (he means, in the highest sense of the term 'belief,' = 'conviction personally applied') has its foundation in this experience of the divine nature of the (influencing) Principle which it promises, and which, while the

believer is studying it, infuses itself into him." The same Commentator remarks, that this is one of the most decisive passages against the pantheistic view of the identity of the Spirit of God and the spirit of man. However the one may by renovating power be rendered like the other, there still is a specific difference. The spirit of man may sin (2 Cor. vii. 1), the Spirit of God cannot, but can only be grieved (Eph. iv. 30), or quenched (1 Thess. v. 19), and it is by the infusion of this highest Principle of Holiness, that man becomes one spirit with the Lord Himself τέκνα θεοῦ] Here, (1 Cor. vi. 17). (not viol) because the testimony respects the very ground and central point of sonship, likeness to and desire for God: the testimony of the Spirit shewing us by our yearnings after, our confidence in, our regard to God, that we are verily begotten of Him. 17.] Consequences of our being children of God. But (announcing a result, as in a mathematical proposition : 'but, if &c.') if children, also heirs (which is the universal rule of mankind: but $\kappa\lambda\eta\rho$, here must not be carried to the extent of the idea of heir in all directions: it is merely the one side of inheriting by promise, which is here brought out: the word referring back probably to ch. iv. 13, 14, the promise to Abraham); -heirs of God (as our Father, giving the inheritance to us), and joint-heirs with Christ (whom God has made κληρονόμον πάντων. Heb. i. 2). Tholuck remarks: "It is by virtue of their substantial unity with the father, that the children come into participation of his possession. The Roman law regarded them as continuators of his personality. The dignity of the inheritance is shewn (1) by its being God's possession, (2) by its being the possession of the Firstborn of God. By the Roman law, the share of the firstborn was no greater than that of the other children,-and the N. T. sets forth this view, making the redeemed equal to Christ (ver. 29), and Christ's possessions, theirs; 1 Cor. iii. 21-23; John

μεν 18 ^τ λογίζομαι γὰρ ὅτι οὐκ $^{\rm st}$ ἄξια τὰ $^{\rm u}$ παθήματα $^{\rm v}$ τοῦ $^{\rm r-and\,constr.}$ ε.μ. $^{\rm to}$ ν $^{\rm v}$ καιροῦ $^{\rm tw}$ πρὸς τὴν $^{\rm vg}$ μέλλουσαν $^{\rm gz}$ δόξαν $^{\rm gz}$ ἀποκα- $^{\rm sinter\,constr.}$ λυφθῆναι $^{\rm b}$ εἰς ἡμᾶς. $^{\rm 19}$ ἡ γὰρ $^{\rm c}$ ἀποκαραδοκία τῆς $^{\rm d}$ κτίσεως $^{\rm constr.}$ $^{\rm to}$ ι chron. $^{\rm constr.}$ $^{\rm to}$ ε.μ. $^{\rm to}$

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Prov. iii. 15. viii. 11.} & \text{Sir. xxvi. 15. (see note)} \\ \text{w} = \text{Jer. xxiii. 25.} & \text{w} = \text{Jer. xxiii. 28.} \\ \text{word, Gal. iii. 23.} & \text{Icor. xii. 22.} & \text{y 1 Pet. v.} . & \text{ze. ch. iii. 7 refi.} \\ \text{xvii. 30. ch. i. 18.} & \text{b} = \text{here only.} \\ \text{Jos. B. J. iii. 7. 26.} & \text{Polyb. xvi. 2. 8} \end{array} \right) \\ \text{de } \text{Mark xvi. i. 5. (refi. xa. 9J. Julith voi. 14.} \\ \text{de } \text{Mark xvi. 5. (refi. xa. 9J. Julith voi. 14.} \end{array}$

18. for γαρ, δε A 9 æth: ergo Ambrst.

xvii. 22. In the joint-heirship we must not bring out this point, that Christ is the rightful Heir, who shares His inheritance with the other children of God: it is as adoptive children that they get the inheritance, and Christ is so far only the means of it, as He gives them power to become sons of God, John i. 12." If at least (see above on ver. 9) we are suffering with Him, that we may also be glorified with Him: i.e. 'if (provided that) we are found in that course of participation in Christ's sufferings, whose aim and end, as that of His sufferings, is to be glorified as He was, and with Him.' But the $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \rho$ does not regard the *subjective* aim, q. d. 'If at least our aim in suffering is, to be glorified,'but the fact of our being partakers of that course of sufferings with Him, whose aim is, wherever it is found, to be glorified with Thol. takes the Iva as dependent on συγκληρ. (= ωςτε), and είπερ συνπ. as quasi-parenthetical; but the above seems to The connexion me more satisfactory. of suffering with Christ, and being glori-fied with Him is elsewhere insisted on, see 2 Tim. ii. 11; 1 Pet. iv. 13; v. 1.

This last clause serves as a transition to vv. 18-30, in which the Apostle treats of the complete and glorious triumph of God's elect, through sufferings and by hope, and the blessed renovation of all things in and by their glorification.

things in and by their glorification.

18.] For (= this suffering with Him in order to being glorified with Him is no easting away of toil and self-denial, seeing that) I reckon (implying, 'I myself am one who have embraced this course, being convinced') that the sufferings of this present period (of trial and sorrow, contrasted with the period of triumph following the παρουσία of Christ) are insignificant (οὐκ ἄξία = ἀνάξία,—no gen. or verb understood. ἄξία sand ἀνάξιο are found in the sense of 'worthy (or unworthy) to be compared with' in the classics: so Hom. 11. θ. 234, νῦν δ' οὐθ' ἐνδε ἄξία ἐρμὲν 'Εκτορος, and Plato, Protag. [Wetst.] ἀνάξια ἐπατ τ' ἀνάβα τῶν κακῶν, and again τίς ἄλλη ἀναξία ἡθονὴ πρὸς λύπην ἐστίν;) in comparison with the glory which shall be revealed (μέλλ. put first,

as in reff., but apparently not, as De W., for the sake of emphasis. Thol. eites Demosth., p. 486. 10, êν τοῖs οδσι νόμοις κυρίοις, in which there is no emphasis, as neither in ref. 1 Cor. • ἀποκαλ., at the ἀποκάλυψις of Christ. On the sentiment, see 2 Cor. iv. 17) with regard to us (not merely ἡμῶν, as spectators, but els ἡμῶς, as the subjects of the revelation; the E. V. is not far wrong, 'in ως' taking the els in a pregnant sense as ἦν κηρύσσων εἰς τὸς συν., Luke iv. 44). Bernard amplifies this,—de Convers. ad Cleric. e. xxi. 37 (30), vol. i. p. 494,—'non sunt condigme passiones hujus temporis ad præteritam culpam quæ remittitur, non ad præsentem consolationis gratiam quæ immittitur, non ad futuram gloriam quæ promittitur nobis.'

19 ff. The greatness of this glory is shewn by the fact that ALL CREATION, now under the bondage of corruption, shall be set free from it by the glorification of the sons of God. For (proof of this transcendent greatness of the glory, not, as De W., of the certainty of its manifestation, though this secondary thought is perhaps in the background) the patient expectation (hardly = $\dot{\eta}$ σφόδρα προsδοκία, as Chrys., whom Luther and E. V. follow; but better προςδοκία είς το τέλος,-the από denoting, as also in ἀπεκδέχεται, that the expectation continues till the time is exhausted, and the event arrives) of the Creation (= all this world except man, both animate and inanimate: see an account of the exegesis below) waits for (see above) the revelation of the sons of God ('revelatur gloria: et tum revelantur etiam filii Dei.' Beng. υίων, not τέκνων, because their sonship will be complete, and possessed of all its privileges and glories).

ή κτίσις has been very variously understood. There is a full history of the exegesis in Tholuck. De Wette sums it up thus: "The Creation,—i. e. things created,—has by many been erroneously taken in an arbitrarily limited sense; e. g. as applying only, I. to inanimate creation, as Chrys., Theophyl., Calv., Beza, Aret., 'mundi machina,' Luther, the Schmidts, al., Fritz., 'mundi machina, cœli sidera, aer, terra -'—against this are the words

19. om του F.

20. for ουχ εκουσα, ου θελουσα Ε. εφ Β1D1ΕΝ.

ούχ έκουσα and συνστενάζει κ. συνωδίνει, implying life in the κτίσις,-for to set these down to mere personification is surely arbitrary :- and one can imagine no reason why bestial creation should be excluded. II. to living creation: (1) to mankind; Aug., Turret., all., take it of men not yet believers: (2) Locke, Lightf., Hammond, Semler, of the yet unconverted Gentiles: (3) Cramer, Gersdorf, al., of the yet unconverted Jews: (4) Le Clerc, al., of the converted Gentiles: (5) al., of the converted Jews: (6) al., of all Christians:"-"but," as he proceeds, "against (II.) lies this objection, that if the Apostle had wished to speak of the enslaving and freeing of mankind, he bardly would have omitted reference to sin as the ground of the one and faith of the other, and the judgment on unbelievers. But on the other hand we must not extend the idea of κτίσις too wide, as Theodoret, who includes the angels, Köllner, who understands the whole Creation, animate and inanimate, rational and irrational, and Olsh., who includes the unconverted Gentiles: nor make it too indefinite, as Koppe and Rosenm.: 'tota rerum universitas.' right explanation is, all animate and inanimate nature as distinguished from mankind: so Irenœus, Grot., Calov., Wolf, Rückert, Reiche, al., Meyer, Neander, Schneckenburger, Thol." The idea of the renovation and glorification of all nature at the revelation of the glory of our returned Saviour, will need no apology nor seem strange to the readers of this commentary, nor to the students of the following, and many other passages of the prophetic Word: Isa. xi. 6 ff.; lxv. 17 ff.; Rev. xxi. : 2 Pet. iii. 13 ; Acts iii. 21.

20.] Explanation of the beason with all creation waits, δe. For the Creation was made subject to vanity (= ½π, Ps. xxxix. 6,—where (xxxviii. 5) the LXX have τὰ σύμπαντα ματαιότης. So also Eccles. i. 2 and passim. It signifies the instability, liability to change and decay, of all created things) not willingly ('cum a corruptione natura res omnes abhorreant.' Bucer in Thol.) but on account of (διά is so fur from losing its proper meaning by the reference of τὸν ὑποτάξαντα to God, as Jowett affirms, that it gains its strictest and most proper means

ing by that reference: see ver. 11. He is the occasion, and His glory the end, of creation's corruptibility) Him who subjected it (i. e. God. Chrys., al., interpret it of Adam, who was the occasion of its being subjected; and at first sight the acc. with διά seems to favour this. But I very much doubt whether this view can be borne out. For (1) does not ὑποτάξαντα imply a conscious act of intentional subjugation, and not merely an unconscious occasioning of the subjugation? Thus we have it said of God, ref. 1 Cor., πάντα γαρ υπέταξεν ύπο τους πόδας αὐτοῦ δταν δὲ κ.τ.λ., δηλον ότι έκτος του ύποτάξαντος αὐτῷ τὰ πάντα. And (2) the acc. aft. διά is in reality no reason against this. He is speaking of the originating cause of this subjection, not of the efficient means of it. He says that creation was not subjected $\dot{\epsilon}$ κοῦσα, i.e. διὰ τὸ θέλημα $\dot{\epsilon}$ αυτῆs, but διὰ τὸν ὑποτάξαντα. At the same time such a way of putting it, removing as it were the supreme will of God to a wider distance from corruption and vanity, and making it not so much the worker as the occasion of it, as well as this indefinite mention of Him, is quite intelligible on the ground of that reverential awe which so entirely characterizes the mind and writings of the Apostle. If the occasion pointed at by ὑποτάξαι be required, I should hardly fix it at the Fall of man, but at his creation, in the eternal counsels, —when he was made capable of falling, liable to change. The explanation of δ ὑποτάξας as meaning 'the devil' [Locke, al.], hardly needs refutation. See Matt. x. 28, and note),-in ('on condition of,' 'in a state of,'-see ch. iv. 18, and note on $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$ $\dot{\phi}$, ch. v. 12) hope ($\dot{\epsilon}\pi'$ $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\pi\ell\delta\iota$ must not be joined with ὑποτάξαντα, because then the $\partial \pi$ is becomes the hope of the $\partial \pi$ - $\tau \acute{a} \xi as$,—but with $\mathring{v} \pi \epsilon \tau \acute{a} \gamma \eta$, being the hope of the ὑποταγεῖσα), because (not 'that,' after enais, -for then it is not likely that αὐτὴ ἡ κτίσις would be so emphatically repeated: the clause now announces a new fact, and thus the emphasis is accounted for. To suppose the whole clause subjective to the έλπίς, would be to attribute to the yearnings of creation, intelligence and rationality,-consciousness of itself and of God) the creation itself also (not only we, the sons of God, but even creation

διὰ τὸν ¹ ὑποτάζαντα, Ἦς ἀλπίδι, 2¹ ὅτι καὶ αὐτὴ ἡ ¹ act, 1 cor, χεν 27 κ lieb, αἰς τὴν ᾳ ἐλευθερωθήσεται ἀπὸ τῆς ο δουλείας τῆς ρ φθορᾶς τὶ ιἰε, Ερh. 122 ριὶ ιἰε, Ερh. 122 ριὶ καὶ αὐτὴ ᾳ ἐλευθερωθήσεται ἀπὸ τῆς ο δουλείας τῆς ρ φθορᾶς τὶ ιἰε, Ερh. 122 ριὶ ιἰε, Ερh. 122 ριὶ ιὰ τὴν ᾳ ἐλευθερίαν τῆς ο δόζης τῶν ατέκνων τοῦ ο θεοῦι καὶ ιὰ τὶ τὶ ελευθέριαν τῆς ο δόζης τῶν ατέκνων τοῦ ο θεοῦι καὶ ιὰ τὶ τὶ ελευθέριαν τῆς ο δόζης τῶν ατέκνων τοῦ ο θεοῦι καὶ ιὰ τὶ ελευθέριαν τὰ αχοι τοῦ νενῦν 23 αὐτοὶ τὴν γ ἀπαρχὴν τοῦ πνεύματος ἔχοντες ἡμεῖς καὶ τὰ τὸν 15 τεῖ. αὐτοὶ τὴν γ ἀπαρχὴν τοῦ πνεύματος ἔχοντες ἡμεῖς καὶ τὰ (1ὶ, (12, ἱα) μὸ οις τὰ (1ὶ, (12, ἱα) μὸ οις τὰν 15 τεῖ. αὐτοὶ τὴν απαρχὴν τοῦ πνεύματος ἔχοντες ἡμεῖς καὶ τὰ (1ὶ, (12, ἱα) μὸ οις τὰ (1ὶ, (12, ἱα) μὸ οις τὰν 15 τεῖ. Αὐτοὶ ἐν εκνοιός απεκδεχόμενοι, μὸ οις τὰν 15 τεῖ. Τὰν 15 τεῖ.

q 2 Cor. iii. 17. James i. 25. ii. 12 al. Lev. xix. 20. pror. 18. sver. ld refi. onlyt. where onlyt. prof. ii. 19. prof. ii. 19.

21. διοτι D1FX. om η F.

22. for $\gamma \alpha \rho$, $\delta \epsilon A$: om wth. [συνστεναζει, so B'DF 17.] for συνωδ.,

ωδυνει Ε.

itself) shall be delivered from the bondage of corruption (its subjection to the law of decay, see Heb. ii. 15) into (pregnant : shall be delivered from, &c., and admitted into) the freedom of the glory (beware of the fatal hendiadys: 'the freedom of the glory' is not in any sense = 'the glorious freedom;' in the latter, 'glorious' is merely an epithet whereby the freedom is characterized, as in 'His rest shall be glorious:' in the former the freedom is described as consisting in, belonging to, being one component part of, the glorified state of the children of God: and thus the thought is earried up to the state to which the freedom belongs) of the children (τέκνων and not υίων here, perhaps as embracing God's universal family of creation, admitted, each in their share, to a place in 22.] For incorruptibility and glory). we know (said of an acknowledged and patent fact, see ch. ii. 2; iii. 19; vii. 14) that the whole creation groans together and travails together (not, groans and travails with us or with mankind, which would render the οὐ μόνον δὲ ἀλλά of the next verse superfluous. On the figure in συνωδίνει see John xvi. 21, note) up to this time (= from the beginning till now: no reference to time future, because οίδαμεν γάρ expresses the results of experi-23.] The text here is in inextrieable confusion (see var. read.), but the sense very little affected. But (moreover) not only (the creation), but even ourselves, possessing (not 'who possess,' οἱ ἔχοντες, but 'though we possess') the firstfruit of the Spirit (i.e. the indwelling and influences of the Holy Spirit here, as an earnest of the full harvest of His complete possession of

us, πνεθμα and σάρξ and ψυχή, hereafter. That this is the meaning, seems evident from the analogy of St. Paul's imagery regarding the Holy Spirit: he treats of Him as an earnest and pledge given to us, Eph. i. 14; 2 Cor. i. 22; v. 5, and of His full work in us as the efficient means of our glorification hereafter, ver. 11; 2 Cor. iii. 18. Various other renderings are,-(1) 'the first outpouring of the Spirit,' in point of time, -Wetst., Reiche, Kölln., Mey., al., -which would be irrelevant: (2) 'the highest gifts of the Spirit,' as the Schmidts, al. gen. πν. may be partitive, or subjective :the firstfruits of the Spirit,-which Spirit is the harvest,-or the firstfruits of the Spirit,-which the Spirit gives :- or even in apposition, the firstfruits of the Spirit, i. e. which consist in (the gift of) the Spirit. I prefer the first, from analogythe Spirit being generally spoken of as given, not as giving,—and God as the Giver), even we ourselves (repeated for emphasis, and huels inserted to involve himself and his fellow-workers in the general description of the last clause. Some Wolf, Kölln. have imagined the Apostles only to be spoken of: some, that the Apostles are meant in one place, and all Christians in the other) groan within ourselves, awaiting the fulness of our adoption (ἀπεκδ., as above, ver. 19, but even more strongly here, 'wait out,' 'wait for the end of.' Our adoption is come already, ver. 15, so that we do not wait for it, but for the full manifestation of it, in our bodies being rescued from the bondage of corruption and sin. This which in Gr. is expressed by the verb, in Eng. must be joined to the substantive. The omission of the art. before vioθ. is pro-

| XXXVIII. 21 anly. (Δυτιλ., Acts xx, 35.) | Malt. viii. 17. 1 Cor. ii. 3 refi. mart., Mark is 28. Luke i. 62. Acts xxii. 30. 1 Thess. iv. 1, principally L.P. Winer, edn. 6, § 18. 3. o 2 Cor. vii 12 (bis). 1 Pet i. v. 13 only. Letti. ix. 5 val.

ins η bef βλεπομενη F 55.
 om τι B¹(added by original scribe: see table) Ν
 rec att τι ins και, with ΛCKLN: om BDF 47-marg(coting τὸ παλαιὸν οῦτως
 ξχει) latt.
 for ελπίζει, υπομενει ΛΝ¹ 47-marg Cyr: exspectal syrr Ambri.

έχει) latt. for ελπίζει, υπομενει ΑΝ' 47-marg Cyr: exspectat syrr Ambr₁.
26. rec ταις ασθενειαις (see note), with KL 17 rel vss Chr Thicht Cec Thl: της δεησεως F: txt ABCDN m vulg Syr Cyr-jer Damasc lat-ff. προσευζομεθα DKL rel Orig Naz Cyr-jer Mac Chr₁ Damasc de: προσευχομεθα F: txt ABCN Chr₂ Thicht₂ Thl.

bably on account of its preceding its verb, -viοθ. απεκδ. = απεκδ. την viοθ., for emphasis' sake), the redemption (in apposition with viou., or rather with the fulness of sense implied in νίοθ. ἀπεκδ., q. d. 'expecting that full and perfect adoption which shall consist in . . . ') of our body (not, 'rescue from our body,' as Erasm., Le Clerc, Reiche, Fritz., al., -which though allowable in grammar,—see Heb. ix. 15,is inconsistent with the doctrine of the change of the vile and mortal into the glorious and immortal body,—Phil. iii. 21; 2 Cor. v. 2-4,—but the [entire] redemption,-rescue,-of the body from corruption 24, 25. For (confirmation of the last assertion, proving hope to be our present state of salvation) - in hope were we (not 'are we,' nor 'have we been') saved: i. e. our first apprehension of, and appropriation to ourselves of, salvation which is by faith in Christ, was effected in the condition of hope: which hope (Thol.) is in fact faith in its prospective attitude,—that faith which is ὑπόστασις ἐλπιζομένων, Heb. xi. 1. The dat. ἐλπίδι is not a dat. of reference, - 'according to hope,' -but of the form or condition. Now hope that is seen (the object or fulfilment of which is present and palpable) is not hope: for that which any one sees, why does he [at all] hope for? If kal is to stand in the text, it conveys, after an interrogative word, a sense of the utter superfluity of the thing questioned about, as being irrelevant, and out of the question. 'Qui interrogat τί χρη προςδοκάν; expectat aliquid, sed dubius est quid evenint. Qui interrogat τί χρη και προσδοκậν; desperat de salute, nec eam usquam exspectari posse existimat.' Bremi in Demosth. Phil. i. 46, eited in Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 137. 25. But if that which we do not see, we hope for, with

patience we wait for it. Patience (endurance) is the state, in which,—through which as a medium,—our waiting takes place: hence δι' ὑπομονῆς, as ἔγραψα, διὰ πολλῶν δακρύων, 2 Cor. ii. 4.

ύμ. διὰ πολλῶν δακρύων, 2 Cor. ii. 4. 26.] Likewise (another help to our endurance, co-ordinate with the last -our patience is one help to it, but not the only one) the Spirit also (the Holy Spirit of God) helps our weakness (not, helps us to bear our weakness, as if the weakness were the burden, which the Spirit lifts for and with us, -but, helps our weakness,us who are weak, to bear the burden of ver. 23. And this weakness is not only inability to pray aright, which is only an example of it, but general weakness. This has been seen, and the reading consequently altered to the plural, which was at first perhaps a marginal gloss). For (example of the help above mentioned ;-the 76 binding together the clause,-see reff.,-and here implying 'exempli gratia,'-' for this viz. what to &c.') what we should pray as we ought (two things ;-what we should pray, - the matter of our prayer; - and how we should pray it, - the form and manner of our prayer) we know not: but the Spirit itself (Thol. remarks, - αὐτό brings into more prominence the idea of the $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha$, so as to express of what dignity our Intercessor is, - an Intercessor who knows best what our wants are) intercedes ($\delta \pi \epsilon \rho$ here does not intensify the verb, as in ὑπερνικάν and the like, and as Œe., Erasm., Luth., Bengel, render it,-but implies the advocacy,-'convenire aliquem super negotio alterius,' as Grot.,—to express which the ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν of the rec. has been inserted) with groanings which cannot be expressed:—i. e. the Holy Spirit of God dwelling in us, knowing our wants better than we, Himself pleads in our prayers, raising us to higher and holier desires than

1 Pet. i. 11. Rev. ii. 23 only. Prov. xx. 27. t vv. 6, 7 reff. v Acts xxv. 24 reff. w ch. i. 7 al. fr. Acts ix. 13 reff. x 1 Cor. viii. 3 reff. y Matk xvi. 20. 1 Cor. xvi. 16, 2 Cor. vii. 1. James ii. 22 only †. Esdr. vii. 2. 1 Macc. xii. 1 only. (-γος, ch. xvi. 3.)

ree aft υπερεντυγχανει ins υπερ ημων, with CKLN³ 17 rel vulg D³-lat Syr Cyr.jer Chr Thdrt Angsape Did Epiph Jer: om ABDFN¹ arm Orig₃(always adds τω θεω) Epiph Damase Aug..

27. [ερευνων (not εραυ.) Β, so Vere expr: εραυ. Ν.] υπερευτυγχανει L 73:

ενντυγχανι Χ.

we can express in words, which can only find utterance in sighings and aspirations: see next verse. So De W., Thol., Olsh. Chrys. interprets it of the χάρισμα of prayer, -and adds, δ γάρ τοιαύτης καταξιωθείς χάριτος, έστως μετά πολλης της κατανύξεως, μετά πολλών τών στεναγμών τών κατά διάνοιαν τῷ θεῷ προςπίπτων, τὰ συμφέροντα πασιν ήτει: - similarly Œc. and Theophyl. Calv. understands, that the Spirit suggests to us the proper words of acceptable prayer, which would otherwise have been unutterable by us: and similarly Beza, άλαλήτοις may bear three meanings-1, unspoken: 2, that does not speak,-mute (see LXX, Job xxxviii. 14; Sir. xviii. 33 compl.): 3, that cannot be spoken. The analogy of verbals in - ros in the N.T. favours the latter meaning : compare ἀνεκδιήγητος, 2 Cor. ix. 15, - ἄρρητος, 2 Cor. xii. 4,—ἀνεκλάλητος, 1 Pet. i. 8 (Thol.). Macedonius gathered from this verse that the Holy Spirit is a creature, and inferior to God, because He prays to God for us. But as Aug. Tract. vi. in Joan. 2, vol. iii. p. 1425, remarks, 'non Spiritus Sanctus in semetipso apud semetipsum in illa Trinitate gemit, sed in nobis gemit, quia gemere nos facit.' No intercession in heaven is here spoken of, but a pleading in us by the indwelling Spirit, of a nature above our comprehension and utterance. 27. But (opposed to άλαλήτοις- though unutterable by us') He who searcheth the hearts (God) knoweth what is the mind (intent, or bent, as hidden in those sighs) of the Spirit. A difficulty presents itself in the rendering of the next clause. If on be causal, because He (the Spirit) pleads for the saints according to the will of God, it would seem that older must bear the meaning 'approves,' otherwise the connexion will not be apparent; and so Calv. and Rückert have rendered it. Hence Grot., Reiche, Meyer, Fritz. render 871, 'that,' and construe,— 'knows what is the mind of the Spirit,'that He pleads with God (so Reiche and Fritz., and Winer, edn. 6, § 49. d, for κατά

θ.) for the saints: justifying the repetition of θεόν, implied before, by 1 John iv. 8, δ μη ἀγαπῶν οὐκ ἔγνω τὸν θεόν, ὅτι ὁ θεὸς άγάπη ἐστίν. But I must confess that the other rendering seems to me better to suit the context: and I do not see that the ordinary meaning of older need be changed. The assurance which we have that God the Heart-Searcher interprets the inarticulate sighings of the Spirit in us, is, -not strictly speaking, His Omniscience,-but the fact that the very Spirit who thus pleads, does it κατά θεόν,—in pursuance of the divine purposes and in conformity with God's good pleasure. So that, as its place before the verb would suggest, κατά θεόν is emphatic, and furnishes the reason of the οίδεν. A minor objection against the explicative 871 is, that we have οἴδαμεν ὅτι immediately following. All these pleadings of the Spirit are heard and answered, even when inarticulately uttered: we may extend the same comforting assurance to the imperfect and mistaken verbal utterances of our prayers, which are not themselves answered to our hurt, but the answer is given to the voice of the Spirit which speaks through them, which we would express, but cannot. Compare 2 Cor. xii. 7-10, for an instance in the Apostle's own 28.] Having given an example, in prayer, how the Spirit helps our weakness, and out of our ignorance and discouragement brings from God an answer of peace, he now extends this to all things all circumstances by which the Christian finds himself surrounded. These may seem calculated to dash down hope, and surpass patience; but we know better concerning them. But (the opposition seems most naturally to apply to ver. 22, the groaning and travailing of all creation) we know (as a point of the assurance of faith) that to those who love God (a stronger designation than any yet used for believers) all things (every event of life, but especially, as the context requires, those which are adverse. To include, with Aug. de Corrept. et Grat., c. ix. [24], vol. x. p. 930, the sins

z = ch. xii. 4 * z ci c z ἀγαθόν, τοῖς κατὰ α πρόθεσιν b κλητοῖς οὖσιν. ABCDF refi. 3 refi. 3 refi. 3 refi. 3 refi. 5 ch. i. 7 al. ch. i. 7 al. ch. xi. 2. Acts xxvi. 5 1 Pet. i. 20. 2 Pet. iii. 17 only t. Wisd. vi. 13, viii. 8, xviii. 6. (-γνωσις, Acts ii. 21.) ο 17 ch. xi. 2. Acts xxvi. 5 1 Pet. i. 20. 2 Pet. iii. 17 only t. Wisd. vi. 13, viii. 8, xviii. 6. (-γνωσις, Acts ii. 21.) ο 17 cl. Clow. (1.7 refi. 22 only t. (-φιζεσθαι, Phil. iii. 10.) (CDEWI, ref. xvii) Clew. Orig.

28. *aft συνεργει ins \acute{o} θε \grave{o} ς ABN (Orig₂): om CDFKL rel vulg Clem Orig₄ (Cyr-jer) Chr Thdrt Œc Thl Lucif Aug Ambr. ins 7o bef $a\gamma a\theta o\nu$ L a f k 48. 57. 72. 73. 74. 109. 177 lect-8 lect-13 Clem Orig₂ Cyr-jer Chr-ms Thl.

of believers in this πάντα, as making them 'humiliores et doctiores,' is manifestly to introduce an element which did not enter into the Apostle's consideration; for he is here already viewing the believer as justified by faith, dwelt in by the Spirit, dead to sin) work together (συνεργεί, absolute, or αλλήλοιs implied: not, 'work together for good with those who love God,'- 'loving God' being a 'working for good:' which, though upheld by Thol., seems to me harsh, and inconsistent with the emphatic position of τοιs αγ. τ. θ. Surely also in that ease πάντα would have been τὰ πάντα, all things, as one party working, set over against of αγαπώντες τ. θ., the other party working: whereas πάντα συνεργεί gives rather the sense of all things co-operating one with another. If the reading of ABN be adopted, we should understand either (1) that God eauseth all things to work, &c.: taking συνέργει as from συνέργω, concludo: or (2) that, as Syr. renders it, " in every thing He helpeth them for good." But in this last ease, we should require Tà πάντα) for (towards, to bring about) good (their eternal welfare; - the fulfilment of the purpose of the ἀγάπη τ. θεοῦ ἡ ἐν χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ τ. κυρ. ἡμῶν, ver. 39),- to those who are called (not only invited, but effectually called-see below) according to (His) purpose. In this further description the Apostle designates the believers as not merely loving God, but being beloved by God. The divine side of their security from harm is brought out, as combining with and ensuring the other. They are sure that all things work for their good, not only because they love Him who worketh all things, but also because He who worketh all things hath loved and chosen them, and carried them through the successive steps of their spiritnal life. The calling here and elsewhere spoken of by the Apostle (compare especially ch.ix. 11) is the working, in men, of "the everlasting purpose of God whereby before the foundations of the world were laid, He hath decreed by His counsel secret to us, to deliver from curse and damnation those whom He hath chosen in Christ out of mankind, and to bring them by Christ to everlasting salvation." Art. X. of the Church of England, To specify the various ways in which this calling has been understood, would far ex-

ceed the limits of a general commentary. It may suffice to say, that on the one hand, Scripture bears constant testimony to the fact that all believers are chosen and called by God, -their whole spiritual life in its origin, progress, and completion, being from Him: -while on the other hand its testimony is no less precise that He willeth all to be saved, and that none shall perish except by wilful rejection of the truth. So that, on the one side, God's sovereignty, -on the other, MAN'S FREE WILL, -is plainly declared to us. To receive, believe, and act on both these, is our duty, and our wisdom. They belong, as truths, no less to natural than to revealed religion: and every one who believes in a God must acknowledge both. But all attempts to bridge over the gulf between the two are futile, in the present imperfect condition of man. The very reasonings used for this purpose are elothed in language framed on the analogies of this lower world, and wholly inadequate to describe God regarded as He is in Himself. Hence arises confusion, misapprehension of God, and unbelief. I have therefore simply, in this commentary, endeavoured to enter into the full meaning of the sacred text, whenever one or other of these great truths is brought forward; not explaining either of them away on account of possible difficulties arising from the recognition of the other, but recognizing as fully the elective and predestinating decree of God where it is treated of, as I have done, in other places, the free will of man. If there be an inconsistency in this course, it is at least one in which the nature of things, the conditions of human thought, and Scripture itself, participate, and from which no Commentator that I have seen, however anxious to avoid it by extreme views one way or the other, has been able to escape. See, for a full treatment of the

subject, Tholnek's Comm. in loc. 29, 30.] The Apostle now goes backward from kAprois, to explain how this CALLING-came about. It sprung from God's fore-knowledge, co-ordinate with His fore-determination of certain persons (to be) conformed to the image of His Son, that Christ might be exalted as the Hond of the great Family of God. These persons, thus foreknown and predetermined, He, the course of His Providence actually, but

29-32. $\frac{1}{1000} = \frac{1}{1000} = \frac{1}{$ 1 έδικαίωσεν οῦς δὲ 1 έδικαίωσεν, τούτους καὶ 1 έδόξασεν 1 εδίναίωσεν, 1 τούτους καὶ 1 έδόξασεν 1 εδίνει 1 του 1 έδιναίωσεν 1 εδίνει 1 εδίνει

τίς $^{\circ}$ καθ $^{\circ}$ ημων ; $\frac{92}{0}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ γε του ιδίου υίου ουκ $^{\circ}$ εφείσστο , $^{\circ}$ Rev. 1.5 iv. 4.al. [Matt. xx. 28. Heb. ii 0, is. 28. [kp. h. iv. 1, 2 Thess. ii. 4.al. [kp. h. ii. 4. h. ii. 3 refl. [m. h. ii. 6] (of Christ), John Mats. ii. 1. (of Christ), John Mats. ii. (of Christ), John Mats. iii. (of Christ), J

30. for προωρισεν, προεγνω Α. και ους εδικ. A æth. 32. ος ουδε υιου ιδιου εφεισ. F; ος ουδε του ιδιου υιου εφεισ. D, insg γε aft os D3.

in His eternal decree implicitly, called, bringing them through justification to glory;—and all this is spoken of as past, because to Him who sees the end from the beginning,-past, present, and future, ARE NOT, but ALL IS ACCOMPLISHED WHEN DETERMINED. Because whom He foreknew (but in what sense? This has been much disputed: the Pelagian view,- 'eos quos præsciverat credituros,' is taken by Orig., Chrys., Ec., Theophyl., Augustine (prop. 55, in Ep. ad Rom. vol. iii. p. 2076), Ambr., Erasm. in paraphrase, Calov., Reiche, Meyer, Neander, and others; the sense of fore-loved, by Erasm. in commentary, Grotius, Estius, the Schmidts, &c.: that of fore-decreed, by Thol. edn. 1, and Stuart,—which however Thol. in subsequent editions suspects to be ungrammatical without some infinitive following, and prefers a sense combining foreknow-ledge and recognition-as-His:—that of elected, adopted as His sons, by Calvin,-' Dei autem præcognitio, cujus hic Paulus meminit, non nuda est præscientia, ut stulte fingunt quidam imperiti, sed adoptio qua filios suos ab improbis semper discrevit,'-Rückert, De Wette, al. That this latter is implied, is certain: but I prefer taking the word in the ordinary sense of foreknew, especially as it is guarded from being a 'nuda præscientia' by what follows: see below and Gal. iv. 9), He also pre-ordained (His foreknowledge was not a mere being previously aware how a series of events would happen: but was co-ordinate with, and inseparable from, His having pre-ordained all things) conformed (i. e. to be conformed) to the image of His Son (the dat. and gen. are both found after words like σύμμορφος; compare σύμφυτος, ch. vi. 5. The image of Christ here spoken of is not His moral purity, nor His sufferings, but as in 1 Cor. xv. 49, that entire form, of glorification in body and sanctification in spirit, of which Christ is the perfect pattern, and all His people shall be partakers. To accomplish this transformation in us is the end, as regards us, of our

election by God; not merely to rescue us from wrath. Compare 1 John iii. 2, 3; Phil. iii. 21: and on the comprehensive meaning of μορφή, Phil. ii. 6, 7,—where it expresses both 'the form of God' in which Christ was, and 'the form of a servant' in which He became incarnate), that He might (or may, as Calv., but the reference in the agrists is to the past decree of God) be firstborn among many brethren (i. e. that He might be shewn, acknowledged to be, and glorified as, THE SON OF God, pre-eminent among those who are by adoption through Him the sons of God. This is the further end of our election, as regards Christ: His glorification in us, as our elder Brother and Head): 30.] but whom He fore-ordained, those He also called (in making the decree, He left it not barren, but provided for those circumstances, all at His disposal, by which such decree should be made effectual in them.

ἐκάλεσεν, supply, εἰς τὴν ἑαυτοῦ βασιλείαν καὶ δόξαν, 1 Thess. ii. 12; other expressions are found in 1 Cor. i. 9; 2 Thess. ii. 14; 1 Tim. vi. 12; 1 Pet. v. 10): and whom He called, these He also justified (the Apostle, remember, is speaking entirely of God's acts on behalf of the believer: he says nothing now of that faith, through which this justification is, on his part, obtained): but whom He justified, them He also glorified (He did not merely, in His premundane decree, acquit them of sin, but also clothe them with glory: the aorist ἐδόξασεν being used, as the other aorists, to imply the completion in the divine counsel of all these, which are to us, in the state of time, so many successive steps,-simultaneously and irrevocably. So

we have the perfect in John xvii, 10, 22).

31-39.] The Christian has no reason to fear, but all reason to hope; for nothing can separate him from God's love in Christ. 31.] What then shall we say to these things (what answer can the hesitating or discouraged find to this behalf of the believer) ? If God is for us array of the merciful acts of God's love on

 $\lceil αλλα$, so BD¹FX. \rceil om τα D¹F.

34. aft χρίστος ins ιησους ACFLN 17 vulg copt ath arm Cyr Did Damasc Ruf Aug₁ Maximin: om BDK rel syrr Chr Thdrt Cyr-jer Chc Thl. ree ins 1st και, with DFKL rel latt(but not am') syr Iren-int Cyr-jer Chr Thdrt Thl Chc Hil Ambr Aug Maximin: om ABCN g k 1 17 Syr copt Did Damasc Ruf Victorin. (και is left out in B cd Mai, as in the collations of Btly and Bch; but Mai has got into some confusion with regard to Bch's reading.) at εγερθ. ad εκ νεκρων ΔCN¹om N³2) 17 copt ath Chr Damasc Thl. om και (bcf εστιν) ΛCN¹ b c o copt vulg D¹-lat Iren-int Cyr-jer Chr Thdrt Did Cyr Thl Ruf: ins BDFKLN³ am harl² syrr Œc Thl Maximin Ambrst. om του B o.

(and this He has been proved to be, vv. 28-30,-in having foreknown, predestinated, called, justified, glorified us), who (is) against us? 32.] (God) Who even (taking one act as a notable example out of all) did not spare His own Son (His OWN, -His vids μονογενήs, the only one of God's sons who is One with Him in nature and essence, begotten of Him before all worlds. No other sense of ίδίου will suit its position here, in a clause already made emphatic by γε, in consequence of which whatever epithet is fixed to υίου must partake of the emphasis), but delivered Him up (not necessarily εis θάνατον only, but generally, as έδωκεν, John iii. 16: 'largitus est, quem sibi retinere poterat,' as Tholuck, from Winer) on behalf of us all (so that every one of us believers, even the most afflicted, has an equal part in Him. Of others, nothing is said here), how shall He not (how can it be that He will not) also with Him (in consequence of and in analogy with this His greatest gift: it is a question 'a majori ad minus') give freely to us all things (all that we need or hope for; or even more largely, all created things for ours, to subserve our good, and work together for us: compare 33. The punctua-1 Cor. iii. 22)? (Aug., Ambr., Reiche, Köllner, Olsh., Meyer, De Wette, and Griesb., Knapp, Lachmann) follow, in vv. 33, 34, the undoubted form of ver. 35, and place an interrogation after each clause, as in the text; while Luther, Beza, Grot., Wolf, Tholuck, al., make beds & bik. and xpiotbs δ αποθ. κ.τ.λ. the reply to and rejection of the questions preceding them. The former

method is preferable, as preserving the form of ver. 35, and involving no harshness of construction, which the other does, in the case of $\chi \rho_i \sigma \tau \delta s$ followed by the two participles.

Who shall lay (τ_i) any charge against the elect of God (έγκαλέω usually with a dat. see reff.)? Shall God (ἐγκαλέσει), who justifies them (Chrys. strikingly says, οὐκ εἶπε "θεὸς ὁ ἀφεὶς ἁμαρτήματα," ἀλλ' δ πολλώ μείζον ην θεός ὁ δικαιών. ὅταν γὰρ ἡ τοῦ δικαστοῦ ψῆφος δίκαιον ἀποφήνη, και δικαστοῦ τοιούτου, τίνος ἄξιος ὁ κατηγορῶν; Hom. xvi. p. 129)? Who is he that condemns them (the pres. part. as expressing the official employment, 'is their accuser,' is better than the fut., as corresponding more closely with δικαιῶν)? (Is it) Christ who died, yea who rather is also risen, who moreover is at the right hand of God, who also intercedes for us? "All the great points of our redemption are ranged together, from the death of Christ to His still enduring intercession, as reasons for negativing the question above." De W.

35. Who (i. e. what: but masc. for uniformity with vv. 33, 34) shall separate us from the love of Christ? this (1) our love to Christ, or (2) Christ's love to us, or (3) our sense of Christ's love to us? The first of these is held by Origen, Chrys., Theodoret, Ambr., Erasm., al. But the difficulty of it lies in consistently interpreting ver. 37, where not our endurance in love to Him, but our victory by means of His love to us, is alleged. And besides, it militates against the conclusion in ver. 39, which ought certainly to respond to this question. The third meaning is defended by Calvin. But the second, as maintained by Beza, Grot., Est., al., Thol., Reiche, ἀγάπης τοῦ χριστοῦ; ͼθλίψις ἢ ͼστενοχωρία ἢ ἀδιωγμὸς ϵθε. Η. 9 τεθ. λίμος ἢ ἡ γυμκότης ἢ εκίνδυνος ἢ ἡ μάχαιρα; 36 καθὸς ϵ τεθι, εστ. γέγραπται ὅτι ἕνεκεν σοῦ ἱ θανατούμεθα ὅλην τὴν ἡμέραν, Γενεκεν κ. 1.27 ελτ. χ. Ελογίσθημεν ἱ ὡς πρόβατα το σαγῆς. 37 ἀλλ΄ ἐν τοῦ τοῦς Ενοκωμεν διὰ τοῦ ο ἀγαπήσαντος ο ἡμᾶς. 80 κιμί. 28 κιμί. 38 εντ. 1.29 εντ. 80 κιμί. 38 εντ. 80 κιμί. 38 εντ. 80 κιμί. 39 εντ. 80 κιμί. 80 κιμί 80 κ 38 μ πέπεισμαι γάο ὅτι οὔτε 9 θάνατος οὔτε 9 ζωή, οὔτε 8 (8 times) only. Ps. ἄγγελοι οὔτε ταρχαί, οὔτε q_3 ἐνεστῶτα οὔτε q_1 μέλλοντα, $^{\text{exiv. 3.}}_{\text{Jet. is. 16,}}$

1ch, vii, 4 reff. Pst, xliii, 22. k - ch, ix, 8 reff. 11 cor, iv, 1, 2 cor, x, 2, Jo, kii, 20, m Acts viii 32, James v, 5 only, 1, c, 1sa, xxxiv, 2, 6, .cm, Zech, xi, 4, of christ, Gal, v, 20, Eph, v, 2. p constr, ch, xiv, 14, xv, 14, 2 Tim, i, 5, 12, acc. and i, 2, 3 Macc. ix, 27, q so 1 cor, iii, 22, ref (see note) 1 cor, xv, 24, Eph, i, 21 al. Dan, t Acts xiv, 25 reff.

35. aft tis ins our F latt(not am) Ruf Sedul. for του χριστου, θεου N a1: του θεου της εν χριστου ιησου Β. om 2nd η D.
36. rec ενεκα (so *Lxx-B*), with CK Thdrt Damasc Œc Thl: txt (so *Lxx-AR*)
ABDFLR m n 17 Clem Orig Meth Chr.

37. τον αγαπησαντα DF latt.

38. αγγελυς DF Aug, Ambrst: not Hil Aug, al. aft ουτε αρχαι add ουτε εξουσιαι (see Col ii. 15 al) C f n 46. 73. 80. 109. 121 syr-w-ast: pref, D. δυναμεις bef ουτε ενεστωτα ο. μ. with KL rel vulg Syr goth Chr Thdrt Œe Thi Aug: txt ABCDYN m tol syr copt Orig Eus Ephr Cyr Damasc lat.ff (ovr. 80v. has been suspected as spurious [Fritz., Tholuck, in De Wette]: but no mss omit it, unless [appy] 121 [Mtt] and one or two lat-ff who have out e egovoiai).

Meyer, De Wette, appears to me the only tenable sense of the words. For, having shewn that God's great love to us is such that none can accuse nor harm us, the Apostle now asserts the permanence of that love under all adverse circumstances-that none such can affect it, -nay more, that it is by that love that we are enabled to obtain the victory over all such adversities. And finally he expresses his persuasion that no created thing shall ever separate us from that Love, i. e. shall ever be able to pluck us out of the Father's hand. The quotation here expresses,- 'all which things befall us, as they befell God's saints of old,-and it is no new trials to which we are subjected :- What, if we verify the ancient description?' 37.] But (negation of the question θλίψις μάχαιρα;) in all these things we are far the conquerors (hardly, 'more than conquerors:' the ὑπέρ intensifies the degree of νικάν, as in ὑπερπερισσεύειν and the like, but does not express a superiority over νικάν) through Him who loved us (i. e. so far from all these things separating us from His love, that very love has given us a glorious victory over them). The reading διὰ τὸν ἀγαπήσαντα ἡμᾶς would amount to the same in meaning:- 'on account of Him who loved us' implying, as in vv. 11, 20, that He is the efficient cause of the result.

It is doubted whether 'He who loved us' be the Father, or our Lord Jesus Christ. This is, I think, decided by τφ άγαπῶντι ήμας και λούσαντι ήμας έν τῷ αίματι VOL. II.

αὐτοῦ, Rev. i. 5. The use of such an expression as a title of our Lord in a doxology, makes it very probable that where unexplained, as here, it would also designate Him. 38.] For I am persuaded (a taking up and amplifying of the ύπερνικώμεν—our victory is not only over these things, but I dare assert it over greater and more awful than these) that neither death, nor life (well explained by De W. as the two principal possible states of man, and not as = 'any thing dead or living,' as Calvin and Koppe), nor angels, nor principalities (whether good or bad; ἀρχή is used of good, Col. i. 16; ii. 15 [see note]; of bad (1 Cor. xv. 24?), Eph. vi. 12; here, as Eph. i. 21, generally. ἄγγελοι, absolutely, seems never to be used of bad angels: if it here means good angels, there is no objection, as Stuart alleges, to the rhetorical supposition that they might attempt this separation, any more than to that of an angel from heaven preaching another gospel, Gal. i. 8), nor things present nor things to come (no vicissitudes of time), nor powers (some confusion has evidently crept into the arrangement. Ephr. Syr. reads, ούτ. άρχαι ούτ. έξουσίαι ούτ. ένεστ. ούτ. μέλλ. ούτ. δυνάμεις υύτ. άγγελοι; Basil, ούτε άγγ. ούτ. άρχ. ούτ. έξουσ. ούτ. δυνάμεις οὔτ. ένεστ. οὔτ. μέλλ. I follow, with Griesb., Lachm., Tischdf., the very strong consent of the ancient MSS.), nor height nor depth (no extremes of space), nor any other created thing (kriois cannot here be the whole creation, as Chrys.,—δ λέγει τοι-D D

12. y = ch. b = 2 Cor. xii. 6. d 2 Cor. xi. 31. Gal. i.

ούτε "δυνάμεις, 39 ούτε "υψωμα ούτε "βάθος, ούτε τίς ABCDF n = Matt. xxiv. 29 ||. 1 Pel. iii. 22. Isa, xxxiv. $^{\times}$ κτίσις $^{\text{y}}$ έτέρα δυνήσεται ήμας $^{\text{z}}$ χωρίσαι ἀπὸ τῆς $^{\text{a}}$ ἀγά- $^{\text{cd}}$ $^{\text{kIRab}}$ κης τοῦ $^{\text{a}}$ θεοῦ τῆς ἐν χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ τῷ κυρίῳ ἡμῶν. ΙΧ. 1 6 'Αλήθειαν λέγω εν χριστώ, ου α ψεύδομαι, x = ch, 1, 25, (vv. 19, &c.) Heb, iv. 13. Judith ix. 12. z ver. 35. c = ch. v. 5, 2 Cor. xiii. 13. c = ch. v. 5, 2 Cor. xiii. 13. c = ch. v. 5, 2 Cor. xiii. 13. c = ch. v. 5, 2 Cor. xiii. 13.

xii. 4 only. w Eph. iii. 18 al. Isa. vii. 11. xii. 9, 1 Tim. i. 10, Eph. iv. 25, Ps. xiv. 2, 20, 1 Tim. ii. 7. 39. om TIS DF latt syrr.

for τω κυριω, του κυριου ΑCF.

οῦτόν ἐστιν' εἰ καὶ ἄλλη τοσαύτη κτίσις ἦν όση ή δρωμένη, όση ή νοητή, οὐδὲν ἄν με τῆς ἀγάπης ἐκείνης ἀπέστησε,—but any creature, such as are all the things named) shall be able to sever us from the love of God which is in Christ Jesus our Lord (here plainly enough God's love to us in Christ,—to us, as we are in Christ, to us, manifested in and by

Christ). CHAP. IX .- XI.] The Gospel being now established, in its fulness and freeness, as the power of God unto salvation to every one that believeth, - a question naturally arises, not unaccompanied with painful difficulty, respecting the exclusion of that people, as a people, to whom God's ancient promises were made. With this national rejection of Israel the Apostle now deals: first (ix. 1-5) expressing his deep sympathy with his own people: then (ix. 6-29) justifying God, Who has not (vv. 6-13) broken His promise, but from the first chose a portion only of Abraham's seed, and that (vv. 14-29) by His undoubted elective right, not to be murmured at nor disputed by us His creatures: according to which election a remnant shall now also be saved. Then, as to the rejection of so large a portion of Israel, their own self-righteousness (vv. 30-33) has been the cause of it, and (x. 1-13) their ignorance of God's righteousness,-notwithstanding that (vv. 13-21) their Scriptures plainly declared to them the nature of the Gospel, and its results with regard to themselves and the Gentiles, with which declarations Paul's preaching was in perfect accordance. Has God then cast off his people (xi. 1-10)? No-for a remnant shall be saved according to the election of grace, but the rest hardened, not however for the purpose of their destruction, but (xi. 11-24) of mercy to the Gentiles: which purpose of mercy being fulfilled, Israel shall be brought in again to its proper place of blessing (xi. 25-32). He concludes the whole with a humble admiration of the unsearchable depth of God's ways, and the riches of His Wisdom (xi. 33-36).

In no part of the Epistles of Paul is it more requisite than in this portion, to bear in mind his habit of INSULATING the one view of the subject under consideration, with which he is at the time dealing. The divine side of the history of Israel and the world is in the greater part of this portion thus insulated: the facts of the divine dealings and the divine decrees insisted on, and the mundane or human side of that history kept for the most part out of sight, and only so much shewn, as to make it manifest that the Jews, on their part, failed of attaining God's righteousness, and so lost their share in the Gospel.

It must also be remembered, that, whatever inferences may justly lie from the Apostle's arguments, with regard to God's disposal of individuals, the assertions here made by him are universally spoken with a national reference. Of the eternal salvation or rejection of any individual Jew there is here no question: and however logically true of any individual the same conclusion may be shewn to be, we know as matter of fact, that in such cases not the divine, but the human side, is that ever held up by the Apostle - the universality of free grace for all - the riches of God's mercy to all who call on Him, and consequent exhortations to all, to look to Him and be saved. Wette has well shewn, against Reiche and others, that the apparent inconsistencies of the Apostle, at one time speaking of absolute decrees of God, and at another of culpability in man,-at one time of the election of some, at another of a hope of the conversion of all,-resolve themselves into the necessary conditions of thought under which we all are placed, being compelled to acknowledge the divine Sovereignty on the one hand, and human free will on the other, and alternately appearing to lose sight of one of these, as often as for the time we confine our view to the other.

IX. 1-5.] The Apostle's deep sympathy with his own people Israel. The subject on which he is about to enter, so unwelcome to Jews in general, coupled with their hostility to himself, and designation of him ns a πλάνος (2 Cor. vi. 8: compare also ° συμμαρτυρούσης μοι της f συνειδήσεώς μου έν πνεύματι ech. ii. 15, viii. αγίω, ² στι λύπη μοί έστιν μεγάλη και ^g αδιάλειπτος 12 con. 12 ι δούνη τῆ καρδία μου. $\frac{3}{1}$ ηὐχόμην γὰρ k ἀνάθεμα εἶναι $^{refi...1.3}_{0.0lyl. (out.)}$ αὐτὸς έγὼ l ἀπὸ τοῦ χριστοῦ ὑπὲρ τῶν ἀδελφῶν μου, h Trin. vi.. bu, Jer.

viii. 18. i Acts xxvii 29 reff. imperf., → Acts xxv. 22 reff. k Acts xxiii. 14. 1 Cor. xii. 3. xxii. 22. Gal. i. 8, 9 only. Deut. vii. 26. l = ch. vii. 2. 2 Cor. xi. 3. Col. ii. 20. 2 Thess. i. 9.

Chap. IX. 1. aft $\chi\rho\iota\sigma\tau\omega$ add $\iota\eta\sigma\sigma\nu$ D'F Ps-Ath Ambrst.

for 2nd ev, συν F.

2. της καρδιας Κ 17. 2191. 3. ευχομην DKL c k l n 17 Thdrt-mss: ευχομαι 41. ειναι bef αναθεμα N. ree αυτος εγω bef αναθεμα ειναι, with CKL rel vss Ath Thart Cypr: txt ABDFN syr

2 Cor. i. 17; ii. 17; iv. 1, 2; vii. 2 al.), causes him to begin with a προπαραίτησις or deprecation, bespeaking credit for simplicity and earnestness in the assertion which is to follow. This deprecation and assertion of sympathy he puts in the forefront of the section, to take at once the ground from those who might charge him, in the conduct of his argument, with hostility Christ; the ordinary sense of the expression έν χριστώ, so frequent with the Apostle.

It is not an oath, 'by Christ,'-for though έν with ὅμνυμι bears this meaning, we have no instance of it where the verb is not expressed),-I lie not (confirmation of the preceding, by shewing that he was aware of what would be laid to his charge, and distinctly repudiating it),-my conscience bearing me witness of the same (the σύν in composition, as in reff., denoting accordance with the fact, not joint testimony) in the Holy Spirit (much as ἐν χριστῷ above : -a conscience not left to itself but informed and enlightened by the Spirit of God. Strangely enough, Griesb., Knapp, and Koppe take these words also for a formula jurandi, and connect them with οὐ ψεύδομαι), that (not because, or for, as Bengel: στι, as in 2 Cor. xi. 10, introducing the matter to which the asseveration was direeted,-I say the truth, when I say, that) I have great grief and continual sorrow in my heart. The reason of this grief is reserved for a yet stronger description of his sympathy in the next verse.

3.] For I could wish (the imperf. is not historical, alluding to his days of Pharisaism, as Pelag. and others, but quasioptative, as in reff. 'I was wishing,' had it been possible, - ηὐχόμην εἰ ἐνεχώρει, εἰ ἐνεδέχετο, Phot. The sense of the imperf. in such expressions is the proper and strict one (and no new discovery, but common enough in every schoolboy's reading): the act is unfinished, an obstacle intervening. So in Latin, 'facieham, ni . . . ,' the com-

pleted sentence being, 'facicbam, et per-fecissem, ni . . .') that I myself (on avrbs έγώ see ch. vii. 25; it gives emphasis, as έγω Παῦλος, Gal. v. 2: 'I, the very person who write this and whom ye know') were a curse (a thing accursed, ἀνάθεμα in the LXX = התכם an irrevocable devotion to God, or, a thing or person so devoted. All persons and animals thus devoted were to his own alienated people. I say (the) put to death; none could be redeemed, truthin Christ (as a Christian,—as united to Levit. xxvii. 28, 29. The subsequent scriptural usage of the word arose from this. It never denotes simply an exclusion or excommunication, but always devotion to perdition,—a curse. Attempts have been made to explain away the meaning here, by understanding excommunication, as Grot., Hammond, Le Clerc, &c.; or even natural death only, as Jerome, al.: but excommunication included cursing and delivering over to Satan:-and the mere wish for natural death would, as Chrys. eloquently remarks, be altogether beneath the dignity of the passage. Perhaps the strangest interpretation is that of Dr. Burton : "St. Paul had been set apart and consecrated by Christ to His service; and he had prayed that this devotion of himself might be for the good of his countrymen:"-it is however no unfair sample of a multitude of others, all more or less shrinking from the full meaning of the fervid words of the Apostle) from Christ (i. e. cut off and separated from Him for ever in eternal perdition. No other meaning will satisfy the plain sense of the words. από in the sense of ὑπό, making Christ the agent of the curse, would be hardly admissible: still less the joining, - as Carpzov and Elsner, - από with ηὐχόμην. On this wish, compare Exod. xxxii. 32) in behalf of (in the place of; or, if thus I could benefit, deliver from perdition) my brethren, my kinsmen according to the flesh.

The wish is evidently not to be pressed as entailing on the Apostle the charge of inconsistency in loving his nation more than his Saviour. It is the expression of an affectionate and self-denying heart, willing to

| collision | col

goth Chr Ruf Ambr, Pac. υπο DG. οm αδελφ. μ. των B¹: ins B²-marg(see table). οm 2nd μου D¹F Cyr lat-fl: add των DF α² Syr Cyr Thdrt.

4. om ων η υιοθ. to επαγγελιαι A: om και αι διαθ. κ. η νομοθ. L. η διαθηκη BDF demid harl² Ath Chr-mss Cypr Ruf-ms Jer, Sedul: txt CKN rel latt(inelg am harl¹ tol) syrr copt goth Epiph Chr Thdrt Phot Hil. η επαγγελια D Chr-mss:

επαγγελια F.
5. om σι F. om και F Hippol Cypr Pelag (not Iren Aug). for το, τα C¹:
om το F Epiph Cyr₁ Thdrt₁.
om θεος Ephr Cypr-ed Hil-ed, Leo₁: but it is
in most gr-lat-tl, and δ ἄν &c is cited by very many fathers as in apposition to ο χριστος.
(The various punctuations &c see in notes, and more particulars in Wetstein and
Scholz.)

surrender all things, even, if it might be so, eternal glory itself, if thereby he could obtain for his beloved people those blessings of the Gospel which he now enjoyed, but from which they were excluded. Nor does he describe the wish as ever actually formed; only as a conceivable limit to which, if admissible, his self-devotion for them would reach. Others express their love by professing themselves ready to give their life for their friends; he declares the intensity of his affection by reckoning even his spiritual life not too great a price, if it might 4. Not only purchase their salvation. on their relationship to himself does he ground this sorrow and this self-devotion: but on the recollection of their ancient privileges and glories. Who are Israelites (a name of honour, see John i. 48; 2 Cor. xi. 22; Phil. iii. 5); whose (is) the adoption (see Exod. iv. 22; Deut. xiv. 1; xxxii. 6; Isa. i. 2 al.), and the glory (perhaps their general preference and exaltation, consequent on the vioθεσία,- but far more probably, as all the other substantives refer to separate matters of fact,-the Sheehinah or visible manifestation of the divine Presence on the mcrcy-seat between the cherubins: see reff.), and the covenants (not, the two tables of the law, -as Beza, Grot., al.,-which formed but one covenant, and are included in νομοθεσία; nor, the Old and New Testament Corenants, -- as Aug., Jer., Calov., Wolf,-see Gal. iv. 21 ff.: but the several renewals of the covenant with Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, and finally with the whole people at Sinai :- see Gen. xv. 9 - 21; xvii. 4,7,10; xxvi. 21; xxviii. 13;

Exod. xxiv. 7, 8 al.), and the law-giving ('si alii Solonibus et Lyeurgis gloriantur, quanto justior est gloriandi materia de Domino!' Calv. νομοθ. is both the act of giving the Law, and the Law thus given), and the service (ordinances of worship: see ref. Heb.), and the promises (probably only those to the patriarchs, of a Redeemer to come, are here thought of, as the next two clauses place the patriarchs and Christ together without any mention of the prophets. So Abraham is described, Heb. vii. 6, as του έχουτα τὰς ἐπαγγελίας),—whose are the fathers (probably to be limited to Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob :- so De W., but Stephen gives of πατ. a much wider meaning in Acts vii. 11, 12, 19, 39, 44, and so apparently Paul himself, Acts xiii. 17. In all those places, however, except Acts vii. 19, ἡμῶν follows, whereas here the word is absolute: so that the above limitation may be true),-and of whom is Christ, as far as regards the flesh (τό,—aec., as also in ch. xii. 18,—implies that He was not entirely sprung from them, but had another nature: q. d. 'on his human side,'- 'duntaxat quod attinet ad corpus humanum,' as Erasmus), who is God over all (prob. neuter; for τὰ πάντα, not of πάντες, is the equivalent nominative in such sentences: see ch. xi. 36) blessed for ever. Amen. The punctuation and application of this doxology have been much disputed. By the early Church it was generally rendered as above, and applied to Christ, so Iren., Tert., Orig. h.l., Athan., Epiph., Chrys., Theodoret, Theophyl., (Ec. Wetstein has, it is true, collected passages 6 οὐχ z οἴον δὲ ὅτι a ἐκπέπτωκεν ὁ b λόγος τοῦ b θεοῦ· οὐ z —here only. Where, edn. 6 , fol. 6.

a = here only, see James i, 11. = πίπτειν, Lake xvi, 17. διαπ., Josh, xxi, 43 (45). Judith vi, 9. b Acts

from the fathers to shew that they applied the words δ έπι πάντων θεός to the FATHER alone, and protested against their application to the Son; but these passages themselves protest only against the erroneous Noetian or Sabellian view of the identity of the Father and the Son, whereas in Eph. iv. 5, 6, εἶs κύριος, and εἶs θεὸς κ. πατηρ πάντων, ὁ ἐπὶ πάντων, are plainly distinguished. That our Lord is not, in the strict exclusive sense, δ ἐπὶ πάντων θεός, every Christian will admit, that title being reserved for the Father: but that He is επλ πάντων θεόs, none of the passages goes to deny. Had our text stood έξ ων ό χρ. τὸ κατά σάρκα, δ έπλ πάντων θεδς δ εὐλογητδς eis τουs alwas, it would have appeared to countenance the above error, which as it now stands it cannot do. The first trace of a different interpretation, if it be one, is found in an assertion of the emperor Julian (Cyril, p. 321. Wetst.) τον γοῦν Ἰησοῦν οὕτε Παῦλος ἐτόλμησεν εἰπεῖν θεόν, ούτε Ματθαΐος ούτε Μάρκος, άλλ' ό χρησ-τὸς Ἰωάντης. The next is in the punctua-tion of two cursive MSS, of the twelfth century (5 and 47), which place a period after σάρκα, thus insulating δ ων επί παντων ἀμήν, and regarding it as a doxology to God over all, blessed for ever. This is followed by Erasm., Wetst., Semler, Reiche, Köllner, Meyer, Fritzsche, Krehl, al. The objections to this rendering are, (1) ingenuously suggested by Socinus himself (Thol.), and never yet obviated,that without one exception in Hebrew or Greek, wherever an ascription of blessing is found, the predicate εὐλογήτος (ξετις) precedes the name of God. (In the one place, Ps. lxvii. 19 LXX, κύρ. δ θ. εὐλογητός, εὐλογητός κυρ. ἡμέραν καθ' ἡμέραν, which seems to be an exception, the first εὐλ. has no corresponding word in the Heb. and appears to be interpolated. So Stuart, and even Eichhorn, Einleit. ins A. T. p. 320. In Yates's vindication of Unitarianism, p. 180, this is the only instance cited. Such cases as 3 Kings x. 9; 2 Chron. ix. 8; Job i. 21: Ps. cxii. 2, are no exceptions, as in all of them the verb είη or γένοιτο is expressed, requiring the substantive to follow it closely.) And this collocation of words depends, not upon the mere aim at perspicuity of arrangement (Yates, p. 180), but upon the circumstance that the stress is, in a peculiar manner, in such ascriptions of praise, on the predicate which is used in a pregnant sense, the copula being omitted.

(2) That the ων, on this rendering, would be superfluous altogether (see below). (3) That the doxology would be unmeaning and frigid in the extreme. It is not the habit of the Apostle to break out into irrelevant ascriptions of praise; and certainly there is here nothing in the immediate context requiring one. If it be said that the survey of all these privileges bestowed on his people prompts the doxology,surely such a view is most unnatural: for the sad subject of the Apostle's sympathy, to which he immediately recurs again, is the apparent inanity of all these privileges in the exclusion from life of those who were dignified with them. If it be said that the incarnation of Christ is the exciting cause, the τδ κατά σαρκά comes in most strangely, depreciating, as it would on that supposition, the greatness of the event, which then becomes a source of so lofty a thanksgiving. (4) That the expression εὐλογητὸς εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας is twice besides used by Paul, and each time unquestionably not in an ascription of praise, but in an assertion regarding the subject of the sentence. The places are, ch. i. 25, ελάτρευσαν τῆ κτίσει παρὰ τον κτίσαντα, ος έστιν ευλογητος είς τους αιωνας. αμήν,—and 2 Cor. xi. 31, ο θεος κ. πατήρ τ. κυρ. Ἰησοῦ οἶδεν, ὁ ὧν εὐλο-γητὸς εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας, ὅτι οὐ ψεύδομαι: whereas he twice uses the phrase εὐλογητός δ θεδs as an ascription of praise, without joining εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας. (5) That in the latter of the above-cited passages (2 Cor. xi. 31), not only the same phrase as here, but the same construction, ὁ ων, occurs, and that there the whole refers to the subject of the sentence. I do not reckon among the objections the want of any contrast to τὸ κατὰ σάρκα, because that might have well been left to the readers to supply. Another mode of punctuation has been suggested (Locke, Clarke, al.), and indeed is found in one MS. of the same date as above (71): to set a period after πάντων and refer δ ων ἐπὶ πάντων to Christ, understanding by πάντων all the preceding glofrom things, or the $\pi \alpha \pi \ell \rho e$ only, or even 'all things.' This lies open to all the above objections except (5), and to this in addition, that as Bp. Middleton observes, we must in that case read ὁ θεόs. riety of reading there is none worth notice : the very fathers generally cited as omitting beds, having it in the best MSS. and edi-Crell (not Schlichting, see Thol. tions.

c John viii. 83, γὰρ πάντες οἱ ἑξ΄ Ἰσραήλ, οὖτοι Ἰσραήλ' 7 οὐδ' ὅτι εἰσὶν $^{\text{ABDF}}_{\text{XFL Ra 8}}$ $^{37}_{\text{SF. (Artsiii.}}$ $^{38}_{\text{Chr. (Artsii).}}$ $^{38}_{\text$

6. for 2nd ισραηλ, ισραηλειται DF latt(not tol) Nys Chr-ms,(and Mtt's mss₂) Eccomm Aug, Ambrst: txt ABKLN rel Orig₂ Cæs Chr-ed Thdrt Œc Thl Aug_{sape} Tich.

το το κ κ.
 απ του τ εστιν add στι B¹(sic: see table)κ³ m 116 Orig₁.
 οm του F m 67².
 114. 120.

9. om o D. p. 484, note, edn. 1842) proposed (and is followed by W histon, Whitby, and Taylor) to transpose δ ων into ων δ;—but besides the objection to the sense thus arising, εὐλογη-Tos would probably in that case (not necessarily, as Bp. Middleton in loc.) have the art .: not to mention that no conjecture arising from doctrinal difficulty is ever to be admitted in the face of the consensus of MSS. The rendering given and versions. above is then not only that most agreeable to the usage of the Apostle, but the only one admissible by the rules of grammar and arrangement. It also admirably suits the context: for, having enumerated the historic advantages of the Jewish people, he concludes by stating one which ranks far higher than all, -that from themsprung, according to the flesh, He who is God over all, blessed for ever. ἀμήν implies no optative ascription of praise, but is the accustomed ending of such solemn declarations

of the divine Majesty; compare ch. i. 25. 6–13.] God has not broken his promise; for He chose from the first but a portion of the seed of Abraham (6–9), and again only one out of the two sons of Rebecca (10–13).

6.] Not however that (οὐχ οἶον δέ, ὅτι = οὐ τοῖον δὲ ἀτρα, οἶον ὅτι . . . , but I do not mean such a thing, as that . . . , or 'the matter however is not so, as that De W. cites from Athen, vi. p. 214, οὐχ οἶον βοῦς ἰς, and from Phrynich. p. 332, οὐχ οἷον δργίζομα, in a similar sense. The rendering, 'it is not possible that,' would require ordinarily οἶον τε with an infinitive,—and St. Paul is asserting, not the impossibility, however true, of God's word being broken, but the fact, that it was not broken) the word (i. e. the promise) of God has come to nothing (see reff., so Lat., excidit); viz. by many, the majority of the nominal Israel, missing the salvation which seemed

to be their inheritance by promise. For not all who are sprung from Israel (= Jacob, according to Tholuck: but this does not seem necessary: Israel here as well as below may mean the people, but here in the popular sense, there in the divine idea), (these) are Israel (veritably, and in the sense of the promise). 7.] Nor, because they are (physically) the seed of Abraham, are all children (so as to inherit the promise), but (we read), "In Isaac shall thy seed be called" (i.e. those only shall be called truly and properly, for the purposes of the covenant, thy seed, who are descended from Isaac, not those from Ishmael or any other son. Thol. renders καλείν here by erwecten, 'to raise up'):

8. that is (that amounts, when the facts of the history are recollected, to saying) not the children of the flesh (begotten by natural generation, compare John i. 13, and Gal. iv. 29) they are the children of God; but the children of the promise (begotten not naturally, but by virtue of the divine promise [Gal. iv. 23, 28], as Isaac) are reckoned for seed.

9.] For this word was (ne) of promise (not, *For this was the word of promise,* i. e. οὖτος γὰρ ὁλ. τῆς ἐπαγγελίας: the childhoun of manifestical the childhound man the children of promise are reckoned for seed: for this word, in fulfilment of which Isaac was born, was a word of promise), According to this time (ביתה היה, ' when the time (shall be) reviviscent,'-as De W., Thol., al.: -i. e. next year at this time. The citation is a free one; the LXX has έπαναστρέφων ήξω πρός σε κατά τον καιρου τοῦτον εἰς ὥρας, κ. έξει υίον Σάρβα ή γυνή σου. The change into έσται τῆ Σάρρα viós is probably made for the sake of emphasis-the promise was to Sarah) will I come, and Sarah shall have a son. 10, 11. And not only (so) (i. e. not only have we an example of Ρεβέκκα ἐξ ἐνὸς ¹ κοίτην ἔχουσα, Ἰσαὰκ τοῦ πατρὸς ¹ κας κτικ ἡμῶν, ¹¹ μήπω γὰρ γεννηθέντων μηδὲ πραξάντων τὶ και ἀναθὸν ἢ αραδάντων τὶ και ἀναθὸν ἢ αραδάντων και ἡ κατ ακα και ακα τοῦ παροθέσεις τοῦ και και και ακα και

11. for $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon$, η F latt. rec $\kappa\alpha\kappa\sigma\nu$ (more usual word), with DFKL rel Chr Thdrt CEc Thl: txt ABN m Orig₃ Cyr Damase. rec $\tau\sigma\nu$ $\theta\epsilon\sigma\nu$ bef $\pi\rho\sigma\theta\epsilon\sigma\tau$ s, with (none of our mss) (Syr) Chr: txt ABDFKLN rel latt syr goth arm Orig₃ Chr-mss² Thdrt. $\mu\epsilon\nu\eta$ F.

12. rec ερρηθη, with B²D²L rel Orig Chr: txt AB¹D¹FKN b d f h k n o Thdrt. om αυτη D¹ harl¹ D-lat Orig₃ Ambrst Bede. μειζον Ν¹.

the election of a son of Abraham by one woman, and the rejection of a son by another, but also of election and rejection of the children of the same woman, Rebecca, and that before they were born. οὐ μόνον δέ introduces an ἀ fortiori consideration.

In the construction supply $\tau \circ \hat{v} \tau \circ$ only), but also Rebecca having conceived (see ref. Num. and ch. xiii. 13, where the meaning is not exactly the same though cognate) by one man (in the former case, the children were by two wives; the difference between that case and this being, that there, was diversity of parents, here, identity. The points of contrast being then this diversity and identity, the identity of the father also is brought into view. This is well put by Chrys.: ή γὰρ 'Ρεβέκκα καλ μόνη τῷ Ἰσαὰκ γέγονε γυνή, καὶ δύο τεκοῦσα παΐδας, ἐκ τοῦ Ἰσαὰκ ἔτεκεν ἀμφοτέρους αλλ' ὅμως οἱ τεχθέντες τοῦ αὐτοῦ πατρός ύντες, της αὐτης μητρός, τὰς αὐτὰς λύσαντες ωδίνας, και δμοπάτριοι ύντες και όμομήτριοι, και πρός τούτοις και δίδυμοι, οὐ າຄົນ ຄົນຕົ້ນ ຂໍ້ສາຄົນ ເຂົ້າຄົນ ຄົນຕົ້ນ ຄົນຕົ້ນ ຄົນຕົ້ນ ຄົນຕົ້ນ ຄົນຕົ້ນ ຄົນຕົ້ນ ຄົນຕົ້ນ ຄົນຕົ້ນ ຄົນຕົ້ນ ເຂົ້າຄົນ ຄົນຕົ້ນ ເຂົ້າຄົນ ເພື່ອນ ເພື່ Apostle speaking as a Jew. If with any design it might be, as Thol. remarks, to shew that even among the Patriarchs' children such distinction took place.

Christians being $\tau \ell k \nu a$ $\ell \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \ell a$, the same Commentator observes, the argument here is to shew that not all the children of promise belonged to the $\ell \kappa - \lambda \sigma \gamma \hbar$. See ch. iv. 1—12. As to the construction here, it is best to regard $\lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda \kappa \lambda \lambda \lambda - \ell \lambda \nu a$ as a sentence begun but intercepted by the remark following, and resumed in another form at $\ell \delta \hat{\rho} \lambda a \nu \pi \hat{\rho} \hat{\rho}$,—for (not answering to 'furnishes us an example' supplied after $\ell \chi a \nu \sigma \alpha$, but elliptically put, auswering to the apprehension in the Apostle's mind of

the force of the example which he is about to adduce. For this use of γάρ see John iv. 44, note; Herod. i. 8, Γύγη, οὐ γὰρ....; 30, ξείνε 'Αθ. παρ' ἡμέας γὰρ Thucyd. i. 72, τῶν δὲ ᾿Αθ. ἔτυχε γὰρ....; and other examples in Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 467) without their having been yet born (the subject, the children, is to be supplied partly from the fact of her pregnancy just stated, partly from the history, well known to the readers. μή instead of ov is frequently used by later Greek writers in participial clauses: Winer, edn. 6, § 55. 5; so Acts ix. 9, $\hat{\eta}_{\nu}$. . . $\mu \hat{\eta}$ βλέπων κ. οὐκ ἔφαγεν . . . , and Luke xiii. 11, μη δυναμένη ἀνακύψαι. See Schäfer, Demosth. iii. 395, and Hartung, ii. 130-132) or having done any thing good or ill $(\phi a \hat{v} \lambda)$. an unusual word with Paul = properly άπλοῦν, ράδιον, εὐτελές, as Timæus in Lex. to Plato, with whom it is a very common word in this sense. Ruhnken, on the word in Timæus, gives from the Lex. Rhetor. MS., τὸ φ. σημαίνει δέκα. λαξική το προςώπου και πράγματος το κακόν. το μικρόν, κ. το εὐκαταφρόνητον, κ. το ἀσθενές. κ. το ἄδοξον. κ. το ἀνόητον, κ.τ.λ. This will show the connexion of the strict and the wider meaning), that the purpose of God according to (purposed in pursuance of, or in accordance with, or Thol.] with reference to His) election (Thol. prefers taking κατ' ἐκλ. adjectively, as Bengel has rendered it, 'propositum electivum,' and as in Polyb. vi. 34. 8, είε έκάστης ἀνήρ λαμβάνεται κατ' έκλογήν, 'electively') may (not might; the purpose is treated as one in all time, which would be nullified if once thwarted) abide (stand firm; the opposite of ἐκπίπτειν, see reff. 1 Pet., Isa.),-not of works (ch. iii. 20; iv. 2) but of Him that calleth, -(this clause does not seem to depend on any one word of the foregoing or following, as on ἐρρέθη, Calv., Luth.;

13. for καθως, καθαπερ Β Orig.

14. om τω D F.

15. rec γαρ hef μωση, with AKL rel Chr Thdrt al: txt BDFN Damase. μωυσ. FKLN a b f h k l: txt ABD.— -σει B°F e d g Chr-2-mss : -ση AB¹DKLN Thdrt. 16. rec ελεουντος, with B°K gr-ff (ευδοκουντος L rel): txt AB¹DFN.

—or μένη, Rückert, Meyer;—or κατ' ἐκ-λογήν, Fritz.;—but to be a general characteristic of the whole transaction; see a similar êk in ch. i. 17. Thol., De W. Thus viewed, or indeed however taken, it is decisive against the Pelagianism of the Romanists, who by making our faith as foreseen by God the cause of our election, affirm it to be έξ έργων. See the matter discussed in Thol.),-it was said to her (ὅτι is recitantis; the LXX have καί), "The elder shall serve the younger" (this · prophecy is distinctly connected in Gen. xxv. with the prophetic description of the children as two nations, - Aads Aaoû bπερέξει, καὶ bεiζων κ.τ.λ. But the nations must be considered as spoken of in their progenitors, and the elder nation = that sprung from the elder brother. History records several subjugations of Edon by the kings of Judah; first by David (2 Sam. viii. 14);—under Joram they rebelled (2 Kings viii. 20), but were defeated by Amaziah (2 Kings xiv. 7), and Elath taken from them by Uzziah (2 Kings xiv. 22); under Ahaz they were again free, and troubled Judah (2 Chron. xxviii. 16, 17, compare 2 Kings xvi. 6, 7),-and continued free, as prophesied in Gen. xxvii. 40, till the time of John Hyrcanus, who (Jos. Autt. xiii. 9. 1) reduced them finally, so that thenceforward they were incorporated among the Jews): as it is written, Jacob I loved, but Esau I hated (there is no necessity here to soften the 'hated' into 'loved less:' the words in Malachi proceed on the fullest meaning of eulonga, see ver. 4 there, "The people against whom the LORD hath indignation for ever ").

14—29.] This election was made by the induhitable right of God, Who is not therefore unjust.

14.] What then shall we say (anticipation of a difficulty or objection, see reff.,—but not put into the mouth of an objector)? Is there un-

(viz. in that He chooses as He will, without any reference to previous desert)? Let it not be:

15.] for He saith to Moses, "I will have mercy and will compassionate whomsoever I have mercy, and will compassionate whomsoever I compassionate."

The citation is from the LXX, who insert the indefinite &p, the Heb. being ..., 'ph mysty 'pir; the meaning apparently being, 'whenever I have mercy on any, it shall be pure mercy, no human desert contributing;' which agrees better with the next verse than the ordinary rendering, which lays the stress on the δν &p, and is not inconsistent with ver. 18, δν θέλει, έλεξ: because if God's mercy be pure mercy without any desert on man's part, it necessarily follows that he has

mercy on whom He will, His will being the

only assignable cause of the selection.

righteousness (injustice) with (in) God

16. 3 So then (inference from the citation) it is not of (God's mercy 'does not belong to,'-'is not in the power of,' see reff.) him that willeth (any man willing it) nor of him that runneth (any man contending for it, see reff. and Phil. iii. 14. There hardly can be any allusion to Abraham's wish for Ishmael, Gen. xvii. 18, and Esau's running to hunt for venison, as Stuart, Burton, al.), but of God that hath mercy. I must pause again here to remind the student, that I purposely do not enter on the disquisitions so abundant in some commentaries on this part of Scripture, by which it is endeavoured to reconeile the sovereign election of God with our free will. We shall find that free will asserted strongly enough for all edifying purposes by this Apostle, when the time comes. At present, he is employed wholly in asserting the divine Sovereignty, the glorious vision of which it ill becomes us to distract by continual downward looks on this earth. I must also protest against all 17 λέγει γὰρ ε ή γραφη τῷ Φαραὼ ὅτι είς ε αὐτὸ ε τοῦτο e sing., Mark h εξήγειρά σε, σπως ενδείξωμαι έν σοι την δύναμίν μου 22 and no. 22 and no. 22 and no. καὶ ὅπως k διαγγελη τὸ ὅνομά μου εν πάση τη γη. $^{\text{passin. ch.}}_{\text{iv. 8 al.}}$ $^{\text{18}}$ ς ἄρα $^{\text{c}}$ οῦν θελει $^{\text{c}}$ $^{\text{a}}$ έλεει, $^{\text{c}}$ ον δὲ θέλει $^{\text{l}}$ σκληρόνει. $^{\text{s. 8 al.}}$ $^{\text{John xwii. s. 8.}}$ $^{\text{John xwii. s. 9.}}$ $^{\text{John xwii. s. 9.}}$

g Acts xxiv, 15 reff. h = here (1 Cor. vi. 14) only. Judg. v. 12. Ps. vii. 6 al. Jos. Antt. viii. 11. and constr., 1 Tim. i. 16. (see ver. 22.) Exon. ix. 16. k Luke ix. 60. Acts xxi. 26 only. l. c. 1 Acts xxi. 9. Heb, iii. 8, 13, 18. iv. 7 only. Exod. iv. 21 (p37). vii. 3 (ng/p37), al.

ενδειξομαι FL e l1 Chr.ms. διαγγελει L f o. 17. aft oπωs ins αν F. *έλεα D1F. 18. In A, from oν δε θ. to η ουκ εχει ver. 21 is in a later hand.

endeavours to make it appear, that no inference lies from this passage as to the salvation of individuals. It is most true (see remarks at the beginning of this chapter) that the immediate subject is the national rejection of the Jews: but we must consent to hold our reason in abeyance, if we do not recognize the inference, that the sovereign power and free election here proved to belong to God extend to every exercise of His mercywhether temporal or spiritual-whether in Providence or in Grace—whether national or individual. It is in parts of Scripture like this, that we must be especially careful not to fall short of what is written: not to allow of any compromise of the plain and awful words of God's Spirit, for the sake of a caution which He Himself does not teach 17. The same great truth shewn on its darker side :- not only as regards God's mercy, but His wrath also. (confirmation of the universal truth of the last inference) the Scripture (identified with God, its Author: the case, as Thol. remarks, is different when merely something contained in Scripture is introduced by ή γραφη λέγει: there ή γρ. is merely personified. The justice of Thol.'s remark will be apparent, if we reflect that this expression could not be used of the mere ordinary words of any man in the historical Scriptures, Ahab, or Hezekiah,—but only where the text itself speaks, or where God spoke, or, as here, some man under inspiration of God) saith to Pharaoh, For this very purpose (δτι recitantis; the LXX have καὶ ἔνεκεν τούτου) raised I thee up (LXX διετηρήθης, 'thou wert preserved to this day :' Heb. הַיְמַרְהִיף from יָמֵר stetit, in Hiph. stare fecit; hence taken to signify (1) 'constituit, muneri præfecit,' as 1 Kings xii. 32; Isa. xxi. 6 [LXX σεαυτφ στήσου σκόπου]; Esth. iv. 5,—(2) 'confirmavit,' as 1 Kings xv. 4 al.,—and (3) 'prodire fecit, excitavit,' Dan. xi. 11; Neh. vi. 7: the meaning 'incolumem præstitit,' given in the Lexicons, seems to be grounded on the following of the LXX in this passage, who apparently understood it of Pharaoh being kept safe through the plagues. This has been done by modern interpreters to avoid the strong assertion which the Apostle here gives, purposely deviating from the LXX, that Pharaoh was 'raised up,' called into action in his office, to be an example of God's dealing with impenitent sinners. The word chosen by the Apostle, εξεγείρω, in its transitive sense, is often used by the LXX for 'to rouse into action:' see besides reff. Ps. lvi. 8; lxxix. 2; Cant. iv. 16 al. So that the meaning (3) given above for the Heb, verb- prodire fecit, excitavit, was evidently that intended by $\hat{\epsilon}\xi\hat{\eta}\gamma\epsilon\iota\rho\alpha$), that I may shew in thee ('in thee as an example,'—'in thy case,'—'by thee') my power (τ. ἰσχύν μου LXX-vat.: δύν. [which is read in F.] is perhaps chosen by the Apostle as more general, ίσχύs applying rather to those deeds of miraculous power of which Egypt was then witness), and that my Name may be proclaimed in all the earth (compare as a comment, the words of the song of triumph, Exod. xv. 14-16). 18. Therefore whom He will, He hath mercy on (ref. to ver. 15, where see note), and whom He will, He hardeneth. The frequent recurrence of the expression σκληρύνειν την καρδίαν in the history of Pharaoh should have kept Commentators (Carpzov, Ernesti, al., and of Lexicographers, Wahl and Bretsehneider) from attempting to give to σκληρύνω the sense of 'treating hardly, against which the next verse would be decisive, if there were no other reason for rejecting it. But it is very doubtful whether the word can ever bear the meaning. The only passage which appears to justify it (for in 2 Chron. x. 4 it clearly has the import of hardening, making severe) is Job xxxix. 16, where ἀπεσκλήρυνε τὰ τέκνα έαυτηs, the LXX version of the Heb. תְּיָבֶּה, is supposed to mean, ' treats her offspring hardly.' But the LXX by this compound seem to have intended, 'casts off her offspring in her hardness;' the E. V. has, 'She is hardened against her young m ch. iii. 7. 19 έρεις μοι οὖν m Tί [οὖν] ἔτι n μέμφεται; τῷ γὰρ ° βουλή- ABDF [κ. Ka a b Hebt viii. 8] ματι αὐτοῦ τίς p ἀνθέστηκεν; 20 ῷ ἄνθρωπε, q μενοῦνγε cd tṛ m cc.) only. Sir. sl. 7. σὺ τίς εἶ ὁ r ἀνταποκρινόμενος τῷ θεῷ; μὴ ἐρεῖ τὸ ο 17 s. M. co. ii. 7 ° πλάσμα τῷ t πλάσαντι Τί με ἐποίησας οὕτως; 21 ἢ odes xis. 21 ἢ odes xis. 21 ἢ odes xis.

only. Art with the control of the co

q ch. x. 18 (Luke xi. 28 v. r.) only. s here only. Job xi. 14 (19). ISA.

19. rec ouv bef μoi , with DFKL latt ${\rm Orig}_2$ Chr Thdrt: om ouv 73. 118 arm: txt AB% may rgoth ${\rm Orig}_1$. rec om 2nd ouv, with AKLN rel vulg ${\rm Orig}_1$ Chr Thdrt Aug: ins BDF Jer Ruf Sedul. elz om $\gamma a p$, with (none of our mss) G-lat: ins ABDFKLN

rel Orig Ath Chr Thdrt Thdor-mops Damasc Aug.

20. rec mesongly beff warfs. (to suit the arrangement in other places: see reff Luke and Rom. Had the mesongly been transposed in A &c. to avoid placing if first in the sentence [see Phryn Lobeck, p. 342], the same various reading would have occurred in the other places, which it does not), with D'KLN3 rel syrr copt. Orig. Chr. Thdor-mops Thdrt &c. Thi: om mesongly D'F latt with Jer Ruf: txt $A(B)N^1$ in Chr-ins, Damasc.—on $\gamma \in B$. for enorgous, enhances D Syr Thi-marg.

Whatever difficulty there lies in this assertion, that God hardeneth whom He will, lies also in the daily course of His Providence, in which we see this hardening process going on in the case of the prosperous ungodly man. The fact is patent, whether declared by revelation or read in history: but to the solution of it, and its reconciliation with the equally certain fact of human responsibility, we shall never attain in this imperfect state, however we may strive to do so by subtle refinements and distinctions. The following is the admirable advice of Augustine (ad Sixtum, Ep. exciv. 6. 23, vol. ii. p. 882), from whom in this case it comes with double weight: "Satis sit interim Christiano ex fide adhuc viventi, et nondum cernenti quod perfectum est, sed ex parte scienti, nosse vel credere quod neminem Deus liberet nisi gratuitâ misericordiâ per Dominum nostrum Jesum Christum, et neminem damnet nisi æquissimå veritate per cundem Dominum nostrum Jesum Christum. Cur autem illum potius quam illum Mberet aut non liberet, scrutetur qui potest judiciorum ejus tam magnum profundum,—verumtamen caveat præcipitium." 19.] Thou wilt say then to me (there seems no reason to suppose the objector a Jew, as Thol. after Grot., Calov., Koppe, al.: - the objection is a general one, applying to all mankind, and likely to arise in the mind of any reader. The expression $\delta \delta \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon$ seems to confirm this), Why then doth He yet find fault (¿τι as ch. iii. 7, assuming your premises,- 'if this be so:' at the same time it expresses a certain irritation on the part of the objector: 'exprimit morosum fremitum,' Bengel. μέμφομαι has a stronger sense than mere blame here: Hesych interprets it αἰτιᾶται, ἐξουθενεῖ, καταγινώσκει: see the apocryphal reff. Thol.)? For who resists (not, 'hath resisted:' ἀνθέστηκεν, like έστηκεν, is present, see Winer, edn. 6, § 40. b, and compare ἐφέστηκεν, 2 Tim. iv. 6) His will (i. e. if it be His will to harden the sinner, and the sinner goes on in his sin, he does not resist but goes with the will of God)? Yea rather (μενοῦνγε, see reff., takes the ground from under the previous assertion and supersedes it by another: implying that it has a certain show of truth, but that the proper view of the matter is yet to be stated. It thus conveys, as in ref. Luke, an intimation of rebuke; here, with severity: 'that which thou hast said, may be correct human reasoning-but as against God's sovereignty, thy reasoning is out of place and irrelevant'), 0 man (perhaps without emphasis implying the contrast between man and God, -for this is done by the emphatic $\sigma \dot{v}$ following, and we have ἄνθρωπε unemphatic in ch. ii. 1), who art τηου that repliest against (the ἀντί seems to imply contradiction, not merely dialogue: see besides reff., ἀνταπόκρισιν, Job xiii. 22, vat.) God ?-implying, 'thou hast neither right nor power, to call God to account in this manner.' Notice, that the answer to the objector's question does not lie in these vv. 20, 21, but in the following (see there); -the present verses are a rebuke administered to the spirit of the objection, which forgets the immeasurable distance between us and God, and the relation of Creator and Disposer in which He stands to us. So Chrys., -καὶ οὐδὲ τὴν λύσιν εὐθέως ἐπάγει, συμφερόντως καὶ τοῦτο ποιών άλλ' ἐπιστομίζει πρώτον τὸν ζητοῦντα, λέγων οὕτω μενοῦνγε . . . θεῷ; ποιεί δὲ τοῦτο, τὴν ἄκαιρον αὐτοῦ περιεργίαν ἀναστέλλων, κ. την πολλην πολυπραγμοσύνην, κ. χαλινόν περιτιθείς, κ.

οὐκ ἔχει " έξουσίαν ὁ "κεραμεὺς τοῦ " πηλοῦ, ἐκ τοῦ " απά contr, τος " δ δὲ " εἰς " τιμὴν " σκεῦος, " τις " ενκευ εν πολλῆ " μακροθυμία " σκεῦη οργής " κατηρτικής " τις " ἐνδιτις " τις " ἐνδιτις " απώλειαν, $\frac{23}{3}$ καὶ " τια " εννωρίση του " πλοῦτου και " τις " δύτης αὐτοῦ επὶ " σκεῦη " ελέους, α " προητοίμασεν εκιν, θις " τις "

22. om ηνεγκεν F D¹:lat Julian. ins εις bef σκενη F Julian Ambrst.
23. om 1st και B m 39. 47-marg 67². 80. 116 vulg copt goth arm (Orig) Jer Ruf Pelag Sedul Fulg. for τον πλουτον, το πλουτος F.

παιδεύων είδέναι τί μεν θεδς τί δε άνθρωπος, κ. πως ακατάληπτος αὐτοῦ ἡ πρόνοια, κ. πως ύπερβαίνουσα τον ημέτερον λογισμόν, κ. πως άπαντα αὐτῷ πείθεσθαι δεί Ίνα ὅταν τοῦτο κατασκευάση παρὰ τῷ ἀκροατῆ, κ. καταστείλη κ. λεάνη τὴν γνώμην, τότε μετὰ πολλής εὐκολίας ἐπ-άγων την λύσιν, εὐπαράδεκτον αὐτῷ ποιήση το λεγόμενον. Hom. xvij. 614. Similarly Calvin: 'Hac priori responsione nihil aliud quam improbitatem illius blasphemiæ retundit, argumento ab hominis conditione sumpto. Alteram mox subjiciet, qua Dei justitiam ab omni criminatione vindicabit.' Shall the thing made (properly of a production of plastic art, moulded of clay or wax) say to him who moulded it, "Why madest thou me thus?" words are slightly altered from Isa. xxix. 16 LXX,—μη ἐρεῖ τὸ πλάσμα τῷ πλάσοντι αὐτό, οὐ σύ με ἔπλασας; η τὸ ποίημα τῷ ποιήσαντι, οὐ συνετῶς με ἐποί-ησας; Or (introduces a new objection, or fresh ground of rebuke, see ch. ii. 4; iii. 29; vi. 3; xi. 2) hath not the potter power over the clay (the similitude from ref. Isa. In Sir. xxxvi. [xxxiii.] 13, we have a very similar sentiment: ωs πηλός κεραμέως έν χειρί αὐτοῦ οὕτως ἄνθρωποι έν χειρί τοῦ ποιήσαντος αὐτούς. And even more strikingly so, Wisd. xv. 7: καλ γὰρ κεραμεὺς ἁπαλὴν γῆν θλίβων ἐπίμοχθον πλάσσει προς ύπηρεσίαν ήμων [εν] έκαστον, άλλ' έκ τοῦ αὐτοῦ πηλοῦ ἀνεπλάσατο τά τε τῶν καθαρῶν ἔργων δοῦλα σκεύη τά τε έναντία πάνθ' δμοίως τούτων δὲ ἐκατέρου τίς ἐκάστου ἐστὶν ἡ χρῆσις, κριτής ὁ πηλουργός. See also Jer. xviii. 6), from the same mass to make one vessel unto honour (honourable uses) and another unto dishonour (dishonourable uses. See ref. 2 Tim. The honour and dishonour are not here the moral purity or impurity of the human vessels, but their ultimate glorification or perdition. The Apostle in asking this question, rather aims at striking dumb the objector by a statement of God's undoubted right, against which it does not become us men to murmur, than at unfolding to us the actual state of the case. This he does in the succeeding verses; see above, from Chrys. and Calv.)? 22.] But what if (by the elliptical εί δέ the answer to the question of the objector, ver. 19, seems to be introduced; ἐὰν οὖν occurs in a similar connexion John vi. 62; and ἀλλ' εί, Soph. Œd. Col. 590,—ἀλλ εὶ θέλοντάς γ' οὖδὲ σοι φυγείν καλόν; See Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 212. 6) (1) God, purposing to shew forth His wrath, and to make known His power (that which He could do), endured with much long suffering vessels of wrath fitted for destruction; and (what if this took place) (2) that He might make known the riches of His glory on (not to, as De Wette, who joins it with γνωρίση, -but 'toward,' on, 'with regard to, dependent on πλοῦτον, as πλου- $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ els, ch. x. 12) the vessels of mercy, which He before made ready for glory? I have given the whole, that my view of the construction might be evident: viz. that (1) and (2) are parallel clauses, both dependent on εί δέ; θέλων giving the purpose of the 1st, and Iva yv. that of the 2nd. They might be cast into one form by writing the 1st ὁ θ., Ίνα ἐνδείξηται . . . κ. γνωρίση,—or the 2nd, και θέλων γνωρίσαι. Only I do not, as Calv., Beza, Grot., Bengel, De Wette, Meyer, and Winer, understand the same ήνεγκεν . . . ἀτώλ., as be-

είς το δόξαν; 24 οῦς καὶ έκάλεσεν ήμας οὐ μόνον έξ ΑΒDF ΚΕΝΑΙ Ιουδαίων, ἀλλὰ καὶ εξ έθνων, 25 ώς καὶ τν τῷ 25 Οσης εdfgh r = ch. ii. 7 p reff. s = Mark i. 2. Heb. iv. 7. see ch. xi. 2. t Hos BA ii. 23 λέγει Καλέσω τον ου λαόν μου λαόν μου, και την ουκ vat. u Hos. i. 10. t ήγαπημένην ήγαπημένην 26 " και έσται έν τω τόπω οῦ ἐρρέθη αὐτοῖς Οὐ λαός μου ὑμεῖς, ἐκεῖ κληθήσον-

25. om εν B. 26. for οῦ, ω Ν¹(txt Ν-corr¹?).
d f h k l² n 17 Thdrt Thl. ree ερρηθη, with B2D3L rel (Ee: txt AB1D1KN for ερρ. αυτ., αν. κληθησονται F (D1-lat Ambrst): in loco liberata (ερρυσθη?) in quo vocabatur Iren-int.

longing to both, but only to the 1st, and ' viz. this ον θέλει, έλεει. Other constructions have been,-to make Iva depend on κατηρτισμένα - 'prepared to destruction for this very purpose, that &c.' So Fritz. and Rückert, ed. 2: but this seems to overlook $\kappa \alpha l$, or to regard it as = $\kappa \alpha l$ τοῦτο:--to take ver: 23 as a new sentence, supplying ἐκάλεσεν ἡμᾶς, as Tholnek! Stuart supplies θέλων before "να γν., and ηλέησεν before oùs ἐκάλεσεν ήμας. This in fact amounts to nearly the same as my own view, but appears objectionable, inasmuch as it joins ver. 24 to ver. 23: see The argument is, 'What if God, in the case of the vessels of wrath prepared for destruction, has, in willing to manifest His power and wrath, also exhibited towards them long-suffering (to lead them to repentance, ch. ii. 4, - a mystery which we cannot fathom), and in having mercy on the vessels of mercy prepared for glory, has also made manifest the riches of His glory? Then in both these dispensations will appear, not the arbitrary power, but the rich goodness of God. The theological difficulties in κατηρτισμένα and προιητοίμασεν (in both cases God is the agent; not they themselves, as Chrys., Theophyl., Olsh. Bengel, however, rightly remarks, "non dieit quæ προκατήρτισε, cum tamen ver. seq. dieat 'quæ præparavit.' Cf. Matt. xxv. 34 cum ver. 41, et Act. xiii. 46 cum ver. 48") are but such as have occurred repeatedly before, and, as Stuart has well observed, are inherent, not in the Apostle's argument, nor even in revelation, but in any consistent belief of an omnipotent and omniscient God. See remarks on ver. 18. δργης and σκεύη έλέους are vessels prepared to subserve, us it were to hold, His δργή and έλεος: hardly, as Calvin, instruments to shew forth: that is done, over and above their being σκεύη, but is not necessary to The $\sigma \kappa$. $\delta \rho \gamma$, and $\sigma \kappa$. $\delta \lambda$, are not to be, with a view to evade the general application, confined to the instances of Pharaoh and the Jews: these instances give occasion

to the argument, but the argument itself supply before the 2nd, 'What if this took , is general, extending to all the dealings of God. 24.] Of which kind (quales, agreeing with ἡμᾶς—i. e. σκεθη ἐλέους) He also called us, not only from among the Jews, but also from among the Gentiles. It being entirely in the power of God to preordain and have mercy on whom He will, He has exercised this right by calling not only the remnant of His own people, but a people from among 25, 26.] It is diffithe Gentiles also. cult to ascertain in what sense the Apostle cites these two passages from Hosea as applicable to the Gentiles being called to be the people of God. That he does so, is manifest from the words themselves, and from the transition to the Jews in ver. 27. In the prophet they are spoken of Israel; see ch. i. 6-11, and ch. ii. throughout: who after being rejected and put away, was to be again received into favour by God. Two ways are open, by which their citation by the Apostle may be understood. Either (1) he brings them forward to shew that it is consonant with what we know of God's dealings, to receive as His people those who were formerly not His people-that this may now take place with regard to the Gentiles, as it was announced to happen with regard to Israel,—and even more, that Isrnel in this as in so many other things was the prophetic mirror in which God foreshewed on a small scale His future dealings with mankind.—or (2) he adduces them from mere applicability to the subject in hand, implying, 'It has been with us Gentiles, as with Israel in the prophet Hosea.' I own I much prefer the former of these, as more consonant with the dignity of the argument, and as apparently justified by the καί, -as He saith also in Hosea, implying perhaps that the matter in hand was not that directly prophesied in the citation, but one analogous to it. Chrys. takes the same view: $\epsilon i \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \ \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ άγνωμονησάντων μετά πολλάς εὐεργεσίας, και άλλοτριωθέντων, και το λαός είναι άπολωλεκότων, τοσαύτη γέγονεν ή μεταται υἰοὶ θεοῦ ζῶντος. 27 Ἡσαΐας δὲ τκράζει την τοῦ Ἰσραὴλ Τὰν ἡ ὁ ἀριθμὸς τῶν υίῶν Ἰσραὴλ Τὰν ἡ ὁ ἀριθμὸς τῶν υίῶν Ἰσραὴλ Τὰν τις. ώς η^{yz} ἄμμος τῆς η^{y} θαλάσσης, τὸ η^{z} ὑπόλειμμα σωθήσεται. η^{z} Rer. xii. 18. 28 λόγον γὰρ b συντελών καὶ cd συντέμνων [έν c δικαιοσύνη c δικαιοσύνη c στι λόγον d συντετμημένον] ποιήσει κύριος έπὶ της γῆς. cd εξιείς cd καθως f προείρηκεν [Hσαΐας g Εἰ μὴ κύριος σαβαὼθ c a here only. h έγκατέλιπεν ήμιν σπέρμα, ώς Σόδομα αν έγενήθημεν καί al. L. constr. part, ως Γόμορρα αν ωμοιώθημεν. 30 k Τί οῦν κερουμεν; ὅτι ο ch. v. \$ 11.

ii. 17. Jer. vi. 11. I.a. xxviii. 22. iii. 2. Jude 17 †. g Isa. i. 9. elsw. Mt. Mk. L. only. Ps. xxvii. 1.

d here only, i. c. e Acts $x \tilde{x} ii$, 31 reff. f=2 Pet. h 2 Cor. iv, 9 reff. i= Acts x iv, 11. Heb. ii, 17. k ch. iii, 5 reff.

27. rec καταλεμμα (corrn to LXX, where no MS has υπολ.), with DFKL 8-corr1

rel Thdrt: εγκαταλειμμα Chr: υποκαταλειμμα 47: txt ABN Eus.

28. On εν δικαιοσυνη στι λογον συντετμημενον (by mistake from similarity of συντεμημενον and συντετμημενον?) ABN¹ Syr copt Eus Damasc Aug₂ (with has the ver thus: quia consummatum et præcisum verbum enarret Deus in mundo: om συντελ, το λογον Thdrt): ins DFKLN3 rel latt syr goth Eus, Chr Œc Thl Jer Ambrst Bede.

29. εγκατελειπεν ΑΒ3FKL. ομοιωθημέν ΑΕL.

βολή, τί ἐκώλυε καὶ τοὺς οὐ μετὰ τὴν οἰκείωσιν ἀλλοτριωθέντας, ἀλλ' ἐξ ἀρχῆς άλλοτρίους ύντας, κληθηναι, κ. υπακούσαντας των αὐτων άξιωθηναι; Hom. xvii. The fem. τήν is used because the Jewish people was typified by the daughter of the prophet, Hos. i. 6, who was called Lo-ruhamah, 'not having obtained mercy.' The sense, not the words of the LXX, is quoted. By εν τῷ τός... εκεῖ must not I think be understood, in any particular place, as Judæa, nor among any peculiar people, as the Christian Church: but as a general assertion, that in every place where they were called 'not His people,' there

they shall be called 'His people. 27.] A proof from Scripture of the fact, that a part of Israel are excluded. Here again the analogy of God's dealings, in the partial deliverance of Israel from captivity, and their great final deliverance from death eternal, is the key to the interpretation of the prophecy cited. The words are spoken by Isaich of the return from captivity of a remnant of Israel. 28.] The reference of this latter part of the citation is not very plain. It is almost verbatim from the LXX, the γάρ being inserted by the Apostle as continuing the testimony, = 'for the prophet proceeds,'—and the LXX having κατάλειμμα for ὑπόλειμμα (see digest), and ἐν τῆ οἰκουμένη ὅλη for ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς. The literal rendering of the Heb. is, "The consummation (or consumption) decided, overfloweth with righteousness: for a decision (or consumption) and a decree shall the Lord Jehovah of Sabaoth make in the midst of all the land." As it stands in the LXX,

the meaning seems to be, the Lord will complete and soon fulfil His word in righteousness (viz. his denunciation of consuming the Assyrian and liberating the remnant of His people) : for the Lord will make a rapidly accomplished word in the midst of all the land. The E. V., Calv., and others, render λόγον, 'work,' a signification which it never has. If the above interpretation be correct, and the view which I have taken of the analogy of prophecy, it will follow that this verse is adduced by the Apostle as confirming the certainty of the salvation of the remnant of Israel, seeing that now, as then, He with whom a thousand years are as a day, will swiftly accomplish His prophetic word in righteousness. 29.] Another proof of a remnant to be saved, from a preceding part of the same prophecy. (Such seems to be the sense of $\pi\rho\sigma\epsilon'\rho$, here,—and so Beza, Calv., Grot., al.; De W., Thol., al., prefer 'prophesied;' but surely there is no necessity for affixing an unusual sense to the word, where the ordinary one [see all the reff.] suits much better.) "όμοιοῦσθαι ώς is a construction in which two ideas, ' to become as,' and 'to become like to,' are mingled, as in Heb. נמישל ב Ps. xlix. 13, 21; compare Mark iv. 30." Tholuek. On 'Jehovah Sabaoth,' Bengel remarks, "Pro Hebraico אַבָּאָׁם in libro 1 Sam. et Jesaia σαβαώθ ponitur; in reliquis libris omnibus παντοκράτωρ." (This is not strictly the case: δυνάμεων is found in several places: and σαβαώθ occurs in Zech. xiii. 2 Bx.)

The citation is verbatim from the LXX, who have put $\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho\mu\alpha$ for the Heb.

Tim. ii. 21. n=1 Cor. ix. 24. Phil. iii. 12. Exod. xv. 9. Deot. xxviii. 45. o=ch. x.. 6. Gal. iii. 8. p=Phil. iii. 16. Dan. xii. 12 Theod. q as above (p). 1 Thess. iv. 5. w. $\tilde{\alpha}_{XOf}$, 2 Cor. x. 14. w. $\tilde{\epsilon}_{HI}$, M. $\tilde{\epsilon}_{HI}$ (25) Theod.

31. rec aft 2nd νομον ins δικαιοσυνης (corrn for clearness' sake l see notes), with F (but with a mark inserted before it) KLN³ rel D³-lat vulg syrr goth Chr Thdrt Thdormops₂ Ee Thl Jer_3 Aug, Pelag Bede: om ABDGN¹ copt Procop Damase Orig-int Ruf Ambret-comm Sedul.

for $\epsilon \phi \theta \alpha \sigma \nu$, $\epsilon \phi \theta \phi \chi \epsilon \nu$ F(and G).

שָׁרִיד, 'residuum,'-implying a remnant for a fresh planting. 30-33.] The Apostle takes up again the fact of Israel's failure, and shews how their own pursuit of righteousness never attained to righteousness, being hindered by their selfrighteousness and rejection of Christ. These verses do not contain, as Chrys., Έc., Theophyl., the τοῦ χωρίου παντός λύσις—this λύσις is simply in the creative right of God, as declared ver. 18;-but they are a comment on ver. 16, that it is not of him that willeth, nor of him that runneth: the same similitude of running being here resumed, and it being shewn that, so far from man's running having decided the matter, the Jews who pressed forward to the goal attained not, whereas the Gentiles, who never ran, have attained. If this is lost sight of, the connexion of the whole is much impaired, and from doctrinal prejudice, a wholly wrong turn given to the Anostle's line of reasoning.who resolves the awful fact of Israel's exclusion not into any causes arising from man, but into the supreme will of God,which will is here again distinctly asserted in the citation from Isaiah (see below).

What then shall we say? This question, when followed by a question, implies of course a rejection of the thought thus suggested-but when, as here, by an assertion, introduces a further unfolding of the argument from what has preceded. I cannot agree with Flatt, Olsh., al., that 8τι $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. is to be regarded as a question: for, as Rückert has observed, (1) Paul could not put interrogatively, as a supposition in answer to τί οὖν ἐροῦμεν, a sentiment not intimated in nor following from the foregoing; (2) there would be no answer to the question thus asked, but the διὰ τί, ver. 32, would ask another question, proceeding on the assumption of that which had been before by implication negatived; and (3) the answer, δτι κ.τ.λ. ver. 32, would touch only the case of the Jews, and not that of the Gentiles, also involved, on this supposition, in the question. That the Gentiles

(not, as Meyer and Fritz., 'some Gentiles'), which pursue not after (see especially reff. Phil.) righteousness (not justification, which is merely 'the being accounted righteous,' 'the way in which righteousness is ascribed:' not this, but righteousness itself, is the aim and end of the race) attained (the whole transaction being regarded as a historical fact) righteousness, even (& brings in something new, different from the foregoing, but not strongly opposed to it, see Winer, edn. 6, § 53.7. b:the opposition here, though fine and delicate, is remarkable : righteousness -not however that arising from their own works, but the righteousness, &c.) the righteousness which is from faith: 31.1-but Israel, pursuing after the law of righteousness (what is the νόμος δικαιοσύνης? Certainly not = δικαιοσύνη νόμου, as Chrys., Theodoret, Œcum., Calv., Beza, Bengel, by the so-called, but as Thol. observes, unlogical figure of Hypallage :- it may mean either (1) as Meyer, Fritz., Thol., an ideal law of righteousness, a justifying law,-or (2) as Chrys., al.,-see above,the law of Moses, thus described: or (3) which I believe to be the true account of the words, νόμος δικαιοσ. is put regarding the Jews, rather than merely δικαιοσ., because in their case there was a prescribed norm of apparent righteousness, viz. the law, in which rule and way they, as matter of fact, followed after it. The above, as I believe, mistaken interpretations arise from supposing $\nu \delta \mu \rho \nu$ $\delta i \kappa a i \sigma \sigma$, to be $\equiv \delta i \kappa a i \sigma \sigma$, which it is not. The Jews followed after, aimed at the fulfilment of 'the law of righteousness,' thinking by the observance of that law to acquire righteousness. See ch. x. 3, 5, and note; and compare John's coming έν όδῷ δικαιοσύνης, Matt. xxi. 32), did not attain unto the law (fell far short even of that law, which was given them. It is surprising, with ch. x. 3-5 before them, how De Wette and Tholnek can pronounce the reading νόμον without δικαιοσύνης to be without sense. The Jews followed after, thinking to perform it entirely,

v Isa. (viii. 14) xxviii. 16. y ch. x. 11. 1 Pet, ii, 6

32. om νομου (see notes) ABFN¹ vulg copt Jer Aug Ambrst Ruf: ins DKLN³ rel syrr goth Chr(οὐκ εἶπεν Ἐξ ἔργων, ἀλλ᾽ ʿΩς ἐξ ἔργων νόμου, δεικνὺς ὅτι οὐδὲ ταὐτην εἶχου τὴν δικαυσύνην) Thdor-mops Thdrt Œc Thl. rec aft προςεκοψαν ins γαρ (see note), with D³KLN³ rel vulg syrr Chr Thdor-mops Thdrt Œc Thl Aug₂ Jer Sedul Bede: om ABD¹FN¹ a¹ am(with tol al) copt goth Ambrst Ruf.

33. rec ins π as bef o $\pi \iota \pi^* \epsilon \iota \omega \nu$ (inset to conform this ver to cheen 11, reather than omd to suit the ιxx : not one ms omits it in cheen 1, with KL rel D³-lat vulg syr Chu Thdor-unops Thdrt & Thdor-unops Thdrt & Thdor-unops Thdrt & Thdor-unops Thdrt ι Thdreshold ι Thdreshold

Aug Ambret Ruf Bede. ου μη καταισχυνθη (see Lxx) DF.

their νόμος δικαιοσύνης: which δικαιοσ. ἐκ τοῦ νόμου the Apostle defines, ch. x. 5, to be δ ποιήσας αὐτὰ ἄνθρωπος ζήσεται ἐν αὐτοῖs, but they did not attain to-not in this case κατέλαβεν, but έφθασεν είs—the law-they therefore never attained righteousness. It is surely far more easy to imagine how a transcriber should have inserted δικαιοσύνης, than how he should have omitted it. It probably was a marginal gloss to explain the second νόμον, and thence found its way into the text [I may notice, that ch. x. 3 is not a case in point, the νόμον here having an independent and exceptional meaning of its own, which introduces an element not belonging to idiav there]). Wherefore? because (pursuing it) not by faith, but as (used subjectively, as 'if about to obtain their object by:' see Winer, edn. 6, § 65. 9, and compare 2 Pet. i. 3) by [the] works [of the law (the evidence for and against νόμου is about equally balanced. On the one side we have the Apostle's usage, see ch. iii. 28 reff.,-and the possibility of a transcriber omitting νόμου, either as having twice occurred already, or for more complete antithesis,—and on the other we have the temptation to correct έργων to έργων νόμου to suit that very usage. On the whole I incline to omit νόμου, but do not regard the evidence as sufficiently clear to justify its exclusion from the text)], they stumbled at the stone of stumbling (the similitude of a race is still kept up. The insertion of $\gamma d\rho$ has arisen from a period being placed at $\nu \delta \mu o \nu$. It confuses the sense, making it appear as if the stumbling was the cause of, or at all events coincident with, their pursuing our $\epsilon \kappa \pi$. $\kappa \tau \lambda$., whereas it was this mistaken

method of pursuing which caused them to stumble against the stone of stumbling. Thuswe have instances in the Greck chariot races, of competitors, by an error in judgment in driving, striking against the erthanround which the chariots were to turn, see Soph. Elect. 730 f. There is a close analogy between our text and the exhortation in Heb. xii. 1 f. There, after the triumphs of faith have been related, we are exhorted to run with patience the race set before us, looking to Josus, the Author and Finisher of our faith: where notice, that the sacred Writer seems to have had in his mind the same comparison of Him to the pillar or goal, to which the eyes of the runners would be exclusively directed?

ners would be exclusively directed). 33.] Appeal to the prophecy of Isaiah, as justifying this comparison of Christ to a stone of stumbling. The citation is gathered from two places in Isaiah. The 'stone of stumbling and rock of offence,' mentioned ch. viii. 14, is substituted for the 'cornerstone elect, precious,' of ch. xxviii. 16. The solution of this is very simple. Isa. viii. 14 was evidently interpreted by the Jews themselves of the Messiah: for Simeon, Luke ii. 34, when speaking of the child Jesus as the Messiah, expressly adduces the prophecy as about to be fulfilled. Similarly Isa, xxviii. 16 was interpreted by the Chaldee Targum, the Babylonish Talmud (Tract Sanhedrin, fol. xxxviii. 1, Stuart), &c. What was there then to prevent the Apostle from giving to this Stone, plainly foretold as to be laid in Zion, that designation which prophecy also justifies, and which bears immediately on the matter here in hand? The translation of Isa. viii. 14 is after the Heb.,-the LXX having apparently read differently. See 1 Pet. ii. 6-8,

Chap. X. 1. rec aft η δεησις ins η (corrn: see note), with KL rel Chr Thdrt: om ABDFN Cyr.

προς τον θν is written over an erasure by N'.

rec for αυτων, του ισραηλ (explanatory gloss), with KL rel Thdrt Œc Thl: txt ABDFN 17 att syrr copt arm Chr Cyr Danase Ruf Ang Ambrst Pelag Sodul Bede.

rec ins στιν bef εις σωτηριαν, with KLN³ rel syr Chr Thdrt: om ABDFN¹ Syr copt goth Cyr Aug₁.

3. for γαρ, δε Α 57 Leo.

om 2nd δικαιοσυνην ABD vulg copt arm Clem Cyr Bas Chr, Procop Danase Iren-int(most mss) Aug_{symet}: ins FKLN rel syrr goth æth

Chr Thdrt Thl Œc Iren-mss Tert Ambr Aug, and aft ζητουντες m.

where the same two texts are joined, and also Ps. exviii. (exvii.) 22. οὐ καταισχυνθήσεται, LXX (Isa. xxviii. 16), οὐ μὴ καταισχυνθῆ, gives a secondary meaning of the Heb. ὑτṛ κħ; 'shall not make haste;' i. e. shall not fly in terror, shall not be confounded.

Chap. X. 1—13.] The Jews, though zealous for God, are yet ignorunt of God's righteousness (1—3), as revealed to them

in their own Scriptures (4-13). 1.] Brethren ('nunc quasi superata præcedentis tractationis severitate comiter appellat fratres.' Bengel), the inclination of my heart (εὐδοκία is seldom, if ever, used to signify the motion of desire, but imports the rest of approving satisfaction. Possibly there is here a mixture of constructions: the Apostle's εὐδοκία would be their salvation itself, -his δέησις πρός τον θ. ύπερ The µév requires a corαὐτ. was εἰς σωτ. responding $\delta \epsilon$, not expressed, but implied in the course of vv. 2, 3, where the obstacle to their σωτηρ. is brought out), and my prayer to God for them (Israel, see ch. ix. 32, προς έκοψαν), (is) for (their) salvation (lit. 'towards salvation.' The insertion of The insertion of the art. after δέησις has apparently been an over-careful grammatical correction: it is by no means universal in the N. T., even where the Greek writers insert it,-and here, seeing that there could be no δεήσεις to any other than God, the omission would be more natural. $\tau o \hat{v}$ ' $I \sigma \rho \alpha \dot{\eta} \lambda$ has been substituted by the adoption of a gloss: ¿στίν to complete the sense). The Apostle's meaning seems to be, to destroy any impression which his readers may have received unfavourable to his love of his own people,

from the stern argument of the former 2. For (reason why I thus sympathize with their efforts, though misdirected) I bear witness to them that they have a zeal for God (for this meaning of the gen. see reff., especially 2 Cor. xi. 2, and note there), but not according to (in accordance with, founded upon, and carried on with) knowledge (accurate apprehension of the way of righteousness as revealed to them). 3.] For (explanation of οὐ κατ' ἐπίγν.) not recognizing ('being ignorant of' is liable to the objection, that it may represent to the reader a state of excusable ignorance, whereas they had it before them, and overlooked it) the righteousness of God (not, the way of justification appointed by God, as Stuart, al .: but that only righteousness which avails before God, which becomes ours in justification; see De Wette's note, quoted on ch. i. 17), and striving to establish their own righteousness (again, not justification, but righteousness: that, namely, described ver. 5; not that it was ever theirs, but the Apostle speaks subjectively. Notwithstanding the MS. authority against δικαι. after ιδίαν, it would seem as if it had been written for emphasis' sake by the Apostle, and omitted on account of the word occurring thrice in the sentence), they were not subjected (historical: implying, but not itself bearing, a perfect sense. The passive,—not in a middle sense, as De Wette and Thol.,—expresses the result only; it might be themselves, or it might be some other, that subjected them, -but the historical fact was, that they were not subjected) to the righteonsness of God πιστεύοντι. 5 Μωυσῆς γὰρ $^\circ$ γράφει τὴν P δικαισσύνην $^\circ$ constr. John τὴν P έκ P νόμου, q ὅτι ὁ ποίησας αὐτὰ ἄνθρωπος ζήσεται $^{14.6}$, ες $^{16.6}$ κενιίι. 9 , see Gal. iii. 21. 9 Lev. xviii. 5, see Neh. ix. 29. Εκελ. xx. 21.

5. rec ins tou bef nomou, with DFKL rel: om BN.—for nomous, pistems A. other t. dim. t. en n. ADN 171 vulg Damase Ruf. om auta ADN 1 vulg Damase Ruf: eam D-lat copt goth Cassiod: tauta 171 ml wth. om audbomtos F Syr Chr Hil. rec (for auth) autois (from len), with DFLN 3 rel: tx ABN 17 vulg D-lat copt goth arm Damase Ruf Pelag Sedul Bede.

(the $\delta \iota \kappa$, τ , θ , being considered as a rule or method, to which it was necessary to conform, but to which they were never subjected as they were to the law of Moses).

jected as they were to the law of Moses).
4--13.] The δικαιοσύνη τ. θ. is now explained to he summed up in that Saviour who was declared to them in their own Scriptures. For (establishing what was last said, and at the same time unfolding the $\delta\iota\kappa$. τ . θ . in a form which rendered them inexcusable for its non-recognition) Christ is the end of the Law (i. e. the object at which the law aimed: see the similar expression 1 Tim. i. 5, τὸ τέλος της παραγγελίας έστιν άγάπη. Various meanings have been given to $\tau \in \lambda$ os. (1) End, finis, chronological: 'Christ is the termination of the law.' So the latt., Augustine, Luther, al., Olsh., Meyer, Fritz., De Wette, al. But this meaning, unless understood in its pregnant sense, that Christ, who has succeeded to the law, was also the object and aim of the law, says too little. In this pregnant sense Tholuck takes the word 'end,' the end in time and in aim. It may be so; but I prefer simply to take in the idea of Christ being the end, i. e. aim of the law, as borne out by the following citations, in which nothing is said of the transitoriness of the law, but much of the notices which it contains of rightcousness by faith in Christ. (2) Clem. Alex.,— $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\rho\omega\mu\alpha$ γὰρ ν . χρ. εἰς δικ. π . τῷ $\pi\iota\sigma\tau$., De Div. Serv. § 9, p. 940 P. Theodoret, Culv., Grot., al., take τ έλος for

'accomplishment,' a sense included in the general meaning, but not especially treated here,—the following quotations not having any reference to it. (3) The meaning, end in the sense of object or aim, above adopted, is that of the Syr., Chrys., Theophyl., Beza, Bengel, al. Chrys. observes: εί γὰρ τοῦ νόμου τέλος ὁ χριστός, ὁ τὸν χριστόν οὐκ έχων, καν εκείνην (i. e. δικαιοσύνην) έχειν δοκή, οὺκ ἔχει ὁ δὲ τὸν χριστόν ἔχων, κάν μη ή κατωρθωκώς του νόμου, το παν είληφε. και γάρ τέλος ιατρικής ύγιεία. ως περ οδυ ό δυνάμενος ύγιη ποιείν, καν μη την ιατρικήν έχη, το παν έχει. ο δε μη είδως θεραπεύειν, καν μετιέναι δυκή την τέχνην, τοῦ παντὸς ἐξέπεσεν οὕτως ἐπὶ Vol. 11.

τοῦ νόμου καὶ τῆς πίστεως, ὁ μὲν ταύτην έχων, καὶ τὸ ἐκείνου τέλος ἔχει ὁ δὲ ταύτης έξω ων, αμφοτέρων έστιν αλλότριος. Hom. xviii. 622. νόμου is here plainly the law of Moses: see Middleton in loc.) unto righteousness (i.e. so as to bring about righteousness, which the law could not do) to (dat. commodi) every one that believeth. "Had they only used the law, instead of abusing it, it would have been their best preparation for the Saviour's advent. For indeed, by reason of man's natural weakness, it was always powerless to justify. It was never intended to make the sinner righteous before God; but rather to impart to him a knowledge of his sinfulness, and to awaken in his heart earnest longings for some powerful deliverer. Thus used, it would have ensured the reception of the Messiah by those who now reject Him. Striving to attain to real holiness, and increasingly conscious of the impossibility of becoming holy by an imperfect obedience to the law's requirements, they would gladly have recognized the Saviour as the end of the law for righteousness." Ewbank.

the law for righteousness. Expans. S. J. For (proof of the impossibility of legal righteousness, as declared even in the law itself) Moses describes (reff.) the righteousness which is of (abstr. – not implying that it has ever been attained, but rather presupposing the contrary) the law, that $(\delta \tau_1$ recitantis, not $\gamma \rho a \rho$. $\delta \tau_1$, in which case we should have $a \delta \tau \tau \rho \nu$. The earn of some versions has apparently arisen from misunderstanding $\delta \tau_1$ the man who hath performed them (the ordinances of the law) shall live in (in the strength of, by means of as his status) it (the righteousness accruing by such doing of them).

ness accraing by such doing of them). As regards the life here promised, the Jewish interpreters themselves included in it more than mere earthly felicity in Canaan, and extended their view to a better life hereafter: see Wetst. in loc. Earthly felicity it doubtless did impart, compare Deut. xxx. 20; but even there, as Thol. observes, 'life' seems to be a general promise, and length of days a particular species of felicity. "In the N. T.," he continues, 'this idea (of life) is always exalted into

rch.ix.30. εν αὐτῷ. 6 ἡ δὲ τἐκ πίστεως δικαιοσύνη οὕτως λέγει, ABDF κεναιά.8. 5 Μὴ εἰπης ἐν τῷ καρδία σου Τίς τἀναβήσεται εἰς τὸν c afgh α ceff. 10 αὐρανόν; 10 τοῦτ ἔστιν χριστὸν καταγαγεῖν 7 ἡ Τίς 10 Τίς 10 τοῦν γελεκ xiii. 15 reff.

that of life blessed and eternal:—see Matt.

vii. 14; xviii. 8, 9; Luke x. 28." 6-8. The righteousness which is of faith is described, in the words spoken in Scripture by Moses of the commandment given by him,—as not dependent on a long and difficult process of search, but near to every man, and in every man's power to atlain. I believe the account of the following citation will be best found by bearing in mind that the Apostle is speaking of Christ as the end of the law for righteousness to the believer. He takes as a confirmation of this, a passage occurring in a prophetic part of Deut., where Moses is foretelling to the Jews the consequences of rejecting God's law, and His mercy to them even when under chastisement, if they would return to Him. He then describes the law in nearly the words cited in this verse. Now the Apostle, regarding Christ as the end of the law, its great central aim and object, quotes these words not merely as suiting his purpose, but as bearing, where originally used, an à fortiori application to faith in Him who is the end of the law, and to the commandment to believe in Him, which (1 John iii. 23) is now 'God's commandment.' If spoken of the law as a manifestation of God in man's heart and mouth, much more were they spoken of Him, who is God manifest in the flesh, the end of the law and the prophets. This view is, it is true, different from that of almost all eminent Commentators, ancient and modern,-who regard the words as merely adapted or parodied by the Apostle as suiting his present purpose. Thus, with minor shades of difference, Chrys., Beza, Grot., Vatabl., Luther, Wolf, Bengel, Koppe, Flatt, Rückert, De Wette, Thol., Stuart, Hodge, al. But we must remember that it is in this passage Paul's object not merely to describe the righteousness which is of faith in Christ, but to shew it described already in the words of the law. Commentators who have taken more or less the view that the Apostle cites the words as bearing the sense put on them, are Calvin, Calovius, Reiche, Meyer, Fritz., Olsh.

But the righteousness which is of faith thus saith (personified, as Wisdom in the Prov.), Say not in thine heart (i. e. 'think not,' a Heb. idiom. The LXX has merely $\lambda \epsilon' \gamma \omega \nu$, $\tau \simeq \lambda^2$. The Apostle cites freely, giving the explanation of $\lambda \epsilon' \gamma \omega \nu$, viz. thinking), Who shall go up to heaven (LXX,

dνaβ. ημίν εἰς τ. οὐρ., see Prov. xxx. 4)?-that is (see note above: - that imports in its full and unfolded meaning), to bring down Christ :- or who shall go down into the abyss (LXX, τίς διαπεράσει ἡμῖν είς τὸ πέραν της θαλάσσης; The Apostle substitutes Tis Kat. els T. &B. as the direct contrast to τίς ἀν. είς τ. οὐρ., as in ref. Ps.; see also Amos ix. 2:- and as better suiting the interpretation which follows)?that is, to bring up Christ from the dead. There is some difficulty in assigning the precise view with which the Apostle intro-duces these questions. Tholuck remarks, "The different interpretations may be reduced to this, that the questions are regarded either (1) as questions of unbelief, or (2) as questions of embarrassment, or (3) as questions of anxiety." The first view is represented by De Wette, who says, "In what sense these questions, from which the righteousness which is of faith dissuades men, are to be taken, is plain from ver. 9, where the Resurrection of Christ is asserted as the one most weighty point of historical Christian belief: - they would be questions of unbelief, which regards this fact as not accomplished, or as now first to be accomplished. Thus also, probably, are we to understand the first question, as applying to the Incarnation of Christ." This is more or less also the view of Chrys., Theodoret, Theophyl., Œe., Erasm., Estius, Semler, Koppe, Meyer, al., Rückert (who refers the doubt of the unbelief to the full accomplishment of redemption by the Incarnation and Resurrection of Christ), Reiche, and Köllner (who refer καταγ. to the ascended Saviour, thereby destroying the symmetry of the whole, - because the latter question undoubtedly refers to bringing Christ not from a present but from a past state, from which He has historically (2) The second view, that they are questions of embarrassment, is taken by L. Capellus, Wolf, Rosenm., and Stuart, which last says, "The whole (of Moses's saying) may be summed up in one word, omitting all figurative expression: viz. the commandment is plain and accessible. You can have, therefore, no excuse for neglecting it. So in the case before us. Justification by faith in Christ is a plain and intelligible doctrine. It is not shut up in mysterious language It is like what Moses says of the statutes which he gave to Israel, plain, intelligible, accessible It is

8. aft $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ins η $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta$ D vulg(not demid tol) Orig₄ Cyr Thdrt Hil Ambrst Ruf Pelag Sedul Bede: aft $\tau \iota$, F. 1st $\epsilon \sigma \tau \nu$ bef 1st $\tau o \rho \eta \mu a$ DF vss lat-ff.

brought before the mind and heart of every man: and thus he is without excuse for unbelief." (3) The third view, that they are questions of anxiety, is that of Calv., Beza, Pisc., Bengel, Knapp, Fritz., and Tholuck:—by none perhaps better expressed than by Ewbank, Comm. on the Ep. to the Rom., p. 74: "Personifying the great Christian doctrine of free justification through faith, he represents it as addressing every man who is anxions to obtain salvation, in the encouraging words of Moses: 'Say not in thine heart, (it says to such an one) &c.' In other words, 'Let not the man, who sighs for deliverance from his own sinfulness, suppose that the accomplishment of some impossible task is required of him, in order to enjoy the blessings of the Gospel. Let him not think that the personal presence of the Messiah is necessary to ensure his salvation. Christ needs not to be brought down from heaven, or up from the abyss, to impart to him forgiveness and holiness. No. Our Christian message contains no impossibilities. We do not mock the sinner by offering him happiness on conditions which we know that he is powerless to fulfil. We tell him that Christ's word is near to him : so near, that he may speak of it with his mouth, and meditate on it with his heart ' Is there any thing above human power in such a confession, and in such a belief? Surely not. It is graciously adapted to the necessity of the very weakest and most sinful of God's creatures." (1) resumed. The objection to this view, as alleged by Tholuck, is, that in it, the contrast with ver. 5 is lost sight of. And this is so far just, that it must be confessed we thus lose the ideas which the Apostle evidently intended us to grasp, those of insuperable difficulty in the acquisition of righteousness by the law, and of facility,-by the gospel. Also, -it puts too forward the allegation of the great matters of historical belief, which are not here the central point of the argument, but introduced as the objects which faith, itself that central point, apprehends. (2) The last objection has some force as against this view. The regarding the questions as mere questions of difficulty and intellectual bewilderment does not adequately represent the (ηλος θεοῦ predicated of the Jews, on the assumption of which the whole passage proceeds. Here, however, it seems to me, we have more truth than in (1): for the plainness and simplicity of the truths to be believed is unquestionably one most important element in the righteousness which is of faith. (3) Here we have the important element just mentioned, not indeed made the prominent point of the questions, but, as it appears to me, properly and sufficiently kept in view. The anxious follower after righteousness is not disappointed by an impracticable code, nor mocked by an unintelligible revelation: the word is near him, therefore accessible; plain and simple, and therefore apprehensible; and, taking (1) into account, we may fairly add. -deals with definite historical fact, and therefore certain: so that his salvation is not contingent on an amount of performance which is beyond him, and therefore inaccessible: irrational, and therefore inapprehensible: undefined, and therefore involved in uncertainty. Thus, it seems to me, we satisfy all the conditions of the argument: and thus also it is clearly brought out, that the words themselves could never have been spoken by Moses of the righteousness which is of the law, but of that which is of faith. 8.] But what says it? The word is near thee, in thy mouth (to confess), and in thine heart (to believe): that is (see above), the word of faith (which forms the substratum and object of faith, see Gal. iii. 2; 1 Tim. iv. 6) which we (ministers of Christ: or perhaps, I Paul) preach. This verse has been explained in dealing with vv. 6 and 7.

9.] Because (explanation of the word being near thee: so Thol., De Wette, Stnart, al. Others take δτι here as in ver. 5, merely recitantis, making ἐὰν κ.τ.λ. the ρῆμα preached. But as Thol. observes, (1) the duty of confessing the Lord Jesus can hardly be called part of the contents of the preaching of faith, but the prominence given to that duty shews a reference to the words of Moses: (2) the making ὅτι render

E E 2

9. aft omodogy s ins to rhma B 71 Clem Cyr. for kuriov insour, oti kurios B Clem Cyr: so, addg estiv, copt Hil Aug. aft insour ins cristopa A Petr Bas. $\eta_{\gamma \in \mu \nu}$ bef autor A b k o copt Cyr-jer Cyr_{sepe}.

11. ins μη bef καταισχυνθησεται DF.

12. ιουδαιω και ελληνι D.

a reason for έγγύς σου κ.τ.λ. suits much better the context and form of the passage: (3) the fact of the confession with the mouth standing first, also shows a reference to what has gone before: for when the Apostle brings his own arrangement in ver. 10, he puts, as natural, the belief of the heart first), if thou shalt confess with thy mouth (same order as ver. 8) the Lord Jesus (not, I think, 'Jesus as the Lord' [see the readg of B al.]: this might very well be, - and κύριον might, as Thol., be the predicate placed first for emphasis, did not Paul frequently use κύριος 'Ιησοῦς for 'the Lord Jesus, — see [ch. xiv. 14 after a prep.] 1 Cor. i. 3 al.; Phil. [ii. 19] iii. 20; Col. iii. 17 [1 Thess. i. 1; iv. 1]. 1 Cor. xii. 3 is hardly an example on the other side: see note there, but 2 Cor. iv. 5 is, cf. note there), and believe in thine heart that God raised Him from the dead (here, as in 1 Cor. xv. 14, 16, 17, regarded as the great central fact of redemption), thou shalt be saved (inherit eternal life).

Here we have the two parts of the above question again introduced: the confession of the Lord Jesus implying his having come down from heaven, and the belief in His resurrection implying His having been brought up from the dead. 10. For (refers back to ver. 6, where the above words were ascribed to ή ἐκ πίστεως δικαιοσύνη, and explains how πιστεύσ. έν τῆ καρδ, refer to the acquiring of righteousness) with the heart faith is exercised (πιστεύεται, men helieve) unto (so as to be available to the acquisition of) righteousness, but (q. d. 'not only so; but there must be an outward confession, in order for justification to be carried forward to salvation') with the mouth confession

is made unto salvation. Clearly the words δικ. and σωτ. are not used here, as De W., al., merely as different terms for the same thing, for the sake of the paral-lclism: but as Thol. quotes from Crell., $\sigma\omega\tau$, is the 'terminus ultimus et apex justificationis,' consequent not merely on the act of justifying faith as the other, but on a good confession before the world, maintained unto the end. (proof of the former part of ver. 10) the Scripture saith, Every one who believeth on Him shall not be ashamed. παs is neither in the LXX nor the Heb., but is implied in the indefinite participle. The Apostle scems to use it here as taking up παντί τῷ πιστεύοντι, ver. 4. See ch. ix. 33.

12.] For (an explanation of the strong expression πας δ πιστεύων, as implying the universal offer of the riches of God's mercy in Christ) there is no distinction of Jew and Greek (Gentile. See ch. iii. 22); for the same Lord of all (viz. Christ, who is the subject here: vv. 9, 11, 13 cannot be separated. So Orig., Chrys., Œc., Calov., Wolf, Bengel, Rück., Meyer, Fritz., De Wette, Tholuck, al. So πάντων κύριος of Christ, Acts x. 36. Most modern Commentators make & avrbs the subject, and κύριος the predicate. But I prefer the usual rendering, both on account of the strangeness of & avros thus standing alone, and because this Apostle uses the expression δ αθτός κύριος, 1 Cor. xii. 5, and even δ αὐτὸς θεός, ib. 6, for 'the same Lord,' and 'it is the same God.' Stuart supplies, '(there is) the same Lord:' but this is harsh,-and unnecessary, if the participle πλουτών be taken as συντελών κ. συντ. in ch. ix. 28) is rich towards all ('by els is signified the direction in which the

klmn o17

14. rec επικαλεσονται (see note), with KL rel Clem Thdor-mops Chr Thdrt Damasc (Ee Thl: txt ABDFN a. ins η bef 1st πως δε F latt. rec πιστευσουσιν, with AKL rel Clem Ath Chr Thdrt Damasc (Ee Thl: txt BDFN Chr-ms. rec ακουσουσιν, with L rel Clem Chr-montf Thdrt (Ee Thl: ακουσονται DFKN¹ d Damasc: txt A²BN³ m 17 Ath Chr-2-mss. (A¹ illegʻible.)

15. rec κηρυξουσιν, with rel Clem Chr Thdrt Damase Œe Thl: κηρυσσουσιν F: ακουσωσιν c: txt ABIKLN 17 Chr.2-mss. καθαπερ B: καθα Chr-ms. εαμαγγελίζωμενον εψηνην των (komeotel) ABCN¹ coptt æth Clem Orig (Thdor-mops) Damase Ruf Epiph; ins DFKLN³ rel latt syrr goth arm Chr Thdrt Œe Thl Ireu-int Tert₃ Ambr₄ Jer₂ Hila-—om των F.—evang. bona evang. pacem Ireu-int Tert₄ Hil₄.—om σαν F.—evang. bona evang. μαν Ireu-int Tert₄ Hil₄.— Tec ins τα bef αγαθα, with D²-¾KLN¹ rel Clem Chr Thdrt Œe Thl: om ABCD¹FN³ Orig Damase.

16. aft υπηκουσαν ins εν (but marked for erasure) ℵ¹.

stream of grace rushes forth.' Olsh.) who call upon Him. 13-21. Proof from Scripture of this assertion, and argument thereon. 13.7 For every one, whosoever shall call upon the Name of the Lord (Jehovah,-but used here of Christ beyond a doubt, as the next verse shews. There is hardly a stronger proof, or one more irrefragable by those who deny the Godhead of our Blessed Lord, of the unhesitating application to Him by the Apostle of the name and attributes of Jehovah) shall be saved. 14, 15. It has been much doubted to whom these questions refer,—to Jews or to Gentiles? It must, I think, be answered, To neither exclusively. They are generalized by the $\pi \hat{a}s$ δν αν of the preceding verse, to mean all, both Jews and Gentiles. And the inference in what follows, though mainly concerning the rejection of the unbelieving Jews, has regard also to the reception of the Gentiles: see below on vv. 19, 20.

At the same time, as Meyer remarks, "the necessity of the Gospel $\hat{\alpha}$ -morads, must first be laid down, in order to bring out in strong contrast the disobedience of some." How then (i. e. posito, that the foregoing is so) can they (men, represented by the $\pi \hat{\alpha} s$ $\hat{b} s$ $\hat{a} v$ of ver. 13) call on (I have followed the majority of the chief MSS, in reading the aor, subjunctive instead of the future indic. So also ch. vi. 1) Him in whom they have not believed (i. e. begun to believe: so ch. xii. 113 Sut how can they believe (in Him)

of whom they have not heard (construction see reff.)? But how can they hear without a preacher? But how can men preach unless they shall have been sent? As it is written, How beautiful are the feet of those who [publish glad tidings of peace, who] publish glad tidings of $(\tau \acute{a}$ is excluded by the strong MS. testimony against it) good things. The Apostle is shewing the necessity and dignity of the preachers of the word, which leads on to the uni-versality of their preaching, leaving all who disobey it without excuse. He therefore cites this, as shewing that their instrumentality was one recognized in the prophetic word, where their office is described and glorified. The applicability of these words to the preachers of the Gospel is evident from the passage in Isaiah itself, which is spoken indeed of the return from captivity, but in that return has regard to a more glorious one under the future Redeemer. We need not therefore say that the Apostle uses Scripture words merely as expressing his own thoughts in a wellknown garb ;-he alleges the words as a prophetic description of the preachers of whom he is writing. 16.] In this preaching of the Gospel some have been found obedient, others disobedient: and this was before announced by Isaiah. The persons here meant are as yet kept indefinite,-but evidently the Apostle has in his mind the unbelieving Jews, about whom his main discourse is employed.

But not all hearkened to (historic : dur-

 $t=John\,x$ li. v^{2} v^{2}

only, P.

y (Luke xi, 28 v. r.) ch. ix. 20 only †.

z Mett. ix. 25. Mark i. 28. Psa. xviii 4.

l Cor. xiv. 7 only, Ps. l. c. Wisd. xix. 18 only,

ii. 8 al. fr. c Paul, here only. Matt. xxiv. 14. Luke xi. 31 (Heb vi. 10) only. Ps.

iii. 10. xv. 9. xvi. 14 only. Ps. (xxi) 8.

17. rec (for χριστον) θεον, with AD^{2.3}KLN-corr^{1.3} rel syrr æth-pl Clem Ath Thdormops Chr Thdrt Damasc Œc Thl Ruf Sedul: Dei Christi Bede: txt BCD¹N¹ vulg coptt goth æth-rom Aug Pel Ambrst.

om μενουνγε FD¹-lat Ruf. aft πασαν ins γαρ D¹(and lat¹).
 rec ουκ εγνω bef ισραηλ (corrn for elegance?), with D²L rel syrr Thdrt Thl: txt

ing the preaching) the good news (où πάντες, because πάντες, see vv. 11-13, were the objects of the preaching, and must hearken to it if they would be saved) :-(and this too was no unlooked for thing, but predetermined in the divine counsel) for Esaias saith, Lord (κύριε is not in the Heb.) who believed our report (the hearing of us)? 17.] Faith then (conclusion from ver. 16, τίς ἐπίστ. τῷ ἀκοῆ) is from report (i. e. hearing, see above. The publication of the Gospel produces belief in it), and the report (the hearing; the effect of the publication of the Gospel) is by means of (not, 'in obedience to, but 'by,' as its instrument and vehicle) the word of Christ (θεοῦ has probably been a rationalizing correction, to suit better the sense of the prophecy. βήματος is used possibly, as De Wette suggests, as a preparation for τὰ βήματα αὐτ. in ver. 18. But (in anticipation of an 18). objection that Israel, whom he has especially in view, had not sufficiently heard the good tidings) I say, Did they not hear (ήκουσαν partly founded on the cognate άκοή of the last verse, partly recalling the ἤκουσαν of ver. 14)? nay rather (ch. ix. 20, note) into all the earth went forth their voice, and to the ends of the world their words. It is remarkable that so few of the Commentators have noticed (I have found it only in Bengel, and there but faintly hinted: Olsh., who defends the applicability of the text, does not even allude to it) that Psal, xix, is a comparison of the sun, and glory of the heavens, with the word of God. As far as ver. 6 the glories of nature are described; then the great subject is taken up, and the parallelism carried out to the end. So that the Apostle has not, as alleged in nearly all the Commentators, merely accommodated the text

allegorically, but taken it in its context, and followed up the comparison of the Psalm.

As to the assertion of the preaching of the Gospel having gone out into all the world, when as yet a small part of it only had been evangelized,-we must remember that it is not the extent, so much as the universality in character, of this preaching, which the Apostle is here asserting; that word of God, hitherto confined within the limits of Judæa, had now broken those bounds, and was preached in all parts of the earth. See Col. i. 6, 23. 19. But (in anticipation of another objection, that this universal evangelizing and admission of all, had at any rate taken the Jews by surprise, -that they had not been forewarned of any such purpose of God) I say, Did Israel (no emphasis on Israel-they are not first here introduced, nor have the preceding verses been said only of the Gentiles; but they have been during those verses in the Apostle's mind, and are now named for distinctness' sake, because it is not now a question of their having heard, which they did in common with all, but of their having been aware from their Scriptures of God's intention with regard to themselves and the Gentiles) not know (supply, not 'the Gospel, την ἀκοήν, as Chrys., Estius, Rückert, Olsh., nl.,—but, the fact that such a general proclamation of the Gospel would be made as has been mentioned in the last verse, raising up the Gentiles into equality and rivalry with themselves - so Meyer, Fritz., Thol., De Wette, Stuart, al .-Others supply variously :- Calv. and Beza, 'the truth of God,'-so as to have an advantage over the Gentiles :- Bengel, 'justitiam Dei:'-Bretschneider and Reiche take 'Ισραήλ for the object of έγιω, and understand & Ochs as its subject : 'Did not God know, - acknowledge, regard with love, Μωυσῆς λέγει Ἐρὰ ⁴ παραζηλώσω ὑμᾶς ° ἐπ' † οὐκ ἔθνει, ἀ ch. xi. 11, 14. 1. cor. x. 22 ° ἐπὶ ἔθνει ε ἀσυνέτω ἡ παροργιῶ ὑμᾶς. 20 ' Ησαΐας δὶ απίν. Εὐρέθην [ἱ ἐν] τοῖς ἐμὲ μὴ ἢ ζητοῦ - ἐπὲν ἐπὶ 21 · ατιν, ἡ ἐμφανὴς ἐγενόμην τοῖς ἑμὲ μὴ ὸ ἐπερωτῶσιν, ἱ Lam. ὶ τοὶ τραὴλ λέγει 'Ολην τὴν ἡμέραν ἱ Εκὶ. 21 · πρὸς δὲ τὸν ἱσραὴλ λέγει 'Ολην τὴν ἡμέραν ἱ Εκὶ. 12 · ται απίγ. 1. απίγ. 1.

Jos. Antf. xv. 10. 8.

Jos. Antf. xv. 10. 8.

27 (refl.) only.

n Acts x. 40 only.

p - Luke xviii. 9. xx. 19. Heb. i. 7, 8.

r ch. ii. 8 al. Dent. xxi. 20.

s Luke xviii. 9. xx. 19. Heb. i. 7, 8.

s Luke xviii. 9. xx. 19. Heb. i. 7, 8.

s Luke xviii. 9. xx. 19. Heb. i. 7, 8.

s Luke xviii. 9. xx. 19. Heb. i. 7, 8.

s Luke xviii. 9. xx. 19. Heb. i. 7, 8.

s Luke xviii. 9. xx. 19. Heb. i. 7, 8.

s Luke xviii. 45. L. P., exc John xix. 12.

s Luke xviii. 9. xx. 19. Heb. i. 7, 8.

ABCD¹⁻³FN d m latt coptt goth arm Chr Damase Hil. for 1st vuas, autous (from L_{XX}) CN³. $\epsilon \pi^{\prime}$ BC²D m. for 2nd vuas, autous N³.

(from Lxx) CN³. $\epsilon r'$ BC²D m. for 2nd vmas, autous N³. 20. on amotohma kai DF. rec om $\epsilon \nu$ (corrn to suit Lxx?), with ACD^{2,3}LN rel vulg syrr copt Clem Chr Thdrt Hil: ins BD¹F saligoth Ambrist. aft $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \rho \mu \eta \nu$ ins $\epsilon \nu$ BD¹ Ruf.

21. for 2nd προς, επι D Clem. ο ο και αντιλεγοντα F Hil: for αντιλεγ., λεγ.

-Israel?' But surely the context will not allow this) ?-First (in the order of the prophetic roll; q. d. their very earliest prophet: compare Matt. x. 2, πρώτος Σίμων κ.τ.λ. Thol., after Rückert, observes, "The Apostle has in his mind a whole series of prophetic sayings which he might adduce, but gives only a few instead of all, and would shew by the $\pi\rho\hat{\omega}\tau$ os, that even in the carliest period the same complaint [of Israel's unbelief] is found") Moses saith, I will move you (Heb. and LXX, 'them') to jealousy with (those who are) no nation (the Gentiles, as opposed to the people of God), with a foolish (נְבָל, the spiritual fool of Ps. xiv. 1; liii. 1; Prov. xvii. 21) nation will I provoke you. The original reference of these words, as addressed to Israel by Moses, is exactly apposite to the Apostle's argument. Moses prophetically assumes the departure of Israel from God, and his rejection of them, and denounces from God that as they had moved Him to jealousy with their 'no-gods' (idols) and provoked Him to anger by their vanities, -so He would, by receiving into his favour a 'no-nation,' make them jeulous, and provoke them to anger by adopting instead of them a foolish nation. On the interpre-tation of De Wette, al., that the meaning is, God would deliver the children of Israel as a prey to the idolatrous nations of Canaan, the parallels will not hold; nor do the following verses in Deut. (22-25) jus-20.] But (even more than this: there is stronger testimony yet) Esaias is very bold and says (i. e. as we say, 'dares to say,' 'rentures to speak thus

plainly.' Thol. compares Eschin. de Falsa Leg. c. 45: καν έθελήση σχετλιάζειν κ. λέγειν), I was found (so LXX, the Heb. is יבְּרְשָׁהִי 'I was sought:' but apparently in the sense of Ezek. xiv. 3; xx. 3, 'enquired of:' which amounts to εὐρέθην. In Ezek. xiv. the LXX render it αποκρίνεσθαι -and so Stier here, Id gebe Untwort . . .) by (or among) those who sought me not, I became manifest to those who asked not after me. The clauses are inverted in order from the LXX. De Wette and other modern Commentators have maintained that Isa. lxv. 1 is spoken of the Jews, and not of the Gentiles; their main argument for this view being the connexion of ch. lxiv. and lxv. But even granting this connexion, it does not follow that God is not speaking in reproach to Israel in ch. lxv. 1, and reminding them prophetically, that while they, His own rebellious people, provoke Him to anger, the Gentiles which never sought Him have found Him. The whole passage is thoroughly gone into and its true meaning satisfactorily shewn, in Stier's valuable work, "Jejaias, n'dyt Pjeudo:Jejaias," pp. 797 ff., who remarks that 'the nation which was not called by my Name,' in lxv. 1, can only primarily mean the Gentiles.

21.] But of (not 'to,' but 'with regard to :' see reff. The words are not an address) Israel (evidently emphatic; -the former words having been said of the Gentiles) he saith (ibid. ver. 2), All the day (after µov in LXX) I stretched forth my hands (the attitude of gracious invitation) to a people disobedient and gainsaying

Chap. XI. 1. for $\tau o \nu \lambda a o \nu$, $\tau \eta \nu \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu o \mu \iota a \nu$ F Thi Ambr Ambrst Hil Sedul. aft autou ins $o \nu \pi \rho o \epsilon \gamma \nu \omega \Lambda D^1 \mathbb{N}^3$ Thi Aug₁ Ambrst-comm. [βενιαμείν, so A B²(Rl) CN m 17.]

(rebellious; the same word לכר occurs Deut. xxi. 18). Chap. XI, 1—10.7 Yet God has not cast off His people, but there is a remnant according to the election of grace (1-6),—the rest being hardened (7-10).
1.] I say then (a false inference from ch. x. 19-21,—made in order to be refuted), Did (μή, it cannot surely be, that) God cast off His people (as would almost appear from the severe words just adduced)? Be it not so: for I also am an Israelite (ἐκ γένους Ἰσρ., Phil. iii. 5), of the seed of Abraham (mentioned probably for solemnity's sake, as bringing to mind all the promises made to Abraham), of the tribe of Benjamin (so Phil. iii. 5). is some question with what intent the Apostle here brings forward himself. Three ways are open to us: either (1) it is as a case in point, as an example of an Israelite who has not been rejected but is still one of God's people: so almost all the Commentators-but this is hardly probable,for in this case (a) he would not surely bring one only example to prove his point, when thousands might have been alleged,-(B) it would be hardly consistent with the humble mind of Paul to put himself alone in such a place, —and (γ) μη γένοιτο does not go simply to deny a hypothetical fact, but applies to some deprecated rousequence of that which is hypothetically put:—or (2) as De Wette, al., he implies, 'How can I say such a thing, who am myself an Israelite, &c.?' 'Does not my very nationality furnish a security against my entertaining such an idea ? '- or (3) which I believe to be the right view, but which I have found only in the commentary of Mr. Ewbank, as implying that if such a hypothesis were to be conceded, it would exclude from God's kingdom the writer himself, as an Israelite. This seems better to agree with μη γένοιτο, as deprecuting the consequence of such an assertion.

But a question even more important arises, not unconnected with that just discussed: viz. who are δ \(\alpha \text{a} \times \alpha \times \times \times \times \) are δ \(\alpha \times \times \times \times \times \times \times \) are the meaning just assigned to it, it is obvious that δ \(\alpha \times \ti

cated such a proposition as the rejection of God's people, because he himself would thus be as an Israelite cut off from God's favour, the rejection assumed in the hypothesis must be a national rejection. It is against this that he puts in his strong protest. It is this which he disproves by a cogent historical parallel from Scripture, shewing that there is a remnant kal ev τῷ νῦν καιρῷ according to the election of grace: and not only so, but that that part of Israel (considered as having continuity of national existence) which is for a time hardened, shall ultimately come in, and so all Israel (nationally considered again, Israel as a nation) shall be saved. Thus the covenant of God with Israel, having been national, shall ultimately be fulfilled to them as a nation: not by the gathering in merely of individual Jews, or of all the Jews individually, into the Christian church, - but by the national restoration of the Jews, not in unbelief, but as a Christian believing nation, to all that can, under the gospel, represent their ancient pre-eminence, and to the fulness of those promises which have never yet in their plain sense been accomplished to them. I have entered on this matter here, because a clear understanding of it underlies all intelligent appreciation of the argument of the chapter. Those who hold no national restoration of the Jews to pre-eminence, must necessarily confound the έν τῷ νῦν $\kappa \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\varphi}$ remnant according to the election of grace, with the of λοιποί, who nationally shall be grafted in again. See this more fully illustrated where that image occurs, ver. 17 ff. 2. God did not east off his people which he foreknew $(\pi \rho \sigma)$ έγνω as in rell. :- 'which, in His own eternal decree before the world, He selected as the chosen nation, to be His own, the depositary of His law, the vehicle of the theocracy, from its first revelation to Moses, to its completion in Christ's future kingdom.' It is plain that this must here be the sense, and that the words must not be limited, with Orig., Aug., Chrys., Calv., al., to the elect Christian people of God from among the Jews, with Paul as their representative: see on ver. 1. On this ex $\dot{0}$ θεὸς τὸν λαὸν αὐτοῦ ὑν y πουέγνω. $\dot{\eta}$ οὐκ οἴδατε z έν $^{y={
m ch.\,viii.\,29}}$ του Ισραήλ, 3 Κύριε, τους προφήτας σου απέκτειναν, τα bhere only. θυσιαστήρια σου κατέσκαψαν, καγώ τυπελείφθην μόνος, 32. Ακτέ xxv. 21. ανδρας, οίτινες οὐκ k έκαμψαν k γόνυ l τη Βάαλ. b οὕτως $^{(πτοξες, επτοξες, επ$

2. rec at end ins λεγων, with LN1 rel Syr Œc Thl: om ABCDFN3 latt coptt arm Eus Chr Thdrt Damase Ruf Ambr.

3. rec ins kai bef $\tau \alpha$ $\theta \nu \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \alpha$, with DLN3 rel syrr Justin Chr₂ Thdrt: om

3. rec ins και σει teus. Chr.
ABCFN 17 latt coptt Eus. Chr.
A κατελειπον ΑCFL n. for τη, τω F. (το F: τω G.) λιμμα Λ Β¹(Mai) CD¹Fℵ: λημμα Β². κατ' εκλογης D1.

planation, the question of ver. 1 would be self contradictory, and this negation a truism. It would be inconceivable, that God should east off His elect). Or (see ch. ix. 21 al. :- introduces a new objection

to the matter impugned) know ye not what the Scripture saith in (the history of) Elias (better thus than 'with regard to, as Luth., Erasm., Calv., Beza, al. Tholuck gives examples: from Pansan. viii. 37. 3, —ξστιν εν "Ηρας δρκφ τὰ ξπη, —i. e. in that part of the Iliad [ξ. 278] where Hera swears by the Titans: from Thuevd. i. 9,-καὶ ἐν τοῦ σκήπτρου άμα τῆ παραδόσει είρηκεν αὐτὸν πολλῆσι νήσοισι κ. Αργεϊ παντί ἀνάσσειν, i. e. in that part of the Iliad [B. 108] where the transmission of the sceptre is related)? how (depends on οὐκ οἴδατε) he pleads with (see reff.—and note, ch. viii. 26) God against Israel, &c. The citation is a free one from the LXX. The clauses τοὺς $\pi \rho o \phi$., and τὰ θυσιαστ. are inverted, $\epsilon \nu$ βομφαία is omitted, and κάγω ύπελείφθ. μόνος is put for καὶ ὑπολέλειμμαι ἐγὰ μονότατος. The altars, as De W. observes, were those on the high places, dedicated to God. 4. But what saith the divine response to him (χρη-ματισμός, see reff. and reff. to the verb, Acts x. 22)? I have left to myself (here the Apostle corrects a mistake of the LXX, who have for κατέλιπον-καταλείψεις,in the Complut. ed. κατλείψω. He has added to the Heb. השארה, "I have left,"

'kept as a remainder,'- ἐμαυτῷ, a simple and obvious filling up of the sense) seven thousand men, who (the sense of the saying, as far as regards the present purpose, viz. to shew that all these were faithful men; in the original text and LXX, it is implied that these were all the faithful men,—έπτὰ χιλιάδας ἀνδρῶν, πάντα γό-νατα ἃ οὐκ ὥκλασαν γόνυ τῷ Β. κ. πᾶν στόμα δ οὐ προςεκύνησεν αὐτῷ. But this was not necessary to be brought out here) never bowed knee to Baal. "Here the LXX, according to the present text, have $au\hat{\varphi}$, not $au\hat{\eta}$ Βάαλ: but elsewhere (see reff.) they write the fem .: and probably the Apostle read it so in his copy." Fritz. According to this Commentator, they wrote the fem., taking Baal for a female deity; according to Beyer, Addit ad Seld de diis Syr., Wetst., Koppe, Olsh., Meyer,-because Baal was an androgynous deity; according to Gesenius, in Rosenmüller, Rep. i. 39, to designate feebleness, compare the Rabbinical אַלוֹהוֹת, 'false gods,' and other analogous expressions in Tholnek. " The regarding $\tau \hat{\eta}$ Báal as put for $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\tau o \hat{v}$ Βάαλ, seil. εἰκόνι or στήλη, as Erasm., Beza, Grot., Estius, al., and Bretschneider, is perfectly arbitrary." De Wette. In Tobit i. 5, we have, πασαι αί φυλαl αί συναποστᾶσαι έθυον τῆ Βάαλ τῆ δαμάλει, where the golden calves of the ten tribes seem to be identified with Baal. Thus then (analogical inference from the example just cited) in the present time r ch. iii. 9. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i$ $\hat{\eta}$ $\chi \hat{\alpha} \rho \iota \varsigma^q$ $\hat{\sigma}$ $\hat{\upsilon} \kappa$ $\hat{\epsilon} \tau \iota$ $\gamma \hat{\iota} \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ $\chi \hat{\alpha} \rho \iota \varsigma$ $\hat{\iota}$ $\hat{\epsilon}$ $\hat{\epsilon}$ $\hat{\epsilon}$ $\hat{\epsilon}$ $\hat{\tau}$ $\hat{\sigma}$ $\hat{\tau}$ $\hat{$

6. for $\gamma \nu \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha_t$, $\epsilon \sigma \tau_t$ C²(appy) 54 syrr Chr Thdrt: est vulg D-lat lat-if: erit G-lat. om last clause ACDFN 17 latt coptt with arm Damasc Ambr Aug Ambrst: ins (with some variations) BLN3 rel syrr Chr Thdrt ('both, in text: they do not expl it in comm; but that does not prove its omn:' Tischdf' Gennad-c & Thl. (See notes.) [rec ins $\epsilon \sigma \tau_t$ bef 3rd $\chi \alpha \rho \iota s$: omd by BN3. for $\epsilon \rho \gamma \rho \sigma$ at end, $\chi \alpha \rho \iota s$ (by mistaket) B.]

7. επεξητει F 73 latt syrr lat-ff. rec τουτου (grammatical corrn), with d g h l² Chr₁-montf Thdor-mops Thdrt: txt ABCDFLN rel Chr₁-2-mss Œc-ms.

 $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \omega \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu (\text{sic}) \text{ C (m?)}: \epsilon \pi \sigma \rho \epsilon \nu \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \text{ c}: \epsilon \pi \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \text{ G6}^2: excecati sunt latt.}$

also (or, even in the present time, scil. of Israel's national rejection) there is a remnant (a part has remained faithful, which thus has become a Aeiuna) according to (in virtue of, -in pursuance of) the election (selection, choice of a few out of many) of grace (made not for their desert, nor their foreseen congruity, but of God's free 6. 'And let unmerited favour). us remember, when we say an election of grace, how much those words imply: viz. nothing short of the entire exclusion of all human work from the question. Let these two terms be regarded as, and kept, distinct from one another, and do not let us attempt to mix them and so destroy the meaning of each.' So that the meaning of the verse is to clear up and remove all doubt concerning the meaning of 'election of grace,'-and to profess on the part of the Apostle perfect readiness to accept his own words in their full sense, and to abide by them. This casts some light on the question of the genuineness of the bracketed clause (see authorities in var. readd.). The object being precision, it is much more probable that the Apostle should have written both clauses in their present formal parallelism, and that the second should have been early omitted from its seeming superfluity, than that it should have been inserted from the margin. Besides which, as Fritz, has remarked, the words do not correspond sufficiently with those of the first clause to warrant the supposition of their having been constructed to tally with it : we have for xapiri in the first, έξ έργων in the second, - for γίνεται χάρις, έστιν έργον;—and the plur. έργα would probably have been retained in the inference of clause 2. But (directing attention to the consequence of the admission, έκλ. χάριτος) if by grace (the selection has been made), it is no longer (when we have

conceded that, we have excluded its being) of (arising out of, as its source) works: for (in that case) grace no longer becomes (i.e. becomes no longer-loses its efficacy and character as) grace (the freedom and 'proprio motu' character, absolutely necessary to the idea of grace, are lost, the act having been prompted from without) :but if of (arising out of, as the cause and source of the selection) works, no longer is it (the act of selection) grace; for (in that case) work no longer is work (the essence of work, in our present argument, being 'that which earns reward,' and the reward being, as supposed, the election to be of the remnant,—if so carned, there can be no admixture of divine favour in the matter; it must be all earned, or none: none conferred by free grace, or all). These cautions of the Apostle are decisive against all attempts at compromise between the two great antagonist hypotheses, of salvation by God's free grace, and salvation by man's meritorious works. The two cannot be combined without destroying the plain meaning of words. If now the Apostle's object in this verse be to guard carefully the doctrine of election by free grace from any attempt at an admixture of man's work, why is he anxious to do this just at this point? I conceive, because he is immediately about to enter on a course of exposition of the divine dealings, in which, more than ever before, he rests all upon God's sovereign purpose, while at the same time he shews that purpose, though apparently severe, to be one, on the whole, of grace and love.

7.] What then (what therefore must be one conclusion from what has been stated? We have seen that God hath not cast off his own chosen nation, but that even now there is a remnant. This being so, what aspect do matters present? This

8. καθαπερ BR. δ is written twice in R.

9. ins καθαπερ bef και δαυειδ C.

he asks to bring out an answer which may set in view the of λοιποί)? that which Israel is in search of (viz. δικαιοσύνη, see ch. ix. 31; x. 1 ft), this it (as a nation) found not (on ἐπιτυγχάνω w. an acc, see Matthia, Gr. Gr. § 363 obs.), but the election (the abstract, because Israel has been spoken of in the abstract, and to keep out of view for the present the mere individual cases of converted Jews in the idea of an elected remand) found it:

.8.] but the rest were hardened (not 'blinded;' see note on Eph. iv. 18:σκληροτέραν ή ἀπιστία τὴν καρδίαν αὐτῶν ἀπειργάσατο. Theodoret. It is passive, and implies God as the agent. This for the sake of the context, έδωκεν αὐτοῖς δ θεὸς κ.τ.λ, not necessarily for the meaning of the word itself, which might indicate 'became hard,' but certainly does not here),-as it is written (if we are to regard these passages as merely analogous instances of the divine dealings, we must remember that the perspective of prophecy, in stating such cases, embraces all analogous ones, the divine dealings being self-consistent,-and especially that great one, in which the words are most prominently fulfilled), -- God gave to them (LXX and Heb., πεπότικεν ύμαs) a spirit (see reff.) of torpor (there is at the end of Fritzsche's commentary on this chapter an elaborate excursus on κατάνυξις, in which he has thoroughly investigated its derivation and meaning. He comes to the conclusion that it is derived from κατανύσσω, 'compungo,' and might signify any excitement of mind, pity, sadness, &c., -but in the few places where it occurs, it does import stupor or numbness: -so ref. Ps., επότισας ήμας οίνον κατανύξεως,which Hammond explains to mean the stupifying wine given to them that were to be put to death. Hamm. also cites from Marcus Eremita, νουθεσ. ψυχ., p. 948, a passage where he describes πόνον της κατανύξεως as the consequence of οἰνοποσίαι. Tholuck compares the similar meanings of 'frappé,' struck, betroffen), eyes that they should not see (such eyes that they might not see : in the Heb. and LXX the negative is joined with the verb, καλ οὐκ ἔδωκεν κύριος ὁ θ. ὑμῶν κ.τ.λ.) and ears that they should not hear unto this present day. These last words are not, as Beza, E. V., Griesb., Knapp, to be separated from the citation, and joined to ἐπωρώθησαν: they belong to the words in Deut, and are adduced by St. Paul as applying to the day then present, as they did to the day when Moses spoke them: see 2 Cor. iii. 15. 9.] And David saith, Let their table be for a snare and for a net (θήρα more usually 'a hunt,' or the act of taking or catching,-but here and in ref. a net, the instrument of capture. It is not in the Heb. nor in the LXX, and is perhaps inserted by the Apostle to give emphasis by the accumulation of synonymes), and for a stumbling-block and recompense to them (the LXX have είς παγίδα κ. είς ανταπόδοσιν κ. είς σκάνδαλον. The Heb. of είς ἀνταπόδοσιν, as at present pointed, is לְשָלוֹמִים, 'to the secure.' It has been supposed that the LXX pointed שישלומים or לשלומים, 'for retributions.' See Ps. xci. 8: but qu.?).

10.] Let their eyes be darkened that they may not see, and their back bowthou down always. "Instead of bending the back, the Heb. text speaks of making the loins to tremble, דְיִים בְּיִיבְיִים בִּייבִים. This elsewhere is a sign of great terror, Nah. ii. 10; Dan. v. 6: and the darkening of the eyes betokens in the Psalm,

ο bere only. 1.c. 4 Kings σύγκαμψον. 11 $^{\rm p}$ λέγω οὖν, μη $^{\rm q}$ ἔπταισαν ἵνα $^{\rm r}$ πέσω- ABCD 1.c. 4 Kings $^{\rm r}$ σιν; $^{\rm s}$ μη γένοιτο ἀλλὰ $^{\rm r}$ αὐτῶν $^{\rm t}$ παραπτώματι $^{\rm r}$ $^{\rm c}$ αἰτ $^{\rm t}$ $^{\rm t}$ παραπτώματι $^{\rm r}$ $^{\rm c}$ αἰτ $^{\rm t}$ $^{\rm t}$

12. om ver A.

a weakened, humbled, servile condition, just as in Deut. xxviii. 65—67. It is plain from $\delta i \bar{\alpha} \pi a \nu r \delta s$, that we must not suppose the infirmities of age to be meant. The Apostle might well apply such a description to the servile condition of the bondmen of the law, see Gal.iv. 24." Tholuck.

11-24.] Yet this exclusion and hardening has not been for their destruction, but for mercy to the Gentiles, and eventually for their own restoration. 11. I say then (see on ver. 1), Did they (who? see below) stumble in order that they should fall (not 'sic, ut caderent' - as Vulg., -so Orig., Chrys., Grot., al., denoting the result merely: neither the grammar nor the context will bear this: the Apostle is arguing respecting God's intent in the παράπτωμα of the Jewish nation. He here calls it by this mild name to set forth that it is not final. The subject of έπταισαν is the αὐτοί of the following verses, i. e. the Jews, as a people: not the unbelieving individuals, who are characterized as πεσόντες. ver. 22. He regards the λοιποί as the representatives of the Jewish people, who have nationally stumbled, but not in order to their final fall, seeing that God has a gracious purpose towards the Gentiles even in this πταίσμα of theirs, and intends to raise them nationally from it in the end. This distinction, between the mraidavres, the whole nation as a nation, and the πεσόντες, the unbelieving branches who have been cut off, is most important to the right understanding of the chapter, and to the keeping in mind the separate ideas, of the restoration of individuals here and there throughout time, and the restoration The stress is on of Israel at the end. πέσωσιν, and it is the fall which is denied : not on ίνα πέσωσιν, so that the purpose merely should be denied, and the fall admitted) ? God forbid : but (the trner account of the matter is) by their trespass (not fall, as E.V.) salvation (has come) to the Gentiles, in order to stir them (Israel) up to jealousy. Two gracions purposes of God are here stated, the latter wrought out through the former. By this

stumble of the Jews out of their national place in God's favour, and the admission of the Gentiles into it, the very people thus excluded are to be stirred up to set themselves in the end effectually to regain, as a nation, that pre-eminence from which they are now degraded. 12.] Then the Apostle argues on this, as Meyer well says, 'a felici effectu causæ pejoris ad feliciorem effectum causæ melioris:'-But ('posito, that '-as in last verse-taking for granted the historical fact, that the stumble of the Jews has been coincident with the admission of the Gentiles) if their trespass is the world's wealth (the occasion of that wealth, -the wealth itself being the participation in the unsearchable riches of Christ), and (this latter clause parallel to and explanatory of the less plainly expressed one before it) their loss, the wealth of the Gentiles, how much more (shall) their replenishment (be all this)? On ήττημα and πλήρωμα much question has been raised. I have taken both as answering strictly to the comparison here before the Apostle's mind, viz. that of impoverishing and enriching, -and the genitives αὐτῶν as subjective: q. d. 'if their impoverishment be the wealth of the Gentiles, how much more shall their enrichment be!' But several other interpretations are possible. (1) ήττημα may menn as in ref. 1 Cor., degradation, and πλήρωμα would then be fulness, re-exaltation to the former mensure of favour,—or perhaps, as where Herod. iii. 22 says δγδώκοντα ἔτεα ζόης πλήρωμα, 'their completion,' their highest axηρομα, their ingliest degree of favour.' (2) If we regard the meaning of $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\rho\omega\mu a$ in ver. 25, we shall be tempted here to render it, 'full number,' and similarly $\hbar\tau\eta\mu a$, 'small number.' So the majority of Commentators: Chrys., Theodoret, Erasmus, Beza, Bucer, Grot., Bengel, Reiche, De W. (but only as regards πλήρ.: - he renders ήττ. with Luther, Educe) and Olsh. (see below). Thus the argument will stand: 'If their unbelief (i.e. of one part of them) is the world's wealth, and their small number (i.e. of believers, the other part of them), the

τὸ α πλήρωμα αὐτῶν ; 13 ὑμῖν δὲ λέγω τοῖς ἔθνεσιν. 8 here only t. see Eph. i. object in the constant of the consta

13. rec (for $\delta\epsilon$) $\gamma a \rho$, with DFL rel latt goth Chr Thdrt (Ee Thl: owr C: om æth: txt ABN syrr copt Thdrt-ms Damase. rec om owr (see notes), with L rel vulg D³-lat syr Chr Thdrt (Ee Thl Aug: om $\mu \epsilon \nu$ owr DF goth: ins ABCN copt. $\epsilon \gamma \omega$ A n 73. 80. 108. 116. 118 arm Thdrt-ms; ins bef $\epsilon \iota \mu \iota$ F Cyr lat-ff. $\epsilon \delta \omega \sigma \omega$ F 46. 109 latt Thdrt.($\epsilon \delta (\omega \rho)$) lat-ff(but not Aug).

14. την σαρκα bef μου DF.

wealth of the Gentiles, how much more their full (restored) number!' i. e. as Olsh. explains it, 'If so few Jews can do so much for the Gentile world, what will not the whole number do?' But thus we shall lose the 'a minori ad majus' argument-'if their sin has done so much, how much more their conversion?' unless indeed it be said that τὸ ήττημα implies a national παράπτωμα. Besides, it can hardly be shewn that ήττημα will bear this meaning of 'a small number.' (3) Tholuek, from whom mostly this note is taken, notices at length the view of Olsh., after Origen, that the idea of a definite number of the elect is here in the Apostle's mind,— that the falling off of the Jews produces a deficiency in the number, which is filled up by the elect from the Gentiles, as ver. 25: understanding by πλήρωμα both there and here, if I take his meaning aright, the number required to fill up the roll of the elect, whether of Jews, as here, or Gentiles, as there. Tholnek, while he concedes the legitimacy of the idea of a πλήρωμα τῶν σωζομένων, maintains, and rightly, that in this section no such idea is brought forward: and that it would not have been intended, without some more definite expression of it than we now find.

I have thought it best as above, considering the very various meanings and difficulty of the word πλήρωμα, to keep here to that which seems to be indicated by the immediate context, which is, besides, the primitive meaning of the word. be noticed, that the fact, of Israel being the chosen people of God, lies at the root of all this argument. Israel is the nation, the covenant people, -the vehicle of God's gracious purposes to mankind. Israel, nationally, is deposed from present favour. That very deposition is, however, accompanied by an outpouring of God's riches of mercy on the Gentiles; not as rivals to Israel, but still considered as further from God, formally and nationally, than Israel. If then the disgrace of Israel has had such a blessed accompaniment, how much more blessed a one shall Israel's honour bring with it, when His own people shall once more be set as a praise in the midst of the earth, and the glory of the nations.

13.] 'Why, in an argument concerning the Jews, dwell so much on the reference to the Gentiles discernible in the divine acconomy regarding Israel? Why make it appear as if the treatment of God's chosen people were regulated not by a consideration of them, but of the less favoured Gentiles?' The present verse gives an answer to this question. But (apology for the foregoing verse:—if $\gamma d\rho$ be read, the sense will be much the same-For [i. e. let it be understood, that], &c.) I am speaking to you the Gentiles. Inasmuch therefore (µèv our is surely not to be rejected as yielding no sense, -as De Wette and Tholuck, who object to it as proceeding from those who hold a new sentence to begin at $\epsilon \phi$ of $\delta \sigma o \nu$, and ὑμῖν ἔθνεσιν to refer to the foregoing :- but the usage of $\mu \stackrel{\cdot}{\epsilon} \nu \ o \stackrel{\circ}{\delta} \nu \ in 1 \ Cor.$ vi. 4 seems strictly analogous to that in our text, where no new sentence is begun in any sense which may not be true here.

έφ' ὄσον, not 'as long as,' as Orig. and Vulg.) as I am Apostle of the Gentiles, I honour mine office (by striving for their conversion and edification at all times,-by introducing a reference to them and their part in the divine counsels, even when speaking of mine own people), if by any means I may (regarding it as a real service done on behalf of Israel, thus to honour mine office by mentioning the Gentiles, if this mention may) stir up to jealousy mine own flesh (the Jews) and may save some of them. 15.] For (a reason for my anxiety for the salvation of Israel: not merely for the sake of mine own kinsmen, but because their recovery will bring about the blessed consummation of all believers. Vv. 13, 14 should not then be in a parenthesis) if the

n j here only t. (λαμβάινειν, ch. xiv. 8.) kch. viii. 23 reff. J Num. xv. 21, m ch. ix. 22 reff. m Matt. ii. 10. xiii. 6 al. Job xiv. 8. n Matt. xiii. 32 al. here &c. (5 times) only in Epp. Ezek. xxxi. 7.

15. κοσμω \mathbf{F} . for π pos λ., π poλ. CF \mathbf{k}^1 .

ms, arm. rejection of them (not 'their loss,' as Luth. and Beng., by which the antithesis to mposλημψιs is weakened) be (the occasion of) the reconciliation of the world (of the Gentiles, viz. to God), what ('qualis,' 'of what kind,' in its effect) (will be) their reception, but (the occasion of) life from the dead? ζωή έκ νεκρ. may be variously taken. (1) it may be metaphorical, as in ch. vi. 13, and may import, that so general a conversion of the world would take place, as would be like life from the dead. So, more or less, Calv., Calov., Estius, Bengel, Stuart, Hodge, al., and Theophyl., Phot., who explain it of a joy like that of the resurrection. But against this interpretation lies the objection, that this is already involved in καταλλαγή κόσμ., and thus no new idea would be brought out by the words, which stand in the most emphatic position. (2) it may mean that 'life from the dead' literally should follow on the restoration of the Jewish people; i. e. that the Resurrection, the great consummation, is bound up with it. So Chrys., Orig. ("tune enim erit assumptio Israel, quando jam et mortui vitam recipient, et mundus ex corruptibili incorruptibilis fiet, et mortales immortalitate donabuntur"), Theodoret, Reiche, Meyer, Fritzsche, Rückert ed. 2, Tholuck, al. The objection to this view seems to be, that the Apostle would hardly have used ζωή ἐκ νεκρῶν thus predicatively, if he had meant by it a fixed and predetermined erent; - but that, standing as it does, it must be qualitative, implying some further blessed state of the reconciled world, over and above the mere reconciliation. This might well be de-signated 'life from the dead,' and in it may be implied the glories of the first resurrection, and deliverance from the bondage of corruption, without supposing the words ζωή ἐκ νεκρῶν Ξ ἡ ἀνάστασις τῶν Stuart well compares Ezek, xxxvii. 1-14, which was perhaps before the mind of the Apostle:-but he gives a mere ethical interpretation to it. 16-24.7 Such a restoration of Israel was to be expected from a consideration of their destination and history. This is set forth

16. for δε, γαρ A Thart, : om C2 goth.

in similitudes, that of the root and branches being followed out at some length,-and their own position, as engrafted Gentiles, brought to the mind of the readers. But (a further argument for their restoration following on αλλά, ver. 11) if the first fruit be holy, so also the lump (not here the first fruit of the field, as Grot., Rosenm. [nor is φύραμα the cake made by the priests out of the first fruits which fell to them, Deut. xviii. 4, as Estius, Koppe, Köllner, Olsh., al.]; -but the portion of the kneaded lump of dough [φύρω], which was offered as a heave-offering to the Lord, and so sanetified for use the rest: see ref. Num. where the same words occur) ;-and if the root be holy, so also the branches. Who are the amapxý and the pisa? First of all, there is no impropriety in the two words applying to the same thing. For though, as Olsh. remarks, the branches being evolved from the root, it rather answers to the φύραμα than to the ἀπαρχή, and, as Rückert, the first fruit succeeds the lump in time, while the root precedes the branches,-yet, as Thol. replies, the άγιότης is the point of comparison, and in άγιότης the άπαρχή precedes and gives existence to the φύραμα. This being so, (1) the ἀπαρχή and ρίζα have generally been taken to represent the patriarchs; and I believe rightly (except that perhaps it would be more strictly correct to say, Abraham himself). The ἀγαπητοί διὰ τους πατέρας of ver. 28 places this reference almost beyond doubt. Origen explains the βίζα to be our Lord. But He is Himself a branch, by descent from Abraham and David (Isa. xi. 1; Matt. i. 1), if genealogically considered; and if mystically, the whole tree (John xv. 1). De Wette prefers to take as the first fruit and root, the ideal theocracy founded on the patriarchs,-the true, faithful children of the patriarchs, and as the branches, those united by mere external relationship to these others. This he does, because in the common acceptation, the κλάδοι who are cut off ought to be severed from their physical connexion with Abraham, &c., which they are not. This objection I do not con-

om 2nd & F 70. 71. 109 lect-13 Chr-

 17 εἰ δέ τινες τῶν $^{\circ}$ κλάδων p ἐξεκλάσθησαν, σὰ δὲ q ἀγρι- pher ձα δε endy. Letti. είλαιος ῶν r ἐνεκεντρίσθης ἐν αὐτοῖς καὶ s συγκοινωνὸς $^{thioty}_{qver 21 only t.}$ τῆς n ρίζης τῆς t πιότητος τῆς u ἐλαίας ἐγένου, 18 μη t μη t είλαι t ε

r here &c. (6 times) only †. Wisd. xvi. 11 only. Eph. v. 11.) there only. Jupo. ix. 9. there only. Jupo. ix. 9. u ver. 24. James iii. 12. Rev. xi. 4 only, exc. (w. δpor) in Cospp. Cen. viii. 11.

17. εκεντρισθης L. om εν C'(appy). ALN'3 rel: om BC(D'F)N'1 copt Damasc. int (Cyr-jer) Aug₁.

rec ins και bef της πιοτητος, with εγενου της πι. της ελαιου D¹F k Iren-

ceive applicable here: because, as we see evidently from ver. 23, the severing and re-engrafting are types, not of genealogical disunion and reunion, but of spiritual. Meanwhile, De W.'s view appears less simple than the ordinary one, which, as I hope to shew, is borne out by the whole passage. (2) Then, who are indicated by the φύραμα and the κλάδοι? ISRAEL, considered as the people of God. The lump, which has received its άγιότης from the sakes: the assemblage of branches, evolved from Abraham, and partaking of his holiness. But one thing must be especially borne in mind. As Abraham himself had an outer and an inner life, so have the branches. They have an outer life, derived from Abraham by physical descent. Of this, no cutting off can deprive them. It may be compared to the very organization of the wood itself, which subsists even after its separation from the tree. But they have, while they remain in the tree, an inner life, nourished by the circulating sap, by virtue of which they are constituted living parts of the tree: see our Lord's parable of the vine and the branches, John xv. 1 ff. It is of this life, that their severance from the tree deprives them: it is this life, which they will re-acquire if grafted in again.

See a very ingenious but artificial explanation in Olsh., who agrees in the main with De W .: - and the whole question admirably discussed in Tholuck. The άγιότης then here spoken of, consists in their dedication to God as a people-in their being physically evolved from a holy root. This peculiar άγιότης (see 1 Cor. vii. 14, where the children of one Christian parent are similarly called ayıa) renders their restoration to their own stock a matter, not of wonder and difficulty, but of reasonable hope and probability. I may notice in passing, that those expositors who do not hold a restoration of the Jewish people to national preeminence, find this passage exceedingly in their way, if we may judge by their explanations of this ayiotns. E. g. Mr. Ewbank remarks: 'Holy they are, inasmuch as there is no decree against their restoration to their

place of life and fruitfulness.' Surely this is a new meaning of 'holy:' the same would be true of a Hottentot: in his case, too, there is no decree against his reception into a place (and in Mr. E.'s view, the restoration of the Jew is nothing more) of life and fruitfulness in the Church of God.

17.] But (introduces a hypothesis involving a seeming inconsistency with the άγιότης just mentioned) if some of the branches (the Twes, as Thol. remarks, depreciates the number, in order to check the Gentile pride) were broken out (from the tree), and thou (a Gentile believer) being a wild olive (αγριέλαιος, the tree, spoken of a sprout or branch of it. Better so than, as Fritz., Meyer, to make ἀγρ. an adj., 'of wild olive,' which can only be used of that which is made out of the wood, as aypiέλαιος σκυτάλη. Thol.) wast grafted in (Clem. Alex. Strom. vi. § 119, p. 799 P., enumerates four different kinds of eykevτρισμός, using it as a general term for grafting and budding. The difficulty here is, that the Apostle reverses the natural process. It is the wilding, in practice, which is the stock, and the graft inserted is a sprout of the better tree. I believe that he does not here regard what is the fact in nature: but makes a supposition perfectly legitimate,—that a wilding graft on being inserted into a good tree, thereby becomes partaker of its qualities. No allusion can be intended to a practice mentioned by Columella, de Re Rust. v. 9, of inserting a wilding graft into a good tree to increase the vigour and growth of the tree: for this would completely stultify the illustration-the point of which is, a benefit received by the wilding from the tree, not one conferred by the wilding on it) among them (i.e. among the branches, - τοις κλάδοις: or perhaps αὐτοις may imply the remnants of the branches broken off. The renderings, 'in their stead,' 'in locum,' as De W. after Chrys., Theophyl., Beza,—and 'in their place,' 'in loco,' Meyer, Olsh., are surely inadmissible), and becamest a fellow-partaker (with the branches: or perhaps simply 'a partaker,' σύν not implying fellows in par \mathbf{v} (=) here bis. $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{James ii}, 13}$ \mathbf{v} κατακαυχ $\tilde{\omega}$ τῶν \mathbf{v} κλάδων εί δὲ \mathbf{v} κατακαυχασαι, οὐ σὰ \mathbf{ABCD} $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{Jin}}$ $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{Ji$

18. for κατακανχασαι, συ καυχασαι D'F Ambrst. [αλλα, so BD'N.]
19. for εξεκλασθησαν, ει κλασθησαν F. om οι ACD³FLN rel Chr Thdrt-ms
Damase Ge: ins BD' b ο ο Thdrt Thl.
20. for εξεκλασθησαν, εκλασθησαν D'F: txt ABCD'LN rel Chr Thdrt.

*ύψηλὰ φρόνει ΑΒΝ.

21. ει γαρ is written over an erasure by X1. rec ins μη πως bef ουδε, with DFL

ticipation, but merely the participation itself) of the root of the fatness (of that root, on union with which all the development of life and its fertility depend: which is the source of the fatness. With xal, it will mean, of the source of life, and also of the development of that life itself in all richness of blessing) of the olive-tree,

richness of blessing) of the olive-tree, 18.] do not boast against the branches (which were broken off): but if thou boastest against them (know that ..., or let this consideration humble thee, that Similarly 1 Cor. xi. 16, el dé tis dokeî φιλόνεικος είναι, ήμεις τοιαύτην συνήθειαν οὐκ ἔχομεν, κ.τ.λ. See Winer, edn. 6, § 66. 1), it is not thou that bearest the root, but the root thee. The ground of humiliation is-"Thou partakest of thy blessings solely by union with God's spiritual church, which church has for its root that father of the faithful, from whom they are descended. Regard them not therefore with scorn." This is expanded further in yer. 20. 19. Thou wilt further in ver. 20. then (posito, that thou boastest, and defendest it) say, The branches (the art. has probably been crased, to square this sentence with ver. 17, where τινες τ. κλάδων only were broken off. Perhaps, as Matthäi has remarked [Thol.], 'Gentilis loquitur arrogantius,' using of κλ. in his pride, to signify that the branches, generically, have now become subject to excision on his account. But I prefer taking of KA. for the severed branches, οί κλ. οἱ ἐκκλασθέντες,just as of 'Iovôaco' in any particular place = the Jews there present, not the whole Jewish people) were broken off that I (cmphatic) might be grafted in. Well (the fact, involving even the purpose, assumed in Iva, is conceded. When Thol.

denies this, he forgets that the prompting

cause of their excision, their unbelief, is distinct from the divine purpose of their excision, the admission of the Gentiles, and belongs to a different side of the subject):

—through their unbelief (or perhaps, 'through unbelief,' abstract. There is often a difficulty in distinguishing the possessive from the abstract (i. e. generic) article.

Thol. observes that the instrumental use of the dat, and that of did with the gen. differ in this, that the latter expresses more the immediate cause, the former the mediate and more remote. The explanation of this would be, that the dative only acquires its instrumental use through another, more proper attribute of the case, that of reference to, form or manner in which: see Bernhardy, Syntax, ch. iii. 14, pp. 100— 105) they were broken off, but thou by thy faith (see above : - 'through' indicates better the prompting cause of a definite act,-'by,' the sustaining condition of a continued state. Thus we should always say that we are justified through, not by, faith, -but that we stand by, not through, faith) standest (in thy place, in the tree, opposed to ἐξεκλάσθησαν. Thol. prefers the sense in ch. xiv. 1, and certainly the adoption of πεσόντες ver. 22, seems to shew that the figurative diction is not strictly preserved). -Be not high minded, but fear:

21.] for if God did not spare the natural branches (the branches which grew according to natural development, and were not engrafted),—(supply 'I fear,' or 'it is to be feared,' or simply 'fear,' or 'take heed,' as in ref.) lest He shall also not spare thee. The fut, ind, with $\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\pi\omega_s$, the apparent incongruity of which has probably caused the variety of reading, implies, as Herm., Soph. Aj. 272, observes with regard to the ind, pres., ' $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ' $\delta\sigma$ ', ' $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ' $\delta\sigma$ '.

d πως] ουδέ σου e φείσεται. 22 ίδε ουν e χρηστότητα καί ech. ii. 4 reff. ι άποτομίαν θεού επί μεν τους επεσόντας ι άποτομία, δεί... άποτομίαν τομίαν το έπὶ δὲ σὲ $^{\rm e}$ χρηστότης θ εοῦ, ἐὰν $^{\rm b}$ ἐπιμείνης τη $^{\rm e}$ χρηστότης στότητι ἐπεὶ καὶ σὰ $^{\rm t}$ ἐκκοπήση. $^{\rm 23}$ κάκεῖνοι δέ, ἐὰν $^{\rm Educ, p. 13}$, στοτητί επεί και συ εκκοπηση. $^{-0}$ κακείνοι δέ, εάν Ειδιά. $^{-1}$ μη $^{-1}$ έπιμείνωσιν τη $^{-1}$ $^{-1}$ άπιστία, $^{-1}$ έγκεντρισθήσονται $^{-1}$ δυνα $^{-1}$ τὸς γάρ έστιν $^{-1}$ θεὸς πάλιν $^{-1}$ έγκεντρίστι αὐτούς $^{-1}$ xi. 12 only. Deut. vii. 5. k ver. 17. 1 ch. i. 26 (reff.) only. de Plant. i. 6. n ver. 12. o ellips., ch. iv. 14 al.

φείσεται, ἀλλά Μή πως οὐδὲ σοῦ φείσηται, ὑποτεμνόμενος τοῦ λόγου τὸ φορτικὸν τῆ άμφιβολία) Thart Œe Thl Iren-int Cypr Ambrst: om (corrn to avoid fut. with μη πως?) ABCN copt Damasc Ruf Aug. rec φεισηται, with (none of our mss) Chr-montf Chr-e Thl Ee: txt B(sic) CDFLN rel Chr-2-mss Thdrt Antch Damase.

rec αποτομιαν (see note), with DFL κ3(but ν erased) 22. ins του bef θεου B. rel Clem Eus Chr Thdrt Phot: txt ABCN (Orig) Damasc. rec χρηστοτητα, with D³FL rel Clem Chr Cyr Thdrt Phot: -τητος(sic) N: txt ABCD¹ (Orig) Eus Damasc. rec om θεου (see note), with D2.3FL rel demid Syr Clem Orig Chr Thdrt: ins

ABCD¹N vulg copt arm Eus Damasc Pelag. επιμενης BD¹N.

23. rec kat ekeivot, with L rel Chr Thdrt: ABCDFN c d k Damasc. επιμενωσιν ο θεος bef εστιν Lahkl 17. BD1X1.

(ἔσται) verentis quidem est ne quid nunc sit (futurum sit), sed indicantis simul, putare, se ita esse (futurum esse), ut veretur.' See Winer, edn. 6, § 56. 2. b. a, and 64. 7, also Col. ii. 8; Heb. iii. 12. 22. The caution of the preceding verse is unfolded into a setting before the Gentile of the true state of the matter. Behold therefore (posito, that thou enterest into the feeling prompted by the last verse) the goodness and the severity (no allusion to ἀποτέμνω in its literal sense) of God :-towards those who fell (see on ver. 11. Here the $\pi\epsilon\sigma\delta\nu\tau\epsilon s$ are opposed to $\sigma\dot{\nu}$, the figure being for the moment dropped: for πίπτειν can hardly be used of the branches, but of men) severity; but towards thee, the goodness of God (the nominatives here, as involving a departure from the construction, are preferable: and the repetition of $\theta \in \hat{ov}$ is quite in the manner of the Apostle: see 1 Cor. i. 24, 25. Rückert thinks that because Clem. Alex. Pædag, i. 8, p. 139 P., understands χρηστότης, in ἐὰν ἐπιμείνης τῆ χρηστότητι, of the χρηστότης of men (τουτέστι τῆ εἰς χριστὸν πίστει), θεοῦ may have been a marginal gloss to guard against this mistake, and may have found its way into the text, misplaced. But this is hardly probable: $\theta \in \hat{v}$ is much more likely to have been crased as unnecessary), if thou abide by (reff.) that goodness; for (assuming that thou dost not abide by that goodness) VOL. II.

thou also shalt be cut off (ind. fut. The placing only a comma at ἐκκοπήση, as Meyer,-not Lachm. and Tischend. in their last editions, - prevents the break evidently intended between the treatment of the case of the Gentile and that of the Jews).

23. And they moreover, if they continue not (not exactly the same meaning as before: the χρηστότης before being external and objective, this, as in ch. vi. 1, a subjective state) in their (see on ver. 20) unbelief, shall be grafted in: for God is able to graft them in again. (Some, e. g. Grot., represent this last clause as implying, that God's power to graft them in again has always been the same, but has waited for their change of mind, to act: 'Nihil est præter incredulitatem quod Deum impediat eos rursum pro suis assumere et paterne tractare:'-but surely De W.'s interpretation is far better :-'The Apostle obscurely includes in the έγκεντρ. the removal of their unbelief and the awakening of faith, and this last espeeially he looks for from above :'-for, as he observes, the power of God would not be put forward, if the other were the mean-24. For (proof that, besides God's undoubted power to re-engraft them, the idea of their being so re-engrafted is not an unreasonable one) if thou wast cut out of thy natural wild olive-tree, and unnaturally wast engrafted into a good

only †. (-ροῦν, ver. 7.) 9, &c. xiv 27. Heb. ix. 5. only ‡. (ver. 12.)

peth. 13: * εγκεντρισθήσονται τη ιδια ελαια.

τους :

και τους : i.s. These uplace 'a gyrolev', weekfull, for 'a product' and ' mesons to ' me

25. $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega$ bef $\gamma \alpha \rho \aleph$: $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega$ $\delta \epsilon$ (omg $\gamma \alpha \rho$) m. rec (for $\epsilon \nu$) $\pi \alpha \rho$, with 0 Thdor-mops Chr Thdrt: om F 47. 672 latt copt lat-ff: txt AB goth Damasc. rec (for εν) παρ, with CDLN rel αχρις, αχρι Β1.

olive-tree, how much more shall these, the natural branches, be engrafted in their own olive-tree? It is a question, as Tholuck remarks, whether κατά φύσιν and παρὰ φύσιν denote merely growth in the natural manner and growth (by engrafting) in an unnatural (i.e. artificial) manner, - or that the wild is the nature of the Gentile, and the good olive that of the Jew, so that the sense would be-' If thou wert cut out of the wild olive which is thine naturally, and wert engrafted contrary to (thy) nature into the good olive, how much more shall these, the natural branches,' &c. But then the latter part of the sentence does not correspond with the former. We either should expect the of to be omitted (as is done in some mss.), or must, with Fritz., place a comma after οδτοι, and, taking of as the relative, construe, 'How much more these, who shall, agreeably to (their) nature, be grafted,' &c. Tholuck describes the question as being between a comparison of engrafting and not engrafting, and one of engrafting the congruous and the incongruous: and, on the above ground, decides in favour of the former,—κατὰ φύσιν signifying merely natural growth, παρὰ φ., unnatural growth, i. e. the growth of the grafted scion. But however this may fit the former part of the sentence, it surely cannot satisfy the requirements of the latter, where the κατά φύσιν (κλάδοι) are described as being engrafted (which would be παρὰ φύσιν) into their own olive-tree. We must at least assume a mixture of the two meanings, the antithesis of κατά and παρά φ. being rather verbal than logical, as is so common in the writings of the Apostle. Thus in the former case, that of the Gentile, the fact of natural growth is set against that of engrafted growth: whereas in the latter, the fact of congruity of nature (τη ίδία έλαία) is set against incongruity,—as making the re-engrafting more probable. 25—32.] Prophetic more probable. 25-32.] Prophetic announcement that this re-engrafting SHALL ACTUALLY TAKE PLACE (25-27), and explanatory justification of this

divine arrangement (28-32). For (I do not rest this on mere hope or probability, but have direct revelation of the Holy Spirit as to its certainty) I would not have you ignorant, brethren (see reff.,-used by the Apostle to announce, either as here some authoritative declaration of divine truth, or some facts in his own history not previously known to his readers), of this mystery (μυστ. Tholuck in his 4th edition classifies the meanings thus: (1) such matters of fact, as are inaccessible to reason, and can only be known through revelation: (2) such matters as are patent facts, but the process of which cannot be entirely taken in by the reason. He adds a third sense,-that, which is no mystery in itself, but by its figurative import. Of the first, he cites chap. xvi. 25; 1 Cor. ii. 7-10; Eph. i. 9; iii. 4; vi. 19; Col. i. 26, al., as examples: of the second, 1 Cor. xiv. 2; xiii. 2; Eph. v. 32; 1 Tim. iii. 9, 16: of the third, Matt. xiii. 11; Rev. i. 20; xvii. 5; 2 Thess. ii. 7. The first meaning is evidently that in our text :- 'a prophetic event, unattainable by human knowledge, but revealed from the secrets of God'), that ye be not wise in your own conceits (that ye do not take to yourselves the credit for wisdom superior to that of the Jews, in having acknowledged and accepted Jesus as the Son of God, -seeing that ye merely ηλεήθητε τη τούτων απειθεία, ver. 30),that hardening (not 'blindness:' see above on ver. 7, and Eph. iv. 18 note) has happened in part (Calvin explains it 'quodammodo qua particula voluisse mihi duntaxat videtur temperare verbum alioqui per se asperum,'-but there is no trace of such a desire above, ver. 7 ;-the Tives ver. 17 establishes the ordinary acceptation, that a portion of Israel have been hardened. άπὸ μ. may be joined with πώρωσις, or with γέγονεν: from the arrangement of the words, best with the former) to Israel, until (axpis ob has been variously rendered by those who wish to escape from the prophetic assertion of the restoration of Israel.

είς έλθη, 26 και "ουτως πας Ισραήλ σωθήσεται, καθώς "-ch. v. 12 refi.

So Calv.: "donec non infert temporis progressum vel ordinem, sed potius valet perinde ac si dictnm foret, ut plenitudo gentium;"-al., 'while shall come in:' but Thol. well observes that αχρ. οδ with an ind., if any thing actually happening is spoken of, may have the meaning of 'while,' even with an aor. : but with a subj. of the agrist, a possible future event is indicated, which when it enters puts an end to the former: see reff.) the comple-tion of the Gentiles shall have come in (seil. to the Church or Kingdom of God, where we, the Apostle and those whom he addresses, are already: as we use the word 'come in' absolutely, with reference to the place in which we are. Or the word may be used absolutely, as it seems to be in Luke xi. 52, of entering into the Kingdom of God. In order to understand τὸ πλήρ. τ. ἐθν., we must bear in mind the character of the Apostle's present argument. He is dealing with nations : with the Gentile nations, and the Jewish nation. And thus dealing, he speaks of τὸ πλήρ. τ. $\ell\theta\nu$, coming in, and of πâs Ἰσραήλ being saved: having no regard for the time to the individual destinies of Gentiles or Jews, but regarding nations as each included under the common bond of consanguinity according to the flesh. The πλήρωμα τῶν ¿θνῶν I would regard then as signifying 'the full number,' 'the totality' of the nations, i.e. every nation under heaven, the prophetic subjects (Matt. xxiv. 14) of the preaching of the gospel. Stuart denies that πλήρωμα will admit of this meaning. But the sense which he allows to it of "completion, i. q. πλήρωσις" (?), amounts in this case to the same thing: that completion not arriving till all have come in: the πλήρωμα τῶν ἐθνῶν importing that which πληροῖ τὰ ἔθνη. The idea of an elect number, however true in itself ('plenitudo gentium in his intrat, qui secundum propositum vocati,' Aug. cited by Tholuck), does not seem to belong to this passage).

26.] And thus (when this condition shall have been fulfilled) all Israel shall be saved (Israel as a nation, see above: not individuals,—nor is there the slightest ground for the notion of the ἀποκατάσταστος). This prophecy has been very variously regarded. Origen, understanding by the 'omnis Israel qui salvus fiet,' the 'reliquiæ quæ electæ sunt,' yet afterwards appears to find in the passage his notion of the final purification of all men,—of the believing, by the word and doctrine: of the unbelieving, by purgatorial fire. Chry-

sostom gives no explanation: but on our Lord's words in Matt. xvii. 11, he says, δταν είπη δτι 'Ηλίας μὲν ἔρχεται κ. ἀποκαταστήσει πάντα, αὐτὸν 'Ηλίαν φησί, κ. την τότε ἐσομένην τῶν Ἰουδαίων ἐπιστροφήν,-and shortly after calls him της δευτέρας παρουσίας πρόδρομος. Similarly Theodoret and Gregory of Nyssa (in Thol.); so also Augustine, de Civ. Dei xx. 29, vol. vii. p. 704,—'ultimo tempore ante judicium (per Eliam, exposita sibi lege) Judæos in Christum verum esse credituros, celeberrimum est in sermonibus eordibusve fidelium.' Similarly most of the fathers (Estius), and schoolmen (Thol.); -Jerome, however, on Isa. xi. 11, vol. iv. p. 162, says, 'Nequaquam juxta nostros Judaizantes, in fine mundi quum intraverit plenitudo gentium, tunc omnis Israel salvus fiet : sed hæc omnia de primo intelligamus adventu.' Grotius and Wetst. believe it to have been fulfilled after the destruction of Jerusalem, when μυρίοι ἐκ περιτομῆς became believers in Christ (Eus. H. E. iii. 35). But Thol. has shewn that neither could the number of Gentiles received into the Church before that time have answered to the $\pi\lambda\eta\rho\omega\mu\alpha$ τ. $\epsilon\theta\nu\hat{\omega}\nu$, nor those Jews to παs 'Ισραήλ, which expression accordingly Grotius endeavours to explain by a Rabbinical formula, that "all Israel have a part in the Mcssiah;" which saying he supposes the Apostle to have used in a spiritual sense, meaning the Israel of God, as Gal. vi. 16. The Reformers for the most part, in their zeal to impugn the millenarian superstitions then current, denied the future general conversion of the Jews, and would not recognize it even in this passage:-Luther did so, at one time, but towards the end of his life spoke most characteristically and strongly of what he conceived to be the impossibility of such national convers on (see extract in Tholuck's note, p. 616):—Calvin says: 'Multi accipiunt de populo Judaico, ac si Paulus diceret instaurandum adhuc in religionem ut prius: sed ego Israelis nomen ad totum Dei populum extendo, hoc sensu, Quum Gentes ingressæ fuerint, simul et Judæi ex defectione se ad fidei obedientiam recipient. Atque ita complebitur salus totius Israelis Dei, quem ex utrisque-colligi oportet: sic tamen ut priorem locum Judæi obtineant, ceu in familia Dei primogeniti.' Calovius, Beugel, and Olshausen, interpret πas 'Iσρ. of the elect believers of Israel :- Beza, Estius, Koppe, Reiche, Köllner, Meyer, Tholuck, De Wette, al., hold that the words refer, as I have explained them above, to a national x ch. vii. 24 γέγραπται "Ηξει έκ Σιὼν ὁ χρυόμενος, γαποστοέψει ΑΒCD μεν. 20. 2 α΄ ασεβείας ἀπὸ Ίακώβ· 27 καὶ α αὐτη αὐτοῖς ἡ παο ἐμοῦ cd f gh ki mn γκινι. 48. 1 Thess. iii. 5 διαθήκη, 6 όταν cd άφέλωμαι τὰς d άμαοτίας αὐτων. 28 κατὰ 5 είπι 26 μεν τὸ εὐαγγέλιον c έχθροὶ δι΄ ύμας, κατὰ δὲ τὴν f εκλογὴν εκλ. 1 Steff. 8 άγαπητοὶ διὰ τοὺς h πατέρας. 29 ὶ αμεταμέλητα γὰο τὰ 6 κυ τὰ εὐτι 1 λοhν i. 2 χαπητοὶ διὰ τοὺς h πατέρας. 29 ὶ αμεταμέλητα γὰο τὰ 6 κυ τὰ εὐτι 10. 6 κυ κυ τὸ εὐτι 10. 6 κυ κυ τὸ εὐτι 11. 6 κυ τὸ εὐτι 10. 6 κυ κυ τὸ εὐτι 11. 6 κυ τὸ εὐτι 10. 6 κυ τὸ εὐτι 1

26. rec ins και bef αποστρεψει, with D^{2.3} L rel vss Orig Chr Thdrt: om ABCD¹FN.
—αποστρεψαι F goth.

30. on ver. N¹. rec ins και bef υμεις, with D²·³LN³ rel vulg syrr Chr(montf and 2-mss): om ABCD¹FN·corr¹ a copt goth æth Chr Damase Thl Jer Aug_{sæpe} Ruf.—

restoration of Israel to God's favour. I have not mixed with the consideration of this prophecy the question of the restoration of the Jews to Palestine, as being clearly irrelevant to it: the matter here treated being, their reception into the Church of God. καθώς γέγρ.] This quotation appears to have for its object to shew that the Redeemer was to come for the behoof of God's own chosen people. For ἐκ Σιών, the LXX have ἔνεκεν Σιών (γυμ), the E. V. 'to Zion.' The Apostle frequently varies from the LXX, and a sufficient reason can generally be assigned for the variation : here, though this reason is not apparent, we cannot doubt that such existed, for the LXX would surely have suited his purpose even better than ek, had there been no objection to it. It may be that the whole citation is intended to express the sense of prophecy rather than the wording of any particular passage, and that the Apostle has, in &k Σιών, summed up the prophecies which declare that the Redeemer should spring out of Israel. ὁ ῥυόμ. is in the Heb. 'a deliverer'-the Apostle adopts the LXX, probably as appropriating the expression άποστρ. κ.τ.λ.] Heb. and to Christ. E. V. 'and unto them that turn from transgression in Jacob. όταν ἀφέλ. from another place in Isa. (ref.),-hardly from Jer. xxxi. (LXX, xxxviii.) 34, as Stuart;and also containing a general reference to the character of God's new covenant with them, rather than a strict reproduction of the original meaning of any particular words of the prophet. "How came the Apostle, if he wished only to express the general thought, that the Messiah was come for Israel, to choose just this citation, consisting of two combined passages, when the same is expressed more directly in other passages of the Old Testament? I

believe that the # gave occasion for the quotation: if he did not refer this directly to the second coming of the Messiah, yet it allowed of being indirectly applied to it." 28.] With regard indeed Tholuek. to the gospel (i. e. 'viewed from the gospelside,' looked on as we must look on them if we confine our view solely to the principles and character of the Gospel), they (the Jewish people considered as a whole) are hated (θεοῦ: not μου, as Theodoret, Luther, Grot., al.—seil. in a state of exclusion from God's favour: not active, 'enemies to God,' as Grot., Bengel) for your sakes; but with regard to the election (viz. of Israel to be God's people, see vv. 1, 2not that of Christians, as Aug., al. :- i. e. 'looked on as God's elect people'), they are beloved for the fathers' sakes (i e. not for the merits of the fathers, but because of the covenant with Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, so often referred to by God as a cause for His favourable remembrance of 29.] For (explanation how Israel). God's favour regards them still, though for the present cast off) the gifts (generally) and calling (as the most excellent of those gifts. That calling seems to be intended 'qua posteros Abrahæ in fædus adoptavit Deus,' Calv. A very similar sentiment is found ch. iii. 3, where the same is called $\dot{\eta}$ πίστις τ. θεοῦ. But the words are true not only of this calling, but of every other. Bengel says, 'dona, erga Judæos: vocatio, erga gentes:' similarly of κλησις, De W., 'bie Berufung burch bas Ev.' But thus the point of the argument seems to be lost, which is, that the Jews being once chosen as God's people, will never be entirely east off) are irretractable (do not admit of a change of purpose. The E.V., 'without repentance,' is likely to mislead. Compare 30.] For (illustra-Hosea xiii. 14). tion of the above position) as ye (MS.

16. 1 Pet. ii, 10. Prov. xxi. 10. onstr., ver. 20. 50, &c. ch. 13. 23. Eph. ii. 4. Ps. cxliii. 2. 4 sinver. 6. Gal. iii. 22, 23 ohy. Josh. vi. 14. ii. 4. μαχατίαν συγκλεσθέτ, Diod. Sic. xix. 19. so Dior vi. 14. Eph. iv. 13. Phili. ii. 18 M. 1sa, vii. 11. x ch. ii. 1 reff. i. 21. Eph. iii. 10. a 1 Cor. xii. 8.

ποτε bef υμεις A: ποτε και υμεις b o. * νυνι B Chr. ελεηθητε C (m?) Thl. 31. for outo, auto $D^{\dagger}P$. oft auto ins υστερου 5. 17. 93: παλιν Cyr; νυν (possibly mechanical repetition) $BD^{\dagger}(R)$ Damasc.—om auto R^{\dagger} . 32. for 1st τους παντα D^{\dagger} latt Iren-int, Jer, παντα F.

evidence is too decided against the kal to allow of its being retained: but we may suspect that it has been struck out as superfluous, in ignorance [Thol.] of the Greek usage which often doubles καί in two parallel clauses) once disobeyed God (nationally-as Gentiles, before the Gospel) but now have (lit. 'were compassionated,' historical) received mercy (scil. by admission into the church of God) through (as the occasion; the breaking off of the natural branches giving opportunity for the grafting in of you) the disobedience of these (i. e. unbelief, considered as an act of resistance to the divine will: see 1 John iii. 23), so these also have now (under the Gospel) disobeyed (are now in a state of unbelieving disobedience), in order that through the mercy shewed to you (viz. on occasion of the fulness of the Gentiles coming in) they also may have mercy shewn them ('the objective view corresponding to the subjective είς το παραζηλώσαι αὐτούς, ver. 11. De W.). Some place the comma after έλέει instead of ἡπείθησαν, and construe, cither, as Erasm., Calv., al., 'they have disobeyed through (upon occasion of) the mercy shewn to you,' or as Vulg., Luth., Estius, al., 'they have become disobedient to the mercy shewn to you.' But thus the parallelism is weakened, and the μυστήριον of ver. 25 lost sight of. Examples of the emphatic word being placed before "\u032a are found in reff. 32.] For (foundation of the last stated arrangement in the divine purposes) God shut up (not shut up together; σύν, as in so many cases, implying, not co-participation on the part of the subjects of the action, but the character of the action itself: so in 'concludere.' The sense is here as in the examples, which might be multiplied by consulting Schweighæuser's Index to Polyb., 'to involve in,

'to subject to.' The aor., which should be kept in the rendering, refers to the time of the act in the divine procedure) all (the reading τὰ πάντα has probably been introduced from Gal. iii. 22) men in (into) disobedience (general here, -every form, unbelief included), that He may have mercy on all. No merc permissive act of God must here be understood. The Apostle is speaking of the divine arrangement by which the guilt of sin and the mercy of God were to be made manifest. He treats it, as elsewhere (see ch. ix. 18 and note), entirely with reference to the act of God, taking no account, for the time, of human agency; which however, when treating of us and our responsibilities, he brings out into as prominent a position: see as the most eminent example of this, the closely following ch. xii. 1, 2. But there remains some question, who are the οι πάντες of both clauses? Are they the same? And if so, is any support given to the notion of an αποκατάστασις of all men? Certainly they are identical: and signify all men, without limitation. But the ultimate difference between the all men who are shut up under disobedience, and the all men upon whom mercy is shewn is, that by all men this mercy is not accepted, and so men become self-excluded from the salvation of God. God's act remains the same, equally gracious, equally universal, whether men accept His mercy or not. This contingency is here not in view: but simply God's act itself. can hardly understand the of πάντες nα-tionally. The marked universality of the expression recalls the beginning of the Epistle, and makes it a solemn conclusion to the argumentative portion, after which the Apostle, overpowered with the view of the divine Mercy and Wisdom, breaks

chere only t. Prov. xxv. σεως θεοῦ, ὡς c ἀνεξεραύνητα τὰ α κρίματα αὐτοῦ καὶ c ἀνεξ ABDF LN ab (εξορινιὰν, ιχνίαστοι αὶ f ὁδοὶ αὐτοῦ. 3^4 τίς γὰρ έγνω v νοῦν κυρίου ; c d f g h (εξορινιὰν, ιχνίαστοι αὶ f ὁδοὶ αὐτοῦ ε γένετο ; 3^5 η τίς i προέξωκεν ο 17 c Ερh. li. 8 αὐτοῦ καὶ k ἀνταποδοθήσεται αὐτῷ ; 3^6 ὅτι 1^6 ξ αὐτοῦ καὶ k ἀνταποδοθήσεται αὐτῷ ; 3^6 ὅτι 1^6 ξ αὐτοῦ καὶ

v, f, ix, 10.

xxxir, 24 only, f = Acts xiii, 10, Heb, iii, 10, Rev, xv, 3, P, xvii, 21, g 1 Cor, ii, 16, from 1s, x, 1, 13, h here only, 2 Kings xv, 12, i here only, Job xii, 3 Heb, - 1s, x, 1, 14 F. (4 Kings vi, 11, 2 Macc, vii, 37 only), ii, 0, 2 Thess, i, 6 only, L.P.H. Isa, Isii, 0, 11 Cor, viii, 6, 2 Thess, ii, 0, 2 Thess, ii, 6, 2 Thess, ii, 6, 2 Thess, iii, 0, 2 Thess, ii, 6, 2 Thess, iii, 0, 2 Thess, i

33. ins tou bef heav F 17. [amexeq aunta, so AB''s.] 34. for kuriou, heav D'(and lat') Zeno.

forth into the sublimest apostrophe existing even in the pages of Inspiration itself. 33-36. Admiration of the goodness and wisdom of God, and humble ascription of praise to Him. 33.] There is some doubt whether σοφίαs and γνώσεως are genitives after πλούτου, as in E. V., or parallel with it. The former view is adopted by Thom. Aquin., Luther, Beza, Calvin, Estius, Reiche, and al. The grounds on which Reiche supports it are thus given and refuted by Tholuck: (1) "If these three genitives are co-ordinate, καί must stand either before all, or before the last only." But in the case of three nouns placed co-ordinately in this manner, καί is prefixed to the two latter only, see ch. ii. 7; xii. 2; Luke v. 17. (2) "πλοῦτος is no qualitative idea, but only a quantitative idea." But wherein the riches consist, is ordinarily indicated by the context; and here there can be but little doubt on the matter, if we compare ch. x. 12; in Phil. iv. 19 we also read of the πλοῦτος of God. This also answers (3) "that πλοῦτος without an adjunct expresses no definite attribute of God." (4) "in the following citation, vv. 34, 35, two only of these, σοφία and γνώσις, are mentioned." But this may be doubted. Chrys. says, on ver. 36, αὐτός εδρεν, αὐτός ἐποίησεν, αὐτὸς συγκροτεί. καὶ γὰρ καὶ πλούσιός έστι, και οὐ δεῖται παρ' έτέρου λαβείν και σοφός έστι, και οὐ δείται συμβούλου, τί λέγω συμβούλου; οὐδὲ εἰδέναι τις δύναται τὰ αὐτοῦ, ἀλλ' ἡ μόνος αὐτὸς δ πλούσιος κ. σοφός. Hom. xx. p. 653. Perhaps this latter is altogether too finedrawn: but it is favoured by Bengel, Olsh., and Tholuck. I prefer therefore the view of Chrys., Theodoret, Grot., Bengel, Tholuck, Köllner, and Olsh.,-to take $\pi\lambda o \dot{\nu} \tau o \nu$, $\sigma o \phi i as$, $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$, as three co-ordinate genitives: $\pi \lambda$. denoting the riches of the divine goodness, in the whole, and in the result just arrived at, ver. 32: ood., the divine wisdom of proceeding in the apparently intricate vicissitudes of nations and individuals: γνώσ. (if

a distinction be necessary, which can hardly be doubted) the divine knowledge of all things from the beginning,—God's comprehension of the end and means together in one unfathomable depth of Omniscience.

How unsearchable are His judgments (the determinations of His wisdom, regarded as in the divine Mind; answering perhaps to γνωσις. So Thol. : De W. however denies this meaning to κρίματα, and renders it decrees, referring it to the blinding of the Jews) and His ways unable to be traced out (His methods of proceeding, answering to σοφία, Thol. But this 34.] For (eonis perhaps too subtle). firmation of ἀνεξερ. and ἀνεξιχν. by a citation from Scripture. It is made from two separate places in the LXX, more perhaps as a reminiscence than as a direct quotation) who hath known the mind (γνωσις, but see above) of the Lord? or who hath been His counsellor (σοφία?)?

35.] or who hath previously given to Him, and it shall be repaid to him?—from Job kii. 3 (11 Ε. V.), where the LXX (xli. 2) have τίς ἀντιστήσεται μοι, κ. ὑποωενεῖ; But the Helb. is συρκη τροτήσεται 'who hath anticipated (i.e. by the context, conferred a benefit on) me, that I may repay him?' And to this the Apostle alludes, using the third person.

We can hardly doubt that this question refers to the freeness and richness of God's mercy and love.

36.] For (ground of vv. 33—35. Well may all this be true of Him, for) of Him (in their origin:—'quod dicit, "ex ipso," hoe ipsum, quod súmus indicat:' Orig. Chrys. somewhat differently: see above on ver. 33, and through Him (in their subsistence and disposal:—"'per Ipsum," quod per ejus providentiam dispensamur in vita:' Orig.), and unto Him ("in Ipso," [so vulg. and some other vss.] quod perfectio omnium et finis in Ipso crit tune, cum crit Deus omnia in omnibus:' Orig.) are all things (not only, though chiefly, men,—but the whole creation). Origen remarks, 'Vides, quomodo in ultimis ostendit, quod in omnibus modo in ultimis ostendit, quod in omnibus

¹ δί αὐτοῦ καὶ ¹ εἰς αὐτοὺν ^m τὰ πάντα αὐτῷ ή ^a δόξα ^m = col. 1.16. Rec. is. 1.19 εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας. ἀμήν. ^a των ¹ των

iii. 9: p = and constr., Acts x sir. 4. x xvii 33 sl. p = ch. x r. 30. 1 Cor. 1.10. 2 Cor. x. 1. 1 Thess. ir 2. q 2 Cor. i. 3. Phl. in 1. Co. iii. 12. H. Heb. x 25 only, ise. 1 sii. 15. γ Luke ii. 22. ch. γi. 13, &c. Ps. v. 3. here bis. ch. xir. 18. 2 Cor. v. 9. Eph. v. 10. Phil. iv. 18. Col. iii. 20. Tii. ii. 9. Heb. xiii. 21 only †. Wisd, ir. 10. ix. 10 only, (-ωr. Heb. xii. 28 only. -reiv, Heb. xii. 5.)

1 Pet ii. 2 only †. προτφέρουσα (α αγγελογ) κορόψ. . λογκίψ. . . προςφοραν, Test. xii. Patrum, p. 547 b.

36. aft αιωνας ins των αιωνων FG2 vulg(not am).

CHAP. XII. 1. τω θεω bef ευαρεστον ΑΝΙ vulg Augsape.

quæ supra dixit signaverit, mysterium Trinitatis. Sicut enim in præsenti loco quod ait, "quoniam ex Ipso, et per Ipsum, et in Ipso sunt omnia:" convenit illis dictis, quæ idem Apostolus in aliis memorat locis, eum dicit (1 Cor.viii. 6): "Unus Deus Pater ex quo omnia, et unus Dominus noster Jesus Christus, per quem omnia:" et item in Spiritu Dei dicit revelari omnia, et per hæc designat, in omnibus esse providentiam Trinitatis: ita et cum dicit "altitudo divitiarum," Patrem, ex quo omnia dicit esse, significat : et sapientiæ altitudinem, Christum, qui est sapientia ejus, ostendit: et scientiæ altitudinem, Spiritum Sanctum, qui etiam alta Dei novit, declarat.' And, if this be rightly understood,not of a formal allusion to the Three Persons in the Holy Trinity, but of an implicit reference (as Thol.) to the three attributes of Jehovah respectively manifested to us by the three coequal and coeternal Persons,there can hardly be a doubt of its correctness. The objection of De Wette, that not els, but ev, would be the designation of the Holy Spirit and His relation to the Universe, applies to that part of Origen's Commentary which rests on the Vulg. in ipso and to the idea of a formal recognition: but not to Tholuck's remark, illustrated from ὁ ἐπὶ πάντων κ. διὰ πάντων κ. ἐν πᾶσιν ήμιν, Eph. iv. 6, as referring to είς θεός, είς Only those who are κύριος, εν πνεθμα. dogmatically prejudiced can miss seeing that, though St. Paul has never definitively expressed the doctrine of the Holy Trinity in a definite formula, yet he was conscious of it as a living reality.

XII. 1-XV. 13.] PRACTICAL EXHOR-TATIONS FOUNDED ON THE DOCTRINES BEFORE STATED. And first, ch. xii. general exhortations to a Christian life.

1.] our may apply to the whole doctrinal

portion of the Epistle which has preceded, which, see Eph. iv. 1; 1 Thess. iv. 1, seems the most natural connexion,-or to ch. xi. 35, 36 (so Olsh., Meyer), - or to the whole close of ch. xi. (so Tholuck.) Theodoret remarks: ὅπερ ἔστιν ὀφθαλμός ἐν σώματι, τοῦτο τῆ ψυχῆ πίστις, καὶ τῶν θείων ἡ γνῶσις. δεῖται δὲ ὅμως αὕτη τῆς πρακτικης ἀρετης, καθάπερ ὁ ὀφθαλμός χειρών και ποδών και τών άλλων μορίων τοῦ σώματος. τούτου δὲ χάριν ὁ θεῖος ἀπόστολος τοίς δογματικοίς λόγοις και την ἡθικὴν διδασκαλίαν προςτέθεικε. διά] introduces, as in reff., an idea which

is to give force to the exhortation. οίκτιρμών] viz. those detailed and proved throughout the former part of the Epistle. δι' αὐτῶν οὖν τούτων, φησί, παρακαλῶ, δι' ὧν ἐσώθητε' ὥςπερ ὰν εί τις τὸν μεγάλα εὐεργετηθέντα έντρέψαι βουλόμενος, αὐτὸν τον εθεργετήσαντα ίκέτην άγάγοι. Chrys. Hom. xxi. p. 656. παραστήσαι] the regular word for bringing to offer in sacrifice (reff.). τ. σώματα ύμ Most Commentators say, merely for ὑμᾶς αὐτούς. - to suit the metaphor of a sacrifice, which consisted of a body: some (Thol., al.), because the body is the organ of practical activity, which practical activity is to be dedicated to God: better with Olsh. and De Wette, -as an indication that the sanctification of Christian life is to extend to that part of man's nature which is most completely under the bondage of sin. \(\theta \pu \sigma (\alpha \pi \alpha) \) Chrys. strikingly says, πῶς το γένοιτο το σώμα, φησί, θυσία; μηδεν ο οφθαλμός βλεπέτω πονηρόν, καὶ γέγονε θυσία μηδέν ή γλώττα λαλείτω αἰσχρόν, καὶ γέγονε προςφορά μηδὲν ἡ χεὶρ πραττέτω παράνομον, καὶ γέγονεν όλοκαύτωμα. μᾶλλυν δέ οὐκ ἀρκεῖ ταῦτα, άλλὰ καὶ τῆς τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἡμῖν ἐργασίας

δεῖ, Ίνα ἡ μὲν χεὶρ ἐλεημοσύνην ποιῆ, τὸ

440 ΠΡΟΣ ΡΩΜΑΙΟΥΣ.

υς h. is. 4 reff. υ λατρείαν ύμῶν, 2 καὶ μὴ $^{\rm v}$ συνσχηματίζεσθαι τῷ $^{\rm w}$ αἰῶνι ABDF το μετ. h. is. $^{\rm w}$ τούτῳ, ἀλλὰ $^{\rm x}$ μεταμορφοῦσθαι τῷ $^{\rm y}$ ἀνακαινώσει τοῦ cd fg h k. hm n l. Or. h. vo. $^{\rm z}$ νοός, $^{\rm a}$ εἰς τὸ $^{\rm b}$ δοκιμάζειν ὑμᾶς τί τὸ θέλημα τοῦ θεοῦ $^{\rm o}$ 10 στ.

10.0 (lis) a.o. $\dot{\tau}$ is a $\dot{\tau}$ a $\dot{\tau}$ or $\dot{\tau}$ a $\dot{\tau}$ or $\dot{\tau}$ or

2. [$\sigma v \nu \sigma \chi \eta \mu$., so B¹DFN.] rec $-\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau_1 \langle \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ and $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \mu \rho \rho \phi \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon$, with B¹L rel latt syrr copt goth Clem Chr Cyr Thdrt Damasc : $-\alpha \iota$ and $-\epsilon$ n 17 : $-\epsilon$ and $-\alpha \iota$ N e o¹ : txt AB²DF g k Thl Chr. rec aft $\nu o o \epsilon$ ins $\nu \mu \omega \nu$, with D³LN rel Thdrt Aug : om ABDF copt Clem Chr-comm(appy) Cypr₂. om 2nd $\tau \sigma$ F.

δὲ στόμα εὐλογῆ τοὺς ἐπηρεάζοντας, ἡ δὲ άκοη θείαις σχολάζη διηνεκώς άκροάσεσιν. ή γαρ θυσία οὐδεν έχει ἀκάθαρτον, ή θυσία ἀπαρχὴ τῶν ἄλλων ἐστί. καὶ ἡμεῖς τοί-νυν καὶ χειρῶν καὶ ποδῶν καὶ στόματος καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἁπάντων ἀπαρχώμεθα τῷ θεῶ. Hom. xxi. p. 656. ζῶσαν] ln opposition to the Levitical θυσίαι, which . were slain animals. Our great sacrifice, the Lord Jesus, having been slain for us, and by the shedding of His Blood perfect remission having been obtained διὰ τῶν οἰκτιρμῶν τοῦ θεοῦ, we are now enabled to be offered to God no longer by the shedding of blood, but as living sacrifices. application of the figure of a sacrifice occurs in Philo, who ('quod omnis probus liber,' § 12, vol. ii., p. 457) describes the Essenes ας οὐ ζῶα καταθύοντες, ἀλλ' [εροπρεπεῖς τὰς έαυτῶν διανοίας κατασκευάζειν ἀξιοῦντες. See also Jos. Antt. xviii. 1. 5. belongs to εὐάρεστον, not to παραστῆσαί. τὴν λογικὴν λατρ. ὑμ.] "This

may certainly be in apposition with θυσίαν (Reiche, Meyer), the ace. denoting the result and intention;—θυσία however alone can hardly be called a λατρεία, but παραστήσαι θυσίαν may: therefore it is preferable to take the acc. as in apposition with the whole sentence, and supply some verb of exhorting: see 1 Tim. ii. 6; 2 Thess. i. 5." Tholuck. λογικήν λογικήν (reff.) is opposed to σαρκικήν, see Heb. vii. 16. So Chrys., -οὐδεν έχουσαν σωματικόν, οὐδέν παχύ, οὐδέν αἰσθητόν. Theodoret, Grot., al., take it as 'having reason,' 'rational,' opposed to sacrifices of animals which have no reason: Photius, Basil, and Calvin, 'rational,' as opposed to super-stitious. But the former meaning is far the best, and answers to the πνέυματικάς θυσίας of 1 Pet. ii. 5. 2.] συνσχη-ματίζεσθαι is not imperative in sense, but dependent on παρακαλώ. [Of course, in all such questions between e and at, the

confusing element of itacism comes in: but in no case where both forms are equally admissible in the text, can the mere suspicion of itacism be allowed to decide the question.] δ alàv oòros, here, the whole world of the ungodly, as contrasted with the spiritual kingdom of Christ.

with the spiritual kingdom of Christ. The dat. ἀνακαινώσει is not the instrument by which, but the manner in which the metamorphosis takes place: that wherein it consists : compare περιετμήθητε περιτουή άχειροποιήτω, Col. ii. 11. είς τὸ δοκιμάζειν, that ye may prove, viz. in this process and the active Christian life accompanying it, compare reff. Eph., Phil.: not 'that ye may be able to prove,' 'acquire the faculty of proving,' as Bucer, Olsh., Rückert: the Apostle is not speaking of acquiring wisdom here, but of practical proof by experience. τὸ ἀγαθ. κ. εὐάρ. κ. τέλ. are not epithets of τὸ θέλημα τ. θεοῦ as in E. V., for in that case they would be superfluous, and in part (τέλειον) inapplicable: but abstract neuters, see ver. 9, that ye may prove what is the will of God (viz. that which is) good and acceptable (to Him) and perfect. The nonrepetition of the art. shews that the adjectives all apply to the same thing.

3-21.] Particular exhortations grounded on and expanding the foregoing general ones. This is expressed by the $\gamma d\rho$, which resumes, and binds to what has preceded. And first, an exhortation to humility in respect of spiritual gifts, vv. 3-8.

3.] Δέγω, a mild expression for 'I command?' enforced as a command by διὰ τ. χ. . . . 'by means of my apostolic office,' 'of the grace conferred on me to guide and exhort the Church!' refl. παντὶ τῷ δόντι ἐν ὑμ.,—a strong bringing out of the individual application of the precept. οὐχὶ τῷ δένι καὶ τῷ δένι μόνον, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἀρχοντι κ. ἀρχομένω, κ. δοὐλφ κ. ἐλευθέρω, κ. ἱδιώτη κ. σοφῷ, κ. γυναικὶ κ. ἀνδρί,

μη f $\dot{\nu}περφρονείν$ g παρ $\dot{^{o}}$ $\dot{^{o}}$ $\dot{^{o}}$ $\dot{^{c}}$ $\dot{^{c}}$ h h πίστεως. ^{4 η} καθάπερ γὰρ ἐν ἐνὶ σώματι πολλὰ ο μέλη πλούτω υπερφροἔχομεν, τὰ δὲ ° μέλη πάντα οὐ τὴν αὐτὴν ἔχει ^p πρᾶξιν, ⁵ουτως ⁹οί ⁹πολλοί εν σωμά έσμεν εν χριστώ, το δε τκαθ΄ είς αλλήλων ° μέλη. 6 έχουτες δε * χαρίσματα κατά την εῖς ἀλλήλων ° μέλη. 6 ἔχοντες δὲ s χαρίσματα κατὰ τὴν $^{\frac{Noth, v. 30.}{4}}_{\text{Acts xxi}; 21}$ τὰριν τὴν t δοθείσαν ἡμῖν u διάφορα, v εἴτε w προφητείαν, $^{\frac{Noth, v. 30.}{4}}_{\text{-Acts}}$

3. aft χαριτος ins του θεου L d f m 5. 37. 482. 67. 73. 113-4-5. 120-4 fuld guelph æth arm Thl Aug. om παρ ο δει φρονειν F 70. εμερισεν bef o θεος (see 1 Cor vii. 17) A m guelph Syr arm.

rec μελη bef πολλα, with AL rel syrr goth Chr for καθαπερ, ωςπερ D'F. Damase Œe: txt BDFN latt Thdrt Thl. παντα bef μελη F(not G), so also vulg

Syr lat-ff.

rec (for το) o (alteration to suit εls), with D2.3 rel vulg(and 5. om εσμεν F. F-lat) Syr Eus Chr Thdrt Thl Œc: txt ABD'FX Anteh Damasc.

κ. νέω κ. γέροντι. Chrys. μη ύπερφρ. $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ There is a play on the words $\phi\rho\rho\nu\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$, ύπερφρονείν, and σωφρονείν, which can only be clumsily conveyed in another language: 'not to be high-minded, above that which he ought to be minded, but to be so minded, as to be sober-minded.' Wetst. quotes from Charondas in Stobæus, Sentent. xlii., προςποιείσθω δὲ εκαστος τῶν πολιτών σωφρονείν μάλλον ή φρονείν,— and from Thueyd. ii. 62,—ἰέναι δὲ τοῖς έχθροῖς όμόσε, μη φρονήματι μόνον, ἀλλὰ But φρονείν must καὶ καταφρονήματι. not be taken, with Calvin, 'admonet ut ea tantum cogitemus et meditemur, quæ nos sobrios et modestos reddere poterunt:'the thoughts implied in it being, thoughts of one's self. έκάστω ώς] = ώς έκάστφ (reff.), not (λέγω) έκάστφ, ως

μέτρον πίστεως is the receptivity of χαρίσματα, itself no inherent congruity, but the gift and apportionment of God. It is in fact the subjective designation of ή χάρις ή δοθείσα ήμίν, ver. 6. But we must not say, that (Ewb) "faith, in this passage, means those gifts or graces which the Christian can only receive through faith:" this is to confound the receptive faculty with the thing received by it, and to pass by the great lesson of our verse, that this faculty is nothing to be proud of, but God's gift. 4. γάρ, elucidating the fact, that God apportions variously to various persons: because the Christian community is like a body with many members having various duties. See the same idea further worked out, 1 Cor. xii. 12 ff.

5. τὸ δὲ καθ' εἶς But as regards individuals. A solecism for τὸ δὲ εἶς καθ' ενα, as εν καθ' εν in ref. Rev. Wetst., on ref. Mark, gives many examples of it.

Members of one another = fellow-members with one another,-members of the body of which we one with another are members.

6. The $\delta \epsilon =$ and not only so, but'....χάρις, see above, ver. 3, on πέτρ. πίστ. These χαρίσματα are called, 1 Cor. xii. 7, ἡ φανέρωσις τοῦ πνεύματος. "These χαρίσματα διάφορα are next specified. The two first accusatives are grammatically dependent on exortes: by degrees the Apostle loses sight of the construction, and continues with the concrete ὁ διδάσκων, which still he binds on to the foregoing by είτε,-but at ὁ μεταδιδούς, omits this also, and, at ver. 9, introduces the abstract ή ἀγάπη." Thol. είτε προφητείαν] There is some dispute about the construction of these clauses. The ordinary rendering regards them as elliptical, and supplies before κατὰ and ἐν, χρησάσθω αὐτῆ or ἄστε εἶναι αὐτήν or the like. But Reiche, Meyor, De Wette, suppose no ellipsis, joining κατὰ τὴν ἀναλ., &c. to the foregoing substantives, as $\kappa \alpha \tau \hat{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} \nu$ $\chi \hat{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu$ to $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$. This construction must however be dropped at $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \hat{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\sigma} \tau \eta \tau \iota$, which is manifestly to be rendered with a verb supplied: and (2) it reduces the four first mentioned gifts to a bare catalogue, and deprives the passage of its aim, which is to keep each member of the body in its true place and work without any member boasting against

x here only t. κατά την καναλογίαν της πίστεως. Το είτε ο διακονίαν, εν ABDF (τρως Wind.) τη διακονίαν είτε ο διακονίαν έν τη Σιλασκολία. Βυ τη Διακονίαν και τη Σιλασκολία. Βυ τη διακονίαν και τη διακονία τη διακονία και τη διακ χiii. 5.) γ Acts xx. 24 τη γ διακονία· ν είτε ο διδάσκων, εν τη διδασκαλία· 8 ν είτε cdfgh 2 τεθικε iii. 2 παρακαλών, εν τη παρακαλίου. 2 εν ταρακαλών, εν τη παρακαλίου. 2 εν 2 σπουδή 2 2 2 4 ο 4 ελείνι. 2 4 ο 4 ελείνι. 2 4 εν 4 εν ο επαρακαλών, έν τη παρακλήσει ο μεταδιδούς, έν

7. ειτ. ο διακονων 83 (m). for ο διδασκων, διδασκαλειαν A. 8. om ette D'F latt Ruf Pelag Sedul Bede. προιστανομένος Ν.

another. Tholuck quotes a passage of very similar construction from Epictet. Dissert. iii. 23. 5. He is speaking of reading and philosophizing from ostentation, and says that every thing which we do, must have its aim, its ἀναφορά; $-\lambda οιπόν$, ή μèν τίς ἐστι κοινὴ ἀναφορά, ἡ δ' ίδία. πρῶτον, ໃν' ως άνθρωπος. εν τούτω τί περιέχεται; ... ή δ' ίδία πρός το ἐπιτήδευμα ἐκάστου και την προαίρεσιν ό κιθαρφδός, ώς κιθαρωδός δτέκτων, ώς τέκτων δφιλόσοφος, ώς φιλόσοφος ό ρήτωρ, ώς ρήτωρ. See also the same construction in 1 Pet. iv. 10, 11.

On προφητεία, the gift of the προφήται, кат. т. а́гаλ, see note, Acts xi. 27. τ. πίστ.] (let us prophesy) according to the proportion (compare Justin Mart. Apol. i. 17, p. 54: "each will be punished πρός ἀναλογίαν ὧν ἔλαβε δυνάμεων παρὰ θεοῦ") of faith. But what faith? Objective ('fides quæ creditur'), or subjective ('fides qua creditur')? the faith, or our faith? The comparison of μέτρον πίστεως above, and the whole context, determine it to be the latter; the measure of our faith: 'quisque se intra sortis suæ metas contineat, et revelationis suæ modum teneat, ne unus sibi omnia seire videatur.' To understand ἀναλογία τ. π. objectively, as 'the rule of faith,' as many R .- Cath. expositors, and some Protestant, e.g. Calvin, 'fidei nomine significat prima religionis axiomata,'-seems to do violence to the context, which aims at shewing that the measure of faith, itself the gift of God, is the receptive faculty for all spiritual gifts, which are therefore not to be boasted of, nor pushed beyond their provinces, but humbly exercised within their own limits.

7. διακονίαν] any subordinate ministration in the Church. In Acts vi. 1 and 4, we have the word applied both to the lower ministration, that of alms and food, and to the higher, the διακ. τοῦ λόγου, which belonged to the Apostles. But here it seems to be used in a more restricted sense, from its position as distinct from prophecy, teaching, exhortation, &c.

έν τη διακ. Let us confine ourselves humbly and orderly to that kind of ministration to which God's providence has appointed us, as profitable members of the δ διδάσκων] The prophet spoke under immediate inspiration; the διδάσκαλος under inspiration working by the secondary instruments of his will and reason and rhetorical powers. Paul himself seems ordinarily, in his personal ministrations, to have used διδασκαλία. He is nowhere called a prophet, but appears as distinguished from them in several places: e.g. Acts xi. 27; xxi. 10, and apparently xiii. 1. Of course this does not affect the appearance of prophecies, commonly so called, in his writings. The inspired διδάσκαλος would speak, though not technically προφητείαs, yet the mind of the Spirit in all things: not to mention that the apostolic office was one in dignity and fulness of inspiration far surpassing any of the subordinate ones, and in fact including them all.

έν τη διδασκαλία as before: he is to teach in the sphere, within the bounds, of the teaching allotted to him by God,-or for which God has given him the faculty.

8. The παρακαλών was not necessarily distinct from the προφητεύων, - see 1 Cor. xiv. 31. ὁ μεταδιδούς appears to be the giver of the alms to the poor,either the deacon himself, or some distributor subordinate to the deacon. This however has been doubted, and not without reason: for a transition certainly seems to be made, by the omission of the elte, from public to private gifts. We cannot find any ecclesiastical meaning for έλεων (though indeed Calvin, al., understand by it "viduas et alios ministros qui curandis ægrotis, secundum veterem Ecclesiæ morem, præficiebantur"),-and the very fact of the three preceding being all limited to their respective official spheres, whereas these three are connected with qualitative descriptions, speaks strongly for their being private acts, to be always performed in the spirit described. Add to all, that, as Vitringa remarks, διαδιδόναι is more properly to distribute (Acts iv. 35), µєтаδιδόναι to impart of one's own to another. I would therefore render it : He that be 5 ἰλαρότητι. 9 ἡ ἀγάπη h ἀνυπόκριτος, 1 ἀποστυγοῦντες τὸ 5 here only πονηρόν, k κολλώμενοι 1 τῷ 1 ἀγαθῷ 10 τῆ m φιλαδελφία εἰς 10 τῆ 6 ἀλλήλους n φιλόστοργοι 1 τῆ 0 τιμῆ ἀλλήλους p προηγού $^{-h}$ 10 της 10 ι, 10

9. for αποστυγ., μεισουντές F.

stoweth. ἐν ἀπλότητι] ordinarily, 'with simplicity.' But seeing that ἀπλότης, referred to alms-giving, bears another and an objective meaning, this hardly satisfies me, because σπουδή and ίλαρότης designate not so much the inward frame of mind, as the outward character of the superintendence and the compassion: as might be expected, when gifts to be exercised for mutual benefit are spoken of. In 2 Cor. viii. 2; ix. 11, 13, Jos. Antt. vii. 13. 4 [where David admires Araunah, τη̂s άπλότητος καλ της μεγαλοψυχίας], the word signifies 'liberality:' so perhaps $a\pi\lambda\hat{\omega}s$ also, James i. 5, but see note there. This meaning is not recognized by Wahl, Lex., but defended by Tholuck, who connects it with the phrase found in Stobæus, Eelog. Phys. i. p. 123, άπλοῦν τὰς χείρας, 'to open the hands wide: '—and I would thus render it here. ὁ προϊστάμενος] He that presides-but over what? If over the Church exclusively, we come back to offices again: and it is hardly likely that the rulers of the Church, as such, would be introduced so low down in the list, or by so very general a term, as this. In 1 Tim. iii. 4, 5, 12, we have the verb used of presiding over a man's own household: and in its absolute usage here, I do not see why that also should not be included. Meyer would understand it of 'patronage of strangers' (ch. xvi. 2). Stuart in his Excursus on this place, appended to his Commentary, takes up and defends the same view. But, not insisting on the general usage of the word being preferable where it occurs absolutely, will έν σπουδή apply to this meaning? Of course so far as σπουδή is applicable to every employment, it might, but more than this is required, where words are connected in so marked a manner as here. Giving προϊστάμενος the ordinary meaning, these words fit admirably: implying that he who is by God set over others, be they members of the Church or of his own household, must not allow himself to forget his responsibility, and take his duty indolently and easily, but must προΐστασθαι σπουδαίωs, making it a serious matter of

ὁ ἐλεῶν] See continual diligence. above: He that sheweth mercy, is the very best rendering: and I cannot conceive that any officer of the Church is intended, but every private Christian who exercises compassion. It is in exhibiting compassion, which is often the compulsory work of one obeying his conscience rather than the spontaneous effusion of love, that cheerfulness is so peculiarly required, and so frequently wanting. And yet in such an act it is even of more consequence towards the effect,—consoling the compassionated, than the act itself. κρείσσων λόγος ή δόσις, Sir. xviii. 17. 9-21.] Exhortations to various Christian principles and habits. 9.] Olsh., De Wette, al., would understand ἐστίν,—not ἔστω, -the ellipsis of the imperative being unusual. But I cannot see how this can be here. Clearly the three preceding clauses are hortative; as clearly, those which follow are so likewise. Why then depart from the prevalent character of the context, and make this descriptive? ἀποστυγ.] This very general exhortation is probably, as Bengel says, an explanation of ἀνυπόκριτος:-our love should arise from a gennine cleaving to that which is good, and aversion from evil: not from any by-ends.

10.] in brotherly love (dat. of the respect or regard in which), affectionate.

the respect or regard in which), affectionate.

λει από το με από

τες· τῷ κυρίω ^t δουλεύοντες· 12 τῆ έλπίδι χαίροντες· τῆ ABDF u = Matt, x, 22, xxiv, 13 [, 2 Tim, ii, 12, James v, 11, 1 Pet, ii, 20, Job xiv, 14, v Acts i, 14 (reff.), w Acts xx, 34 reff. ξενίαν α διώκοντες. 14 bc ευλογείτε τους α διώκοντας ύμας. bc εὐλογεῖτε, καὶ μὴ ce καταρᾶσθε. 15 χαίρειν μετά χαιρόντων, κλαίειν μετά κλαιόντων. 16 f το f αὐτο είς ἀλλήλους x Acts ix. 13

11. Steph (for κυριω) καιρω, with D¹F 5 G-lat lat-mss-mentd-by-Jer-Ruf-Bede Nyss₂ Cypr Ambrst-expr: txt ABD²·³Lℵ rel gr-mss-mentd-by-Jer-Ambrst-Ruf-Bede Clem Ath Bas Chr Thdrt Euthal Thl Œc Jer Ruf Pelag Aug Primas Sedul Bede. υπομενονντες Χ.

13. for χρειαις, μνειαις D¹F mss-mentd-by-Thdor-mops(ἔνια τῶν ἀντιγράφων) am Chr₁ Hil Ambrst Opt Aug₁: txt ABD³N rel Clem Chr₂ Thdrt Thdor-mops Damase Thl Œe Aug¹ Bede: Ruf Sedul Pelag speak of both readings.

14. om υμας (homæotel?) B 47. 672 am Clem: τους εχθρους ημων Orig: om ευλ. τ. διωκ. υμ. (passing from 1st ευλογειτε to 2nd) F Ruf-ms Chrysol: these words are aft καταρασθε in D1.3: txt ALN rel (Orig) Chr Bas Thdrt.

15. rec ins και bef κλαιειν, with AD3L rel Syr copt (Orig) Chr Thdrt: om BD1FR

latt syr goth arm Tert Aug Ambrst Ruf Pelag Sedul Bede.

13, to Christian duties as such: as 'fervency of spirit,' 'acting as God's servants,' 'rejoicing in hope,' &c.) not remiss. ζέων τῷ πν. is used of Apollos, in ref. The Holy Spirit lights this fire within: see Luke xii. 49; Matt. iii. 11. τ. κυρίφ Soul. The external authorities, as will be seen in the var. read., are strongly in favour of this reading. The balance of internal probability, though not easy at once to settle, is I am persuaded on the same side. The main objection to κυρίω has ever been, that thus the Apostle would be inserting here, among particular precepts, one of the most general and comprehensive character. So Hilary (in Wetst.) and al. But this will be removed, if we remember, of what he is speaking: and if I mistake not, the other reading has been defended partly owing to forgetfulness of this. The present subject is, the character of our zeal for God. In it we are not to be οκνηροί, but fervent in spirit, - and that, as servants of God. A very similar reminiscence of this relation to God occurs Col. iii. 22-21: οἱ δοῦλοι, ... δ έὰν ποιήτε, ἐκ ψυχῆς ἐργάζεσθε ὡς τῷ κυρίφ καὶ οὐκ ἀνθρώποις, εἰδότες ὅτι ἀπὸ κυρίου ἀπολήμψεσθε τὴν ἀνταπόδοσιν της κληρονομίας. τῷ κυρίῳ χριστῷ δουλεύετε. The command, τώ καιρώ δουλεύειν, would surely come in very inopportunely in the midst of exhortations to the zealous service of God. At the same time, it is not easy to give an account of the origin of the reading. The έξαγοραζόμενοι τον καιρόν of Eph. v. 16 may have led to the

filling up of the contracted κυρίφ (κω) with this word: and the notion that σπουδη̂ referred to worldly business, may have favoured the sense thus given. For examples of the phrase τφ καιρφ δουλεύειν and 'tempori inservire,' see Wetst. As to its application of the phrase that the sense is the sense of the sens cability at all to Christians, De Wette well remarks, "The Christian may and should certainly employ (Eph. v. 16) τον καιρόν (time and opportunity), but not serve it." Athanas. (in Wetst.) ad Dracont. says, où πρέπει $τ\hat{\phi}$ καιρ $\hat{\phi}$ δουλεύειν, ἀλλὰ κυρί ϕ .

12.] The datives here are not parallel.

τη ἐλπίδι is the ground of the joy in χαίροντες,-but τη θλίψει the state in which the ύπομονή is found. 13. The reading μιείαιs is curious, as being a corruption introduced, hardly accidentally, in favour of

the honour of marturs by commemoration.
τ. φιλοξ. διώκ.] οὐκ εἶπεν ἐργαζόμενοι, ἀλλὰ διώκοντες, παιδεύων ἡμᾶς μὴ ἀναμένειν τοὺς δεομένους, πότε πρὸς ἡμᾶς ἔλθωσιν, ἀλλ' αὐτοὺς ἐπιτρέχειν κ. καταδιώκειν. Chrys. Hom. xxii. 676.

14.] "The Sermon on the Mount must have been particularly well known; for among the few references in the N. T. Epistles to the direct words of Christ there oceur several to it: e. g. 1 Cor. vii. 10. James iv. 9; v. 12 (we may add iv. 3; i. 2, 22; ii. 5, 13; v. 2, 3, 10). 1 Pet. iii. 9, 11; iv. 14." Tholuck. 15. Inf. for imperative: see Phil. iii. 16: and Winer, edn. 6, § 43. 5. d. 16. Having (the participial construction is resumed, as in ver. 9) the same spirit towards one

iii. 7. n 2nd pers., 2 Cor. vii. 11 reff. o 1 Thess. v. 15. 1 Pet iii. 9. (Prov. xvii. 13.) p. Matt. vi. 4, 6, Luke x. 35 al. c. t. refs. iv. 19 reff. Mat. ii. 17. s. Matt. xviv. 24. Gal. iv. 16. t. here only. Hom. II. a. 525, 25 in. c. t. here only. Hom. II. a. 525, 25 in. c. t. here only. Hom. II. a. 525, 25 in. c. t. here only. 3 Kings xxii. 45. Sr. vi. 6. v. Luke xviii. 3, 5. 2 Cor. x. 6. Rev. vi. 10. xix. 2 only. 4 Kings ix. 7. (s. xxv. ch. xii. 4). 2 Luke xviii. 3, 5. 2 Cor. x. 6. Rev. vi. 10. xix. 2 late. x. 30. (f. st. xxviii. 11). (a. xxviii. 12. see Heb., xii. 17 cor. vii. 1 reff. y. DEUT. xxxii. 35. 2 late. x. 30. (f. st. xxviii. 11). (a. xxviii. 12. xee Leb., xii. 17 cor. vii. 11. 2 late. xxxiii. 25. c. t. vii. 11. 2 late. xxviii. 25. c. t. c. vii. 11. 2 late. xxxii. 27. Acis vii. 24. Cor. vii. 1 vi. 2 late. xxii. 27. Acis vii. 24. Cor. vii. 1 vi. 2 late. xxii. 27. Acis vii. 24. Cor. vii. 1 vi. 2 late. xxii. 27. Acis vii. 24. Cor. vii. 1 vi. 2 late. xxii. 27. Acis vii. 24. Cor. vii. 1 vi. 2 vv. d. al. Prov. xxv. 21, 22.

17. aft καλα ins ενωπιον του θεου και (see 2 Cor viii. 21; Prov iii. 4) A² [Polyc]; ου μονου ενωπ. τ. θ. αλλα και F vulg goth arm(not ed.1805) Lncif: om A¹(appy) BDLN rel Syr. for παντων, των Α²D¹F guelph harl tol Lucif: txt (A¹?)BD³LN rel vss Chr (Thdrt) Damase Thl Ge Ambrst Sedul Bede.

19. ανταποδω F: retribuo goth.

20. rec (for αλλα εαν) εαν ουν, with D³L rel syr Chr Thdrt Œc Thl: εαν (alone) D¹F guelph D³-lat goth Cypr: εαν γαρ Syr Did Aug: txt ABN m vulg D¹-lat Bas Damase

another, i. c. actuated by a common and well-understood feeling of mutual allowance and kindness. μη τὰ ὑψ.] It is a question, whether τοῖς ταπεινοῖς is neuter or mase. Certainly not necessarily neuter, as De W.: the Apostle's antitheses do not require such minute correspondence as this. The sense then must decide. In τὰ ὑψηλὰ φρονοῦντες, the ὑψηλά are necessarily subjective, the lofty thoughts of the man. But in Tois Taxeivois ouvαπαγόμενοι the adj. is necessarily objective; some outward objects, with which the persons exhorted are συναπάγεσθαι. And those outward objects are defined, if I mistake not, by the τὸ αὐτὸ εἰς ἀλλήλους φρονουντες. This spirit towards one another is not to be a spirit of haughtiness, but one of community and sympathy, condescending to men of low estate, as E. V. admirably renders it. For συναπ., see reft. and compare Zosinus, Hist. v. 6, cited by Tholuck, και αὐτὴ ἡ Σπάρτη συναπήγετο τῆ κοινῆ τῆς Ἑλλάδος ἀλώσει. The insertion of the scemingly incongruous μη γίνεσθε . . έαυτοις is sufficiently accounted for by reference to ch. xi. 25, where he had stated this frame of mind as one to be avoided by those whose very place in God's church was owing to His free mercy. Being uplifted one against another would be a sign of this fault being present and opera-17. The construction is resumed.

18.] The εί δυνατόν, as well remarked by Thol. and De Wette, is objective onlynot 'if you can,' but if it be possible-if others will allow it. And this is further defined by τὸ ἐξ ὑμῶν: all YOUR part is to be peace: whether you actually live peaceably or not, will depend then solely on how you. 19.] So ἀγαπητοί] 'The others behave towards you. Matt. v. 39, 40. more difficult this duty, the more affectionately does the Apostle address his readers, with this word.' Thol. δότε τόπον] allow space, i. c. 'interpose delay,' to anger. So Livy viii. 32, "Legati circumstantes sellam orabant, ut rem in posterum diem differret, et iræ suæ spatium, et consilio tempus, daret." So that we must not understand τη δργή, 'your anger,' nor 'God's anger,' but 'anger,' generally :— 'give wrath room:' 'proceed not to execute it hastily, but leave it for its legitimate time, when He whose it is to avenge, will execute it: make not the wrath your own, but leave it for God.' So in the main, but mostly understanding τ . $\delta\rho$. $\tau o\hat{v}$ $\theta\epsilon o\hat{v}$, Chrys., Aug., Theodoret, and the great body of Commentators. Some Fathers interpret it, 'yield to the anger (of your adversary'); but this meaning for δότε

αιι στικιι 3 σου, α ψωμιζε αὐτόν εἰν εδιψᾶ, είπότιζε αὐτόν. τοῦτο ABDP Lab και και γὰρ ποιῶν εἄνθρακας πυρὸς ασρεύσεις ἐπὶ τὴν κεφαλὴν c digh και και και αὐτοῦ. $\frac{33}{100}$ και αὐτοῦ. $\frac{21}{100}$ μὴ νικῶ ὑπὸ ἱτοῦ ἱκακοῦ, ἀλλὰ νίκα κεἰν ἱτῷ ο 17 κακοῦ. ἱ ὰγαθῷ ἱτὸ ἱκακόν.

 $\frac{\text{Re. xii. 13.}}{\text{Aug. yr. 15.}}$ $\frac{\text{XIII.}}{\text{XIII.}}$ $\frac{1}{1} \prod_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha} \alpha^{-1} \psi_{\alpha} \chi_{\gamma}^{\alpha} \prod_{\alpha}^{m} \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \xi_{\alpha} v_{\alpha} v_{\alpha} (\alpha_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha} \epsilon_{$

1 Cor. ii. 1.) och. viii. 7 reff.

Ruf Bede. της κεφαλης Β.
 21. μη νικου Α. for υπο, απο F.

Chap, XIII. 1. for $\pi a \sigma a \psi \chi \gamma \dots \upsilon \pi \sigma \tau \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \delta \omega$, $\pi a \sigma \sigma \iota s \dots \upsilon \pi \sigma \tau \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \delta \sigma \delta \in D^1F$ hard Iren-int Ambrst.

* $\upsilon \pi o$ ABD¹L% rel Bas Isid Chr Thdrt-ms Thl-comm &c-comm:

τόπον is hardly borne out. The citation varies from the LXX, which has ἐν ἡμέρα ἐκδικήσεως ἀνταποδώσω; -and is nearer the Heb., לינקס ושלם, "mine is revenge and requital." It is very remarkable, that in Heb. x. 30 the citation is made in the same words. 20.] The ov would mean 'quod cum ita sit;'—carrying on the sentence with the assumption of the last thing stated. This perhaps may not have been understood, and hence may bave arisen the alteration or omission of obv in the MSS. But the evidence is very strong for its omission. What is meant by ανθρακας πυρός σωρεύσεις? The expression ἄνθρ. πυρ. occurs more than once in Ps. xviii., of the divine punitive judgments. Can those be meant here? Clearly not, in their bare literal sense. For however true it may be, that ingratitude will add to the enemy's list of crimes, and so subject him more to God's punitive judgment, it is impossible that to bring this about should be set as a precept, or a desirable thing among Christians. Again, can the expres-sion be meant of the glow and burn of shame which would accompany, even in the case of a profane person, the receiving of benefits from an enemy? This may be meant; but is not probable, as not sufficing for the majesty of the subject. Merely to make an enemy ashamed of himself, can hardly be upheld as a motive for action. I understand the words, 'For in this doing, you will be taking the most effectual vengeance;' as effectual as if you heaped coals 21. If you sufof fire on his head. fered yourselves to be provoked to revenge, you would be yielding to the enemy,overcome by that which is evil: do not thus, - but in this, and in all things, overcome the evil (in others) by your good.

Chap. XIII. 1-7.] The duty of cheerful obedience to the powers of the state.

It has been well observed (Calv., Thol., De Wette. See Neander, Pflanzung u. Leitung, &c. 4th ed. p. 460 ff.) that some special reason must have given occasion to these exhortations. We can hardly attribute it to the seditious spirit of the Jews at Rome, as their influence in the Christian Church there would not be great; indeed, from Acts xxviii. the two seem to have been remarkably distinct. But disobedience to the civil authorities may have arisen from mistaken views among the Christians themselves as to the nature of Christ's kingdom and its relation to existing powers of this world. And such mistakes would naturally be rifest there, where the fountain of earthly power was situated: and there also best and most effectually met by these precepts coming from apostolic authority. The way for them is prepared by vv. 17 ff. of the foregoing chapter. 1 Pet. ii. 13 ff. is parallel: compare notes there.

1.] ὑποτασσέσθω, see 1 Cor. xvi. 16, is reflective, subject himself, i.e. 'be subject of his own free will and accord.' there is no authority (in heaven or earth -no power at all) except from God: and (so $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$, 2 Cor. vi. 15, 16. It introduces a second clause as if $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ had stood in the first) those that are (the existing powers which we see about us), have been ordained by God. We may observe that the Apostle here pays no regard to the question of the duty of Christians in revolutionary movements. His precepts regard an established power, be it what it may. It, in all matters lawful, we are bound to obey. But even the parental power does not extend to things unlawful. If the civil power commands us to violate the law of God, we must obey God before man. If it commands us to disobey the common laws of humanity, or the sacred institutions of our country, our obedience is due to δὲ οὖσαι ὑπὸ θεοῦ ρτεταγμέναι εἰσίν. 2 ὡςτε ὁ q ἀντι- ρι Lake rii, διασσόμενος τῆ m έξουσία τῆ τοῦ θεοῦ r διαταγῆ s ἀνθ- γκενίὶι 49, χε. 2 το τηκεν· οἱ δὲ s ἀνθεστηκότες ὲαυτοῖς t κοῖμα λήμψονται το το δὶ s ἀνθεστηκότες ὲαυτοῖς t κοῖμα λήμψονται το το ἀγαθὸν m ἄρχουτες οὐκ εἰσὶν v φόβος τῷ w ἀγαθῷ w ἔργῳ, εἰσιδιὶὶ t ἀλλὰ τῷ κακῷ. t θέλεις δὲ μὴ φοβεῖσθαι τὴν m ἔξουσίαν t εσιδιὶὶ t τὸ ἀγαθὸν ποίει, καὶ v ἔξεις h ἔπαινον ἔξ αὐτῆς t t θεοῦ t το ἀγαθὸν ποίει, καὶ v ἔξεις h ἔπαινον ἔξ αὐτῆς t t θεοῦ t το ἀκακὸν ποίῆς, φοβοῦ t οὐ γὰρ c εἰκῆ τὴν d μάχαιραν c φορεῖ t θεοῦ γὰρ διάκονός ἐστιν, t ἔκδικος s εἰς s ὸργὴν τῷ t τὸ t καν κακὸν πράσσοντι. t διὸ ἀνάγκη o ὑποτάσσεσθαι οὐ μόνον δια κοῦς, ch. ii. t τὸ ἐς τὸς t κὸν πράσσοντι. t διὸ ἀνάγκη o ὑποτάσσεσθαι οὐ μόνον δια t τὸς τὸς t καν t ἐκδικοις t ἐκδικοις t εἰς t το τὸς t καν t τὸς t τὸς t καν t τὸς t τὸς t τὸς t τὸς t καν t τὸς t καν t τὸς t τὸς

z ch. viii. 28. xv. 2. xvi. 10 only. see 1 Ort. xi. 37. a ch. ii. 10 reft. c. [Matt. v. 22.] 1 Ort. xv. 2. Gal. iii. 4 (ibi.). iv. 11. Col. iii. 18 only. Prov. xxviii. 25 only. c. 31i. 2 reft. 5 xl. 4 only. Polta xi. 8. 5 1 Cor xv. 40 (bis). James ii. 3 only. Prov. xvi. 30 (20 yr. 20 yr. 10 pt. 10 yr. 20 yr. 20 yr. 20 yr. 10 pt. 20 yr. 20 yr. 20 yr. 20 yr. 10 pt. 20 yr. 20 yr. 20 yr. 10 pt. 20 yr. 20 yr. 20 yr. 10 pt. 20 yr. 20 yr.

aπο D¹F Orig Thdrt Damase. rec aft ουσαι ins εξουσιαι, with D³L rel syrr Chr Thdrt Thl Œc: om ABD¹FN latt copt goth æth arm Orig Iren-int₂ Did-int Ambrst Aug. rec ins του bef θεου, with LN³ rel Orig Thdrt Chr-ms₁: om ADFN¹ lπ Chr Damase Thl Œc.

3. $\operatorname{rec} \ \tau \omega v \ a \gamma a \theta \omega v \ \epsilon \rho \gamma \omega v \ a. \ \tau \omega v \ kak \omega v$, with D³L rel syrr Chr Thdrt Thl Ec: txt ABD\FR\ latt copt goth Clem Damase Iren-int Cypr Tert Aug Ruf Pacian Sedul Bede.

4. om $\sigma o \mid F \mid b^{\dagger} \mid o \mid 116$. om $18t \ \tau o \mid B$ om $\epsilon i s \ o \rho \gamma \eta \nu \mid D^{\dagger} F \mid \epsilon i s \ o \rho \gamma \eta \nu \mid b c \mid k n \mid o \mid 17$ Chr Thdrt.

5. om αναγκη (making υποτασσεσθαι \equiv -σθε) DF goth Iren-int Sedul₁.

the higher and more general law, rather than to the lower and particular. These distinctions must be drawn by the wisdom granted to Christians in the varying circumstances of human affairs: they are all only subordinate portions of the great duty of obedience to LAW. To obtain, by lawful means, the removal or alteration of an unjust or unreasonable law, is another part of this duty: for all powers among men must be in accord with the highest power, the moral sense. But even where law is hard and unreasonable, not disobedience, but legitimate protest, is the duty of the Christian. 2.] ἀντιτασσ., see ἐαυτοῖς κρῖμα λ.] shall receive for themselves (the dat. incommodi) condemnation, viz. punishment from God, through His minister, the 3.] And the tendency of civil power. these powers is salutary: to encourage good works, and discourage evil. It is not necessary to set a note of interrogation after ¿ξουσίαν: the clause may be treated as hypothetical, -see 1 Cor. vii. 18. Tholuck observes, that this verse is a token that the Apostle wrote the Epistle before the commencement of the Neronian per-secution. Had this been otherwise, the principle stated by him would have been the same; but he could hardly have passed so apparent an exception to it

without remark. 4. την μάχαιραν, perhaps in allusion to the dagger worn by the Cæsars, which was regarded as a symbol of the power of life and death: so Tacitus, Hist. iii. 68, of Vitellius, "adsistenti Consuli exsolutum a latere pugionem, velut jus necis vitæque civium, reddebat." Dio Cassius also, xlii. 27, mentions the wearing of τὸ ξίφος on all occasions by Antony, as a sign that he την μοναρχίαν ενεδείκνυτο. In ancient and modern times, the sword has been carried before sovereigns. It betokens the power of capital punishment: and the reference to it here is among the many testimonies borne by Scripture against the attempt to abolish the infliction of the penalty of death for crime in Christian states. εἰς ἀργήν seems to be inserted for the sake of parallelism with είς ἀγαθόν above : it betokens the character of the ἐκδίκησις,—that it issues in wrath. The ὀργή is referred to in την δργήν, ver. 5. 5.] διό, because of the divine appointment and mission of the civil officer. ἀνάγκη—it follows that we must subject ourselves-there is a moral necessity for subjection :- one not only of terror, but of conscience : compare διά τλιν κύριον, 1 Pet. ii. 13. 6.] διά τοῦτο . . καί is parallel with διό, ver. 5,giving another result of the divine appointment of the civil power ; - not dependent on $\begin{array}{l} {\rm thers\,3ec.} \\ {\rm Like\,xx.\,}^{22} \\ {\rm Like\,xx.\,}^{22} \\ {\rm Like\,xx.\,}^{23} \\ {\rm Like\,xx.\,}^{24} \\ {\rm Like\,xx.\,}^{22} \\ {\rm Like\,xx.\,}^{24} \\ {\rm L$

 α ch. still τ τούτω y άνακεφαλαιούται, $[\dot{\epsilon}v^{z}\tau\bar{\psi}]^{\alpha}$ άγαπήσεις b τον b πλη- τ still τ τούτω y άνακεφαλαιούται, $[\dot{\epsilon}v^{z}\tau\bar{\psi}]^{\alpha}$ άγαπήσεις b τον b πλησίον κακόν c (c μα, ch. c c επικούν c c

6. om και F (but F-lat has et).

rec aft αποδοτε ins ουν, with D³FLN³ rel syrr Chr Thl (Ec Ambrst: om ABD¹N¹ am(with demid tol) coptt (Orig₂) Damase Cypr Aug Ruf Cassiod.

8. οφιλοντες Ν¹ (: οφιλητε Ν³. rec αγαπαν bef αλληλους (corrn of order to agree with next clause?), with L rel syr coptt Thl (Ec: txt ABDF N m latt Syr arm

Orig Chr Cyr Thdrt Damasc Cypr.

9. for το΄ τορ, γεγραπται γαρ F Ambr. rec aft κλεψεις ins ον ψευδομαρτυρησεις (corrn to the decalogue), with N rel copt Chr (Ec Ruf: var transp al: txt ABDFL c g l am(with fuld tol al) 17 Syr sah Clem₂ Orig₂ Cyr &c. aft ετερα ins εστυ N'(N³ disapproving). rec τουτω bef τω λογω, with AL rel vss Clem Dial Cyr: txt BDFN d m syr copt Orig₂, om εν τω BF latt lat-fi: om εν Clem₁ Orig₃; ins ADLN rel vss Clem Dial vary: σαυτον g¹: txt ABDN b e d h o Orig₂ Dial Thdrt Damasc.

τελειτε is indicative, not imver. 5. perative: the command follows ver. 7. For they (the ἄρχοντες) are ministers of God, attending upon this very duty, viz. λειτουργείν,-hardly (as Koppe, Olsh., Meyer) φόρους τελείν, for in ver. 7 the Apostle has evidently in view the whole official character of these λειτουργοί. Reiche, al., construe, "For those who wait upon this very thing are ministers of God," which would require of είς αὐτ. τ. προςκ.:Κορρε, 'For λειτουργοί are of God:'but this again would require of γὰρ λειτ.— Tertullian remarks, Apolog. xlii. vol. i. p. 494, that what the Romans lost by the Christians refusing to bestow gifts on their temples, they gained by their conscientious payment of taxes.
7. Before the accusatives supply αἰτοῦντι, as the correlative φόρος is tax, or tribute, —direct payment for state purposes: τέλος, custom, toll, vectigal. φόβos, to those set over us and having power: τιμή, to those, but likewise to all on whom the state has conferred distinction. 8-10. Exhortation to universal love of

8-10.] Exportation to universal tore of others.

8.] δφείλετε is not indic. (as Koppe, Reiche, al.), which would require οὐδεν οὐδέν,—and would be inconsistent

with the ὀφειλαί just mentioned, - but imperative: 'Pay all other debts: be in-debted in the matter of love alone.' This debt increases the more, the more it is paid: because the practice of love makes the principle of love deeper and more active. Aug., Ep. excii. (lxii.), ad Cœlest. vol. ii. p. 868, says: "Redditur enim (caritas), cum impenditur, debetur autem etiam si reddita fuerit; quia nullum est tempus quando impendenda jam non sit. Nec cum redditur amittitur, sed potius reddendo multiplicatur." πεπλήρωκεν, hath (in the act) fulfilled: compare the perfects, John iii. 18; ch. xiv. 23. νόμον is not the Christian law, but the Mosaic law of the decalogue. "This recommendation of Love has, as also the similar one Gal. v. 23, κατὰ τῶν τοιούτων οὐκ ἔστιν νόμος,—an apologetic reference to the upholders of the law, and depends on this evident axiom,- 'He who practises Love, the higher duty, has, even before he does this, fulfilled the law, the lower." De Wette. 9.] ἀνακεφαλ., brought under one head,— united in the one principle from which all flow.' All the commandments of the law above cited are negative: the formal fulfilment C OUV ABCD FLN ab edfghh klmn

ουκ εργάζεται απλήρωμα οῦν νόμου ή ἀγάπη. 11 e καὶ c -ch. ii. 10 νοκ εργαζεται πληρωμα ουν νομου η αγαπη. και $\frac{\pi \pi}{\pi E}$ τουτο είδοτες τον καιρόν, ότι $\frac{\pi}{E}$ μρα $\frac{\pi}{E}$ ήδη ύμας εξ $\frac{\pi}{E}$ $\frac{\pi}{E}$ τουτο είδοτες τον καιρόν, ότι $\frac{\pi}{E}$ $\frac{\pi}{E}$ τουνο ειτοιτες του καιρού, το το καιρού h έγερθηναι h ὅτε h έγερθηναι h ὅτε h έγερθηναι h ὅτε h έπιστεύσαμεν. h h h την h h

for ουκ εργ., ου κατεργ. D1 b f 17. 10. om η αγ. to εργαζ. A. for ouv,

δε D²F spec Aug_{sepe}(txt₁): γαρ 115: quia Syr: om 93 lect-12 Oros.

11. ιδοντες A¹FG².

rec ημας bet ηδη (corrn for euphony?), with FL rel goth Clem Chr Thdrt Thl Œc: txt ABCDN m vulg Damase Jer Ambrst.—rec ημας, with DFLX3 rel: om syr Ruf: txt A B(sic: see table)CX1 d m.

rec for ενδυσ. δε, και 12. ηγγισεν Α. for αποθωμ., αποβαλωμεθα D1.3F. ενδυσ. (corrn, no contrast seeming to be implied), with C3D2-3FLN3 rel Chr Cyr

of them is therefore attained, by working no ill to one's neighbour. What greater things Love works, he does not now say: it fulfils the law, by abstaining from that which the law forbids. 11—14.]
Enforcement of the foregoing, and occasion taken for fresh exhortations, by
the consideration that THE DAY OF THE LORD IS AT HAND. 11.] καὶ τοῦτο, and this, i. e. 'and let us do this,' viz., live in no debt but that of love (see reff.), for other reasons, and especially for this following one. ώρα ήδη έγερθηναι] "The Inf. Aor. here, as after verbs of willing, ordering, &c., betokens the completion of the act in question. See Winer,

§ 45. 8. [edn. 6, § 44. 7]." De Wette. unvos here = the state of worldly carelessness and indifference to sin, which allows and practises the έργα τοῦ σκότους. The imagery seems to be taken originally from our Lord's discourse concerning His coming: see Matt. xxiv. 42: Mark xiii. 33, and Luke xxi. 28-36, where several points of similarity to our vv. 11-14 occur.

έγγύτ. ήμ. ή σωτ. ή ότε έπιστ.] σωτηρία, as ἀπολύτρωσις Luke xxi. 28, and ch. viii. 23, of the accomplishment of our salvation. ήμων may be taken with έγγύτερον, 'nearer to us,' see ch. x. 8. But έγγίζει ή ἀπολύτρωσις ἡμῶν, Luke xxi. 28, seems to favour the usual connexion with σωτηρία.

έπιστ.] we first believed; see Without denying the legitimacy of reff. an individual application of this truth, and the importance of its consideration for all Christians of all ages, a fair exegesis of this passage can hardly fail to recognize the fact, that the Apostle here as well as elsewhere (1 Thess. iv. 17; 1 Cor. xv. 51), speaks of the coming of the Lord as rapidly approaching. Prof. Stuart, Comm. p. 521, VOL. II.

is shocked at the idea, as being inconsistent with the inspiration of his writings. How this can be, I am at a loss to imagine. " OF THAT DAY AND HOUR KNOWETH NO MAN, NO NOT THE ANGELS IN HEAVEN, NOR THE SON: BUT THE FATHER ONLY." Mark xiii. 32. And to reason, as Stuart does, that because Paul corrects in 2 Thess. ii. the mistake of imagining it to be immediately at hand (or even actually come, see note on ἐνέστηκεν there), therefore he did not himself expect it soon, is surely quite beside the purpose. The fact, that the nearness or distance of that day was unknown to the Apostles, in no way affects the prophetic announcements of God's Spirit by them, concerning its preceding and accompanying circumstances. 'day and hour' formed no part of their inspiration :- the details of the event, did. And this distinction has singularly and providentially turned out to the edification of all subsequent ages. While the prophetic declarations of the events of that time remain to instruct us, the eager expectation of the time, which they expressed in their day, has also remained, a token of the true frame of mind in which each succeeding age (and each succeeding age a fortiori) should contemplate the ever-approaching coming of the Lord. On the certainty of the event, our faith is grounded: by the uncertainty of the time our hope is stimulated, and our watchfulness aroused. See Prolegg. to Vol. III. ch. v. § iv. 5-10.

12.] ἡ νύξ, the lifetime of the world,—the power of darkness, see Eph. vi. 12: ἡ ἡμέρα, the day of the resurrec-tion, 1 Thess. v. 4; Rev. xxi. 25; of which resurrection we are already partakers and are to walk as such, Col. iii. 1-4; 1 Thess. v. 5-8. Therefore,-let us lay aside (as GG

 $^{\rm q}$ ch. γt. 13 refi. δὲ τὰ $^{\rm q}$ ὅπλα τοῦ φωτός. $^{\rm 13}$ ὡς ἐν τ ἡμέρα st εὐσχημόνως ABCD refi. γt. 13 reριπατήσωμεν, μὴ ωνν κώμοις καὶ ωνν μέθαις, μὴ ων κοί- c at τρ ε ταις καὶ να ἀσελ γείαις, μὴ wab ἔριδι καὶ wbc ζήλῳ· $^{\rm 14}$ ἀλλὰ κιπα ε ταις καὶ να ἀσελ γείαις, μὴ wab ἔριδι καὶ wbc ζήλῳ· $^{\rm 14}$ ἀλλὰ κιπα ε ταις καὶ να ἀσελ γείαις, μὴ γαθ ἔριδι καὶ wbc ζήλῳ· $^{\rm 14}$ ἀλλὰ κιπα ε του κύριον Ἰησοῦν χριστόν, καὶ τῆς σαρκὸς πρόσοιαν μὴ ποιεῖσθε ε εἰς επιθυμίας. $XIV. ^{\rm 1} Tὸν δὲ ^{\rm g} ἀσθενοῦντα τῷ ^{\rm g} πίστει ^{\rm h} προςλαμβά-$

u Gai, v. 21.

w as hove (u), 1 Pet, iv. 3 only t. Wisd, xiv. 23. 2 Macc. vi. 4 only. w dat., ch. iv. 12. above (u), Luke xxi, 31 only, 1ss. xxviii. 7. Hsg. i. 6. Judith xiii 15. y ch. ix. 10 refi. plor., here only. 2 Mark vii 2.2 2 Cor. xii. 21. Pet, iv. 3 al t. Wisd. xiv. 25 only. c. a 1 Cor. i. 2 teef. g cd. iv. 10 (refi.) cor. i. 2 teef. g cd. iv. 10 (refi.) cor. i. 2 teef. g cd. iv. 10 (refi.) cor. i. 2 teef. g cd. iv. 10 (refi.) cor. i. 2 teef. g cd. iv. 10 (refi.) cor. i. 2 teef. g cd. iv. 20 (refi.) cor. i. 2 teef. g cd. iv. 20 (refi.) cor. i. 2 teef. g cd. iv. 20 (refi.) cor. i. 2 teef. g cd. iv. 20 (refi.) cor. i. 2 teef. g cd. iv. 20 (refi.) cor. i. 2 teef. g cd. iv. 2 teef. g c

That Cypr: $\epsilon\nu\delta\nu\sigma$. (only) \aleph^1 : txt ABC¹D¹ coptt goth Clem Damase. for $\sigma\pi\lambda\alpha$, $\epsilon\rho\gamma\alpha$ AD.

13. ερισι κ. ζηλοις B Ambr.

14. [αλλα, so ABD'N.] οπι κυρίον Β: add ημων sah. χρ. bef ιησ. B goth: οπι χρ. c k Ambr. οπι και D'F Sedul. αft σαρκ ins ημων sah. εν επιθυμιαις F latt latt-ff: εις επιθυμιαν ΑC Cyr Ath Thdrt-ms-comm Damasc: εν επιθυμια Ambr: txt BDN rel Clem Ps-Ign Chr Thdrt Thl Ce.

it were a clothing) the works of darkness (see Eph. v. 11—14, where a similar strain of exhortation occurs), and put on (δέ corresponding to an understood μέν) the armour of light (described Eph. vi. 11 ff.—the arms belonging to a soldier of light—one who is of the vlol φωτός and vlol ἡμέρας, 1 Thess. v. 5,—not, as Grot. 'arma splendentia').

13.] κοίταις, in a bad sense: the act itself being a defilement, when unsanctified by God's ordinance of marriage. See reff. ἀσελγείαις, plural of various kinds of wantonness: so δποκρίσεις, φθόνους, καταλαλιάς, 1 Pet. ii. 1.

14.] Chrys. says, on Eph. iv. 24, ούτω και έπι φίλων λέγομεν, ὁ δείνα τον δείνα ἐνεδύσατο, τὴν πολλὴν ἀγάπην λέγοντες, κ. την αδιάλειπτον συνουσίαν. See examples in Wetst. The last clause is to be read, της σαρκός πρόνοιαν μη ποιείσθε | είς επιθυμίας, - not της σαρκός πρόνοιαν | μη ποιείσθε είς ἐπιθυμίας, - and rendered, Take not (any) forethought for the flesh, to fulfil its lusts, not 'Take not your forethought for the flesh, so, as to fulfil its lusts' (Wartet bes Leibes, boch alfo, baß er nicht geil werbe, Luth.). This latter would be την πρόνοιαν τ. σαρκ. μη π. είς επιθ., - οτ της σ. πρόν. ποιείσθε μη είς επιθ.: see construction of the next Спар. XIV. 1-XV. 13.] On verse. THE CONDUCT TO BE PURSUED TOWARDS WEAK AND SCRUPULOUS BRETHREN. There is some doubt who the ἀσθενοῦντες $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$ were, of whom the Apostle here treats; whether they were ascetics, or Judaizers. Some habits mentioned, as e g. the abstinence from all meats, and from wine, seem to indicate the former: whereas the observation of days, and the use of such expressions as κοινόν, and again the argument of ch. xv. 7-13, as plainly point to the latter. The difficulty may be solved by a proper combination of the two views. The over-scrapulous Jew became an ascetic by compulsion. He was afraid of pollution by eating meats sacrificed or wine poured to idols: or even by being brought into contact, in foreign countries, with casual and undiscoverable uncleanness, which in his own land he knew the articles offered for food would be sure not to have incurred. He therefore abstained from all prepared food, and confined himself to that which he could trace from natural growth to his own use. We have examples of this in Daniel (Dan. i.), Tobit (Tob. i. 10, 11), some Jewish priests mentioned by Josephus, Life, § 3, who having been sent prisoners to Rome, oùn έξελάθοντο της είς το θείον εὐσεβείας, διετρέφοντο δε σύκοις καὶ καρύοις. And Tholuck refers to the Mishna as containing precepts to this effect. All difficulty then is removed, by supposing that of these overscrupulous Jews some had become converts to the gospel, and with neither the obstinacy of legal Judaizers, nor the pride of ascetics (for these are not hinted at here), but in weakness of faith, and the scruples of an over-tender conscience, retained their habits of abstinence and observation of days. On this account the Apostle characterizes and treats them mildly: not with the severity which he employs towards the Colossian Judaizing ascetics and those mentioned in 1 Tim. iv. 1 ff. The question treated in 1 Cor. viii. was somewhat different: there it was, concerning meat actually offered to an idol. In 1 Cor. x. 25-27, he touches the same question as here, and decides against the stricter view.

νεσθε μὴ ° εἰς ἱ διακρίσεις ὰ διαλογισμῶν. $\frac{2}{1}$ ος μὲν ἱι τος κιὶ 10 πατεύει φαγείν πάντα, ἱ ὁ δὲ β ἀσθενῶν ὰλάχανα ἐσθίει. $\frac{1}{2}$ δὸ ἐ β ἀσθενῶν ὰλάχανα ἐσθίει. $\frac{1}{2}$ δὸ ἐσθίων τὸν μὴ ἐσθίωντα μὴ ° ἐξουθενείτω, ὁ δὲ μὴ κιὰ εσθίων τὸν ἐσθίοντα μὴ $\frac{1}{2}$ κοινέτω ὁ θεὸς γὰρ αὐτὸν $\frac{1}{2}$ τος κιὶ 11 προςελάβετο. $\frac{4}{2}$ σὰ τίς εἶ ὁ $\frac{1}{2}$ κοινέτω $\frac{1}{2}$ ἀλλότριον $\frac{1}{2}$ οι κιλικίι κιὶ. Γιλικί 13.

m — Acts xv. 11 reff.
 xxiiii. 9. Acts iv. 11. ver. 10. 1 Cor. i. 28. vi. 4al. Prov. i. 7.
 1 &c Col. ii. 16. James iv. 11.
 13. 10. Ps. cviii. 11.
 1 Luke xvi. 13. Acts xv. 7. 1 Pet. ii. 18 only. Gen. ix. 23.

Chap. XIV. 2. of de ash. F. $\epsilon \sigma \theta \iota \tau \omega$ D'F latt sah æth Ambrst Pelag. 3. for $\epsilon \xi \sigma \theta \vartheta e \nu$, $\kappa \rho \iota \nu \epsilon \tau \omega$ A6 lect-5. rec (for o de $\mu \eta$) kai o $\mu \eta$, with D'alm's rel vulg Epiph Thart Tha (Ec: ovde o $\mu \eta$ (omg $\mu \eta$ aft) F: txt ABCD'N' goth Clemgamasc. $\gamma \sigma \rho$ bef $\theta \epsilon o$ s L 77.

See the whole matter discussed in Tholuck's Comm. in loc., De Wette's Handbuch, and Stuart's Introd. to this chap. in his Commentary. 1-12. $] \cdot Ex$ hortation to mutual forbearances, enforced by the axiom, that every man must serve God according to his own 1. The genesincere persuasion. ral duty of a reconciling and uncontroversial spirit towards the weak in faith. The & binds this on to the general exhortations to mutual charity in ch. xiii.: q. d. 'in the particular case of the weak in faith,' &c.: but also implies a contrast, which seems to be, in allusion to the Christian perfection enjoined in the preceding verses,- 'but do not let your own realization of your state as children of light make you intolerant of short-coming and infirmity in others.' åσθ., see reff.: the particular weakness consisted in a want of broad and independent principle, and a consequent bondage to prejudices.

πίστις therefore is used in a general sense, to indicate the moral soundness conferred by faith,—the whole character of the Christian's conscience and practice, resting on faith. $\tau \hat{n}$, better the faith, than 'his faith:' 'weak in his (subj.) faith' would be opposed to 'strong in his (subj.) faith,' 'his faith,' remaining in substance the same: whereas here the (subj.) faith itself is weak, and 'weak in the faith ' = holding THE FAITH imperfectly, i. e. not being able to receive the faith in its strength, so as to be above such prejuπροςλαμβ.] 'give him your hand,' as Syr. (Thol.): 'count him one of you :' opposed to rejecting or discouraging μη els] but not with a view to: 'do not adopt him as a brother, in order then to begin'... διακρίσ. διαλ.] discernments of thoughts, lit.: i.e. 'disputes in order to settle the points on which he has scruples.' In both the reff., διάκρισιs has the meaning of 'discernment

of, 'the power of distinguishing between.' And διαλογισμοί in the N. T. implies (ordinarily in a bad sense), 'thoughts:' what kind of thoughts, the context must determine. Here, evidently, those scruples in him, in which his weakness consists,and those more enlightened views in you, by which you would fain remove his scruples. Do not let your association of him among you be with a view to settle these disputes. The above ordinary meanings of the words seem to satisfy the sense, and to agree better with eis than 'ad altercationes disputationum,' as Beza, or 'ad certamina cogitationum,' as Estius:—and are adopted by most of the ancient and modern Commentators. 2.] The ôs µév, the strong in faith, so indicated by what follows, is opposed to δ δè ἀσθενῶν (not to be taken δ δ $\dot{\epsilon}$, $\dot{\alpha}\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu\hat{\omega}\nu$, $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$.), by which $\tau\delta\nu$ $\dot{\alpha}\sigma\theta\epsilon$ νοῦντα of ver. 1 is resumed. τεύει φαγείν, either believes that he may (ἐξείναι) eat,—or ventures to eat. latter is favoured by ref. Acts, πιστεύομεν σωθηναι, 'we trust to be saved;' though that also may be expanded into 'we believe that we shall be saved,' as E. V. λάχ. ἐσθ.] See remarks introductory to this chapter.

3.] There is no need to supply $\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau a$ after $\acute{e}\sigma \theta$, and $\mu \acute{\eta} \acute{e}\sigma \theta$. I would rather take $\delta \epsilon \sigma \theta$. as the eater, and $\delta \mu \dot{\eta} \epsilon \sigma \theta$. the abstainer. ¿ξουθ., for his weakness of faith, -κρινέτω, for his laxity of practice. For God has accepted (adopted into his family) him (i. e. the eater, who was judged,-his place in

God's family doubted: not the abstainer,

who was only despised, set at nought, - and

to whom the words cannot, by the construction, apply).

4. Who art thou

(see ch. ix. 20) that judgest the servant of

another (viz. as De W., of Christ,—for δ κύριος in this passage is marked, vv. 8, 9,

as being Christ,-and the Master is the

same throughout. δ θεδs before is uncon-

nected with this verse)? to his own Mas-

4. ree δυνατος γαρ εστιν (more usual expression), with L rel Thdrt: δυνατος γαρ omg εστιν, D³ syr(adding εστιν with oh) Bas Chr: txt ABCDFR. ree for κυριος θεος (corrn to suit ver 3? θεος there does not vary), with C¹DFL latt syr Chr Thdrt: txt ABC¹N vulg-ms Syr(addg aurov) coptt goth arm Aug, Opt.

5. aft os μεν ins γαρ ACN latt goth Ruf Ambrst : om BDFL N3 rel Dial Aug, Jerg.

om ev A 38. 54 fuld Chr Thdrt.

ter (dat. commodi or incommodi according as $\sigma\tau$. or $\pi i\pi\tau$. befalls: 'it is his own master's matter, and his alone, that ') he stands (' remains in the place and estimation of a Christian, from which thou wouldest eject him ;' not, as Calv., Grot., Estius, Wolf, al., 'stands hereafter in the judgment,' which is not in question here: see 1 Cor. x. 12) or falls (from his place, see above): but he shall be made to stand (notwithstanding thy doubts of the correctness of his practice): for the Lord (or, his Lord, in allusion to τω ίδίω κυρίω above) is able to make him stand (in faith and practice. These last words are inapplicable, if standing and falling at the great day are meant). Notice, this argument is entirely directed to the weak, who uncharitably judges the strong,-not vice versa. The weak imagines that the strong cannot be a true servant of God, nor retain his stedfastness amidst such temptation. To this the Apostle answers, (1) that such judgment belongs only to Christ, whose servant he is: (2) that the Lord's almighty Power is able to keep him up, and will do so. 5.] One man (the weak) esteems (selects for honour, κρίνει ἀξίαν τιμῆs) [one] day above (reff.) [another] day; another (the strong) esteems (ἀξίαν τιμῆs) every day. Let each be fully satisfied in his own mind. It is an interesting question, what indication is here found of the observance or non-observance of a day of obligation in the apostolic times. The Apostle decides nothing ; leaving every man's own mind to guide him in the point. He classes the observance or non-observance of particular days, with the eating or abstaining from particular meats. In both cases, he is concerned with things which he evidently treats as of absolute indifference in themselves. Now the question is, supposing the divine obligation of one day in seven to have been recognized by him

in any form, could he have thus spoken? The obvious inference from his strain of arguing is, that he knew of no such obligation, but believed all times and days to be, to the Christian strong in faith, ALIKE. I do not see how the passage can be otherwise understood. If any one day in the week were invested with the sacred character of the Sabbath, it would have been wholly impossible for the Apostle to commend or uphold the man who judged all days worthy of equal honour,-who as in ver. 6 paid no regard to the (any) day. He must have visited him with his strongest disapprobation, as violating a command of God. I therefore infer, that sabbatical obligation to keep any day, whether seventh or first, was not recognized in apostolic times. It must be carefully remembered, that this inference does not concern the question of the observance of the Lord's Day as an institution of the Christian Church, analogous to the ancient Sabbath, binding on us from considerations of humanity and religious expediency, and by the rules of that branch of the Church in which Providence has placed us, but not in any way inheriting the divinely-appointed obligation of the other, or the strict prohibitions by which its sanctity was defended. The reply commonly furnished to these considerations, viz. that the Apostle was speaking here only of Jewish festivals, and therefore cannot refer to Christian ones, is a quibble of the poorest kind: its assertors themselves distinctly maintaining the obligation of one such Jewish festival on Christians. What I maintain is, that had the Apostle believed as they do, he could not by any possibility have written thus. Besides, in the face of πασαν ήμέραν, the assertion is altogether unfounded.

6.] The words in brackets were probably omitted from the similar ending φρονεί of both clauses having misled some

τὴν ἡμέραν "κυρίῳ "φρονεῖ [, καὶ ὁ μὴ "φονοων τὴν "dat, ch. vi. 2, ἡμέραν, "κυρίῳ οὐ "φρονεῖ]. καὶ ὁ ἐσθίων "κυρίῳ ἐσθίει, τὰ ἀτοθιων "κυρίῳ ἐσθίει, τὰ ἀτοθιων "κυρίῳ ἐσθίει, τὰ ἀτοθια αναριστεῖ γὰο τῷ θεῷ. Το ἀνδεὶς γὰρ ἡμῶν "ἐαυτῷ τὰ ἀτοθνήσκει "δέν τε γὰρ ζῶμεν, τὰ τὸ τὰ τε γὰρ ζῶμεν, τὰ κυρίῳ ζῶμεν, τὰ κυρίῳ ζῶμεν, τὰ κυρίω ἀποθνήσκωμεν, "τῷ κυρίω ἀτοθια κυρίω ἀτοθια κυρίω ἀτοθια κυρίω τὰ ἀτοθνήσκωμεν, τὰ τοῦν κυρίω ἀτοθια κυρίω ἐσμέν.

Τοῦν κυρίω ἐσμέν. Θ΄ εἰς τοῦτο γὰρ χριστὸς ἀπέθανεν το κυρίω ἀτοθια κιὶ 1 John iii. 8 al., εκ. ii. 8 al.,

xx. 4. 4 Kings xiii. 21. only. L.P. Gen. iii. 16.

h Luke xxii 25, ch, vi, 9, 14, vii, 1. 2 Cor. i. 24. 2 Tim. vi. 15

6. om $\kappa \alpha_i \circ \mu \eta \phi \rho$. $\tau \eta \nu \eta \mu$. κ . ov $\phi \rho$. (homeotel) ABC DFN valg copt with Aug Jer Rambrst Pelag (om from $\eta \omega \rho \omega \nu$ to $\eta \omega \rho \omega \nu$ from $\epsilon \theta \ell \omega$ to $\epsilon \theta \ell \omega$ L Chr-ms.); ins C31 rel syre Chr-txt That-txt Bas Damasc Phot Thi Ee. rec om $\kappa \alpha_i$ bef $\epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta$. (with none of our mss): ins ABCDFLN rel vss Chr Bas That Damasc Thi Ee Raf Ambrst Pelag. for 1st $\theta \epsilon \omega$, $\kappa \nu \rho \mu \omega$ A 52: Creatori Ambrst.

8. for 1st apobyhokwier, apobyhokoier ADF a¹ Ephr Damasc: apobarwier CL10 17: (both appear to be corns: the former for uniformity, imagining that (wier kwere both indic; the latter for the sense, as representing the state after death:) apobarwier 1: txt BK rel Chr Cyr Thdt. om 2nd τ w F. for apobyhokwier, apobyhokwier FK d¹ k. aft last ear τ e ins our F. for 2nd apobyhokwier, apobyhokwier ADF f m¹ n Th¹: apobarwier 108-35. 219: txt BCLN 17 rel Chr Cyr Thdrt.

9. rec ins και bef απεθανεν, with C³D²LN³ rel am syr Clr₁ Thdrt Thl Œc: om ABC'D¹-³FN¹ a c g 17 vulg copt Orig₃ Cyr.jer Chr, Cyr Anast Damase Sedul. rec ins και ανεστη bef κ. εξησεν (see notes), with LN³ rel Thl Œc: aft, Syr: ins και ανεστη, putting εξησ. bef κ. απεθ. κ. ανεστη D Iren-int Aug, Gaud Ambrst: om ABCFN¹ fuldvict syr copt arm Dion-alex Cyr-jer Chr Cyr, eppe Anast Damase Ruf.—rec ανεξησεν, with (none of our mss) Thdrt: ανεστη F vulg Orig₃ Cyr₂ Pelag Fulg: txt ABCDLN rel.

early copyists; but perhaps it may have heen intentionally done, after the observation of the Lord's Day came to be regarded as binding. ϕ pov $\hat{\omega}$ v, taking account of, 'regarding.' $\epsilon\hat{v}\chi$ api σ r $\hat{\epsilon}$, adduced as a practice of both parties, shews the universality among the early Christians of thanking God at meals: see 1 Tim. iv. 3, 4. The $\epsilon\hat{v}\chi$ api σ r $\hat{\iota}$ a of the $\mu\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\theta(\omega v)$ was over his 'dinner of herbs.' κ up $\hat{\iota}$ up of the former, and at the same time sets in a still plainer light than before, that both parties, the etter and the abstainer, are servants of another, even Christ.

ξαυτῶ and κυρίω are datives commodi: ζῆν and ἀποθνήσκειν represent the whole sum of our course on earth.

8.] The inference,—that we are, under all circumstances, living or dying (and a fortiori eating or abstaining, observing days or not observing them), CHRIST'S: His property.

9.] And this lordship over all was the great end of the Death and Resurrection of Christ. By that Death and Resurrection, the crowning events of his work of Redemption, He was manifested as the righteous Head over the race of man, which now, and in consequence man's world also, belongs by right to Him alone.

The rec. text here, ἀπέθ. κ. ἀνέστη κ. ἀνέζησεν, may have arisen by the insertion (1) of ἀνέζησεν as clearer than ἔζησεν, and (2) of ἀνέστη from the margin, where it was a gloss (1 Thess. iv. 14) explaining ἀνέζησεν or ἔζησεν. Or, on the other hand, supposing it to have been the original, ἀνέζησεν may have been altered to $\xi(\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu)$ and κ . $d\nu\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\eta$ left out, to conform it to vv. 7 and 8. In such a case of doubt, the weight of early authority must decide. έζησεν, lived, viz. after His death; $= \grave{a}\nu \acute{\epsilon} \zeta \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$. The historical agrist points to a stated event as the commencement of the reviviscence, viz. the Resurκ. νεκρ. κ. ζώντων] here, for uniformity with what has gone before: in sense comprehending all created beings. 10.] He returns to the duty of abstaining,—the weak, from judg-ing his stronger brother; the strong, from despising the weaker. It seems i vr. 3, 4 reff. δὲ τί 1 κρίνεις τὸν k ἀδελφόν σου ; $\hat{\eta}$ καὶ σὰ τί 1 έξουθενείς ABCD FLN a b 1 sai. τον ἀδελφόν σου ; πάντες γὰρ m παραστησύμεθα τῷ cdfin m Acts κιι. n βήματι τοῦ θεοῦ. 11 γέγραπται γὰρ $^{\circ}$ Ζῶ έγῶ, λέγει ο 17 10. κύριος ^ρότι έμοὶ ^q κάμψει πᾶν ^q γόνυ, καὶ πᾶσα γλῶσσα n Acts xii. 21

τεπ. κυριος $^{\rm P}$ ότι εμοί $^{\rm q}$ κάμψει πᾶν $^{\rm q}$ γόνυ, καὶ πᾶσα γλῶσσα $^{\rm 21,28}$. $^{\rm 22,28}$. $^{\rm 22,28}$. $^{\rm 24,28}$. $^{$ οιις 2 αστη π τιθέναι π πρόςκομμα τῷ ἀδελφῷ $\hat{\eta}$ π σκάνδαλον. $\frac{14}{2}$ οίδα $\frac{14}{2}$ στι $\frac{14}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ Κης και έαυτοῦ, εί μὴ τῷ $\frac{1}{6}$ λογιζομέν ψ τι $\frac{\pi}{6}$ κοινὸν είναι, $\frac{\pi}{6}$ εκείν ψ 500, 18 reff. 2 Κοινόν. 15 εί γὰο α διὰ ο βοωμα ο ἀδελφός σου Γλυπεί-t Acts xis. 40

Cardy and 40 u 2 Cor, ii, 1, see 1 Pet. ii, 19. v = Acts xvi. 4 xx, 16. 1 Cor, vii. 37. 2 Cor, ii 1, wch, xx, 3 freeff. x 3 freeff. x = Mart, xvi. 23. 1 Cor, i. 23. Rev. ii, 14. Ps. x lix, 27. y Constr. b = ch. vi. 11. Phil. iii, 13. Wisd, xv. 15. teff. cd. vi. 27. vi. 27. vi. 27. y Cor, xv. 3 l. 1 Cor, vii. 5. c Mart, xiv. 15. 1 Cor, vii. 5. c Mart, xiv. 15. 1 Luke iii, 11. 1 Cor, x, 3 al. Hag, ii, 13. d = John xv. 3 ch. xv. 221. 2 Cor, ii. 2, &c., al. Sir, xxvi. 28.

10. aft $\tau \circ \nu$ afely. For (1st) add $\epsilon \nu \tau \omega$ $\mu \eta$ $\epsilon \sigma \theta \iota \epsilon \iota \nu$ D^1F am² Ambrst: also g am² Ambrst aft afely. For (2nd) add $\epsilon \nu \tau \omega$ $\epsilon \sigma \theta$. rec for $\theta \epsilon \circ \nu$, $\rho \iota \sigma \circ \nu$ with $C^2(app_{\nu})LN^3$ rel syrr goth Orig Chr Cyr Gennad Thdrt Thdor-mops Aug_1[elsw_1] Domini): txt ABC DFX am(with fuld harl mar tol) copt Damasc Ruf(quod vero in præsenti quidem loco tribunal Dei, ad Cor. vero tribunal Christi posuit, ego quidem puto nullam differentiam) Aug₁.

11. for οτι, ει μη D'F (G-lat has both).

εξομολογησεται bef πασα γλωσσα (so LXX-A) BD1-3F goth Ruf Ambret Sedul: txt ACD2LN rel vulg syr copt Chr Thdrt

Damase Thl Œc Aug.

12. om our BD'F: ins ACD3LN rel vss Chr Thdrt. υμων С 116. αποδωσει BD¹F Chr: δωσει ACD³LN rel Polye Thurt Chr-ms, Thl Œc. om τω θεω BF(Polye) Cypr Aug₂: ins ACDLN rel Chr Thdrt Ambrst. 13. κρινετε D'F. om προςκομμα and ή B Syr.—for ή, εις b1 m n o Chr-ms Cyr

Naz Antch.

14. for κυρ., χριστω L b k m n o. for εαυτου, αυτου ADFL rel Thdrtexpr Œe: txt BCN d m Chr Damase Thl.

probable that χριστοῦ has been substituted for \$\theta\circ\text{o}\text{\$\illine{v}\$ in the later MSS, from 2 Cor. v. 10. The fact of Origen once citing it, decides nothing, in the presence of the expression βήματος τοῦ χριστοῦ in 2 Cor. 11.] The citation is according to the present Alexandrine text, except that ουτ ζω έγω = κατ' έμαυτοῦ όμνύω.

έξομ.] shall praise, see reff. LXX-vat. following the Heb. has δμεῖται πᾶσα γλῶσσα τον θεόν. 12.] The stress is on περί έαυτοῦ: and the next verse refers back to it, laying the emphasis on άλλήλους. 'Seeing that our account to God will be of each man's own self, let us take heed lest by judging one another (κρίνομεν here in the general sense of 'pass judgment on,' including both the έξουθενείν of the strong and the κρίνειν of the weak) we incur the

guilt of ἀπολλύειν one another.'
13-23.] Exhortation to the strong to have regard to the conscientious scruples of the weak, and follow peace, not having respect merely to his own conscience, but to that of the other, which is his rule, and being violated leads to his condemnation.

13.] See above. The second κρί-

νατε is used as corresponding to the first, and is in fact a play on it: 'pulchra mimesis ad id quod præcedit,' Bengel: see James ii. 4 for another instance:-but determine this rather. πρόσκομμα (see ver. 21), an occasion of stumbling, in act: σκάνδαλον (ib.), an occasion of offence, in 14.] The general principle laid down, that nothing is by its own means,—i. e. for any thing in itself (φύσει, Chrys.),-unclean, but only in reference to him who reckons it to be so.

πέπεισμ. ἐν κυρ. Ἰησ.] These words give to the persuasion the weight, not merely of Paul's own λογίζομαι, but of apostolic authority. He is persuaded, in his capacity as connected with Christ Jesus, - as having the mind of Christ. 15. The reading γάρ, besides the overwhelming authority in

ται, οὐκ ἔτι κατὰ ἀγάπην ͼ περιπατεῖς. μὴ τῷ ͼ βρώματί ε ch. xiii. 12 του ἐκεῖνον ἡ ἀπόλλυε, ὑπὲρ οῦ χριστὸς ἀπέθανεν. 16 μὴ ἡ h = 1 cor. γii. 13 βλασφημείσθω οὖν ὑμῶν ਫ τὸ ἀγαθόν. 17 οὐ γάρ ἱ ἐστιν ἡ 1. xv 15. μα καὶ τοῦ ἡ θεοῦ ἡ βρῶσις καὶ ο πόσις, ἀλλὰ ρ δικαιο ι ch. ii. 8 τοῦνη καὶ εἰρήνη καὶ αχαρὰ ἐν απνεύματι ἀγίῳν 18 ὁ γὰρ καὶ τοῦ καὶ ο τοῦν τῷ χριστῷ ͼ εὐάρεστος τῷ θεῷ καὶ επίτου τοῦν τοῦς ἀνθρώποις. 19 ακρασιαν τῆς κοι καὶ τοῦν τοῦς ἀνθρώποις. 19 ακρασιαν τοῦς ἐντιοῦς εκρινοῦς εκρινοῦς ἐντιοῦς ἀνθρώποις. 19 ακρασιαν τοῦς εκρινοῦς εκρινοῦς εκρινοῦς τοῦς ἀνθρώποις. 19 ακρασιαν τοῦς εκρινοῦς εκρινοῦς

n = John iv. 32. vi. 27 (bis), 55. 1 Cor viii. 4. 2 Cor. ix. 10. Col. ii. 16. Heb. xii. 16 (Matt. vi. 19, 20) only. Gen. ii. val. John vi. 55. Col. ii. 16 only. Dan. I. 10 only. pa absol., Acts xvii. 31 reff. q 1 Thess. i. 6. The - Acts xx. 10 reft. yell. 1. Cor. xi. 19. 2 Cor. x. 18. xiii. 7. 2 Tin. ii. 15. James I. 12 only. (1 Chron. xxviii. 18.) uch. v. 18 reft.

15. ree for γαρ, δε (see note), with 17 rel goth Chr Thdrt: txt ABCDFLR d m vulg syr-marg copt Damase Ruf Ambrst Jer. om δ F. απόλυε D³L a h¹ k m n-marg: καταλυε n¹: απόλλυειν and καταλυειν in ver 20 (as latt) F.

16. om ουν F goth arm. ημων DF vulg Syr copt goth æth Clem Ath-int

Damase Ruf Ambrst.

ree (for τουτω) τουτοις (see note), with D³LN³ rel syrr goth Chr Thdrt Tert: txt ABCD¹FN¹ vulg coptt Orig Chr Damasc Ruf_{expr} Aug₃ Ambrst Pelag Bede. om τω bef χριστ. AD¹F: ins BCD³LN rel Chr Thdrt Damasc. και δοκιμοις τοις αν-θρωποις BG¹·gr: και τοις ανθρωποις δοκιμοις 77.

its favour, is the more difficult and characteristic. It can hardly (as Meyer and Tholuck) depend on the $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta} \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$, for thus an awkwardness would be introduced into the connexion of the clauses: but I believe it to be elliptical, depending on the suppressed restatement of the precept of ver. 13: q. d. 'But this knowledge is not to be your rule in practice, but rather,' &c., as in ver. 13: 'for if,' &c. βρώμα, barely put, to make the contrast greater between the slight occasion, and the great mischief done. The mere Aumelu your brother, is an offence against love: how much greater an offence then, if this λυπείν end in ἀπολλύειν-in ruining (causing to act against his conscience, and so to commit sin and be in danger of quenching God's Spirit within him) by a MEAL of thine, a brother, for whom Christ died! "Ne pluris feceris tuum cibum, quain Christus vitam suam." Bengel. See an exact parallel in 1 Cor. viii. 10, 11. 16.] Your strength of faith (Orig., Calv., Beza, Grot., Estius, Beugel, Olsh., al., interpret tò ày. 'your freedom,' as in 1 Cor. x. 29; but here the contrast is between the weak and the strong:—so De W. Chrys. leaves it doubtful: ἢ τὴν πίστιν φησίν, ή την μέλλουσαν έλπίδα τῶν ἐπάθλων, ἡ τὴν ἀπηρτισμένην εὐσέβειαν) is a good thing; let it not pass into bad repute: use it so that it may be honoured, and encourage others. For it is not worth while to let it be disgraced and become useless for such a trifle; for no part of the advance of Christ's gospel can be bound up in, or consist in, meat and drink: but in righteousness (δ ενάρετος

Bios, Chrys., but of course to be taken in union with the doctrine of the former part of the Epistle-righteousness by justification, - bringing forth the fruits of faith, which would be hindered by faith itself being disturbed), and peace (ή πρός τὸν ἀδελφὸν εἰρήνη, ἢ ἐναντιοῦται αὕτη ἡ φιλο-νεικία, id.), and joy (ἡ ἐκ τῆς ὁμονοίας χαρά, ἡν ἀναιρεῖ αὕτη ἡ ἐπίπληξις, id.) in the Holy Ghost:-in connexion with, under the indwelling and influence of, as χαίρετε έν κυρίφ (Phil. iv. 4) and the expressions ἐν κυρ., ἐν χριστῷ, generally:not, as De W., 'joy which has its ground in the Holy Ghost,' though this is true. So, on the other hand, a man under the influence of, possessed by an evil spirit, is called ἄνθρωπος ἐν πνεύματι ἀκαθάρτῳ, Mark i. 23. 18.] The reading τούτῳ is too strongly supported to be rejected for the rec. τούτοις, as is done by Thol. and De Wette, because the latter is the easier reading, and might refer to δικ. είρ. and χαρ. I have therefore adopted it. But I do not understand it (as Orig., al.) of πνεύματι άγίφ. It would be unnatural that a subordinate member of the former sentence, belonging only to xapá, should be at once raised to be the emphatic one in this, and the three graces just emphatically mentioned, lost sight of. I believe τούτω to express the aggregate of the three, and $\ell\nu$ τούτω to be equivalent to ούτως, as Baumg .-Crusius. δόκ. τ. ἀνθρ., as a man of peace and uprightness: οὐ γὰρ οὕτω σε θαυμάσονται τῆς τελειότητος, ὡς τῆς εἰρήνης κ. τῆς δμονοίας πάντες τούτου μέν γάρ τοῦ καλοῦ πάντες ἀπολαύσονται,

2 = Matt.
xxiv. 2. xxvi. 61, Acts v. 38. 2 Cor. v. 1, Gal. ii. 18. Ezra v. 12.
ii. 27 reff. el.
ii. 27 reff. el.
iii. 37 reff. el.
iii. 17 reff. el.
iii. 17 reff. el.
iii. 18 reff. el.
iii. 18 reff. el.
iii. 19 reff. el.
iiv. 10 reff. el.
iiv. 19 reff. el.
iiv. 10 reff. el.
iiv. 10

19. διωκομεν ABFLN a o Chr.ms: txt CD rel vss gr-lat-ff. at end add φυλαξω-μεν DF vulg(not demid) lat-ff(not Aug).

20. απολλυε Ν1. aft καθαρα ins τοις καθαροις Ν3.

19. Inference from the fore-

21. κρας D² m. πειν D¹: πινειν F Clem. for προκοπτει. λυπειται Ν¹: txt N-ceort¹. om η σκανδαλιζ. η ασθενει ACN¹ Syr copt wth Damase Ruf Aug: ins BDFLN³ rel vulg syr Bas, Chr Thdrt Thl Ambrst Pelag.

22. rec om ην, with DFL rel vulg syrr copt Chr Thdrt Aug, Ambrst: ins ABCN fuld

going two verses—οἰκοδ, τ. εἰς ἀλλ., edification towards one another, i. e. the work of edification, finding its exercise in our mutual intercourse and allowances. So τη ἀγάπη είς ἀλλ., 1 Thess. iii. 12. 20. Τὸ ἔργον τ. θεοῦ has been variously understood: by Fritz. and Banng.-Crusius, as = δικαιοσ. εἰρήνη, κ. χαρά: by Meyer and Krehl, as = the Christian status of the offended brother, so as to be parallel to ver. 15: by Theodoret and Reiche, as = the faith of thy fellow-Christian: by Morus, Rosenm., al., as = ή βασιλεία τοῦ θ., 'the spread of the Gospel.' But I believe the expression οἰκοδομή having just preceded is the clue to the right meaning: and that $\tau \delta \in \rho \gamma o \nu = \tau \eta \nu \circ i \kappa o \delta o \mu \eta \nu$ in the Apostle's mind. He calls Christians in 1 Cor. iii. 9, θεοῦ γεώργιον, θεοῦ οἰκοδομή. Thus it will mean, thy fellow-Christian, as a plant of God's planting, a building of God's raising. So, nearly, De Wette and Tholuck. All things indeed are pure, but (it is) evil to the man ('there is eriminality in the man;' Meyer supplies τὸ καθαρόν, Grot. τὸ βρῶμα, Fritz. τὸ πάντα φαγείν: but nothing need be supplied, any more than to καλόν) who eats with offence (i. e. giving offence to his weak brother, as Theodoret, Calv., Beza, Grot., Estius, Bengel, Thol., De Wette, al. That this is the right interpretation is shewn by the sentence standing between two others both addressed to the strong who is in danger of offending the weak. But Chrys., Theophyl., Ec., Meyer, al., take the sense of 'receiving offence,' understand it of the weak). is good not to eat meats nor to drink

wine, nor (to do any thing: the ellipsis is

ἐκείνου δὲ οὐδὲ εἶs. Chrys. Hom. xxvii. p.

a harsh one. Fritzsche says, "aut supple φαγείν ή πιείν τούτο, έν ῷ κ.τ.λ., as Thl., Beng., Flatt, al.,—or ποιείν [or πράσσειν] τοῦτο ἐν ῷ κ.τ.λ., as Grot., Meyer, &c. Præfero illud, quoniam per totum hune locum de cibo potuque agitur." But why should not the Apostle, as so often, be deducing a general duty from the particular subject?) in (by) which thy brother stumbles, or is offended (see on ver. 13), or is weak (Thol. remarks that the three verbs form a climax ad infra). faith which thou hast (this reading, which is the more probable on critical grounds, was perhaps changed into the συ πίστιν έχεις of the rec. on account of the position of the σύ. But this is quite in St. Paul's manner: cf. ver. 4; 1 Cor. xv. 36; 2 Cor. ii. 10. However, the other reading is very ancient, and it is impossible to deeide positively between them. If it is taken, the interrogative rendering, " Hast thou faith ?" better suits the lively character of the address than the affirmative, "Thou hast faith") have [it] to thyself (reff.) before God,-Chrys., who does not read the last words (έν. τ. θ.), says, πίστιν ένταῦθα οὐ τὴν περί δογμάτων, άλλὰ τὴν περί τῆς προκειμένης ὑποθέσεως λέγει . . ., ἐκείνη μὲν γὰρ μὴ δμολογου-μένη καταστρέφει, αὕτη δὲ δμολογουμένη άκαίρως. Hom. xxvii. p. 714. 'Before God,'-because He is the object of faith: hardly, as Erasm., "comprimens inanem gloriam quæ solet esse comes scientiæ,"for there is no trace of a depreciation of the strong in faith in the chapter, -only a caution as to their conduct in regard of their weaker brethren. With μακάριος begins the closing and general sentence of the Apostle with regard to both: it is a blessed thing to have no scruples (the

1 κατὰ σεαυτὸν ἔχε ^m ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ. μακάριος ὁ μὴ Heliodor, vii. έχεμύθει κ. κατά σαυ-" κρίνων εαυτον εν ψ ο δοκιμάζει. 23 ο δε ρ διακρινόμενος τον έχε κ. μηδενί έὰν φάγη η κατακέκριται, ὅτι οὐκ τέκ πίστεως πᾶν δὲ δ φράζε, Jos. Antt. ii. 11. l. see Acts xxviii. 16 ουκ τέκ πίστεως, αμαρτία έστίν. Χ. 18 οφείλομεν δέ ήμεις οι 'δυνατοί τὰ "ἀσθενήματα τῶν 'ἀδυνάτων * βαστάζειν καὶ μὴ * ξαυτοίς ' αρέσκειν. 2 καστος ήμων - ch. xii. 17. Acts iv. 19 ² τω ² πλησίου ³ ἀρεσκέτω ^a είς τὸ ^a ἀγαθὸν προς ^bοικοδομήν. ^{ren.} ^{ren.} ^{3, 4}

o = 1 Cor, xvi, 3. 2 Cor, viii, 8. 1 Thess, ii. 4. Jos, Antt, iii. 4. 1.

y = Matt, xxi, 21, Acts
xvii. 20, ch, iv. 20, James i. 61, (fer, xv. 10)
y = Matt, xxi, 21, Acts
xvii. 31, 8 refi.
viii. 31, 8 refi.
viii. 41, 17, Gal, vi. 2
y = Matt, xxi, 21, Acts
y = Matt, xxi,

rec (for σεαυ.) σαυτον, with rel: σεαυτω F: txt ABCDLN c tol Ruf Aug, Pelag.

gklno17. om $\epsilon \nu \omega \pi i \rho \nu \tau \sigma \upsilon \theta \epsilon \sigma \upsilon \aleph^1$: ins \aleph -corr¹.

for δ , τ 0 D¹ m 71. om $\pi \alpha \nu$ to $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$ (homewotel) \aleph ¹: ins 23. αν Β. aft αμαρτία εστίν ins ch xvi. 25-27 AL rel and most other mss (nearly N-corr1. 200 in number) syr goth arm-zoh, of these A 5. 17. 109 have it in both places: om in both places F (but in G there is a space left here and in F a space at xvi. 24): txt BCDN 16. 80. 137. 176 vulg Syr copt ath Ruf Ambrst Pelag Bede.

CHAP. XV. 1. αρεσκον F.

2. rec aft εκαστοs ins γαρ (with none of our mss): om ABCDFLX rel vulg syr copt Bas Chr Thdrt Damase Thl Œc Ruf Ambrst. υμων D'F rel vulg Bas Chr Thdrt Damasc Thi Ruf Pelag Jer Leo: txt ABCD'-3LN d h k n 17 syrr copt. το αγαθον X1: ins N-corr1.

strong in faith is in a situation to be envied) about things in which we allow ourselves (Olsh. refers to the addition in the Codex Bezæ at Luke vi. 4,-where our Lord is related to have seen a man tilling his land on the Sabbath, and to have said to him, εἰ μὲν οἶδας τί ποιεῖς, μακάριος εί, εί δὲ μὴ οίδας, ἐπικατάρατος, καὶ παραβάτης εἶτοῦ νόμου): but he that doubteth (the situation just described not being his), he incurs condemnation if he eat (the case in point particularized), because (he eats) not from faith (i.e. as before, - see Chrys. above, - from a persuasion of rectitude grounded on and consonant with his life of faith. That 'faith in the Son of God' by which the Apostle describes his own life in the flesh as being lived (Gal. ii. 20), informing and penetrating the motives and the conscience, will not include, will not sanction, an act done against the testimony of the conscience): but (introducing an axiom, as Heb. viii. 13) all that is not from (grounded in, and therefore consonant with) faith (the great element in which the Christian lives and moves and desires and hopes), is sin. Augustine, Thomas Aquinas, al., have taken this text as shewing that 'omnis infidelium vita peccatum est.' Whether that be the case or not, cannot be determined from this passage, any more than from Heb. xi. 6, because neither here nor there is the 'infidelis' in question. Here the Apostle has in view two Christians, both living by faith, and by faith doing acts pleasing to God: and he reminds them that whatever they do out of harmony with this great principle of their spiritual lives, belongs to the category of sin. In Heb. xi. he is speaking of one who had the testimony of having (eminently) pleased God: this, he says, he did by faith; for without faith it is impossible to please Him. The question touching the 'infidelis,' must be settled by another enquiry, can he whom we thus name have faith,such a faith as may enable him to do acts which are not sinful? a question impossible for us to solve.

Chap. XV. 1-13.] Further exhortations to forbearance towards the weak, from the example of Christ (1-3),-and unanimity (1-7) as between Jew and Gentile, seeing that Christ was pro-phetically announced as the common Saviour of both (8-13). ήμειs of δυν. the Apostle includes himself among the strong, as indeed he before indicated, ch. xiv. 14. τα ασθ. are general, not merely referring to the scruples before treated. ἀρέσκειν (reff.) to please or satisfy as a habit or motive of action. Tholuck quotes from the Schol. on Æsch. Prom. 156, παρ' έαυτῷ δίκαιον έχων Ζεύs,—πάντα δικαίωs οιόμενος ποιεῖν, αὐτὸς ἐαυτῷ ἀρέσκων καὶ δίκαιον νομίζων εἶναι ὅπερ ἃν βούληται πράττειν. 2.] The qualification, εἰς τό άγ. πρός οίκ., excludes all mere pleasing of men from the Christian's motives of action. The Apostle repudiates it in his own case, Gal. i. 10. Bengel remarks,

7 διὸ 5 προςλαμβάνεσθε άλλήλους, καθώς καὶ ο χριστός

h objective, here only, see ch. xi, 31, 1 Cor. xv. 31, in ch. xii, 10 reft. i ch. ii. 7 reft. k = 2 Cor. i, 3, &c., al. Ps. xciii, 19, let only, Acts xvii, 2 reft. nch. xii, 10 reft. nch. xiii, 27, 2 Cor. xii, 9-11. o Acts xii, 14 reft. pch. x, 9 ouly, Ps. Ixxxviii, 1, q = Acts xxi, 20 reft. r Psul (2 Cor. i, 3, xi, 3). Eph. i, 3, iii, 14, Col. i, 3) ouly, exc. 1 Pct. i, 3, Rev. i, 6, see 1 Cor. xv. 24. Gal. i, 4, s Acts xxviii, 2 reft. ch. xiv. 1, col. i, 3) ouly, exc. 1 Pct. i, 3, Rev. i, 6, see 1 Cor. xv. 24. Gal. i, 4, s Acts xxviii, 2 reft. ch. xiv. 1, col. i, 3) ouly, exc. 1 Pct. i, 3, Rev. i, 6, see 1 Cor. xv. 24. Gal. i, 4, s Acts xxviii, 2 reft. ch. xiv. 1, col. xiv. 1, col. xiv. 24. Gal. i, 4, s Acts xxviii, 2 reft. ch. xiv. 1, col. xiv. 24. Gal. xiv.

3. om δ D¹F. rec επεπεσον, with L rel: txt ABCDFR (g¹?) 1 m n 17 Damasc. 4. προςεγραφη D¹F: εγραφη B latt æth: txt ACD³LN rel. add παντα B m 17. rec (for εγραφη) προεγραφη, with ALN3 rel syr Chr Thdrt Damase Thl, Œc: txt BCDFN¹ vulg Syr copt goth æth Clem Thl, Aug, Ambrst. rec om 2nd dia, with DF vulg syr copt goth Chr Thdrt3 (and elsw-ms1) Thl Œc Aug Ambrst Oros: ins ABCLN b d f g n Thdrt₁. aft εχωμεν ins της παρακλησεως Β. 5. inσ. bef χρ. AC! F(not G-lat) & m vulg syrr Did Thdrt Ambrst.

'bonum, genus, ædificatio, species:'-to a

good end, and that good end his edification. 3.] έξην αὐτῷ μὴ ὀνειδισθηναι, έξην μὴ παθεῖν ἄπερ ἔπαθεν, εἴγε ἤθελε τὸ ἑαυτοῦ σκοπείν άλλ' δμως οὐκ ἡθέλησεν, άλλὰ τὸ ήμέτερον σκοπήσας το ξαυτοῦ παρείδε, Chrys. Hom. xxviii. p. 721. The citation is made directly, without any thing to introduce the formula citandi, as in ch. ix. 7, where even the formula itself is wanting:-there is no ellipsis. The words in the Messianic Psalm are addressed to the Father, not to those for whom Christ suffered: but they prove all that is here required, that He did not please Himself; His sufferings were undertaken on account of the Father's good purpose-mere work which He gave Him to do. Apostle both justifies the above citation, and prepares the way for the subject to be next introduced, viz. the duty of unanimity, grounded on the testimony of these Scriptures to Christ. The όσα προεγρ. applies to the whole ancient Scriptures, not to the prophetic parts only. ἡμετ. viz. of us Christians, -προεγρ. implying προ ήμων.

ίνα διά τ. ὑπ. κ.τ.λ.] τουτέστιν, Ίνα μη ἐκπέσωμεν ποικίλοι γάρ οἱ ἀγῶνες έσωθεν, έξωθεν Ίνα νευρούμενοι κ. παρακαλούμενοι παρά τῶν γραφῶν ὑπομονὴν ἐπιδειξώμεθα Ίνα ἐν ὑπομονῆ ζώντες μένωμεν ἐπὶ τῆς ἐλπίδος. ταῦτα γὰρ ἀλλήλων ἐστὶ κατασκευαστικά, ἡ ὑπομονὴ τῆς ἐλπίδος, ή έλπλς της ύπομονης άπερ αμφότερα ἀπὸ τῶν γραφῶν γίνεται, Chrys. Hom. xxviii. p. 721. As in this comment, ὑπο-

μον ης, as well as παρακλήσεως, is to be joined with των γραφων, - otherwise it stands unconnected with the subject of the sentence. The genitives then mean, the patience and the comfort arising from the Scriptures,-produced by their study.

5, 6.] Further introduction of the subject, by a prayer that God, who has given the Scriptures for these ends, might grant them unanimity, that they might with one accord show forth His glory. In the title given to God, the ὑπομονή and παράκλησιs just mentioned are taken up again : q. d. "The God who alone can give this patience and comfort." later form of the opt., δώη, is also found 2 Tim. i. 16, 18; Eph. i. 17 al., in LXX Gen. xxvii. 28; xxviii. 4 al. See Winer, edn. 6, § 14. 1. g. κατὰ χρ. Ἰησοῦν, according to (the spirit and precepts of) Christ Jesus,—see reff. 6. τὸν θεὸν κ. πατ.] De Wette regards τὸν θεὸν as independent of Ἰησοῦ χρ.,—' God, and the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ.' The usage of the article will not decide the matter, because on either rendering, the accusatives both refer to the same Person: but the ordinary one, the God and Father is preferable on account of its simplicity. 7.] Wherefore (on which account, viz. that the wish of the last verse may be accomplished) receive (see ch. xiv. 1) one another, as also Christ received you,—with a view to God's glory (that this is the meaning of είς δόξαν τοῦ θεοῦ, appears by ver. 9, τὰ δὲ

ι προςελάβετο ὑμᾶς, ι εἰς δόξαν τοῦ θεοῦ. 8 λέγω γὰρ tch. xiv. 3, and as above, χριστὸν ἱ διάκουον γεγενῆσθαι περιτομῆς ν ὑπὲρ ἀληθείας ψω τίλ. γτει. θεοῦ × εἰς τὸ γ βεβαιῶσαι τὰς ² ἐπαγγελίας τῶν α πατέρων, χει. 1 τοι. 1 φράνθητε έθνη μετά τοῦ λαοῦ αὐτοῦ. 11 καὶ πάλιν z ch. ix. 4. (iv. 13.) Gal.

lii. 16. a absol., Acts vii. 19 reff. b ch. xi, 31 reff. c ch. xiv. 11 reff. Pra. xvii. 49. d 1 Cor. xiv. 15 (bis). Eph. v. 19. James v. 13 only. 1 Kings xvi. 16. e - Gal. iii. 16. see 1 Cor. vi. 16. f Acts vii. 41 refl. D EUT. xxxii. 43.

7. rec $\eta \alpha \alpha s$, with BD¹ rel α th Thdrt: txt ACD²-3FLN b c g l² m n o 17 syrr copt oth arm Chr Ruf Ambrst. rec om $\tau o v$ bef $\theta \epsilon o v$, with L rel Chr Thdrt: ins goth arm Chr Ruf Ambrst. ABCDFN m.

8. rec (for γαρ) δε (see note), with L rel syrr Chr Thdrt: txt ABCDFN vulg copt goth Cyr Ruf Ambrst. ree ins ιησουν bef χριστον, with DF harl syrr; aft χρ. L rel vulg goth Thdrt₂ Thl Œe lat-ff: om ABCN b o copt Ath Epiph Chr-comm Cyr Damase Ruf Ambrst. γενεσθαι (corrn?) BC1D1F c Ath: txt AC2D3LN rel Epiph Chr Cyr Thdrt Damasc.

9. for τουτο, του προφητου \$1: txt 8-corr1. om και Ν1. ψαλω bef τω ον.

σ. DG.

έθνη ύπερ ελέους δοξάσαι τον θεόν).

The Apostle does not expressly name Jewish and Gentile converts as those to whom he addresses this exhortation, but it is evident from the next verse that it is so. For (reason for the above exhortation. This not having been seen, it has been altered to & I say, that Christ hath been made (has come as: the effects still enduring. It can hardly be that the usual historical agrist γενέσθαι [see var, readd.] was altered to the unusual perfect yeyeνησθαι. The tendency of correction was entirely the other way) a minister (He came διακονήσαι, Matt. xx. 28) of the circumcision (an expression nowhere else found, and doubtless here used by Paul to humble the pride of the strong, the Gentile Christians, by exalting God's covenant people to their true dignity) on account of the truth of God (i. e. for the fulfilment of the Divine pledges given under the covenant of circumcision) to confirm the promises of (made to, gen. obj.; cf. ή εὐλογία τοῦ 'Αβραάμ, Gal. iii. 14) the fathers (i. e. Christ came to the Jews in virtue of a long-sealed compact, to the fulfilment of which God's truth was pledged): but (I say) that the Gentiles glorified God (or 'should glorify God:' Winer, in his former editions, § 45. 8, took it as a perfect, and co-ordinate with γεγενῆσθαι: I would regard it [and so, apparently, Winer now, edn. 6, § 44. 7. c] as the historic aorist, and understand 'each man at his conversion.' Least of all can it be subordinated to els To, as is done in E. V.) on account of (His) mercy (the emphasis is

on ὑπὲρ ἐλέους: the Gentiles have no covenant promise to claim,-they have nothing but the pure mercy of God in grafting them in to allege—therefore the Jew has an advantage), &c. The citations are from the Law, the Prophets, and the Psalms. The first, originally spoken by David of his joy after his deliverances and triumphs, is prophetically said of Christ in His own Person. It is adduced to shew that among the Gentiles Christ's triumphs were to take place, as well as among the Jews.

10. καὶ πάλ. λέγει, νίζι. ἡ γραφή, οτ ὁ θεός, which is in substance the same: not impersonal: see ref. 1 Cor., note. The present Heb. text of Deut. xxxii. 43 will not bear this, which is the LXX rendering. But Tholuck remarks, "According to the present text the difficulty arises, that we must either take pin of the Jewish tribes, or construe הָרְנִין with an aceus., instead of with 5 (Gesen.): the reading of the LXX may therefore be right." There is however a reading אַת־עכיו found in one and perhaps another of Kennicott's MSS. which will bear the rendering of our text. In several passages where the Gentiles are spoken of prophetically, the Hebrew text has apparently been tampered with by the Jews. See Kitto's Journal of Sacred Literature for January, 1852, pp. 275 ff.

11, 12. The universality of the praise to be given to God for His merciful kindness in sending His Son is prophetically indicated by the first citation. In the latter a more direct announcement is given of the share which the Gentiles were to have in

[λέγει] f Αίνειτε πάντα τὰ έθνη τὸν f κύριον, καὶ g έπαι- ABCD FLNab f here only. Psa. cxvi. 1. νεσάτωσαν αυτόν πάντες οι h λαοί. 12 και πάλιν Hoaiaς catgh (elsw., θεόν, Acts ii. 47 λέγει "Εσται ή ιρίζα του Ίεσσαί, και ο κανιστάμενος 17 g Luke xvi. 8. 1 Cor. xi. 2, 17, 22 only. Ps. cxlvii.

 $1 \stackrel{\circ}{a} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \stackrel{\circ}{\epsilon} \theta \nu \bar{\omega} \nu, \stackrel{\circ}{m} \stackrel{\circ}{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime} \stackrel{\circ}{a} \upsilon \tau \bar{\omega} \stackrel{\circ}{\epsilon} \theta \nu \eta \stackrel{\circ}{m} \stackrel{\circ}{\epsilon} \lambda \pi \iota o \bar{\upsilon} \sigma \iota \nu. \stackrel{13}{\circ} \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \stackrel{\circ}{\delta} \stackrel{\circ}{\epsilon}$ 12 (1). h plur., Acts iv. 25 θεὸς τῆς ἐλπίδος "πληρώσαι ὑμᾶς "πάσης χαρᾶς καὶ (from Ps. ii. 1), 27. Rev. vii. 9, x. 11. είρηνης P έν τω πιστεύειν, q είς τὸ τπερισσεύειν ύμας έν τη

έλπίδι εν δυνάμει πνεύματος άγίου. xi. 9. xvii. 15.

15.
i Isa. xi. 1, 10,
see Rev. v. 5,
xxii. 16,
k = Heb vii. 14 t Πέπεισμαι δέ, άδελφοί μου, καὶ αὐτὸς έγω περί ύμων ότι καὶ αὐτοὶ "μεστοί έστε " ἀγαθωσύνης, " πεπλη-11, 15 and, ρωμένοι ° πάσης [τῆς] * γτώσεως, δυνάμενοι καὶ ἀλλήλους * νουθετείν * 15 " τολμηρότερον δὲ ἔγραψα but act., Acts 15), 26. 1 = Mark x. 42 ύμιν[, ἀδελφοί,] ² ἀπὸ ² μέρους, ώς επαναμιμνήσκων ύμας

T Tim. iv. 10. vi. 17. Ps. xxi. 6. dat. only, Matt. xii. 21. w. êni and acc., 1 Tim. v. 5. 1 Pet. i. 13 (iii. 5 rec.). w. êtis. John v. 45. 2 Cor. i. 10. 1 Pet. iii. 5. n. Acts xxiii. 52 reff. o — Acts xx. ii 9 reff. constr., ch. viii. 38 reff. q ch. iv. 11 reff. refi. iv. 7 refi. ii. 7 ref. sir. xix. 24. refi. 12 refi. ii. x 63. v. 31 reft. x Acts xx. viii. 28 refi. y bere only iv. 10 yb. 117.7, 21. w. sw and accept a min o — Acts xx. 19 reft.

n Acts xx. 18 reft.
rch. iii. 7 reft. Sir. xix. 24.
g. Theas. i. 1 sch. 14 reft.
x Acts xx. 61 reft.
y here only†. Polybi. 1.7
Sir. xix. 2, 3.)
g. ch. xi. 25 reft.
a here only†. τολμηρότερον έγχειρείν τοις πράγμασι. (-ρός, Sir. xix. 2, 3.)

11. ins λεγει BDF syrr copt goth æth Jer: om ACLN rel vulg Chr Thdrt Damasc Thl Œc Ambrst Bede. rec τον κυρ. bef π. τα εθνη (corrn to LXX, where none read as in txt), with CFL rel Syr Thi Œc: txt ABDN vulg syr goth arm Chr Thdrt. rec επαινεσατε (so LXX-B &c), with DFL rel Chr Thdrt: txt (so LXX-A) ABCN Chrms, Damase.

12. λεγει bef ησαιας N. aνιστανομένος X (see digest ch xii. 8).

13. πληροφορησαι υμας [εν] παση χαρα κ. ειρηνη ΒΕ.—ins εν Β: om F: txt ACDLX om εις το περισσευειν (homæotel) B 57. om εν bef τη ελπιδι D1F Chr-mss Vig.

14. κ. α. ε. π. υμ. bef αδελφοι μου DF Syr Thdrt.—om μου D¹F Thdrt Ambrst.

om και αυτοι DF Chr-comm. for αγαθωσυνης, αγαπης F for περι, υπερ B. ins και bef πεπληρωμενοι DF hall Syr. vulg Ambrst Pelag. γνωσεως BX k n Clem: om ACDFL rel. αλληλους bef δυναμενοι and om και D1.3F. for αλληλ., αλλους L rel vulg syrr Chr Thdrt Thl Œc Ruf: txt ABCDFX (f?).

15. τολμηροτερως AB: txt CDFLX rel. om αδελφοι ABCN1 copt æth Chr Cyr Aug Ruf: ins DFLℵ³ rel vulg Syr Thdrt Ambrst. for επαναμ., αναμιμνησκων B:

the root of Jesse. The version is that of the LXX, which here differs considerably from the Heb. The latter is nearly literally rendered in E.V.: "And in that day there shall be a root (Heb. 'and it shall happen in that day, the branch ') of Jesse, which shall stand for an ensign of the people: to it shall the Gentiles seek." 13.] The hortatory part of the Epistle, as well as the preceding section of it (ver. 5), concludes with a solemn wish for the spiritual welfare of the Roman church. The words της έλπίδος connect with έλπιοῦσι of the foregoing verse, as was the case with $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ύπομονης κ. της παρακλήσεωs in ver. 5. χαράς κ. εἰρήνης, as the happy result of faith in God, and unanimity with one

another; see ch. xiv. 17. XV. 14-XVI. 27.] CONCLUSION OF THE EPISTLE. PERSONAL NO-

TICES, RESPECTING THE APOSTLE HIM-

SELF (xv. 14-33),-RESPECTING THOSE GREETED (xvi. 1-16), AND GREETING: TOGETHER WITH WARNINGS AGAINST THOSE WHO MADE DIVISIONS AMONG THEM (xvi. 16-23); -AND CONCLUDING DOXOLOGY (xvi. 24-27). He first (14-16) excuses the boldness of his writing, by the allegation of his office as Apostle of the Gentiles. 14.] αὐτὸς ἐγώ, I myself, = 'idem,' Lat., - 'notwith-standing what I have written:' see ch. vii. 25, note. Meyer understands it, 'without information from others:' Bengel and Olsh., ' I myself, as well as others :' Rückert, 'I not only wish it (ver. 13), but am persuaded for myself that it is so.'

καὶ αὐτοί, ye also yourselves, i. e. without exhortation of mine. μέρους restricts the τολμηρότερον to certain parts of the Epistle, e.g. ch. xi. 17, ff. 25; chaps, xiii, and xiv. έγραψα, the διὰ τῆν ς χάριν τὴν δοθεῖσάν μοι ὑπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ 16 $^$

17. Epb. v. 2. Heb. x. 5 (from Ps. xxxix. 6), &c., only. 1 ver. 31. 2 Cor. vi. 2. viii. 12. 1 Pet. i. 5 only f. (and constr.) John xvii. 17, 10. 1 Cor. i. 2. Heb. x. 10, 29. 18a. x. 17. 1 Cor. xv. 31. 2 Cor. vi. 2. viii. 12. 1 Pet. i. 1 Line xvi. 32. Acts xxviii. 10. Heb. ii. 17. vi. 1 Pet. Acts xxxii. 19. 1 Cor. vii. 1. 2 Cor. xii. 17. Heb. v. 8. Winer, edu. 6, § 24. 2, ead. qc h. ii. 9 reft. 7 reft. 1. 5 (reft. 7 reft. 1 reft. reft. 1

υπαναμ. o. for υπο, απο BFX¹ Damase: txt ACDLN³ rel.

16. for einal, general DIF. rec ins. bef $\chi \rho$, with DL rel Syr copt Chr Cyr₁ Thdrt: txt ABCFX m vulg syr Orig Cyr₁ Thdrt Aug. om eis $\tau \alpha$ e $\theta \nu \eta$ B. for general, general B. om eupposdertos F Fnlg.

17. rec om την (the art not being understood), with ALN rel Chr Thdrt: ins BCDF rec om τον, with b: ins ABCDFLN rel Did Chr Cyr Damase Thdrt Œc.

18. τολμω BN³ latt Did Dial-w-Maced Thdrt, Cyr(in Thdrt) lat-ff. rec λαλευ bert, with L rel copt Ge: txt ABCDFN in Ath Did Chr Cyr Bas Thdrt Archel.—for λαλευ, ειπευ DF Cyr: λεγευ and λαλησα griff. κατηργασατο DFL. ins

dabam or scribebam of the Latins in epistolary writing. ὑς ἐπαν. ὑμ., as putting you anew in remembrance.

διὰ τ. χάριν..., on account of the grace, &c.; i.e. 'my apostolic office was the ground and reason of my boldness :'-not =διὰ τῆς χάριτος cli. xii. 3. I might be (εis τό gives the purpose of the grace being given, not of the ἔγραψα) a ministering priest of Christ Jesus for (in reference to) the Gentiles, ministering in the Gospel of God (ἱερουργοῦντα, προςφέροντα θυσίαν, Hesych. : but the εὐαγγέλ. τ. θεοῦ is not the θυσία, but signifies that wherein, in behoof of which, the ispoupysiv took place: so Josephus, de Mace. § 7, speaking of the martyrs for the law, says, τοιούτους δεί είναι τοὺς ἱερουργοῦντας τὸν νόμον ίδίω αίματι, και γενναίω ίδρωτι τοις μέχρι θανάτου πάθεσιν ύπερασπίζονταs), that the offering of the Gentiles (gen. of apposition: the Gentiles themselves are the offering; so Theophyl. αύτη μοι ίερωσύνη, το καταγγέλλειν εὐαγ-γέλιον. μάχαιραν έχω τον λόγον θυσία ἐστὲ ὑμεῖs) may be acceptable, sanctified by the Holy Ghost. The language is evidently figurative, and can by no possibility be taken as a sanction for any view of the Christian minister as a sacrificing priest, otherwise than according to that figureviz. that he offers to God the acceptable sacrifice of those who by his means believe on Christ. "Facit se antistitem vel sacerdotem in Evangelii ministerio, qui populum, quem Deo acquirit, in sacrificium offerat, atque hoe modo sacris Evangelii mysteriis operctur. Et sane hoe est Christiani pastoris sacerdotium, homines in Evangelii obedientiam subigendo veluti Deo immolare: non, quod superciliose hactenus Papistæ jactarunt, oblatione homines reconciliare Deo. Neque tamen ecclesiasticos pastores simpliciter hie vocat Sacerdotes, tanquam perpetuo titulo: sed quum dignitatem efficaciamque ministerii vellet commendare Paulus, hac metaphora per occasionem est usus. Hie ergo finis sit Evangelii præconibus in suo munere, animas fide purificatas Deo offerre." Calvin.

17—22. The Apostle boasts of the extent and result of his apostolic mission among the Gentiles, and that in places where none had preached before him. I have therefore (consequent on the grace and ministry just mentioned) my boasting (i. e. 'I venture to boast.' not = $\xi \chi \omega$ καύχημα, 'I have whereof to boast,' as E. V., but, as De W., = $\xi \chi \omega$ καυχᾶσθαι, 'I can, or dare, boast') in Christ Jesus (there is no stress on $\ell \nu \chi \rho$. 'In σ .,—it merely qualifies την καύχησιν as no vain glorying, but grounded in, consistent with, springing from, his relation and subserviency to Christ) of (concerning) matters relating to God (my above-named sacerdotal office and ministry). 18. The connexion is: 'I have real ground for glorying (in a legitimate and Christian manner); for I will not (as some false apostles do, see 2 Cor. x. 12-18) allow myself to speak of any of those things which (ων for ἐκείνων, ä, attr.) Christ did NOT work by me (but by some other) in order to the obedience

ο bef χριστος F. aft δι εμου add λογων Β. for υπακ., ακοην Β.

19. aft 1st δυναμ. ins αυτου DiF. (G¹ also ins αυτου aft 2nd δυν.) rec aft πνευματος ins θεου, with D¹LN rel Syr Chr-txt Cyr Thdrt Thl Œe; αγιου ACD¹³F c m 17 vulg copt syr arm Ath Chr-comm Bas Cyr Dial Ruf-comm: om B Pelag-comm Vig₁. ωγιου ΑCD¹³F c m 17 vulg copt syr αππληρωσθαι απο ιερ. μεχρι του ιλλ. και κυκλω το DF.

 φελοτιμουμαι (corrn of constr) BD F: -μουμενος 116, 120: om vulg D-lat Ruf Pel: txt ACD²⁻³LR rel Orig. for συχ σπου, σπου σων D¹F Chr Bede. ins σ bef χριστος D¹F Chr. = π² απολλοτριώ θεμέλω F.

21. απηγγελη C (238?): ανηγγελλη(sic) N c h k² ο. οψονται bef οιs B m.
22. for ενεκοπτομην, ενεκοπην DF. for τα πολλα, πολλακιs BDF: txt ACLN rel Cln Thdrt.

(subjection to the Gospel) of the Gentiles (then, as if the sentence were in the affirmative form, 'I will only boast of what Christ has veritably done by me towards the obedience of the Gentiles,'he proceeds) by word 19. in the power of signs and deed, and wonders, in the power of the [Holy] Spirit (the signs and wonders (reff.) are not spiritual, but external miraculous acts,see 2 Cor. xii. 12), so that (result of the κατειργάσατο) from Jerusalem (the eastern boundary of his preaching) and the neighbourhood (κύκλφ is not to be joined with μέχρι τ. Ἰλλ. as Calov., al., but refers [reff.] to Jerusalem, meaning perhaps its immediate neighbourhood, perhaps Arabia [?], Gal. i. 17,—but hardly Damascus and Cilicia, as De W. suggests, seeing that they would come into the route afterwards specified, from Jerusalem to Illyricum) as far as Illyricum (Illyricum bordered on Macedonia to the S. It is possible that Paul may literally have advanced to its frontiers during his preaching in Macedonia; but I think it more probable, that he uses it broadly as the 'terminus ad quem,' the next province to that in which he had preached), I have fulfilled (ref.:— 'executed my office of preaching,' so that $\epsilon \dot{v} \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \iota o \nu \tau o \hat{v} \chi \rho. = \tau \delta \epsilon \dot{v} \alpha \gamma \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\zeta} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \delta \nu \chi \rho.$) the Gospel of Christ. 20.] But (limits the foregoing assertion) thus (after the following rule) being careful (reff.: the word in the Apostle's usage seems to lose its primary meaning of 'making a point of honour.' The particip. agrees with µe, ver. 19) to preach the Gospel, not where Christ was (previously) named, that I might not build on the foundation of another, but according as it is written (i. e. according to the following rule of Scripture: I determined to act in the spirit of these words, forming part of a general prophecy of the dispersion of that Gospel which I was preaching), &c. The citation is from the LXX, περί αὐτοῦ referring to δ παιs μου, ver. 13, but being unrepresented in the Heb. Our E. V. renders: "That which had not been told them, shall they see: and that which they had not heard, shall they consider."

22.] διό, not, because a foundation had been already laid at Rome by another: this would refer to merely a secondary part of the foregoing assertion: διό refers to the primary, viz. his having been so earnestly engaged in preaching elsewhere.

τὰ πολλά, these many times: not, as Meyer, Fritz., 'the greater number

not, as Meyer, Fritz., 'the greater number of times,'—which would suggest the idea that there had been other occasions on which this hindrance had not been opera-

23. for 2nd $\epsilon \chi \omega \nu$, $\epsilon \chi \omega$ (corrn of constr) D¹F m o. om $\tau \sigma \nu$ A. rec (for inawu) $\pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ (more usual $\epsilon \sigma \nu$), with ADFLR rel Chr Thart: txt BC m Damase. 24. ree $\epsilon \omega \nu$, with L rel Chr₁ Thart: txt AB (appy) DFR Chr₁ Damase. add our DF. $\pi \sigma \rho \epsilon \omega \nu \omega \omega$ DF a¹b¹e f m¹ n: $-\sigma \sigma \omega \omega$ L 1222: txt ABCR rel Chr Thl.

rec aft σπανιαν ins ελευσομαι προς υμας (to fill up the aposiopesis: see note), with LN3 rel syr Thdtt Thl Œ: on ABCDTN latt Syr copt æth arm Chr Damasc Ambrst Pelag Ruf Sedul Bede. om γαρ F latt Syr copt æth Chr latt fl(videbo vos et a vobis deducar Ambrst): ins ABCDLN syr Thdrt, Damasc Thl Œ: δε α² 3. 5. 108'-20 Chr. ms Thdrt, πορευομένος Α 62 Damasc, rec (for αφ) υφ, with ACLN rel Chr: txt B(απο) DF.

25. for διακονων, διακονησαι DF latt: διακονησων 81: txt ABCL83 rel.

26. ευδοκησεν Β 62. 120 Thdrt,: G-lat has both (ηνδ. Β Ν m: so Ν m Chr-ms in next ver). μακαίδονες και αχαιακοι F, D'-lat also has μακαίδονες. των εν ιερ.

27. for ευδοκ. γαρ και οφειλεται, οφειλ. γαρ DF Ambrst. rec αυτων bef εισι, with FL rel: txt ABCDN vulg(am &c agst fuld &c) spec Syr copt Ambrst. om 2nd αυτων L.

tive. 23.] μηκ. τόπ. ἔχων, I have no more occasion, viz. of apostolic work.

The participial construction prevails throughout, the participles standing as direct verbs. This not having been seen, the words ἐλεύσομαι πρὸς ὑμᾶς have been inscrted to fill up what seemed an aposiopesis. Now, however, I have no longer any business in these parts, but have had for many years past a desire to see you, whenever (as soon as) I journey into Spain. Respecting the question whether this journey into Spain was ever taken, the views of Commentators have differed, according to their conclusion respecting the liberation of the Apostle from his imprisonment at Rome. I have discussed this in the Prolegg. to the Pastoral Epistles, § ii. The reader may see, on the side of the completion of the journey, Neander, Pfl. u. Leit., ed. 4, pp. 527-552, -and

on the other side, Dr. Davidson, Introd. to N. T. vol. ii. pp. 96—132, and Wieseler, Chron. der Apost. Zeitalt., Excursus I., where a copious list of books on both sides is given. 24.] ἀπὸ μέρους is an affectionate limitation of ἐμπλησθῶ, implying that he would wish to remain much longer than he anticipated being able to do,—and also, as Chrys., οὐδεἰς γάρ με χρόνος ἐμπλῆσαι δύναται, οὐδὲ ἐμποιῆσαί μοι κόρον τῆς συνουσίας ὑμῶν. 25.] See Acts xix. 21; xxiv. 17; 2 Cor. viii. 19. διακονῶν, not the future, because he treats the whole action as already begun: see reff.

26.] See 2 Cor. ix. 1, ff. κοινων.]
See reff. Olsh. remarks, on τους πτωχούς τ. ἀγίων, that this shews the community of goods in the church at Jerusalem not to have lasted long: cf. Gal. ii. 10.

27.] The fact is re-stated, with a

28 τούτο οὖν k ἐπιτελέσας καὶ l σφοαγισάμενος αὐτοῖς τὸν ABCD viii. 6, 11 bis. 1 Kings iii. l see John iii. λογίας χριστου έλευσομαι. 30 9 παρακαλώ δε υμάς,

33. m = Gal. v. 22. Eph. v. 9. Heb. xii. 11. James iii. 18. n = Matt. viii. 19. x. 5 al. fr. Josh. vi. 11. o = Eph. iii. 19. p. b. xvi. 18. [άδελφοί,] 9 διά τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦ χριστοῦ καὶ 9 διά τῆς τἀγάπης τοῦ τπνεύματος, συναγωνίσασθαί μοι ἐν ταῖς τροςευχαῖς ὑπὲρ ἐμοῦ τρὸς τὸν θεόν, ³¹ ἴνα "ρυσθω από των "απειθούντων έν τη Ιουδαία, και ή p ch. xvi. 18. p ch. xvi. 18. 1 Cor, x. 16. 2 Cor. ix 5 al. Ezek. xxxiv. 26. q ch. xii. 1 reff. r here only. see Col. i. 8. διακονία μου * ή είς Ίερουσαλημ ' ευπρόςδεκτος τοίς ² άγίοις γένηται, ³² ίνα ^a έν χαρά έλθω πρὸς ύμας ^b διὰ b θελήματος b θεού[, καὶ συναναπαύσωμαι ὑμῖν]. 33 ὁ δὲ

s here only t. d θεὸς τῆς d εἰρήνης μετὰ πάντων ὑμῶν. ἀμήν.

s here only to $\frac{\partial}{\partial v_0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v_0} =$

28. aft τουτο ουν ins αρα F. σφραγισαμενοιs(sic) N. om autois B 76, 108. rec ins την bef σπανιαν (none om την in ver 24), with CLN3 rel: om ABDFN¹ m Chr.

29. for οιδα δε, γεινωσκω γαρ F. om ερχομενος F. πληροφορια D¹F. rec ins του ευαγγελιου του bef χριστου (prob a gloss), with LR' rel vulg syrr Chr Thdrt: om ABCDF 81 am(with demid harl) copt æth arm(om χρ. also) Clem lat-ff.

30. om αδελφοι B 76 æth Chr: a has it in red at the beg of ver: add μου syrr copt: bef παρακ. υμ. lectt (and C3-marg); bef υμ., demid (the variations in posn are suspicious: but may not the word, characteristic as it is here, have been first rejected as unnecessary, and then noted in the margin, and variously inserted ! Lachm retains ins ονοματος του bef κυριου L a 74. 120 lectt. aft προςευχας ins υμων DF vulg-ed(not am demid fuld harl2) Pelag. om υπερ εμου F Ruf Bede.

31. rec aft και ins ινα, with D2.3LN3 rel syr Chr Thdrt : om ABCD FN1 latt Syr copt arm Damase Ruf Pelag Ambrst. for διακονια, δωροφορια (corrn to avoid harshness of διακον, εις ιερ.: see below) BD'F, remuneratio D-lat, munerum meorum ministratio Ambrst: txt ACD2-3LX vss (administratio G-lat, obsequii oblatio vulg Sedul Bede, ministerium D²-lat Ruf) Chr Thdrt Damase Thl Œc. om 2nd η L b¹ h m 37. 73. 93. 122 Thdrt, Chr-mss. for eis, ev BD'F: txt ACD'LN rel Chr-ms Thdrt, Thl. rec γενηται bef τοις αγιοις, with DFL rel vss gr-lat-ff: txt ABCN m.

32. ελθων AC N¹(bef χαρα) 17. for θεου, κυριου ιησου Β΄: χριστου ιησου D¹F: ιησου χριστου Ν¹: txt ACD³LΝ³ rel vss Chr Thdrt Damase Thl Œ lat-ff. om και συναναπαυσωμαι υμιν B: ins AC(DF)L(R) rel vss Chr Euthal Thdrt Damase Thl (Ec

lat.ff: om κa : \aleph^1 .— $\alpha \nu a \psi v \xi \omega$ D: $\alpha \nu a \psi v \chi \omega$ F.— $\mu \epsilon \theta$ $v \mu \omega \nu$ DF latt. 33. ins $\eta \tau \omega$ bef $\mu \epsilon \tau a$ D^1F latt syr. om $\alpha \mu \eta \nu$ AF: ins Thdrt Damase Thl (Ee lat.ff. om αμην AF: ins BCDLN rel vss Chr

view to an inference from it, viz. that the εὐδόκησαν was not merely a matter of benevolence, but of repayment: the Gentiles being debtors to the Jews for spiritual blessings. This general principle is very similarly enounced in 1 Cor. ix. 11. It is suggested by Grot., al., that by this Paul wished to hint to the Romans the duty of a similar contribution. 28.] καρπόν, hardly, as Calv., al., "proventum quem ex Evangelii satione ad Judæos redire nuper dixit:" more probably said generally, -fruit of the faith and love of the Gentiles. σφραγισ., ως είς βασιλικά ταμιεία ἀποθέμενος ώς ἐν ἀσύλφ κ. ἀσφα-

λεί χωρίω, Chrys. δι' ὑμῶν, through your city. 29.] The fulness of the blessing of Christ imports that richness of apostolic grace which he was persuaded he should impart to them. So he calls his presence in the churches a χάρις, 2 Cor. i. 15. See also ch. i. 11. 30-32.7 τ. ἀγάπ. τ. πνεύμ., the love shed abroad in the heart by the Holy Ghost; - a love which teaches us to look not only on our own things, but on the things of others.

συναγων.] "Ipse oret oportet, qui alios vult orare secum. Orare, agon est, præsertim ubi homines resistunt." Bengel. 31. Compare Acts xx. 22; xxi. XVI. 1 Γ Συνίστημι δὲ ὑμῖν Φοίβην τὴν ἀδελφὴν ἡμῶν, $^{f=2\,\text{Cor.iii.}}_{1.7,12.\text{ch.}}$ οὖσαν g διάκονον τῆς ἐκκλησίας τῆς ἐν Κεγχοεαῖς, 2 ἴνα $^{\text{ii.}}_{1.7,12.\text{ch.}}$ $^{\text{har.org}}_{1.7,12.\text{ch.}}$ $^{\text{h$

γαρ αυτη προστατις ποποκον τολίς $^{\rm p}$ συνεργούς $^{\rm 10 sl.}_{\rm 10 l.}$ μου έν χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ, $^{\rm q}$ οἶτινες ὑπὲρ τῆς $^{\rm r}$ ψυχῆς μου $^{\rm col.}_{\rm 10 l.}$ $^{\rm col.}_{\rm 10 l.}$

ii. 12. 3 John 6 enly t. Wisd. vii. 15. xvi. 1. Sir. xiv. 11 only. n=2 Tim. iv. 17 only. Jer. xv. 11. n. Matt. vi. 32. Lusc xi. 8. xii. 30. 2 Cor. iii. 1 only. Judg. xi. 7 vat. (only?) John Cor. only t. ($t-\gamma r_0$, 1 Chron. xxvii. 31. see Rom. xii. 8), p. Paul (vv. 9, 21. 1 Cor. iii. 9 als.) only, exc. 3 John 8 t. 2 Maso. viii. 7. xiv. 5 only. ($-\gamma \epsilon v_0$ t. v. viii. 28.) q — Acts xi. 41 refl. r — Acts xv. 26 refl.

Chap. XVI. 1. om δε D'F ath Sedul. υμων AF Thl. aft ουσαν ins και BC'83 47.

2. rec authu bef prosdefhobe, with ALM rel vulg Syr Chr Thdrt Ambrst: txt BCDF d harl syr copt. for prostatis to emou, kai emou kai aldaw prostatis egeneto D; k. e. k. a. parateis F. rec autou bef emou, with L rel Chr-c-montf Ee: kai autou kai emou \aleph : txt ABC d m vulg syr copt Chr-2-mss Thdrt Damase Thl., emou te autou λ .

3. $\text{rec} \pi \rho \text{ignin} \lambda \alpha \nu$ (corrn to Acts xviii. 2, &c), with rel syrr Chr Th $\text{dr}(\tau \gamma \nu) \gamma \delta \rho$ $\text{Hp}(\sigma \kappa \iota \lambda \lambda \alpha \nu) \gamma \delta \rho$ $\text{Hp}(\sigma \kappa \iota \lambda \lambda \alpha \nu) \gamma \delta \rho$ $\text{Hp}(\sigma \kappa \iota \lambda \lambda \alpha \nu) \gamma \delta \rho$ at end, instead of in ver 5, ins $\kappa \alpha \iota \tau$. $\kappa \alpha \tau$. $\kappa \alpha \tau$. $\kappa \alpha \tau$.

10—14. The exceeding hatred in which the Apostle was held by the Jews, and their want of fellow-feeling with the Gentile churches, made him fear lest even the ministration with which he was charged might not prove acceptable to them.

32.] διὰ θελ. θεοῦ = ἐὰν ὁ κύρως θελήση,
1 Cor. iv. 19: otherwise in reff.
[κ. συναν. ὑμ., and may refresh myself together with you;—i.e. 'that we may mutually refresh omselves, I after my dangers and deliverance, you after your anxieties for me.' But the text is in some confusion.]

Chap. XVI. 1—16.] Re-

COMMENDATION OF PHŒBE: GREETINGS.

1, 2.] In all probability Phobe was the bearer of the Epistle, as stated in the (rec.) subscription. Stákovov] Deaconess. See l Tim. iii. 11, note. Pliny in his celebrated letter to Trajan sâys, "necessarium credidi, ex duabus ancillis quæ ministræ dicebantur, quid esset veri et per tormenta quærere." A minute discussion of their office, &c. in later times, may be found in Suicer, Thesaurus, sub voce; and in Bingham, book ii. chap. 22, § 8. Neander, Pfl. u. Leit., ed. 4, pp. 265 – 267, shews that the deaconesses must not be confounded with the $\chi \hat{\eta} \rho a \alpha$ of 1 Tim. v. 3—16, as has sometimes been done.

Kenchreæ, the port of Corinth $(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \text{ Kop} \nu \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\epsilon} \pi^i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \nu$, Philo in Flace. § 19, vol. ii., p. 539: $\kappa \hat{\omega} \mu \eta \tau \iota s \tau \hat{\eta} s \text{ Kop} (\nu \theta \nu \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta)$, Theodoret, h. l.) on the Saronic gulf of the Ægean, for commerce with the east (Acts xviii. 18): seventy stadia from Vol. II.

Corinth, Stralo viii. 380. Pausan. ii. 2, 3. Livy xxxii. 17. Plin. iv. 4. The Apostolical Constitutions (vii. 46, p. 1053, Migne) make the first bishop of the Cenchrean church to have been Lucius, consecrated by Paul himself (Winer, RWB.). The western port, on the Sinns Corinthiacus, was Leche (Paus.), Lecheæ (Plin.), or Lecheum (Strab., Ptol.). 2.] ἐν κυρίω, in a Christian manner,—as mindful of your common Lord: ἀξίως τ. ἀγίων, 'in a manner worthy of saints;' i. e. 'as saints ought to do,'—refers to προσδέξησθε, and therefore to their conduct to her;—not, 'as saints ought to be received.'

παραστήτε Her business at Rome may have been such as to require the help of those resident there. προστάτις πολλων] This may refer to a part of the deaconess's office, the attending on the poor and sick of her own sex. κ. ἐμοῦ αὐτοῦ] when and where, we know not. It is not improbable that she may have been, like Lydia, one whose heart the Lord opened at the first preaching of Paul, and whose house was his lodging. The form Prisca is also found 2 Tim. iv. 19. On Prisca and Aquila see note, Acts xviii. 2. They must have returned to Rome from Ephesus since the sending of 1 Cor. :—see 1 Cor. xvi. 19: and we find them again at Ephesus (?), 2 Tim. iv. 19. Their endangering of their lives for Paul may have taken place at Corinth (Acts xviii. 6 ff.) or at Ephesus (Acts xix.). See Neander, Pfl. u. Leit., p. 441. "ὑποτιθέναι est pignori Нн

 8 here only. 8 τον έαυτῶν 81 τράχηλον 81 ὑπέθηκαν, οἶς οὐκ ἐγιὼ μόνος ABCD (but not τ.) 8 ἐυχαριστῶ ἀλλὰ καὶ πᾶσαι αὶ 81 ἐκκλησίαι τῶν ἐθνῶν, cd figh refi. 1 Γοι με με ιν εκκλησίαι τῶν ἐθνῶν, cd figh νοι μοι με ιν εκκλησίαι τῶν ἐθνῶν, cd figh νοι μοι με ιν εκκλησίαι τῶν ἐθνῶν, cd figh νοι μοι με ιν εκκλησίαι ασπάσασθε οι 17 νοι μοι με ιν εκκλησίαι εκκλησίαι εκκλησίαι εκκλησίαι τῶν εκκλησίαι τῶν εκκλησίαι εκκλησίαι τῶν εκκλησίαι εκκλησί

γασικά τους συγγενείς μου καὶ f συναιχμαλώτους μου, g εικίς μου καὶ f συναιχμαλώτους μου, g εικίς g εικίς μου καὶ f συναιχμαλώτους μου, g εικίς g ει

teff. d Matt. vi. 28. Acts xx. 41 reff. d Matt. vi. 28. Acts xx. 35 al. Ps. cxxvi. 1. e ch. xi. 3. Luke i. 36, 58. Acts x. 24 al. Levit xxv. 45. f Col. iv. 10. Plulem. 23 only 7. g Matt. xxvii. 10 only, Esth. v. 4. 3 Macc. vi. 1. Polyb. xviii. 38. 1. Jos. Autt. v. 7. 1. hee Acts xiv. 4 note.

5. for απαρχη, απ' αρχης D¹, in principio D¹-lat: a principio G-lat. rec for ασιας, αχαιας, with D²-3L rel syrr Chr Thdrt Thl Ge: txt ABCDFR latt(not harl¹) copt æth arm Damase Orig-int Jer Ambrst Ruf_{expr} Pelag Jer Sedul Bede. (The rec has prob been an ervor of the scribe, who had απαρχη της αχαιας, 1 Cor xvi. 15, in his mind. To suppose, with De Wette, that he altered αχ. here to ασ. to avoid the inconsistency of two persons being the first fruits of Achaia, is surely too far-fetched.) for εις χριστως, εν χριστω DF latt.

6. rec μαριαμ, with DFLN rel Chr Thdrt Thl: txt ABC Syr copt. rec ημαs, with C°L rel syr Chr-comm Thdrt Damase Thl Œc Ruf-ms: εν νμιν DF latt Ambrst:

txt ABC'N Syr copt æth Chr-txt(and ms,).

7. ins τ ous bef σ uvaixmad ω τ ous B. om of \aleph^1 . for of κ . π po $\epsilon\mu$. $\gamma \epsilon \gamma$., τ ois

opponere. Demosth. in Aphobum: ἀπέτισα την λειτουργίαν, ύποθείς την οἰκίαν καὶ τάμαυτοῦ πάντα. Æschines: ὑπέθησαν αὐτῷ τοῦ ταλάντου τὰς δημοσίας προς-όδους." Wetst. The 'churches of the Gentiles' had reason to be thankful to them, for having rescued the Apostle of the Gentiles from danger. It seems to have been the practice of Aquila and Priscilla (ref. 1 Cor.) and some other Christians (reff. Col., Philem.) to hold assemblies for worship in their houses, which were saluted, and sent salutations as one body in the Lord. Some light is thrown on the expression by the following passage from the Acta Martyrii S. Justini, in Ruinart, cited by Neander, Church Hist. i. 330, Rose's trans. "The answer of Justin Martyr to the question of the prefect (Rusticus) 'Where do you assemble?' exactly corresponds to the genuine Christian spirit on this point. The answer was; 'Where each one can and will. You believe, no doubt, that we all meet together in one place; but it is not so, for the God of the Christians is not shut up in a room, but, being invisible, He fills both heaven and earth, and is honoured every where by the faithful.' Justin adds, that when he came to Rome, he was accustomed to dwell in one particular spot, and that those Christians who were instructed by him, and wished to hear his discourse, assembled at his house. (This assembly would accordingly be $\mathring{\eta}$ $\kappa \alpha \tau'$ $o\mathring{\imath} \kappa \nu \tau o\mathring{\imath}$ ' $Iou\sigma\tau \iota \nu o\nu$ $\mathring{\epsilon} \kappa$ κλησία.) He had not visited any other congregations of the Church." 5.] Epænetus is not elsewhere named. ἀπαρχή, the same metaphor being in the Apostle's mind as in ch. xv. 16.—the first believer.

mind as in ch. xv. 16,—the first believer.

On 'Aσίας see var. readd.

ciς χρ.,
elliptical: the full construction would be
της προσφοράς είς χρ.
6.] None of
the names occurring from ver. 5—15 ave
mentioned elsewhere (except possibly Rufus: see below). De Wette remarks,
that, notwithstanding the MSS, authority,
είς ἡμᾶς is perhaps the more likely reading,
(1) because the Apostle would hardly mention a service done to themselves as a
ground of salutation from him, and (2) because κοπιῶν without being expressly followed by λόγω (1 Tim. v. 17 : see Phill. ii.
16; Col. i. 29), said of women, most likely
implies acts of kindness peculiar to the sex.

from 'Ιουνία (Junia), in which case she is probably the wife of Andronicus,—or masc., from 'Ιουνίας (Junianus, contr. Junias). It is uncertain also whether συγγενείς means fellow-countrymen, or relations. Aquila and Priscilla were Jews: so would Maria be, and probably Epænetus, being an early believer. If so, the word may have its strict meaning of 'relations.' But it seems to occur vv. 11, 21 in a wider sense.

συναιχμ.] When and where, uncertain.

ἐπίστημοι ἐντ. ἀποστ.]
Two renderings are given: (1) ' of note among the Apostles,' so that they themselves are counted among the Apostles: thus the Greek ff. (τὸ ἀποστόλουs εἶται,

7. louviav may be fem. ('Iouviav),

έμοῦ γέγοναν 1 ἐν χριστῷ. 8 ἀσπάσασθε ΄Αμπλιᾶν τὸν $^{11\text{Cor. i. 30.}}_{\text{Eph. ii. 13.}}$ 2 ἀγαπητόν μου ἐν κυρίῳ. 9 ἀσπάσασθε Οὐρβανὸν τὸν $^{1\text{Pet. v. 14.}}$ k συνεργον ἡμῶν ἐν χριστῷ, καὶ Στάχυν τὸν 2 ἀγαπητόν $^{k\text{ver. Sieff.}}$ μου. 10 ἀσπάσασθε 3 Απελλῆν τὸν 1 δόκιμον 1 έν χριστῷ. 1 ch. xiv. 18 ασπάσασθε τοὺς ἐκ m τῶν 3 Αριστοβούλου. 11 ἀσπάσασθε m see 1 cor. i. 11 Ἡρωδίωνα τὸν ͼσυγγενη μου. ἀσπάσασθε τοὺς ἐκ ^m τῶν Ναρκίσσου τοὺς ὄντας ἐν κυρίω. 12 ἀσπάσασθε Τρύφαιναν καὶ Τουφωσαν τὰς α κοπιώσας εν κυρίω. ἀσπάσασθε Περσίδα την ε άγαπητήν, ητις πολλά α έκοπίασεν έν κυρίω. 13 ασπάσασθε 'Ρουφον τον " εκλεκτον εν κυρίω, και την " cff. viii. 33 μητέρα αὐτοῦ καὶ ἐμοῦ. 14 ἀσπάσασθε ᾿Ασύγκριτον, Φλέ-

προ εμου DF. rec γεγονασιν, with CL rel: txt A B(sic: see table) α. χριστω add ιησου DF Pelag Ambrst Jer.

αμπλιατον ΑΓΝ latt copt seth Euthal lat-ff: txt B C(appy) DL rel syrr Chr Thdrt Chron Damase Thl Œc. om μου B F(not G).
 for χριστω, κυριω CDF c m arm Chr-3-mss: txt ABLN rel.

11. συγγενην ΑD1.

12. om from εν κυριω to εν κυριω AF(and G). κοπιασας C.

μέγα τὸ δὲ καὶ ἐν τούτοις ἐπισήμους εἶναι, ἐννόησον ἡλίκον ἐγκάμιον, Chrys.), Calv., Est., Wolf, Thol., Kölln., Olsh., al.: or (2) 'noted among the Apostles,' i.e. well known and spoken of by the Apostles. Thus Beza, Grot., Koppe, Reiche, Meyer, Fritz., De W. But, as Thol. remarks, had this latter been the meaning, we should have expected some expression like διὰ πασῶν τῶν ἐκκλησιῶν (2 Cor. viii. 18). I may besides remark, that for Paul to speak of any persons as celebrated among the Apostles in sense (2), would imply that he had more frequent intercourse with the other Apostles, than we know that he had; and would besides be improbable on any supposition. The whole question seems to have sprung up in modern times from the idea that οἱ ἀπόστολοι must mean the Twelve only. If the wider sense found in Acts xiv. 4, 14; 2 Cor. viii. 23; 1 Thess. ii. 6 (compare i. 1) be taken, there need be no doubt concerning the meaning.

of καὶ] refers to Andr. and Jun., not to the Apostles. In the use of γέγοναν, there is a mixed construction—"who before me."

8 ft.] Amplias = Ampliatus: see v. r.

αy. ἐν κυρ., beloved in the bonds of Christian fellowship. συνεργ. ἐν χρ., fellow-workman in (the work of) Christ. Origen and others have confounded Apelles with the

well-known Apollos, but apparently without reason. Cf. Hor. Sat. i. 5. 100. δόκιμ. ἐν χρ., approved (by trial) in (the work of) Christ. It does not follow that

either Aristobulus or Narcissus were them-

selves Christians. Only those of their familiæ (τοὺς ἐκ τῶν) are here saluted who were ἐν κυρίφ: for we must understand this also after ᾿Αριστοβούλου.

συγγ., see above. Grot., Neander, al., have taken Narcissus for the well-known freedman of Claudius. But this can hardly be, for he was executed (Tac. Ann. xiii. 1) in the very beginning of Nero's reign, i.e. cir. 55 A.D., whereas (see Prolegg. § iv. 4, and Chronol. Table) this Epistle cannot have well been written before 58 A.D. Perhaps, as Winer (RWB.) suggests, the family of this Narcissus may have continued to be thus known after his death (?).

13.] Rufus may have been the son of Simon of Cyrene, mentioned Mark xv.

21: but the name was very common. έκλεκτόν-not to be softened, as De W., al., to merely 'eximium,' a sense unknown to our Apostle;—elect, i. e. one of the elect of the Lord. καὶ ἐμοῦ the Apostle adds from affectionate regard towards the mother of Rufus: 'my mother,' in my reverence and affection for her. Jowett compares our Lord's words to St. John, John xix. 27. 14.] These Christians of whom we have only the names, seem to be persons of less repute than the former. Hermas (= Hermodorus, Grot.) is thought by Origen (in loc. "Puto, quod Hermas iste sit scriptor libelli istius qui Pastor appellatur"), Eus. H. E. iii. 3, and Jerome, catal. script. eccl., c. x., vol. ii., p. 846, to be the author of the 'Shepherd.' But this latter is generally supposed to have been the brother of Pius, bishop of Rome, about 150 A.D. The σύν αὐτοῖς ἀδελφοί

ο - Acts ix. 13 γοντα, Έρμῆν, Πατρόβαν, Έρμᾶν, καὶ τοὺς σὺν αὐτοῖς reti.
15 ἀσπάσασθε Φιλόλογον καὶ Ἰουλίαν, Νηρέα
15 τος. καὶ τοὺς ἀδελφούς.
16 ἀσπάσασθε Φιλόλογον καὶ Ἰουλίαν, Νηρέα
17 ἀς. κει ινει. καὶ τὴν ἀδελφὴν αὐτοῦ, καὶ ἸΟλυμπᾶν, καὶ τοὺς σὺν επαν και τοὶς σὰν τοῦς...
16 ἀσπάσασθε ἀλλήλους ρεν ΔΒΕς.

α και την αυκαμην αυτου, και Όλυμπαυ, και τους συν επανκαι q και τους q επανκαι q και τους q επανκαι q επανκαι

14. rec $\epsilon\rho\mu\alpha\nu$ π . $\epsilon\rho\mu\eta\nu$, with D¹L rel Syr Chr Thdrt Chron Ambrst: txt ABCD¹FN am(with fuld harl flor mar) syr copt ath Euthal Ruf Bede.

15. ιουνιαν C¹F. νηρεαν ΑF. ολυμπειδα (Olympiadem latt Ruf Ambrst)

F: ολυμπιαν D.

16. om ασπαζονται... χριστου DF, but aft συγγ. μου ver 21 read και αι εκκλ. πασαι του χρ. rec om πασαι (see note), with rel Chr Thl Œc: ins ABC(DF)LN m vulg Syr copt æth arm (Chr-comm?) Cyr Thdrt Ruf Ambrst Pelag Bede.

17. for $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega$, $\epsilon \rho \omega \tau \omega$ D^{1.3}, rogo latt. for $\sigma \kappa o \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$, $\alpha \sigma \phi \alpha \lambda \omega s$ $\sigma \kappa o \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon$ DF Sing-eler. for $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$, $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ D¹. ins $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o \nu \tau \alpha s$ η bef $\pi o \iota o \iota \nu \nu \tau$. DF Sing-eler.

εκκλινετε BCN¹ m Thdrt Damasc.

18. om τω F. ree ins ιησου bef χριστω, with rel Syr copt æth-pl Chr: om ABCDFR e m vulg syr æth-rom arm.—χρ. bef ημων DF. δουλευσουσιν F. om και ευλογιας (homæotel) D¹F 17 Chr-ms.

of ver. 14, and σύν αὐτοῖς πάντες ἄγιοι of ver. 15, have been taken by De W. and Reiche to point to some separate associations of Christians, perhaps (De W.) assemblies as in ver. 5: or (Reiche) unions for missionary purposes. 16.7 The meaning of this injunction seems to be, that the Roman Christians should take occasion, on the receipt of the Apostle's greetings to them, to testify their mutual love, in this, the ordinary method of salutation, but having among Christians a Christian and holy meaning, see reff. It became soon a custom in the churches at the celebration of the Lord's Supper. See Suicer under ἀσπασμός and φίλημα, and Bingham, xv. ἀσπάζ. ὑμ. αί ἐκκλ. π.] This assurance is stated evidently on the Apostle's authority, speaking for the churches; not implying as Bengel, "quibuscum fui, c. xv. His significarat, se Romam scribere," but vouching for the brotherly regard in which the Roman church was held by all churches of Christ. The above misunderstanding has led to the exclusion of maoai.

17-20.] WARNING AGAINST THOSE WHO MADE DIVISIONS AMONG

THEM. To what persons the Apostle refers, is not plain. Some (Thol., al.) think the Judaizers to be meant, not absolutely within the Christian pale, but endeavouring to sow dissension in it: and so, nearly, Neander, Pfl. u. Leit., p. 452. De W. thinks that Paul merely gives this warning in case such persons came to Rome. Judging by the text itself, we infer that these teachers were similar to those pointed out in Phil. iii. 2, 18; 1 Tim. vi. 3 ff.; 2 Cor. xi. 13, 20: unprincipled and selfish persons, seducing others for their own gain: whether Judaizers or not, does not appear: but considering that the great opponents of the Apostle were of this party, we may perhaps infer that they also belonged to it. 17. $\sigma \kappa \sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu = \beta \lambda \hat{\epsilon}$ longed to it. 17.] $\sigma \kappa \sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu = \beta \lambda \epsilon - \pi \epsilon \imath \nu$, Phil. iii. 2. The $\delta \imath \delta \alpha \chi \dot{\eta}$ here spoken of is probably rather ethical than doctrinal; compare Eph. iv. 20-24. χρηστολογία, κολακεία, Theophyl. Wetstein cites from Julius Capitolinus, in Pertinace, 13, "omnes, qui libere conferebant, male Pertinacem loquebantur, chrestologum eum appellantes, qui bene loqueretur et male faceret." εὐλογίας, fairness γὰρ ὑμῶν ἱ ὑπακοὴ εἰς πάντας g ἀφίκετο ἐφ' ὑμῖν οὖν f ch. i. 5 refl. χαίρω, θέλω δὲ ὑμᾶς σοφοὺς εἶναι h εἰς τὸ h ἀγαθόν, i ἀκεραίους οᾶιους δὲ εἰς k τὸ k κακόν. 20 ὁ δὲ 1 θεὸς τῆς 1 εἰρῆνης m συν h ch. stil. τρίψει τὸν σατανᾶν ὑπὸ τοὺς πόδας ὑμῶν h εν πάχει. H i heli ii. i. 5 phil. ii. ii. 5

τριφεί τον δαταναν υπο τους ποσας υμών εν ταχεί. Η χάρις τοῦ κυρίου ἡμών Ἰησοῦ [χριστοῦ] $^{\circ}$ μεθ΄ ὑμών. $^{\circ}$ την επιστοῦ λονίκιος καὶ Ἰάσων καὶ Σωσίπατρος οἱ $^{\circ}$ συνεργός μου, καὶ $^{\circ}$ Μαίκ χίι 20. $^{\circ}$ Μαίκ χίι 20. $^{\circ}$ Μαίκ χιι 20. $^{\circ}$ Μαίκ χιι

4. Rev. i. 1. xxii 6 only. Deut, xxviii, 20. o ellips., ch. xv. 33 reft. qvv. 7, 11 reft. r see 1 Cor. v. 9 reft. s = here only. Diod. Stc. xvii. 47. Xen. Anab. iii, 1. 4.

19. υπακοη bef υμων DF (not D-lat). rec χαιρω ουν το εφ υμιν, with (DF) ℵ3 rel vulg syrr copt Chr Thdrt: $\tau o \epsilon \phi^* \nu \mu \nu \sigma \sigma \nu \chi \alpha \mu \rho \omega$, mig $\sigma \nu_{\nu}$ m: $\tau t A BCLN^* arm Damase Ruf_—om <math>\tau o$ D¹F d. for θ , $\delta \epsilon$, $\kappa a \theta \epsilon \lambda \omega$ D¹F Syr. rec aft $\sigma o \phi \sigma \omega$ adds $\mu \epsilon \nu$ (on account of $\delta \epsilon$ follg?), with ACN rel syr Thl Ec Aug: om BDFL copt Clem Cass(but om also $\delta \epsilon$ follg) Chr Thdrt.

20. συντριψαι A 672 vulg(am demid harl F-lat agst fuld tol) G-lat spec Thdrt-comm εν ταχει bef υπο τ. π. ημων Α. om last clause DF Sedul. χριστου ΒΝ. elz at end adds αμην (with none of our manuscripts): om ABCLX

rel vss gr-lat-ff.

21. rec ασπαζονται, with D3L rel Syr Thdrt Œc: txt ABCD1FX m latt syr copt arm Chr Thi Ruf Ambrst. om 1st mov B 672. om 2nd και B. add και αι εκκλησιαι πασαι του $\chi \bar{v}$ (see ver 16).

of speech: so Plato, Rep. iii. 400 D, εὐλογία ἄρα κ. εὐαρμοστία κ. εὐσχημοσύνη κ. εὐρυθμία εὐηθεία ἀκολουθεῖ—or perhaps 'eulogies' (flatteries), as Pind. Nem. iv. 8, οὐδὲ θερμὸν ὕδωρ τόσον $| \gamma \epsilon$ μαλθακὰ τεύχει $| \gamma v \hat{\alpha}$, τόσσον εὐλογία φόρ | μιγγι συνάορος. 19.] See ch. i. 8. Their obedience being matter of universal notoriety, is the ground of his confidence that they will comply with his entreaty, ver. 17. Some slight reproof is conveyed in $\chi \alpha l \rho \omega$, $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. They were well known for obedience, but had not been perhaps cautious enough with regard to these designing persons and their pretended wisdom. See Matt. x. 16, of which words of our Lord there seems to be here a reminiscence. 20.] ἐπειδὴ γὰρ εἶπε τοὺς τὰς δίχοστασίας κ. τὰ σκάνδαλα ποιοῦντας, εἶπεν εἰρήνης θεόν, Ίνα θαββήσωσι περί της τούτων ἀπαλλαγης. Chrys.: and so most Commentators. De W. prefers taking $\delta \theta$. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon i \rho$. more generally as 'the God of salvation;' and the usage of the expression (see reff.) seems to favour συντρ. τ. σατ. is a similitude from Gen. iii 15. συντρίψει, not as Stuart, 'for optative,' nor does it express any wish, but a prophetic assurance and encouragement in bearing up against all adversaries, that it would not be long before the great Adversary himself would be bruised under their feet. ή χάρις

 $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ It appears as if the Epistle was intended to conclude with this usual benediction, but the Apostle found occasion to add more. This he does also in other Epistles: see 1 Cor. xvi. 23, 24; similarly Phil. iv. 20, and vv. 21—23 after the doxology,-2 Thess. iii. 16, 17, 18:-1 Tim. vi. 16, 17 ff. :—2 Tim. iv. 18, 19 ff. 24. Greetings from various persons. 21. Lucius must not be mistaken

for Lucas (= Lucanus),—but was probably Lucius of Cyrene, Acts xiii. 1, see note there. Jason may be the same who is mentioned Acts xvii. 5, 7, as the host of Paul and Silas at Thessalonica. A 'Sopater (son) of Pyrrhus of Berœa' occurs Acts xx. 4, but it is hardly likely that this Sosipater is the same person. οί συγγενείς, see above, ver. 7. These persons may have been Jews; but we cannot tell whether the expression may not be used in a wider sense. 22.7 There is nothing strange (as Olsh. supposes) in this salutation being inserted in the first person. It would be natural enough that Tertius the amanuensis, inserting ἀσπάζεται ύμ. Τέρτ. ὁ γρ. τ. ἐπ. ἐν κυρ., should change the form into the first person, and afterwards proceed from the dictation of the Apostle as before. Beza and Grot. suppose him to have done this on transcribing the Epistle. Thol. notices this irregularity as a corroboration of the genuineness of

1 1.1 1.1 1.7 25 Τῷ τόξε δυναμέν ψ ύμᾶς ς στης ίξαι κατὰ τὸ `εὐαγγέλιόν Τός ελτίι. 1.1 2 21. 1.1 1.1 1.1 x ch. ii. 16. 2 Tim. ii. 8 only. see 2 Cur. iv. 3. 1 Thess. i. 5. 2 Thess. ii. 11.

23. rec τ. εκκλησιαs bef ολης, with L rel Chr Thdrt: ολαι αι εκκλησιαι F: ολη η εκκλησια vulg(not am) copt(eccl. omnis) Sedul Pelag: txt ABCDN m am syrr.

[24. om ver ABCN am(with fuld harl' &e) copt æth rom Ruf: ins DFL rel Chr Thdrt Thl Ce Sedul Bede; and (but aft ver 27) 17. 80 Syr-mss syr æth-pl Ambrst.— υμων L.—om τησ. χρ. F.]

25, 26, 27. These verses are variously placed: (I) in BCDN 16. 80. 137-76 latt

the chapter. On the supposed identity of Tertius with Silas see note on Acts xv. 22.

23. Gaius is mentioned 1 Cor. i. 14, as having been baptized by Paul. The host of the whole church probably implies that the assemblies of the church were held in his house: - or perhaps, that his hospitality to Christians was universal. tus, holding this office (οἰκονόμος, the public treasurer, δ ἐπὶ τῆς δημοσίας τραπέζης, arcarius, Wetst., who quotes from inscriptions, Νείλω οἰκονόμω ᾿Ασίας,—Secundus, arkarius Reip. Armerinorum), can hardly have been the same who was with the Apostle in Ephesus, Acts xix. 22. It is more probable that the Erastus of 2 Tim. iv. 20 is identical with this than with that ὁ ἀδελφός, the brother,-the generic singular; one among οἱ ἀδελφοί, the brethren.' The rest have been specified by their services or offices.

[24.] The benediction repeated; see above on ver. 20. The omission (see var. read.) has perhaps been by the caprice of the copyists.] 25-27. Concluding DOXOLOGY. The genuineness of this doxology, and its position in the Epistle have been much questioned. The external evidence will be found in the var. readings; -from which it is plain, that its genuineness as a part of the Epistle is placed beyond all reasonable doubt. Nor does the variety of position militate here, as in some cases, against this conclusion. For the transference of it to the end of ch. xiv. may be explained, partly from the supposed reference of στηρίξαι to the question treated in ch. xiv. (so Chrys., πάλιν γὰρ ἐκείνων έχεται των ἀσθενών, κ. πρός αὐτούς τρέπει τον λόγον), partly from the supposed inappropriateness of it here after the benediction of ver. 24, in consequence of which that verse is omitted by MSS, which have the doxology here,—partly from the un-usual character of the position and diction of the doxology itself.

This latter has been used as an internal argument against the genuineness of the portion. Paul never elsewhere ends with such a doxology. His doxologies, when he does use such, are simple, and perspicuous in construction, whereas this is involved, and rhetorical. This objection however is completely answered by the supposition (Fritz.) that the doxology was the effusion of the fervent mind of the Apostle on taking a general survey of the Epistle. We find in its diction striking similarities to that of the pastoral Epistles :- a phænomenon occurring in several places where Paul writes in a fervid and impassioned manner,-also where he writes with his own hand; -the inferences from which I have treated in the Prolegg. to those Epistles (vol. iii. Prolegg. ch. vii. § i. 30-33). That the doxology is made up of unusual expressions taken from Paul's other writings, that it is difficult and involved, are facts, which if rightly argued from, would substantiate, not its interpolation, but its genuineness: seeing that an interpolator would have taken care to conform it to the character of the Epistle in which it stands, and to have left in it no irregularity which would bring it into question.

The construction is exceedingly difficult. Viewed superficially, it presents only another instance added to many in which the Apostle begins a sentence with one construction, proceeds onward through various dependent clauses till he loses sight of the original form, and ends with a construction presupposing another kind of beginning. And such no doubt it is: but it is not easy to say what he had in his mind when commencing the sentence. Certainly, & ή δόξα els τ. alwas forbids us from supposing that δόξα was intended to follow the datives, - for thus this latter clause would be merely a repetition. We might imagine that he had ended the sentence as if it had

 x μου καὶ τὸ y κήρυγμα Ἰησοῦ χριστοῦ z κατὰ 2a ἀποκά- x $^{(-)}$ Μαιι xii. λυψιν b μυστηρίου c χρόνοις c αίωνίοις d σεσιγημένου 26 c φανερωθέντος δὲ νῦν διά τε f γραφῶν g προφητικῶν κατ h έπιταγὴν τοῦ i αίωνίου i θεοῦ k είς k ὑπακοὴν k πίστεως είς a κάντα τὰ a θυ g h γνωρισθέντος, 27 m μόν g σοφῷ m θε g , g g ερλ. ii. 3

a ch. viii, 19 ref. b.ch. xi. 25. c 2 Tim i, 9. Tit, i, 2 only see Gen, ix, 12, dat, of duration, Luke viii, 29, ch. viii, 11. d = here only, Ps. xxxii. 3 (Acts xii. 17 reft), L.P. e. ch. i, 19 reft. f Acts xii. 2, ch. i, 2 reft. g 2 Pet. i, 19 only f. h. 1 Cor. xii, 5 zi. 2, 2 Cor. viii, 8, 1 The Tit, 15 zii. 13 only f. P. Wish, xiv. 16. i here only, Gen. xxii, 33. kch. i. 5 (reft.), m here only, (T Tim. i, 17, Jude 25.)

Syr copt with Ruf Ambrst Pelag Bede they stand here and here only: (II) they stand aft ch xiv 23 in L rel and about 192 others syr goth(appy) arm-zoh Chr Thdrt Damase Thl Œe Theodul (Tert?): (III) they are omd altogether in (D³?) F(a space is left aft xvi. 24) G(a space is left aft xiv. 23) Marcion (penitus abstulit accg to Ruf [and Orig? see Orig in Rom. lib. x. 43, vol. iv. p. 687] as also chaps xv. xvi.) some mss in Jer(appy) Tert-mss?: (IV) they occur in both places in A 5. 17. 109-lat. (Sz reckons 246 mss of St. Paul. Here 16 are defective [see Sz, addg 216. 239 to 246], 7 are not distinct mss [viz. 8. 10. 56. 60-1-6. 117], and 5 are included under "rel.")

25. for το κηρινμα, κυριου \aleph^1 : txt \aleph -corr¹. χριστου hef ιησου $\mathbb B$. aft προφητ. add και της επιφανείας (adventum) του κυριου ημων ιησ. χριστου Orig₃ mss in Jer.

begun ὁ δὲ δυνάμενος, κ.τ.λ. and expressed a wish that He who was able to confirm them, might confirm them: but this is prevented by its being evident, from the μόνω σοφω θεώ, that the datives are still in his mind. This latter fact will guide us to the solution. The dative form is still in his mind, but not the reference in which he had used it. Hence, when the sentence would naturally have concluded (as it actually does in B: see digest) μόνω σοφώ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$, $\delta i \hat{\alpha}$ ' $I \eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ $\chi \rho_i \sigma \tau v \hat{v}$, $\hat{\eta}$ $\delta \delta \xi \alpha$ $\epsilon i s$ τ . $a \hat{i} \hat{\omega} v \alpha s$,—a break is made, as if the sense were complete at χριστοῦ, and the relative $\hat{\psi}$ refers back to the subject of the sentence preceding, thus imagined complete,viz. to δ δυνάμενος-μόνος σοφός θεός. The analogy of the similar passage Acts xx. 32 would tempt us to supply with the datives παρατίθεμαι ύμας, or the like, as suggested by Olsh.;-but as De W. remarks, the form of a doxology is too evident to allow of this. After all, perhaps, the datives may be understood as conveying a general ascription of praise for the mercies of Redemption detailed in the Epistle, and then $\delta \hat{\eta} \delta$. as superadded, q. d., To Him who is able &c. . . . be all the praise: to whom be glory for ever.

25.] κατά, in reference to, i. e. 'in subordination to,' and according to the requirements of. κήρυγμα '1ησοῦ χρ. can hardly mean, as De W. and Meyer, 'the preaching which Jesus Christ hath accomplished by me' (ch. xv. 18), - nor again as Chrys., δ αὐτὸς ἐκἡρυξεν,- but the preaching of Christ, i. e. making known of Christ, as the verb is used 1 Cor.

i. 23; xv. 12 al. fr. So Calv., and most Commentators. κατὰ ἀποκ.] This second κατά is best taken, not as coordinate to the former one, and following στηρίξαι, nor as belonging to δυναμένε, which would be an unusual limitation of the divine Power,—but as subordinate to κή-ρυγμα,—the preaching of Jesus Christ according to, &c. The omission of πό before κατὰ ἀποκ. is no objection to this.

μυστ.] The mystery (see ch. xi. 25, note) of the gospel is often said to have been thus hidden from eternity in the counsels of God—see Eph. iii. 9; Col. i. 26; 2 Tim. i. 9; Tit. i. 2; 1 Pet. i. 20; Rev. xiii. 8. 26.] See ch. i. 2. The prophetic

writings were the storehouse out of which the preachers of the gospel took their demonstrations that Jesus was the Christ: see Acts xviii. 28;—more especially, it is true, to the Jews, who however are here included among πάντα πά ἔψη.

κατ' ἐπιταγ.] may refer either to the prophetic writings being drawn up by the command of God, - or to the manifestation of the mystery by the preachers of the gospel thus taking place. The latter seems best to suit the sense. alwrou refers back to xp. alwelois. The first els indicates the aim-in order to their becoming obedient to the faith :- the second, the local extent of the manifestation. 'Ino. xp. must by the requirements of the construction be applied to μόνω σοφ. θεώ, and not (as Aug.) to δόξα, from which it is separated by the relative φ. The quantity of intervening matter, especially the datives μόνφ σοφ. θεφ, prevent it from being re-

ΠΡΟΣ ΡΩΜΑΙΟΥΣ.

FLN abcdefg

27. $\theta \epsilon \omega$ bef $\sigma \circ \phi \omega$ D. $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau$. bef $\iota \eta \sigma$. B. om \mathcal{E} B. aft $\alpha \iota \omega \nu \alpha s$ add $\tau \omega \nu$ a (here, but not xiv. 23) DN vulg Syr copt ath Damasc Ruf. om $\alpha \mu \eta \nu$ 49, 63 am.

Subscription: rec πρ. ρ. εγραφη απο κορινθου δια φοιβης της διακονου της εν κεγχρεαις εκκλησίαςς, with rel copt Ec(but a k pref ή; a b d e f k m n om της εν κεγχρ εκκλ.; m om πρ. ρω): του αγ. κ. πανεψημου απ. π., επισ. πρ. ρε γραφη απο κορινθου δια φοιβης της διακονου L: om F c g l 17: εγραφη απο κορινθου ο: εγρ. δια φοιβης απο κορ. l: txt AB¹CDGN (B² D-corr syrr copt goth add εγραφη απο κορινθου: G adds εγελεθη).

ferred (as Ec., Theophyl.) to $\sigma\tau\eta\rho i\xi a\iota$. It must then be rendered to the only wise God through Jesus Christ, i. e. Him who is revealed to us by Christ as such. On the construction of $\tilde{\phi}$ see above.

It cannot without great harshness be referred to *Christ*, seeing that the words $\mu \delta \nu \varphi \sigma \phi$, $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ resume the chief subject of the sentence, and to them the relative must apply.

ΠΡΟΣ ΚΟΡΙΝΘΙΟΥΣ Α.

ch. x. 32. xi. 16, 22. xv. 9, 2 Cor. i. 1. Gal. i. 13. 1 Thess. ii. 14. 2 Thess. i. 4. 1 Tim. iii. 5, 15. Nch. xiii. 1. d Rom. xv. 16 ref.

Title. Steph η προς τους κορινθίους επιστολή πρωτή: elz παυλού του αποστολού η προς κορινθίους επ. πρ., with rel: πρ. κορ. αρχεταί \tilde{a} F but G om \tilde{a} : του αγίου και πανευφημου αποστολου παυλου επιστολη πρ. κορ. πρωτη L: προς κο. α' επ. h n: πρ. κο. επ. πρ. k: πρ. κορ. m: om D: txt A(appy: the title is nearly gone) BCN 1 o 17.

Chap. I. 1. om κλητος AD Cyr, (perhaps because it does not occur elsw in the openings of epp exc Rom i. 1: but it may have been insd from there, so I have left it doubtful): ins BCFLN rel vulg Syr Chr Cyr' Thdrt, expr Thlexpr Ce_{expr} Aug Ambrst Bede. ree $\iota\eta\sigma$. bef $\chi\rho$., with ALN rel vss Thdrt Thl Ce Aug: txt BDF am(with demid fuld tol) Chr Hil. - av corrd to iv N1. C is defective in this and follg ver. 2. rec τη ουση εν κορ. bef ηγιασμ. εν χ. ι., with AD2LN rel vss: txt BD1.3F.

CHAP. I. 1—3.] ADDRESS AND GREET-NG. 1.] It is doubtful whether κλητός is not spurious : see var. readd. The words δια θελ. θεοῦ point probably to the depreciation of Paul's apostolic anthority at Corinth. In Gal. i. 1 we have this much more strongly asserted. they have a reference to Paul himself also: "ratio auctoritatis ad ecclesias: humilis et prompti animi, penes ipsum Paulum." Bengel. Chrysostom, referring it to κλητός, says, ἐπειδή αὐτῷ ἔδοξεν, ἐκλήθημεν, οὐκ ἐπειδή ἄξιοι ἦσμεν. can hardly be assumed to be identical with the ruler of the synagogue in Acts xviii. 17: see note there. He must have been some Christian well known to the church at Corinth. Thus Paul associates with himself Silvanus and Timothcus in the Epistles to the Thessalonians; and Timotheus in 2 Cor. Chrysostom attributes it to modesty : μετριάζει, συντάττων έαυτῷ τον ἐλάττονα πολλώ. Some have supposed Sosthenes to be the writer of the Epistle, see Rom. xvi. 22. Possibly he

may have been one των Χλόης (ver. 11) by whom the intelligence had been received, and the Apostle may have associated him with himself as approving the appeal to apostolic authority. Perhaps some slight may have been put upon him by the parties at Corinth, and for that reason Paul puts him forward. δ άδελφός, as 2 Cor. i. 1, of Timothy, the brother,—one of οἱ ἀδελφοί.
 2. The remarks of Calvin on τη έκκλ. τ. θεού, κ.τ.λ. are admirable: " Mirum forsan videri queat, cur eam hominum multitudinem vocet Ecclesiam Dei, in qua tot morbi invaluerant, ut Satan illic potius regnum occuparet quam Deus. Certum est autem, eum noluisse blandiri Corinthiis: loquitur enim ex Dei Spiritu, qui adulari non solet. Atqui inter tot inquinamenta qualis amplius eminet Ecclesiæ facies? Respondeo, ... utcunque multa vitia obrepsissent, et variæ corruptelæ tam doctrinæ quam morum, extitisse tamen adhuc quædam veræ Ecclesiæ signa. Locus diligenter observandus, ne requiramus in hoc mundo Ecclesiam omni ruga et macula cae Acts ix. 13 Κορίνθω, ακλητοῖς αγίοις, σὸν πᾶσιν τοῖς απικαλουμές ΑΒCD ΓΕΙΝαδ βαθείς και τοῦς αναικαλουμές ΕΓΙΝαδ βαθείς και τοῦς κυρίου ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦ χοιστοῦ ἐν παντὶ αθείς βρθιὶ, ὶ, ι με Ακτείι. 21 τόπω αναικού με και με ημών. $\frac{3}{4}$ χάρις ὑμῖν και ἱςἰρήνη πο 17 και κυρίου Ἰησοῦ χοιστοῦ. $\frac{3}{4}$ και κυρίου Ἰησοῦ χοιστοῦ. $\frac{3}{4}$ και κυρίου Ἰησοῦ χοιστοῦ. $\frac{3}{4}$ και κυρίου ἀναικού χοιστοῦ. $\frac{3}{4}$ Εὐχαριστῶ τῷ $\frac{3}{4}$ και κυρίου πάντοτε περὶ ὑμῶν ἱεπὶ τῆ και κοι, ὶ, τ

(ref.). 1 = Phil. i. 3 al.

om 1st $\eta\mu\omega\nu$ A 77. 109 fuld Orig Tert Ambrst Pelag. om $\chi\rho\iota\sigma\tau\sigma\nu$ A. om $\tau\epsilon$ (A¹?)BD¹FN¹ 17: ins CD²LN³ rel.

rentem: aut protinus abdicemus hoc titulo quemvis cœtum in quo non omnia votis nostris respondeant. Est enim hæc periculosa tentatio, nullam Ecclesiam putare ubi non apparent perfecta puritas. Nam quicunque hac occupatus fuerit, necesse tandem erit, ut discessione ab omnibus aliis facta, solus sibi sanctus videatur in mundo, aut peculiarem sectam cum paucis hypo-critis instituat. Quid ergo causæ habuit Paulus, cur Ecclesiam Corinthi agnosceret? nempe quia Evangelii doctrinam, Baptismum, Cœnam Domini, quibus symbolis censeri debet Ecclesia, apud eos cernebat." On τοῦ θεοῦ, Chrys. remarks, οὐ τοῦδε καὶ τοῦδε, ἀλλὰ τοῦ θεοῦ, - and similarly Theophyl., taking the expression as addressed to the Corinthians to remind them of their position as a congregation belonging to God, and not to any head of a party. Perhaps this is too refined, the words \$\delta\$ έκκλ. τ. θεοῦ being so usual with St. Paul,—see reff. The harshness of the position of ήγιασμένοις έν χρ. Ίησ. is in favour of its being the original one :hallowed (i. e. dedicated) to God in (iu union with and by means of) Jesus Christ.

τῆ ούση - 'which exists,' 'is found, at Corinth.' So ἐν 'Αντιοχ. κατὰ τὴν οὖσαν ἐκκλησίαν, Αcts xiii. 1. κλη-

τοις άγίοις] See Rom. i. 7, note. σὺν πᾶσιν κ.τ.λ.] These words do not belong to the designations just preceding, = 'as are all,' &c., but form part of the address of the Epistle, so that these πάντες of ἐπικαλ. are partakers with the Corinthians in it. They form a weighty and precious addition, - made here doubtless to shew the Corinthians, that membership of God's Holy Catholic Church consisted not in being planted, or presided over by Paul, Apollos, or Cephas (or their successors), but in calling on the name of our Lord Jesus Christ. The Church of England has adopted from this verse her solemn explanation of the term, in the 'prayer for all sorts and conditions of men?" "More especially, we pray for the good estate of the Catholic Church: that it may be so guided and governed by thy good Spirit, that all who profess and call themselves

Christians may be led into the way of truth, and hold the faith in unity of spirit, in the bond of peace, and in righteousness έπικαλ. not 'calling themselves by ' (though in sense equivalent to this, for they who call upon Christ, call themselves by His Name): the phrase έπικαλεῖσθαι τὸ ὅνομα τοῦ κυρίου was one adopted from the LXX, as in reff.; the adjunct ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦ χρ, defines that Lord (the min). Lord (Jehovah) on whom the Christians called, to be Jesus Christ, - and is a direct testimony to the divine worship of Jesus Christ, as universal in the church. The ονομα επικληθέν εφ' ύμας (James ii. 7) is not to the point, the construction being έν παντί τόπ. αὐτ. [τε] κ. different. ήμ. In every place, whether theirs (in their country, wherever that may be) or ours. This connexion is far better than to join αὐτ. [τε] κ. ἡμ. with κυρίφ, thereby making the first ήμῶν superfluous.

αὐτῶν refers to the πἀντες οἱ ἐπικαλ., ἡμῶν το Paul, and Sosthenes, and those whom he is addressing. Eichhorn fancied τόπος to mean 'a place of assembly:' Hug, 'a party' or 'division:' Beza, al., would limit the persons spoken of to Achaia: others, to Corinth and Ephesus:—but the simple meaning and universal reference are far more agreeable to the spirit of the passage. I may as well once for all premise, that many of the German expositors have been constantly misled in their interpretations by what I believe to be a mistaken view of ver. 12, and the supposed Corinthian parties. See note there.

3.] See introductory note to the Epistle to the Romans. Olsh. remarks, that εἰρήνη has peculiar weight here on account of the dissensions in the Corinthian Church.

4-9.] THANKSGIVING, AND EXPRESSION OF HOPE, ON ACCOUNT OF THE SPIRITUAL STATE OF THE CORINTHIAN CHURCH. There was much in the Corinthian believers for which to be thankful, and on account of which to hope. These things he puts in the foreground, not only to encourage them, but (as Olsh.) to appeal to their better selves, and to bring out the following contrast

* έβεβαιώθη έν ύμιν, 7 ωςτε ύμας μη 'ύστερεισθαι έν μ.ή. 2. Εμ. 1.1.9. μηδενὶ ' χαρίσματι, ΄ ἀπεκδεχομένους την ΄ ἀποκάλυψιν ο τοῦ κυρίου ήμων Ίησοῦ χριστοῦ΄ ⁸ος καὶ ⁸βεβαιώσει ο τοῦ κυρίου ήμων Ἰησοῦ χριστοῦ΄ ⁸ος καὶ ⁸βεβαιώσει ο τοῦ κυρίου ήμων Ἰησοῦ χριστοῦ΄ ⁸ος καὶ ⁸βεβαιώσει ο τοῦς κυρίους τοῦς καὶ ⁸βεβαιώσει ος τοῦς κυρίους τοῦς καὶ ⁸βεβαιώσει ος τοῦς κυρίους τοῦς καὶ ⁸βεβαιώσει ος τοῦς καὶ ⁸βεβαιώσει ος τοῦς καὶς ⁸βεβαιώσει ος τοῦς τοῦς καὶς ⁸βεβαιώσει ος τοῦς καὶς ⁸βεβαιώσ του κυριού ημου Τησού χριστου έν τη * ήμερα του κυρίου $_{0}^{\text{len. Av. 20}}$ ήμεν Ίησου χριστου. $_{0}^{\text{len. Av. 20}}$ πιστὸς ὁ θεὸς $_{0}^{\text{len. Av. 20}}$ οῦ ἐκλή· $_{0}^{\text{len. Av. 20}}$ $_{0}^{\text{len. Av. 20}}$ $_{0}^{\text{len. Av. 20}}$

4. om μου BN1: ins ACDFLN-corr1 rel.

om του θεου A1 39. 87 Cyr2.

5. εν (1st) is written twice but corrd by X1.

for χριστου, θεου F n 46-7. 72. 109-20 lectt 8. 12 arm.

8. the ver is written twice by N1: corrd by N-corr1. for εως, αχρι DF. for ημέρα (in diem fri), παρουσια DF Ambrst Cassiod; die adventus vulg Pelag Bede. om χριστου B.

more plainly. 4. τ. θεῷ μου] so in πάντοτε] expanded in Phil. i. 4 into πάντοτε έν πάση δεήσει μου. The $\dot{\eta}$ χάρις $\dot{\eta}$ δοθεῖσα = τὰ χαρίσματα τὰ δοθέντα (see below on ver. 7)
— a metonymy which has passed so completely into our common parlance, as to be almost lost sight of as such. 'Grace' is properly in God: the gifts of grace in us, given by that grace. [iv] not, as Chrys., Theophyl., Œcum., for διά, but as usually in this connexion, in Christ,-i. e. to you as members of Christ. So also below. έν παντί] general: particularized by έν παντί λόγω κ. πάση γνώσει, in all doctrine and all knowledge. λόγος (obj.), the truth preached; yvwors (subj.), the truth apprehended. They were rich in the preaching of the word, had among them able preachers, and rich in the apprehension of the word, were themselves intelligent hearers. See 2 Cor. viii. 7, where to these are added $\pi i \sigma \tau i s$, $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$, and $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta$.

6. τὸ μαρτ. τ. χριστοῦ] the witness concerning Christ delivered by me.

καθώς, as indeed, 'siquidem.' έβεβ., was confirmed, -took deep root, among you; i.e. 'as was to have been expected, from the impression made among you by my preaching of Christ.' This confirmation was internal, by faith and permanence in the truth, not external, by miracles.

7. So that ye are behind (others) in no gift of grace; -not, lack no gift of grace, which would be genitive. χάρισμα here has its widest sense, of that which is the effect of χάρις, -not meaning 'spiritual gifts' in the narrower sense, as in ch. xii. 4.

This is plain from the whole strain of the passage, which dwells not on outward gifts, but on the inward graces of the Christian άπεκδεχ.] which is the greatest proof of maturity and richness of the spiritual life; implying the coexistence and co-operation of faith, whereby they believed the promise of Christ, - hope, whereby they looked on to its fulfilment, —and love, whereby that anticipation was lit up with earnest desire;—compare πασιν τοις ήγαπηκόσιν την έπιφάνειαν αὐτοῦ, 2 Tim. iv. 8. ἀπεκδ. κ.τ.λ. is taken by Chrys.,—who understands χαρίσματα of miraculous powers,-as implying that besides them they needed patience to wait till the coming of Christ; and by Calv., -"ideo addit expectantes revelationem, quo significat, non talem se affluentiam illis affingere in qua nihil desideretur; sed tantum quæ sufficiet usquedum ad perfectionem perventum fuerit." But I much prefer taking ἀπεκδεχομένους as parallel with and giving the result of μη ὑστ. κ.τ.λ.

8. os viz. θεόs, ver. 4, not 'Iησοῦς χριστόs, in which case we should have έν τῆ ἡμέρα αὐτοῦ. The καί besides shews this. ἔως τέλ. ἀνεγκ.] i. e. εἰς τὸ εἶναι ὑμᾶς ἀνεγκ.; — so ἀπεκατεστάθη ὑγιής, Matt. xii. 13. Το the end, see reff.—i. e. to the συντέλεια τ. αίωνος,not merely 'to the end of your lives.

9.] See ref. 1 Thess.; also Phil. i. 6. The κοιν. τοῦ νί. αὐτ., as Meyer well remarks, is the δόξα τῶν τέκνων τοῦ θεοῦ, Rom. viii. 21: for they will be συγκληρονόμοι τοῦ χριστοῦ, and συνδοξασθέντες with Him,see Rom. viii. 17, 23; 2 Thess. ii. 14. The $^{\rm c\,2\,Cor.\,vi.\,14}$ θητε είς $^{\rm c}$ κοινωνίαν τοῦ υἰοῦ αὐτοῦ Ἰησοῦ χοιστοῦ τοῦ $^{\rm ABCD}_{
m FLN\,a\,b}$ reff. d Rom. xii, 1 κυρίου ήμων.

e constr., Matt. xiv. 36. 10 de Παρακαλώ δε ύμας, άδελφοί, δια τοῦ συσματος πο 17 πάντες καὶ μὴ ἢ ἐν ὑμῖν εσχίσματα, ἦτε δὲ \ κατηρτισμένοι ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ \ νοῖ καὶ ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ \ γνώμρ. 11 έδηλώθη γάρ μοι περί ύμων, άδελφοί μου, ύπο των 18, 31, 20 (Marki, 21) Χλόης, ὅτι το ξριδες ἐν ὑμιν είσιν. 12 ο λέγω δε τοῦτο, οιίγτ. (μ), 13 ο καστρο ὑμικον λέγκι (Εχώ) μένι των β. Παίλου ένα 22 ότι εκαστος ύμων λέγει Έγω μέν είμι ^ρ Παύλου, έγω δέ - Luke vi.

9. om & C1. υφ ου DIF.

ιησ. χρ. hef του κυρ. ημ. DF.—χρ. bef ιησ. D.—om του F(not G).

11. for µov, µot B¹(Rl Verc): om C¹(appy) D-lat Ambrst.

mention of κοινωνία may perhaps have been intended to prepare the way, as was before done in ver. 2, for the reproof which is Chrys. remarks respecting vv. 1-9, σὸ δὲ σκόπει πῶς αὐτοῦς τῷ ὀνόματι ἀεὶ τοῦ χριστοῦ προςηλοῖ. καὶ ἀνθρώπου μεν οὐδενός, οὕτε ἀποστόλου οὕτε διδασκάλου, συνεχως δε αὐτοῦ τοῦ ποθουμένου μέμνηται, καθάπερ ἀπό μέθης τινός τους καρηβαρούντας ἀπενεγκείν παρασκευάζων. οὐδαμοῦ γὰρ ἐν ἐτέρα ἐπιστολῆ οὕτω συνεχῶς κεῖται τὸ ὄνομα τοῦ χριστοῦ ἐνταῦθα μέντοι εν όλίγοις στίχοις πολλάκις, καί διά τούτου σχεδόν το παν ύφαίνει προσίμιον.

Hom. ii. p. 10. 10—IV. 21. REPROOF OF THE PARTY-DIVISIONS AMONG THEM: BY OCCASION OF WHICH, THE APOSTLE EXPLAINS AND DEFENDS HIS OWN METHOD OF PREACH-ING ONLY CHRIST TO THEM. δέ introduces the contrast to the thankful assurance just expressed. διὰ τ. ὀν., as διὰ τῶν οἰκτιρμῶν τοῦ θεοῦ, Rom. xii. 1: "as the bond of union, and as the most holy name by which they could be adjured." Υνα (reff.) not only introduces the result of the fulfilment of the exhortation, but includes its import. λέγητε—contrast to λέγει έγω μέν . . . έγω $δ \dot{\epsilon} ... \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} δ \dot{\epsilon} ... \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} δ \dot{\epsilon}$ of ver. 12,—but further implying the having the same sentiments on the subjects which divided them: see Phil. ii. 2. ἢτε δέ] δέ here implies but rather, as in Thuc. ii. 98, ἀπεγίγνετο μέν αὐτῷ οὐδέν τοῦ στρατοῦ, . . . προς-εγίγνετο δέ. Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 171, gives many other examples. καταρτίζω is the exact word for the healing or repairing of the breaches made by the σχίσματα,—perfectly united. So Herod. v. 28, ή Μίλητος ἐπὶ δύο γενεὰς ἀνδρών νοσήσασα ές τὰ μάλιστα στάσει, μέχρι οῦ μιν Πάριοι κατήρτισαν. (reff.), disposition, -γνώμη (do.), opinion.

11. We cannot fill up των Χλόης, not knowing whether they were sons, or servants, or other members of her family. Nor can we say whether Chloc was (Theophyl., al.) an inhabitant of Corinth, or some Christian woman (Estius) known to the Corinthians elsewhere, or (Michaelis, Meyer) an Ephesian, having friends who 12.] λέγω δὲ had been in Corinth. τοῦτο ὅτι,-not, 'I say this because,'but (see reff.) I mean this, that

έκαστ. ύμ. λέγ.] The meaning is clear, but the form of expression not strictly accurate, the έκαστος being a different person in each case. Accurately expressed it would run thus, ὅτι πάντες τοιοῦτό τι λέγετε, ἐγώ εἰμι Π., ἐγὼ ᾿Απολ., ἐγὼ Κηφ., ἐγὼ χριστοῦ,—οr as De W., ὅτι πάντες λ., ὁ μέν, ἐγώ εἰμι ὁ δέ, ἐγὼ κ.τ.λ.— Respecting the matter of fact to which the verse alludes, I have given references in the Prolegg. § ii. 10, to the principal theories of the German critics, and will only here restate the conclusions which I have there (ib. parr. 5-9) endeavoured to substantiate: (1) that these designations are not used as pointing to actual parties formed and subsisting among them, but (2) as representing the SPIRIT WITH WHICH THEY CONTENDED against one another, being the sayings of individuals, and not of parties (εκαστος ύμων λέγει): q. d. 'You are all in the habit of alleging against one another, some your special attachment to Paul, some to Apollos, some to Cephas, others to no mere human teacher, but barely to Christ, to the exclusion of us his Apostles.' (3) That these sayings, while they are not to

΄ Απολλώ, έγὼ δὲ Κηφᾶ, έγὼ δὲ χοιστοῦ. 13 4 μεμέρισται 4 6 ΜαΙΙ. xii. δ χριστός; μὴ Παῦλος ἐσταυρώθη ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν, $\hat{\eta}$ 7 εἰς τὸ 6 και st. st. c.l.) skings. red.) skings. red.) skings.

13. for υπερ, περι BD¹: txt ACD³FLℵ rel.

be made the basis of any hypothesis respecting definite parties at Corinth, do nevertheless hint at matters of fact, and are not merely 'exempli gratia:' and (4) that this view of the verse, which was taken by Chrys., Theodoret, Theophylact, Calv., is borne out, and indeed necessitated, by ch. έγω . . . Παύλου] iv. 6 (see there). This profession, of being guided especially by the words and acts of Paul, would probably belong to those who were the first fruits of, or directly converted under, his ministry. Such persons would contend for his apostolic authority, and maintain doctrinally his teaching, so far being right; but, as usual with partisans, would magnify into importance practices and sayings of his which were in themselves indifferent, and forget that theirs was a service of perfect freedom under one Master, even Christ. With these he does not deal doctrinally in the Epistle, as there was no need for it: but involves them in the same censure as the rest, and shews them in ch. ii., iii., iv. that he had no such purpose of gaining personal honour among them, but only of building them up in Christ. ἐγω ᾿Απολλώ] Apollos (Acts xviii. 24 ff.) had come to Corinth after the departure of Paul, and being cloquent, might attract some, to whom the bodily presence of Paul seemed weak and his speech contemptible. It would certainly appear that some occasion had been taken by this difference, to set too high a value on external and rhetorical form of putting forth the gospel of Christ. This the Apostle seems to be blaming (in part) in the conclusion of this, and the next chapter. And from ch. xvi. 12, it would seem likely that Apollos himself had been aware of the abuse of his manner of teaching which had taken place, and was unwilling, by repeating his visit just then, to sanction or increase it. έγὼ Κηφᾶ All we can say in possible explanation of this, is, that as Peter was the Apostle of the circumcision,-as we know from Gal. ii. 11 ff. that his course of action on one occasion was reprehended by Paul, and as that course of action no doubt had influence and found followers, it is very conceivable that some of those who in Corinth lightly esteemed Paul, might take advantage of this honoured name, and cite against the Christian liberty taught by their own spiritual founder, the stricter practice of Peter. If

so, these persons would be mainly found among the Jewish converts or Judaizers; and the matters treated in ch. vii .- xi. 1, may have been subjects of doubt mainly with these persons. έγω δέ χριστοῦ A rendering has been proposed (Estius, al.) which need only be mentioned to be rejected: viz. that Paul having mentioned the three parties, then breaks off, and adds, of his own, έγω δε (Παῦλος), χριστοῦ (εἰμι). Beza represents this as Chrysostom's view, but it is not: οὐ τοῦτο ἐνεκάλει, ὅτι τὸν χριστὸν αὐτοῖς ἐπεφήμιζον, άλλ' δτι μή πάντες μόνον. οίμαι δὲ αὐτὸν καὶ οἴκοθεν αὐτὸ προςτεθεικέναι βουλόμενον βαρύτερον τὸ ἔγκλημα ποιῆσαι, καὶ δεῖξαι ούτω και τον χριστον είς μέρος δοθέντα έν, εί και μη ούτως εποίουν τούτο εκείνοι:meaning by οἴκυθεν, not, as his own sentiment, but of his own invention, to shew them the inconsistency of their conduct. The words seem to apply to those who make a merit of not being attached to any human teacher,—who therefore slighted the apostleship of Paul. To them frequent allusion seems to be made in this and in the second Epistle, and more especially in 2 Cor. x. 7—11. For a more detailed discussion of the whole subject, see Prolegg. as above, and Dr. Davidson's Introd. to the N. T. ii. 222 ff. 13.] Some (Lachmann has so printed it) take μεμέρισται δ χρ. as an assertion, - Christ has been divided (by you),'-or, as Chrys. mentions, διενείματο πρός ἀνθρώπους κ. ἐμερίσατο τὴν ἐκκλησίαν. But it is far better to take it, as commonly, interrogatively: Is Christ (the Person of Christ, as the centre and bond of Christian unity —not, the gospel of Christ (Grot., al.),— nor the Church of Christ (Estius, Olsh.): nor the power of Christ (Theodoret), i. e. his right over all) divided (not in the primary sense [Meyer, ed. 1], against Himself, as Mark iii. 21, 25, where we have έφ' έαυτήν, but 'into various parts,' one under one leader, another under another,--which in fact would amount, after all, to a division against himself)? The question applies to all addressed, not to the $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ $\dot{\chi}\rho\iota\sigma\tau o\hat{v}$ only, as Meyer, ed. 1. In that case μεμέρισται δ χρ. would mean 'Has Christ become the property of one part only?' as indeed Dr. Burton renders Meyer urges against the interrogative rendering, that the questions begin

14. om τω θεω BN1 672. add µov A d g 17 vulg-sixt(with demid fuld harl2) Syr syr-w-ob copt arm Thdrt, Orig-int Pelag Sedul Bede. πρισκον Ν1.

15. rec (for εβαπτισθητε) εβαπτισα, with C³DFL rel fri Syr goth Thdrt Tert: txt ABC¹N a m 17 vulg syr-marg coptt arm Chr Damasc Ambr-mss Pelag Primas Bede. 16. for 1st εβαπτισα, βεβαπτικα D1F. ins τo bef $\lambda o \iota \pi o \nu$ F.

fuld. 17. for απεστειλεν, απεστα[...] Α: απεσταλκε e. Thdrt: om ACDLN rel Chr Thl Œe. [αλλα, so Α(ε ins o bef χριστος BF [αλλα, so A(appy) BDN.] σασθαι B: txt ADFLN rel. (C uncert.)

immediately after, with μή. But we may fairly set against this argument, that the μή introduces a new form of interrogation respecting a new individual, viz. Paul: and that it was natural, for solemnity's sake, to express the other question differently. In μεμέρισται ὁ χριστός, the Majesty of Christ's Person is set against the unworthy insinuation conveyed by μεμέρισται,—in μη Παῦλος ἐσταυρώθη ὑπὲρ ὑμ.,—the meanness of the individual, Paul, is set against the triumph of divine Love implied in έστ. ὑπ. ὑμῶν. Two such contrasts could hardly but be differently expressed.

μη Π. ἐστ. κ.τ.λ.] Surely Paul was not crucified for you? By repudiating all possibility of himself being the Head and ἐπώνυμος of their church, he does so à fortiori for Cephas and Apollos: for he founded the Church at Corinth. On els τὸ ὄν. ἐβαπτ. see Matt. xxviii. 19.

14. Olsh. characterizes it as surprising that Paul should not have referred to the import of baptism itself as a reason to substantiate his argument. He does not this, but tacitly assumes, between ver. 13 and 14, the probability that his having baptized any considerable number among the Corinthians would naturally have led to the abuse against which he is arguing.

εὐχ. τ. θ.] 'I am (now) thankful to God, who so ordered it that I did not,' &c. Crispus, the former ruler of the synagogue, Aets xviii. 8. Gaius, afterwards the host of the Apostle, and of the church, Rom. xvi. 15.] iva represents the purpose, not of the Apostle's conduct at the time, but of the divine ordering of things: 'God so arranged it, that none might say,' &c.

16. He subsequently recollects having baptized Stephanas and his family (see ch. xvi. 15, 17), -perhaps from information derived from Stephanas himself, who was with him:—and he leaves an opening for any others whom he may possibly have baptized and have forgotten it. The last clause is important as against those who maintain the absolute omniscience of the inspired writers on every topic which they handle. 17.] This verse forms the transition to the description of his preaching among them. His mission was not to baptize :-- a trace already, of the separation of the offices of baptizing and preaching. ἄνθρωπον μέν γὰρ κατηχούμενον λαβόντα καὶ πεπεισμένον βαπτίσαι, παντός ούτινοςοῦν ἐστιν ή γάρ προαίρεσις τοῦ προςιόντος λοιπὸν έργάζεται τὸ πῶν, καὶ ἡ τοῦ θεοῦ χάρις. όταν δὲ ἀπίστους δεῖ κατηχῆσαι, πολλοῦ δεί πόνου, πολλής τής σοφίας τότε δέ και το κινδυνεύειν προςήν. Chrys. Hom. iii. p. 18. It is evident that this is said in no derogation of Baptism, for he did on oceasion baptize,-and it would be impossible that he should speak lightly of the ordinance to which he appeals (Rom. vi. 3) as the seal of our union with Christ.

ούκ έν σοφία λόγου It seems evident from this apology, and other hints in the two Epistles, e.g. 2 Cor. x. 10, that the plainness and simplicity of Paul's speech had been one cause among the Corinthians of alienation from him. Perhaps, as hinted above, the eloquence of Apollos was extolled to Paul's disadvantage. σοφ.] in (as the element in which: better than 'with') wisdom of speech (i.e. the speculations of philosophy: that these are meant, and not mere eloquence or rhetorical form, appears by what follows, which treats of the subject, and not merely of the manner of the preaching) in order that the Cross of Christ (the great central point of his

ἴνα μὴ χενωθῆ ὁ γσταυρὸς τοῦ χριστοῦ. 18 ὁ ζλόγος χ $^{-80m.iv.}$ γὰρ ὁ τοῦ γσταυροῦ τοῖς μὲν 8b ἀπολλυμένοις $^{\circ}$ μωρία 7 μωρία 7 μερία 19 γέγραπται γὰρ 7 Απολῶ τὴν σοφίαν τῶν σοφῶν, και 19 γέγραπται γὰρ 7 Απολῶ τὴν σοφίαν τῶν σοφῶν, και 8 8 ποῦ 1 γραμματεύς; k ποῦ m συνετῶν 1 ἀθετήσω. 20 k ποῦ 1 γραμματεύς; k ποῦ m συντητητὴς τοῦ n αἰωνος m τοῦ, εχίιι so. του; οὐχὶ 9 εμώρανεν ὁ θεὸς τὴν σοφίαν τοῦ κόσμον; τοῦ 13 εξινώς τὸς 21 9 έπειδὴ γὰρ ἐν τῷ 9 σορία τοῦ 9 θεοῦ οὐκ 7 έγνω οἱ ii. 10 anyt.

Sir. xx. 31, xli, 15 only. (-pós, ver. 25.)

16. ver. 24. f 18.a. xxii; 14. g Mark xil, 23. Lake ii, 47 E Eph, iii, 1. Co.; i. xxi. 27 Iiii, ii. 70 J. Freet Mark vii, 9, Luke xil, 24. Lake ii. 47 E Eph, iii, 1. Co.; i. h Xx. 16. John xii, 48. Gal, iii. 18. a. xxii, 18. k = Rom, iii. 27. 18.a. xxxiii, 18. l — Matk xii, 9, Luke x, 16. John xii, 48. Gal, ii. 21 kl, 18.a. xivii, 8. k = Rom, iii. 27. 18.a. xxxiii, 18. l — Matk xiii, 62. Epp, here only. Ecra vii, 6. o — Room, only. 4. (-rei, Acts vi.) p. ii. x2. - rero, cats xx. 7.) n Room, xii, 2 vefi only. 1. Co. xxii, 20. rero, cats xx. 7.) n Room, xii, 2 vefi only. 1. Co. xxii, 20. rero, cats xx. 7.0 n Room, xii, 2 vefi only. 1. Co. xxii, 20. rero, cats xx. 20 reft. n q ver. 24. Room, xi, 33. Eph, iii, 10. Room, xii, 20. cats xxii, 24. cats x

18. om $\gamma a \rho$ D¹ b¹. om 2nd δ B a¹ Cyr-jer. $\sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu ous (sic)$ %. om $\gamma \mu u \nu$ F am² fuld¹ fri D-lat G-lat Thdrt₁ Iren-int Tert Cypr Hil Ambrst Cassiod : id est nobis vulg Pelag Sedul Bede.

om γαρ D¹ k̄.
 nee aft τ, κοσμ. ins τουτου (to correspond with του αι. τουτου above), with C¹D³FLN³ rel vss Clem₁ Orig Chr Thdrt Œc Tert₁: txt ABC¹D¹N¹ a 17 Clem₁ Cyr Did Damase Thl Orig-int Tert₁.

preaching; exhibiting man's guilt and God's love in their highest degrees and closest connexion) might not be deprived of its effect. This would come to pass rather by philosophical speculations than by eloquence. 18.] For (explanation of the foregoing clause, - and that, assuming the mutual exclusiveness of the preaching of the Cross and wisdom of speech, and the identity of οί ἀπολλύμενοι with the lovers of σοφία λόγου: q. d. 'wisdom of speech would nullify the Cross of Christ : for the doctrine of the Cross is to the lovers of that wisdom, folly.' The reasoning is elliptical and involved, and is further complicated by the emphatic position of $\tau o i s \ d \pi o \lambda \lambda$. and τοιs σω(.) the doctrine (preaching: "there is a word, an eloquence, which is most powerful, the eloquence of the Cross: referring to σοφία λόγου." Stanley) of the Cross is to the perishing (those who are through unbelief on the way to everlasting perdition) folly: but to us who are being saved (Billroth [in Olsh.] remarks that \u03c4. σωζ. ήμ. is a gentler expression than ήμιν τ . $\sigma\omega\zeta$. would be: the latter would put the $\dot{\eta}\mu$. into strong emphasis, and exclude the opponents in a more marked manner.

ol σωζόμενοι are those in the way of salvation:—who by faith have laid hold on Christ and are by Him being saved, see reft.) it is the power (see ref. Rom. and note. Hardly, as Meyer,—a medium of divine Power,—etwaß, woburch Gott Fråftigwirft: rather, the perfection of God's Power—

the Power itself, in its noblest manifestation) of God. 19. For (continuation of reason for οὐκ ἐν σοφία λόγου: because it was prophesied that such wisdom should be brought to nought by God) it is written, &c. The citation is after the LXX, with the exception of αθετήσω for κρύψω. The Heb. is 'the wisdom of the wise shall perish, and the prudence of the prudent shall disappear.' (Lowth.) But Calv. says most truly, 'Perit sapientia, sed Domino destruente: sapientia evanescit, sed inducta a Domino et deleta.' 20. See ref. The question implies disappearance and exclusion. σοφός, the wise, generally: γραμμ., the Jewish scribe,—συν-ζητ., the Greek disputer (reff.). τοῦ alων. τ. is best taken with the whole three, - of this present (ungodly) world.

ἐμώρανεν] μωρὰν ἐδείξεν ὁδσαν πρὸς τὴν τῆς πίστεως κατάληψιν, Chrys. 21.] For (explanation of ἐμώρανεν) when (not temporal, but illative = 'since,' 'seeing that,'—so Plat. Gorg, p. 451, ἐπειδὴ τοἶννι οὐ μόνη ἀπεργάζεται τοῦτο τὸ ἔργος, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἄλλαι . . .; see Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 259) in the wisdom of God (as part of the wise arrangement of God. De W., Meyer, al., render it, 'by the revelation of the visidom of God,' which was made to the Gentiles, as Rom. i., by creation, and to the Jews by the law,—thus connecting ἐν with ἔγνω, and making τῆ σοφ. τ. θ. the medium of knowledge:—Chrys. takes it for the wisdom manifest in

 8 Rum, xv. 26 κόσμος διὰ τῆς σοφίας τὸν r θεόν, 8 εὐδόκησεν ὁ θεὸς διὰ ABCD FLN ab tvet. 18. με τους της t μωρίας τοῦ u κηρύγματος σῶσαι τοὺς πιστεύοντας cdets h kim t τοις t μωρίας τοῦ u κηρύγματος σῶσαι τοὺς πιστεύοντας h kim t τοις t μες t τοις t επειδὴ καὶ Ίουδαΐοι v σημεία w αἰτοῦσιν καὶ Έλληνες t 1. 18α. τἰι t 1. 18α. τἰι t

ι Ιεα. τίλι $\frac{1}{4}$ Ιαλ. τίλι $\frac{1}{4}$ Εαλ. τίλι $\frac{1}{4}$ Ασιντί στον $\frac{1}{4}$ Ασιντί τόν $\frac{1}{4}$ Ασιντί τον $\frac{1}{4}$ Ασιντί

2 = 10m. M.v. a see ch. v. 13. b ver. 1 al. c ver. 18. d ver. 21. e neut. Rom. viii. 3. 2 Cor. iv. 17. viii. 8. f Matt. vii. 26. ch. iii. 18. iv. 10 al. Deut. xxxii. 6. (ρία, ver. 18.)

21. om $\gamma a \rho$ F 3. 108-77 arm. $\eta \nu \delta o \kappa$. C m Chr₁ Damase. for o $\theta \epsilon o s$, $\tau \omega$ $\theta \epsilon \omega$ F. $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \sigma a \nu \tau a s$ L.

22. For epide kai, epel F: om kai fuld Syr wth. rec ormselov (Meyer and De W think ormselo a corrn, because only the sing could present any difficulty: but Tischelf refers to such passages as Matt xii. 39, xvi. 4 at as having suggested the sing, which considg the immense weight of MSS authority, seems, I own, more likely), with L rel Thl-txt Ce-txt: txt ABCDFN 17 latt syrr copt Clem₂ Marc-t Cypr. eptilon (Meyer and De V). eptilon (Meyer and De V)

23. rec (for εθνεσιν) ελλησιν (to suit precedy and folly), with C³D³ rel goth Clem₂

Orig-ms: txt ABC DiFLX m 17 latt syrr copt æth Orig Eus Ath Cypr.

24. om Tois F. om TE DF k.

His works only: $\tau i \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, $\in \nu \tau$. $\sigma \circ \phi$. τ . θ .; τῆ διὰ τῶν ἔργων φαινομένη, δι' ὧν ἡθέλησε γνωρισθηναι. But I very much doubt the legitimacy of this absolute objective use of σοφία, as = those things by which the σοφία is manifested. I cannot see with Olsh. why the interpretation given above is 'gang unpaulinifd;' it is merely an expansion of εμώρανεν,—and agrees much better with Paul's use of the words ή σοφία τ. θεοῦ in reff. and in ch. ii. 7) the world (Jew and Gentile, see next verse) by its wisdom (as a means of attaining knowthe wisdom [of God] which I have just mentioned:" so Stauley) knew not (could not find out) God, God saw fit by the foolishness of preaching (lit., 'of the proclamation:' gen. of apposition, -by that preaching which is reputed folly by the world) to save believers. Rom. i. 16 throws light on this last expression as connected with δύναμις θεοῦ in our ver. 18, and with what follows here. There the two are joined: δύναμις γάρ θεοῦ ἐστιν (τὸ εὐαγ. τ. χρ.) εἰς σωτηρίαν παντὶ τῷ πισ-τεύοντι, Ἰουδαίω τε πρῶτον κ. Ἑλληνι. 22.] ἐπειδή, not as in ver. 21, but = 'siquidem,' and explains τ. μωρίας τ. κηρ.

quidem,' and explains τ , μωρίας τ , κηρ. καὶ—καί] see Mark ix. 13, unite (De W.) things resembling each other in this particular, but else unlike. Jews and Gentiles both made false requirements, but of different kinds. $\sigma\eta\mu\epsilon$ îα αἰτ.] see Matt. xii. 38, xvi. 1; Luke xi. 16; John ii. 18, vi. 30. The correction $\sigma\eta\mu\epsilon$ îον has probably been made from remembering the $\sigma\eta\mu\epsilon$ îον of these passages. The sign re-

quired was not, as I have observed on Matt. xii. 38, a mere miracle, but some token from Heaven, substantiating the word preached. 23.] Still the expansion of ή μωρ. τ. κηρύγ. Now, σκάνδ. as regards the Jews, and μωρία as regards the Gentiles, correspond to the general term μωρία before. The δέ after ημείς is that so often found in clauses following the temporal conjunctions ἐπεί, ἕωs, ὄφρα, &c., in Homer, and ős, ώs, ωsπερ, εί, &c., in Attic writers: e. g. Od. ξ. 178, τον ἐπεὶ θρέψαν θεοί, ἔρνεῖ ἶσον . . . , τοῦ δέ τιὲ ἀθανάτων βλάψε φρένας ἔνδον ἐἴσας,— and Xen. Cyr. viii. 5. 12, ὥςπερ οἱ ὁπλῖται, οὕτω δὲ καὶ οἱ πελτασταὶ κ. οἱ τοξοταί. See many other examples in Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 184 f. It serves to give a slight prominence to the consequent clause, as compared with the antecedent 24. This verse plainly is a continuation of the opposition to ver. 22 before begun, but itself springs by way of opposition out of 'Ιουδ. μέν σκάνδ., έθν. δέ μωρίαν, -and earries the thought back to vv. 18 and 21. αὐτοῖς δὲ τ. κλητοῖς Not, 'but to the elect themselves,' which would be either αὐτοῖς δὲ κλητοῖς, or τοῖς δὲ κλητοῖς αὐτοῖς; -but to these, viz. the elect, -the αὐτοῖs serving to identify them with the σωζόμενοι of ver. 18. There it was ήμιν,-here αὐτοῖς, because by the mention of preaching joined with hueîs, he has now separated off the hearers.

δύναμιν, as fulfilling the requirement of the seekers after a $sign: -\sigma o\phi fav, -of$ those who sought wisdom. The repetition of $\chi \rho_1 \sigma \tau \delta \nu$ gives solemnity, at the same

του θεού σοφώτερον των ^g ανθρώπων έστίν, καὶ ^e τὸ genstr. Matt. v. 20. λοὶ σοφοὶ $^{\rm m}$ κατὰ $^{\rm m}$ σάρκα, οὐ πολλοὶ $^{\rm n}$ δυνατοί, οὐ πολ- $^{\rm irr, 0. \, Hea.}$ $^{\rm irr, 0. \, Hea.}$ $^{\rm ol}$ $^{\rm$

n Acts xxv.5. o = Luke xix, 12 (Acts xvii, 11) only. Job i, 3. 2 Macc, x, 13 only. p Acts 12, 24 al., Deut, iv, 37, q = ch, xi, 4, 6, 22, 2 Kings xix, 5. r see above (i). Matt. xii, 29 bis], ch, iv, 10 al. s here only t. = Rom, xiv, 3 refi.

25. εστιν bef των ανθρ. (both times) DF latt arm. om 2nd εστιν BN1 o 17. 672 Tert2.

26. for γαρ, ουν DF æth arm Pamph. om ου πολλ. δυν. F copt. 27. om from ινα to ινα (in next ver) AF m. rec τους σοφούς bef καταισχύνη, with rel: txt BCDLN k 17 latt syrr copt æth Orig, Ens.

time that it concentrates the δύναμις and σοφία in the Person of Christ; q.d. Christ even in His humiliation unto death, the power of God and wisdom of God.' The use of δύναμις and σοφία here as applied to Him who was the greatest example of both, would not justify the absolute use of σοφία in this sense in 25. Because (reason why ver. 21. Christ [crncified] is the power and wisdom of God) the foolishness of God (that act of God which men think foolish) is wiser than men (surpasses in wisdom, not only all which they call by that name, but men, all possible wisdom of mankind); and the weakness of God (that act of God which men think weak) is stronger than men (not only surpasses in might all which they think powerful, but men themselves, -all human might whatsoever. For the construction of the genitives, see reff.). The latter clause introduces a fresh thought, the way for which however has been prepared by δύναμις, vv. 18, 24. The Jews required a proof of divine Might: we give them Christ crucified, which is to them a thing à σθενές: but this à σθενές τοῦ θεοῦ is stronger than men. βλέπετε, imperative, as in reff. If taken indicatively, it loses the emphasis which its place in the sentence requires. It would thus be την γάρ κλησιν ύμῶν βλέπετε. See a similar reminder on the part of the Apostle, 1 Thess. i. 4.

yap seems best to apply to what has immediately gone before. As a proof that the foolishness of God is wiser than men and the weakness of God stronger than men, he calls attention to the fact that the Christian church, so full of divine wisdom and strength by the indwelling VOL. II.

Spirit of God, consisted for the most part, not of the wise or mighty among men, but of those whom the world despised.

κλησιν, as in reff. the calling έν ή ἐκλήθημεν-the vocation and standing of Christian men. ὅτι οὐ πολλοὶ . . .] that not many of you are wise according to the flesh ('significari vult sapientiam, quæ studio humano absque doctrina Spiritus Sancti potest acquiri,' Estius), not many mighty (no need to supply κατά σάρκα, which is understood as a matter of course)—not many noble. This is far better than to supply (as E. V., and most Commentators) ἐκλήθησαν after εὐγενεῖς; and thus Vulg., Chrys., Beza, Meyer, De Wette, al. Olsh. observes: "The ancient Christians were for the most part slaves and men of low station; the whole history of the expansion of the church is in reality a progressive victory of the ignorant over the learned, the lowly over the lofty, until the emperor himself laid down his crown before the cross of Christ." 27, 28. τὰ μωρά, neut. for more generalization, but = τοὺς μωρούς. This is shewn by τούς σοφούς following, in that case it being necessary to use the masculine. - τοῦ κόσμ., of (belonging to) the world: not in the eyes of the world, as Theodoret, Luth., Grot., Est., al.,—which would not fit τὰ ἀγενη τ. κόσμ., nor the sense: for they were not only seemingly but really foolish, when God chose them. αισχύνη, by shewing to the wise and the strong, the foolish and the weak entering the kingdom of heaven before them. τὰ ἀγενη, matter of fact—the low-born:

τὰ ἐξουθενημένα, matter of estimation, the despised. Without the kal, which is certainly the true reading, τὰ μὴ ὄντα u so Eur. Troad. ελέξατο $^{\circ}$ ο θεός, $^{\circ}$ τὰ u μὴ $^{\circ}$ υντα, $^{\circ}$ τνα $^{\tau}$ α $^{\circ}$ καταργήση, $^{\circ}$ ΕLN ab τὰ τῶν $^{\circ}$ $^{$

28. for agern, assem \aleph^1 : txt \aleph -corr! rec ins kai bef τa $\mu \eta$ orta (a mistaken supplement of the sense : see note), with $\mathbb{B}^{C^3D^3}L\aleph^3$ rel vulg fri Syr Origaliq Chr Thdrt: om $\mathbb{A}^{C^1}D^1$ F\Rec{\text{N}}17 with-rom Origi_1 ren-int Tert Ambrst Ruf Tich.

29. καυχησεται F. rec for του θεου, αυτου (corrn to avoid repetition, not observing the emphasis), with C¹ vulg syrr Orig, Dial Thdrt Œc Ambrst: txt ABC³DF LR¹ rel fri spee copt æth Orig, Ens Eph₂ Bas Chr Damasc Thl Aug Tich₂.— N³ began to write αυτου, but erased it.

30. rec $\eta\mu\nu$ bef $\sigma o\phi \iota a$, with L rel vulg-ed(with some mss: also fri) syrr copt ${\rm Orig}_2$ ${\rm Mac}_2$ Chr Thdrt ${\rm Ambr}_2$ ${\rm Aug}$: txt ${\rm ABCDFN}$ m 17 am(with demid harl²) ${\rm Orig}_{\rm sape}$ Eus

Did Cyr Jer, Ambrst Ambr., for δικ. τε, και δικ. D'F Orig, Chr Cyr.

may belong to all four, the μωρά, ἀσθενη, $\lambda \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$, and $\epsilon \xi o \nu \theta \epsilon \nu$., — but more probably it has reference only to the last two. Nothing (as e.g. μέγα τι) must be supplied after μη ΰντα: it means as good as having no existence: un being subjective, and implying that the non-existence is not absolute but estimative. Were it absolute matter of fact, it would be expressed by τὰ οὐκ όντα, as in 1 Pet. ii. 10, οἱ οὐκ ἡλεημένοι, νῦν δὲ ἐλεηθέντες. See Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. p. 131; Winer, edn. 6, § 55. 5; and Phil. iii. 3; Eph. v. 4. Olshausen refines on the expression too much, when he explains it of those who have lost their old carnal life and have not yet acquired their new spiritual one: it more probably means, things (persons) of absolutely no account in the world, unassignable among men, which the αγενή and εξουθενημένα Meyer remarks that the threefold repetition of εξελ. ὁ θεός, with the three contrasts to σοφοί, δυνατοί, and εὐγενεῖς, announces the fact with a triumphant emphasis. καταργ.] 'reduce to the state of οὐκ ὄντα.' All the ὅντα, the realities, of the world, are of absolutely no account, unassignable, in God's spiritual kingdom. 29.] That all flesh may have no ground of boasting before God. The negative in these clauses goes with the verb, not with the adjective; so that each word retains its proper meaning.

20.] But (contrast to the boasting just spoken of) of Him are ye (from Him ye, who once were as οὐκ δυτα, —ἐστέ.— He is the Author of your spiritual life) in (in union with) Christ Jesus, Who was made (not 'is made:' see reff. On ἐγενήθη see 1 Thess. i. 5 note) to us from God wisdom (standing us in stead of all

earthly wisdom and raising us above it by being ἀπὸ θεοῦ; - Wisdom - in His incarnation, in His life of obedience, in His teaching, in His death of atonement, in His glorification and sending of the Spirit: and not only Wisdom, but all that we can want to purify us from guilt, to give us righteousness before God, to sanctify us after His likeness), (and) both righteousness (the source of our justification before God), and sanctification (by His Spirit; observe the TE Kai, implying that in these two, δικαιοσ. and άγιασμ., the Christian life is complete-that they are so joined as to form one whole - our righteousness as well as our sanctification. As Bisping well remarks, "δικ. and άγ. are closely joined by the τε [καί] and form but one idea, that of Christian justification: &καιοσύνη the negative side, in Christ's justifying work-ayiaouds the positive. sanctification, the imparting to us of sanctifying grace")-and redemption (by satisfaction made for our sin, reff. :- or perhaps deliverance, from all evil, and especially from eternal death, as Rom. viii. 23: but I prefer the other). The foregoing construction of the sentence is justified, (1) as regards $\lambda \pi \delta \theta \epsilon o \hat{\nu}$ belonging to $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$, and not to $\sigma o \phi (\alpha)$, by the position of $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$, which has been altered in rec. to connect $\sigma o \phi i \alpha$ with $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \theta$., (2) as regards the whole four substantives being co-ordinate, and not the last three merely explicative of σοφία, by the usage of τε καί-καί, e.g. Herod. i. 23, διθύραμβον πρώτον ανθρώπων των ήμεις ίδμεν ποιήσαντά τε και ονομάσαντα και διδάξαντα,-and Hom. Od. o. 78, αμφότερον, κῦδός τε καὶ ἀγλαίη καὶ ὅνειαρ,-50 that (see Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 103; Donaldson, Gr. Gram. 551) the words

CHAP. II. 1. for μαρτυριον, μυστηριον (appy a gloss from ver 7) ACN n fri Syr copt Aug Ambret Ambret txt BDFLX3 rel vulg syr sah æth Orig Chr Cyr Thl Œc Jer Bede.

2. rec aft εκρινα ins του, with D2L rel Chr Thdrt Thl Œc: om ABCD1.3FN a m 17 (Orig) Ath Chr, Cyr Antch Damasc. ree είδεναι bef τι, with ADFILN latt Orig-c Did Cyr Tert: txt BC(D^{1/3}) a m 17 Cyr Bas Isid Chr₁ Hil Victorin Aug₁.—τι εν υμιν είδ. D^{1/3}: του εν υμιν είδ. τι D². (The posn of τι, and harshness of τι είδεναι, seem to have occasioned the transposns, and του would be supplied from elsw, see Acts xxvii. 1, 1 Cor vii. 37.)

χρ. bef ιησ. F 109 am(with barl) Hl₂ Aug₂.

3. τec και εγω, with DFL rel Chr Thdt Thl Œc: txt ABCN a k m 17 Orig Bas Arth December 2 and the DE 100 Aug.

Antch Damasc. om 2nd ev F 49 latt(exe D-lat). om 3rd ev DF 49. 119

latt.

coupled by τε καί (compare the exegesis above) rank as but one with regard to those coupled to them by καί, compare ἀμφότερον above. Hence these three cannot be under one category, as explicative of σοφία, but must be thus ranged: σοφία δικαιοσύνη τε καὶ άγιασμός, καὶ ἀπολύτρωσις.
31.] The construction is an anacoluthon, the citation being retained in the original imperative, though the "va required a subjunctive. It is freely made from the LXX. This verse declaring, in opposition to ver. 29, the only true ground of boasting, viz. in God and His mercies to us in Christ, closes the description of God's dealing in this matter. He now reverts to the subject of his own preaching. II. 1-5.] Accordingly, Paul did not use among them words of worldly wisdom, but preached Christ crucified only, in the power of the Spirit.

1.] I also (as one of the ἡμεῖs of

eh. i. 23, and also with reference to the preceding verse, δ καυχ. έν κυρ. καυχάσθω) when I came to you, brethren, came, not with excellency of speech or wisdom announcing (pres. part., not fut.,—as in ref., and in Xen. Hell. ii. 1. 29, és τàs 'Αθήνας ἔπλευσεν ἀγγέλλουσα τὰ γεγονότα. The time taken in the voyage is overlooked, and the announcement regarded as beginning when the voyage began) to you the testimony of (concerning) God.

2.] For I did not resolve to know any thing (hardly = ἔκρινα εἰδέναι οὐδέν,

as E. V., but meaning, "the only thing that I made it definitely my business to know, was") among you, except Jesus Christ (His Person) and Him (as) crucified (His Office). It would seem that the historical facts of redemption, and especially the erucifixion of Christ, as a matter of offence, had been kept in the background by these professors of human wisdom. "We must not overlook, that Paul does not say 'to know any thing of or concerning Christ,' but to know HIM HIMSELF, to preach HIM HIMSELF. The historical Christ is also the living Christ, who is with His own till the end of time ; He works personally in every believer, and forms Himself in each one. Therefore it is universally Christ Himself, the Crucified and the Risen One, who is the subject of preaching, and is also Wisdom itself: for His history evermore lives and repeats itself in the whole church and in every member of it: it never waxes old, any more than does God Himself;-it retains at this day that fulness of power, in which it was revealed at the first foundation of the church." Olshausen.

3.] κάγώ, and I, coupled to ἦλθον in ver. 1, and έγώ repeated for emphasis, the nature of his own preaching being the leading subject-matter here. The weakness and fear and much trembling must not be exclusively understood of his manner of speech as contrasted with the rhetorical preachers, for δ λόγος μου κ. το κήρυγμά

1 = ch. xvi. 10. t έγενόμην t προς ύμας, 4 και ο λόγος μου και το "κή- ABCD ρυγμά μου ουκ εν "πειθοίς σοφίας λόγοις, αλλ' εν cde fg x ἀποδείζει y πνεύματος και δυνάμεως, 5 ίνα ή πίστις ύμων no 17 ν Επίκε iv. 32. μη η ρ' εν σοφία ανθρώπων, άλλ' ρ' εν εδυνάμει θεού. where only! * 6 Σοφίαν δε λαλούμεν έν τοῖς * τελείοις, σοφίαν δε οὐ Acts ii. 22.) y = ver. 13. Gal. v. 5, 16, v. 14 al. 1 Chron. xxv. 8. z ch. i, 18 reff.

4. πείθοι b¹ e o 1. 18¹. 48. 72. 106-8-53 D-lat G-lat am(with F-lat) Syr sah arm rec ins ανθρωπινης bef σοφιας (explana-Orig, Eus Ath Ambrst Ambr, Sedul Leo. tory gloss), with ACLN3 rel vulg-ed(with demid agst am fuld tol) syr copt Orig, Ath Mac Cyr-jer, Thl Œc Ambrst-comm Sedul Bede: ανθρωπινοις m 93: om BDFR1 17 latt Syr sah æth arm Orig, Nyss Cyr-jer, Chr Cyr Epiph Thdrt-ms, Jer. λογοις, λογων Syr arm Orig, των λογων Orig, λογον am D lat sah, λογος X1: om F a 181. 74 G-lat Orig, Ath Ambrst-comm Sedul. for αποδειξει, αποκαλυψει D1.3. 5. om \$ F e m.

mov follow in the next verse, - but partly of this, and principally of his internal deep and humble persuasion of his own weakness and the mightiness of the work which was entrusted to him. So in Phil. ii. 12, he commands the Philippians, μετὰ φόβου κ. τρόμου την έαυτων σωτηρίαν κατεργάζεσθε, θεδε γάρ έστιν ὁ ἐνεργῶν ἐν ὑμῖν. The ἀσθένεια may have refer ence to the παρουσία σώματος ασθενής of 2 Cor. x. 10. Chrys., al., understand it of persecutions: but in the places to which he refers, it has a far wider meaning, -viz. infirmities, including those resulting from 4.] And (not adverpersecution. sative, as Olsh., but following naturally on the weakness, &c., just mentioned—'as corresponding to it') my discourse and my preaching (λόγος of the course of argument and inculcation of doctrine, κήρυγμα of the announcement of facts. This (De W.) is better than with Olsh. to understand A. as his private, k. his public discourse: see Luke iv. 32, and δ λόγος τ. στωνοοῦ, ch. i. 18) was not in (did not consist of, was not set forth in, see ref.) persuasive $(\pi \epsilon \iota \theta \delta s = \pi \iota \theta \alpha \nu \delta s, \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \sigma s, \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \delta s$ in Greek. The var. readings have been endeavours to avoid the unusual word, which however is analogically formed from πειθώ, as φειδός from φείδομαι, as Meyer) words of wisdom (ἀνθρωπίνης, a gloss, but a correct one. "Corinthia verba, pro exquisitis et magnopere elaboratis, et ad ostentationem nitidis," Wetst.), but in demonstration of the Spirit and of power: i. e. either, taking the genitives as objective, demonstration having for its object, demonstrating, the presence or working of the Spirit and Power of God (so Estius, Billroth, al., and the gloss ἀποκαλύψει) :or, taking them subjectively, demonstration (of the truth) springing from the Spirit

and Power of God (so most Commentators). I prefer the latter. It can hardly be understood of the miracles done by the Spirit through him, which accompanied his preaching (Chrys., al., Olsh.), for he is here simply speaking of the preaching itself.

5. $\vec{\eta} \in V$, may be grounded on, -owe its origin and stability to. "The Spirit is the original Creator of Faith, which cannot be begotten of human caprice, though man has the capability of hindering its production: and it depends for its continuance on the same mighty Spirit, who is almost without intermission begetting it anew." Olshausen.

6-16.] Yet the Apostles spoke wisdom among the perfect, but of a kind higher than the wisdom of this world; a wisdom revealed from God by the Spirit, only intelligible by the spiritual man, and not by the unspiritual (ψυχικός). The Apostle rejects the imputation, that the Gospel and its preaching is inconsistent with wisdom, rightly understood: nay, shews that the wisdom of the Gospel is of a far higher order than that of the wise in this world, and far above their comprehen-6. \ Sé contrasts with the foresion. going. \lambda al.] viz. 'we Apostles:' not 'I Paul,'—though he often uses the plur. with this meaning: - for, ch. iii. 1, he resumes κάγώ, άδελφοί. έν τ. τελείοις] among the perfect, -when discoursing to those who are not babes in Christ, but of sufficient maturity to have their senses exercised (Heb. v. 14) so as to discern good and evil. That this is the right interpretation the whole following context shews, and especially ch. iii. 1, 2, where a difference is laid down between the milk administered to babes, and the strong meat to men. The difference is in the matter of the teaching itself: there is a lower, and there is a τοῦ $^{\rm b}$ αίῶνος $^{\rm b}$ τούτου οὐδὲ τῶν $^{\rm cd}$ ἀοχόντων τοῦ $^{\rm bd}$ αἰῶνος $^{\rm b}$ Rom. xii. $^{\rm c}$ τούτου τῶν $^{\rm c}$ καταργουμένων, $^{\rm 7}$ ἀλλὰ λαλοῦμεν $^{\rm f}$ θεοῦ $^{\rm co}$ $^{\rm co}$ Acts xiii. $^{\rm co}$ τοφίαν $^{\rm g}$ ἐν $^{\rm b}$ μυστηρίω τὴν $^{\rm i}$ ἀποκεκρυμμένην, $^{\rm h}$ ν $^{\rm k}$ προ- $^{\rm co}$ $^{\rm co}$ το τῶν $^{\rm i}$ αίωνων εἰς δόξαν ἡμῶν, $^{\rm g}$ $^{\rm h}$ ς $^{\rm ft.}$ i. 28 τοτ. 1.38 ώρισεν $^{\rm co}$ θεὸς $^{\rm i}$ πρὸ τῶν $^{\rm i}$ αίωνων εἰς δόξαν ἡμῶν, $^{\rm g}$ $^{\rm h}$ ς $^{\rm ft.}$ i.21 ref. $^{\rm co}$ τον $^{\rm i}$ τον $^{\rm$

h = Rom. xi. 25. xvi. 25. ch. iv. 1. Col. i. 26 al. Dan. ii. 18. ii. Luke x. 25. Eph. ii. Luke x. 25. Eph. ii. Luke x. 25. Eph. ibere only. Pel. liv. 19. ce. Eph. i. 6, 11 only †. lbere only. Pel. liv. 19. ce. Eph. Col. as above (i). Jade 25.

6. om from $\alpha\iota\omega\nu$. $\tau ou\tau$. to $\alpha\iota\omega\nu$. $\tau ou\tau$. F 114 lect-7 æth. 7 rec $\sigma o\phi\iota\omega\nu$ bef θeou (corra, the emphasis not being noticed), with L rel syrr coptt Thart: txt ABCDFR a k m 17 arm Clem, origi, Eus.

higher teaching. So Erasm., Estius, Bengel, Rückert, Meyer, De Wette, al. On the other hand, Chrys., Theodoret, Theophyl., Calv., Grot., Olsh., al., understand the difference to be merely in the estimate formed of the same teaching according as men were spiritual or unspiritual, interpreting έν τ. τελείοις, ' in the estimation of the perfect,' which is philologically allowable, but plainly irreconcileable with the whole apologetic course of the chapter, and most of all with the οὐκ ἠδυνήθην κ.τ.λ. of ch. iii. I, where he asserts that he did not speak this wisdom to the Corinthians. then brought to the enquiry,-what was this σοφία? "Meyer limits it too narrowly to consideration of the future kingdom of Christ. Rückert adds to this, the higher views of the divine ordering of the world with respect to the unfolding of God's kingdom,-of the meaning of the preparatory dispensations before Christ, e. g. the law,-of the manner in which the death and resurrection of Christ promoted the salvation of mankind. According to ver. 12, the knowledge of the blessings of salvation, of the glory which accompanies the kingdom of God, belongs to this higher species of teaching. Examples of it are found in the Epistle to the Romans, in the setting forth of the doctrine of justification,-of the contrast between Christ and Adam, -of predestination (compare μυστήριον, Rom. xi. 25), and in the Epistles to the Eph. and Col. (where μυστήρ. often occurs) in the declarations respecting the divine plan of Redemption and the Person of Christ: nay, in our Epistle, ch. xv. Of the same kind are the considerations treated Heb. vii.—x.: cf. iv. 11 ff." De Wette. But a wisdom not of this world,—not, as E. V., "not the wisdom of this world," which loses the peculiar force of the negative: -so in Rom. iii. 21, 22, we have δικαιοσύνη θεοῦ πεφανέρωται.... δικαιοσύνη δὲ θεοῦ διὰ πίστ. Ἰησοῦ χρ. See instances of the usage in note there.

The ἄρχοντες are parallel with the σοφοί, δυνατοί, εὐγενεῖς, of ch. i. 26, and are

connected with them expressly by the $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ καταργουμένων, referring to ΐνα τὰ ὅντα καταργήση, ch. i. 28. They comprehend all in estimation and power, Jewish or Gentile. ἄρχοντας δὲ αἰῶνος ἐνταῦθα οὐ δαίμονάς τινας λέγει, καθώς τινες δποπτεύουσιν άλλα τους έν αξιώμασι, τους έν δυναστείαις, τοὺς τὸ πρᾶγμα περιμάχητον είναι νομίζοντας, φιλοσόφους κ. ρήτορας κ. λογογράφους και γὰρ αὐτοι ἐκράτουν, κ. δημαγωγοι πολλάκις ἐγίνοντο. Chrys. Hom. vii. p. 50. τών καταργ.] who are [being] brought to nought, viz. by God making choice of the weak and despised, and passing over them, ch. i. 28: not said of their transitoriness generally, as Chrys., Theophyl., Rückert,-nor of their power being annihilated at the coming of Christ (Grot., Meyer, al.), - nor as Olsh., of their having indeed crucified Christ, but of their being καταργούμενοι by His Resurrection and the increase of His Church.

7.] But we speak Gon's wisdom (emphasis on θεοῦ: - the wisdom which God possesses and has revealed) in a mystery (ἐν μυστ. does not belong to τὴν άποκεκ., as Theodoret and Grot., which must be την έν μυστ. ἀποκ.,-nor to σοφίαν, as Beza, Bengel, which though not absolutely, yet certainly here, seeing Thy αποκεκρ. immediately follows, would require the art., την έν μυστ.,—but to λαλοῦμεν,—'we speak God's wisdom in a mystery,' i. e. as handling a mystery, dealing with a mystery. So την σύνεσίν μου ἐν τῷ μυστ. τ. χριστοῦ, Eph. iii. 4. Estius and the Romanists, taking the connexion rightly, have wrested the meaning to support the disciplina arcani which they imagine to be here hinted at, explaining έν μυστ., "non propalam et passim apud omnes, quia non omnes ea capiunt, sed . . . scereto et apud pauciores, scilicet eos qui spirituales et perfecti sunt," Est.), which has been (hitherto) hidden (see Rom. xvi. 25; ref. Col.): - which God foreordained (nothing need be supplied, as ἀποκαλύπτειν, or the like, after προώρισεν) before the ages (of time) to (in order to, the

οὐδεὶς τῶν ἀαρχόντων τοῦ bd αἰῶνος b τούτου ἔγνωκεν. εί ABCD m James ii 1. see Acts vii. 2. Eph. i. 17. Ps. xxviii. 3. γαρ έγνωσαν, ουκ αν τον "κύριον της " δόξης έσταύρω- cdefg n Rom. xv. 3, 21. ch. i. 31 o Isa. lxiv. 4, lxv. 17. see σαν θ άλλὰ καθώς γέγραπται "Α οφθαλμός οὐκ εἶδεν πο 17 καὶ ρούς ουκ ήκουσεν καὶ ἐπὶ καρδίαν ἀνθρώπου ουκ p Rom. xi. 8 9 ανέβη, όσα τητοίμασεν ο θεός τοῖς αγαπώσιν αὐτόν, q Acts vii. 23 10 ήμιν δε « ἀπεκάλυψεν ὁ θεὸς διὰ τοῦ πνεύματος [αὐτοῦ]. reff. — Matt. xx John xiv. 2, 3, s = Matt. xi. 25. Rom. i. 17. ch. xiv. 30. Prov. xi. 13 al.

9. om alla A Pelag. ιδεν C 80 Clem-rom Smyrn-epist. rec (for ooa) &, with DFLN rel Smyrn-ep Orig₃ Const Eus₂ Ath₂ Epiph₁ Cyr₃ Chr Thdrt Thl Œc, quæ latt: txt AB C(appy) Hipp Ath₁ Bas Mac Cyr.

10. for $\delta \epsilon$, $\gamma \alpha \rho$ B m 39. 46. 57. 71-3. 93. 116 coptt Clem. rec o θεοs bef απεκαλυψεν (appy, as above, corrn from not noticing the emphasis), with L rel syr Chr Thdrt: txt ABCDFN a m 17 latt Syr Clem Orig. om αυτου ABCN¹ copt Clem Bas Cyr (perhaps on acct of το πν. follg): ins DFLX3 rel vss Did Epiph Mac Cyr

purpose of this preordination) our glory (our participation in the things which He has prepared for them that love Him, ver. 9: δόξα, as contrasted with the bringing to nought of the $\sharp \rho \chi o \nu \tau \epsilon s$).

8. $\tilde{\eta} \nu$ is in apposition with the former

ην, and does not refer to δόξαν, as Tert. contra Marc. v. 6, vol. ii., p. 483,-" subjicit de gloria nostra, quod eam nemo ex principibus hujus ævi scierit . . . ," for this would be departing from the whole sense of the context, which is, that the wisdom of God was hidden from men.
εἰ γὰρ ἔγν. κ.τ.λ., is a proof from experience, that the rulers of this

world, of whom the Jewish rulers were a representative sample, were ignorant of the wisdom of God. Had they known it, they would not have put to a disgraceful death (δ σταυρδς άδοξίας είναι δοκεί, Chrys.) Him who was the Lord of glory (reff.), -i. e. who possesses in his own right glory eternal, see John xvii. 5, 24.

These words are not a parenthesis, but continue the sense of the foregoing, completing the proof of man's ignorance of God's wisdom ;-even this world's rulers know it not, as they have shewn: how much less then the rest. 9 f.] But (opposition to ver. 8) as it is written, The things which eye saw not, and ear heard not, and which came not up (reff.) upon heart of man, how many things God prepared for them that love Him, to us God revealed through His Spirit. There is no anacoluthon (as De W.) nor irregularity of construction, as some suppose, supplying after ἀλλά, λαλοῦμεν (Estius, &c.) or γέγονεν (Theophyl., Grot., al.); the δέ in the consequent clause after %s in the antecedent, which has occasioned these suppositions, is by no means unexampled ;-so Herod. iii. 37, δε δε τούτους μή οπώπεε, έγω δέοι σημανέω, -and Soph. Philoct. 86,

έγω μέν ούς αν των λόγων άλγω κλύειν, Λαερτίου παῖ, τοὺς δὲ καὶ πράσσειν στυγῶ. See Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 184 f.

Whence is the citation made? Origen says, 'In nullo regulari libro invenitur, nisi in secretis Eliæ prophetæ,' a lost apocryphal book :- Chrys., Theophyl., give the alternative, either that the words are a paraphrase of Isa. lii. 15, οίs οὐκ ἀνηγγέλη περί αὐτοῦ, ὄψονται, κ. οἱ οὐκ ἀκηκόασι, συνήσουσι, or that they were contained in some lost book, of which Chrys. argues that there were very many,- καl γὰρ πολλὰ διεφθάρη βιβλία, καὶ ὀλίγα διεσώθη. Jerome, Ep. lvii. [ci.], ad Pammachium, de optimo genere interpretandi, 9, vol. i. p. 314, says, "Solent in hoc loco apocryphorum quidam deliramenta sectari, et dicere quod de Apocalypsi Heliæ testimonium sumptum sit : cum in Esaia juxta Hebraicum ita legatur: A seculo non audierunt, nec auribus perceperunt, oculus non vidit, Deus, absque te, quæ præparas tu expectantibus te. Hoc LXX multo aliter transtulerunt: A seculo non audivimus, neque oculi nostri viderunt Deum absque te: et opera tua vera, et facies expectantibus te misericordiam. Intelligimus, unde sumptum sit testimonium: et tamen Apostolus non verbum expressit e verbo, sed παραφραστικῶs eundem sensum aliis sermonibus indicavit." I own that probability seems to me to incline to Jerome's view, especially when we remember, how freely St. Paul is in the habit of citing. The words of Isa. lxiv. 4, are quite as near to the general sense of the citation as is the case in many other instances, and the words έπλ καρδίαν οὐκ $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\beta\eta$ may well be a reminiscence from Isa. lxv. 17, not far from the other place, οὺ μὴ ἐπέλθη αὐτῶν ἐπὶ τὴν καρδίαν. Such minglings together of clauses from various parts are not unexampled with the

That Thi Ge Orig-int Hil. [εραυνα, so AB¹CN.]

11. om ανθρωπων Α 17 Orig₁ Ath Cyr Tert₂ Vig. om 2nd του ανθρωπου F Hil Ambr₁ Vig₁. το του θεου D¹: τα εν τω θεω F lat-ff. rec (for εγνωκεν) οιδεν (ρνού α corrn to corresp with previous clause), with L rel Chr Thatr: txt ABCDN a d m Orig₁ Ath₁ Cyr-jer₁ Bas Cyr Antch Damase, εγνω F 23 Ath₁ Cyr-jer₁ Bas₁ Epiph₁, cognovit latt(but seit fri Aug) Ambr.

Apostle, especially when, as here, he is not citing as authority, but merely illustrating his argument by O. T. expressions.

10. το πνεύμα] the Holy Spirit of God—but working in us and with our Spirits, Rom. viii. 16. "Sufficiat nobis Spiritum Dei habere testem: nihil enim tam profundum est in Deo quo non penetret." Calvin. ἐραυνῷ] a word of active research, implying accurate knowledge: so Chrys., οὐκ ἀγνοίας, ἀλλ' ἀκριβοῦς γνώσεως ἐνταῦθα τὸ ἐρευνᾶν τὰ βάθη] see reff. ένδεικτικόν. There is a comparison here between the Spirit of God and the spirit of a man, which is further carried out in the next verse. And thus as the spirit of a man knows the βάθος of a man, all that is in him, so the Spirit of God searches and knows $\tau \grave{a} \beta \acute{a} \vartheta \eta$, the manifold and infinite depths, of God—His Essence, His Attributes, His Counsels: and being τὸ πνεῦμα $\tau \delta \ \epsilon \nu \ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$, besides being $\tau \delta \ \pi \nu$. $\tau o \hat{\imath} \ \theta \epsilon o \hat{\imath}$ (De Wette well observes that the Apostle purposely avoids using the expression τδ πνεθμα τὸ ἐν αὐτῷ of the Spirit of God, keeping the way open for the expression in ver. 12, τὸ πν. τὸ ἐκ τοῦ θεοῦ), teaches us, according to our capacity, those depths of 11. For who of MEN knoweth the things of a MAN (τοῦ ἀνθρώπου, generic, see reff. The emphasis is on ἀνθρώπων and ἀνθρώπου, as compared with $\theta \in \hat{ov}$) except the spirit of a man which is in him? Thus the things of God also none knoweth, except the Spirit of God. We may remark, (1) that nothing need be supplied (as $\beta \acute{a}\theta \eta$) after $\tau \acute{a}$ in each case, see reff. (2) that the comparison

here must not be urged beyond what is intended by the Apostle. He is speaking of the impossibility of any but the Spirit of God conferring a knowledge of the things of God. In order to shew this, he compares human things with divine, appealing to the fact that none but the spirit of a man knows his matters. But further than this he says nothing of the similarity of relation of God and God's Spirit with man and man's spirit: and to deduce more than this, will lead into error on one side or the other. In such comparisons as these especially, we must bear in mind the constant habit of our Apostle, to contemplate the thing adduced, for the time, only with regard to that one point for which he adduces it, to the disregard of all other 12.] ήμεις δέ carries considerations. on the ἡμῖν δέ of ver. 10. κόσμ.] Not merely, the mind and sentiments of unregenerate mankind, 'sapientia mundana et sæcularis,' as Estius, al., but the Spirit (personally and objectively taken) of the world, $= \tau \delta \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha \tau \delta \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ένεργοῦν έν τοῖς νίοῖς τῆς ἀπειθείας, Eph. ii. 2, where it is strictly personal. πν. τὸ ἐκ τ. θ. Not only, 'the Spirit of God,' but the Spirit which is FROM God, —to shew that we have received it only by the will and imparting of Him whose Spirit it is. And this expression prepares the way for the purpose which God has in imparting to us His Spirit, that we may know the things freely given to us by God, i. e. the treasures of wisdom and of felicity which are the free gifts of the gospel dispensation, = ὅσα ἡτοίμασεν ὁ θεὸς τοις άγαπῶσιν αὐτόν, ver. 9. 13.] καί,

αγιου, with D³L rel syr Chr Thdrt: om ABCD¹FN 17 latt Syr copt arm Clem Orig₆ Eus Epiph. for $\pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \omega s$ B 17. 213. συνκρινομεν F.

also; τὰ χαρισθ. ἡμῖν, we not only know by the teaching of the Holy Ghost, but also speak them, not in words (arguments, rhetorical forms, &c.) taught by human wisdom, but in those taught by the Spirit. The genitives are governed by διδακτοιs in each ease: see ref., and ef. Pind. Olymp. ix. 153: τὸ δὲ φυᾶ κράτιστον άπαν. πολλοί δὲ διδακταῖς ἀνθρώπων άρεταις κλέος ώρουσαν έλέσθαι άνευ δέ $\theta \in o\hat{v}$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. πνευμ. . . . πν. συγκρ. interpreting spiritual things to the spiritual. So Theophyl. altern., πνευματικοΐς άνθρώποις τὰ πνευματικά συγκρίνοντες καί διαλύοντες οδτοι γάρ μόνοι δύνανται χωρείν ταῦτα. And very nearly so as regards συγκρίνοντες Chrysostom and Grotius; only they take πνευματικοΐς not mase. but neuter, 'by spiritual things:' ὅταν πνευματικόν καί ἄπορον ή, ἀπό τῶν πνευματικών τὰς μαρτυρίας ἄγομεν. οἶον, λέγω δτι ανέστη δ χριστός, ὅτι ἀπὸ παρθένου έγεννήθη. παράγω μαρτυρίας κ. τύπους κ. ἀποδείξεις, τοῦ Ἰωνα, κ.τ.λ. Chrys. Exponentes ea quæ Prophetæ Spiritu Dei acti dixere, per ea quæ Christus suo Spiritu nobis aperuit. Grot. Meyer denies that συγκρίνω ever means to interpret: but evidently the LXX do so use it in Gen. xl. 8, ἐνύπνιον εἴδομεν, καὶ ὁ συγκρίνων οὐκ ἔστιν αὐτό. See also ib. vv. 16, 22, and Dan. v. 12, Theodotion (where the LXX have συγκρίματα ἀπέδειξε). Erasmus, Beza, Calvin, De Wette, and Meyer render it, 'fitting, or attaching, spiritual words to spiritual things.' And so I gave and defended it in all previous editions. It seems to me now more natural to take πνευματικοΐς as masculine, and as leading to the introduction of the two men, the ψυχικός, and the πνευματικός, immediately after. 14.] He now prepares the way for shewing them that he could not give out the depths of this spiritual wisdom and eloquence to them, because they were not fitted for it, being carnal (ch. iii. 1-4). δέ ανθ.] The animal man, as distinguished

from the spiritual man, is he, whose governing principle and highest reference of all things is the ψυχή, the animal soul, αἰτία κινήσεως ζωικῆς ζώων, Plato, Definit. p. 411. In him, the πνεῦμα, or spirit, being unvivified and uninformed by the Spirit of God, is overborne by the animal soul, with its desires and its judgments,-and is in abeyance, so that he may be said to have it not; -ψυχικοί πνεθμα μη έχοντες, ref. Jude. The ψυχή is that side of the human soul, so to speak, which is turned towards the flesh, the world, the devil: so that the ψυχικός is necessarily in a measure σαρκικός (ch. iii. 3), also ἐπίγειος, and δαιμονιώδης, as in ref. James. This general interpretation of ψυχικός must be adhered to, and we must not make it merely intellectual, as Theodoret, - δ μόνοις τοῖς οἰκείνις ἀρκούμενος λογισμοίς,—Grot. "qui humanæ tantum rationis luce ducitur:"-Chrys.: ό τὸ πῶν τοῖς λογισμοῖς τῆς ψυχῆς διδούς, καὶ μὴ νομίζων ἄνωθέν τινος δεῖσθαι βοηθείαs, - nor merely ethical, as Erasm., Rosenmüller ('qui cupiditatum sub imperio omnem vitam transigunt'), al.,but embracing both these.—οὐ δέχεται, receives not, i. e. rejects, see reff., - not, cannot receive, 'non capax est,' understands not, which is against the context, -for we may well understand that which seems folly to us, but we reject it, as unworthy of our consideration :- and it besides would involve a tautology, this point, of inability to comprehend, following by and by :- and he cannot know them (τὰ τοῦ πνεύματος, the matter of our spiritual teaching, itself furnished by the Spirit) because they are spiritually (by the $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha$ of a man exalted by the Spirit of God into its proper paramount office of judging and ruling, and inspired and enabled for that office) judged of. But (on the contrary) the spiritual man (he, in whom the πνεθμα rules: and since by man's fall the πνεθμα is overridden by the animal soul, and in abeyance, this

15. om ver ℵ¹: ins ℵ-corr¹. om μεν ACD¹F latt Syr copt Clem Orig Thdrt lat ff: ins BD2-8LN-corr¹ rel syr Mac, Chr Thdrt. (Has μεν been insd on acct of the δε follg, as Meyer,—or omd on acct of the δε precedg, as De W?) ins τα bef παντα ACD1 17 Iren-ms Orig-c Nyss Chr: om BD2-3FLN-corr1 Clem Orig Mac, Thdrt,. (τα was prob a gloss to shew that παντα was not mase sing acc.)

16. for χριστου, κυριου BD'F Thl-txt Aug Ambrst Sedul. (Mechanical repeta of νουν κυρ. above. So Meyer, rightly: addg, if any gloss had been written in marg on κυριου, it wd not have been χριστου, but θεου, seeing that the ref of the foregoing κυρ. is to

GoD.)

CHAP. III. 1. rec και εγω, with L rel Thdrt Thl Œc: txt ABCDFR a m 17 Clem Orig Chr Damasc. εδυνηθην С. υμ. bef λαλ. D2L a b c e f g h l n o Clem,

always presupposes the infusion of the Holy Spirit, to quicken and inform the πνεθμα-so that there is no such thing as an unregenerate πνευματικός) judges of all things (Meyer, reading τὰ πάντα, interprets it, 'all spiritual things;' but the ordinary rendering, 'all things,' is better: the Apostle is generalizing, and shewing the high position of the spiritual man, who alone can judge things by their true standard.

The acceptation of πάντα as masc. sing., -"convincere potest quemlibet profanum, as Rosenm., -- is against the context, which speaks of things, τὰ τοῦ πν., - besides that πάντα would not be used absolutely, for 'every man,' but either πάντα ἄνθρωπον, as Col. i. 28, or τον πάντα), but himself is judged of by none (who is not also mvevματικός, see ch. xiv. 29; 1 John iv. 1, where such judgment is expressly attributed to Christian believers). καὶ γὰρ ὁ βλέπων, πάντα μεν αὐτὸς καθορά τοῦ μη βλέποντος, τὰ δὲ ἐκείνου τῶν μη βλεπόντων οὐδείς. Chrys. 16.] PROOF OF αὐτὸς οὐδείς. Chrys. 16.] Proof of αὐτὸς δὲ ὑπ' οὐδ. ἀνακρίνεται. In order for an unassisted man, not gifted from Christ, to judge the πνευματικός, he must know the νοῦς κυρίου, the intent and disposition of Christ; yea more, must be able to teach, to instruct, Christ-being not, as the myevματικός, -taught by Him, he must have an independent wisdom of his own, which Christ has not: - and who is there, of whom this can be said? But we (TVEVματικοί, among whom he includes him-self and the other Apostles) have (not a wisdom independent of Christ, nor do we know His mind, nor can we teach Him, but) the mind of Christ: the same mind, in our degree of apprehensiveness of it, by the imparting of His Spirit, which is in Him, and so can judge all things. The vous kuplov is the spiritual intent and de-

signs of Christ. κυρίου in the prophecy is spoken of JEHOVAH; but in the whole of Isa. xl., the incarnate Jehovah is the subject. The meaning of συμβιβάζω, to teach, belongs to the LXX: in the N. T. it is to conclude, to prove, to confirm, see reff.

III. 1-4.] He could not speak

to them in the perfect spiritual manner above described, seeing that they were carnal, and still remained so, as was shewn by their divisions. 1.] κάγώ, I also; i. e. as well as the ψυχικός, was compelled to stand on this lower ground, -he, because he cannot understand the things of the Spirit of God: I, because you could not receive them. Or perhaps better, with Stanley, ' $\kappa \alpha l$ è $\gamma \phi$, as in ii. 1, "What I have just been saying, was exemplified in our practice." $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa l \nu o t$ is certainly the true reading, being, besides its MS. authority, required by the sense. He was compelled to speak to them (this affirmative clause is to be supplied from the former negative one) as to men of flesh: not ώs σαρκικοίs, for that they really were, and he asserts them yet to be, ver. 3. I quite agree with Meyer (against De Wette) that the distinction between σάρκινοι and σαρκικοί is designed by the Apostle, and further regard it as implied in the very form of the sentences. Here, he says that he was compelled to speak to them as if they were only of flesh, -as if they were babes, using in both cases the material comparison, and the particle of comparison &s. But in ver. 3 he drops comparison, and asserts matter of fact-'Are ye not still σαρκικοί (= ως σάρκινοι), fleshly, carnal, living after the flesh, resisting the Spirit?'-q.d. 'I was obliged to regard you as mere men of flesh, without the Spirit: and it is not far different even now: ye are yet fleshly-ye retain the same char = ch. ii. 15, r = ch. ii. 16, r = ch. ii.

Orig₃ Chr Damase lat-ff.
17 Clem-ms₂ Orig₃ Nyss.
rec σαρκικοις (see notes), with D³FL rel: txt ABC¹D¹N

2. rec ins kai bef ov $\beta\rho\omega\mu a$, with DFL rel (Orig₁) Cas Thl CE: om ABCN m 17 vulg fri syr copt Clem₃ Iren-gr Orig₆ Eus Did Cyr₂ Cypr Hil. rec $\eta\delta\nu\nu\alpha\sigma\theta\epsilon$, with DL a c d k n Orig₁ Cas Dial Thdrt: txt A B(sic: see table) CFN rel Clem Orig₃. rec oute (see note), with L rel Orig₁ CE: txt ABCDFN c d f k 17 Clem Iren Orig₃. (om last clause m.) om $\epsilon\tau$ t B.

3. σαρκνα (twice) D¹F Orig_{1 στ 2} Nyss-ms-corr (error by repeatg σαρκιν. from ver 1, the difference not being noticed: see there): txt ABCD³L¾ rel Clem, Orig Nyss-ms. 1st εστε bef 1st σαρκικοι DF am(with demid harl tol) Clem Orig, Nyss Cypr Thl Aug: txt ABCL¾ rel Orig, Cln Thdrt. rec aft ερις ins και διχοστασιαι (from

Thi Aug: txt ABCLN rel Orig, Chr Thidrt. ree aft ερις ins και διχοσπασιαι (from Gal v. 20), with DFL rel Syr Iren-gr Chr Thidrt Cypr₂: om ABCN a vulg fri copt ath arm Clen₂ Orig, Eus.—ερεις AFL d f n.

τις bef λεγη DF vss lat-ff. for ετερ. δε εγω, εγω δε A c 23, 224 Chr.
 rec ουχι (corrn from ver 3), with DFLN3 rel Dial Chr Thdrt Thl Œc: txt ABCN 17

racter.' Both the σάρκινοι, the mere men of the flesh, and the σαρκινοι, the carnally disposed, are included under the more general ψυχικοί, which therefore, as Meyer observes, is not here used, because this distinction was to be made. \dot{o} \dot{o} \dot{v} \dot{v} \dot{v} , \dot{v} \dot{v} \dot{v} , \dot{v} . The opposite term, $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{v}$ \dot{v} , \dot{v} found Col. i. 28 and in connexion with this, Heb. v. 13, 14. Schöttgen (on I Pet. ii. 2) and Lightfoot adduce the similar Rabbinical term rigiting, sugentes, used of novices in their schools. A recent proselyte also was regarded by them as a newborn infant.

He speaks of his first visit to Corinth, when they were recently admitted into the faith of Christ,-and excuses his merely elementary teaching by the fact that they then required it. Not this, but their still requiring it, is adduced as matter of blame to them. 2.] See the same figure in Heb. v. 12. So also Philo de Agricult. § 2, vol. i. p. 301, έπει δε νηπίοις μέν έστι γάλα τμοφή, τελείοις δὲ τὰ ἐκ πυρῶν πέμματα, καὶ ψυχης γαλακτώδεις μὲν αν είεν τροφαί κατά την παιδικην ηλικίαν . . . τ έλειαι δέ και ανδράσιν Basil, Hom. i. p. 403, ed. Paris, 1638, cited by Meyer, explains, γάλα, την είςαγωγικήν κ. άπλουστέραν τοῦ εὐαγγελίου διδασκαλίαν: see also Heb. vi. 1,-τον της άρχης του χριστού On ἐπότισα βρῶμα, Wetst.

quotes νέκταρ τ' αμβροσίην τε, τά περ θεοί αὐτοὶ ἔδουσι, Hes. Theogon. 640. See Hom. Il. θ. 546. Winer, edn. 6, § 66. e. οὕπ. γὰρ ἐδύνασθε] Either, for ye were not yet able (seil. βρῶμα ἐσθίειν),-or, for ye were not yet strong, δύναμαι being used absolutely, as in Demosth. 1187. 8, δυνάμενος τῷ τε πράττειν κ. τφ είπεῖν, and 484. 25, των πολιτευομένων τινές δυνηθέντες, and see other reff. in Meyer. In the former case, the ellipsis is harsh: the latter meaning seems preferable, though not found elsewhere in the N. T. άλλ' οὐδὲ ἔτι νῦν, but neither even now . . .; the οὅτε of the rec. is grammatically inadmissible,—see Winer, edn. 6, § 55. 6. σαρκικοί, see above, ver. 1. not $= \epsilon \pi \epsilon l$, but putting the assumption in a local form, see reff. ξηλος, emulation, in a bad sense; or as in reff., 'angry jealousy.' κατὰ ἄνθρ., see reff., according to the manner of (unrenewed and ungodly) man, = κατὰ σάρκα, Rom. viii. 4; see note on ch. xv. 32.

4.] He names but two of the foregoing designations, ch. i. 12: intending, both there more fully, and here briefly, rather to give a sample of the sectorian spirit prevalent, than to describe, as matter of fact, any sects into which they were

 Έγω $^{\rm h}$ ΄Απολλώ, οὐκ $^{\rm i}$ ἄνθρωποί ἐστε; $^{\rm 5}$ τί $[{\rm c}]$ οὖν ἐστιν $^{\rm isce \, ver, \, 3.}$ κ $^{\rm holts \, six}$ ΄Απολλώς; τί $[{\rm c}]$ δέ ἐστιν Παῦλος; διάκονοι δί ὧν $^{\rm constr., \, Rom.}$ Απολλως, τες $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ εκάστ ψ ως ο κύριος έδωκεν. $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ εγω $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ εκύστευσα, $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ εκόστως, $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ εκύστος, $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ ενώ $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ ενώ 7 $ω_{\text{CTE}}$ ο $ω_{\text{TTE}}$ $ω_{\text{TTE}$ also G). είσιν, εκαστος δε τὸν ἴδιον τμισθὸν λήμψεται κατὰ τὸν αντί. 6. aid. br pass,

F(and LNabe defgh klmn 0 17

2 Cor. x. 15. Col. i, 6, 10. 1 Pet, ii. 2 only. intr., Acts vi. 7 reff. p = Acts v. 36. ch. x. 19. Cal. ii. 6, vi. 3, 15. Demosth, 582. 27. q constr., John x. 30. xvii. 11, &c. Eph. ii. 14. r = Rom. iv. 4 reff.

ree for ανθρωποι, σαρκικοι (corrn from ver 3), with LN3 rel syrr Dial Damase. Chr Thdrt: txt ABCDFN 17 latt copt ath Damase Orig-int Did Ambrst Aug.

5. TI (twice) ABN 17 latt ath lat-ff (prob corrn to suit the sense: the question being rather qualis est than quis est): 718 CDFLN3 rel syrr copt Chr Thdrt Thl Œc. rec παυλος τις δε απολλως (alteration of order, to suit ver 4), with D2L rel syrr Chr Thdrt Opt: txt ABC(Di-3F) m 17 latt Damase Ambrst Aug Pelag.—ree om 2nd εστιν, with DFL latt Chr Thdrt: ins ABCN m 17. ree ins αλλ' η bef διακον. (addition to complete the sense), with D2.3L rel syrr Chr Thdrt Thl Ec Opt: on ABCD¹FN vulg copt ath arm Damase Ambrst Pelag. om ws C tol1.

6. [αλλα, so ABD¹FN. (for αλλα ο, ο δε f 17.)]

αλλα D1. for 2nd oute, oude CN1. 7. om 1st oute A.

actually divided: see note there, and on eh. iv. 6. Meyer sees in the mention here of Paul and Apollos only, a reference to the two methods of teaching which have been treated of in this section: but as I have before said, the German Commentators are misled by too definite a view of the Corinthian parties. ανθρωποι, i.e. walk-

ing κατά άνθρωπον, - σαρκικοί. 5-15.] He takes occasion, by example of himself and Apollos, to explain to them the true place and office of Christian teachers: that they are in themselves nothing (vv. 5-8), but work for God (vv. 9, 10), each in his peculiar department (ver. 10; cf. ver. 6), each requiring serious care as to the manner of his working, seeing that a searching trial of its worth will be made in the day of οὖν the Lord (vv. 10-15). follows on the assumption of the truth of the divided state of things among them: 'Who then (What then) . . . , seeing that ye exalt them into heads over you?" The question is not asked by an objector, but by Paul himself; when an objector is introduced, he notifies it, as ch. xv. 35; Rom. ix. 19. ἐπιστεύσατε, as in reff. : ye became believers. ἐκάστῳ ὡς , = ως έδωκ. δ κύρ. ἐκάστω, see reff. It refers, not to the teachers, but to the hearers, see below δ αὐξάνων θεός. the rec. text, the question is carried on to the end of the verse by ἀλλ' ή, which is good Greek for 'nisi,' 'præterquam,'so οὐδὲ χρησόμεθα ἐξηγητῆ ἀλλ' ἢ τῷ

πατρώω, Plat. Rep. p. 427, see Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 44,-but seems to have been inserted from not observing the form 6. The similitude of the sentence. is to a tilled field (γεώργιον, ver. 9): the plants are the Corinthians, as members of Christ, vines bearing fruit: these do not yet appear in the construction: so that I prefer, with De Wette, supplying nothing after εφύτευσα and επότισεν, regarding merely the acts themselves, as in E. V. If any thing be supplied, it must be ὑμᾶς, which would but ill fit ver. 7.

Apollos was sent over to Corintli after Paul had left it (Acts xviii. 27), at his own request, and remained there preaching during Paul's journey through Upper Asia (ib. xix. 1). 7.] ἐστίν Ti, either, 'is any thing to the purpose,' as in λέγειν τι, &c., or absol. is any thing: which latter is best: compare ei και οὐδέν είμι, 2 Cor. xii. 11.

άλλ' ὁ αὐξ. θεός, seil. τὰ πάντα ἐστί,to be supplied from the negative clauses preceding. Theophylact remarks: δρα πως ανεπαχθη ποιεί την έξουδένωσιν των προεστώτων έν Κορίνθω συφών κ. πλου-σίων, έαυτον κ. 'Απολλώ κατά το φαινόμενον έξουδενώσας, κ. διδάξας, δτι θεφ δεῖ μόνω προςέχειν, κ. είς αὐτὸν ἀνατιθέναι πάντα τὰ συμβαίνοντα ἀγαθά. ev, in the nature of their ministry,generically, κατά την υπουργίαν άμφότεραι γὰρ τῷ θείῳ διακονοῦσι βουλή-ματι. Theodoret. ἔκαστος δὲ . . .] Here he introduces a new element-the

om 2nd δε C 31 Syr Aug. for κοπου, τοπου C.
 aft γεωμγιου ins εστε D² vulg(not harl¹) syr arm Chr.

10. rec τεθεικα, with C³DN³ Orig₂ (Chr-mss) Thart Thl Œc, τεθηκα L f n: txt ABC¹N³ m 17 (Chr). om 2nd δε D Orig, Chr Gild.

separate responsibility of each minister for the results of his own labour, so that, though κατὰ τὴν ὑπουργίαν they are one,—κατὰ τὸ ἔργον (ib.) they are diverse. The stress is twice on τδιον.

9.] Proof of the last assertion, and introduction of Him, from Whom each λήμψεται. The stress thrice on θεοῦ:—shall receive, &c.,—for it is of Gon that we are the fellow-workers (in subordination to Him, as is of course implied: but to render it 'fellow-workers with one another, under God,' as Estius prefers, and Olsh., al., maintain, is contrary to usage: see reff.;—and not at all required, see 2 Cor. v. 20; vi. 1), of Gon that ye are the building. This last new similitude is introduced on account of what he has presently to say of the different kinds of teaching, which will be more clearly set forth by this, than by the other figure.

10.] κατὰ τ. χάρ. &c., as an expression of humility (refl.), fitly introduces the σοφόs which follows. So Chrys.: ὅρα γοῦν πῶς μετριάζει. εἰπὼν γὰρ σοφὸν έαυτόν, οὐκ ἀφῆκεν αὐτοῦ τοῦτο εἶναι, ἀλλ' όλον έαυτον πρότερον αναθείς τῷ θεῷ τότε έαυτον οθτως έκάλεσε. The χάρις is not the peculiar grace of his apostleshipfor an apostle was not always required to lay the foundation, e.g. in Rome:but that given to him in common with all Christians (ver. 5), only in a degree proportioned to the work which God had for him to do. σοφός, skilful, see reff., and many examples in Wetstein. The proof of this skill is given, in his laying a foundation: the unskilful masterbuilder lays none, see Luke vi. 49. The foundation (ver. 11) was and must be, Jesus Christ: the facts of redemption

by Him (obj.), and the reception of Him and His work by faith (subj.). mascul. form ὁ θεμέλιος (se. λίθος) is said by Thomas Mag. (in Wetst.) to belong to the κοινή διάλεκτος-the Attic form is θεμέλιον, or, if in the plur., οί θεμέλιοι: —οί γὰρ θεμέλιοι παντοίων λίθων ὑπόκεινται, Thucyd. i. 93. ἄλλος, 'whoever comes after me,'-another: not only ἐποικοδομεῖ, pres., as the necessary state and condition of the subsequent teacher, be he who he may. The building on, over the foundation, imports the carrying them onward in knowledge and intelligent faith. πως, emphatic, = here, with what material. De Wette imagines that it also conveys a caution not to alter the foundations, and that the yap in ver. 11 refers to this. But the identity of the foundation is surely implied in ἐποικοδομεῖ. On the γάρ, see below.

11. θ. γάρ q. d. 'I speak of superimposing

merely, for it is unnecessary to caution them respecting the foundation itself: there can be but one, and that one HAS ALREADY BEEN (objectively, for all, see below) LAID BY GoD.' At the same time, in taking this for granted, he implies the strongest possible caution against attempting to lay any δύναται, strictly can, -not 'nemini licet,' as Grot., al., nor as Theophyl., οὐ δύναται θείναι, έως αν μένη σοφός άρχιτέκτων, ἐπεὶ ὅταν μὴ ἢ τις σοφ. ἀρχ., δύναται θείναι, κ. έκ τούτου αί αίρέσεις:-for it is assumed, that θεοῦ οἰκοδομή is to be raised - and it can only be raised on this one foundation. All who build on other foundations are not $\sigma u \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma o \ell \theta \epsilon o \hat{\nu}$, nor is their building θεοῦ οἰκοδομή at all.

ἄλλον παρά, see reff. and cf. Thueyd. i. 23, πυκνότεραι παρὰ τὰ ἐκ τοῦ πρὶν χρόνου μυημονευόμενα. κείμενον]

χριστός. 12 εἰ δέ τις c έποικοδομεῖ ἐπὶ τὸν a θεμέλιον h Μείτ. χ. n . [τοῦτον] χρυσόν, h ἄργυρον, i λίθους i τιμίους, k ξύλα, h καλάμην, 13 ἐκάστου τὸ ἔργον n φανερὸν n γενή- 13 κείχ. χείμ. 13 εκίποτου τὸ ἔργον n φανερὸν n γενή- 13 κείχ. χείμ. 13 κανειώς 13 κανειώς

16, xxi, 11, 19, Ps, xvii, 10, k = here only, Ezra v. S, l = here only, (Matt, vi 30 al. ir, Gen, ii, 5.) m here only, Exod. v, 12, xv. 7. Isa. v. 24. n Mark v. 14, Acts vii, 13, Phili, 13, Gen, xlii, 1

11. rec ins o bef χριστος (with none of our mss): om ABCDLB rel.—χριστ. ιησ. C³D vulg syr Orig, Ath, Chr, Max Damasc Hil Jer Aug, Ambrst Sedul: txt ABLB rel Orig, Marcell-in-Eus Ath, Arnob: om ιησ. C¹. (The rec ιησ. ο χρ. appears to have been a corrn to give a doctrinal meaning—¹ Jesus (is) the Christ.² χρ. ιησ. may have had the same intention, cf ch xii 3.)

12. om τουτον ABC' N' sah Ambr (perhaps from similarity of endgs; or as unnecessary): ins C'DLN' rel latt syrr copt Orig Ath Cyr-jer Chr Thdrt Thl Œc Aug Jer.

χρυσιον κ. αργυριον Β 73 Clem: χρυσιον αργυριον Ν: αργυριον C.

13. for εκαστου to γενησεται, ο ποιησας τουτο το εργον φανερος γενηται D¹ Ambrst.

not, 'by me,' but 'by God,' for universal Christendom; but actually laid in each place, as regards that church, by the minister who founds it. De Wette denies this universal reference, as introducing a new element into the context. But surely the reference in δ θεμέλοι δ κείμενος is too direct to the well-known prophecy of the divinely-placed foundation or cornerstone, to surprise any reader or divert his mind from the train of thought by a new element. 'Ίησοῦς χριστός, ΤΗΕ ΡΕΙΚΟΝΑΙ, HISTORICAL CHRIST, as the object of all Christian faith. If it be read as in rec., 'Ἰησοῦς λριστός, it need not necessarily be, that Jesus is the Christ, but may be in this case also, JESUS THE CHRIST; not any doctrine, even that of the Messiahship of Jesus, is the foundation,

but Jesus Himself (see var. readd.). 12. The 8€ implies that though there can be but one foundation, there are many ways of building upon it. To the right understanding of this verse it may be necessary to remark, (1) that the similitude is, not of many buildings, as Wetst. and Billroth,-but of one, see ver. 16,-and that raised on Christ as its foundation; -different parts of which are built by the ministers who work under Him,-some well and substantially built, some ill and unsubstantially. (2) That gold, silver, &c., refer to the matter of the ministers' teaching, primarily; and by inference to those whom that teaching penetrates and builds up in Christ, who should be the living stones of the temple: not, as Orig., Chrys., Theodoret, Theophyl., Phot., Augustin, Jerome, &c., to the moral fruits produced by the preaching in the individual members of the church, - εί τις κακον βίον έχει μετά πίστεως δρθης, ου προστήσεται αυτου ή πίστις είς τὸ μὴ κολάζεσθαι, Chrys. (3) That the builder of the worthless and unsubstantial is in the end SAVED (see below):

so that even his preaching was preaching of Christ, and he himself was in earnest. (4) That what is said does not refer, except by accommodation, to the religious life of believers in general-as Olsh., Schrader, see also the ancient Commentators above; -but to the DUTY AND REWARD OF TEACHERS. At the same time, such accommodation is legitimate, in so far as each man is a teacher and builder of himself. (5) That the various materials specified must not be fancifully pressed to indicate particular doctrines or graces, as e. g. Schrader has done, "Some build with the gold of faith, with the silver of hope, with the imperishable costly stones of love,others again with the dead wood of unfruitfulness in good works, with the empty straw of a spiritless, ostentations knowledge, and with the bending reed of a continually-doubting spirit." Der Apostel Paulus, iv. p. 66. This, however ingenious, is beside the mark, not being justified by any indications furnished in our Epistle itself. An elaborate résumé of the very various minor differences of interpretation may be seen in Meyer's Comm. ed. 2, in loc. Cf. also Estius's note; and Stanley's. λίθους τιμίους] Not 'gems,' but 'costly stones,' as marbles, porphyry, jasper, &c., compare 1 Kings vii. 9 ft. By the ξύλα, χόρτον, καλάμην, he indicates the various perversions of true doctrine, and admixtures of false philosophy which were current: so Estius, "doctrina non quidem hæretica et perniciosa, talis enim fundamentum destrueret: sed minus sincera, minusque solida; veluti si sit humanis ac philosophicis, aut etiam Judaicis opinionibus admixta plus satis: si curiosa magis quam utilis; si vana quadam oblectatione mentes occupans Christianas." Comm. i. p. 268 B. 13.] Each man's work (i. e. that

which he has built: his part in erecting the oikooomin $\theta \in oin$ shall (at some time) be

(See ch v. 2.) rec om αυτο (as unnecessary: but see note), with DLN rel Clem Orig1 Chr-mss2 Thdrt3 Thl CE: ins ABC m sah Orig1 Eus Bas Chr Thdrt2 Procop.

14. rec επωκοδομησεν, with B²C rel: txt AB¹DLN o 17.

made evident (shall not always remain in the present uncertainty, but be tested, and shewn of what sort it is): for the day shall make it manifest (the day of the Lord, as Vulg., 'dies domini:' see reff.,—and so most Commentators, ancient and modern. The other interpretations are (1) 'the day of the destruction of Jerusalem,' which shall shew the vanity of Judaizing doctrines: so Hammond (but not clearly nor exclusively), Lightf., Schöttg., al., —against both the context, and our Apostle's habit of speaking, and under the assumption, that nothing but Jewish errors are spoken of :-(2) 'the lapse of time,' as in the proverb, 'dies docebit;'-so Grot., Wolf, Mosheim, Rosenm., al., which is still more inconsistent with the context, which necessitates a definite day, and a definite fire:—(3) 'the light of day,' i. e. of clear knowledge, as opposed to the present time of obscurity and night: so Calv., Beza, Erasm.:-but the fire here is not a life-giving, but a consuming flame; and, as Meyer remarks, even in that case the ἡμέρα would be that of the παρουσία, see Rom. xiii. 12:-(4) 'the day of tribulation:'- so Augustin, Calov.: but this again is not definite enough: μισθὸν λήμψεται can hardly be said of mere abiding the test of tribulation); - because it (the day - not, the work, as Theophyl., Œcum., al., which would introduce a mere tautology with the next clause) is (to be) revealed (the present ἀποκαλύπτεται expresses the definite certainty of prophecy: or perhaps rather the attribute of that day, which is, to be revealed, &c., as in the expressions ὁ πειράζων, δ $\sigma\pi\epsilon i\rho\omega\nu$, &c.) in fire ('accompanied,' 'clothed,' 'girt,' 'with fire;' i. e. fire will be the element in which the day will be revealed. Cf. 2 Thess. i. 8, and Mal. iii. 2, 3, iv. 1, to which latter place the reference is, -see LXX. But notice, that this is not the fire of hell, into which the gold, silver, and costly stones will never enter, but the fire of judgment, in which Christ will appear, and by which all works will be tried. This universality of trial by fire is equally against the idea of a purgatorial fire, which lucrative fiction has been mainly based by the Romanists on a perversion of this passage. See Aug. de Civ. Dei xxi. 26. 4, vol. vii. p. 745, who mentions the idea with 'non redarguo, quia forsitan verum est.' See Estius, who does not maintain the allusion to Purgatory here; and Bisping, who does), and each man's work, of what kind it is, the fire itself shall try (this clause does not depend upon out, but ranges with the following futures. It is a question whether έργον is nom. or acc.,—of what kind each man's work is [Meyer],—or as above. In the only other places where Paul uses όποῖοs, Gal. ii. 6, 1 Thess. i. 9 [see also Acts xxvi. 29], it commences a clause, as here if έργον be accus.;—we have a very similar expression, Gal. vi. 4, τὸ ἔργον έαυτοῦ δοκιμαζέτω εκαστος:-and it seems more natural that the action of the fire should be described as directly passing upon the work. For these reasons, I preτὸ πῦρ αὐτό, the fire fer the accus. itself, of its own power, being a πῦρ κατ-14.] If any man's work αναλίσκον. shall remain (i.e. stand the fire,-being of inconsumable materials. µενεί fut. (so latt syrr coptt), is better than the pres. of rec., as answering to εί κατακαήσεται below), which he built on the foundation,-he shall receive wages (as a builder ;-i. e. 'shall be rewarded for his faithful and effectual work as a teacher'):

15.] if any man's work shall be burnt up (i. e. consist of such materials as the fire will destroy: Stanley adds, "It is possible that this whole image, as addressed to the Corinthians, may have been suggested, or at least illustrated, by the conflagration of Corinth under Mummins: the stately temples [one of then remaining to this day] left standing amidst the universal crash and destruction of the meaner buildings"), he shall be mulcted (ξημιωθ, scil. τον μισθόν, see ref. Matt., and Herod. vii. 39, τοῦ δὲ ἐνός, τοῦ περιέχεια μάλιστα, τὴν ψυχὴν (γημώσεια, and Plat. Legg. vi. p. 774, εἰς μὲν οὖν χρήματα δ μὴ θέλων γαμεῦν τοσαῦτα (γμισόσθω): but he himself shall be saved

F(and also G) orker... ABCDF

LNabc defgh klmn o 17 καήσεται, ^{*} ζημιωθήσεται αὐτὸς δὲ σωθήσεται, ⁹ οὕτως δὲ $\frac{x_{Mett.xvi.}}{y_{\dot{\omega}}}$ $\frac{y_{\dot{\omega}}}{z_{\dot{\omega}}}$ $\frac{z_{\dot{\omega}}}{z_{\dot{\omega}}}$ δια πυρός. $\frac{16 \text{ a}}{z_{\dot{\omega}}}$ οὐτας δεν ἐστε $\frac{y_{\dot{\omega}}}{z_{\dot{\omega}}}$ $\frac{z_{\dot{\omega}}}{z_{\dot{\omega}}}$ $\frac{y_{\dot{\omega}}}{z_{\dot{\omega}}}$ $\frac{z_{\dot{\omega}}}{z_{\dot{\omega}}}$ $\frac{y_{\dot{\omega}}}{z_{\dot{\omega}}}$ $\frac{y_{\dot{\omega$

z sec Isa, xliii, 2. Zech, xiii, 9. a Rom, vi, 16, ch, v, 6 al. b = ch, vi, 12, 2 Cor, vi, 16, (2 Tiess, ii, 4 al.) Jer, vii, 4. e Rom, vii, 17 reff. 33, 2 Cor, vii, 2, xi, 3. Eph. iv, 22. 2 Pet. ii, 12. Jade 10. Rev, xix, 2 only, Isa, iiv, 16, play on word, ch, vi, 12,

16. εν υμιν bef οικει B m 17.

17. for δθερε, φθειρε DF am: φθειρεί L. for τουτον, αυτον (corrn as more usual) ADF Syr syr-marg Chr., illum latt Iren-int Cypr: txt BCLN rel syr coptt Mac Did Amphil Chr. Thdrt Thi the.

(having held, and built on, the true foundation Jesus Christ, he shall not be excluded from that salvation which is the free gift of God to all who believe on Christ, but shall get no especial reward as a faithful and effectual teacher. Cf. 2 John 8, βλέπετε ξαυτούς, Ίνα μη ἀπολέσητε & εἰργασάμεθα, ἀλλὰ μισθὸν πλήρη ἀπολάβητε. Meyer remarks, that our Lord hints at such persons under the name of έσχατοι, Matt. xx. 16; Mark x. 31), but so, as through fire:-i. e. as a builder whose building was consumed would escape with personal safety, but with the loss of his Chrys., Theophyl., Œc., strangely understand it, that he shall be burnt for ever in the fire of Hell, unconsumed: oùxl καὶ αὐτὸς οὕτως ἀπολεῖται ὡς τὰ ἔργα, εἰς τὸ μηδὲν χωρῶν' ἀλλὰ μενεῖ ἐν τῷ πυρί, Chrys. σώζεται, τουτέστι, σῶος τηρεῖται. δίκας αἰωνίους ὑπέχων, Theophyl. But (1) the fire of Hell is quite alien from the context (see above),—and (2) the meaning given to σώζεσθαι is unexampled,—and least of all could be intended where the coming of the Lord is spoken of: cf. inter alia, ch. v. 5, παραδοῦναι κ.τ.λ. Υνα τὸ πνεθμα σωθή έν τη ήμέρα τ. κυρίου. Grot., Elsn., al., explain ωs διὰ πυρός as a proverb, 'tanquam ex incendio,' for 'with difficulty.' But this is needless here, as the figure itself is that of an 'incendium:' and ωs is not 'tanquam,' but belongs to ουτωs, see reff. The whole imagery of the passage will be best understood by carefully keeping in mind the key, which is to be found in the θεοῦ οἰκοδομή, and the ναδς θεοῦ, as connected with the prophecy of Malachi iii, and iv. There, ¿ξαίφνης ήξει είς τον ναον έαυτοῦ κύριος . . . αὐτὸς εἰςπορεύεται ὡς πῦρ χωνευτηρίου . . . καθιείται χωνεύων και καθαρίζων ώς τὸ άργύριον και ώς το χρυσίου.... διότι ίδου ημέρα έρχεται καιομένη ώς κλίβανος, κ. φλέξει αὐτούς, καὶ ἔσονται . . . καλάμη, κ. ανάψει αὐτοὺς ἡ ἡμέρα ἡ ἐρχομένη. The Lord thus coming to His temple in flaming fire, all the parts of the building which will not stand that fire will be consumed: the

builders of them will escape with personal salvation, but with the loss of their work, through the midst of the conflagration.

16-23.] The figure is taken up afresh and carried further: and made the occasion of solemn exhortation, since they were the temple of God, not to mar that temple, the habitation of His Spirit, by unholiness, or by exaltation of human wisdom: which last again was irrelevant, as well as sinful; for all their teachers were but their servants in building them up to be God's temple,-yea all things were for this end, to subserve them, as being Christ's, by the ordinance, and to the glory of God the Father. The foregoing figures, with the occasion to which they referred, are now dropped, and the οἰκοδομή θεοῦ recalled, to do further service. This building is now, as in Mal. iii. 1, and as indeed by implication in the foregoing verses, the temple of God (ναδς θεοῦ, with emphasis on ναδς, not θεοῦ vads), the habitation of His Spirit.

ούκ οίδατε ὅτι - Are ye ignorant that . . . an expression of surprise arising out of their καὶ ... ἐν ὑμῖν= ἐν ῷ, τουτ-ῖν. Meyer rightly remarks, conduct. έστιν, έν ύμιν. that "vads beov is the temple of God, not a temple of God: for Paul does not conceive (as Theodoret, al.) of the various churches as various temples of God, which would be inconsistent with a Jew's conception of God's temple, but of each Christian church as, sensu mystico, the temple of Jehovah. So there would be, not many temples, but many churches, each of which is, ideally, the same temple of God." And, we may add, if the figure is to be strictly justified in its widest acceptation, that all the churches are built together into one vast temple: cf. έν δ καὶ ύμεις συνοικοδομεισθε, Eph. ii. 22. 17.] φθείρει, mars, whether as regards its unity and beauty, or its purity and sanctity: here, the meaning is

left indefinite, but the latter particulars are certainly hinted at,—by &γιον below.

Φθερεῖ, either by temporal death (Mey.), as in ch. xi. 30; or by spiritual death,

aft εξαπατατω ins κενοις λογοις (see Eph v. 6) D 23-marg 73, 118.
 rec ins τω hef θεω (corrn: but art is unnecessary aft prepn), with ABLN rel Origs Chr Thart: om CDF bl o Clem Orig, om γαρ Dl. om δ and τους F. 21. ανθρωτω F lat-fi(not Pelag Bede).

which is more probable, seeing that the figurative temple is spoken of, not (as Mey.) the material temple :- and as temporal death was the punishment for defiling the material temple (Evod. xxviii. 43. Levit. xvi. 2 al. fr.), so spiritual death for marring or defiling of God's spiritual temaylos, the constant epithet of ναός in the O. T., see Ps. v. 7; x. 5 (LXX). Hab. ii. 20, and passim. οίτινες, i. e. äγιοι, not, 'which temple are ye,' which would be tantological after ver. 16, and would hardly be expressed by offines, 'ut qui, or 'quales.' Meyer well remarks, that oltivés ente bueis is the minor proposition of a syllogism :- Whoever mars the temple of God, him will God destroy, because His temple is holy: but ye also, as His ideal temple, are holy :- therefore, whoever mars you, shall be destroyed by God.'

13 -20. A warning to those who would be leaders among them, against self-18.] ἐξαπατάτω, not, as Theophyl., νομίζων δτι άλλως έχει τδ πράγμα και οὐχ ὡς εἶπον:—it is far more naturally referred to what follows, viz. thinking himself wise, when he must become a fool in order to be wise. If any man thinks that he is wise among you in this world (ἐν τῷ αἰ. τοὐτῷ belongs to δοκεῖ σοφ. εἶν. ἐν ὑμ.,—to the whole assumption of wisdom made by the man, which as made in this present world, must be false: not (1) merely to σοφός, Grot., Rückert, al., -as the arrangement of the words shews, -nor (2) to μωρδε γενέσθω, Orig., Chrys., Luther, Rosenm., al., in which case, the stress being on µwpos, it must have been μωρδς γενέσθω έν τῷ αίωνι τούτω), let him become a fool (by receiving the gospel in its simplicity, and

so becoming foolish in the world's sight), that he may become (truly) wise.

19.] Reason why this must be:—shewn rrom Seripture. παρὰ θ., in the judgment of God, reff. δ δρασσ.]
The sense of the Heb, is equally expressed by the Anostle and the LVX by the Apostle and the LXX. The words are taken out of the context as they stand, which accounts for the participle, see Heb. i. 7. The sense is, 'If God uses the craft of the wise as a net to catch them in, such wisdom is in His sight folly, since He turns it to their confusion.' "δρασσόμεvos [possibly a provincialism] is substituted for καταλαμβάνων, as a stronger and livelier expression for 'grasping,' or 'eatching with the hand.'" Stanley. Cf. Judith xiii. 7. 20.] The LXX have ἀνθρώπων (Heb. אָדָם); the Psalmist however is speaking of the proud, ver. 2 f., and such, when διαλογισμοί are in question, would be the worldly wise. 21-23. A warning to them in general, not to boast themselves in human teachers. ωςτε, viz. seeing that this world's wisdom is folly with God: or perhaps as a more general inference from what has gone before since ch. i., that as the conclusion there was, δ καυχώμενος, έν κυρίω καυχάσθω, -so now, having gone into the matter more at length, he concludes, μηδείς καυχάσθω εν ἀνθρώποις. This boasting in men is explained in ch. iv. 6 to mean μη εἶς ὑπὲρ τοῦ ένὸς φυσιοῦσθαι κατὰ τοῦ έτέρου. καυχάσθω after ώςτε is a change of construction. A somewhat similar change occurred in the parallel ch. i. 31, "va καυχάσθω: but there, by the citation being adduced in its existing form. γàρ ὑμ. ἐστ.] 'For such boasting is a degradation to those who are heirs of all

22. $\alpha\pi$ o $\lambda\lambda\omega$ F. ins δ i' bef $\nu\mu\omega\nu$ F. $\eta\mu\omega\nu$, and in ver. 23 $\eta\mu\epsilon$ is B 17. 48. rec at end ins $\epsilon\sigma\tau\nu$, with D²⁻³L rel vulg Chr Thdrt: om ABCD FN 17 Dial Aug Ambrst.

CHAP. IV. 1. ins $\tau o v$ bef $\theta \epsilon o v$ F.

things, and for whom all, whether ministers, or events, or the world itself, are working together:' see Rom. viii. 28: and 22, 23. | Specification of some of the things included under πάντα: and first of those teachers in whom they were disposed to boast, -in direct reference to ch. i. 12. But having enumerated Paul, Apollos, Cephas, he does not say είτε χριστόs, but adding the world itself and its events and circumstances, he reiterates the πάντα ὑμῶν as if to mark the termination of this category, and changing the form, concludes with $\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\hat{\imath}s$ $\delta\hat{\epsilon}$ (not only one part of you) $\chi\rho\iota\sigma\tau\hat{\imath}o\hat{\imath}$ $\chi\rho\iota\sigma\tau\hat{\imath}o\hat{\imath}$ $\delta\hat{\epsilon}$ $\theta\epsilon\hat{\imath}o\hat{\imath}$ (see helow). The expressions $\zeta\omega\hat{\eta}$, $\theta\acute{\alpha}\nu\alpha\tau os$, ένεστώτα, μέλλοντα, have nothing to do with the teachers, as Chrys., Theophyl., Grot.,-ή ζωή, φησι, των διδασκάλων δι' ύμας έστιν Ίνα ώφελησθε διδασκόμενοι κ. δ θάνατος αὐτῶν δι' ὑμᾶς ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν γὰρ κινδυνεύουσι καλ της ύμετέρας σωτηρίας, Theophyl ,- and "præsentia, . . . linguarum et sanationum dona futura, rerum futurarum revelationes," Grot.,— but are perfectly general. ἐνεστῶτα is things actually present,—see note on 2 Thess. ii. 2. 23.] On the change of the possessives, see above :- Christ is not yours, in the sense in which πάντα are, not made for and subserving you-but (δέ) you are His, -and even that does not reach the Highest possession: He possesses not you for Himself; but (δέ again) κεφαλή χριστοῦ ὁ θεός, ch. xi. 3. CHRIST HIM-CHRIST HIM-SELF, the Incarnate God the Mediator, belongs to God, is subordinate to the Father, see John xiv. 28; and xvii. passim. But this mediatorial subordination is in no way inconsistent with His eternal and co-equal Godhead: see notes on Phil. ii. 6-9; and on ch. xv. 28, where the subjection of all things to Christ, and His subjection to the Father, are similarly set forth. There is a striking similarity in the argument in this last verse to that VOL. II.

in our Lord's prohibition, Matt. xxiii. 8—10. See Stanley's beautiful note.

IV. 1—5.] He shews them the right view to take of Christian ministers (vv. 1, 2); but, for his part, regards not man's judgment of him, nor even judges himself', but the Lord is his Judge (vv. 3, 4). Therefore let them also suspend their judgments till the Lord's coming, when all shall be made plain.

1.] οὕτως, emphatic, preparatory to &s, as in ref. ανθρωπος, as E. V., a man, in the most general and indefinite sense, as 'man' in German: not a Hebraism, nor = εκαστος. The whole is opposed to καύχησις έν ἀνθρώποις: the ministers of Christ are but subordinates to Him, and accountable to God. ήμας, here, not, 'us ministers generally,' see below, wer 6, but 'mysel' and Apollos,' as a sample of such.

'πηρ. χριστοῦ, see ch. iii. 5, 22, 23. But in οἰκον. μυστ. δεοῦ we have a new figure introduced.

The Church, 1 Tim. iii. 15, is the οἶκον $\theta \in \hat{v}$ —and those appointed to minister in it are οἰκονόμοι, stewards and dispensers of the property and stores of the οἰκοδεσπότης. These last are the μυστήρια, hidden treasures, of God,-i. e. the riches of his grace, now manifested in Christ, ch. ii. 7; Rom. xvi. 25, 26, which they announce and distribute to all, having received them from the Spirit for that purpose. "Ea mysteria sunt incarnationis, passionis et resurrectionis Christi, redemptionis nostræ, vocationis gentium, et cætera quæ complectitur evangelica doctrina." Estius, who also, as a Romanist, attempts to include the sacraments among the wvoτήρια in this sense. The best refutation of this is given by himself: "sed cum ipse Paulus dixerit primo capite, Non misit me Christus baptizare, sed evangelizare, rectius est ut mysteria Dei intelligantur fidei nostræ dogmata." It may be doubted, whether, in the N. T. sense of μυστήρια,

m constr., Matt. μη "προ "καιρού τι κοίνετε, εως αν έλθη ο κύοιος, ος καί 0. Acts iv. 9 reft. p = ch. i, 8 reft. q = ch. i, 8 reft. q = Acts ix. 2, ch. iii. 2, r Acts v, 2, xii. 12, xiv. 6 only. Lev. v. 1. Job xxvii. 6 onlv. 1 Macc. iv. 21 al. q. Acts xiii. 39. Rom. v, 9, ch. vi. 11. Gal. ii. 7, iii. 11. v. 4. t. = ch. iii. 21. u Matt. viii. 20 only. 8 r. xxx. 24.

2. rec δ δε λοιπον, with D3L rel Orig Chr Thdrt Thl Œe: txt ABCD1FX 17 latt syrr copt ath arm latt-ff. aft λοιπον ins τι κ1: om κ-corr1? ζητειτε (itacism?) ACDFN fg n 17 (and mss mentd by Œc): txt BL rel latt syrr &c. πιστος τις D1.3: τις bef πιστος D2F goth.

ημων Α. αλλα D¹.
 for δε, γαρ Ν¹. at

at end ins θeos D.

the sacraments can be in any way reckoned as such: for μυστ. is a (usually divine) proceeding, once hidden, but now revealed, or now hidden, and to be revealed; under neither of which categories can the sacraments be classed. 2. Moreover, here [on earth] (see var. readd. and reff. δδε is emphatic, and points to what follows, that though in the case of stewards enquiry was necessarily made here below, yet he, God's steward, awaited no such enquiry ύπὸ ἀνθρωπίνης ἡμέρας, but one at the coming of the Lord. Lachmann, I cannot but think somewhat strangely, places ώδε at the end of ver. 1: οἰκονόμους μυστηρίων θεοῦ ὧδε. Stanley takes ὧδε for 'in this matter,' and supports the meaning by Rev. xiii. 10, 18; xiv. 12; xvii. 9) enquiry is made in the case of stewards (or, it is required in the ease of stewards), in order that (or that, the purport of the requirement expressed as its purpose) a man may be found (proved to be) faithful (emph.).
3.] But
to me (contrast to the case of the
stewards into whose faithfulness enquiry is made ώδε, here on earth) it is (amounts to) very little (Meyer compares ès χάριν τέλλεται, Pind. Ol. i. 122, and Theognis, 162, οίς το κακον δοκέον γίγνεται είς άγαθόν) that I be (the Ίνα, here and always, is more or less the conj. of purpose. The construction is a mixed one in such clauses as this, compounded of ελάχιστόν έστιν ανακριθήναι, and έλαχίστου αν πριαίμην, Ίνα ανακριθώ) judged (enquired into, as to my faithfulness) by you, or by the day of man (in reference to ὧδε above, and contrast to the ήμέρα κυρίου, to which his appeal is presently made, ver. 5, and of which, as testing the worth of the labour of teachers, he spoke so fully ch. iii. 13-15. Jerome, Quæstiones ad Algasiam, Ep. xxxi. [cli.] 10, vol. i. p. 879, numbers the expression among the cilicisms of the Apostle. Estius, al., suppose it to be a Hebraism, referring to Jer. xvii. 16, which is irrelevant. All these are probably wrong, and the expression chosen purposely by the Apostle. Grot. compares 'diem dicere,' 'to cite to trial;' to which Stanley adds the English 'daysman' for arbiter [see Job ix. 33], and the Dutch 'dagh vaerden' and 'daghen,' to 'summon'),-nay, I do not judge even (hold not an enquiry on: lit. 'but neither do I,' &c.) myself: 4.] for I am conscious to myself of no (official) de-linquency (so Plato, Apol. p. 21, οὔτε μέγα ούτε σμικρον ξύνοιδα έμαυτφ σοφος ών,—ib., Rep. i. Wetst., τῷ δὲ μηδὲν ἐαυτῷ ἀδίκων ξυνειδότι ἡδεῖα ἐλπὶς ἀεὶ πάρεστι, and Hor., Epist. i. 1. 61, 'Nil conscire sibi, nulla pallescere culpa.' The E. V., 'I know nothing by myself,'

was a phrase commonly used in this acceptation at the time; cf. Ps. xv. 4, Com. Prayer Book version, 'He that setteth not by himself,' i.e. is not wise in his own conceit. 'I know no harm by him' is still a current expression in the midland counties. See Dcut. xxvii. 16; Ezek. xxii. 7, in E. V. So Donne, Serm. lvii., "If thine own spirit, thine own conscience, accuse thee of nothing, is all well? why, I know nothing by myself, yet am I not thereby justified." This meaning of 'by' does not appear in our ordinary dietionaries), but I am not hereby justified (i. e. it is not this circumstance which clears me of blame-this does not decide the matter. There can be no reference as Meyer] to forensic justification here, by the very conditions of the context: for he is speaking of that μισθός of the teacher, which may be lost, and yet personal salvation be attained, see ch. iii. 15); but he that judges (holds an enquiry on) me is ς φωτίσει τὰ ς κουπτὰ τοῦ σκότους καὶ κανερώσει τὰς $\frac{v}{10 \text{ only. Jos.}}$ $\frac{v}{2}$ βουλὰς τῶν καρδιῶν, καὶ τότε ὁ επαινος γενήσεται και (John in the state of the bar $\frac{v}{2}$ καρδιῶν, καὶ τότε $\frac{v}{2}$ εκάστ $\frac{v}{2}$ $\frac{v}{2}$ τοῦ θεοῦ.

⁶ Ταῦτα δέ, ἀδελφοί, $^{\circ}$ μετεσχημάτισα εἰς έμαυτὸν καὶ $^{\frac{7}{\rm ref.}}_{\rm ref.}$ 'Απολλώ δι' ὑμᾶς, ἵνα $^{\rm d}$ ἐν ἡμῖν μάθητε $^{\circ}$ τὸ μὴ $^{\rm f}$ ὑπὲς $^{\rm a}$ γρίμε, here only. 2 Ctron.

5. κρινεται (itacism ?) ΑΝ 3. 39. 48. 72. om os D¹F Aug_{sæpe}(ins₁). om last του D l.

6. om δε Ν¹: ins N-corr¹.

συ ομεν Ε΄. απολλων ΑΒ'Ν¹ (απο πολλων Β²): txt CDFLN-corr¹(?) rel.

εν υμεν D¹ 1 17. 23. 115 syr copt Clir, Antch Bede.

om το F 2.

rec (for δ) 3, with DFL rel syr goth Chr Thdrt: txt ABCN 17 Syr copt Ath Chr-ms Cyr. (Meyer and De W. think that ἃ has been a corrn to suit πουτα preceding. But I can hardly think this probable: is it not more likely that in a pro-

the Lord (Christ, the judge). 5. So then (because the Lord is the sole infallible dijudicator) decide nothing (concerning us, of merit or demerit) before the time, until the Lord shall have come (explains πρὸ καιρ.), who shall also (καί, inter alia: as part of the proceedings of that Day: or both) bring to light (throw light on) the hidden things of darkness (general-all things which are hidden in darkness), and shall make manifest the counsels of the hearts (then first shewing, what your teachers really are, in heart), and then shall the (fitting) praise accrue to each from God. επαινος is not a vox media, praise or blame, as the case may be, but strictly praise. Theophyl., Grot., Billr., Rück., Olsh., suppose the word to be used euphemistically, "unde et contrarium datur intelligi, sed mavult $\epsilon \dot{v}$ φημείν," Grot.: Calv., Meyer, al., think that he speaks without reference to those who will obtain no praise: "hæc vox ex bonæ conscientiæ fiducia nascitur." Calv. But I agree with De Wette, in thinking that he refers to καυχασθαι έν ανθρώποις: -they, their various parties, gave exag-gerated praise to certain teachers: let them wait till the day when the fitting praise (be it what it may) will be ad-judged to each from God; Christ as the Judge being the ωρισμένος ύπο του θεου κριτήs, Acts x. 42, and so His sentences being ἀπὸ θεοῦ. See also Acts xvii. 31, and Rom. ii. 16, κρινεῖ ὁ θεὸς τὰ κρυπτὰ τῶν ἀνθρώπων, . . . διὰ Ἰησοῦ χριστοῦ. 6-13.] He explains to them

6-13.] He explains to them (ver. 6) that the mention hitherto of himself and Apollos (and by parity of reasoning, of Cephas and of Christ, in ch. 1. 12) has a more general design, viz. to abstract them from all party spirit and pride: which pride he then blames, and puts to shame by depicting, as a contrast,

the low and afflicted state of the Apostles 6. But (transeuntis : themselves. he comes to the conclusion of what he has to say on their party divisions) these things (De Wette, Meyer, al., limit ταῦτα to what has been said since ch. iii. 5. But there surely is no reason for this. The Apostle's meaning here must on all hands be acknowledged to be, 'I have taken our two names as samples, that you may not attach yourselves to and he proud of any party leaders, one against another.' And if these two names which had been last mentioned, why not analogously, those four which he had also alleged in ch. i. 12? There can be no reason against this, except the determination of the Germans to regard their Paulusparthei, and Apollos-parthei, and Petrusparthei, and Christus-parthei, as historical facts, and consequent unwillingness to part with them here, where the Apostle himself by implication repudiates them as such) I transferred (the epistolary aorist) to myself and Apollos (i.e. when I might have set them before you generally and in the abstract as applying to all teachers, I have preferred doing so by taking two samples, and transferring to them what was true of the whole. This is far more probable than the explanation of Chrys., al., that he put in his own name and that of Apollos instead of those of the real leaders of sects, concealing them on purpose. On μετασχ., see reff. and cf. Plato, Legg. x. p. 903, μετασχηματίζων τὰ πάντα, οἷον ἐκ πυρός ύδωρ,—and p. 906, τοῦτο τὸ ἡῆμα μετεσχηματισμένον, Meyer) on your account, that ye by us (as your example: by having our true office and standing set before you) might learn this, "Not above those things which are written" (i.e. not to exceed in your estimate of yourselves and us, the standard of Scripture,-which had been already in part

 \mathbf{g} w. indic. \mathbf{g} γέγραπται, \mathbf{g} ΐνα μη \mathbf{h} εἶς ὑπὲρ τοῦ \mathbf{h} ἐνὸς \mathbf{i} φυσιοῦσθε κατὰ ABCDF \mathbf{g} τοῦ \mathbf{h} τοῦ ἐτέρου. \mathbf{g} τίς γὰρ σὲ ἱδιακρίνει ; τί δὲ ἔχεις $\mathbf{\hat{g}}$ οὐκ de \mathbf{f} the \mathbf{h} t

Const. 25

only 1. (-oris, 2 Cor. xii, 20.) k Rom. ii 1 refi. 1 = here only. see Acts xv, 9 refi. m absol., ch. i. 29 refi. n Acts xxvii, 38 only. Deut. xxxi, 20 only. o 2 Cor. viii. 9. Rev. iii. 17, 18. Luke xii. 21. Hos. xii. 8.

verbial exprn the sing seemed most appropriate, and thus ű has been corrd to \tilde{v} ?) rec aft γεγραπται ins φρονειν, with C(appy) D³LN³ rel syrr goth Chr Cyr Thdrt: om ABD¹FN¹ latt Orig·lat-ff. om 2nd μη D. for $v\pi\epsilon\rho$, $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha$ F.

shewn to them in the citations ch. i. 19, 31; iii. 19. To refer γέγραπται to what has been written in this Epistle, as Luth., Calov., Calv. (altern.), is quite inadmissible, for, as Grot. remarks, "γέγραπται in his libris semper ad libros Veteris Testamenti refertur." But he (and Olsh.) refer the words to Deut. xvii. 20,—whereas it is fauther to give them a perfectly general reference. Chrys., Theodoret, and Theophylrefer it to words of our Lord in the N. T., such as Matt. vii. 1, 3; xxiii. 12; Mark x. 43, 44, but these could not be indicated by Δέγραπται.—cf. ch. vii. 10 and note.

 $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha i$,—cf. ch. vii. 10 and note. The ellipsis, as here, of the *verb* in prohibitory clauses with $\mu\eta$, is common enough: thus, Aristoph. Vesp. 1179, $\mu\eta$ $\mu\sigma$ $\gamma\epsilon$ μύθους. Soph. Antig. 577, μὴ τριβὰς ἔτι, ἀλλά νιν κομίζετ' εἴσω. Demosth. Phil. i. p. 46, μή μοι μυρίους μηδέ διςμυρίους ξένους. Hartung, Partikellehre ii. 153, where see more examples), that ye may not one on behalf of another be puffed up against a third (i. e. 'that you may not adhere together in parties to the detriment or disparagement of a neighbour who is attached to a different party). There is a grammatical difficulty here, the occurrence of Tva with an indic. pres. This is variously explained. See Winer, edn. 6, § 41. b. 1. c. Some suppose that here, and in ref. Gal. St. Paul has committed a philological error in the formation of the subjunctive, and written the indie, for it. It is at least remarkable, that that other instance, για αὐτοὺς (ηλοῦτε, is also in the ease of a contracted syllable in ov,-so that we might almost suppose that there was some provincial usage of forming the subj. of contracted verbs in ow, which our Apostle followed. At all events (especially considering that we have two other cases of "να with an indic., see reff.) it is better to suppose a solecism or peculiar usage, than with Meyer to give lνα a local sense,— 'where,' i.e. 'in which case ye are not (pres. for the future) puffed up,'-i.e. if you keep to the Scripture measure: the double "va of the purpose being, as he himself observes, according to Paul's nsage, Rom. vii. 13; Gal. iii. 14; iv. 5, al., and here being absolutely demanded by the sense. 7.] For (reason why this puffing up should be avoided) who separates thee (distinguishes thee from others? meaning, that all such conceits of pre-eminence are unfounded. That pre-eminence, and not merely distinction [Meyer], is meant, is evident from what follows) ? And (dé connects interrogative clauses, as Od. a. 225, tis δais, tis δè δμιλος όδ' έπλετο; and Il. ε. 704, ένθα τίνα πρώτον, τίνα δ' βστατον έξενάριξεν; See Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 169) what hast thou which thou receivedst not (from God'—not, 'from me as thy father in the faith')? but if (which 1 concede;—στέγαι δὲ εἰ καὶ ἡμῖν αὐτοῖς εἰσιν, ἀλλὰ μὰ Δί' οὐχ ίπποις; Xen. Cyr. vi. 1. 14. Hartung, i. 140) thou receivedst it, &e. He speaks not only to the leaders, but to the members of parties,-who imagined themselves superior to those of other parties,-as if all, for every good thing, were not dependent on God, the Giver.

8. The admonition becomes ironical: 'You behave as if the trial were past, and the goal gained; as if hunger and thirst after righteousness were already filled, and the kingdom already brought in. κωμφο δῶν αὐτοὺς ἔλεγεν Οὕτω ταχέως πρὸς τὸ τέλος ἐφθάσατε, ὅπερ ἀδύνατον ῆν γενέσθαι διὰ τὸν καιρόν. Chrys. The emphases are on ήδη in the two first clauses, and χωρις ήμῶν in the third. The three verbs form a climax. Any interpretation which stops short of the full meaning of the words as applied to the triumphant final state (so Grot., Est., Calvin, Wetst., al., interpreting them of knowledge, of security, of the lordship of one sect over another), misses the force of the irony, and the meaning of the latter part of the verse. χωρὶς ἡμῶν] 'because we, as your fathers in Christ, have ever looked forward to present you, as our glory and joy, in that day.' There is an exquisite delicacy of irony, which Chrys. has well caught: πολλή ξμφασις ένταῦθα καὶ πρὸς τούς διδασκάλους κ. πρός τούς μαθητάς.

8. $\omega \phi \in \lambda o \nu$ D³L l. om $\gamma \in D^1 F$. ins $\sigma u \nu$ bef $u \mu \iota \nu$ D¹.

9. rec aft δοκω γαρ ins στι, with D³LN³ rel Chr Thdrt Ambr: om ABCD¹FN¹ am(with demid fuld tol) Clem Orig Cyr Damasc Tert Thl Ambrst.

11. for αχρί της, εως F. rec γυμιητευομεν (see note), with B²(sic: see table) L rel: txt A²CDFX a g h m, γυμνειτευομεν B¹.—om γυμν. και A¹.

καὶ τὸ ἀσυνείδητον δὲ αὐτῶν δείκνυται κ. τὸ σφόδρα ἀνόητον. ὁ γὰρ λέγει, τοῦτό ἐστιν. ἐν μὲν τοῖς πόνοις φησὶν εἶναι πάντα κοινὰ καὶ ἡμῖν κ. ὑμῖν, ἐν δὲ τοῖς ἐπάθλοις κ. τοῖς στεφάνοις ὑμεῖς πρῶτοι.

The latter part of the verse is said bona fide and with solemnity: And I would indeed (γε strengthens the wish; so ή δ' είλεθ' . . . ως γε μήποτ' ωφελεν λαβείν . . . Meνέλαον, Eur. Iph. Aul. 70. Hartung, 373. ὅφελον is used in LXX and N. T. as a particle, with the indic.: also with optative. See, for both, reff.) that ye did reign (that the kingdom of the Lord was actually come, and ye reigning with Him), that we also might reign together with you (that we, though deposed from our proper place, might at least be vouchsafed a humble share in your kingly glory).

9.] For (and there is abundant reason for this wish in our present afflicted state) I think,—God set forth (before the eyes of the world,the similitude is in θέατρον following) us the Apostles (meaning all the Apostles, principally himself and Apollos) last (the rendering of Erasm., Calv., Beza, al., us who were last called to be Apostles, q. d. τοὺς ἀπ. τοὺς ἐσχ., οτ τοὺς ἐσχ. ἀποστ., is ungrammatical. ἐσχάτους, last and vilest: not, 'respectu priorum,' last, as the prophets were before us, as Corn .a lap., and in part, Bengel) as persons condemned to death (ώs καταδίκους, Chrys. Tertullian seems to define the meaning too closely when, De Pudic. 14, vol. ii. p. 1006, he interprets it 'veluti bestiarios.' Dion. Hal. vii. 35, says of the Tarpeian rock, ὄθεν αὐτοῖς ἔθος βάλλειν τουs επιθανατίουs)-for we are be-

come a spectacle $(\theta \epsilon \alpha \tau \rho o \nu = \theta \epsilon \alpha \mu \alpha : so$ Achilles Tatius, i. p. 55 [Kypke], and θέατρα ποιητῶν, Æschines, Dial. Socr. iii. 20:—see θεατριζόμενοι, Heb. x. 33) to the world, as well to angels (good angels: ἄγγελοι absol., never either includes, or signifies, bad angels) as to men (κόσμφ, being afterwards specialized into angels and men). 10.] Again, the bitterest irony: 'how different our lot from yours! How are you to be envied-we, to be pitied!' There is a distinction in $\delta i\lambda$ $\chi \rho_i \sigma \tau \delta \nu$ and $\epsilon \nu \chi \rho_i \sigma \tau \hat{\psi} - q$. d. We are foolish for Christ's sake (on account of Christ,-our connexion with Him does nothing but reduce us to be fools), whereas you are φρόνιμοι ἐν χριστῷ, have entered into full participation of Him, and grown up to be wise, subtle Christians. ἀσθενεῖς — ἰσχυροί are both to be understood generally: the ἀσθένεια is not here that of persecution, but that of ch. ii. 3: the strength is the high bearing of the Corinthians. Ye are glorious (in high repute, party leaders and party men, highly honoured and looked up to), whereas we are un-honoured. Then ἄτιμοι leads him to enlarge on the disgrace and contempt which the Apostles met with at the hands of the world. 11—13.] He enters into the particulars of this state of affliction, which was not a thing past, but enduring to the present moment.

11.] ἄχρι τ΄ ἄρτι ἄρας is evidently not to be taken strictly as indicative of the situation of Paul at the time of writing the Epistle, but as generally describing the kind of life to which, then and always, he and the other Apostles were exposed: οὐ

 f here only †, α λαφιζόμεθα καὶ f ἀστατοῦμεν, $\frac{12}{8}$ καὶ $\frac{12}{8}$ κοπιῶμεν $\frac{1}{12}$ είνλογοῦμεν, $\frac{12}{12}$ καὶ $\frac{12}{12}$ καὶ $\frac{12}{12}$ καὶ $\frac{12}{12}$ τείλογοῦμεν, $\frac{1}{12}$ καὶ $\frac{1}{12}$ καὶ " διωκύμενοι " ανεχόμεθα, 13 ° δυςφημούμενοι P παρακαλού- 17

μεν ώς 9 περικαθάρματα του κόσμου έγενήθημεν, πάνk Acts xxiii. 4 των τπερίψημα ε έως ε άρτι. 14 οὐκ τέντρέπων ύμας γράφω

k Acts xxiii. a των περί. ταυτα, αλλ΄ ως τέκνα μου αγαπητα του περί. 1 ακοπ. xii. 1 του περί. 1 του π

13. ree βλασφημουμενοι (substitution of more usual word), with BDFL™3 rel Origo Chr Thdrt: txt ACN 17 Clem Orig, Eus Cyr Damasc. περικαθαρμα D1.

14. ταυτα bef γραφω DF k latt Pelag Ambrst. 17 Thl-txt: txt BDFL rel latt. αλλα Β. νουθετων ΑCΝ

παλαιά διηγούμαι πράγματα, άλλ' ἄπερ καὶ ὁ παρών μοι καιρός μαρτυρεί. Chrys. See, on the subject-matter, 2 Cor. xi. 23-27. $\gamma\nu\mu\nu\iota\tau$.] are in want of sufficient clothing: cf. $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\psi\dot{\nu}\chi\epsilon_i$ κ . $\gamma\nu\mu\nu\dot{\nu}\tau\eta\tau_i$, 2 Cor. xi. 27. Meyer (after Fritzsche) believes γυμνιτεύομεν to be a mistake in writing the word, of very ancient date: but surely we are not justified, in such a conventional matter as the form of writing a word, to desert the unanimous testimony of the oldest MSS. And we have the forms γυμνίτης, and γυμνίτις: why not then γυμνιτεύω? κολαφ.] are buffeted-see reff., there is no need to press the strict meaning. ἀστατ.] τουτέστιν, ἐλαυνόμεθα, φεύγομεν. Theophyl. 12.] As testimonies to Paul's working with his own hands, see Acts xviii. 3; xx. 34; ch. ix. 6; 1 Thess. ii. 9; 2 Thess. iii. 8. That the other Apostles did the same, need not necessarily be inferred from this passage, for he may be describing the state of all by himself as a sample; but it is conceivable, and indeed probable, that they did. κ.τ.λ. So far are we from vindicating to ourselves places of earthly honour and distinction, that we tamely submit to reproach, persecution, and evil repute ;-nay, we return blessing, and patience, and soft words.' 13.] παρακ., ἀντὶ τοῦ, πραοτέροις λόγοις κ. μαλακτικοῖς ἀμειβόμεθα. Theophyl. ώς περικαθάρματα Α climax of disgrace and contempt, summing up the foregoing particulars. We are become as it were the refuse of the world. περικ. from περικαθαίρω, that which is removed by a thorough purification, the offal or refuse. So Ammonius (in Wetst.): καθάρματα, τὰ μετὰ τὸ καθαρθῆναι ἀπορδιπτόμενα:-Theophylact, δταν δυπαρόν τι αποσπογγίση τις, περικάθαρμα λέγεται

τὸ ἀποσπόγγισμα ἐκεῖνον: and similarly Ecum. Wetst. gives many examples of the metaphorical usage of the term κάθαρμα as a reproach, from Demosth., Aristoph., Lucian, al., and of purgamentum in Latin. περικαθάρματα is found in Arrian, Epict. iii. 22, Πρίαμος, δ νῦν γεννήσας περικαθάρματα. But Luther and very many Commentators suppose the word to imply piacula, as Schol., Aristoph. Plut. 454 (Wetst.), καθάρματα ελέγοντο οί επί καθάρσει λοιμοῦ τινος ἥ τινος ἐτέρας νόσου θυόμενοι τοις θεοίς, τοῦτο δὲ τὸ ἔθος καὶ παρά 'Ρωμαίοις ἐπεκράτησε. Meyer well remarks that περικαθάρματα will hardly bear this meaning, and that περίψημα in the sing. would not suit it. Still we may remark, with Stanley, that περικάθαρμα is so used in ref. Prov., and περίψημα in ref. Tobit : and that Suidas says, περίψημα, ούτως ἐπέλεγον τῷ κατ' ένιαυτον συνέχοντι τῶν κακῶν Περίψημα ήμων γένου ήτοι, σωτηρία και απολύτρωσις καὶ ούτως ἐνέβαλον τῆ θαλάσση ώςανεί τῷ Ποσειδῶνι θυσίαν ἀποτίννυντες.

περίψ.] much the same as περικαθάρματα,—but the expression is more contemptuous :--the individual περικαθάρματα are generalized into one περίψημα, the τοῦ κόσμου is even further extended to πάντων, —see ch. iii. 22. 14-21.] Conclusion of this part of the Epistle:—in what spirit he has written these words of blame: viz. in a spirit of admonition, as their father in the faith, whom they ought to imitate. To this end he sent Timothy to remind them of his ways of teaching,would soon, however, come himself,-in mildness, or to punish, as the case might require. 14. οὐκ ἐντρέπων] not as one who shames you, see reff., and ch. vi. 5; xv. 34,-and for the force of the participle, ch. ii. 1.

6, ii, 14. Heb, vi, 12 only †, (γμεῖσθαι, 2 Thess, iii, 7, 9.) odu, Acts xi, 29. Phì, ii, 19, d see Eph, i, 1, Acts xi, vi, 15. e Mark xi, 21, xiv, 72. 2 Cor, vii, 15. 2 Tim, i, 6, Heb, x, 32 only. Gen, viiii, 1 vat. (γμνησις, ch, xi, 24.) f e – ch, xii, 31, xee Acts xiii, 10 reff. g acts xvii, 30 reff. h w, gen, abs , 2 Cor, v. 20. 2 Pet, i 3. Soph, Œd. Tyr, 11. Winer, edn. 6, § 65. 9.

15. om ιησου B Clem Pac: ins ACDFLN rel vulg Syr.

16. for oυν, δε D1F.

17. aft τουτο ins αυτο AN 17. rec τεκνου bef μου (corrn to more usual order), with DFL latt Thdrt Thl Ge lat-fi: txt ABCN m 17 arm Chr Damase. for $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\nu$, $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\sigma$ s F. for κυριω, χριστω A. αναμμωνησει(sic) A a¹. for χριστω, χρ. ιησου CD²N b m o 17 vulg-ed syr copt Chr Damase lat-fi: κυριω ιησ. D¹F: txt ABD³L rel am(with demid al) Syr Orig Thdrt Thl Ge.

contrasts with ἐντρέπων γράφω, the construction being purposely adopted, to set in a more vivid light the paternal intention:—I am not writing these things (vv. 8—13) as shaming you,—but I am admonishing you as my beloved children.

15.] justification of the expression τέκνα μου. μυρίους, the greatest possible number—see reff. παιδαγ.] He was their spiritual father: those who followed, Apollos included, were but tutors, having the care and education of the children, but not the rights, as they could not have the peculiar affection of the father. He evidently shews by μυρίουs, that these παιδαγωγοί were more in number than he could wish,-including among them doubtless the false and party teachers: but to refer the word only to them and their despotic leading (as Beza, Calvin, al., and De Wette), or to confine its meaning to the stricter sense of παιδαγωγός, the slave who led the child to school, is not here borne out by the facts. See ref. and note: and for the wider sense of παιδαγ., examples in Wetst. άλλ' οὐ brings out the contrast strongly, giving almost the sense of 'at non ideo:' so Æsch. in Ctes. § 155, καὶ γὰρ ἐὰν αὐτὰ διεξίη τὰ ἐκ τοῦ ψηφίσματος προςτάγματα, άλλ' οὐ τόγ' ἐκ τῆς ἀληθείας αἰσχρὸν σιωπηθήσεται. See Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 40. ἐν γὰρ χρ.] For in Christ Jesus (as the spiritual element in which the begetting took place: so commonly èv χριστ $\hat{\varphi}$, applied to relations of life, see ver. 17, bis,—not to be joined as De W. with έγώ, q. d. έγω γαρ έν χ. Ίησοῦ δ. τ. εὐ. ύμ. ἐγέννησα) by means of the gospel (the preached word being the instrument) I

(emphatic) begat you (there is also an emphasis on buas, as coming before the verb, q.d. in your case, I it was who begat you).
your father.
perhaps chiefly, in the things just mentioned, vv. 9—13,—but as ver. 17, in al δδοί μου al èv χρ., my manner of life and teaching. See reft. 17.] διὰ τοῦτο, -in order that you may the better imitate me by being put in mind of my ways and teaching : not, as Chrys., Theophyl., al., ἐπειδη ὡς παίδων κήδομαι, καὶ ὡς γεγεννηκώs,-which would make ver. 16 a very harsh parenthesis, and destroy the force of what follows. On the fact, see Prolegg. to 2 Cor., § ii. 4. see 1 Tim. i. 2, 18; 2 Tim. i. 2. Meyer remarks, that by the strict use of the word τέκνον in this passage (vv. 14, 15) we have a certain proof that Timothy was converted by Paul: see Acts xiv. 6, 7 and note. "The phrase seems to be used here in reference to τέκνα άγαπητά, ver. 14: 'I sent Timotheus, who stands to me in the same relation that you stand (in)." Stanley. ἐν κυρίω] points out the spiritual nature of the relationship. avauvioei] Timothy, by being himself a close imitator of the Christian virtues and teaching of his and their spiritual father, would bring to their minds his well-known character, and way of teaching, which they seemed to have well-nigh forgotten. See 2 Tim. iii. 10. specifies what before was expressed generally: so Luke xxiv. 19, 20, τὰ περί Ἰησοῦ ὅπως τε παρέδωκαν αὐτὸν οἱ ἀρχιερείς κ.τ.λ.; and Thucyd. i. 1, τον πόλεμον τῶν Πελ. κ. ᾿Αθ., ὡς ἐπολέμησαν

 $\frac{Sc.}{Names iv. 15.}$ καὶ γνώσομαι οὐ τὸν m λόγον τῶν 1 πεφυσιωμένων, ἀλλὰ λΒCDF $\frac{Sc.}{Names iv. 15.}$ τὴν $\frac{m}{2}$ δύναμιν' $\frac{20}{2}$ οὐ γὰρ ἐν $\frac{m}{2}$ λόγω ἡ n βασιλεία τοῦ n θεοῦ, c dǐ ṛ sh. $\frac{Sc.}{Names}$ $\frac{Sc.$ και γνωσομαι ου τον "λόγον των ιπεφυσιωμένων, αλλά ABCDF ο Rom. i. 4 reff. ύμας, η ρ εν αγάπη, πνεύματι τε τποαύτητος;

= ch. v. s. 2 Cor. ii. 1. Eph. l. 8. iii. 12, iv. 15, 17 al. i. 8 al. 21. iii. 13. 1 Pet. iii. 15. Ps. xliv. 4. 18. om δε F latt copt lat-ff.

q Rev. ii. 27. Isa. x. 24. r Matt. x. 10 l. Heb. t Paul (2 Cor. x. l. Gal. v. 23. vi. l al4.) only, exc. James i.

19. om ov D^1 . aft $\lambda o \gamma o \nu$ ins $\alpha v \tau \omega \nu$ F. τον πεφυσιωμένον L h m 3, 461-9. 57. 109-16 lectt 7. 12 Orig (not Clem Chr Thdrt &c). 21. rec πραοτητος, with DFLN rel(many greek fathers): txt ABC1 or 2 17 Damasc.

πρός άλλήλους. πανταχοῦ ἐν π. έκκλ.] To shew the importance of this his manner of teaching, he reminds them of his unvarying practice of it: and as he was guided by the Spirit, by inference, of its

universal necessity in the churches.

18-20.] To guard against misrepresentation of the coming of Timothy just announced, by those who had said and would now the more say, ' Paul dare not come to Corinth,' he announces the certainty of his coming, if the Lord will. έρχομένου forms one idea, and the δέ is in consequence placed after it all: so Thucyd. i. 6, έν τοις πρώτοι δε 'Αθηναίοι: Isocr. περί είρ., p. 160, ὅτι ἄν τύχη δὲ γενησόμενον. Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 190. &s expresses the assumption in their minds: the present part. ἐρχομένου refers to their

saying - οὐκ ἔρχεται, as Meyer. έλεύσομαι is prefixed, for emphasis, being the matter in doubt: as we say, 'Come I ταχέως] How soon, see ch. xvi. 8. γνώσομαι] I will inform myself of-not the words of those who are puffed up (those I care not for), but their power: whether they are really mighty in the Spirit, or not. This general reference of δύν. must be kept, and not narrowed, as Chrys., Theophyl., to power of working miracles: or "quantum apud vos sua scientia et doctrina quam jactant profecerint," Est.; or virtuous lives (Theodoret, al.), or energy in the work of the gospel (Meyer): he leaves it general and indefinite. 20.] Justification of this his intention by the very nature of that kingdom of which he was the ambassador.

ή βασ. τ. θεοῦ, the Kingdom (τ. οὐρ. Matt. iii. 2; iv. 17 and passim; τ . θ . Mark i. 15, al.) announced by the prophets, preached by the Lord and the Apostles, being now prepared on earth and received by those who believe on Christ, and to be consummated when He returns with His saints: see Phil. iii. 20, 21; Eph. v. 5.

έν λόγω έν δυνάμει is

not (i. e. does not consist in, has not its conditions and element of existence) in (mere) word, but in might - is a kingdom of power. 21.] He offers them, with a view to their amendment, the alternative: 'shall his coming be in a judicial or in a friendly spirit?' as depending on themselves. τί not for πότερον (as Meyer, De W.), but general, and afterwards confined to the two alternatives: What will ye (respecting my coming)? ἔλθω, must I come? ἐν ῥάβδω, with a rod; but not only 'with,' as accompanied with: the prep. gives the idea of the element in which, much as ἐν δόξη: not only with a rod, but in such purpose as to use it. There is no Hebraism: see Passow under εν, No. 3 and 4. He speaks as a father : τί ἐστιν, ἐν ῥάβδω; ἐν κολάσει, εν τιμωρία, Chrys. πνεύμ. τ. πραύτητος] generally, and by De Wette, explained, a gentle spirit, meaning by πνεύμ. his own spirit: but Meyer has remarked, that in every place in the N. T. where πνεθμα is joined with an abstract genitive, it imports the Holy Spirit, and the abstract genitive refers to the specific working of the Spirit in the case in hand. So $\pi\nu$. της ἀληθείας (John xv. 26; xvi. 13; 1 John iv. 6), νίοθεσίας (Rom. viii. 15), τῆς πίστεως (2 Cor. iv. 13), σοφίας (Eph. i. 17), άγιωσύνης (Rom. i. 4). [This does not however appear to be without exceptions: cf. πνεῦμα ἀσθενείας, Luke xiii. 11; δουλείας, Rom. viii. 15; κατανύξεως, Rom. xi. 8; δειλίας, 2 Tim. i. 7; της πλάνης, 1 John iv. 6. We may indeed say, that in none of these cases is the πνεθμα subjective, or the phrase a mere periphrasis: but the πνεθμα is objective, a possessing, indwelling spirit, whether of God or otherwise.] And so Chrys., Theo-phyl., — ξυι γὰρ καὶ πνεῦμα αὐστηρότητος κ. τιμωρίας, ἀλλ' ἀπὸ τῶν χρησοτέρων αὐτὸ καλεῖ· ὡς καὶ τὸν θεὸν οἰκτίρμονα κ. έλεήμονά φαμεν, άλλ' οὐ κολαστήν, καίτοιγε καὶ τοῦτο ὄυτα. Theophyl.

 $V.^{-1}$ ω' Ολως v ἀκούεται ἐν ὑμῖν w πορνεία, καὶ τοιαὑτη a Matt. v. 84. c καὶ τοιαὑτη a Matt. v. 84. c πορνεία x ἥτις y οὐδὲ ἐν τοῖς ἔθνεσιν, ωςτε γυναϊκά τινα v + here only. τοῦ πατρὸς z ἔχειν c 2 καὶ ὑμεῖς b πεφυσιωμένοι ἐστὲ καὶ v Μεσε. x. 13. 18 οὐχὶ μᾶλλον c ἐπενθήσατε, d ἴνα c ἀρθη c ἐκ Γμέσου ὑμῶν o χ. χ. 11-οὶ ii. 3. 18 οὐχὶ μᾶλλον c ἐπενθήσατε, d ἴνα c ἀρθη c ἐκ Γμέσου ὑμῶν o χ. 11-οὶ ii. 3. 19 οὐχὶ c Να την d γ. 19 οῦς θενίς o Θρ. 10 οῦς θενίς o Θρ. 11 οῦς θενίς o Θρ. 11 οῦς Θρ.

y = Matt, vi. 29. ch. xiv. 21. Gal. ii. 5 al. xx iii. 26. John ix. 64. 2 xx iii. 28. ch. vii. 2. 29. xx iii. 30. 2 = Matt, xiv. 4. xx ii. 28. ch. vii. 2. 29. b. ch. iv. xviii. 11, 15. 19 only. Latt. 4. 13. 15. Matk xx ii 11. Latke vi. 25. 2 Cor. ii. 2. b. ch. iv. xviii. 11, 15. 19 only. Latt. 4. 13. 15. Matk xx ii 11. Latke vi. 25. 2 Cor. ii. 21. James iv. 9. Rev. xvii. 22. John ii. 16 al. 1ss. Ivii. 1, 2. Catta xviii. 37 ceff. c = Matt. xiii. 12. Luke xviii. 37 ceff.

CHAP. V. 1. ree aft $\epsilon\theta\nu\epsilon\sigma\nu\nu$ ins $\sigma\nu\sigma\mu\alpha\hat{\zeta}\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$ (see note), with LN3 rel syrr Chr Thdrt Cassiod: om ABCDFN¹ I7 latt copt ath arm Orig Manes(in Epiph) Tert Lucif. $\tau\sigma\nu$ $\tau\sigma\nu$ $\tau\sigma\nu$ DF.

2. for ouxi, ov F. rec εξαρθη (corrn from ver 13), with L rel Chr Thdrt: txt

V. 1-13. CONCERNING A GROSS CASE OF INCEST WHICH HAD ARISEN, AND WAS HARBOURED, AMONG THEM (vv. 1-8): AND QUALIFICATION OF A FORMER COM-MAND WHICH HE HAD GIVEN THEM RE-SPECTING ASSOCIATION WITH GROSS SIN-NERS (9-13). The subject of this chapter is bound on to the foregoing by the question of ch. iv. 21: and it furnishes an instance of those things which required his apostolic discipline. 1. δλως, actually, 'omnino,' see reff.: in negative sentences, 'at all.' ἀκούεται ἐν ὑμ. πορνεία] another way of saying ακούουσί τινες εν ύμ. πόρνοι,—the character of πόρνος is borne (by some) among you,fornication is borne as a character among you. From missing this sense of aκούομαι, Commentators have gone wrong (1) as to ολωs, rendering it 'commonly,' to suit ακούεται, 'is reported,'-(2) as to εν ύμιν, joining it with πορνεία, whereas it belongs to ακούεται,-(3) as to ήτις οὐδε εν τ. $\xi\theta\nu$., see below. καὶ τοιαύτ. π .] And fornication of such a sort (the καί rises in a climax, there being an ellipsis of οὐ μόνον ..., ἀλλὰ before it; so Aristoph. Ran. 116, ὧ σχέτλιε, τολμήσεις γαρ ιέναι και σύ γε; see Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 134), as (is) not (borne as a character) even among the heathen. The ονομάζεται of the rec. is a elumsy gloss, probably from Eph. v. 3: the meaning being, that not even among the heathen does any one ἀκούει πόρνος in this sense, that it was a crime that they would not tolerate as a matter of public notoriety. So that one among you has (as wife most probably, not merely as concubine: the word ξχω in such cases universally in the N. T. signifying to possess in marriage: and Meyer remarks that ὁ τὸ ἔργον τοῦτο ποιήσας (ver. 2), and τον ούτως τουτο κατεργασάμενον (ver. 3) seem to point to a consummation of marriage, not to mere coneubinage) his father's wife (i.e. his step-mother, see Lev. xviii. 8; οὐκ ϵἶπϵ

μητρυιὰν ἀλλὰ γυναῖκα πατρός, ὥςτε πολλῷ χαλεπώτερον πλήξαι, Chrys.). Commentators generally refer to Cicero, Pro Cluentio, 5, 6, "Nubit genero socrus, nullis auspicibus, nullis auctoribus, funestis ominibus omnium omnibus. O mulieris scelus incredibile, et præter hane unam, in omni vita inauditum," &c. It may seem astonishing that the authorities in the Corinthian church should have allowed such a case to escape them, or if known, should have tolerated it. Perhaps the universal laxity of morals at Corinth may have weakened the severity even of the Christian elders: perhaps, as has often been suggested, the offender, if a Jewish convert, might defend his conduct by the Rabbinical maxim that in the case of a proselyte, the forbidden degrees were annulled, a new birth having been undergone by him (see Maimon, in Wetst.). This latter however is rendered improbable by the fact that the Apostle says nothing of the woman, which he would have done had she been a Christian :- and that Jewish maxim was taxed with the condition, that a proselyte might marry any of his or her former relatives, 'modo ad Judaicam religionem transierint.' The father was living, and is described in 2 Cor. vii. 12, as δ αδικηθείς;—and from the Apostle saying there that he did not write on his account, he was probably a Christian. 2.] καί often introduces a question, especially one by which something inconsistent or preposterous is brought out,-see reff.: and note on 2 Cor. ii. 2. πεφυσ. ἐστέ Not, which would be absurd, at the occurrence of this crime, οὐκ ἐπὶ τῷ ἁμαρτήματι· τοῦτο γὰρ ἀλογίας. Chrys.: neither, as he proceeds, -άλλ' ἐπὶ τῆ διδασκαλία τη ἐκείνου, imagining the offender to have been some party teacher: so also Theophyl.:-but, as before, with a notion of your wisdom and spiritual perfection: the being puffed up is only cum hoc, not propter hoc. ἐπενθήσατε] And did propter hoc.

 $\frac{g}{h} = \frac{ch}{ch} \cdot \frac{x_i}{x_i} \cdot \frac{18}{2} \cdot c_0 \cdot \frac{x_i}{x_i} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot c_0 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{x_i}{x_i} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot c_0 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{x_i}{x_i} \cdot \frac{x_i}{x_i}$

ABCDEN a in 17 Epiph. for ποιησ., πραξαs ACN in 17 Orig Epiph Bas: txt BDFL rel Chr Thdrt.

3. rec ins ωs bef απων (to corresp with ωs παρων below, it being imagined that απων πνευμ. vas to be taken together: so Mey), with D2FL rel syr Dial Chr Thdrt Thl Ge Lucif Aug, Pelag Bede: om ABCD¹N m 17 vulg eopt Manes(in Epiph) Epiph Orig-int Thl. om τουτο F latt arm Lucif Aug.

4. om 1st ημων AN demid Bas Lucif Pac. rec aft 1st ιησ. ins χριστου, with D³FLN rel Syr syr-w-ast Dial Chr Thdrt lat-ff: om ABD¹ am ath-rom Lucif. rec aft 2nd ιησ. ins χριστου, with D³FL rel vss Orig, Chr Thdrt Lucif, Aug, Pac: om

ABD'N vulg syr æth-rom Origa Dial lat-ff.

ye not rather mourn (viz. when the erime became first known to you), in order that (your mourning would be because of the existence of the evil, i. e. with a view to its removal) he who did this deed (the past part. ποιήσαs is itself used from the past point of time indicated by ἐπενθήσατε, and must therefore be expressed by the past) might (may) be removed from among you (viz. by your easting him out 3-5.] justifies from your society)? the expression $l\nu\alpha$ $d\rho\theta\hat{\eta}$ just used, by declaring the judgment which the Apostle, although absent, had already passed on the 3.] έγω μέν γάρ, I for my offender. part . . . , 'ego certe:' so Aristoph. Plut. 355, μὰ Δί', ἐγὰ μὲν ού: see Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 413. ώς παρών, αз if really present, not, as being present in τὸν οὕτως τοῦτ. κατ. The object is put foremost for emphasis, sake, and after several intervening clauses, taken up again with τον τοιοῦτον, ver. 5.

οὖτως, Meyer thinks, alludes to some pecaliarly offensive method in which he had brought about the marriage, which was known to the Corinthians, but unknown to us. Olsh. understands it, 'under such circumstances,' 'being such as he is, a member of Christ's body.' But this, being before patent, would hardly be thus emphatically denoted. Perhaps after all, τοῦτο κατεργασάμενον refers to πορνεία generally, οῦτως to τομανίτη ποργεία, yer. l.

4.] We may arrange this sentence in four different ways: (1) ἐν τῷ δν. may belong to ανωχθέντων, and σὸν τῷ δνν. to παραδοῦναι,—so Beza, Calov., Billroth, Olsh, al.: (2) both ἐν τῷ ὀν. and σὸν τῷ δνν. may belong to σνωχθέντων,—so Chrys., Theophyl.(altern.), Calvin(quoting

for σὺν τῆ δυν. Matt. xviii. 20), Grot., Rückert: (3) both may belong to mapaδοῦναι, -so Mosheim, Schrader, al.: or (4) έν τῷ ὀν. belongs to παραδοῦναι, and σὐν τῆ δυν. to συναχθέντων,—so Luther, Castal., Estius, Bengel, De Wette, Meyer, al. And this, I am persuaded, is the right arrangement. For according to (2) and (3), the balance of the sentence would be destroyed, no adjunct of authority being given to one member of it, and both to the other: and (1) is hardly consistent with the arrangement of the clauses, the parenthetical portion beginning far more naturally with the participle than with ἐν τῷ ov., -not to mention that the common formula of the Apostle's speaking authoritatively, is ἐν τῷ ὀνόματι Ἰησοῦ χρ. or the like: see Acts iii. 16; xvi. 18; 2 Thess. iii. 6. The sentence then will stand: - (I have decreed), -in the name of our Lord Jesus (when ye have been assembled together and my spirit with the power of our Lord Jesus), (i. e. ' I myself, in spirit, endowed by our Lord Jesus with apostolic power: σου τη δύν. belongs to τω ξμοῦ πνεύμ., and is not, as in Chrys.,—see above -merely an element in the assembly) to deliver such an one (reff.) to Satan for the destruction of his flesh, that his spirit may be saved in the day of the Lord. What does this sentence import? Not, mere excommunication, though it is doubtless included. It was a delegation to the Corinthian church of a special power, reserved to the Apostles themselves, of inflicting corporeal death or disease as a punishment for sin. Of this we have notable examples in the case of Ananias and Sapphira, and Elymas, and another hinted at 1 Tim. i. 20. The congregation itself

 5 ο παραδούναι τὸν q τοιούτον τῷ σατανῷ p εἰς r ὅλεθρον $^{\circ}$ $^{-1}$ Tim.i.20. τῆς s σαρκός, ἴνα τὸ s πνεῦμα σωθη ἐν τῆ t ἡμέρα το o o p Νίκι o $^$ της 8 σαρκός, ίνα το a πνευμα σωυη εν τη ημενά p p Markxiii.12 κυρίου. 6 οὐ καλὸν τὸ u καύχημα ὑμῶν. οὐκ οἴδατε ὅτι p siii.12. n μικρὰ xx ζύμη ὅλον τὸ yy φύραμα xz ζυμοῖ; 7 εκκαθά 7 εκκαθά ρατε τὴν b παλαιὰν w ζύμην, ΐνα ἦτε νέον y φύραμα, καθώς q τημεντίς εστε c ἄζυμοι καὶ γὰρ τὸ d ε πάσχα ἡμῶν e ε ετύθη χριστός. shatt.xxvi.

41 | Mk. Rom. ii. 28, 29, viii. 4 al., x ch. i. 8 reff. u Rom. iv. 2 reff. v Rom. iv. 21 reff. v Rom. iv. 23 reff. v Rom. iv. 22 reff. v Rom. iv. 23 reff. v Rom. iv. 23 reff. v Rom. iv. 25 reff. v Rom. iv.

5. for τον τοιουτ., αυτον F. rec aft κυριου ins ιησου, with LX rel am(with tol al) Chr Thi Ge Orig-int, Alago: ιησ. χριστου D demid: ημων ιησ. χρ. AF m 17 vss(ημων and χρ. syr-w-ast) Origo Thatt lat-fi: txt B Origo Orig-int, Terto Hilo Aug, Paco. (It seems evident that κυριον alone was the origl, and the other varr are additions.)

6. for ζυμοι, δολοι D¹ Bas-ed Hesych(μppy): corrumpit vulg D-lat Iren Lucif

Orig-int: txt ABC2DFLN rel.

7. rec aft εκκαθαρατε ins ουν, with CLN3 rel syr Thdrt Thl Orig-int: om ABDFN 1 vulg Syr Meion-t Clem Bas Chr Œc Tert Cypr Lucif Ambrst. rec aft $\pi\alpha\sigma\chi$, $\eta\mu\omega\nu$ add υπερ ημων (a doctrinal gloss), with LN3 rel syrr goth Orig, Method Thart Pseud-Ath Thl Ec: om ABCDFN 17 latt copt ath Clem Origsape (mss vary3) Ath Meion-e Chr, Cyr Cypr Tert Archel Ambrst Jer Augsæpe. elz εθυθη: txt ABDFLX rel. (C is here illegible.) ins o bef χριστος I

could αἴρειν ἐκ μέσου,—but it could not παραδοῦναι τῷ σατανᾳ εἰς ὅλεθρον τῆς σαρκόs, without the authorized concurrence of the Apostle's πνεύματος, σὺν τῆ δυν. τ. κυρ. ήμ. ΓΙησοῦ. What the δλεθρος τ. σαρκός was to be, does not appear: certainly more than the mere destruction of his pride and lust by repentance, as some (Estius, Beza, Grot., al.) suppose: rather, as Chrys., ίνα μαστίξη αὐτὸν έλκει πονηρώ ή νόσω έτέρα. Estius's objection to this, that in 2 Cor. ii. and vii. we find no trace of such bodily chastisement, is not to the point,-because we have no proof that this παράδοσις was ever inflicted,-nor does the Apostle command it, but only describes it as his own determination, held as it were in terrorem over

the offender. See note on ver. 13. Obs., σαρκός, the offending element, not σώματος. Paul could not say ὅλεθρον τοῦ σώματος, seeing that the body is to partake of the salvation of the spirit ;-but not the σάρξ, see ch. xv. 50. 5. ίνα τὸ πν. σωθη The aim of the $\delta \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho$. τ . $\sigma \alpha \rho$.,—which he said ήδη τω διαβόλω νόμους τιθείς, καί ούκ άφιείς αὐτὸν περαιτέρω προβήναι, as Chrys. Thus the proposed punishment, severe as it might seem, would be in reality a merciful one, tending to the eternal happiness of the offender. A greater contrast to this can hardly be conceived, than the terrible forms of excommunication subsequently devised, and even now in use in the

Romish church, under the fiction of delegated apostolic power. The delivering to Satan for the destruction of the spirit, can belong only to those who do the work of Satan. Stanley remarks, "For the popular constitution of the early Corinthian church, see Clem. Rom. i. 44 [p. 297]: where the rulers of that society are described as having been appointed συνευ-

δοκησάσης της έκκλησίας πάσης." 6. \['How inconsistent with your harbouring such an one, appear your high-flown conceits of yourselves!' καύχημα, conceits of yourselves!' καύχημα, your matter of glorying. Are you not aware that a little leaven imparts a character to the whole lump? That this is the meaning, and not, 'that a little leaven will, if not purged out, leaven the whole lump,' is manifest from the point in hand, viz. the inconsistency of their boasting: which would not appear by their danger of corruption hereafter, but by their character being actually lost. One of them was a fornicator of a fearfully depraved kind, tolerated and harboured: by this fact, the character of the whole was tainted. 7. The παλαιά ζύμη is not the man, but the crime attaching to their character as a church, which was a remnant of their unconverted state, their malaids άνθρωπος. This they are to purge out from among them. The ἐκκαθάρ, alludes to the careful 'purging out' from the houses of every thing leavened before the commenceg - ch xi 33. 8 g ωςτε h εορτάζωμεν μη i εν ω ζύμη b παλαια μηδε i εν ABCDF κiν 30. xv. LN ab " ζύμη jk κακίας και kl πονηρίας, άλλ' ί έν c άζύμοις m είλι- cdfgh

eff. j ch. xiv. 20 reff. 12. ii. 17 only†. (-νής, Phil. i. 10.) k Rom. i. 29. l Acts iii. 26. m 2 Cor. i. n = John iii. 21,

8. εορταζομεν AD d: txt BCFLN rel. πορνειας F. (G-lat has both.) ment of the feast of unleavened bread. for $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon$, $\mu\eta$ B. for movnpias,

Schöttgen, Hor. Hebr., in loc., gives a full account of the extreme care with which this was done. See also Stanley's note. That ye may be a new lump (opposed to the παλαιδε ἄνθρωπος of old and dissolute days), as ye are (normally and by your Christian profession) unleavened (i. e. dead to sin and free from it). This indicating the state by profession, the normal state, as a fact, and the grounding of exhortations on it, is common enough with our Apostle,—see Rom. vi. 3, 4: ch. iii. 16, al. freq., and involves no tautology here, any more than elsewhere. An unfortunate interpretation has been given to these words, - as ye are now celebrating the feast of unleavened bread;' and has met with some recent defenders, e. g. Wieseler,-and Cony beare, Life and Epistles of St. Paul, edn. 2, vol. ii. p. 40, note. But first, the words will not admit it; for άζυμοι cannot (as joined immediately with ἐν ἀζύμοις, ver. 8) without much harshness be applied in its literal sense to the celebrators of the feast, but must indicate the material which was unleavened, see reff., - ἄρτον ζυμιτήν, άζυμον, Athenæus iii. 109, and Gen. xix. 3; Exod. xxix. 2. Secondly, the celebration of a Jewish feast would certainly not be predicated without remark of a whole mixed congregation of Gentiles and Jews, even supposing that the Gentile converts did celebrate it with the Jews. It is no answer to this, to cite passages (see Conyb. and Howson, ubi supra), where he seems to treat mixed churches, e. g. Gal. iv. 8; Rom. vii. 1; xi. 18, as if they belonged wholly to one or other of their component elements. For this is not a parallel case. He would here, as above, be distinctly predicating, as a fact, of the whole church, a practice which he himself would have been the first to deprecate. See Gal. iv. 10. Thirdly, it is not at all probable that the Apostle would either address the Corinthians as engaged in a feast which he, at Ephesus, was then celebrating, seeing that it would probably be over before his letter could be delivered, -or would anticipate their being engaged in it when they received his letter, if it were yet to come. For be it remembered, that

in the sense required, they would only be άζυμοι during seven days. Here again, I do not see how the example of "a birth-day letter to a friend in India," adduced by Mr. Conybeare as an answer to my objection, will apply. It seems to me that if strictly considered, in detail, it tells my way, not his. But, fourthly,-and even could all the other objections be answered, this would remain in its full force,-the reference is one wholly alien from the habit and spirit of our Apostle. The ordinances of the old law are to him not points on whose actual observance to ground spiritual lessons, but things passed away in their literal acceptance, and become spiritual verities in Christ. He thus regards the Corinthian church as (normally) the unleavened lump at the Passover; he beseeches them to put away the old leaven from among them, to correspond with this their normal state: for, he adds, it is high time for us to be άζυμοι in very deed (καλ γάρ-so Xen. Anab. v. 8. 7, ἀκούσατε, έφη, καὶ γὰρ ἄξιον. It introduces a powerful reason, for [on other accounts and] also. See Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 137. 8), seeing that our Passover was sacrificed (see reff.: and cf. Heb. ix. 26, 28), even Christ (the days of unleavened bread began with the Passover-sacrifice): therefore (reff.) let us keep the feast (not the actual Passover, but the continued Passover-feast of Christians on whose behalf Christ has died. There is no change of metaphor: the Corinthians are the living ἄρτοι, as believers are the living stones of the spiritual temple) not in (as our element) the old leaven (general-our old unconverted state), nor (particular) in the leaven of vice and wickedness (the genitives are of apposition, - 'the leaven which is vice and wickedness;' see Winer, edn. 6, § 59. 8. a), but in the unleavenedness (τὰ ἄζυμα, unleavened things, see Exod. xii. 15, 18) of sincerity and truth. The view here maintained is that of Chrys., καὶ αὐτὸς δὲ ἐπιμένει τῆ μεταφορᾶ, ἀναμιμνήσκων παλαιᾶς αὐτοὺς ίστορίας, και πάσχα και άζύμων, και των εὐεργεσιών των τότε καλ τῶν νῦν, καλ τῶν κολάσεων καλ τῶν τιμωριών έορτης άρα δ παρών καιρός. καλ γάρ είπων έορτάζωμεν, οὐκ ἐπειδή πάσχα

9 "Εγραψα ὑμῖν ἐν ° τῆ ἐπιστολῆ μὴ ^p συναναμίγνυσθαι ^{o (see note)} απόρνοις 10 ου τπάντως τοῖς ⁹¹ πόρνοις τοῦ κόσμου τοῦ- ⁹ πόρνοις τοῦς ⁹¹ πόρνοις τοῦς ⁹¹ κοῦνοις ⁹¹ κοῦνοι του $\hat{\eta}$ τοῖς $^{\rm su}$ πλεονέκταις καὶ $^{\rm v}$ ἄρπαξιν $\hat{\eta}$ $^{\rm stw}$ είδωλολάτραις, $^{\rm con.tv.m.}$ $^{\rm thess.v.2}$ του η τοις πλευνεκταις και απόσμου έξελθείν· 11 νῦν $\stackrel{\circ}{\epsilon}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ$

14 only. Hos, vii. 8 F. $(\sigma \upsilon \mu \mu'_1 \gamma_{\nu}$, var.) only. [q as below (s,t). 1 Tim. 1. 10. Heb. xii. 4 only t. Str. xxiii. 16, 17 only. r see Rom. iii. 9 refi. sch. vi. 9. Epih. v. 5 r as abore (s). Rev. xxii. 8 xxiii. 15. u as abore (s) here his only t. Sir. xiv. 9 only. v. 5 r bis. ch. x. 7 only t. $(-r_2 e r_{co})$ ch. x. 11. 10. v. 5 r was abore (s). Here bis. ch. x. 7 only t. $(-r_2 e r_{co})$ ch. x. 14. 10 only. y. Rom. xv. 1 refi. 2 Rom. xv. 1 refi.

10. rec ins και bef ου παντως, with D3LN3 rel syr Orig-c Chr Thdrt Thl Œc: txt ABCD'FN' 17 latt Syr copt Orig Tert Lucif Ambrst Pelag. rec (for και) ή (alteration to conform to the general context), with D2.3LN3 rel vss Orig Chr Thdrt Lucif: txt ABCD FN m 17. rec οφειλετε (corrn from misunderstanding: see note), with B2 rel Chr Thdrt al: txt A B1 (Verc) CDFLN c n 17 latt syrr copt Damasc Orig-int Tert Lucif.

παρην, οὐδὲ ἐπειδὴ ἡ πεντηκοστή, ἔλεγεν, άλλα δεικνύς ότι πας 5 χρόνος έορτης έστι καιρός τοις Χριστιανοίς διά την ύπερβολην $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta o \theta \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \omega \nu d \gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$. With regard to the chronological superstructure which has been built (by Wieseler and others) on this passage, that the Epistle was written shortly before Easter, we cannot of course say that the approach of the Passover may not have suggested to the Apostle this similitude: and we know from ch. xvi. 8 that he was looking forward to Pentecost. But further than this it would not be safe to assume: see Prolegg. to this Epis-9-13.] Correction of their tle, § vi. 3, 4. misunderstanding of a former command of his respecting keeping company with forni-cators. 9.] I wrote to you in the epistle (not this present epistle, which τŷ ἐπιστολη might mean, see reff.,—for there is nothing in the preceding part of this Epistle which can by any possibility be so interpreted,-certainly not either ver. 2 or ver. 6, which are commonly alleged by those who thus explain it—and εν τη επιστολή would be a superfluous and irrelevant addition, if he meant the letter on which he was now engaged :- but, a former epistle, which has not come down to us :-- cf. the similar expression, ref. 2 Cor. used with reference to this Epistle,-and see note on 2 Cor. i. 15, 16. So Ambrose, Calvin, Beza, Estius, Grot., Calov., Bengel, Wetst., Mosh., De Wette, Meyer: so also Lightfoot, understanding however an Epistle committed to Timothy, see ch. iv. 17: which could not be, as Timothy was not coming to them till after they had received this Epistle, ch. xvi. 10, and thus the words would be unintelligible to them:—on the other side are Chrys., Theodoret, Theophyl., Erasm., Corn.-a-lapide, Wolf, al. It has been suggested [see Stanley, in loc.] that the whole passage, ch. v. 9-vi. 8, may have been a postscript or note inserted subsequently to the rest of the Epistle, and referring especially

to ch. vi. 9-20) not to keep company 10.] οὐ πάντως with fornicators. limits the prohibition, which perhaps had been complained of owing to its strictness, and the impossibility of complying with it in so dissolute a place as Corinth, and excepts the fornicators of this world, i.e. who are not professing Christians: not under all circumstances with the fornicators of this world: so Theophr. C. P. vi. 25, cited by Wetst. on Rom. iii. 9, ποιεί γὰρ οὐ πάντως, ἀλλ' ἐὰν οὐλή τις ἢ ὑπόκαυστος.

ού, not μή, because not the whole context of the prohibition is negatived, but only one portion of it, and thus οὐ πάντως τ_{\bullet} π . τ . $\kappa \delta \sigma$. τ . stands together as one idea. So Thueyd. i. 51, ὑποτοπήσαντες ἀπ' 'Αθηνῶν εἶναι οὐχ ὄσας ἐώρων ἀλλὰ πλείους. See more examples in Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. p. 125, 6. τοῦ κόσμ. τούτου, belonging to the number of unbelievers,—Christians who were πόρνοι being expressly excluded. So Paul ever uses this expression, ch. iii. 19; (2 Cor. iv. 4;) πλεονέκταις and αρπαξιν Eph. ii. 2. are joined by καί, as belonging to the same class-that of covetous persons; -πλεονέκτης being an avaricious person, not a lascivious one, as sometimes rendered (e. g. Conybeare, vol. ii. p. 41, edn. 2), nor does it seem to have any where merely this meaning; see Eph. iv. 19 and note. Compare on the other side Stanley's note here, which however has not convinced me. The root of the two sins being the same, viz. lust or greed, they come often to be mentioned together and as if running into one another. See Trench, N. T. Syn. pp. 91, 2. On ἄρπαξιν, Stanley remarks, "It is difficult to see why it should be expressly introduced here, especially if πλεονέκτης has the meaning of sensuality." Certainly: but not, if $\pi\lambda$, retains its proper meaning, as containing the key to πορνεία on the one hand, and άρπαγή on the other. ἐπεὶ ώφ. For in that case ye

z ch. vi.10 only. Έγραψα ύμιν μη ρ συναναμίγνυσθαι, έάν τις άδελφὸς ABCDF LN a b ονομαζόμενος $\tilde{\eta}^{\rm qst}$ πόρνος $\hat{\eta}^{\rm su}$ πλεονέκτης $\hat{\eta}^{\rm stw}$ είδωλολά- cd f g h 21. (-ρεῖν, ch. iv. 12. -ρία. 1 Tim. τρης η "λοίδορος η " μέθυσος η " ἄρπαξ, τῷ " τοιούτῳ μηδὲ $^{k \, l \, m \, n}$ $^{c,\, 14}$, $^{c,\, 14}$, $^{c,\, 16}$, $^{$ xxvi. 8 only, ver. 5.

f κρίνει. h Έξαρατε τον πονηρον έξ ύμων ι αυτων. c Luke xv. 2. of Luise xx. 12.

Act x x. 12.

Act x x. 12.

Act x x. 12.

Act x x. 13.

Act x x. 14.

Act x x. 14.

Act x x. 14.

Act x x. 14.

Act x x. 16.

11. rec vuvi, with CDR1 rel Chr, Thl Ec: txt ABFLR3 dk n 17 Bas Chr, Thdrt Steph for \$\hat{\eta}\$, \$\neq\$, with (B2 D-gr, perhaps) F-lat G-lat Aug_{sæpe}: txt (not defined in the other uncials) vulg syrr copt Iren-int Tert Augalic. ειδωλ. bef πλεον. m. ειδ. η λοιδ. η πλ. η αρπ. С. for μηδε, μη Α 119: μητε F. (non aut nec G-lat.)

12. for τι, ει F. rec aft μοι ins και, with DL rel syr Chr Thdrt Thl Œc: om

ABCFN 17 latt Syr copt Chr-mss₁. $κρινειτε \aleph^1$: txt \aleph -corr¹.

13. κρινεί a b d f g h k l o copt æth arm lat-ff and Chr Thdrt Thl in their comm: txt B'(perhaps)L D-lat syrr. (κρινει B¹ sed antea et mox κρειν. Verc.) εξαρατε) και εξαρειτε (και insid as above more than once, for connexion: but the abruptness is characteristic: -ρειτε from LXX-A), with D3L rel (tollite autem Syr, et tollite syr &c) Chr(om και? and -ρατε ms, in Matthaï) Thdrt Thl Œc: και εξαρατε 17: txt ABCD'FN d m latt copt goth Orig.

must go out of the world, -as Chrys. and Theophyl., έτέραν οἰκουμένην ζητῆσαι. The past ωφείλ., as έχρην, al., because the necessity would long ago have occurred and the act have passed. 11. νῦν δὲ ἔγραψα] But my meaning was ; - 'but, the case being so, that ye must needs consort with fornicators among the heathen, I wrote to you, not to consort, &c.' That this is the meaning and not 'But now I write (the epistolary aorist) &c.,' seems plain, from the use of expana twice so close together, and therefore probably in the same reference,-from the fact noticed by Meyer, that if a contrast had been intended between έν τη έπιστολή and νῦν, έν τη έπ. must have preceded ἔγραψα:—and from the usage of νῦν δέ, of which Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 25, gives examples, e. g. Plut. Protag. p. 347, νῦν δὲ σφόδρα γὰρ καὶ περὶ τῶν μεγίστων ψευδόμενος δοκεῖς ἀληθῆ λέγειν, διὰ ταῦτά σε έγὰ ψέγω,-and Lycurg. Leocr. p. 138, έβουλόμην δ' αν, δ άνδρες νῦν δὲ . . . See also Heb. xi. 16. Thus by the right rendering, we escape the awkward inference deducible from the ordinary interpretation, - that the Apostle had previously given a command, and now retracted it. ἐάν τις] If one who is called a brother be, &c. (Ecumenius, Augustine, Ambrose, Estius, al., join ονομαζόμενος with πόρνος, and understand it either as = ονομαστός, 'be a notorious πόρνος, δ.c., or be named a πόρνος, δ.c. But δνομαζόμ. or even δνομαστός, in the bad sense, is hardly ad-

missible,-and in either case Paul would have written άδελφός τις, the stress on άδελφόs in that case requiring it to precede τις, as it now precedes ονομαζόμενος.

είδωλολάτρης One who from any motive makes a compromise with the habits of the heathen, and partakes in their sacrifices: Chrys. well remarks, προκαταβάλλεται τον περί των είδωλοθύτων λόγον δν μετά ταῦτα μέλλει γυμνάζεσθαι. μέθυσος was, in pure Greek, not used of a man, but of a woman only. So Phrynichus, p. 151 (but see Lobeck's note), μέθυσος άνηρ οὐκ ἐρεῖς, ἀλλὰ μεθυστικός γυναῖκα δὲ έρεις μέθυσον κ. μεθύσην: and Pollux, vi. 25 (Wetst.), μέθυσος ἐπὶ ἀνδρῶν Μενάνδρω δεδόσθω. Seeing that μηδέ συνεσθίειν must imply a more complete separation than $\mu \dot{\eta}$ $\sigma \nu \nu \alpha \nu \alpha \mu i \gamma \nu \nu \sigma \theta \alpha i$, it cannot be applied to the $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha i$ (as Mosheim, al.), but must keep its general meaning,-not even to sit at table with such an one. This rule, as that in 2 Thess. iii. 14, regards only their private intercourse with the offending person: nothing is here said of public excommunication, though for some of these crimes it would be implied.

12. Ground of the above limitation. τί γάρ μοι] for what concern of mine is it . . . ? So Ælian, Var. Η. vi. 11, τους δε άλλους εω. τί γάρ μοι κωφοίς κ. ἀνοήτοις συμβουλεύειν τὰ λυσιτελέστατα; see other examples in Wetst.

τους έξω] reff. It was among the Jews the usual term for the Gentiles. Cf. Schöttgen in loc. He means, 'this might e εχων... ABCF LN a b c d e f g h k l m n o 17

VI. 1^k Τολμά τις ὑμῶν 1^l ποᾶγμα 1^k ἔχων 1^m πρὸς 1^m τον 1^k Acts v. 13. 1^m ἔτερον 1^m κρίνεσθαι 1^m έπὶ τῶν 1^m αδίκων καὶ οὐχὶ 1^m έπὶ τῶν 1^m Esth. vii. 2. Seth. vii. 3. Xen. Mem. Ii. 9. 1. It re-oily. 1^m Acts x. vi. 19 refi. 1^m 1^m Acts v. 10 refi. 1^m Acts v. 10 refi. 1^m 1^m Acts v. 10 refi. 1^m Acts v. 10 refi.

Chap. VI. 1. ins $\epsilon \xi$ bef $\nu \mu \omega \nu$ A a¹ d m 17 syrr Chr₁ Thdrt. $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha$ $\epsilon \chi \omega \nu$ DF Thdrt Cypr.—om $\tau \sigma \nu$ B.

προς τ. ετερ. bef

have been easily understood to be my meaning: for what concern have I with pronouncing sentence on the world without, or with giving rules of discipline for them? I could only have referred to persons among awareles?

oùχi τους among yourselves.'
οὐχὶ τους ἔσω] "Ex eo, quod in eeclesia
fleri solet, interpretari debuistis monitum meum, ver. 9. Cives judicatis, non alienos: quanto magis ego." Bengel. But I am not quite certain of this interpretation, which is also that of De Wette and Meyer, because it would more naturally correspond to οὐχλ τους έσω και υμείς κρίνετε; A preferable way seems to be this; 'My judgment was meant to lead your judgment. This being the case, what concern had I with those without? Is it not on those within, that your judgments are passed?' The arrangement mentioned by Theophylact, and adopted by Knatchbull, Hammond, Michaelis, Rosenm., al., οὐχί· τοὺς ἔσω ὑμεῖς κρίνετε, 'No: those within do ye (imper.) judge,'-is clearly wrong, for obx' is no answer to τί, and would require ἀλλά after it,-even supposing μοι τους έξω κρίνειν and τους έσω δμείς κρίνετε formed any intelligible logical contrast, which they do not. 13.] But those who are without GoD judgeth. The pres. κρίνει both expresses better the attribute and office of God, and answers better to the other presents than the future kpivei. I have therefore retained it. The future perhaps came from Heb. xiii. 4. 'To judge those without, is God's matter.' These remarks about judging form a transition point to the subject of the next chapter. But having now finished his explanation of the prohibition formerly given, and with it the subject of the fornicator among them, he gives, before passing on, a plain command in terms for the excommunication (but no more: not the punishment mentioned in vv. 3-5) of the offender. And this he does in the very words of Deut. xxiv. 7 (from which the reading και έξαρεῖτε has come). αὐτῶν is in Deut., but need not therefore lose its emphatic force: from among your own selves

CHAP. VI. 1—11.] PROHIBITION TO SETTLE THEIR DIFFERENCES IN THE LEGAL COURTS OF THE HEATHEN: RATHER

SHOULD THESE BE ADJUDGED AMONG THEMSELVES (1-6): BUT FAR BETTER NOT TO QUARREL-RATHER TO SUFFER WRONG, WAITING FOR JUSTICE TO BE DONE AT THE COMING OF THE LORD, WHEN ALL WHO DO WRONG SHALL BE EXCLUDED FROM HIS KINGDOM (6-11).

EXCLUDED FROM HIS KINGDOM (6—11).

1.] On $\tau o \lambda_1 \bar{a}$, Dares . . . , Bengel remarks, "Grandi verbo notatur læsa majestas Christianorum." τ s, no particular individual, but any one: for he proceeds in the plur, vv. 4, 7.

πράγμα So ref. and Demosth. κατ. Στεφ. α. p. 1120, τῷ μὲν νίεῖ τῷ τούτου πολλῶν πραγμάτων δυτων οὐ παρέστη πώποτε οὐδ' έβοήθησεν; κρίνεσθαι, reff., to go to law. So Eur. Med. 609, ώς οὐ κρινοῦμαι τῶνδε σοι τὰ πλείονα,-and Anthol. ii. 30, δυςκώφω δύςκωφος εκρίνετο, και πολύ μαλλον ήν δ κριτής τούτων των δύο κωφότερος. Wetst. on Matt. v. 40. (reff.), before, as judges. των άδί-κων] οὐκ εἶπεν, ἐπὶ των ἀπίστων, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ τῶν ἀδίκων, λέξιν θείς, ἢς μάλιστα χρείαν είχεν είς την προκειμένην υπόθεσιν, ωςτε ἀποστρέψαι κ. ἀπαγαγείν. ἐπειδή γὰρ περὶ δίκης αὐτῷ ὁ λόγος ἦν, οἱ δικαζόμενοι δὲ οὐδὲν οὕτως ἐπιζητοῦσιν, ὡς τὸ πολλὴν είναι πρόνοιαν τοῦ δικαίου παρὰ τοῖς δικάζουσιν, έντεθθεν αὐτοὺς ἀποτρέπει, μονονουχὶ λέγων Ποῖ φέρη καὶ τί ποιεῖς, ἄνθρωπε, τούναντίον πάσχων ὧν ἐπιθυμεῖς, καὶ ὑπὲρ τοῦ τῶν δικαίων τυχεῖν ἀδίκοις ἐπιτρέπων ἀνθρώποις; Chrys. Hom. xvi. Rabbinical prohibitions against going to law before Gentiles may be seen in Wetst.: e. g. "Statutum est, ad quod omnes Israelitæ obligantur, eum qui litem cum alio habet, non debere eam tractare eoram gentilibus." Tanchuma, xeii. 2.

2. rec om %, with D³L rel: ins ABCD³FN a m 17 latt Syr syr-w-ast arm Clem Chr

Damase Thl lat-ff. for ει, εαν F: om D¹ k¹ Hil₂.
3, 4, 5, 6. om A (homæotel, -ιστων ending ver 2, and also ver 6).

σιν (Rom. xiii. 1 ff.): - οὐ γὰρ ἀντιτείνειν κελεύει τοῖς ἄρχουσιν, ἀλλὰ τοῖς ἡδικημένοις νομοθετεί μή κεχρήσθαι τοίς άρχουσι. See Stanley in loc., who thinks the existence of such courts is here implied. But his support of his view from the Ap. Constt. and the Clementines, cir. A.D. 150, would only go to shew that the Apostle's injunction here had been obeyed, and that those courts were the result. 2.] οὐκ οἴδατε (reff.) appeals to an axiomatic truth. αγιοι τ κ κριν.] that the saints shall judge the world?—i. e. as assessors of Christ, at His coming: so Daniel vii. 22 (Theod.), ἦλθεν ὁ παλαιὸς ἡμερῶν, καὶ τὸ κρίμα εδωκεν ἀγίοις ὑψίστου; see also Matt. xix. 28. So Calv., Beza, Grot., Est., Wolf, Olsh., Billroth, Rückert, Meyer, De Wette. All attempts to elude this plain meaning of the words are futile: whether of Chrys., Theophyl., Theodor. Mops., Theodoret, Erasm., -κρινοῦσι δὲ οὖχὶ αὐτοὶ καθήμενοι κ. λόγον ἀπαιτοῦντες, ἀλλὰ κατακρινοῦσι (Matt. xii. 41, 42), Chrys. for this would be no parallel to the case in hand; -or of Lightf., Vitringa, Bengel (but only as a præludium futurorum), al., - 'quod Christiani futuri sint magistratus et judices in mundo,'- Lightf., which does not satisfy ver. 3, nor agree with the Apostle's earnest persuasion (see 2 Cor. v. al., and note on 2 Thess. ii. 2) that the coming of Christ was near at hand; or of Mosheim, Ernesti, Rosenm., 'quod Christiani pro-fanos judicare possint,' Rosenm., in the sense of ch. ii. 15, 16,—for no such meaning can be conveyed by the future, which is fixed here by the following κρινουμέν.

καί brings out an inconsequence or a contradiction between the members of the sentence, which it is the object of the question to remove: so Xen. Cyr. iv. 3. 11, dλλ' είποι άν τις, ὅτι παιδεί εὐτι φρονιμώτεροι ὥστε μαθεῖν τὰ φραζόμενα κ. δεικύμενα ἢ ἀνρωξόμες κ. Βαιτίκοι[Είτη, i. 147.

ἐν ὑμᾶν] Chrys. attempts by this prepos. to defend his view (see above),—

αὐ γὰρ ἐπεν, ὑφ' ὑμᾶν, ἀλλ' ἐν ὑμᾶν ('exemplo vestro'). But in vain: nor as

Grot., al., is έν, by :- for κρίνεσθαι έν is the expression for to be judged before, as judges: the judges being the vehicle of judgment, its conditioning element, as in ref. Acts. So Aristides, Platon. ii. p. 214 (Wetst.), τινές ήδη λέγονται των ήρωων έν θεοίς δικασταίς κριθήναι, and Polyb. v. 29. 6, Πτολεμαΐου κρίνας έν τοις Μακεδόσιν ἀπέκτεινε. See other examples in Wetst. Hence (Meyer) by this 'coram vobis' it appears plainly, though it might be otherwise inferred from the context, that the Saints are to be the judges, sitting in judgment. aválioí έστ. κριτ. έλαχ.] are ye unworthy of (i. e. to hold or pronounce) the smallest judgments? κριτήρια cannot be, as usually rendered, 'matters to be judged :' it signifies either (1) criteria, lit. or metaphor., which sense is irrelevant here: (2) tribunals, courts of justice: -so Glossar. κριτήριον, δικαστήριον, and Polyb. ix. 33. 12, κοινόν ἐκ πάντων τῶν Ἑλλήνων καθίσας κριτήριον,—or (3) judgments held in such courts, judicia,—as Lucian. bis aceus. (§ 25, p. 253, ed. Hagan. 1526); Hermes describes Pyrrhon as being not in court, δτι οὐδὲν ἡγεῖται κριτήριον άληθὲς εἶναι: to which Δίκη replies, τοιγαροῦν ἐρήμην αὐτοῦ καταδικάτωσαν. The last meaning suits both this place and ver. 4. So Cicero speaks of 'in privatis minimarum rerum judiciis.' Here, they are ἐλάχιστα in comparison with the weighty judgments which shall be held hereafter; $= \beta_{i\omega} \tau_{i\kappa} \dot{\alpha}$, 3.] The same glorious office of Christians is again referred to, and even a more striking point of contrast brought out. άγγέλους] always, where not otherwise specified, good angels: and therefore here; the λειτουργικά πνεύματα of Heb. i. 11: but exactly how we shall judge them, is not revealed to us. Chrys., Theodoret, Œcum., Theophyl., and most Commentators interpret it of bad angels, or of bad and good together: and Chrys. as before, understands that the bad angels will be condemned by comparison with us, 8 ταν γάρ αἱ ἀσώματοι δυνάμεις αὐταὶ ἔλαττον ήμῶν εὑρεθῶσιν ἔχουσαι τῶν σάρκα περι-Βεβλημένων, χαλεπώτερον δώσουσι δίκην.

"κρινοῦμεν, "μήτι " γε "βιωτικά; 4" βιωτικά "μὲν οὖν $\frac{1}{2}$ here only there has "κριτήρια ἐὰν ἔχητε, τοὺς $\frac{1}{2}$ ἔξουθενημένους ἐν τῆ ἐκκλη- Δίκωις $\frac{1}{2}$ καθίζετε. $\frac{1}{2}$ πρὸς "ἐντροπὴν ὑμῖν λέγω. $\frac{1}{11,23}$. Pul. $\frac{1}{11,23}$. This is the second property of the second propert

3 reff. c traas., Acts ii. 30. Eph. i. 20 only. 1 Kings xxx. 21. mid., Matt. xix. 23. d = ch. xv. 34 only. Ps. xxxiv. 26.

3. for $\mu\eta\tau\iota$ $\gamma\epsilon$, $\pi\sigma\sigma\omega$ $\mu\alpha\lambda\lambda\sigma\nu$ F: quanto magis vulg F-lat G-lat Pelag Bede. 4. for $\mu\epsilon\nu$ $\sigma\nu\nu$, $\gamma\sigma\nu\nu$ F.

But see above on ver. 2. μήτι γε, to say nothing of, 'ut omittam:' 50 Demosth. p. 24. 23, οὐκ ἔνι δ' αὐτὸν ἀργοῦντα οὐδὲ τοῦς φίλοις ἐπιτάττειν ὑπὲρ αὐτοῦ τι ποιεῖν, μἡ τί γε δὴ τοῦς θεοῖς. See Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 155.

βιωτικά, matters relating to δ βlos, α man's livelihood: see ref. and Clem. Alect Strom. vii. 12, p. 873 P., θλιβθμενον ἐπικουράζει παραμυθίαις . . . , ταῖς βιωτικαῖς χρείαις ἐπικουρών. It is a word of later Greek usage, see Lexx. In classic Greek it would be $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ τοῦ βlow. The meaning here then will be civil causes, matters of meum and tuum, as De Wette. The sense is best with only a comma at κρινοῦμεν.

4.] βιωτικά is emphatically repeated, as being the only sort of κριτήρια which were in question here. Meyer compares Herod. vii. 104, τὰ ἄν ἐκεῦνο ἀνώγη ἀνώγει δὲ τώῦτὸ ἀεί, and Aristoph. Ran. 287 f.

μὲν οὖν, 'immo vero,' reff. (see below). It corrects a foregoing misapprehension: so Soph. Ed. Col. 31, "ἢ δεῦρο προσσείχοντα κὰξορμάμενοι;" "καὶ δὴ μὲν οὖν παρόντα." Hartung, Partikell. ii. 400.

κριτήρια, again, not matters to be judged, but judgments: the matters about which, are expressed in βιωτικά. following words may be rendered in two ways: either, (a) ' Yea, rather (so far from remembering your high prospect, of judging angels, your practice is), if ye have in hand judgments concerning civil matters, -those men who are of no account in the church (viz. the heathen), those you set up (place on the bench) as judges' (i. e. by bringing your causes before them, you set them up as judges over you). καθίζω occurs in this sense in Plato, Legg. ix. p. 873, ἐὰν δὲ ἄψυχόν τι ψυχῆς ἄνθρωπον στερήση, . . . δικαστήν μέν αὐτῷ καθιζέτω τῶν γειτόνων τὸν ἐγγύτατον ὁ προςήκων γένει,-and Polyb. ix. 33. 12, cited above on κριτήριον. Thus, making καθίζ. indicative, Valla, Castal., Luther, Calov., Wolf, al., Schrader, Rückert, Olsh., De Wette, Meyer. But (3) Syr., Vulg., Chrys., Theodoret, Theophyl., Erasm., Beza, Calvin, Grot., Estius, Bengel, Wetst., al., take καθίζετε as imperative, and τους έξουθεν. έν τ. έκκλ. as 'minimos de piorum plebe.' VOL. II.

So E. V.: set them to judge who are least esteemed in the church. And to this last interpretation I am inclined to accede, both from the context and from the arrangement of the words. The context is this: 'Your office is to judge angels:' mere business causes of this world are almost beneath your notice. If such causes arise among you (he continues in a lofty irony) set those to judge them who are of no account among you :-- do not go out of your own number to others to have them judged: the meanest among you is capable of doing it. Let it be noticed that he is passing to ver. 7, where he insists on the impropriety of βιωτικά κριτ. between Christians at all, and is here depreciating them ironically. But the arrangement and construction of the words are even more strongly in favour of the imperative rendering. For (1) on the other, no account is given of the emphatic position of βιωτικά. (2) the μèν οὖν is not so naturally rendered (sec above) 'yea rather your course is,' as 'yea rather let your practice be:' it expresses more naturally a subjective correction, in the mind of the speaker, than an objective one: see below, ver. 7. (3) if the sentence had referred to their existing practice of going before heathen tribunals, it would have been expressed not βιωτικά μέν οὖν κριτ. έαν έχητε, but β. μ. οὖν κρ. έχοντες, as in ver. 1. (4) οἱ εξουθενημένοι εν τῆ εκκ. are much more naturally the despised in (within) the church, than those who in (the estimation of) the church are held of no account. Meyer argues against this that it would be in this case τοὺς ἐξουθ. τοὺς ἐν τῆ ἐκκλ., but surely he can hardly be serious, or I do not understand him rightly. (5) καθίζετε applies much better to the appointing judges over a matter among themselves, than to going before judges already appointed. (6) as to the objection that on this rendering the word 'rather' must be inserted, τούτους μᾶλλον καθίζετε, it has no force, for no such supplement is required. The command is absolute, but given to shew them the absurdity of their going to law about βιωτικά at all, rather than bona fide. 5. πρὸς έντρ. ὑμ. λέγω refers to the ironical com-

| Rev. vii 17 | Rat attortegrees | Rat tour acressing | Roberts | 100 | Robert

5. for $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\omega$, $\lambda\alpha\lambda\omega$ B. rec (for $\epsilon\nu$) $\epsilon\sigma\tau\nu$, with DF m Ath: txt BCLN rel Chr Thdrt Dannasc Thi Ge. rec $\sigma\phi\rho\sigma$ sude $\epsilon\iota s$ (Rom iii. 10), with D³L rel vulg syrr (Chr) Thdrt Thi Ge: om sude: D¹ with Ath: txt BCN 17 copt Dannasc; sude $\epsilon\iota s$ $\sigma\phi\rho$. F a m Aug. aft os ins su L. $\alpha\lambda\kappa\rho\nu\alpha\lambda$ N¹ n.

6. for τουτο, ταυτα CD¹ syr-marg Thdrt. for επι, μετα D¹. at end ins

και ου επι αγιων F. (ου sic F and G.)

om ουν D'N' a 17 latt copt lat-ff, marked with an asterisk in syr.
 om ολως
 A Syr ath-rom.
 ree ins εν bef υμιν, with (none of our mss) vulg F-lat Orig-int Thit: om ABCDLR rel syrr copt Bas Chr Thdrt Œe Antch.
 κριμα Ν.
 transp αδικεισθέ and αποστερεισθε L.
 ree (for τουτο) ταυτα (probably be-

transp aδικεισθέ and αποστερεισθε L. rec (for τουτο) ταυτα (probably because two things, αδικ. and αποστ, are mentd), with L rel syr Chr Thdrt: txt ABCDN 17 late copt Antch Cypr.

mand in ver. 4-I say this to put you to shame. οὕτως] Is there so completely a lack of all wise men among you He now suggests the more Christian way of settling their differences, viz. by arbitration : and asks, 'Are you come to this, that you are obliged καθίζειν any δικαστάς at all,'—have you no wise man among you (the rec., οὐδὲ εἶs, would be 'quod est vehementius, cum sitis tam multi.' Erasm.) who shall be able (in such event) to decide (as arbitrator) between his brother (i. e. his brethren)? This last is a harsh method of expression, and apparently only to be accounted for by the singular form of outels σοφός having attracted the other into the singular likewise, so that instead of σοφοί οι δυνήσονται διακρ. ἀνὰ μέσον τῶν ἀδελφῶν αὐτῶν, we have σοφός δε δυνήσεται διακρ. ανα μ. τοῦ ἀδ. αὐτοῦ. But it is not without use: it prevents the apparent inference, which might be made if των άδελφων αὐτοῦ were used, that one wise man was to be appointed universal arbitrator, - and confines the appointment of the arbitrator to each possibly arising case respectively. 6.] (It seems not to be so): nay, &c., as implied in ver. 1. άλλά after a question passes rapidly on to the other alternative, the particle negativing the question being suppressed. So Xen. Mem. i. 2. 2, πωs οὖν αὐτὸς ὧν τοιοῦτος ἄλλους ἃν ἀσεβείς . . . ἐποίησεν; 'Αλλ' ἔπαυσε μέν τούτων πολ-

λούs, ἀρετῆς ποιήσας ἐπιθυμεϊν. See Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 37. 7.] He gives his own censure of their going to law at all. μèν οῦν as above, ver. 4. ὅλως, altogether, without the aggravation of ἐπὶ ἀπίστων. ἤττημα, a falling short, viz. of your inheritance of the kingdom of God – a hindrance in the

falling short, viz. of your inheritance of the kingdom of God—a hindrance in the way of your salvation: see ver. 9:—not as ordinarily understood (see especially Estius in loc.) a moral delinquency (cf. the usage in reff.), nor an ἡττᾶσθαι τῆ ὀργῆ, as Œcum. κρίματα, matters of dispute, lead-

ing to $\kappa\rho(\nu\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota)$; not= $\kappa\rho(\sigma\epsilon\iota s)$,— $\mu\epsilon\theta$ ' $\epsilon\alpha\nu$ - $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$, with one another (reff.), as being brethren in Christ. άδικεῖσθε and ἀποστερείσθε not passives, but middle (cf. Bernhardy, Syntax, chap. viii. § 4, p. 346: Menander frag.: οδτος κράτιστός έστ' άνήρ, & Γοργία, Εςτις άδικεῖσθαι πλεῖστ' επίσταται βροτών: Hesiod. έργ. 347, εὖ μέν μετρείσθαι παρά γείτονος, εὖδ' ἀποδοῦναι) -allow yourselves to be wronged and defrauded. See Matt. v. 39 ff. cannot be, as Meyer, a continuation of the question, on account of the emphatic bueis, which would thus be without meaning. The account of this emphatic $\delta \mu \epsilon \hat{i} s$ is to be found in an ellipsis after ἀποστερεῖσθε to the effect, 'as our Lord commanded us His disciples,' or 'as it behaves the followers of Christ.' Then $\delta\mu\epsilon\hat{i}s$ comes in contrast: You on the contrary (ἀλλά, see above ver. 6) do wrong, and defraud, and that ὅτι ἄδικοι θεοῦ καιλείαν οὐ κληρονομήσουσιν; κη λη κ=Nati xxv. και αλανᾶσθε, οὕτε απόρνοι οὕτε εἰδωλολάτραι οὕτε μοι ελικε μοι ελικε και χοὶ οὕτε αμαλακοὶ οὕτε αρσενοκοῖται το οὕτε 10 οὕτε 10 μαλακοὶ οὕτε 10 μότιν ελικε και οῦτε 10 μέθυσοι, οὐ κλήροροι, οὐ 10 μέθυσοι, οὐ κλήρονομήσουσιν. 10 και κεὶ, τις παγες, και βασιλείαν θεοῦ κληρονομήσουσιν. 11 και κεὶ, τις ελιιδικε και 10 ελικε κεὶ, τις ελικε κεὶ, τις ελικε κεὶ, τις ελικε και 10 ελικε κεὶ, τις ελικε κεὶ ελικε ελικε κεὶ ελικε ελικε κεὶ ελικε ελι f Matt. vi. l = Rom iii. o Acts

xxv, 15, xxvi, 22 only, | e | 17m, i, 10 only +, see Levit, xviii, 22 |
10 al. obad, 5, | g ch. v, 11 (refl., | h see John xv, 17, 3 John 4, |
xxii | 6 only, Job ix, 30 only, see Rev. i, 6, | k Gom, xv, 16 refl., 20, 30, v, 1 al. |
m Acts xii, 39 refl. | n constr, Mark it, 24, ch. x, 23 bjs. |
xxii, 37 refl. | p constr, ch. x, 23, 2 Cor, viii, 10, Prov. xix, 10, 51; xxxvii, 28.

9. rec βασιλείαν hef θεου (as below in ver 10), with L rel latt Clem Chr Thdrt Irenint Cypr: txt ABCDN m 17. om ov B' ο'. ovδε (throughout vv. 9, 10) D.

10. πλεονεκται ουτε κλεπται DL b c d e f g h l n o syrr Clem Chr Thdrt Damasc Thl: om ουτε πλεονεκται k 3. 35. 42. 238 Clem, Orig. rec (for 1st ov) oute, with BD3L rel Ath, Thl Œc: ουδε D1(as above): txt ACN a 17 Clem, Ath, Julian(in Epiph) Chr Thdrt. $\theta \epsilon o v$ bef $\beta \alpha \sigma$. D. rec ins ou bef κληρονομησουσιν (prob from writing the ov of beov twice over: the mistake being perpetuated, or even the ready occasioned, by the oυ κληρ. of ver 9. This seems a more likely account than that a variation betw the two vv should have been sanctioned by perpetuating an accidental own of the ov), with L rel Ign(but ready varies. Cotcler has κληρονομησαι δυνανται, omg ου) Ath'₁ Ps-Ath Cyr-jer Chr-ms₁ Thdrt Thl: om ABCDN l¹ 17 Meth Julian Ath₁ Chr Thdrt₁ Damasc Œc (Polye).

II. [αλλα (3rd), so ABDN (C has αλλ' all three times; D¹, the 1st time; L, the 2nd and 3rd times).] aft κυριου ins ημων B C(appy) m 17 vulg Syr syr-w-ast Ath₃ Did₃ Epiph Iren-int₁ Orig-int Cypr: om ADLN rel. aft ιησου ins χριστου

B C(appy) D'N 1 m 17 &c (as precedg): om AD'L Thl Ec.

(your), brethren. 9. 'Ye commit wrong:' this looks as if you had forgotten the rigid exclusion from the kingdom of God of all wrong-doers of every kind (included here under ἄδικοι); see Gal. v. 21.

μη πλανᾶσθε] This caution would be most salutary and needful in a dissolute place like Corinth. It is similarly used, and with an express reference to δμιλίαι κακαί, ch. xv. 33. πόρνοι refers back to ch. v., and is taken up again, vv. 12 ff. μαλακοί = παθικοί (see in Wetst.). μέθυσοι, see on ch. v. 11. 11.7 'These things were the former state of some among you: but ye are now in a far different state.' I cannot think with Meyer that ταῦτα is used in contempt, such a horde, or rabble: it is rather 'of such a kind,' these things, were some of you (τινες limits the ὑμεῖς which is the suppressed subject of $\tilde{\eta}\tau\epsilon$): but ye washed them off (viz. at your baptism. The 1 aor. mid. cannot by any possibility be passive in signification, as it is generally, for doctrinal reasons, here rendered. On the other hand the middle sense has no doctrinal import, regarding merely the fact of their having submitted

themselves to Christian baptism. See ref. Acts), but (there is in the repetition of άλλά, the triumph of one who was under God the instrument of this mighty change) ye were sanctified (not in the dogmatic sense of progressive sanctification, but so that whereas before you were unholy, by the reception of the Holy Ghost you became dedicated to God and holy), but ye were justified (by faith in Christ, you received the δικαιοσύνη θεοῦ, Rom. i. 17), in the Name of the Lord Jesus, and in the (working of the) Spirit of our God. These two last clauses must not be fancifully (as Meyer, al.) assigned amongst the preceding. They belong to all, as De Wette rightly maintains. The spiritual washing in baptism, the sanctification of the children of God, the justification of the believer, are all wrought in the Name of the Lord Jesus, and are each and all the work of the Spirit of our God. By the ἡμών again, he binds the Corinthians and himself together in the glorious blessings of the gospel-state, and mingles the oil of joy with the mourning which by his reproof he is reluctantly creating.

 $\begin{array}{l} ^{q} \ \text{play on} \\ ^{words, \, \text{th}, \, \text{ii}} \\ ^{zi \, \text{th}, \, \text{th}} \\ ^{zi \, \text{th}} \\ ^{zi \, \text{th}, \, \text{th}} \\ ^{zi \, \text{th}} \\ ^{z$

12-20.] Correction of an abuse of THE DOCTRINE OF CHRISTIAN FREEDOM WHICH SOME AMONG THEM HAD MADE, THAT, AS MEATS WERE INDIFFERENT, SO WAS FORNICATION (vv. 12-17). STRONG PROHIBITION OF, AND DISSUASIVE FROM THIS SIN (vv. 18-20). 12.] Statement of the true doctrine of Christian freeπάντα μοι έξεστιν are the bona fide words of the Apostle himself, not, as some have understood them, the saying of an opponent cited by him. For (1) the sentiment is a true Christian axiom : πάντα being of course understood, as it evidently was even by the abusers of the doctrine, of things (supposed by them) ἀδιάφορα. (2) It is not introduced by any clause indicative of its being the saying of another, which is Paul's habit in such cases, see Rom. xi. 19. (3) The Apostle does not either deny or qualify the $\xi\xi\epsilon\sigma\tau\nu$, but takes up the matter from another point of view, viz. the συμφέρει. The μοι is spoken in the person of Christians generally. "Sæpe Paulus prima persona singulari eloquitur quæ vim habent gnomes: in hac præsertim epistola, ver. 15, ch. vii. 7, viii. 13, x. 23, 29, 30, xiv. 11." Bengel.

συμφέρει are advantageous -in the most general sense: distinguished from οἰκοδομεί, ch. x. 23, where the words again occur. Meyer cites from Theodor. Mops., — ἐπειδὴ γὰρ οὐ πάντα συμφέρει, δῆλον ώς οὐ πᾶσι χρηστέον, ἀλλὰ τοῖς ὡφελοῦσι άλλ' οὐκ ἐγὼ ἐξ.] Meyer thinks that the έγώ here has an emphasis, as meaning the real I, my moral personality. But this can hardly be so: the real emphasis is on οὐκ, and ἐγώ corresponds to µoi, expressed more to bring out the first person as the sample of Christians in general, than for any such formal disrinction. ἐξουσιασθήσομαι] I will not be deprived of my freedom by any practice;—i. e. indulge in any practice which shall mar this liberty and render it no real freedom, making me to be one under έξουσία, instead of one exercising it. The play on έξεστι and έξουσία cannot be given in English. 13, 14.] "a cibis ad venerem non valet consequentia." Bengel. The argument is, -meats (of which he doubtless had often impressed on them that they were ἀδιάφορα, whence the abuse) are expressly created for the belly, and the belly for them, by its organization being fitted to assimilate them; and both these are of a transitory nature: in the change to the more perfect state, God will do away with both. Therefore meats are αδιάφορα. But neither is the body created for fornication, nor can this transitoriness be predicated of it: the body is for the Lord, and the Lord (in his mediatorial work) for the body: and God raised up the Lord, and will raise up us (i.e. our bodies): so that the body is not perishable, and (resumed ver. 18) he that fornicates, sins against his own body. THEREFORE, fornication is not an ἀδιάφορον. It is very remarkable how these verses contain the germ of three weighty sections of the Epistle about to follow, and doubtless in the Apostle's mind when he wrote them, (1) the relation between the sexes: (2) the question of meats offered to idols: (3) the doctrine of the Resurrection of the Body. See Neander, Pfl. u. Leit. p. 401, note 21. 13.] τη̂ κοιλ., scil. ἐστιν. The belly is their appointed receptacle-they, its appointed pabulum. Of course even this part of the argument must be understood within the limits of οὐ πάντα συμφέρει. θ. καταργ. viz. at the appearing of the Lord: when, ch. xv. 51, 52, we shall be changed from a σωμα ψυχικόν, to be a σώμα πνευματικόν: not, at death.

τῆ πορι.] The body was not made for the practice of formication. The reciprocal subserviency of the belly and meats is shown by their coextensiveness in duration, and perishing together: but when ποριεία (and even that lawful use which is physically the same, but which is not here contemplated) shall have for ever passed away, the body shall be subserving its real use—that of being an instrument for the Lord's work.

κ. ὁ κύρ. τῷ σώμ.] not, only for the body: but for the body; to sanctify our bodies by His Spirit, and finally to glorify them for Himself, see Rom. viii. 11. This final reference must not be excluded here, though it is not the principal thought:—rather, the redemption of the body from

 y ηνειρεν, καὶ ἡμᾶς z έξεγερεῖ διὰ της δυνάμεως αὐτοῦ, y $^{-Matt. x. 8.}$ $_{xiv. 2.}^{y. 2.}$ $_{xiv. 2.}^{y. 2.}$ $_{xiv. 3.}^{y. 2.}$ $_{xiv. 2.}^{y. 2.}$ $_{xiv. 3.}^{y. 3.}$

4, 5 (vi, 13 reff.), c = Matt. xxi. 21. John ii. 16. xi. 39. xx. 1. Epb. iv. 31. d = Matt. iv. 19. John vi. 15 al. Gen xiv. 9. e Matt. xxi. 31, 32. Luke xv. 39 al. Gen. xxxiv. 31, f Rom. iii. 4 reff. g Acts v. 13 reff. see Matt. xix. 5. h ellipa, Heb. viii. 5. ch. xv. 27. (see Rom. iv. 3. is. 17. 1 Time, v. 18.)

14. elz vμas (error? Mey thinks, perhaps from Rom viii. 11): txt ABCDKLX rel vss Polyc Iren-int gr-lat-ff. εξεγειρει AD1: εξεγειρεί m: εξηγειρεν B 672: suscitavit am harl(but qu, for -bit?): txt (see note) CD3LN rel syrr copt æth Ath-mss Chr Thdrt Iren-int Archel Tert.

DF latt Iren-int Cypr Lucif.

16. on π DEL om ETTIV F. $\mu \in \lambda \eta$ bef $\pi o \rho \nu \eta s$

16. om η DKL rel syr Mcion-e Dial Thdrt-ms Damasc Thl Tert: ins ABCFX a1 h m 17 vss Clem Orig Chr Œe Cypr. om φησιν A Epiph Cypr Andr (Tert): ins BCDFKLN rel latt Dial Mcion e Chr Thdrt Lucif.

sin, and making it into a member of Himself by the Spirit. 14.] So far from the case of the Lord and the body answering to the other, God raised up the Lord (Rom. viii. 11, al. fr.), and will raise up us too by His Power. I cannot adopt here the reading (εξήγειρεν), or the view, of Meyer. He holds, that all reference to the resurrection, as a thing future, is out of place: that the Apostle refers to the virtual and proleptic resurrection which has already taken place in the case of the believer, as Eph. ii. 6; Col. ii. 12,and thinks that the reading έξεγερεί has arisen from not seeing this. But how unnatural will the construction thus beό δὲ θεδς καὶ τὸν κύριον ἤγειρεν, καὶ ἡμᾶς έξήγειρεν, διά τ. δυν. αὐτοῦ! I can conceive no account of such a sentence, except that some emphasis is meant to be laid on the distinction between ήγειρεν and έξήγει- $\rho \epsilon \nu$, which idea (maintained by Bengel, al) Meyer himself very properly repudiates: see below. The future corresponds to καταργήσει, and is used with ήμας,—contrary to the usual practice of Paul, who expected to be alive at the π αρουσία, as the expression, in the first person, of the truth of the future resurrection, not destruction, of the body. ήγειρεν, viz. ἐκ νεκρῶν, Acts iii. 15; Rom. iv. 24, and passim: ἐξεγερεῖ, viz. ἐκ νεκρῶν. So that there is no real difference between the two 15. Resumption of τδ σῶμα τῷ κυρίφ κ. ὁ κύριος τῷ σώματι. The two are so intimately connected, that the Lord is a mystical Body, of which our bodies, parts of ourselves in our perfect organization, are members. This Christian axiom is introduced as before (reff.) by οὐκ οἴδατε Having then (ov, 'concesso,' that

my body is a member = my members are members of Christ) alienated (apas is not merely pleonastic, 'Shall I take . . . and make them . . . , 'as E. V. This is shewn by its position first in the sentence) the members of Christ (i.e. my own members), shall I make them an harlot's members ? The expression πόρνης μέλη is put as coarsely and startlingly as possible, with the emphasis on πόρνης. ποιήσω may also be the aor. subj., 'must I, have I any right to, make them?' But μη γέvoito answers better to the future. 16. Explanation and justification of the expression πόρνης μέλη. ή, as De Wette well, "Do you think the expression ποιήσω

πόρν. μέλη too strong?" κολλ.] "ublicher Musbruck fur Gefdlechtevereini= aung." De Wette. τῆ πόρν. with a harlot, generic : or which in fact amounts to the same, with 'the harlot,' presupposed in the hypothesis. εν σωμα, viz. ' with her.' The full construction would be one ό κολλ. τῆ πόρ. καὶ ἡ πόρ. ἐν σ. εἰσιν, but he is here bringing out the criminality of the fornicator, and leaves the other out of The citation is spoken of marview. riage; but here as above (see on ver. 13) he is treating merely of the physical act, which is the same in both cases. viz. God, Who is the speaker in the Scriptures: so in citing the same words, our Lord gives them to δ π o $\iota\eta$ σ as (α $\iota\eta$ τ o ι s) $\dot{\alpha}\pi$ $\dot{\alpha}\rho\chi$ $\dot{\eta}$ s, Matt. xix. 5. They were spoken by the mouth of Adam, but prophetically, divino afflatu. To render φησιν impersonal, 'it says,' 'heißt es,' though justified by classical usage, see Winer, edn. 6, § 58. 9, would, as Meyer remarks, be altogether without precedent in the citations of Paul. The words oi δύο are not in the $^{1.6\,Ex.\,ii.\,24.}_{=\,E.Ke\,iii.5.}$ οἱ δύο $^{1.}$ εἰς σάρκα μίαν· 17 ὁ δὲ 12 κολλώμτνος τῷ 1 κυρίω ABCDF 10 κυρίω ΑΒCDF 10 κυρίω ΑΕΛΝΑ 10 με 10 κυρίω ΑΕΛΝΑ 10 με 10 κυρίω ΑΕΛΝΑ 10 με 10 20. (1.122) $\frac{2}{4}$ κίμης κυή τη το του ποιηνή αυνρωπος, εκτος του σωμα $\frac{1}{6}$ κίμης κυή τός έστιν $\frac{1}{6}$ δε $\frac{1}{6}$ πορνεύων $\frac{1}{6}$ είς τὸ ἴδιον σῶμα $\frac{1}{6}$ άμορ- κ. 1.4. $\frac{1}{1}$ Τίπιν, 1.1. $\frac{1}{2}$ τοῦν άγιον πνεύματός έστιν, $\frac{1}{6}$ οῦ ἔχετε ἀπὸ θεοῦ, καὶ κίτι και τις οὐκ έστε $\frac{1}{6}$ έαυτῶν; $\frac{20}{6}$ ἢγοράσθητε γὰρ $\frac{1}{6}$ τιμῆς. $\frac{1}{6}$ δοξά- $\frac{1}{2}$ δομματι ὑμῶν. $\frac{1}{6}$ Τίπις, $\frac{1}{6}$ δο τατε $\frac{1}{6}$ δη τὸν θεον έν τῷ σώματι ὑμῶν.

18. for φευγ., φυγετε F. for εαν, αν D¹ 17. 106.

19. for το σωμα, τα σωματα (corrn to suit υμων) A-corrl L c d f g m n 17 syr copt ath arm Orig, Meth Did Jer Aug Ambrst Vig: membra vestra vulg Ambr Pelag Fulg Bede: txt A'(appy) BCDFKN rel Syr Chr Œc Orig-int (no lat-f). πνευματος bef

ayiou B vulg lat-ff. ins του bef θεου X3. αυτων Χ1.

20. [for δοξασιατ ε δη αρατές της doctream ms], δοξασιατε δη αρατές Christi (Sav and Matth's ms], δοξασιατε δη αρατές Christi (Montf and Matth's ms], δοξι τ. θ. τουτεστω αρατές τ. θ. Christi(ms)—see Griesb, who adds "Caterum in comm istud ἄρατε non attingit, præter hom. 4. in 1 Tim. hæc habet δοξάσωμεν δη τον θ εδν, ἄρωμεν τον θ εδν έν τ $\hat{\varphi}$ σώματι" &c.—om δη \aleph^1 : ins \aleph -corr¹.] rec at end adds και εν τω πνευματι υμων ατινα εστι του θεου (insd appy with a view to make the exhortation complete. An ecclesiastical portion began at δοξασατε), with C3D2-3KL rel syrr Chr Thdrt, Thl Œc: om ABC'D'FN 17 latt copt æth Meth(in Epiph) Did Cyr Max Damasc Iren-int Tert.

Heb., but in the LXX and the Samaritan Pentateuch, and are found in the Rabbinical citations of the passage. See note on Matt. xix. 5. 17. Union to God, His service, and His ways, is often expressed by this word (κολλ.) in the LXX (reff.): but here that inner union with Christ in spirit is meant, which is the normal state of every believer, and of which it may be said that he εν πν. ἐστιν with Christ. See John xvii. 21, and the parable of John xv. 1-7. Meyer rightly remarks, that the mystical marriage between Christ and His Church must not (as Olsh. from Eph. v. 23 ff.) be pressed here, as the relations of the compared are not correspondent. Still however, the inner verity of that mystical relation is the ground of both passages.

18-20. Direct prohibition of fornication, and its grounds. 18.] φεύγετε might be followed by ov, but is more forcible in this disconnected form.

παν αμάρτ. The assertion, which has surprised many of the Commentators, is nevertheless strictly true. Drunkenness and gluttony, e.g. are sins done in and by the body, and are sins by abuse of the body,but they are still έκτδς τοῦ σώματος-introduced from without, sinful not in their act, but in their effect, which effect it is each man's duty to foresee and avoid. But

fornication is the alienating that body which is the Lord's, and making it a harlot's body-it is sin against a man's own body, in its very nature,-against the verity and nature of his body; not an effect on the body from participation of things without, but a contradiction of the truth of the body, wrought within itself. When man and wife are one in the Lord, -united by His ordinance,-no such alienation of the body takes place, and con-sequently no sin. 19.] Justification of the εls τὸ τό, σῶμ, άμαρτ, above,—and this by an amplification of the above $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ τῷ κυρίω, and εν πνεθμά έστιν. Your body (i. e. the body of each man among you, but put singular, to keep, as in ch. iii. 16, the unity of the idea of God's temple, or perhaps because the body in its attributes is in question here) is the temple of (possessed by, as His residence: the temple, not a temple, see note on ch. iii. 16) the Holy Spirit who is in you (reminiscence of the reality of His indwelling), whom ye have from God (reminiscence, whose Spirit He is, and so preparation for the following inference), and are not your own (so that ye have no right to alienate your body, not being yours).

20.] Proof, that ye are not your own. The possession of your body as His temple, $m VII.^{-1}$ Περὶ δὲ y ὧν έγράψατε, z καλὸν a ἀνθρώπ $_{\psi}$ y sitr. Rum. γυναικὸς μὴ b ἄπτεσθαι c 2 διὰ δὲ τὰς c πορνείας ἕκαστος z επιν. Σίτ. επ. γυν. είν. 21 ref. γυν. είν. 21 ref.

a -- Matt xix. 5 (from Gen. ii. 24), 10.
teff. abstr plur., 2 Cor. xii. 20. Gal. v. 20. James ii. 1. Winer, edn. 6, § 27, 3.

CHAP. VII. 1. ree aft εγραψατε ins μοι, with ADFKL rel syrr Meth Chr Thdrt Jer Aug Ambrst1: om BCN 17 am fuld' Tert2.

by the Holy Ghost, is a presumptive proof that ye are not; but there is also a proof in maller of fact: For ye were bought (not, as E. V. are bought, which destroys the historic reference) with a price (viz. the blood of Christ, see I Pet. i. 18, 19; Matt. xx. 28; Gal. iii. 13,—not as Vulg. magno pretio: τιμης merely recalls the fact here, that a price was paid and so the purchase completed). This buying is here mentioned mainly with reference to the right of possession, which Christ has thereby acquired in us. In other places it is alleged as a freeing from other services: e. g. that of sin (Rom. vi. 17, 18), of the law and its curse (Gal. iii.), of Satan (Col. i. 13). Soğáo. $\delta\eta$ ] Glorify then $(\delta\eta$, not exactly an inference from the foregoing, but = 'eja,' 'agedum,' tending to enforce and intensify the command: "as a cheering or hortatory expression," Stanley. So Od. v. 17, τέτλαθι δή, κραδίη; see Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 284 f.) God (i. e. not praise God, but glorify Him by your acts) in your body (not, by means of your body, but in your body, as the temple

of God; see John xiii. 32).

CHAP. VII. 1-40. REPLY TO THEIR ENQUIRIES RESPECTING MARRIAGE; BY WHICH OCCASION IS GIVEN FOR VARIOUS COLLATERAL INSTRUCTIONS AND COM-MANDS. In order to the right understanding of this chapter, it will be well to remember, that the enquiries in the letter of the Corinthians appear to have been made in disparagement of marriage, and to have brought into doubt whether it were not better to avoid it where uncontracted, and break it off where contracted, or this last break it off where comtracted, or this have at all events where one of the parties was an unbeliever. These questions he answers, vv. 1—16: and puts on their true grounds, vv. 17—24. They appear also to have asked respecting virgins, what was their duty and that of their parents, as to their contracting marriage. This he discusses in its various aspects of duty and Christian expediency, vv. 25-38. he concludes with an answer and advice, respecting the liberty of a woman to marry after the death of her husband. whole is written under the strong impression (see on this, notes, Acts ii. 20; Rom. xiii. 11, and 2 Cor. v.: and Prolegg. to

Vol. III. ch. v. § iv. 5-10) of the near approach of the end of this state of things (vv. 29-31), and as advising them under circumstances in which persecution, and family division for the Gospel's sake, might at any time break up the relations of life. The precepts therefore and recommendations contained in the chapter are to be weighed, as those in ch. viii. al., with reference to change of circumstances; and the meaning of God's Spirit in them with respect to the subsequent ages of the Church, to be sought by careful comparison and inference, not rashly assumed and misapplied. I may also premise, that in hardly any portion of the Epistles has the hand of correctors and interpolators of the tay the hard. lators of the text been busier, than here. The absence of all ascetic tendency from the Apostle's advice, on the point where asceticism was busiest and most mischievous. was too strong a testimony against it, to be left in its original clearness. In consequence, the textual critic finds himself in this chapter sometimes much perplexed between differing readings, and in danger of on the one hand adopting, on overwhelming MS. authority, corrections of the early asceties,-and on the other excluding, from a too eautious retention of the rec. text, the genuine but less strongly attested simplicity of the original.

1, 2.] Concession of the expediency (where possible) of celibacy, but assertion of the practical necessity of marriage, as a remedy against fornication. δέ,

transitional, passing on to another subject. καλὸν] not, morally good: for in ver. 28 expressly not sin, but inexpediency, is the reason for not marrying : nor good in the sense of ὑπερέχον, as Jerome, adv. Jovin. i. 7, vol. ii. p. 246, 'si bonum est mulierem non tangere, malum ergo est tangere :' but expedient, generally: 'more for a man's best interests under present eircumstances:' Angl. 'it is the best way,' in the colloquial sense: so also throughout the chapter: διὰ την ἐνευτῶσαν ἀνάγκην. ἀν-θρώπω] though of necessity by what fol-lows, the man only is intended, yet ἀνθρώπω does not here or in reft. = ἀνδρί, but as Meyer remarks, regards the man

d Matt. xviii. την έαυτοῦ γυναίκα έχέτω, καὶ ἑκάστη τὸν ἴδιον ἄνδρα ABCDF κιϊκ τοιης τὸς και του γυναίκα έχέτω, καὶ ἑκάστη τὸν ἴδιον ἄνδρα ABCDF κιϊκ τοιης τὸς τω. 3 τη γυναίκὶ ὁ ἀνὴρ τὴν d ὀφειλὴν e ἀποδιδότω, cde fg c είναι ποιι το τοικι το τοιμοίως f δὲ c καὶ η γυνη τῷ ἀνδρί. d η γυνη τοῦ ἰδίου c καὶ c τειι. Ι c τειι. c τοιμοίως c δὲ c καὶ c τειι. c τοιμοίως c δὲ c καὶ c ται. c τοιμοίως c δὲ c καὶ c ται. c τοιμοίως c δὲ c καὶ c ται. c τοιμοίως c δὲ c καὶ c τοιμοίως c δὲ c τοιμοίως c δὲ c τοιμοίως c δὲς c τοιμοίως c τοιμοίως c τοιμοίως c δὲς c δὶς c τοιμοίας c δὲς c δὶς $^$

2. την πορνείαν F vulg syrr Orig-int Cypr. om και εκαστ. τ. ιδ. ανδ. εχ. (homewotel) F 48. 114. 177 Tert.

3. rec for αφειλην, οφειλομενην ευνοιαν (see note), with L rel syrr Thdrt Thl Œc: txt ABCDFN¹ 17 latt copt æth arm Clem² Orig² Meth Chr². αποδιδετω Α. om δε Α 55 Syr copt Orig₁ Chr·mss Cypr Jer₁.

4. [αλλα(twice), so ABC (2nd, D1) × 17.

5. om ap B. rec σχολαζητε, with KL rel Meth Chr₁ Thdrt: txt ABCDFR Orig₂ Dion-alex Chr_{aliq}. rec ins τη νηστεια και bef τη προsευχη (see note), with

not merely in his sexual but in his human capacity. Thus in its deeper reference, it

would embrace the other sex also. απτεσθαι] so in reff. ; and in Latin tangere, attingere, virgo intacta. See examples in Wetst. This expression is obviously here used in the widest sense, without present regard to the difference between the lawful and unlawful use of the woman. The idea that the assertion applies to abstinence from intercourse in the already married (see again below), is altogether a 2. The former course is expedient-would avoid much trouble 'in the flesh:' but as a general rule it may not be, seeing that for a more weighty reason the contrary course is to be recommended. But on account of fornications (the many instances of fornication current. The plur, of an abstract noun implies repetition, or varieties of the occurrence: so Herod. vii. 158, ύμιν μεγάλαι ἀφελίαι τε κ. ἐπαυρέσεις γεγόνασι: iii. 40, ἐμοὶ δὲ ai σal μεγάλαι εὐτυχίαι οὐκ ἀρέσκουσι, see reff., and Kühner, Gramm. ii. 28 [\S 408, γ]) let each man possess his own wife, and let each woman possess her own husband. The $\epsilon \chi \epsilon \tau \omega$ is (1) not concessive, but imperative; not, 'habere liceat,' but 'habeto.' So the other expressions, γαμησάτωσαν ver. 9, μενέτω ver. 11, &c. (2) not here in the sense of 'utatur, eique commisceatur,' as Estius, al., which does not come into consideration till the next verse. (3) not emphatic, let each retain, according to the mistaken idea mentioned on ver. 1, that he is speak. ing to the married, who though they are not to cohabit are yet to remain together.

Had either of the two latter senses

been meant, the sentence would rather have stood $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\dot{\epsilon}\tau\omega$ $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$. τ . $\dot{\epsilon}\omega rr$. $\gamma\nu\nua\dot{\kappa}c$, κ . $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\dot{\epsilon}\tau\omega$ $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\delta\tau\eta$ η . 78. $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\dot{\rho}$. With regard to the assertion of Rückert, that the Apostle here gives a very low estimate of marriage, as solely a remedy against fornication, the true answer is, that Paul does not either here, or in this chapter at all, give any estimate of marriage in the abstract. His estimate, when he does, is to be found Eph. v. 25–32.

3, 4.] The duty of cohabitation incumbent on the married. This point was in all probability raised in the letter of the Corinthians. The Apostle's command is a legitimate following out of διὰ τὰς πορνείας above.

3. τὴν ὀφειλήν] 'debilum tori.' The rec. was perhaps an euphemism (we have also the varieties, ὀφειλομένην τιμήν, Chrysostom once: ὀφ. τιμήν και εξυοιαν in the ms. 40) for the same thing. Meyer will not concede this, but thinks it arose from a mistaken interpretation of ὀφειλή as meaning merely 'benevolentia.' thinking that not εξυοια, but φιλότης would be the word in the other case. But some of the later examples in Wests. seem to bear out this meaning of εξυοια.

4.] The axiom is introduced without a γάρ, as frequently. τοῦ lấtου...οὐ ἐξουστάξει] 'sui, cum potestatem non habet, elegans fact paradoxon.' Bengel. The ground of this being another's while they remain their own, is to be found in the oneness of body, in which the marriage state places them. 5.] ἀποστερεῖτε is applied by Μεγετ to τῆς ἐξουσίας,—by Billroth, al., to τῆς ὁρειλῆς; De Wette suggests τοῦ σώματος, but prefers, and rightly, leaving its reference indefinite,

 1q έπὶ τὸ αὐτὸ p ῆτε, [να μὴ r πειράζη ύμας ὁ σατανας s διὰ p Λετε ii. 1, 44. $^{t.obe}$ χτὶ τὴν $^{t.d}$ ἀκρασίαν ὑμῶν. 6 τοῦτο δὲ λέγω κατὰ u συγγνώμην, $^{t.obe}$ $^{t.o$ ως καὶ εμαυτόν αλλὰ εκαστος ίδιον έχει "χάρισμα έκ ch. x. 13. ' James i. 13. θεου, ό μέν * ουτως, ό δέ * ούτως.

3 Kings x. 1. s = Rom. xiv. 15 reff.

t Matt. xxiii. 25 only †. Jos. Antt, viii. 7, 5. Xen. Mem. iv. 5, 6. $(-\tau \acute{\eta} s, 2$ Tim. iii. 3.) u here only. Str. ii. 13 only. v Rom. xvi. 26 reff. w — here only. (Rom. i. 11 reff.) x see ch. vi. 13.

KLN³ rel syrr Chr Thdrt Cyr₁: om ABCDFN¹ 17 latt copt æth arm Clem Orig₄, rec for $\eta\tau\epsilon$, $\sigma\nu\nu\epsilon\rho\chi\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$ (gloss: see note), with a c h Meth Chr Thdrt₁ Thl: συνερχησθε KL ref Thdrt,: γινεσθε Tatian Clem: revertimini vulg lat-ff: txt ABCD FN 17 æth Orig Dion Cyr Damasc Aug(estote_{sæpe}). om υμων Β Meth.

 rec γαρ (gloss, substituted for δε, as more appropriate), with BD^{2.3}KLN³ rel syrr Chr Thdrt₂ Thl Œe: txt ACD¹FN d 17 am(with demid fuld) copt goth Orig Chr, Cyr Damasc lat-ff. [αλλα, so BCD¹ 17.] rec χαρισμα bef εχει, with KL rel syrr goth Ephr Chr Thdrt lat-ff: txt ABDFS in 17 am(with demid tol) Clem Orig [αλλα, so BCD1 17.] Cyr Cypr Jer, C(appy) has εχει bef εκαστος. ins του bef θεου DF c Thdrt,. ree &s (twice), with KLN3 rel Orig Chr Thdrt: txt ABCDFN1 17 Clem Cyr.

to be supplied in the reader's mind.

εἰ μή τι, unless perchance (reff.). ἄν] "The verb is sometimes omitted after this particle, but always so, that it can be supplied from a foregoing clause. So Eur. Alcest. 181, σε δ' άλλη γυνή κεκτήσεται, σώφρων μεν οὐκ αν μαλλον, εὐτυχής δ' τσως." Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 330.

èk, according to: the mutual agreement being the ground, and the measure, of the aet. iva ox.] in order that ye may have undisturbed leisure for prayer. The pres. σχολάζητε of the rec. would refer to the general habit, and would thus make τη προς., 'your ordinary prayers,'-being thus inconsistent with the direction given πρδς καιρόν: the aorist expresses this temporary purpose, and shews that the prayer meant is not ordinary but extraordinary,
—seasons of urgent supplication.

Both the alteration to the present and the addition of τῆ νηστεία καί, shew how such passages as this have been tampered with by the asceties. ήτε,—not συνέρχησθε as it has been amended (nor $-\epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ as it has been re-amended), because $\epsilon \hat{l} \nu \alpha \iota \ \epsilon \pi \iota \ \tau \delta$ avró in this sense is the normal state of the married. For the expression see reff.

The subjune, still depends on \(\nu \alpha \)—the aim of the temporary separation is not that you may keep apart, but for a certain end, and then that you may be united again.

ίνα μη πειρ.] Purpose of the re-union stated, by that which might happen did it not take place. πειράζη now is present, not aor., as betokening the danger of a state of abstinence if continued.

ἀκρασία here, not that from ἄκρατος (-1),—which signifies a bad mixture, as ακρ. ἀέρος, 'insalubrity of the air:' but that from ἀρκρᾶτης (-1),—incontinence; see reff. διά τ. άκρ. ύμ., on account of your incontinence,-but hardly, as Meyer seems to think, with allusion to the proverbial fault of the Corinthians in this particular, which would be more definitely expressed, were it intended. The δμων is necessary to carry out the form of the sen-

tence, corresponding to bμαs above. 6. But this I say by way of allowance (for you), not by way of command.

τοῦτο refers, not to ver. 2, as Beza, Grot., and De Wette, because the precept there given depends on a reason also given, διὰ τας πορνείας, from the nature of which reason it must be κατ' ἐπιταγήν: nor to the whole since ver. 2, as Billroth, Rückert, al.,-because the precept in ver. 3 depends on the general truth in ver. 4, and is also a command: nor to πρὸς καιρόν, as Theophyl:—nor as the ascetics, Orig., Tert., Jerome, Estius (also Calvin), to ἐπὶ τὸ αὐτὸ $\hat{\eta}\tau\epsilon$, because both these are but subordinate members of the preceding sentence:-still less to what follows, as Rosenm., al.: -but, as the context (ver. 7) shews, to the whole recommendation given in ver. 5. This recommendation all depended on the possibility of their being tempted by incontinence: he gives it not then as a command in all cases, but as an allowance for those to whom he was writing, whom he knew, and assumes, to be thus tempted. The meaning 'by permission,' E. V., is ambiguous, appearing as if it meant by permission of the Lord (to say it): that given by Hammond, al., κατὰ τὴν ἐμὴν γνώμην, is philologically inadmissible. rather (δέ) wish that all men were as I myself also am (καί comparandi, so Xen. Anab. ii. 1. 22, καὶ ἡμῖν ταὐτὰ δοκεῖ ἄπερ καὶ βασιλεῖ. See Hartung, Partikell.

8. ins $\sigma \tau_i$ bef kalov A. rec aft autois ins $\epsilon \sigma \tau \nu_i$, with $D^{2-3}KL$ rel vss Thart Th1 Ce: om ABCD'FN 17 Syr.copt Meth Cyr Epiph Chr Damase. for $\epsilon \omega_i$, ω_i B. ins outus bef $\mu \epsilon \nu \omega \sigma_i \nu$ C latt Aug; bef as m. $\kappa \alpha_i \epsilon_i \nu_i$ DF Meth: $\epsilon_i \nu_i$ a. 9. for ouk $\epsilon_i \nu_i \rho_i$, ou kratevota if F. $\gamma_i \rho_i \nu_i$ FChr-ed, $\kappa_i \rho_i \nu_i$ Apalet AC'N' 17 Clem, Damase. 10. [allala, a. o. AB C(ADNY) D'N.] $\gamma_i \nu_i \nu_i \nu_i \nu_i$ Apalet AC'N' 17 Clem, Damase. 21 Dialage. 22 Meth. $\gamma_i \nu_i \nu_i \nu_i \nu_i \nu_i$ Dialage. 23 Dialage. 24 Meth. 25 Dialage. 25 Dialage. 25 Dialage. 26 Dialage. 26 Dialage. 27 Dial

10. [αλλα, so AB C(appy) D¹κ.] rel Clem Chr Thdrt Thl Œc.

i. 126)—viz., ἐν ἐγκρατεία, which Chrys. seems to have read in the text; see below on ver. 8. ἀλλὰ ἔκαστος . . . said in the most general way, as a milder expression of 'all have not the gift of continence.' οῦτως . . . οῦτως] both are said generally, not one in the way in which I have it (of continence), another in the way of marrying (i. e. though he have not this, and be therefore better married, yet has some other), which should be ἐκείνως,—but, one thus, and another thus,—i.e. 'one in one way, another in another.'

8, 9.] Advice to the unmarried, that it is best so to remain, but better to

marry than be inflamed with lust. 8. λέγω δέ] taking up the former λέγω, ver. 6, and bringing this advice under the same category as ver. 7, viz. his own wish that all were as himself. The stress is on λέγω, not on τοις άγ. κ. ταις χ., which would in that case be placed first, as Tois γεγαμηκόσιν below. τοῖς ἀγάμοις, the unmarried, of both sexes not as usually interpreted, widowers, or unmarried males alone: this is shewn by the contrasted term γεγαμηκόσιν, which embraces (see vv. 10, 11) both sexes. ταις χήραις may be added as singling out widows especially ;-or more probably, because τοιs αγάμοις would naturally be taken as those who never were married, and thus widows would not be understood to be included. καλόν, see on ver. 1, it is good for them, i.e. 'their best way.

ός κὰγώ] i.e. ἄγαμος. This brings the Apostle's own circumstances more clearly before us than ver. 7, which might be misunderstood: and there can be little doubt from this, that he never was married. Grot. suys, "ex h. l. non improbabiliter colligitur, Paulo fuisse uxorem, quod et Clemens Alex. putat, sed cum hæc

scriberentur, mortuam." But this rests on the mistaken interpretation of $\delta \gamma d\mu \omega s$ on the mistaken interpretation of $\delta \gamma d\mu \omega s$ moticed above. The passage of Clem. Alex. (Strom. iii. 53, p. 535 P., alluded to in Euseb. iii. 30) is grounded on Paul's having in a certain epistle addressed $\tau h \nu a d \tau \delta \bar{\sigma}$ of $\delta \psi \nu \gamma \rho \nu \gamma \mu \delta \sigma s$. But the words $\sigma \psi \nu \gamma \nu \gamma \nu \gamma \mu \delta \sigma s$, But the words $\sigma \psi \nu \gamma \nu \gamma \nu \gamma \nu \delta \sigma s$, Phil iv. 3, certainly have no reference to a wife: see note there.

9. but if they are incontinent . . . οὐκ must be joined not with ei, which would require μή, but with the verb. So reff. and Soph. Aj. 1131, εί τοὺς θανόντας οὐκ έᾳ̃ς θάπτειν παρών, 'vetas.' See other examples in Hartung, Partikellebre, ii. 122 f. ἐγκρατεύω is said by Lobeck, ad Phryn. p. 44, not to be found except in the LXX and N. T. But both Phrynichus and Thom. Mag. say, ἀκρατεύεσθαι μη-δαμῶς εἴπης, ἀλλὰ οὐκ ἐγκρατεύεσθαι. See in Wetst. γαμησάτ.] Lobeck, in Phrynichus, p. 742, says, "post ἔγημα (ut έγηρα) έγάμησα invaluit quod non solum in N. T. libris, ut quidam putaverunt, sed etiam in ipsa Græcia reperitur, auctore, ut videtur, Menandro: έγάμησεν ην έβουλόμην ἐγώ,-nihil impediente pedum modulatione quominus usitato uteretur aorisπυροῦσθαι " melius nuberent quam urerentur, id est, quam occulta flamma concupiscentiæ in ipsa conscientia vastarentur. Aug. de sancta Virginitate, 34, vol. vi. p. 415. 10, 11.] Prohibition of separation after marriage; or in case of separation, of another marriage. These γεγαμηκότες, as the ἄγαμοι and χῆραι above, are all Christians. The case of mixed marriages he treats ver. 12 ff. They are those already married.

10. οὐκ ἐγώ, ἀλλὰ ὁ κύριος] Ordinarily, the Apostle (ἐγώ) writes, commands, gives his advice, under conscious inspiration of

bis (Luke s.i. 48. Acts viii. 1. xxii. 20. Rom. i. 32) only +. (1 Mace. i. 57. 2 Mace. xi. 21, 35 only.) p Rom. vii 17 teff. ... (-) here bis only t. (Gen. xxvii. 41.) Soph. (Ed. Tyr. 9to. r - Acts x. 41 reff.

11. μενειν αγαμον, and καταλλαγηναι F latt goth lat-ff.

12. rec εγω bef λεγω, with DFKL rel latt Chr Thdrt Iren-int Ambr Aug: txt ABCX

m 17 copt Syr æth Clem.

13. for ητις, ει τις D¹FN b¹ h k latt Chr(not ms₁) Thdrt, Thl-mss_a lat-ff. rec (for ουτος) αυτος, with D³KL rel syrr Chr Thdrt Thl Œc: txt ABCD¹FN latt copt goth

the Holy Spirit of God. See ver. 40. He claims expressly, ch. xiv.37, that the things å γράφω ὑμῖν should be recognized as κυρίου [ἐντολή]. But here he is about to give them a command resting, not merely on inspired apostolic authority, great and undoubted as that was, but on that of THE LORD HIMSELF. So that all supposed distinction between the Apostle's own writing of himself and of the Lord, is quite irrelevant. He never wrote of himself, being a vessel of the Holy Ghost, who ever spoke by him to the church. The distinction between that which is imperative, and that which is optional, that which is more and that which is less weighty in his writings, is to be made by the cautious and believing Christian, from a wise appreciation of the subject-matter, and of the circumstances under which it was written. ALL is the outpouring of the Spirit, but not all for all time, nor all on the primary truths of the faith. Not I, but the Lord, viz. in ref. Matt. See also Mark x. 12, where the woman's part is brought out. That it occupies the principal place here, is perhaps because the Christian women at Corinth may have been the most ready to make the separation: or perhaps, because the woman, from her place in the matrimonial union, may be more properly said ἀπὸ ἀνδρὸς χωρισθηναι than the man ἀπό γυναικός χωρισθηναι. χωρισθ., be separated, whether by formal divorce or otherwise; the καταλλαγήτω below, is like this, an absolute passive; undefined whether by her own or her husband's doing. 11.] *≷άν* to καταλλαγήτω is parenthetical. It supposes a case of actual separation, contrary of course to Christ's command: if such have really taken place (κοί, veritably: see note on 2 Cor. v. 3, and Hartung, Partikell. i. 132), the additional sin of a new marriage (Matt. v. 32) must not be committed, but the breach healed as soon

as possible. καταλλ. see above on χωρισθη. κ. ἄνδρ. γυν. μη άφ.] The Apostle does not add the qualification mapεκτός λόγου πορνείας Matt. v. 32 (xix. 9), not found in Mark x. 11 or Luke xvi. 18. But we cannot hence infer that he was not aware of it. The rule, not the exception, here was in his mind: and after what had been before said on the subject of fornication, the latter would be understood as a matter of course. 12—16. Directions for such Christians as were already married to Heathens. Such a circumstance must not be a ground per se of separation,-and why: but if the unbelieving party wish to break off the union, let it be so. 12.] Tois Auποîs, the rest, perhaps in respect of their letter of enquiry,-the only ones not yet dealt with. At all events, the meaning is plain, being those who are involved in mixed marriages with unbelievers.

έγώ, οὐχ ὁ κύρ.] I, i. e. I Paul, in my apostolic office, under the authority of the Holy Spirit (see above on ver. 10), not the Lord, i.e. not Christ by any direct command spoken by Him: it was a question with which HE did not deal, in His recorded discourses. In the right arrangement of the words (txt) the stress is not on έγώ, but on λέγω: But to the rest I say (I, not the Lord). συνευδοκεί presupposes his own wish to continue united. αὕτη, not αὐτή, and οὖτος, not αὐτός, below, —see reff. 13. The change of construction και γυνή ήτις . . . και οδτος . ., is found frequently with καί: so Il. α. 78, δ γὰρ ὀΐομαι ἄνδρα χολωσέμεν, δς μέγα πάντων | 'Αργείων κρατέει καί οἱ πείθονται 'Axaioi. See reff., and Kühner, ii. 526 Meyer remarks, that the Apostle uses the vox media à φιέναι here, of both parties, the husband and wife, not ἀπολύειν (as Matt. v. 31, &c.), which would apply only to the husband. In the E. V.

r = Acts xx. αὐτῆς, μη k ἀφιέτω τὸν ἄνδρα. 14 r ἡγίασται γὰρ ὁ ἀνηρ ABCDF $\frac{32. \, \text{xxv}. \, \text{i.8.}}{5.6}$ ο $\frac{\pi}{6}$ πιστος $\frac{1}{6}$ εν τη γυναικί, καὶ ηγίασται η γυνη η ο de fg s ver. 12. $\frac{12}{6}$ επιστος $\frac{1}{6}$ εν τ $\frac{\pi}{6}$ άδελ ϕ $\tilde{\phi}$. $\frac{\pi}{6}$ επεὶ $\frac{\pi}{6}$ οα τὰ τέκνα $\frac{\pi}{6}$ μων $\frac{1}{6}$ κὶ ki m τπεὶ. $\frac{\pi}{6}$ άκάθαςτά έστιν, νῦν δὲ ἄγιά έστιν. $\frac{15}{6}$ εἰ δὲ $\frac{5}{6}$ $\frac{6}{6}$ ἄπιστος $\frac{\pi}{6}$ τόμον. u ch. v. 10 only. v = Acts x. 14 reff.

Chr, Cyr Aug. (17 def.) for συνευδ., ευδοκει B. rec (for τον ανδρα) αυτον (corrn to conform to αυτην above, ver 12), with KL rel syr Chr Thdrt Tert: αυτην (o¹?) 106: txt ABCDF m 17 vulg Syr copt goth æth arm Cyr Jer Aug Ambrst Pelag Bede: αυτον ανδρα 🕏, but αν erased by 🕅 or 5.

14. aft γυναικι ins τη πιστη DF latt Syr Mart-Clem Tert. (om Aug-mss and expr.) [στος of 2nd απιστος is supplied in smaller letters by N-corr¹.] αδελφω ανδρι (explanatory gloss, substituted as more appropriate: but αδελφω has peculiar force here), with $D^3KL\aleph^3$ rel vulg syrr Chr Thdrt Thl Ec lat-ff (but add $\tau\omega$ πιστω vnlg Syr Iren-int Tert): txt ABCD FR1 17 copt Augexpr Jer. D¹F Chr.

this identity of terms is unfortunately neglected. The same word, part from, would

well have expressed ἀφιέτω in both cases. By the Greek as well as Roman customs, the wife had the power of effecting a divorce. At Athens, - when the divorce originated with the wife, she was said ἀπολειπειν the house of her husband: when with the husband, αποπεμπέσθαι. At Rome, the only exception to the wife's liberty of effecting a divorce appears to have been in the case of a freedwoman who had married her patronus. See Smith's Dict. of Gr. and Rom. Autt. artt. Divortium, and ἀπολείψεως δίκη. Olsh. thinks that Paul puts both alternatives, because he regards the Christian party as the superior one in the marriage. But, as Meyer remarks, this would be inconsistent with the fundamental law of marriage, Gen. iii. 16, and with the Apostle's own view of it, ch. xi. 3, xiv. 34; Eph. v. 22, 23; 1 Tim. ii. 11, 12. 14. Ground ἡγίασται The of the above precept. meaning will best be apprehended by remembering (1) that holiness, under the Gospel, answers to dedication to God under the law; (2) that the ἡγιασμένοι under the Gospel are the body of Christian men, dedicated to God, and thus become His in a peculiar manner: (3) that this being so, things belonging to, relatives inseparably connected with, the people of God are said to be hallowed by their αγιότης: so Theophylact, οὐχ ὅτι ἄγιος γίνεται ὁ "Ελλην. οὐ γὰρ εἶπεν ὅτι ἄγιός ἐστιν' ἀλλ', ἡγίασται τουτέστι, τῆ άγιδτητι τοῦ πιστοῦ νενίκηται. Chrysostom well shews the distinction between this case and that in ch. vi. 15, that being a connexion κατά την àσέβειαν, -in and under the condition of the very state, in which the other party is impure: whereas this is a connexion according to a pure and holy ordinance, by virtue of which, although the physical

unity in both cases is the same, the purity overbears the impurity. ev th y., ev τῷ ἀδελ. in, i.e. his or her άγιότης is situated in, rests in, the other (see reff.: and note, ch. vi. 2). ἐπεὶ ἄρα as ref., but here elliptically: since in that case (i.e. as understood, the other alternative, -the non-hallowing). αν είη, nor ην, but pres.: because the supposed case is assumed, and the ind. pres. used of what has place on its assumption. ἄγια as ἡγίασται above: holy to the Lord. On this fact, Christian children being holy, the argument is built. This being so, -they being hallowed, because the children of Christians,it follows that that union out of which they sprung, must as such have the same hallowed character; i.e. that the insanctity of the one parent is in it overborne by the sanctity of the other. The fact of the children of Christians, God's spiritual people, being holy, is tacitly assumed as a matter of course, from the precedent of God's ancient covenant people. With regard to the bearing of this verse on the subject of Infant Baptism,-it seems to me to have none, further than this: that it establishes the analogy, so far, between Christian and Jewish children, as to shew, that if the initiatory rite of the old covenant was administered to the one, -that of the new covenant, in so far as it was regarded as corresponding to circumcision, would probably as a matter of course be administered to the other. Those, as Meyer, who deny any such inference, forget, as it seems to me, that it is not personal holiness which is here predicated of the children, any more than of the unbelieving husband or wife, but holiness of dedication, by strict dependence on one dedicated. Notwithstanding this άγιότης, the Christian child is individually born in sin and a child of wrath; and individually needs the

 $^{\rm w}$ χωρίζεται, $^{\rm w}$ χωρίζεσθω. οὐ $^{\rm w}$ δεδούλωται $^{\rm o}$ άδελφὸς $^{\rm h}$ $^{\rm w}$ $^{\rm w}$ τις 1.1. $^{\rm m}$ άδελφὴ εν $^{\rm y}$ τοῖς τοιούτοις, $^{\rm z}$ εν δὲ εἰρήνη $^{\rm z}$ κέκληκεν $^{\rm mer. 3b.}$ ήμᾶς $^{\rm h}$ θεός. $^{\rm 16}$ $^{\rm u}$ τί γὰρ $^{\rm w}$ οἶδας, γύναι, $^{\rm b}$ εἰ τὸν ἄνδρα $^{\rm mer. 3b.}$ $^{\rm weight }$ $^{\rm mer. 3b.}$ $^{\rm weight }$ $^{\rm weight }$ $^{\rm mer. 3b.}$ $^{\rm weight }$ $^{\rm mer. 3b.}$ $^{\rm weight }$ $^{$

xvi. 36, 18. Acts xxii. 22 reff. z = Gal. i. 6. Eph, iv. 4. 1 Thess, iv. 7. a here only 2. b Acts xix. 2 (b) reff. 2 Kings xii. 22. Eccl. iii. 21 F. Joel ii. 14. Jonah iii. 9.

15. om $\dot{\eta}$ FN¹ m Chr-ms₁. $\nu\mu\alpha s$ ACKN¹ Damase Thl Pelag Sedul Bede: txt BDFLN³ rel latt syrr goth Nyss Chr Thdrt Phot Œe Ambrst.

washing of regeneration and the renewing of the Holy Ghost, just as much as the Jewish child needed the typical purifying of circumcision, and the sacrificial atonements of the law. So that in this άγιότης of the Christian child there is nothing inconsistent with the idea, nor with the practice, of Infant Baptism. On vûv δέ, 15. But if the see note, ch. v. 11. wish for separation (implied by the present χωρίζεται, -is for being separated, see Winer, edn. 6, § 40. 2. a, and compare John x. 32, xiii. 6, 27) proceed from the side of the UNBELIEVER (emphasis on ò απιστος), let him (or her) depart (be separated off). οὐ δεδούλ.] οὐκ ἔχει ἀνάγκην ὁ πιστὸς ἡ ἡ πιστὴ ἐν τοῖς ἀπίστοις τοιαύτην, οία αὐτῷ ἐπίκειται ἐπὶ τῶν πιστῶν. έκει μέν γάρ παντί τρόπω, χωρίς λόγω πορνείας, οὐκ έξεστιν ἀπ' ἀλλήλων τοὺς συναφθέντας χωρισθήναι ένταθθα δέ, αν μέν συνευδοκή το άπιστον μέρος τῷ πιστῷ συνοικείν, δεί μη λύειν το συνοικέσιον. αν δε στασιάζη και την λύσιν εκείνος ποιή, οὐ δεδούλωται ὁ πιστὸς εἰς τὸ μὴ χωρισθηναι. Photius, in Œcumenius. τοις τοιούτοις may be taken as masc., in the case of such persons,—as above by Phot.:—but the èv seems harsh; it is better therefore to render it, in such cases.

 $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ δ $\dot{\epsilon}$ ε $\dot{\epsilon}\rho$.] Not = $\dot{\epsilon}$ ls ε $\dot{\epsilon}$ lp $\dot{\eta}\nu\eta\nu$, but signifying the moral element in which we are called to be: see reff. and ver. 22 below.

The meaning is, 'let the unbeliever depart, rather than by attempting to retain the union, endanger that peace of household and peace of spirit, which is part of Observe, the calling of a Christian.' (I) that there is no contradiction, in this licence of breaking off such a marriage, to the command of our Lord in Matt. v. 32,because the Apostle expressly asserts, ver. 12, that our Lord's words do not apply to such marriages as are here contemplated. They were spoken to those within the covenant, and as such apply immediately to the wedlock of Christians (ver. 10), but not to mixed marriages. De Wette denies this, and holds that Paul is speaking only of the Christian's duty in cases where the marriage is already virtually broken off,-and by his remarks on Matt. v. 32, seems to

take $\pi o \rho \nu \epsilon i \alpha$ in a wide sense, and to regard it as a justifiable cause of divorce because it is such a breaking off. This however appears hardly consistent with ver. 12; for, if it were so, there would be a command of the Lord regarding this case. At all events, we may safely assume that where the Apostle is distinctly referring to our Lord's command, and supplying what it did not contain, there can be no real inconsistency: if such appear to be, it must be in our apprehension, not in his words. (2) That the question of re-marrying after such a separation, is here left open: on this, see note on Matt. v. 32. (3) That not a word here said can be so strained as to imply any licence to contract marriages with unbelievers. Only those already contracted are dealt with: the έτεροζυγείν àπίστοις is expressly forbidden, 2 Cor. vi. 14, and by implication below, ver. 39.

16. This verse is generally understood as a ground for remaining united, as ver. 13, in hope that conver-ion of the unbelieving party may follow. Thus ver. 15 is regarded as altogether parenthetical. But (1) this interpretation is harsh as regards the context, for ver. 15 is evidently not paren-thetical,—and (2) it is hardly gram-matically admissible (see below), for it makes $\epsilon i = \epsilon i \, \mu \eta$,—'What knowest thou whether thou shalt not save?' Lyra seems first to have proposed the true rendering, which was afterwards adopted hesitatingly by Estins, and of late decidedly by Meyer, De Wette, and Bisping: viz. that the verse is not a ground for remaining united, in hope, &c., -but a ground for consummating a separation, and not marring the Christian's peace for so uncertain a prospect as that of converting the unbelieving party. Ti oldas ei thus preserves its strict sense, What knowest thou (about the question) whether? and the verse coheres with the words immediately preceding, ἐν εἰρήνη κέκλ. ἡμᾶς ὁ θ. observe in addition to Meyer and De W.'s remarks, that the position of the words further establishes this rendering. If the point of the argument had been the importance, or the prospect, of saving (=converting) the unbelieving party, the ar-

hklm

n o 17

 γυνη and ανηρ F. for $\eta \tau i$, $\epsilon i \tau i A$.

17. μεμερικέν BN1. rec transp κυριος and θεος, with KL rel Syr Chr Thdrt: θεος (twice) 32-3. 63. 93 goth: ο κς and ο κς ο θς G: txt A B(sic: see table) CDFX m 17 latt Syr copt latt-ff. ο κς bef εμερισεν A: ο θς εμερισεν and ο κς κεκληκεν k. ins και bef εκαστον ως F. πασαις bef ταις εκκλησιαις N. for διατασσομαι, διδασκω (see ch iv. 17) D1F, doceo latt lat-ff.

18. εκληθη bef τις D: 3F goth. rec (for κεκληται τις) τις εκληθη (conformation to former), with D3KL rel Chr Thdrt: txt AB(D1F) a m 17 copt goth.

rangement would probably have been el σώσεις του άνδρα, and εί σώσεις την

γυναίκα, whereas now the verb holds in both clauses a subordinate place, rather subjective to the person addressed, than the main object in the mind of the writer.

Those who take ϵi for $\epsilon i \mu \eta$, attempt to justify it by reff. 2 Kings, Joel, Jonah, where the LXX have for the Heb. מי יוֹדֶצָ, τίς οίδεν εί, to express hope: but (1) in every one of those passages the verb stands in the emphatic position, and (2) the LXX use this very expression to signify uncertainty, e. g. ref. Eccles., τίς εἶδε (οἶδε τὸ F) πνεθμα υίων του άνθρώπου, εί άναβαίνει αὐτὸ (εis F) ανω; The rendering then of the verse will be as follows: (Let the unbeliever depart: hazard not for an uncertainty the peace in which you ought to be living as Christians): for what assurance hast thou, O wife, whether thou shalt be the means of thy husband's conversion? Or what assurance, hast thou, O husband, whether thou shalt be the means of thy wife's conversion? "This interpretation is the only one compatible with the obvious sense of ver. 15, and of the expression (not τί οίδας εί μή, but) τί οίδας εί σώσεις; and is also in exact harmony with the general tenor of the Apostle's argument, which is not to urge a union, but to tolerate a separation." Stanley; the rest of whose note is deeply interesting as to the historical influence of the verse as commonly misunderstood. 17.] εἰ μή takes an exception, by way of caution, to the foregoing motive for not remaining together (ver. 16). The Christian partner might carry that motive too far, and be tempted by it to break the connexion on his own part; a course already prohibited (vv. 12—14). Therefore the Apostle adds, But (q. d. only be careful not to make this

a ground for yourselves causing the separation) as to each (έκάστ. ώς = ώς έκάστ... reff.) the Lord distributed [his lot], as (i. e. η κλήσει, ver. 20) God has called each, so (in that state, without change) let him walk (reff.). The εἰ μή has raised considerable difficulties. (1) some cursives, with syr-marg and Sevrn., read εἰ τὴν γυναῖκα σώσεις, ἡ μή;—and Knatchbull, al., join εἰ μή similarly to the foregoing ; εί.... σώσεις, – εί μή. But as De W. remarks, this would be, as Matt. xxii 17, η où: and then we should have the strictly parallel clauses of ver. 16 rendered nnequal, by an appendage being attached to the second, which the first has not : besides that ver. 17 would be disjoined altogether. (2) Pott would supply χωρίζεται, -Mosheim, Vater, and Rückert, σώσεις, after εἰ μή. But so, to say nothing of the irrelevancy of the idea thus introduced, el δè μή, or el δè καl μή (as Meyer), would be required. (3) Theodoret, al., join all as far as κύριος to the foregoing: 'What knowest thou, δ 'c., except in so far as the Lord has apportioned to each?' But thus the evidently parallel members, $\ell\kappa\alpha\sigma\tau$. ώς $\epsilon \mu$. δ κύρ., and ϵ κάστ. ϵ κ ϵ κλ. δ θ ., would be separated, and a repetition occasioned which, except in the case of intended parallelism, would be alien from St. Paul's habit of writing. διατ.] τοῦτο εἶπεν, ἵνα τῷ ἔχειν καὶ άλλους κοινωνούς προθυμότεροι περί την ύπακοὴν διατεθώσι. Theophyl.

18-24.] Examples of the precept just given. εἶτα συνήθως ἀπὸ τοῦ προκειμένου είς έτερα μεταβαίνει, πασι νομοθετών τὰ κατάλληλα. Theodoret.

First example: CIRCUMCISION.

18. ἐκλήθη Was any one called in circumcision,—i. e. circumcised at the time of his conversion. ἐπισπάσθω By

19. om 1st η F. om from εστιν to εστιν F.

a surgical operation; see Theophyl.,

20. for ταυτή, τουτώ Α.

21. αλλα D1. om και F some-mss-of-vulg copt.

Wetst.,-Winer, Realwörterbuch, art. Beschneidung, - Jos. Antt. xii. 5. 1; 1 Macc. i. 15; Celsus de Re Medica, vii. 25 (in Wetst.). The practice usually was adopted by those who wished to appear like the Gentiles, and to cast off their ancient faith and habits. Among the Christians a strong anti-Judaistic feeling might lead to it. περιτεμνέσθω | See Gal. v. 2, al. 19.7 See Gal. v. 6, where our τήρησις ἐντολῶν θεοῦ is expressed by πίστις δι' ἀγάπης ενεργουμένη; and Gal. vi. 15, where it is given by καινή κτίσις. Cf. an interesting note in Stanley, on the relation of these three descriptions. After θεοῦ, supply τὰ πάντα ἐστίν: see ch. iii. 7. Formal repetition of the general precept, as again ver. 24. κλησις is not the as again ver. 24. calling in life, for it never has that meaning either in classical or Hellenistic Greek (in the example which Wetst, gives from Dion. Hal. Antt. iv. 20, κλήσεις is used to express the Latin ' classes,'-as καλοῦσιν 'Ρωμαΐοι κλήσεις, and so is not a Greek word at all); but strictly calling ('vocatio') by God, as in ref. The $\kappa\lambda\hat{\eta}\sigma$ is of a circumcised person would be a calling in circumcision,-and by this he was to abide. $\vec{\epsilon} v \ \tau \hat{\eta} \dots \hat{\vec{\epsilon}} v \ \tau \hat{\alpha} \hat{\nu} \uparrow$ See ch. vi. 4: emphatic. 21—24.] Second example: Slavery. Wert thou called (converted), a slave, let it not be a trouble to thee; but if thou art even able to become free, use it (i. e. remain in slavery) rather. This rendering, which is that of Chrys., Theodoret, Theophyl., Cceum., Phot., Camerar., Estius, Wolf, Bengel, Meyer, De Wette, al., is required by the usage of the particles, of kai,—by which, see Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 139, the καί, 'also,' or 'even,' does not belong to the εἰ, as in καl εἰ, but is spread over the whole contents of the concessive clause: so Soph. Œd. Tyr. 302, πόλιν μέν, εἰ καὶ μὴ βλέπεις, φρονεῖς δ' δμως, οία νόσω ξύνεστιν. Plat. Rep. p.

337, εί δ' οὖν καὶ μή ἐστιν ὅμοιον, φαίνεται δὲ τῷ ἐρωτηθέντι τοιούτων. Aristoph. Lysistr. 254, χώρει, Δράκης, ἡγοῦ βάδην, εἰ καὶ τον διμον ἀλγεῖς. Thueyd. ii. 64, μήτε ἐμὲ δι' ὀργῆς ἔχετε . . . εἰ καὶ ἐπελ-θόντες οἱ ἐναντίοι ἔδρασαν, ἄπερ εἰικὸς ἦν μη ἐθελησάντων ὑμῶν ὑπακούειν. See more examples in Hartung. It is also required by the context: for the burden of the whole passage is, 'Let each man remain in the state in which he was called.' It is given in the Syr.: which has thyself that thou mayest serve," or simply, "prefer servitude:" not as Meyer from the erroneous Latin of Tremelius, "elige tibi potius quam ut servias" [I am indebted for this correction of my earlier editions to the kindness of the Rev. Henry Craik, of Bristol]. The other interpretation,mentioned by Chrys., and given by Erasm., Luther (Stanley is mistaken in quoting him as favourable to the other interpretation : his words are, "Bift du ein Knecht berufen, forge ber nicht: boch, tannft bu frei werden, fo brauche beg niel lieber"), Beza, Calvin, Grot., and almost all the moderns,—understands $\tau \hat{\eta}$ èlev $\theta \epsilon \rho \hat{\mu}$ after $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha i$: 'but if thou art able to become free, take advantage of it rather.' The objections to this are, (1) the position of καί, which in this case must have been after δύνασαι,—εἰ δύνασαι καὶ ἐλεύθερος γε-νέσθαι, or have been absent altogether. (2) The clause would hardly have begun with άλλά εί, but with εί δέ-so the alternative suppositions in vv. 9, 11, 15, 28, 36. The anna brings out a strong opposition to the μελέτω, and implies a climax which would ill suit a merely parenthetic clause, but must convey the point of the sentence. (3) The absence of a demonstrative pronoun after χρησαι, by which we are thrown back, not on the secondary subject of the sentence, ελευθερία, but on the primary, δουλεία. (4) Its utter inconsistency with the general context. The Apostle would

22. rec aft omolos ins kai (as being usual aft omolos: so also de kai), with KL rel syr-w-ast copt Chr Damasc Thi (Ee Ambr,: de kai DF 1: om ABN 17 vulg Syr goth Chr-ms, Thart Ambr, Ambrst Pelag Bede. $\chi\rho_i\sigma\sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (1-1)^{-1} (1-1)^$

24. αδελφοι bef εν ω εκληθη DF Ambrst: om αδελφοι a¹ 39. 120 Chr Thdrt. rec ins τω bef θεω, with A e k Œc: om BDFKLN rel Thdrt Damasc Thl.

thus be giving two examples of the precept ἕκαστος ἐν ῷ ἐκλήθη ἐν τούτω μενέτω, one of which would convey a recommendation of the contrary course. See this followed out in Chrysostom. (5) Its entire contradiction to ver. 22: see below. (6) It would be quite inconsistent with the teaching of the Apostle, —that in Christ (Gal. iii. 28) freeman and slave are all one, -and with his remarks on the urgency and shortness of the time in this chapter (ver. 29 ff.),-to turn out of his way to give a precept merely of worldly wisdom, that a slave should become free if he could. (7) The import of χράoual in such a connexion, which suits better the remaining in, enduring, labouring under, giving one's self up to, an already-existing state, than the adopting or taking advantage of a new one; cf. such expressions as τοιούτω μόρω έχρήσατο δ παις, Herod. i. 117: συμφορά, συντυχία, εὐτυχία, χρησθαι, often in Herod.: ἀμαθία χρησθαι, and the like. The instance quoted by Bloomfield for 'become free,' έκων γαρ οὐδείς δουλίω χρηται ζύγω, Æsch. Agam. 953, tells just the other way. There χρηται is used not of entering, but of submitting to, the yoke of slavery, 22. Ground of the above precept. For the slave who was called in the Lord (not, as E. V. and De Wette, 'He who is called in the Lord, being a slave,' which would be δοῦλος κληθείς, see above, δοῦλος ἐκλήθης: έν κυρίω, as the element in which what is about to be stated takes places) is the Lord's freedman (" ἀπελεύθερος with genit. is not here in the ordinary sense of 'libertus alicujus,' 'any one's manumitted slave:' for the former master was sin or the devil, see on ch. vi. 20 ;-but only a freedman belonging to Christ, viz. freed by Christ from the service of another. This the reader would understand as a matter of course." Meyer): similarly he that was called being free (not here, κληθείς έλεύθερος, see above) is

the slave of Christ, Christ's service is perfect freedom, and the Christian's freedom is the service of Christ. But here the Apostle takes, in each case, one member of this double antithesis from the outer world, one from the spiritual. The (actual) slave is (spiritually) free: the (actually) free is a (spiritual) slave. So that the two are so mingled, in the Lord. that the slave need not trouble himself about his slavery, nor seek for this world's freedom, seeing he has a more glorious freedom in Christ, and seeing also that his brethren who seem to be free in this world are in fact Christ's servants, as he is a servant. It will be plain that the reason given in this verse is quite inconsistent with the prevalent modern rendering of ver. 21.

23. Following out of δοῦλός ἐστιν χριστού. by reminding them of the PRICE PAID whereby Christ PURCHASED them for His (ch. vi. 20): and precept thereupon, BECOME NOT SLAVES OF MEN: i. e. 'do not allow your relations to human society, whether of freedom or slavery, to bring you into bondage so as to cause you anxiety to change the one or increase the Chrys., al., think the precept other.' directed against οφθαλμοδουλεία, and general regard to men's opinion. But it is better to restrict it (however it may legitimately be applied generally) to the case in hand. Hammond, Knatchbull, Michaelis, al., understand it as addressed to the free. and meaning that they are not to sell themselves into slavery: but this is evidently wrong: as may be seen by the change to the second person plur. as addressing all his readers: besides that a new example would have been marked as in vv. 18, 21. See Stanley's note. 24. The rule is again repeated, but with the addition mapa $\theta \in \hat{\omega}$, reminding them of the relations of Christ's freedman and Christ's slave, and of the price paid, just mentioned :- of that relation to God in which they stood by means of their Christian calling. "The usual ren-

f pass, Rom. zi. 30, 31 ref.

k Rom. viii. 38, ch. iii. 22, Gal. i. 4. 2 Thess. di 2 Tim, iii. 1 Libb. ix. 9 oily, 1 Macc. xii. 44, (see note.)

m = vet. 40, labe. xxi. 2 Tim, iii. 1 Libb. ix. 9 labe. xxi. 2 Tim, iii. 1 Libb. ix. 9 labe. xxi. 2 Tim, iii. 1 Libb. ix. 9 labe. xxi. 2 Tim, iii. 10 labe. ix. 1 Libb. ix. 9 labe. xxi. 2 Tim, iii. 10 labe. ix. 1 Libb. ix. 9 labe. xxi. 2 Tim, iii. 10 labe. ix. 1 Libb. ix. 9 labe. xxi. 2 Tim, iii. 10 labe. ix. 1 Libb. ix. 9 labe. xxi. 2 Tim, iii. 10 labe. ix. 1 Libb. ix. 9 labe. xxii. 2 Libb. ix. 1 Libb. ix. 9 labe. xxii. 2 Libb. ix. 1 Libb. ix. 9 labe. xxii. 2 Libb. ix. 1 Libb. ix. 9 labe. xxii. 2 Libb. ix. 1 Libb. ix. 9 labe. xxii. 2 Libb. ix. 1 Libb. ix. 9 labe. xxii. 2 Libb. ix. 9 labe. xxii. 1 Libb. ix. 9 labe. xxii.

26. aft οτι καλον ins εστιν D1F vss.

om To F Meth.

dering, Deo inspectante (Grot.), i.e. 'perpetuo memores, vos in ejus conspectu versari ' (Beza), does not so well suit the local word μενέτω." Meyer. 25-38. Advice (with some digressions connected with the subject) concerning the MARRIAGE OF 25.] παρθένων is not, as VIRGINS. Theodor-mops., Bengel, Olsh., al., unmarried persons of both sexes, a meaning which, though apparently found in Rev. xiv. 4 (see note there), is perfectly unnecessary here, and appears to have been introduced from a mistaken view of vv. 26-28. The emphasis is on $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha \gamma \eta \nu$ —command of the Lord have I none, i. e. no expressed precept: so that, as before, there is no marked comparison between δ κύριος and έγώ. πιστὸς είναι] to be faithful, as in ref.,—as a steward and dispenser of the hidden things of God, and, among them, of such directions as you cannot make for yourselves, but require one so entrusted to impart to you. This sense, which has occurred in the estimate given of himself in this very Epistle, is better than the more general ones of true (Billroth, Rückert) or believing (Olsh., Meyer, De Wette). 26. The question of the marriage of virgins is one involving the expediency of contracting marriage in general: this he deals with now, on grounds connected with the then pressing necessity. ouv, then, follows on γνώμ. δίδωμι, and introduces the γνώμη. τοῦτο indicates what is coming, viz. τὸ οὕτως είναι. see note on ver. 1: the best way.

τὴν ἐνεστῶσ. ἀνάγκ.] the instant necessity: viz. that prophesied by the Lord, Matt. xxiv. 8, 21, &c.: which shall precede His coming: see especially ver. 19 there: not, the cares of marriage, as Theophyl. διὰ τὰς ἐν ἀνῆς δυκολίας, κ. τὰ τοῦ γάμον ὁχληφά: nor persecutions, as Photius in Œcum, al., which are only a part of the apprehended troubles. These the Apostle regards as instant, already begun: Vol. II.

for this is the meaning of ἐνεστῶσαν, not imminent, shortly to come: see reff. and Jos. Antt. xvi. 6. 2, τὸ ἔθνος τῶν 'Ιουδαίων εὐχάριστον εὐρέθη, οὐ μόνον ἐν τῷ ἐνεστῶτι καιρῷ, ὰλλὰ καὶ ἐν τῷ προ-γεγενημένφ,—where all time future is evidently excluded. See note on 2 Thess. ii. 2, where this distinction is very im-Portant. ὅτι καλ. ἀνθ.] De Wette takes ὅτι as because, understanding τοῦτο above = τὸ παρθένον εἶναι, 'that this (virginity) is best on account of the instant necessity, because it is (generally) best for a man so to be (i. e. unmarried). But this seems constrained, and tautological, and the only rescue of it from the charge of tautology is found in the word generally,' which is not in the text. Far better, with Meyer and most interpreters. to view the sentence as an anacoluthon, begun with one construction, τοῦτο καλόν ὑπάρχειν, and finished, without regard to this, when on account of the intervening words it became necessary to restate the καλόν, with another construction, δτι, &c. Thus we shall have it, literally rendered: I think then this to be the best way on account of the instant necessity, that it is the best way for a man thus to be.

οὔτως = ὡς κὰγώ as ver. 8? or perhaps ὡς ἐστίν, which seems better on account of the following context, ver. 27. This, in the case of the unmarried, would amount to the other: and the case of virgins is now that especially under consideration.

ἀνθρώπφ, not as in ver. 1 (which in its outward form will not bear the wider meaning), but here purposely general, including those treated of, young females.

27.] το ούτως είναι restated and illustrated: neither the married nor the unmarried are to seek for a change. The general recommendation here is referable alike to all cases of marriage, and does not touch on the prohibition of ver. 10, —only dissuading from a spirit of change, in consideration of the tverτώσα ἀνάγκη.

M M

τ γαμέω, οf the woman ver. 31. οὐχ ημαστες, καὶ ἐὰν τ γημη [η] μαστεν το ε de τ η τ αρκί να το ε αναμήσης, καὶ εὰν τ γημη [η] μαστεν το ε de τ η κι μαστεν το ε αναμήσης το ε αναμήσης

28. rec for gamps, gamps (to conform to the folly), with KL rel Chr Thart; $\lambda \alpha \beta \eta s$ gurauka DF: accepter uxorem latt lat-ff: duxer is Tert: txt $\Lambda(-\sigma \eta)$ BR m 17 Bas Damasc. for $\gamma \eta \mu \eta$, $\gamma \alpha \mu \eta$ DIF. om $\dot{\eta}$ BF: ins $\Delta DKLR$ rel. ins $\epsilon \nu$

bef τη σαρκι D¹F Œc-txt.

29. elz ins στι bef ο καιρος (supplementary: see ch. xv. 50, where there is no var readg), with DF de h l copt Orig Th!: om ABKLR rel vulg syr Eus Meth Bas (Chr. Thdrt lat-ff. συννεσταλμενον(sie) R. rec το λοιπον bef εστιν, with D³κL rel Thdrt Thl: εστιν λοιπον εστιν F 67² latt Tert Jer: txt ABD¹²R a¹ m 17 copt (Syr ?) syr arm Bas Cyr.—om το D¹. There is great var in the punctn:—rec (with L &c syrr copt Thdrt Thl Œc) has συν. το λ. εστιν; DF 67². 68. 71 latt Lat-ff (Aug.; το λοιπον twicealis) συνεστ. το λοιπον εστιν τια; συνεστ. εστιν το λοιπον in. (The varr have apply arisen from a desire to fix the connexion of

It seems better to take the verse thus, than with Meyer and De Wette, to regard it as inserted to guard against misunderstanding of the preceding γνώμη of the Apostle.
Αλονσα does not imply previous marriage, but as Phot., οὐχὶ πρὸς τοὺς συναφθέντας, εἶτα διαλυθέντας. ...
ὰλλὶ ἀπλῶς πρὸς τοὺς μὴ συνελθόντας δλος εἶς γάμου κουνωνίαν, ἀλλὰ λελυμένους ὅντας τοῦ τοιούτου δεσμοῦ,—and Estins, "intelligit liberum a conjugio, sive uxorem aliquando habuerit, sive non."

28. Not sin, but outward trouble, will be incurred by contracting marriage, whether in the ease of the unmarried man or of the virgin; and it is to spare them this, that he gives his advice. But if also (καί, of the other alternative : see ver. 21) thou shalt have married, thou didst not sin (viz. when thou marriedst); and if a virgin (if the art. is to stand, it is generie) shall have married, she sinned not; but such persons (viz. οἱ γήμαντες) shall have tribulation in the flesh (it is doubtful, as Meyer remarks, whether the dative belongs to the substantive,-trouble for the flesh,-or to the verb,-shall have in the flesh trouble): but I (emphatic-my motive is) am sparing you (endeavouring to spare you this θλίψιν τῷ σαρκί, by advising you to keep single). 29-31.7 He enforces the foregoing advice by solemnly reminding them of the shortness of the time, and the consequent duty of sitting loose to all worldly ties and em-29.] τοῦτο δέ φημι . . . ployments. q. d. 'What I just now said, of marrying being no sin, might dispose you to look on the whole matter as indifferent: my

motive, the sparing you outward affliction, may be underrated in the importance of its bearing: but I will add this solemn consideration.' ό καιρ. συνεστ. έστ. τὸ λοιπόν] The time that remains is short: lit., 'the time is shortened henceforth :'-i. e. the interval between now and the coming of the Lord has arrived at an extremely contracted period. These words have been variously misunderstood. (1) δ καιρός has been by some (Calvin, Estins, al.) interpreted 'the space of man's life on earth :' which, however true it may be, and however legitimate this application of the Apostle's words, certainly was not in his mind, nor is it consistent with his usage of o καιρός: see Rom. xiii. 11; Eph. v. 16,-or with that in the great prophecy of our Lord which is the key to this chapter, Luke xxi. 8; Mark xiii. 33. (2) συνεσταλμένος has been understood as meaning calamitosus (so Rosenm., Rückert, Olshausen, al.). But it never has this signification. In such passages as 1 Mace. iii. 6, v. 3; 2 Mace. vi. 12, παρακαλώ μη συστέλλεσθαι διὰ τὰς συμφοράς: 3 Maec. v. 33, τη δράσει συνεστάλη,—it has the meaning of humbling, depressing, which would be obviously inapplicable to καιρύς. The proper meaning of συστέλλεσθαι, to be contracted, is found in Diod. Sic. i. 41, διδ καὶ τὸν Νεῖλον εὐλόγως κατά του χειμώνα μικρου είναι καὶ συστέλλεσθαι. It is, as Schrader well renders it, 'in Rurgem fturgt bie alte Belt gu= fammen.' συστέλλεσθαι and συστολή are the regular grammatical words used of the shortening of a syllable in prosody. (3) τὸ λοιπόν has been by some (Tertull, ad ώς μὴ ἔχουτες ὧσιν, 30 καὶ οὶ κλαίοντες ὡς μὴ κλαίοντες, z ch. vi. 20 καὶ οὶ χαίροντες ὡς μὴ χαίροντες, καὶ οὶ z ἀγοράζοντες z του ὑς μὴ z καὶ οὶ z χρώμενοι τὸν κόσμον, ως μὴ z καταχρώμενοι d παράγει γὰρ τὸ c σχήμα τοῦ c του c καταχρώμενοι d παράγει γὰρ τὸ c σχήμα τοῦ c του d καταχρώμενοι. d παράγει γὰρ τὸ d σχημα τοῦ d του d σχρώμενοι. d σχρώμενοι d d σχρώμενοι d d σχρώμενοι d d

w. acc., 3 Macc. v. 22. e Phil. ii. 8 only. Isa. iii. 17 only.

d intrans., Matt. ix. 9 (and always, exc. 1 John ii. 8, 17). Ps. cx!iii. 4.

το λοιπον more definitely.) om ωσιν F syr arm.

30. for κλαιοντες (twice), κλεθοντες F.

31. rec (for τον κοσμον) τω κοσμω τουτω (gramml corrn, and supplementary addn), with D2.3KLN3 vulg Syr rel Thart Thl: τον κοσμον τουτον D1F: τω(sic, appy) κοσμον τουτον 17: txt ABX1 coptt. for καταχρ., παραχρ. L Bas Thdrt3; χρωμενοι 121 latt lat-ff (not Tert).

Uxorem i. 5, vol. i. p. 1283, Jer. de perp. virg. B. V. M. adv. Helv. 20 [vol. ii. p. 227], on Ezek. vii. 13 [lib. ii., vol. v. p. 69], on Ecel. iii. [vol. iii. p. 410],— Vulg., Erasm., Luther, Calvin, Estius; also E. V. and Lachm.) joined to what follows: 'it remains that both they,' &c. But thus (a) the sense of Iva will not be satisfied—see below: (β) the usage of τδ λοιπόν is against it, which would require it to stand alone, and the sense not to be carried on as it is in 'superest ut,' το λοι-πον, Ίνα ,—see reff. and Phil. iii. 1, iv. 8; [1 Thess. iv. 1;] 2 Thess. iii. 1. (γ) The continuity of the passage would be very harshly broken: whereas by the other rendering all proceeds naturally. We have exactly parallel usages of τδ λοιπόν in reff. τνα καὶ...] The end for which the time has been (by God) thus gathered up into a short compass: in order that both they, &c.: i. e. in order that Christians, those who wait for and shall inherit the coming kingdom, may keep themselves loosed in heart from worldly relationships and employments: that, as Meyer, "the married may not fetter his interests to his wedlock, nor the mourner to his misfortunes, nor the joyous to his prosperity, nor the man of commerce to his gain, nor the user of the world to his use of the world." This is the only legitimate meaning of Iva with the subj. The renderings which make it $= \delta \tau \epsilon$, 'tempus futurum cum ei qui uxores habent pares futuri sint non habentibus,' Grot., or 'ubi' (local), are inadmissible. We may notice that according to this only right view of Iva, the clauses following are not precepts of the Apostle, but the objects as regards us, of the divine counsel in shortening the 30. ώς μη κατέχοντες] as not Possessing (their gains). So in the line of Lucretius (iii. 984), "Vitaque mancupio nulli datur, omnibus usu." 31. χρώμενοι καταχρώμενοι] The κατά, as

in κατέχοντες, appears here to imply that intense and greedy use which turns the legitimate use into a fault. This meaning is better than 'abuse,' which is allowable philologically, and is adopted by Theodoret, Theophyl., Ec., Luther, Olsh., al., but destroys the parallel. I would render Two distributions then and they who use the world, as not using it in full. So, or merely 'as not using it,' regarding $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \chi \rho$, $= \chi \rho$, — Vulg., Calv., Grot., Estius, al., and Meyer and De Wette. $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \omega$ with an acc. is found only here: never in classical Greek, and very rarely in Hellenistic. Almost the only undoubted instance (in ref. Wisd., F reads κτησάμενοι. Stanley quotes Xen. Hier. xi. 11, but the reference is apparently wrong) seems to be in a Cretan inscription, Boeckh, Corp. Inser. ii. 400, καὶ τὰ άλλα πάντα χρήμενοι, ἐν δὲ τῷ δδώ τὰς ξενικάς θοίνας. See Bornemann, σωρ τας ξενικας σοινας. See Hornemann, note on Acts xxvii. 17, where βοηθείας is a var. read. in some mss. παράγει γὰρ...] gives α reason for δ καιρ. συνεσταλμ. ἐστ. τὸ λοιπ., the clauses which have intervened being subordinate to those words: see above. Emplasis on παράγει: for the fashion (present external form of Horelinia) δ λόκο αλλά σλοκα. ternal form, cf. Herodian i. 9, ανηρ φιλοσόφου φέρων σχημα, and other examples in Wetst.) of this world is passing away (is in the act of being changed, as a passing scene in a play: cf. πάραγε πτέρυγας, Eur. Ion, 165). This shews that the time is short : - the form of this world is already beginning to pass away. Grot., al., according to the mistaken view of ver. 20, . - 'non manebunt, quæ nunc sunt, res tranquillæ, sed mutabuntur in turbidas.' Theophyl. and many Commentators understand the saying of worldly affairs in general-άχρις όψεώς είσι τὰ τοῦ παρόντος κόσμου, καὶ ἐπιπόλαια:-but this is inconsistent with the right interpretation of ver. 29: see there. Stanley compares a remarkable parallel, 2 Esdr. xvi. 40-44, probably copied from this passage.

f Matt. xxviii. κόσμου τούτου. 32 θέλω δὲ ύμᾶς ἱ ἀμερίμνους εἶναι. ABDF κίμα χi κιστού. 5 δ ἄγαμος ἡ μεριμνᾶ ἱ τὰ τοῦ κυρίου, πῶς ἑ ἀρέση τῷ cde fg rev. s. houst, ch. s. κυρίω 33 δ δὲ γαμὴσας ἡ μεριμνᾶ ἱ τὰ τοῦ κόσμου, πῶς ἡ λὲὶ πὶ τὰ τοῦ κόσμου, πῶς πο 17 Phili, ii. 29. w. δ ἀρέση τῷ γυναικί. 34 καὶ ἱ μεμέρισται καὶ ἡ γυνὴ καὶ ἡ επαί. γιαι 34 καὶ τῷ παρθένος. ἡ ὅ ἄγαμος ἡ μεριμνᾶ ἱ τὰ τοῦ κυρίου, ἴνα ῷ refl. sec. hi. 13 τοῦ κυρίου, ἴνα ῷ refl. sec. hi. 13 τοῦ κυρίου, ἴνα ῷ refl. sec. hi. 13 τοῦ κυρίου, ἴνα ῷ refl. αγία καὶ τῷ η σώματι καὶ τῷ η πνεύματι ἡ δὲ ο γαμήσασα lesc. hi. 13 refl. m vv. 25, 28. n 1 Thess. v. 23. sec. h. v. 8 refl. no of the woman, sec

32. om δε F o 61 fuld D-lat: γαρ 38 Clem.

33. ree (for αρεση vv 32-3-4) αρεσει, with KL 17(ver 33) rel Clem Orig Meth Ath Epiph, Cyr Ephr Thdrt Damase Thl Œe: txt ABDFN 17 Eus. for κυριω, θεω F

vulg Orig Cypr.

34. rec on 1st και, with D³FKL rel Chr Thdrt.; ins ABD¹N 6.17.31.47.71.3 vulg syrr copt Eus Method Bas Cyr Epiph Pelag Aug Jer Fulg Primas Bede. rec om 2nd και, with D¹ demid(and fuld) copt Cyr Epiph Ephr Aug Jer: ins ABD³FKLN 6.31.47.71.3 rel vulg syr Eus Method Bas Chr Thdrt Damase Pelag Fulg Primas Bede.—μεμ. δε 30, μεμ. δε και Syr. aft η γυνη ins η αγαριο (retaining it also after παρθενο) AN 17 æth Damase; so (but ong the 2nd) B 6.31.47.71.3 vulg Eus Ps-Ath Jer, Aug, Pelag. om και (bef τω παματι) A m 17 vulg-ed (with some mss, but agst an demid al) Syr copt Orig₂ Ath Did Tert. rec om τω (bef σωμ. and bef πνευμ.), with DFKL rel Orig₁ Method₂ Did Thdrt Thl Œc: ins ABN a m 17 Clem Orig₁ Ath₁. οm τα του κοτιωυ Β.

32-34.] Application of what has been just said to the question of marriage.
32. θέλω δὲ...] But (i. e. since

this is so—since the time is so short, and that, in order that we Christians may sit loose to the world) I wish you to be without worldly cares (undistracted). Then he explains how this touches on the subject. πως αράσι—how he may please: πως αράσι—thow he shall please. The variety being not in reality a various reading, but only an itacism, I retain the form found in the most ancient MSS.

34. See var. readd.: I treat here only of the text. Divided also is the (married) woman and the virgin (i.e. divided in interest [i. e. in cares and pursuits] from one another: οὐ τὴν αὐτὴν έχουσι φροντίδα, άλλα μεμερισμέναι είσι ταις σπουδαίς, Theophyl.: not merely, different from one another, as E.V., Chrys., Luth., Grot., al. Divisa est mulier et virgo D-lat G-lat Tert). It may be well to remark as to the reading, on which see Digest,-that Jerome testifies to this having been the reading of the old Latin copies, and himself sometimes quotes the passage in this form; but, when speaking of it eritically, he states that it is not in the " apostoliea veritas," i. e., it would seem, the Greek as understood by him. "Nunc illud breviter admoneo in Latinis codicibus hunc locum ita legi: 'Divisa est virgo et mulier;' quod quamquam habent suum sensum, et a me quoque pro qualitate loci sic edissertum sit, tamen, non est apostolicæ veritatis. Siquidem Apostolus ita scripsit, ut supra transtulimus: 'Sollieitus est quæ sunt mundi, quomodo placeat uxori, et divisus est.' Et hac sententia definita transgreditur ad virgines et continentes et ait : 'Mulier innupta et virgo cogitat quæ sunt Domini ut sit saneta corpore et spiritu.' Non omnis innupta, et virgo est. autem virgo utique et innupta est. Quamquam ob elegantiam dictionis potuerit id ipsum altero verbo repetere, imulier innupta et virgo :' vel certe definire voluisse quid esset innupta, id est virgo: ne meretrices putemus innuptas, nulli certo matrimonio copulatas" (Hier. contra Jovin. i. 13, vol. ii. p. 260). The sing. verb seems to be used, as standing first in this sentence, and because ή γυνη κ. ή παρθ. embraces the female sex as one idea: so e.g. Plat. Lys. p. 207, φιλεί σε δ πατηρ καὶ ή μήτηρ: Herod. v. 21, είπετο γὰρ δή σφι κ. οχήματα κ. θεράποντες καὶ ή πᾶσα πολλή παρασκευή: q. d. 'There loves thee father and mother,'- 'there followed them,' &c. See more examples in Kühner, ii. p. 58 (§ 433, exception 1):—Reiche thinks that one and the same woman is intended at different periods: but ή δὲ γαμήσασα is against this: it would be γαμήσασα δέ (Meyer). The judgment of marriage here pronounced by the Apostle must be taken, as the rest of the chapter, with its accompanying conditions. He is speaking of a pressing and quickly shortening period which he regards as yet remaining before that day and hour of which neither he, nor any man, knew. He wishes his Corinthians, during that short time, to be as far as pos h μεριμν a i τὰ τοῦ κόσμου, πῶς k ἀρέση τῷ ἀνδρί. 35 τοῦτο p = ch. τί. 6. 26 p πρὸς τὸ ὑμῶν αὐτῶν q σύμφορον λέγω, οὐχ ἵνα q τίλ. χί. 3. g βρόχον ὑμῖν s έπιβάλω, ἀλλὰ p πρὸς τὸ ἱ τέὖσχημον καὶ καὶ εὐπάρεδρον τῷ κυρίῳ v ἀπερισπάστως. 36 εἰ εξέ τις l κυρίω v ἀπερισπάστως. 36 εἰ εξέ τις l κυρίω v ἀπερισπάστως. 36 εἰ εξέ τις l την g παρθένον αὐτοῦ v νομίζει, ἐὰν g l τις l τις l την g παρθένον αὐτοῦ v νομίζει, ἐὰν g l l l εδικές g h h

35. rec συμφερον, with D³FKLN³ rel Meth Chr Thdrt: txt ABD¹N¹ (m ?) 17 Hesych. rec ευπροςεδρον, with KL rel Chr Œc: προςεδρον L: ευπροςεκτον 5. 6: txt ABDFN m 17 Clem Eus Bas.

36. ασχημονει (for ασχημονειν), and om νομιζει F. for ουτως, τουτο Α. γενεσθαι F a Meth. for γαμειτωσαν, γαμειτω D¹F vss Epiph Aug: si nubat vulg

sible totally undistracted. He mentions as an objection to marriage, that which is an undoubted fact of human experience : -which is necessarily bound up with that relation: and without which the duties of the relation could not be fulfilled. Since he wrote, the unfolding of God's providence has taught us more of the interval before the coming of the Lord than it was given even to an inspired Apostle to see. And as it would be perfectly reasonable and proper to urge on an apparently dying man the duty of abstaining from contracting new worldly obligations,-but both unreasonable and improper, should the same person recover his health, to insist on this abstinence any longer: so now, when God has manifested His will that nations should rise up and live and decay, and long centuries elapse before the day of the coming of Christ, it would be manifestly unreasonable to urge, - except in so far as every man's καιρός is συνεσταλμένος, and similar arguments are applicable,-the considerations here enforced. Meanwhile they stand here on the sacred page as a lesson to us how to regard, though in circumstances somewhat changed, our worldly relations: and to teach us, as the coming of the Lord may be as near now, as the Apostle then believed it to be, to act at least in the spirit of his advice, and be, as far as God's manifest will that we should enter into the relations and affairs of life allows, αμέριμνοι. The duty of ver. 35 fin. is incumbent on all Christians, at all periods.

35.] Caution against mistaking what has been said for an imperative order, whereas it was only a suggestion for their best interest. τοῦτο] vv. 32—34. πρὸς τὸ ὑμ. αὐτ. σύμ.] For your own

(emph.) profit,—i. e. not for my own pur-

poses - not to exercise my apostolic authority:-not that I may cast a snare (lit. 'a noose;' the metaphor is from throwing the noose in hunting, or in war : so Herod. vii. 85, ή δὲ μάχη τούτεων τῶν ἀνδρῶν ἥδε. ἐπεὰν συμμίσγωσι τοῖς πολεμίοις, βάλλουσι τὰς σειρὰς ἐπ' ἄκρω βρόχους ἔχουσας, ὅτευ δ' ὰν τύχη ἤντε ໃππου ήντε ανθρώπου, ἐπ' ἐωϋτὸν ἕλκει οί δέ εν ερκεσι εμπαλασσόμενοι διαφθείρονται. See other examples in Wetst.) over you (i.e. entangle and encumber you with difficult precepts), but with a view to seemliness (cf. Rom. xiii. 13) and waiting upon the Lord without distraction. De W. remarks, that πρός το παρεδρεύειν τῷ κ. ἀπερ. would be the easier construction. Stanley draws out the parallel to the story in ref. 36-38. For seemliness' sake: and consequently, if there be danger, by a father withholding his consent to his daughter's marriage, of unseemly treatment of her, let an exception be made in that case: but otherwise, if there be no such danger, it is better not to give her in marriage. But (introduces an inconsistency with $\epsilon \tilde{\nu} \sigma \chi \eta \mu o \nu$) if any one (any father) thinks that he is behaving unseemly towards his virgin daughter (viz. in setting before her a temptation to sin with her lover, or at least, bringing on her the imputation of it, by withholding his consent to her marriage. Or the reference may be to the supposed disgrace of having an unmarried daughter in his house), if she be of full age (for before that the imputation and the danger consequent on preventing the marriage would not be such as to bring in the ἀσχημοσύνη. ακμή of woman is defined by Plato, Rep. v. p. 460, to be twenty years, that of man, thirty. See Stanley's note), and thus it

οὐχ ἁμαρτάνει, ΄ γαμείτωσαν. 37 ος δε ἔστηκεν εν τη $_{\rm KLNab}^{\rm ABDF}$ c see ver. 28 καρδία αὐτοῦ $\frac{d}{\epsilon}$ έδραῖος, μη $\frac{e}{\epsilon}$ χων $\frac{e}{\epsilon}$ ἀνάγκην, $\frac{fg}{\epsilon}$ έξουσίαν $\frac{e}{\epsilon}$ κι $\frac{d}{\epsilon}$ $\frac{fg}{\epsilon}$ χει $\frac{g}{\epsilon}$ περὶ τοῦ ἰδίου $\frac{h}{\epsilon}$ θελήματος, καὶ τοῦτο $\frac{f}{\epsilon}$ κέκρικεν $\frac{f}{\epsilon}$ κοι $\frac{f}{\epsilon}$ - Γωκε κίν. 18. [κατίν. 18.] κατο $\frac{1}{3}$ καρδία $\frac{1}{8}$ τηρείν την έαυτου $\frac{1}{9}$ παρθένον, $\frac{1}{8}$ καλώς $\frac{1}{9}$ καλώς $\frac{1}{9}$ καλώς την εαυτού παρθέχει, $\frac{3}{9}$ ωςτε και $\frac{1}{9}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ [έκ] γαμίζων $\frac{1}{9}$ την έαυτου παρθέχει, $\frac{3}{9}$ ωςτε καὶ $\frac{1}{9}$ $\frac{1}{9}$ $\frac{1}{9}$ καλώς ποιεί, καὶ $\frac{1}{9}$ $\frac{1}{9}$ $\frac{1}{9}$ $\frac{1}{9}$ καλώς ποιεί, καὶ $\frac{1}{9}$ $\frac{1}{9}$ $\frac{1}{9}$ $\frac{1}{9}$ κρείσσον l Matt. vii.

Acts ix. 14.
Acts ix. 14.
Roun, ix. 21. 2 Thess, iii. 9. 1 Mace, x, 35.
1. 13. ch, xvi. 12. Eph, ii. 5. 2 Pet. i. 21. 3 Kings v. 8.
1. 13. ch, xvi. 12. Eph, ii. 5. 2 Pet. i. 21. 3 Kings v. 8.
1. Acts x. 33. Phil. iv. 14. James ii. 8, 19. 2 Pet. i. 19. 3 Kings v. 8.
viii. 18.
m (here bis.] Matt. (xxii. 30 | L. rec.) xxiv. 38 only †. $(\gamma \alpha \mu i_{\star}^{*})$, Mark xii. 25. Luke xvi. 27†.)
1. Acts x. 33. Phil. iv. 14. James ii. 8, 19. 2 Pet. i. 19. 3 Kings v. 8.
1. Acts x. 33. Phil. iv. 14. James ii. 8, 19. 2 Pet. i. 19. 3 Kings v. 8.
1. Acts x. 30 | L. rec.) xxiv. 38 only †. $(\gamma \alpha \mu i_{\star}^{*})$, Mark xii. 25. Luke xvi. 27†.

(including F-lat) D-lat lat-ff.

37. ree εδραιος bef εν τη καρδια, with KLX3 rel Thdrt, Thl: om εδραιος F D-lat æth: txt ABDN a d m 17 vulg syrr coptt Bas Thdrt, latt-ff. (The transposn seems to have been made for perspicuity, to bring εστηκέν and εδραίοs together.) rec om autou, with KL rel Syr Thdrt, Damase Thl Œe: ins ABDFX d in 17 vss Bas Thdrt. (for ιδια καρδια) καρδια αυτου, with DFKL rel Thdrt Damase: ιδια καρδια αυτου m: καρδια (alone) 672: txt ABN a. ree ins του bef τηρειν, with DFKL rel Damasc rec (for ποιησει) ποιει, with DFKL rel syrr æth Bas Thdrt Œe: om ABN e d 17. Damase Thl Œc: txt ABN 6. 17. 672 coptt.

38. om ωςτε to ποιει (homœotel) F b1 d. ree εκγαμιζων (twice), with KLN³(2nd) rel Thl Œc: γαμιζων ABD F(once) R1 17 Clem Meth Bas. ree om την εαυ. παρθ., with KL rel Thdrt Damase Augalia: ins AN Method Bas: την παρθ. εαν. BD m 17 vulg Syr syr-w-ob coptt Clem Aug. for ποιει, ποιησει B m 6. 672: txt AKLN ree (for και δ) δ δε (corrn for contrast), with KLN3 rel syr Thdrt Thl 17 rel. Œ: txt ABDFN¹ m 17 latt Syr coptt æth Clem Method Bas Chr. ποιησει) ποιει, with DFKL rel latt Thdrt: txt ABN m 6. 17. 67².

must be (i. e. and there is no help for it,-they are bent on it beyond the power of dissussion:—depends not on $\epsilon \acute{a}\nu$, as the indie. shews, but on εί. οὕτως, viz. that they must marry. Theophyl. takes the words for the beginning of the consequent sentence $\equiv o\tilde{v}\tau\omega s$ καὶ $\gamma\epsilon\nu\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\omega$. But, as Meyer remarks, the words would thus be altogether superfluous, and after ὀφείλει, οὐχ ἀμορτάνει would be inapplicable), what he will (as his determination on this νομίζειν), let him do (τὸ δοκοῦν πραττέτω, Theodoret), he sinneth not (ὁμαρτίας γὰρ ὁ γάμος ἐλεύθερος, Theodoret); let them (his daughter and her lover) marry. Some (Syr., Grot., al.) take ἀσχημονείν. passively,- thinks that he is (likely to be) brought into disgrace as regards his daughter,' viz. by her seduction, or by her being despised as unmarried. But this would require (1) the future ἀσχημονήσειν. — (2) ἐπι with a dative, the acc. shewing that the verb is one of action: Mever compares ἀσχημονείν είς τινα, Dion. Hal. ii. 26. And (3) the active sense of the verb is found in this Epistle (ref.), the only other place where it occurs in the N. T.

37.] But he who stands firm in his heart (= purpose,—having no such misgiving that he is behaving unseemly), not involved in any necessity (no ἀφείλει γενέσθαι as in the other ease; no determination to marry on the part of his daughter, nor attachment formed), but has (change of construction: - the clause is opposed to έχων ἀνάγκ.) liberty of action respecting his personal wish (to keep his daughter unmarried), and has determined this in his own (expressed, as it is a matter of private determination only) heart (τοῦτο, not stated what, but understood by the reader to mean, the keeping his daughter unmarried :- but this would not be in apposition with nor explained by τοῦ τηρ. τ. Formula with the term of the present state) his own virgin daughter (the rec., $\tau \circ \hat{v}$ $\tau \eta \rho$, would express the purpose of the determination expressed in κέκρικεν: not [as commonly given] the explanation of τοῦτο, which would require τὸ τηρείν or τηρείν. It shows that the motive of the κέκρικεν is the feeling of a father, desirous of retaining in her present state his own virgin daughter. So Meyer, and I think rightly: see note on Acts xxvii. 1. De Wette, on the other hand, regards the words $\tau \circ \hat{v} \tau \eta \rho \dots$, as merely a periphrasis for not giving her in marriage. Our present text merely explains the τοῦτο), shall do well. latter καί has been altered to δέ because a contrast seemed to be required between 39 Γυνη $^{\circ}$ δέδεται p έφ $^{\prime}$ p őσον p χρόνον ζη $^{\circ}$ άνηρ αὐτης $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ - Rom. vil. $^{\circ}$ εὰν δὲ $^{\circ}$ κοιμηθη $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ ἀνήρ, $^{\circ}$ ελευθέρα έστιν $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ θέλει γαμη $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ Rom. vil. $^{\circ}$ Θηναι, μόνον $^{\circ}$ έν κυρίφ. $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ μακαριωτέρα δέ έστιν, έὰν $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ Ανίν νil. $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ Νίπ οδι $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ κατὰ την έμην $^{\circ}$ γνώμην $^{\circ}$ δοκω δὲ κάγω $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ Κι $^{\circ}$ Νίπ είνη. Γίπες iv. * πνευμα θεου * έχειν.

VIII. 1 Περὶ δὲ τῶν y εἰδωλοθύτων, z οἴδαμεν z ὅτι t τιν is the second t $^$

xvi. 2, 8, 11 al. t compar, here only t. see Acts xx. 35. u ve i. 10 reft. x com, viit. 9. Jude 19. 19. Acts xv. 29. xxi. 25. Rev. ii. 14, 20 only t. z = ch. vi. 2 al. fr. u ver. 23. v = ch. y vv. 4, 7, 10. ch. x.

39. ree aft δεδεται ins νομω (from Rom vii. 2), with D2.3FLN3 rel vulg-ed(with fuld F-lat) Syr syr-w-ob $Orig_1 Chr_{sepe}$ Thdrt Damase₂ Ambrst, : om $ABD^1 N^1$ Coisl-oct-marg Jan(with demid tol harl²) copt Clem $Orig_2$ Cyr lat-fi. ins $\kappa \alpha a$ bef $\kappa \alpha \mu \mu \eta \eta$ D*FL a b of h l o syr Thdrt Ge: om $ABD^1 KN$ rel vss Clem $Orig_2$ for $\kappa \alpha \mu \mu \eta \eta \eta$. rec aft o aνηρ ins aυτης, with DFL a m αποθανη A 73 syr-marg basin Clein Bas. 17 syr-w-ast vss Orig, Damase Thl lat-ff: om ABKN Orig, Bas Cyr Thdrt, Ec Vig. for γαμηθηναι, γαμηθη F latt lat-if: γαμησαι L1.

40. for 2nd $\delta\epsilon$, $\gamma\alpha\rho$ B m **4.** 17. 672. 71-3.116 tol syr($\delta\epsilon$ in marg) basm Ambr Ambrst g Sedul (not Tert₃ Aug Jer). for $\epsilon\chi\epsilon\nu\rho$, $\epsilon\chi\omega$ F Tert₃ Ambrst Aug.

Vig Sedul (not Tert, Aug Jer).

καλώs and κρείσσον. One account might be (as M. and De W.) that Paul had intended to write καλώς ποι. twice, but currente calamo, intensified the expression to κρείσσον ποιήσει. Perhaps a better one would be found by referring the kalκαί to that which καλώς and κρείσσον have in common: 'both he who gives in marriage does well, and he who gives not in marriage shall do well, even in a higher degree.' I need hardly remind the tiro that 'both—and' here does not, as Bloomf. objects, represent $\tau \epsilon \ \kappa \alpha i$,—each subject being accompanied by its own predicate. Observe the $\pi o i \eta \sigma \epsilon i - \pi o i \epsilon \hat{i} - \pi o i \eta \sigma \epsilon i$; the pres., of the mere act itself, the fut., of its enduring results. 39, 40. Concern-

ing second marriages of women.
39. δέδεται] viz. τῷ ἀνδρί, or perhaps absolutely, is bound, in her marriage state. γαμηθήναι] γαμηθήναι and γαμήσαι are later forms, reprobated by the grammarians: γαμεθηναι and γαμέσαι being the corresponding ones in good Greek. See

Lobeck on Phrynichus, p. 742. Meyer cites Schol. on Eur. Med. 593, γαμεῖ μὲν γὰρ δ ἀνήρ, γαμεῖται δὲ ἡ γυνή. But not invariably, see ver. 28. μόνον ἐκ κυρίω] only in the Lord, i. e. within the limits of Christian connexion-in the element in which all Christians live and walk; — 'let her marry a Christian.' So Tertull., Cypr., Ambros., Jerome, Grot., Est., Bengel, Rosenm., Olsh., Meyer, De W. But Chrys. explains it μετὰ σωφροσύνης, μετά κοσμιότητος :- and so (but in some cases including in this the marrying of a Christian) Theodoret (τουτέστιν όμοπίστω, εὐσεβεῖ, σωφρόνως, ἐννόμως), Theophyl., Calv., Beza, Calov., al. This however seems flat, and the other much to be

preferred; also as making a better limitation of ψ θέλει. 40. μακαριωτέρα] happier, partly by freedom from the attendant trials of the ἐνεστῶσα ἀνάγκη,but principally for the reason mentioned verse 34. "To higher blessedness in heaven, which became attached to celibacy afterwards in the views of its defenders (Ambrose, Corn.-a-Lap., al.), there is no allusion here." Meyer. δοκῶ δὲ κάγώ This is modestly said, implying more than is expressed by it,—not as if there were any uncertainty in his mind. It gives us the true meaning of the saying that he is giving his opinion, as ver. 25: viz. not that he is speaking without inspiration, but that in the consciousness of inspiration he is giving that counsel which should determine the question. The rationalizing Grotius explains πνεθμα θεοθ, 'non revelationem, sed sincerum affectum Deo et piis serviendi,' referring to ch. iv. 21, where (1) the meaning is not this (see note); and (2) the expression is not πνεῦμα θεοῦ. κάγω] 'as well as other teachers.'

Whether said with a general or particular reference, we cannot tell, from not being sufficiently acquainted with the circumstances.

VIII. 1-XI. 1: ON THE PARTAKING OF MEATS OFFERED TO IDOLS, AND AS-SISTING AT FEASTS HELD IN HONOUR OF

CHAP. VIII. 1-13. 7 Though (vv. 1-6) for those who are strong in the faith, an idol having no existence, the question has no importance, this is not so with all (ver. 7); and the infirmities of the weak must in such a matter be regarded in our conduct (vv. 8-13). 1.] Sé, transitional, as in ch. vii. 1, al. fr. As regards the

 $^{\rm a}$ $^{\rm TVV}_{\rm T100}$ $^{\rm true}_{\rm T100}$ $^{\rm m}$ ανωσιν έχομεν· $^{\rm m}$ $^{\rm a}$ γνωσις $^{\rm b}$ φυσιοῖ, $^{\rm m}$ δὲ ἀγάπη $^{\rm ABDF}_{\rm K18ab}$ $^{\rm b}$ $^{\rm true}_{\rm T100}$ $^{\rm m}$ οἰκοδομεῖ. $^{\rm 2}$ εἰ΄ τις $^{\rm m}$ δοκεῖ έγνωκέναι τἰ, οὖπω έγνω c de fg bch. iv. dreff.

CHAP. VIII. 2. rec aft ει ins δε, with DFKL rel vulg syr-w-ast (ath) Chr Thdrt Thl Ge Jer: om ABM m 17 am(with fuld harl![appy] tol) coptt arm Clem Melet Nyss Damasc Orig-int Tert Cypr Ambrst. rec (for εγνωκεναι) ειδεναι, with KL rel Chr Thdrt Thl Ge, scire vulg: txt ABDFN m 17 coptt Clem Nyss, Thdrt, Damasc, cognovisse D-lat. (G-lat has both cognoscere and scire.) rec (for συπω) συδεπω, with DFKL rel Nyss Chr Thdrt, Damasc Thl Ge: om m: txt ABN 17 Clem Melet. rec aft συπω ins συδεν, with DKL rel syrr Chr Thdrt, Damasc Thl Ge: om ABDFN 17 latt coptt Clem Melet Nyss Thdrt, rec (for εγνω) εγνωκεν, with D³KL rel Chr Thdrt, Th Ge: txt ABDFN a m Clem Melet Nyss Thdrt, Damasc.—for συπω εγνω καθως δει γνωναι, συδεν εδει (= ήδει) καθως εδει 17.

construction, we may observe, that $\pi \epsilon \rho l \delta$. των είδ., is again taken up ver. 4, περί της βρώσ. οὖν τῶν εἰδ., after a parenthesis. We may also observe that in the latter case οἴδαμεν ὅτι is restated, bearing therefore, it is reasonable to suppose, the same meaning as before, viz. we know, that. This to my mind is decisive against beginning the parenthesis with gri, and rendering öτι, 'for,' as Luther, Bengel, Valekn., al.: - 'we know (for we all have knowledge),' &c. Are we then to begin it with πάντες, leaving περί . . . οίδαμεν ότι broken off, corresponding to the words resumed in ver. 4? We should thus leave within the parenthesis a very broken and harsh sentence: πάντες γνωσιν έχομεν (what γνω- σ_{is} ? if $\gamma \nu$, about the $\epsilon i \delta \omega \lambda o \theta$, it should be joined with the preceding; if yv. in general, it should be την γνωσιν, see ch. xiii. 2, which would be absurd; if some yv. on some subjects, as σὺ πίστιν ἔχεις, James ii. 18, it would here be irrelevant), $\dot{\eta} \gamma \nu$. φυσιοί, ή δè ày. κ.τ.λ. The first logical break in the sense is where the concrete γνώσις, that περί των είδ., is forsaken, and the abstract $\hat{\eta}$ yvons treated of. Here therefore, with Chrys., &c., Beza, Grot., Calv., Est., al., De Wette, and Meyer, I begin the parenthesis, -... we are aware that we all (see below) have knowledge; knowledge, &c.; not however placing it in brackets, for it is already provided for in the construction by the resumption of περί . . οὖν below; and is not a grammatical but only a logical parenthesis. είδωλόθυτα were those portions of the animals offered in sacrifice which were not laid on the altar, and which belonged partly to the priests, partly to those who had offered them. These remnants were sometimes eaten at feasts holden in the temples (see ver. 10), or in private houses (ch. x. 27, f.), sometimes sold in the markets, by the priests, or by the poor, or by the niggardly. Theophrastus, Charact, xviii., describes it as characteristic of the ἀνελεύθερος, - ἐκδιδοὺς

αύτοῦ θυγατέρα, τοῦ μὲν ἱερείου, πλὴν τῶν ίερων, τὰ κρέα ἀποδίδοσθαι. They were sometimes also reserved for future use: Theophr. mentions it as belonging to the αναίσχυντος, - θύσας τοις θεοις αὐτὸς μέν δειπνείν παρ' έτέρφ, τὰ δὲ κρέα ἀπυτιθέναι άλσι πάσας. Christians were thus in continual danger of meeting with such remnants. Partaking of them was an abomination among the Jews: see Num. xxv. 2; Ps. evi. 28; Rev. ii. 14; Tobit i. 10-12; and was forbidden by the Apostles and elders assembled at Jerusalem, Acts xv. 29; xxi. 25. That Paul in the whole of this passage makes no allusion to that decree, but deals with the question on its own merits, probably is to be traced to his wish to establish his position as an independent Apostle, endowed with God's Holy Spirit sufficiently himself to regulate such matters. But it also shews, how little such decisions were at that time regarded as lastingly binding on the whole church: and how fully competent it was, even during the lifetime of the Apostles, to Christians to open and question, on its own merits, a matter which they had, for a special purpose, once already decided.

There should be a comma at εἰδωλοθύτων, as the resumed sentence (ver. 4) shews.

πάντες γνῶσιν ἔχομεν] Who are πάντες? Meyer says, Paul himself and the enlightened among the Corintians: Estius, al., these latter alone; and some think it said ironically, some concessively, of them: Grot., "pars maxima nostrum, ut Rom. iii. 12." But it is manifest from vv. 4—6, which is said in the widest possible reference to the faith of all Christians, that all Christians must be intended here also: and so Chrys., Theophyl., Œcum., Calov., al., and De Wette. But then, ver. 7, he says, oùe ἐν πᾶσιν ἡ γνῶσιs: and how are the two to be reconciled? By taking, I believe, the common-sense view of two such statements, which would be, in ordinary preaching or writing, that

καθώς δεί γνωναι 3 εί δέ τις 4 ἀγαπ \tilde{q} τὸν 4 θεόν, οὕτος 4 Math.xxii. 87 L, and Luke 6 έγνωσται ὑπ αὐτοῦ. 4 περὶ τῆς 5 βρώσεως οῦν τῶν ρεινί. 58. Rom. vii. 28. 80 κόσμφ, 11 12 $^{\rm g}$ είδωλοθύτων, $^{\rm g}$ οἴδαμεν $^{\rm g}$ ὅτι οὐδὲν εἴδωλον ἐν κόσμ $_{\rm w}$, $^{\rm Rom,\, viii}$ $^{\rm 20}$ καὶ ὅτι οὐδεὶς θεὸς $^{\rm h}$ εἰ μὴ εἶς $^{\rm 5}$ καὶ γὰρ εἴπερ εἰσιν $^{\rm c}$ $^{\rm -Gal,\, r.o.}$ f Rom. xiv. 17 reff.

xvi. 5). Matt. vii. 23.

3. om υπ αυτου \$1. for π. της βρ. ουν, π. δε της βρ. D^{2b-3} e 1. 17. 108-15 vulg D-lat Iren-int Aug: (autem vulg al: enim spec:) ergo F-lat.—for βρωσεως, γνωσεως D' 121. ins εστιν F vulg. rec aft θεοs ins ετεροs, with KLN3 rel syrr Chr Thdrt Damasc

Thl Œc: om ABDFN¹ 17 latt Cyr Bas Iren-int lat-ff.

the first was said of what is professed and confessed,-the second of what is actually and practically apprehended by each man. Thus we may say of our people, in the former sense, 'all are Christians; all believe in Christ:' but in the latter, 'all are not Christians; all do not believe.'

γνῶσιν, seil. περί αὐτῶν. From \$\gamma\gamma\nu. to end of ver. 3 (see above) is a logical parenή γνωσις, knowledge, abstract, -scil. when alone, or improperly predominant: it is the attribute of ή γνωσις, ἡ ἀγάπη] viz. 'towards the brethren,' see Rom. xiv. 15, and ch. x. 23.

οίκοδ.] helps to build up (God's 2, 3.] The spiritual temple), ch. iii. 9. general deductions, (1) from a profession of knowledge, and (2) from the presence of love, in a man :- expressed sententiously and without connecting particles, more, as Meyer observes, after the manner of St. John in his Epistles. On the text, see var. readd. The case supposed is the only one which can occur where love is absent and conceit present: a man can then only think he knows, -no real knowledge being accessible without humility and love. Such a man knows not yet, as he ought to know: has had no real practice in the art of knowing. But if a man loves God (which is the highest and noblest kind of love, the source of brotherly love, 1 John v. 2), this man (and not the wise in his own conceit) is known by Him. The explanation of this latter somewhat difficult expression is to be found in ref. Gal., νῦν δὲ γνόντες θεόν, μαλλον δὲ γνωσθέντες ὑπὸ θεοῦ. So that here we may fairly assume that he chooses the expression έγνωσται ὑπ' αὐτοῦ in preference to that which would have been, had any object of knowledge but the Supreme been treated of, the natural one, viz. οῦτος ἔγνω αὐτόν. cannot be said to know God, in any full sense (as here) of the word to know. But those who become acquainted with God by love, are known by Him: are the espe-cial objects of the divine Knowledge,- their being is pervaded by the Spirit of God, and the wisdom of God is shed abroad in them. So in ref. 2 Tim., έγνω κύριος τοὺς ὄντας αὐτοῦ. See also Ps. i. 6. "Cognitionem passivam sequitur cognitio activa c. xiii. 12. Egregia metalepsis: cognitus est, adeoque cognovit." Bengel. γινώσκω docs not seem, any more than τη in Ps. i. 6, xxxvii. 18, for which the LXX have γινώσκω, to signify to approve, any further than personal knowledge of an intimate kind necessarily involves approval.

4.] The subject is resumed, and further specified by the insertion of της βρώσεως,

ouv resumes a broken thread of discourse: so Plat. Apol. p. 29, ωστε οὐδ' εἴ με ἀφίετε...εί μοι πρός ταῦτα είπυιτε, άτι... εἰ οὖν με, ὅπερ εἶπον, ἐπὶ τούτοις ἀφίοιτε... See Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 22. We know that there is no idol in the world, i.e. that the εἴδωλα of the heathen (meaning not strictly the images, but the persons represented by them) have no existence in the world. That they who worship idols, worship devils, the Apostle himself asserts ch. x. 20; but that is no contradiction to the present sentence, which asserts that the deities imagined by them, Jupiter, Apollo, &c., have absolutely no existence. Of that subtle Power which under the guise of these deluded the nations, he here says nothing. The rendering of Chrys., Theodoret, Theophyl., Œcum., Vulg., E. V., Luther, Beza, Grot., Est., al. ('an idol is nothing in the world,' ch. x. 19; Jer. x. 3. Sauhedr. 63. 2 [Wetst.] "noverant utique Israelitæ idolum nihil esse"), is certainly wrong here, on account of the parallel οὐδεὶς θεὸς εἰ μη εἶς which And that there is no god, but One: the insertion of exepos has probably been occasioned by the first commandment, οὐκ ἔσονταί σοι θεοί ἕτεροι πλην έμοῦ.

5, 6.] Further explanation and confirmation of ver. 4. 5. For even supposing that (είπερ makes an hypothesis, so that "in incerto relinquitur, jure an injuria sumatur," Herm. ad Viger., p. 834. See

5. ins or bef λεγομενοι FK. om from εισιν to εισιν L. aft 1st θεοι ins και κυριοι D Ambrst Pelag. rec ins της bef γης, with rel Thdrt₂ Œc: txt ABDFKX f g k 1 m n 17 Orig₂ Cyr-jer Chr Cyr₂ Thdrt₁ Dion-areop.

6. om $\alpha \lambda \lambda'$ B basm Iren-int. $-\eta \mu \nu$ $\delta \epsilon$ 17 copt Ath Did Cyr₁ Epiph. ins $\delta \epsilon$ bef $\theta \epsilon o s$ F. om $\theta \epsilon o s$ λ' : ins λ' -corr¹. om 1st $\tau \alpha$ D. $\delta \iota$ ov B.

7. *συνηθεία ABN¹ 17 syr-marg copt æth Damasc: συνείδησει DFLN³ rel latt syrr Chr Thdrt Thl Œe Tert Ang. rec σου είδωλου bef εως αρτι (corra for perspicuity), with AL rel Chr Thl Œe: txt BDFN m latt Syr arm Bas Thdrt lat-ff. for εσθιουσιν, εστιν Ν¹: txt N-corr¹.

also Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 343, who gives many examples. καὶ γὰρ εἰ as Eur. Med. 460, καὶ γὰρ εἰ σύ με στυγεῖς, οὐκ ὰν δυναίμην σοὶ κακῶς φρονεῖν ποτε; see Hartung, Partikellehre, i, 140 f.) beings named gods (not those who are named gods, οἱ λεγ. θ., i. esset, all who are so named) EXIST (the chief emphasis is on clow, on which the hypothesis turns), whether in heaven, whether upon earth, as (we know that) there are (viz. as being spoken of, Deut. x. 17, δ γαρ κύριος δ θεδς ύμων, ούτος θεός των θεών και κύριος των κυρίων, see also Ps. exxxv. 2, 3) gods many, and lords many (the ωςπερ brings in an acknowledged fact, on which the possibility of the hypothesis rests - ' Even if some of the many gods and many lords whom we know to exist, be actually identical with the heathen idols . . .' The Apostle does not concede this, but only puts it). This exegesis, which is Meyer's, is denied by De Wette, who takes είπερ as concessive, 'even though,' and understands elow both times as only 'are,'—in the meaning of the heathen,—imagining it impossible that Paul should have seriously said in an objective sense, 'there are gods many.' But in the sense in which he uses beof (see above) there is no unlikelihood that he should assert this. Chrys. gives the following explanation: και γάρ εἴπερ εἰσι λεγόμενοι θεοί, ώςπερ οδυ και εἰσίν, οὐχ άπλως εἰσίν, ἀλλά, λεγόμενοι, οὐκ ἐν πράγματι, ἀλλ' ἐν ῥήματι τοῦτο ἔχοντες· είτε εν οθρανώ, είτε επί γης εν οθρανώ τον ήλιον λέγων κ. την σελήνην κ. τον λοιπον τῶν ἄστρων χορόν καὶ γὰρ καὶ ταῦτα προςεκύνησαν Έλληνες ἐπὶ γῆς δὲ δαίμονας, καὶ τοὺς ἐξ ἀνθρώπων θεοποιηθέντας ἄπαντας. And similarly Theodoret, Theophyl., Œeum., Calv., Beza,

Calov., Estius, Schrader, al. See the various minor differences of interpretation, in Pool's Synopsis and De Wette: and a beautiful note in Stanley. There is a sentence in Herodotus (ix. 27) singularly resembling this in its structure: $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\imath}\nu$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$, εὶ μηδὲν ἄλλο ἐστὶ ἀποδεδεγμένον, ὡςπερ έστι πολλά τε καὶ εὖ ἔχοντα, . . . ἀλλὰ καὶ ἀπὸ τοῦ ἐν Μαραθῶνι ἔργου ἄξιοί ἐσμεν, κ.τ.λ. Cf. also Hom. II. a. 81 f.; φ. 576 f. 6. Yet (see reff. just given, and ch. iv. 15) to US (emphatic: however that matter may be, we hold) there is ONE God, the Father (ὁ πατήρ answers to 'Iησους χριστός in the parallel clause below, and serves to specify what God-viz. the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ), of Whom (as their Source of being) are all things, and we unto (i. e. for) Him (His purposes-to serve His will); and one Lord Jesus Christ (notice the els bebs opposed to θεοί πολλοί, and είς κύριος to κύριοι πολλοί), by Whom (as Him by whom the Father made the worlds, John i. 3; Heb. i. 2) are all things, and we (but here secondly, we as his spiritual people, in the new creation) by Him. The inference from the foregoing is that, per se, the eating of meat offered to idols is a thing indifferent, and therefore allowed. The limitation of this licence now 7.] But (fondern) not in all is the knowledge (of which we have been speaking: i. e. see above, is not in them in their individual apprehension, though it is by their profession as Christians): but (aber) some through their consciousness (or, according to the other reading, habituation) to this day, of the (particular) idol (i.e. through their having an apprehension to this day of the reality of the idol, and so being conscientiously

έσθίουσιν, καὶ ἡ a συνείδησις αὐτῶν t ἀσθενης οὖσα u μο s w , gen. subj. λύνεται. 8 y βρῶμα δὲ ἡμᾶς οὐ w παραστήσει τῷ θεῷ t t t he t Οοὔτε ἐαν μὴ φάγωμεν, x ὑστερούμεθα, οὔτε ἐαν φάγωμεν t t t εῖς οῦσεύσμεν. t t

8. υμας Ν¹ c k l m. rec παριστητι (corrn to suit the follg pres tenses), with DLΝ³ rel Orig, Ath.4-mss Chr Thdrt Jacob-nisib lat-fi: συνιστησιν F: txt ABN 17 coptt Clem₂ Orig, Ath Damasc. rec aft ουτέ ins γαρ, with DFL rel latt syrr Clem Orig Chr Thdrt Jac-nisib Ambrst: om ABN 17 am(with tol) coptt æth Cypr Aug. (Tert₂). rec ουτε εαν φαγ. περισσευομεν bef ουτε εαν μη φαγ. υστερουμεθα (appy to bring closer the clause φαγωμ. περισ., to βρωμ. ου παριστ., as being logically connected with it), with DFLN rel syrr Clem Orig Chr Thdrt Jac-nisib Cypr: εαν μη φαγωμεν περισσευομεν ουτε εαν φαγωμεν υστερουμεθα A 17 (but in A "περισ. usque ad υστ. voces rescriptæ: quid olim non liquet"): txt A¹B am(with demid flor mar tol) coptt arm Bas Damasc. —περισσευομεθα B Orig.

9. rec ασθενουσιν (appy corrn to suit ασθενων below, which however is gradually introduced,—ασθενεσιν,—ασθενους οντος,—ασθενων), with L rel Chr Thart Thi Ce:

txt ABDFN 17 Clem, Damasc.

10. ϵ ιδη Λ. om σ BF Orig-int: ins ADLN rel syrr coptt goth gr-ff. γνωσιν bef ϵ χοντα Ν¹. ϵ ιδωλιω ABDLN h k m 17 (ιδωλ. AFN 17). ϵ σθιειν bef τα ϵ ιδωλοθυτα DF vss Orig-int Aug.

afraid of the meat offered, as belonging to him: not wishing to be connected with him. $\tau \hat{\eta}$ συνειδήσει έως άρτι is not $= \tau \hat{\eta}$ έως άρτι συν., but έως άρτι stands separate, as above: so διὰ τῆς ἐμῆς παρουσίας πάλιν πρός ὑμᾶς, Phil. i. 26) eat it as offered to an idol, and their conscience, in that it is weak, is defiled. By εως ἄρτι, it is shewn that these ἀσθενεῖς must have belonged to the Gentile part of the Corinthian church: to those who had once, before their conversion, held these idols to be veritable gods. Had they been Jewish converts, it would not have been συνείδησις τοῦ εἰδώλου which would have troubled them, but apparent violation of the Mosaic 8.] Reason why we should accommodate ourselves to the prejudices of the weak in this matter: because it is not one in which any spiritual advantage is to be gained, but one perfectly indifferent: not, with Calv., al., an objection of the strong among the Corinthians: no such assumption must be made, without a plain indication in words that the saying of another is being cited: see Rom. ix. 19; xi. 19; and as Meyer well remarks, if the eaters had said this, they would have expressed it, ούτε έὰν μὴ φάγωμεν περισσ., ούτε έὰν φάγ., ὑστερ., as it has actually

been corrected (see var. readd.) in some MSS., and adopted by Lachm. in his last edn. The $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ carries on the argument.

Bengel remarks (against the ordinary radering, which takes $\pi a \rho i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota = \sigma v \nu \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota$; commendo, which meaning it will not bear) that $\pi a \rho a \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ is a verbum $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \nu$, after which may follow a good or a bad predicate:—will not affect our (future) standing before God;—and to this indifferent meaning of $\pi a \rho a \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ answers the antithetic alternative which follows.

9.] δέ-q. d. "I acknowledge this indifference - this licence to eat or not to eat: but it is on that very account, because it is a matter indifferent, that ye must take heed," &c. The particular πρόσκομμα in this case would be, the tempting them to act against their conscience: - a practice above all others dangerous to a Christian, see below, ver. 11. 10. Explanation how the πρόςκομμα may arise. scil. (see below) ἀσθενης ὧν. έχοντα γνώσιν seems to imply that the weak brother is aware of this, and looks up to thee as such. ἐν εἰδωλείω κατ.] See on εἰδωλοθ, ver. 1. εἰδωλείων, as Πατειon εἰδωλοθ., ver. 1. είδωλείον, as Ποσειδείον, 'Απολλωνείον, 'Ισείον, &c. "οἰκοδομηθήσεται is not a vox media,

as Le Clerc, Elsner, Wolf, al., nor is

ref. Gallow. Catalog. And the variety of the second constraints of

11. for $\kappa a_1 \ a\pi o\lambda$., $\alpha a\pi o\lambda$. $\gamma a\rho \ BN^1$ 17 coptt goth ${\rm Clem}_1({\rm elsw}\ {\rm cites}\ {\rm freely}\ a\lambda\lambda\alpha\ a\pi$.) $a\pi o\lambda$ our A: $\kappa a_1 \ a\pi$. our A6 Damase: txt DFLN³ rel syrr Chr Iren-int Jer. (The sentence has prob been tampered with to get rid of the apparent awkwardness of the question being carried on through ver 11,—and our and $\gamma a\rho$ have been attempts to break it off at every 17 that this certain it os wit the fut above), with D³FL rel vss Chricda and mss vary) That this Ce Iren-int Jer: txt ABD'N copt goth Clem, Bas Antch Thatt, Damase. $(a\pi o\lambda vra \ D^1, a\pi o\lambda \lambda vra \ D^2: 17 illeg.)$ rec $\epsilon \pi \iota$ (= 'on account of,' seems to have been a corrn for the more difficult $\epsilon \nu$,—see note), with L rel Chr That This Ce: txt ABDFN 17 Bas Thatt,: in latt Iren-int Jer: on $\epsilon \nu$ Clem₂ (Orig) That-t-ms.

rec αδελφοs, omg art, bef $\epsilon \nu$ τη ση γνωσει (attempt to simplify, at the expense of the emphatic character of the sentence), with LN³ rel full Chr Thdrt al (αδ. ο ασθ. syr al): om αδελφοs vulg-ms Syr: txt ABDFR¹ m 17 latt copt goth æth Bas Iren-int Jer Ambrst (Clem₁ has ο αδ. ασθ.: elsw₁ he cites $\alpha \pi$. γαρ ο ασθ. τη ση γν.).

12. om τους F. om και F(including F-lat G-lat) D-lat goth.

13. ins το bef βρωμα F. om μου (twice) F(including F-lat G-lat) Cypr; goth Clem also omit 1st μου; D¹(and lat) omit 2nd. κρεας κ³¹.

CHAP. IX. 1. rec αποστολος ουκ ειμι bef ελευθερος (possibly to bring the weightiest question into prominence,—or, as Mey, oux ειμ. απ. having been omd in mistake [as 71. 178], was re-insd first as the weightier and first treated, of vv 2, 3), with DFKL rel fuld syr basin goth Chr Thart Ambrst: txt ABN m 17 vulg got 8yr with arm Orig Tert

it impelletur, as Castal., Bengel, Kypke, al., nor confirmabitur, as Syr., Grot., Billroth, al." (Mey.), but as Meyer and De Wette, adificabitur, not without a certain irony, seeing it is accompanied by ἀσθενοῦς ὅντος,-for thus the building up would be without solid foundationa ruinosa ædificatio, as Calv. and (thus) the weak perishes (hereafter: see the parallel, ref. Rom. and note) in (as the element in which,—he entering into it as his own, which it is not) thy knowledge,-the brother, in whose behalf Christ died ? See again Rom. as 12.] οὕτως, viz. as in vv. 10, 11. καί fixes and explains what is meant by άμαρτ. είς τ. άδ. τύπτοντες] smiting: τί γὰρ ἀπηνέστερον ἀνθρώπου γένοιτ' αν τον νοσούντα τύπτοντος; Chrys. 13. Fervid expression of his own

13.] Fervid expression of his own resolution consequent on these considerations, by way of an example to them. βρῶμα, food, i. e. any article of food,

as ver. 8; purposely indefinite here; 'if such a matter as food ,' but presently

particularized. οὐ μὴ φάγω, strong future, I surely will not eat; 'there is no chance that I eat.' κρέα] 'Quo certius vitarem carnem idolo immolatam, toto genere carnium abstinerem.' Bengel.

σκανδαλίσω be the means of offending; "commutatur persona: modo dixit si cibus offendit." Bengel. "Non autem hoc dicit quod hoc aliquo casu opus sit, sed ut ostendat multo graviora quam de quibns hic agitur sustinenda pro proximorum salute." Grot. IX. 1—27.] He digressively illustrates the spirit of selfdenial which he professed in the resolution of ch. viii. 13,-by contrasting his rights as an Apostle with his actual conduct in abstaining from demanding them (vv. 1-22). This self-denying conduct he further exemplifies, vv. 23-27, for their imitation. See Stanley's introductory note; and Conyb. and Howson, vol. i. pp. 61, 457, edn. 2.

1.] He sets forth, (1) his independence of men (contrast ver. 19); (2) his apostolic office (for the order, see var. readd.):-(3) his dignity as an Apostle, in

only. Deut. xxii. 7. y w. dat., 1 Pet. iii. 15 only. see Acts xxii. 1 (xxv. 16 reff.). iv. 9 reft. a here bis. Rom. x. 18, 19. ch. xi. 22 only. P. b ch. vii. 37 reff. z Acts

Aug Ambr Pelag Cassiod Bede. rec aft ιησ. adds χριστον, with DKL rel vulg-ed syr-w-ast copt Chr Thdrt: om ABN a am(with harl tol) sah æth Orig Ambrst: pref,

F demid Tert Aug. (17 illeg.) εορακα Β¹(Vere) D¹FX e.

2. om Λ (i. e. from εν κυριω to εν κυριω). rec (for μου της) της εμης, with DFKL rel Chr Thdrt, apostolatus mei vulg D-lat: txt (Meyer objects to txt, that σφρ. μου is prob a corrr to suit εργ. μου above. This is surely improb) BN 17 Orig, mei apostolatus F-lat G-lat. οπ εν κυριω D'(and lat) tol Syr Chr.

3. rec αυτη bef εστιν, with DFKL relyss Thart Thi Œc: txt ABX m 17 Chr Damasc.

having been vouchsafed a sight of Christ Jesus our Lord; -(4) his efficiency in the office, as having converted them to God.

έλεύθ. So that the resolution of ch. viii. 13 is not necessitated by any dependence on my part on the opinion of others.

έώρακα Not, during the life of our Lord on earth, as Schrader, nor is such an idea supported by 2 Cor. v. 16; see note there; - but, in the appearance of the Lord to him by the way to Damascus (Acts ix. 17; ch. xv. 8: see Neand. Pfl. u. Leit. p. 151, note); and also, secondarily, in those other visions and appearances,—recorded by him, Acts xviii. 9 (?), xxii. 18, -and possibly on other occasions since his conversion. οὐ μικρὸν δὲ καὶ τοῦτο ἀξίωμα ἦν, Chrys. ἐν κυρίῳ is not a mere humble qualification of τὸ ἔργον μου, as Chrys., τουτέστι τοῦ θεοῦ τὸ ἔργον ἐστίν, οὐκ ἐμοῦ,—but designates, as elsewhere, the element, in which the work is done: they were his work as an Apostle, i.e. as the servant of the Lord enabled by the Lord, and so IN THE LORD. See ch. iv. 15. 2.] At least my apostleship cannot be denied by you of all men, εi who are its seal and proof. οὐκ εἰμί] οὖκ, because it belongs closely to the hypothesis: 'if I am no-Apostle,' see ch. vii. 9. ahlous, to others, i. e. άλλά γε, in the estimation of others. yet at least, is stronger than ἀλλά alone. The particle shews that the sentiment which it introduces has more weight than the other to which the ἀλλά is a reply. See Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 385. Meyer (after Klotz) remarks that "in the classics àλλά γε is never found without one or more words intervening:" those words being emphatic: e. g. Aristoph. Nub. 399, πῶς οὐχὶ Σίμων ἐνέπρησεν άλλὰ τὸν αύτοῦ γε νεών βάλλει;

σφραγίς] as being the proof of his apostolic calling and energy, by their conversion: better than,-by the signs and wonders which he wrought among them, as Chrys. (al.) from 2 Cor. xii. 11-13, and perhaps misled by the similarity of σημείον and σφραγίς. Their conversion was the great proof: so Theodoret, ἀπόδειξιν γὰρ τῶν ἀποστολικῶν κατορθωμάτων τὴν ὑμετέραν έχω μεταβολήν. έν κυρ.] belongs to the whole sentence, see above, on ver. 1.

3.] This belongs to the preceding, not to the following verses: αύτη, viz. the fact of your conversion: this word is the predicate, not the subject-as in John i. 19; xvii. 3, and stands here in the emphatic place before the verb; referring to what went before. With ver. 4 a new course of questions begins, which furnish no ἀπολογία. τοῖς ἐμὲ ἀνακρ.] For the dat. see Acts xix. 33; 2 Cor. xii. 19:—to those, who call me in question: ἐμέ, emphatic, as Chrys. says, of ver. 2, καν βούληταί τις μαθεῖν ποθεν ὅτι ἀπόστολός εἶμι, ὑμᾶς πορβάλλομαι. 4.] He resumes the 4.] He resumes the προβάλλομαι. questions which had been interrupted by giving the proof of his Apostleship.

μη οὐκ ἔχ.] μή asks the question: οὐκ έχομεν is the thing in question: Is it so, that we have not power . . . ? The plur. seems to apply to Paul alone: for though Barnabas is introduced momentarily in ver. 6, there can be no reference to him in ver. 11. It may perhaps be used as pointing out a matter of right, which any would have had on the same conditions (see ver. 11), and as thus not belonging personally to Paul, as do the things predicated in vv. 1, 2, 15. This however will not apply to ver. 12, where the emphatic ἡμεῖs is personal. φαγεῖν κ. πιεῖν] Το eat and c trans, here σίαν φαγείν καὶ πιείν; 5 α μη α οὐκ b έχομεν b έξουσίαν ABDF καὶ καὶ καὶ καὶ περιάγειν, ὡς καὶ οἱ λοιποὶ d ἀπόστο- cef gh d τέμα καὶ καὶ καὶ καὶ δια καὶ οἱ ἀδελφηὶν γυναίκα c περιάγειν, ὡς καὶ οἱ λοιποὶ d ἀπόστο- cef gh d τόμα καὶ καὶ καὶ δια αδελφοὶ τοῦ κυρίου καὶ Κηφας; 6 $\mathring{\eta}$ μόνος o τη μη ανινικικι καὶ εγιὸ καὶ Βαρνάβας οὐκ b έχομεν b έξουσίαν $[^c$ τοῦ] μη a

4. πιν D'FN.

5. for αδελφην γυναικα, γυναικας F (Clem₁) Tert: αδελφας γυναικας arm(and mss mentioned by Jer): αδελφαι γυναικα lectt 8. 56: Sedul says, in grace sorores, non mulieres, legitur: exores Helvid Cassiod: mulierem sororem vulg(with harl, not am demid fuld al). (The variations shew, as in ch vii, how the sacred text was tampered with by the parties in the controversy on celibacy.) om 2nd of K.

6. om Tov (to conform to vv 4 and 5) ABD'FN 17 Isid: ins D'KL rel Chr Thdrt

Damase Thl Ee.

to drink, sc. at the cost of the churches: not with any reference to the eating of things offered to idols (as Schrader, iv. 132), nor to Jewish distinctions of clean and unclean (as Billroth and Olshausen);—see below, vv. 6, 7. 5. Have we not the power to bring about with us (also to be maintained at the cost of the churches, for this, and not the power to marry, is here the matter in question) as a wife, a (believing) sister (or, 'to bring with us a believing wife:' these are the only renderings of which the words are legitimately capable. Augustine, De Opere Monachorum, 4 [5], vol. vi. p. 552, explains it thus: "Ostendit sibi licere quod ceteris Apostolis, id est ut non operetur manibus suis, sed ex Evangelio vivat : . . . ad hoc enim et fideles mulieres habentes terrenam substantiam ibant cum eis, et ministrabant eis de substantia sua," &c., and similarly Jerome adv. Jovin. i. 26, vol. ii. p. 277. So likewise Tertull., Theodoret, (Eeum., Isid. Pelus., Theophylact, Ambrose, and Sedul. So too Corn .- a-Lap. and Estius. See Estins, and Suicer, youth, II. And from this misunderstanding of the passage grew up a great abuse, and such women are mentioned with reprobation by Epiphan. Hær. 78, vol. i. [ii., Migne], p. 1043, under the name of ἀγαπηταί. They were also called ἀδελφαί: and were forbidden under the name of συνείσακτοι by the 3rd Canon of the 1st Council of Nicæa. See these words in Suicer), as also the other Apostles (in the wider sense, not only the twelve, for ver. 6, Barnabas is mentioned. It does not follow hence that all the other Apostles were married: but that all had the power, and some had used it) and the brethren of the Lord (mentioned not because distinct from the ἀπόστολοι, though they were absolutely distinct from the twelve, see Acts i. 11, -but as a further specification of the most renowned persons, who travelled as

missionaries, and took their wives with them. On the ἀδ. τοῦ κυρ. see note, Matt. xiii. 55. They were in all probability the actual brethren of our Lord by the same mother, the sons of Joseph and Mary. The most noted of these was James, the Lord's brother [Gal. i. 19; ii. 9, 12, compare Acts xii. 17; xv. 13; xxi. 18], the resident bishop of the Church at Jerusalem: the others known to us by name were Joses [or Joseph], Simon, and Judas, see note on Matt. ib.), and Cephas (Peter was married, see Matt. viii. 14. A beautiful tradition exists of his encouraging his wife who was led to death, by saying μέμνησο, & αὕτη, τοῦ κυρίου, Clem. Alex. Strom. vii. § 11 [63], p. 868 P. Euseb. H. E. iii. 30. Clem. Alex. Strom. iii. § 6 [52], p. 535 P., relates that he had children)? On a mistake which has been made respecting St. Paul's (supposed) wife, see note on ch. vii. 8. 6. Or (implying what the consequence would then be, see eh. vi. 2, 9: does not introduce a new εξουσία, but a consequence of the denial of the last two) have only I and Barnabas (why Barnabas? Perhaps on account of his former connexion with Paul, Acts xi. 30; xii. 25; xiii. 1-xv. 39; but this seems hardly enough reason for his being here introduced. It is not improbable that having been at first associated with Paul, who appears from the first to have abstained from receiving sustenance from those among whom he was preaching, Barnabas, after his separation from our Apostle, may have retained the same self-denying practice. "This is the only time when he is mentioned in conjunction with St. Paul, since the date of the quarrel in Acts xv. 39." Stanley) not power to abstain from working (i. e. power to look for our maintenance from the churches, without manual labour of our own. The Vulg. has 'hoc operandi,' so also Tertull., Ambrose, al.,

only 1. Eadr, iv. 56. 1 Macc, iii, 98, xiv. 32 on 1r, 34t., ch. xi. 5, 2 Cor, i, 15 al.
i. 5, 13.
kch, iii, 6 teff. Deut, xx 6, 3 dt., ch. xi. 5, 2 Cor, i, 15 al.
i. 6, 13.
kch, iii, 6 teff. Deut, xx 6, 3 dt., ch. xi. 5, 2 Cor, i, 15 al.
i. 6 Here only, 1sa, v, 1.
iii — Luke xx ii, 7, 1 Klings xx 7, 15 see Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = Hob.
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here on here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 reft — here
iii = 1 dee Acts xx. 28 ref

7. ree (for τον καρπον) ek τον καρπου (corrn to conform to the folly εκ του γαλ.), with (C3 ½)D-2N3 vss Chr Thdrt, de fructu vulg-ed(with am fuld): εκ των καρπων, (C3 ½) Damasc: txt ABC¹D¹FN¹17 sah Orig-e, fructum G-lat flor(and harl tol) F-lat Bede. aft εσθει ins και πινει DF. rec ins η bef τις ποιμ., with AC KLN rel Syr coptt Damase Ce: txt B C²(appy) DF latt syr sah goth arm Chr Thdrt Thl Aug AbDst. for της ποιμνης, αυτης D'P vss Chr Thl Aug Hil Ambrest.

8. for λαλω, λεγω DF f. ree ins συχι bef και ο νομος (omg ou bef λεγεί), with KL rel sah Dial Chr Thdrt; simly, but ει instead of συχι, F (an si lex haæ diæit lat): ecce etiam lex hææ dixit Syr: txt ABCDN Orig Epiph Mcion-e, an et lex hææ non dieit vylag (17 des).

dicit vulg. (17 def.)

9. γεγραπται γαρ, omg εν τω μωυσεως νομω, D. F. Orig, Hil: txt ABCKLN rel vss Orig, gr.ff Aug. ree (for κημωσεις) φιμωσεις (see ||), with AB²CD^{2,3}KLN rel Orig, Dial Cyr Thdrt,: txt B¹D F. Chr Thdrt, ins περι bef των βοων DF.

omitting μή, and against the usage of έργά-(εσθαι, see reff.)? 7-12.] Examples from common life, of the reasonableness of the workman being sustained by his work. 7.] from the analogies of human conduct. (1) The soldier. ίδίοις ὀψωνίοις] with pay furnished out of his own resources,—the dativus modalis, see Winer, edn. 6, § 31. 7. στρατεύομαι, of the soldier, who serves in the army: στρατεύω, of the general, or the nation, that leads, or undertakes, the war. So Thucyd. iii. 101, of the states which joined the Peloponnesians, οὖτοι καl ξυνεστράτευον πάντες: but Xen. Cyr. viii. 4. 29, of the wife of Tigranes, ἀνδρείως ξυνεστρατεύετο τῷ ἀνδρί. See Kühner, ii. 18 (§ 398). (2) The husbandman. τον καρπ. αὐτ. οὐκ ἐσθ.] τον καρπόν, as Meyer observes, is simply objective: he does eat the fruit, though it may be only part of it. (3) The shepherd. Here it is ἐκ τοῦ γάλ., perhaps on account of the inappropriateness of τὸ γάλα . . . ἐσθίει, and also of το γάλα πίνει, milk being for the most part made into other articles of food, which sustain the shepherd partly directly, partly by their sale.

8.] Am I speaking these things merely according to human judgment of what is right? Or (see note, ver. 6) does the law too not

say these things? 9.] (It does say them): for in the law of Moses it is written, Thou shalt not (on the fut. with an imperative meaning, 'Thou shalt not,' i. e. 'This I expect of thee, that thou wilt not,' common to all civilized languages, see Winer, edn. 6, § 43. 5. c; Külner, § 446. 2) muzzle (the reading φιμώσεις probably came in from the similar place, 1 Tim. v. 18. The verb κημόω occurs, with its substantive κημός, in Xen. de re equestri, v. 3, δεί δποι δν άχαλίνωτον άγη, κημοῦν δεῖ· ὁ γὰρ κημὸς δναπνεῖν μὲν οὐ κωλύει, δάκνειν δὲ οὐκ εᾳ) nn ox while treading out the corn (in the sense = 'the ox that treadeth out;' but strictly that would require τον β. τον άλοωντα)-"ἀλοᾶν dicuntur boves, quum grana ex aristis exterunt pedibus, qui mos Orientis, sed et Græciæ, ut ex Theophrasto et aliis discimus. Hie triturandi mos in Asia hodieque retinetur. Solent enim illarum regionum incolæ, postquam demessæ fruges sunt, non domum eas ex agris, more nostro, granis nondum excussis, in horrea eonvellere: sed in aream quandam sub dio comportare: deinde, sparsis in aream manipulis frugum, boves et bubalos immittunt, qui vel pedibus calcantes (see Micah iv. 13), vel curruum quoddam genus trahentes super frumenta, ex aristis eliciunt grana." Rosen-

10. rec $\epsilon \pi^* \epsilon \lambda \pi i \delta \iota$ bef of eile ι o arother (appy connected with the next var read,—to throw the 1st $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \lambda \pi i \delta \iota$ more into emphasis at the beginning, as the 2nd is at the end of the sentence), with D2KLN rel (vss) Chr Thdrt Thl Cc: $o \epsilon \pi \epsilon \lambda \pi$. ap. op. D': of eight of effects, arother F: in spe qui arat debet arare F-lat, so also D3(and lat): txt ABCN on 17 Orig Dial Eus Cyr Damasc, debet in spe qui arat arare vulg Aug Pelag Bede.

rec (for $\epsilon \pi$ ελπ. του μετεχείν) της ελπίδος αυτου μετεχείν επ ελπίδι, with $D^{2.3}KLN^3$ rel Chr Thdrt Dainasc Thl $Ee: \tau \eta \tau$ ελπίδος αυτου μετεχείν $D^{1.5}$: tx ABCN 17 (vulg.) syrt (copt) sah arm Orig Eus, Cyr Aug. (Aleyer's account seems to be the right one, that, it being overlooked that αλοαν must be supplied aft αλοων, μετεχείν νυας supposed to be infin aft οφείλει, and so του altered to αυτου; then the sense bettered by insg $\tau \eta \tau$ ελπίδος and transposing the original $\tau \tau$ ελπίδυ to the $\tau n t$.

11. ins ov bef μεγα D'(and lat). θερισωμέν CDFL c m latt Thdrt: txt ABN k

rel Chr Cyr Damase Thl Œc.

Is it for OXEN (generic) that God is taking care? We must not, as ordinarily, supply μόνον, only for oxen, and thus rationalize the sentence: the question imports, 'In giving this command, are the oxen, or those for whom the law was given, its objects?' And to such a question there can be but one answer. Every duty of humanity has for its ultimate ground, not the mere welfare of the animal concerned, but its welfare in that system of which MAN is the head: and therefore man's welfare. The good done to man's immortal spirit by acts of humanity and justice, infinitely outweighs the mere physical comfort of a brute which perishes. So Philo (de Victimas offerentibus, § 1, vol. ii. p. 251) rightly explains the spirit of the law: οὐ γὰρ ὑπέρ τῶν ἀλόγων ὁ νόμος, ἀλλ' ὑπὲρ τῶν νοῦν κ. λόγον έχόντων ωςτε οὐ τῶν θυομένων φροντίς έστιν, Ίνα μηδεμίαν έχοι λώβην, άλλα των θυόντων, Ίνα περί μηδέν πάθος κηραίνωσι. 10.] Or (the other alternative being rejected) on our account (δι' ήμᾶs, emphatic—not on account of men generally, but as Estins, "propter nos evangelii ministros:" cf. the ἡμεῖs of vv. 11, 12, with which this ήμας is inseparably allied) altogether (τὸ πάντως προςθείς, ειπείν τῷ ἀκροατῆ. Chrys.) does it (δ νόμος: or perhaps & θεός, but better the former, as above, $\tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ being only incidentally introduced as the confessed Author of the law, and & vóµos remaining the subject of the sentence) say (this)? (on our account): for on our account it (viz. οὐ κημώσεις κ.τ.λ., not, that which follows, q. esset γέγραπται) was written because (argumentative, as the ground of

έγράφη,—not, as in former editions, containing the purpose of εγράφη, expressed in its practical result) the plougher (not literal but spiritual, see below) ought to plough in hope, and the thresher (to thresh, see var. readd.) in hope of par-taking (of the crop). The words used in taking (of the crop). The words used in this sentence are evidently spiritual, and not literal. They are inseparably connected with δι' ἡμᾶs which precedes them: and according to the common explanation of them as referring to a mere maxim of agricultural life, would have no force whatever. But spiritually taken, all coheres. "The command (not to muzzle, &c.) was written on account of us (Christian teachers) that we ploughers (in the γεώργιον θεοῦ, ch. iii. 9) might plough in hope, -and we threshers (answering to the βουs αλοων) might work in hope of (as the ox) having a share." So Chrys. and Theophyl.: τουτέστιν, ὁ διδάσκαλος όφείλει άροτριάν, και κοπιάν έπ' έλπίδι ἀμοιβῆς κ. ἀντιμισθίας. So also Meyer and De Wette: but by far the greater part of interpreters (also Stanley) take it literally; understanding ήμαs of mankind in general, and δ ἀροτριῶν and δ ἀλοῶν of labourers in agriculture, No minute distinction must be sought between the ἀροτριῶν and the άλοῶν. The former is perhaps mentioned on account of the process answering to the breaking up the fallow ground of Heathenism :- the latter on account of its occurrence in the precept. 11.]- The ἡμεῖς (both times strongly emphatic:—we need sorely some means of marking in our English Bibles, for ordinary readers, which words have the emphasis) is categoric, but in fact applies to Paul alone. The secondary emphasis is on ὑμῖν . . . ὑμῶν. It is

εργατομενοί εκ του αξου συμμερίζονται; 14 οὕτως $_{\rm R-m,x,2}^{\rm (-πτεν,2)}$

n. vi. 2, &c. m adj., 2 Tim. iii. 15 only. Josh. vi. 7. n here only. 9. (ἐργασία, 1 Chron. vi. 49. ix. 13. xxviii. 13.) p ch. x. 18 reff. i. 21 only. (εὐπαρεὸρος, ch. vii. 35.) r here only †.

o = Jer. xxxvii. (xxx.) q here only. Prov-

12. rec εξουσιας bef υμων, with KL rel vulg Chr, Thdrt: txt ABCDFX m 17 arm Chr₁. for ou, ouxi \aleph^3 . ou $\kappa \epsilon \chi \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \theta a$ A. rec $\epsilon \gamma \kappa \sigma \pi \eta \nu$ bef $\tau \iota \nu a$, with DFKL rel syr Chr Thdrt: txt ABCN 17 vulg D-lat Syr copt Tert Ambrst: om $\tau \iota \nu a$ F-lat G-lat sah arm Clem Orig-int. εκκοπην N a b1 f g k o.

13. ins τα bef εκ D¹FN 46, quæ de sacrario sunt vulg G-lat, lat-ff. (F-lat omits sacrario and reads quæ desunt.) rec προς εδρευοντες (see ch vii. 35), with KLX3

rel Chr Thdrt Procop, Thl Œe: txt ABCDFR mi 17 Eus Procop, Damasc.

one of those elaborately antithetical sentences which the great Apostle wields so powerfully in argument. The $\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\hat{\imath}s$ — $\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\hat{\imath}s$, being identical, stand out in so much the stronger relief against the triple antithesis, ύμιν, πνευματικά, έσπείραμεν,
—and ύμων, σαρκικά, θερίσωμεν. If we read the subjunctive, for the usage after el, see Winer, edn. 6, § 41. 2, end; ch. xiv. 5; 1 Thess. v. 10; Kühner, § 818 A. The usage is common in Homer, Od. α. 204, al. fr., - doubtful in Herod. ii. 13; viii. 49, 118, - and hardly ever found in Attic writers. See Soph. Œd. Tyr. 198, εί τι νὺξ ἀφῆ, and Œd. Col. 1442, εί σου στερηθῶ. πνευμ. and σαρκ. (see Rom. xv. 27) need no explanation. The first are so called as belonging to the spirit of man (De W. and Meyer, as coming from the Spirit of God; but it is better to keep the antithesis exact and perspicuous), the second as serving for the nourishment of the flesh. 12.] ἄλλοι does not necessarily point at the false teachers; others may have exercised this power. ὑμῶν is the objective genitive: power over you, -see reff. The second and is not in apposition with the first, but in opposition to the idea implied in έχρ. τη έξ. ταύτη. Meyer compares Hom. II. α. 24 f., ἀλλ' οὐκ 'Ατρείδη 'Αγαμέμνονι ήνδανε θυμώ, στέγομεν The 'Αλλὰ κακῶς ἀφίει. word was commonly used, as may be seen in Wetst., of vessels containing, holding without breaking, that which was put into them; thence of concealing or covering, as a secret; and also of enduring or bearing up against. In this last sense Diod. Sic. iii. 34, uses it literally of ice, στέγοντος τοῦ κρυστάλλου διαβάσεις στρατοπέδων κ. άμαξων έφόδους,-and (xi. 25, Wetst. but ?) of a besieged fort, ου μήνγε την όρμην ... έστεγεν ... τὸ ... τεῖχος, VOL. II.

Æsch. Sept. c. Theb. 216, πύργον στέγειν εὔχεσθε πολεμίων δόρυ. These last usages are very near akin to this of our text,— We endure all things: viz. labour, privations, hardships. The ἐγκοπαί (hindrances – so Diod. Sic. i. 32, speaks of the Nile as being πολλάκις διὰ τὰς ἐγκοπὰς ἀνακλώμενος) would arise from his being charged with covetousness and self-seeking, which his independence of them would entirely prevent. 13,14.] Analogy of the maintenance of the Jewish priesthood from the sacred offerings, with this right of the Christian teacher, as ordained by Christ. Meyer rightly remarks, that oi τὰ ἱερὰ ἐργαζόμενοι can only mean the priests, not including the Levites: and therefore that both clauses apply to the same persons. ἐργάζεσθαι, ἔρδειν, ρέζειν, are technical words for the offering of sacrifice. See reff. to LXX. leρού here, as θυσιαστηρίου is parallel with it below, is probably not 'the sacrifice,' 'the holy thing,' but the temple-'the holy building.' Similarly Jos. B. J. v. 13. 6, makes the Zealots say, δεî τοὺς τῷ ναώ στρατευομένους έκ τοῦ ναοῦ τρέφεσθαι. παρεδρ.] So Jos. contra Apion. i. 7, speaks of the priests as τη θεραπεία τοῦ θεοῦ προςεδρεύοντας. On the practice referred to, see Num. xviii. 8 ff.; Deut. xviii. 1 ff. No other priesthood but the Jewish can have been in the mind of the Apostle. The Jew knew of no θυσιαστήpior but one: and he certainly would not have proposed heathen sacrificial customs, even in connexion with those appointed by

God, as a precedent for Christian usage:

besides that the idea is inconsistent with

(i. e. in analogy with that His other com-

mand) did the Lord (Christ; the Author

14. So also

οὕτως καί: see below.

... ἀλλὰ ὑπείκειν ἢναγκάζετο. So also

12. Luke xxii. 37. xxiii. 31. John xiv. 30. x = ch. vii. 1, 8, 26. Jouah iv. 3. x a rang, of words, 2 Cor. ii. 4 ref. Acts xx. 35. b Rom. iv. 14 reff. cabsol., Rom. xv. 20 reff. d Acts xx vii. 20 reff. spareps) d *encetered *udvy*en, Hom. II. \$\x'_{\infty}\$ (488. fbere only, 1695 it. 12, 1. Rom. iv. 4 reff. g Rom. viii. 20 only. Exod. xxi. 13 only. h = Matt. v. 12. vi. 1. Rom. iv. 4 reff.

DFLN k 17.

16. ευσγγελίζομαι L f k Damasc: ευαγγελισωμαι DF. for καυχημα, χαρις gratia DF'N'(txt N-corr') Ambrst-ms.

rec ουαι δε (clumsy alteration, not seeing that γαρ explains αναγκη), with KLN³ rel syrr Chr Thatt: txt ABCDFN' latt coptt Orig Ath Chr₁ Cyr Orig-int Jer Ambrst. for εστιν, εσται (alteration, to apply it better to the last day) F Ambrst Symm: est aut erit G-lat: om 119 Syr copt. rec εναγγελίζομαι (from -ζωμαι above), with AKN rel Orig Ath Cyr: evangelizem D-lat G-lat(2nd altern): -ζωμαι L f m: txt ABCDF Chr₁: evangelizavero vulg(and F-lat) G-lat(1st altern).

by His Spirit of the O. T. as well as the New) command (viz. Matt. x. 10; Luke x. 7, 8) to those who are preaching the gospel, to live of (be maintained by. Themistius [Kypke] has $\langle \tilde{p} v \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \frac{1}{2} \epsilon p \gamma \alpha \sigma(\alpha s)$ the gospel. Observe, that here the Apostle is establishing an analogy between the rights of the sacrificing priests of the law, and of the preachers of the gospel. Had those preachers been likewise sacrificing priests, is it possible that all allusion to them in such a character should have been here omitted? But as all such allusion is omitted, we may fairly infer that no such character of the Christian minister was then known. As Bengel remarks on ver. 13: 'Si missa esset sacrificium, plane Paulus versu sequente apodosin hue accommodasset.' 15.] οὐδενὶ τούτων is best explained of the different forms of εξουσία,-not, with Chrys. al., τῶν πολλῶν παραδειγμάτων - πολλών γάρ μοι παρεχόντων έξουσίαν, τοῦ στρατιώτου, τοῦ γεωργοῦ, τοῦ ποιμένος, τῶν ἀποστόλων, τοῦ νόμου, των παρ' ἡμων είς ὑμῶς γενομένων, των παρ' ύμων είς τους άλλους, των ίερεων, τοῦ προςτάγματος τοῦ χριστοῦ, οὐδενὶ τούτων έπείσθην είς τὸ καταλύσαι τὸν έμαυτοῦ νόμον, και λαβείν. True, that each of these examples pointed to a form of ¿ξουσία, and none of these forms had he

made use of. See ref. on ch. vii. 21. ἔγραψα is the epistolary agrist-I wrote (write) not these things however, that it may be thus (viz. after the examples which I have alleged) done to me (in my case, see reff.): - for it were good (reff.) for me rather to die (or, better for me to die, see ref. Mark) than that any one should make void (the remarkable reading of the great MSS, appears to have arisen from the unnatural look of the future with 19a. It can only be explained by supposing an aposiopesis; the Apostle breaking off at η , and exclaiming with fervour, τὸ καύχημά μου οὐδείς κενώσει) my (matter of) boasting. To understand ἀποθανείν as Chrys., Theophyl., Œe., Estius, Billroth, al., ἀποθ. λιμφ, seems quite unnecessary. Further ou, Chrys. himself expresses the true sense: οῦτω καὶ ζωῆς αὐτῷ γλυκύτερον ἢν τὸ γινόμενον: - and Calvin, "tautum Evangelii promovendi facultatem nimirum propriæ vitæ præferebat."

16 ff.] The reason why he made so much of this materies gloriandi: viz. that his mission itself gave him no advantage this way, being an office entrusted to him, and for which he was solemnly accountable: but in this thing only had he an advantage so as to be able to boast of it, that he preached the gospel ι ἄκων, k οἰκονομίαν 1 πεπίστευμαι. 18 τίς οὖν μού ἐστιν ἱρισιονιτο ΑΒΟΤρ ο b μισθός, ἵνα c εὐαγγελιζόμενος m ἀδάπανον n θήσω τὸ b καταχοήσασθαι τη ἐξουσία μου b κιπ το b εὐαγγελίω, c εὐαγγελίως c εὐαγγελίως c εὐαγγελίως c εὐαγγελίως c εὐαγγελίως c εὐαγγελίως c b εὐαντων, c εὐαντων, c εὐαντων, c εὐαντων c εὐαντων c εὐαντων c εὐαντων c εδούλωσα, ἵνα c τοὺς c πλείονας c κερδήσω c επισιον c εκροίησω c ενερδήσω c εναρδήσω c εναρδήσω c ενερδήσω c ενερδήσω c ενερδήσω c εναρδήσω c ενερδήσω c ενερδήσ

refi. m here only t. [1 = Hont, iii. 2 | n constr., Matt. xxii. 44 ∦ (from Pa. cix. 1). Rom. iii. 2 (Gen. xxii. 5). Gen. xxii. 2 | Wiid. x. 21. och. vii. 31 only t. Ep. Jer. 28 only. 3 Mace. v. 22 | xxii. 2 | xxii. 2 | xxii. 2 | xxii. 2 | xxii. 3 | xxii. 2 | xxii. 3 | xxii.

18. rec (for 1st μου) μοι, with BDFLN³ rel syr Chr Thdrt Aug: txt ACKN¹ n 17 vulg Syr coptt ath Cyr Jer Ambrst Pelag Bede. — εσται μοι erit mihi DF. rec aft το ευαγγελιον ins του χριστου (see ver 12), with D²-FKL rel syrr Thdrt Jer: om AB CD¹N a 17 vulg(not F-lat) D-lat coptt arm Chr-comn₂ Cyr Ambrst Aug Pelag Bede. καταχρασθαι Α 17. aft καταχρ. ins εν (but marked for erasure) Ν¹-

19. ins εν bef πασιν D'(and lat).

without charge. οὐαὶ γάρ-explains the ἀνάγκη. On οὐαί ἐστιν, see ref. Hos. 17.] For (illustration and confirmation of οὐαὶ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. above) if I am doing this (preaching) of mine own accord (as a voluntary undertaking, which in Paul's case was not so, as Chrys., τὸ έκων κ. ἄκων ἐπὶ τοῦ ἐγκεχειρίσθαι καὶ μὴ ἐγκεχειρίσθαι λαμβάνων: not, as E. V., al., willingly, for this was so), I have a reward (i.e. if of mine own will I took up the ministry, it might be conceivable that a μισθός might be due to me. That this was not the case, and never could be, is evident, and the $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \delta s$ therefore only hypothetical): but if involuntarily (which was the case, see Acts ix. 15; xxii. 14; xxvi. 16), with a STEWARDSHIP (oik. emphatic) have I been entrusted (and therefore from the nature of things, in this respect I have no μισθός for merely doing what is my bounden duty, see Luke xvii. 7-10: but an οὐαί, if I fail in it. Chrys. observes well: οὐδὲ γὰρ εἶπεν, εἰ δὲ ἄκων, οὐκ ἔχω μισθόν, ἀλλ' οἰκ. πεπίστ. δεικνὺς ὅτι καὶ ούτως έχει μισθόν, άλλὰ τοιοῦτον, οἶον ό τὸ ἐπιταχθέν ἐξανύσας, οὐχ οΐον ἐκεῖνος δ ἐκ τῶν ἑαυτοῦ φιλοτιμησάμενος κ. ὑπερβὰς τὸ ἐπίταγμα). The above interpretation, which is in the main that of Chrys., Theophyl., Œcum. (altern.) al., Meyer, and De Wette, is the only one which seems to me to satisfy, easily and grammatically, all the requirements of the sentence, and at the same time to suit the logical structure of the context. The other Commentators go in omnia alia, and adopt various forced and arbitrary constructions of the verse.

18.] Ordinarily, and even by De Wette, thus arranged and rendered: 'What then is my reward? (It is), that in preaching I make the gospel to be without cost, that I use not my power in the gospel.' But this,

though perhaps philologically allowable (against Meyer,—see John xvii. 3,—αῦτη έστιν ή αιώνιος ζωή, Ίνα γινώσκωσι also John xv. 8; 1 John iv. 17 [?]), is not true. His making the gospel to be without cost, was not his μισθός, but his καύχημα only: and these two are not identical. The καύχημα was present: the μισθός, future. Meyer's rendering is equally at fault. He would make τίς οὖν μού ἐστιν δ μισθός; a question implying a negative answer - What then is my reward? None: in order that I preach gratuitously,' &c. But thus he severs off (see below) the whole following context, vv. 19 -23: and as it seems to me, stultifies the καύχημα, by robbing it altogether of the coming μισθός. I am persuaded that the following is the true rendering: What then is my reward (in prospect) that I (Iva, like ὅπως in classical Greek, with a fut. indic., points to the actual realization of the purpose, with more precision than when followed by the subjunctive. So Xen. Cyr. ii. 4. 31, Κῦρος, Τός Τάμενες κελεύει οῦτω ποιείν σε, δπως δε τάχιστα έχων οἴσεις καὶ τὸν δασμὸν καὶ τὸ στράτευμα,-Kühner, Gramm. ii. 490, where see more examples) while preaching, render the gospel without cost (i.e. what reward have I in prospect that induces me to preach gratuitously) in order not to use (as carrying out my design not to use, καταχρ. see ref. and note: not, to abuse, as E. V.) my power in the gospel $(=\tau \hat{\eta})$ έξουσ. μου τῆ ἐν τῷ εὐαγγ., as often; cf. τοις κυρίοις κατά σάρκα, Eph. vi. 5; οί

respoi έν χριστώ, 1 Thess. iv. 16, al. fr.)?

19 ff.] He now proceeds to answer the question, 'What prospect of reward could induce meto do this?' For (q. d. the reward must have been great and glorious in prospect) being free from (the

N N 2

20 καὶ έγενόμην τοῖς Ἰουδαίοις ώς Ἰουδαΐος, ΐνα Ἰου- ABCDF δαίους εκερδήσω τοις 'ύπο νόμον ως 'ύπο νόμον, μη caefg t Rom. vi. 14, 15. Gal. iv. 4, 5, 21. u = here 4 ⁴ Ακτ. ὧν αὐτὸς 'ὑπὸ νόμου, ἵνα τοὺς 'ὑπὸ νόμον ⁸ κερδήσω^{*} no 17

om 1st ws F-gr 39. 672 (Clem) Orig3 Tert 20. om και D'(and lat) m coptt. Sedul. (ωs quasi G-marg.) rec om μη ων αυτος υπο νομον (i. e. from vowor to νομον, by oversight of copyist), with D³K rel Syr copt Orig₃ Thart: ins ABCDFN 17 latt syr sah goth arm Chr Cyr Damasc Orig-int Mar-merc(quoting Nest) .- om from

κερδησω to κερδησω L.

21. rec θεω and χριστω (confusion of vowels and not observing the constr: see note), with D3KL rel Thart: txt ABCD1FR d m 17 latt syr copt arm Orig Did Chr Cyr Isid rec κερδησω (from ver 20), with DKLℵ3 Damase Œe-comm Thl Ps-Ath lat-ff. rel Orig Did Chr Thdrt: txt ABCFN1 17 (κερδινωμεν Clem), and (so Seriv) m in next rec om τους (probably to suit ιουδαιους above), verse. — τους ανομους bef κερδ. D. with FKLN3 rel Chr Thdrt: ins ABCDN1 (m?) 17 Orig Did.

ασθενουσιν DF. rec aft ασθενε-22. aft εγενομην ins δε και autem et F. σιν ins ωs (to tally with the three former), with CDFKLN3 rel vss Chr Thdrt Thl: om ABN vulg(not F-lat) D-lat Orig(retaining the three former) Cypr Aug Amb Ambrst

power of) all men, I enslaved myself (when I made this determination: and have continued to do so) to all, that I might gain (not τους πάντας, which he could not exactly say, but) the largest number (of any: that hereafter Paul's converts might be found to be of maciones : see below on ver. 24). Bengel has remarked on Kepδήσω, congruit hoc verbum cum consideratione mercedis:' but 'congruit' is not enough: it is actually THE ANSWER to the question τίς μού ἐστίν ὁ μισθός; This 'lucrifecisse' the greater number is distinctly referred to by him elsewhere, as his reward in the day of the Lord : Tis Yap ήμῶν ἐλπὶς ἡ χαρὰ ἡ στέφανος καυχήσεως, ἡ οὐχὶ καὶ ὑμεῖς, ἔμπροσθεν τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦ ἐν τῆ αὐτοῦ παρουσία; ύμεις γάρ έστε ή δόξα ήμων και ή χαρά. 1 Thess. ii. 19, 20. And it is for this reason that $"i\nu\alpha \ldots \kappa\epsilon\rho\delta'\eta\sigma\omega"$ is three times repeated: and, as we shall presently see, that the similitude at the end of the 20-22. Spechapter is chosen. cializes the foregoing assertion mao.v èu. ¿δούλωσα, by enumerating various parties to whose weaknesses he had conformed

himself, in order to gain them.
20. τοις 'Ιουδ. ώς 'Ιουδ.] See examples, Acts xvi. 3; xxi. 26. οὐκ εἶπεν, 'Ιουδαίος, άλλ' ώς 'Ιουδαίος, ίνα δείξη ότι οἰκονομία τὸ πραγμα ην, Theophyl. after Chrys. The Jews here are not Jewish converts, who would be already won in the sense of this τοις ύπὸ νόμον] These again are not Jewish converts (see above); nor proselytes, who would not be thus distinguished from other Jews, but are much

the same as 'Ioudaîoi, only to the number of these the Apostle did not belong, not being himself (αὐτός contrasts with ώς above) under the law, whereas he was nationally a Jew. 21. τοῖς ἀνόμοις ὡς av. The avous are the Heathen: hardly, with Chrys., such as Cornelius, fearing God but not under the law. Paul became as a Heathen to the Heathen, e. g., when he discoursed at Athens (Acts xvii.) in their own manner, and with arguments drawn μη ὧν κ.τ.λ.] from their own poets. not being (being conscious of not being, remembering well in the midst of my ἀνομία that I was not. This is implied by μή, which is subjective, giving the conviction of the subject, not merely the objective fact, as οὐκ ών would do) an outlaw from God (θεοῦ and χριστοῦ are genitives of dependence, as after κατήκοος, ένοχος, &c.) but a subject of the law of Christ (the words seem inserted rather to put before the reader the true position of a Christian with regard to God's law revealed by Christ, than merely with an apologetic view to keep his own character from suffering by the imputation of avoula) that I might gain those who had no law. κερδανω (here only in N. T.) and κερδήσω are both found in the classics: see Matthiæ, § 239, and Lobeck on Phrynichus, p. 740. The ἀσθενεις here can hardly be the weak Christians of ch. viii. and Rom. xiv., who were already won, but us in ref., those who had not strength to believe and receive the Gospel. This sentence then does not bring out a new form of condescension, but recapitulates the preceding two 8 κερδήσω. 8 τοῖς 8 πασιν γέγονα πάντα, ἵνα 9 πάντως 8 κωπ. χί. 32 με μ. 23 πάντα δὲ ποιῶ διὰ τὸ εὐαγγέλιον, ἵνα 8 κωπ. χί. 32 συγκοινωνὸς αὐτοῦ γένωμαι. 24 6 οὐκ 6 οἴδατε ὅτι οἱ 14 τεθ. χί. 24 16 οὐκ 16 σταδίω τρέχοντες πάντες μὲν τρέχουσιν, εἰς δὲ κεν. 13 14 κωπ. χί. 32 μβάνει τὸ 6 βοαβείον ; οὕτως 8 τρέχετε, ἵνα 6 καταλά 6 καν. 13 οπly. Polyb, xviii. 29, 4 al. οπly. Polyb, xviii. 29, 4 al. αμβάνει τὸ 16 και χί. 18. Γεν. χί. 18. Γεν. 12. Έκολ. χν. 9. 16 μβι. Ιί. 14 οπly 7 . 16 και χίν. 20, χχί. 16) Γεν. Γεν. 20, χχί. 16 γεν. 12. Εκολ. χν. 9. 16 γι. 12. Εκολ. χν. 9. 16 γι. 12. Εκολ. χν. 9. 16 γεν. 13. 16 εκοπ. χι. 16 εκοπ. χι. 16 εκοπ. χι. 16 εκοπ. χι. 16 εκοπ. Σίν εκοπ. 20 γεν. 16 εκοπ. Σίν εκοπ. 20 γεν. 16 εκοπ. Σίν εκοπ. 20 γεν. 20 γ

Bede. for gegova, egenoupy F Clem. rec ins to be π auto (prob to suit tois π agiv: but often when π auto occurs, to is insolved it in some mss), with D2-3KL rel Orig, Mac Chr₁ Thart: txt ABCD1FR Clem Orig, Naz Chr₁ Cyr. for π autos trues, π autos (conformation to the foregoing clauses) DF latt lat-ff, tous π autos 17 Clem Orig, (but π autos).

23. rec (for παντα) τουτο, with KL rel syrr Thdrt Damasc Thl Œc: txt ABCDFN m 17 latt copt æth Naz Chr(schol on 7) Ambrst Pelag Sedul Bede.

24. aft βραβειον ins εγω δε λεγω υμιν ego autem dico vobis F.

classes, τοις ύπο νόμον τοις ανόμοις. 22. τοις πασιν] This sums up the above, and others not enumerated, in one general rule, -and the various occasions of his practising the condescension (aorists) in one general result (perfect). To all men I am become all things (i. e. to each according to his situation and prejudices) that by all means ('omnino:' or perhaps as Meyer, in all ways: but I prefer the other) I may save some (Tivás is emphatic: some, out of each class in the πάντες. It is said, as is the following verse, in extreme humility, and distrust of even an Apostle's confidence, to shew them the immense importance of the μισθός for which he thus denied and submitted him-23.] But (q. d. 'not only this of which I have spoken, but all') all things I do on account of the gospel, that I may be a fellow-partaker (with others) of it (of the blessings promised in the gospel to be brought by the Lord at His coming).

24 ff.] 'This is my aim in all I do: but inasmuch as many run in a race, many reach the goal, but one only receives the prize,-I as an Apostle run my course, and you must so run yours, as each to labour not to be rejected at last, but to gain the glorious and incorruptible prize.' This, as compared with the former context, seems to be the sense and connexion of the passage. He was anxious, as an Apostle, to labour more abundantly, more effectually than they all: and hence his condescension (συγκατάβασις) to all men, and self-denial: accompanied with which was a humble self-distrust as to the great matter itself of his personal salvation, and an eager anxiety to secure it. These he proposes for their example likewise. The allusion is primarily no doubt to the Isthmian games; but this must not be

pressed too closely: the foot-race was far too common an element in athletic contests, for any accurate knowledge of its predominance in some and its insignificance in others of the Grecian games to be here supposed. Still less must it be imagined that those games were to be celebrated in the year of the Epistle being written. The most that can with certainty be said, is that he alludes to a contest which, from the neighbourhood of the Isthmian games, was well known to his readers. See Stanley's note: who, in following out illustrations of this kind, writes with a vivid graphic power peculiarly his own.

βραβείον] Wetst. quotes from the Schol. on Yindar, Olymp. 1, λέγεται δὲ τὸ διδόμενον γέρας τῷ νικήσαντι ἀδλητῆ ἀπὸ μεν τῶν διδόντων αὐτὸ βραβευτῶν βραβείον, ἀπὸ δὲ τῶν ἀθλούντων ἄθλον, and from the Etymol., βραβείον λέγεται ὁ παρὰ τῶν βραβευτῶν δίδωμενος ατέφουρος τῷ νικῶντων.

βευτών διδόμενος στέφανος τῷ νικῶντι. οὕτως τρ.] Thus (after this manner -viz. as they who run all, each endeavouring to be the one who shall receive the prize:-not, as the one who receives it (Meyer, De Wette),—for the others strive as earnestly as he: still less must we take ίνα καταλάβητε for ώς καταλαβείν, which is barely allowable, and here would not suit the sense; the obrws being particularized presently by one point of the athletes' preparation being specially alleged for their imitation) run (not και ύμεις τρέχετε, because the evident analogy between the race and the Christian conflict is taken for granted. If, as Dr. Peile imagines, a contrast had been intended, between the stadium where one only can receive the prize, and the Christian race where all may, it must have stood οῦτως δὲ ὑμεῖς τρέχετε, ὡς καὶ (πάντας?) καταλαβεῖν. But such contrast would destroy

Rep. Luc. iv. 6. Q Acts xxii 23. ch. xiv. 9. Eph. ii. 2. I Thess. iv. 7. Rev. ix. 2. xei. 17 only. Wisd. v. 11, 12. 2. on συν K k 6. 119; insd in syr with an asterisk. [α at the beginning of αθθαρτον is written over the line by N¹.]

the sense), in order that ye may fully obtain (the prize of your calling, see Phil. iii. 14. On λαμβάνω and καταλαμβάνω see note, ch. vii. 31). 25.] The point in the ουτως, the conduct of the athletes in regard of temperance, which he wishes to bring into especial prominence for their imitation :- as concerning the matter in hand,-his own abstinence from receiving this world's pelf, in order to save himself and them that heard him. The δέ specifies, referring back to ούτωs. The emphasis is on πas, thus shewing ούτως to refer to the πάντες who τρέχουσιν.

αγωνιζόμενος is more general than τρέχων, -q. d. 'Every one who engages, not only in the race, but in any athletic contest, and thus strengthening the inference. The art. (δ άγων.) brings out the man as an enlisted and professed αγωνιζόμενος, and regards him in that capacity. Had it been παs δε αγωνιζ., the sense would have been, 'Now every one, while contending,' &c., making the discipline to be merely accidental to his contending-which would not suit the spiritual antitype, where we are enlisted for life. Examples of the practice of abstinence in athletes may be seen in Wetst. in loc. I will give but two: (1) Hor. de Art. Poet. 412: "Qui studet optatam cursu contingere metam, Multa tulit fecitque puer, sudavit et alsit : Abstinuit venere et vino." (2) Epiet. c. 35: θέλεις ολύμπια νικήσαι; κάγὼ νή τοὺς θεούς, κομψὸν γάρ έστιν. άλλὰ σκόπει καὶ τὰ καθηγούμενα καὶ τὰ ἀκόλουθα, καὶ οὕτως άπτου των έργων. δεί σ' εὐτακτείν, ἀναγκοτροφείν, ἀπέχεσθαι πεμμάτων, γυμνά-(εσθαι πρός ἀνάγκην ἐν ώρα τεταγμένη, ἐν καύματι, ἐν ψύχει, μὴ ψυχρόν πίνειν, μὴ οίνον ως έτυχεν απλως, ως ιατρώ παραδεδωκέναι σαυτόν τῷ ἐπιστάτῃ, εἶτα εἰς τὸν ἐκεῖνοι scil. άγῶνα παρέρχεσθαι. μέν ουν, 'immo έγκρατεύονται. The Schol. on Pind. vero' (reff.). The Schol. on Pind. Isthm. ὑπόθεσις, cited by Meyer, says: στέφος δέ έστι τοῦ ἀγῶνος πίτυς, τὸ δὲ ανέκαθεν σέλινα και αὐτοῦ ἢν ὁ στέφανος. ήμεις δέ, scil. εγκρατευόμεθα Ινα

λάβωμεν στέφανον. He takes for granted

the Christian's temperance in all things, as 26. I then (ἐγώ his normal state. emphatic-recalls the attention from the incidental exhortation, and reminiscence of the Christian state, to the main subject, his own abstinence from receiving, and its grounds. τοίνυν, as distinguished from other particles which imply restriction of what has been generally said to some particular object, indicates the dropping of minute or collateral points, and returning to the great necessary features of the subject,-and this, as introducing some short and pithy determination or conclusion : see Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 348. Ε. g.,—Xen. Cyr. vi. 3. 17, τούτων μέν τοίνυν άλις είη, & δέ καιρός ἡμιν είδεναι, ταῦτα, ἔφη, διηγοῦ) so run as (οὕτως-ώς, see reff.) not uncertainly (reff.: cf. also Polyb. iii. 54. 5, της χιόνος άδηλον ποιούσης έκάστοις την επίβασιν: - uncertainly, i.e. without any sure grounds of contending or any fixed object for which to contend; both these are included. Chrysestom rightly brings it into subordination to the main subject, the participation with idolaters: - τί δέ έστιν, οὐκ ἀδήλως; πρός σκοπόν τινα βλέπων, φησίν, οὐκ εἰκῆ καλ μάτην, καθάπερ ύμεις, τί γὰρ ύμιν γίνεται πλέον ἀπό τοῦ εἰς εἰδωλεῖα εἰςιέναι, καὶ την τελειότητα δήθεν ἐκείνην ἐπιδείκνυσθαι; οὐδέν. ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐγὼ τοιοῦτος, ἀλλὰ πάντα απερ ποιῶ, ὑπὲρ τῆς τῶν πλησίον σωτηρίας ποιώ. κάν τελειότητα ἐπιδείξωμαι, δι' αὐτούς καν συγκατάβασιν, δι' αὐτούς καν ύπερβῶ Πέτρον ἐν τῷ μὴ λαμβάνειν, ἵνα μὴ σκανδαλισθῶσι· καν καταβῶ πλέον πάντων, περιτέμνόμενος καλ ξυρώμενος, ίνα μή ύποσκελισθώσι. Hom. xxiii.); so fight I, as not striking the air (and not my adversary). The allusion is not to a σκιαμαχία or rehearsal of a fight with an imaginary adversary, as Chrys. (έχω γάρ ον πλήξω), Theophyl. al. m., but of a fight with a real adversary (viz. here, the body) in which the boxer vainly hits into the air, instead of striking his antagonist. So Entellus in the pugilistic combat, En. v. 446, 'vires in ventum effudit,' when Dares 'ietum venientem a vertice velox Prævidit,

27. αλλα B m. υποπιεζω $\rm D^3(\nu\pi\omega\pi)$ e $\rm l^1$ m 46. 113-marg Clem $\rm Naz_1$ Chr-ms₂ Thdrt₁: υποπιαζω FKL a b l e f g² n o Eus Serap Ephr $\rm Naz_2$ Bas-2-mss Chr-ms Cyr_{4liq} Damasc₃. [castigo vulg(and F-lat) G-lat(1st altern) Ambr Aug; lividum facio D-lat G-lat(2nd altern) Iren-int Paulin.]

CHAP. X. 1. rec (for γap) δε (the connexion not being perceived or wrong word supplied aft own at beg of lection), with KLN³ rel syrr Chr Thdrt: txt ABCDFN¹ 17 latt copt Clem Orig₂ Mcion-e Did Cyr Iren-int Cypr.

celerique elapsus corpore cessit.' See examples both of what is really meant, and of the σκιαμαχία, in Wetst. Obs., in both places οὐκ is used and not μή, as importing the matter of fact, and joined closely with the adverb in one case and the verb in the 27. But I bruise my body (ὑπωπιάζω, lit. to strike heavily in the face so as to render black and blue, - " ὑπώπια,-τὰ ύπὸ τοὺς ὧπας τῶν πληγῶν ίχνη, ut ait Pollux: sed latius dici sic copere àφ' οίας δηποτούν πληγής τραύματα, ut ait Scholiastes ad Aristoph. Acharn., Cicero Tusc. 2, 'Pugiles cæstibus contusi,' i. e. ὑπωπιαζόμενοι." Grot. The body is the adversary, considered as the seat of the temptations of Satan, and especially of that self-indulgence which led the Corinthians to forget their Christian combat, and sit at meat in the idol's temple. The abuse of this expression to favour the absurd practice of the Flagellants, or to support ascetic views at all, need hardly be pointed out to the rational, much less to the Christian student. It is not even of fasting or prayer that he is here speaking, but as the context, vv. 19-23, shews, of breaking down the pride and obstinacy and self-seeking of the natural man by laying himself entirely out for his great workthe salvation of the greatest number: and that, denying himself "solatium" from without: "My hands have been worn away [cf. χείρες αὖται, Acts xx. 34] with the black tent-cloths, my frame has been bowed down with this servile labour [cf. ἐλεύθερος ἐδούλωσα, ver. 19]." Stanley) and enslave it ('etiam δουλαγωγείν a pyctis desumptum est; nam qui vicerat, victum [vinctum?] trahebat adversarium quasi servum.' Grot. But this seems to want confirmation. I can find no account of such a practice in any of the ordinary sources of information. Certainly Dares is not made the slave of Entellus in Æn. v.: and Virgil is generally accurate in such

matters. I had rather give a more general meaning: that viz. of the necessary subjection, for the time, of the worsted to the prevailing combatant), lest perchance having proclaimed (κηρ. absolute: as in Esch. Eum. 566, κήρυσσε, κῆρυξ, και στρατόν κατειργάθου [Peile]. The subject of the proclamation might be the laws of the combat, or the names of the victors (Æn. v. 245), each by one in the capacity of herald: probably here the former only, as answering to the preaching of the Apostles. The nature of the case shews, that the Christian herald differs from the agonistic herald, in being himself a combatant as well, which the other was not: and that this is so, is no objection to thus understanding κηρύξας. "This introduces indeed a new complication into the metaphor: but it is rendered less violent by the fact, that sometimes the victor in the games was also selected as the herald to announce his success. So it was a few years after the date of this Epistle, in the case of Nero. Suct. Nero, c. 24." Stanlev) to others, I myself may prove rejected (from the prize: not, as some Commentators, from the contest altogether, for he was already in it). An examination of the victorious combatants took place after the contest, and if it could be proved that they had contended unlawfully, or unfairly, they were deprived of the prize and driven with disgrace from the games. Such a person was called ἐκκεκριμένος, and ἀποδεδοκιμασμένος, see Philo de Cherub., § 22, vol. i. p. 152. So the Apostle, if he had proclaimed the laws of the combat to others, and not observed them himself, however successful he might apparently be, would be personally rejected as άδόκιμος in the great day. And this he says with a view to shew them the necessity of more self-denial, and less going to the extreme limit of their Christian liberty; as Chrys. εί γάρ έμοι το κηρύξαι, το διδάξαι, το μυρίx Acts v. 30 x πατέρες x ἡμῶν πάντες y ὑπὸ τὴν νεφέλην y ἦσαν καὶ πάντων μεων Αcts iv. 12 ch. ix. 20. Cal. iv. 21. Δαλάσσης x διῆλθον, 2 καὶ πάντες a εἰς τὸν ÅBCDF κ. ΣΝα Εκναί κ. 22 λαλάσσην a έβαπτίσαντο εν τῆ νεφέλη καὶ εν τῆ θαλάσση, c σίτι ελίτι. 32 λαὶ πάντες τὸ αὐτὸ b βρῶμια c πνευματικὸν έφαγον, 4 καὶ b κι πιαντες τὸ αὐτὸ b βρῶμια c πνευματικὸν έφαγον, 4 καὶ c διων χιν. 15 refl. c Pet. ii. 5 bis t. 8 hom, χιν. 15 refl. c Pet. ii. 5 bis t. 8 hom, χιν. 15 refl. c

2. εβαπτισθησαν ACDFN 17 Dial Bas Did Chr_{aliq} Cyr₂ Thdrt_{aliq} Thl: txt BKL rel Orig₂ Chr₂ Thdrt₁ Damasc Œc. (Notwithstanding the strong MS evidence, the passine appears to have been a corrn to the more usual expression in the case of Christian bantism.)

3. οπ΄ αυτο Α C'(appy) 46 æth: οπ το αυτο Ν', πνευματικον bef βρωμα BC'N' 93: πνευματικον εφαγον bef βρωμα A 17. 137 Meion-e: txt (C'?)DFKLN' rel vss Orig Dial Chr Thdrt Thil Iren-int(citing "Seniores") lat-ff.

υυς προςαγαγείν οὐκ ἀρκεί εἰς σωτηρίαν, εἰ μὴ καὶ τὰ κατ' ἐμαυτὸν παρασχοίμην

άληπτα, πολλῷ μᾶλλον ύμῖν. Χ. 1—22.] He proceeds, in close connexion with the warnings which have just preceded, to set before them the great danger of commerce with idolatry, and enforces this by the example of the rebellions and rejections of God's ancient people, who were under a dispensation analogous to and typical of ours (1-11); and by the close resemblance of our sacrament of the Lord's Supper,-their eating of meats sacrificed, -and the same act among the heathen, in regard of the UNION in each case of the partakers in one act of participation. So that THEY COULD NOT EAT THE IDOL'S FEASTS WITHOUT PAR-TAKING OF IDOLATRY = VIRTUALLY AB-JURING CHRIST (vv. 15-22). γάρ joins to the preceding. He had been inculcating the necessity of self-subduing (ch. ix. 21-27), and now enforces it in the particular departments of abstaining from fornication, idolatry, &c., by the example of the Jews of old. οὖ θέλω ..., see reff. οί πατ. ἡμῶν] Η ε uses this expression, not merely speaking for himself and his Jewish converts, but regarding the Christian church as a continuation of the Jewish, and the believer. as the true descendant of Abraham.

πάντες . . πάντες . . . πάντες each time with strong emphasis, as opposed to τοῦς πλείσον, ver. 5. All had these privileges, as all of you have their counterparts under the Gospel: but most of them failed from rebellion and unbelief. ὑπὸ τὴν νεφ. ἦσων] The pillar of cloud, the abode of the divine Presence, went before them, and was to them a defence: hence it is sometimes treated of as covering the camp, e. g. Ps. cļv. 39, διατέτασε νεφέλην εἰς σκέπην αὐτοῦς: and thus they would be under it. So also Wisd. x. 17, xix. 7,— ἡ τὴν παρεμβολὴν σκιάζουσα νεφέλη. See Exol. xiii. 21, xiv. 20.

τ. Μωυσ. έβαπτ., received baptism (lit. baptized themselves: middle, not passive, see var. read.) to Moses; entered by the act of such immersion into a solemn covenant with God, and became His church under the law as given by Moses, God's servant,-just as we Christians by our baptism are bound in a solemn covenant with God, and enter His Church under the Gospel as brought in by Christ, God's eternal Son; see Heb. iii. 5, 6. Others (Syr., Beza) explain it 'per Mosen,' or (Calv., al.) 'auspiciis Mosis,' which eis will not bear,—not to mention that the formula βαπτίζω εls was already fixed in meaning, see reff. ἐν τῆ ν. καὶ ἐν τῆ θ.] The cloud and the sea being both aqueous, and this point of comparison being obtained, serves the Apostle to indicate the outward symbols of their initiation into the church under the government of Moses as the servant of God, and to complete the analogy with our baptism. The allegory is obviously not to be pressed minutely: for neither did they enter the cloud, nor were they wetted by the waters of the sea; but they passed under both, as the baptized passes under the water, and it was said of them, Exod. xiv. 31, "Then the people feared the Lord, and believed the Lord and his servant Moses." To understand, as Olsh., the sea and cloud, of water and the Spirit respectively, is certainly carrying the allegory too far: not to mention that thus the baptism by the Spirit would precede that by water.

3.] They had what answered to the one Christian sacrament, Baptism: now the Apostle shews that they were not without a symbolic correspondence to the other, the Lord's Supper. The two elements in this Christian sacrament were auticipated in their case by the manna and the miraculous stream from the rock: these elements, in their case, as well as ours, symbolizing THE BODY AND BLOOD OF CHRIST. The whole passage is a standing testimony, inciden-

πάντες τὸ αὐτὸ c πνευματικὸν ἔπιον d πόμα ἔπινον γὰρ d Heb. is, 10 only, Ps. έκ c πνευματικῆς ἀκολουθούσης πέτρας, ἡ πέτρα δὲ c ἡν c 0, c 0, Dan. i. 16 Theod.

e - Matt. xxvi. 26. xiii. 37. John xv. 1. Gen. xli. 26, 27. Exod. xii. 11. Ezek. xxxvii. 11.

4. om αυτο A 46 wth Orig, Promiss. ree πομα bef πνευματικον επιον (to conform with the preceding), with DFKL rel latt Dial Chr Thdrt Iren-int(as above) Origint: txt ABCN 17. 137 Orig, Cyr Epiph Jer (επιον 137 Orig,: επιαν D'). ree δε bef πετρα (not observing the emphasis), with ACD²KL rel Orig, Eus.—πετρα δε omig the η preceding F.

tally, but most providentially, given by the great Apostle to the importance of the Christian sacraments, as necessary to membership of Christ, and not mere signs or remembrances: and an inspired protest against those who, whether as individuals or seets, would lower their dignity, or deny their necessity. βρώμα πνευματικόν κ.τ.λ.] The manna is thus called, from its being no mere physical production, but miraculously given by God—the work of His Spirit. Thus Isaac is called, Gal. iv. 29, δ κατά πνευμα γεννηθείς, in opposition to Ishmael, δ κατὰ σάρκα γεννηθείς. Josephus calls the manna θεῖον βρῶμα καὶ παράδοξον, Antt. iii. 1. 6: and in Ps. lxxvii 24, it is said άρτον οὐρανοῦ ἔδωκεν αὐτοῖς.

We can searcely avoid recognizing in these words a tacit reference to our Lord's discourse, or at all events to the substance of it,—John vi. 31—58. "For the sense of πρευματικόs, as 'typical,' 'seen in the light of the spirit,' of. Rev. xi. 8, #τις καλείται πρευματικώς Σόδομα." Stanley.

4.] It is hardly possible here, without doing violence to the words and construction, to deny that the Apostle has adopted the tradition current among the Jews, that the rock followed the Israelites in their journeyings, and gave forth water all the way. Thus Rabbi Solomon on Num. xx. 2: "Per ownes quadraginta annos erat iis puteus" (Lightf.): and Schöttgen eites from the Bammidbar Rabba, "Quomodo comparatus fuit ille puteus (de quo Num. xxi. 16)? Resp. Fuit sicut petra, sicut alveus apum, et globosus, et volutavit se, et ivit cum ipsis in itineribus ipsorum. Cum vexilla castra ponerent, et tabernaculum staret, illa petra venit, et consedit in atrio tentorii. Tuncvenerunt Principes, et juxta illum steterunt, dicentes, 'Ascende, putee, &c.' (Num. xxi. 17) et ascendit.'' See other testimonics in Schöttgen. only ways of escaping this inference are, by setting aside the natural sense altogether, as Chrys., Theophyl.—οὐ γὰρ ἡ τῆs πέτρας φύσις το ὕδωρ ἡφίει, άλλ' έτέρα τις πέτρα πνευματική το παν είργάζετο, τουτέστιν δ χριστός, δ παρών αὐτοῖς πανταχοῦ, καὶ πάντα θαυματουργών διὰ γὰρ τοῦτο πέτρα = τὸ ἐκ τῆς πέτρας ὕδωρ, as Erasm., Beza, Grot., Estius, Lightf.:—and so Calvin, who says: "Quomodo, inquiunt, rupes quæ suo loco fixa stetit, comitata esset Israelitas? Quasi vero non palam sit sub petræ voce notari aquæ fluxum, qui nunquam populum deseruit." But against both of these we have the plain assertion, representing matter of physical fact, ξπινον έκ πνευματικής ακολουθούσης πέτραs, they drank from a (or, after a preposition, the) miraculous rock which followed them: and I cannot consent to depart from what appears to me the only admissible sense of these words. How extensively the traditionary reliques of unrecorded Jewish history were adopted by apostolic men under the inspiration of the Holy Spirit, the apology of Stephen may bear witness. ή πέτρα δε ην ό χριστός But (distinction between what they saw in the rock and what we see in it: they drank from it and knew not its dignity: but) the rock was Christ. In these words there appear to be three allusions: (1) to the ideas of the Jews themselves: so the Targum on Isa. xvi. 1: "Afferent dona Messiæ Israelitarum, qui robustus erit, propterea quod in deserto fuit RUPES EC-CLESIA ZIONIS:" so also in Wisd. x. 15 ff., the σοφία θεοῦ (see note on John i. 1) is said to have been present in Moses, to have led them through the wilderness, &c. That the MESSIAH, the ANGEL OF THE COVENANT, was present with the church of the Fathers, and that His upholding power was manifested in miraculous interferences for their welfare, was a truth acknowledged no less by the Jew than by the Christian. (2) To the frequent use of this appellation, A Rock, for the God of Israel. See, inter alia, Deut. xxxii. 4, 15, 18, 30, 31, 37; 1 Sam. ii. 2; 2 Sam. xxii. 2, and passim; xxiii. 3, &e.; Psalms passim, and especially lxxviii. 20, compared with ver. 35: see also Rom. ix. 33; 1 Pet. ii. 8. Hence it became more natural to apply the term directly to Christ, as the ever-present God of Israel. (3) To the sacramental import of the water which flowed from the rock, which is the f Matt. iii. 17 χριστός $^{\circ}$ 5 αλλ' οὐκ $^{\circ}$ εν $^{\circ}$ τοῖς $^{\circ}$ πλείοσιν αὐτῶν $^{\circ}$ ηὐδό- ABCDF $\mathcal{L}_{1,1}^{\text{Bill, III}}$ χριστος αλλ ουλ το 200 και τη έρημω, edf gh (200 και 10) κησεν ο θεός, $^{\text{h}}$ κατεστρώθησαν γὰρ έν τη έρημω, edf gh (21) με κι $^{\text{H}}$ το μη είναι $^{\text{H}}$ κι $^{\text{H}}$ κατεστρώθησαν, $^{\text{H}}$ είς τὸ μη είναι $^{\text{H}}$ κι $^{\text{H}}$ $^{\text{H}}$ είχι $^{\text{H}}$ είχι $^{\text{H}}$ $^{\text{H}$ 12. g ch. ix. 19 reff.
h here only.
NUM. xiv. ήμας "έπιθυμητάς κακών, καθώς κάκείνοι "έπεθύμησαν. 16. i - Rom. v. 7 μηδε ° είδωλολάτραι γίνεσθε, καθώς τινες αὐτών, ώςπερ 14t. k plur., ver. 11 reff., but see note. n absol., Rom. vii. 7 reff. 1 Rom. iv. 11 reff, o ch. v. 10, 11 reff. m here only. NUM. xi. 34 (only?).

5. Γηυδοκησεν, so AB¹C Clem Mcion-e Chr.

7. ειδωλολατρας γινεσθαι F c k 3. 116. 122 arm, effici aut efficiamini G-lat. rec (for ωsπερ) ωs, with CD1K d k καθως ins και Di. ins εξ bef αυτων A. Mcion-e₁ (Ec: καθως 17 Mcion-e₁: txt ABD³LN rel Chr Thdrt Damasc Thl.—om

point here immediately in the Apostle's mind. As well in sacramental import as in upholding physical agency, that rock was Christ. The miraculous (spiritual) food was (sacramentally) the flesh of Christ: the miraculous (spiritual) drink was the blood of Christ: so that the Jews' miraculous supplies of food and drink were sacramentally significant of the Body and Blood of Christ, in kind analogous to the two great parts of the Christian Supper of the Lord. In the contents prefixed to the chapters in the E. V., we read as the import of these verses, "The sacraments of the Jews are types of ours," which though perhaps correctly meant, is liable to be erroneously understood; inasmuch as no sacramental ordinance can be a type of another, but all alike, though in different degrees of approximation, and by different representations, types of HIM, who is the fountain of all grace. The difference between their case and ours, is generally, that they were unconscious of the sacramental import, whereas we are conscious of it: "they knew not that I healed them," Hos. xi. 3: and in this particular case, that Christ has come to us "not by water only, but by water and blood," 1 John v. 6: His Death having invested our sacramental ordinance with another and more deeply significant character. To enter more minutely into the import of the words, 'the rock was Christ,' would be waste of time and labour. The above reasons abundantly justify the assertion, without either pressing the verb \$\eta\nu\$ beyond its ordinary acceptation, or presuming to fix on the Apostle a definiteness of meaning which his argument does not require. See in Meyer's note an example of the proceeding which I blame. 5.] Howbeit not with the greater part of them (in fact with Joshua and Caleb only) was God pleased. κατεστρ. γάρ...] The very words of the LXX, see ref. 6.] Now (δέ transitional; the contrast

being, between the events themselves,

and their application to us) these things happened as figures (not 'types' as we now use the word, meaning by type and antitype, the material representation, and theultimate spiritual reality, - but figures, as one imperfect ceremonial polity may figure forth a higher spiritual polity, but still this latter may not itself be the ultimate antitype) of us (the spiritual Israel as distinguished from the literal), -in order that we might not be (God's purpose in the τύποι: of course an ulterior purpose, for they had their own immediate purpose as regards the literal Israel) lusters after evil things (generally: no special reference yet to the Corinthian feasters, as Grot. supposes. So Theophyl. rightly: καθολικῶς περί πάσης κακίας λέγει, ἐπειδή καί πάσα κακία έξ ἐπιθυμίας. εἶτα καὶ κατ' είδος τίθησι τὰς κακίας. Similarly Chrys.) as they also (kal, i. e. supposing us to be like them) lusted. The construction (\tau\tau\tau\alpha .. έγενήθησαν) may be a verb substantive attracted into the plur. (or sing.) by the predicate, -one often found : so Herod. i. 93, ή μεν περίοδος, είσι στάδιοι έξ : and ii. 15, αί Θηβαι Αίγυπτος εκαλέετο : so in Latin, Ter. Andr. iii. 3, 23, 'Amantium iræ amoris integratio est: ' see many other examples in Külmer, § 429: or, which is perhaps better, as in ver. 11, where see note. The rendering, 'Now in these things they were figures of us' (I know not by whom suggested, but I find it in Dr. Peile's notes on the Epistles), is inconsistent both with the arrangement of the words, -in which ταῦτα has the primary emphasis,—and with εγενήθησαν, which should be ησαν. 7. Now, the special instances of warning follow, coupled to the general by μηδέ in this negative sentence, as so often by kal in an affirmative one. Notice, that all four of these ative one. Notice, that an array were brought about by the ἐπιθυμεῖν κακῶν not distinct from it. This first instance is singularly appropriate. The Israclites are recorded to have sat down and eaten and drunken at the idol feast

γέγραπται p Έκάθισεν ο λαὸς φαγείν καὶ πιείν, καὶ q ἀν - p Εκορ. xxxii. έστησαν r παίζειν. 8 μηδὲ s πορνεύωμεν, καθώς τινες αὐ - r τικό s επόρνευσαν καὶ έπεσαν r e μιᾳ ἡμέρα είκοσιτρείς q s κιι κιδίαδες. 9 μηδὲ t έκπειράζωμεν τὸν κύριον, καθώς τινες t t επείρασαν καὶ ὑπὸ τῶν t ὄφεων ἀπώλοντο. t επείρασαν καὶ ὑπὸ τῶν t ὄφεων ἀπώλοντο. t επείρασαν καὶ ὑπὸ τῶν t το t τινείτις t επείραντο t επεί

καθως τινές αυτων ως περ Ε. $\pi \epsilon \iota \nu \ D^{1}F : \pi \iota \nu \ \aleph$. ανεστη Γ. 8. εκπορνευωμέν DIF. εξεπορνευσαν (see LXX) D'F 671 Chr.

ABCDFR I m 17 Chr-ms Thart Damasc.] om εν BDFR!.

9. εκπειρασωμεν F. rec (for κυριον) χριστον (see note), with DFKL rel latt syrr copt-wilk sah arm-marg Thart Mcion(Epiph says: δ δὲ Μαρκίων ἀντὶ τοῦ κύριον χριστόν ἐποίησεν) Chr, Œc Thl Iren-int(citing "Seniores") Ambr Aug Pelag Ambrst: Sedul Cassiod. The BCN 17 copt-ms syr-marg æth arm Epiph Chr, Thdrt Damase Sedul Cassiod. The aft $\kappa \alpha \theta \omega_1$ ins $\kappa \alpha \omega_2$, with D³KL rel Syr Chr Thdrt: Om ABCD¹ FX a m n 17 vss Epiph Iren-int. om αυτων X1. εξεπειρασαν CD1FN a m 17.

απωλλυντο BN. (A is doubtful.)

of the golden calf in Horeb: the very temptation to which the Corinthians were too apt to yield. And as the Israelites were actually idolaters, doing this as an act of worship to the image: so the Corinthians were in danger of becoming such, and the Apostle therefore puts the case in the strongest way, neither be (become) ye idolaters. παίζειν, ρης, 'choreas agere,' 'saltare accinentibus tympanis vel cantoribus:' see reff., where the same word (or its cognate pur) occurs in the Heb. The dance was an accompaniment of the idol feast: see Hor. ii. 12. 19: 'Quam nec ferre pedem dedecuit choris sacro Dianæ celebris die.' Another prominent point in the sins of the Corinthian church. είκοσιτρείς χ.] The number was twenty-four thousand, Num. xxv. 9, and is probably set down here from memory. The subtilities of Commentators in order to escape the inference, are discreditable alike to themselves and the cause of sacred Truth. Of the principal ancient Commentators, Chrysostom and Theophyl. do not notice the discrepancy: Œcum. notices it, and says that some ancient copies εἰκοσιτέσσαρας ἔθεσαν here, but passes it without comment. Although the sin of Baal peor was strictly

speaking idolatry, yet the form which it exhibited was that of fornication, as incident to idolatrous feasting, see Num. xxv. 1, 2. Thus it becomes even more directly applicable to the case of the Corinthians.

9.] ἐκπειρ. — tempt beyond endurance, 'tempt thoroughly.' Similarly εξαρνείσθαι, 'to persist in denying,' al., as Suidas, ή γάρ ξξ πρόθεσις, ἐπίτασιν δηλοῖ. See Musgr. on Eurip. Iph. Taur. 249, and cf. ἐκ-πληρόω, Acts xiii. 32. So also in Latin,

'oro' and 'exoro,' &c. τὸν κύριον] There may be two views taken of the internal evidence concerning the reading here. On the one hand it may be said that xριστόν being the original reading, it was variously altered to κύριον or θεόν by those who found a difficulty in supposing that the Jews of old tempted Christ, or even by those who wished to obliterate this assertion of His præ-existence: and so De Wette, al. On the other it may be said, that κύριον being the original, it was variously explained in the margin χριστόν and $\theta \epsilon \delta \nu$, as is often the case: and so Meyer. On comparing these, it seems to me that the latter alternative is the more probable. The inference that τινες αὐτῶν έπείρασαν requires του χριστόν as an object, is not a necessary one, and hardly likely to have produced the alteration, closely connected as τ . $\chi \rho$, is with the verb in the first person. I have therefore with Meyer adopted the reading κύριον. The tempting of the Lord was,-as on the other occasions alluded to Num. xiv. 22, where it is said that they tempted God ten times,the daring Him, in trying His patience by rebellious conduct and sin. Cf. the similar use of πειράζω Acts v. 9; xv. 10. And he warns the Corinthians, that they should not in like manner provoke God by their sins and their partaking with idols. Chrys., Theophyl., and Œc. understand the temptation of God to be the seeking for signs: Theodoret, to be in danger arising from those who spoke with different tongues, ἐπείραζον δέ κ. οἱ ταῖς διαφόροις κεχρημένοι γλώτταις, κατὰ φιλοτιμίαν μαλλον ή χρείαν ταύτας επ' εκκλησίας προςφέροντες.

ὑπὸ τῶν ὄφεων, by the (wellknown) serpents. The art. is so often

where his, 10 μηδὲ $^{\rm w}$ γογγύζετε, καθώς τινες αὐτῶν $^{\rm w}$ έγόγγυσαν καὶ ABCDF Matt. x.1. Luke γ.30. John γi.41, ἀπώλοντο ὑπὸ τοῦ $^{\rm w}$ ὀλοθρευτοῦ. 11 ταῦτα δὲ $[\pi$ άντα] $^{\rm c}$ αξεμ h $^{\rm th}$ μ h $^{\rm th}$ αλθιν γι. χ. $^{\rm th}$ επικώς $^{\rm th}$ τοῦν έκτεινοις, ἐγράφη δὲ $^{\rm th}$ πρὸς $^{\rm b}$ νου- $^{\rm th}$ νου- $^{\rm th}$ κατήντηκεν. Θεσίαν ἡμῶν, εἰς οῦς τὰ $^{\rm c}$ τέλη τῶν $^{\rm c}$ αἰώνων $^{\rm d}$ κατήντηκεν. (-εύειν, Heb. xi. 28, from Exod. xii. 23. 12 ωςτε ο δοκων ξεστάναι, β βλεπέτω μη ξπέση.

13 h πειρασμός ύμας ούκ ι είληφεν εί μη κανθρώπινος.

P, ii. 9. Rev. i. 19. iii. 2.

a - ch. vii. 35 reff. plur, ver. 6. Luke xxiv. 11. John zir. 31. Jamer iii. 27 (23) Ald. (πρστ, F. vat.) Wiii. 35 reff. b Eph. vii. 4. Tit, iii. 10 only t. Jadiih xiii. 30. 14ch. ix. 26.

iii. 30. 14ch. ix. 26.

d Acts xiii. 40 reff. ch. iii. 18 reff. ch. iii. 1 c here only. see Matt.
f Rom. xiv. 4 (reff.).
i = Luke v. 26. vii.

10. for γογγυζετε, γογγυζωμεν D F-gr & 17 copt Chr₀(txt_{h. l.}) Aug₁. rec aft καθωs ins και, with D3KL rel syr Chr Thdrt: om ACD1FR a d m 17 latt Epiph Irenint .-- καθαπερ BN 93. απωλλυντο Α. ολεθρευτου D1: ολεθρου F-gr.

11. om παντα (as ver 6) AB 17 sah Mcion-e-t Orig, Dial Hippol Cyr-jer, Cyr Oros, Pae: ins CKL rel vss Thdrt Œe Thl Iren-int, Jer, and, but παντα δε ταυτα, DFN d æth Orig, Chr Iren-int-ms Aug, Idae. ree τυποι (as ver 6), with DFL rel syr coptt Thdrt₃(h.l. expressly: αντι του ως τυποι, and elsw expl ταυτα τυπικως εκευοις συνεβη) Thl_{b.1}. Ge: txt ABCKN d 17 syr-marg latt Iren-int-from-Son Moion-e Origa Hippol Mac Cyr.jer₂ Chr Cyr_{smpc} - συνεβαιεν (see note) BCKN d 17 Mcione Origa Solal Hippol Cyr.jer₁(εγενετο₁) Chr-2-mss: txt ADFL rel Dial₁ Chr That for προς, εις Ν'. rec κατηντησεν (alteration of the perf into the aor, so common with the copyists), with ACD3KL rel Orthod Origa Dial Epiph Chr Thart: txt BD FN Orig₂ Bas₂ Cyr₃.

13. for ουκ ειληφεν, ου καταλαβη F; non apprehendat latt.

for ουκ εασει, ουκ ins ov bef δυνασθε F 1232 D-lat, adding πειρασθηναι bef υμας Β.

omitted after a preposition, that wherever it is expressed, we may be sure there was a reason for it. 10.] γογγύζετε has been by Estius, Grot., al., and De Wette, understood of murmuring against their teachers, as the Israelites against Moses and Aaron, Num. xiv. 2; xvi. 41. But not to mention that this was in fact murmuring against God, such a reference would require something more specific than the mere word γογγύζετε. The warning is substantially the same as the last, but regards more the spirit, and its index the tongue. Theophyl.: αἰνίττεται δὲ αὐτοὺς καὶ διὰ τούτου, ὅτι ἐν τοῖς πειρασμοῖς οὖκ ἔφερον γενναίως, ἀλλ' ἐγόγ-γυζον λέγοντες Πότε ἥξει τὰ ἀγαθά, καὶ έως πότε αι κακώσεις; similarly Chrys. The destruction referred to must be that related Num. xvi. 41 ff. when the pestilence (which though it is not so specified there, was administered on another occasion by a destroying angel, 2 Sam. xxiv. 16, 17, see also Exod. xii. 23) took off 14,700 of the people. The punishment of the unbelieving congregation in Num. xiv., to which this is commonly referred, does not seem to answer to the expression ἀπώλοντο ύπὸ τ. ὀλοθρευτοῦ, nor to the Tives, seeing that all except Joshua and Caleb were involved in it. 11.]

τυπικώς, see varr. readd., by way of

figure. Meyer cites from the Rabbis, 'Quidquid evenit patribus, signum filiis.'

The plural συνέβαινον expresses the plurality of events separately happening: the singular έγράφη, their union in the common record of Scripture. Similarly 2 Pet. iii. 10, στοιχεῖα λυθήσονται τὰ ἐν αὐτῆ ἔργα κατακαήσεται. See reff. and Winer, edn. 6, § 58. 3. a. δέ conveys a slight opposition to συνέβαινον εκείτὰ τέλη τ. αἰών. = η συντέλεια τοῦ αίῶνος of ref. Matt., and τὸ έσχατον των ήμερων τούτων of Heb. i. 1, where see note: the ends of the ages of this world's lifetime. So Chrys.: οὐδέν άλλο λέγει ή βτι ἐφέστηκε λοιπον το δικαστήριον το φοβερόν. The form νουθεσία belongs to later Greek. The classical word is νουθέτησις or νουθετία: see Lobeck on Phrynichus, p. 512. κατήντ.] have reached. The ages are

treated as occupying space, and their extent as just coincident with our own time. See a similar figure in ch. xiv. 36. έστάναι, viz. in his place as a member of Christ's church, to be recognized by him at His coming for one of His. To such an one the example of the Israelites is a warning to take heed that he fall not, as they did from their place in God's church.

13.] There are two ways of understanding the former part of this verse. Chrys.,

¹ πιστὸς δὲ ὁ θεός, ὃς οὐκ ἐάσει ὑμᾶς ^m πειρασθῆναι ^α ὑπὲρ ^{1-ch.1.9} ref. ⁸ δύνασθε, ἀλλὰ ποιήσει σὺν τῷ ^h πειρασμῷ καὶ τὴν ^οἔκ ⁸ διόπει ^h θεός, καὶ τὴν ^οἔκ ⁸ δυνασθαι ^q ὑπενεγκεῖν. ^{14 ¹} διόπερ, ^{*} ἀγαπητοί ^h Heb. xiii. ⁷ μου, [†] φεύγετε ἀπὸ τῆς ^α εἰδωλολατρείας. ^{15 °} ὡς ^w φρονί ⁸ κ. ⁸ κ. ⁸ κ. ⁸ κ. ⁸ κ. ⁸ κ. ⁸ μοις λέγω κερίνατε ὑμεῖς ⁶ φημι. $^{16 *}$ τὸ ποτήριον τῆς ⁸ κρίνιατε ὑμεῖς ⁶ φημι.

υπενεγγειν F Augaliq rec ins υμας bef υπενεγγειν, with KN³ rel Thdrt, Damasc Ge Thl-ed : aft, D³ : om ABCD¹FLN¹ n 17 syrr sah Mac² Bas² Chr-comm-and-2-mss Cyrsspe Thdrt, Thl-mss.

15. aft ϕ poulmois insumin D c sah. for krivate umeis o ϕ ημι, krivete our ϕ ημι D1.

υμας N1: txt N-corr1.

Theophyl., Grot., Est., Bengel, Olsh., De Wette, al., take it as a continuation, and urging of the warning of the verse preceding, by the consideration that no temptation had yet befallen them but such as was ανθρώπινος, 'within the power of human endurance:' but 'major tentatio imminet,' Beng. :- while Calvin, al., and Meyer regard it as a consolation, tending to shew them that βλεπέτω μη πέση is within the limits of their power, seeing that their temptation to sin was nothing extraordinary or unheard of, but only 'according to man:' and they might trust to God's loving care, that no temptation should ever befall them which should surpass their power to resist. This latter seems to me beyond doubt the correct view. For (1) in the parallel which they bring for the former sense, Heb. xii. 4, ούπω is distinctly expressed,-and would have been here also, had it been intended. Besides, in that case, $o\tilde{v}\pi\omega$, as having the primary emphasis, would have been prefixed, as in Heb. xii. 4: οὔπω πειρασμὸς ὑμᾶς εἴληφεν.... Then again (2) this restricts the sense of πειρασμός to persecution, which it here does not mean, but solicitation to sin, in accordance with the εἴληφεν-has taken whole context. you, not έλαβεν, 'took you,' shews that the temptation was still soliciting them.

ανθρώπινος] not, as Piscator, al., and Olsh, originating with man, as opposed to other temptationsoriginating with the devil, or even with God's Providence: but, as Chrys.: ξύμμετρος,—opposed to ὑπέρ δ δύνασθε, adapted to man. πιστός] He has entered into a covenant with you by calling you: if He suffered temptation beyond your power to overcome you, He would be violating that covenant. Compare I Thess.

v. 24, πίστὸς ὁ καλῶν ὑμᾶς, Ϧς καὶ ποιήσει. ὅς = ὅτι οῦτος. ποιήσει... καὶ τὴν ἔκβ.] Then God makes the temptation too: arranges it in His Providence, and in

His mercy will ever set open a door for την έκβ.] the escape, i. e. escape. Την εκρ.] των συσφες, ι εwhich belongs to the particular temptation: την ἀπαλλαγήν τοῦ πειρασμοῦ,
Theophyl. τοῦ δύν.] in order that
you may be able to bear (ti): obs., not,
'will remove the temptation:' but, 'will make an escape simultaneously with the temptation, to encourage you to bear up against it.' 14. Conclusion from the 14. Conclusion from the above warning examples: IDOLATRY IS BY ALL MEANS TO BE SHUNNED; not tampered with, but fled from. φεύγετε από ('fugiendo discedite a,' Mever) expressing even more strongly than the accus. with φεύγω, the entire avoidance. verse of itself would by inference forbid the Corinthians having any share in the idol feasts; but he proceeds to ground such prohibition on further special considerations. 15-22.] By the analogy of the Christian participation in the Lord's Supper, and the Jewish participation in the feasts after sacrifices, joined to the fact that the heathers sacrifice to devils, he shews that the partaker in the idol feast is a PARTAKER WITH DEVILS; which none can be, and yet be a Christian.

15.] An appeal to their own sense of what is congruous and possible,—as introducing what is to follow.

65 expresses an assumption on the Apostle's part, that they are φρόψιμο. De W. compares Plut. Alcib. i. 10 4, &s ἀκουσομένω λέγω.

You Alcid. 1. 104, ως ακουσομενώ λεγω.
 λέγω and φημί both refer to what follows,
 vv. 16—21.
 ὑμεῖς is emphatic—be
 xE the judges of what I am saying.

16.] The analogy of the Lord's Supper, which, in both its parts, is a participation in Christ. The stress throughout to ver. 20, is on κοινωνία, and κοινωνία.

Τὸ ποτήριον is the accus, by attr. corresponding to τὸν ἄρτον.

το π. της εύλ.] i. e. δ ευλογουντες κατασκευάζομεν (Ec.), as explained imme-

τοῦ $^{\rm b}$ χοιστοῦ ἐστιν; $^{\rm x}$ τὸν ἄρτον ον $^{\rm c}$ κλωμεν, οὐχὶ $^{\rm a}$ κοι- $^{\rm cdfgh}$ klmu νωνία τοῦ d σώματος τοῦ d χριστοῦ έστιν; 17 ὅτι εἶς ἄρτος, 2 mel m. 1. 1 mel m. 1

16. for ευλογιας, ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευλογιας, ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευλογιας, ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευλογιας, ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευλογιας ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευλογιας ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευλογιας ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευλογιας ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευλογιας ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευλογιας ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευλογιας ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευλογιας ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευλογιας ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευλογιας ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευλογιας ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευλογιας ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν D. .

18. for ευχαριστίας F 71. 80. 213 Syr. ηυλογουμεν vias N1 (marked for correction by N-corr1). to avoid the harshness of εστιν at the end) AB Syr coptt Cyr, Ang Bede: txt CDFK LX rel latt goth Chr Thdrt Ambrst. 2nd εστιν bef τ. σωμ. τ. χρ. A Syr copt Cyr Aug Bede (see above): om sah: txt BCDFKLX rel &c. for 2nd χριστου, κυριου D'F 21 latt goth (Dial) Thdrt Aug Ambrst (goth Thdrt Ambrst syr-marg κυριου before): autou n.

17. aft αρτου ins και του [ενος] ποτηριου DF vulg-sixt(with demid harl tol, not am) Ambrst Pelag Bede. (om evos D.)

diately by δ εὐλογοῦμεν,—over which we speak a blessing, the Christian form of the Jewish פוֹס בְּרֶכָה, the cup in the Passover over which thanks were offered after the feast,-in blessing of which cup, our Lord instituted this part of the ordinance: see Lightfoot in loc., and note on the history in Matt. xxvi. The rendering of Olsh., al., the cup which brings a blessing, is wrong, δ εὐλοas being against this analogy. γουμεν] which we bless, i. e. consecrate with a prayer of thanksgiving: not, as Erasmus, Beza, 'quod cum gratiarum actione sumimus' (περὶ οὖ εὐχαριστοῦμεν). Observe, the first person plural is the same throughout: the blessing of the cup, and the breaking of the bread, the acts of consecration, were not the acts of the minister, as by any authority peculiar to himself, but only as the representative of the oil πάντες, the whole Christian congregation (and so even Estius, but evading the legitimate inference). The figment of sacerdotal consecration of the elements by transmitted power, is as alien from the apostolic writings as it is from the spirit of the κοινωνία the participation Gospel. (i. e. that whereby the act of participation takes place) of the blood of Christ? strong literal sense must here be held fast, as constituting the very kernel of the Apostle's argument. The wine is the Blood, the bread is the Body, of Christ. (In what sense the Blood and the Body, does not belong to the present argument.) We receive into us, make by assimilation parts of ourselves, that wine, that bread: we become therefore, by participation of that Bread, one Bread, i. e. ONE BODY: hence the close and literal participation in and with Christ. If we are to render this ¿στιν, represents or symbolizes, the argument is made void. On the other hand it is painful to allude to, though necessary to reprobate, the caricature of this real union with Christ which is found in the gross materialism of transubstantiation. further on ch. xi. 26, 27. δν κλώμεν] probably already the breaking of the bread in the communion was part of the act of consecration, and done after the example of our Lord in its institution. See ch. xi. 24; Acts ii. 42, xx. 7, 11. For the rest, see above. 17.] Because we, the (assembled) many, are one bread (by the assimilation of that one bread partaken: not 'one loaf'), one Body (by the κοινωνία of the Body of Christ, of which that bread is the vehicle); for the whole of us partake of that one bread. Meyer and De Wette and many other Commentators take els apros alone, 'there is one bread;' and impugn the interpretation given above by saying that it is evidently not so, because the following clause uses αρτος in its literal sense. But it is for that very reason, that I adhere to the interpretation given. By partaking of that bread, we become, not figuratively but literally, one bread: it passes into the substance of our bodies, and there is in every one who partakes, a portion of himself which is that bread. The bread which was before, is now ήμεῖs. But that loaf, broken and blessed, is the medium of κοινωνία of the Body of Christ; we then, being that one bread, are one Body; for we all partake of that one bread. So that there is no logical inversion, and no arguing (Meyer) from the effect to the cause. The argument is a very simple and direct one; -the bread is the Body of Christ; -we partake of the bread: therefore we partake of the Body of Christ. Of these propositions, the conclusion is implied in the form of a question in ver. 16: the minor stated in the latter clause of ver. 17: its connexion with the major producing the conclusion given in

7. Heb x. 33. 1 Pet, v. 1. Isa. i. 23. | 1 ch. ix. 13 bis. Rom. xi. 3 (from 3 Kings xix. 10) al. m ch. viii. 1 reff. | n = Acts v. 36. ch. iii. 7. Gal. ii. 6. vi. 3, 15. Demosth. 582. 27.

18. rec ουχι, with BD3KLN3 rel Thdrt: txt ACD FN1 17 Chr.

19. rec trains ειδωλοθυτον and ειδωλον, with KL rel syrr goth Chr Thdrt: ιδωλοθυτον twice F; but G-lat has over the 1st idolis immolatum sit, and over the 2nd idolum aut idolothitum: ειδωλοθυτον, omg from τι eστιν to τι εστιν, AC'N'(omg τι also) Epiph: ειδωλον, omg the other clause by homeotel, 17. 71: txt BC'DN-corr¹ m vulg(and F-lat) copt with Aug Ambrst Pelag Bede. (The received reading seems to have been adopted as the most natural order on the re-insertion of the omitted clause. For the remarks of Epiph and Aug, see Tischdf'). εστιν bef τι (twice) D'F latt. for η στι, συχ στι Chr-mss.)

the former clause $\delta \tau \iota \ldots \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$. The major itself, τοῦτό ἐστιν τὸ σῶμά μου, is suppressed, as axiomatic. The above remarks shew also the untenableness of the rendering of Calv., Beza, Bengel, al.,-"because there is one bread (antecedent), we being many are one body" (consequent): for this would parenthesize ver. 17, and take it altogether out of the argument, giving it a sense which, as occurring here, would be vapid-"obiter hoc dicit, ut intelligant Corinthii, externa quoque professione colendam esse illam unitatem quæ nobis est cum Christo," Calv. objects to rendering έκ τοῦ ένὸς ἄρτου μετέχομεν, we partake of that one bread : saying rightly that μετέχω is always found with a gen. or an acc., never with ek. He would render, for we all, by means of that one bread, partake (viz. in the one Body: so $\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon\chi$. is absol. ver. 30). This is exceedingly harsh, besides as it seems to me (see above) confusing the whole argument: and we may safely say would not have been thus expressed by the Apostle, leaving the most important words to be supplied from the context,-but would have been οί γὰρ πάντες ἐν τῷ ἐνὶ ἄρτῳ τοῦ ἐνὸς σώματος μετέχομεν. The usage of ἐκ, too, would, though perhaps barely allowable, be very harsh, especially when it is remembered that the apros is not (by the hypothesis) the ultimate, but only the mediate object of participation. None of the examples given in Bernhardy, Syntax, p. 230, which Meyer quotes for his sense of $\epsilon \kappa$, seem to justify it. They apply mostly to the subjective source, $\epsilon \kappa \pi \rho o$ voias, or the circumstances originating, ώς ἐκ τούτων,-not to the medial instrument, which it appears to me would require διά.

18.] Another confidence of the Jewish feasts after sperifice,

τ. 'Ισρ. κατά σάρκα]

το we have 18.] Another example of (= τ. 'Ισρ. τὸν κατὰ σάρκα: so we haveτοῖς κυρίοις κατὰ σάρκα, Eph. vi. 5), the

actual material Israel, as distinguished from δ 'Ισρ. κατὰ πνεῦμα, see Rom. ii. 29; Gal. iv. 29; and δ 'Ισρ. τοῦ θεοῦ, Gal. vi. 16.

οί ἐσθ. τ. θυσ.] viz. those parts of the sacrifices which were not offered; see on ch. viii. 1. The parts to be offered are specified, Levit. iii. 3; the practice of eating the remainder of the meat sanctioned and regulated, ib. vii. 15-18. νωνοὶ τοῦ θυσ.] partakers with the altar (in a strict and peculiar sense,—the altar having part of the animal, the partaker another part; and by the fact of the religious consecration of the offered part. this connexion becomes a religious connexion. The question has been raised, and with reason, why the Apostle did not say κοινωνοί του θεού? Meyer answers,-because the Jew was already in covenant with God, and the Apostle wished to express a closer connexion, brought about by the sacrifice in question :- De Wette, -- because he was unwilling to ascribe so much to the mere act of sacrifice, see Heb. x. 1 ff.: and to this latter view I incline, because, as De W. remarks, θεοῦ would have suited the analogy better than θυσιαστηρίου, but Paul avoids it, and evidently is reluctant to use it. But to carry this view further, and suppose with Rückert that he would not concede to the 'Ισρ. κατά σάρκα any κοινωνία θεοῦ, is [Meyer] contradicted by Rom. ix. 4, 5. Still the inference lies open, to which our Saviour's saying points, Matt. xxiii. 20, 21. The altar is God's 19, 20.] The inference from the preceding analogies would naturally be, that Paul was then representing the idols as being in reality what the heathen supposed them to be-and the eater of meats offered to them, as partaking with the idol. This objection he meets,—but with the introduction of a new fact to their consideration - that the things which the heathen sacrifice, they sacrifice really to devils.

19.] τί οὖν φημι; what am I then

Naily, gospp. passim. Acts xvii. 18. James ii. 19. Rev. ix. 20. xvi. 14 cnly. rch. xi. 27. s = Rom. xi. 9. Ps. lxxvii. 20. tsee Isa. lxv. 11. u = ch. xi. 22. v Rom. x. 19 (from Deut. xxxii. 21). x ii. 11, 14 only. w ch. i. 25 reff.

20. for all oti a, a de D: alla a F latt lat-ff. rec (for $\theta vov\sigma w$, twice) $\theta v\epsilon u$ (occasioned by the insn of $\epsilon \theta v\eta$ below), with KL rel Chr Thdrt Damasc: txt ABCDFN 17 Mcion-e Epiph Eus. ree aft 1st θv . ins τa $\epsilon \theta v\eta$, with ACKN rel vulg(and F-lat) G-lat syrr coptt goth Chr Thdrt Orig-int Aug, Bede: aft σv , L: om BDF Mcion-e Epiph Eus Tert Aug_(expr.) Aug-cit(qui sacrificant) Ambrst. σv $\theta \epsilon w$, with DFKL rel: txt ABCN n 17 Eus Orig-int Aug. σv σv

assuming? so Xen. Anab. i. 4. 14, The obv ότι είδωλόθ. τί κελεύω ποιησαι; έστιν that a thing sacrificed to an idol is any (real) thing (so sacrificed)? (i. e. has any real existence as a thing sacrificed? The accentuation τι ἔστιν; would come nearer to the sense of ch. viii. 4, ὅτι οὐδὲν εἴδωλον ἐν κόσμω,- 'that there is any (such thing as an) offering to an idol?' and in a matter so ambiguous it is impossible to decide between the two) or that an idol is any thing (real? e.g. that Jupiter is Jupiter in the sense of a living power) ?-(Not so :- this ellipsis of the negative, taken up by and, is found in classical Greek: e. g. Xen. Mem. i. 2. 2, πως οὖν αὐτὸς ὧν τοιοῦτος ἄλλους ἃν ἀσεβείς Εποίησεν; ἀλλ' ἔπαυσε μέν τούτων πολλούς, άρετης ποιήσας επιθυ-μείν, &c. See Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 37.) But (I say) that the things which they (i. e. the Gentiles) sacrifice, they sacrifice to devils, and not to God (δαιμ., not 'false-gods,' nor in the sense in which it is used in the mouth of idolaters themselves, Acts xvii. 18, and Xen. Mem. i. 1. 1, deities [see Stanley's note, in which this idea is ingeniously combined with the Christian sense given below],-but, as always in LXX and N. T. when used by worshippers of the true God, 'DEVILS,' 'evil spirits.' words are from Deut. [ref.], see also Ps. xev. 5 [Barneh iv. 7, θύσαντες δαιμονίοις κ . où $\theta \in \widehat{\varphi}$. Heathendom being under the dominion of Satan [δ άρχων τοῦ κόσμου τούτου], he and his angels are in fact the powers honoured and worshipped by the heathen, however little they may be aware of it): but (the inference being suppressed

'and ye therefore by partaking in their sacrifices would be partakers with devils: but') I would not have you become partakers with devils (1) represent the part with devils (1) represent the partaking in their sacrifications.

takers with devils $(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \text{ generic})$. 21.] Reason of the où $\theta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$,—sententiously expressed without $\gamma \hat{\alpha} \rho$. où $\delta \hat{\nu} \nu \alpha \sigma \theta \hat{\epsilon}$ applies of course to the real spiritual participation of the table of the Lord so as to profit by it: to moral possibility. The ποτήριον δαιμονίων is said as corresponding to the cup of which mention has been already made, not as Grot., al., and De Wette fancy, referring to the libation at an τράπεζα is said by Pollux vi. 12 (Suicer) to be used in the sense of τὰ σιτία τὰ ἐπ' αὐτῶν τῶν τραπεζῶν τιθέμενα. Compare the description in Herod. iii. 18, of the 'Hλίου τράπεζα,-Polyb. iv. 35. 4, ώςτε περὶ τὸν βωμὸν κ. τὴν τράπεζαν τῆς θεοῦ κατασφαγῆναι τοὺς Ἐφόρους ἄπαντας, and ref. Isa. From this passage probably, the τράπεζα κυρίου became an expression current in all ages of the Christian Church: see Suicer in voc. are we provoking (is it our wish to provoke, that He may assert His power) the Lord (Christ) to jealousy (by dividing our participation between Him and devils) ?see ref. Deut., which evidently is before the Apostle's mind :- are we stronger than He (are we then such, that we can afford to defy His power to punish)?

23—XI. 1.] Now that he has fully handled the whole question of partaking in idol feasts, and prepared the way for specific directions as about a matter no longer to be supposed indifferent, he proceeds to give those directions, accompanying them with their reasons, as regards

23. rec (twice) ins $\mu \omega$ bef $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \sigma \tau$. (from ch vi. 12), with C³(1st time) HKLN³ rel (demid goth, 1st time) syrr Chr Thdrt Orig-int Aug,: txt ABC¹DN¹(F 17, once) am (with fuld harl¹ lux tol) copt Clem Ath Damase Iren-int Tert.—om 1st clause (passing from $\pi \omega \tau \pi \omega$ to $\pi \omega \tau \pi \omega$) F 17.

24. for το (twice), τα A 47 Antch (Tert). rec aft ετερου ins εκαστος (supplementary: perhaps, as Mey, a reminiscence of Phil ii. 4), with D²⁻³KL rel syrr goth

Chr Thdrt: om ABCD¹FHX 17 latt coptt arm Clem lat-ff.

26. rec γαρ bef κυριου (transposa to more usual order, not observing the emphasis),

with AHKL rel Chr Thdrt: txt BCDFN a 17.

27. rec aft ει ins δε (for connexion; but thus perplexing the sense), with CD³HKL rel (Syr) syr sah goth Thdrt, Damasc Thl CE: om ABD¹FN latt copt Antch Chr Thdrt, Jacob-nisib Aug Ambrst. aft απιστων ins εις δειπνον DF fuld¹ Ambrst Pelag Bede. πωντα τα παραπιθεμενα Λ coptt.

mutual offence or edification. 23.]

He recurs to the plea of ch. vi. 12;—reasserts his modification of it, with a view,
after what has passed since, to shew its
reasonableness, and to introduce the following directions. οἰκοδομεῖ viz. the
Christian body: tend to build up the
whole, or the individual parts, of that
spiritual temple, God's οἰκοδομεῖ.
24.] Further following out of οἰκοδομεῖ.

This ought to be our object: the bringing on one another to perfection, not the pleasing ourselves, see Rom. xv. 2, 3. In the second clause, $\tilde{\kappa}\kappa\alpha\sigma\tau os$ must be supplied from $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon is$ (hence it has found its way into the rec.): so Plat. Rep. ii. p. 366 D, οὐδεὶς ἐκὰν δίκαιος, ἀλλ' ψέγει τὸ ἄδικον,—i.e. ἔκαστος ψέγει. See Bernhardy, Syntax, p. 458. 25.] The key to understanding this and the following verse is, to remember that συνείδησις is used in each case of the conscience of the person spoken of, i.e. in the two first cases, that of the reader, -- in the third, as explained by the Apostle, that of the weak brother: see there. Every thing which is being sold (offered for sale) in the flesh-market (μάκελλον is adopted from the Latin. It was also used by the Rabbis, in the form מקולין. See Stanley, and examples in Wetst.), eat, making no enquiry (whether it is meat offered to idols or not), on account of your conscience (to be joined

νοντες only, -as is shewn by the parallel below, ver. 28,-where the reason given is joined to ἐσθίετε). The meaning being,eat without enquiry, that your conscience may not be offended.' If you made enquiry, and heard in reply, that the meat had been offered to idols, your conscience would be offended, and you would cat διά προςκόμματος to yourselves. De Wette, al., understand την συν., all through, of the conscience of another, and apply to all the conscience of another, and apply to an the explanation of ver. 29. But as Meyer well observes, no reader could possibly refer τὴν συνείδ. to any one but himself, no other person having been mentioned, until ver. 28, where ἐκεῖρον τὸν μηνόσους με introduced and τὸν συνείδους συνείδους συνείδους. σαντα is introduced, and την συνείδησιν is to be referred (but even then not without special explanation given) to the new subject. 26.] The principle on which such an eating ought to rest: that all is God's, and for our use: and where no subjective scruple is cast in, all to be freely partaken of: see 1 Tim.iv. 4. The same maxim applied to their conduct at a banquet given by a heathen. A miscellaneous banquet, and not a sacrificial feast, is meant. At such, there might be meat which had been offered to idols. Grot. says well on θέλετε πορεύεσθαι, "Admonet tacite, melius forte facturos, si non eant : ire tamen non prohibet : supra,

with ἐσθίετε μηδ. ἀνακ., not with ἀνακρί-

Vol. II.

0 0

είπη Τουτο " ιερόθυτον έστιν, μη έσθίετε δι έκεινον τον bedef 30 only t. 2 Macc. iii. 7. η μηνύσαντα και την συνείδησιν. 29 συνείδησιν δε ο λέγω m n ο 17 ουχί την Ρέαυτου, αλλά την του ετέρου. Τίνα τί γάο

ref. p the pers. η ελευθερία μου κρίνεται ὑπὸ δ άλλης δ συνειδήσεως ; ...ελευθερία μου κρίνεται ὑπὸ δ άλλης δ συνειδήσεως ; ...ελευθερία μου κρίνεται ὑπὸ δ άλλης δ συνειδήσεως ; ...ελευθερία η μετέχω, τί δ λασφημούμαι δ ὑπὲρ οῦ ΑΒΟΡ Κικ: λ. xxxii. ἐγὼ δ εὐχαριστῶ ; δ εὐτε οῦν ἐσθίετε εἴτε πίνετε εἵτε τι εθερία δ κι λ. λ. κτι χε χνὶ. Σύν κι μοι το εθερία δ κι μοι χε γνὶ. Εκπ. γι. 17 τεθ. dat., Rom. iv. 19, see note. Sref. γε απο xiv. 6, i. 8 al. absol., ch. xi. 24 refi. γε οιλι μο λ. ix. 10.12 refi. δ κ. All absol., ch. xi. 24 refi. γε οιλι μο γε κιν. 10 το 17 γε και χε γε κιν. 12 refi. γε και χε γε γε γε γε γε γε γε γε

8 reff. y so ch. iii. 22 reff.

28. om vµw F latt goth Tert Aug. rec (for ιεροθ.) ειδωλοθυτον (see notes), with CDFKL rel Chr Thdrt: immolaticium D-lat F-lat [in ver 19 simulacro immolatum D-lat, idolis immolatum F-lat vulg]: txt ABHN sah Eus (Clem). οm εκεινον τον μηνυσαντα και F Ambrst. rec at end ins του γαρ κυριου η γη και το πληρωμα αυτης (repetition from ver 26: see also on ver 31), with H2KL rel syr goth Chr Thdrt Phot (Ee Thl: om ABCDFH'8 17 latt Syr coptt arm Damase Aug Ambrst Pelag Bede.

for eautou, emautou H m: σεαυτου D1: tuam latt. 29. for ouxi, ou D1. for αλλης, απιστου F D-lat G-lat Ambr Jer Sedul Primas (txt Aug Ambrst Pelag Bede). 30. rec aft & ins & (supplementary, but disturbing the sense), with (none of our mss)

Œc: om ABCDFKLN rel vss Clem Cyr.

cap.v.10." On διά τ. συνείδ., see above, ver. 25. 28.] Who is the person supposed to say this? not, as Grot., al., think, the host, of whom TIS could hardly be said, but it would stand ἐὰν δὲ ὑμῖν εἴπη: nor, as Chrys., Theophyl., al., and De Wette, -some heathen guest, by whom De W. imagines it said maliciously, or to put the Christian to the proof, -for his συνείδησις. would hardly be so much taken into account in the matter; but, as Neander, Pfl. u. Leit. p. 399, and Meyer, -some weak Christian, wishing to warn his brother.

ίερόθυτον is apparently placed advisedly, to represent what would be said at a heathen's table. De W. supposes it on this very account to be a correction: but surely this is giving a corrector credit for more fineness of discrimination than they ordinarily shew. Much more probable is it, that the unusual and apparently incorrect ίερόθυτον should give place to the ordinary and more exact term. δι' ἐκ. τ. μην....] On account of the man who informed you, and (kal specifying the particular point or points to which the more general preceding chuse applies: as, τωνδε εἴνεκα, καὶ γῆς ἱμέρφ . . . καὶ μάλιστα τῷ χρηστηρίω πί-συνυς ἐών, καὶ τίσασθαι θέλων Herod. i. 73. See Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 145) conscience: i. e. to spare the informer being wounded in his conscience.

29. Explanation of the last διὰ τὴν συνείδησιν, as meaning not your own, but that of the informer. True to his interpretation (see above), De W. supposes τοῦ έτέρου not to refer to τον μηνύσαντα, but to 'your weak Christian brother;' but then how very harsh and clumsy are the various references to understood persons; - and how simple, on the other interpretation, is the reference in each case of την συν. to the subject of the clause. - ίνα τί γάρ] For why is my freedom judged by a conscience not mine own?—i. e. 'Why should I be so treated (hazard by my actions such treatment) that the exercise of my Christian freedom, eating as I do and giving thanks, should become matter of condemnation to another, who conscien-tiously disapproves of it? If (no copula) I partake thankfully (dat. of the manner, ef. Soph. Antig. 616, συφία γάρ έκ του κλεινον έπος πέφανται,— and Bernhardy, Syntax, p. 101), why am I to be spoken ill of for that for which I give thanks? These words have been misunderstood. It has been generally supposed that the Apostle is impressing a duty, not to give occasion for the condemnation of their liberty by another's conscience. But the ground on which he is here arguing, is the unfitness, absurdity, injustice to oneself and the cause of God, ver. 31, of so acting as to be condemned for that in which a man not only allows himself, but for which he gives thanks to God. The sentiment is the same as in Rom. xiv. 16, μη βλασφημείσθω ύμῶν τὸ ἀγαθών. The emphasis is each time on έγώ. 31-X1. 1.] General conclusion of this part of the Epistle, -enforced by the example of himself.

31. This εἴτε οὖν . . . , passing from the special to the general, is not with-

31. 1st ποιειτε bef τι DF. om 2nd ποιειτε F Ambrst (Gaud). του γαρ κυριου η γη &c (as in ver 28) C3.

32. rec γινεσθε bef και ιουδαιοις, with DKLN3 rel: γιν. ιουδ. τε F: txt ABCN1 m 17 Orig Cyr Did.

33. for παντα πασιν, πασιν κατα παντα F. rec συμφερον (more usual), with DFKLN3 rel Orig: txt ABCN1. om 2nd To F.

Chap. XI. 2. rec aft υμας ins αδελφοι (addition at beginning of a new section), with DFKL rel vss (add µov Syr al) Thdrt: om ABCN a coptt æth arm Ath Cyr-jer Bas Chr Thl-comm Oros. οπ και Α¹ ο 57. ins πανταχου bef παρεδωκα F D-lat Ambrst.(In F, ubique is not written in the Latin column but inserted over the Greek word.) παραδεδωκα Ν. om υμιν F(and G-lat, not F-lat) Ambrst. παραδοσειs ins μου D¹F latt lat-ff. ins ουτως bef κατεχετε C æth Ath, Chr.

out reference to the last verse, in which the hypothesis is, that the Christian and thankful act of the believer is marred by the condemnatory judgment of his weak brother. All such hindrances to God's glory they are to avoid; and in all things, eating or drinking, or any other particular of conduct (TI, any thing, the stress being on ποιείτε,—whether ye eat or drink, or do any thing; not as E. V. whatever ye do, - ότιοῦν), the glory of God is to be the aim, self-regard being set aside: and so,— 32.] all offence is to be avoided (it being understood that this refers to αδιάφορα, for in other things, both Jews and Greeks must be offended, see ch. i. 23), whether to Jews or Heathens (both these out of the Church), or to the Church of God (their own brethren). 33.7 His own course of conduct :- As I in all things (accus. of that on which the subject acts, or over which the quality predicated extends, as in ἀλγῶ τὴν κεφαλήν; -- so τοῦ πάντ' εὐδαίμονος ὕλβου, Soph. Œd. Tyr. 1197. See Kühner, ii. 222. 4) please ('am pleasing:' as Meyer well remarks, not the result, but the practice on Paul's part; for πασιν αρέσκειν τον συμβουλεύοντα κ. τὰ κοινὰ πράττοντα ἀδύνατον, Demosth. έμαυτοῦ and τῶν πολλῶν are opposed: see ver. 24. ίνα σωθ., his

great aim and end; -so ch. ix. 22.

ΧΙ. 1.] κάγώ, scil. μιμητής γέγονα. Compare on the sense, Phil. ii. 4, 5.

XI. 2-34.] REPROOFS AND DIREC-TIONS REGARDING CERTAIN DISORDERS WHICH HAD ARISEN IN THEIR ASSEM-BLIES: viz. (1) THE NOT VEILING OF THEIR WOMEN IN PUBLIC PRAYER (vv. 2-16): (2) THE ABUSE OF THE dyamal (17-34). 2-16.] The law of subjection of the woman to the man (2-12), and natural decency itself (13-16), teach that women should be veiled in public religious assemblies. 2.7 86, implying a distinction from the spirit of the last passage, which was one of blame, and exhortation to imitate him. He praises them for the degree in which they did this already, and expresses it by the slighter word $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$. $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$, see above, on ch. x. 33. And ye keep (continue to believe and practise) the traditions (apostolic maxims of faith and practice, delivered either orally or in writing, 2 Thess. ii. 15), according as (according to the words in which) I delivered (them) to you. This was their general practice : the exceptions to it, or departures at all events from the spirit of those παραδόσεις, now follow. 3.] "It appears, that the Christian women at Corinth claimed

3. om 1st $\delta\varepsilon$ F(and G-lat, not F-lat) syr Ambrst. om o bef $\chi\rho\iota\sigma\tau\sigma s$ B'D'F. (In B " δ superadditur" Vere.) rec om $\tau\sigma\upsilon$ bef $\chi\rho\iota\sigma\tau\sigma\upsilon$, with CFKL rel Chr_2 Thdrt Damasc Thl_2 Ce_2 : ins ABDN m 17 Clem Chr_1 Thl_1 Ce_1 -

for their sex an equality with the other, taking occasion by the doctrine of Christian freedom and abolition of sexual distinctions in Christ (Gal. iii. 28). The gospel unquestionably did much for the emancipation of women, who in the East and among the Ionian Greeks (not among the Dorians and the Romans) were kept in unworthy dependence. Still this was effected in a quiet and gradual manner; whereas in Corinth they seem to have taken up the cause of female independence somewhat too eagerly. The women overstepped the bounds of their sex, in coming forward to pray and to prophesy in the assembled church with uncovered heads. Both of these the Apostle disapproved,as well their coming forward to pray and to prophesy, as their removing the veil: here however he blames the latter practice only, and reserves the former till ch. xiv. 34. In order to confine the women to their true limits, he reminds them of their subjection to the man, to whom again he assigns his place in the spiritual order of creation, and traces this precedence up to God Himself." De Wette. παντὸς ἀνδρός] 'of every *Christian* man' (as Chrys, al., Meyer, De W.), certainly, and for such the Apostle was writing: but not only of every Christian man: the Headship of Christ is over all things to His Church, Eph. i. 22, and thus He is Head of every man. The word κεφαλή in each case means the head next above. This must be borne in mind, for Christ is THE HEAD of the Christian woman, as well as of the Christian man. God is the Head of Christ, not only according to His human Nature: the Son is, in his Sonship, necessarily subordinate to the Father: see ch. iii. 23, note, and ch. xv. 28. From χριστός, the order descends first: then, in order to complete the whole, ascends up to God.

Observe that though (Gal. iii. 28) the distinction of the sexes is abolished in Christ, as far as the affer of and standing in grace is concerned, yet for practical purposes, and for order and seculiness, it subsists and must be observed.

4.] The case of the man here treated, was regarded by the ancient Commentators,

Grot., Mosh., al., as an actually occurring one among the Corinthians: - but by recent ones, since Storr and Bengel, as hypothetically put, to bring out that other abuse which really had occurred. Had it been real, more would have been said on it below: but from ver. 5 onwards, attention is confined to the woman. προςευχ. praying in public: προφ. discoursing in the spirit; see on ch. xii. 10. κατὰ κεφ. ἔχων] scil. τι. The Jews when praying in public put over their heads a veil, called the Tallith, to shew their reverence before God and their unworthiness to look on Him: Lightf., Hor. Heb. in loc. Grotius's note on the Greek and Roman customs is important :- "Apud Græcos mos fuit sacra facere capite aperto. Legendum enim apud Macrob. i. Saturn. 8. Illic Graco ritu capite aperto res divina fit, apparet ex loco ejusdem libri c. 10, ubi itidem de Saturno agitur, et sacrum ei fieri dicitur aperto capite ritu peregrino; et ex loco iii. 6, ubi Varronem ait dicere, Græei hoe esse moris, aperto capite sacrificare. ἀπαρακαλύπτω κεφαλή ait de ejusdem Saturni sacris agens Plutarchus in Romanis quæstionibus. Lucem facere id diei solitum Festus testatur. Eodem modo, id est aperto capite, etiam Herculi in ara maxima saerum fieri solere testatur, præter Macrobium dieto libro iii. 6. Dion. Hal. lib. i., nimirum quia id sacrum institutum erat ab Evandro homine Græco. Sed Æneas (?) contrarium morem in Italiam intulit sacra faciendi velato capite, ne quod malum omen oculis aut auribus obveniret: ut Virg. nos docet Æn. iii. et ad eum Servius, et in Breviario Aurelius Vietor: sed et Plutarchus in Romanis quæs-tionibus. Et ejus moris etiam Plautus meminit in comædiis quibusdam: ut solet admiscere Romana Græcis. Paulus Græcis Corinthiis scribens Græeum præfert morem, et causas adfert quales ferebat negotii natura. Ex Pauli præscripto perpetuo hunc morem tenuere Christiani veteres. Tertul. Apologetico: 'Illue suspicientes Christiani manibus expansis, quia innocui:

capite nudo, quia non erubescimus : deni-

que sine monitore, quia de pectore oramus,'

Chrys., Theodoret, Theophyl., Œc., and

αὐτοῦ. ⁵ πάσα δὲ γυνὴ ⁹ προςευχομένη ἢ ⁹ προφητεύουσα t ver. 13 only. Levit xiii. 34 τάκατακαλύπτω τη κεφαλη καταισχύνει την κεφαλην αὐτῆς. "ἐν γάρ έστιν "καὶ τὸ αὐτὸ τῆ " έξυρημένη. 6 εἰ γάρ οὐ "κατακαλύπτεται γυνή, καὶ * κειράσθω' εί δὲ u ch. xii. (9) 11 ⁹ αίσχοὸν γυναικὶ ² τὸ * κείρασθαι η * ξυράσθαι, * κατακα-..οφείλει λυπτέσθω. 7 ανήο μεν γαρ ουκ α οφείλει " κατακαλύπτεσθαι την κεφαλήν, $^{\rm b}$ είκων καὶ $^{\rm c}$ δόξα θεοῦ $^{\rm d}$ ὑπάρχων $^{\rm c}$ η $^{\rm v}$ here bis. Acts xi. va. only. Now, only. Now,

..Катаκαλ. την ABCDF KLNac defgh klm o

vi. 9. w here (3ce) only. Gen. xxxviii, 15 al. x here bis, Acts viii, 32, xviii, 15 al. x here bis, Acts viii, 32, xviii, 15 al. x here bis, Acts viii, 32, xviii, 15 al. x here bis, Acts viii, 32, xviii, 15 al. x here bis, Acts viii, 32, xviii, 15 al. x here bis, Acts viii, 32, xviii, 15 al. x here bis, Acts viii, 32, xviii, 32,

 for πασα δε, και π. A Syr. om $\tau \eta$ D¹F. rec for auths, eauths (see note), with BD3KL rel Orig: txt ACDFLN a b1 d g2 h o 17 Chr Thdrt.

6. aft κειρασθω ins η ξυρασθω B.

7. rec om ή (conforming to the preceding and following), with CD3KLN1 rel Chr

&c. Nihil huc pertinet mos Septentrionis in reverentiæ signum caput velandi, qui quanquam per Germanicas nationes late manavit, et Judæis tamen et Græcis, et veteri Italiæ fuit incognitus." καταισχ. τ. κεφ. αὐτοῦ] dishonours his Head, i. e. Christ: not, his own head literally, except in so far as the literal and metaphorical senses are both included,-the (literal) head of the man being regarded as the representative of his spiritual Head. See this brought out in Stanley's note: for the head of the man in this respect of honouring or dishonouring, has been, ver. 3, explained to be CHRIST. Him he dishonours, by appearing veiled before men, thus recognizing subjection to them in an assembly which ought to be conformed to Christian order. 5.] The case of the woman is just the converse. She, if she uncovers herself (on the manner of covering, see below ver. 15, note) in such an assembly, dishonours her head (the man; not, as Meyer and many others, literally, her own head [but see above]: of this kind of dishonour there is no mention at all in our passage, and ver. 3 has expressly guarded us against making the mistake) by apparently casting off his headship: and if this is to be so, the Apostle proceeds, why not go further and cut off her hair, which of itself is a token of this subjection? But if this be acknowledged to be shameful (it was a punishment of adulteresses, see Wetst. in loc. and Tacit. Germ. 19), let the further decency of the additional covering be conceded likewise.

The reading $\xi \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s$ may have arisen from fancying that her own head is meant. έν . . . ἐστιν κ. τὸ αὐτό] she: not it, τὸ ἀκατακάλυπτον εἶναι. The neut. is used

because the identity is generic, not individual: cf. Eur. Med. 928, -γυνή δὲ θῆλυ

κάπι δακρύοις έφυ, and other examples in Kühner, ii. 45 (§ 421). 6.] the argument see above. οὐ κατ.,—is to be unveiled, the pres. indicating the normal habit. και κειρ., let her ALSO, besides being unveiled, &c. κείρ. η ξυρ.] 'plus est radi quam tonderi,' Grot.

7-9.] A second reason for the same, from the dependence of the man on God only, but of the woman on the man.

γάρ refers back to and gives a reason for κατακαλυπτέσθω, the difference between the sexes being assumed,-that one should be and the other should not be veiled. The emphasis is accordingly on οὖκ ὀφείλει, should not, άνήρ. ought not: see reff. εἰκὼν θεοῦ, ref. Gen. This the man is, having been created first,-directly, and in a special manner: the woman indirectly, only through the man. κ. δόξα θ.] And the (representative of the) glory of God: on account of his superiority and godlike attributes among other created beings. This is obviously the point here brought out, as in Ps. viii. 6: not, that he is set to shew forth God's glory (είς γάρ δόξαν θεοῦ όφείλει ό ἀνηρ ὑποτετάχθαι τῷ θεῷ, Phot. in Œcum.), however true that may be : nor, as Estius, from Augustin, 'quia in illo Deus gloriatur: nor is δόξα the representative of the Heb. דמות, Gen. i. 26 (ὁμοίωσις), as Rückert, al., suppose, because the LXX have rendered הכונה, Num. xii. 8; Ps. xvii. 15, by δόξα: for, as Meyer observes, in so well-known a passage as Gen. i. 26, the Apostle could hardly fail to have used the LXX word δμοίωσις.

Man is God's glory: He has put in him His Majesty, and he represents God on earth: woman is man's glory: taken (ver. 8) from the man, shining (to follow out Grotius's similitude, "minus aliquid vero,

γυνη δε εδόξα ανδρός έστιν. 8 ου γάρ έστιν ανηρ έκ γυναικός, αλλα γυνη έξ ανδρός. 9 και γαρ οὐκ εκτίσθη e Rom. i. 25 eff.

f = as ordiανήρ δια την γυναϊκα, αλλα γυνή δια τον ανδρα. 10 δια Η ανηρ narily; e.g. Matt. x. 1 τοῦτο α ὀφείλει ή γυνη εξουσίαν ε έχειν ε έπὶ τῆς κεφαλῆς ABCDF g see note. hklm 0 17

Damase: ins ABD1FN3 Dial Isid Thdrt. 10. η γυνη bef οφειλει H m 17.

ins του bef ανδρος F.

ut luna lumen minus sole") not with light direct from God, but with light derived from man, "τὸ θῆλυ, ἄρρεν ἀτελές, philosophis. Imperat materfamilias suæ familiæ, sed viri nomine." Grot. This of course is true only as regards her place in creation, and her providential subordination, not in respect of the dependence of every woman's individual soul directly on God, not on man, for supplies of grace and preparations for glory. Apostle omits εἰκών, because anthropologically the woman is not the image of the man, on account of the difference of the sexes: and also perhaps because thus he would seem to deny to the woman the being ereated in the divine image, which she is as well as the man, Gen. i. 26, 27. The former reason appears the more probable: and so De W. and Meyer. "It may be observed that, whereas in Genesis the general character of man under the Hebrew name answering to άνθρωπος is the only one brought forward, here it is merged in the word ἀνήρ, which only expresses his relation to the woman." Stanley. yáp gives the reason of the former assertion

γυνή δόξα ανδρός,-viz. that the man is not (emphasis on ἐστιν, which prevents the èk having a figurative sense, of dependence: - 'takes not his being,' in the fact of his original creation. The propagation of the species is not here in view) out of the woman, but the woman out of the man (compare Gen. ii. 23, κληθήσεται γυνή, ὅτι έκ του άνδρὸς αὐτῆς ἐλήφθη).

9.] For also (parallel with ver. 8-another reason: not subordinate to it, as Meyer, who renders ἐκ in ver. 8, 'dependent on,' and regards this verse as giving the reason) the man was not created (emphasis on έκτίσθη, as before on έστιν) on account of the woman, &c. In this verse, besides the manner of ereation, ἐκ τοῦ ἀνδρός, the occasion of creation, δια τον άνδρα, is insisted on; see Gen. ii. 18 ff. διά τοῦτο, on account of what has just been said, by which the subordination of the woman has been proved :- refers to vv. 7-9, not as Meyer, to ver. 9 only: for vv. 8, 9, give two parallel reasons for γυνή δόξα ἀνδρός, the inference from which pro-

position has not yet been given, but now follows, with ὀφείλει answering to οὐκ όφείλει above. όφ. ἡ γ. ἐξουσίαν εχ. ἐπὶ τῆς κεφ.] The woman ought to have power (the sign of power or subjection; shewn by the context to mean a veil). So Diodor. Sie. i. 47 : εἰκόνα εἴκοσι πηχών, μονόλιθον, έχουσαν τρείς βασιλείας έπὶ τῆς κεφαλῆς, åς διασημαίνειν ὅτι καὶ θυγάτηρ και γυνή και μήτηρ βασιλέως υπηρξε, where βασίλειαι evidently are crowns, the tokens of kingdom. And as there from the context it is plain that they indicated participation in the glory of the kingdoms, so here it is as evident from the context that the token of ¿ξουσία indicates being under power: and such token is the covering. So Chrys. (τὸ καλύπτεσθαι, ύποταγης κ. έξουσίας), Theodoret, Theophyl. (τὸ τοῦ ἐξουσιάζεσθαι σύμβολον), Œeum., Beza, Grot., Est., Bengel, Wolf, al., Billroth, Rückert, Olsh., Meyer, De Wette. To enumerate the various renderings would be impossible. Some of the principal are, (1) a sign of power to pray and prophesy in public, bestowed on her by her husband. So Schrader, iv. 158: but this would be quite irrelevant to the context. (2) Some suppose έξουσίαν actually to mean a veil, because the Heb. בְּרֵיד, 'a veil,' comes from the root , 'subjecit.' So Hammond, Le Clere, al. But (see Lexx.) "subjecit' is not the primary, only a tropical meaning: the primary meaning, 'extendit, diduxit,' is much more likely to have given rise to the substantive. It is certainly a curious coincidence that the Heb. terms should be thus allied,—and that alliance may have been present to the Apostle's thoughts: but this does not shew that he used ¿ξουσία for a veil. (3) Kypke would put a comma after ἐξουσ., and render 'propterea mulier potestati obnoxia est, ita ut velamen (see ver. 4) in capite habeat.' But the sense of opeiλειν τι would require (see Lexx.) ὑπακοήν, not έξουσίαν. (4) Pott renders, 'mulierem oportet servare jus (sive potestatem) in caput suum, sc. eo, quod illud relo obtegat.' But this, though philologically allowable (see Rev. xi. 6; xx. 6; xiv. 18; and with ἐπάνω, Luke xix. 17), is entirely

διὰ τοὺς h ἀγγέλους. 11 i πλην οὕτε γυνη χωρίς ἀνδρὸς h = as ordinarily 10 pc.

i = Matt. Luke passim (not Mark, John, nor Luke in Acts). Paul, Eph. v. 33. Phili. 18. iii. lö. iv. 14
only. Rev. ii. 25. Lam. iii. 3.

against the context, in which the woman has no power over her own head, and on that very account is to be covered. (5) Hagenbach (in the Stud. und Krit. 1828, p. 401) supposes έξουσία here to mean her origin, έξ-οὐσία from έξ-είμι, as παρ-οὐσία from παρ-είμι: to shew that she (ver. 8) ἐστιν ἐξ ἀνδρόs. But apart from other objections to this, it must thus be, τὴν έξ. or την έξ. αὐτης. Other renderings and conjectures may be seen in Meyer's note, from which the above is mainly taken: and in Stanley's. διὰ τοὺς ἀγγέλους] On account of the angels: i. e. because in the Christian assemblies the holy angels of God are present, and delighting in the due order and subordination of the ranks of God's servants,-and by a violation of that order we should be giving offence to them. See ref. So Chrys. (οὐκ οἶδας ὅτι μετ' ἀγγέλων έστηκας; μετ' ἐκείνων ἄδεις, μετ' ἐκείνων ὑμνεῖς, καὶ ἔστηκας γελών; cited by Hammond, but from what work of Chrys. I have not been able to find. In his commentary on this passage he is not clear, but seems to take this view, - εί γὰρ τοῦ ἀνδρός καταφρονείς, φησί, τοὺς ἀγγέλους αίδέσθητι. In the Hom. on the Ascension, vol. ii. pt. ii. p. 443 (Migne), he says, ϵi βούλει ίδεῖν κ. μάρτυρας κ. ἀγγέλους ἄνοιξον της πίστεως τους όφθαλμούς, κ. ύψει τό θέατρον έκείνο εί γάρ πως ὁ ἀὴρ ἀγγέλων έμπέπλησται, πολλῷ μᾶλλον ἡ ἐκκλησία ὅτι γὰρ ἄπας ὁ ἀὴρ ἀγγέλων ἐμπέπλησται, άκουσον τί φησιν δ απόστολος, έντρέπων τὰς γυναϊκας ώςτε ἔχειν κάλυμμα έπὶ της κεφαλης "όφείλουσιν κ.τ.λ."), Grot. (whose note see in Pool), Estius, Wolf, Rückert, Meyer, De Wette. (1) Others, with a modification of this rendering, take τους ἀγγέλους as the guardian angels, appointed, one to take charge of each Christian. So Theophyl. (τὸ ἀνακεκαλύφθαι άναισχυντίαν έμφαίνει ην καί οί τοις πιστοις παρεπόμενοι άγγελοι βδελύσσονται), Jerome (not Aug. de Trin. xii. 7, as Meyer, see below), Theodoret. But, though such angels certainly do minister to the heirs of salvation,—see Matt. xviii. 10, and note,-there does not appear to be any immediate allusion to them here. (2) Others again understand 'bad angels,' who might themselves be lustfully excited; so Tertull. de Virg. Vel. 7, vol. ii. p. 899, "propter angelos: scilicet quos legimus a Deo et cœlo excidisse ob concupiscentiam fæminarum." See also cont. Marcion. v. 8, p. 488, - or might tempt men so to be,

-Schöttgen, Mosh., al.,-or might injure the unveiled themselves: so, after Rabbinical notions, Wetst. But οί ἄγγελοι, absol., never means any thing in the N. T. except the holy angels of God. See, in Stanley's note, a modification of this view, which is consistent with that meaning. (3) Clem. Alex. fragm. ix. ὑποτυπ. lib. iii. (5) Cem. Alex. Tagin. IX. υποτυπ. 110. 111. (p. 1004 P.) says, ἀγγέλουν φησ1 τοὺν δικαίους κ. ἐναρέτους. (4) Beza, the Christian prophets, "in cetu loquentes ut Dei nuncios et legatos." (5) Ambrose, the presidents of the assemblies. (6) Lightf., the angeli or nuntii desponsationum, persons deputed to bring about betweethels. (7) Rosenmy Schrader and more. trothals. (7) Rosenm., Schrader, and many others, - exploratores vel speculatores: " Poterat nempe novæ consuetudinis notitia per ἀπίστους speculatores in publicum emanare, christianasque uxores tum Judæis, de isto mulierum habitu pessime existimantibus, tum Græcis quoque in suspicionem rei christianæ probrosissimam adducere." Against all these ingenious Rosenm. interpretations is the plain sense of oi αγγελοί (Matt. xiii. 49. Mark i. 13. Luke xvi. 22. chap. xiii. 1. Col. ii. 18. Heb. i. 4, 5, 7, 13, al.), which appears to me irrefragable. But still a question remains, Why should the Apostle have here named the angels, and adduced them as furnishing a reason for women being veiled in the Christian assemblies? Bengel has given an acute, but not I believe the correct answer: "mulier se tegat propter angelos, i. e. quia etiam angeli teguntur. Sicut ad Deum se habent angeli: sic ad virum se habet mulier. Dei facies patet: velan-tur angeli: Esa. vi. 2. Viri facies patet: velatur mulier." Surely this lies too far off for any reader to supply without further specification. Aug. de Trin. xii. 7 [10], vol. viii. p. 1004, gives an ingenions reason: "Grata est enim sanctis angelis sacrata et pia significatio. Nam Deus non ad tempus videt, nec aliquid novi fit in Ejus visione atque scientia, cum aliquid temporaliter ant transitorie geritur, sicut inde afficiuntur sensus vel carnales animalium et hominum, vel etiam eœlestes angelorum." (He makes no mention, - see above,-of guardian angels.) I believe the account given above to be the true one, and the reason of adducing it to be, that the Apostle has before his mind the order of the universal church, and prefers when speaking of the assemblies of Christians, to adduce those beings who, as not

kver.8.
Που νίμι.6.
Ούτε ανήο χωρις γυναικός εν κυριώ
Που χίμι.6.
Βου χίμ.36.
Ε΄ και τοῦ ανδρός, οῦτως και ὁ ανήρ διὰ τῆς γυναικός, τὰ δὲ cde fg
hkim
- Lokevii.
Τρέπον οίτ κοιο, χι κοι και του ανόρος, ουτως και το ανηρούς $^{\rm m}$ κοινατε' $^{\rm n}$ πρέπον ο 17 μρ. Ρε. ινίι. $^{\rm 1}$ πάντα $^{\rm 1}$ έκ του θεού. $^{\rm 13}$ έν υμίν αυτοίς $^{\rm m}$ κρίνατε' $^{\rm n}$ πρέπον ο 17 μρ. Ρε. ινίι. $^{\rm 1}$

n hist. iii. 15 only. 1 Μας. εστίν γυναϊκα ° ἀκατακάλυπτον τῷ θεῷ προςεύχεσθαι ; xii. 11 only. 14 οὐδὲ ἡ ^pφύσις αὐτὴ διδάσκει ὑμᾶς ὅτι ἀνὴο μὲν ἐὰν ^{(στό, Buh.} 14 οὐδὲ ἡ ^p φύσις αὐτὴ διδάσκει ὑμᾶς ὅτι ἀνὴο μὲν ἐὰν ^{v, 8.} 1.Tim. ^{ii. ii.} Tit. ^{ii. q} κομᾳ, ^rἀτιμία αὐτῷ ἐστιν, ¹⁵ γυνὴ δὲ ἐὰν ^q κομᾳ, δόξα αυτή έστιν; ότι ή *κόμη τ αντί "πεοιβολαίου δέδοται rs. 120, 137 2077 2077 5077 17 p - Rem. i. 26 (reff.). ii. 27. xi. 21, 24. see James iii. 7. only. Num. vi. 5. xxvi. 6. Ps. ciii. 6.

q here bis only t. r Rom. i. 26 reff. s here u Heb. i. 12 (from Ps. ci. 26) only. Exod. xxii. 27. Job

11. rec and χωρις γυν. ουτε γυνη χωρις ανδ. (appy more natural order), with D2KL rel vulg syrr Chr Thdrt Pelag: txt ABCD1. FHR d m 17 coptt æth arm Clem Bas-sel Damase Sing-cler Ambrst Aug.

13. for εν υμιν αυτοις, υμεις αυτοι D vulg(not tol) lat-ff.

14. rec ins η bef $ov\delta\epsilon$ (addition to mark the interrogation), with D'KL rel syr-marg: om ABCD FHX 17 latt syrr coptt arm Tert Ambr Ambrst. rec aυτη bef η φυσις, with D2KL rel Chr Thdrt: om auth Farm Tert: txt ABCD1.3HX am 17 æth Damase. aft $\mu \in \nu$ ins $\gamma \alpha \rho \aleph^1$ (but marked for erasure).

15. αυτη δεδοται CH a d m vulg(with F-lat) syr Damasc Ambr: om αυτη DFKL e f h l al₁₀(Tischdf) Chr₂ Thdrt Œc Tert₂: δεδοται αυτη ABN c g k o 17 G-lat Syr coptt.

entering into the gradation which he has here described, are conceived as spectators of the whole, delighted with the decency and order of the servants of God. Stanley thinks the most natural explanation of the reference to be, that the Apostle was led to it by a train of association familiar to his readers, but lost to us: and compares the intimations of a similar familiarity on their part with the subjects of which he was treating in 2 Thess. ii. 5-7.

11.] Yet is neither sex insulated and independent of the other in the Christian life. έν κυρίφ is not the predicate (as Grot., &c.), - 'neque viri exclusis mulieribus . . . participes sunt beneficiorum per Christum partorum:' nor does it mean according to the ordinance of God, as Chrys., Beza, Olsh., -for the phrase ἐν κυρίω is well known as applying to the Christian state, in the Lord. See e.g. Rom. xvi. 2, 8, 11, 12 (bis), &c. 12.] And in this, the Christian life accords with the original ordinance of God. For (proof of ver. 11) as the woman is (was taken, Gen. ii. 21 f.) out of the man, so the man is (is born, in the propagation of the human race) by means of the woman; but all things (both man and woman and all things else: à general maxim, see 2 Cor. v. 18) are of (as their source, -thus uniting in one great head both sexes and all creation) God. They are dependent on one another, but both on HIM: the Christian life therefore, which unites them in Christ, is agreeable to God's ordinance. 13.] Appeal to their own sense of propriety: cf. ch. x. 15. έν ὑμὶν αὐτ.] Each man within himself, in

his own jndgment. 14. ἡ φύσις αὐτή, nature herself: i. e. the mere fact of one sex being by nature unveiled, i. e. having short hair,—the other, veiled, i. e. having long hair. This plainly declares that man was intended to be uncovered,-woman, covered. When therefore we deal with the proprieties of the artificial state, of clothing the body, we must be regulated by nature's suggestion: that which she has indicated to be left uncovered, we must so leave: that which she has covered, when we clothe the body, we must cover likewise. This is the argument. φύσιs is not sense of natural propriety, but NATURE, - the law of creation.

κομά] So Eustathius, Il. γ. p. 288, in Wetst., κόμην δε έχειν, και εὔκομον είναι, γυναικώτερόν έστιν. διό και ό Πάρις ονειδίζεται ως κόμην έχων. Οπ φύσις and κομά Pool observes, 'locus est vexatissimus doctorum sententiis;' and gives a note of four folio columns; and Bengel has a long discussion on the lawfulness of The Apostle (see above) makes no allusion to the customs of nations in the matter, nor is even the mention of them re-levant. 15.] See on ver. 14: compare Milton, Par. Lost, iv. 304 ff. βόλαιον, properly a wrapper, or enveloping garment: see reff., and Eurip. Herc. fur. 549, and in a metaphorical sense, 1269. "In this passage," says Stanley, "the Apostle would refer to the 'peplum, which the Grecian women used ordinarily as a shawl, but on public occasions as a hood also, especially at funerals and marriages." See a woodcut in Smith's Dict.

Prov. x. 12.)

| Xiohu xviii, 39. ds. viii, 7 v. r. ooilyt. Prov. xvii. 9 Symm. (*eps. 9 Macc. iii. 31.)
| y plur., tom xvii. 40 feef. dc. vii. y ref. 1. 2 refl. refl. ooilyt. (lsa. xxiii. 8.) f. — dcts. 0 refl. dc. vii. y refl. | c. = here (2 Cor. xii. 19) |

17. rec παραγγελλων ουκ επαινω (see vv. 2 and 22), with C³(appy) D³(and lat) KL \aleph rel copt Chr Thdrt: $-\lambda \omega$ ουκ $-\nu \omega$ D¹ 137 sah: $-\lambda \omega$ ν ουκ $-\nu \omega$ B d: txt AC!F 17 latt syrr ath arm Ambrst Aug Pelag Bede. [κρεισσον, so ABCD!F \aleph 17.] [ποτον, so ABCD! \aleph π¹.] [ποτον, so ABCD \aleph : ελαττον F Thdrt: 1 που 17.]

of Antt. art. 'peplum.' 16.] Cuts off the subject, already abundantly decided, with a settlement of any possible difference, by appeal to universal apostolic and ecclesiastic custom. But if any man seems to be contentious (i. e. 'if any arises who appears to dispute the matter, who seems not satisfied with the reasons I have given, but is still disputatious;'-this is the only admissible sense of δοκεί in this construction: see reff.:-for the meaning, 'if it pleases any one,' &c. would require τινι δοκεί: and 'if any one thinks that he may,' &c. would not agree with φιλονεικείν, which is in itself ήμεις declarative: let him wrong). know that . . .; so, εὶ δὲ κατακαυχᾶσαι, οὐ σὺ τὴν ρίζαν βαστάζεις, ἀλλ' ἡ ρίζα σέ, Rom. xi. 18. We,-the Apostles and their immediate company, -including the women who assembled in prayer and supplication with them at their various stations, see Acts xvi. 13. τοιαύτην συνήθειαν] The best modern Commentators, e. g. Meyer and De Wette, agree with Chrys. in understalisticity. understanding this, τοιαύτ. συνήθ., ωςτε φιλονεικείν κ. ερίζειν κ. αντιτάττεσθαι. And so Ambrose, Beza, Calvin, Estius, Calov., al. But surely it would be very unlikely, that after so long a treatment of a particular subject, the Apostle should wind up all by merely a censure of a fault common to their behaviour on this and all the other matters of dispute. Such a rendering seems to me almost to stultify the conclusion :- 'If any will dispute about it still, remember that it is neither our practice, nor that of the Churches, to dispute.' It would seem to me, but for the weighty names on the other side, hardly to admit of a question, that the συνήθεια alludes to the practice (see ref. John) of women praying uncovered. So Theodoret, Grot., Michaelis, Rosenm., Billroth, Olsh., al., and Theophyl. altern. He thus cuts off all further disputation on the matter by appealing to universal Christian usage: and to make the appeal more solemn, adds

τοῦ θεοῦ to al ἐκκλ.,—the assemblies which are held in honour of and for prayer to God, and are His own Churches. Obs. al ἐκκλησία. The plurality of independent testimonies to the absence of the custom, is that on which the stress is laid. This appeal, 'to the Churches,' was much heard again at the Reformation: but has since been too much forgotten. See, on the influence of this passage on the Christian church, the general remarks of Stanley, edn. 2, pp. 198—200.

17-34. Correction of abuses regarding the Agapæ and the partaking of the Supper of the Lord. 17. Refers back to what has been said since ver. 2, and forms a transition to what is yet to be said. But this (viz. what has gone before, respecting the veiling of women; not, as Chrys., Theophyl., Grot., Bengel, al., that which follows : see below) I command you (not 'announce to you,' nor 'declare to you from report,' which are senses of παραγγ. unknown to the N. T., where it only means 'to command,'-'to deliver by way of precept:' see reff., and ch. vii. 10; 1 Thess. iv. 11; 2 Thess. iii. 4, 6, 10, 12. This makes it hardly possible to refer τοῦτο to what follows; for if so, some definite command should immediately succeed) not praising (refers to the ἐπαινῶ of ver. 2, and excepts what has been said since from that category); because you come together not for the better (so that edification results) but for the worse (so that propriety is violated, and the result is to the hindering of the faith). These last words 871 .. συνέρχ. are introduced with a manifest view to include more than the subject hitherto treated, and to prepare the way for other abuses of their assemblies to be noticed. 18.] πρῶτον—where is the second particular found, answering to this πρῶτον? Ordinarily, it is assumed that the σχίσματα are the first abuse, the disorders in the Agapæ (beginning with ver.

τον $^{\rm sh}$ μὲν $^{\rm h}$ γὰρ $^{\rm f}$ συνερχομένων ὑμῶν ἐν ἐκκλησία ἀκούω $^{\rm ABCDF}$ Κίκα το $^{\rm t}$ σχίσματα ἐν ὑμῖν $^{\rm J}$ ὑπάρχειν, καὶ $^{\rm t}$ μέρος τι $^{\rm l}$ πιστεύω. $^{\rm d}$ $^{\rm kino}$ $^{\rm log}$ δεῖ γὰρ καὶ $^{\rm m}$ αἰρέσεις ἐν ὑμῖν εἶναι. ἵνα [καὶ] οἱ $^{\circ}$ δό· $^{\rm kino}$ 19 m δεί γαρ καὶ "αίρέσεις εν υμίν είναι, ίνα [καὶ] οι ° δό-64. iv. 30. = ἐκ μέρους, ch. xiii. 9, &c. iv. 12 reff. n Acts v. 17 reff. 1 = Matt. xxiv. 23, 26 al. fr. Job xxix. 24. o Rom. xiv. 18 reff.

18. rec ins τη bef εκκλησια (the meaning being mistaken: see note), with g h Œc υπαρχειν bef εν υμιν D1-3F vulg-ed arm : Thl: om ABCDFKLX rel Chr Damasc. om εν υμιν am(with demid fuld harl) Orig Ambrst Bede.

19. om 1st εν υμιν D'F latt Orig-int lat-ff (not Orig Arcbel Jer Primas): ins aft ειναι D³ Archel. aft wα ins και Β D¹(and lat) m 17 vulg sah Ambrst Pélag Bede: και wα και m¹: om ACD³FKLN rel syrr copt æth Orig Epiph Chr Thdrt Damasc Cypr.

20), the second. But I am convinced, with Meyer, that this view is wrong. For (1) neither special blame, nor correction of abuse, is conveyed in vv. 18, 19: nor is it so much as intimated, on the ordinary hypothesis, what the character of these $\sigma \chi i \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ was. And (2) the words of ver. 22, ἐπαινέσω ὑμᾶς ἐν τούτφ; οὐκ ἐπαινῶ, plainly refer back to ver. 17, and shew that the whole is continuous. Again (3) the οδν of ver. 20, as so frequently, -see ch. viii. 4, and Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 22, -resumes the subject broken off by Kal μέρος . . . γέν. ἐν ὑμῖν. The σχίσματα before the Apostle's mind are, specifically, those occurring at the Agapæ, -but on the mention of them, he breaks off to shew that such divisions were to be no matters of surprise, but were ordained to test them, -and in ver. 20 he returns with the very words, συνερχομένων δμών,—to the immediate matter in hand, and treats it at length. See more on vv. 21 ff. question still remains, where is the second point, answering to this πρώτον? Again with Meyer (and Macknight) I answer,at ch. xii. 1. The ABUSE OF SPIRITUAL GIFTS, which also created disorder in their assemblies, ch. xiv. 23 al., and concerning which he concludes, xiv. 40, πάντα εὐσχημόνως κ. κατὰ τάξιν γινέσθω,—was the other point before his mind, when he wrote this $\pi p \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$. That he takes no notice in ch. xii. 1, by any ξπειτα δέ or the like, of what has gone before, will be no objection to the above view to any one but the merest tiro in our Apostle's style. There is a trajection of the ἀκούω,

which, in the sense, precedes συνερχ., &c. ἐκ ἐκκλ. in assembly; not local, as E. V., 'in the church,' but = επί τδ αὐτό, ver. 20. σχίσματα] of what sort, is specified below; viz. that he does not here refer to the party dissensions of ch. i. 10, nor could he say of them μέρος τι πιστεύω, but strictly to σχίσματα which took place at their meetings together, viz. that each takes before other his own supper, &c. So Chrys.: οὐ λέγει, άκούω γάρ μη κοινή ύμας συνδειπνείν άκούω κατ' ίδίαν ύμας έστιασθαι, και μη μετά τῶν πενήτων ἀλλ' δ μάλιστα ίκανδν ην αὐτῶν διασεῖσαι την διάνοιαν, τοῦτο τέθεικε, το του σχίσματος ύνομα, δ και τούτου ἢν αϊτιον and Theophyl., Ec., Est., Pisc., Grot., which last remarks, 'Accidebat jam illis temporibus, quod nostris multo magis evenit, ut res instituta ad concorporandos fideles in vexillum schismatis verteretur.' κ. μέρος τι πιστ.] Said in gentleness: q. d. "I am unwilling to believe all I hear concerning the point, but some (hardly 'much,' 'in great part,' as Stanley: nor do his testimonies from Thucyd. i. 23; vii. 30, bear out this meaning. It might, of course, lie beneath the surface, but is not given by μέρος τι) I cannot help believing." 19. δεî, in the divine appointment, the Tra which follows expressing God's purpose thereby. Our Lord had said ἀνάγκη ἐλθεῖν τὰ σκάνδαλα, Matt. xviii. 7: - and Justin Martyr, Tryph. 35, p. 132, quotes among His sayings prophetic of division in the church, έσονται σχίσματα κ. αίρέσεις. From the pointed manner in which δεί γάρ καὶ αίρέσεις... is said, I should be inclined to think that the Apostle tacitly referred to the same saying of our Lord: for there must be (not only dissensions, but) even heresies (not in the ecclesiastical or doctrinal sense, - as Pelag., Est., Calv., Beza, -see reff., but indicating a further and more matured separation, where not only is there present dissension, as in the Agapæ, but a deliberate choice and maintenance of party distinction. It does not appear, in spite of all that has been written in Germany on the supposed parties of ch. i. 10, that such separations had yet taken place among the Corinthians. Nor even in Clement's Epistle, forty years after this, do we find any allusion to such, but only, as here, to a general spirit of dissension and variance, see chaps. iii. and xiv., pp. 213, 257. Chrys. would refer αίρ. only to the Agapæ: οὐ ταύτας λέγει κιμοι $^{\rm p}$ φανεροί $^{\rm p}$ γένωνται έν ύμιν. $^{20\,f_4}$ συνερχομένων ούν $^{\rm p}$ $^{\rm Mark vi. 14}$. $^{\rm Lake \, vii. 17}$ $^{\rm hark \, vi. 14}$ $^{$ φαγείν, καὶ "ος μεν * πεινά, "ος δε γ μεθύει. 22 ° μη γάρ

s Rev. i, 10 only t. tJohn xiii. 2, 4. xxi. 20 al. Dan. i. 16 (v. 1 Theod.) only. xiv. 8. Gal. vi. 1 only t. Wisd. xxii. 17 only. v. Acts ix. 3 reff. .. u. Mark xii. 18. Acts xxii. 44. Rom. xiv. 5, 2 Cor. ii. 16 al. xii. xii. xxxv. 21) al. y. Acts ii. 15 reff. i. 2 Rom. x. 18, 19. ch. ix. 4, 5 only. P. a Rom. vi. 11 reff. b = ch. x. 22. och. i. 2 reff. x Rom. xii. 20 (ron Prov. xxv. 21) al. y. Acts ii. 15 reff. och. x. 22. och. i. 2 reff. x Rom. xii. 20 (ron Prov. xxv. 21) al. y. Acts ii. 15 reff. och. x. 22. och. i. 2 reff. x Rom. xii. 20 (ron Prov. xxv. 21) al. x Rom. xii. 20 (ron Prov. xxv. 21

om 2nd εν υμιν C(Orig?) Chr Epiph Damasc-comm Jer.

for εστιν, ετι D1 F(and G-lat): 20. om ουν D¹(and lat) F Clem Chr: δε 17. φαγει χ¹.

om D-lat: jam non est vulg(and F-lat) lat-ff.

21. προςλαμβανει A 46. 106-8-222 alm(Tischdf) Zonar. for εν τω, επι τω DF: ad vulg(and F-lat) E-lat: εις τω (= το) 17, in manducandum (I-lat: in manducando D-lat.

τὰς τῶν δογμάτων, ἀλλὰ τὰς τῶν σχισμάτων τούτων,—and so Theophyl., Œc. But this hardly justifies the climax, δεί γὰρ καl αίρ.) among you, that the approved [also] (i.e. as well as the other party, who would become manifest by their very conduct) may be made manifest among you; viz. through a better and nobler spirit being shewn by them, than by the contentious and separatists. The same subject-resumed from the συνερχ. of ver. 18: see notes on πρώτον. When then ye come together (are assembling, pres. and perhaps here, where he deals with particulars, to be pressed,as their intention in thus assembling is blamed) to one place (reff. Acts) it is not to eat (with any idea of eating. But Meyer, Bengel, and many others, render οὐκ ἔστιν here, 'non licet,' as in οὐκ ἔστιν eiπείν and the like: De Wette, after Estius, al., as E. V., 'this is not,' 'cannot be called,'—'id quod agitis, non est.' But the greediness which is blamed, seems to refer οὐκ ἔστιν to the συνέρχεσθαι, and $\phi \alpha \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ to the motive $= \hat{\imath} \nu \alpha \ \phi \alpha \gamma \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$) the Supper of the Lord (emphasis on κυριακόν, as opposed to Tolov below). δείπν.] 'the Supper instituted by the Lord.' This was an inseparable adjunct, in the apostolic times, to their agapæ or feasts of love. Chrys. on ver. 17, and Tertull. Apol. § 39, vol. i. pp. 474 ff., give an ample description of these feasts, which were of the nature of Epavoi, or mutual contributions, where each who was able brought his own portion,-and the rich, additional portions for the poor. See Xen. Mem. iii. 14, in which the circumstances bear a remarkable similarity to those in the Corinthian church. Not before this feast, as Chrys. (μετὰ τὴν τῶν μυστηρίων κοινωνίαν έπί κοινην πάντες ήεσαν εὐωχίαν),

al.,—but during and after it, as shewn by the institution, by the custom at the Passover, by the context here, and by the remnants of the ancient custom and its abuse until forbidden by the council of Carthage, -the ancient Christians partook of the Supper of the Lord. The best account of this matter is to be found in the note in Pool's Synopsis on Matt. xxvi. 26. was necessary for the celebration of the Lord's Supper that all should eat of the same bread and drink of the same cup; and in all probability, that a prayer should be offered, and words of consecration said, by the appointed ministers. Hence cessation of the feast itself, and solemn order and silence, would be necessitated even by the outward requirements of the ordinance. These could not be obtained, where each man was greedily devouring that which he had brought with him : where the extremes were seen, of one craving, and another being drunken. This being their practice, there could be no intention of celebrating the Lord's Supper,—no discernment of the solemnity of it. On the whole subject, see Stanley's note. 21.] $\pi \rho o \lambda$., as in E. V., takes before another, viz. during the feast (ἐν τῷ φ.), not, at home, before coming. Obviously the «καστος must be limited to the rich: the poor had no ίδιον δείπνον to take, and were the losers by the selfishness of the rich. $\pi \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{q}$ one is craving (the poor), another is drunken (the rich. There is no need to soften the meaning of μεθύει: as Meyer says, "Paul draws the picture in strong colours, and who can say that the reality was less strong?"). 22.] For (a reason for the blame in the

foregoing: this should not be: for) have you no houses, to eat, &c. : meaning, 'at home is the place to satiate the appetite, not the assembly of the brethren.

d Matt. xviii. κλησίας τοῦ c θεοῦ d καταφοονεῖτε, καὶ c καταισχύνετε τοὺς ABCDF d ΑΒ. Ρέοντας c τι εἴπω ὑμῖν: g έποινέσο c ίτας ΄ μὴ ἔχοντας c τι εἴπω ὑμῖν: g έποινέσο c ίτας ΄ μα έχοντας c (μ) έχοντας; τί είπω ὑμίν; (π) έπαινέσω ὑμᾶς ἐν τούτω; (π) είπω οὐκ (π) έπαιν (π) είπω (π) τοῦ κυρίου (π) ένα (π) είπω (π) ε ο καὶ παρέδωκα υμίν, ὅτι ὁ κύριος Ἰησοῦς ἐν τῆ νυκτὶ $\mathring{\eta}^{k}$ παρεδίδετο ἔλα β εν ἄρτον, 24 καὶ 1 εὐχαριστήσας m ἔκλαxxii. 86. Neh. viii. Nen. vin. 10 (?). ver. 2, = ch. xv. 1, 3. Gal. i. 9, σεν καὶ εἶπεν Τοῦτό μου "έστὶν τὸ σωμα τὸ ° ὑπὲρ

(conformation to the pres folly) BF latt lat-ff: txt ACDKLX rel vss Chr Thdrt Damasc. 23. for απο, παρα D. om του DF. for κυριου, θεου F(with G-lat, but not om ιησους B 44. εν η νυκτι παρεδ. DiF, in qua nocte latt lat-ff. rec παρεδίδοτο, with B2L rel Chr Thdrt al: txt AB1CDFKN Damasc. bef aprov DF.

24. rec aft ειπεν adds λαβετε φαγετε (interpoln from Matt xxvi. 26), with C3KL rel syrr [Cyr-jer] Chr Thdrt Damase Œc Thi Ambrst, λ. και φ. vulg arm Ambrst; λαβετε (alone) 17 æth Sedul: om ABC DFX 17 am(with fuld al) coptt arm(ed-1805) Bas Cyr (Ath) Cypr Bede. rec aft υπερ υμων ins κλωμενον, with C'D3FKLN3 rel syrr goth Thdrth.1. (elsw₂ διδομενον η κλωμενον κατα τον αποστ.) Damasc (Ec Thl; θρυπτομενον D1; διδομενον coptt arm; quod pro vobis tradetur vulg Cypr Ambrst-ed: om ABC'N1 17. 672 Cyr Ath Fulg. om The F.

do ye shew your contempt for (pres.) the congregation of God ($\theta \epsilon o \hat{\nu}$ to express, as Bengel, 'dignitatem ecclesiæ.' This contempt was expressed by their not sharing with the congregation the portion which they brought), - and put to shame those who have not (houses to eat and to drink in, and therefore come to the daily ἀγάπαι to be fed. There is no reason for rendering with the majority of Commentators τοὺς μη έχοντας, 'the poor;' the μη έχοντας has a distinct reference to the exere before. Meyer refers in support of the meaning, 'the poor,' to Wetst. on 2 Cor. viii. 13, where nothing on the subject is found: De Wette, to Luke iii. 11, where the case is as here, the preceding $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega\nu$ being referred to. The meaning is allowable, e. g. πρός γὰρ τὸν ἔχονθ' ὁ φθόνος ἕρπει, Soph. Aj. 157: πρός των έχόντων, Φοίβε, τον νόμον τίθης, Eurip. Alc. 57: πότε μεν έπ' ημαρ εἶχον, εἶτ' οὐκ εἶχον ἄν, where however it is qualified by $\epsilon \pi$, $\eta \mu \alpha \rho$)? What must I say to you? Shall I praise you in this matter? I praise you not. (See ver. 17.) 23-25.] To shew them the solemnity of the ordinance which they thus set at nought, he reminds them of the account which he had before given them, of its institution by the Lord. MATT. xxvi. 26-29. MARK xiv. 22-25. Luke xxii. 19, 20. 23.] For I (see ch. vii. 28; Phil. iv. 11) received

from the Lord (by special revelation, see Gal. i. 12. Meyer attempts to deny that this revelation was made to Paul himself, on the strength of àπό meaning 'indirect,' παρά 'direct' reception from any one: but this distinction is fallacious: e. g. 1 John i. 5, αὕτη ἐστὶν ἡ ἐπαγγελία ἡν ἀκηκό-αμεν ἀπ' αὐτοῦ. He supposes that it was made to Ananias or some other, and communicated to Paul. But the sole reason for this somewhat clumsy hypothesis is the supposed force of the preposition, which has no existence. If the Apostle had referred only to the Evangelic tradition or writings(?) he would not have used the first person singular, but παρελάβομεν. I may remark, that the similarity between this account of the Institution and that in Luke's Gospel, is only what might be expected on the supposition of a special revelation made to Paul, of which that Evangelist, being Paul's companion, in certain parts of his history availed himself) that which I also delivered (in my apostolic testimony) to you, (viz.) that the Lord Jesus, &c. $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \delta i - \delta \epsilon \tau \sigma$] the imperf.: He was being betrayed. "There is an appearance of fixed order, especially in these opening words, which indicates that this had already become a familiar formula." Stanley. αρτον] not, as Meyer, 'a loaf,'

but bread: cf. the common expression,

αύτως καὶ τὸ ποτήριον μετὰ τὸ δειπνῆσαι, λέγων Τοῦτο $^{\text{S}}$ L. Luke τὸ ποτήριον ἡ $^{\text{t}}$ καινὴ $^{\text{t}}$ διαθήκη ἐστὶν $^{\text{u}}$ ἐν τῷ ἐμῷ αἴματι τοῦτο ποιείτε, $^{\text{v}}$ δοάκις ἐὰν πίνητε, $^{\text{p}}$ εἰς τὴν ἐμὴν $^{\text{q}}$ ἀνάμνη τοῦς τοῦν οιείτε, $^{\text{v}}$ δοάκις ἐὰν πίνητε, $^{\text{p}}$ εἰς τὴν ἐμὴν $^{\text{q}}$ ἀνάμνη τοῦς τὸι. 26 $^{\text{v}}$ όσάκις γὰρ ἐὰν ἐσθίητε τὸν ἄρτον τοῦτον, καὶ $^{\text{t}}$ $^{\text{tib}}$ τὸν ποτήριον πίνητε, τὸν θάνατον τοῦ κυρίου $^{\text{w}}$ καταγγέλ καταγγέλ κατε, $^{\text{x}}$ ἄχοις οῦ ἔλθη. 27 ωςτε ος ᾶν ἐσθίη τὸν ἄρτον $^{\text{h}}$ α τὸ τὸ τοῦν δικες τὸν δίνη τὸν $^{\text{g}}$ τὸν $^{\text{g}}$ τον $^{\text{g}}$ τον $^{\text{g}}$ τον $^{\text{g}}$ τον $^{\text{g}}$ ενοχος τίνη τὸ $^{\text{g}}$ ποτήριον τοῦ $^{\text{y}}$ κυρίου $^{\text{g}}$ ἀναξίως, $^{\text{a}}$ ἔνοχος ἔσται $^{\text{g}}$ ενοκιν. Θ.

b evoxos ... ABCDF KLN a b c d e f g h k l m o 17

25. for $\epsilon\mu\omega$ aimati, aimati mov AC m 17: txt BDFKLN rel. homocotel in A, osamishere and at beg of next ver. rec (for $\epsilon\alpha\nu$) an, with DFKL rel Chr Cyr: txt BCN

17 Thdrt Euthal-ms. (om οσακις αν πινητε a d m.)

28. om $\gamma a \rho$ (of homocotel above) A 238 goth with. rec $a \nu$, with DFKL rel: txt ABCN a 17 Orig. for $\tau o \nu \tau o \nu$, $\tau o \nu \tau o N$! rec aft $m \sigma \tau n \rho \nu o \nu$ ins $\tau o \nu \tau o$ (for uniformity), with D³ KLN³ rel tol syrr copt Chr Thatt Damase (Cypt,: om ABCD¹ KN e 17 latt sah arm Cyr Damase (Cypt, Ambrst Pelag Bede. $a \chi \rho \iota$ B¹N¹. rec aft $a \chi \rho \iota$ on ins $a \nu$ (to fill $u \rho$ the constr), with D³ KLN³ rel Thart: om ABCD¹FN¹ 17 Ath Bas Chr-ms Cyr Damase.

27. αισθειηται and πινηται F. ree aft τον αρτον ins τουτον (supplementary, or as above), with KL rel copt Chr: om ABCDFN o 17 am(with demid fuld harl tol masy syr sah arm Clem Bas Ps-Ath Thdrt Damase Orig-int Cypr. for η, και A 39. 46. 109 lect-1 vulg-ms syrr sah Clem Ps-Ath Orig-int (Jer) Pelag Cassiod: txt BCDFKLN

24.] Οη εύχ. έκλαφαγείν ἄρτον. σεν, see note, Matt. xxvi. 26. Meyer well remarks, that "the filling up of $\tau\delta$ $\delta \pi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \ \delta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ is to be sought in the foregoing ἔκλασεν." Hence the inscrtion of κλώμενον. τοῦτο ποι. . . .] See note on Matt. ut supra. 25.] See Luke xxii. ώς αύτ. καὶ τ. π.] "viz. ἔλαβεν καὶ εὐχ. ἔδωκεν αὐτοῖς. These last words are implied in ἔκλατεν above." Μεyer. ἡ καιν. δ. ἐστὶν ἐν τῷ ἐμῷ aιμ.] is the new covenant in (ratified by the shedding of, and therefore standing in, as its conditioning element) my blood: $= \epsilon \sigma \tau l \nu \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \iota \nu$. δ . $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\varphi} \alpha l \mu$. The position of $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ is no objection to this, nor the omission of the art. Meyer would render it, 'is the N. C. by means of my blood: i.e. by virtue of its contents, which are my blood: and this solely on account of the position of egriv. But the meaning is as harsh, as the rendering is unrequired. όσάκις έαν πίν. Not a general

rule for all common meals of Christiaus; but a precept that as often as that cup is drunk, it should be in remembrance of Him: on these last words is the emphasis: see below. 26.] γάρ gives an explanatory reason for είs τ. ἐμὴρ ἀνάμν, viz. that the act of eating and drinking is a proclamation of the death of the Lord till His coming. The rendering of καταγγέλλετε imperative, as Theophyl.?, Luth, Grot., Rückert, is evidently wrong. He is

substantiating the application of the Lord's words by the acknowledged nature of the rite. It is a proclamation of His death: and thus is a remembrance of Him. It is so, by our making mention of in it, and seeing visibly before us and partaking of, His body broken, and His blood shed.

αχρις οὖ ἐλθη] The καταγγ, is addressed directly to the Corinthians, not to them and all succeeding Christians; the Apostle regarding the coming of the Lord as near at hand, in his own time, see notes on 2 Cor. v. 1—10. Thdrt. remarks, μετὰ γὰρ τὴν αὐτοῦ παρουσίαν, οὐκότι χρεία τῶν συμβόλων τοῦ σώματος, αὐτοῦ φαινομένου τοῦ σώματος τὰ τοῦτο εἶπεν, ἄχρις οδ [αν] ἔλθη. The ἄν has been inserted from not

The &v has been inserted from not being aware that its absence implies the certainty of the event. See examples in Lobeck on Phrynichus, pp. 15, 16, note.

27.] A consequence, from the nature of the ordinance being, to proclaim the death of the Lord: the guilt of the unworthy participation of either of the elements. The death of the Lord was brought about by the breaking of His body and shedding His blood: this Death we proclaim in the ordinance by the bread broken—the wine poured out, of which we partake: whoever therefore shall either eat the bread ord rink the cup of the Lord unworthily (see below ver. 29) shall be guilty of the body and blood of the Lord: i.e. "crimini et pænæ corporis

b see ch. x. 16. τοῦ b σώματος καὶ τοῦ b αἴματος τοῦ b κυρίου. 28 c δοκιμα- ABCDF refi. ii. 18 d = ch. iv. 1. c ζέτω δὲ d ἄνθρωπος ἑαυτόν, καὶ c οὕτως ἐκ τοῦ ἄρτου c de que c de tg c al. vi. 1. c εσθιέτω καὶ ἐκ τοῦ ποτηρίου πινέτω c 29 c γὰρ ἐσθίων καὶ c κοῖμα ἑαυτ c ἐσθίει καὶ πίνει μη c διακρίνων το c εσθιέι. 4. c σωμα είν. 5 τοῦ τοῦν το Αλοὶ ἀσθενείς καὶ c πάρρω- Johat. τν. 14. Ματκ νi. 5, 18. χτὶ. 18 οπίγ. 8 Kings xiv. 5 F. Ald. &c. ΜαΙ. 18. Sir, vii. 35 οπίγ. (-τεῖν,

rel latt syr-marg Chr Thdrt Damasc Cypr. aft του κυριου αναξιως add του κυριου D^3LN e al₂₀(Tischdf) syr goth. rec om του bef αιματος (as unnecessary?), with a¹ d h k Thl: ins ABCDFKLN rel Clem Ps-Ath Bas Chr Thdrt. for κυριου, χριστου A 17 αth-rom Jer.

28. earror bef ανθρωπος CDF latt goth Damase: εαυτον εκαστος 17, simly 4 Orig: txt ABKL rel syrr Clem Orig Cyr.—ins ο bef ανθρ. D¹. aft εαυτ. ins πρωτον Ν².

29. rec aft πίνων ins αναξίως (gloss from ver 27), with C³DFKLN³ rel vulg Syr grlat-fr om ABC'N¹ 17 sah æth. rec aft το σωμα ins του κυρίου (gloss from ver 27),
with C³DFKLN³ rel vss Chr Thdrt Ambrst: om ABC'N¹ 17. 67² am¹(with fuld harl¹)
sah.

et sanguinis Christi violati obnoxius erit :" Meyer. Such an one proclaims the death of Christ, and yet in an unworthy spiritwith no regard to that Death as his atonement, or a proof of Christ's love: he proclaims that Death as an indifferent person: he therefore partakes of the guilt of it. Chrysostom strikingly says, σφαγήν τὸ πραγμα ἀπέφηνεν, οὐκέτι θυσίαν. But the idea ώς και αὐτὸς ἐκχέας τὸ αίμα, Theophyl. (and Chrys., τί δήποτε; ὅτι έξέχεεν αὐτό, καὶ σφαγ., &c., as above), is irrelevant here, see ver. 29. The Romanists absurdly enough defend by this # (the meaning of which is not to be changed to kal, as is most unfairly done in our E. V., and the completeness of the argument thereby destroyed) their practice of communicating only in one kind. Translated into common language, and applied to the ordinary sustenance of the body, their reasoning stands thus: 'Whoever eats to excess, or drinks to excess, is guilty of sin: therefore cating, without drinking, will sustain life."

2 Kings xii. 15. -τημα, Sir. x. 10. -τία, Ps. xl. 3.

28.] The δέ implies an opposition to, and wish to escape from, the ένοχος έσται.

δοκμ. ἐαυτ.] prove ĥimself—
examine τὴν διάνοιαν ἐαυτοῦ, as Theodor,mops., in loc.: ascertain by sufficient
tests, what his state of feeling is with
regard to the death of Christ, and how far
this feeling is evinced in his daily life—
which are the best guarantees for a worthy
participation. καὶ οῦτος] i.e. 'after
examination of himself.' The case in
which the self-examination ends in an unfitivourable verdiet, does not come under
consideration, because it is assumed that
such a verdiet will lead to repentance and
amendment. 29.1 For he who eats

and drinks (seil. of the bread and of the cup: certainly not, as Meyer, 'the mere eater and drinker, he who partakes as a mere act of eating and drinking,' which is harsh to the last degree, and refuted by the parallel, ver. 27. ἀναξίως is spurious, see var. readd.) eats and drinks judgment to himself (i. e. brings on himself judgment by eating and drinking. κρίμα, as is evident by vv. 30-32, is not 'damnation' [κατάκριμα], as rendered in our E. V., a mis-translation, which has done infinite mischief), not appreciating (dijudicans, Vulg. μη έξετάζων, μη έννοῶν ως χρή, τὸ μέγεθος τῶν προκειμένων, μὴ λογιζό-μενος τὸν ὅγκον τῆς δωμεᾶς. Chrys.) the body (seil. of the Lord: here standing for the whole of that which is symbolized by the Bread and the Cup, the Body and Blood. The mystery of these, spiritually present in the elements, he, not being spiritual, does not appreciate: and therefore, as in ver. 27, falls under the divine judgment, as trifling with the death of Christ. The interpretation of Stanley, "not discerning that the body of the Lord is in himself and in the Christian society, and that it is as the body of the Lord, or as a member of that body, that he partakes of the bread," is surely somewhat far-fetched, after τοῦτό μου ἐστὶν τὸ σῶμα, ver. 24). 30.] Experimental proof of the κρῦμα ἑαυτῷ, from the present sicknesses and frequent deaths among the Corinthian believers. Meyer distinguishes dofeveis, weaklings, persons whose powers have failed spontaneously, from άρρωστοι, invalids, persons whose powers are enfeebled by sickness; and cites Tittmann, Synon. p. 76. άσθ. and ắρβ. refer to physical, not (as Olsh., altern.)

32.] But now

moral weaknesses.

στοι, καὶ ἱ κοιμῶνται ἑ ἰκανοί. 31 εἰ δὲ ἱ ἑαυτοὺς ε διεκρί- ι - ch. vii. 80 νομεν, οὐκ ἂν π ἐκρινόμεθα· 32 π κρινόμενοι δὲ ὑπὸ [τοῦ] Ͱ ε ch. vii. 12 κυρίου n παιδευόμεθα, ἴνα μὴ σὺν τῷ κόσμῳ o κατακριθῶ- n τοῦ ε κυρίου n παιδενόμεθα, ἴνα μὴ σὺν τῷ κόσμῳ o κατακριθῶ- n τοῦ ε κινιῦς ε τὸ φαγεῖν n κυιί. 83 ε κινιῦς ε κινιῦς ε τὸ φαγεῖν n κινιῦς ε κινιῦς ε τὸ φαγεῖν n κινιῦς ε κινιοι ε κινιῦς ε κ ΐνα μὴ είς "κρίμα ⁹ συνέρχησθε. τὰ δὲ λοιπὰ εως αν exc. Rev. iii. 19. 2 Chron. x. 11. Prov. xix. 18. έλθω y διατάξομαι. o Matt. xxvii. 3. [John vii 10.] Rom.

ΧΙΙ. 1 Περί δε των επνευματικών, άδελφοί, ου θέλω

ii. 1. Esth. ii. 1. xvii. 16 reff. 2 v ver. 17 reff. xvi. 1 reff. iv. 13. p = ch. v. 8 reff. t ver. 21. w ver. 29. z = ch. x. 3, 4 reff.

31. rec (for δε) γαρ, with CKLN3 rel syrr coptt Chr Thdrt Aug, txt ABDFN1 17 goth Clem Aug.

32. for υπο, απο F. ins του bef κυριου BCN m 17 Clem Damasc-txt: om ADFKL Cæs Chr Thdrt Damase, Œc Thl. es Chr Thdrt Damase₁ Ge Thl. aft $\tau\omega$ $\kappa\sigma\sigma\mu\omega$ ins $\tau\sigma\sigma\omega$ F, simly latt lat-ff. 34. rec aft ϵ_i ins $\delta\epsilon_i$, with D²⁻³KLM³ rel demid syrr Clem Thdrt Damase Bede: om

ABCD'FN1 17 latt coptt Chr-comm Cypr Ambrst Pelag. for κριμα, κρισιν Κ. διαταξωμαι ADF m.

rendered as here.

CHAP. XII. 1. αγνοειν bef αδελφοι ου θ. υ. D'F latt æth lat-ff. 31.] δέ contrasts

rendered by the same word as διακρίνων before, the idea being the same. 'Appreciate,' if etymologically understood, is the nearest to the meaning: in Latin dijudico, which the Vulg. has, is an excellent rendering,-preserving also the 'judico,' so essential to the following clause. In the E. V. 'If we would judge ourselves, we should not be judged,' the tenses are wrong: it should be, 'If we had judged ourselves, we should not have been judged:' 'no such punishments would have befallen us.'
Thus I wrote in some former editions: and so also Stanley. But this collocation of the (imperfect) tenses may be rendered either way. Donaldson, Gr. Gr., p. 204, renders εί τι είχεν, εδίδου αν, 'si quid haberet, daret :' and so we have it in Æschyl. Suppl. 214, και τάλλα πόλλ' ἐπεικάσαι δίκαιον ήν, εἰ μὴ παρόντι φθόγγος ήν δ σημανών: Æschin. Ctes. p. 86, εί δ' ήν αναγκαΐον βηθήναι, ου Δημοσθένους ήν δ λόγος: and other places (Bernhardy, p. 376). But as certainly, we find the other sense: e. g. Herod. iii. 25, of Cambyses, εί . . . ἀπῆγε ὀπίσω τὸν στρατὸν ἦν ἀν σοφὸς ἀνήρ. So that the E. V. may here be kept, if thought desirable. In John v. 46, our translators have adopted the other rendering: 'Had ye believed Moses, ye would have believed me:' but in ib. viii. 39, 42, have

with this state of sicknesses and deaths:

it might be otherwise. This διεκρινόμεθα

(parallel with δοκιμαζέτω before) should be

that we are judged, it is by the Lord (emph.) that we are being chastised (to bring us to repentance), that we may not be (eternally) condemned with the (unbelieving) world. 33. General conclusion respecting this disorder. So then ('quæ cum ita sint'), my brethren (milder persuasive: as has been the assumption of the first person, vv. 31, 32), when ye are coming together to eat, wait for one another (contrast to εκαστος . . . προλαμβάνει, ver. 21: as Theophyl.: οὐκ εἶπεν, ἀλλήλοις μετάδοτε, άλλ', εκδέχεσθε δεικνύων ὅτι κοινά εἰσι τὰ ἐκεῖσε εἰςφερόμενα. καὶ δεῖ ἀναμένειν την κοινην συνέλευσιν). 34. The αγάπαι were not meals to satiate the bodily appetites, but for a higher and holier purpose: let the hungry take off the edge of his hunger at home; see ver. 22. τὰ δὲ λοιπά | viz. things omitted (probably matters of detail) in the above directions. Perhaps they had asked him questions respecting the most convenient time or manner of celebration of the Lord's supper: points on which primitive practice widely differed. ὡς ἀν ἔλθω, see reff., whenever I shall have come. ώs, άν, as öτ' ἄν, implies uncertainty as to the event anticipated: see Kühner, vol. ii. p. 535, § 807. CHAPP. XII.—XIV.] ON THE ABUSE OF SPIRITUAL GIFTS: especially PROPHESYING, and SPEAKING WITH TONGUES. The second particular requiring correction in their assemblies, see ch.

b ch. x. 19 ref. $\dot{\nu}\mu\bar{\alpha}\varsigma$ a $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\nu$ οείν. 2 οἴδατε ὅτι ὅτε ἔθνη ἡτε, πρὸς τὰ ABCDF chetwill. 32 $\dot{\theta}$ be εἰδωλα τὰ c ἄφωνα ως d αν ήγεσθε c απαγόμενοι. 3 διὸ c de fig. 60. Acts ii. 60. Acts ii. 43. Gen. ii. 19. c Matt. xxvi. 67 al. Epp, here only. Deut. xxviii. 37.

rcc om στε (either a mistake, or a corrn to help the constr: the same of the omn of στ), with F b d 1 D-lat Syr copt Ambrst: om στι K ni Thdrt Damase Œc-comm Aug₂: txt ABCDLN rel vulg G-lat syr (sah) wth arm gr-lat-ff. for αφωνα, αμορφα F. [ἄνήγεσθε B³G m: ascendebatis Aug.]

xi. 18, note. Chrys. well says : τοῦτο άπαν το χωρίον σφόδρα έστιν ασαφές την δὲ ἀσάφειαν ἡ τῶν πραγμάτων ἄγνοιά τε και έλλειψις ποιεί των τότε μέν συμβαινόντων, νῦν δὲ οὐ γινομένων. Hom. xxix. init. XII.] On the nature, INTENT, AND WORTH OF SPIRITUAL GIFTS IN GENERAL. 1-3. The foundation of all spiritual utterance is the confession of Jesus as the Lord: and without the Spirit, no such confession can be made. δέ transitional. Some have thought that the Corinthians had referred this question to the Apostle's decision: but from the οὐ θέλω ὑμ. ἀγνοεῖν, it rather looks as if, like the last, it had been an abuse which he had heard of, and of his own instance corrects. τ. πνευματικών] Most likely neuter, as ch. xiv. 1, spiritual gifts: so Chrys., Theophyl., Ec., Beza, Calov., Est., al., De Wette, and Meyer:
—not masc., as ch. xiv. 37: so Grot., Hammond, al., and Locke, who maintains that the subject of this section is not the things, but the persons, quoting ch. xiv. 5. But surely the things are the main subject, enounced here, vv. 4-11, and treated of through the rest of the chapter; the inspired persons being mentioned only incidentally to them. Others, as Storr, Billroth, Wieseler cited by Meyer, and De W., limit τà πν. to the speaking with tongues, which indeed is mainly treated of in the latter part of the section (see ch. xiv. 1): but here the gifts of the Spirit generally are the subject. οὐ θέλ. ὑμ. ἀγν.] Theodor.-mops. cited by Meyer: θέλω ύμᾶς καὶ τῶν πνευματικῶν χαρισμάτων εἰδέναι τὴν τάξιν, ὥςτε βούλομαὶ τι καὶ περὶ τοὐτων εἰπεῖν. See reff.

2.] Reason why they wanted instruction concerning spiritual gifts—because they once were heathen, and could not therefore have any experience in spiritual things. Thus Meyer, and so far rightly: but the stress of this reason lies in the words $\delta\phi\omega\tau\alpha$ and $\delta v \delta v \delta v \epsilon \phi e$, which he has not sufficiently noticed:—Ye know (that) when ye were Gentiles (the construction is an anacoluthon, beginning with $\delta \delta a \pi \varepsilon \delta \tau_1$, and then as if $\delta \delta a \tau \varepsilon \delta \tau_1$ had been merely a formula for 'ye know,' passing into the construction so common, that of placing $\delta \tau \varepsilon$

after such verbs as μέμνημαι, οίδα, ἀκούω, and the like, an ellipsis taking place of $\tau o \hat{v}$ χρόνου, as Lysias actually fills it up in one place, εκείνου τοῦ χρόνου μνησθέντας, ὅτε in Poliuch. (περl δημεύσεως κ.τ.λ.), p. 151, 34. Thus Il. ξ. 71, ήδεα μεν γάρ ὅτε πρόφρων Δαναοῖσιν ἄμυνεν: Plato, Menon. p. 79, μέμνησαι ὅτ' ἐγώ σι ἄρτι ἀπεκρινάμην. See more examples in Kühner's Gr. Gramm. ii. 480) led about (ἀπαγ. not necessarily, 'led wrong;' and the context seems rather to favour the idea of being 'led at will,' blindly transported hither and thither,-and so De W., and Estius, "qualitercunque, temere, pro nutu ducentium, et huc illuc illos circumagentium, abductos fuisse") to idols which were without utterance ('the God in whom you now believe is a living and speaking Godspeaking by his Spirit in every believer: how should you know any thing of such spiritual speech or gifts at all, who have been accustomed to dumb idols?'), just as ye happened to be led (scil., on each occasion: the force of av being to indicate the indefiniteness, i. e. in this case, the repetition of the act : so Xen. Anab. i. 5. 2 : of μέν ὅνοι, ἐπεί τις διώκοι [whenever any followed them προδραμόντες αν είστήκεισαν, - and Eurip. Phoen. 401: ποτέ μέν ές ήμαρ είχον, είτ' οὐκ είχον άν. See other examples in Kühner, ii. 93, 94). These last words seem to me to imply the absence of all fixed principle in the oracles of Heathendom, such as he is about to announce as regulating and furnishing the criterion of the spiritual gifts of Christendom. This ώς αν ήγεσθε might take a man to contradictory oracles, the whole system being an imposture-their idols being void of all power of utterance, and they being therefore imposed on by the fictions of men, or evil spirits, who led them. Chrys., Œc., Theophyl., make this refer to the difference between the heathen μάντις, who was possessed by an evil spirit, and therefore elaκετο ύπὸ τοῦ πνεύματος δεδεμένος, οὐδὲν είδως ων λέγει, and the Christian προφήτης, -which however is entirely unwarranted by the context. 3.] The negative and positive criteria of inspiration by the Spirit of God: viz. the rejection, or confession, of Jesus as the Lord. Sió, because ye f γνωρίζω ὑμῖν ὅτι οὐδεὶς g ἐν g πνεύματι θεοῦ λαλῶν λέγει Πιὶκε il. 15. Inhn x 1. 15. Inhn x 1. 15. Inhn x 1. 16. Inhn x 1. Inhn x

3. om λαλων DF lat-ff. (insd by F-lat Aug &c.)

rec infoour (corrn to bring it
into government by λεγει, whereas it is an oratio directa), with DFKL rel sah Orig,
Chr Thdrt Damase Novat Hil-ed: infoor F vulg Cyr, lat-ff: txt ABCR 17 syr copt
acth Orig, Cyr,

rec κυρίου ιησουν (see above), with DFKL rel syr Ath Mac Chr
Thdrt Did-int Ruf: txt ABCR 17 vulg(and F-lat) Syr copt acth Orig, Cyr Did-gr Bas
Epiph Gennad lat-ff.

have been hitherto in ignorance of the matter.' ἐν πν. θεοῦ-ἐν πν. ὡς). The Spirit of God, or the Holy Spirit, is the Power pervading the speaker, the Element in which he speaks. So Schöttgen, on Matt. xxii. 43, quotes from the Rabbis, 'David sur were range, in the Holy Spirit.'

λαλῶν λέγει] On the difference of meaning between λαλω, 'to discourse,' 'to speak,' and λέγω, ' to say,' the former of the act of utterance absolutely, the latter having for its object that which is uttered, see note on John viii. 25. In all the seeming exceptions to this, λαλω may be justified as keeping its own meaning of ' to discourse:' we may safely deny that it is ever 'to say' simply. aváô. 'Ino.] Jesus (not Christ, the Name of office, itself in some measure the object of faith,—but Jesus, the personal Name,—the historical Person whose life was matter of fact: the curse, and the confession, are in this way far deeper) is accursed (see ref. Rom. note). So κύρ. Ἰησ., Jesus is Lord (all that is implied in kúplos, being here also implied: and we must not forget that it is the LXX verbum solenne for the Heb. Jehovah). By these last words the influence of the Holy Spirit is widened by the Apostle from the supernatural gifts to which perhaps it had been improperly confined, to the faith and confession of every Christian.

It is remarkable that in 1 John iv. 1, 2, where a test to try the spirits is given, the human side of this confession is brought out,— '1ησοῦν χριστὸν ἐν σαρλὶ ἐληλυθότα,— John having to deal with those who denied the reality of the Incarnation. Or also, as Bengel: "Paulus præbet criterium veri contra gentes: Johannes, contra falsos prophetas." 4—6.] But (as contracted to this absolute unity, in ground and principle, of all spiritual influence) there are varieties (in reff. 2 Chron, and Ezra, used of the courses or divisions of the Vol. II.

priests) of gifts (χαρίσματα = eminent endowments of individuals, in and by which the Spirit indwelling in them manifested Himself,-the φανέρωσις τοῦ πνεύματος in each man :- and these either directly bestowed by the Holy Ghost Himself, as in the case of healing, miracles, tongues, and prophesying, or previously granted them by God in their unconverted state, and now inspired, hallowed, and potentiated for the work of building up the church, -as in the case of teaching, exhortation, knowledge. Of all these gifts, faith working by love was the necessary substratum and condition. See Neander, Pfl. u. Leit. pp. 232 ff.), but the same Spirit (as their Bestower, -see the sense filled up in ver. 11):

5. and there are varieties of ministries (appointed services in the church, in which as their channels of manifestation the xaρίσματα would work), but the same Lord (Christ, the Lord of the church, whose it is to appoint all ministrations in it. These διακονίαι must not be narrowed to the ecclesiastical orders, but kept commensurate in extent with the gifts which are to find scope by their means, see vv. 7-10): and varieties of operations (effects of divine ενέργειαι: not to be limited to miraculous effects, but understood again commensurately with the gifts of whose working they are the results), and the same God, Who works all of them in all persons (all the χαρίσματα in all who are gifted). Thus we have GOD THE FATHER, the First Source and Operator of all spiritual influence in all: GOD THE SON, the Ordainer in His Church of all ministries by which this influence may be legitimately brought out for edification : GOD THE HOLY GHOST, dwelling and working in the church, and effectuating in each man such measure of His gifts as He sees fit.
7-11.] These operations specified in their

7-11.] These operations specified in their variety, but again asserted to be the work

P P

6. rec o de autos (corrn to express contrast. It can hardly have been altered to kal o to conform to the precedg clause, the first remaining τ 0 de), with AKLN rel latt syrr sah Eus Epiph₂ Cyr Iren-int Hil, deus hie idem est copt; o autos de DF: txt BC ni Orig. (In ver 5, 17. 41. 73. 115-9 vulg D-lat Syr arm Eus Ath Epiph₂ Cyr Iren-int Jer al have o de autos: txt is supported by the follg fathers,—Orig Thart, Damase Ec Iren-int-mss Aug.) rec ins $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ bef $\theta \epsilon \sigma s$, with KLN³ rel (syr) Orig Cyr Thart Damase Ec; aft $\epsilon \nu \rho \rho \nu \omega$ B; ins $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau \sigma s$ bef $\theta \epsilon \sigma s$ c: om ACDFN¹ m 17 latt Syr sah Eus Ath Bas Chr Thil Iren-int Hil. om τa D¹.

of one and the same Spirit. 7.7 To each individual, however (the emphasis on ἐκάστω, as shewing the character of what is to follow, viz. individual distinction of gifts. δέ again contrasted with the δ αὐτός of the last verse; though the workings of One God, One Lord, One Spirit, they are bestowed variously on each man), is given the manifestation of the Spirit (not, as Meyer, al., the means of manifesting the Spirit which dwells in him [gen. obj.]: but, as De W., the manifestation by which the Spirit acts [gen. subj.]; it is a general term including χαρίσματα, διακονίαι, and ένεργήματα) with a view to profit (with the profit of the whole body as the aim: see reff.). 8-10. It has been disputed, whether or not any studied arrangement of the gifts of the Spirit is here found. The most recent and best advocates of the two views are Meyer and De Wette. Meyer gives the following arrangement: grounding it mainly on what he believes to be the intentional use of ἐτέρω δέ as distinguished from ἄλλω δέ, and pointing out a new category :- I. gifts having reference to intellectual power: (1) λόγος σοφίας. (2) λόγος γνώσεως. II. (έτέρω δέ) gifts, whose condition is an exalted faith (glaubens-heroismus): (1) faith itself. (2) practical workings of the same, viz. (a) ἰάματα. (b) δυνάμεις. (3) oral working of the same, viz. προφητεία. (4) critical working of the same, the διάκρισις πνευμάτων. III. gifts having reference to the $\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota$: (1) speaking with tongues: (2) interpretation To this De Wette objects, of tongues. (1) that & μέν, έτέρω δέ, έτέρω δέ, do not stand with any reference to one another, but έτέρω δέ is in each case opposed to the άλλφ δέ which immediately precedes it, and followed by an ἄλλω δέ similarly opposed to it: therefore neither can the one betoken the genus, nor the other the species. (2) If

any thing could be relied on as marking a division, it would be the repeated κατά τδ αὐτὸ $\pi \nu$., $\epsilon \nu$ τ $\hat{\varphi}$ αὐτ. $\pi \nu$., and the concluding πάντα δὲ ταῦτα ver. 11: but even thus we get no satisfactory partition, for in ver. 10 dissimilar gifts are classed together. (3) We must not look for a classification, for the catalogue is incomplete, see ver. 28. (4) The elassification given is objectionable. Speaking with tongues is plainly more nearly allied to προφητεία than προφ. to gifts of healing: and the two, tongues and prophesying, are subsequently treated of together. Besides which, Kling (Stud. u. Krit. 1839, p. 482) rightly remarks, that both διάκρισις πν. and έρμηνεία γλ. have reference to the understanding. I am inclined to think that De W.'s objections are valid, as applied to a rigorous arrangement like Meyer's; but that at the same time there is a sort of arrangement, brought about not so much designedly, as by the falling together of similar terms, -λόγος σοφ., λόγος γυ.,—γένη γλωσσῶν, έρμ. γλωσσῶν. Unquestionably, any arrangement must be at fault, which proceeding on psychological grounds, classes together the speaking with tongues and the interpretation of tongues: the working of miracles, and the discernment of spirits. I believe too that Meyer's distinction between έτέρφ δέ and ἄλλφ δέ is imaginary: see Matt. xvi. 14; Heb. xi. 35, 36. appeals to matter of fact, as the ground of the assertion in ver. 7, both as to the δίδοται and as to the πρός το συμφέρον.

φ μèν... άλλω δέ, a loose construction, as in ver. 28. λόγος σοφίας... λόγος γυώσεως] What is the distinction? According to Neander, σοφία is the skill, which is able to reduce the whole practical Christian life into its due order in accordance with its foundation principles (see Pfl. u. Leit. p. 247);—γνώστε, the theoretical

τὸ αὐτὸ πνεῦμα, 9 ι ἐτέρῳ [δὲ] πίστις z ἐν τῷ a αὐτῷ z πνεῦ- z κας τει. ματι, ἄλλῳ δὲ b χαρίσματα c ἰαμάτων z ἐν τῷ a ὲνὶ z πνεῦματι, c ττ. εξ. 10 ἄλλῳ δὲ d ἐνεργήματα c δυνάμεων, ἄλλῳ δὲ f προφητεία a κι (κακιι) ἄλλῳ δὲ z διακρίσεις b πνευμάτων, ἐτέρῳ δὲ i γένη k γλωσ- d τει τοι τοι d κας και d d δὲ d εκρινικία d γλωσσῶν. d d τα d

6. ch. xiii. 2 al. p = 0 Rom. xiv. 1. Hcb. v. 14 only. Joh xxxvii. 16 only. (-colvers, ch. vi. 5.) i = 0 Matt. xiii. 47. xvii. 21 Mk. ver. 28. ch. xiv. 10 only. (Acts iv. 6 al.) Gen. i. 11, 8c. k = 0 Acts ii. 4 refi. 1 ch. xiv. 26 only†. Sir. prol. & xlviii. 17 only. (-colvers, Heb. viii. 2. -colvers, ch. xiv. 28 v. r.)

9. om 1st $\delta \epsilon$ BD¹FN¹ latt Syr Clem Orig₃ lat-ff: ins ACD²-³KLN³ rel syr coptt Orig₃ Cas Cyr-jer₂ Chr Thdrt₄ Damasc Did₁ Thl₁ Aug₁ om 2nd $\delta \epsilon$ DF latt Syr Ens lat-ff. rec for $\epsilon \nu \iota$, auto (conformation to foregoing), with DFKLN rel syrr copt Clem Chr Thdrt: txt AB a 17 vulg(and F-lat, but over F-gr eodem is written) D-lat Did₂ lat-ff.— om $\epsilon \nu$ $\tau \omega$ $\epsilon \nu$ $\pi \nu$. C Tert₁ Cassiod.

insight into divine things: and similarly Olsh. and Billroth. But Bengel, al., take them conversely, γνώσ, for the practical, σοφ. for the theoretical. Both, as De W. remarks, have their grounds in usage: σοφία is practical Col. i. 9, as is γνωσις Rom. xv. 14, but they are theoretical respectively in ch. i. 17 ff. and viii. 1. Estius explains λόγος σοφίας, 'gratiam de iis quæ ad doctrinam religionis ac pietatis spectant disserendi ex causis supremis,'as ch. ii. 6 f.: —and λόγ. γνώσεως, he says, " gratia est disserendi de rebus Christianæ religionis, ex iis quæ sunt humanæ scien-tiæ vel experientiæ." Meyer says, "σοφία is the higher Christian wisdom (see on ch. ii. 6) in and of itself; -so that discourse which expresses its truths, makes them clear, applies them, &c. is Abyos σοφίας. But this does not necessarily imply the speculative penetration of these truths,the philosophical treatment of them by deeper and more scientific investigation, in other words, γνωσις: and discourse which aims at this is λόγος γνώσεως." This last view is most in accordance with the subsequently recognized meaning of γνωσις and γνωστικός, and with the Apostle's own use of σοφία in the passage referred to, ch. ii. 6. κατὰ τ. αὐ. πν.] according to the disposition (see ver. 11) of the same spirit. 9.] πίστις, as Chrys.: πίστιν οὐ ταύτην λέγων τὴν τῶν δογμάτων, ἀλλὰ την των σημείων, περί ης φησιν Εὰν ἔχητε πίστιν ως κόκκον σιν. κ.τ.λ. (Matt. xvii. 20) και οι απόστολοι δε περι αυτής ηξίουν λέγοντες Πρόςθες ημίν πίστιν (Luke xvii.5). αύτη γάρ μήτηρ των σημείων έστίν. This seems to be the meaning here; a faith,

i. e. by and through, as the effective cause and the medium. χαρίσματα ἰαμάτων] gifts of (miraculous) healings; plur., to indicate the different kinds of diseases, requiring different sorts of healing. ¿v, see 10. ἐνεργ. δυν.] operations of miraculous powers (in general). προφητεία] speaking in the Spirit. Meyer gives an excellent definition of it: "discourse flowing from the revelation and impulse of the Holy Spirit, which, not being attached to any particular office in the church, but improvised,—disclosed the depths of the human heart and of the divine counsel, and thus was exceedingly effectual for the enlightening, exhortation, and consolation of believers, and the winning of unbelievers. The prophet differs from the speaker with tongues in that he speaks with the understanding, not ecstatically: from the διδάσκαλος, thus: -- ὁ μὲν προφητεύων πάντα ἀπὸ τοῦ πνεύματος φθέγγεται ό δὲ διδάσκων ἐστὶν ὅπου καὶ ἐξ οἰκείας διαλέγεται, as Chrys. on ver. 28." διακρίσεις πν.] discernings of spirits: i.e. the power of distinguishing between the operation of the Spirit of

God and the evil spirit, or the unassisted

human spirit: see 1 John iv. 1, and compare

προς έχοντες πνεύμασιν πλάνοις, 1 Tim. iv.

1. The exercise of this power is alluded

of tongues, i. e. the power of uttering, in

ecstasy, as the mouthpiece of the Spirit,

prayer and praise in languages unknown

to ch. xiv. 29.

γένη γλωσσῶν kinds

enabling a man to place himself beyond the

region of mere moral certainty, in the actual

realization of things believed, in a high and

έν τ. αὐτ. πν.] in,

unusual manner.

m νετ. α κοπ. m ένεργεῖ τὸ n ε̂ν καὶ τὸ n αὐτὸ πνεῦμα, o διαιροῦν p ἰδία ABCDF τὰι. S. εί. S. σοις εκάστ ω q καθώς r βούλεται. 12 s καθάπερ γὰρ τὸ σῶμα c de tg o ιδικεν. 12 s καθίτερ γὰρ τὸ σῶμα c de tg o κιτι o και o only. Jo zviti. 5.

(ρεσις, νν. σώματος, πολλά ουτα, εν έστιν σωμα, ουτως καὶ ο χριphere only.
2 Mac. w. 34 στός. 13 καὶ γὰρ "ἐν ἐνὶ πνεύματι ἡμεῖς πάντες 'εἰς εν
ουθς, Χεω.
εντ. ψ. κ. 2. 33. σωμα " ἐβαπτίσθημεν, εἴτε 'Ιουδαΐοι εἴτε "Ελληνες, " εἴτε

11. ταυτα δε παντα DF latt goth copt Arm Orig Hil1. om το bef έν D¹F Orig om ιδια (D1)F latt Syr copt (Orig) Epiph Orig-int Did-int Hil.-for διαιρουν ιδια, διερουμενα D1.

γαρ K a; d has it in red. for και μελη, μελη δε D¹(and lat) F goth Hil rec εχει bef πολλα, with DFKL rel latt Chr Thdrt, Hil Ambrst: txt ABCN 12. om γαρ K a; d has it in red. m 17 Thdrt, Jer. $\mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \eta (sic) \aleph$. ins εκ bef του σωμ. D¹(and lat) goth Hil ree aft σωματος ins του ενος (gloss), with DN3 rel goth Chr, Thdrt, Ambrst Tich. Damase Œc Hil: om ABCFKLN¹ d vulg syrræth gr-lat-ff. (17 def.) KUDIOS C.

13. rec ins εις bef έν πνευμα (appy to conform to the first member of the sentence), with D2KL rel vulg(and F-lat) Thdrt Vig: om BCD1FR d 17 am(with demid harl tol) D-lat Syr copt goth æth Ps-Ign Ath₂ Did₃ Chr Thl-comm lat-ff. for πνευμα εποτισθημεν, σωμα εσμεν A: for πνευμα, πομα a f g l al₂₀(or more): εφωτισθημεν L 21. 39. 116.

to the utterer, - or even in a spiritual language unknown to man. See this subject dealt with in the note on Acts ii. 4, and ch. xiv. 2 ff. έρμηνεία γλωσσῶν] the power of giving a meaning to what was thus ecstatically spoken. This was not always resident in the speaker himself: see 11.] The Spirit is the ch. xiv. 13. universal worker in men of all these powers, and that according to His own pleasure: see above on vv. 4—6. 18(a, 'seorsim,' respectively, or 'severally,' as E. V. This unity of the source of all spiritual gifts, in the midst of their variety, he presses as against those who valued some and undervalued others, or who depreciated 12-30. As the many them all. members of the body compose an organic whole, and all belong to the body, none being needless, none to be despised; so also those who are variously gifted by the Spirit compose a spiritual organic whole, the mystical body of Christ. First, however, vv. 12, 13, this likeness of the mystical Christ to a body is enounced, and justified by the facts of our Baptism.

12. The organic unity of the various members in one body, is predicated also of CHRIST, i. e. the Church as united in Him, see ch. vi. 15. The γάρ confirms the preceding έν κ. τδ αὐτδ πνεῦμα, by an analogy. By the repetition, -τδ σωμα,

.... τοῦ σώματος ..., σώμα, the unity of the members as an organic whole is more strongly set forth. 13.7 This shewn from our being baptized into one body, and receiving one Spirit. For in (see on ver. 9) one Spirit also (the emphasis on $\ell\nu$ ℓ $\pi\nu$, to which words $\kappa\alpha\ell$ belongs) we all were baptized into one Body, whether Jews or Greeks, whether slaves or freemen; and we all were made to drink of one Spirit (or, 'all watered by one Spirit,' viz. the water of Baptism, here taken as identical with the Spirit whose influence accompanied it). So (understanding the whole verse of baptism) Chrys., Theophyl., Œc., Rückert, Meyer, De Wette. Luther, Beza, Calv., Estius, Grot., al., refer the latter half to the Lord's Supper: and this is mentioned by Chrys. and Theophyl .: - Billroth and Olsh, to the abiding influence of the Spirit in strengthening and refreshing. But the aor. έποτίσθημεν, referring to a fact gone by, is fatal to both these latter interpretations: besides that it would be harsh to understand even εls εν πν. ἐποτίσθ. (see var. readd.) and impossible to understand εν πν. ¿ποτ., of the cup in the Lord's Supper.

14. Analogy, by which this multiplicity in unity is justified: it is even so in the natural body, - which, though one, consists of many members. The object of

 15 έὰν εἴπη ὁ ποὺς "Οτι οὐκ εἰμὶ χείρ, οὐκ "εἰμὶ "εκ τοῦ "here times σώματος, οὐ " παρὰ τοῦτο οὐκ " ἔστιν "εκ τοῦ σώματος; Ματι χειι 16 καὶ ἐὰν εἴπη τὸ " οὖς "Ότι οὐκ εἰμὶ ὀφθαλμός, οὐκ " εἰμὶ 23 , Joha l. 24 , Acts xxi. - 16 καὶ ἐὰν εἴπη τὸ " οὖς "Ότι οὐκ εἰμὶ ὀφθαλμός, οὐκ " εἰμὶ 24 , Acts xxi. - 24 , Acts xx 8 al. Obad. 11.

— here his
only. Polyb.
1. 52. 4,
παρά τι νῦν εκ του σώματος, ου ^a παρα τουτο ουκ εκστιν εκ του σώ- ^a ματος; 17 εί ύλον τὸ σωμα ὀφθαλμός, ° ποῦ ἡ d ἀκοή; εί σφαλείολον ακοή, επου ή εσσφρησις; 18 f νυν δε ο θεος ε έθετο τὰ μέλη, " ἐν "ἔκαστον αὐτῶν ἐν τῷ σώματι ' καθὼς ἢθέλησεν. 19 εἰ δὲ ην $[^k$ τὰ $]^k$ πάντα εν μέλος, c ποῦ τὸ σῶμα;

d = 2 Pet. ii. 8. Xen. Mem. i. 4. 6. g = Acts xx, 28. ver. 28. Gen. xvii. 5. i Matt. vi. 8. Luke v. 31 []. Prov. xviii. 2.

e here only †.
h Acts xvii. 27 reff.
m = 2 Cor. x. 7.

ing to the sense of the reader: they thus

15. for εστιν, ειμι(?) ℵ¹ (but corrd).

18. rec vuvi, with CD3KLX rel Chr, Thart Damase (Ec: txt ABD1F 1 Thl.

19. om τα BF 17: ins ACDKLN rel.

20. vvv F 32. 47. 67. 80. 114 Chr, Thl. om Mey BD1 73. 114 D-lat goth Aug. 21. om δε (as being in the way? but it brings out a contrast to the unity just insisted on) ACF d m fuld(and demid) Syr copt (Orig) Bas (Thdrt,) Jer: ins BDKLX rel syr goth Chr Thdrt Damasc Thl (Ec Aug Pelag Ambrst. rec om δ (absorbed in the οφθαλμος follg?), with K e h o: ins ACDLN rel Orig Bas Chr Thdrt Damasc (In ver 17, D1 ins δ bef οφθαλμος.) Œc Thl-comm.

the continuation of the simile seems to be, to convince them that their various gifts had been bestowed by God on them as members of the Christian body, and that they must not, because they did not happen to possess the gifts of another, consider themselves excluded from the body, -in which the weaker as well as the stronger, the less comely as well as the more comely members were necessary. The student will remember the fable spoken by Menenius Agrippa to the mutinous plebs in Livy ii. 32. The passage is also illustrated by Seneca de Ira, ii. 31, 'Quid si nocere velint manus pedibus, manibus oculi? Ut omnia inter se membra consentiunt, quia singula servari totius interest: ita homines singulis parcent, quia ad cœlum geniti sumus: salva autem esse societas nisi amore et custodia partium non potest:'and by Marc. Antonin. ii. 1, where in his morning meditations on the duty of repressing anger through the day, he says, γεγόναμεν γὰρ πρὸς συνεργίαν, ὡς πόδες, ὡς χεῖρες, ὡς βλέφαρα, ὡς οἱ στοῖχοι τῶν άνω καὶ τῶν κάτω ὀδόντων τὸ οὖν ἀντιπράσσειν ἀλλήλοις, παρὰ φύσιν. See also id. vii. 13: Clem. ad Cor. c. xxxvii. p. 284: and other examples in Wetstein. 15.] The ort is rightly rendered in E. V. because. οὐ παρὰ τ. κ.τ.λ.] These words are best taken as a question, appeal-

have more of the vigour of the Apostle's style, than taken affirmatively. see reff. ἐκ τ. σ., belonging to the body as an aggregate; so είς ἐκ τῶν δώδεκα. -- ήσαν έκ των Φαρισαίων. double negation strengthens, -see Winer, edn. 6, § 55. 9 b (he takes the two, in this case, as destroying one another [?], see ib. a). 17.] The necessity of the members to one another, and to the body. Understand $\hat{\eta}_{\nu}$ in each clause, which is indeed expressed in ver. 19. νῦν δέ, but as the case really stands: see Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 25. μέλη, generally, - εν εκαστον αὐτων, seveκαθώς ήθέλ. answers to καθώς rally. 19.] The same βούλεται, ver. 11. 'reductio ad absurdum' which has been made in the concrete twice in ver. 17, is now made in the abstract : if the whole were one member, where would be the body (which by its very idea μέλη έχει πολλά: see vv. 12, 14)? 20. Brings out the fact in contrast to ver. 19, as ver. 18 in contrast to ver. 17. . 21—26.] And the spiritual gifts are also necessary to one another. This is spoken in reproof of the highly endowed, who imagined they could do without those less gifted than themselves, as the preceding to those of small endowment, who were discontented

 22 'Αλλὰ $^{\rm n}\pi$ ολλῷ $^{\rm n}$ μᾶλλον τὰ $^{\rm o}$ δοκοῦντα μέλη τοῦ σώματος $^{\rm ABCDF}_{\rm KLNab}$ n Rom. v. 9, 10 reff. o = (1) Matt. xvii. 25 al. (2) ch. iv. 9 al. p Acts ii. 30 ασθενέστερα ^P υπάρχειν ^q αναγκαΐα έστιν, ²³ και α ° δοκου- cdefg μεν τατιμότερα είναι του σώματος, τούτοις ετιμήν t περισ- 017 reff. q Acts xiii. 46 reff. σοτέραν * περιτίθεμεν, καὶ τὰ ' ἀσχήμονα ἡμῶν " εὐσχημοreff. r ch. iv. 10 reff. s Esth. i. 20. t Mark xii, 40 σύνην ^t περισσοτέραν έχει. 24 τὰ δὲ * εὐσχήμονα ἡμῶν οὐ ι Μανκ χίι, 40 ουν ην περισσοτεραν εχει. 2* τὰ δὲ 2* εὐσχήμονα ἡμῶν οὐ Ιωκε χίι, 41, 3 χρείαν 3 ἔχει ἀλλὰ ὁ θεὸς 2 συνεκέρασεν τὸ σῶμα, τῷ από here (Matt. 3 ὑστερουμέν $_{0}$ 4 περισσοτέραν δοὺς τιμήν, 25 ἴνα μὴ 7 ½ χαχίι, 28 3 σχίσμα έν τῷ σώματι, ἀλλὰ τὸ αὐτὸ ὑπερ ἀλλήλων $\frac{38\pi ii.29}{MK.42}$ σχισμα εν τω σωματι, αλλα $\frac{1}{MK.42}$ καὶ είτε πάσχει εν μέλος, $\frac{1}{MK}$ συν- $\frac{38\pi ii.29}{(Ruth. iii.3)}$ μεριμνωσιν τὰ μέλη. $\frac{26}{K}$ καὶ είτε πάσχει εν μέλος, $\frac{1}{M}$ συν-(-θεσε. 11.3) πάσχει πάντα τὰ μέλη· εἴτε ° δυξάζεται [εν] μέλος, [†] συγ-Veter only, παθο χετ πατρια το μεσίνη, Rom. i. 27.)

Dent. xxiv. 1. (-μονείν, ch. vii. 36. -μοσίνη, Rom. i. 27.)

xiii. 50 reff. (-μόνως, ch. xiv. 40.)

39 only. bc.h.i. 10 reff. bc.h.i. 10 reff. peut, xxiv. 1. (μονέω, ch. vii. 36. -μοσίνη, Rom. i. 27.) where only t. Polgb. x. 18.7. x. Acts xii. 50 reff. (-μόνως, ch. xir. 40.) where only t. Polgb. x. 18.7. x. Acts xii. 45 reff. bell. iv. 2 lleh. iv. 2 only t. 2 Maco. xv. bell. i. 10 reff. ch. x. 11 reff. constr. acc., ch. vii. 32, δc. reff. w. υπέρ. here only. Ps. xxvii. 18. d. Rom. viii. 17 only t. 1 Kings xxii. 8 ymm. c. = here only. f. Luke i. 88. xv. 6, 9, ch. xiii. 6. Phil. ii. 17, 18 only. L. P. Gen. xxi. 6 only.

23. ins μελη bef του σωματος DF latt Damasc lat-ff; bef ειναι 17.

24. aft εχει ins τιμης D F-gr Syr. Γαλλα, so ABCDLℵ b e g m o.] συνεκερασεν bef ο θεος A æth: om ο θ. syr. om το X1. rec υστερουντι (appy corrn to more usual N. T. expression), with DFKLR3 rel Orig Dial Chr Thdrt Œc Thl: txt ABCR1 17 Melet(in Epiph) Damasc. for περισσοτεραν δους τιμην, τι περισσοτερον δους B(see table).

25. σχισματα D¹FLN rel fuld arm Bas Antch Damasc Thl Aug, Sedul: txt ABCD2.3K f h l m o 17 vulg(and F-lat) D-lat syrr copt Orig Aug, Ambrst. for το αυτο, τα

μεριμνα DF Thl-marg.

26. for 1st ειτε, ει τι BF latt syr Ambret Pelag Cassiod Bede: txt ACDKLN rel vss Chr Thdrt Damase Thl Œc lat-ff. om 1st év A Orig, om 2nd év ABN1.

with their gifts. 22, 23. Nay, the relation between the members is so entirely different from this, that the very disparagement, conventionally, of any member, is the reason why more care should be taken of it. I understand by the $\tau \dot{a}$ δοκούντα μέλη τοῦ σώματος ἀσθενέστερα ὑπάρχειν, those members which in each man's case appear to be inheritors of disease, or to have incurred weakness. By this very fact, their necessity to him is brought out much more than that of 23. So also in the case the others. of the parts & δοκοῦμεν ἀτιμότερα είναιon which usage has set the stamp of dishonour. Perhaps he alludes (as distinguished from τὰ ἀσχήμ. below) to those limbs which we conceal from sight in accordance with custom, but in the exposure of which there would be no absolute indecency. So Chrys., καλῶς εἶπε τὰ δοκοῦντα, καὶ ἃ δοκοῦμεν (but I should draw a distinction between the two, in accordance with the above explanation of ἀσθενέστ., and render τὰ δοκοῦντα, which appear to be, and & δοκοθμεν, which we think: notice also ὑπάρχειν and εἶναι, on which see Acts xvi. 20, note) δεικνὺς ὅτι οὐ τῆς φύσεως τῶν πραγμάτων, ἀλλὰ τῆς των πολλων ύπονοίας ή ψηφος.

τιμ. περισσ. περιτίθ. viz. by clothing:

honouring them more than the face, the noblest part, which we do not clothe. καὶ τὰ ἀσχ. Here there is no ἃ δοκοῦμεν, and no ambiguity. Chrys. says: .. άλλ άμως πλείονος ἀπολαύει τιμής και οί σφόδρα πένητες, καν το λοιπον γυμνον ἔχωσι σώμα, οὺκ ὰν ἀνάσχοιντο ἐκεῖνα τὰ μέλη δείξαι γυμνά. 24.] The comely parts are in some measure neglected, not needing to be covered or adorned : but (opposed to xpelav exel God (at the creation) tempered the body together (compounded it of members on a principle of mutual compensation),-to the deficient part giving more abundant honour, there be no disunion (see ver. 21) in the body, but that the members may have the same care (viz. that for mutual wellbeing) for one another. The verb is plur., on account of the personification of the individual members (Meyer). 26.] καί, and accordingly, in matter of fact : we see that God's temperament of the body has not failed of its purpose, for the members sympathize most intimately with one another. πάσχει . . . συνπάσχει] καὶ γαρ τη πτέρνη πολλάκις προςπαγείσης άκάνθης, όλον το σώμα αἰσθάνεται καὶ μεριμνά και νώτος κάμπτεται, και γαστήρ καὶ μηροί συστέλλονται, καὶ χείρες καθάπερ δορυφόροι κ. ὑπηρέται προςιόντες ἀνέλχαίρει πάντα τὰ μέλη. $\frac{27}{6}$ ὑμεῖς δέ ἐστε σῶμα χριστοῦ καὶ $\frac{8-\text{ch. vii. 5}}{\text{refl. siii. 9}}$ μέλη $\frac{8}{6}$ ἐκ $\frac{h}{4}$ μέρους. $\frac{28}{6}$ καὶ $\frac{1}{6}$ οῦς μὲν $\frac{k}{6}$ ἔθετο ὁ θεὸς ἐν τη $\frac{k}{6}$ (ξεκπικ) είς την εκκλησία πρῶτον ἀποστόλους, δεύτερον $\frac{1}{6}$ προφήτας, $\frac{27}{6}$ τεκπιτα $\frac{9}{6}$ λεύτερον $\frac{1}{6}$ αρίσματα $\frac{1}{6}$ τεκπιτα $\frac{9}{6}$ λεύτερον, $\frac{1}{6}$ καρίσματα $\frac{1}{6}$ τεκπιτα $\frac{9}{6}$ λαντιλήμψεις, $\frac{8}{6}$ κυβερνήσεις, $\frac{9}{7}$ γένη $\frac{9}{7}$ γλωσσῶν, $\frac{1}{6}$ κυβερνήσεις, $\frac{9}{7}$ γένη $\frac{9}{7}$ γλωσσῶν, $\frac{1}{6}$ κυβερνήσεις, $\frac{1}{6}$ γένη $\frac{9}{7}$ γλωσσῶν, $\frac{1}{6}$ κυβερνήσεις, $\frac{1}{6}$ γένη $\frac{1}{6}$ για το τεκπιτα $\frac{1}{6}$ εντι Εξερνήσεις, $\frac{1}{6}$ για προφήται $\frac{1}{6}$ μὶ $\frac{1}{6}$ εντι Εξερνήσεις $\frac{1}{6}$ μη πάντες ἀπόστολοι $\frac{1}{6}$ μη πάντες $\frac{1}{6}$ προφήται $\frac{1}{6}$ μις εντι Εξερνήσεις $\frac{1}{6}$ μη πάντες απόστολοι $\frac{1}{6}$ μη πάντες $\frac{1}{6}$ προφήται $\frac{1}{6}$ μη πάντες $\frac{1}{6}$ καν εντι Εξερνήσεις $\frac{1}{6}$ καν εντι Εξερνήσεις $\frac{1}{6}$ εντι Εξερνήσεις $\frac{1}{6}$ καν εντι Εξερνήσεις $\frac{1}{6}$ καν εντι Εξερνήσεις $\frac{1}{6}$ με το τεκπιτα $\frac{1}{6}$ καν εντι Εξερνήσεις $\frac{1}{6}$ καν εντι Εξ

Eph. iv. 11. n Acts xi. 27 reff. 0 1 Tim. ii. 7. 2 Tim. i. 11. p = ver. 10. qver. 9 (reff.). p = ver. 10. p + ver. 00, p = xxi. 19. Sir. xi. 12. 2 Macs. vii. 10. (- $\lambda \alpha \mu = \beta a \omega e \sigma d \omega a_1$, Acts xx. 35.) shere only. Prov. i. 5. x. ii. 4. (xx. 18. F.) xxii. 6 only.

27. $\sigma\omega\mu\alpha$ bef $\epsilon\sigma\tau\epsilon$ F Ambr. for $\mu\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu$, $\mu\epsilon\lambda\sigma\nu$ s (perhaps error: perhaps, as Mey, $\epsilon\kappa$ $\mu\epsilon\rho$, was not understood) D¹(and lat) vulg syr($\mu\epsilon\rho$, marg) arm Orig, Eus Nyssen Epiph Cyr, Thdrt, Proel lat-fl(om $\epsilon\kappa$ $\mu\epsilon$. Hil Ang): txt is supported by Orig, Chr Thdrt Damasc CE Thl.

28. rec for 2nd επειτα, ειτα (corrn as more usual, folly επειτα: the omn may be accounted for by a desire to throw all into one catalogue), with KL rel Thdrt Œc Thl: om DF Hil Ambr: txt ABCN a 17 Bas Cyr.jer Chr Cyr Damasc. om γενη Ν¹:

ins above the line X-corr1.

κουσι το παγέν, καὶ κεφαλή ἐπικύπτει, καὶ ἀρθαλμοὶ μετὰ πολλῆς δρῶσι τῆς φροντίδος. Chrys. δοξάξεται . . συγχαίρει] Chrys, again with equal beauty instances, στεφανούται ἡ κεφαλή, καὶ ἄπας ὁ ἀνθρωπος δοξάξεται · λέγει τὸ στόμα, καὶ γελῶσιν ὀφθαλμοὶ καὶ εὐφραίνονται. But perhaps the analogy requires that we should rather understand δοξ. of those things which physically refresh or benefit the member, e.g. anointing or nourishment.

27. Application of all that has been said of the physical body, to the Corinthians as the mystical body of Christ: and to individuals among them, as members in particular, i. e. each according to his allotted part in the body. Each church is said to be the body of Christ, as each is said to be the temple of God (see ch. iii. 16, note): not that there are many bodies or many temples; but that each church is an image of the whole aggregate,-a microcosm, having the same characteristics. Chrys. would understand ἐκ μέρους-ὅτι ἡ έκκλησία ή παρ' ύμιν μέρος έστι της πανταχοῦ κειμένης ἐκκλησίας, και τοῦ σώματος τοῦ διὰ πασῶν συνισταμένου τῶν ἐκκλησιῶν: but this, though true, does not appear to have been here before the Apostle,-only the whole Corinthian church as the body of Christ, and its individual components as

versal) church, a sense more frequently found in the Epistle to the Ephesians, than in any other part of St. Paul's writings.

πρ. ἀποστόλους] Not merely the Twelve are thus designated, but they and others who bore the same name and had equal power, e. g. Paul himself, and Barnabas, and James the Lord's brother: see also προφ.] See διδασκάλους] See note on Rom. xvi. 7. above, on ver. 10. reff.: those who had the gift of expounding and unfolding doctrine and applying it to practice, - the λόγος σοφίας and the δυνάμεις] He here λόγος γνώσεως. passes to the abstract nouns from the concrete, -perhaps because no definite class of persons was endowed with each of the following, but they were promiscuously granted to all orders in the church: more probably, however, without any assignable reason; as in Rom. xii. 6-8, he passes from the abstract to the concrete.

άντιλήμψεις] i. e. ἀντέχεσθαι τῶν ἀσθενῶν and the like, as Chrys. forming one department of the διακονίαι of ver. 5: as do also κυβερνήσεις, a higher department, that of the presbyters or bishops—the direction of the various churches. Υένη γλωσσῶν] είδες ποῦ τέθεικε τουτί τὸ χάρισμα, καὶ πῶς πανταχοῦ τὴν ἐσχάτην αὐτῷ νέμει τάξιν; Chrys. There certainly seems to be intention in placing this last in rank : but I am persuaded that we must not, with Meyer, seek for a classified arrangement: here, as above, vv. 7-11, it seems rather suggestive than logical: the χαρ. laμ. naturally snggesting the ἀντιλήμψεις,—and those again, the assistances to carry out the work of the church, as naturally bringing in the κυβερνήσειs, the government and guidance of it.

29, 30.] The application of the

t Acts II. 4 χ. 46, χιε, κ. α πάντες α διδάσκαλοι; μη πάντες α δυνάμεις; α μη πάντες ABCDF Κίκαδ οι κ. χιε, κ. α χαρίσματα έχουσιν α ι αμάτων; μη πάντες α γλώσσαις α ο defg 31 · Ζηλούτε δε τὰ · χαρίσματα τὰ · μείζονα· καὶ έτι (**ver*/ic.*) γ καθ' γ ύπερβολην ² όδον ύμιν δείκνυμι. ΧΙ 59 (c.iii. d. al.) only. Sir. II. 8. (**ver*, 4 reff. 2. c. c. h. xii. 13. xiv. 6. 13 reff. 2. c. h. iv. 17. 1 Kings xii. 23. sec Acts xiii. 10 reff. γκαθ' γ ύπερβολην ε όδον ύμιν δείκνυμι. ΧΙΙΙ. Ι έαν ταις

31. rec for μειζονα, κρειττονα, with DFKL rel (-σσονα DF &c) latt copt Original Sevrn-c Chr Damasc₂ Phot Thl(οὐκ εἶπε τὰ μείζονα ἀλλὰ τὰ κρείττονα): txt ABCN m 17.73 am Syr (syr ?) ath Orig₂ Thdor-in-ctn Thdrt-comm Damasc₂ Phot Orig-int Jer₃. om και F old-lat. for ετι, ειτι D¹: ετει F.

questions already asked vv. 17-19. 29. δυνάμεις not, as Meyer, al., accusative, governed by έχουσω-which involves a departure from the parallelism, besides the harshness of construction :- but nominative, in apposition with πάντες. The Apostle has above placed the concrete, απόστολοι, προφήται, διδάσκαλοι, in apposition with δυνάμεις and χαρίσμ. ίαμ., and now proceeds with the same arrangement till he comes to χαρίσματα ἰαμάτων, which being too palpably unpredicable of persons, gives rise to the change of construction .μή πάντες χαρ. έχουσιν ιαμάτων; In the last two questions, he departs from the order of the last verse, and takes in again one particular from the former catalogue, Meyer compares Hom. Il. v. ver. 10. 726-734. See Stanley's note and excur-31.] But (he has been shewing that all gifts have their value : and that all are set in the church by God : some however are more valuable than others) do ye aim at the greater gifts (μείζ. is explained ch. xiv. 5). This exhortation is not inconsistent with ver. 11: but, as we look for the divine blessing on tillage and careful culture, so we may look for the aid of the Spirit on carefully cultivated powers of the understanding and speech; -and we may notice that the greater gifts, those of προφητεία and διδασκαλία, consisted in the inspired exercise of the conscious faculties, in which culture and diligence would be useful accessories. "Spiritus dat, ut vult (ver. 11): sed fideles tamen libere alind præ alio possunt sequi et exercere, c. xiv. 26." Bengel. Compare also xiv. 39. There is thus no need to explain away ζηλοῦτε, as Grot. (" agite cum Deo precibus ut accipiatis") and others: or to depart from the known usage of χαρίσματα, and explain it to mean faith, hope, and love, as Morus, or the fruits of love, as Billroth. καὶ ἔτι] And moreover: besides exhorting you to emulate the greatest gifts.

καθ' ὑπ. ὁδ.] An eminently excellent way, viz. of emulating the greatest gifts:

γὰρ δηλοῖ τὸ καὶ ἔτι), ἐὰν ὅλως ζηλωταὶ ύπάρχητε χαρισμάτων, δείξω ύμιν μίαν όδον καθ' ύπερβολήν, τουτέστιν, ύπερέχουσαν, ήτις φέρει ἐπὶ πάντα τὰ χαρίσματα. την άγάπην δὲ λέγει. καθ' ὑπερβ. must not be joined with the verb, - 'est adhuc via quam vobis diligentissime demonstro' (Pagnini's version, and some mentioned by Estius): see reff. and cf. ή μάλιστα ἀναγνώρισις, Arist. Poet. ii. 6,μάλα στρατηγόν, Xen. Hell. vi. 2. 39,—
εδ πράξις, Æsch. Agam. 262,—σφόδρα
οταιών, Plat. Legg. i. p. 639 c, and
other examples in Bernhardy, Syntax, p.
338. The explanation of Estius and Billroth, that the way which he is about to shew them is 'multo excellentiorem iis donis de quibus hactenus egit' (Est.), is clearly wrong: the opening verses of ch. xiii. shewing, that he does not draw a comparison between love and gifts, but only shews that it is the only WAY, in which gifts can be made effectual in the highest sense. See also on ch. xiv. 1.

—so Theophyl.: καλ μετά τούτων (τοῦτο

CHAP. XIII. 1-13. THE PANEGYRIC OF Love; as the principle without which all gifts are worthless (1-3): its attributes (4-7): its eternity (8-12): its superior dignity to the other great Christian graces (13). Meyer quotes from Valcknaer, p. 299: "Sunt figure oratorie, que hoc caput illuminant, omnes sua sponte natæ in animo heroico, flagrante amore Christi et huic amori divino omnia postponente.' "It may," he adds, "without impropriety be called 'a Psalm of Love:"-the שיר יִדִיהת of the New Test. (see Ps. xlv. title). "On each side of this chapter the tumult of argument and remonstrance still rages: but within it, all is calm: the sentences move in almost rhythmical melody: the imagery unfolds itself in almost dramatic propriety: the language arranges itself with almost rhetorical accuracy. We can imagine how the Apostle's amanuensis must have paused to look up in his master's face at the sudden change of his style of

The same of and the

 t γλώσσαις τῶν s ἀνθρώπων t λαλῶ καὶ τῶν a ἀγγέλων, a ω ch. ir. 9 ε ανάπην δὲ μὴ ἔχω, b γέγονα c χαλκὸς d ηχῶν n c κύμβα - c καικ κι s λον t ἀλαλάζον. 2 κᾶν ἔχω g προφητείαν καὶ είδῶ τὰ c και c κ λου f ἀλαλάζου. 2 κᾶυ εχω $^{\alpha}$ προφητειώ h μυστήρια πάντα καὶ πᾶσαν τὴν i γνῶσιν, κᾶν k ἔχω πᾶσαν d here (Luke h μυστήρια πάντα καὶ πᾶσαν τὴν i γνῶσιν, ἀγάπην δὲ μὴ ἔχω, out της h της h τὴν k πίστιν ωςτε l ὄρη lm μεθιστάνειν, ἀγάπην δε μὴ έχω, n οὐθέν είμι. 3 κὰν o ψωμίσω πάντα τὰ p ὑπάρχοντά (-χος, Acts ii. 2.)

Chap. XIII. 1. homoeotel in \aleph^1 from $\mu\eta$ $\epsilon\chi\omega$ to $\mu\eta$ $\epsilon\chi\omega$ next ver: supplied by corr! for $\gamma\epsilon\gamma\omega\alpha$, $\epsilon\nu$ $\epsilon\iota\mu\iota$ D¹, also addg η , F, [in] unum sum ut old-lat(viz, N-corr1.

D-lat E-lat G-lat spee) with Augalia Pelag Bede. αλαλα(ων AD d.
2. rec (for κῶν) και εαν (twice in this ver and twice in next), with DFKL(N) rel Chr
Thdrt Damasc, 1st (4th time και αν) B, 4th 17: txt AC, 2nd and 3rd B, 1st 2nd and 3rd 17. ins τa bef $\pi a \nu \tau a$ F. $\mu \epsilon \theta \iota \sigma \tau a \alpha a$ BDFN-corr 1 m 17 Clem Th1: txt ACKL rel Orig $_2$ Chr Thdrt Damase (Ec. elz $o \nu \delta \epsilon \nu$, with D 1 FK Method Mac $_1$ Chr Thdrt: txt ABCD 3 N Clem Eph Bas Mac $_1$ Damase (Ec Th1-comm.

elz ψωμίζω (corrn, the force of the aor not being perceived), with K: txt A

dictation, and seen his countenance lighted up as it had been the face of an angel, as the sublime vision of divine perfection passed before him." Stanley. ἐἀν λαλῶ supposes a ease which never has been exemplified: even if I can speak, or as E. V. though I speak. So Isocr. Areop. p. 142, - άλλ' ἐὰν μὲν κατορθώσωσι περί τινας πράξεις, ή διὰ τύχην, ή δι' άνδρδς άρετήν, μικρόν διαλιπόντες πάλιν είς τὰς αὐτὰς ἀπορίας κατέστησαν. See Matthiæ, § 523. 1. ταις γλώσσαις τ. ἀνθρ. κ. τ. ἀγγ.] ὅρα πόθεν ἄρχεται πρώτον ἀπὸ τοῦ θαυμαστοῦ δοκοῦντος είναι παρ' αὐτοῖς καὶ μεγάλου, τῶν γλωσσων. Chrys. It is hardly possible to understand γλωσσαι here of any thing but articulate forms of speech: i. e. languages. Meyer and De W., who deny that the speaking with tongues was ever in an articulate language, vehemently impugn such a rendering here. But their own rendering is to me undistinguishable from it, as far as the sense is concerned: 'tongues speaking in all possible ways,' surely, in the common acceptation of words, must mean, tongues speakiny all possible languages, and the use of the word indifferently for the tongue and a tongue (a language), when this very gift is spoken of, e. g. Acts ii. 4, compared with 11, and here as compared with ch. xii. 30, is one of the strongest proofs that λαλείν γλώσσαις is to speak in languages: see note on Acts ii. 4.

Of men (generie) and of angels (generie): i. e. 'of all men and all angels,' whatever those tongues may be. ἀγάπην] Love to all, in its most general

sense, as throughout the chapter: no dis-

tinction being here drawn between love to man and to God, but the general principle dealt with, from which both spring. The 'Caritas' of the Latin versions has oecasioned the rendering 'charity' in most modern versions. Of this word Stanley remarks, "the limitation of its meaning on the one hand to mere almsgiving, or on the other to mere toleration, has so much narrowed its sense, that the simpler term 'Love,' though too general exactly to meet the ease, is now the best equivalent."

γέγονα] I am become; the ease supposed

is regarded as present : 'if I can speak . . . I am become.' χαλκ. ήχ.] Brass, of any kind, struck and yielding a sound: i.e. αναίσθητόν τι κ. άψυχον. Chrys. No particular musical instrument seems to be meant. κύμβαλον] κύμβαλα ἦν πλα-τέα κ. μεγάλα χάλκεα, Jos. Antt. vii. 12. 3. The Heb. name is most expressive, צַלְצֵלִים There appear to have been two sorts, mentioned in Ps. el. 5, צלצְלֵי שֶׁמַע and צּלְצְלֵי שֶׁמַע, rendered by the LXX, κυμβάλοις εὐήχοις -and κ. ἀλαλαγμοῦ, as here. Winer thinks the former answered to our castagnettes, the latter to our cymbals. The larger kind would be here meant. See Winer, RWB. art. 'Becken.' λάζον] see Ps. cl. cited above. άλaτὰ μυστήρ. πάντα are all the secrets of the divine counsel,—see Rom. xi. 25 (note); xvi. 25,—and reff. The knowledge of these would be the perfection of the gift of prophecy. The verb belongs to both μυστ. and γνωσιν. The full construction would be είδῶ μυστ. and ἔχω γνῶσιν.

την πίστιν hardly, as Stanley, implies 'all

the faith in the world,' but rather, 'all

BCDFLN rel. $\kappa\alpha\nu\theta\eta\sigma\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$ DFKL b² e d f h k Mac Max: $\kappa\alpha\nu\chi\eta\sigma\omega\mu\alpha\iota$ ABN 17 copt-ms with Ephr Jer(from gr-mss asserts apud Græcos ipsos ipsa exemplaria diversa esse, but thinks, ob similitudinem $\kappa\alpha\nu\theta\eta\sigma\omega\mu\alpha\iota$ el $\kappa\alpha\nu\chi\eta\sigma\omega\mu\alpha\iota$ apud Latinos errorem inolevisse): txt CK rel Chr Thdrt lat-ff Jacob-nisib. $\sigma\nu\theta\epsilon\nu$ AN 17. 73 Bas-ms: txt BCDFKL rel Chr Thdrt.

4. om 3rd η αγαπη B a 17. 19. 55. 73-4. 118-221 lect-17 vulg copt arm Clem Ephr

Chr Thi Tert Ambrst Ambr. περπορευεται A Ephr.

5. for τα εαυτης, το μη εαυτης B Clem.

the faith required to,' &c.: or perhaps the art. conveys the allusion to our Lord's saying, Matt. xvii. 20; xxi. 21: 'all that 3. The double faith,' so as, &c. accus, after ψωμίζω is found in the reff. to LXX: but here the accus. of the person is omitted, and left to be supplied from the context: If I bestow in food all my substance. See the quotation from Coleridge in Stanley's note. παραδ. τ. σώμ. μ. ίνα καυθ.] So ref. Dan., καὶ παρέδωκαν τὰ σώματα αὐτῶν εἰς ἐμπυρισμόν, LXX. πῦρ, Theod.: see also 2 Macc. vii. 37. He evidently means in self-sacrifice: for country, or friends. Both the deeds mentioned in this verse are such as ordinarily are held to be the fruits of love, but they may be done without it, and if so, are worthless. Stanley prefers καυχήσωμαι and Lachmann has edited it. The objections to it seem to me to be, (1) It leaves παοαδώ standing in a very vague and undefined meaning - " deliver, to what?" (2) It introduces an irrelevant and confusing element, a boastful motive, into a set of hypotheses which put forward merely an aet or set of aets on the one side, and the absence of love on the other: and indeed, worse still, (3) it makes an hypothesis which would reduce the self-sacrifiee to nothing, and would imply the absence of love; and so would render άγάπην δὲ μὴ ἔχω nnnecessary. 4-7.] The blessed attributes of love.

A.] μακροθυμεί is the negative side, χρηστώνται the positive, of a loving temper: the former, the withholding of anger; the latter, the exercise of kindness. οὐ ζηλοῖ, 'knows neither emy nor jealousy';' both are included under the more general sense of ζηλος.

περπερεύεται] The word occurs in Ciccro ad Attic. i. 14: 'Di boni! quomodo ἐπερευσάμην novo auditori Pompeio!' and Marc. Antonin. v. 5: ἀρεσκεύεσθαι, καὶ περπερεύεσθαι, κ. τοσαῦτα ὑιπτάξεσθαι τῆς ψυχῆ. Among the examples in Wetst. of πέρπερος and περπέρεια, is a good definition from Basil: τί ἐστι τὸπερτερεύεσθαι; τῶν ὁ μὴ διὰ χρείαν, ἀλλὰ διὰ καλλωπισμὸν περιλομβάνεται περπερείας ἔχει κατηγορίαν. And the Etymol. Mag,—ἀντὶ τοῦ, ματαιοῦται, ἀτακτεῖ, κατεπαίρεται μετὰ βλακείαε ἐπαιρθμένον. The nearest English expression would perhaps be displays not itself. See Wetst. Φυσ., see, for a contrast, ch. viii. 1.

 οὐκ ἀσχημονεῖ seems to be general, without particular reference to the disorders in public speaking with tongues. τὰ έαυτης - Love is so personified, as here to be identified with the man possessing the grace, who does not seek τὰ ἐαυτοῦ: see ch. x. 33. οὐ λογίζ. τὸ κακόν] imputeth not (the) evil: οὐδὲν πονηρὸν οὐ μόνον οὐ κατασκευάζει ἀλλ' ουδὲ ὑποπτεύει κατὰ τοῦ ἀγαπημένου, Chrys.: and so Theodoret, Theophyl., Estius, Rückert, Meyer: and this is better and more accordant with the sense of λογίζεται, than the more general rendering 'thinketh no evil.' And we must not overlook the article, which seems here to have the force of implying that the evil actually exists, 'the evil' which is,-but Love does not impute it. So Theodoret, συγγινώσκει τοις επταισμένοις, οὐκ ἐπὶ κακῷ σκόπφ ταῦτα γεγενησθαι ὑπολαμβάνων.

6. οὐ χ. ἐπὶ τῆ ἀδ.] rejoices not at (the) iniquity, i. e. at its commission by others,
—as is the habit of the unloving world.

συγχαίρει τη άλ.] Most Commenta-

8. om \hbar B. rec ekpipte, with C3DKLH3 rel Clem Orig2 Mac Chr Thdrt2 Damse Ge Thl lat-ff: txt ABCH1 17 Nyssen Orig-int Ambret Aug. om de C1D1FK latt copt arm Did lat-ff: ins ABC2D2-3LH syr goth gr-ff. apolyntise katarpyhbhosta (bic) A. ymweis [or -01s] katarpyhbhosta (to conform to the preceding clauses) AD3FN 17 (Tett).

9. for γαρ, δε (perhaps because this sentence was regarded not as rendering a reason for the last, but as another assertion of the imperfection of knowledge and prophecy) ΚL rel Phot(in Œ: δὲ ἀντὶ τοῦ γάρ. αἰτὶ α γάρ ἐστι τοῦ δὶ αὶ μέλλουσι καταργ. κ. παύσ.) Œc: om 67² goth æth Orig, Melet Chr: txt ABDFN m latt Orig, Thdrt Iren-

int Hil.

10. rec ins $\tau \sigma \tau \epsilon$ bef $\tau \sigma$ $\epsilon \kappa$ $\mu \epsilon \rho \sigma \upsilon$ (for emphasis and precision), with D*-3KL rel syrr Melet Chr Thdrt: om ABDFR 17 latt copt goth ath arm Orig, Ath Damase Iren-int Orig-int. $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \gamma \eta \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \omega$ bef $\tau \sigma$ $\epsilon \kappa$ $\mu \epsilon \rho \sigma \upsilon$ D*-3F latt Syr goth Jer. $\tau \alpha$ $\epsilon \kappa$ μ . Figure 1 ren-int.

tors, as the E. V., altogether overlook the force of the verb and the altered construction, and render, 'rejoiceth in the truth :' others, who respect the verb, make τη άληθ. = τοις εὐδοκιμοῦσι (Chrys.), those to whom, as in 3 John 12, μεμαρτύρηται ύπ' αὐτης της άληθείας. But Meyer's rendering is the only one which preserves the force of both words: rejoices with the Truth, ἡ ἀλήθ. being personified, and meaning especially the spread among men (as opposed to ἀδικία) of the Truth of the Gospel, and indeed of the truth in general, - in opposition to those who (ref. Rom.) την ἀλήθειαν έν ἀδικία κατέχουσι,-who (ref. 2 Tim.) ἀνθίστανται τῆ ἀληθεία. πάντα, - i. e. all things which can be borne with a good conscience. So Bengel, of all four: 'videlicet, quæ tegenda vel credenda, quæ speranda et sufferenda sunt. στέγει bears: see note, ch. ix. 12. Hammond, Estius, Bengel (above), - 'covers:' but the variation in sense from ch. ix. is needless.

πιστ.] viz. without suspicion of another. ελπίζ.] vix., even against hope—hoping what is good of another, even when others have ceased to do so. ὑπομ.] viz. persecutions and distresses inflicted by others, rather than shew an unloving spirit to them. 8—12.] The eternal abiding of Love, when other graces have passed away. 8. πίπτε] The exact word is that of the E. V., faileth: so Theod.: οὐ

διασφάλλεται, άλλ' άελ μένει βεβαία κ. ἀσάλευτος κ. ἀκίνητος, ès ἀεὶ διαμένουσα. τοῦτο γὰρ διὰ τῶν ἐπαγομένων ἐδίδαξεν. Of the two readings, we may illustrate πίπτει by Plat. Phileb., p. 22 Ε, ἀλλὰ μήν, δ Σώκρατες, έμοιγε δοκεί νῦν μεν ήδονή σοι πεπτωκέναι καθαπερεί πληγείσα ύπὸ τῶν νῦν δη λόγων: and Polyb. x. 33. 4, κάν ποτε πέση τὰ ὅλα, "in case the whole plan should fail:" id. i. 35. 5: and ἐκπίπτει by Plat. Gorg. p. 517, εἰ οὖτοι βήτορες ἦσαν, οὔτε τῆ ἀληθινῆ βητορικῆ ἐχρῶντο (οὐ γὰρ ἄν ἐξέπεσον) οὕτε τῆ κολακικῆ: where Heindorf,- 'proprie usurpatur de actoribus, citharcedis, aliisque, qui a spectatoribus exploduntur et exsibilantur:' and by the celebrated passage in Demosthenes περί στεφ. p 315, - έτριταγωνίστεις, έγω δ' έθεώρουν. έξέπιπτες, έγὼ δ' ἐσύριττον: where also, by the way, ἔπιπτες is a various reading. By είτε, είτε, είτε, the general idea, χαρίσματα, is split into its species - be there prophesyings, - be there (speakings in) tongues,—be there know-ledge. Chrys., al., understand the two ledge. first futures, καταργ., παύσ., of the time when, the faith being every where dispersed, these gifts should be no longer needed. But unquestionably the time alluded to is that of the coming of the Lord; see ver. 12, and this applies to all these, not to the last (γνῶσις) only. The two first, προφ. and γλῶσσ., shall be absolutely supervised. lutely superseded: Yvwois, relatively: the imperfect, by the perfect.

e Rom. vi.

11. aft 1st $o\tau\epsilon$ ins $\delta\epsilon$ D¹ fuld. rec $\omega s \nu\eta\pi\omega s$ bef the verb (3 times), with DFKL rel fuld syrr Epiph Chr Thdrt₁ Thl Ec lat-ff; 1st time, m: txt AB\$ 17 vulg copt wth Clem Orig Bas Nyss (Did) Thdrt₁ Damasc Orig-int₂ Jer Aug₁. rec aft 2nd $o\tau\epsilon$ ins $\delta\epsilon$, with D³FKLN³ rel syrr copt wth Origalią Epiph Chr Thdrt Tert: on ABD¹ (and lat) N¹ am(with harl¹ tol, agst fuld al) goth Origalią Did Hil. for $\gamma\epsilon\gamma ova$, $\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\nu o\mu n\nu$ B. $\tau\alpha \tau o\nu \nu\eta\pi tov$ bef $\kappa\alpha\tau \eta \rho\gamma\eta\kappa\alpha$ DF syr goth Bas lat-ff. (not F-lat Aug.)

x = Rom 11.8; ref. = stance i. 23 only +. Wied. vii. 26. Sir. xii. 11 only + Won. vii. 15 only +. Xii. 23 only +. Wied. vii. 26. Sir. xii. 11 only - b. Gen. xxxii. 20. see 2 oloh 12. 3 John 14. Num. xii. 8. i. 32. Matt. xi. 27 bis Jer. v. 5. (absol., Acts ix. 30 only.) d.ch. xii. 11 refl. 22. vii. 6, 17 al. Job xxx. 1, 9.

12. om γαρ D¹F latt arm Cyr Tert Cypr Ambrst. ins &s bef δι εκοπτρου D-gr b g o Clem₂ Thdrt, Tert. ins και bef εν αιντγματι L f 63. 109-78 Orig_{sape} Gaud, in 2nd τοτε, τε is written over the line by N-corr¹. ins εγω bef επεγνωσθην

F D-lat G-lat tol Cypr.

Reason given ; - that our knowledge, and our prophesying (utterance of divine things) are but partial, embracing but a part : but when that which is perfect (entire-universal) shall have come, this partial shall be abolished-superseded. See Eph. iv. 11-13, where the same idea is otherwise expressed. 11.] Analogical illustration of ver. 10. νήπιος and τέλειος are used in contrast ch. ii. 6-iii. 1; xiv. έλάλουν, έφρόνουν, έλογιζόμην -I spoke, I felt (was minded), I judged. There can hardly be an allusion, as Theophyl., Œc., Bengel, Olsh., al., think, to the three gifts, of tongues (ϵλάλ.), prophecy (ἐφρόν, which suits but very lamely), and knowledge (ἐλογιζ.) ὅτε γέγ. have brought to an end the ways of a child: not, as E. V., 'when I became a man, I put away...,' as if it were done on a set day, and as if γέγ. and κατήργ. were agrists. For this use of δτε, cf. Demosth. Olynth. 1, init. 8TE TOLVUV ταῦθ' οὕτως έχει, προςήκει προθύμως ἐθέλειν ακούειν: see Kühner, § 813. 2

12.] Contrast between our present sight and knowledge,—and those in the future perfect state. Yap justifies the analogy of the former verse: for it is just so with us. Apr., in our present condition, until the Lord's coming. &t'sompou, through a mirror: i. e. as Billroth, Meyer, and De W.—according to the popular illusion, which regards the object, really seen behind the mirror, as seen through it. We must think, not of our mirrors of glass, but of the imperfectly-reflecting metallic mirrors of the ancients.

The idea of the lapis specularis, placed in windows, being meant, adopted by Schöttgen from Rabbinical usage (e.g. 'omnes prophetæ viderunt per specular obscurum, et Moses doctor noster vidit per specular lucidum' [Wetst.]: and see numerous examples in his Hor. Hebr. i. 646 ff.), and followed by many Commentators, is inconsistent with the usage of εςοπτρον, which (Meyer) is always a MIRROR (Pind. Nem. vii. 20: Anaer. xi 2; xx. 5. Lucian, Amor. xliv. 48: see also reff.): the window of lapis specularis being δίσπτρα (Strabo, xii. 2, p. 540). ἐν αἰνίγματι] There is a reference to ref. Num., στόμα κατὰ στόμα λαλήσω αὐτῷ ἐν είδει, καὶ οὐ δί αίνιγμάτων. Many take the words adverbially, - 'enigmatically' (so E. V., 'darkly'): but this cannot be, because alviyua is objective, not subjective: 'a dark hint given by words.' I agree with Meyer, notwithstanding De Wette's strong objections, in believing èv alviyuati to mean 'in a dark discourse,' viz. the revealed word, which is dark, by comparison with our future perfect knowledge. So also Luther : in einem bunkeln Wort. Thus, as M. observes, ev will denote, as ἐν τῷ κρυπτῷ, Matt. vi. 4, the local department, in which the βλέπειν takes place. $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon = \delta \tau \alpha \nu \ \epsilon \lambda \theta \eta \ \tau \delta$ τέλειον, ver. 10: 'at the Lord's coming, and after? πρόςωπ. πρὸς πρόςωπ.]
Face towards face, i. e. by immediate intuition: so Heb. in reff. I shall thoroughly know even as I was (during this life: he places himself in that state, and uses the aor, as of a thing gone by) thoroughly known. In this life we are known by God, rather than know Him: see Gal.

^f μένει πίστις έλπὶς ἀγάπη, τὰ τρία ταῦτα' ^g μείζων δὲ f - Heb. xiii.

Γμένει πίστις έλπὶς ἀγάπη, τὰ τρία ταῦτα' $^{\rm g}$ μείζων $^{\rm cl}$ $^{\rm$

reff. 1 ch. xi. 4, 5 reff. m ch. xii. 30 reff. n - Mark iv. 33. Gen. xi. 7, xlii. 23. 14, 15. Acts xvii. 16. p ch. xiii. 2 reff.

Chap. XIV. 2. $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \sigma s$ D-gr F-gr b o G²-lat Chr₁ Cyr Aug. ov X R. om $\tau \omega$ bef $\theta \epsilon \omega$ (for conformity with $\alpha \nu \theta \rho$.?) BD\FR\delta\forall 1 Chr-comm: ins AD\delta KL\R^3 rel for πνευματι, πνευμα F-gr G D-lat fuld(with ovθis X. Thdrt Damase Thl Œc. flor) Pelag Vig Bede.

iv. 9; ch. viii. 3, note,-and cf. Philo de Cherub. 32, vol. i. p. 159, νῦν ὅτε ζῶμεν, κρατούμεθα μᾶλλον ἡ ἄρχομεν, κ. γνωρι-ζόμεθα μᾶλλον ἡ γνωρίζομεν. The sense ζόμεθα μάλλον ή γνωρίζομεν. of this aor. ἐπεγνώσθην must not be forced, as in E. V., to a present, or to a future, as by some Commentators. 13.] Superiority of Love to the other great Christian graces. Some gifts shall pass away-but these three great graces shall remain for ever-FAITH, HOPE, LOVE. This is necessarily the meaning,-and not that love alone shall abide for ever, and the other two merely during the present state. For (1) vvvì δέ is not 'but now,' i. e. in this present state, as opposed to what has just been said ver. 12,—but 'rebus sic stantibus,' 'quæ cum ita sint,'—and the inference from it just the contrary of that implied in the other rendering: viz. that since tongues, prophesyings, knowledge, will all pass away, we have left but THESE THREE. (2) From the position of µévei, it has a strong emphasis, and carries the weight of the clause, as opposed to the previouslymentioned things which καταργηθήσεται. (3) From τὰ τρία ταῦτα, a pre-eminence is obviously pointed out for faith, hope, and love, distinct from aught which has gone before. This being the plain sense of the words, how can faith and hope be said to endure to eternity, when faith will be lost in sight, and hope in fruition? With hope, there is but little difficulty: but one place has inscribed over its portals, "Lasciate ogni speranza, voi che' ntrate." New glories, new treasures of knowledge and of love, will ever raise, and nourish, blessed hopes of yet more and higher, -hopes which no disappointment will blight. But how can faith abide, - faith, which is the evidence of things not seen,—where all things once believed are seen? In the form of holy confidence and trust, faith will abide even there. The stay of all conscious created being, human or angelic, is dependence on God; and where the faith which comes by hearing is out of the question, the faith which consists in trusting will be the only faith possible. Thus Hope will remain, as anticipation certain to be fulfilled: Faith will remain, as trust, entire and undoubting :- the anchor of the soul, even where no tempest comes. See this expanded and further vindicated in my Quebec Chapel Sermons, Vol. i. Serm. viii. μείζων τ.] The greater of these,—not 'greater than these.' "The greater," as De Wette beautifully remarks, "because it contains in itself the root of the other two: we believe only one whom we love,-we hope only that which we love." And thus the forms of Faith and Hope which will there for ever subsist, will be sustained in, and overshadowed by, the all-pervading superior element of eternal Love.

Chap. XIV. 1-25.] Demonstration of THE SUPERIORITY OF THE GIFT OF PRO-PHECY OVER THAT OF SPEAKING WITH 1.] Transition from the TONGUES. parenthetical matter of the last chapter to the subject about to be resumed. Pursue after Love (let it be your great aim, -important and enduring as that grace has been shewn to be): meantime however (during that pursuit; making that the first thing, take up this as a second) strive for spiritual gifts, but more (more than $\pi\nu$, in general: i. e. more for this than for others) that ye may prophesy (sc. ζηλοῦτε, Ίνα . . . as the may prophesy (et. ζηλους), 2—20.] Pro-phecy edifies the BRETHREN more than speaking with tongues. 2.] For he speaking with tongues. 2.] For he that speaks in a tongue, speaks not to men but to God; for no one understands him (so ἀκούω in reff. and Athen. ix. p. 382, ἔλεγεν ρήματα α οὐδε είς ήκουσεν αν, i. e. as a general rule, the assembly do not understand him; some, who have the gift of interpretation of tongues, may,-but they are the exception), but (opposed to

for ο δε, ει γαρ ο F-gr G; nam qui vulg(and F-lat) D-lat. ανθρωπους F.
 for λαλων, λαλει F(G adds αυί λαλων). γλωσσαις D 46 Mac. aft εκκλησιαν ins θεου F-gr G vulg-ed(not am demid fuld tol F-lat) Pelag Bede.

5. υμαs bef παντας A syrr copt Ambrst. γλωσσαις bef λαλειν A am Chr Thl: om λαλειν k¹. for υνα προφητευητε, προφητευεν D¹ vulg Jer Pelag Bede. rec (for δε aft μειζων) γαρ, with DFKLK8 rel vss Chr Thdrt Jer Ambrst: txt ABB¹ 39 copt. διερμηνευει (the later mss confound ει and η to a very great extent: see the original collations passim) KL a b c d f g h k l o Chr Thl: διερμηνευων D¹, η ο διερμηνευων F-gr (and G-gr).

6. rec vovi, with D3KL rel Chr Thl Œc: txt ABD1FX Chr-ms Thdrt Damase.

οὐδεls γὰρ ἀκούει) in the spirit (in his spirit, as opposed to in his understanding : his spirit is the organ of the Holy Ghost, but his understanding is unfruitful, see vv. 14, 15) he speaks mysteries (things which are hidden from the hearers, and sometimes also from himself):

3.] but (on the other hand) he who prophesies, speaks to men edification (genus) and (species) exhortation and (species) consolation. See the definition of prophecy given on ch. xii. 10: and Stanley's excursus introductory to this chapter. παραμυθία occurs Plato, Axioch. p. 365,—ἀσθενῆ τὴν ψυχήν, πάνυ ένδεα παραμυθίας: and Ælian, V. H. xii. 1, fin., παρεμυθήσατο 'Αρταξέρξην, κ. τδ της λύπης ιάσατο πάθος, είξαντος του βασ. τῆ κηδεμονία, κ. τῆ παραμυθία πεισθέντος συνετῶς. 4.] έαυτ. οἰκ. does not necessarily involve his understanding what he speaks: the exercise of the gift in aecordance with the prompting of the Spirit may be regarded as an οἰκοδομή: the intensity of the feeling of prayer or praise in which he utters the words is edifying to him, though the words themselves are un-intelligible. This view is necessary on account of what is said in ver. 5, that if he can interpret, he can edify not only himself but the church. εκκλησίαν] not, as Meyer, a congregation, but = την ἐκκλησίαν: the art. being often omitted when a noun in government has an emphatic place before the verb : accordingly in ver. 5, it is ή ἐκκλ., which is edified. shews that it is from no antipathy to or jealousy of the gift of tongues that he thus speaks: but (force of the $\delta \epsilon$) that he wished them all to speak with tongues, but rather that they should prophesy. The distinc-

tion between the acc. and inf. after $\theta \ell \lambda \omega$, as the simple direct object of the wish, and $T \omega$ with the subj., as its higher and ulterior object, has been lost in the E. V. The second $\delta \ell$ is opposed to the subordinate $\lambda \omega \lambda$. $\gamma \lambda$, as in ver. 1 to $\tau \lambda$ $\pi \nu e \nu \mu \omega \tau i \kappa d$.

μείζων δέ] δέ is transitional. μείζων] see reff., - superior in usefulness, and therefore in dignity. έκτὸς εἰ μή is a mixture of two constructions, ἐκτὸς εἰ, and εί μή. It is not a Hebraism, as Grot. supposes; Wetst. gives examples from Demosth., Aristides, Lucian, Sextus Empirieus: and from Thom. Mag., φαμέν, ἐκτὸς εί μη τόδε, και έκτος εί τόδε. νεύη] viz. ὁ λαλῶν γλώσση, not τις, as suggested by Flatt. On the subj. with εἰ, giving a sense not distinguishable from the ind., see Winer, edn. 6, § 41. 2 end, and Herm., on Soph. Ant. 706. 6. Example of the unprofitableness of speaking with tongues without interpreting,-expressed in the first person as of himself. δέ] 'quod cum ita sit'-viz. that there is no edification without interpretation.

εὰν ελθω] Chrys, understands the first person to imply 'not even I myself' should profit you,' &c. But then $a\dot{\nu}r\dot{\nu}s$ $\dot{\nu}\phi$ or some expression similarly emphatic would have been used. The second $\dot{\epsilon}dx$ is parallel to the first, not dependent on $\dot{\omega}\phi \dot{\epsilon} = \lambda \dot{\eta}\sigma \omega$. It is the negative side of the supposition, as $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda \dot{\sigma}\omega$. $\dot{\kappa}\tau$. $\dot{\kappa}$. Was the affirmative. On this double apodosis Hermann remarks, Soph. $\dot{\lambda}$ j. 827,—'Est enim hæc verborum complexio ex eo genere, cujus jam apud Homerum exempla invenimentur, quod duplicem habet apodosiu, alteran præmissam, sequentem alteram: que ratio ibi maxime apta est, ubi in magno animi bi maxime apta est, ubi in magno animi

τί ὑμᾶς ὡφελήσω, ἐἀν μὴ ὑμῖν λαλήσω ἢ ϶ἐν ² ἀποκαλύ- γ ch. ii. 7, 13.
Μει ἢ ϶ἐν ² γνώσει ἢ ϶ἐν απροφητεία ἢ ϶ἐν διδαχῷ ; $\frac{1}{2}$ cor. xii. $\frac{1}{2}$ το ομως τὰ αμυχα φωνὴν διδόντα, ξείτε αὐλὸς ξείτε κες. i. i. h κιθάρα, ἐἀν ι διαστολὴν τοῖς κ φθόγγοις μὴ δῷ, πῶς $\frac{1}{2}$ διαστολὴν τοῖς κ φθόγγοις μὴ δῷ, πῶς $\frac{1}{2}$ διαστολὴν τοῖς κ φθόγγοις μὸς. γνωσθήσεται τὸ 'αὐλούμενον η τὸ m κιθαριζόμενον; 8 καὶ γνωσθήσεται τὸ 'αὐλούμενον η το κιυαριστή παρασκευά- $\frac{\text{refl.}}{0 \text{ John Sil. 42.}}$ γὰρ ἐὰν "άδηλον ° φωνὴν ° σάλπιγξ ° δῷ, τίς $\frac{\text{p}}{100}$ παρασκευά- $\frac{\text{refl.}}{0 \text{ John Sil. 42.}}$ Θαί ii. 15 $\frac{\text{Gal. ii. 15}}{1000}$ γλώσσης $\frac{\text{Gal. ii. 15}}{1000}$ 24 λακο. θεάν μὴ τευσημον λόγον δῶτε, πῶς γνωσθήσεται τὸ die only the contract $\dot{\alpha}$ και $\dot{\alpha}$ λαλούμενον; έσεσθε γὰς εἰς κάρα λαλούντες. $\dot{\alpha}$ το $\dot{\alpha}$ σοις $\dot{\alpha}$

om last ϵ_{ν} D¹FR¹ b harl². (am D-lat om 2nd ϵ_{ν} : am harl² om 1st n x c. F-lat D-lat om 3rd.)

7. μη bef διαστολην τ. φθογγ. DIF. 8. σαλπ. bef φωνην AN d 17. 119 Orig. 9. for ευσημον, ευσχημον D1 21. 232. 80.

for τοις φθογγοις, φθογγου B tol D-lat Ambrst. διδω D3FL rel Thdrt Damase Thl: δωτε K: txt ABD X f Orig Chr Œc. παρασκευαζεται A Orig. δωη D1.

motu, quasi non satis sit id quod præmissum est, aliud infertur secunda apodosi, quod gravius sit et fortius. ἡ ἐν ἀποκ....]
It seems best here, with Estius, to understand 'duo juga, ut conjugata sint revelatio et prophetia, ac rursus conjugata scientia et doctrina.' So also Meyer, who observes that the ground of προφητεία is ἀποκάλυψις, and that of διδαχή, γνώσις: the former being a direct speaking in the Spirit, and the latter a laying forth by the aid of the Spirit of knowledge acquired. Thus έν, as referred to ἀποκ. and γνώσ., denotes the internal element :- as referred to προφ. and διδ., the external element, of the spiritual activity. 7-11.] Instances to shew that unintelligible discourse profits nothing. And first, -7-9.] from musical instruments. 7.] ὅμως occurs here and in the two other places where it is used in the N. T. (reff.) at the beginning of the sentence, out of its logical order, which would be before έαν διαστολήν ..., thus: Things without life which yield sound, whether flute or harp, yet, if they do not, &c. The renderings, 'even things without life' (E. V.), or 'things which, though without life, yet give sound' (Winer, edn. 6, § 61. 4), are inadmissible,—the former because of the usage of $8\mu\omega s$, the latter because no such idea as any surprise at a thing without life yielding sound is here φων. διδ.] so δίδου φωνάν v. 93. ἐὰν διαστ.] If in place. Pind. Nem. v. 93. they (the ἄψυχα φ. δ.) shall not have

yielded a distinction (of musical intervals) in their tones, how shall be known that which is being played on the flute or that which is being played on the harp (i. e. what tune is played in either case: the art. being repeated to shew that two distinct instances are contemplated, not necessarily 'one tune, either piped, or harped' = το αὐλούμενον ή κιθαριζόμενον;)? The observation of Meyer, that this example is decisive against foreign languages being spoken in the exercise of this gift, is shewn to be irrelevant by the next example, from which the contrary might be argued—the άδηλος φωνή of the trumpet being exactly analogous to an unknown language, not to an inarticulate sound. But the fact is that all such inferences, from pressing analogies close, are insecure. uncertain, in its meaning: for a particular succession of notes of the trumpet then, as now, gave the signals for attack, and retreat, and the various evolutions of an army. The giving the signal for battle with the trumpet is called by Dio Cassius το πολεμικον βοάν, by Ælian το παρορμητικον εμπνείν: see Wetst., where many examples are to be found. 9. Application of these instances. διά τ. γλώσσης is most naturally understood physically, by means of your tongue, as answering to the utterance of the sound by the musical instruments. But the technical rendering, by means of the tongue (in the sense of

σαῦτα, 'εί 'τύχοι, " γένη φωνῶν είσιν έν κόσμφ, καὶ οὐδέν ABDF t ch. xv. 37 only. Philo de Mut. ουν ετιών ν άφωνον 11 εάν οῦν μη είδω την "δυναμιν της φωνης, cdefg 1. p. 600. μουσικά μεν έσομαι τῷ λαλοῦντι * βάρβαρος, και ὁ λαλῶν ^y ἐν ἐμοὶ γάρ, εὶ * βάρβαρος. 12 ούτως και ύμεις έπει * ζηλωταί έστε τύχοι, κ. α πνευμάτων, ο προς την ο οικοδομην της έκκλησίας ζη-(Dion, Hal.

10. om τοσαυτα D¹ F(with G-lat). rec (for εισιν) εστιν (gramml corrn: see note), with KL rel Chr Thdrt Œe: txt ABDFR Clem Damase Thl. κοσμω D'F b o, hoc vulg-ed(and F-lat, not am) Ambrst Bede. rec aft ουδεν ins αυτων (addn for precision), with D3KLN3 rel G-lat syrr Chr Thdrt: om ABD1FN1 d 17 vulg E-latt Clem Damase Ambrst Bede. aft αφωνον ins εστιν DIF vulg.

11. ιδω AD L a m 17: γινωσκω F. (si ergo nesciero F-lat, and so vulg.) om

εν DF latt syrr copt arm Clem Chrexpr(ο εμοι λαλ. βαρβ.) Damase lat-ff.

γλώσση λαλείν), is allowable. έσεσθε . . . λαλ.] This periphrasis of the future implies, ye will be, so long as ye speak, speaking, . . . On els ἀέρα, see ref.: it implies the non-reception by hearers of what is said. 10, 11.] Another example of the unprofitableness of an utter-10.] εὶ τύχοι, ance not understood. if it should so happen, i. e. peradventure: -it is commonly found with numerical nouns; but sometimes with hypothetical sentences in general, as in ch. xv. 37. See reff. and examples in Wetst. It will not bear the rendering 'for example,' though in meaning it nearly approaches it. It belongs here to τοσαῦτα, itself representing some fixed number, but not assignable by the information which the writer possesses, or not worth assigning. See similar expressions, Acts v. 8,—and 2 Sam. xii. 8 γένη φωνών kinds of lanin E. V. guages: the more precise expression would be γένη φωνηs, or φωναί: we can hardly say, with Meyer, that each language is a γένος φωνών. The use of φωνών, and not γλωσσών, is no doubt intentional, to avoid confusion, γλώσσα being for the most part used in this passage in a peculiar meaning: but no argument can be grounded on it as to the γλωσσαι being languages or not.

elow (plur.), because it is wished to distinguish them in their variety. δέν, seil. γένος. Bleek renders, 'no rational animal is without speech;' and Grot., reading as the rec. $\alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, understands it as referring to men: others supply έθνος to $o\dot{v}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\nu$. But the common rendering is both simpler, and better sense: none of them is without signification, as E. V.: or, is inarticulate. 11. ov, seeing that none is without meaning: for if any were, the imputations following would not be just. We assume that a tongue which we do not understand has a meaning, and that it is the way of expression of some foreign βάρβαρος, - a foreigner, nation. in the sense of one who is ignorant of the speech and habits of a people. So Ovid, Trist. v. 10,—'Barbarus hic ego sum, quia non intelligor ulli:' and Herod. ii. 158,βαρβάρους δὲ πάντας οἱ Αἰγύπτιοι καλέουσι τοὺς μή σφισι ὁμογλώσσους. (Wetst.) The appellation always conveyed a certain contempt, and such is evidently intended here. So Ovid, in the next line,- 'Et rident stolidi verba Latina Getæ.' ἐν ἐμοί, in my estimation: so Eurip. Hippol. 1335, σὺ δ' ἔν τ' ἐκείνω καν ἐμοὶ φαίνη κακός.--'in his judgment and in mine:' see Kühner, ii. 275. 12.] Application of the analogy, as in ver. 9. The οὕτως is evidently meant as in ver. 9, but is rendered somewhat difficult by the change of the construction into a direct exhortation. It is best therefore to suppose an ellipsis; and give to ουτως the pregnant meaning, after the lesson conveyed by this example. Meyer's rendering, since in such a manner (i. e. so as to be barbarians to one another) ye also are emulous, &c., is very harsh, besides making the second clause, standing as it does without a μαλλον or any disjunctive particle, mean (and I do not see that it will bear any other meaning), seek this βαρβαροφωνία to the edifying of the Church. Thus likewise ye (i. e. after the example of people who would not wish to be barbarians to one another,-avoiding the absurdity just mentioned), emulous as ye are of spiritual gifts (reff.), seek them to the edifying of the church, that ye may abound: or perhaps (but I can

τείτε, ἵνα e περισσεύητε. 13 διὸ o f λαλῶν f γλώσση e $^{aboli, Matt.}$ e προςευχέσθω g ἵνα h διερμηνεύη. 14 έὰν γὰρ προςεύχω e $^{tiv.2}$ $^{tiv.2}$ $^{tor.3}$ $^{tor.3}$ μαι γλώσση, τὸ i πνεὕμά μου προςεύχεται, o δὲ νοῦς g $^{tor.4}$ $^{tor.4}$

i. 9. iv. 3. 2 Thess. i. 11. iii. 1. i. h. ch. xii. 30 reff. k Matt. xiii. 22 f Mk. Eph. v. 11. Tit. iii. 14. 2 Pet. i. 8. Jude 12 ouly. Jer. ii. 6. Wisd. xv. 4 oniy. 1 Ada xxi. 22 vr. 26.

12. for περισσευητε, προφητευητε A 73 Ambrst.

13. rec διοπερ, with KLN' rel Chr Thdrt Thl Œc: txt ABDFN' 17 Damasc.

14. om γαρ BF sah: ins ADKLN rel vulg(and F-lat) E-latt syrr Chr Thdrt Damasc (Ec Thl Orig-int Ambrist Aug., Pelag Sedul Bede. (17 def.)

find no instance of $\zeta \eta \tau \hat{\omega}$ $\ell \nu \alpha$ thus used: ch. iv. 2 is no case in point, see note there) as in E. V. 'seek that ye may excel (abound in them) to the edifying of the church.' 13.] Hortatory inference from the foregoing examples. There is some difficulty in the construction of this variety of the construction of this verse. προςευχ. ίνα διερμ. is rendered by Chrys., Theodoret, Theophyl., Erasm., Beza, Calv., Grot., Estius, Wetst., -Bleck, Rückert, Olsh., al., 'pray that he may interpret.' But the next verse shews that this is untenable. For the act of προςεύχεσθαι γλώσση is there introduced in strict logical connexion with this verse so as to shew that the προςευχέσθω here must have the same meaning as there, viz., that of praying in a tongue, openly in the church. Seeing this, Luther, Rosenm., al., render it, 'let so pray, that he may interpret:' i. e. 'not pray, unless he can interpret.' But this rendering of "va is hardly allowable even where ουτω is expressed, see note on ch. ix. 24. The knot of the difficulty lies in the relation of Iva to verbs of this kind. It may be doubted whether in such expressions as προςεύχεσθαι Ίνα (see reff.), the conj. ever represents the mere purport of the prayer, as in our "to pray, that." The idea of purpose is inseparably bound up in this particle, and can be traced wherever it is used. Thus προςεύχ. ίνα scems always to convey the meaning, "to pray, in order that." At the same time, prayer being a direct seeking of the fulfilment of the purpose on account of which we pray,-not, like many other actions, indirectly connected with it,-the purport and purpose become compounded in the expression. This will be illustrated by γρηγορεῖτε κ. προsεύχεσθε, ίνα μη είς έλθητε είς πειρασμόν: where it is plain enough that "να μή represents the ulterior object of γρηγορείτε, and, now that it is joined with γρηγορείτε, of προςεύχεσθε: but had it been merely, προςεύχεσθε ίνα μη κ.τ.λ., the above confusion would have occurred. Now this Vol. II.

confusion it is, which makes the words προςευχέσθω Ίνα διερμηνεύη so difficult. Obviously, the προςευχέσθω is not merely used to express a seeking by prayer of the gift of interpretation, on account of t sense in the next verse: but as plainly, there is in προςευχέσθω a sense which passes on to Ίνα διερμηνεύη. The rendering of Meyer and De Wette, 'pray, with a view to interpret (what he has spoken in a tongue),' is unobjectionable, but does not give any reason for the choice of mposevχέσθω, any more than εὐχαριστείτω, or the like. I believe the true rendering to be pointed out by the distinction in the next verse. If a man prays in a tongue, his spirit prays, but his understanding is barren. This prayer of his spirit is, the intense direction of his will and affections to God, accompanied by the utterance of sounds to him unintelligible. 'Let then him who speaks with a tongue, pray, when he does pray, with an earnest striving (in this prayer of his spirit) after the gift of interpretation.' The meaning might be more strictly given thus in English: wherefore let him who speaketh with a tongue, in his prayer (or, when praying), strive that he may interpret. 14.] This verse has been explained above. It justifies the necessity of thus aiming at the gift of interpretation. τὸ πν. μου, not as in ver. 32, and Chrys. τδ χάρισμα τδ δοθέν μοι καὶ κινοῦν την γλῶσσαν,-but as in reff., my (own) spirit, taking himself as an example, as above, ver. 6: a use of the word familiar to our Apostle, and here necessary on account of δ νοῦς μου following, 'When I pray in a tongue, my higher being, my spirit, filled with the Holy Ghost, is inflamed with holy desires, and rapt in prayer : but my intellectual part, having no matter before it on which its powers can be exercised, bears no fruit to the edification of others (nor of myself:' but this is not expressed in ἄκαρπος; cf. the usage of καρπός by Paul,—Rom. i. 13; vi. 21, 22; xv. 28; Gal. v. 22, al.).

(Gen. xxix.) OC OUK ΟΙΚΉΟΟΜΕΙΤΙΙΙ. ^{10 *} ΕΨΥΙΩΙΟΤΟ ΤΟ ¹⁰ ΕΨΩ ΜΩΙΟΙΟΝ Ε΄ ΘΕΝΑΙ΄ 103. Β. J. v. 2. 5, στρατιάτου τέξευ άναπληρούν. Philo, Flarc. 12, vol. ii. p. 521, πρεσβευτοῦ τίξ. ἐκπλότου. Β. V. v. 2. 5, στρατιάτου τόξευ άναπληρούν. Philo, Flarc. 12, vol. ii. p. 521, πρεσβευτοῦ τίξ. ἐκπλότου. Β. V. V. 11 (1.6 al. xxiv. 3 ten.) (1.6

15. $\pi\rho\sigma sev\xi\omega\mu\alpha\iota$ (twice) ADF: $-\xi\omega\mu\alpha\iota$ and $-\xi\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$ %: txt BKL rel latt Orig $_2$ (see note). on 1st $\delta\epsilon$ FK 35. 36. 109. 114 latt Syr sah Orig,(om $\kappa\alpha\iota$ also) Eus, Damase Orig-int, lat-ff: ins ABDLN rel syr Orig $_2$ Chr Thdrt Cec Thl. on $\tau\omega$ bef 2nd $\pi\nu\epsilon\nu\mu\alpha\tau\iota$ F. om 2nd $\delta\epsilon$ BF 46. 109 latt Syr sah Orig $_1$ (where he has the 1st $\delta\epsilon$) Ces Fs-Ath $_1$ Max-conf Damase Thl lat-ff: ins ADKLN rel syr copt Orig $_1$ Eus Ath $_1$ Chr Thdrt Cec.

16. rec eulogy $\eta\sigma\eta_5$, with FKL rel Chr Thatt Œc Thl; benedixeris latt: txt ABDR bl o 17 Damasc. rec ins $\tau\omega$ bef $\pi\nu\epsilon\nu\alpha\alpha\tau$ (to conform to last ver: but see note), with KL rel Chr Thatt: $\epsilon\nu$ B(sic: see table) DR³: om AFR⁴ 17 Damasc. om

το F. ουκ οιδεν bef τι λεγεις F E-lat G-lat Jer Aug. (not F-lat.)

17. αλλα B l.

18. rec aft τω θεω ins μου (addn from such places as ch i. 4, Rom i. 8 &c: 38 æth arm even further add περί), with KL rel Thdrt Damase Ambrst Pelag: om ABDFN 17 E-lat G-lat am(with tol, agst demid harl) sur copt æth Chr Thdrt-ms Jer Sedul Bede.

15. What then is (the case) (i. e. as our "What then?' Cf. Tl ov, Rom. iii. 9; vi. 15. 'What is my determination thereupon ?')? I will pray (on the reading προςεύξωμαι, see note on Rom. v. 1) with the (my) spirit: I will pray also with my mind (i.e. will interpret my prayer for the benefit of myself and the church), &c. This resolution, or expression of self-obligation, evidently leads to the inference, by and by clearly expressed, ver. 28, that if he could not pray τῷ νοί, he would keep silence. ψαλῶ] hence we guther that the two departments in which the gift of tongues was exercised were prayer and praise. On the day of Pentecost it was confined to the latter of these. The discourse changes from the first person to the second, as De W. observes, because the hypothesis contains an imputation of folly or error. έαν εύλ.] if thou shalt have blessed in spirit (no art. now: the dat. is now merely of the manner in which, the element; not of the specific instrument, as in the last verse), how shall he that fills (i. e. is in) the situation of a private man (ιδιώτης, in speaking of any business or trade, signifies a lay person, i. e. one unacquainted with it as his employment. Thus in state matters, it is one out of office-Δημοσθένει ὅντι ἰδιώτη, Thuc. iv. 2: in philosophy, one uncducated and rude - ἡμεῖς μὴν οἱ ἰδιῶται οὐ δεδοίκαμεν, ὑμεῖς δὲ οἱ φιλόσοφοι δειλιᾶτε, Diog. Laert. Aristipp. ii. 71, &c. &c. See examples in Wetst. So here it is, one who has not the gift of speaking and interpreting. τόπον is not to be taken literally, as if the ίδιῶται had any separate seats in the congregation: the expression, as in ref., is figurative) say the AMEN (the Amen always said: see Deut. xxvii. 15-26 Hcb. and E. V. (LXX, γένοιτο); Neh. viii. 6. From the synagogue,—on which see Wetst., Schöttg. in loc., Winer, RWB., art. Synagogen, and Philo, Fragm. vol. ii. p. 630συνεδρεύουσι ... οι μέν πολλοί σιωπῆ, πλὴν εἴ τι προςεπιφημίσαι τοῖς ἀναγινωσκομένοις νομίζεται,—it passed into the Christian church; so Justin Mart. Apol. i. 65, p. 82, οδ (scil. τοῦ προεστώτος) συντελέσαντος τὰς εὐχὰς καὶ τὴν εὐχαριστίαν, πας δ παρών λαδς πανευφημεί λέγων, αμήν. See Suicer, sub voc. and Stanley's note here) to (at the end of) thy thanksgiving, since what thou sayest he knows not? This is, as Doddridge has remarked, decisive against the practice of praying and praising in an unknown tongue, as ridiculously practised in the church of Rome.

17.] kalos is not ironical, but concessive: it is not the act of thanksgiving in a tongue that the Apostle blames, for that is of itself good, being dictated by the Spirit: but the doing it not to the edification of others.

**Office of the Concession of the Matter, the Spirit: 18, 18.] Declaration of his own feeling on the matter,

ύμῶν μᾶλλον b γλώσση b λαλῶ· 19 ἀλλὰ ἐν ἐκκλησία c θέλω b ντ. 2 δες απέντε λόγους τῷ νοΐ μου λαλῆσαι, ἴνα καὶ ἄλλους d κατ τη χήσω, c a f μυρίους λόγους ἐν γλώσση. 20 c Λδελφοί, c c f μυρίους λόγους ἐν γλώσση. 20 c Λδελφοί, f c κατία f γηπιά f f

iii. 9. g here bis only. Prov. xviii. 2. h Rom. i. 29. ch. v. 8. Eph. iv. 31 al. P s ii. 3. (5). i bere only†. (√-xrov, ch. xiii. 11.) k − ch. ii. 6. Heb. v. 14 al. 1 Chron. xxv. 8. 1 here only†. (18.4. xxviii. 11.) Ps. cxiii. 1 Aq. iii. 13. Heb. (18.1.2) xiii. 13. Heb. (3.1.2) xii. 15. 1 Pel. iii. 10 only. a − Acl s ii. 4. Exod. xxx. v. 13. kom.

ins οτι bef παντων F latt syrr copt lat-ff. γλωσση bef μαλλον F: (om θεω F-lat.) om μαλλον 411 D-lat Chr-ms. - omnium vestrum lingua loquor vulg(and F-lat). rec γλωσσαις, with BKL rel syrr copt Chr Thdrt Orig-int: txt ADFN 17 latt Damasc rec λαλων (the bare present aft ευχ. was not understood, Ambrst Pelag Bede. and thus some helped it with οτι, some by turning λαλω into λαλων. Or λαλων was understood to belong to ευχαριστω, 'I give thanks, speaking,' &c.), with KL rel Chr Thdrt Damase: om A: txt BDFR c 17 latt syrr copt Œc Orig-int lat-ff.

19. [αλλα, so ABD: om N¹.] rec δια του νοος (see note. If τω νοι had come from ver 15, μου would prob have been omd), with KL rel D-lat syr Mac Chr Thdrt Jrom ver 19, μου would proo lawe oven onal, with KLI fel D-lat sty Mac Chr Thart Max-conf Phot Thil Gee: δια του νομου (ong μου) Mcion-e, per legem Ambrst-txt; in lege Paulin: txt ABDFN m 17 vulg Syr copt Nyssen Epiph, Marc-mon Damase lat-ff. 20. ινα ταις φρ. τελ. γενησθε, omg δε, F D-lat Orig-int Ambrst Aug Gaud. 21, aft νομω ins τ: K¹(K³ disapproving).

Flect-S. rec ετεροις, with DKL rel vss Orig Constt Chr Damase Thi Œe lat-ff:

highly endowed as he was with the gift. I thank God, I speak with a tongue (have the gift of speaking with tongues) more than you all. This juxtaposition of two clauses, between which 'that' is to be supplied in the sense, is not unusual : βούλει σκοπωμεν: 'fac videas,'-Eur. Hippol. 567, επίσχετ', αὐδην τῶν ἔσωθεν ἐκμάθω. Hom. Od. β. 195, Τηλεμάχω δ' ἐν πᾶσιν έγων ύποθήσομαι αὐτός, Μητέρα ην ès πατρὸς ἀνωγέτω ἀπονέεσθαι. Sec Hartnng, Partikell. ii. p. 134. tnng, Partikell. ii. p. 134. κλησία, in (the) assembly, 'in the congrerangia, in (the) assembly, in the congregation,"—not in an assembly, as Meyer. The art, is omitted after a preposition: see Middleton, ch. vi. § 1; the logical account of which is, that the prep. serves to categorize the substantive following it, and

so make it general instead of particular. **θέλω . . . , ἥ,** as βούλομαι, ἤ,

Il. α. 117: similarly ἐπιθυμέω, ζητέω,—
see Hartung, ii. p. 72. διὰ τοῦ νοόs has probably been a correction, because λαλείν τω vot was found harsh, the understanding being only the indirect instrument.

20. With this exhortation he concludes this part of his argument, in which he reproves the folly of displaying and being anxious for a gift in which there was no 'άδελφοί suavem vim haedification. bet,' Bengel. ταις φρεσίν, in your understandings, as this preference shews you to be. τη κακία-dat. of reference, as regards vice: see Winer, edn. 6, § 31. 6.

21-25.] By a citation from the O. T. he takes occasion to shew that tongues are a sign to the unbelieving only: and that even for them they are profitless in comparison with prophecy. 21.] έν τῷ νόμφ, as John x. 34; xii. 34; xv. 25; -where the Psalms are thus quoted. The passage stands in the LXX: διὰ φαυλισμὸν χ ειλέων, διὰ γλώσσης ἐτέρας ὅτι λαλήσουσι τῷ λαῷ τούτῳ . . . κ. οὐκ ἡθέλησαν ἀκούειν. The context is thus: The scoffers in Jerusalem (see ver. 14) are introduced as scorning the simplicity of the divine commands, which were line upon line, precept upon precept, as if to children (vv. 9, 10). Jehovah threatens them that, since they would not hear these simple commands, He would speak to them by men of other tongues, viz. the Assyrians, their Here as in many other cases, the historical sense is not so much considered, as the aptness of the expressions used for illustrating the matter in hand; viz. that belief would not be produced in the unbelieving by speaking to them in strange tongues. The δτι answers in the LXX to φ, 'for;' or 'yea verily,' as Louth. It forms part of the citation, not of the text.

έν έτερ.] in (in the person of) men of other tongues: Heb. with another tongue ;-and it is placed second. The Apostle personifies it and gives it the prominence: ἐν χ. ἐτ.] in (as speaking in using as the organ of speech) lips of

λαλήσω τῷ λαῷ τούτῳ, καὶ °οὐδ' ^ροὕτως ^η εἰςακούσονταί ΑΒΒΕ μου, λέγει κύριος. ²² ὥςτε αὶ ΄ γλῶσσαι [°] εἰς [†] σημείον εἰς τ o - ch. v. 1 $\rho = 10000, 1.12$ μου, λέγει κύριος. 2^2 ώςτε αὶ $^{\circ}$ γλῶσσαι $^{\circ}$ εἰς $^{\circ}$ σημείον $^{\circ}$ Μαις κ.1.3. εἰσὶν οὐ τοῖς πιστεύουσιν ἀλλὰ τοῖς $^{\circ}$ άπίστοις, ἡ δὲ $^{\circ}$ προ- $^{\circ}$ προ- $^{\circ}$ μου, δει φητεία οὐ τοῖς $^{\circ}$ απίστοις ἀλλὰ τοῖς πιστεύουσιν. $^{\circ}$ 23 ἐὰν $^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ μους $^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ γκαι $^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ είσιν ου τοις πιστεύουσιν άλλα τοις " απίστοις, ή δε "προ- 617 οῦν ** συνέλθη ἡ γ έκκλησία γ ὅλη *² ἐπὶ τὸ αὐτὸ καὶ πάντες r Acts ii. 4 reff. \$ 50 €is µapτύριον, &c. Matt. viii. 4 al. fr. Jer. ix. 22. i. 3 reff. λαλωσιν γλώσσαις, είς έλθωσιν δε "ίδιωται η " απιστοι, ούκ

t Rom. iv. 11 reff. x ch. xi. 20. Josh, ix. 2. u = ch. vi. 6 reff. y Rom. xvi. 23 reft. w - Acts z Acts i, 15 refi.

txt ABN 17. (Meyer thinks the dat a mere mechanical corrn to suit the other datives.) ειςακουσεται F(not lat) 43. 113 leet-14. for ουδ ουτως, ουδεπω F.

22. for (2nd) πιστευουσιν, πιστοις F. 23. om ovv F 672 old-lat goth Ambrst Ambr. for $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta \eta$, $\epsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ B¹. bef η εκκλησια DF latt goth lat-ff. ree παντες γλωσσαις λαλωσιν, with KL rel vulg(and F-lat) syrr Chr-txt Thdrt Damase Œc Viet-vit Bede: λαλ. παν. γλ. copt æth Chr-comm Ambrst: λαλ. γλ. παν. D1.3 goth: txt ABFN Bas Thl. om η απιστοι B Ambrst.

others (strangers, see reff.): Heb. in (by) stammerers of lip: Louth, with a stam-mering lip. τῷ λαῷ τούτῳ] in Isa., the Israelites: here taken generally for the unbelieving world. οὐδ' οὕτως εἰςακούσ.] This is the point of the pussage for St. Paul's argument: see ver. 23: -"for them, and not for us: but even for them, profitless in the main:"- not even under such circumstances will they listen to me: even this sign will be for them ineffectual. 22. δητε, -viz. according to the words of the foregoing prophetic passage. ai γλ. the tongues, in the then acceptation of the term. He is not interpreting the prophecy, nor alluding to the tongues there spoken of, but returns back to the subject in hand—the tongues about which his argument was concerned. σημ. είσίν] serve for a sign: but there is no emphasis on the words,—the meaning being much the same as if els σημείον were omitted, and it stood ωςτε αί γλ. είσιν οὐ τοῖς π. Not seeing this, Commentators have differed widely about the meaning of σημείον. So Chrys.: els σημείον, τουτέστιν, είς έκπληξιν:-Bengel: 'quo allecti auscultare debebant:'-Calvin: 'linguæ, quatenus in signum datæ sunt:' &c. &c. All dwelling on the word σημείον would introduce an element foreign to the argument, which is, that tongues are (a sign) for the unbelieving, not for the beοὐ τ. πιστ.] not to men who believe, but to unbelievers, i. e. 'men who do not believe:' not, as Neander, Billroth, Rückert, and in substance De Wette, 'men who will not believe:' άπιστος must be kept to the same sense through this whole passage, and plainly by

ver. 23 it is not one who will not believe, but an unbeliever open to conviction. The mistake has been occasioned by regarding those to whom the prophecy was directed, and interpreting Paul by Isaiah, instead of by himself. ἡ δὲ προφ.] seil. ἐστίν, as Meyer, or εἰς σημ. ἐστίν, as De Wette: it seems to me to import little which we supply, seeing that $\epsilon is \sigma \eta \mu$. is of so very slight weight in the preceding clause. If emphatic meaning had been attached to σημείον as belonging to al γλ., we must not have supplied it here: but if it be a mere indifferent word, to be interpreted according to the sense in which at γλ. and ή προφ. were σημεία, there can be no objection to it here: and the uniformity of construction seems to require it. here and above, τοις ἀπίστ. and the other are datives commodi-for, not 'to,' the unbelieving. ή προφητεία was a sign to the unbelieving, see vv. 24, 25. phecy, i. e. inspired and intelligent exposition of the word and doctrine, was eminently for believers, but, as below, would be also profitable to unbelievers, furnishing a token that God was truly among his assembled servants. 23-25. Instances given of the operation of both on the ungifted or the unbeliever.

23.] ov, following up the axiom just laid down, by supposing a case = if then The first case put answers to the former half of ver. 22: the second, to the The supposition is this: that all the (Corinthian) church is assembled, and all its members speak with tongues (not in a tumultuary manner-that is not part of the present hypothesis, for if it were, it must apply equally to ver. 24, which it clearly cannot :- but that all have έροῦσιν ὅτι ^b μαίνεσθε; ²⁴ ἐὰν δὲ πάντες ^c προφητεύωσιν, ^b λετε εἰς 15 τες ^c ἄπιστος ἢ ^a ἰδιώτης, ^d ἐλέγχεται ὑπὸ πάν- ^c cli, ki, 4, 5 των, ^c ἀνακρίνεται ὑπὸ πάντων, ²⁵ τὰ ^f κρυπτὰ τῆς καρ- ^c δλείς μις δίας αὐτοῦ ^g φανερὰ ^g γίνεται, καὶ ^h οὕτως ⁱ πεσὰν ἐπὶ ^c κοικίν, θει ⁱ πρόςωπον ^k προςκυνήσει τῷ θεῷ, ^l ἀπαγγέλλων ὅτι ^m ὅν- $\frac{c}{c}$ chi ki, 10 επ. τως ὁ θεὸς ⁿ ἐν ὑμῖν ἐστιν. ²⁶ Τἱ οῦν ἑστιν, ἀδελφοί; ⁿ κικίν, 12 τως ὁ θεὸς ⁿ ἐν ὑμῖν ἐστιν.

6. xxi, 89. Luke v, 12. xvii, 16. Rev, xi, 16. Num, xvi, 4, xx, 6. k Paul, here and Acts xvii, 11 only, det , Matt, ii, 2 al, fr. Ps. xxiii, 2, 9. Heb, ii, 12, 1 d hn ii, 2, 3 only, 6 ep, xiv, 13, v, 5 al, Num, xxii, 37 only, n = 2 Cor, xiii, 5, o Acts xxi, 22, ver, 16, 1 rum, o Acts xxi, 22, ver, 16, 1

24. for 2nd δε, τε A Syr (æth).

25. rec ins και ουτω her τα κρυπτα (from below,—the result being imagined better to begin here; the folly κ. ουτως being by some ond, as Chr Ambr, by some carelessly left, or reintroduced without erasing this former. So Meyer), with D*KL rel syr Chr_{h.l.} Thart: om ABDFN 17 latt Syr copt æth arm Ras Chr, Cyr lat-ff. rec ο θeos her ουτως, with KL rel syr Chr Thart: om ουτως k 3. 32 Thart-comm: txABDFN h 17 latt syr copt goth æth arm Orig-int lat-ff: om ο D*FN 1* 109* Chr¹.

the gift, and are in turn exercising it): -then ἰδιῶται, 'plain believers,' persons unacquainted with the gift and its exercise, come in. It is obvious that the hypothesis of all being assembled, and all having the gift, must not be pressed to infer that no such ίδιώτης could be found; no one hypothesizes thus rigidly. If any will have it so, then, as Meyer, we may suppose the ίδιῶται to come from another congregation: but the whole difficulty seems to me mere trifling. The id. plainly cannot be, as De W. maintains, an unbeliever, for his case is separately mentioned. Such plain men, or perhaps a company of unbelievers, have come in :- they have no understanding of what is going on: the γλωσσαι sound to them an unmeaning jargon; and they come to the conclusion, 'These men are mad;' just as men did infer, on the day of Pentecost, that the speakers were 24. But if all (see drunken. above) prophesy (i. e. intelligibly lay forth, in the power of the Spirit, the Christian word and doctrine) and there enter any (singular now, setting forth that this would be the effect in any case; plural before, to shew that however many there might to snew that however many there might be, not one could appreciate the gift) unbeliever or plain man (άπιστος first now, because the great stress is on the power of prophecy in its greatest achievement, the conversion of the unbeliever; but iδιώται was first before, because the stress there was on the unprofitableness of tongues, not only to the ἄπιστοι, but to the ίδιῶται), he is convicted by all (the inspired discourse penetrating, as below, into the depths of his heart, - by all, i. e.

by each in turn), he is searched into by all (each inspired speaker opening to him his character), the hidden things of his heart become manifest (those things which he had never before seen are revealed, -his whole hitherto unrecognized personal character laid out. Instances of such revelations of a man to himself by powerful preaching have often occurred, even since the cessation of the prophetic gift): and thus (thus convicted, searched, revealed to himself: -in such a state of mind) having fallen on his face, he will worship God, announcing (by that his act, which is a public submission to the divine Power manifest among you: or, but not so well, aloud, by declaration of it in words) that of a truth (implying that previously he had regarded the presence of God among them as an idle tale; or, if a plain Christian, had not sufficiently realized it) God is among you (or in each of you: by His Spirit). In this last description the ἰδιώτης is thrown into the background, and (see above) the greater achievement of prophecy, the conviction and conversion of the ἄπιστος, is chiefly in view. "For a similar effect of the disclosure of a man's secret self to himself, compare the fascination described as exercised by Socrates over his hearers by the 'conviction' and 'judg-In Shearers by the convertion and jung-ment' of his questions in the Athenian market-place. Grote's Hist, of Greece, viii. 609–611.° Stanley. 26–35.] Regulations respecting the exercise of spiritual gifts in the assemblies. 26.] The rule for all, proceeding on the

26.] The rule for all, proceeding on the fact of each having his gift to coutribute when they come together: viz, that all

 $p = \frac{\text{Eph. v. 19.}}{\text{Col. iii. 16}}$ ὅταν $\frac{\text{w}}{\text{συνέρχησθε}}$, ἕκαστος $\left[\dot{v}\mu \dot{\omega} v \right] \frac{p}{4} \psi \dot{a}\lambda \mu \dot{o}v$ ἔχει, $\frac{q}{\text{διδα}}$ ABDF Κίναδ ιτί το κατά το κατά δύο $\hat{\eta}$ το $\hat{\eta}$ το κατί το τρεῖς, καὶ $\hat{\eta}$ διερμηνευτυρίτου, καὶ $\hat{\eta}$ μέρος καὶ είς $\hat{\eta}$ διερμηνευτυρίτου, καὶ $\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\eta}$

τής, τοιγάτω εν εκκλησία, εαυτώ δε λαλείτω και τώ θεώ. (-revery s ver. 12. 1 = ver. 40. ch. xvi. 14. u = Mark vi. 29 a προφήται δε δύο ή τρείς λαλείτωσαν, και οι άλλοι

διακρινέτωσαν· 20 έαν δε άλλω ° αποκαλυφθή καθημένω, x. I. John ii. 6. καθ' ένα, Eph. v. 33. John xxl. 25. Xen. Aoab. iv. 7. 8. v here only. (Isa, ix. 3)

t here only. ἀνὰ μ. ἀδειν, Polyh, iv. 20-10, and al. freq. see Rom. xi. 25 refl. here only v. — 2 Acts xii. 17 rell. change of subject, Luke xv. 15. xix. 4. Acts vi. 6. Winer, eda. 6, § 67. l.c. — a Acts xi. 27 refl. — b ch. vi. 5. — cch. ii. 10 refl. y here only t. 6, § 67.1.c.

26. om υμων ΑΕΝ¹ a 17 copt: ins DFKLN³ rel vss Chr Thdrt Damase lat-ff. rec γλωσσαν εχει αποκαλυψιν εχει (the clauses om διδαχ. εχει (homæotel) A k. dropped out by homeotel, and were then confusedly reinserted), with L rel Chr Thdrt Damase: om αποκαλυψιν εχει m 35-9. 42-7. 63 Chr-mss: om γλωσσαν εχει K 35-9. 42-3. 57. 91¹. 106-77. 238: txt ABDFN latt syrr coptt æth arm Bas Thi Œe-comu rec γενεσθω, with (none of our mss) Damasc: txt διερμηνειαν DF. ABDFKLN rel Chr Thdrt &c.

28. ερμηνευτης BD1F, pref δ D1F. for εαυτω, αυτω F.

ανακρινετωσαν D1F. 29. om ou D'FL 11.

30. om δ∈ D¹F latt Orig-int Ambrst. Γκα in καθημενω is written over the line, oπ having been first written and then marked for erasure by N1.]

things must be done with a view to edification. τί οὖν ἔστιν] See ver. 15.

öτ. συν. whenever ye happen to be assembling together: the present vividly describes each coming with his gift, ψαλμόν] most eager to exercise it. probably a hymn of praise to sing in the power of the spirit, as did Miriam, Deborah, Symeon, &c. See ver. 15.

διδαχήν] an exposition of doctrine or moral teaching: belonging to the gift of prophecy, as indeed do also ψαλμ. and άποκάλ, the latter being something revealed to him, to be prophetically uttered.

γλῶσσαν] a tongue, i. e. an act of speaking in tongues: see vv. 18, 22.

έρμηνείαν] See below, and ver. 5. πάντ. πρ. οίκ. γιν.] THE GENERAL RULE, afterwards applied to the several gifts: and 27, 28.] to the speaking with tongues. eite begins the construction, but is not carried on, ver. 29, where προφηται δέ answers to it.27.] ката δύο (scil. let it take place), by two (at each time, i. e. in one assembly: not more than two or three might speak with tongues at each meeting) or at the most three, and by turn (one after another, not together): and let one (some one who has the gift,and not more, than one) interpret (what is said in the tongue). there be not an interpreter (Wieseler, in the Stud. und Krit. for 1838, p. 720, would

render it, 'if he be not an interpreter,' viz. himself. But this would exclude the possibility of others interpreting, which we know from ch. xii. 10 might be the case. And thus the preceding ess could hardly bear its proper meaning. Wieseler tries to make it mean 'one at a time.' Besides, the emphatic position of $\hat{\eta}$ seems to require more stress than this sense would give, which would be better expressed by ἐἀν δὲ διερμηνευτής μή ή), let him (the speaker in a tongue, see reff.) be silent in the church: but (as if σιγάτω had been μη λαλείτω) let him speak for himself and for God: i. e. in private, with only himself and God to witness it. Chrys. καθ' έαυτον φθεγ-γέσθω: which Theophyl. enlarges to τουτέστιν άψοφητί και ήρέμα καθ' έαυτόν: which does not seem to agree with λαλείτω, the speaking being essential to the exercise of the gift. 29-33.] Similar regulations for PROPHECY. $\delta \epsilon$, transitional. δύο ή τρείς, viz. at one assembling; -not together; this is plainly prohibited, ver. 30. There is no τδ πλείστον as in the other case, because he does not wish to seem as if he were limiting this most edifying of the gifts.

οί ἄλλοι, seil. προφηται,—or perhaps, any person possessing the gift of διακρίσεις πνευμάτων, mentioned ch. xii. 10 in immediate connexion with προφητεία. Such would exercise that gift, to determine

ό πρῶτος z σιγάτω. 31 δύνασθε γὰρ u καθ $^{'}$ ένα πάντες d εκπ. k τες προφητεύειν, [να πάντες μανθάνωσιν καὶ πάντες o παρασκεί εκπ. 32 καὶ 62 πυεύματα ag προφητῶν a προφήταις h ὑπο- e τέν. k κάσεται 33 οὺ γάρ ἐστιν 1 ἀκαταστασίας ὁ 1 θεός, ἀλλὰ h Γικοι, τίδι 1 εἰρήνης, ὡς ἐν k πάσαις ταῖς k κκλησίαις τῶν 1 άγίων. 1 Γίκοι, 34 Αὶ γυναῖκες ἐν ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις 2 σιγάτωσαν 2 οὺ γὰρ 34 Γικοι, 35 Εκπ. $^{$

iii, 16 only. Prov. xxvi. 28. Tobit iv, 13 only. (·στατος, James i. 8.) 33 reff. k Rom, xvi. 16. ch, vii. 17. 2 Cor. viii, 18. xi. 28 only.

j Rom. xv. l Rom. i 7. Acts

31. παντες bef καθ ενα DF h1 latt syr arm: om παντες 17 Ambrst: εκαστοι 6. 672:

εκαστοι παντες 38, 72.

32. for πνευματα, πνευμα DF 1. 43.52.672.213 vulg-sixt Syr æth Orig₁ Thdrt Origint lat-ff: txt ABKLN rel vulg(and F-lat) syr copt Orig₂ Epiph Did₁ Chr Thdrt-ms Damasc (Ec Thl Orig-int, Tert. (The plur was corrd to the sing because, One Spirit inspiring all the prophets, πνευματα was not understood.)

33. ο θεος bef ακαταστασιας A 57 Syr copt: om ο F. [αλλα, so ABDN e g k.] at end ins διδασκω (from ch iv. 17) F b o 2. 10. 39 vulg(not am) syr-w-ast

Chr : διατασσομαι Chr-ms, Damasc.

Vv. 34, 35 are placed aft ver 40 in DF 93 Ambrst Sedul.

34. rec aft γυναικές ins υμων, with DFKL rel syrr Chr Thdrt Œc Ambrst Ambr Sedul: om ABN 17 vulg(and F-lat: vestræ is written over υμων in the gr column) coptt

whether the spirit was of God: see ch. xii. 3; 1 John iv. 1-3. 30. But if a revelation shall have been made to another (prophet) while sitting by, let the first (who was prophesying) hold his peace (give place to the other: but clearly, not as ejected by the second in any disorderly manner: probably, by being made aware of it and ceasing his discourse). The rendering of Grot., al., 'let him (the second) wait till the first has done speaking,' q. d., 'let the first have left off,' is ungrammatical. See also vv. 28, 34.

31, 32. He shews that the δ πρῶτος σιγάτω is no impossibility, but in their power to put into effect. For ye have the power (the primary emphasis of the sentence is on $\delta \dot{\nu} \nu a \sigma \theta \epsilon$, which is not merely permissive, as E. V., 'ye may,' but asserts the possession of the power;—the secondary on καθ' ένα) one by one all to prophesy (i. e. you have power to bring about this result-you can be silent if you please), in order that all may learn and all may be exhorted (or, comforted):

32.] and (not, for: but a parallel assertion to the last, 'ye have power, &c. and') spirits of prophets (i. e. their own spirits, filled with the Holy Spirit: so Meyer, and rightly: not, as De Wette, the Spirit of God within each: and so ver. 12: the inspired spirit being regarded as a πνεῦμα in a peculiar sense-from God, or otherwise. See the distinction plainly made 1 John ίν. 2: ἐν τούτφ γινώσκετε τὸ πνεῦμα τοῦ θεοῦ. πῶν πνεῦμα κ.τ.λ. The omission of the art. generalizes the assertion, making it applicable to all genuine Christian prophets) are subject to prophets (i.e. to the men whose spirits they are. But very many Commentators, e. g. Theophyl. (alt.), Calvin, Estius, and more recently Bleek and Rückert, take προφήταιs to signify other prophets—τὸ ἔν σοι χάρισμα, καὶ ἡ ἐνέργεια τοῦ ἔν σοι πνεύματος, ὑποτάσσεται τῷ χαρίσματι τοῦ ἐτέρου τοῦ κινη-θέντος εἰς τὸ προφητεύειν (Theophyl.). But the command δ πρώτος σιγάτω would be superfluous, if his gift was in subjection to another). 33. Reason of the above regulations. The premiss, that the church is God's church, is suppressed. He is the God of peace, not confusion: therefore those assemblies which are His, must be peacefully and orderly conducted. And this character of God is not one dependent for its truth on preconceived views of Him :we have a proof of it wherever a church of the saints has been gathered together. 'In all the churches of the saints, God is a God of peace : let Him not among you be supposed to be a God of confusion.' I am compelled to depart from the majority of modern critics of note, c. g. Lachmann, Tischendorf, Billroth, Meyer, De Wette, and to adhere to the common arrangement of this latter clause. My reason is, that taken as beginning the next paragraph, it is harsh beyond example, and superfluous, as anticipating the reason about to be given οὐ γάρ κ.τ.λ. Besides which, it is more in accordance with St. Paul's style, to place the main subject of a new sentence first, see 1 Tim. iii. 8, 11, 12;

 $^{\rm m--8c\,constr.}$ $^{\rm m}$ έπιτρέπεται αὐταῖς λαλεῖν, ἀλλὰ $^{\rm **h}$ ὑποτάσσεσθαι, καθὼς ABDP rep. $^{\rm n}$ Gek, Hi, 16. καὶ ὁ $^{\rm n}$ νόμος λέγει. $^{\rm 35}$ εἰ δὲ τι μαθεῖν θέλουσιν, $^{\rm o}$ εν cde τg hk im portained in the policy of the constraint of the policy of the polic

æth arm Mcion-e Epiph Dial Nyssen Damasc (Cypr) Pelag Bede. rec επιτετραπται ('the sense of the perfect, permissum est, was more familiar to the transcribers.' Meyer), with K rel syrr Mcion-e₁ Chr Thdrt, επιτετρεπται L: txt ABDFR 17 vss Mcion-e₁ Damasc ι ποτασσεσθαι DFKL rel latt syrr Dial Chr Thdrt Thl Œc lat-ff. add τοις ανδρασιν Α.

35. ει τι δε si quid autem DF Ambrst. μανθανειν ΑΝ' 17. 23-6. 31. 73 Nyssen. βελωσιν Α 73 Damasc. rec γυναιξεν (to agree with plurals preceding), with DFKLN³ rel syrr copt Chr-mss Thdrt Ambrst: txt ABN' 17 vulg(and F-lat: mulieribus is written over γυναιξεν in gr column) basm æth arm Chr Damasc Pelag. rec εν εκκλησια bef λαλειν, with DFKL syrr Chr Thdrt Ambrst: txt ABN m 17 coptt æth

Damasc Bede: εκκλησιαις FL 49. 69. 106-8 D-lat syr Thdrt.

37. επιγιγνωσκετω D: γινωσκετω B Chr(addg ταυτα). rec ins του bef κυριου, with (none of our mss) Thl: om ABDFKLN rel Chr Thdrt Damase Ce. for κυριου, θεου A copt. rec εισιν εντολαι, with D:-γKL rel vulg(and F-lat) syrr basm Chr Thdrt Ambrst-ms: εντολη εστιν Ν': εστιν, omg εντολη, D'F D-lat G-lat Originto Hil Ambrst-ed: εστιν εντολη ΑΒΝ3 m 17 copt æth Aug.

and we have an example of reference to general usage coming in last, in aid of other considerations, ch. xi. 16: but it seems unnatural that it should be placed first in the very forefront of a matter on which he has so much to say.

35.] Regulation prohibiting women to speak publicly in the church, and its grounds. If ως... ωγίων be placed at the beginning of this sentence, we must not, as Lachm. absurdly does, put a comma before τῶν ἀγίων, which would throw the emphasis on it and disturb the sense: and which besides would then be expressed ἀγίων νυναῖκες, or even ἀγίων αἰ γυναῖκες but certainly not τῶν ἀγίων αὶ γυναῖκες.

34.] ἀλλὰ ὑποτάσσεσθαι, scil. κελεύεται αὐταῖs. The same construction where a second verb must be supplied from the context, occurs 1 Tim. iv. 3. So Soph. Œd. Τyr. 236, τὸν ἄνδρι ἀπαοῦδ τοῦτον μήτ' εἰδέχεσθαι μήτε προσφωρεῖν τινα, ὡθεῖν δὶ ἀπ ὁ ὑκων πάντας: Lucian, χάρων ἡ ἐπιοκσποῦντες, line 49 from beg.,—σὲ δὲ καl αὐτὰν κωλύσει ἐνεργεῖν τὰ τοῦ θανάτου ἔργα, καὶ τὴν Πλούτωνος ἀρχὴν ζημιοῦν. See other examples in Kühner, § 552 κ. ὁ νόμος—ref. Their speaking in public would be of itself an act of independence; of teach-

ing the assembly, and among others their own husbands.

35.] This prohibits another kindred irregularity—their asking questions publicly. They might say in answer to the former στράτωσαν, 'But if we do not understand any thing, are we not to ask?' The stress is on μαθεῦν.

18ίους, confining them to their own husbands, to the exclusion of other men.

αίσχρον] See ref.:

indecent, bringing deserved reproach.

36—40.] General Conclusions

SION: the unseemliness and absurdity of their pretending to originate customs unknown to other churches, as if the word of God first went forth from them: and the enforcement of his apostolic authority. Then, a summary in a few words of the purport of what he has said on the spiritual gifts, and a repetition, in another form, of the fundamental precept, ver. 26.

36. I cannot agree with Meyer in referring this only to the regulation concerning women which has preceded. It rather seems to refer to all the points of church custom which he has been noticing, and to be inseparably connected with what follows,—the recognition of his apostolic orders, as those of God. 37.] πνευματικός, one spiritually endowed: not

 x ἀγνοεῖ, x ἀγνοείτω. $^{39 \text{ y}}$ ὤςτε, ἀδελφοί [μου], z ζηλοῦτε $^{x-2}$ Pet.II.12, τὸ a προφητεύειν, καὶ τὸ b λαλεῖν μὴ c κωλύετε b έν b γλώσ c v γλώσ σαις, 40 πίντα δὲ d εὐσγημόνως καὶ κατὰ c τάξιν f γινέσθω. z v γινέντα v χν. 1 ε Γνωρίζω δὲ ὑμῖν, ἀδελφοί, τὸ εὐαγγέλιον b αθελιά, b εὐηγγελισάμην ὑμῖν, b καὶ i παρελάβετε, j έν w καὶ i καὶ i b εὐηγγελισάμην ὑμῖν, b καὶ i παρελάβετε, j έν w καὶ i καὶ b εὐηνγελισέμην b εντικτίδια i b i b εντικτίδια i b i b i b i b i i

14. Luke xxiii. 2 al. Exod xxxvi. 6. d Rom. xiii. 13. 1 Thess. iv. 12 only +. (-row, ch. xii. 24.) e Luke i. 8. Col. ii. 5. Heb. v. 6, 10 & vi. 20 (from Ps. ctx. 4). vii. 11, xc. only. L.P.H. Joh xxxviii. 12. f - ver, 26. to, xvi 14. g ch. xii. 3 reff. 2 Cor. viii. 1. h constr. ace. 6 dat, Luke i. 15. 10. Acts xiii. 32 [xvii. 18]. 2 Cor. xi. 7. 1 - ch. xi. 23. Gal. 1. 9, 12 al. see John ii. 11. John viii. 44. Rom. v. 2. (2 Cor. 1, i.4.) Col. iv. 12.

38. for ayvoειτω, αγνοειται $D^1(-\tau\epsilon)$ $F(\eta\gamma\nu)$ \aleph^1 17 Original perhaps $A^1(\omega)$ is written secunda manu, the original letter being erased): ignoratur D-lat: ignorabitur vulg G-lat Orig-int lat-ff: non cognoscetur Hil: txt A2BD3KLN3 rel syr Chr Thdrt Damasc Thi Ec. (There appears no reason why the indic should have been altered to the imperat; but the form of exprn in ch viii. 2, 3 may perhaps have occasioned an alteracomperate; the join of the indic, esp if, as Meyer supposes, in writing αγνοειτω ωςτε, one ω had dropped out, and left the last letter of αγνοειτ, to be supplied.)

39. aft adelpoi ins mov ABID23N e.g in 0 syrr copt Chr Thart Damase: om B2(sie: see table) DIFKL rel latt basin Ambret Pelag. oin 1st τo F. om 2nd τo B 48. rec glaws bef my kwlvete, with DFKL rel latt Chr Thart Ambret: txt ABN m 17 Damasc.—rec om εν (λαλ. γλ. being the more usual exprn?), with AD3KLN (17?) rel vulg(and F-lat) syrr Chr Thdrt Ambrst: ins BD'F D-lat G-lat coptt.

40. rec om δε (because there appeared to be no contrast?), with KL rel basm Thi

Œc Ambr: ins ABDFN a m 17 Chr Thdrt Damasc Pelag Bede.

quite as in ch. ii. 15. α γράφω] the things which I am writing, viz. 'these regulations which I am now making.' κυρίου, emphatic: the Lord's [commandment]: carrying His authority. No more direct assertion of inspiration can be uttered than this. "Paul stamps here the seal of apostolic authority: and on that seal is necessarily Christ." Meyer.

38. ἀγνοείτω] implying both the hopelessness of reclaiming such an one, and the little concern which his opposition gave the Apostle. The other reading, ἀγνοεῖται, gives a passable sense
—'he is ignored,' scil. by God: cf. ch. viii. 2, 3; xiii. 12; Gal. iv. 9.

39.] ζηλοῦτε and μη κωλύετε express the different estimations in which he held the two gifts. 40.] $\delta \epsilon$, only provided, that $\kappa \alpha \tau \hat{\alpha} \tau \hat{\alpha} \xi \nu$ i. e. in right time, and due proportion .-Meyer compares Jos. B. J. ii. 8. 5, of the Essenes: οὕτε κραυγή ποτε τὸν οἶκον οὕτε θόρυβος μολύνει, τὰς δὲ λαλιὰς ἐν τάξει παραχωροῦσιν ἀλλήλοις. See Stanley,

edn. 2, pp. 293 f. Chap. XV.] Of the Resurrection OF THE DEAD; WHICH SOME IN THE CORINTHIAN CHURCH DENIED. the enquiry, who they were that denied the Resurrection, see note on ver. 12.
1-11.] The Apostle lays the

foundation of his intended polemical argument in the historical fact of the RESUR-RECTION OF CHRIST. But he does not

altogether assume this fact. He deals with its evidence, in relating minutely the various appearances of the Lord after His Resurrection, to others, and to himself. Then, in ver. 12, the proclamation of Christ's Resurrection as the great fact attending the preaching of the gospel, is set against the denial of the Resurrection by some of them, and it is subsequently shewn that the two hang together, so that they who denied the one must be prepared to deny the other; and the consequences of this latter denial are pointed out. But it by no means follows, as De W. (in part) and Meyer have assumed, that the impugners were not prepared to deny the Resurrection of Christ. The Apostle writes not only for them, but for the rest of the Corinthian believers, shewing them the historical certainty, and vital importance of Christ's Resurrection, and its inseparable connexion with the doctrine which they were now tempted to deny.

1, 2.] & transitional. γνωρίζω, not, as most Commentators, aft. Œc., οἶον ὑπομιμνήσκω, nor as Rück., 'I direct your attention to (both which meanings are inadmissible, from the usage of the word: see reff.),but as E. V. I declare: i. e. 'declare anew: not without some intimation of surprise and reproach to them. εὐαγγ.] the (whole) Gospel: not merely the Death and Resurrection of Christ, which were ἐν πρώτοις parts of it; the reproach still continues; q. d. 'I am con-

Chap. XV. 1. [aft $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \zeta \omega \aleph^1$ has written α , but erased it.] Orig-cath. for $\epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon$, $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon D^1 F$ latt copt Ambrst. 2 aft $\lambda \sigma \gamma \omega$ ins $\kappa \alpha \iota D^1$ (and lat); $quod\ et\ sermone\ Ambrst.$ of $\epsilon \iota \lambda \sigma \gamma \omega \Gamma = \kappa \alpha \tau \kappa \gamma \epsilon \nu D^1 F$ D-lat G-lat lux Ambrst.

ευαγγελισαμην D for ει κατεχετε,

strained to begin again, and declare to you the whole gospel which I preached to you.' δ καὶ παρ.] The thrice repeated καί indicates a climax :- which ye also received (see especially ref. John), in which moreover ye stand, by means of which ye are even being saved (in the course of salvation). τίνι λόγ. if ye hold fast, with what discourse I preached to you: the clause τίνι λόγ. being pre-fixed for emphasis' sake. λόγος, of the import, not the grounds of his preaching: for of this he reminds them below, not of the arguments. Some Commentators take τίνι λόγω κ.τ.λ. as a mere epexegesis of εὐαγγέλιον,—' the gospel, with what discourse I preached to you,' as οίδά σε, τίς εl. But as Meyer has remarked, in that case,—(1) σώζεσθε and εἰ κατέχετε being altogether severed from one another, εί κατέχετε becomes the conditional clause to γνωρίζω ὑμῖν, with which it has no logical connexion: (2) εἰ κατέχετε would be inconsistent with έν & και έστήκατε, which would thus be an absolute assertion: (3) the words ἐκτὸς εἰ μὴ εἰκῆ ἐπιστ. would have to be referred as a second conditional clause to εἰ κατέχετε (see below).

έκτὸς εἰ μὴ εἰκῆ ἐπιστ.] The only chance, if you hold fast what I have taught you, of your missing salvation, is the hardly supposable one, that your faith is vain, and the gospel a fable; see ver. 14. of which this is an anticipation :- unless (perchance) ye believed (not as E. V. 'have believed,' which confuses the idea: it is, 'became believers,' see reff.) in vain (είς κενόν, as ver. 14). So Chrys., who remarks: νῦν μέν ύπεσταλμένως αὐτό φησι, προϊών δέ και διαθερμαινόμενος γυμνή λοιπόν τή κεφαλή βοά και λέγει Εί δε χριστός οὐκ έγήγερται, κ.τ.λ. ver. 14. This explanation of the words appears to me the only tenable one. Meyer, and in the main De W., understand them of a vain and dead faith, which the Apostle will not suppose them to have. But surely if the previously expressed condition of κατέχετε were fulfilled, their faith could not be vain or dead; and again the aorist is against this interpretation: unless ye became believers in vain, not, 'unless your faith has been a vain one.' A still further reason is, the parallelism of εἰκῆ ἐπιστεύσατε here and ούτως ἐπιστεύσατε, ver. 11: leading to the inference that elun here relates, not to the subjective insufficiency of their faith, but to the (hypothetical) objective nullity of that on which their faith was founded. Œc., Theophyl., Theodoret, Luther, Calv., Estius, and De W. connect ἐκτὸς εἰ μή (see above) as a second conditional clause to εἰ κατέχετε, supplying between, κατέχετεδὲ πάντως (Theophyl.): but this is arbitrary and unnatural. 3-11.] A detail of the great facts preached to them, centering in the Resurrection of Christ.

3. ἐν πρώτοις] in primis, with relation not to order of time (as Chrys.: έξ ἀρχηs), but to importance (as Theophyl.: olovel yap δεμέλιος έστι πάσης τῆς πίστεως). So Plato, Rep. vii. 6, p. 522: τοῦτο τὸ κοινὸν . . . δ καὶ παντὶ ἐν πρώτοις ἀνάγκη μανθάνειν. δ καὶ παρέλαβον] viz. (see ch. xi. 23 and note) from the Lord himself, by special revelation. Before his conversion he may have known the bare fact of the death of Jesus, but the nature and reason of that Death he had to learn from revelation:-the Resurrection he regarded as a fable,—but revelation informed him of its reality, and its accordance with prophecy. On the following clauses, 'the earliest known specimen of what may be termed the creed of the early Church,' see Stanley's notes, and dissertation at the end of the section. τ. άμ. ήμ.] ON BEHALF OF OUR SINS: viz. to atone for them. Meyer makes the important remark, that this use of but with τῶν ἀμαρτιῶν ἡμ. shews, that when Paul uses it in speaking of Christ's sufferings with ἡμῶν only, he does not mean by it 'loco nostri.' He also quotes from Buttτὰς ^t γραφάς, ⁴ καὶ ὅτι ^u ἐτάφη, καὶ ὅτι ^v ἔγήγερται τῆ ημέρα ^t καὶ τὰς ^t γραφάς, ⁵ καὶ ὅτι ^x ὤφθη Κηφᾶ, εἶτα ^u κατὰ τὰς ^t γραφάς, ⁵ καὶ ὅτι ^x ὤφθη Κηφᾶ, εἶτα ^u κατὰ τὰς ^t γραφάς, ⁵ καὶ ὅτι ^x ὤφθη Κηφᾶ, εἶτα ^u κατὰ τὰς ^t γραφάς, ⁵ καὶ ὅτι ^x ὤφθη Κηφᾶ, εἶτα ^u κατὰ κασίοις ἀδελ ^u κατὰ καστα ^x ἄρτη, ¹² τὰ κατὰ ^x ἔτα τὰ ^x ἄρτη, ^x ἐκοιμήθησαν ^x ἔπειτα ^x ὤφθη Ἰακώβα, ^x ζ^x κατὰ κατὰ ^x χανὶ 19. ^x κατὰ i. 3 τει ¹ τὶπι iii, lö. in this ref., = ἐφρίνη οι ἐφρικερώτη, (Με, xνί, = = 20) i. hon. See Stanley γ Mark xi, ⁵ του, ⁵ κατὰ καν i. 4 ελα es να το μασε σο ταιλιστίνα. See Wister, edn. 6, § 45. 76. = c le re (Rom, vi. 10. Heb. vi. 27. ix. 12. x. 10) only f. ^x α. ch. ix. 19 ref. ^x b. John xxi. 22, ² Joxi. 13 ref.

4. rec τη τριτη ημ. (see Matt xvi. 21; xvii. 23. Here τη ημ. τη τρ. is solemn and emphatic), with FKD rel vulg Syr basın goth Dial Eus Chr Thdrt Iren-int: txt ABDN in 17 syr copt Cyr-jer Cyr, Hil.

5. επειτα ΑΝ m 17 Eus Cyr-jer Chr: μετα ταυτα D¹F. for δωδεκα, ενδεκα D¹F

latt syr-marg goth arm nonnulli codices-in-Aug Phot Damasc Archel Jer.

6. rec πλείουs, with KL rel Chr Thdrt Damasc: txt ABDFN k in 17 Orig Eus Cyr. om και (not perceiving its force or confusion from εκαι εκαι) Λ¹(perhaps) BD¹FN¹ latt Syr coptt goth arm lat-fl¹: ins A²D³KLN³ rel Syr æth Orig Archel Eus Chr Thdrt Damasc.

7. for 1st επειτα, ειτα D (al?): txt ABFKLN 17 rel Orig Cyr-jer Chr Damasc.

mann (Index to Meidias, p. 188), on the distinction between $i\pi\epsilon\rho$ and $\pi\epsilon\rho$: "id unum interest, quod περί usu frequentissimo teritur, multo rarius usurpatur $\delta \pi \epsilon \rho$, quod ipsum discrimen inter Lat. præp. de et super locum obtinet." It may be noticed, that in 3 Kings xvi. 19, where it is said that Zimri ἀπέθανεν ὑπὲρ τῶν άμαρτιών αὐτοῦ ὧν ἐποίησεν, it is for his own sins, as their punishment, that he died. So that ὁπέρ may bear the meaning that Christ's death was the punishment of the sins of that our nature which He took upon Him. But its undoubtedly inclusive vicarious import in other passages where ύπερ ήμῶν and the like occur, seems to rule it to have that sense here also.

κατὰ τὰς γρ.] This applies to Christ's Death, Burial, and Resurrection on the third day: see reft. 4. ἐγγγροτα:] the perfect marks the continuation of the state thus begun, or of its consequences: so Herod. vii. 8, ὰλλ' ὁ μὲν τετελεύτηκε, καὶ οὐκ ἐξεγένετό οἱ τιμωρήσασθαι: see Kühner, § 441. 6. 5.] That the following appearances are related in chronological order, is evident from the use of the definite adverbs of sequence, εἶτα, ἔπειτα, ἔσγατον δὲ πάντων. See examples in Wetstein. Wieseler, Chron. Synops. der vier Ενν. pp. 420 f., attempts to disprove this, but certainly does not succeed in getting over Ενγατον τάντων ν. σ.

ting over ἔσχατον πάντων, ver. 8. ἄφθη Κηφά] See Luke xxiv. 34. τοὶς δάδεκα] used here popularly, as decenviri, and other like expressions, although the number was not full. The occasion referred to seems to be that in John xx. 19 ff.; Luke xxiv. 36 ff. Clearly we must not with Chrys, suppose Matthias to be included as possibly having seen Him after His ascension: for the appearance is evidently one and the same,

6.] He drops the construction with 5τ, dependent on παρέδωκα, and proceeds in a direct narration. But evidently the sense of the former construction continues: he is relating what he had received and preached to them.

ἐπάνω πεντακ. άδ. ἐφάπ.] From Matt. xxvii. 17, it appears (see note there) that others besides the eleven witnessed the appearance on the mountain in Galilee. But we cannot say that it is the appearance here referred to:—nor indeed is it likely that so many as 500 believers in Jesus would have been gathered together in Galilee: both from its position in the list, and from the number who witnessed it, this appearance would seem rather to have taken place at Jerusalem, and before the dispersion of the multitudes who had assembled at the passover: for we find that the church of Jerusalem itself (Acts i. 15) subsequently contained only 120 persons.

iφάπαξ] not here in its commoner meaning of 'once for all,' but at once, at one and the same time; as Theodoret, οὐ καθ' ἔνα. ἀλλ' ὁμοῦ πῶσιν.

οὐ καθ ἕνα, ἀλλ' ὁμοῦ πᾶσιν. μένουτν] survive; see reff. The circumstance of most of them remaining alive is mentioned apparently by way of strengthening the evidence: q. d. "and can attest it, if required?"—hardly for the reason suggested by Stenley, that the dead among them would have been worse off even than others, if there were no resurrection, having been "tantalised by the glimpse of

rec (for 2nd επειτα) ειτα, with BLN3 rel Chr Thdrt: txt AFKN1 a c e g 17 Orig

Eus Cyr-jer Damasc. 8. om $\tau \omega$ F leet-19 (al?).

10. om 2nd ή D¹F, gratia ejus in me latt lat-ff. for ου κενη εγενηθη, πτωχη ουκ εγενηθη D¹: πτωχη ου γεγονεν F: pauper[a] non fuit D-lat G-lat lat-ff(not Jer Aug:

another world in the vision of their risen Lord." 7. Ἰακώβω] Probably, from no distinguishing epithet being added, the celebrated James, the brother of the Lord: see Gal. i. 19. So Chrys.: έμοι δοκεί, τῷ ἀδελφῷ τῷ έαυτοῦ. See notes on ch. ix. 5, Matt. xiii. 55, and the Prolegg. to the Epistle of James. On Wieseler's view that this is the appearance on the road to Emmaus, see note on Luke xxiv. 13. This appearance cannot however be identical with that traditional one quoted by Jerome (from the Gospel according to the Hebrews), Catal. Script. Eccles. ii. vol. ii. p. 831 f.: "Juraverat enim Jacobus, se non comesturum panem ab illa hora qua biberat calicem Domini, donec videret eum resurgentem a mortuis." This would imply that the appearance was very soon after the Resurrection, and before any of those to large collections of believers, in which James would naturally άποστ. πασιν This be present. is decisive for the much wider use of the term απόστολος than as applying to the Twelve only: and a strong presumption that James, just mentioned, and evidently here and Gal. i. 19, included among the ἀπόστολοι, was not one of the Twelve. Chrys. extends the term to the Seventy of Luke x. and others: ησαν γάρ καί άλλοι ἀπόστολοι, ώς οἱ έβδομήκοντα.

8.] But last of all (not masc., as Meyer, who refers it to τῶν ἀποστόλων,—for others than the Apostles have already been mentioned,—but neut., as in ref. and in the expression πάντων μάλιστα [Plat. Protag. p. 330]), as to the abortively born (τῷ pointing out the Apostles as a family, and himself as the abortion unong them,—the one whose relation to the rest in point of worthiness, was as that of the immature and deformed child to the rest of the family. That this is the meaning is

evident from ver. 9, which drops the figure. On ἔκτρωμα, see examples in Wetstein. It is not, as τινες in Theophyl., τὸ ὕστερον γέννημα, 'a weakling child of old age.' The grammarians find fault with the term, and prefer αμβλωμα or ἐξάμβλωμα: but it occurs in Aristotle, de generatione animalium, iv. 5, -οὐ δύναται τελειοῦν, ἀλλὰ κυήματ' ἐκπίπτει παραπλήσια τοῖς καλουμένοις έκτρώμασιν. The suggestion of Valcknaer, al., that $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ is $\tau \varphi$ for $\tau \iota \nu \iota$, is equally inconsistent with usage and the sense of the passage), He appeared to me also: viz. on the road to Damascus. This, and this only, can here be meant; as he is speaking, not of a succession of visions, but of some one definite apparition.

9, 10.] Digressive, explanatory of ἐκτρώματι. 9. ἐγώ] The stress is on ἐγώ, ' I,
and no other.' σς] ' ut qui .' assigns
the reason. ἰκανός] see reff.

καλείσθαι 'to bear the honourable name of an Apostle.' 10. χάρ. δ. θεοῦ] "With the humiliating conviction of his own unworthiness is united the consciousness of that higher Power which worked on and in him, -and this introduces his chastened self-consciousness of the extent and success of his apostolic labours," De Wette, The position of χάριτι δὲ θεοῦ, and the repetition of ή χάρις αὐτοῦ afterwards, shew the emphatic prominence which he assigns to the divine Grace. Selmi viz. in my office and its results. The church has admirably connected this passage, as Epistle for the 11th Sunday after Trinity, with that other speech of a Pharisee, Luke xviii. 11,δ θεός, εὐχαριστῶ σοι ὅτι οὐκ εἰμὶ ὥςπερ οἱ λοιποί τῶν ἀνθρώπων: see note there. ή εἰς ἐμέ] which was (manifested) towards me: see ref. and Rom. viii. 18.

wards me: see ref. and Rom. viii. 18. λλά opposed to κενή έγ.,—' by means of God's grace' being understood after ἀλλά, as afterwards explained.

περισσότε $^{\circ}$ ἐκοπίασα, οὐκ ἐγὼ δέ, ἀλλὰ ἡ χάρις τοῦ θεοῦ $^{\mathsf{p}}$ σὖν $^{\mathsf{oMalt. vi. 28.}}_{\mathsf{Acts. x. 33.}}$ έμοί. 11 q ἔτε οὖν ἑγὼ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ εἴτε ἐκείνοι, οὕτως $^{\mathsf{r}}$ κηρύσσομεν, 12 μπι. καὶ οὕτως $^{\mathsf{s}}$ ἐπιστεύσατε. 12 εἰ δὲ $^{\mathsf{t}}$ χριστὸς $^{\mathsf{t}}$ κηρύσσεται $^{\mathsf{w}}$ ἐκ $^{\mathsf{p}}$ κανί. $^{\mathsf{t}}$ $^{\mathsf{p}}$ Ακτ. κι. $^{\mathsf{q}}$ $^{\mathsf{t}}$ εκςιων ὅτι $^{\mathsf{w}}$ ἔγήγερται, $^{\mathsf{w}}$ πως λέγουσιν ἐν ὑμίν τινες ὅτι $^{\mathsf{q}}$ $^{\mathsf{absol.}}$, Μαιί. γτ. $^{\mathsf{q}}$ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ ελκι. $^{\mathsf{q}}$ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ ελκι. $^{\mathsf{q}}$ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ ελκι. $^{\mathsf{q}}$ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ ελκι. $^{\mathsf{q}}$ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ ελκι. $^{\mathsf{q}}$ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ $^{\mathsf{q}}$ ελκι. $^{\mathsf{q}}$ $^{\mathsf{$

passim. Exod. xxxii. 5. s = ver. 2 reft. t see Acts viii. 5 reft. u Matt. xvii. 9. (by. $\alpha x \delta \tau$. $\nu \nu$. xiv. 2 al., $n \sin \Delta k$. v ho has $\delta \varepsilon \nu$. $\delta \omega \alpha \sigma \tau$. vi. 14.) Luke ix. 7. John ii. 22. xii. 1, 9, 17. xxi. 14. Acts iii. 15 al?. Paul, passim. Heb. xi. 19. 1 Pet. i. 21. v = ver. 4 al. rr. w = Rom. vi. 2. Gal. ii. 14. iv. 9.

egena Hil). om αυτων D¹-gr L¹: παντων bef αυτων a. απαντων (but α erased) Ν. [αλλα, so ABD¹Ν 17.] rec ins η bef συν (see note), with A D-corr(² or 3 ?) KLΝ³ rel Ath Chr Cyr Thdrt, Damasc, Thl Œc Orig-int, Jer, so BD¹FΝ¹ latt Orig(gr and int) lat-ff.

D'FR' latt Orig(gr and int) lat-ii.

11. for ovv, $\delta \epsilon$ autem D'F goth Iren-int: enim vulg Tert Pelag. $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon \aleph^1$.

12. * rec ὅτι ἐκ νεκρῶν, with ABKLN rel vulg(and F-lat) Chr Thdrt Iren-int: εκ μεκρων στι Di-3F D-lat G-lat Orig. rec τινες bef εν υμιν, with DFKL rel goth Epiph Chr, Thdrt Ambrst Promiss: quidam dicunt in vobis latt Tert: txt ABN a 17 syrr Chr,(and 2-mss) Damase Orig-int.

pov adverbial, as in reff.: or perhaps neut. accus. governed by ἐκοπίασα. αὐτῶν πάντων] either, 'than any of them,' or 'than them all,' scil. together. Meyer prefers the latter, on account of τοις ἀπ. πᾶσιν, ver. 7. But it seems hardly necessary, and introduces an element of apparent exaggeration. ἐκοπίασα] Spoken of his apostolic work, in all its branches; see reff., especially Phil. ούκ ἐγὼ δέ] explanatory, to avoid misapprehension: it had been implied (see above) in the ἀλλά:-not I, however, but the Grace of God with me (see var. readd.): That is,—the scil. ἐκοπίασεν κ.τ.λ. Grace of God worked with him in so overwhelming a measure, compared to his own working, that it was no longer the work of himself but of divine Grace. Augustine, de Grat. et Lib. Arb. § 5 [12], vol. x. p. 889, hardly expresses this: "Non ego autem, i. e. non solus, sed gratia Dei mecum: ac per hoc nec gratia Dei sola, nec ipse solus, sed gratia Dei cum illo:" for he overlooks the entire preponderance of Grace, which Paul asserts, even to the exclusion of his own action in the matter. The right view of this preponderance of Grace prevents the misunderstanding of the words which has led to the insertion of the article, ή σὺν ἐμοί, whereby Grace becomes absolutely the sole agent, which is contrary to fact. On the coagency of the human will with divine Grace, but in subordination, see Matt. x. 20; 2 Cor. v. 20; vi. 1, and ch. iii. 9, note. He resumes the subject after the digression respecting himself:-it matters not whether it were I or they (the other Apostles) -such is the purport of our preaching-SUCH was your belief: -ουτως, after this manner, viz. that Christ died, was

buried, and rose again, as vv. 3, 4. 12-19.] On the fact of Christ's Resur-rection, announced in his preaching, and confessed in their belief, he grounds (negatively) the truth of the general Resurrection :- If the latter be not to happen, neither has the former happened :- and he urges the results of such a disproof 12.] introof Christ's Resurrection. duces the argument for the resurrection, by referring to its denial among a portion δέ belongs of the Corinthian church. to the whole question, and is opposed to ούτως κηρ. and ούτ. ἐπιστ. of the foregoing verse. The position of χριστός before the verb gives it the leading emphasis, as an example of that which is denied by some among you: But if CHRIST is preached that He is risen from the dead (if an instance of such resurrection is a fact announced in our preaching), how say some among you (how comes it to pass that some say) that a resurrection of the dead does not exist (οὐκ ἔστ. as ver. 13)? If the species be conceded, how is it that some among you deny the genus? It is an interesting question, WHO these Tives were; and one which can only be answered by the indications which the argument in this chapter furnishes. (1) Were they Sadducees? If so, the Apostle would hardly have begun his argument with the fact of the Resurrection of Jesus. And yet we must remember that he is arguing not with the deniers, but with those who being as yet sound, were liable to be misled by them. But the opposition between Sadduceism and Christianity was so complete, that we have little reason to think that any leaven of the Sadducees ever found its way into the church. (2) Were they Epicureans? Probably not for two reasons: x Matt.xxii. x ἀνάστασις x νεκρῶν οὐκ ἔστιν; 13 εί δὲ x ἀνάστασις x νε- ABDF group, Λετα κρῶν οὐκ ἔστιν, οὐδὲ χοιστὸς 9 ἐγήγερται· 14 εί δὲ χριστὸς c de fg halms où, y ἐγήγερται, ακενὸν αρα καὶ τὸ κηρυγμα ήμῶν, ο 17 d times où, y εγήγερται, ακενὸν αρα καὶ τὸ κηρυγμα ήμῶν, ο 17 d times où, y ενή καὶ ἡ πίστις ὑμῶν 15 ε εὐρισκόμεθα δὲ καὶ d ψευτεθ. x d tr. δομάρτυρες τοῦ θεοῦ, ὅτι ε ἐμαρτυρήσαμεν 6 κατὰ τοῦ θεοῦ z ver. 10.

a 2 Cor v. 15. Gal. iii. 29. see Rom., vii. 3, 25. b Rom. xvi. 25 reff. c = c.b. i. y. 2 ref. d Mart. xxvi. 00 only t., see Acts vi. i3. (τρείν, Mark x. 19. τρέα, Matt. xxv. 19.) e = John i. 7, 8, 15. Acts xsiii. 11 at β f = here only. Xen. Cyrop. i. 2, 16, ταῦτα μἐν δὲ κατὰ πάντων Περσῶν ἔχνιμεν Ακεγειν.

13. om ει δε to εστιν(homeotel) XI a d: ins N-corr1.

14. rec om 1st και (as superfluous), with BLN³ rel Ps-Ign Constt Epiph Cyr-jer Chr Thdrt Damase Jacob-nisib: ins ADFKN³ d (e) f² l m 17 G-lat basım goth Dial Ge. (D-lat lat-ff express neither και nor αρα.) rec aft κενη ins δε, with D³KL rel syr Pseud-Ign Constt Chr Thdrt Thl Œc: om ABD¹FN a¹ m 17 latt coptt Cyr-jer Dial Damase lat-ff. ημων D¹ 1 67². 73. 91. 106 sah goth Ps-Ign-2-mss Dial Cyrier Œe Ruf Arnob Bede.

(a) the Epicurean maxim, "Let us eat and drink," &c., is represented as a legitimate consequence of adopting their denial of the resurrection, not as an accompaniment of, much less as the ground of it: and (β) had the Epicurean element entered to any extent into the Corinthian church, we certainly should have had more notice of its exceedingly antichristian tenets. It is possible that the deniers may have been, or been in danger of being, corrupted by mixture with Epicureans without, from the warning of ver. 33. (3) Were they Jews? If not Sadducees, hardly Jews at all, or Judaizers: a strong tenet of Pharisaism was this very one of the Resurrection, see Acts xxiii. 6: and we know of no tendency of Essenism which should produce such a denial. (4) They must then have been Gentile believers, inheriting the unwillingness of the Greek mind to receive that of which a full account could not be given, see vv. 35, 36: and probably of a philosophical and cavilling turn. Meyer argues, from the antimaterialistic turn of the Apostle's counter-arguments, vv. 35 ff., - that the objections were antimaterialistic also: De W. infers the very opposite, which certainly seems to me more probable. No trace whatever is found in the argument of an allegorizing character in the opponents, as was that of Hymenæus and Philetus, who maintained that the resurrection was past already, 2 Tim, ii. 17, 18,—as Olsh. after Grot. sup-Whether the Apostle regarded the resurrection of the body as inseparably bound up with a future existence of the soul, does not very clearly appear in this chapter. From the use of the word ἀπώλοντο, ver. 18, which must refer, not to annihilation, but to perdition, it would seem that he admitted an independent existence of the soul; as also from Phil. i. 23. But from ver. 32, εί νεκροί οὐκ ἐγείρονται,

φάγωμεν κ. πίωμεν, αύριον γάρ ἀποθνήσκομεν, it would seem that the Apostle regarded the denial of the resurrection as involving that of the future state and judg-On the question, to which of the (supposed) Corinthian parties the opponents belonged, I have nothing to say, not recognizing the divisions into the Pauline, Apollonian, Petrine, and Christine parties as having any historical foundation; see note on ch. i. 12. 13.] Sé is the but argumentandi, frequent in mathematical demonstrations. άν. νεκ. οὐκ ἔστιν the words (oùk) of the deniers. χριστ. έγήγερται This inference depends, as Grot. observes, on the maxim, "Sublato genere tollituret species;" the Resurrection of Christ being an instance of the rule, that dead men rise; inasmuch as He is man. This is enlarged on, vv. 20-22. δέ, again introducing a new inference. οὐκ έγ.] Again repeating and using as matter of fact (οὐκ) the inference of the last verse; q. d. εἰ δὲ χρ. οὐκ-ἐγήγερται. κενόν] idle, 'empty,' 'without result:' placed first for emphasis. ăρa then:

'rebus ita comparatis' (Meyer).
καί] also, q. d. "If Christ's Resurrection be gone, then also our faith is gone."
Without the copula δέ, the clause is much more forcible:—idle also is our preaching, idle also is your faith. Thus καί both times refers to the hypothesis, εἰ χρ. οὐκ ἐγήγ.

15.] Not to be joined with the former verse, as Lachm, al., and Meyer: for it does not depend on εἰ δὲ

δὲ καί, moreover. ψευδ.
τοῦ θ.] false witnesses concerning God
(gen. oly), not 'belonging to God' (gen.
subj.), as Billroth: and false witnesses,
as bearing false testimony (see below),
not, as Knapp, as pretending to be witnesses, and not being:—there is no such

χρ. κ.τ.λ., but has its reason given below.

ὅτι y ηγειρεν τὸν χριστόν, ὃν οὐκ y ηγειρεν g εἴπερ αρα g g -Rom. vii. νεκροὶ οὐκ y εγείρονται. 16 εί γὰρ νεκροὶ οὐκ y εγείρονται 16 εί γὰρ νεκροὶ οὐκ y εγείρονται 17 εί δὲ χριστὸς y εγήγερται 17 εί δὲ χριστὸς οὐκ y εγήγερται 18 ἀρα καὶ οἱ x κοιμηθέντες 1 εν χριστ x 18 ἀρα καὶ οἱ x κοιμηθέντες 1 εν χριστ x 16 16 16 16 17 18 18 άρα καὶ οἱ x κοιμηθέντες 1 εν χριστ x 16 16 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 19

15. om και D¹ goth arm Tert. aft χριστον ins αυτου κ²(κ³ disapproving).

om ειπερ to εγειρονται D 43 harl Syr sah. ins οι bef νεκροι F.

17. aft υμων ins εστιν BD¹ (latt). ins και bef ετι AR¹ Syr salı æth arm Damasc:

ετι γαρ goth Orig lat-ff.

19. rec ηλπικοτες εσμεν bef εν χριστω, with D³KL rel (vss) Orig, Chr Thdrt Œc: txt ABD¹FN m 17 latt goth (Orig,) Chron (Thl) Iren-int Ambr Ambrst. 2nd εσμεν bef παντως ωθρωπων D latt goth lat-ff: omnibus sumus hominibus Iren-int.

distinction as Müller attempts to lay down (Diss. Exeget. de loco Paul. 1 Cor. xv. 12—19, cited by De Wette) between ψευ-δεῖς μάρτυρες, 'qui falsum testimonium dicunt,' and ψευδομάρτυρες, 'qui mentiuntur es esse testes:' see reff., and comparé (De Wette) ψευδοϊδάσκαλος, ψευδοκατήγορος.

κατά τοῦ θεοῦ] not, as commonly, and even Meyer, 'against God:' but as E. V., of, or concerning God: see, besides ref., Plut. de Liberis Educandis, § 4:- δ κατά των τεχνών κ. των επιστημών λέγειν είώθαμεν, ταὐτὸν καὶ κατὰ τῆς ἀρετῆς φατέον έστίν. ως είς την παντελή δικαιοπραγίαν τρία δει συνδραμείν, φύσιν, κ. λόγον, κ. είπερ ἄρα] if in reality, as they assert, . . ., compare Plat. Protag. p. 319 (§ 27), ἢ καλόν, ἢν δ' ἐγώ, τέχνημα άρα κέκτησαι, είπερ κέκτησαι, and see Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 343. 16. Repetition of the inference in ver. 13, for precision's sake. 17, 18.] Repetition of the consequence already mentioned in ver. 14, but fuller, and with more reference to its present and future calamitous 17. ματαία] from μάτην, results. and thus more directly pointing at the frustration of all on which faith relies as accomplished, - e. g. the removal of the guilt and power of sin; - and of all to which hope looks forward, e. g. bliss after death for those who die in Christ. This is so, because Christ's Resurrection accomplished our justification (Rom. iv. 25), and, through justification, our future bliss, even in the disembodied state (for that seems here to be treated of).

18. apa kai] then also.

18. άρα και then also. οι κοιμ.] those who fell asleep in Christ, perished (i.e. passed into misery in Hades). He uses the acrists, speaking of the act of

death, not of the continuing state: the act of falling asleep in Christ was to them ἀπώλεια. ἐν χρ., in communion with, membership of Christ. Οη κοιμηθέντες Meyer quotes a beautiful sentence from Photius (Quæst. Amphiloch. 168 [al. 187 or 197], vol. i. p. 861, Migne): $\epsilon \pi l$ μέν οὖν τοῦ χριστοῦ θάνατον καλεῖ, ἵνα τὸ πάθος πιστώσηται έπλ δὲ ήμῶν κοίμησιν, ໃνα την όδύνην παραμυθήσηται. ένθα μέν γὰρ παρεχώρησεν ἡ ἀνάστασις, θαρρών καλει θάνατον. ένθα δὲ ἐν ἐλπίσιν ἔτι μένει. κοίμησιν καλεῖ. 19. Assuming this ἀπώλεια of the dead in Christ, the state of Christians is indeed miserable. It has perhaps not been enough seen that there are here two emphases, and that μόνον belongs to the aggregate of both. According to the ordinary interpretation, 'If in this life only we have hope in Christ . . .,' it would be implied that in reality we shall have hope in Christ in another state also, which would not agree with the perfect ἡλπικότες ἐσμέν. The right arrangement of the Greek gives the key to the sentence : εὶ [ἐν τῆ ζωῆ ταύτη ἐν χριστῷ ὴλπικότες ἐσμὲν] μόνον,—'if all we have done is merely having hoped in Christ in this life,' 'if it is there to end, and that hope have no result . . .' The perf. ήλπικότες έσμ. implies the endurance of the hope through έλεειν. πάντ. We are most to be pitied (most miserable) of all men; viz. because they, all other men, live at ease,-we on the contrary are ever exposed to danger and death: because our hope is more intense than that of all others, and leads us to forego more: and to be disappointed in it, would be the height of misery. 20-28.] Reassertion of the truth that Christ IS RISEN from the

v Acts xv. 24 k κεκοιμημένων. 21 v έπειδη γαο δι ανθρώπου [6] θάνατος, ABDF reff.
w ver. 13 reff.
x = Acs iv. 2.
ch. vii. 14
2 Cor. v. 19.
Col. i. 16.
Gal. ii. 17.
Eph. i. 4.
iii. 11.
y Rom. iv. 17
reff.
z here only. 1 καὶ δι ἀνθρώπου " ἀνάστασις " νεκρών. 22 ώς περ γαρ cdefg * έν τῷ 'Αδὰμ πάντες ἀποθνήσκουσιν, οὕτως καὶ * έν τῷ χριστώ πάντες ⁹ ζωοποιηθήσονται. 23 έκαστος δε έν τώ ίδίω ^{*} τάγματι' ^{**} ἀπαρχὴ χριστός, ἔπειτα ^{**} οἱ τοῦ χριστοῦ z here only. 1 Kings iv. 10. 2 Kings xxiii, 13. a w. gen., see Rom. xvi. 10, 11. ch. i. 11.

20. for vovi, vov AF Dial. ins των bef νεκρων F Damasc-comm. end adds εγενετο (supplemental gloss), with D³KL rel syrr goth Thdrt Damasc: γενομένος 80: om ABD¹FR 17 latt coptt arm Orig Dial Iren-int Hil.

21. om o bef θανατος ABD'KN Orig Dial Ath, Ps-Ath Damase (appy to conform to avaστ. below: this is more prob than to suppose with Meyer that it has been introd from Rom v. 12): ins D³FL rel Ath, Eucher Cyr-jer(but η ζωη afterwards) Chr(but η aνaστ. also) Thdrt.

dead,-and prophetic exposition of the consequences of that great event.

20.] vvví, 'as matters now stand:' see reff. ἀπαρχ. τ. κεκοιμ.] (as) (the) first-fruit of them that sleep (anarthrous, because categorematical). For the construction Meyer compares Eur. Or. 1098: Έλένην κτάνωμεν, Μενελέφ λύπην πικράν. The sense is, 'Christ, in rising from the dead, is but the firstling or earnest of the resurrection of the whole number of those that sleep.' There does not appear to be any intended reference to the legal ordinance of the first-fruits (Lev. xxiii. 10, 11): but however general the application of the analogy may be, it can hardly fail to have been suggested to the mind of a Jew by the Levitical ordinances, especially as our Lord rose on the very morrow after the Paschal Sabbath, when (l.c.) the first-fruits were offered. των κεκοιμημένων from the logical connexion, should mean, not the dead in Christ, but all the dead; see next verse: but it is the Christian dead who are before the Apostle's mind, when he calls our risen Lord ἀπαρχη τῶν κεκ.

21.] MAN the bringer-in both of death and life: explanation (not proof) of Christ being the ἀπαρχή τ. κέκοιμ.: and (1) in that He is MAN: it being necessary that the first-fruit should be as the lump. The verity lying at the root of this verse is, that by MAN ONLY can general effects pervading the whole human δι' άνθρώπου, race be introduced. 22.7 (2) In that He is sc. ἐστίν. (and here the fact of His being the Lord of Life and Righteonsness, and the second and spiritual Head of our nature, are assumed) to us the bringer-in of Life, as

Adam was the bringer-in of DEATH. ἐν τῷ 'Aδ., ἐν τῷ χριστῷ] in community with, as partakers in a common nature with, Adam and Christ: who are respectively the sources, to the whole of that nature (πάντες), of death, and life, i. e.

(here) physical death, and rescue from physical death. The practice of Paul to insulate the objects of his present attention from all ulterior considerations, must be carefully here borne in mind. The antithesis is merely between the bringing in of death by Adam, and of life (its opposite) by Christ. No consequence, whether on the side of death or of life, is brought into consideration. That death physical involved death eternal—that life eternal (in its only worthy sense) involves bliss eternal, is not so much as thought of, while the two great opposites, Death and Life, are under consideration. This has been missed by many Interpreters, and the reasoning thereby marred. But the ancients, Chrys., Theophyl., Theodoret, Ecum., and Olsh., De Wette, and Meyer, keep to the universal reference. Theophylact's note is clear and striking: αίτίαν προςτίθησι δι' ής πιστοῦται τὰ εἰρημένα. ἔδει γάρ, φησιν, αὐτὴν νικήσαι την ήττηθείσαν φύσιν. καl τον καταβληθέντα, αὐτὸν ἐκνικῆσαι καὶ γὰρ ἐν τῷ ᾿Αδάμ, τουτέστι διὰ τὸ τοῦ ᾿Αδὰμ πταῖσμα, πάντες τῷ θανάτω ὑπέπεσον οὅτως οὖν ἐν χριστῷ πάντες ἀναστήσονται* τουτέστι διὰ τὸ εὑρεθῆναι τὸν χριστὸν ἀναμάρτητον κ. ἀνένοχον τῷ θανάτῳ, καὶ έκόντα μέν ἀποθανεῖν, ἀναστῆναι δέ, καθὸ οὐκ ἦν δυνατόν αὐτόν κρατεῖσθαι ὑπὸ τῆς φθορας, τον άρχηγον της ζωής. See on the great antithesis, Rom. v. 12 ff., and 23.] But in this uninotes. versal Resurrection, ALL SHALL NOT HOLD THE SAME RANK. Chrys. rightly, είτα, Ίνα μη την ζωοποίησιν κοινην ακούσας, καὶ τοὺς άμαρτωλοὺς νομίσης σώζεσ- $\theta \alpha i, \epsilon \pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \alpha \gamma \epsilon \nu$ $\tilde{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \sigma s \delta \tilde{\epsilon} \kappa. \tau. \lambda.$

τάγμα is not order of priority, but rank, or 'troop in an army,' so Plut., Otho, p. 1072 (Wetst.): λεγεώνες, ούτω γάρ τὰ τάγματα 'Ρωμαΐοι καλούσιν ἐπίκλησιν. The three ranks are mentioned in order of priority, but this does not constitute their distinctive character: - Christis the ἀπαρχή

23. $\delta \epsilon$ is written over the line by \aleph^1 . recom row bef xpurrow (by a mistake

appy). Ins o bef ev $\tau\eta$ majouria and add $e\lambda\pi$ is a trivial to the first of ev in adventu [m] ejus crediderunt demid fuld, sperantes is written over $e\lambda\pi$, in the grecolumn of F: on the other hand, am D-lat F-lat have in adventu ejus; fri Aug, in præsentia ejus.)

24. rec παραδω (alteration to conform to καταργηση, the propriety of the pres being overlooked: see note), with KL rel Chr Thdrt Damasc: παραδιδω ADN Eus Ath Did

Hippol Bas Nys: txt BF. (17 def.) του θῦ Ν¹.

—this is His ἴδιον τάγμα, see Col. i. 18: οί τοῦ χριστοῦ follow at His coming, who are the φύραμα (as understood by the context, and implied by $\dot{\alpha}\pi\alpha\rho\chi\dot{\eta}$), in the proper and worthiest sense, made like unto Him and partaking of Hisglory; then (after how long or how short a time is not declared, and seems to have formed no part of the revelations to Paul, but was afterwards revealed,—see Rev. xx. 4—6: compare also 1 Thess. iv. 15-17) shall come THE END, viz. the resurrection of the rest of the dead, here veiled over by the general term $\tau \delta$ $\tau \in \lambda os$,—that resurrection not being in this argument specially treated, but only that of Christians. The key to the understanding of this passage is to be found in the prophecy of our Lord, Matt. xxiv., xxv., but especially in the latter chapter. The resurrection and judgment of oi του χριστου forming the subject of vv. 1-30 there, and τὸ τέλος,—the great final gathering of πάντα τὰ ἔθνη, of vv. 31—46.

ἀπαρχή, therefore necessarily the first τάγμα: and hence the word stands first. οί τοῦ χρ.] = οἱ νεκροὶ ἐν χριστῷ,1 Thess. iv. 16. No mention occurs here of any judgment of these his ίδιοι δοῦλοι, as in Matt. xxv., for it does not belong to the present subject. έν τη παρ. αὐτ.] έν as forming part of, involved in, His appearing,-which, as the great event of the time, includes their resurrection in it. It ought to be needless to remind the student of the distinction between this παρουσία and the final judgment; it is here peculiarly important to bear it in mind. 24. είτα] then, next in succession, introducing the third τάγμα,—see above. τὸ τέλος] the end κατ' έξοχήν: not the end of the resurrection, as Meyer, after Theodoret, Ecum., Bengel, al.:—nor, of this present world, as Chrys., al.,—which properly happens at the παρουσία: nor exactly, of the Kingdom of Christ, as Grot. and Billroth: but generally, the End, when all shall be accomplished, the bringing in and fulness of the Kingdom by the subjugation of the last enemy, the whole course of Vol. II.

mediatorial work of Christ, the salvation of the elect; the time indicated by Matt. xxv. ult.: καὶ ἀπελεύσονται οὖτοι εἰς κόλασιν αἰώνιον, οἱ δὲ δίκαιοι εἰς ζωὴν αἰώνιον.

οταν παραδιδοί] when He (Christ) gives up (the pres., for that which is certainly attached to the event as its accompaniment- $\delta \tau a \nu$ indicating the uncertainty of the time when) the Kingdom to God and the Father (reff.: to Him who is God and His Father). Then the rest of the section as far as ver. 28, is in explanation of the giving up the kingdom. And it rests on this weighty verity: the KINGDOM OF CHRIST over this world, in its beginning, its furtherance, and its complction, has one great end, - THE GLORIFICATION OF THE FATHER BY THE SON. Therefore, when it shall be fully established, every enemy overcome, every thing subjected to Him, He will,-not, reign over it and abide its King, but deliver it up to the Father. Hence as in ver. 25, His reign will endure, not, like that of earthly kings, WHEN He shall have put all enemies under His feet, but only TILL He shall have, &c., -and then will be absorbed in the all-pervading majesty of Him for whose glory it was from first to last carried onward. It may be observed (1) that the whole of this respects the mediatorial work and kingdom: the work of redemption,-and that Lordship over dead and living, for which Christ both died and rose. Consequently nothing is here said which can affect either (1) His coequality and coeternity with the Father in the Godhead, which is prior to and independent of this mediatorial work, and is not limited to the mediatorial kingdom; or (2) the eternity of His Humanity: for that Humanity ever was and is subordinate to the Father; and it by no means follows that when the mediatorial kingdom shall be given up to the Father, the Humanity, in which that kingdom was won, shall be put off: nay, the very fact of Christ in the body being the first-fruits of the resurrection, proves that His body, as ours, will endure for ever: as the truth that our

1 - Rom. viii. γήση πάσαν i ἀρχην καὶ πάσαν k έξουσίαν καὶ i δύναμιν. ABDF κ- Rom. xiii. 251 δεῖ γὰρ αὐτον m βασιλεύειν, ἄχρι οὖ n θη πάντας τοὺς c d e fg h k I m refi. h.iv. s k καταργείται ὁ θάνατος. 27 Πάντα γὰρ o ὑπέταξεν ὑπὸ o η o καταργείται ὁ θάνατος. 27 Πάντα γὰρ o ὑπέταξεν ὑπὸ o δι k I h.i. s. s. i καταργείται ὁ θάνατος. 27 Πάντα γὰρ o ὑπέταξεν ὑπὸ o δι κ I h.i. s. s. o καταργείται ὁ θάνατος. o Γι πάντα i ὑποτέ- i 3. x. 13. i καταργείται o δηλον o ὅταν δὲ o είπη ὅτι πάντα i ὑποτέ- i 3. x. 13. i καταργείται o θηλον o ὅταν δὲ o τοῦ o ὑποτάζαντος αὐτοῦ τὰ ο rom. viii. o o ρείἰγρ, ch. vi. 16 (refi). o γος o βλι ii. I lonly. o κατι 73 ουλμ. Nam. xxvii. 21. o ε- Acts xvi. 22. Isa. xxvi. 13. o γα sabore (q). Matt. o χατι 73 ουλμ. Ναμ. xxvii. 21.

25. rec αχρις, with B²DFKLN³ rel: txt AB'N¹ 17 Chr-cat Damase. rec aft αχρι ου ins αν (perhaps, as Meyer, from xxx, Ps cix. 1), with D²⁻³KLN³ rel Orig, Case Chr Thdrt: om ABD'FN¹ a² 17 Orig, Ath Eus Hip Epiph_{espe} Damase. aft εχθρους ins αντου AF 17 Syr coptt acth Orig, Marcell Eus Case Cyr-jer Orig-int Tert: om BDKLN rel vulg(with am demid, aget harl F-lat) syr Orig Eus Ath Chr Thdrt Damase Iren-int Hil. om αντου F Jer, (not F-lat)

26. This ver in DN-corr tol Jer Ambrst stands after ποδας αυτου ver 27: om ver 26

and 1st clause of ver 27 (homæotel) 17. 92(sic).

27. om 1st clause κ¹: ins (but see above) κ²-corr¹-3.
lat-ff. (not F-lat Aug-) ins τα bef 2nd παντα κ.
ei F Hip Orig-int Ambr; bef νπ., Epiph. om τα F.

humanity, even in glory, can only subsist before God by virtue of HIS Humanity, makes it plain that He will be VERY MAN to την βασιλείαν That all eternity. kingdom, which in its fullest sense is then first His. At this very time of τὸ τέλος, Matt. xxv. 34, He first calls Himself by the title of δ βασιλεύς. The name will no sooner be won, than laid at the feet of the Father, thus completing by the last great act of Redemption the obedience which He manifested in his Incarnation, and in his όταν καταργήση] (aor.) Death. when He shall have brought to nought, &c.: see above. πασ. άρχ. κ.τ.λ. not only, as Meyer, &c., hostile power and government, but as the context necessitates, ALL power. Christ being manifested as universal King, every power co-ordinate with His must come under the category of hostile: all kings shall submit to Him: the kingdoms of the world shall become the kingdoms of the Lord and of His Christ: -and see the similar expressions Eph. i. 21, where speaking proleptically, the Apostle clearly indicates that *legitimate* authorities, all the powers that be, are included. Compare by all means Rev. xi. 15. 25. See on the last verse:—this is

the divine appointment with regard to the mediatorial kingdom,—that it should last till, and only till, all enemies shall have been subdued to it. θ_{11}^n , viz. Christ, not the Father, as Beza, Grot., Est., Billr., al.: it is parallel with $\kappa \alpha \alpha \rho \gamma \beta \sigma p$, and included in the mediatorial acts of Christ, who in His world's course goes forth $\nu \kappa \hat{\kappa} \hat{\kappa} \nu \kappa \hat{\kappa} l V \alpha \nu \kappa \hat{\sigma} p$, Rev. vi. 2. It is otherwise with $\nu \kappa \hat{\kappa} \alpha \nu k \hat{\kappa} r k v$. 27: see there. 26.]

Connect $\mathbf{\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\chi}\mathbf{ar}$. $\mathbf{\tilde{\epsilon}\psi}\mathbf{\tilde{\theta}\rho\dot{\phi}}$ together; not as Bloomf., "last of all, the enemy Death is to be destroyed," which is ungrammatical. If $\mathbf{\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\chi}$ is to stand alone, $\mathbf{\tilde{\epsilon}\chi}\mathbf{\tilde{\theta}\rho\dot{\phi}s}$ $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\rho\gamma\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\epsilon}\tau\alpha$ must be "is destroyed as an enemy." Death is the last enemy, as being the consequence of sin: when he is overcome and done away with, the whole end of Redemption is shewn to have been accomplished. Death is personified, as in Rev. xx. 14.

καταργείται,—pres., either as a prophetic certainty as παραδίδοι above,—or as an axiomatic truth.

27.] Scriptural proof of the above declaration

proof of the above declaration. ὑπέταξ. viz., from the Psalm,—God, the See on the Psalm itself, Heb. Father. είπη, seil. δ θεός, the ii. 6 ff. notes. same subject as ὑπέταξεν. Meyer alone, as it seems to me, gives the right construction of ὅταν . . . ὑποτέτακται. "The aor. είπη must be rendered regularly, not in the present sense, but as a futurum exactum: see Luke vi. 26: Plat. Parm. p. 143, c (τί δ' όταν εἴπω οὐσία τε καὶ έν, αρα οὐκ αμφοτέρω;),—Ion, p. 535, B (δταν εὖ εἴπης ἔπη καὶ ἐκπλήξης μάλιστα τουs θεωμένουs). The time referred to, is that when the as yet unfulfilled πάντα ὑπέταξεν shall be fulfilled and completed: hence it is no longer the aor., but the perf. ὁποτέτακται. The meaning then is: 'when God, who in Ps. viii. 6 has announced the ὑπόταξις, shall hereafter have declared that this ὑπόταξιs is come to pass,' . . . This form of expression was suggested to the Apostle by his having already expressed himself in the words of a saying of God." I render then, But when God shall

have declared that all things have been

πάντα· $\frac{28}{6}$ ὅταν δὲ ° ὑποταγῆ αὐτῷ τὰ πάντα, τότε $\begin{bmatrix} \kappa \alpha i \end{bmatrix}$ $\frac{t - \text{Col. iii. 11.}}{(\text{ch. xii. 6})}$ αὐτὸς ὁ υίὸς ° ὑποταγήσεται τῷ ° ὑποτάξαντι αὐτῷ τὰ πάντα, ίνα τη ο θεὸς τπάντα έν πάσιν. 29 έπεὶ τί " ποιήσουσιν οι βαπτιζόμενοι ύπεο των νεκοων; εί "όλως

Βαβυλω-

5, τὸ ὅλον αὐτοῖς ἦν καὶ τὸ πᾶν ᾿Απελλῆς. v Matt. v. 34. ch. v. 1. vi. 7 only †.

u - Mark xi. 5. John xi. 47. Acts xxi. 13.

28. om 1st clause (homœotel) ℵ¹ m : ins ℵ-corr¹. om και BD1F 17 am(with fuld harl mar tol, agst demid) Syr goth Orig $_2$ Iren int Ps-Ath int Jer: ins AD 3 KLN rel syr coptt Ps-Ign Dial Ath Ps-Ath Cæs Cyr-jer Chr Thdrt Damasc Orig-int Tert. rec ins τa bef 3rd $\pi a \nu \tau a$, with D 3 FKLN rel Orig-ubique Marcell Eus Dial Ath Tit Epiph Cas Cyr-jer Chr Thdrt Damasc: om ABD1 17 Hip.

subjected to Him, it is evident that they have been subjected (ellipsis of the predicate of the foregoing sentence after δηλον ότι and old' ότι is common; so Plat. Gorg. p. 475, c, 'οὐκοῦν κακῷ ὑπερβάλ-λον τὸ ἀδικεῖν κάκιον ὰν εἴη τοῦ ἀδικεῖ-σθαι,'—'δῆλον δὴ ὅτι,'—scil. κάκιον ἃν είη. Kühner, § 852, d) with the exception of Him who subjected all things to Him. 28.] On the sense, see above. "The interpretations, that subjection is only an hyperbolical expression for the entire harmony of Christ with the Father (Chrys., Theophyl., (Ec.):-the limitation of it to His human nature (Theodoret, Aug., Jerome, Est., Wolf, al.), with the declarative explanation, that it will then become plain to all, that Christ even in regard of His kingship, is, on the side of His Humanity, dependent on the Father (Flatt)—and the addition, that Christ will then in His divine nature reign with the Father (Calv. :- 'regnum-ab humanitate sua ad gloriosam divinitatem quodammodo traducet'); -the interpretation (of αὐτὸς & viós!) as referring to Christ's mystical Body, i. e. the Church (Theodoret),—are idle subterfuges (leere Musfluchte)." De Wette. The refutation of these and all other attempts to explain away the doctrine here plainly asserted, of the ultimate subordination of the Son, is contained in the three precise and unambiguous words, avτὸς ὁ υίός. ΐνα η ό θ. πάντα έν πασιν] that God (alone) may be all things in all,—i. e. recognized as sole Lord and King: 'omnia erunt subordinata Filio, Filius Patri.' Bengel. Numerous examples of πάντα in this sense (less commonly rà πάντα, Kühner, § 422) may be found in Wetst. 29-34.] ARGUMENTS FOR THE REALITY OF THE RESURREC-TION, from the practice (1) of those who were baptized for the dead, (2) of the Apostles, &c., who submitted to daily peril 29.] ἐπεί resumes the main argument, which has been interrupted by the explanation since ver. 23 of εκαστος ἐν τ. ἰδίφ τάγματι. After it is an ellipsis

of 'if it be as the adversaries suppose.' τί ποιήσουσιν There is in these words a tacit reprehension of the practice about to be mentioned, which it is hardly possible altogether to miss. Both by the third person, and by the art. before $\beta \alpha \pi \tau$., he indirectly separates himself and those to whom he is writing from participation in or approval of the practice :—the meaning being, what will become of—'what ac-

count can they give of their practice?'
οἱ βαπτιζόμενοι] those who are
in the habit of being baptized—not of βαπτισθέντες. The distinction is important as affecting the interpretation. See below. ὑπὲρ τῶν νεκρῶν] on behalf of the dead; viz. the same νεκροί who are spoken of in the next clause and throughout the chapter as the subjects of aváoraous -not νεκροί in any figurative sense. των νεκρ., the art. marking the particular dead persons on behalf of whom the act took place. Before we pass to the exegesis, it will be well to go through the next question— ϵ i $\delta \lambda \omega s \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. If dead men are not raised at all, why do they trouble themselves (τί καί as in reff.) to be baptized for them? Thus much being said as to the plain meaning of the words used, there can be no doubt as to their in-terpretation. The only legitimate reference is, to a practice, not otherwise known to us, not mentioned here with any approval by the Apostle, not generally prevalent (οί βαπτ.), but in use by some, of survivors allowing them-selves to be baptized on behalf of (believing?) friends who had died without baptism. With the subsequent similar practices of the Cerinthians (Epiph. Hær. xxviii. § 6, p. 114) and Marcionites (Chrys., Tertull. de resurr. 48, vol. ii. p. 864, adv. Marc. v. 10, p. 494 f.) this may or may not have been connected. All we clearly see from the text, is that it unquestionably did exist. With regard to the other interpretations, Bengel well says, "Tanta est interpretationum varietas, ut is, qui non dicam varietates ipsas, sed varietatum

w ver 4. ν kero bis. ν kero oi ν où κ κ e y e (ρ o ν τ i τ κ ai ρ a τ i ρ and ρ are ρ above ρ and ρ are ρ and ρ are ρ and ρ are ρ

29. for ποιησουσιν, ποιουσιν F. rec (for αυτων) των νεκρων (mechanical repetition of the above), with DI rel Syr Chr Thart & Th Jacob-nisbi: αυταν των νεκρων m 43. 52: txt ABD/FWN a d 17 latt syr copt goth arm Orig Dial Ephr Epiph

catalogos colligere velit, dissertationem scripturus sit." I will give a few of them, mostly in the words of their authors: Chrys.: - ύπερ των νεκρων, τουτέστι των σωμάτων. καὶ γὰρ ἐπὶ τούτω βαπτίζη, τῆ τοῦ νεκροῦ σώματος ἀναστάσει, πιστεύων ὅτι οὐκέτι μένει νεκρόν . . . καὶ σὺ μεν διὰ τῶν ἡημάτων λέγεις νεκρῶν ἀνάστασιν ὁ δὲ ἰερεύς, ὥςπερ ἐν εἰκόνι τινὶ δείκνυσί σοι διὰ τοῦ ὕδατος τὸ γὰρ βαπτίζεσθαι κ. καταδύεσθαι, εἶτα ἀνανεύειν, της είς άδου καταβάσεως έστι σύμβολον κ. της ἐκείθεν ἀνόδου. διὸ κ. τάφυν τὸ βάπτισμα ὁ Π. καλεῖ (Rom. vi. 4).-Theophyl.: φησίν οδν, ὅτι οἱ πιστεύσαντες ότι έσται ανάστασις νεκρών σωμάτων, καί βαπτισθέντες έπὶ τοιαύταις έλπίσι, τί ποιήσουσιν απατηθέντες; τί δε όλως καί βαπτίζονται άνθρωποι ύπερ αναστάσεως, τουτέστιν έπὶ προςδοκία ἀναστάσεως, εἰ ν. οὐκ έγ.; and so in the main, Pelag., Œeum., Phot., Corn.-a-Lap., Wetst.-Theodoret: — δ βαπτιζόμενός, φησι, τῷ δεσπότη συνθάπτεται, Ίνα τοῦ θανάτου κοινωνήσας καί της ἀναστάσεως γένηται κοινωνός εἰ δὲ νεκρόν έστι τὸ σῶμα, καὶ οὐκ ἀνίσταται, τί δήποτε καὶ βαπτίζεται; and so Castal., All these senses would require The ποιήσετε βαπτισθέντες, to say nothing of the impossibility of thus understanding ύπὲρ τῶν νεκρῶν. Estius explains ὑπὲρ των νεκρ. as = 'jamjam morituri,' and Calvin justifies this, 'baptizari pro mortuis erit sie baptizari ut mortuis non vivis prosit.' So too Epiph. (l. c.), -of catechumens who πρό της τελευτης λουτροῦ καταξιούνται :- and Bengel :- "baptizantur super mortuis ii, qui mox post baptismum ad mortuos aggregabuntur." But against this ὑπέρ τῶν νεκρῶν is decisive, as is ὑπέρ against 'over the dead,' i. e. over their sepulchres (Luth., al.): this local sense of ὑπέρ not being found in the N. T. Le Clerc, Hammond, Ölsh., al., explain $\delta\pi$. τ. νεκρ., 'to fill the place of the dead.' But, as Meyer observes, such an idea can hardly be gathered from the words, but would want explaining in the context ;and besides, the question would thus be irrelevant, because, the place of the dead being supplied by their successors, it would be no matter to them, whether the dead themselves rose or not: whereas now, the benefits of baptism being supposed to be conveyed to the dead by the baptism of his substitute, the proceeding would be stultified, if the dead could never rise to claim those benefits. This, the only justifiable rendering, is adopted by Ambrose, and by Anselm, Erasmus, Grotius, al., and recently by Billroth, Rückert, Meyer, De Wette, al. The ordinary objection to it is, that thus the Apostle would be giving his sanction to a superstitious usage, or at all events mentioning it without reprobation. But this is easily answered, by remembering that if the above view of τί ποιήσουσιν is correct, he does not mention it without a slur on it; -and more completely still, as Rückert (in Meyer), "usurpari ab eo morem, qui ceteroqui displiceret, ad errorem, in quo impugnando versabatur, radicitus evellendum; ipsius autem reprehendendi aliud tempus expectari." See a multitude of other interpretations in Pool's Synopsis and in Stanley's note. His concluding remarks are worth quoting : "On the whole, therefore, this explanation of the passage (that given above) may be safely accepted, (1) as exhibiting a curious relic of primitive superstition, which, after having, as the words imply(?), prevailed generally in the apostolical church, gradually dwindled away till it was only to be found in some obscure seets, where it lost its original significance: (2) as containing an example of the Apostle's mode of dealing with a practice, with which he could have no real sympathy; not condemning or ridiculing it, but appealing to it as an expression, however distorted, of their better feelings." only the practice of those just spoken of, but his own, and that of those like him, who lived a life of perpetual exposure to death, were absurd, if there be no resurrection. Observe that the argument here applies equally to the future existence of the soul; and so Cieero uses it, Tusc. Quæst. i. 15: " Nescio quomodo inhæret in mentibus quasi seculorum quoddam augurium futurorum . . . quo quidem demto, quis tam esset amens, qui semper in labor-ibus et periculis viveret?" 31.] To die daily is a strong expression for to be daily in sight of death and expecting it. See This he strengthens by an 2 Cor. iv. 11. asseveration, grounded on his boast of them as his work in Christ: not that this is im-

28. xii. 32, 33. Acts xxiii. 20. xxv. 22. James iv. 13. Exod. viii. 29. lch. vi. 9 refi.

31. Steph ημετεραν, with A a (h¹?) k m 2². 4. 44². 51-6. 72¹. 89. 120-2 lcct-14 Orig.
rec om αδελφοι, with DFL rel Orig Chr Thidrt Damase Ambrst: ins ABKN m

31. Stepn ημετεραν, with A a (n° γ) k m 2°. 4. 44°. 51°. 6. 72°. 89. 120°.2 leet-14 Orig. rec om αδελφοι, with DFL rel Orig Chr Thdrt Damasc Ambrst: ins ABKN m 17 vulg fri syrr coptt æth arm Dial Aug Pelag Bede. om ιησ. τω κυ. ημ. D¹, so (but κυριω for χριστω) D-lat Ambrst.

32. om το D¹F.

mediately or proximately at stake in the matter, but much as we should say, "As I love you, it is true." He would not think of deceiving those of whom he boasted hefore God in connexion with Christ.

ὑμετ.] gen. obj., see reff. $\nu \eta$, the affirmative, as $\mu \alpha$ is the negative particle of adjuration: but $\nu \alpha l$ $\mu \dot{\alpha}$ is often found in an affirmative sense: see Kühner, § 701.

32.] The stress of the first clause is on κατά ἄνθρωπον, and its meaning, merely as man, i. e. 'according to this world's views,' 'as one who has no hope beyond the grave,' see ref. If thus only he fought, &c., where was his profit (seeing he despised all those things which κατά ἀνθρωπον might compensate for such a fight,—fame, praise, &c.)? The renderings, ὅσον τὸ εἰς ἀνθρώπον (Chrys.), i. e. 'so far as one can be said θηρομαχεῖν against men,'—and κατὰ ἀνθοώπων λογισιρών θηρίων ἐγενόμην βορά ἀνθοώπων λογισιρών θηρίων ἐγενόμην βορά (Theodoret),—'exempli causa' (Semler, Rosenmüller),—'ut hominum more loquar' (Estius and Bloomf.), are all constrained, and searcely to be extorted from the words.

tθηριομάχησα] I fought with beasts (aor. referring to one special occasion). How? and when? Most ancient and modern Commentators take the expression figuratively, as used in Appian, B. C. ii. p. 763 (Wetst.), where Pompey says, στο θηρίοις μαχόμεθα,—and Ignat. ad Rom. 5, p. 689 f., άπὸ Συρίας μέχρι "Ρώμης θηριομαχά διὰ γῆς κ. θαλάσσης, δεδεμένος δέκα λεοπάρδοις, δ' ότη στρατιστικόν τάγμα. So, of our text, Tertull. de Resurr. 48, vol. ii. p. 865: "Depugnavit ad bestias Ephesi, illas scilitect bestias Asiatiœs pressure."

And this explanation must be right: for his Roman citizenship would have precluded his ever being literally thrown to beasts: and even supposing him to have waived it, and been miraculously rescued, as Ambrst., Theodoret, Erasm., Luther, Calv., al. suppose, is it conceivable that such an event should have been altoge-

ther unrecorded in the Acts? Adopting the figurative rendering,-we cannot fix on any recorded conflict which will suit the words. His danger from Demetrius and his fellow-craftsmen (Acts xix.) had not yet happened (see Prolegg. § vi. 2): but we cannot tell what opposition, justifying this expression, the ἀντικείμενοι πολ-Not of ch. xvi. 9 may ere this have made to the reaching. The second rise. These words are best joined with the following, as Chrys., Theophyl., Bezn., Bengel, Griesb., Meyer, De Wette, al.,—net with the preceding as Theological Processing to the reaching the second rise. not with the preceding, as Theodoret, Grot., Est., Luther, al. For κατὰ ἄνθρωπον already expresses their meaning in the preceding sentence; and the form of ver. 29 seems to justify this arrangement, besides that otherwise $\phi \dot{\alpha} \gamma$. κ . $\pi i \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, &c., would stand awkwardly inφάγ. κ. πίωμεν . . .] In Isa. the words represent the recklessness of those who utterly disregard the call of God to weeping and mourning, and feast while their time lasts. Wetst. has collected very numerous parallels from the classics. The most striking perhaps is Herod. ii. 78.

33.] The tendency of the denial of the re-

33.] The tendency of the denial of the resurrection, represented by the Epicurean maxim just quoted, leads him to hint that this denial was not altogether unconnected with a practice of too much intimacy with the profligate society around them.

μὴ πλαν, as in ref., introduces a warning against moral self-deception.

ηθη . .] These words (according to the reading χρῆσθ, which is not, however, well supported) form an Iambic trimeter, and occur in this form in a fragment of the Thais of Menander; but Clem. Alex. Strom. i. 14 [59], p. 350 P., says, πρὸ γρῶν Κοριθίουs . laμβείφ συγκέχρηται τραγικῷ—but this may be a mere inacturacy. Socrates, Hist. Eccl. iii. 16, quotes it as a sufficient proof that Paul

 $s = \ker(u)$ here (u) (u)

33. rec χρησθ' (to suit the metre: with none of our mss): txt ABDFLN rel Clem

Ath Chr Thdrt Damasc Œc Thl.

34. rec λεγω (negligence, the force of λαλω not being perceived), with AFKL rel Chr Thdrt, dico flor(and F-lat) G-lat: txt BDN k m 17 Dial, loquor vulg D-lat(and fri spec) Ambrst.

35. αλλα Β Orig.

36. rec αφρον, with KL rel: txt ABDFN m 17. for ζωοποιειται, ζωογονειται Α

was conversant with the tragedies of Euripides. "Perhaps," says Dr. Burton, "Monader took it from Euripides." The Apostle may have cited it merely as a commonplace current, without any idea whence it came;—and χρηστά seems to shew this. The plur. ὁμιλίαι points out the repetition of the practice. Meyer quotes Plat. Rep. viii. p. 550, διὰ τὸ μὴ κακοῦ ἀνδρὸς είναι τὴν ψόσιν, ὁμιλίαις δὲ ταῖς τῶν ἄλλων κακαῖς κεχρῆσθα. 34. ἐκτήψ.] Αwake out of (your moral) intoxication, already possessing you by the influence of these men. δικαίως] either, as is just,—as

you ought (Wahl, al.), -or, in a proper manner (Olsh., al.),—or, ἐπὶ συμφέροντι καὶ χρησίμω (Chrys., al.), or so as to be δίκαιοι, as E. V., Awake to righteousness. The last meaning is well defended by Dr. Peile by Thuc. i. 21: ἀπίστως ἐπὶ το μυθώδες εκνενικηκότα,—'so as to become incredible;'-and seems to be the The aor. imper. ἐκνήψατε marks the quick momentary awaking; the pres. imper. μη άμαρτάνετε, on the other hand, the enduring practice of abstinence from sin (Meyer). But that this must not always be rigidly pressed, see Kühner, § 445. 2. Anm. 1. άγνωσίαν] The stress is on this word: for some (the τινές of ver. 12, most probably, are hinted at, and the source of their error pointed out) have (are affected with) ignorance (an absence of all true knowledge) of God. See ref. to Wisd. πρός έντ. ύμ. λ. shows that these τινές were έν ύμιν, -not the heathen without:-the existence of such in the Corinthian church was a disgrace to the whole. I am speaking; not merely I say this it refers to the spirit of the whole passage.

35-50.] The argument passes from the fact of the resurrection, already substantiated, to the Manner of it: which is indicated, and confirmed, principally by analogies from nature.

35.] The new difficulty is introduced in the form of a question from an objector. This is put first generally, $\pi \delta s$, . . . , In what manner,—and next specifically, $\pi o t \phi \delta \delta \delta (\delta s, \delta t)$ what I nean, is) $\sigma \delta \mu a \tau t$, With what kind of body— $\delta t \chi \chi$, do they (pres. as transferring the action to that time,—as $\delta \gamma \epsilon t \rho t \tau t$ action to that time,—as $\delta \gamma \epsilon t \rho t \tau t$ action to that time,—as $\delta \gamma \epsilon t \rho t \tau t$ action to that time,—as $\delta \gamma \epsilon t \rho t \tau t$ and they are the perhaps, as assuming for the moment the truth of the resurrection as a thing actually happening in the course of things) come (forth at that time)?

36-41. Analogies illustrative of the question just asked: and first, that of seed sown in the earth (36-38). 36.] Meyer would point this, ἄφρων σύ, δ σπείρεις . . ., because according to the common punctuation there is necessarily an emphasis on σύ, which the context does not allow. But on the other hand, it seems to me, there is an objection to the introduction of a new matter so lamely as by δ σπείρεις. Besides which, the emphatic σύ does not necessarily require any other agency to be emphatically set against it, but may imply an appeal to the objector's own experience (as Billr. in Dr. Peile) :- 'thou say this, who art continually witness of the process, &c.?' And let it be remembered that we have another σ πείρειν below, vv. 42—44, which may be set against thy sowing. I retain therefore the stop at ἄφρων (nom. for voc. as freq. See Luke xii. 20; Mark ix. 25; Luke viii. 54, al., and Winer, edn. 6, § 29. 2), and the emphasis on $\sigma \dot{\nu}$. The similitude was used by our Lord of His own Resurrection, ού ζωοποιείται] Its life is ref. John. latent in it; but is not developed into quick σωμα τὸ γενησόμενον σπείρεις, ἀλλὰ α γυμνὸν κόκκον, $\frac{1}{8}$ και τείνεις τύχοι, $\frac{1}{6}$ σίτου ή τινος τῶν λοιπῶν. $\frac{38}{6}$ δὲ θεὸς δίδωσιν είνει τύχοι, $\frac{1}{6}$ σίτου ή τινος τῶν λοιπῶν τῶν σπερμάτων ομί μοτῷ σῶμα καθὼς ἡθέλησεν, καὶ ἐκάστῳ τῶν σπερμάτων ομί μεν ἀνθρώπων, ἄλλη δὲ σὰρξ $\frac{1}{6}$ κτηνῶν, ἄλλη δὲ σὰρξ $\frac{1}{6}$ κτηνῶν, ἄλλη δὲ σὰρξ $\frac{1}{6}$ κτηνῶν, ἄλλη δὲ πὰρξ $\frac{1}{6}$ καὶ σώματα $\frac{1}{6}$ πους άνια, $\frac{1}{6}$ κεί τον $\frac{1}{6}$ καὶ σώματα $\frac{1}{6}$ πους άνια, $\frac{1}{6}$ κεί τον $\frac{1}{6}$ καὶ σώματα $\frac{1}{6}$ πους άνια, $\frac{1}{6}$ καὶ τον $\frac{1}{6}$ καὶ σώματα $\frac{1}{6}$ καὶ τον $\frac{1}{6}$ καὶ τον

f Luke x. 34. Acts xxiii. 24. Rev. xxiii. 13 only. Num. xx. 4, 8, 11.
A. Xen. Cyr. i, 4, 11.
A Matt. vii, 10 al. epp., here only.
i John iii. 12. Phil. ii. 10 al. Fx.
Lxvii. 15. 2 Macc. iii. 30 only. Dan. iv. 22 (20) Theed-A & cal. (obp., F. vat.)

89. 108¹ Epiph, and(but not ad loc) Chr₁ Thdrt₁. aft $\zeta \omega \sigma \pi$. ins $\epsilon \iota s \tau \eta \nu$ (but marked for erasure) \aleph^1 . aft $\alpha \pi \sigma \theta \alpha \nu \eta$ ins $\pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \nu$ D: pref, F latt(not fri) Dial Iren-int.

37. om 2nd σπειρεις X1: ins X-corr1.

38. rec αυτω hef διδωσιν, with DFKL rel fri Chr Thdrt Ambrst: txt ABN b d m o 17 rulg(and F-lat) syrr (copt) Orig, Dial Epiph Damasc Tert. rec ins το bef ιδιον, with KLN³ rel Orig Chr Thdrt Damasc Thl Œc: om ABDFN¹ 17 Epiph.

39. om 2nd σαρξ F Chr-2-mss (not F-lat). om αλλα D¹ fri æth Dial Chr. rec (aft αλλη μεν) ins σαρξ (with none of our ms): om ABDFKLN rel syr copt æth grlat-fl. ανθρωπου D¹ Syr Dial Tert. om 3rd σαρξ D¹F 17 latt(exc fri) Syr Chr Tert. κτηνους D¹F Syr Tert. rec :χθυων αλλη δε πτηνων, with FKL rel syr Thdrt Œc: txt ABDN 17 vulg fri Syr copt æth arm Orig Chr (Damase) Thl Tert. rec om 4th σαρξ, with AKL rel fri syr Chr Thdrt Aug Pelag: ins BDFN (17) am(with demid fuld harl tol) copt (Damase) Thl Tert Ambrst.

and lively action without the death of the deposited seed, -i. e. its perishing, disappearing from nature. The same analogy was used by the Rabbis, but to prove that the dead would rise clothed : 'ut triticum nudum sepelitur et multis vestibus ornatum prodit, ita multo magis justi,' &c. 37. Before, the death of the seed was insisted on: now, the non-identity of the seed with the future plant. There is a mixture of construction, the words δ σπείρεις being pendent, as the sentence now stands. The two constructions as De W. observes are, εί τι σπείρεις, οὐ τὸ σ. τὸ γεν. σπείρεις,and δ σπείρεις, οὐ τὸ σ. τὸ γεν. ἐστιν. He names the plant τὸ σῶμα τὸ γενησόμενον, having already in his eye the application to the Resurrection. εί τύχοι] if it should so happen, - peradventure: not, 'for example.' See on ch. xiv. 10. 38.] ἠθέτῶν λοιπῶν, scil. σπερμάτων. λησεν, willed, viz at the creation : the aor. setting forth the one act of the divine Will giving to the particular seed the particular development at first, which the species retains: whereas θέλει would imply a fresh act of the divine Will giving to every individual seed (not ἐκάστφ τῶν σπερμάτων, but ἐκάστω σπέρματι, or rather ἐκάστω κόκκω) his own body. But the whole gift to the species being God's, to continue or withhold, the pres. δίδωσιν still holds good. έκάστ. των σπερμ.] to each of

καστ. των σπερμ.] to tach the (kinds of) seeds; see above: τῶν is generic. τῶιον σῶμω] a body of its own. Such then being the case with all

seeds, why should it be thought necessary that the same body should rise as was sown, or that God cannot give to each a resurrection-body, as in nature?

39—41.]

And the more,—because we have examples from analogy—of various kinds of bodies; viz. (1) in the flesh of animals (ver. 39): (2) in celestial and terrestrial bodies (ver. 40): (3) in the various characters of light given by the sun, moon, and stars.

σάρξ] animal organism (De W.). Staney's rendering of οὐ πᾶσα σάρξ, ἡ αὐτὴ σάρξ, 'no flesh is the same flesh,' is contrary to the usage of the passages which he alleges to defend it, where the negative is always attached to the verb; οὐ δικαιωθήσεται πᾶσα σάρξ, Rom. iii. 20; Gal. ii. 16. See Matt. xxiv. 22 || ; Acts x. 14; ch. i. 29; 1 John iii. 15; Rev. vii. 16; ix. 4. On the other hand, where the negative is attached to mas, as here, the sentence is a particular negative, not an universal: e. g. Rom x. 16, ἀλλ' οὐ πάντες ύπήκουσαν: ix. 6, 7; Heb. iii. 16; Matt. vii. 21, οὐ πᾶς ὁ λέγων μοι κύριε κύριε είςελεύσεται είς την βασιλείαν των ούρανων, -where Stanley's rendering would involve portentous consequences indeed. I observe that Conyb. also, although disapproving on the ground of the sense, adds, "the words of the Greek text no doubt admit of such a rendering." κτηνων] properly (κτέανος, κτάομαι) animals possessed by man: but used in a wider sense for quadrupeds in general. ματα ἐπουράνια] not, according to our

k here bis. John iii, 12. 2 Cor. v. 1. Phil, ii, 10. iii, 19. James iii, 15 only *. " δόξα ήλίου, καὶ ἄλλη " δόξα " σελήνης, καὶ ἄλλη " δόξα cdefg see Luke ix. ο ἀστέρων. ο ἀστηρ γὰρ ο ἀστέρος P διαφέρει εν m δόξη. "ο 17 29. 1 ~ Acts xxii. 11 refi. 42 ουτως και ή ανάστασις των ανεκρών. Ισπείρεται έν n Epp , here only. Acls ii. 20 reff. o Paul, here s φθορα, έγείρεται έν τάφθαρσία. 43 τοπείρεται έν α άτιμία, Paul, here 3 εγείρεται * εν * δύζη * * σπείρεται εν ασυενεια, εγείρεται σώμα $\frac{3}{2}$ ψυχικόν, έγείρεται σώμα $\frac{3}{2}$ Μει. Jule $\frac{3}{2}$ εν * δυνάμει * 4 4 σπείρεται σώμα 3 ψυχικόν, έγείρεται σώμα $\frac{3}{2}$ πνευματικόν. εί έστιν σώμα 3 ψυχικόν, έστιν καὶ 2 πνευέγείρεται "έν "δόξη" σπείρεται έν " ασθενεία, έγείρεται

40. om 2nd σωματα F Tert. (not F-lat.) [αλλα, so ABD1.] 41. aft 1st and 2nd αλλη ins δε F: aft 2nd, lect-8(sic) .- om και F lect-8 vulg(and

F-lat) fri copt Orig-int, Jer.

44. rec om ει, with D2-3KL rel syrr Thdrt Phot-cat Jac-nisib,: ins ABCD1FX 17 latt copt ath arm Damasc Aug Bede. (ι is written above the line by 81(?)3.)-rec και bef εστιν, with KL rel &c: txt ABCDFN 17 &c.—rec ins σωμα bef πνευματικον, with KL rel syrr copt ath Thdrt Phot-cat Jae-nisib, : om ABCDFX 17 latt arm. (Conformation to the foregoing assertions: or perhaps & overlooked from eater following. The 2nd σωμα was a gloss.)

modern expression, heavenly bodies,-for they are introduced first ver. 41, and if we apply these words to them, we must suppose the Apostle to have imagined the stars to be endowed with bodies in the literal sense: for he is here comparing not figurative expressions, but physical realities:-nor (as Chrys., al.) the bodies of the righteous, as opposed to those of the wicked; for in these there is no organic difference whatever: but, as Meyer and De Wette, 'the bodies of angels,'- the only heavenly organisms of which we are aware (except indeed the Resurrection-Body of our Lord, and that of those few who have been taken into glory, which, as belonging to the matter in question, are not alleged) which will bear comparison with bodies on earth. belongs to the ἐπουράνια more strictly than to the ἐπίγεια. In Luke ix. 26, we have έν τῆ δόξη αὐτοῦ καὶ τοῦ πατρὸς καὶ των άγίων άγγέλων. 41. This third analogy is suggested perhaps by δόξα just before. There is no allusion whatever here (as some have imagined, - even Chrys., Œenm., Theodoret, Calov., Estius, al.) to different degrees of glorification of the bodies of the blessed; the introduction of such an idea confuses the whole analogical reasoning: which is, that even various fountains of light, so similar in its aspect and properties, differ; the sun from the moon and the stars: the stars (and much more vividly would this be felt under the pure sky of the East than here) from one

another: why not then a body here from a resurrection-body,-both bodies, but dif-42-44 a.] Application of ferent? these analogies to the doctrine of the 42.] ούτως, thus, Resurrection. viz. in the entire diversity of that which is raised again from the former body.

σπείρεται] "Cum posset dicere sepelitur, maluit dicere seritur, ut magis insisteret similitudini supra sumtæ de grano." Grot. ἐν φθορᾳ, ἐν ἀφθαρσίᾳ] in a state of corruption,—in a state of incorruptibility.

43. ἐν ἀτιμίᾳ, ἐν δόξη] in dishonour (τί γὰρ είδεχθέστερον νεκροῦ διαβρυέντος; Chrys. Cf. Xen. Mem. ί. 2. 53,—της ψυχης έξελθούσης, τδ σῶμα τοῦ οἰκειοτάτου ἀνθρώπου τὴν τα-χίστην ἐξενέγκαντες ἀφανίζουσιν), — in glory : regarding, as throughout this argument (see on ver. 24), only the resurrection of the just : see Phil. iii. 21. άσθενεία] in weakness, - the characteristic of the lifeless body, which is relaxed and powerless. Chrys. understands &σθ, of its inability to resist corruption: De Wette would refer it to the previous state of pain and disease: but it seems better to understand it of the powerlessness of the corpse, contrasted with ev Suv., in vigour, viz. the fresh and eternal energy of the new body free from disease and pain. "That which Grot. adds: 'cum sensibus multis, quos nune non intelligimus,' is very likely in itself true, but is not implied in èv δυνάμει," Meyer. 44 a. σώμ. ψυχ.]

ματικόν. $^{45\,a}$ οὕτως καὶ γέγοαπται b Έγένετο ὁ πρῶτος s - Matt.ii.6. Luke xxiv. Τάνθρωπος ᾿Αδὰμ b είς ψυχὴν ζῶσαν, ὁ ἔσχατος ᾿Αδὰμ b είς πνεῦμα c ζωοποιοῦν. 46 ἀλλὶ οὐ πρῶτον τὸ z πνευματικόν, ἀλλὰ τὸ y ψυχικόν, ἔπειτα τὸ z πνευματικόν. c τό πρῶτος ἄνθρωπος ἐκ γῆς d χοϊκός, ὁ δεύτερος d ττες d ττες d

45. for ουτως και, καθως F fuld arm Ambrst. (not F-lat nor arm-ed-1805.) om ανθρωπος BK Did Iren-int Tert.

46. αλλα D¹.

47. aft ο πρωτος ανθρωπος add αδαμ C¹. rec ins ο κυριος bef εξ ουρ. (gloss), with AD³KLN³ rel syrr goth Orig₁ Chr Cyr₂ Thdrt Ps-Ath Damasc Thl Œc Orig-lat₁ Maximin-arian (the insertion is ascribed to Marcion by Tert and in Dial): om BCD¹¹¹ N¹ 17 latt copt with arm Orig₁ Hip-ms Ath Bas Nys Naz Isid Cyr Apollinarist and

an animal body, of which the $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$, the animal soul, was the acting and informing power. This soul having departed out of it, does not do away with the correctness of the predicate: its whole organism which still remains when it is sown, is arranged to suit this predominance of the animal soul.

πώμ. πνευματικόν] Τheophyl., having explained σώμ. ψυχ, -- ἐν ῷ ἡ ψυχἡ τὸ κῦρος καὶ τὴν ἡγεμονίαν ἔχει, -- proceeds πνευματικὸν δέ, τὸ τὴν τοῦ ἀγίου πνεύματος καταπλουτοῦν ἐνέργειαν, καὶ ὑπ' ἐκείνου τὰ πάντα διοικούμενον. εἰ γὰρ καὶ νῦν ἐν ἡμῖν ἐνεργεῖ τὸ πνεῦμα, άλλ' οὐχ οὕτως, οὐδὲ ἀεί. ἀφίπταται γὰρ άμαρτανόντων. καὶ τοῦ πνεύματος δὲ παρ-όντος, ἡ ψυχὴ διοικεῖ τὸ σῶμα τότε δὲ διηνεκώς παραμενεί τοίς σώμασι των δικαίων τὸ πνεῦμα. But this is not quite enough :- for thus the body might remain as it is, sin only being removed: whereas it shall be no longer a body in which the ψυχή predominates to the subordination of the higher part, the πνεθμα, but one in which the πνεθμα, and that informed fully by the Spirit of God, shall predominate,its organism being conformed not to an animal, but to a spiritual life: see on ch. Some understood πνευματικόν, ætherial, aery, κουφότερον καὶ λεπτότερον, και οίον και έπ' ἀέρος ὀχεῖσθαι (Chrys.), or as Origen, αερωδες κ. αιθέριον (see Theophyl.), but the other is certainly right.

44 b-49. Reassertion and Con-

firmation of the existence of the spiritual body. 44 b.] If there exists an animal body, there exists also a spiritual: i. e. it is no more wonderful a thing, that there should be a body fitted to the capacities and wants of man's highest part, his spirit, than (which we see to be the case) that there should be one fitted to the capacities and wants of his subordinate animal soul. The emphasis is both times on form.

45. Confirmation of this from Scripture.
οὕτως, thus, viz. in accordance with

what has been just said. The citation extends only to the words έγένετο ὁ ἄνθρ. els ψυχ. ζῶσαν: πρῶτος and 'Αδάμ are supplied, as are also the concluding words, in which lies the real confirmation. The words quoted serve therefore rather for the illustration of man being a ψυχή, than for a proof of the existence of the spiritual body. έγένετο by his creation,—by means of God breathing into him the breath of life. els ψ . $\zeta \hat{\omega} \sigma$.] becoming thereby a σῶμα ψυχικόν. δ ἔσχ. 'Αδάμ] This expression was well known among the Jews as indicating the Messiah. The Rabbinical work Neve Shalom ix. 9 (Schöttgen), says: "Adamus postremus est Messias:" see other instances in Schöttg. έσχατος, as being the last HEAD of humanity,-to be manifested in the last times: or merely in contrast to the herst. είς πν. ζωοπ.] scil. ἐγένετο—became a quickening (life-bestowing) spirit. When? This has been variously answered: see De Wette and Meyer. The principal periods selected are his Incarnation, his Resurrection, and his Ascension. But it seems to me that the question is not one to be pressed: in the union of the two natures, the second Adam was constituted a life-bestowing Spirit, and is such now in heaven, yet having the resurrection-body. The whole complex of His suffering and triumphant state seems to be embraced in these words. That his resurrection-state alone is not intended, is evident from & οὐρανοῦ, ver. 47. He was a πνεῦμα ζωοποιοῦν, even while in the σῶμα ψυχικόν; and is still such in the σῶμα πνευ-ματικόν. The life implied in ζωοποιοῦν, is the resurrection-life: see John v. 21, 28; Rom. viii. 11. 46.] But in the natural order, that which is animal precedes that which is spiritual (70 ψυχ., τὸ πνευμ., not σῶμα, but abstract and general): as in ver. 45, δ πρώτος-δ ἔσχατος. 47. So exactly in Gen. ii.

e ver. 40 tell. ἄνθοωπος ἐξ οὐρανοῦ. $\frac{48}{6}$ οἶος ὁ ἀ χοϊκός, τοιοῦτοι καὶ ABCDF rell. $\frac{1}{6}$ Rom., xiii. 49 οἱ ἀ χοϊκοί, καὶ οἰος ὁ επουράνιος, τοιοῦτοι καὶ οἰος ἀ efg h = ch., vii. 29. επουράνιοι 49 καὶ καθώς f εφορέσαμεν τὴν g εἰκόνα τοῦ $^{0.17}$ πετε την εξεκονα του ετε και καθως εφορεσαμεν την εξεκονα του εξε

50 h τούτο δέ h φημι, αδελφοί, ὅτι i σάρξ καὶ i αίμα k βασι-14. Str. xiv, 18. k ch. vi. 9, 10 reff. l ver. 42 reff. λείαν θεοῦ kκληφονομήσαι οὐ δύνανται, οὐδε ή φθορὰ την m άφθαρσίαν k κληρονομεί. 51 ίδου n μυστήριον ύμιν reff. n = Matt. xiii. 11. Rom. xi. 25. Dan. ii. 18 al.

Photin in Epiph Orig-int, Tert Cypr_{sæpe} Hil. aft oupavou add o oupavios F vulg

48. aft τοιουτοι ins ουτοι C. om 1st και F vulg-mss(not F-lat) Iren-int,.

for επουρ., ουρανιος and ουρανιοι D1F.

49. φορεσωμεν (from a desire [as Chrys below] to turn what is really a physical assertion into an ethical exhortation: see note at Rom v. 1) ACDFKLN rel latt copt goth Thdot Cres Bas Cyr Mac Meth(pref νω) Chrexp(τοῦτ' ἐστυν, ἄριστα πράξωμεν. συνβουλευτικῶς εἰςάγει του λόγου) Ερίρh Ps-Ath Damasc Iren-int Tertexpr Cypr Hil Jer: txt B a c g 17 syrr æth arm Thdrtexpr(το γὰρ φορέσομεν προβρητικός, οὐ παραμετικῶς εἰρηκεν) Thlexpr (Εςexpr.

50. for κληρονομησαι ου δυνανται, ου κληρονομησουσιν (see ch vi. 9, Gal v. 21) F 42

copt Mac Chr Tert,. κληρονομησει (see as above) C'D'F latt copt.

7. God made man χοῦν λαβών ἀπὸ τῆς γηs. Meyer has some excellent remarks here, with which I entirely agree:-"Since the body of Adam is thus characterized as a ψυχικόν σώμα, as ver. 45, and psychical organism involves mortality (ver. 44), it is clear that Paul treats of Adam not ascreated exempt from death: in strict accordance with Gen. ii. 7; iii. 19. Nor does this militate against his teaching that death came into the world through sin, Rom. v. 12. For had our first parents not sinned, they would have remained in Paradise, and would, by the use of the Tree of Life, which God had not forbidden them (Gen. ii. 16, 17), have become immortal (Gen. iii. 22). But they were driven out of Paradise, ere yet they had tasted of this tree (Gen. iii. 22), and so, according to the record in Genesis also, Death came into the world by sin." See also some striking remarks on the verse in Genesis in Sticr, 'Andeutungen für glaübiges Schriftverstandniss,' pp. 202, 3. έξ οὐρανοῦ] either, in this glorified Body, at his coming,-as Meyer: or, in his whole Personality (De W.) as the God-man: this latter seems more probable from John iii. 13, where δ νίδς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου is designated as δ έκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ καταβάs.

48.] ὁ χοϊκός, Adam; οί χ., his posterity on earth: ὁ ἐπουρ., Christ: οἱ ἐπ., His risen people. See, as admirably illustrating this verse, Phil. iii. 20, 21. 49.] For the reason of keeping φορέσομεν, see var. readd. As we (Christians) bore in this life; the time imagined is when this life is

past, and the resurrection instant . . . 50-54.] The necessity of the change of the animal body into the spiritual, in order to inherit God's kingdom. The manner of that change prophetically described; and the abolition of Death in 50.] τοῦτο victory consequent on it. φ., see reff. It calls attention to something to be observed, and liable to be overlooked. Not only is the change of body possible, and according to natural and spiritual analogies,—but it is necessary. The present organism of the body, calculated for the wants of the animal soul. την θνητην φύσιν καλείτ άδύνατον δὲ ταύτην ἔτι θνητὴν οὖσαν τῆς

έπουρανίου βασιλείας τυχείν. Theodoret.
ή φθορά . . . την άφθαρσίαν, the abstracts, representing the impossibility of the φθαρτόν inheriting the ἄφθαρ-TOV as one grounded in these qualities.

κληρονομεί, pres., sets forth the absolute impossibility in the nature of 51. He proceeds to reveal to them something of the process of the change at the resurrection-day. This he does under the name of a μυστήριον, a hidden doctrine (see reff., especially Rom.).

πάντες ού κοιμ.] See var. readd. Meyer maintains that the only rendering of the words which is philologically allowable (the ordinary one, regarding πάντες [μεν] οὐ as = οὐ πάντες [μέν],—we shall not all sleep, being inadmissible, here and in other instances where it has been attempted, see Winer, edn. 6, hklm ol7

λέγω. πάντες ου °κοιμηθησόμεθα, πάντες δὲ ράλλαγη- ° = ch. vii. 39 Μ σαλ- σόμεθα, 52 έν q ἀτόμ $_{\psi}$, έν t ριπ $_{\eta}$ οφθαλμοῦ, έν τ $_{\eta}$ έσχάτη p εσίλη p ενίλη p εσίλη p εσίλη ^ν φθαοτον τούτο [×] ενδύσασθαι ^m άφθαοσίαν καὶ τὸ ^ν θνητὸν ^{26] only}. τοῦτο ^x ἐνδύσασθαι ^z ἀθανασίαν. ⁵⁴ ὅταν δὲ τὸ ^v φθαρτὸν ^h here only †.

Taur. 885. (-πίζειν, James i. 6.) sch. xiv. 8 reff. 1 Thess. iv. 16. t Matt. vi. 2. Rev.

Taur. 885. $(-\pi i \xi_{\ell i \nu}, James i. 6.)$ sch. xiv. 8 reff. 1 Thess. iv. 16. tMatt. vi. 2. Rev. viii. 6, &c. (6 times.) ix. 1, 13. x. 7. xi. 15 only. Num. x. 3 - 8. uver. 4. vRom. i. 23 (reft.) yRom. vi. 12 reff. xRom. xiii. 12, 14. Eph. rv. 24. vi. 11. 2 cor. v. 3. Col. iii. 10. Ps. cxxxi. 9, yRom. vi. 12 reff. z hrre his. 1 Tim. vi. 16 onlyt. Wisd. viii. 13 aiv.

51. rec aft παντες ins μεν (on acct of the δε following), with AC2D3KLX rel vulg syr copt Dial Orthod Cyr Cæs Tert: μεν ουν F: δε k: om B(C?¹)D'(and lat) Syr æth Orig3 Jer(on the testimony of the greek mss: for after stating that the lat mss read omnes quidem resurgemus, he says all the greek have either omnes dormiemus or non omnes dormiemus) Jacob-nisib. ins οι bef παντες, twice, A; but 2nd οι corrd for κοιμηθησομεθα, αναστησομεθα D1(and lat) vulg(and F-lat) arm-marg lat-mss mentioned by Jer Aug Pelag Ruf Gennad Jacob-nisib Hilsape Ambr Aug. κοιμηθησομεθα bef ov (thus reading πάντες [μέν] κοιμηθησόμεθα, οὐ πάντες δὲ ὰλλαγησόμεθα) Α¹C·(D')FN 17 and greek mss mentioned by Jer Aug Pelag Ruf Œc, also vulg æth arm Cyr-jer Did Max-conf(treats of both this reading and txt): ου κοιμ. ου Α, the 1st ov is written over the line in small letters A1: txt BD2.3KL rel and greek mss mentioned by Jer Acac Did Pelag Gennad Œc, also syrr copt goth æth-pl Thdot Orig, (and twice more in Jer) Thdor-heracl Diod-tars Apollin (these three in Jer) Dial Orthod Tit Nys Cas Chr Thdrt₂ Andr Max-conf Damasc Thl Œe Orig-int₂ Tert Jer₃. (The variation has prob arisen from the apparent difficulty of reconciling martes [μεν] ov κοιμ. with the fact that St. Paul and his readers had all died. Hence the negative particle was transferred to the other clause, to the detriment of the sense.)

52. ins ωs bef εν ριπη C. for ριπη, ροπη D'F 672 Dial and greek mss mentioned hy Jer(ριπη s. ροπη utrumque enim legitur, et nostri interpretati sunt in ictu s. in motu). for εγερθ., αναστησονται ADF Chr₁ Damase Thl-marg: txt BCKLMR rel

Orig5 Dial Chrh.l. Cyr Thdrt Cosm.

53. om 2nd τουτο F. ins The bef abaragian Ih.

54. om το φθαρτ. τουτ. ενδ. αφθ. και (i. e. το φθαρτ. to το θνητ.) C'N' 61. 71 vulg copt goth with Meion-e Ath Iren-int(citing from oportet enim, ver 53, to victoria tua,

26. 1), is this, 'we all (viz. as in 1 \$ 20. 1), is ones,
Thess. iv. 15, ημείς οι ζώντες οι περιλειπόμενοι είς την παρουσίαν τοῦ κυρίου, -in which number the Apostle firmly believed that he himself should be, see 2 Cor. v. 1 ff. and notes) shall not sleep, but shall all be changed.' But we may observe that this would commit the Apostle to the extent of believing that not one Christian would die before the mapουσία; -and that it is besides not necessary, for the emphasis is both times on πάντες— [All of us] shall not sleep, but [all of us] shall be changed: i. e. 'the sleep of death cannot be predicated of [all of us], but the resurrection-change can.' 52.] ἐν ἀτόμφ, in a point of time absolutely indivisible, ἐν ῥιπή-ματι, Hesych. ἐν τῆ ἐσχ. σάλπ. at (in, as part of the events of) the last trumpet-blowing. The word eox. must obviously not be refined upon as some (Twés in Theophyl .- and Olsh.) have done, identifying it with the seventh trum-

pet of the Apocalypse; -nor pressed too closely as if there were necessarily no trump after it,-but is the trump at the time of the end, the last trump, in a wide and popular sense. See ref. 1 Thess.

σαλπίσει impersonal, - δ σαλπιγκτής, scil. So Od. φ. 142, ἀρξάμενοι τοῦ χώρου ὅθεν τέ περ οἰνοχοεύει (scil. δ οἰνόχοος): Herod. ii. 47, ἐπεὰν θύση: Xen. Anab. i. 17, ἐπεὶ ἐσάλπιγξε: iii. 4. 36, ἐκήρυξε: -vi. 5. 25, έως σημαίνοι τῆ σάλπιγγι. Kühner, § 414. 2. σαλπίσω for σαλπίγξω is reprobated by the grammarians: see Wetst. ήμεις, see above. 53.] Confirmation of καὶ ἡμ. ἀλλαγ., by a

re-statement of the necessity of putting on incorruptibility and immortality. φθ. τοῦτο . . . τὸ θν. τοῦτο this, indicating his own body. ἐνδύσασθαι - see note on the force of the aor, as indicating that which is momentary, on ver. 34. Compare on the figure of putting on, 2 Cor.

v. 3 and notes. 54. | όταν δέ, &c. is a repetition, in a triumphant spirit, of the 8 - Matt v. 18. τούτο $^{\times}$ ενδύσηται m ἀφθαρσίαν καὶ τὸ y θνητὸν τούτο $^{18.4}$ Χεν 8 $^{\times}$ ενδύσηται z ἀθανασίαν, τότε 3 γενήσεται ὁ λόγος ὁ $^{18.5}$ L.Χ., but γεγραμμένος, b Κατεπόθη ο θάνατος c είς cd νίκος. 55 Ποῦ there) = 2 Cor. (ii. 7.) v. 4 (Matt. σου, θάνατε, τὸ εκέντρον; ποῦ σου, θάνατε, τὸ τίκος; $^{7)}$ ν. 4 (ακαι. 56 το δὲ $^{\circ}$ κέντρον τοῦ θανάτου ἡ ἀμαρτία, ἡ δὲ δυναμις π θαναΗ Εντ. 8.
Βν. 16. τῆς ἀμαρτίας ὁ νόμος 57 τῷ δὲ θεῷ $^{\circ}$ χάρις τῷ διδόντι ΙΝΒΟΡΕ
ΓΙΚΕΙ xxvi. 7.
d as above (c).
here 3ce only.
e here bis,
Acts xxvi. 14.
Rev. ix. 10
only. Hosea
xiii. 14.
f = Rom. vi.
17 reft. αμετακίνητοι, περισσεύοντες έν τω εργω του κυρίου πάντοτε, είδότες ότι ο "κόπος ύμων ουκ έστιν "κενός έν

17 reft. g = ch. v. 8 XVI. 1 Περί δέ τῆς Pλογίας τῆς 9 είς τοὺς τάγίους, | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 170

ver 55) Hil Ambret Aug, Fulg Oros Bede (in supplying the omission 83 has written και το, το being superfluous). in A arm, το φθ. to αφθαρσ. is put aft το θν. του. om κ. το θν. του. ενδ. αθαν. D1 (supplied in D-lat, a prima manu) 1. $\epsilon \nu \delta$. $\alpha \theta \alpha \nu \alpha \sigma$.

Orig: om aθανασ. to αθανασ. F. ins την bef αθανασιαν N.

55. transp κεντρον and νικος (so LXX) BCIbMR1 17 vulg copt ath arm Eus Ath, Did Nys Cyr-jer, Bas-sel Cyr Damase Tren-int, Tert, Jer Ambr: txt A²DFKLN³ rel syrr goth æth-pl Orig, Ath, Cyr-jer, Chr. Thdrt Eucher Thl Œc Iren-int, Tert, Cypr Hil.—om που σου θ. το νικ. Α¹.—[νεικος, here and in vv. 54, 57 (confusion between ει and ι as constantly elsw) BDIN in, contentio Tert.] rec for 2nd θανατε, αδη (so xxx), with A2KLMN3 rel syrr goth Orig Ath, Eucher: txt BCDFIbN1 vulg copt æthrom arm Eus₂ Ath₁ Nys Iren-int₂ Tert₂ Cypr Ambr_{sæpe} Aug_{sæpe}. 56. ins εστιν bef η αμαρτ. Α.

κυρίω.

57. for διδοντι, δοντι D a b d l o Ath-3-mss Chr Œc. ιησ. χρ. bef τ. κυρ. ημ. Μ. 58. ins και bef αμετακινητοι A vss Ambrst. ουκ εστιν bef ο κοπ. υμων F.

description of the glorious change.

γενήσεται shall come to pass - really be. The citation is from the Heb. with this difference, that the active, 'He (Jehovah) abolishes,' בָּלֶע, is made passive, and לָנֶצָח, 'for ever,' is rendered (as elsewhere by the LXX, e. g. ref. 2 Kings, but not here) els vikos. eis v. 'so as to result in victory.' Wetst. quotes from the Rabbis, 'In diebus ejus (Messiæ) Deus S. B. deglutiet mortem.' UMPHANT EXCLAMATION of the Apostle realizing in his mind that glorious time: expressed nearly in the terms of the prophetic announcement of Hosea, -ποῦ ἡ δίκη σου, θάνατε; ποῦ τὸ κέντρον σου, ἄδη; The figure of death as a venomous beast

is natural, from the serpent, Gen. iii. Num. xxi. The souls in Hades being freed by the resurrection, Death's victory is gone: sin being abolished by the change of the animal body (the source of sin) to the spiritual, his sting is powerless. For a discussion of the quotation, see Stanley's note.

56. See above: and compare Rom. v. 12, and vii. 57. For this blessed consummation of victory over death, he breaks out in thanks to God, who gives it to us (present, as being certain) through our Lord Jesus Christ (the Name in full, as befits the solemnity and majesty of the thanksgiving). 58. Conclusion of the whole by an earnest exhortation.

ωςτε] 'quæ cum ita sint,'-seeing that the victory is sure. έδρ., άμετακίν. α climax (Mey.); -in reference, viz. to the doubt which is attempted to be raised among you on this matter. έν τῷ έργ. τοῦ κυρ.] The work of the Lord is the Christian life, with its active and passive duties and graces,-the bringing forth the fruits of the Spirit. Knowing (as you do-being convinced by what has been said), that your labour (bestowed on the έργ. τοῦ κυρ.) is not vain (which it would be, were there no resur-rection: see reff.) in the Lord. These last words cannot belong to δ κόπος δμ., nor very well to οὐκ ἔστι κενός (as Meyer), but are best taken with the whole sentence, your labour is not in vain: so ch. ix. 1.

CHAP. XVI. VARIOUS DIRECTIONS AND

ωςπερ $^{\circ}$ διέταξα ταῖς $^{\circ}$ έκκλησίαις της Γαλατίας, οὕτως καὶ $^{\circ}$ εκι γιὶ. 17. Ασι χτὶι 17. 2 ωμεῖς ποιήσατε. $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ κατὰ $^{\circ}$ μίαν $^{\circ}$ σαββάτου έκαστος ὑμῶν $^{\circ}$ κατὰ $^{\circ}$ εἰαυτῷ τιθέτω $^{\circ}$ θησαυρίζων $^{\circ}$ τι αν $^{\circ}$ εὐοδῶται, τνα $^{\circ}$ μη, ὅταν έλθω, τότε $^{\circ}$ λογίαι γίνωνται. $^{\circ}$ ὅταν δὲ $^{\circ}$ παρα $^{\circ}$ ταν ημη, ὅταν δὲ $^{\circ}$ παρα $^{\circ}$ ενωμαι, οὺς ἐὰν $^{\circ}$ δοκιμάσητε, $^{\circ}$ δὲ $^{\circ}$ έπιστολῶν τούτους $^{\circ}$ πέμψω $^{\circ}$ ἀπενεγκεῖν τὴν $^{\circ}$ χάριν ὑμῶν εἰς $^{\circ}$ Γερουσαλήμι το και $^{\circ}$ Μπ. Διὶν χτὶ. 2. Μπ. Χτὶ. 3. Μπ. Χτ

7. w = Luke xviii. 12. Mark xvi. 9. x see Luke xxiv. 12 | J. y Matt. vi. 19, 20. Luke xii. 21. Rom. ii. 5. 2 Cor. xii. 14. James v. 3. 2 Pet. iii, 7 only. 4 Kiings xx. 17. 2 Rom. ii. 10. 3 10. 2 (bis) only. Gen. xxxix. 3, 23. a absol., Acts xvii. 10 cell. b = Rom. ii. 27 and xiv. 12 cell. c = Rom. ii. 27. d Acts xix. 12 cell. c = 2 Cor. viii. 6, 7, 19.

Chap. XVI. 2. rec $\sigma\alpha\beta\beta\alpha\tau\omega\nu$, with KLMR³ rel copt goth Thdrt Damase: $\sigma\alpha\beta\beta\alpha\tau\omega$ R¹ m: txt ABCDFR-corr¹ 17 latt Chr lat-ff. $\epsilon\alpha\nu$ BI_bM.— $\delta\tau$ ' $\delta\nu$ (and so vv. 3, 5, 12) n. $\epsilon\nu\delta\delta\omega\theta\eta$ ACI_bKM R³($-\delta\nu\theta\eta$) Damase. 3. for $\epsilon\alpha\nu$, $\alpha\nu$ BD¹F. $\epsilon\epsilon\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\nu\mu\alpha$ A.

ARRANGEMENTS (1—18). SALUTATIONS (19, 20). AUTOGRAPH CONCLUSION AND BENEDICTION (21—24). 1—4.] Directions respecting the collection and transmission of alms for the poor saints at Jerusalem. 1.] The construction is as in ch. vii. 1; viii. 1; —the περὶ δὲ... rather serves to introduce the new subject than to form any constructional part of the sentence. Similarly in ver. 12. λογίας συλλογίη, Hesych. λογίαν, τὴν συλλογήν τῶν χρημάτων καλεῖ, Theodoret (Wetst.). The word is said in the Lexxnot to be found in classic writers.

είς τ. άγ.] = είς τοὺς πτωχοὺς τ. άγίων τῶν ἐν Ἱερουσαλήμ, ref. Rom. See also 2 Cor. viii. 1 ff.; ix. 1 ff.: and on the poverty of the church at Jerusalem, note on Acts ii. 44. That poverty was no doubt increased by the continual troubles with which Jerusalem was harassed in this, the distressful close of the Jewish national history. See other causes in Stanley. That the mother church of Christendom should be thus, in its need, sustained by the daughter churches, was natural; and it is at the same time an affecting circumstance, to find him the most anxious to collect and bear to them this contribution, whose former persecuting zeal had doubtless (see Acts xxvi. 10) made not a few of those saints widows and orphans. ωςπερ διέτ.] We do not find any such order in the Epistle to the Galatians: ch. ii. 10 there being merely incidental. It had probably been given during his journey among them Acts xviii. 23, - or perhaps by message (?) from Ephesus. Not as E. V., 'as I have given order,' but as I gave order. He refers to the occasion, whatever it was, when that order was given. Bengel remarks: "Galatarum exemplum Corinthiis, Corinthiorum exemplum Macedonibus, Corinthiorum et Macedonum Romanis proponit. 2 Cor. ix. 2. Rom. xv. 26. Magna exemplorum vis." 2. μίαν σαββ.] For this Hebraism, and σαβ. in the singular, signifying week, see reff. observance of the first day of the week, see notes, Acts xx. 7, and Rom. xiv. 5. Here there is no mention of their assembling, which we have in Acts xx. 7, but a plain indication that the day was already considered as a special one, and one more than others fitting for the performance of a reliπαρ' έαυτῷ τιθ.] let gious duty. each of you lay up at home (reff.) whatsoever he may by prosperity have acquired (lit. 'whatsoever he may be prospered in:' i. e. the pecuniary result of any prosperous adventure, or dispensation of Providence): not, as Bengel, al.: 'quod commodum sit,'-a meaning which the word will not bear. ἴνα μή, . .] that there may not, when I come, THEN be collections to be made. His time would be better employed in imparting to them a spiritual benefit, than in urging them to and superintending this duty. "Vide quomodo vir tantus nullam suspicioni rimam aperire voluerit." Grot.

cioni rimam aperire voluerit." Grot. δι ἐπιστολῶν cannot belong to δοκιμάσητε (as Beza, Calv., Wetst., Ε. V.,—for what need of letters from them σταν παραγένωμαι, or before his coming, if the person recommended were not to be sent off before his arrival?), but is emphatically prefixed, as the safe and proper way of giving credentials to those sent :—τούτους πέμψω,—the alternative which follows, of himself accompanying them, being already in the mind of the Apostle. ἐπιστολῶν, plur.,—not of the category merely, meaning one letter,—but meaning, either that each should have his letter of credentials,—or more probably, that Paul would give them letters to several persons in Jerusalem.

Meyer well remarks: " Hence we see

4. ree η bef axior, with DFKLN¹ rel syrr goth Chr Thdrt Damase : txt ABCI_bMN³ a m 17 vulg(and F-lat).

6. καταμένω BM 672: παραπομείνω F. om η F 2. (not F-lat.) om και BM 3. 116 Chr2-mss. for ινα, εί μη F D-lat G-lat. ινα εί και παραχ. D¹. for εαν, αν D¹F.

7. for 1st $\gamma a \rho$, $\delta \epsilon$ 1_b. rec (for 2nd $\gamma a \rho$) $\delta \epsilon$, with KL rel syr Thdrt: txt ABCDF1_bMR latt Syr copt goth Chr Damase lat-ff. rec $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \eta$ (the force of the aor not being perceived: see note), with DFKL rel: ABCI_bMR d m 17 Chr Thlmss, permiseric latt.

how common in Paul's practice was the writing of Epistles. Who knows how many private letters of his, not addressed to churches, have been lost? The only letter of the kind which remains to us (except the Pastoral Epistles), viz. that to Philemon, owes its preservation perhaps to the mere circumstance, that it is at the same time addressed to the church in the house of Philemon. See ver. 2." see reff. Meyer compares Plat. Def. p. 113, Ε: χάρις, εὐεργεσία έκούσιος. But if it (the occasion,-dependent on the magnitude of your collection) be worthy of my also taking the journey (i. e. if your collection be large enough to warrant an apostolic mission in order to carry it, - not said for security, - nor to procure himself a fair reception at Jerusalem,-but with a sense of the dignity of an apostolic mission: "justa æstimatio sui non est su-perbia," Bengel), they shall go in my company $(\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \ \dot{\epsilon} \mu o l \ \pi$. contrast to $\delta i' \ \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota$ - σ τολῶν πέμψω, and observing the same order). This did apparently take place, see Acts xx. 4 ff.

5—9.] Taking up δταν παραγένωμα.
be announces his plan of visiting them.
5.] This plan was a change from his former intention, which had been (see 2 Cor. i. 15, 16, and note), to pass through them to Macedonia, and again return to them from Macedonia, and thence to Judeau. This he had apparently announced to them in the lost Epistle alluded to ch. v. 9 (or in some other), and he now tacitly drops this scheme, and announces another. For this he was charged (2 Cor. i. 17 ff.) with levity of purpose:—but his real motive was, lenity

towards them, that he might not come to them in sorrow and severity (2 Cor. i. 23; ii. 1). The second plan he adhered to: we find him already in Macedonia when 2 Cor. was written (2 Cor. ii. 13; viii. 1; ix. 2, 4), and on his way to Corinth (2 Cor. xii. 14; xiii.1); -and in Acts xx. 1, 2, the journey is briefly narrated. y. διέρχ. is not parenthetical, but διέρχ. is opposed (by δέ) to παραμενώ. pres. implies, as in E. V., his now matured plan,-not, as in the erroneous subscription of the Epistle, that he was on his way through Macedonia, when he wrote the 6. παραμενώ This, of which he speaks uncertainly, was accomplished; he spent (Acts xx. 3) three months, and those (ib. ver. 6) the three winter months, in Greece (at Corinth). ὑμεῖς, Meyer justly remarks, is emphatic, and conveys an affectionate preference, in his present plan, for them. où, with a verb of motion. The account of this is that the ideas of motion and rest are both involved in the verb: rest, when the motion is aecomplished. So Luke x. 1; -Soph. Trach. 40, κείνος δ' δπου βέβηκεν οὐδείς οἶδε:-Xen. Hell. vii. 1. 25, ὅπου βουληθεῖεν ἐξελθεῖν. See Kühner, § 623, Anm. 2. Whither he should go from Corinth,

was as yet uncertain, see ver. 4. 7.] For I am not willing, this time to see you by the way. There is a slight, but a very slight, reference to his change of purpose (see above); but we must not take $\delta \rho \tau_1$ with $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega$ (which Meyer charges Neander with doing, but clearly in error, see Pf. u. Leit, p. 415 note): rather the $\delta \rho \tau_1$ refers to the occasion, the news from 'them of Chloc,'

10 Έαν δὲ ἔλθη Τιμόθεος, * βλέπετε ΐνα γ ἀφόβως (-yeiv, -γημα, ch. Xii. 6.

...Ib ABCDF ΑΒΕΘΕ ² γένηται ² πρὸς ὑμᾶς τὸ γὰο ^{ab} ἔργον ^a κυρίου ^b ἔργάζεται ghkl ως καγω· 11 μήτις ουν αυτον εξουθενήση, ° προπέμψατε m n o 17 δε αὐτὸν εἰρήνη, ἵνα έλθη πρός με εκδέχομαι γὰο αὐτὸν μετὰ τῶν ἀδελφῶν.

12 Περί δε Απολλώ τοῦ ἀδελφοῦ, πολλὰ παρεκά-

xii. 6, - \gamma\cdot \text{cta}, Eph. i. 19.) w Loke xiii. 17. xxi. 15. Gal. v. 17. Phil. i. 28. 2 Thess. ii. 4. 1 Tim. i. 10. v. 14 only. L.P. Zech. iii. 1. x w. iva, Col. iv. 17 only. (see 2 John 8.) w. πώς, Luke viii. 18. ch. iii. 10. Eph. v. 15. a. 14. Phil. 1.14. Jade 12 only. Prov. 1.33. Wisd. xvii. 4 vat. (-βος F.) only. a. 15. xvi. 33 (ref.), ch. vii. 16. James iii. 16. d. Jodg. xviii. 6 vat. xvi. 33 (ref.), ch. vii. 16. James iii. 16. d. Jodg. xviii. 6 vat. ch. i. 10 ref., vi. 17. kvii. 11. zvii. zvii. 11. zvii. zvii. 11. zvii. 11. zvii. 11. zvii. zvii. 11. zvii. zvii. 11. zvii. zvii. 11. zvii. 11. zvii. 11. zvii. 11. zvii. 11. zvii. zvii. 11. zvii. zvii. 11. zvii. zvii. 11. zvii. zvii. zvii. 11. zvii. zvii y Luke zch. ii. 3 reff. d Luke ii. 29. Acts e Acts xvii. 16 reff. g constr.,

 rec και εγω, with DF rel Chr(καθως κ. εγ.) Œc: εγω, omg και, BM 67°: txt AC KLN n Thdrt Damase Thl.

11. om ovv D'F D-lat G-lat goth arm Ambrst. om $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^1$. Damase. οπ μετα των αδελφ. Β.

12. om απολλω X1: ins N-corr1. ins δηλω υμιν οτι bef πολλα DFN1 latt goth

which had made it advisable that he should not now pay them a mere passing visit.

γάρ] ground of οὐ θέλω—but not the ultimate one, see above. ἐπιτρέψη] shall have permitted me, i. e. 'if it shall so turn out, in the Lord's direction of my work, that I shall then find my way open to do so.

8, 9. His present plan regarding his stay in Ephesus (where he was writing).

τ. πεντηκ.] viz. that next coming. This probably happened so, or nearly so, notwithstanding the tunnlt of Acts xix.: for he already (see there vv. 21, 22) was meditating his departure, and had sent on two of his company, when the tumult occurred. θύρα, see reff.: an opportunity of

μεγάλη refers to the extent of the action thus opened before him: evepγήs, to its requirements: neither of them (though μεγάλη may be referred to θύρα) properly agreeing with the figure, but both with the reality. Meyer compares Plat. Phædr. p. 245, A: μουσών ἐπὶ ποιητικάς θύρας ἀφίκηται. Αυτικ. πολλ.] See 10, 11.] Recommendation of Timothy to their good reception and offices. He had preceded Paul (Acts xix. 22) in the journey to Macedonia. From ἐἀν ἔλθη, it would appear to have been probable, but not quite certain, that he would visit them. In ch. iv. 17, he is described as sent on for that purpose: so that the ἐάν may merely refer to the uncertainties of the journey. 10. βλ. ίνα άφόβ. γ.] There must have been some special reason for this caution respecting Timothy, besides that assigned by Meyer, al., that he would naturally be depreciated

as only a subordinate of Paul, whom so many of them opposed. His youth occurs to us, mentioned 1 Tim. iv. 12: but even that is not enough, and would hardly be intended here, without some reference to it. De Wette's conjecture may not be without foundation, that he was perhaps of a timid disposition. Meyer objects that we have no historical trace of this: but I think some are to be found in 1 Tim .: -e. g. iii. 15 (see τὸ ἔργον κυρ.] see
11. ἐν εἰρήνη] χωρὶs note); v. 22, 23. ref., note. μάχης και φιλονεικίας, Theophyl., and ίνα έλθ.] the aim of similarly Chrys. έκδέχ. γὰρ αὐτ.] καὶ προπέμψ. τοῦτο φοβοῦντος αὐτοὺς ἢν. ἴνα γὰρ είδότες, ὅτι πάντα εἰρήσεται πρὸς αὐτὸν ἄπερ ὰν πάθη, ἐπιεικέστεροι γένωνται, διὰ τοῦτο προςέθηκεν έκδ. γ. αὐτ. Chrys. Theophyl. adds, αμα δέ και αίδεσιμώτερον αὐτὸν ποιῶν, εἴγε οὕτως ἀναγκαῖυν τοῦτον ἔχει, ὥςτε ἐκδέχεσθαι αὐτόν.

By μετά τῶν ἀδελφῶν it would appear, comparing ver. 12, that more brethren besides Erastus (Acts xix. 22) accompanied Timotheus to Macedonia. It is hardly probable (as Calov. and De W., al.), that μετὰ τ. ἀδ. is to be taken with ἐκδέχομαι: 'I and the brethren expect him.' 12.] Of Apollos: that he was not willing at δέ, transitional. present to go to them.

On the construction of $\pi \in \mathfrak{d} \ldots \mathfrak{d} \delta$. παρεκάλ. ΐνα έλθη see on ver. 1. Tva denotes the aim, not only the purport of the exhortation. See remarks on ch. "Ideo excusat, ne suspicentur Corinthii ab eo fuisse impeditum Apud se quærere poterant: Cur hos potius h Acts xi. 22 λεσα αὐτόν, δ''(να ἔλθη πρὸς ὑμᾶς μετὰ τῶν ἀδελφῶν ABCDF reff. i Matt. xviii. 13. καὶ πάντως οὐκ ἱ ην ἱκ θέλημα ἱ "(να νῦν ἔλθη, ἐλεύσεται bè cde f m no i 7 les Matt. vii). 13 ο Γρηγορεῖτε, ο στήκετε ἐν τῆ πίστει, ρ ἀνδρίζεσθε, Matt. vii. 21. καὶ καὶ δια καὶ δια

13. om $\tau\eta$ F. ins και bef κραταιουσθε AD vulg(and F-lat) Syr copt æth lat-ff: om BCFKLN D-lat(with G-lat fri) syr goth Chr Thdrt Damasc Thl Œc Ambrst-ms.

15. om δε D¹-gr N¹ 71 goth ath. aft στεφανα ins και φορτουνατου DN³ am(with demid fuld harl) copt arm Thdrt Damase Ambrst-ms: και φορτ. και αχαϊκου C¹F a vulg-ed(with tol F-lat) syr-w-ast Ambrst-ed.—(Additions from ver 17.) for εστιν, εισιν C¹(appy) DF & as above Orig-int.

16. om 1st και M. aft και κοπιωντι ins εν υμιν F Ambrst.

quam Apollo nobis misit? Respondet, minime per se stetisse, &c." Calvin. Meyer remarks, perhaps the Corinthians had expressly desired that Apollos should be sent to them. μετὰ τ. ἄδιλφ.] perhaps, those who went with Timotheus (see above): perhaps, those who were to bear this letter (ver. 17). καί] and, not, 'but'; see John xvi. 32; Rom. i. 13. It merely couples the exhortation with its result. Θέλημα] Evidently the will of Δροllos, not, as Theophyl.: τουτέστιν, δ θεδο οὐκ ἤθιλεν. ὅταν εὐκαιρ.] The present καῖρος not seeming to him a suitable one: apparently on account of the divisions hinted at in the beginning of the

Εpistle. 13.] είτα δεικνύς ὅτι οὐκ ἐν τοῖς διδακάλοις, ἀλλά καὶ ἐν ἑαυτοῖς ὁφείλουσι τὰς ἐλπίδας ἔχειν τῆς σωτηρίας, φησίτ γρηγ. κ.τ.λ. Chrys., who adds: διὸ λέγει, γρηγορεῖτε, ὡς καθευδύντων στήκετε, ὡς καθευδύντων στήκετε, ὡς καλειομένων πάντα ὑμ. ἐν ἀγάπη γινέσθω. ὡς στασιαζόντων. ἀνδρίζ.] Ατίστο. Ετh. iii. 6. 12:—ἄμα δὲ καὶ ἀνδρίζονται, ἐν οἶς ἐστιν ἡ ἀλκή, ἡ καλὸν τὸ ἀποθανεῖν. Wetst.: where see other examples.

15—18.] Recommendation of the family of Stephanas to their honourable regard: and by occasion, εxpression of his own joy at the presence of Stephanas and his companions.

15.] Some expositors (Erasm., Wolf, al.) take

οίδατε as imperative, and regard it as the command: but the imperative use of οίδατε (for iσπε) seems to be without example. We must therefore understand it as indicative, and the construction is the well-known attraction, οίδα σε τίς εξ (Meyer).

άπαρχή] see Rom. xvi. 5: the first Achæan converts. ἔταξαν, plur., referring to the nonn of number, οἰκία. This family were among the few baptized by Paul, see ch. i. 16. ἔταξαν ἐαυτούς] So Demosth. de falsa legat.: βούλομαι δέ ύπομνησαι είς τίνα τάξιν έταξεν έαυτον Alσχίνης, Wetst.: where see other examples. The έαυτούs is not without meaning-they voluntarily devoted their services. είς διακ. τοῖς ἀγίοις] to service for the saints: in what way, does not appear: but perhaps, from the fact of Stephanas being at that time in Ephesus,for journeys and missions. 16.] Kai ύμεις, you in your turn,-in return for their self-devotion. ύποτάσσ. \ viz. in honouring their advice and being ready to be directed by them: there is an allusion to ἔταξαν ἐαυτούς above. τοιούτοις | to such persons, meaning the individuals of Stephanas's family, whom they knew. See the usage of δ τοιοῦτος in reff. συνεργούντι] viz. with τοις τοιούτοις.

17.] Perhaps Fortunatus and Achaicus were members of the family of Stephanas. The Fortunatus mentioned by

Στεφανᾶ καὶ Φορτουνάτου καὶ ἸΑχαϊκοῦ, ὅτι τὸ το ὑμέτερον $\stackrel{\text{b - ch. x - SI}}{\sim} \stackrel{\text{li}}{\sim} i υστέρημα αὐτοὶ ἱ ἀνεπλήρωσαν <math>\stackrel{\text{li}}{\sim} i υ επλήρωσαν$ $\stackrel{\text{li}}{\sim} i$

^b τοιούτους.
¹⁹ Ασπάζονται ὑμᾶς αἰ π ἐκκλησίαι τῆς ᾿Ασίας. ἀσπά- $^{10}_{\text{ch. siv. 16}}$

ζεται ὑμᾶς ° ἐν ° κυρί $_{\text{ch. siv. 16}}$
⁹ πολλὰ ᾿Ακύλας καὶ Πρίσκιλλα $_{\text{ch. siv. 16}}$
¹⁰ $_{\text{c$

| 1 - Acts xvii. | 1 -

17. rec φουρτουνατου, with K rel Chr-ed Thdrt-ed: txt ABCDFLN e m 17. rec (for νμετερου) νμων, with AKLN rel Chr Thdrt Damase: txt BCDF m 17. rec ουτοι, with BCKLN rel Thdrt Damase: txt ADFM vulg Syr Chr Œc (illi D-lat: ipsi illi G-lat: ipsi vulg lat-fi).

18. ins και bef το εμον D'F latt goth Ambrst Pelag Bede.

19. om A 34. aft αι εκκλησιαι add πασαι C 47 Syr Chr Bede. ασπαζονται (for -ε-), with BFLM rel vss gr-lat-ff: txt CDKN c goth. πολλα bef εν κυριω Μ a 17. 74: om εν κυρ. 123 arm Ambrst. ακυλαs bef πολλα D. for πρισκιλλα, πρισκα BMN 17 am(with demid harl) fri copt goth Pelag. at end ins παρ οις [ους F] και ξενιζομαι DF latt goth Pelag Bede.

Clement at the end of his Ep. i. to the Corinthians (c. 59, p. 328) may be the same.

παρουσία] viz. in Ephesus.

τὸ ὑμέτερον ὑστ.] The want of

you (ref.): i.e. of your society. Grotius interprets it, "Quod vos omnes facere oportuit, id illi fecerunt: certiorem me fecere de vestris morbis," and holds them to have been of Χλοῆs of chap. i. 11. But it is very improbable that he should mention thus a family so distinguished as this: he names them just after, ch. i. 16, as the household of Stephanas:—and still more improbable that one of so fine feeling should add of the bearers of such tidings, $\lambda \nu \neq \alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \nu \kappa$. κ. which would on that hypothesis be almost ironical.

18. καὶ ὑμῶν] this is a beautiful expression of true affection used in consciousness of the effect of this epistle on them: q. d.

'it is to their presence here that you owe much of that in this my letter which I know will refresh and cheer your spirits.'

Theophyl. explains it: ἔδειξεν αλοτός ὅτι ἡ αὐτοῦ ἀναπανοῖς, αὐτῶν ἐστιν. ὥστε ἐπεί, ἐμοῦ ἀναπανθέντος περί αὐτῶν, καὶ ὑμεῖ ἐκερθήσατε αὐτὸ τοῦτο, τὴν ἐμὴν ἀνάπανοῖν, μηδὲν ἀχαρι πρὸς αὐτοὺς τοῦτονο ἐνδείξησθε:— Grot., of the announcement which they would make on their return of Paul's love for the Corinthians. But Vol. II.

this last can hardly be. ἐπιγινώσκετε] know, the prep. giving force, and slightly altering the meaning to that of recognition. Grot. and Theophyl., - ἐν τιμβαὐτοὺς ἔχετε.

19. ἐν κυρίω] see note, Rom. xvi. 2.

19. ἐν κυρίω] see note, Rom. xvi. 2, 4; Acts xviii. 2. They had removed from Corinth (Acts xviii. 1) to Ephesus (ib. 26), and had there, as subsequently at Rome (Rom. xvi. 3, 5), an assembly of the faithful meeting in their dwelling.

οί άδ. πάντες-the whole Ephesian έν φιλ. άγ.] see Rom. xvi. church. 16, note. 21-24.] Autograph conclusion. δ ἀσπασμός is the final greeting, which, according to ref. 2 Thess., was always in his own hand, the rest having been written (see Rom. xvi. 22) by an amanuensis. Παύλου is in apposition with ¿μοῦ implied in ¿μη, as II. ρ. 226, υμέτερον δε εκάστου θυμον αέξω: έμδς τοῦ ἀθλίου βίος, and the like. See Kühner, § 499. 4. 22.] He adds, as in Col. iv. 18; Eph. vi. 24, some exhortation, or solemn sentence, in his own hand, as having especial weight. On the distinction between $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ and $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ see notes on John xxi.15. The negation here of the feeling of personal affection, "has no love in his heart for," is worthy of

b gen, suhj., Phil. i 9.

x James v. 12. οὐ φιλεῖ τὸν κύριον, x ήτω y ἀνάθεμα. z μαραναθά. 23 ή ABCDF KLMN a y Rom. is. 3 χάρις τοῦ a κυρίου Ἰησοῦ a μεθ' ὑμῶν. 24 ἡ ἀγάπη be def ref. zer. Δετο conty. Δετα πάντων ύμων έν χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ. [ἀμήν.] 231, Νεν. Σαι. 21 al.

ΠΡΟΣ ΚΟΡΙΝΘΙΟΥΣ Α.

....C d

Philem. 5, 7. Rev. ii. 4, 19.

22. rec aft κυριον ins ιησουν χριστον, with C3DFN3 e g m am syr copt goth: ημων ιησ. χρ. KL rel vulg-ed(and some mss) Chr Thl Victorin: om ABC MN 17 fri æth Chr-ms Cyr,

23. aft κυριου ins ημων AL b f k m o 17 vulg(not am) fri Syr copt Chr Thl Ambrst. rec aft ιησου adds χριστου, with ACDFKLMN3 rel latt syrr copt Chr Ambrst:

om BN1 n 17 am(with tol F-lat al) goth Thdrt.

24. om μου A 73. om aunv BFM 17 fuld(and tol) fri: ins ACDKLN rel vss.

Subscription: rec adds εγραφη απο φιλιππων δια στεφανα και φουρτουνατου και αχαικου και τιμοθέου, with KL a e f g k (m) n, similarly (but for φιλιππων, εφεσου) dh: εγραφη απο εφεσου B^2 : εγραφη απο φιλιππων μακιδονος D^2 : εγραφη απο ασιας κ.τ.λ., omg (as do also h m) πρ. κορ. πρωτη, b o: om altogether M 1: txt AB CN 17, and D (adding $\epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta$) F (prefixing $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta$).

note, as connected with the curse which ήτω ἀνάθ.] On ἀνάθεμα, follows. see note, Rom. ix. 3:-let him be acμαραναθά] An Aramaic expression, פֶרָן אָחָא or בֶּרָנָא אָחָא the (or our) Lord cometh (or, is come, as Chrys., al., δ κυρ. $\dot{\eta}\mu$. $\dot{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon$: in 1 John iv. 2 the same Syriac form is used to express έληλυθότα): probably unconnected with ανάθεμα: and added perhaps (Mey.) as recalling some remembrance of the time when Paul was among them: at all events, as a weighty watchword tending to recall to them the nearness of His coming, and the duty of being found

ready for it:-not added, as Rückert, to stamp genuineness on the letter,-for why here rather than in other Epistles, especially as those who were to bear it were so well known? See Stanley's note.

24. ἡ ἀγ. μου] Because the Epistle had contained so much that was of a severe character, he concludes it with an expression of affection; so Chrys.: μετὰ τοσαύτην κατηγορίαν οὐκ ἀποστρέφεται, ἀλλὰ καί φιλεί και περιλαμβάνει πόρδωθεν αὐτοὺς δυτας. ἐν χρ. Ἰησ.] τουτέστιν, οὐδὲν ἀνθρώπινον ἡ σαρκικον ἡ ἀγάπη μου έχει, άλλὰ πνευματική έστι καὶ ἐν χριστῷ. Theophyl.

ΠΡΟΣ ΚΟΡΙΝΘΙΟΥΣ Β.

Ι. 1 Παῦλος ἀπόστολος χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ 2 διὰ θ ελήματος 1 εκπ. 1 2 θ εοῦ, καὶ Τιμόθεος ὁ ἀδελφός, τη 1 έκκλησία τοῦ 1 θεοῦ τη 2 εκπ. 1 2 εκπ. 2 2 2 εκπ. 2 2 2 εκπ. 2 $^$ ΑΒΕΟΡ πατρος ήμων και κυρίου Ίησου χριστου. ΚΕΜΝΑ 36 Ε.

3 Ευλογητός ο βθεός και πατήρ του κυρίου ήμων ε Rom. xv. 6

Title. Stepli η προς τους κορινθιούς δευτέρα: elz παυλού του αποστολού η προς κορινθιους επιστολη δευτερα, with rel: του αγιου αποστολου παυλου επιστολη προς κορ. β' L (h): αρχεται προς κορινθιους β' D¹F(δευτερη): επιστολη προς κορ. δευτερα k 1: txt ABKX m n o 17, and C at top of page.

CHAP. I. 1. ree ιησου bef χριστου, with ADGKL rel vss Chr Damase: om ιησ. χρ. F(and lat): txt BMN 17 hal(and mar al) syr Thdrt Bede.

CHAP. I. 1, 2. ADDRESS AND GREET-1. διά θελ. θεοῦ | see 1 Cor. i. Τιμόθεος ὁ άδ.] So of Sosthenes, 1 Cor. i. 1; 'one of οἱ ἀδελφοί;' -but perhaps in this case with peculiar emphasis: see 1 Cor. iv. 17; 1 Tim. i. 2, 18; 2 Tim. ii. 1. On his being with Paul at this time, see Prolegg. to this Epistle, § ii. 4. σὺν τ. άγ. πᾶσιν This, and the Epistle to the Galatians, were circular letters to all the believers in the respective countries: the variation of expression in the two cases (ταις ἐκκλησίαις τ. Γαλατίαs, Gal. i. 2) being accounted for by the circumstance that the matter of this Epistle concerned directly the church at Corinth, and indirectly all the saints in the province.—whereas that to the Galatians, being to correct deep rooted Judaizing error, directly concerned all the churches of Galatia. Achaia comprehended Hellas and Peloponnesus; the province was so named by the Romans because they became possessed of them by subduing the Achæan league, Pausan. vii. 16. 7. On the history of the province, sec Acts xviii.

n o 17

2. See 1 Cor. i. 3.

3-11. THANKSGIVING FOR DELIVER-ANCE FROM GREAT DANGER OF HIS LIFE: -HIS ABILITY TO COMFORT OTHERS IN AFFLICTION. Commentators have endeavoured to assign a definite purpose to this opening of the Epistle. De Wette thinks that Paul had no definite purpose, except to pour out the thankfulness of his heart, and to begin by placing himself with his readers in a position of religious feeling and principle far above all discord and dissension. But I cannot agree with this. His purpose shews so plainly through the whole latter part of the chapter, that it is only consistent with vv. 12-24 to find it beginning to be introduced here also. believe that Chrys. has given the right account: ἐλύπει λίαν αὐτοὺς κ. ἐθορέβει τὸ μὴ παραγενέσθαι ἐκεῖ τὸν ἀπόστολον, καὶ ταῦτα ἐπαγγειλάμενου, ἀλλὰ τὸν ἄπαντα έν Μακεδονία αναλώσαι χρόνον, καὶ δοκεῖν αὐτῶν ἐτέρους προτετιμηκέναι. διὰ τοῦτο πρός τοῦτο ίστάμενος τὸ ἀνθορμοῦν, λέγει την αίτιαν δι' ην ου παρεγένετο ου μην έξ εὐθείας αὐτὴν τίθησιν, οὐδὲ λέγει ὅτι οίδα

 h = Eph. i.17. ' Ιησοῦ χριστοῦ, $^{\circ}$ h πατὴο τῶν i οἰκτιρμῶν καὶ θεὸς πάσης ABCDP IROm. xii. I. Rom. xii. I. h παρακλήσεως, 4 $^{\circ}$ i παρακαλῶν ἡμᾶς m έπὶ πάση τῆ be efg Col. III. II. h θλίψει ἡμῶν, n εἰς τὸ δύνασθαι ἡμᾶς i παρακαλεῖν τοὺς n ο 17 σους, 18. έν πάση θλίψει διὰ τῆς κπαρακλήσεως όῆς παρακαλούμεθα αὐτοὶ ὑπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ, ⁵ὅτι καθώς ^ρ περισσεύει τὰ ⁹ παθήματα του χριστου τείς ήμας, ουτως διά του χριστού βπερισσεύει και ή kπαράκλησις ήμων. n Acts iii. 19, vii. 19 al. o constr., Rom. ii. 16.
r = Rom. v. 15. viii. 18, s = 1 Thess.

om ημων M Hil Ambr. 3. om 2nd o F.

4. for επι, εν C l n Eus Chr Antch Procop. om ημων M Hil Ambr: υμων 3. for eis, iva F. ins και bef αυτοι DF latt Ambr Bede (not fri Jer Ambrst). for υπο, απο F 109.

5. for τα παθηματα, το παθημα D1. aft ουτως ins και DF m 80 latt. (vulg Damase Ambrst-ed om και below.) rec om του bef 2nd χριστου (with none of our mss): ins ABCDFKMN rel Orig.—om from περισσ. to περισσ. L.

μεν ύποσχόμενος ήξειν, επειδή δε διά τάς θλίψεις ενεποδίσθην, σύγγνωτε, κ. μή καταγνώτέ τινα ύπεροψίαν ἡ βαθυμίαν ήμων άλλ' έτέρως τοῦτο κ. μεγαλοπρεπέστερον κ. άξιοπιστότερον κατασκευάζει, έπαίρων τῆ παραμυθία τὸ πρᾶγμα, Ίνα μηδὲ έρωτῶσι λοιπον την αἰτίαν, δι' ην ύστέρησε. Calvin, somewhat differently: "Incipit ab hac gratiarum actione, partim ut Dei bonitatem prædicet, partim ut animet Corinthios suo exemplo ad persecutiones fortiter sustinendas: partim ut pia gloriatione se efferat adversus malignas obtreetationes pseudapostolorum." But this does not touch the matter of the postponed journey to Corinth, which through the latter part of the chapter is coming more and more visibly into prominence, till it becomes the direct subject in ver. 23.

3.] εὐλ., Blessed (above all others) δ θ. κ. πατ. The God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ. Here, as in ref. Rom., De Wette would render, 'God, and the Father', which grammatically is allowable; but I prefer the other rendering, on account of its greater verisimilitude and simplicity.

δ π. τ. οἰκτιρ.] οἰκτ. can hardly be the gen. of the attribute, as De W. and Grot., seeing that οἰκτ. is plural and refers to acts of mercy; but as Chrys., δ οἰκτιρμοὺς τοσούτους ἐπιδειξάμενος: see ref. James. This meaning De W. himself recognizes in δ θ. πάσης παρακλ.,—'the God who works all (possible) comfort,' and refers to δ $\theta \epsilon \delta s$ τ. ἐλπίδος, Rom. xv. 13. 4.] The Apostle in this Epistle uses mostly the first person plur., perhaps as including Timothy, perhaps, inasmuch as he writes apostolically (cf. ήμᾶς τοὺς ἀποστόλυυς, of himself and Apollos, 1 Cor. iv. 9), as speaking of the Apostles in common. This however will not explain all places where it occurs elsewhere: e. g. 1 Thess. ii. 18, ήθελήσαμεν έλθεῖν πρός ύμας, έγω μεν Παῦλος, καὶ ἄπαξ κ. δίς,—where see note. So that after all perhaps it is best to regard it merely as an idiomatic way of speaking, when often only the singular is intended.

In order that we may be able: not, ' so that we are able.' διὰ τοῦτο γὰρ παρ-εκάλεσεν ἡμᾶς, φησίν, ἵνα ἡμεῖς ἀλλήλους παρακαλωμεν. Chrys. "Non sibi vivebat Apostolus, sed Ecclesiæ: ita quicquid gratiarum in ipsum conferebat Deus, non sibi soli datum reputabat, sed quo plus ad alios juvandos haberet facultatis." Calv.

ήs, attr. for ή, or perhaps (Winer, edn. 6, p. 148, § 24. 1) for ην (παράκλησιν παρα-5. 'As He is, so are we in this world:' 1 John iv. 17. As the sufferings of Christ (endured by Christ, whether in his own person, or in his mystical body the Church, see Matt. xxv. 40, 45) abound towards us (i. e. in our case, see reff.) ;even so through Christ our consolation also abounds. The form of expression is altered in the latter clause: instead of h παράκλησις τοῦ χριστοῦ περισ. we have ή παράκ. ἡμῶν περισσ. διὰ τοῦ χριστοῦ. And not without reason :- we suffer, because we are His members: we are consoled because He is our Head. is no comparison (as Chrys., οὐ γὰρ ὅσα έπαθε, φησίν, ἐπάθομεν μόνον, ἀλλὰ καὶ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \dot{\alpha}$) between the personal sufferings of Christ, and theirs. 6.] And all this for your benefit. But whether we are afflicted, (it is) on behalf of your comfort (els το δύνασθαι κ.τ.λ. ver. 4, only now applied to the Corinthians) and salvation (the great end of the παράδὲ α θλιβόμεθα, ὑπὲο τῆς ὑμῶν και παρακλήσεως καὶ σωματικός της τενεργουμένης ἐν κύπομονη τῶν αὐτῶν q παθημάτων καὶ ἡμεῖς πάσχομεν, καὶ ἡ y ἐλπὶς ἡμῶν καὶ ἡμεῖς πάσχομεν, καὶ ἡ y ἐλπὶς ἡμῶν ε βεβαία α ὑπὲο ὑμῶν είτε παρακαλούμεθα, ὑπὲρ τῆς ὑμῶν και παρακλήσεως καὶ σωτηρίας, ε εἰδότες ὅτι ὡς καὶ τῆς κπαρακλήσεως καὶ σωτηρίας, ε εἰδότες ὅτι ὡς καὶ πης κπαρακλήσεως τῶν q παθημάτων, οὕτως καὶ τῆς κπαρακκλήσεως καὶ τῆς κπαρακλήσεως τῶν q παθημάτων, οὕτως καὶ τῆς κπαρακλήσεως g εἰδοτες ὅτι ὡς καὶ τῆς κπαρακλήσεως τῶν g παθημάτων, οὕτως καὶ τῆς κπαρακλήσεως g το εἰδοτες ὅτι ὡς καὶ τῆς κπαρακλήσεως g το είδοτες g το είδοτες g το είδοτες g το είδοτες g της θλίψεως ἡμῶν τῆς γενομένης ἐν τῆς Λοία, καὶ το είδοτες g της θλίψεως ἡμῶν τῆς γενομένης ἐν τῆς Λοία, ε είδοτες g είδοτες g το εκαθ΄ εὐπερβολὴν ἡπερ δύναμιν εξβαοήθημεν, ὡςτε επαρακλίλες το επαρακλίλες g το είδοτες g το είδοτες g το είδοτες g της είδοτες g της είδοτες g της είδοτες g δύναμιν εξβαοήθημεν, ὡςτε επαρακλίλες g είδοτες g της είδοτες g το είδοτες g της είδοτες g της είδοτες g είδοτες g της είδοτες g της είδοτες g το είδοτες g είδοτες g το είδοτες g είδοτες g το είδοτες g είδοτες

16 (refi.). Heb. jii. 14. vi. 19. ix. 17. e Rom. i. 13. xi. 25. 1 Cor. x. 1. xii. 1. 1 Thess. iv. 13. d - John i. 39. ch. viii. 23. 2 Thess. ii. 1. e Rom. vii. 13 refi. 34. ch. v. 4. 1 Tim. v. 16 only t. 18a. i. 4 Aq. Symm., &c.

6. for ειτε, ει D¹ 32. om 1st και σωτηριαs B 176. for δυ, ωs D¹F. (G·lat has both.) rec has ειτε παρακαλουμεθα υπερ την υμων παρακληστως και σωτηριας bef και η ελπις ημων βεβαια υπερ υμων (with none of our mss): ειτε παρακι, υπερ τ. υμ. παρακληστως της ευεργουμενης ευ υπομονη των αυτ. παθ. ων κ. ημ. π. και η ελπ., omg και σωτηριας, ACMN am(with flor fuld harl tol') fri Syr copt æth (arm) Ephr Antch, and, but insg και σωτηριας, m fuld¹: sive consolamur pro vestra consolatione sive exhortantur pro vestra exhortatione et salute vulg-ed(with demid): txt BDFKL rel syr goth Chr Thdrt Damase Phot Thl Œc.

7. rec (for ωs) ωsπερ, with D^{2,3}KL rel Chr Thdrt: οι (= ει?) F, si D-lat Syr: om G-lat: steat F-lat: txt ABCD¹MN 17 Orig Ephr Damasc. των παθηματων bef

εστε DF latt. om ουτως F D-lat(and G-lat).

8. for υπερ, περι ACDFN b m² o 17 Bas Chr Thdrt Antch: txt BKL rel Damase Thl Œc.

rec aft γεν. ins ημιν, with D³KLN³ rel vss Bas Chr Thdrt Damase Ambrst-ms: om ABCDFN* 17 latt Jer.

for υπερ, παρα D¹-rF.

rec εβαρηθημεν hef υπερ δυναμιν, with DFKL rel vulg syrr copt goth Chr Thdrt Damase Tert Ambrst: txt ABCMN m 17 fri arm Bas Jer.

κλησις), which (viz. παράκλησις and σωτηρία) is working (not, as Chrys., Theophyl., Estius, Beza, al., 'being worked :' the passive does not occur in St. Paul) in the endurance of the same sufferings which we also suffer; -and our hope is stedfast on your behalf (that you will endure hardness, and be consoled and saved); -or whether we are comforted, (it is) for your comfort and salvation. This place of the words καl - ὑμῶν agrees best with the sense, besides being in accordance with the best MSS. Their position has perhaps been altered to bring the two parts of the dilemma closer together, and because ἐλπὶs ἡμῶν seemed to suit the part. $\epsilon i\delta \delta \tau \epsilon$ s, and the future supposed to be implied after $\delta \tilde{\tau} \tau \omega s$ $\kappa \alpha i$ (as in E. V.). The objection to this is (as De W.) that the $\epsilon \lambda \pi i s$ clearly must be referred to σωτηρία, which however is not hinted at in ver. 7. 7.] είδότες refers back to $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda o \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha :$ —we are comforted with the assurance that &c. After οὕτως καί understand not ἔσεσθε, but $\epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon$: he is speaking generally, of the community of consolation subsisting mutually between himself and the Corinthians; and it was this thought which helped to console 8.] see var. read. It is generally supposed that the tribulation here spoken of was the danger into which Paul was brought by the tumult at Ephesus, related in Acts xix. This opinion has been recently defended by Neander, Wieseler, and Dr. Davidson, but impugned by De Wette, on the grounds, (1) that ἐν τῆ Aσία can hardly refer to Ephesus, which Paul generally names, 1 Cor. xv. 32; xvi. 8; (2) that he was not in danger of his life in this tumult. The first ground is hardly tenable: there would be an appropriateness in ἐν τῆ 'Aσία here, as he has in his mind an apologetic account of the reasons which hindered him from leaving those parts and coming to them. I own, however, that the strong expressions here used do not seem to me to find their justification in any thing which we know of that tumult or its consequences. I am unable to assign any other event as in the Apostle's mind: but the expressions seem rather to regard a deadly sickness, than a persecution: see below, vv. 9, 10. ὑπερβ, signifies the greatness of the afflicbeh. iv. 8 only. h εξαπορηθήναι ήμας καὶ ἱτοῦ ζῆν $\overset{9}{}$ ἀλλὰ αὐτοὶ ενρεμακτιὶ τοῦς τρικακτιὶ τοῦς τρικακτιὶ τοῦς τρικακτιὶ τοῦς τρικακτιὶ τοῦς τρικακτιὶ τοῦς τρικακτιὰ τοῦς τοὰ κατοκομα τοῦ θανάτου ἱεσχήκαμεν, $\overset{m}{}$ τίνα μη κεποιθότες $\overset{n}{}$ αμεν $\overset{e}{}$ εφ $\overset{i}{}$ ἱε αυτοῖς, ἀλλ΄ $\overset{o}{}$ επὶ τ $\overset{o}{}$ θε $\overset{o}{}$ τοῦς τεκρούς, $\overset{i}{}$ ιτεθ. there only $\overset{i}{}$ τεθ. $\overset{i}{}$ εργόσατο ήμας καὶ $\overset{i}{}$ ρύσεται, $\overset{i}{}$ είς $\overset{o}{}$ να τι δυνυπουργούντων καὶ τι δυμων $\overset{i}{}$ τη λατίκαμεν $\overset{i}{}$ το δεργούς, $\overset{i}{}$ αυνυπουργούντων καὶ τι δυμων $\overset{i}{}$ τη λατίκαμεν $\overset{i}{}$ τοῦς και $\overset{i}{}$ ενρότος τοις $\overset{i}{}$ εν

9. aft alla ins $\epsilon\pi\iota$ D¹. om $\epsilon\sigma\chi\eta\kappa\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu$ D¹. $\theta\epsilon$ 0 ν τον $\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\iota$ ραντα $F:\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\iota$ -

parti b! 1 ! o Cyr Thdrt Thl.

10. for eppv, epparato B^{1} . rec (for puserai (see notes), with $D^{3}FKLM$ rel vulg-ed(with fuld F-lat) syr goth Chr Thdrt Thl Ge Orig-int Jer: txt BCN 17 G-lat am(with mar tol) copt with arm (Ath) Damase.—om kai puserai AD^{1} demid Syr axth-pl Chr_{h.l.} Ambrst-ed. om oti $BD^{1}M$: kai bef oti F. om eti $D^{2}F$ h k vss Jer Ambrst.

11. ημων υπερ υμων A al. for υπερ, περι D'F. τη δεησει hef υπερ υμων C

tion itself, objectively considered: ὑπὲρ δύν., the relation of it to our power of endurance, subjectively. ωςτε έξ.] So that we utterly despaired even of life. Such an expression surely would not be used of a tumult, where life would have been the first thing in danger, if Paul had been at all mixed up in it,-but to some wearing and tedious suffering, inducing despondency in minor matters, which even reached the 9. allaha, morehope of life itself. over, - carries on and intensifies the de-We had scription of his hopeless state. in ourselves the response of death, i. c. our answer within ourselves to the question, 'Life or Death?' was, 'Death.' So Vulg , Estius, Billroth, Rückert, Meyer, De Wette.

τ. ἀπόκρ. may perhaps mean, the 'sentence,' as Hesych.: ἀπόκριμα, κατάκριμα, ψῆφον,—and most Commentators.

The perfect ἐσχήκαμεν is here (see also ch. ii. 12, 13) in a historical sense, instead of the agrist: which is unusual. Winer, edn. 6, § 40. 4, illustrates the usage by $\tilde{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$ και $\epsilon\tilde{\imath}\lambda\eta\phi\epsilon\nu$ ($\tau\delta$ $\beta\iota\beta\lambda\delta\nu$), Rev. v. 7: see also Rev. viii. 5. μή...] very similarly ch. iv. 7, έχομεν δε του θησαυρου τοῦτου εν οστρακίνοις σκεύεσιν, ίνα ή ύπερβολή της δυνάμεως η του θεου, και μη έξ ήμων. τῷ ἐγ. τ. νεκρούς] Our thoughts were weaned from all hope of surviving in this life, and fixed on that better deliverance which God shall work when He raises us from the To see in this expression merely a figure (De W.), and understand 'Who raiseth the dead' as = 'Who delivers men from peril of their lives?' because such peril is below and elsewhere (ch. xi. 23) called θάνατος,—is surely very forced. Understanding it literally as above, I can not see how it can be spoken with reference to the Ephesian tumult. If it alludes to any external danger, I should be disposed to refer it to the same obscure part of Paul's history to which he alludes I Cor. xv. 32, where he also speaks of the hope of the resurrection as his great support. But there would be this objection, that these two passages can hardly refer to the same event; this evidently had taken place since the sending of the first Epistle.

10. Who rescued us from so great a death, and will rescue us .- on whom we hope that He will also continue to rescue us. The rec. ρύεται has been substituted for the fut. δύσεται, as more appropriate. But it regards the immediate future,—the καὶ έτι βύσεται the continuance of God's help in time distant and un-The whole verse (as De W. certain. confesses, who although he repudiates the Ephesian tumult, yet interprets the passage as alluding to external danger) seems to favour the idea of bodily sickness being in the Apostle's mind. 11.] συνυπουργούντων - with whom? From the similar passage Rom. xv. 30, συναγωνίσασθαί μοι έν ταις προςευχαις ύπερ έμου, it would seem as if µor should be supplied; -but he himself could hardly be said ὑπουργεῖν, though he well might ἀγωνίσασθαι. We must therefore understand the preposition either with Chrys., τουτέστιν, εὐχομένων πάντων ύμων ύπερ ήμων, -or as merely signifying coincidence with the purpose to be accomplished, as in μή προςεώντος ήμας τοῦ ἀνέμου, Acts xxvii. 7, where see ἡμῶν τῆ δεήσει, ἵνα Ψ ἐκ πολλῶν χπροςώπων τὸ $\overset{\text{y}}{}$ εἰς $\overset{\text{w}}{}$ = ch. ii. 2. ήμᾶς χάρισμα $\overset{\text{a}}{}$ διὰ πολλῶν $\overset{\text{b}}{}$ εὐχαριστηθῆ ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν. $\overset{\text{x}}{}$ $\overset{\text{chere only.}}{}{}$ προτωπον

b 1 Cor. xi. 24 reff. constr., here only.

c = John viii. 9.1

Acts xxiii. 1. Rom. ii. 5 al. fr. (Ecclex x 20) Wisd. ffleb. xii. 10 onlyt. 2 Meet. xr 2 conly.

J = Rom. 15 al. 1 Cor. iii. 10 al. b so obscaroo. 6 coo. Rom. iii. 21, 22 i 1 Cor. iii. 3 reft. 6 coo. 1 cor. iii. 2 reft. 1 cor. xii. 123, 24.)

l = Rom. 15 al. 1 Cor. iii. 10 al. b so obscaroo. 6 coo. Rom. iii. 21, 22 i 1 Cor. iii. 3 reft. ii. 6 al. p. p. li. 3. 1 Trm. iii. 15. 1 Pet. i. 17. 2 Pet. ii. 18. Ezex. 1 cor. xii. 23, 24.)

l = Cor. xii. 23, 24.)

n = Luke xiii. 14 leb. ii. 1. xiii. 19 (Vark xr, 14 v. r.) onlyt. (pos. n Luke xiii. 51. Nom. xiii. 29.

vulg copt Chr_2 : om Sedul, syr has it with ast. for 1st ημων, υμων (but corrd) \aleph^1 e^2 . $\epsilon \nu$ πολλω προσωπω FM 67° Chr_1 , in multifacie D lat, in multa facie G-lat. υμως 17. ν νμων $\operatorname{BD}^3\operatorname{FKL}$ c e^2 f g h l m n o Damasc Phot(in Ee).

12. for 2nd ημων, υμων(but corrd) N¹. rec (for αγιστητι) απλοτητι (see note, and Ερβ vi. 5, Col iii. 22), with DFLN³ latt syrr goth Chr Thdrt Thl Œ cambrst: txt ABCKMΝ¹ m 17 copt arm Clem Orig Antch Damasc, Anton. ins ev bef ειλικρινεια A syr. rec om του, with FKL rel Orig Thl Œc: ins ABCDMN a m 17 Damasc. ins και bef ουν BM a m vulg(and F-lat) syr Damasc. σαρκινη F.

13. οπ αλλ¹ Β(Blc) F. οπ ἢ ἄ Α 17: οπ ἢ 4. 219! Syr goth arm: οπ ἄ D¹.

ἵνα ἐκ πολλῶν προςώπων . . .] "Three constructions of this verse are possible: (1) to take ἐκ πολλ. προςώπ. as well as διὰ πολλών with εὐχαριστηθή,—' in order that the mercy shewn to me may be given thanks for on my behalf by many persons with many words' (Storr, Opuse. ii. 253): but the rendering, 'with many words,' is objectionable, see Matt. vi. 7:-(2) to take έκ πολλ. προςώπ. with εὐχαρ., and διὰ πολλών with τὸ είς ήμ. χάρ .- ' in order that the mercy shewn to me by means of (the intercession of) many, may be given thanks for by many persons on my behalf' (Theophyl., Billroth, Meyer, who explain έκ π. προςώπ. 'ex multis oribus:' Stanley, 'from many upturned faces'): but the position of the words is against this, and it is more natural that the mention of the effect of the intercession should precede that of the thanks giving. (3) Consequently, the best method is to take $\epsilon \kappa \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda$. $\pi \rho \sigma s \omega \pi$. with τὸ εἰς ἡμ. χάρ., and διὰ πολλῶν with εὐχαρ. (Beza, Calov., Estius, Fritz., Rückert, al.) :- in order that the mercy shewn to us by the intercession of many persons, may by many be given thanks for on our behalf." De Wette. The emphasis of The emphasis of the whole being on the ἐκ πολλῶν προςώπων, he places it first, even before the art., after which it would naturally come.

προςώπων, ' persons,' a later meaning, which Phrynichus (see Wetst.) blames as used by οἱ ἀμφὶ τὰς δίκας ῥήτορες.

as used by of αμφί τὰς δίκας βήτορες.

12-24.] Expression of his confidence in his integrity of purpose

TOWARDS THEM (12-14), AND DEFENCE OF HIMSELF AGAINST THE CHARGE OF FICKLENESS OF PURPOSE IN NOT HAVING COME TO THEM (15-24). 12.] γάρ, reason why they should help him with their united prayers. καύχησις] viewed in its ground and substance. But we must not say that it is for καύχημα: the Apostle regards the μαρτύριον and the καύχησις as coincident:—it is not the testimony, &c., of which he boasts, but in which his boasting itself consists. άγιότ.] ἀπλό-τητι seems to be a gloss from Eph. vi. 5:-in holiness and sincerity of God: i. e. either 'belonging to God,' as ή δικαιοσ. αὐτοῦ, Matt. vi. 33, or 'which is the gift of God,' as in ref. Rom ,-or better than either, as E. V., 'godly,' i. c. maintained as in the service of and with respect to God. Calvin interprets it, 'coram Deo.' See on ch. ii. 17; and on the senses of $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\iota\delta\tau$. and $\dot{\alpha}\pi\lambda\delta\tau$., Stanley's note. ούκ έν σοφ. σαρκ.] which fleshly wisdom

is any thing but holy and pure, having many windings and insincerities in order to captivate men. ἀλλ' ἐν χάρ. θεοῦ] but in the grace of God, i. e. in that χάρις which he had received (ref. Rom), εἰς διακοῦ) πότενως ἐν πῶς ν τοῖς ἔθνεσιν—the grace of his apostleship. To this he often refers, see Rom. xii. 3, xv. 15; Eþh. iii. 2, al. περισσοτέρως] "Non quod apud alios minus sincere conversatus fuisset; sed quia majora sinceræ suæ conversationis documenta apud Corin-

thios ostenderat: ut quibus gratis ac sine

ο η ο καὶ επιγινώσκετε, έλπίζω δε ὅτι εως τέλους επιo 1 Cor. ix 8 p Acts xxiii. γνώσεσθε, 14 καθώς καὶ pr επέγνωτε ήμας s ἀπὸ s μέρους, 28 reft. q 1 Cor. i. 8 only (reft.), r constr, see 1 Cor. x.v. έδτι ^τκαύχημα ύμων έσμεν "καθάπερ καὶ ύμεῖς ήμων έν τῆ " ήμέρα τοῦ κυρίου ήμων Ίησοῦ. 15 καὶ " ταύτη τῆ * πεs Rom. xi. 25 (red.). xs. 15, ποιθήσει έβουλόμην πρότερον προς ύμας έλθειν, ίνα δευτέ-να Μ. ΑΒΕDΕ tly. t Paul (Rom. iv. 2 21%) only, exc. Heb. iii. 6. Deut. x. 21 al. u Rom. iv. 6 reff. KLNA ab Cor. i. 8 reff. w dat., 1 Ozr. ix. 7. xi. 5 al. x ch. iii. 4. viii. 22. x. 2. Eph. iii. 12. Phil. iii. c d e f g

n o 17

οm η και επιγινωσκετε Β ο¹ 31. 41. 109. 238 (Ee: om η K 114 latt copt arm Ambrst. rec ins και bef εωs, with D3KLM rel syr Chr Thdrt Thl Œc: om ABC D'FN 17 latt Damase lat-ff.

14. rec om last ημων, with ACDKL rel goth Œc: ins BFMR m 17 vulg Syr syr-wast copt Chr Thdrt Ambrst. aft ιησ. add χριστου D'FMN3(but erased) b m o latt Syr syr-w-ast copt goth Chr Anteh Thl lat-ff.

15. ελθειν bef προς υμας DFKL rel latt Syr copt goth Chr-ms Thdrt Thl lat-ff: txt ABCMN (a) h m 17 syr Chr Damasc Œc.—ree προς υμας ελθειν bef προτερον, with (K h) copt Thart: ελθειν προτερον προς υμας a: txt ABCDFLM8-corr' m 17 (rel) latt

stipendio prædicasset evangelium, pareens eorum infirmitati." Estius. But perhaps it may relate only to the longer time, and greater opportunities which he had had at Corinth for shewing his purity of purpose: so Calv., De W. 13,14.] Confirmation of the foregoing assertion. For we do not write to you any other things, except those which ye read, or acknowledge (by experience of faets), and I hope, shall acknowledge to the end :- i. e. 'my character in my writings is one and the same, not fiekle and changing, but such as past facts have substantiated it to be, and as I hope future facts to the end of my life will continue to do.' ἀναγινώσκοντες γὰρ ἐπι-γινώσκετε, ὅτι ἃ σύνιστε ἡμῖν ἐν τοῖς έργοις, ταῦτα καὶ ἐν τοῖς γράμμασι λέγομεν. καὶ οὐκ ἐναντιοῦται ὑμῶν ἡ μαρτυρία ταῖς έπιστολαίς, άλλὰ συνάδει τῆ ἀναγνώσει ἡ γνῶσις, ην προλαβόντες έχετε περὶ ἡμῶν. Chrys., who has the advantage of being able to express in his exposition the play of words in ανα- and επι-γινώσκετε. also ye did partly (that part of you, viz. which have fairly tried me: ἀπὸ μέρους, because they were divided in their estimate of him, and those who were prejudiced against him had shut their minds to this knowledge. Chrys, refers it to what follows: μετριάζων είπεν: Theophyl, to the not yet completed testimony of his εναρέτου Biov: Estius and Calvin, to their inadequate estimation of him, which he blames : but I much prefer the above. So most Commentators) acknowledge us, that (not 'because,' putting a colon at µépovs, as Luth., Griesbach, and Scholz: nor is it to be joined with $\epsilon \pi i \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, what follows being parenthesized, as Theophyl., al., Meyer, Olsh.) we are your boast, as ye are

ours, in the day of the Lord Jesus. έσμεν, 'present,' as of that which is a settled recognized fact. But this is no ground for its being joined with ἐπιγνώσεσθε, as Olsh. The experimental mutual knowledge of one another as a καύχημα was not confined to what should take place ἐν τῆ ἡμ. τ. κ. $^{\prime}$ I $\eta\sigma\sigma\hat{v}$, but regarded a present fact, which should receive its full completion at the day of the Lord. 15-24. His defence of himself against the charge of fickleness of purpose for not having come to them.
15.] ταύτ. τ. πεπ., i. e. of my character

being known to you as that of an earnest and sincere man. πρότερον belongs to έλθεῖν, not to έβουλόμην. πρότερον, viz. before he visited Macedonia, where he now was. ίνα δευτέραν χάριν σχήτε] that you might have a second benefit (effusion of the divine xápis by my presence: not = χαράν as Chrys., see var. read.).

δευτέραν, second, because there would thus have been opportunity for two visits, one in going towards Macedonia, the other in returning. This is the interpretation of De Wette, Bleek, and Wieseler, and I believe the only one which the words will bear. The other, according to which δευτέραν χάριν would mean 'a second benefit,' by my visiting you for the second time, is in my view unnatural, and would hardly have justified the use of δευτέραν at all. For come when he would, the χάρις of the second visit would be the δευτέρα χάρις. and the conferring a δευτέρα χάρις would have been of no signification in the present connexion, which is to state a purpose of paying them two visits in one and the same journey. The first of these he characterizes by $\pi \rho \delta \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu \dots \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \partial \nu$,—the second by δευτέρα χάρις, implying also the first. So

syrr goth Chr Damase lat-ff.—το προτερον L rel Thl (Ec: το δευτερον K: om προτερον Κ¹. for χαριν, χαραν ΒLΝ³ 31. 71-3. 80. 115 Thdrt. (Chr says: χάριν δε ενταθθα την χαρὰν λέγει). του εχητε (probably from similarity of s and ε. There is nothing in what Tischdf says against σχῆτε as being conformed to the tense of εβουλομη, seeing that that word may be either imperfect or aor), with ΛDFKL rel: txt BCN Thdrt₂ Damase.

16. for διελθ., απελθειν AD'F copt Chr, Damase: ελθειν a b o vss Œe: proficiscerer aut transirem G-lat: txt BCD3KLX rel vulg syrr Chr. Thdrt Thl. (See Rom xv. 28.) for υφ, αφ D¹F b l o Chr-mss Thdrt-ms.

17. for our, $\delta \epsilon A$; vero igitur goth. rec βουλευομενος, with DK rel G-lat syrr goth ath Thdrt Œc Ambrst : βουλευσομενος L: txt ABCFN a c h m o 17 vulg copt Chr Damasc Thl Bede. om Ty F Thdrt.

that I do not believe this passage to be relevant to the question respecting the number of visits which Paul had made to Corinth previously to writing these Epistles. See on that question, Prolegg. to 1 Cor. § v. 16.] If this is the same journey which is announced in 1 Cor. xvi. 5, the idea of visiting them in the way to Macedonia as well as after having passed through it, must have occurred to him subsequently to the sending of that Epistle; or may even then have been a wish, but not expressed, from uncertainty as to its possibility,-the main and longer visit being there principally dwelt on. But perhaps the following is the more likely account of the matter. He had announced to them in the lost Epistle (see 1 Cor. v. 9) his intention, as here, of visiting them on his way to Macedonia: but the intelligence from "them of Chloe" had altered his intention, so that, in 1 Cor. xvi., he speaks of visiting them after he should have passed through Macedonia. this he was accused of levity of purpose. Certainly, some intention of coming to them seems to have been mentioned in that lost Epistle: see 1 Cor. iv. 18. But the προπεμφθήναι είς την 'Ιουδαίαν can hardly but be coincident with the almsbearing scheme of 1 Cor. xvi. 4; in which case the two plans certainly are modifications of one and the same. 17. μή τι . . Did I at all use levity (of purpose)? $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \phi$., as $\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta}$, $\hat{\eta}$ $\pi (\sigma \tau i, -1)$ heing generic. Olsh., De Wette, Billroth, take it to mean 'the levity of purpose

which has been laid to my charge: Winer. 'the levity of purpose inherent in human nature.' Or those things which I plan, do I plan according to the flesh (i. e. according to the changeable, self-contradictory, and insincere purposes of the mere worldly and ungodly man), that there may be with me (not, so that there is with me: he is speaking not merely of the result, but of the design : 'do I plan like the worldly, that I may shift and waver as suits me?') the Yea, yea, and the Nay, nay (i.e. both affirmation and negation concerning the same thing)? Chrys., Theodoret, Theophyl., Œe., Calv., Bengel, Billroth, Winer, al., take it thus: 'Or those things which I plan, do I plan after the flesh (as fleshly men do), so that my yea must (at all events) be yea, and my nay, nay?' i. e. as worldly men who perform their promise at all hazards, and whatever the consequences, whereas I am under the guidance of the Spirit, and can only journey whither He permits. But this explanation is directly against the next verse, where val καὶ οῦ is clearly parallel to ναὶ ναὶ καὶ οῦ οῦ here, the words being repeated, as in ref. Matt., without altering the sense: and inconsistent with ver. 23 and ch. ii. 1, where he says that his alteration of plan arose from a desire to spare them. See the whole discussed in Stanley's note. 18.7 whole discussed in stanley's note. 18, Such fickleness, you know, was not my habit in preaching to you. Chrys. gives the connexion well: καλῶς ἀντίθεσω ἀνακώπνουαν καταλύει. εἰ γὰρ ὑποσχόμενος, φησί, παραγενέσθαι ὑπερέθου, καὶ

18. om o bef πpos D¹. rec (for $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$) $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \sigma$ (corrn to suit the supposed reference to the past?), with D³KLN³ rel Chr Thdrt Damase, fuit syrr: txt ABCDFN¹ 17 latt goth Cyr Thl-marg.

ree γaρ bef του θeου, with DFKL rel Chr Thdrt: txt ABCN in 17.—om του F. γριστ. bef 1ησ. ACN!. ins o bef δί ημων F, qui per nos D-lat G-lat fuld. σιλβανου DF. for εγενετο, εστι C.

20. ins του bef $\theta \epsilon$ ου A f o 48. 72. 106 Thdrt. rec (for διο και δι' αυτου) και $\epsilon \nu$ αυτω, with $D^{2\cdot3}$ KL rel syr Clir Thdrt Thl Ee: και δι' αυτου, omg διο, D'(and lat) Epiph(appy): tx ABCFN m 17 vulg G-lat Syr copt goth Meion-e Damase Pelag Fulg Bede. aft δοξαν ins και τιμην D^3 F. (not vulg nor F-lat, but honorem per nos over the greek in F.) om δι bef $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ CL.

οὐκ ἔστι παρά σοι ναί, ναί (predicate in Chrys.'s interpretation; see above), kal ού, ού, αλλα νῦν α λέγεις ανατρέπεις μετα ταθτα, ώς περ έπλ της σης έπιδημίας έποίησας: οὐαὶ ἡμῶν, μή ποτε καὶ ἐν τῷ κηρύγ-ματι τοῦτο γέγονεν. ἴν' οὖν μἡ ταῦτα ἐννοῶσι, μηδὲ θορυβῶνται, φησί πιστὸς δὲ δ θεδς κ.τ.λ. Hom. iii. δὲ ὁ θ., ὅτι] a form of asseveration: see reff. The δέ follows on the denial of the preceding question. Our doctrine (which we preached, cf. & λόγος ό τοῦ σταυροῦ, 1 Cor. i. 18), to you is not (present, inasmuch as the character of the doctrine was present and abiding. The pres. has been altered in ree. to the easier εγένετο) yea and nay (i. e. inconsistent with itself). Confirmation of the last verse, by affirming the same of the great Subject of that doctrine, as set before them by l'aul and his colleagues. χριστός, personal—not for 'doctrina de Christo'—HE HIMSELF is the centre and substance of all Christian preaching: see 1 Cor. i. 23, and note at ii. 2. ὁ τοῦ θεοῦ υίός is prefixed for solemnity, and to shew how unlikely fickleness or change is in Christ, being such as He is. Cf. 1 Sam. xv. 29, 'the Strength of Israel will not lie nor repent.'

Σιλουανοῦ] so 1 Pet. v. 1½; = Silas, see Acts xviii. 5 and al. He names his companions, as shewing that neither was he inconsistent with himself, nor were they inconsistent with one nnother. The Christ was the sune, whether preached by different persons or by one person at different times. ἀλλὰ νὰ ἐν αὐτ. véy. l 'Christus prædicatus, i. e. prædivéy. l' 'Christus prædicatus, i. e. prædiverse suns prædiver

eatio nostra de Christo, facta est næ in *Ipso Christo*.' Bengel. This seems to me far better than with De Wette, al., to make val the subject, and yéyover predicatory. The absence of the art. before val, as well as the sense, stamps it as the predicate. 'Christ preached as the Son of God by us, has become yea in Him,' i. e. has been affirmed and substantiated as verity by the agency of the Lord Him-20. σσαι γάρ . . . is an independent relative clause, as in ref., -not the subject answering to έν αὐτῷ τὸ ναί as a predicate, as E. V .: - For how many soever be the promises of God, in Him is the yea (the affirmation and fulfilment of them all); wherefore also through Him is the Amen, for glory to God by our (the Apostles') means. This reading, which has the stronger external authority, may have arisen from an idea that the clause had reference to the Amen uttered at the end of prayers. So Theodoret, οὖ δὴ χάριν καὶ δι᾽ αὐτοῦ τὸν τῆς εὐχαριστίας αὐτῷ προςφέρομεν ὕμνον, from which comment De Wette thinks the reading has sprung. The apparent objection to it is, that then ἡμῶν must mean ἡμῶν καὶ ὑμῶν, which without notice it perhaps could hardly do. In the next verse, when such is about to be its meaning, we have first ήμας σύν ύμιν, and then in ver. 22, ἡμᾶς . . . ἡμῶν in the general sense: but here, without any such preparatory notice, δι' ἡμῶν must signify 'by means of us Apostles,' 'by our work in the Lord.' Thus ἀμήν will be merely a strengthening of val-the affirmation and completion of God's promises.

21 ὁ δὲ † βεβαιῶν ἡμᾶς σὺν ὑμῖν εἰς χριστὸν καὶ μχρίσας † Rom. xv. 8 τeff. γρίας θεός, 2^2 ὁ καὶ $^{\vee}$ σφραγισάμενος ἡμᾶς καὶ $^{\vee}$ δοὺς ματον τον $^{\vee}$ ἀρραβῶνα τοῦ πνεύματος $^{\vee}$ ἐν ταῖς καρδίαις ἡμῶν. 23 Έγω δὲ $^{\vee}$ μάρτυρα τὸν θεὸν $^{\vee}$ ἐπικαλοῦμαι $^{\wedge}$ ἐπὶ τὴν εἰνην ψυχήν, ὅτι $^{\vee}$ φειδίμενος ὑμῶν οὐκέτι ῆλθον εἰς Κό- $^{\vee}$ τeff. Εσεκ. ἀλλὰ $^{\circ}$ συνεργοί ἐσμεν τῆς χαρᾶς ὑμῶν τῆς πίστεως, xuxi, Ερλιία λλὰ $^{\circ}$ συνεργοί ἐσμεν τῆς χαρᾶς ὑμῶν τῆς πίστεως, xuxi, Ερλιία λλὰ $^{\circ}$ συνεργοί ἐσμεν τῆς χαρᾶς ὑμῶν τῆς $^{\vee}$ τοῦτο $^{\vee}$ τοῦτος $^{\vee}$ Τὶ $^{\vee}$ τοῦτος $^{\vee}$ επεσεριές τοῦτος $^{\vee}$ τοῦτος $^{\vee}$ τοῦτος $^{\vee}$ τοῦτος $^{\vee}$ τοῦτος $^{\vee}$ επεσεριές της είνεις είνεις $^{\vee}$ τοῦτος $^{\vee}$ τοῦτος $^{\vee}$ τοῦτος $^{\vee}$ τοῦτος $^{\vee}$ τοῦτος $^{\vee}$ τοῦτος $^{\vee}$ επεσεριές $^{\vee}$ τοῦτος $^{\vee}$ τοῦτος

z = nere only.

b Rom. xi. 21 reff.
d Rom. vi. 9, 14 reff.
g = Rom. v. 2, 1 Cor. xv. 1.
i dat., Rom. xiv. 7
lart, Rom. xiv. 13 al.

21. υμας συν ημιν C a d ο: υμας συν υμιν B 115: nos nobiscum F-lat, so also b¹.
ins σ bef και χρισας D¹.

22. om δ AC'KN¹ a e m o 17 Syr copt goth Ps-Just Did Chr Damase: και bef δ F tol demid. αραβωνα FLN m.

23. for ouketi, ouk F latt Syr copt Ambrst.

24. της πιστεως bef υμων DF a latt Ambret Aug.

21, 22. construction as in ch. v. 5, which in 21.] ὁ δὲ form is remarkably similar; βεβ. . . . ήμας is the (prefixed) predicate, and θεός the subject. βεβ. είς χριστόν = βεβ. τη πίστει είς χριστόν, confirmeth us (in believing) on Christ. χρίσας ήμας, after ήμ. σὺν ὑμῖν and the καί, cannot refer (as Meyer, al.) to any anointing of the Apostles only, but must be taken, as Chrys., al., of all, Apostles and Corinthians. - όμοῦ προφήτας κ. ἱερεῖς κ. βασιλεῖς ἐργαζόμενος ταῦτα γὰρ τὸ παλαιὸν ἐχρί-ετο τὰ γένη. Chrys. See 1 John ii. 20. "Observe the connexion of xpioros and χρίσας." Stanley. 22. σφραγ. again cannot refer to the Apostles alone, nor is ref. John any ground for such a reference,—but as in the other N. T. ref., to all,-sealed by the Holy Spirit to the day of redemption. καὶ δούς . . .] ' And assured us of the fact of that sealing: see Rom. viii. 16. τ. ἀρρ. τ. πν.] the pledge or token of the Spirit: genitive of apposition: the Spirit is the token. ἀρρ., πρόδομα, Hesych.:-ή ἐπὶ ταῖς ἀναῖς παρὰ των ωνουμένων διδομένη προκαταβολή ύπερ ἀσφαλείας, Etymol. in Wetst., where see examples. "It is remarkable that the same word יֵרֶבוֹן, is used in the same sense in Gen. xxxviii. 17, 18, from בניב to 'mix' or 'exchange,' and thence to 'pledge,' as Jer. xxx. 21; Neh. v. 3. It was therefore probably derived by the Greeks from the language of Phænician traders, as 'tariff,' 'cargo,' are derived, in English and other modern languages, from Spanish traders." Stanley. 23, 24. His reason for not coming to them.

23. ἐπὶ.... ψυχ.] against my soul,—
'cum maximo meo malo, si fallo.' Grot.
φειδόμενος ὑμ.] sparing you,—out

of a feeling of compassion for you. οὐκέτι, 'no more,' viz. after the first time: see Prolegg. to 1 Cor. § v. 6. The following οὐχ ὅτι κυρ. seems to be added to remove any false inference which might have been drawn from φειδόμενος as seeming to assert an unreasonable degree of power over them. But why ὑμῶν τῆς πίστεως? He had power over them, but it was in matters of discipline, not of faith: over matters of faith not even an Apostle has power ('fides enim prorsus ab hominum jugo soluta liberrimaque esse debet.' Calv.), seeing it is in each man's faith that he stands before God. And he puts this strongly, that in matters of faith he is only a fellow-helper of their joy (the χαρά εν τῷ πιστεύειν, Rom. xv. 13), in order to shew them the real department of his apostolic power, and that, however exercised, it would not attempt to rule their faith, but only to secure to them, by purifying them, joy in believing. He proceeds to say, that it was the probable disturbance of this joy, which induced him to forego his visit. τη πίστει, dat. of the state or condition in which: cf. Rom. xi. 20. So Polyb. xxi. 9. 3, ξστη τή διανοία.

Chap. II. 1—4.] Further expeanation on the reason of the postponement of his visit. 1.] $\delta \epsilon$ is merely transitional, and does not imply any contrast with what has preceded. $\epsilon \mu \alpha \nu \sigma \phi$ $\epsilon \mu \alpha \nu \sigma \phi$ (as most Commentators

xix. κοπ. ύμας, ο καί τις ο ρευφραίνων με εί μη ο η λυπούμενος ο εξ clefg $\frac{Main. xiv.}{2^{2}}$. Run: ὑμᾶς, ο καί τις ο ρευφοαίνων με εί μὴ ο η λυπούμενος ο εξ cde $\frac{1}{6}$ ki in net, ver. $\frac{1}{6}$ (bis), ch. τί, εμοῦ; $\frac{3}{6}$ καὶ ἔγραψα $\frac{1}{6}$ τοῦτο $\frac{1}{6}$ αὐτό, ἵνα μὴ ελθών $\frac{5}{6}$ λύπην $\frac{1}{6}$ 10 (bis), ch. $\frac{1}{6}$ $\frac{1}{6}$ Eph. s σχω τάφ' ων "έδει με χαίρειν, "πεποιθώς έπὶ πάντας

 $\chi_{\rm const.}^{\rm const.}$ δχω ${}^{\rm const.}$ ${}^{\rm const.}$

CHAP. II. 1. for δε, τε D1: γαρ in 17. rec $\epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu$ bef $\epsilon \nu \lambda \nu \pi \eta$ (with none of our mss): ελθειν bef προς υμας DF latt Syr goth Chr Thl: txt ABCKLN rel syr Thdrt Damase Œc.

2. $\lceil \epsilon \rceil$ in $\epsilon \iota$ is written over the line, and o inserted before $\lambda \nu \pi \omega$ but erased, by \aleph^1 . ree aft και τις ins εστω, with DFKLN3 rel latt Orig Chr Thdrt: om ABCN1

3. rec aft εγραψα ins υμιν, with C3DFKLN3 rel latt syrr goth Chr Thdrt Pelag: om ABC'N1 17 am copt arm Damase Ambrst. αυτο bef τουτο C Chr Thl: om αυτο A τουτο αυτο bef εγραψα DF latt Pelag Bede. copt Damase : txt BDFKLN rel.

aft λυπην ins επι λυπην (see Phil ii. 27) DF a latt syr-w-ast Pelag Bede. rec (for σχω) εχω, with CDFKLκ3 rel Thdrt Damasc: txt ABκ1 a d 17 Chr Thl Œc-

comm. (See var read, Phil ii. 27.) for αφ', εφ' de F.

and E. V.), but 'dat. commodi,' for my own sake, as is evident by the consideration in the next verse. τοῦτο refers to what follows: see reff. τὸ μὴ πάλιν έν λύπη πρὸς ὑμᾶς ἐλθεῖν] not again to come to you in grief. This is the only fair rendering of the words; implying, that some former visit had been in grief. Clearly the first visit Acts xviii. 1 ff., could not be thus described: we must therefore infer, that an intermediate unrecorded visit had been paid by him. On this subject, compare ch. xii. 11; xiii. 1 and notes: and see Prolegg. to 1 Cor. § v. ἐν λύπη] is explained in vv. 2, 3 to mean (so Estins, Bengel, Rückert, Olsh., De Wette, al.) in mutual grief: 'I grieving you (ver. 2), and you grieving me ' (ver. 3): not, as Chrys., al., Paul's grief alone, nor, as Meyer, al., grief inflicted on them by Paul. reason why I would not come to you in grief: because I should have to grieve those who formed my proper material for thankfulness and joy. $\epsilon \gamma \omega$ has a peculiar emphasis: 'If I cause you grief'... implying, 'there are who cause you sufficient.'

καί prefixed to a question denotes inconsequence on, or inconsistency with, the foregoing supposition or affirmation: so Eur. Med. 1388, ὧ τέκνα φίλτατα! "μητρί γε, σοὶ δ' οδ." κάπειτ' ἔκτας; see other examples in Hartung, Partikellehre, i. p. 147. It is best expressed in English by 'then :' who is he then, &c. as in E. V. The explanation of Chrys., who has been followed by Erasm., Bengel, Olsh., al., is curious, and certainly inconsistent with the context: εὶ καὶ λυπῶ ὑμᾶς, χάριν μοι παρέχετε κάν τούτω μεγίστην,

ότι δάκνεσθε ύπο των παρ' έμου λεγομένων. Some of these Commentators refer the singular to the offender, vv. 5-8. But however the words may bear the meaning, and however true the saying might be, it is pretty clear that it would be beside the subject : nay, would give a reason the other way,-why he should come to them.

3.] ἔγραψα τοῦτο αὐτό, I put in writing this same thing, viz. the τοῦτο which I έκρινα, ver. 1: the announcement of my change of purpose in 1 Cor. xvi. 7, which had occasioned the charge of fickleness against him. The theories of Commentators have given rise to various interpretations of τοῦτο αὐτό: Chrys. understands, ch. xii. 21 of this same Epistle :- Beza, Meyer, al., my blame of you in the first Epistle: -- so Estius, especially 1 Cor. iv. 19, 21 :- Bleek supposes a lost Epistle to be referred to: De Wette wavers, but is disposed with Erasm., Rückert, al., to render abyð τ obro 'on this account,' as Plat., Protag. p. 310, å $\lambda\lambda$ ' abyð τ abra kal τ bu $\eta_{\kappa\omega}$: but Meyer rejoins, that this idiom is foreign to the style of Paul. 1 imagine that two meanings are open to us: (1) as above, the announcement which caused the charge of fickleness: (2) the reproaches in the 1st Epistle which grieved them. Of these, specious as is the latter on account of the following context, I prefer the former because of the $\tau o \hat{v} \tau o$ in ver. 1. ων, ellipt. for ἀπὸ τούτων, ἀφ' ὧν, see reff.

πεποιθώς] having trust in (reposing trust on) you all, that my joy is (the pres, expressing the purport of the trust when felt) that of all of you: i. e. trusting that you too would feel that there was sufficient reason for the postponement if it

ύμας ὅτι ἡ ἐμὴ χαρὰ πάντων ὑμῶν ἐστιν. 4 w ἑκ γὰρ το 5 τεί. 5 τεί

4. wa grate bef the agaphe F 1. for eis, pros F. 5. [all a, so ABCLN rel.] wa mh epibarap F.

6. om η υπο των πλειονων F æth. (not F-lat.)

interfered with our mutual joy. Meyer well observes, that πάντας ὑμᾶς, in spite of the existence of an anti-pauline faction in the Corinthian church, is a true example of the love which πάντα πιστεύει, πάντα έλπίζει, 1 Cor. xiii. 7. 4.] Explanation (γάρ) that he did not write in levity of purpose, but under great trouble of mind, -not to grieve them, but to testify his love. έκ, of the inducement - διά, of the condition: he wrote, out of much tribulation (inward, of spirit, not outward) and anguish (συνοχή, 'angustiæ') of heart, with (q. 'through,'-the state being the vehicle of the action, see reff.) many tears.

τ. ἀγάπην, before the conjunction ἴνα, for special emphasis: see reft. περισσοτέρως - 'than to other churches (?)'—so Chrys. (referring to 1 Cor. iv. 15; ix. 2). Theophyl: Estius thinks, the comparative is not to be pressed, but understood as in

ver. 7,—' exceedingly.'

5-11. DIGRESSIVE REFERENCE TO THE CASE OF THE INCESTUOUS PERSON, WHOM THE APOSTLE ORDERS NOW TO BE FORGIVEN, AND REINSTATED. From the $\lambda \dot{\nu} \pi \eta$ of the former verses, to him who was one of the principal occasions of that grief, the transition is easy. 5.] & \(\), transitional. Now if any one hath occasioned sorrow (a delicate way of pointing out the one who had occasioned it), he hath grieved, not me (not,- 'not only me,' which destroys the meaning,—'I am not the aggrieved person, but you'), but, more or less ('partially ?' ref.), that I be not too heavy on him (refers to ἀπὸ μέρους, which qualifies the blame cast on the offender), all of you. The above punctuation and rendering is adopted by Chrys. (Γνα μ') βαρήσω ἐκεῖνον τὸν πορνεύσαντα), Beza, Calvin (but not in his text), al., with Meyer, De Wette. But Theodoret, Vulg., Luther, Bengel, Wetst, al., join

ἐπιβαρῶ πάντας ύμ., thus: 'he hath not grieved me (alone and principally) but only in part (having grieved you also), that I may not lay the fault on all of you,' which I should in this case do, by making myself the only person aggrieved, and classing you with the offender. But this can hardly be; ἀλλά must be εἰ μή. Another way is adopted by Mosheim, Billroth, and Olsh., - to join πάντας with Ινα μη έπιβ., - but in part, -that I burden not all, - you :'-έπιβαρῶ being variously understood, either (1) of including you in the blame of the offender, or (2) as Olsh., of extending to them all the burden of this sorrow; -he supposes it to be ironically spoken ;-their highest praise would have been that all had been troubled. But as Meyer remarks, irony is entirely out of place in this part of the Epistle. The meanings are well discussed in Stanley. ίκανόν, sc. either ἐστιν or ἔστω. τοιούτω | Meyer remarks on the expression as being used in mildness, not to designate any particular person: but the same designation is employed in 1 Cor. v. 5, παραδοῦναι τὸν τοιοῦτον τῷ σατανῷ.

ή ἐπιτ. αἴτη This punishment (= ἐπιτίμιον, see reff.): what it was, we are unable with certainty to say; but I Cor. v. seems to point to excommunication as forming at least a part of it. But it was not a formal and public, only a voluntary individual abstinence from communion with him, as is shewn by ὑπὸ τῶν πλειόνων: the anti-pauline party probably refusing compliance with the Apostle's command.

that would be the case, but in magnitude: sufficient, as having produced its desired effect, penitence.

7.] so that (consequence) on the contrary you (should) [rather (than continue the punishment)] forgive and comfort him, &c.

 $\frac{m-\text{Luke vil.}}{43,44,\text{ch.}}$ τίον $\left[\mu\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda o\nu\right]$ ύμας $\frac{m}{2}$ χαρίσασθαι καὶ $\frac{n}{2}$ παρακαλέσαι, ABCDF $\frac{33,44,\text{ch.}}{43,44,\text{ch.}}$ $\frac{n}{2}$ $\frac{n}{2$ τούτο γάρ και έγραψα, ίνα γνω την δοκιμην ύμων, εί o 1 Cor. ix. 27 ν είς πάντα Ψυπήκοοί έστε. 10 ῷ δέ τι ™ χαρίζεσθε, κάγώ· reft. p = Mark xii. 40 | L. 1 Cor. xii. 23 †. Dan, iv. 33 καὶ γάρ έγω ο * κεχάρισμαι, εί τι * κεχάρισμαι, δι' ύμας, γ εν γ προςώπω χριστού, 11 ίνα μη ε πλεονεκτηθώμεν ύπὸ τοῦ σατανα οὐ γὰρ αὐτοῦ τὰ ενοήματα ε ἀγνοοῦμεν. reff. ren. = w. inf., Rom. xii. 1 al. 2 Macc. iv. 34.

s Cal. iii. 15 only. Cen. xxiii. 20. Levit. xxv. 30 only.

a Rom. v. 4 reff. v = ch. viii. 23. ix. 8. Gal. v. 10 al. w Acts vii. 39 reff. x act.

signif., Acts xxvii. 24. Gal. iii 18. v 2 Mace. iii. 33. y ch. iv. 6. Prov. viii. 30, see note. z ch.

vii. 2. xii. 17, 18. 1 Thess. iv. 6 only. P. Ezck. xxii. 27.

only. P. Baruch ii. 5 only. P. Bark. xxii. 27.

a ch. iii. 14. iv. 4. x. 5. xi. 3. Phil. iv. 7

om μαλλον AB Syr Aug Thdrt_{h.l.} Damase Thl Œe Ambrst: ins CKLN rel syr copt Chr, and aft υμας DF goth Thdrt.
 aft εγραψα ins υμιν F 31 copt Chr Thdrt Pelag Bede (υμων[sic] vobis F and G).

ins παντων bef υμων F. (not F-lat.) for $\epsilon\iota$, η ($\tilde{\eta}$?) AB.

10. rec και εγω, with C'FKLN3a rel Thdrt: txt ABC2DN a m 17 Epiph Chr Damasc. ree ει τι κεχαρ. Εν κεχαρ, with DKL rel syr Thdrt Thl Œc: txt ABCFN latt Damase Jer, Ambret Pelag Pac, -om & D1 wth-pl: \omega D3 m g2(perhaps).

Meyer denics that $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ should be supplied, and makes wste depend immediately on iκανόν,- 'enough, for you to forgive and console him.' τη περισσοτέρα λύπη] not, as E. V., 'by overmuch sorrow:' but (as Meyer), by the increase of sorrow which will come on the continuance of his καταποθή does not set punishment. any definite result of the excessive sorrow before them, such as apostasy or suicide, but leaves them to imagine such possible.

8.] κυρώσαι, hardly (as usually understood) to ratify by a public decree of the church: if (see above) his exclusion was not by such a decree, but only by the abstinence of individuals from his society, the ratifying their love to him would consist in the majority making it evident to him that he was again recognized as a bro-9. Reason why they should now be ready to shew love to him again,-the end of Paul's writing to them having been accomplished by their obeying his order. For to this end I also wrote: the kai signifying that my former epistle, as well as my present exhortation, tended to this, viz. the testing your obedience. Meyer (ed. 2) explains the kal as implying that other orders to the same effect were sent by word of mouth. He alludes beyond doubt to the former Epistle, ch. v. Yet the uncient Commentators, Chrys., &c., and Erasm., Wolf, Bengel, al. (not Olsh., as De Wette says), interpret it of this Epistle: which certainly is grammatically allowable (see 1 Cor. v. 9, note), but opposed to the context (see vv. 3, 4, besides the manifest sense here, that the object of his writing had been accomplished). That I might know the proof

of you, whether in all things (emphatic) ye are obedient. This was that one among the various objects of his first Epistle, which belonged to the matter at present in hand, and which he therefore puts forward : not by any means implying that he had no other view in writing it. 10.] Another assurance to encourage them in forgiving and reinstating the penitent; -that they need not be afraid of lack of apostolic authority or confirmation of their act from above - he would ratify their forgiveness by his ψ δè . . .] ' Four forgiveness is mine :' not said generally (as Meyer), but definitely, pointing at the one person here spoken of and no other. κάγώ, scil. xapi(oual. Then he substantiates this assurance, by further assuring them, that his forgiveness of any fault in this case, if it takes place, takes place on their account. Meyer's (and Rückert's) rendering of Keχάρισμαι as passive, disturbs the whole sense of the passage, besides being incon-sistent with the N. T. nsage of the word, see reff. ἐν προςώπω χριστοῦ] either 'in the presence of Christ,' as in ref. Prov. (compare Matt. xxi. 42),—so Theodoret, Erasm., Beza, Calv., Olsh., De W.,—or, and far better, in the person of Christ, acting as Christ, in the same way as he had commanded the punishment, $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ orduat: $\tau e \hat{\nu} \kappa \nu \rho lov \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma \hat{v}$, 1 Cor. v. 4: so Vulg., Estius (who argues the matter at some length), Wetst., al.

11. Γνα μη ...] follows out the δι' ύμας -to prevent Satan getting any advantage over us (the Church generally: or better, us Apostles), in robbing us of some of our people,-viz. in causing the peni-

12. δια το ευαγγελίου F Damase: δια του ευαγγελίου D: propter evangelium latt.

και θυρα μοι ην εωγμενη F. 13. for τω, του \aleph^1 : εν τω D 17. ευρισκειν D^1 .

tent offender to despair and fall away from the faith. Chrys. remarks: πλεοεξίαν εἰκότως ἐκάλεσες, ὅταν καὶ διὰ τῶν ἡμετέρων κρατῆ. τὸ γὰρ δι' ἀμαρτίας λαμβάνειν, τδιον αὐτῷ ἐστι· τὸ μέντοι διὰ μετανοίας, οὐκέτι· ἡμέτερον γάρ, οὐκ ἐκείνου, τὸ ὅπλον. The word has yet autother propriety: the offender was to be delivered over τῷ σατανῷ εἰς ὅλεθρον τῆς σαρκός – care must be taken lest we πλεονεκτηθῶμεν τῶν τοῦ σ., and his soul perish likevise.

care must be taken as sont perish likewise.

où γὰρ...] aὐτοῦ before τὰ νοήμfor emphasis:—such devices, as coming
from him, are special matters of observation and caution to every Christian minister; much more to him who had the earof all the churches. See I Pet. v. 8.
The personality and agency of the Adver-

sary can hardly be recognized in plainer terms than in both these passages.

12-17.] HE PROCEEDS (after the digression) TO SHEW THEM WITH WHAT ANXIETY HE AWAITED THE INTELLIGENCE FROM CORINTH, AND HOW THANKFUL HE WAS FOR THE SEAL OF HIS APOSTOLIC MINISTRY FURNISHED BY IT. The only legitimate connexion is that with vv. 1-4.

δέ serves to resume the main subject after parenthetical matter: so Herod. viii. 67,- ἐπεὶ ὧν ἀπίκατο ἐς τὰς ᾿Αθήνας πάντες οὖτοι πλὴν Παρίων Παρίοι δὲ ὑπολειφθέντες ἐν Κύθνω ἐκαραδόκεον τὸν πόλεμον κη ἀποβήσεται οί δὲ λοιποὶ ώς ἀπίκοντο ἐς τὸ Φάληρον, κ.τ.λ. See Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 174. 12.] To Troas, viz. on his journey from Ephesus, Acts xx. 1, 2; 1 Cor. xvi. 5—9. "The art. perhaps indicates the region of 'the Troad, rather than the city." Stanley. εἰς τὸ εὐαγγ. τ. χρ.] for (the purpose of preaching) the Gospel of Christ. He had been before at Troas, but the vision of a Macedonian asking for help prevented his remaining there. He now revisited it, purposely to stay and preach. On his return to Asia he remained there seven days, Acts καὶ θύρας . . .] and an xx. 6-12. opportunity of apostolic action being afforded me: ἐν κυρίω defines the sort of action implied, and to which the door was opened. It is remarkable that in speaking of this journey, though not of the same place, Paul uses this expression, 1 Cor. xvi. 9. Compare the interesting passage at Troas on his return from Europe the next spring, Acts xx. 6–13. 13. ἔσχηκα άνεσυν perf. in the sense of aorist, as ch. i. 9. I had not rest for my spirit (not, 'in my spirit',' compare οὐχ εὐροῖσα ἡ περιστερὰ ἀνάπανσυν τοῦς ποοὶν αὐτῆς, Gen. viii. 9). He could not with any tranquillity prosecute the spiritual duties opened to him at Troas. τῷ μὴ εὑρ.] by (reason of) my not finding: see reff. Paul had sent Titus to Corinth, ch. xii.

Paul had sent Titus to Corinth, ch. xii. 18, partly to fuish the collection for the saints, but principally to bring intelligence respecting the effect of the first Epistle. Probably it had been fixed that they should meet at Troas. \(\tau. \times \tilde{\text{the Not}} \) wo implies a relation closer than merely that of Christian brotherhood—my colleague in the Apostleship. \(\tilde{\text{a}}\tilde{\text{a}}\tilde{\text{the I}}\) the disciples there: understood from the context.

14-17.] Omitting, as presupposed, the fact of his having met with Titus in Macedonia, and the nature of the intelligence which he brought,—he grounds on these a thanksgiving for that intelligence, and a magnification of his apostolic office. It is evidently beside the purpose to refer this thanksgiving to the diffusion of the gospel in Macedonia (as Flatt), or in Troas (as Emmerling), or to general considerations (as Bengel) :- both the context, and the language itself (see below), shew that its reference is to the effects of the apostolic reproof on the Corinthians. 14. θριαμβεύοντι] leading us in triumph, see ref. Two kinds of persons were led in triumph: the participators of the victory, and the victims of the defeat. In Col. the latter are plainly meant; here, according to many Commentators (Calv., Elsner, Bengel, De Wette, al.), the former: which however is never elsewhere the reference of the word,

14. $\lceil s \text{ of } \tau \eta s \text{ is written over the line by } \aleph^1 \text{ or-corr}^1. \rceil$

but it always implies triumphare de aliquo. Wetst. quotes this sense, βασιλείς έθριάμβευσε, Plut. Rom. p. 38 D, and in four other places :- and the Scholiast to Hor. Od. i. 37. 31, who relates of Cleopatra, "invidens Privata deduci superbo Non humilis mulier triumpho," that she refused the terms offered her by Augustus, saying, οὐ θριαμ-Βευθήσομαι. Meyer in consequence understands it in this sense here: who ever triumphs over us, i. e. 'who ceases not to exhibit us, His former foes, as overcome by Him:'-and adds in a note, "Remark the emphatic πάντοτε, prefixed, to which the similarly emphatic $\ell \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \ell \tau \delta \pi \varphi$, at the end, corresponds. God began His triumph over the hueis at their conversion; - over Paul, at Damascus, where he made him a servant, from being an enemy. This triumph he ever continues, not ceasing to exhibit before the world these His former foes, by the results of their present service, as overcome by Him. This, in the case before us, was effected by Paul, in that (as Titus brought him word to Macedonia) his Epistle had produced such good results in Corinth." And I own that this, notwithstanding that De W. objects to it as a strange way of expressing thankfulness for deliverance from our anxiety (but is it so to those who look beneath the surface? In our spiritual course, our only true triumphs are, God's triumphs over us. His defeats of us, are our only real victories), yet appears to me to be the only admissible rendering. We must not violate the known usage of a word, and invent another for which there is no precedent, merely for the sake of imagined perspicuity. Such is that of 'to make to triumph' (Beza, Estius, Grot., al.):—μαθητεύειν, Matt. xxviii. 19, and βασιλεύειν, 1 Kings viii. 22, are not cuses in point, their sense being, to 'make a disciple,' 'to make a king,'-whereas that required for θριαμβεύειν, would be, 'tri-umphatorem facere.' χορεύειν, for 'to mnke to dance,' is more to the point: οὔπω καταπαύσομεν μούσας, αἴ μ' ἐχόρευσαν, Εur. Here. Fur. 688,—τάχα σ' ἐγὼ μᾶλλον χορεύσω, ib. 873 :- but the Apostle's own usage in ref. Col., in my mind, decides the question. See also the following context.

έν τῷ χρ., as usually, in our connexion with, 'as members of,' Christ:

not, 'by Christ.' την ὀσμήν The similitude is not that of a sacrifice, but still the same as before : during a triumph, sweet spices were thrown about or burnt in the streets, which were θυμιαμάτων πλήρεις, Plut. Æmil. p. 272 (cited by Dr. Burton). As the fact of the triumph, or approach of the triumphal procession, was made known by these odonrs far and wide, so God diffuses by our means, who are the materials of His triumph, the sweet odour of the knowledge of Christ (who is the Triumpher, Col. ii. 15). γνώσ.] genit. of apposition: the odour, which, in the interpretation of the figure, is the knowledge. αὐτοῦ, --- χριστοῦ, 15.] Here the procf. next verse. priety of the figure is lost, and the source of the odour identified with the Apostles themselves. For we are to God a sweet savour of Christ (gen. object., of that which was diffused by the odour, viz. the knowledge of Christ. 'Instar fragrantis enjusdam unguenti, seu florum aut herbarum, famam nominis eius, velut bonum et suavem odorem, spargimus apud omnes. Estius) among those who are being saved, and among those who are perishing $(\sigma \omega \zeta)$. and ἀπολλ., see note, 1 Cor. i. 18). κάν σώζωνταί τινες, κάν ἀπολλύωνται, τὸ εὐαγγέλιον μένει έχον την οἰκείαν ἀρετήν, κ. ήμεις μένομεν τοῦτο ὅντες ὅπερ ἐσμέν, Theophyl., mainly from Chrys., who proceeds και καθάπερ το φως, καν σκοτίζη τοὺς ἀσθενεῖς, φῶς ἐστι, καίτοι σκοτίζον· κ. τὸ μέλι, κὰν πικρὸν ἢ τοῖς νοσοῦσι, γλυκύ την φύσιν έστίν ούτω και το εύαγγέλιον εὐῶδές ἐστι, κἃν ἀπολλύωνταί τινες ἀπιστοῦντες. Hom. v.

16 a.] to the one (the latter) an odour arising from death and tending to death to the others (the former) an odour arising from life and tending to life. The odour was, Clirkit,—who to the unbelieving is Death, a mere announcement of a man crucified,—and working death by unbelief: but to the believing, Life, an announcement of His Resurrection and Life,—and working in them life eternal, by faith in Him. The double working of the Gospel is set forth in Matt. xxi. 44; Luke ii. 34; John ix. 39.

16 b.] In order to understand the connexion, we must remember that the

29. John i. 14. bch. i. 12 reff. c Rom. iv. 17 reff. c Rom. vii. 23. xv. 1. 1 Cor. xi. 31. ch. i. 9. iv. 2, 5. x. 12, 14. 1 Thess. ii. 8. ch. v. 12. x. 12, 18 only. see Rom. iii. 5 reff. d = 1 Thess. iv. 1. f (-aven)

rec om εκ (twice), with DFKL rel latt syrr Thdrt2 Cyr1 **16.** *οσμην* (twice) D. Thl Œc latt-ff: ins ABCN m 17 copt ath Clem Orig, Dial Nys. corrd) N1.

17. for πολλοι, λοιποι DFL d e f g h l n syrr Chr Thdrt: plurimi vulg(and F-lat); ceteri aut plurimi G-lat. αλλα B. om ωs F latt copt goth Iren-int lat-ff. om 2nd αλλ' F fuld(and demid) syr Iren-int. rec (for κατεναντι) κατενωπιον, with DFKL rel Bas Chr₂ Thdrt Damase: ενωπιον Ν³: txt ABCN¹ m 17 Did Chr-ms. om του bef θεου (to corresp with εκ θεου before: but the art here is significant as giving solemnity) ABCD¹N¹ m 17 Bas: ins D³FKLN³ rel Chr Thdrt Damasc.

Chap. III. 1. for suristanely, suristan BD1 17: suristanal F Thart: txt ACD2-3 KLN rel. rec (for 1st ή) ει, with AKL rel Chr Damasc: txt BCDFN1 a f m 17

purpose of vindicating his apostolic commission is in the mind of Paul, and about to be introduced by a description of the office, its requirements, and its holders. This purpose already begins to press into its service the introductory and apologetic matter, and to take every opportunity of manifesting itself. In order then to exalt the dignity and show the divine authorization of his office, he asks this question: And (see remarks at ver. 2) for (to accomplish) these things (this so manifold working in the believers and unbelievers, - this emission of the εὐωδία χριστοῦ every where), who is sufficient? He does not express the answer, but it is too evident to escape any reader,indeed it is supplied in terms by ch. iii. 5, οὺχ ὅτι ἰκανοί ἐσμεν λογίσασθαί τι ἀφ' ἐαυτῶν ὡς ἐξ ἐαυτῶν, ἀλλ' ἡ ἰκανότης ἡμῶν ἐκ τοῦ θεοῦ. Μeyer remarks that πρὸς ταῦτα is put first, in the place of emphasis, to detain the attention on its weighty import, and then tis purposely put off till the end of the question, to introduce the interrogation unexpectedly; as in Herod. v. 33,—σοι δέ κ. τούτοισι τοίσι πρήγμασι τί έστι;—Plat. Symp. p. 204, δ ἐρῶν τῶν καλῶν τί ἐρᾶ;

17.] οί πολλοί here points definitely at those false teachers, of whom he by and by, ch. x.—xii., speaks more plainly.

έσμεν . . . καπηλεύοντες] are not in the habit of adulterating (the word κάπηλος [Sir. xxvi. 29] originally signifies any kind of huckster or vender, but especially of wine, -and thence, from the frequency of adulteration of wine, καπηλεύω VOL. II.

implied to adulterate; in Isa. i. 22, we have οἱ κάπηλοί σου μίσγουσι τὸν οἶνον ὕδατι: in the Etymol. (Wetst.) κάπηλος, ο οίνοπόλης . . . ό δὲ Αΐσχυλος τὰ δόλια πάντα καλεῖ κάπηλα 'κάπηλα προφέ-ρων τεχνήματα:' in Lucian, Hermotim. 59 (ib.), ὅτι καὶ φιλόσοφοι ἀποδίδονται τὰ μαθήματα, ὥςπερ οἱ κάπηλοι, κερασάμενοί γε οἱ πολλοί, καὶ δολώσαντες, καὶ κακομετροῦντες. See many more examples in Wetst. The same is expressed ch. iv. 2, by δολοῦντες τ. λόγον τ . $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$) the word of God, but as ('ut qui') from sincerity (the subjective regard of the speakers), but as from God (the objective regard-a dependence on the divine suggestion) we speak before God (with a consciousness of His presence) in Christ (not 'in the name of Christ,' Grot., al., nor 'concerning Christ,' Beza, al.: nor 'according to Christ,' Calv.: but as usual, in Christ; as united to Him, and members of His Body, and employed in His work).

CH. III. 1-VI. 10.] BEGINNING WITH A DISOWNING OF SELF-RECOMMENDATION, THE APOSTLE PROCEEDS TO SPEAK CON-CERNING HIS APOSTOLIC OFFICE AND HIM-SELF AS THE HOLDER OF IT, HIS FEEL-INGS, SUFFERINGS, AND HOPES, PARTLY WITH REGARD TO HIS CONNEXION WITH THE CORINTHIANS, BUT FOR THE MOST PART IN GENERAL TERMS. 1-3.] He disclaims a spirit of self-recommenda-1.] apx., are we beginning? πάλιν, alluding to a charge probably made against him of having done this in his former epistle: perhaps in its opening sec-

μὴ 8 χρήζομεν 6 ς h τινες 1 συστατικ 6 ν έπιστολ 6 ν προς ABCDF 6 νμ 6 ς, 6 έξ 4 νμ 6 ν; 2 ή έπιστολ 4 η ήμ 6 ν 4 νμες έστε, cd 6 κεν έγγεγραμμένη έν ταῖς καρδίαις ἡμ 6 ν, 1 γινωσκομένη καὶ no17 reff.

h = 1 Cor. iv.

18 reff.

i here only †. there on the state of the stat

1 Alect Aid. 40 only. 1 Acts viii. 28, 80 (reff.). m Rom. i. 19 reff. n John iii. 21. h ὅτι ἐστὲ ἐπιστολή χριστοῦ οδιακονηθείσα ὑφ΄ ἡμιῶν, k έγγεγραμμένη ου μέλανι, άλλά πνεύματι θεού ζωντος, ουκ έν τ πλαξίν * λιθίναις, άλλ' έν τ πλαξίν καρδίαις τ σαρ-1 John in .21. ΟυΚ Εν πΛαζιν Λίθιναις, αλλ εν 1 John ii .18. ο pass, ch. viii. 19, 20. act., 2 Tim. i. 18. 1 Pet. i. 12. iv. 10. q Acts xiv. 15 note. rhere bis. Heb. ix. 4 only. Exod. i. xxxi. 18. tRom. vii. 14 ref. p = 2 John 12. 3 John 13 only t. s John ii. 6. Rev. ix. 20

vss Thdrt lat-ff. for ωs , $\omega s \pi \epsilon \rho$ AD1 m. rec at end adds συστατικών, with DKL rel syrr goth Thdrt-ms Damasc; συστατικών επιστολών F, the words commendaticiis epistolis are written over the greek in F (as also in G, the latin being there always so written): om ABCN 17 vulg(and F-lat) copt arm Chr Thdrt(exc ms,) Ambrst. (συν-DF: -στατικας D1.)

2. for παντων, των F. (omnibus vulg with F-lat.)

3. ins και bef εγγεγραμμενη B a² 67². 74 vulg arm Jer Pelag Bede. ree καρδιας (see note), with FK rel latt Syr copt goth Orig Dial Eus Chr Cyr2 Thdrt Damasc Irenint Hil: txt ABCDLN rel syr Œc.

tion, and in some passages of 1 Cor. v., ix.

and xiv. 18; xv. 10 al.: see our ch. x. 18. $\hat{\eta}$ $\mu\hat{\eta}$ $\chi\rho$.] Or do we want (the $\mu\hat{\eta}$ gives an ironical turn to the question, which is more strongly expressed in the ree. reading εί μή, - unless it be thought, that') as some (so Tives, 1 Cor. iv. 18; xv. 12; Gal. i. 7, of the teachers who opposed him. Probably these persons had come recommended to them, by whom does not appear, whether by churches or Apostles, but most likely by the former (ἐξ ύμῶν), and on their departure requested similar recommendations from the Corinthian church to others), letters of recommendation to you $(\xi \pi \iota \sigma \tau. \sigma \upsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \alpha l)$ are fully illustrated by Suicer, Thes. in voc. Among other passages he cites the 13th canon of υπιετ passages ne ettes the 13th canon of the council of Chalecdon: ξένους κλη-ρικούς και ἀγνώστους ἐν ἐτέρα πόλε; δίχα συστατικών γραμμάτων τοῦ ίδίου ἐπισκόπου μηδὲ δλως μηδαμού λειτουρ-γείν; and Ερίετ, celxxi. [al. xi.] of Basil, vol. in a 417 which best this irvol. iv. p. 417, which has this inscription: Εὐσεβίφ έταίρφ συστατική ἐπὶ Κυριακῷ πρεσβυτέρω, "Eusebio sodali commendatitia Cyriaci presbyteri") or from you? The rec. συστατικών at the end, as well as συστ. ἐπιστολών, have probably been glosses, inserted (the ancient MSS, having no stops) to prevent $\hat{\epsilon}\xi$ $\hat{\nu}\mu$. being taken with $\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\epsilon}\pi \iota \sigma \tau$. following. 2.] Ye are our epistle (of commendation), written on our hearts (not borne in our hands to be shewn, but engraven, in the consciousness of our work among you, on our licarts. There hardly can be any allusion, as Olsh. thinks, to the twelve jewels engraven with the names of the tribes and borne on the breast-plate of the High Priest, Exod.

xxviii. 21. The plural scems to be used, as so often in this Epistle, -see e.g. ch. vii. 3, 5,-of Paul himself only), known and read (a play on yiv. and avayiv., as at ch. i. 13) by all men (because all men are aware, what issue my work among you has had, and receive me the more favourably on account of it. But 'all men' includes the Corinthians themselves; his success among them was his letter of recommendation to them as well as to others from them), 3.] manifested to be (that ye are) an epistle of Christ (i. e. written by Christ,-not, as Chrys. al., concerning Christ:- He is the Recommender of us, the Head of the church and Sender of us His ministers) which was ministered (aor.) by us (i. e. carried about, served in the way of ministration by us as tabellarii,—not, as Meyer and De W. and al., written by us as amanuenses: see below), having been inscribed, not with ink, but with the Spirit of the living God (so the tables of the law were γεγραμμέναι τῷ δακτύλφ τοῦ θεοῦ, Exod. xxxi. 18), not on stone tables (as the old law, ib.), but on (your) hearts, (which are) tables of flesh (Meyer calls the reading καρδίαις a mistake of the pen. But surely internal as well as external evidence is strong in its favour, the correction to kapδίας being so obvious to those who found the construction harsh). The apparent change in the figure in this verse requires explanation. The Corinthians are his Epistle of recommendation, both to themselves and others; an Epistle, written by Christ, ministered by Paul; the Epistle itself being now the subject, viz. the Corinthians, themselves the writing of Christ, inscribed, not

κίναις. 4 " 11 Εποίθησιν δὲ τοιαύτην ἔχομεν διὰ τοῦ 12 τομα, 13 Το 12 τομαν, 13 χριστοῦ 12 πρὸς τὸν θεόν 5 12 οὐχ 12 ὅτι 12 ἱκανοί ἐσμεν 12 κις 13 λογίσασθαί τι 12 ἀφ 12 ἐαυτῶν ως 12 ἐξ 12 ἐαυτῶν, ἀλλ 12 12 είπι, 13 είπι κινότης ἡμῶν 12 ἐκ τοῦ θεοῦ, 6 δς καὶ 12 ἱκάνωσεν ἡμᾶς 12 12 και 13 12 είπι διακόνους 12 και 13 12 διαθήκης, οὐ 13 γράμματος ἀλλὰ 12 είπι διακόνους 13 και 13

a lst pers., ver. l.

e = Eph. iii. 7. Col. i. 23 al.

f | Cor. xi. 25 | . do. | . do

4. for εχομεν, εχω Α.

5. λογιζεσθαι CDF 1 n. rec αφ' εαυτων bef λογισασθαι τι, with KL rel syr Did Chr Thdrt Damase: bef ικανοι εσμεν BCN copt arm Bas Antch: bef εσμεν m (attempts to connect ικανοι and αφ εαυτων): om 17. 139 Syr Aug.: txt ADF latt goth lat-fi. om τ B. om ως C. for 2nd εαυτων, αυτων BF.

6. rec αποκτείνει, with B b d Orig: αποκτενεί ACDL rel Orig-ms (αποκτένει D3L):

txt FKN e f l m2 17 Did Chr-2-mss.

on tables of stone, but on hearts, tables of flesh. The Epistle itself, written and worn on Paul's heart, and there known and read by all men, consisted of the Corinthian converts, on whose hearts Christ had written it by His Spirit. I bear on my heart, as a testimony to all men, that which Christ has by His Spirit written in your hearts. On the tables of stone and of flesh, see Exod. as above; Prov. iii. 3; vii. 3; Jer. xxxi. 31—34, and on the contrast, also here hinted at in the background, between the heart of stone and the heart of flesh, Ezek, xi. 19; xxxvi. 26.

4-11. His honour of his apostolic office was no personal vanity, for all the ability of the Apostles came from God, who had made them able ministers of the new covenant (4-6), a ministration infinitely more glorious than that of the old dispensation (7-11).

4.] The connexion with the foregoing is immediate: he had just spoken of his consciousness of apostolic success among them (which assertion would be true also of other churches which he had founded) being his worldwide recommendation. It is this confidence of which he here speaks. Such confidence however we possess through Christ towards God: i.e. 'it is no vain boast, but rests on power imparted to us through Christ in regard to God, in reference to God's work and our own account to be given to Him:' 5.] not that (i. e. 'I mean not, that' ...:—not, 'not because,' as Winer in his former editions: see edn. 6, § 61. 4. f) we are of ourselves able to think any thing (to carry on any of the processes of reasoning or judgment, or faith belonging to our apostolic calling: there is no ellipsis, 'any thing great,' or 'good,' or the like) of ourselves, as if

from ourselves (ἀφ' ἐαυτ. and ἐξ ἑαυτ. are parallel: the latter more definitely pointing to ourselves as the origin), -but our ability (λογίσασθαι τὰ πάντα) is from (as its source) God, 6.] Who also (= 'qui idem',' so Eur. Bacch. 572, ταῦτα καὶ καθύβρισ' αὐτόν, 'hæc eadem illi exprobravi.' See Hartung, Partikellehre, i. p. 132) hath enabled us as ministers of the (or, as Stanley, "a:" but not necessarily from the omission of the art.: cf. Heb. xii. 24, καὶ διαθήκης νέας μεσίτη Ἰησοῦ) new Covenant (i. e. the gospel, Eph. iii. 7; Col. i. 23, as distinguished from the law: see 1 Cor. xi. 25; Gal. iv. 24:—the πλάκες λίθιναι and σάρκιναι are still borne in mind, and lead on to a fuller comparison of the two covenants), -not of (governed by διακόνους, not by καιν $\hat{\eta}$ ς διαθ.— 'ministers, not of') letter (in which, viz. in formal and literal precept, the Mosaic law consisted), but of Spirit (in which, viz. in the inward guiding of the Spirit of God, the gospel consists. Bengel remarks: 'Paulus etiam dum hæc scripsit, non literæ, sed spiritus ministerium egit. Moses in proprio illo officio suo, etiam cum haud scripsit, tamen in litera versatus est'): for the letter (mere formal and literal precept, of the law) killeth (as in Rom. vii ,-brings the knowledge of sin, its guilt and its punishment. The reference is not, as Meyer, to natural death, which is the result of sin even where there is no law; nor as Chrys. to the law executing punishment), but the Spirit (of the gospel, i. e. God's Holy Spirit, acting in and through Christ, Who έγένετο είς πνεθμα ζωοποιοθν, 1 Cor. xv. 45. See also below, ver. 17) giveth life (not merely life eternal, but the whole new life of the man of God, see Rom. vi. 4, 11; viii. 2, 10). On the his-

k ζωοποιεί. 7 εί δὲ ἡ 1 διακονία τοῦ θανάτου ἐν h γράμματι ABCDF k Rom. iv. 17 l Acts i. 17 al. " έντετυπωμένη λίθοις έγενήθη " έν ορ δόξη, ωςτε μη δύ- cde fg m here only †.
n Luke iv. 32
al. Ps.
xxviii. 4. νασθαι απενίσαι τους νίους Ισοαήλ είς το πρόσωπον πο 17 o 1 Cor. xv. 43 Μωυσέως δια την βόξαν του προςώπου αυτού την κατp = Acts xxii.
11 reff.
q epp., here
and ver. 13
only. Acts i.
10 reff. αργουμένην, 8 πως ουχί μαλλον ή διακονία του P πνεύματος έσται " έν " δόξη; θεί γὰο ἡ 'διακονία τῆς * κατακρίσεως δόξα, 'πολλώ 'μάλλον "περισσεύει ή "διακο-(Exon. xxxiv. 29, νία της δικαιοσύνης βδόξη. 10 και γάρ ου " δεδόξασται 30.) r 1 Cor. i, 28 reff. part. pres., ib. ii. 6. sch. vii. 3 only t. Numb. xiii. 33 alius in Hexapl. t Rom. v. 9, 10 reff. v see ch. xi. 15. = Rom. iii. 7 reff. constr., ch. viii. 7 (πίστει, κ.τ.λ.). Sir. xi. 12. xi. 13. Judg. ix. 9.

7. for θανατου, θεου №1: txt ℵ-corr¹. rec (for γραμματι) γραμμασιν (see note), with ACD2.3KLN rel latt(litteris aut littera G-lat) syrr copt goth Orig Mac Chr Thdrt Damasc lat-ff: ενγεγραμμενη 17: txt BD F. for εντεπ., τετυπωμενη F. rec ins εν bef λιθοις, with D2-3KLN3 rel vss Orig₁ Mac Chr Damasc lat-ff: om ABCD FN¹ 17 G-lat Orig2 or 3 Did Epiph Thdrt Aug. for του, αυτου(but av erased) N1.

 for συχ, συδι Ν'(but χ written above by N' or-corr').
 for 1st η, τη ACD'FN a 17 am syrr Orig Cyr Ruf Orig-int Ambrst Sedul: txt 9. 10 18t η, τη ACD F lat 1 fail syll Clig BD¹3KL rel vulgfand F-lat) G-lat copt goth Mac Chr Thdrt Damasc Ang Pelag. aft δοξα ins εστιν D¹F vss lat-ff. περισσευσει D k o syrr Mac, abundabit G-lat Ambrst: abundavit D.lat. ree ins εν bef δοξη (prob from εν δ. above, ver 8, and below, ver 11), with DFKLN3 rel latt syr copt goth Mae Ambrst: om ABC 17 tol Syr. -δοξα N1.

10. rec (for ov) ov $\delta \epsilon$ (mistake, from $\delta \epsilon$ being the first syllable of the next word), with h latt Thdot-aneyr (συδε γαρ) Thl-ed: txt ABCDFKLN rel syrr copt goth Orig, Mac

tory of this meaning of γράμμα, see Stanley's note. 7—11.] And this ministration is infinitely more glorious than was that of Moses under the old Covenant. He argues from the less to the greater: from the transitory glory of the killing letter, to the abiding glory of the life-giving Spirit. 7.] But (passing to another consideration, -the comparison of the two διακονίαι) if the ministration of death in the letter (of that death which the law, the code of literal and formal precept, brought in. This not having been seen, it was imagined that γράμματι belonged to έντετυπωμένη, and hence it was altered, as more according to fact, into γράμμασιν, the received reading.

No art. is required before γράμματι, as Meyer objects, -on account of the preposition èv) engraven on stones (it seems strange that ἐντετ. λίθ. should be the predicate of διακονία; but the ministration is the whole putting forth of the dispensation, the purport of which was summed up in the decalogue, written on stones. The decalogue thus written was, as in ver. 3, διακονηθείσα ύπὸ Μωυσέως) was [constituted | in glory (as its state or accompanying condition :- the abstract us yet, to be compared with the glory of the other:—the concrete, the brightness on the face of Moses, is not yet before us), so that the sons of Israel could not fix their eyes on (they were afraid to come nigh him, Exod. xxxiv. 30 - so that μη δύνασθαι is not said of physical inability, but of inability from fear) the face of Moses, on account of the glory of his face, which was transitory ('transitoria et modici temporis,' Estius; - supernaturally conferred for a season, and passing away when the occasion was over), how shall not rather the ministration of the Spirit (= ή διακονία της ζωής ἐν πνεύματι, as formally opposed to the other:-but not so expressed, because the Spirit is the principle of life, whereas the Law only led to death) be (future, because the glory will not be accomplished till the manifestation of the kingdom: according to Billroth, 'esse invenietur si rem recte perpenderimus:' or as Bengel, 'loquitur ex prospectu veteris Testamenti in novum:' but I much prefer the above, as giving the contrast, by and by expressed, between τὸ καταργούμενον and τδ μένον) in glory? 9.] For (an additional reason 'a minori ad majus') if the ministration of condemnation was (or, is) glory (the change of ή διακονία to the dat. has been made apparently because a difficulty was found in the ministration itself being glory), much more does the ministration of righteousness abound in glory. The ministration of condemnation, because (Rom. vii. 9 ff.) the Law detects and condemns sin:-the

τὸ * δεδοξασμένον y ἐν τούτῳ τῷ y μέρει, εἴνεκεν τῆς z ὑπερ- x Εχών. 30. βαλλούσης δόξης. 11 εἰ γὰρ τὸ x καταργούμενον a διὰ δό- 5 τοι 5 τοι 5 τοι 5 τοι 5 μάρον τὸ 5 μένον, 6 εὐ 9 δόξη. 12 ἔχοντες y εἰν. 13. 14. 5 τοι 5 τοι αὐτην έλπίδα πολλῆ c παρρησία d χρώμεθα, 13 καὶ 5 εἰν. 13. 14. 5 τοι 6 εκθάπερ Μωυσῆς ἐτίθει f κάλυμμα ἐπὶ τὸ πρόςωπον 5 13. 13. (ὑπερ-

Bas Chr-2-mss Jer₂ Aug. rec (for $\epsilon i\nu$.) $\epsilon \nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \nu$, with CF1KL rel Orig : txt ABD F²G**N** g m Damase, ήνεκεν 17.

ministration of *righteousness*, because (Rom. i. 17) therein the righteousness of God is revealed and imparted by faith.

10. For (substantiation of the foregoing πολλφ μαλλον) even that which has been glorified (viz. the διακ. τ. κατακρίσ., which was έν δόξη by the brightness on the face of Moses) is not glorified (has on the face of moses is not ground with close all its glory) in this respect (i. e. when compared with the gospel,— $\kappa \alpha \tau \delta \tau \delta \tau \tau \tilde{\eta} \tilde{\tau} \sigma \nu \gamma \kappa \tilde{\rho} (\epsilon \omega \kappa \delta \phi \rho \sigma \kappa)$. Chrys. De W. takes $\epsilon \nu \tau \tau \tau \tilde{\phi} \mu \epsilon \tilde{\rho}$, with $\delta \epsilon \delta \delta \epsilon \tilde{\rho} a \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \tilde{\rho}$ (that which was in this particular gloritation in the habitation on the foco of fied,' viz. in the brightness on the face of Moses :- but that would more naturally be $\tau \delta$ $\epsilon \nu$ $\tau c \delta \tau \varphi$ $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\mu \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota$ $\delta \epsilon \delta c \delta \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu c \nu :$ as it now stands, I cannot divide otherwise than οὐ δεδόξασται | τὸ δεδοξασμένον | ἐν τούτω τῷ μέρει. Meyer takes τὸ δεδοξ. as abstract, and έν τούτφ τῷ μέρει as pointing to the concrete: 'that which has been glorified [general and abstract] has in this particular department [concrete, viz. the διακ. τ. κατακρίσ. which was δεδοξασμ.] no glory: q d. the glorified is unglorified in this case.' This may certainly be, and is ingenious: but the other is simpler) on account of (i. e. when we take into consideration) the surpassing glory (viz. of the other διακονία:-present, because spoken of qualitatively).

11.] For (a fresh ground of superiority in glory of the Christian over the Mosaic ministry) if that which is transitory (not here, as above, the brilliancy of the visage of Moses, for that was the $\delta\delta\xi\alpha$, but theministry itself, the whole purpose which that ministry served, which was parenthetical and to come to an end) was with glory (διά, see reff., of the condition or circumstances in which a thing takes place), much more is that which abideth (the everlasting gospel) in glory. Estius says, "per gloriam (διὰ δ.) innuere videtur aliquid momentaneum ac transitorium: in gloria, aliquid manens et stabile." Similarly, Olshausen: but it is quite in the style of our Apostle to use various prepositious to express nearly the same relation, -see Rom. iii. 22, 30; v. 10.

12, 13.] From a consciousness of this superior glory of his ministration, the Apostle uses great plainness of speech, and does not, as Moses, use a vail.

12. ἐλπίδα viz. that expressed by ἔσται έν δόξη, ver. 8: the hope of the ultimate manifestation of exceeding glory as bemanifestation of exceeding glory as be-longing to his ministration. παρ-φησία] προς τίνα, είπε μοι: προς τον θεόν, η πρός τούς μαθητάς; πρός ύμας τούς μαθητευομένους, φησί: τουτέστι, μετ' ελευθερίας πανταχοῦ φθεγγόμεθα, οὐδὲν ἀποκρυπτόμενοι, οὐδὲν ὑποστελλόμενοι, οὐδὲν ὑφοράμενοι, ἀλλὰ σαφῶς λέγοντες: καὶ οὐ δεδοίκαμεν μὴ πλήξωμεν ὑμῶν τὰς ὄψεις, καθάπερ Μωυσῆς τὰς Ἰουδαίων, Chrys. 13.] καὶ οὐ, and (do) not (place a vail on our face, -so Mark xv. 8, δ όχλος ήρξατο αἰτεῖσθαι [ποιεῖν] καθὼς ἀεὶ ἐποίει αὐτοῖς. See Winer, edn. 6, § 64, i. 1 b.) as Moses placed a vail on his face, in order that (see below) the sons of Israel might not look on the termination of the transitory (viz. his διακονία, see ver. 11, but spoken of as δεδοξασμένη: 'the glory of his ministra-tion'). A mistake has been made with regard to the history in Exod. xxxiv. 33-35, which has considerably obscured the understanding of this verse. It is commonly assumed, that Moses spoke to the Israelites, having the vail on his face; and this is implied in our version—'till Moses had done speaking with them, he put a vail on his face.' But the LXX (and Heb.) gave a different account: καὶ ἐπειδη κατέπαυσεν λαλών πρός αὐτούς, ἐπέθηκεν ἐπὶ τὸ πρόςωπον αὐτοῦ κάλυμμα. He spoke to them without the vail, with his face shining and glorified :-when he had done speaking, he placed the vail on his face: and that, not because they were afraid to look on him, but as here, that they might not look on the end, or the fading, of that transitory glory; that they might only see it as long as it was the credential of his ministry, and then it might be withdrawn from their eyes. Thus the declaration of God's will

g constr., a aὐτοῦ g πρὸς τὸ μὴ h ἀτενίσαι τοὺς υἱοὺς Ἰσραὴλ εἰς ABCDF lstes οἰε.) τὸ ἰτέλος τοῦ h καταργουμένου. 14 ἀλλ΄ k ἐπωρώθη τὰ c de fg h k lm k καταργουμένου. 14 ἀλλ΄ κ ἐπωρώθη τὰ c de fg h k lm ltell. It refl. 1 refl. 1 refl. 1 refl. 1 refl. n Matt. xxviii. 15. Acts xx. 26. Rom. xi. 8 only. Josh. v. 9. Jer. Li 18.

13. rec $\epsilon a \nu \tau \sigma \nu$, with DKN rel Chr Thdrt: txt ABCFL Frag coisl a c d m 17 Chr2-mss Damasc Thl Ec. om $\tau \sigma$ D¹F. for $\tau \epsilon \lambda \sigma s$, $\pi \rho \sigma s \omega \pi \sigma \nu$ A vulg(and F-lat) Ambret Bede. (finem is written over $\tau \epsilon \lambda \sigma s$ in the greek column of F. The mistake in A and vulg may have arisen from the eve of some scribe having passed to the $\pi \rho \sigma s \omega \sigma \sigma \nu$ in the line above: $\tau \epsilon \lambda \sigma s$ stands just below $\pi \rho \sigma s \omega \sigma \nu$ in Matthæi's edn of G.)

14. αλλα B. rec om ημερας (as unnecessary, see ver 15), with KL rel Did Cyrjer Bas Chr Thdrt Damase Tert Archel: ins ABCDFN in 13 latt copt Clem Cyr

to them was not έν παβρησία, but was interrupted and broken by intervals of concealment, which ours is not. The opposition is twofold: (1) between the vailed and the unvailed ministry, quoad the mere fact of concealment in the one case, and openness in the other: (2) between the ministry which was suspended by the vailing, that its τέλος might not be seen, and that which proceeds ἀπὸ δόξης εἰς δόξαν, having no termination. On the common interpretation, Commentators have found an almost insuperable difficulty in $\pi\rho\delta s$ $\tau\delta$ $\mu\eta$ $\dot{\alpha}\tau$. The usual escape from it has been to render it, 'so that the Israelites could not,' as in ver. 7. De Wette somewhat modifies this, and sees in it the divine purpose: 'in order that,' but not in the intention of Moses, but of God's Providence. But both these renderings are ungrammatical. πρός τό with an infinitive never signifies the mere result, nor, as Meyer rightly remarks against De Wette, the objective purpose, but always the subjective purpose present to the mind of the actor : he refers to Matt. v. 28; vi. 1; xiii. 30; xxiii. 5; Mark xiii. 22; Eph. vi. 11; 1 Thess. ii. 9; 2 Thess. iii. 8; James iii. 3 (rec.); and Matt. xxvi. 12 (see my note there). I may remark also, that the narrative in Exodus, the LXX version of which the Apostle here closely follows (see below on ver. 16), implies that the brightness of Moses's face had place not on that one occasion only, but throughout his whole ministry between the Lord and the people. When he ceased speaking to them, he put on the vail; but whensoever he went in before the Lord to speak to Him, the vail was removed till he came out, and had spoken to the Israelites all that the Lord had commanded him, during which speaking they saw that his face shone,and after which speaking he again put on the vail. So that the vail was the symbol of concealment and transitoriness: the part revealed they might see: beyond that, they could not: the ministry was a broken,

interrupted one; its end was wrapped in obscurity. In the τέλος τοῦ καταργ. we must not think, as some Commentators have done, of *Christ* (Rom. x. 4), any further than it may be hinted in the background that when the law came to an end, He appeared.

14.118.] The contrast is now made between the CHILDREN OF ISRAEL, on whose he rt this vail still is in the reading of the O. T., and US ALL (Christians), who with uncovered face behold the glory of the Lord. This section is parenthetical. Before and after it, the ministry is the subject: in it, they to whom the ministry is directed. But it serves to shew the whole spirit and condition of the two classes, and thus further to substantiate the character of openness and freedom asserted of the Christian ministry.

14 But (also) their understandings were hardened (on this, the necessary sense of ἐπωρώθη. see note, Eph. iv. 18). These words evidently refer, as well as what follows, not to the $\tau \in \lambda$ os, which they did not see, but to that which they did see : to that which answers to the present andγνωσις της παλαιάς διαθήκης, viz. the word of God imparted by the ministration of Moses. And by these words the transition is made from the form of similitude just used, to that new one which is about to be used; q. d. 'not only was there a vail on Moses's face, to prevent more being known, but also their understandings were darkened: there was, besides, a vail on their hearts.' So that and = but also, or moreover. To refer this ἀλλ' ἐπωρ. to παβρησία χρώμεθα, to the present hardheartedness of the Jews under the freedom of speech of the Gospel, as Olsh., De W., al., is, in my view, to miss the whole sense of the passage. No reference whatever is made to the state of the Jews under the preaching of the gospel, but only as the objects of the O. T. ministration,-then, under the oral teaching of Moses, -now, in the reading of the O. T. In order to

for επι, εν DF Chr.

15. rec on a w (from a beginning αναγινωσκ.?), with DFKL rel Eus Cyr-jer Cass Chr Cyr₃ Thdrt₃ Damase: ins ABCN Orige Cyr₁ Thdrt₁, εαν 17. rec αναγινωσκεται, with FKL rel Eus Cyr-jer Cæs Chr Cyr₃ Thdrt₁ Damase Thl: txt A B(see table) CDN c m 17 Orig Chr-ins Cyr Thdrt₂ Cec. κειται bef επι την καρδ. αν. D¹⁻³F latt lat-ff. om αν C k Mac Bas: δε εαν AR1 17. 16. for ηνικα, οταν F Chr₁.

understand what follows, the change of similitude must be carefully borne in mind.

τὸ αὐτὸ κάλυμμα] 'the vail once on Moses's face,' is now regarded as laid on their hearts. It denoted the ceasing, the covering up, of his oral teaching; for it was put on when he had done speaking to the people. Now, his oral teaching has altogether ceased, and the διακονία is carried on by a book. But as when we listen, the speaker is the agent, and the hearers are passive, -so on the other hand, when we read, we are the agents and the book is passive. The book is the same to all: the difference between those who understand and those who do not understand is now a subjective difference - the vail is no longer on the face of the speaker, but on the heart of the reader. So that of necessity the form of the similitude is changed. For (answering to an understood clause, 'and remain hardened') to the present day the same vail (which was once on the face of Moses) remains, at the reading of the Old Testament (ή παλ. διαθ. here, as we now popularly use the words, the book comprising the ancient Covenant), the discovery not being made (by the removal of the vail) that it (the O. T.) is done away in Christ (that the Old Covenant has passed away, being superseded by Christ). This I believe to be the only admissible sense of the words, consistently with the symbolism of the passage. The renderings, 'remains not taken away-for it (i.e. the vail) is done away in Christ, and (as E. V.) 'remaineth . . untaken away . . which vail (6 Ti) is done away in Christ,'-are inadmissible: (1) because they make καταργείται, which throughout the passage belongs to the glory of the ministry, to apply to the vail : and (2) because they give no satisfactory sense. It is not because the vail can only be done away in Christ, that it now remains untaken away on their hearts, but because their hearts are hardened. Besides, the Apostle would not have expressed it thus, but ἐν χριστῷ γὰρ καταργ. The word ἀνακαλυπτόμενον has been probably chosen, as is often the practice of the Apostle, on account of its relation to κάλυμμα, -it not being unvailed to them that 15.] But (reassertion of μη ανακαλυπτόμενον, with a view to the next clause) to this day, whenever Moses is read, a vail lies upon their heart (understanding. κεῖται ἐπί w. acc., - pregn., involving the being laid on, and remaining there). 16. Here, the tertium comparationis is, the having on a vail, and taking it off on going in to the presence of the Lord. This Moses did; and the choice of the same words as those of the LXX, shews the closeness of the comparison; ήνίκα δ' αν είσεπορεύετο Μωυσης έναντι κυρίου λαλείν αὐτῷ, περιηρείτο τὸ κάλυμμα. This shall likewise be done in the case of the Israelites: when it (i. e. ή καρδία αὐτῶν,—not Israel, as Chrys., Theod., Theophyl., Erasm., al.,—nor Moses, as Calv., Estius,—nor τίs, as Orig., al.) shall turn to the Lord (here again ἐπιστρέψη πρόs is carefully chosen, being the very expression of the LXX, when the Israelites, having been afraid of the glory of the face of Moses, returned to him after being summoned by him :- ἐφοβήθησαν ἐγγίσαι αὐτῶ· καὶ ἐκάλεσεν αὐτοὺς Μωυσῆς, καὶ ἐπεστράφησαν πρὸς αὐτὸν, - and κύριον appears to be used for the same reason) the vail is taken away (not, shall be, because ή καρδία is the subject, and thus the taking away becomes an individual matter, happening whenever and wherever conversion takes place). Let me restate this, -as it is all-important towards the understanding of vv. 17, 18. 'When their heart goes in to speak with God, -ceases to contemplate the dead letter, ⁸ Acts viii. 39 αιρείται τὸ f κάλυμμα. 17 f Ο δὲ κύριος τὸ πνεῦμά ἐστιν ΑΒCDP κ. Κιν α b Νεινα κ. 20. f δὲ τὸ a πνεῦμα a κυρίου, b ἐλευθερία. 18 ἡμεῖς δὲ πάντες c de fg. Lev. xis. 20. f ἀνακεκαλυμμέν f προςώπ g τὴν δόξαν κυρίου c κατ - πο 17 de Rom. viii. 29 f σπτριζύμενοι, τὴν αὐτην d εἰκόνα e μεταμορφούμεθα απὸ f σε καθάπερ f δία κ. γείι. 20 δόζης εἰς δόζαν, f καθάπερ g ἀπὸ κυρίου g πνεύματος. g εἰχι δινίς g γείι. 20 στην f καθάπερ g απὸ κυρίου g τνεύματος. g καθάπερ g ελεί κ. Γκαν g γείι. 20 στην g γείι. 3 γείι. 15 γενα g γείι. 3 γενα g γείι. 3 γενα g γενα g γενα g γενα g ελεί κ. Γκαν g γενα $^$

17. for ού, που F. for κυριου, το αγιον L. rec ins εκει bef ελευθερια (see notes), with D²⁻³FKLN³ rel latt syr goth Ath Epiph Bas Chr Cyr₃ Thdrt Damasc Origint: om ABCD¹N¹ 17 fri Syr copt Cyr Nyssen.

18. αποπτριζομενοι F: ενοπτριζομεθα Mac. μεταμορφουμενοι A 23 Mac Orig-

int. καθωςπερ B.

and begins to commune with the Spirit of the old covenant (the Spirit of God), then the vail is removed, as it was from the face of Moses.' 17.] Now (δέ exponentis. τίς δε ούτος πρός ον δεί ἀποβλέψαι; Theodoret) the Lord is the Spirit: i. e. the kúplos of ver. 16, is, the Spirit, whose word the O. T. is: the πνεθμα,-as opposed to the γράμμα,which ζωοποιεί, ver. 6. But it is not merely, as Wetst., 'Dominus significat Spiritum,' nor is πνεθμα merely, as Olsh., the spiritual sense of the law: but, 'the Lord,' as here spoken of, 'Christ,' 'is the Spirit,' is identical with the Holy Spirit: not personally nor essentially, but, as is shewn by τὸ πνεῦμα κυρίου following, in this department of His divine working:-Christ, here, is the Spirit of Christ. The principal mistaken interpretation (among many, see Pool's Synops., Meyer, De Wette) is that of Chrys., Theodoret, Theophyl., Œeum., Estius, Schulz, - making τὸ πνεῦμα the subject, and δ κύρ. the predicate, which though perhaps (but would be then have had its present position?) allowable, is against the context, δ δè κύρ, being plainly resumed from $\delta \kappa \nu \rho$, in ver. 16. The words are then used by them as a proof of the Divinity of the Holy Spirit.

But (δέ appealing to a known or evident axiom, as in a mathematical demonstration) where the Spirit of the Lord (see above) is, is liberty (ἐκεῖ has probably been inserted, as being usual after ov: but, as Meyer remarks, not in St. Paul's style, see Rom. iv. 15; v. 20). They are fettered in spirit as long as they are slaves to the letter, = as long as they have the vail on their hearts; but when they turn to the Lord the Spirit, which is not πνεθμα δουλείας but πν. υίοθεσίας, Rom. viii. 15, -and by virtue of whom ouk έτι εί δούλος, άλλὰ υίδς, Gal. iv. 7,-then they are at liberty. There can hardly be any allusion to a vail over the head implying subjection, as 1 Cor. xi. 10, (Erasm., Beza, Grot.,

Bengel, Fritz.,) for here the covering of the head with a vail is not thought of, but merely intercepting the sight.

but merely intercepting the sight.

18.] But (the sight of the Jews is thus intercepted; in contrast to whom) WE all ('all Christians:' not, as Erasm., Estins, Bengel, al. m., 'we Apostles and teachers: ' the contrast is to the νίοι Ἰσραήλ above) with unvailed face (the vail having been removed at our conversion: the stress is on these words) beholding in a mirror the glory of the Lord (i. e. Christ: from vv. 16, 17. κατοπτρίζω is to shew in a mirror, to make a reflexion in a mirror; so Plutarch, de Placitis Philosophorum, iii. 5: Anaxagoras explained a rainbow to be the reflexion of the sun's brightness from a thick cloud, that always stands opposite τοῦ κατοπτρίζοντος αὐτὸ ἀστέρος. In the middle, it is 'to behold oneself in a mirror:' so Diog. Laert., Plato, p. 115, τοις μεθύουσι συνεβούλευε κατοπτρίζεσθαι; -but also, to see in a mirror, so Philo, Legis Allegor. iii. 33, vol. i. p. 107, μη γὰρ έμφανισθείης μοι δι' οὐρανοῦ ἡ γῆς ἡ ὕδατος η ἀέρος ή τινος άπλως των ἐν γενέσει, μηδὲ κατοπτρισαίμην έν άλλω τινί την σην ίδέαν, η εν σοι τῷ θεῷ. And such is evidently the meaning here: the gospel is this mirror, the εὐαγγέλιον τῆς δόξης τοῦ χριστοῦ, ch. iv. 4, and we, looking on it with unvailed face, are the contrast to the Jews, with vailed hearts reading their law. The meaning 'reflecting the glory,' &c. as Chrys., Luth., Calov., Bengel, Billroth, Olsh., is one which neither the word nor the context [see above] will bear [see, however, Stanley's note]), are transfigured into the same image (which we see in the mirror: the image of the glory of Christ, see Gal. iv. 19, which is more to the point than Rom. viii. 21, cited by Meyer, and 1 John iii. 3. But the change here spoken of is a spiritual one, not the bodily change at the Resurrection: it is going on here in the process of sanctification. No prep. need be understood before την αὐτην IV. 1 διὰ τοῦτο ἔχοντες τὴν h διακονίαν ταύτην, καθὼς h h Αcts xx. i ἢλεήθημεν, οὐκ k έγκακοῦμεν, 2 ἀλλὰ 1 ἀπειπάμεθα τὰ i n m κρυπτὰ τῆς n αἰσχύνης, μὴ o περιπατοῦντες o έν p πανουρ h Like viii. 1. ver. 16. Gal. (9. B. Bph.

iii. 13. 2 Thess. iii. 13 only. L.P.† Prov. iii. 11 Theod. 1 here only. 3 Kings xi. 2. Jub x. 3 al. m and constr., Rom. ii. 16 reil. n Luke xiv. 9. Phil. iii. 19. Heb. xii. 2. Jude 13. Rev. iii. 19. Or. iii. 19 theb. xii. 2. Jude 13. Rev. iii. 20. Jude 13. Rev. iii. 20. Or. or. ii. 19 theb. xii. 2. Jude 13. Rev. iii. 20. Jude 13. Rev. iii. 20

Chap. IV. 1. rec εκκακουμεν, with CD³KL rel: txt ABD¹FN m 17.
2. [αλλα, so A(perhaps) BCDN c d e f g h k l n.] for κρυπτα, εργα K.

εἰκόνα—the passive verb indirectly governs the acc., as in ἀποτέμνομαι την κεφαλήν and similar cases) from glory to glory (this is explained, either [1] 'from one degree of glory to another;' so most Commentators and De Wette, or [2] 'from [by] the glory which we see, into glory,' as Chrys., από δόξης, της του πνεύματος, είς δόξαν, την ημετέραν, την έγγιγνομένην, -Theodoret, Œcum., Theophyl., Bengel, Fritz., Meyer, al. I prefer the former, as the other would introduce a tautology, the sentiment being expressed in the words following) as by the Lord the Spirit. κυρίου πνεύματος = τοῦ κυρίου τοῦ πνεύματος,—the first art. being omitted after the preposition, the second to conform the predicate to its subject, as in ἀπὸ θεοῦ πατρός, Gal. i. 3, - and answers to ὁ δὲ κύριος τὸ πνεῦμά ἐστιν above. This seems the obvious and most satisfactory way of taking the words, and, from ver. 17, to be necessitated by the context; and so Theodoret, Luther, Beza, Calov., Wolf, Estius, al. The rendering upheld by Fritz., Billroth, Meyer, De Wette, 'the Lord of the Spirit,' i. e. 'Christ, whose Spirit He is,' seems to me to convey very little meaning, besides being an expression The transaltogether unprecedented. formation is effected by the Spirit (τοῦτο μεταμορφοί, Chrys.), the Author and Upholder of spiritual life, who 'takes of the things of Christ, and shews them to us,' John xvi. 14, see also Rom. viii. 10, 11, who sanctifies us till we are holy as Christ is holy; the process of renewal after Christ's image is such a transformation as may be expected by the agency of (καθάπερ ἀπό, so Chrys., και τοιαύτην οΐαν είκδο άπδ . . .) the Lord the Spirit,-Christ Himself being the image, see ch. iv. 4. The two other renderings are out of the question, as being inconsistent with the order of the words: viz.: (1) that of E. V. and of Vulg., Theophyl., Grot., Bengel, 'the Spirit of the Lord,' and (2) that of Chrys., Theodoret, Calov., Estins, 'the Spirit who is the Lord.' Meyer objects to the interpretation given above as inconsistent with the self-evident connexion of the genitives.

How would be render \(\frac{a}{k}\) \(\text{0} \) \(\text{0} \) \(\pi \) map \(\pi \) \(\text{0} \) \(\pi \) map \(\pi \) \(\pi

καθώς ήλεήθ.] even as we received mercy (from God, at the time of our being appointed; cf. ηλεήθην, 1 Tim. i. 16): belongs to έχ. τ. δ. ταύτ., not to what follows, and is a qualification, in humility, of έχοντες- 'possessing it, not as our own, but in as far as we were shewn mercy.' οὐκ ἐγκακοῦμεν] We do not behave ourselves in a cowardly manner, do not shrink from plainness of speech and action. έγκακέω is the opposite of παρβησιάζω. οὐκ ἐκκακοῦμεν would be, 'we do not give up through faintness or cowardice.' It is hardly possible to decide satisfactorily between the two readings. $\partial \kappa$ seems to be universal, except in the N. T. (rec. text) and the Fathers, which have ἐκκ. Did the Fathers borrow this form from the N. T., or was it the usual form of later Greek, and as such introduced into the text by the copyists? In such doubt, I have followed MSS. authority. But (cowardice alone prompting concealment in such a case, where it does not belong to the character of the ministry itself) we have renounced (so Herod. iv. 125, των ἀπειπαμένων την σφετέρην συμμαχίην: Ælian, N. H. vi. 1, την ακόλαστον κοίτην απείπατο παντελώς $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \nu$: and other examples in Wetst.) the hidden things of shame (the having any views, ends, or practices which such as have them hide through shame: not, as De Wette, the hidden things of infamy or dishonesty. αἰσχύνη is subjective, =, as Meyer, φόβος ἐπὶ προςδοκία ἀδοξίας, Plat. Defin. p. 416. It is plain from the context

ree συνιστωντες, with D3KL rel: συνιστανοντες B 672. 80: txt CDFR 17 Chr.

4. διωνγωσω A d 17 Eus Archel Cyr-jer Cyr, Damase: κατωνγ. CD Orig, Eus, (both glosses, further to particularize the simple verb): txt BFKLN rel Orig, Dial Chr Cyr Thdrt Damase Thlh... rec adds avross, with D2-3KL rel vso Orig, Chr₃: om ABC D¹FN 17 old-lat am(with demid fuld hal harl) Orig, Cyr-jer Epiph Cyr Iren-int. for χριστον, κυριου C. for os, o F. aft του θεου ins του αορατου (see Col i. 15) LN³ a f 1 m: pref spee syr arm Thl.

that it refers, not to crimes and unboly practices, but to crooked arts, of which men are ashamed, and which perhaps were made use of by the false teachers), not walking (having our daily conversation) in craftiness (see ref.) nor adulterating (see ch. ii. 17, note) the word of God, but by the manifestation of the truth (as our only means, see 1 Thess. ii. 3, 4;-the words come first, as emphatic), recommending ourselves (a recurrence to the charge and apology of ch. iii. 1 ff.) to (with reference to,-the verdict of) every conscience of men (every possible variety of the human conscience; implying, there is no conscience but will inwardly acknowledge this, however loath some among you may be out-wardly to confess it. So that the expression is not exactly = $\pi \rho$. $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \ \sigma \nu \nu$. $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ἀνθρώπων. We need hardly extend ἀνθρ. so wide as Chrys., οὐ πιστοῖς μόνον, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἀπίστοις ἐσμὲν κατάδηλοι:-he is speaking as a teacher, and the men spoken of are naturally his hearers and disciples), in the sight of God (as ch. ii. 17: not merely to satisfy men's consciences, but with regard to God's all-seeing eye which discerns the heart). 3. But if ('which I concede;'-see note, 1 Cor. iv. 7) it is even so, that our gospel (the gospel preached by us) is vailed, it is among (in the estimation of) the perishing that it is vailed. The allegory of ch. iii. is continued, - the hiding of the gospel by the vail placed before the understanding.

4.] In whose case (it is true, that) the god of this world (the Devil, the ruling princi-

ple in the men of this world, see reff. It is historically eurious, that Irenæus (Hær. iv. 39. 2, p. 266), Origen, Tertull. (contra Mare. iv. 11, vol. ii. p. 499), Chrys., Augustine (c. advers. leg. ii. 7 [29], vol. viii. p. 655), Œeum., Theodoret, Theophylact, all repudiate, in their zeal against the Marcionites and Manichæans, the grammatical rendering, and take των ἀπίστων τοῦ αίωνος τούτου together) blinded (the aor. of a purely historical event) the understandings of the unbelieving (i.e. who, the ἀπολλύμενοι, are victims of that blinding of the understandings of the unbelieving, which the Devil is habitually carrying on. Meyer well remarks, that if it had merely been τὰ νοήματα, it would have only expressed in the concrete the vonu. of those signified by $\ell\nu$ of s,—whereas now, by the addition of $\tau^{\bar{\omega}\nu}$ $\dot{\alpha}n(\sigma\tau$, the blinding inflicted on the $\dot{\alpha}m\lambda\lambda$ is marked as falling under its category. The rendering $\tau^{\bar{\omega}\nu}$ ἀπίστων 'so that they believe not,' Fritz., Billroth, is out of all question) in order that the illumination of (shining from, gen. subj.) the gospel of the glory of Christ, who is the image of God (recurrence to the allegory of ch. iii. 18; - Christ is the image of God, ἀπαύγασμα της δόξης αὐτοῦ, Heb. i. 3, into which same image, την αὐτην εἰκόνα, we, looking on it in the mirror of the gospel, are changed by the Spirit; but which glorious image is not visible to those who are blinded by Satan), might not shine forth ('unto them:' αὐτοῖς was a correct gloss :- the rendering, 'that they might not see,' Grot., al., is inadmisΓεαυτοὺς m κηρύσσομεν, ἀλλὰ χριστὸν Ίησοῦν κύριον το illiter n ξεαυτοὺς δὲ δούλους ὑμῶν n διὰ Ἰησοῦν. 6 ὅτι ὁ θεὸς ὁ m Acts viii. 5 εἰπὼν p Ἐκ σκότους pq φῶς q λάμψει, ὃς q ἔλαμψεν ἐν ταῖς 5 Τίδι καρδίαις ἡμῶν r πρὸς h φωτισμὸν τῆς s γνώσεως τῆς s δόξης 15 τοῦ θεοῦ t ἐν t προςώπ w χριστοῦ.

7" Εχομεν δε τὸν ^u θησαυρὸν τοῦτον ἐν ^{vw} ἀστρακίνοις ^{ss-ref.} ... tch. ii. 10. Prov. viii. 30. sec li-bi. ii. 4. ch. ii. 10. Prov. viii. 30. sec li-bi. ii. 4. v. 21. Luke vi. 43 ai. Josh, vi. 10. ^u Epp., Col. ii. 3. Heb. xi. 20 only. Gospp., Matt. ii. 11 alg. Mark x. v. 21. Luke vi. 43 ai. Josh, vi. 10.

5. ιησ. bef χρ. ACDN: κυρ. ιη. χρ. F: txt BKL rel Syr copt Cyr-jer Chr Thdrt Damase Ambrst. ημων(sie) Ν. for ιησουν (2nd), ιησου $Λ^2$ CN¹ 17 Mcion-e $_1$: χριστου Κ-corr¹ 5.

"G. om let δ B(sic: see table) n. aft ειπων ins ο (but erased) N'. rec λαμψαν, with CD³FKLN³ rel latt goth Mcion-e Epiph Dial Mac Ath Chr Cyr Thdrt Damasc lat-fi: txt ABD'N'. om os D'F old-lat demid(and harl) Chr Did Ambrst. νμων C 3 Chr. for του δεου, αυτου C'D'F æth Dial Cyr (Thl-comm) Tert: txt ABC³D³KLN rel vss (Orig) Ath Chr Thdrt Damasc Ambrst Ambr₁ (του δεου is certainty original; for, as Meyer observes, had αυτου δεου origl, it is hardly possible that του δεου should have been a gloss on it, as ο δεοι occurs just before). rec ins ιστου bef χρ., with CKLN rel vss Orig, Thdrt Damasc: aft χρ., DF latt Cyr lat-fi: om AB 17 Orig, Dial Ath Chr Thl-comm Tert.

5, 6. We have no reason to use trickery or craft, having no selfish ends to serve: nor concealment, being ourselves enlightened by God, and set for the spreading of light. 5.] For we preach not (the subject of our preaching is not) ourselves (Meyer understands kuplous, 'as lords;' but as De W. observes, this would anticipate the development of thought which follows, the contrast between $\chi \rho$. Ίησοῦν as κύριον, and ourselves as your δούλους, not being yet raised),—but Christ Jesus as Lord, and ourselves as your servants for Jesus' sake (on account of Him and His work).

6. Because (explains and substantiates the last clause,-that we are your servants for Jesus' sake) (it is) God, who said Out of (not, 'after the darkness;' this meaning of ek, though allowable, e. g. ἐκ κυμάτων γὰρ αὖθις αὖ γάλην' δρῶ, does not occur in N. T.) darkness light shall shine (allusion to Gen. i. 3: the change to λάμψαι appears to have been made because the words cited are not the exact ones spoken by the Creator), who shined (Grot., Fritz., Meyer, would render έλαμψεν, 'caused light to shine,' nsing the verb in the factitive sense, as ἀνατέλλω, Matt. v. 45, and & λάμπουσα πέτρα πυρός δικόρυφον σέλας, Eur. Phœn. 226. But this usage of the word seems entirely poetical, and the intransitive sense would as well express the divine act) in our hearts (the physical creation bearing an analogy to the spiritual) in order to the shining forth (to others) of the knowledge (in us) of the glory of God in the face of Christ $(= \tau \hat{\eta} s$ δόξης τ. θεοῦ της ἐν προςώπω χρ., 'the

ch. iii., and refers to the brightness on the face of Moses:—the only true effulgence of the divine glory is from the face of Christ. Meyer contends for the connexion of ἐνπροsῶπ. χρ. with φωτισμῶν, but his explanation fails to convey to my mind any satisfactory sense. He says that when the γνῶσι si unparted by preaching, it shines, and its brightness illuminates the face of Christ, because it is His face whose glory is looked on in the mirror of preaching. But I cannot think that any thing so very farfetched would be in the Apostle's mind. As to the necessity of the art. τῆs before ἐν, none will assert it who are much versed in the many varieties of expression in such sentences in the Apostle's style. 7—18.] This glorious ministry is fulfilled by weak, afflicted. persecuted. and decaying vessels.

glory of God manifested in Christ'). The

figure is still derived from the history in

sentences in the Apostle's style. 7–18.] This glorious ministry is fulfilled by weak, afflicted, persecuted, and decaying vessels, which are moreover worn out in the work (7–12). Yet the spirit of faith, the hope of the resurrection, and of being presented with them, for whom he has taboured, bears him up against the decay of the outer man, and all present tribulation (13–18). We are not justified in assuming with Calvin, Estius, al., that a definite reproach of personal meanness had induced the Apostle to speak thus. For he does not deal with any such reproach here, but with matters common to all human ministers of the word. All this is a following out in detail of

All this is a following out in detail of the οὐκ ἐγκακοῦμεν of ver. 1, already enlarged on in one of its departments,—that of not shrinking from openness of speech,—and now to be put forth in another, viz.

x Acts ix. 15 $\frac{v_x}{refi}$ σκεύεσιν, "να $\frac{i}{\eta}$ $\frac{v}{\nu}$ περβολη της δυνάμεως $\frac{v}{\eta}$ του θεου ABCDF χ Rom. vii. 13 $\frac{v_x}{refi}$ Ausrph. καὶ μὴ ἑξ ήμῶν $\frac{8}{2}$ ἐν $\frac{v}{r}$ παντὶ $\frac{v}{r}$ θλιβόμενοι ἀλλ' οὐ $\frac{v}{r}$ όντε - c de είς $\frac{v}{r}$ κ είν. vii. 3. ii. $\frac{v_x}{r}$ νοχωρούμενοι, $\frac{v}{r}$ ἀπορούμενοι ἀλλ' οὐκ $\frac{v}{r}$ ἐξαπορούμενοι, $\frac{v}{r}$ καταβαλ- viii. 5. ii. $\frac{v_x}{r}$ είν. vii. 13. ii. $\frac{v_x}{r}$ είν. είν. $\frac{v_x}{r}$ είν. $\frac{v_$

9. εγκαταλιμπανομενοι F Eus Chr Max.

10. rec ins κυριου bef 1st ιησου, with KL rel syr goth Chr Thdrt Damase Ambrst-ms: om ABCDFN 17 (latt) Syr copt æth arm Origssepe Cyr₂ Iren-int Did-int Tert.—χριστου

bearing up against outward and inward If any polemical purpose is difficulties. to be sought, it is the setting forth of the abundance of sufferings, the glorying in weakness (ch. xi. 23, 30), which substantiated his apostolic mission: but even such purpose is only in the background; he is pouring out, in the fulness of his heart, the manifold discouragements and the far more exceeding encouragements of his office. 7.] τὸν θησ. τοῦτ., viz. 'the light of the knowledge of the glory of God,' ver. 6. επειδή γαρ πολλά και μεγάλα είπε περι τής απορρήτου δόξης. Ίνα μή τις λέγη Και πως τοσαύτης δόξης απολαύοντες μένομεν εν θνητῷ σώματι; φησίν ὅτι τοῦτο μεν οὖν αὐτὸ μάλιστά ἐστι τὸ θαυμαστόν, καλ δείγμα μέγιστον της του θεού δυνάμεως, ότι σκεῦος ὀστράκινον τοσαύτην ἠδυνήθη λαμπρότητα ενεγκείν, και τηλικούτον φυλάξαι θησαυρόν. Chrys. Hom. viii. Some (Calv., al.) think the $\theta\eta\sigma$, to be the whole διακονία: but it seems simpler to refer it to that which has immediately preceded, in a style like that of Paul, in which each successive idea so commonly evolves itself out of the last. The σκευος is the body, not the whole personality; the δ ἔξω ἀν- $\theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \sigma$ of ver. 16; see ver. 10. And in the troubles of the body the personality shares, as long as it is bound up with it here. The similitude and form of expression is illustrated by Wetst. from Artemidorus vi. 25, θάνατον μεν γαρ εἰκότως εσήμαινε τη γυναικί το είναι εν οστρακίνω σκεύει,-Arrian, Epiet. iii. 9, ταῦτα ἔχω ἀντὶ τῶν δργυρωμάτων, άντι των χρυσωμάτων σύ χρυσα σκεύη, δστράκινον δέ τον λόγον, and Herod. iii. 96, τοῦτον τον φόρον θησαυρίζει δ βασιλεύς τρόπω τοιώδε. ες πίθους κε-ραμίους τήξας καταχέει, πλήσας δε τδ άγγος περιαιρέει, ἐπεὰν δὲ δεηθῆ χρημάτων, κατακόπτει τυσοῦτον, δσου αν έκάστοτε δέηται. ἡ ὑπερβ. τῆς δυν. not = ἡ ὑπερβάλλουσα δύναμις, but, theδύναμις contemplated on the side of its ὑπερβολή,—the power consisting in the

effects of the apostolic ministry (1 Cor. ii. 4), as well as in the upholding under trials and difficulties. The passage commonly referred to (even by Stanley) to prove the hendiadys, may serve entirely to disprove it : Jos. Antt. i. 13. 4, μαθών δὲ αὐτοῦ τὸ πρόθυμον κ. τὴν ὑπερβολὴν τῆς θρησκείας: "the readiness and surpassingη τοῦ θεοῦ] ness of his obedience." may belong to (i. e. be seen to belong to) God. Tertull., Vulg., and Estius, render it 'ut sublimitas sit virtutis Dei, non ex nobis,' which is hardly allowable, aud disturbs the sense by confusing the antithesis between ὁ θεόs and ἡμεῖs. He illustrates the expression, 'earthen vessels,' in detail, by his own experience and that of the other ministers of Christ.

8.] in every way (see reff.) pressed, but not (inextricably) crushed ($\sigma\tau$. 'angustias h. l. denotat tales, e quibus non detur exitus,' Meyer, from Kypke);—in perplexity but not in despair (a literal statement of what the last clause stated figuratively: as Stanley, "bewildered, but not benighted") :- persecuted but not deserted (έγκαταλειπόμενοι, see reff., used of desertion both by God and by man. Hammond, Olsh., Stanley, al., would refer διωκόμ. to the foot-race, and render it 'pursued, but not left behind,' as Herod. viii. 59, οί δέ γε έγκαταλειπόμενοι οὐ στεφανοῦνται, but the sense thus would be quite beside the purpose, as the Apostle is speaking not of rivalry from those who as runners had the same end in view, but of troubles and persecutions): struck down (as with a dart during pursuit: so Xen. Cyr. i. 3. 14, θηρία....τοξεύων καὶ ἀκοντίζων καταβαλεῖs. It is ordinarily interpreted of a fall in wrestling; but agonistic figures would be out of place in the present passage, and the attempt to find them has bewildered most of the modern Commentators), but not destroyed:

10.] always carrying about in our body (i.e. ever in our apostolic work τοῦ Ἰησοῦ j ἐν τῷ j σώματι k περιφέροντες, ἵνα καὶ i 1 ζω n l κακ κι 5.5. τοῦ Ἰησοῦ ἐν τῷ σώματι i ημῶν m φανερωθ n . 11 ἀεὶ γὰρ n ημεῖς οὶ ζῶντες n εἰς θάνατον n παραδιδόμεθα o διὰ Ἰησοῦν. n τοῦν Ἰησοῦ m φανερωθ n ἐν τῷ p θνητ n p δυητη n σους n σους n n σαρκὶ ἡμῶν. 12 ωςτε ὁ θάνατος ἐν ἡμῖν 9 ἐνεργεῖται, ἡ δὲ $^{127}_{m-Rom,1.19}$ reff. n Malt. x. 21. Mark xiii. 12. Isa. liii. 12 a. q Rom, vii. 5 reff. p Rom. vi. 12 reff.

 D^1F (and their lat): $\chi \rho$. $\iota \eta \sigma$. D^3 Tert. aft 1st σωματι ins ημων DF vss Iren-int aft 2nd [του] ιησ. ins χριστου D'F, and D-lat G-lat (spec) Iren-Orig-int, lat-ff. τοις σωμασιν Χ. φανερωθη bef εν τω σωματι ημων int Orig-int .- om Tov F. A vulg(not am fuld demid al).

for παραδιδ., διδομεθα F. 11. for αει, ει F k Tert Ambrst. (not F-lat.) om και C o 3 Tert. for του ιησ., ιησ. χρισ.

om και C o 3 Tert. for του εησ., εησ. χριστου DIF D-lat G-lat: του χρ. C.

12. o is written over the line by N¹(appy). rec ins μεν bef θανατος (to correspond to δε below), with KL rel syr-w-ob Thl Œc Ambrst-ms: om ABCDFN 17 latt Syr copt goth Chr Thdrt Damasc lat-ff.

having our body exposed to and an example of: or perhaps even, as Stanley, "bearing with us, wherever we go, the burden of the dead body." But see below) the killing (the word seems only to occur besides, in ref. Rom., where it signifies, figura-tively, utter lack of strength and vital power, in a fragment of the Oneirocritica of Astrampsychus (Meyer), νεκρούς όρων, νέκρωσιν έξεις πραγμάτων, where the sense is also figurative, and in its primary physical sense in the medical works of Aretæus and Galen. But here the literal sense, 'the being put to death,' must evidently be kept, and the expression understood as 1 Cor. xv. 31, and as Chrys.: οί θάνατοι οί καθημερινοί, δι' ών και ή ανάστασις έδείκνυτο. The rendering, 'the deadness of Jesus to the flesh, as opposed to the vitality, ή ζωή τοῦ Ἰησοῦ below,'see Dr. Peile's Annotations on the Epistles, 383,—is beside the present purpose, and altogether inconsistent with αεί είς θάνατον παραδιδόμεθα διὰ Ἰησοῦν, ver. 11. See Stanley's note) of Jesus (as τὰ παθήματα τοῦ χριστοῦ, ch. i. 5: — not 'ad exemplum Christi,' as Grot., al.), in order that also the life of Jesus may be manifested in our body : i.e. 'that in our bodies, holding up against such troubles and preserved in such dangers, may be shewn forth that mighty power of God which is a testimony that Jesus lives and is exalted to be a Prince and a Saviour:'not, 'that our repeated deliverances might resemble His Resurrection, as our sufferings His Death,' as Meyer, who argues that the literal meaning must be retained, as in the other member of the comparison, owing to ἐν τῷ σώματι ἡμ. But, as De W. justly observes, the bodily deliverance is manifestly a subordinate consideration, and the ζωή of far higher significance,

testified indeed by the body's preservation, but extending far beyond it. planation and confirmation of ver. 10. For we who live (ζωντες asserting that to which death is alien and strange, an antithesis to είς θάνατον παραδ., as in the other clause (ωή to ἐν τῆ θνητῆ σαρκί. No more specific meaning for (wvtes must be imagined, as 'tantis mortibus superstitem, Bengel, Estius, al., -or 'as long as we live, Beza, al.,—or 'qui adhuc vivi-mus, qui nondum ex vita excessimus ut multi jam Christianorum,' as Grot.) are ever delivered to death (in dangers and persecutions, so ch. xi. 23, ἐν θανάτοις πολλάκις) on account of Jesus (so in Rev. i. 9 John was in Patmos διὰ τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ κ. διὰ τὴν μαρτυρίαν Ἰησοῦ), that also the life of Jesus may be manifested in our mortal flesh (the antithesis is more strongly put by θνητη σαρκί than it would be by θνητώ σώματι, see Rom. viii. 11, the flesh being the very pabulum of decay and corruption). By this antithesis, the wonderful greatness of the divine power, $\dot{\eta}$ ὑπερβολ $\dot{\eta}$ τ $\hat{\eta}$ s δυνάμεωs, is strikingly brought out: God exhibits DEATH in the living, that He may exhibit 12.] By it is Life in the dying. also brought out that which is here the immediate subject,-the vast and unexampled trials of the apostolic office, all summed up in these words: So that death works in us, but life in you; i. e. 'the trials by which the dying of Jesus is exhibited in us, are exclusively and peculiarly OUR OWN, - whereas (and this is decisive for the spiritual sense of (ωή) the life, whereof we are to be witnesses, ex-tends beyond ourselves, nay finds its field of action and energizing IN YOU.' Estius, Grot., and apparently Olsh., take ένεργεῖται passively, 'is wrought' ('mors agitur et

r = % constr., Rom. viii 15 reff. (xi. 8 reff.) s Psa. cxv. 1. (cxiv. 10.) 1 ζωή εν ύμιν. 13 εχοντες δε το αυτό τηνευμα της πίστεως κατὰ τὸ γεγραμμένου 'Επίστευσα, διὸ έλάλησα, καὶ "" ημείς πιστεύομεν, διο και λαλούμεν, 14 είδοτες ότι ο μενον Α. ' έγείρας τον [κύριον] 'Ιησούν καὶ ήμας σὺν 'Ιησού ' έγερει ΚΙΝα δ

13. aft 1st διο ins και N.

14. om κυριον B 17. 71-3 vulg(with am fuld demid al, agst tol F-lat) arm Chr-comm Damasc-comm Thl Tert Pelag Sedul Bede: ins CDFKLN rel D-lat(and G-lat, but not rec (for συν) δια (corrn, on account of the difficulty found in σ υ ν 'Inσοῦ being joined to a future verb, His Resurrn being past), with D3KLN3 rel syrr goth Thort Damasc: txt BCDFN1 17 latt copt (Tert) Ambr Ambrst(not ed rom) Pelag Bede.—In & a superfluous , has been written and erased before iv. suscitat et constituit goth.

exercetur...perficitur vita.' Est.): but it is never so used in N. T. Chrys., Calv., al., take the verse ironically, τὰ μὲν ἐπικίνδυνα ήμεις ύπομένομεν, των δε χρηστών ύμεις ἀπολαύετε,—but such a sentiment seems alien from the spirit of the passage. Meyer, as unfortunately, limits ζωή to natural life, whereas (as above) the context plainly evinces spiritual life to be meant, not merely natural. In Rom. viii. 10, 11, the vivifying influence of His Spirit who raised Jesus from the dead is spoken of as extending to the body also; here, the upholding influence of Him who delivers and preserves the body, is spoken of as vivifying the whole man: LIFE, in both places, being the higher and spiritual life, including the lower and natural. 'And, in our relative positions, - of this life, YE are the examples,-a church of believers, alive to God through Christ in your various vocations, and not called on to be θεατριζόμενοι as WE are, who are (not indeed excluded from that life, - nay it flows from us to you,-but are) more especially examples of conformity to the death of our common Lord :- in whom DEATH WORKS.'

13—18. ENCOURAGEMENTS: and (1) FAITH, which enables us to go on preaching to you. Meyer connects this verse with ή δὲ ζωὴ ἐν ὑμῖν: for, he says, by means of πιστεύομεν διό και λαλουμεν, is that ζωή εν ύμ. ενεργείται, wrought. not to mention that thus the context is strangely disturbed, in which we and our trials form the leading subject, it would surely be very unnatural that exoutes de should apply not to the principal but to the subordinate clause of the foregoing verse. But (contrast to the foregoing state of trial and working of death in us) having the same spirit of faith (not distinctly the Holy Spirit,-but as in reff., not merely a human disposition: the indwelling Holy Spirit penetrates and characterizes the whole renewed man) with that described in the Scriptures (τδ αὐτδ κατά τό γεγρ., i. e. either as Billroth, τό αὐτό [έκείνω] περί οδ γέγραπται, or as De W., = τὸ αὐτὸ ώς γέγρ., ώςπερ being sometimes found after & avros, toos, and the like, and κατά here being equivalent to it. I prefer the former: but at all events the connexion of τδ αὐτό and κατὰ τὸ γεγρ. must be maintained, and we must not, with Meyer, connect κατά το γεγρ. . . . with καὶ ἡμεῖς πιστεύομεν, which makes the Apostle say that his faith is according to the words of the citation, and thus confuses the whole process of thought), I believed, wherefore I spoke (the connexion of the words in the Psalm is not clear, nor the precise meaning of o, rendered by the LXX διό. See Pool's Synopsis in loc. for the various renderings), we too believe, wherefore we also speak (continue our preaching of the gospel, notwithstanding such vast hindrances within and without):

14.] knowing (fixes, and expands in detail the indefinite πιστεύομεν, and thus gives the ground of λαλουμεν, -not as commonly understood, the matter of which we speak) that He who raised up (from the dead) the Lord Jesus, will raise up us also (from the dead hereafter, see 1 Cor. vi. 13, 14:-not in a figurative resurrection from danger, as Beza, who afterwards changed his opinion, al., and lately Meyer, whose whole interpretation of this passage is singularly forced, and his defence of it unfair, see below) with Jesus (σὺν Ἰησοῦ is not necessarily figurative, as Meyer; even in the passages where a figurative sense is the prevailing one, it is only as built upon the fact of a literal 'raising with Christ,' to be accomplished at the great day: see Eph. ii. 6; Col. iii. 1, 3; 1 Thess. v. 10) and present us with you (i. e. as in Jude 21, τῷ δυναμένω . . . στῆσαι κατενώπιον της δόξης αὐτοῦ ἀμώμους ἐν ἀγαλλιάσει . . ., and in reff., at the day of His coming).

Meyer's objection to the meaning above given,-that the Apostle could not thus speak of the resurrection, because he καὶ " παραστήσει σὺν ὑμῖν. 15 τὰ γὰρ πάντα δι ὑμᾶς, ἴνα " =ch. xi. 2) ή χάρις " πλεονάσασα διὰ " τῶν " πλειόνων τὴν " εὐχαρι- τ και το στίαν " περισσεύση εἰς τὴν δόξαν τοῦ θεοῦ. 16 διὸ οἰν " 16 διὸ οἰν " 16 διὰ οἰν " 16 διαθρωπος 16 διαθρείρεται, ἀλλ΄ 16 ε΄ ενω 16 θεν 1 ἡμῶν 16 ἀνακαινοῦται 16 διαθρείρεται, ἀλλ΄ 16 ὁ 15 ένω 16 16 16 διαμρείς 16 διαμρείς

intr., Rom. v., 15 al.; S eyer.l. a er., 3. bhere only, see Rom. vii., 22 ref., c Luke xi., 33. 1 Tim., vi. 5, Rev. viii. 9. xi. 18 only. 2 Kings i. 14. Dan. vii. 14 Theod. d see c Cor. v. 12 reff. [-9er., — Luke xi. 39, 40 only.] c Col. iii. 10 only †. (-riζειν, Heb. xi. 6, Pr.

16. Fee enkanumey (see ver 1), with CD³KL rel: txt BDF% e m. exwθey D¹-r 73. 137 Bas Thdtr $_1$ (txt $_2$). for diabete, aberial KL 46¹-7. 114 Thdtr $_2$ (txt $_3$) The deeperal a² d. eaw (for uniformity f) BCD¹F% d m Orig Ath Chr Thdrt $_2$ Damase: eaweep D³KL rel Thdr $_3$, Thl Ec. (17 def.) rec om $\eta_{\mu\nu\nu}$, with KL rel latt(not G-lat) Syr copt goth Orig Ath Chr Thdrt $_1$ Thl Ee Tert $_2$ Lucif Ambrst: ins (for uniformity f) BCDF% syr arm Thdr $_3$.

expected (1 Cor. xv. 51, 52; i. 8; ch. i. 13, 14) to be alive at the day of Christ, is best refuted by this very passage, ch. v. 1 ff., where his admission of at least the possibility of his death is distinctly set forth. The fact is that the ξγερεῖ here, having respect rather to the contrast of the future glory with the present suffering, does not necessarily imply one or other side of the alternative of being quick or dead at the Lord's coming, but embraces all, quick and dead, in one blessed resurrection-state.

This confidence, of being presented at that day σὺν ὑμῖν, is only analogous to his expressions elsewhere; see ch. i. 14; 1 Thess. ii. 19, 20; iii. 13. 15.] Explanation of σὺν ὑμῖν as a ground of his trust : with reference also to ή δè (ω) ἐν ὑμῖν, ver. 12; viz. that all, both the sufferings and victory of the ministers, are for the church: see the parallel expression, ch. i. 6, 7. For all things (of which we have been speaking; or perhaps hyperbolically, ALL THINGS, the whole working and arrangements of God, as in 1 Cor. iii. 22, εἴτε ἐνεστῶτα εἴτε μέλλοντα, πάντα δμῶν) are on your behalf, that Grace, having abounded by means of the greater number (who have received it), may multiply the thanksgiving (which shall accrue), to the glory of God. Such (1) is the rendering of Meyer, and, in the main, of Chrys., Erasm., al., and recently, Rückert and Olshausen. Three other ways are possible; (2) 'that Grace, having abounded, may, on account of the thanksgiving of the greater number, be multiplied (' πλεονάζω habet vim positivi: περισσεύω, comparativi, Bengel) to the glory of God.' So Luther, Beza, Estius, Grot., Bengel, al.: -(3) 'that Grace, having abounded, may, by means of the greater number, multiply the thanksgiving to the glory of God.' So Emmerling and De Wette: -(4) 'that Grace, having multiplied (see 1 Thess. iii. 12, for the transitive sense) by means of the greater number the thanksgiving, may abound to the glory of God.' This last has not been suggested by any Commentator that I am aware of, but is admissible. I prefer (1), as best agreeing with the position of the words, and with the emphases. If (2) had been intended, I should have expected γνα πλεονάσασα ή χάρις, - πλεονάσασα in its present position standing awkwardly alone. The same remark applies to (3), and this besides, that in that case I should expect πλειόνων, and not $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda$., in which the art. rather regards the matter of fact, the many who have received the grace, or who give thanks, than the intention, to multiply the thanksgiving by the (possible) greater number of persons. If (4) had been intended, I should have looked for Ινα ή χάρις την εὐχαριστίαν πλεον. διὰ τῶν πλει., περισσ. κ.τ.λ. By adopting (1), we keep the words and emphases just where they stand: Ίνα ἡ χάρις, πλεονάσασα διὰ τῶν πλειόνων (not διά τ. πλ. πλεον., which would give an undue prominence to διὰ τῶν πλειόν., whereas those words only particularize πλεονάσασα), την εύχ. περισσεύση, είς την δόξαν τ. θεοῦ. As to the sense, (see the very similar sentiment, ch. i. 11,) thanksgiving is the highest and noblest offering of the Church to God's glory (θυσία αἰνέσεως δοξάσει με, Ps. xlix. 23, LXX): that this may be rendered, in the best sense, as the result of the working of grace which has become abundant by means of the many recipients, is the great end of the Christian ministry.

16—18.] Second ground of encouragement —nore. 16.] Wherefore (on account of the hope implied in the faith spoken of ver. 14, which he is about to expand) we do not shrink (as in ver. 1: but nove, owing to despair), but (on the contrary) though even (not 'even if,' putting a case; ϵi kad with ind asserts the fact, as in ϵi kad $\sigma \epsilon \dot{\nu} \sim \delta o \mu a$, Phil. ii. 17) our outward man is

17. ins proskairon kai bef elaforon D'F latt Syr goth arm lat-ff. (That says: dià $\tau o \hat{v}$ parautika edelecte $\tau \delta \beta \rho \alpha \chi \dot{v}$ te kal proskairon. So also Thl.) om $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ BC²(appy: see Tischdf's Cod Ephr) Chr. om eis uperbbdohy C'(N'(ins N-corr') 38. 80 Bas.

18. for σκοπ. ημων, σκοπουντες D'F D-lat G-lat Orig, Ambret-ed. aft προσ-

καιρα ins εστιν F, so also latt.

wasted away (i. e. our body, see Rom. vii. 22, is, by this continued νέκρωσις and ἐνέργεια τοῦ θανάτου, being worn out:-he is not as yet speaking of dissolution by death, but only of gradual approximation to it), yet (ἀλλά in the apodosis after a hypothetic clause, introduces a strong and marked contrast :- so Hom. II. α. 81, - είπερ γάρ τε χόλον γε καὶ αὐτῆμαρ καταπέψη, ἀλλά τε καὶ μετόπισθεν ἔχει κότον, ὄφρα τελέσon: see other examples in Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 40) our inner (man) is renewed (contrast, subordinately to διαφθείρεται, but mainly to έγκακουμεν) day by day (ήμ. καὶ ήμ., so Hebr. pir, Esth. iii. 4; an expression not found [Meyer] even in the LXX): i. e. 'our spiritual life, the life which testifies the life of Jesus, even in our mortal bodies (ver. 11), is continually fed with fresh accessions of grace:' see next verse. So Chrys., -πως ανακαινοῦται; τῆ πίστει, τῆ ἐλπίδι, τῆ προθυμία, τῷ λοιπον κατατολμάν των δεινών. ὅσω γὰρ αν μυρία πάσχη τὸ σωμα, τοσούτω χρηστοτέρας έχει τὰς έλπίδας ἡ ψυχή, καὶ λαμπροτέρα γίνεται, καθάπερ χρυσίον πυρού-μενον ἐπιπλέον. Hom. ix. 17, 18.] Method of this renewal. For the pre-sent light (burden) of our affliction (the adject, use of παραυτίκα is common with Thucyd., e. g. ii. 64, ή παραυτίκα λαμπρότης, και ές τὸ ἔπειτα δόξα: viii. 82, τήν τε παραυτίκα έλπίδα: vii. 71, έν τῷ παραυτίκα, where Schol. ἐν τῷ ἐνεστῶτι $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \quad \chi \rho \delta \nu \varphi$; — and with his imitator Demosthenes, e. g. p. 72. 16, ή παραυτίχ' ήδονή κ. ραστώνη μείζον ἰσχύει τοῦ ποθ' ὕστερον συνοίσειν μέλλοντος; —see also pp. 34, 24; 215, 10; and more examples in Wetst. ἐλαφρόν as a substantive, contrasted with Bapos; see reff.). works out for us ('efficit,' 'is the means of bringing about') in a surpassing and still more surpassing manner (καθ. ύπ. els ύπερ. must belong to the verb, as Meyer and De W.; for otherwise it can

only qualify alwrion, the idea of which forbids such qualification, not Bápos, which is separated from it by the adjective: i. e. so as to exceed beyond all measure the tribulation) an eternal weight of glory (αἰώνιον βάρος opposed to παραυτίκα ἐλαφρόν). 18.] Subjective condition under which this working out takes place. While we regard not ('propose not as our aim,' 'spend not our eare about,'reff.) the things which are seen (ref. = τὰ ἐπίγεια, Phil. iii. 19. Chrys. strikingly says, τὰ βλεπόμενα πάντα, κἃν κόλασις ἦ, κἃν ἀνάπαυσις: ὥςτε μήτε ἐκεῖθεν χαυνοῦσθαι, μήτε ἐντεῦθεν βιάζεσθαι), but the things which are not seen ('aliud significat ἀδρατα, invisibilia, nam multa quæ non ceruuntur, erunt visibilia, confecto itinere fidei. Bengel - μη βλ., not où, perhaps because μή stands with participles in clauses of a subjective character, so στήκετε μη πτυρόμενοι εν μηδενί . . . , Phil. i. 27, 28. Winer, edn. 6, § 55. 5. g. β,—or rather perhaps, as ib. α, as hypothetic: τὰ οὐ βλέπομ. would be the things which as a matter of fact at any given time we do not see, cf. oi οὐκ ἡλεημένοι, 1 Pet. ii. 10: τὰ μη βλ., generally and hypothetically, the things not seen. So δ μη ὢν μετ' ἐμοῦ, Matt. xii. 30, in a case indefinite and hypothetical. This amounts to much the same as when in the ordinary account of such clauses, we say that μή belongs to the subject, οὐ to the predicate, - but is a better explanation, inasmuch as that account gives only the logical fact,-this, the logical reason of the usage): for the things which are seen, are temporary (not 'temporal,' belonging to time,' but 'fleeting,' 'only for a time, see reff. ;-i. e. till the day of Christ): but the things which are not seen, are eternal. Chrys. again: κάν βασιλεία, κάν κόλασις η ωςτε και έκειθεν φοβησαι, και έντεῦθεν προτρέψασθαι. Seneca, Ep. 59 (Wetst.), has a very similar sentiment:

V. 1 t δίδαμεν γάρ t ὅτι ἐὰν ἡ "ἐπίγειος ἡμῶν " οἰκία τοῦ t Rom. vii. 14 $^{\times}$ σκήνους $^{\circ}$ καταλυθη, $^{\circ}$ οἰκοδομην έκ θεοῦ ἔχομεν $^{\circ}$ οἰκίαν $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ ιτε $^{\circ}$ ιτε $^{\circ}$ αχειροποίητον αιώνιον έν τοις οὐρανοῖς. $^{\circ}$ καὶ γὰρ έν $^{\circ}$ και ($^{\circ}$ ($^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ ($^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ ($^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ γὰρ έν $^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ ($^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ γὰρ έν $^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ γὰρ έν $^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ ($^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ γὰρ έν $^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ ($^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ γὰρ έν $^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ ($^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ γὰρ έν $^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ γὰρ έν $^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ ($^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$) $^{\circ}$ ($^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$) $^{\circ}$ ($^{\circ}$ γαν $^{\circ}$) $^{\circ}$ ($^{\circ}$) $^{\circ}$

only t. Wisd. ix. 15 only. $(-\nu\omega\mu\alpha$, Acts vii. 46. $-\nu\sigma\bar{\nu}\nu$, John i. 14.) y = Matt. xxvi. 6! J. Acts vi. 14. Ezra v. 12. z = 1 Cor. iii. 9 refi. a Mark xiv. 58. Col. ii. 11 only f.

CHAP. V. 1, ins στι bef οικοδομην DF latt Chr, Cypr Ambrst Pelag Sedul (not fri ins ουκ bef αχειροποιητον F (non manufactam). Tert Aug al).

'ista imaginaria sunt, et ad tempus aliquam faciem ferunt. Nihil horum stabile nec solidum est . . . Mittamus animum ad ea,

quæ æterna sunt.'
CHAP. V. 1-10.] Further specification of the hope before spoken of, as consisting in anticipation of an eternity of glory after this life, in the resurrection-body: which leads him evermore to strive to be found well pleasing to the Lord at His coming: seeing that all shall then receive the things done in the body. 1.] For (gives the reason of ch. iv. 17,—principally of the emphatic words of that verse, καθ' ὑπερβολήν εls ὑπερβ.,—shewing how it is that so wonderful a process takes place) we know (as in ch. iv. 14,—are convinced, as a sure matter of hope) that if ('supposing;' -not = καν, 'etiamsi,' but indefinite and doubtful: if this delivering to death continually should end in veritable death. The case is hypothetical, because many will be glorified without the κατάλυσις taking place: see 1 Cor. xv. 51, 53) our earthly tabernacle-dwelling (τοῦ σκήνους is gen. of apposition. The similitude is not derived from the wandering of the Israelites in the wilderness, nor from the tabernacle, but is a common one with Greek writers, see examples in Wetstein. "The whole passage is expressed through the double figure of a house or tent, and a garment. The explanation of this abrupt transition from one to the other may be found in the image which, both from his occupation and his birthplace, would naturally occur to the Apostle, - the tent of Cilician hair-cloth, which might almost equally suggest the idea of a habitation and of a vesture." Stanley. Chrys. observes: εἰπὼν οἰκίαν σκήνους, καὶ τὸ εὐδιάλυτον καὶ πρόσκαιρου δείξας ἐντεῦθεν, ἀντέθηκε τὴν αἰωνίαν τὸ γὰρ τῆς σκηνῆς ὕνομα τὸ πρόσκαιρου πολλάκις δείκυυσι) were dissolved ('mite verbum,' Bengel: i. e. 'taken down,' 'done away with:' but 'dissolved,' as well as the vulg. 'dissolvatur,' is right), we have in the heavens (as Meyer rightly remarks, the present is used of the time at which the dissolution shall have taken place. But even then the dead have it not in actual VOL. II.

possession, but only prepared by God for them against the appearing of the Lord: and therefore they are said to have it in the heavens. Chrys., &c., Beza, Grot., al., join ἐν τοῖς οὐρ. with οἰκίαν, which can hardly be: it would be either ἐπουράνιον or ἐξ οὐρανοῦ. The E. V. according to the present punctuation, yields no sense: 'not made with hands, eternal in the heavens') a building (no longer a σκήνος) from God ('in an especial manner prepared by God,' 'pure from God's hands:' not as contrasted with our earthly body, which, see 1 Cor. xii. 18, 24, is also from God), a dwelling not made with hands (here again, not as contrasted with the fleshly body, for that too is ἀχειροποίητος, but with other οίκίαι, which are χειροποίητοι. Remember again the Apostle's occupation of a tent-maker), eternal. A difficulty has been raised by some Commentators respecting the intermediate disembodied state, -- how the Apostle here regards it, or whether he regards it at all. But none need be raised. The οἰκία which in this verse is said, at the time of dissolution, to be έν τοις οὐρανοις, is, when we put it on, in the next verse, our olkhthriov $\tau \delta$ èx oùravoû. Thus the intermediate state, though lightly passed over, as not belonging to the subject, is evidently in the mind of St. Paul. Some Commentators, Photius, Anselm, Thomas Aq. (in Estius), Wolf, Rosenm., al., understand these words themselves (οἰκ. ἀχειρ. αἰών. ἐν τ. οὐρ.) of the intermediate state of absence from the body: Usteri and Flatt, of an immediate glorified body in heaven, to be united with the body of the resurrection. Calvin hesitates: "Incertum est, an significet statum beatæ immortalitatis, qui post mortem fideles manet, an vero corpus incorruptibile et gloriosum, quale post resurrectionem crit. In utrovis sensu nihil est incommodi: quanquam malo ita accipere, ut initium hujus ædificii sit beatus animæ status post mortem: consummatio autem sit gloria ultimæ resurrectionis." But if this be so, (1) the parallel will not hold, between the οίκία in one case, and the οίκία in the other,-and (2) the language of ver. 2 is 2.] For also against it, see below.

3. * εἶπερ BDF 17 Chr(τινὲς δέ φασιν, δ καὶ μάλιστα ἐγκριτέον, Εἴπερ καὶ ἐνδυσάμενοι. So also Œc) Max-conf: ει γαρ 52: si tamen latt Aug Pelag: si quidem Tert Ambrst: ει γε CKLN rel Clem Did Mac₂ Chr Thdrt Damasc Thl Œc. εκδυσαμενοι (see notes) D¹ Chr(explaining it κὰν ἀποθώμεθα τὸ σῶμα) Tert Ambr Paulin Primas Quæst, εκλυσαμενοι expoliati F. (vestiti vulg with F-lat, expol. is written over the greek in F.)

(our knowledge, that we possess such a building of God, even in case of our body being dissolved, is testified by the earnest desire which we have, to put on that new body without such dissolution taking place. See the similar argument in Rom. viii. 18, 19) in this (viz. σκήνει, as Beza, Meyer, Olsh., al. The rendering εν τούτω, ' wherefore,'-some referring it to the foregoing,-'propter hoc quod dietum est,' Est., some to the following,-is inconsistent with ὔντες ἐν τῷ σκήνει, which is parallel with it, ver. 4. The stress is not necessarily on èv, 'in this,' as contrasted with 'out of this,' as Meyer, who joins καί with έν τούτω; but see above) we groan (see Rom. viii. 23), longing (i. e. because we desire, the reason of στενάζομεν. ἐπιποθ., not ardently desire: the prep. does not intensify, but denotes the direction of the wish, as ανέμου μή προςεώντος, Acts xxvii. 7) to put on over this ('superin-duere:' viz. by being alive at the day of Christ, and not dissolved as in ver. 1:- see The similitude is on ver. 4 below. slightly changed: the house is now to be put on, as an outer garment, over the fleshly body) our dwelling-place ('oikía est quiddam magisabsolutum, - οἰκητήριον, domicilium, respicit incolam :' Bengel. So Eur. Orest. 1113, -- ωςθ' Έλλας αὐτη σμικρον οἰκητήριον) from heaven (i. e. $= \epsilon \kappa$ θεοῦ ver. 1, but treated now as if brought with the Lord at His coming, and put upon us who are alive and remain then.

'ttaque,' says Bengel, 'hoe domicilium non est calum ipsum'):
3.] seeing that (εί γε [see var. readd.] is used 'de re, quw jure sunta creditur ' είπερ, when 'in incerto relinquitur, utrum jure an injuria sunatur.' Herm. ad Viger. p. 834. So Xen. Mem. ii. 1. 17, άλλά γάρ, & Σ., οί εἰς τὴν βασιλικὴν τέχνην παιδευόμενοι, ἡν δοκεῖε μοι σὰ νομίζειν εὐδαιμονίαν είναι, τὶ εἶαφέρονοι των ἐξ ὰνάγκης κακοπάσουτων, εῖ γε πεινήσουσι κ. διψήσουσι, κ.πλ.,— εἰς εἰπερ το hunger and thirst, &c.' And for εἰπερ, Æsch. Ag. 29 f. εἰπερ Ἰλίον πόλις ἐάλωκεν, ὡς ὁ φρικτὸς ἀγγέλλων πρόκις,— εἰτ, that is, the εἰτης, &c.' γω shall

really (καί, 'in very truth:' so Soph. Antig. 766, άμφω γάρ αὐτά καὶ κατακτείναι voeîs; 'dost thou intend verily to kill them both!' and Æsch. Sept. Theb. 810, ἐκεῖθι κἦλθον; 'have they really come to that?' See more examples in Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 132) be found (shall prove to be) clothed ('haring put on clothing,' viz. a body), not naked (without a body-" ἐνδυσ., οὖ γυμν., as γάλα, οὐ βρῶμα, 1 Cor. iii. 2 and often, cf. ver. 7." Meyer. See Stanley's note). The verse asserts strongly, with a view to substantiate and explain ver. 2, the truth of the resurrection or glorified body; and, with Meyer, I see in it a reference to the deniers of the resurrection, whom the Apostle combated in 1 Cor. xv.: its sense being this: "For I do assert again, that we shall in that day prove to be clothed with a body, and not disembodied spirits." Several other renderings have been given :- (1) 'Si nos iste dies deprehendet cum corpore, non exutos a corpore,-si erimus inter mutandos, non inter mortuos,' Grot.: Estius, Bengel, Conyb., al. To this there are three objections, - that είγε should be είπερ (the force of this objection is however much weakened by the amount of authority which can be adduced for $\epsilon i\pi\epsilon\rho$),—that καί is not rendered at all, -and that ἐνδυσάμενοι, the aor. mid., should be ἐνδεδυμένοι, the perf. pass. (2) The same objections apply to Billroth's rendering, 'If we, having been once clothed (with the earthly body), shall not be found naked' (without the body). (3) De Wette renders: 'seeing that when we are also (really) clothed, we shall not be found naked : i. e. 'setting down for certain as we do, that that heavenly dwelling will also be a body.' To this Meyer rightly objects, that it is open to the difficulty of making ένδυσις and γυμνό-Tys, and that in the very sense in which they are opposites, to co-exist; - no clothing but that of a body is thought of here, or else οὐ σώματος γυμνοί must have been expressed. (4) This latter objection applies to the rendering of Chrys., Theodoret, Theophyl., Œcum., al., who take ἐνδυσάοὐ $^{\rm h}$ γυμνοὶ $^{\rm l}$ εὐρεθησόμεθα. $^{\rm d}$ καὶ γὰρ οἱ ὄντες ἐν τῷ $^{\rm h}$ το Plato, σταγίτρ. $^{\rm x}$ σκήνει $^{\rm b}$ στενάζομεν $^{\rm j}$ βαρούμενοι, $^{\rm k}$ ἐφ΄ ῷ οὐ θέλομεν $^{\rm l}$ ἐκ- $^{\rm b}$ τοῦ σάσασθαι, ἀλλὶ $^{\rm d}$ ἐπευδύσασθαι, ἴνα $^{\rm m}$ καταποθη τὸ $^{\rm n}$ θνητὸν τοῦν σόμαι τοῦν της ζωῆς. $^{\rm b}$ ὁ δὲ $^{\rm c}$ κατεργασάμενος ἡμᾶς εἰς $^{\rm p}$ αὐτὸ ὶ τοῦν τοῦν $^{\rm q}$ ἀρὸραβῶνα τοῦ πνεύματος, ἱς his refi. Proῦτο θεός, ὁ δοὺς ἡμῖν τὸν $^{\rm q}$ ἀρὸραβῶνα τοῦ πνεύματος, ἱς his refi. Matt. χίχις $^{\rm q}$ Αστε μίριο.

Matt. xiv. 9. Acts iii. 16. 1 Matt. xiv.ii. 29, 21 J. Mk. Luke x., 30 only. Gen. xxxvii. 23, 31 J. Mk. Luke x., 30 only. Gen. xxxvii. 23, 61 n Rom. vi. 12 reft. reft. only. p Acts xxiv. 15 reft. q ch. i. 22. Eph. i. 14 only. Gen. xxxvii. 17, 18, 20 only.

4. aft $\sigma\kappa\eta\nu\epsilon_i$ ins $\tau o\nu\tau\omega$ DF d vss Chr Thdrt₁ Thl Tert₁ Ambrst: om BCKLN rel am Origubique Eus Thdrt_{h.l.} Damasc Ge Tert₁. $\beta a\rho\nu\nu o\mu\epsilon\nu o$ DIF Thl. Steph (for eq. ω) epi-ene $\delta\eta$, with rel: txt BCDFKLN c. aft $\theta\nu\eta\tau\sigma\nu$ ins $\tau o\nu\tau\sigma$ F(and G-lat spee) onth

5. κατεργαζομενος DF latt(exc fuld) syrr Iren-int Ambrst. (καταργασ. C.) ins δ bef θεος Ν¹. rec ins και bef δους (cf ch i. 22), with D²-3KLΝ³ rel syr goth Irengr Chr Thdrt(και διδους Damase, omg o) Ambrst: txt BCD¹-FΝ¹ 17 latt Syr copt arm Orig Iren-int Aug Pelag Sedul Bede. αραβωνα Ν΄ m o.

μενοι = σωμα ἄφθαρτον λαβόντες, andγυμνοί to mean γυμνοί δόξης. Similarly Anselm explains yuuvol, 'nudi Christo; Pelagius, Hunnius, and Baldwin, 'vacui fide: Erasın. Paraphr. 'si tamen hoc exuti corpore non omnino nudi reperiamur, sed ex bonæ vitæ fiducia spe immortalitatis amieti:' in part too Calvin,-restricting it however to the faithful only,- 'if at least we, having put on Christ in this life, shall not be found naked then.' Olshausen too takes οὐ γυμνοί as an expansion of ἐνδυσάμενοι, 'provided that we shall be found clothed with the robe of righteousness, not denuded of it.' Of all these we may say, that if the Apostle had meant by yuuvol to hint at any other kind of γυμνότης than that which the similitude obviously implies, he would have certainly indicated it. (5) The rendering of el 'utinam,' 'utinam etiam induti, non nudi reperiamur!' as Knatchbull and Homberg, need hardly be refuted. (6) Another class of renderings arise from the reading ἐκδυσάμενοι in a few cursives, which in connexion with $\epsilon i\pi\epsilon\rho$ was evidently adopted in consequence of the views of expositors. It stood as a conditional sentence,—'provided, that is, that'
..., and in the idea that it referred to the time after putting off the mortal body, èv was altered to èk. For much of the reference to opinions in this note I am indebted to Meyer and De Wette.

4.] Confirmation and explanation of ver. 2. For also (a reason, why we ἐπποθοῦ-μεν ἐπενθόσασθαι . . . as in ver. 2) we who are in the tabernacle (hefore spoken of, i.e. of the hody), groan, being burdened (not by troubles and sufferings, nor by the body itself, which would be directly opposite to the sense: but for the reason which follows), because (ἐφ' ῷ as in ref. Rom.) we are not willing to divest our.

selves (of it), but to put on (that other) over it, that our mortal part may (not, die, but) be swallowed up by life (absorbed in and transmuted by that glorious principle of life which our new clothing shall superinduce upon us). The feeling expressed in these verses was one most natural to those who, as the Apostles, regarded the coming of the Lord as near, and conceived the possibility of their living to behold it. It was no terror of death as to its consequences - but a natural reluctance to undergo the mere act of death as such, when it was within possibility that this mortal body might be superseded by the immortal one, without it. 5. This great end, the καταποθήναι τὸ θνητον ἀπο της ζωης, is justified as the object of the Apostle's fervent wish, seeing that it is for this very end, that this may ultimately be accomplished, that God has wrought us (see below) and given us the pledge of the Spirit; -But (and this my wish has reason: for) He who wrought us out (prepared us, by redemption, justification, sanctification, which are the qualifications for glory) unto this very purpose (viz. that last mentioned - τδ καταποθηναι το θνητον ήμων ύπο τ. ζωης, -not τὸ ἐπενδύσασθαι, a mere accident of that glorious absorption: see below) is God, who gave unto us (a sign that our preparation is of Him: 'quippe qui dederit') the earnest (reff. and note) of (gen. of apposition) the (Holy) Spirit. The Apostle in this verse, is no longer treating exclusively of his own wish for the more summary swallowing up of the mortal by the glorified, but is shewing that the end itself, which he individually, or in common with others then living, wishes accomplished in this particular form of επενδύσασθαι, is, under whatever form

 $r(\vec{p}\vec{p}\cdot)$ bere bus, ch, vii. 1 [16, x.1, 2] εν τῷ τσωματι εκδημοῦμεν ἀπὸ τοῦ κυρίου r^{7} διὰ πίστεως r^{6} de efg nolly, P.H. γὰο r^{7} περιπατοῦμεν, οὐ r^{8} διὰ r^{8} διὰ πίστεως r^{6} διὰ πίστεως r^{6} διὰ r^{8} τθαρροῦμεν δὲ r^{8} διὸ καὶ r^{8} εὐδοκοῦμεν μαλλον r^{8} εκδημήσαι εκ τοῦ σώματος καὶ r^{8} τοι r^{8} εὐδοκοῦμεν μαλλον r^{8} εκδημήσαι r^{8} εὐδοκοῦμεν r^{8} εὐδοκοῦμεν r^{8} διὸ καὶ r^{8} φιλοτιμούμεθα, r^{8} ενδημήσαι r^{8} εὐδοκοῦμεν r^{8} εὐδοκοῦμεν r^{8} διὸ καὶ r^{8} φιλοτιμούμεθα,

Lev. 1.0. Lev.

8. for θ appouper δ e, θ appoupres our (see ver 6) F(not G) 17 Syr (Orig) Ambrst: θ appoupres δ e \aleph : om δ e δ l' do 67° Orig.—om κ ai F 67° Syr Orig Ambrst.— θ appouper our δ e audemus ergo (ant autem) G. om ϵ k \aleph 1 α 2. for κ up., θ ϵ ov D¹ 17 am

brought about, that for which all the preparation, by grace, of Christians, is carried on, and to which the earnest of the Spirit points forward. Meyer would limit this verse entirely to the wish expressed in the last: but he is certainly wrong: for it forms a note of transition to θαβροῦντες οὖν πάντοτε in the next: see below.

arm Clem Ambrst.

6-8.] He returns to the confidence expressed in ver. 1; that however this may be, whether this wish is to be fulfilled or not, he is prepared to accept the alternative of being denuded of the body, seeing that it will bring with it a translation to the presence of the Lord. Being confident then (because it is God's express purpose to bring us to glory, as in last verse) always (either under all trials : or, always, whether this hope of ἐπενδύσασθαι, or the fear of the other alternative, be before us,-which latter I prefer), and knowing (not as the ground of our confidence, as Calv., al., nor as an exception to it, 'though we know,' as Est., Olsh., al.,but correlative with it, and the ground of the εὐδοκοῦμεν below) that while at home in the body, we are absent from the Lord (the similitude of the body as our οίκία being still kept up: see similar sentiments, respecting our being wanderers and strangers from our heavenly home while dwelling in the body, Phil. iii. 20; Heb. xi. 13; xiii. 14),—for (proof of our ἐκδημία άπὸ τ. κυρ.) we walk (the usual figurative sense, - 'go on our Christian course,'not literal, as of pilgrims) by means of (not 'in a state of,' nor 'through,' as the element through which our life moves, Meyer; who is thereby necessitated to interpret the two prepositions differently, see below) faith, not by means of appearance (είδος cannot possibly be subjective, as rendered in E. V. and by many Commentators; see reff .- i. e. 'faith, not the actual appear-

ance of heavenly things themselves, is the means whereby we hold on our way,' a sure sign that we are absent from those heavenly things), - notwithstanding (I say) (he resumes the θαβροῦντες, which was apparently at first intended to belong to εὐδοκοῦμεν,-by the indicative, inserting the $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ because the last clause seemed something like a dash to that confidence) we are confident, and are well pleased rather to migrate out of the body and come to our home with the Lord: i.e. 'even if (as in ver. 1) a dissolution of the body be imminent, - even that, though not according to our wish, does not destroy our confidence: for so sensible are we that dwelling in the body is a state of banishment from the Lord, that we prefer to it even the alternative of dissolution, bringing us, as it will, into His presence.' Meyer regards ἐκδημ. and ἐνδημ. as equivalent to the putting off of the mortal (but how?) and putting on the immortal body at the coming of the Lord:-but surely by this the whole sense is destroyed. The Apostle, it seems to me, carefully chooses the words, new to the context, ἐκδημεῖν and ἐνδημεῖν, to avoid such an inference, and to express, as he does in Phil. i. 23, then in the actual prospect of death, that τὸ ἀναλῦσαι is equivalent to σὺν χριστῷ εἶναι: for here is no hint of the new house from heaven, only of a certain indefinite ενδημία πρός τον κύριον, which is all that is revealed to us, and it would seem was all that was revealed to him, of the disembodied state of the blessed. I may remark that Meyer, whose commentary on this Epistle is most able and thorough, has been misled in this passage by an endeavour to range the whole of it under the specific wish of vv. 2-4. 9, 10.] Wherefore (this being so, -our confidence, in event whether of death, or of life till the coming of the

εἴτε εὐθημοῦντες εἴτε εκδημοῦντες, εὐάρεστοι αὐτῷ εκοπ. κίι. 1, εἶναι. 10 ατοὺς γὰρ απάντας ἡμᾶς b φανερωθῆναι c δεῖ a κιαι. 10 ατοὺς γὰρ a πάντας ἡμᾶς b φανερωθῆναι c δεῖ a κιαι. 10 εἰναι. 10 ατοῦς γὰρ a δήματος τοῦ d χριστοῦ, ἴνα f κομίσηται $^{b-(see note)}$. 11 εκαστος g τὰ διὰ τοῦ σώματος, h πρὸς a επραξεν, εἴτε $^{b-(see note)}$. 11 Εἰδότες οὖν τὸν i φόβον τοῦ κυ d Ακεικίι. 12 εντικίτε κακόν. 11 Εἰδότες οὖν τὸν i φόβον τοῦ κυ d Ακεικίι. 12 εντικίι. c Ακεικίι. 12 εντικίι c Ακεικίι. 12 εντικίι c Ακεικίι. 13 εντικίι c Ακεικίι. 13 εντικίι c Ακεικίι. 14 εντικίι c Ακεικίι. 15 εντικίι c Ακεικίι. 15 εντικίι c Ακεικίι. 15 εντικίι c Ακεικίι. 16 εντικίι c Ελεικίι. 17 εντικίι c Ελεικίι. 17 εντικίι c Ελεικίι. 18 εντικίι c Ακεικίι. 19 εντικίι c Ελεικίι. 19 εντικίι c Ελεικίι c c Ελεικίι c Ελεικίι c c

al. Ps. xxxix. 15. 2 Macc. viii. 33. g constr., Eph., Col., as above (f). 47. Gal. ii. 14. F. Luke xii. 47. Gal. ii. 14. ii. 18. ch. vii. 1. Eph., v. 91 (not Rom. xiii. 3). k — Acts xii. 20. Gal. i. 10. 1 Kings xxiv. 8. 1 — Mark iv. 22. John iii. 21 al.

10. for τa , \ddot{a} , ong $\pi \rho o s$ a, D¹F. \aleph^1 has written ϵ bef $\kappa o \mu \iota o \tau \eta \tau a \iota$, but marked it for erasure. for $\kappa a \kappa o \nu$, $\phi a \nu \lambda o \nu$ C \aleph d m 17 Orig $_6$ Eus Ephr Ath $_2$ Epiph Nyssen $_2$ Bas $_2$ Cyr $_{10}$ Damasc $_1$ Thl-comm(appy): txt BDFKL rel Clem Orig $_1$ Eus Chr Thdrtsæpe Damasch 1

Lord, being such)—it is also (besides our confidence) our aim, whether dwelling in the body or absent from the body (at the time of His appearing), to be well pleasing to Him, i. e. 'whether He find us ἐνδημ. or ἐκδημ., to meet with His approval in that day.' That this is the sense, the next verse seems to me to shew beyond question. For there he renders a reason for the expressions, and fixes the participles as belonging to the time of His coming. But this meaning has not, that I am aware, been seen by the Commentators, and in consequence, the verse has seemed to be beset with difficulties. The ordinary rendering is represented by Chrys., τὸ ζητούμενον τοῦτό ἐστι, φησίν. ἄν τε ἐκεῖ ῶμεν, ἄν τε ἐνταῦθα, κατὰ γνώμην αὐτοῦ ζην·—the objection to which of course is, that when there with Him, there will be no striving to be εὐάρεστοι αὐτῷ, the acceptance having taken place. Nor is De Wette's interpretation free from objection - 'whether we live till His coming, or we die:' because no sufficient account is given of the present participles. all renderings, Meyer's is in this place the most absurd, misled as he is by his interpretation of ver. 8. He would make ἐνδημοῦντες and ἐκδ. here merely literal, the similitude being dropped:—"whether at home, or on travel." But, all else aside, can he tell us where Paul's home was, subsequently to Acts ix.? For this would be necessary, though he shrinks from any 'geographische Bestimmung.' For (explanation and fixing of εὐάρεστοι αὐτῷ εlvas, as to when, and how testified) we all (and myself among the number) must be made manifest ('appear :' not = παραστήναι merely, but 'appear in our true light,' appear as we have never done before, as in reff., where the word is used of our Lord Himself: see also 1 Cor. iv. 5) before the judgment-seat (on Bhua, see Stanley's note) of Christ, that each may

receive (the technical word for receiving wages) the things (done) through the body (as a medium or organ of action. Meyer cites τῶν ἡδονῶν ai διὰ τοῦ σώματός είσω, Plat. Phædo, p. 65, and αἰσθήσεις αί διὰ τοῦ σώματος, Phædr. p. 250), according to the things which he did (in the body), whether (it were) good, or bad (singular, as abstract). I may observe that no more definite inference must be drawn from this verse as to the place which the saints of God shall hold in the general judgment, than it warrants; viz. that they as well as others, shall be manifested and judged by Him (Matt. xxv. 19): when, or in company with whom, is not here so much as hinted. I cannot pass on, without directing the student to the passage on this verse in Chrysostom's tenth Homily. as one of the grandest extant efforts of human eloquence. 11—13.] Having this φιλοτιμία,—being a genuine fearer of God (see below)-he endeavours to make his plain dealing EVIDENT TO MEN, as it is Evident to God. He will give the Corinthians whereof to boast concerning him in reply to his boastful adversaries: this his conduct being, whatever construction may be put on it, on behalf of God and them. 11.] Being then conscious of ('no strangers to :' so Homer freq., e. g. ἀθεμίστια εἰδώς) the fear of the Lord (not, as Chrys. and most of the ancient Commentators $= \tau \delta$ $\phi o \beta \epsilon \rho \delta \nu \tau$. $\kappa \nu \rho$, - so also Beza and Estius, 'terrorem Domini,' and E. V., 'the terror of the Lord,'—but as Vulg., 'timorem Domini,' -this wholesome fear of Christ as our Judge: see reff. The expression is particularly appropriate for one who had been suspected of double dealing and insincerity: he was inwardly conscious of the principle of the fear of God guiding and leading him), - we persuade men (the stress on ἀνθρώπους, it is MEN that we attempt to persuade. Of what? Beza,

 $^{m\,1}$ Cor. iii. 7, δὲ καὶ ἐν ταῖς m συνειδήσεσιν ὑμῶν 1 πεφανεοῶσθαι. 12 οὐ BCDF κ. K. κ. a. b. n. c. iii. r. πάλιν n ἐαυτοὺς n . συνιστάνομεν ὑμῖν, ἀλλὰ pq ἀφορμὴν c de f. g. b. t. h. l. m. p. l. pq με διδόντες ὑμῖν r καυχήματος ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν, ὑνα s ἔχητε προς n n. 17 s. x. x. i. d. i. p τοὺς ἐν t προςώπ $_{\psi}$ καυχωμένους, καὶ t οὐ t καρδία. 13 είτε t είτε t σωφρονοῦμεν, ὑμῖν t ¼ t γὰρ

12. rec aft ov ins $\gamma\alpha\rho$, with D³KL rel Damase ThI Ge: om BCD¹FN latt Syr copt Chr Thdrt Ambrst Pelag Bede. for 2nd $v\mu\nu$, $\eta\mu\nu$ B¹, nobis D-lat. $v\mu\omega\nu$ BN 17: $ov\kappa$ ev D¹F: txt CD¹KL rel syr goth Chr Damase.

Grot., al., of the truth of Christ's religion; win them to Christ, which however suits the rendering 'terrorem Domini,' better than the right one :- Chrys., Theodoret, Theophyl., 'of our own integrity,' and so in the main, Estius, Bengel, Olsh., De Wette,-and Meyer, though he seems to object to it, for he connects the words with the φιλοτιμία of ver. 9:- Erasm., Luther, Wolf, Hammond, al., understand πείθομεν of the endeavour to make ourselves acceptable to men; Cornel.-a-Lapide, Le Clere, al., 'eundem hunc timorem hominibus suademus.' But from the context, it must have reference to ourselves; and I therefore agree with Chrys., al., as above), but to God we are already manifested (we have no need to persuade HIM of our integrity, for He knows all things) ;-and I hope (am confident) that we have also been manifested (Meyer remarks, that ἐλπίζω in the N. T. elsewhere has only the inf. aor.; here however the inf. perfect is logically necessary. He hopes, that the manifestation is complete. Cf. Acts xxvii. 13, δόξαντες της προθέσεως κεκρατηκέναι, and Hom. II. υ. 110, ήδη γάρ νῦν έλπομ' Άρητ γε πῆμα τε-12. 7 We τύχθαι) in your consciences. are not again recommending ourselves to you (see ch. iii. 1), but (say this as) giving you an occasion for matter of boasting (καύχημα,-not = καύχησις as De W., - 'a source, whence matter of boasting may be derived') on our behalf (of us, as your teachers, and to the upholding of our ministry), that ye may have it (viz. καύχημα, matter of boasting) against those who boast in face (fair outward appearance), and not in heart (i. e. in those things which they exhibit, and are outwardly = κατά την σάρκα, ch. xi. 18, not in matters which are in their hearts: implying that their hearts are indifferent about the matters of which they boast).

13.] For (ye have good reason to

13.] For (ye have good reason to boast of me as your teacher; seeing that)

whether we have been mad (there is no need to soften the meaning to 'inordinately praise ourselves,' as Chrys., al.; or 'act foolishly,' as others; or 'ultra modum agimus,' as Bengel, Luther:— µairy, Haūλe, was once said, Acts xxvi. 24, and doubtless this charge was among the means taken to depreciate his influence at Corinth), it is to God (in God's work and to His glory): whether we be of sound mind, it is for you (on your behalf). 'So that you have reason to glory in us either way; if you will ascribe to us madness, it is a holy madness, for God: if you maintain and are convinced of our sobriety, it is a soundness in your service,'

On the interpretation of Chrys, above, he explains the last chause,— Δν τι μέτριον κ. ταπεινόν φθεγξώμεθα, δι' όμῶς, "να μάθητε ταπεινοφρονεῦν. But he gives our interpretation also, as an alternative: μαίνεθαί τι: ἡμῶς φησί; διὰ τὸν θεὸν μαινόμεθα.

14-19.] And his constraining motive is the love of Christ; who died for all, that all should live to Him; and accordingly the Apostle has no longer any mere knowledge or regards according to the flesh, seeing that all things are become new in Christ by means of the reconciliation effected by God in Him, of which reconciliation Paul is the minister.

14.] For (reason of his devotion under all reports and circumstances, \$\epsilon \text{c}\text{if}\$ and circumstances, \$\epsilon \text{c}\text{if}\$ and \$ba\text{i}\text{i}\text{i}\$, as in last verse) Christ's love (not, love to Christ's love to men, subjective, as most Commentators; as shewn in \$His Death\$, which is the greatest proof of love, see Rom. v. 6—8. Meyer remarks that the gen. of the person after \$\delta\gamma\text{i}\pi\text{i}\text{i}\text{i}\text{iii}\$ is the greatest proof of love, see Rom. v. 6.—8. Meyer remarks that the gen. of the person after \$\delta\gamma\text{i}\pi\text{i}\text{i}\text{iii}\$ is the given of \$\delta\text{i}\text{iii}\$ in \$2\text{i}\text{j}\$. So yill, \$\delta\text{iii}\$ in \$3\text{ij}\$; \$\delta\text{iiii}\$ in \$\delta\text{iii}\$ in \$\delta\text{iiii}\$ in \$\delta\text{iiii}\$ in \$\delta\text{iiii}\$ in \$\delta\text{iiii}\$ in \$\delta\text{iiii}\$ in \$\delta\text{iiii}\$ in \$\delt

* ἀγάπη τοῦ * χριστοῦ * συνέχει ἡμᾶς, 15 * κρίναντας * = Rom, viii, τοῦτο, ὅτι εἶς ὑπὲρ πάντων ἀπέθανεν, α ἄρα b οἱ b πάντες 7 = Luke sii. c ἀπέθανον καὶ ὑπὲρ πάντων ἀπέθανεν, ἵνα οἱ ζωντες politimate μηκέτι d ἐαυτοῖς ζωσιν, ἀλλὰ d τῷ ὑπὲρ αὐτῶν ἀποθανόντι log καὶ c ἐγερθέντι. 16 ὡςτε ἡμεῖς f ἀπὸ τοῦ νῦν οὐδένα οἴδαμεν z bolitimate a c c γερθέντι. 16 ὡςτε ἡμεῖς f ἀπὸ τοῦ νῦν οὐδένα οἴδαμεν z c bolitimate c

14. for χριστου, θεου C 17. 39. 42. 46. 120. 238 syr Chr Thdrt₁(xt h.l.) Thl-marg. 15. κρυαντες F. rec ins ϵ_1 bef ϵ_1^2 s, with Clu3 rel vulg(and F-lat) copt Ath-mss Chr_{h.1} Cyr₁ Thl Ambrst-ms Aug₂(clsw mss vary) Bede: om B(sie: see table) C²DFKLN¹ de l n 17 syrr goth æth Ath-edd Chr₁ Cyr₁ Thdrt Damasc &c-comm(appy). for $\pi\pi\theta \theta avev$, $\pi\pi\theta davev$ N¹. aft 2nd $\pi\pi\theta davev$ ins $\chi \rho u\sigma \tau os$ F vulg(not am) some-lat-ff.

i.e. towards, by els, Col. i. 4; 1 Thess. iii. 12) constraineth us (a better word could not be found : the idea of συνέχω is that of forcible limitation, either in a good or a bad sense, - of confining to one object, or within certain bounds, be that one object a painful or glorious one,-those bounds the angustiæ of distress, or the course of apostolic energy, as here. ' Constraineth us,' generally :- limits us to one great end, and prohibits our taking into consideration any others. 'Metaphora est in verbo constringendi: qua notatur, fieri non posse, quin, quisquis mirificum illum amorem quem testatus est nobis Christus morte sua, vere expendit et reputat, quasi ei alligatus, et arctissimo vinculo constrictus, se in illius obsequium addicat.' Calv. The varieties of interpretation, some as Meyer, urging more the sense cohibendi, others as Chrys., that excitandi, οὐκ ἀφίησιν ήμᾶς ήσυχάζειν, all in fact amount to one—that of the forcible compression of his energies to one line of action), 15.] because we formed this judgment

(viz. at our conversion :- learned to regard this as a settled truth) that One died on behalf of all (not only, for the benefit of all, as Meyer, -but instead of all, suffered death in the root and essence of our humanity, as the second Adam. This death on behalf of all men is the absolute objective fact: that all enter not into the benefit of that Death, is owing to the non-fulfilment of the subjective condition which follows),-therefore all died (i. e. therefore, in the death of Christ, all, the all for whom He died, οἱ πάντες, died too: i.e. see below, became planted in the likeness of His death,-died to sin and to self, that they might live to Him. This was true, objectively, but not subjectively till such death to sin and self is realized in each: see Rom. vi. 8 ff.). The other renderings,- 'ought to die, as Thomas Aq., Grot., Estius, al., - were under sentence of death,' as Chrys.,

Theodoret, Beza, al.; - 'as good as died,' Flatt; -are shewn to be erroneous by carefully noticing the construction, with or without el. The verb is common to both members of the sentence; the correspondent emphatic words in the two members being (1) είς ὑπερ πάντων, (2) πάντες: '(One on behalf of all) died, therefore (all) died : if One died the death of (belonging to, due from) all, then all died (in and with Him).' Meyer's rendering of δτι because, can hardly be right, as it would leave κρίναντας τοῦτο standing awkwardly alone. And He died for all, in order that they who live (in this life, see ήμεις οί ζωντες, ch. iv. 11; = in sense, 'as long as they are in this state,' as De W .: - not, 'those who live spiritually,' as Beza, Flatt, which would altogether strike out the sense, for it is, that they may live spiritually, &c .: nor, 'superstites,' they whom He left behind at His death, ζωντες in contrast with Him who ἀπέθανεν, as Meyer; -- for, not to insist on the more general reference to all time, many to whom the Apostle was now writing were not born at the time of His Death) might no longer (now that His Death has taken place: or, as they did before they apprehended that Death as theirs,—but I prefer the former, see $\lambda\pi\delta$ τοῦ νῦν below) live to themselves (with self as their great source and end of action, to please and to obey) but to Him that died and rose again for them (ὑπέρ, not merely even as connected with εγερθέντι 'for the benefit of,' as Meyer again; but strictly 'in the place of :' as the Death of Christ is our death, so His Resurrection is 16. So that (acour resurrection). cordingly, - consistently with our judgment expressed ver. 15) we (in opposition to our adversaries, the false teachers: not general, of all Christians, as De W., - but as yet spoken, as the emphatic position of ήμειs shews, of the Apostle himself [and his colleagues?]) from this time (since

16. rec aft ϵ_i ins $\delta \epsilon_i$ with C°D'2-3 KLN³ rel syr copt goth Chr. Thdrt. Damase Thl Ee: $\kappa \alpha$ bef ϵ_i F latt lat-1f: txt BD'N¹ 17. (C¹ uncert.)—om $\kappa \alpha_i$ K 115. $\chi \rho_i \sigma \tau \sigma_i$ bef $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha$ D copt Orig. Jer. aft $\chi \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \rho_i \epsilon_i$ ins $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha$ D¹F Jer. (not vulg F-lat.)

this great event, the Death of Christ) know no man according to (as he is in) the flesh (Meyer well remarks: "Since all are [ethically] dead, and each man is bound to live only to Christ, not to himself, our knowledge of others must be altogether independent of that which they are κατά σάρκα, - must not be regulated κατὰ σάρκα. And the connexion of ver. 16 with ver. 15 shews that we must not take κατὰ σάρκα as the subjective rule of οἴδαμεν,-so that the explanation would be, 'according to mere human knowledge,' 'apart from the enlightening of the Holy Spirit,' cf. ch. i. 17; 1 Cor. i. 26,—but as the objective rule, cf. ch. xi. 18; John viii. 15; Phil. iii. 4, -so that eldeval τινά κατά σάρκα = 'to know any one according to his mere human individuality,'- 'to know him as men have judged him by what he is in the flesh,' not by what he is κατά πνεθμα, as a Christian, as καινή κτίσις, ver. 17. He who knows no man κατά σάρκα has, e. g. in the case of the Jew, entirely lost sight of his Jewish origin,in that of the rich man, of his riches,in that of the learned, of his learning,in that of the slave, of his servitude, &c., cf. Gal. iii. 28"): if we have also (εί καί concedes what follows: πόλιν μέν, εί καὶ μὴ βλέπεις, φρονεῖς δ΄ δρως, σία νόσω ξύνεστι, Soph. Œd. Τyr. 302, – but also, as distinguished from kal el, introduces no climax, and distributes the force of the kai over the whole concessive chause, whereas in kal el it is confined to the conditional particle el,—see Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 139) known Christ according to the flesh, now however we know Him (thus) no longer. The fact alluded to in the concessive clause, is, not any personal knowledge of the Lord Jesus while He was on earth, but that view of Him which Paul took before his conversion, when he knew Him only according to His outward apparent standing in this world, only as Jesus of Nazareth. χριστόν is not = τον χριστόν, 'the Christ,' but merely as a proper name designating Him whom he now knew as Christ. Observe, the stress is not on r χριστόν, q. d. 'If we have known even Christ after the flesh,' &c., as usually understood;—the position of $\chi \rho$, forbids this, which would require εί και χριστον έγν. κ. σάρ.,-but on έγνώκαμεν, as belonging to the past, contrasted with our present knowledge. Observe likewise, that the position of κατὰ σάρκα, see above also, forbids its being taken as the subjective qualification of έγνωκαμεν, as = εἰ καὶ κατὰ σάρκα έγν. χρ., or εἰ κ. έγν. χρ. κ. σάρκ., and fixes it as belonging to χριστόν,— 'Christ according to the flesh.' He now, since his conversion, knew Him no longer as thus shewn, but as δρισθέντα υίδν θεοῦ εν δυνάμει, κατά πνεθμα άγιωσύνης. At that time, εὐδόκησεν ὁ ἀφορίσας με . . . αποκαλύψαι τὸν υίὸν αὐτοῦ ἐν ἐμοί, Gal. i. 15, 16. See by all means Stanley's remarks, on the absence of all local and personal recollections of our Lord's life, in the apostolic age. 17. So that (additional inference from what has gone before: hardly as Meyer, from ver. 16 only: the death of ver. 15, as well as the new knowledge of ver. 16, going to make up the καινή κτίσις) if any man is in Christ (far better than 'whoever is in Christ,' See note on Phil. iv. 8. 'In Christ,' i. e. in union with Him: Christ being 'the element in which by faith we live and move,' as Meyer), he is a new creature (κτίσις, 'creation,'—the act, implying here the result of the act. See ref. and Col. iii. 10, 11; Eph. ii. 10; iv. 23.

'He has received,' 'passed into,' 'a new

life,' John iii. 3): the old things (of his former life - 'all the old selfish and impure motives, views, and prejudices,'-De Wette) have passed away (there does not appear to be any allusion, as in Chrys., Theophyl., to the passing away of Judaism, but only to the new birth, the antiquation of the former unconverted state, with all that belonged to it): behold (a reminiscence of Isa. xliii. 18, 19-μη μνημονεύετε τὰ πρῶτα, καὶ τὰ ἀρχαῖα μὴ συλλογίζεσθε ίδου, εγώ ποιῶ καινά), they have become new (see var. readd.). The arrangement of the sentence followed by the Vulg., al., 'Si qua ergo in Christo nova creatura, vetera transierunt,' is inκαινά. 18 τὰ δὲ $^{\rm m}$ πάντα $^{\rm m}$ έκ τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ $^{\rm n}$ καταλλάξαν- $^{\rm m1\, Cor.\, xi.\, 12}_{\rm refi.}$ τος ἡμᾶς εαυτῷ διὰ χριστοῦ καὶ δόντος ἡμῖν τὴν $^{\rm refi.}$ refi. καινα. τος ήμας έαυτῷ διὰ χριστοῦ καὶ δοντος ημαντέν ρετείνες διακονίαν τῆς p καταλλαγῆς, 19 q $^{\dot{\alpha}}$ $^{\dot{\alpha}}$ χριστῷ κόσμον $^{\rm n}$ καταλλάσσων ἑαυτῷ, μὴ $^{\rm s}$ λογιζόμενος $^{\rm Rom, v.11.}_{\substack{\rm xi. 15 \, only.}}$ αὐτοῖς τὰ $^{\rm t}$ παραπτώματα αὐτῶν, καὶ $^{\rm u}$ θέμενος ἐν ἡμῖν $^{\rm colly.}_{\substack{\rm only.}}$ τὸν $^{\rm v}$ λόγον τῆς $^{\rm p}$ καταλλαγῆς. $^{\rm 20}$ ὑπὲρ χριστοῦ οὖν $^{\rm u}$ Τhere i.. 2) s = Rom. ii. 26. iv. 4, 8 al. fr. Num. xviii, 27. v Acts xiii. 26 reff. r 1 Cor. xv. 22 reff. u Ps. civ. 27. (Amos v. 7.) only. t Rom, iv. 25 reff.

17. rec aft καινα ins τα παντα, with D2.3KL rel syr goth æth-pl Orig Constt Did Ath_{h.l.} Chr Damasc Œc Tert₁: bef καινα b d f k o 17. 46. 67² vulg-ed Syr Ath₃ Dial Method Naz Cyr₂ Thdrt Procl Thl Jer Ambrst Salv: om BCD¹FN latt copt æth-rom

arm(1805) Clem Ath-ms₁ Nyssen Cyr₂ Tert₁ Hil Aug Promiss.

18. om 1st του D¹F. rec ins 1ησου bef χριστου, with D³KL rel Thdrt Damasc: om BCD¹FN 17 latt syrr copt goth æth arm Chr Tert Hil Ambrst Aug.

19. ins o bef heos FK b1 o Thart Chr. καταλασσων(sic) \aleph e f h1 k. ins [τον] εναγγελίου bef τον λογον D1F; adnuntiationem D-lat, evangelii G-lat(and so over the greek in F) .- om Tov F.

20. for υπερ χρ. ουν, ον υπερ χριστου D'F; pro quo Christo D-lat; quod pro quo

admissible, because the second member would be a mere reassertion of the first.

18.] And all things (in this new creation: he passes to a more general view of the effects of the death of Christ-viz. our reconciliation to God) are from God (as their source), who reconciled us (all men, from next verse, where κόσμον is parallel with it) to Himself by means of Christ (as an atonement, an expiatory sacrifice, ver. 21, for sin which made us έχθροι θεοῦ, see Rom. v. 10), and gave (committed) to us (Apostles, not mankind in general; for had it been so, -in the next verse, which is parallel, ἐν αὐτοῖs, not ἐν ήμιν, must have stood, after αὐτοῖς and αὐτῶν just preceding) the ministration of the reconciliation (the duty of ministering in that office, whose peculiar work it is to proclaim this reconciliation: so διακονία της δικαιοσύνης, ch. iii. 9. Observe, that the reconciliation spoken of in this and the next verse, is that of God to us, absolutely and objectively, through His Son: that whereby He can complacently behold and endure a sinful world, and receive all who come to Him by Christ. This, the subjective reconciliation, -of men to God, follows as a matter of exhortation, ver. 20),

19.] how that (the &s imports that the proposition following it, introduced by öτι, is matter of indirect reference. So Xen. Hell. iii. 2. 14, εἰπὼν τῷ Φάρακι ὧs ότι ὀκνοίη μη δ Τισσαφ. κ.τ.λ., and argnm. Isocr. Busir. p. 220 [cited by Winer, edn. 6, § 65. 9], κατηγόρουν αὐτοῦ, ὡς ὅτι καινὰ δαιμόνια εἰςφέρει) God in Christ was reconciling the world to Himself (nv karαλλάσσων not exactly = κατήλλασσεν, any more than ην κηρύσσων Luke iv. 44

= ἐκήρυσσεν: in both cases the habitual state is more emphatically implied than could be done by the imperfect merely: the shade of difference can, however, hardly be expressed in English. ην cannot, as in Erasm., Luther, Calv., Beza, al., and E. V., belong to $\ell\nu$ χριστ $\hat{\nu}$, God was in Christ, reconciling '&c.,—partly on account of the position of $\ell\nu$ χρ., which would thus probably be before $\hat{\eta}\nu$, but principally (Meyer) because of incoherence with θέμενος έν ημίν κ.τ.λ.: for in that case the two latter clauses must express the manner of reconciliation by Christ. which the second of them does not.

κόσμον, - without the article, as governed words placed for emphasis before their verbs often are—it would not be καταλλάσσων κόσμον, but τον κόσμον,—the whole world, -man, and man's world, entire, with all that therein is, see Col. i. 20, but considered, cf. αὐτῶν below, as summed up in man),-not imputing to them their trespasses (present: on the expression see reff.), and having placed in us (past:—not merely = 'committed to us,' but 'laid upon us,' as our office and charge, and, besides, 'empowered us for,' put in our souls by His Spirit.' 'Us,' viz. Apostles and teachers) the word of the reconciliation (as δ λόγος δ τοῦ σταυροῦ, 1 Cor. · i. 18).

20, 21. He describes his office as that of an ambassador for Christ, consisting in beseeching them, ON THEIR PART, to be reconciled to God; and that, in consideration of the great Atonement which God has provided by Christ. On Christ's behalf then (i. e. in pursuance of the imposition on us of the λόγος της κατ.) we are

b. a = Rom. i. 17 reff. (Phil. iii. 9.) b Mark xvi. 20. Rom. viil. 28. 1 Cor. xvi. 16. James ii. 22 only †. 1 Mace. xii. 1. Esdr./vii. 2 only. (-γον, 1 Cor. iii. 9.)

Christo G-lat. δεομένοι D¹(and lat) F Chr-ms Hil Ambrst(not Aug al); orantes aut obsecrates G-lat. καταλλαγηναι D¹(and lat) F syr-marg goth, reconciliari G-lat lat-ff(not Jer Bede). ο m $\tau \omega$ F.

21. rec aft τον ins γαρ (see note), with D³KLN³ rel syrr goth Chr Eucher Thdrt₃ Damase Ambrst-ms: om BCD¹FN¹ 17 latt copt Orig Ath Chr₁-comm Did Thdrt, Hil Ambrst-ed Aug Pelag Aleim. rec γινωμεθα (with none of our mss): txt BCDFK LN rel Orig. Chr Thdrt_{supe} Damase Thi Ee. θεου bef δικαιοσυνη K d 93. 109. 219 Eus Sev Chr Thdrt₄: om θεου 46. 114 Thdrt₁.

ambassadors, as if God were exhorting by us: we besecch ('you,' but not uttered as an integral part of the present text, not a request now made and urged, as Rom. xii. 1; he is describing the embassage; we are ambassadors, and in our embassage it is our work to beseech- ' Be ye,' &c.) on Christ's behalf, Be reconciled to God:διαλλ. strictly passive: 'God was the RE-CONCILER-let this reconciliation have effect on you-enter into it by faith.' Our E. V., by inserting the word 'ye,' has given a false impression, making it appear as if there were an emphasis on it, corresponding to God being reconciled to us, as if it had been καταλλάγητε καὶ ὑμεῖς τῷ θεῷ,whereas it is the simple being reconciled in that reconciliation in which God was, in Christ, the Reconciler. the great fact on which the exhortation to be reconciled is grounded:—viz. the un-speakable gift of God, to bring about the reconciliation. It is introduced without a $\gamma \acute{a} \rho$ (which has been supplied), as still forming part of the λόγος της καταλλαγης. Him who knew not sin (τον οὐ γνόντα would merely assert the fact, that up to the time of $\epsilon \pi o l \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, He was ignorant of sin. But $\mu\eta$ with a participle, as has been observed since the doctrine of the particles has been more accurately studied, always denies subjectively, i.e. in reference to the view of some person who is the subject, or to the hypothesis of some person who is the direct or indirect utterer of the assertion. Cf. note on ch. iv. 18. With what reference then is the particle here used? Fritz. [in Meyer] thinks, to the Christian's necessary idea of Christ, "quem talem virum mente concipinus, qui sceleris notitiam non habuerit:" Meyer, and Winer, edn. 6, § 55. 5. B, to God's judgment of Him. I much prefer to either regarding it as subjective with reference to Christ Him-

self, Who said, John viii. 46, τίς έξ δμών έλέγχει με περί άμαρτίας; He was thus δ μη γνούς άμαρτίαν [see Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 131, who gives among other examples, one very similar, from Thueyd. ί. 118, ἡσύχαζόν τε τὸ πλέον τοῦ χρόνου, όντες καί πρό του μή ταχείς ιέναι ές τους πολέμους], - 'knew not,' i. e. by contact, by personal experience, 'sin.' See, for the sense, 1 Pet. ii. 22; Heb. vii. 26), on our behalf (or, instead of us: I prefer here the former, because the purpose of the verse is to set forth how great things God has done for us:-the other, though true, does not seem so applicable. words ὑπἐρ ἡμ. are emphatic) He made (to be) sin (not, ʿa sin-offering,ʾ as Augustine, Ambros., Œcum., Erasm., Hammond, Wolf, al., for the word seems never to have the meaning, even in the LXX [see however the remarkable reading of the Cod.-alex. at Lev. vi. 25]; and if it had, the former sense of the same word in this same sentence would preclude it here: nor = άμαρτωλός, as Meyer, al.: but, & De Wette, al., Sin, abstract, as opposed to RIGHTEOUSNESS which follows; compare κατάρα, Gal. iii. 13. He, on the Cross, was the Representative of Sin, - of the sin of the world), that we might become (the present, γινώμ. as in rec., would signify, as Stallbaum, Crito, p. 43 [Meyer]—'id quod propositum fuerit, nondum perfectum et transactum esse, sed adhue durare.' The aor., which is far the best supported by MSS., also yields the best sense, as joining the whole justification of all God's people, as one act accomplished, with the Sacrifice of Christ) the Righteousness of God (see above : representatives of the Righteousness of God, endued with it and viewed as in it, and examples of it) in Him (in union with Him, and by virtue of our standing in Him).

γοῦντες δὲ καὶ ^c παρακαλοῦμεν, μὴ ^d εἰς ^{de} κενὸν τὴν χάριν ^c Rom. xử. 1 τοῦ θεοῦ δέξασθαι ὑμᾶς ² (λέγει γὰρ Καιρῷ ^f δεκτῷ ^g ἐπ - ^dGal. ii. 2 ^{ghl. ii. 16} ἡκουσά σου, καὶ ἐν ^h ἡμέρα σωτηρίας ⁱ ἐβοήθησά σοι. ἰδοὺ ^{bis. 1} Irless, νῦν καιρὸς ^j εὐπρόςδεκτος, ἰδοὺ νῦν ^h ἡμέρα σωτηρίας ^c · ^{lo. 1} ^{co. 1} ^{co.}

35. Phil. iv. 18 only in the state of the s

Chap. VI. 1. parakalountes $D^{\tau}F.$ om umas $D^{\tau}\colon$ hmas CH 4. 17. 89: txt K-corr^1-3.

καιρω γαρ λεγει D¹(and lat) F Scdul. (not F-lat.) [κτω of δεκτω are supplied by N-corr¹.]

3. aft η διακονια ins ημών DF d 66². 73 latt syrr copt Chr Thdrt Thl Œc-comm Ambrst Aug Pelag.

Chap. VI. 1-10. He further describes his apostolic embassage, as one of earnest exhortation not to receive the grace of God in vain (vv. 1, 2), and of approving him-self, by many characteristics and under various circumstances, as the minister of God (vv. 3-10). 1.] συνεργούντες, viz. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$, Whose representatives they were, and Whose grace they recommended. This is implied not only in what went before, but in the $\tau o \hat{v}$ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ of our verse itself. Meyer makes it $\tau \hat{\varphi} \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi}$, referring it to the $\delta \pi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \chi \rho$. above: Chrys., Theodoret, Bengel, Olsh., al., ὑμῖν, which certainly would have been expressed, and does not suit the sense, nor Paul's habit of speaking of the ministry, see 1 Cor. iii. 9. Flatt and Emmerling would make the σύν imply, working with our exhortations, aiding them by our example: which sense, though occasionally belonging to $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$ and $\pi \rho \delta s$ in composition, could hardly have place here without some plainer indication in what went before, of that to which the preposition refers, — and would not suit the καί, which severs συνεργ. from παρακαλ.

The δέ is one of transition, introducing

a new feature. Moreover also, while working with God, we exhort, that you (when preaching to you,-or others, when preaching to others: he still is describing hie practice in his ministry, not using a direct exhortation to the Corinthians) receive not ('recipiatis;'-not 'receperitis,' 'that ye will not have received,' i. e. 'will not by apostasy shew that ye have received . . . 'as Erasın., al., and De Wette. This mistake arises mainly from regarding the words as directly addressed to the Corinthians instead of a description of his apostolic practice) the grace of God (i. e. the reconciliation above spoken of) to no purpose (i. e. unaccompanied by sanctification of life; so Chrys., Ίνα μη νομίσωσιν ὅτι τοῦτό ἐστι καταλλαγή μόνον, τὸ πιστεῦσαι τῷ καλοῦντι, ἐπάγει ταῦτα, τὴν περί τὸν 2. Ground of βίον σπουδην ἀπαιτῶν). the exhortation: viz. the importance of the present time as the day of acceptance, -shewn by a Scripture citation. For He (God, with whom we συνεργουμεν and whose grace we recommend) saith, "In an accepted time (Heb. בְּעֵה הָבוֹן, 'in a season of grace') I heard thee, and in the day of salvation I helped thee:" behold (inserted for solemnity-to mark the importance of what follows), Now is the favourably accepted time (εὐπρόςδεκτος, a far stronger term than δεκτός, q. d. the very time of most favourable acceptance, said from the fulness of his feeling of the greatness of God's grace), -behold, now is the day of salvation. ό γὰρ ἐν τοιούτω καιρῷ ἀγωνιζόμενος, ἐν ῷ τοσαύτη κέχυται δωρεά, έν ῷ τοσαύτη χάρις, εὐκόλως ἐπιτεύξεται τῶν Βραβείων. Chrys. The prophecy is one directly of the Lord Jesus, as the restorer and gatherer of his people; and the time of acceptance is the interval of the offer of the covenant to men, conceded to Him by the Father. 3-10. And this doing, he approves himself as the minister of God by various characteristics, and under manifold circumstances in life. δόντες, resumed from συνεργοῦντες, ver. 1; ver. 2 being parenthetic. It, and all the following participles, vv. 9, 10, qualify παρακαλοῦμεν, shewing the pains and caution used by him to enforce this exhortation by his example as well as his precept. So Grot.: 'ostendit enim, quam serio moneat, qui, ut aliquid proficiat, nullis terreatur incommodis, nulla non commoda negligat.' But evidently, before the list is exhausted, he passes beyond the mere confirmation of his preaching, and is speaking generally of the characteristics of the Christian ministry. ἐν μηδενί, in nothing, compare ἐν παντί, below: not, 'in no man's estimation,' as Luther.

η μωμηθη ή ο διακονία, 4 αλλ΄ Ρέν Ρπαντί ο συνιστάντες BCDF π ch. τίμ. 30 π μωμηθη ή \mathring{o} διακονία, \mathring{c} αλλ εν παν. \mathring{c} πολλη, έν \mathring{o} \mathring{o} διάκονοι, έν \mathring{v} πομονη πολλη, έν \mathring{o} \mathring{o} \mathring{o} \mathring{o} διάκονοι, έν \mathring{v} πομονη πολλη, έν \mathring{o} \mathring Σ. 14 ομγ. εαυτους ως θεου διακονοι, εν 'υπομονη πολλη, εν 'θλί[10. 32] $^{\circ}$ $^{$ ψεσιν, έν τανάγκαις, έν εστενοχωρίαις, 5 έν αν πληγαίς, no 17 πνίαις, έν γα νηστείαις, 6 έν δάγνότητι, έν ο γνώσει, έν αμαq ch. iii. 1. iv. 2. v. 12. vii. 11. x. 12. u Acts xvi. 23. r Rom. ii. 7 reff. i, iii, 1, iv.

v, 12, vii, 11, x, 12, r Rom, ii, 7 reff. s Rom, ii, 1/ (reff.), t = 1 Cor, vii, 26 reff. ref x vi. 23. v ch. xi. 23. v ch. xi. 23. v ch. xi. 27. ε as above (y) only t. 2 Roze, ii. 26. (-πνείν. 25 reff. ε as above (y) only t. 2 Roze, ii. 26. (-πνείν. 25 reff. ε as above (y) and t. xvii. 21 β Mac. ii. 26. (-πνείν. 27. ε as above (y) and t. xvii. 21 β Mac. ii. 26. (-πνείν. 27. Acts xiv. 23. xxvii. 9 only. 2 Kings xii. 16. 5. xii. 8 al. d Rom ii. 4 (reff.). b cb. xi. 3 only t. (-165, ch. vii. 11.)

4. rec συνιστωντες, with D3KLN3 rel Chr Thdrt Damaschl: συνιστοντες f: συνιστανοντες B 31, 73 Damasc, : txt CD¹FN¹ 17 Clem Cyr. διακονους D1 vulg: ministros ut -i G-lat.

μηδεμ., μηδενί, are not = οὐδεμ. - οὐδενί,but, see on ch. v. 21, subjectively saidwe exhort, being such as give, &c.: so 1 Cor. x. 33, έγὼ πάντα πᾶσιν ἀρέσκω, μή ζητών κ.τ.λ. προκοπή = σκάν-δαλον, οτ πρόκουμα, Rom. xiv. 13. μωμηθή] μωματθαι, 'to reproach' (see Winer, edn. 6, § 38. 7. a), is one of those deponent verbs which have an aorist passive: so διαλέγεσθαι, βούλεσθαι, δύ-νασθαι, σπλαγχνίζεσθαι, &c. The διακονία, the office itself, would be reproached, if cause of offence were found in the character of its bearers. 4. Meyer well remarks the position of συνίστ. ἐαυτούς. When the words signified 'to recommend ourselves,' in a bad sense, ch. iii. 1, v. 12, — ἐαυτ. preceded the verb: but here and ch. iv. 2, where used in a good sense, and without any stress on έαυτούς, it follows the verb. This is only one of continually occurring instances of the importance of the collocation of words with regard to the emphasis. διάκονοι] not διακόνους: recommending ourselves, as ministers of God should do. The ambiguity of the E. V. might have been avoided by a different arrangement of words: 'in all things, as the ministers of God, approving ourselves.' The following datives are a specification of $\pi \alpha \nu \tau i$; but not all of the which, some, situations in which, some both these. Bengel remarks: "Insignis gradatio. Sequentur ter tria patienda (i. e. from θλίψεσιν to νηστείαις), quibus patientia (ὑπομονή) exercetur; pressuræ, - plage, - labores. Primus ternarius continet genera, secundus, species ndversorum : tertia spontanea" (but qu?: see below). So that the ὑπομονή πολλή belongs to vv. 4, 5, and ver. 6 goes on to other points. στενοχ.] See ch. iv. 8, note. 5.] On πληγ., see reff.

φυλακ.] At Philippi only as yet, as far as we know from the narrative of the Acts;

-but there must have been many other occasions, see ch. xi. 23. He may have been imprisoned at Antioch in Pisidia, Aets xiii. 50, and at Lystra, xiv. 19, and at Corinth, xviii. 12, 14: and we cannot tell what may have befallen him during his journeys, Acts xv. 41; xvi. 6; xviii. 23. ἐν ἀκαταστ.] in tumults, see Acts xiii. 50; xiv. 5, 19; xvi. 22; xvii. 5; xviii. 12, and above all, xix. 23-41. The sense given by Chrys., al., τδ μηδαμοῦ δύνασθαι στηναι έλαυνόμενον, is philologically allowable, cf. Demosth. 383. 7, ακατάστατον ως περ εν θαλάττη πνεθμα, and James i. 8, and Polyb. xxxi. 13. 6, ύποδεικνύων αὐτοῖς τὴν ἀκαταστασίαν τῆς βασιλείας,-but not found in N. T.

έν κόποις usually, and here, signifies 'labour in the Lord,' for his sake, see reff. So also κοπιάω, Rom. xvi. 6, 12 (bis), and reff. Chrys., al., interpret it of his manual work, 1 Cor. iv. 12; and ἀστατοῦμεν and κοπιῶμεν occurring there together certainly gives some semblance to the view: but see ch. xi. 23, where this can hardly be; it is most probable that the weariness of his excessive apos-tolic labour was in his mind.

άγρυπνίαις] Chrys. says, τὰς νύκτας ἐν als εδίδασκεν, ή ὅτι καὶ ἐν αὐταις εἰργά-ζετο. But I would rather believe the άγρυπνίαι to have been watchings through anxiety for the churches. ev vnoteiais] This is generally, and by De W. against Meyer, taken to refer to involuntary hunger and thirst. But, as the latter remarks, the word does not appear to be ever so used; and in ch. xi. 27, Paul himself distinguishes ἐν νηστείαις from ἐν λιμφ κ. δίψει. The meaning of fastings must therefore be retained. So Chrys., Theodoret, and Calvin. 6. The nine preceding datives (see on ver. 4) have expanded ὑπομονη̂. We now resume the main catalogue, with ἐν ἀγνότητι, in purity: which is variously explained: of κροθυμία, ἐν ^d χρηστότητι, ἐν πνεύματι ἀγίω, ἐν ἀγάπη εποπ. xii.9 ε ἀνυποκρίτω, ⁷ ἐν [†] λόγω [†] ἀληθείας, ἐν ^ε δυνάμει ^ε θεοῦ, [†] Εβμ. 1.3. ἐιὰ τῶν ^h ὅπλων τῆς δικαιοσύνης τῶν [†] δεξίων και ^π ἀριστερῶν, ⁸ διὰ [†] δόξης καὶ ^m ἀτμμίας, διὰ ⁿ δυςφημίας και ^a ἐνφημίας, ὡς ^p πλάνοι καὶ ^q ἀληθεῖς, ⁹ ὡς [†] ἀγνοού ωπ xiii.3. ^(Rom. vi.1.3) above (honly. Gen. xiv. 15. ¹ = John γ. 41, 41 al. ^m Rom. 1.9 terefin conly †. 1 Macc. vii. 38. Esdr. i. 43 [2] λld. (ονοσέβεια, ναι. P.) only. (μεῖν, † Cor. iv. 13. ο here only †. P. και. 2 Syam. (μογ. Phil. iv. 8.) ^m Agu. 1. 1 Tim. iv. 1. 2 John γ. (tox. xiv. 8.5 α.l. 1.2 2.2 terel. it. 2 al. ^m γ αμι, μος τος 1. 1 Tim. iv. 1. 2 John γ. 1 cor. xiv. 8.5 α.l. 1.2 2.2 τerel. it. 2 al. ^m γ ανω), η Matr. xxii. 16. John iii. 33. Rom. iii. 41. γιαν. γιαν. κiv. 8.5 α.l. 1.2 2.2 τerel. it. 2 al.

9. for idou, $\epsilon \tau \iota$ F. for maidevomeson, neigrazomeson D^1F (temptati D-lat G-lat Ambrst).

bodily chastity, Grot.:—of unselfishness, Theodoret, and Chrys., as an alternative ($\hat{\eta}$ αφοροσίνην, $\hat{\eta}$ την \hat{v} απασι καθαρότητα, $\hat{\eta}$ το δαροσόδκητον, $\hat{\eta}$ καὶ το δαρεάν το ελαγγ, κηρόττειν):—I prefer the second of Chrys.'s meanings, general purity of character, elλικρίνεια,—unblameableness of life, and singleness of purpose. Εν γνώσει] knowledge of the Gospel, in a high and singular degree; see I Cor. ii. 6 ff. So Chrys.: σοφία τῆ παρὰ τοῦ θεοῦ οὲδομέγρ. χρηστότητι] kind-ness: a kind and considerate demeanour.

έν πν. άγίω] in the Holy Spirit, as the Power by Whom all these motives are wrought. The omission of the article, aft. $\epsilon \nu$, constitutes no objection to this rendering, as Bp. Middleton (in loc.) supposes: cf. διὰ πν. άγίου τοῦ δοθέντος ήμιν, Rom. v. 5,—and the very same words as these, 1 Thess. i. 5,-in both which places the meaning is undoubted; neither of which, however, is noticed by Middleton. The words do not appear to hold any logical place in the list, any more than ἐν δυν. θεοῦ below. 7. ἐν λόγ. ἀληθ.] is taken by De W., Meyer, al., as subjective,- 'in speaking, or teaching truth'-'in discourse, the contents whereof were truth:' but their objection against the sense in the word of truth, $\equiv \vec{\epsilon}\nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda \delta \gamma \varphi \tau \hat{\eta} s \hat{\alpha} \lambda \eta - \theta \epsilon \hat{\alpha} s$, as it is expressed Col. i. 5, is not valid,—on account (1) of the government by a preposition, which would make the insertion of the article optional,-(2) of the whole catalogue being anarthrous, which would cause the article to be omitted for uniformity's sake. έν δυν. θεοῦ viz. the Power spoken of ch. iv. 7,the power manifested in every part of our apostolic working, — not merely in miracles. διὰ τ. ὅπλ. τ. δικ.] By means of (ev is changed for did, first apparently on account of τὰ ὅπλα, marking them more distinctly as instruments,—and then continued) the weapons of righteousness (belonging to,-or as Meyer, furnished by,—the righteousness which is of faith. That panoply, part of which only in the more particular specification of Eph. vi. 13—17, viz. the $\theta \tilde{\omega} \rho a \xi$, is allotted to $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \delta \nu \eta$,—is here all assigned to it.

Some of the ancient Commentators,— Chrys., Œcum, al., and Grot., Estius, al., understand by $\delta\pi\lambda\alpha$, 'instruments,' as in Rom. vi. 13, and interpret these instruments to be, situations and opportunities of life, whether prosperous, $\delta\epsilon\xi$, or adverse, $\lambda\rho\omega\tau\epsilon\rho\Delta$: but the other interpretation is in better accordance with the Apostle's habit of comparison,—see ch. x. 4; Eph. vi. 13 ff.; 1 Thess. v. 8).

τῶν δεξ. κ. ἀριστ.] which are on the right and left: i. e. encompassing and guarding the whole person. Grot., Bengel, and most recent Commentators, even De W. and Meyer, explain it, both right-handed,—i. e. of attack, the sword and spear, -and left-handed, -i. e. of defence, the shield: but it seems to me that this would require των δεξιών και των άριστερῶν: whereas now, no article being inserted before ἀριστ., it is implied that the panoply (τὰ ὅπλα) is on both sides (δεξιὰ κ. ἀριστερά) of the person. On the interpretation prosperity and adversity, see above. 8.] Perhaps the instrumental signification of $\delta i \acute{\alpha}$ need not be strictly retained. The preposition, once adopted, is kept for the sake of parallelism, though with various shades of meaning. I would understand it in διὰ δοξ., &c., as in διὰ πολλών δακρύων, as pointing out the medium through which. Thus understood, these two pairs in ver. 8 will form an easy transition from instrumental, through medial, to the passive characteristics which ώς πλάνοι From speaking of repute, he passes to the character of the repute. In all these capacities and under all these representations or misrepresentations, we, as ministers of God, re-commend ourselves. But in these following clauses a new point is perhaps brought out,

11. ins ω bef κορινθιοι F vulg Thl.

for 2nd ημων, υμων X.

viz. the difference of our real state from our reputed one. That this is the case with &s ἀποθν. κ. ίδου ζῶμεν and all following, is of course clear. But is it so with the two clauses preceding that one? Do they mean, 'as deceivers, and yet true, as unknown, and yet well known,' or,- 'as deceivers, and as true men, as unknown, and as well known?' I own I am not clear on this point. The words καὶ ἰδοὺ ζῶμεν may be an indication how the Apostle would have the previous two clauses understood; but they also may be a transition, altering the previous reference of the second member of the clause, now that the subject is no longer matter of rumour, as πλάνοι and ἀγνοούμενοι, but matter of faet, as ἀποθνήσκοντες, and the following. If the latter alternative be taken, the two clauses will serve as a transition to the subsequent ones, thus: having said, διὰ δυσφημίας κ. εὐφημίας, he proceeds ώς πλάνοι (nnswering to δυςφ.) και άληθεις (answering to εὐφ.), - ωs ἀγνοούμενοι (still having δυσφ. in view, -as 'unknown,' of obscure reputation), και ἐπιγινωσκόμενοι (still looking hack at εὐφ., seeing that the ἐπίγνωσις would lead to good repute): then, having by the participles of the latter clause expressed more a matter of fact than did the adjectives of the former one, he passes to ώς ἀποθνήσκοντες, which has no longer its main reference to the repute of others, but to the fact, see ch. iv. 7 ff., as exhibited in himself. I confess that on the whole this rendering recommends itself to my mind.

9.] καὶ ίδοὺ ζῶμεν is much stronger, more trimnphant, than καὶ ζῶντες. There is something still of the idea of one reputed dead and found to be alive; though I would not say with Meyer that ὡς ἀποθν, altogether refers to a supposed triumph of his adversaries, "Now it is all over with him! His course is ended!" ὡς παιδ.] Surely we must now drop altogether the putntive meaning of the ὡς. The sense has been (see above) some time verging that way, and in the clauses which follow the ὡς expresses just what it does in ὡς θεοῦ διάκονοι, viz. 'quippe qui simus.' Ps.

exvii. 18, LXX, seems to have been in his mind: παιδεύων ἐπαίδευσέ με δ κύριος, και τῷ θανάτῳ οὐ παρέδωκέ με... 10. Here even more clearly than before, the first member of the clause ώς λυπ. ἀελ δέ χαίρ, cannot express the opinion of his adversaries. For however παιδευόμενος might be wrested to signify 'a man under the chastisement of God, as a ground of reproach, λυπούμενος will surely not bear the meaning 'folder ber nach gewohnlicher menschlicher Unsicht traurig fenn mußte,' one in such a situation, that according to ordinary human estimation he must be wretched,' as De Wette,-but must point to the matter of fact, that he is really 'afflicted.' See reff. πτωχοί again can hardly have been a reproach, but sets forth the fact-as poor men, but enriching (not by distribution of alms, as Chrys., Theodoret, Estius, but by imparting spiritual riches, see 1 Cor. i. 5) many:-as having nothing (in the sense in which of έχοντες are ως μη έχοντες, 1 Cor. vii. 29, —in the improper sense of 'to possess' in which we here use the word—thus, we have nothing, are destitute), but possessing (finally and as our own, our inheritance never to be taken away; in that sense of the word 'to possess' which this world's buyers are not to use-of ayopa cortes, is μή κατέχοντες, 1 Cor. vii. 30) all things. See a similar 'possession of all things,' 1 Cor. iii. 22: though this reaches further than even that, - to the houndless riches of the heavenly inheritance.

11—VII. 1] EARNEST EXHORTATIONS TO SEPARATION FROM UNBELIEF AND IMPURITY. 11—13.] These verses form a conclusion to the preceding outpouring of his heart with regard to his apostolic ministry, and at the same time a transition to the exhortations which are to follow.

ast: 11.] Our (my) mouth is open (not past: the use of ἀνέφγα for ἀνέφγα is common in later Greek: see Palm and Rost's Lex., and ref. I Cor. Rückert takes it as past, and renders, 'I have begun to speak with you, I have not concealed my apostolic sentiments—I cannot shut my

ή καρδία ἡμῶν $^{\rm a}$ πεπλάτυνται $^{\rm 12}$ οὐ $^{\rm b}$ στενοχωρείσθε έν $^{\rm a}$ here bis, Matt. xxiii.5 ἡμῖν, $^{\rm b}$ στενοχωρείσθε δὲ ἐν τοῖς $^{\rm c}$ σπλάγχνοις ὑμῶν $^{\rm cont}$ χίνει $^{\rm 13}$ τὴν δὲ αὐτὴν $^{\rm d}$ ἀντιμισθίαν ($^{\rm c}$ ὡς τέκνοις λέγω) $^{\rm e}$ πλα $^{\rm b}$ here bis, ch. 15, honly, 15 τύνθητε καὶ ὑμεῖς. $^{\rm 14}$ Μὴ $^{\rm f}$ γίνεσθε $^{\rm g}$ ἐτεροζυγοῦντες $^{\rm h}$ ἀπίτ $^{\rm cont}$ τύνθητε καὶ ὑμεῖς. $^{\rm 14}$ Μὴ $^{\rm f}$ γίνεσθε $^{\rm g}$ ἐτεροζυγοῦντες $^{\rm h}$ ἀπίτ $^{\rm cont}$ τον $^{\rm cont}$ τ

only, c - ch. vii. 15. Phil. i. 8. Philem. 20. Prov. xii. 10. d Rom. i. 27 only t. e Actas xii. 22. 1 Cor. x. 15. fw. particip. - Heb. v. 12. Rev. iii. 2. Mic. iii. 1, see Acta ii. 5 reff. h g bere only v. (¬70°, LEV. xiix. 19.) see 1 Cor. xii. 21. - 1 Cor. vii. 6 reff.

12. om $\delta \epsilon$ C a l. 13. vmas F. 14. ins $\kappa \alpha \iota$ bef $\mu \eta$ F D-lat(and F-lat G-lat) arm Ambrst.

orst. for απιστοις, μετα

mouth, but must go on speaking to you yet further.' The word seems to refer to the free and open spirit shewn in the whole previous passage on the ministry, in which he had so liberally imparted his inner feelings to them) towards you, Corinthians (καὶ ή προςθήκη δὲ τοῦ ὀνόματος φιλίας πολλής, και θερμότητος και διαθέσεως καὶ γὰρ εἰώθαμεν τῶν ἀγαπωμένων συν-εχῶς γυμνὰ τὰ ὀνόματα περιστρέφειν, Chrys. See Phil. iv. 15; Gal. iii. 1, which last is written under a very different feeling),-our (my) heart has become enlarged. These last words are very variously explained. Chrys., Theodoret, Ec., al., understand them of the expansire effect of love on the heart: Luther, Estius, al., of dilatio gaudii, which does not however agree with πλατύνθητε καὶ ὑμεῖs below: nor with the general context, either of what precedes or of what follows: for to refer it to ch. vii. 4, as Estius, is evidently far-fetched, the intermediate matter being of such a different character. Alii aliter. Meyer holds with Chrys., and refers it to the preceding passage, during which his heart became expanded in love to them. De Wette takes it, 'I have poured out, enlarged and diffused, my heart to you,' viz. by speaking thus open-hearted to you. I believe the precise sense will only be found by taking into account the πλατύνθ. κ. ὑμεῖs below, and the occurrence of the expression in the Psalm (reff.: cf. εν πλατυσμφ, ib., ver. 45). Some light is also thrown upon it by χωρήσατε ήμας, ch. vii. 2. The heart is considered as a space, wherein its thoughts and feelings We have seen the same are contained. figure in our expression 'narrow-minded.' In order to take in a new object of love, or of desire, or of ambition, the heart must be enlarged: δδδν ἐντολῶν σου ἔδραμον, ὅταν ἐπλάτυνας τὴν καρδίαν μου. The Apostle has had his heart enlarged towards the Corinthians: he could and did take them in, with their infirmities, their interests, their Christian graces, their defects and sins: but they did not and could not take him in (χωρησαι αὐ $\tau \delta \nu$): he was misunderstood by them, and his relation to them disregarded. This he here asserts, and deprecates. He assures them of their place in his heart, which is wide enough for, and does contain them; and refers back to this verse in ch. vii. 3, thus, προείρηκα ὅτι ἐν ταῖς καρδίαις ἡμῶν έστε . . . He tells them, ver. 12.] that they are not straitened in him, i. e. that any constraint which they may feel towards him, any want of confidence in him and persuasion of his real appreciation of their state and interests, arose, not from his being really unable to appreciate them, and love them, and advise them,-but from their own confined view of him, of his love, his knowledge of and feeling for them.

13.] την αὐτην ἀντην., as τον δρωουν τρόπον, Jude 7, κλισίας, Luke ix. 14, not governed hy κατά understood, but in fact an accus. of a remoter object, answering in many cases exactly to the further removed of the two accusatives in the double accusative government. The sense seems to be compounded of τον αὐτον τρόπον, and ἀντημοθίαν, In the same manner, as a return for my largeness of heart to you.

ώς τέκνοις λ. explains ἀντιμισθίαν,it being naturally expected of children that they should requite the love and care of their parents, by corresponding love and regard. 14-VII.1.] Separate yourselves from unbelief and impurity. On the nature of the connexion, Stanley has some good remarks. He now applies to circumstances which had arisen among the Corinthians the exhortation which in ver. 1 he described himself as giving in pursuance of his ministry of reconciliation. The following exhortations are general, and hardly to be pressed as applying only to partaking of meats offered to idols, as Calv., al., or to marriage with unbelievers, as Estius,—but regard all possible connexion and participation,-all leanings towards a return to heathenism which might be bred by too great familiarity with heathens. Become not ('ne fiatis, molliter pro: ne sitis,' Bengel: rather, perhaps, as expressing, 'do not enter into those re-

see note.'

9 Acts v. 45 reff.

9 Acts v. 45 reff.

10 Acts v. 45 reff.

11 Cot. iii. 16, vl. 19. Jer. vii. 4.

12 Cot. x. 19 reff.

13 Rom. viii. 11. Col. iii. 16, vl. 19. Jer. vii. 4.

14 Cot. x. 19 reff.

15 Acts v. 15 and note.

16 Rom. viii. 11. Col. iii. 16, vl. 19. Jer. vii. 4.

17 Tim. i. 5, 14 only. (ant l. c.) Lev. xxvi. 32 al.

18 Acts v. 45 reff.

19 Acts v. 45 reff.

19 Cot. x. 19 reff.

20 Acts v. 45 reff.

21 Cot. x. 19 reff.

22 Tim. i. 5, 14 only. (ant l. c.) Lev. xxvi. 32 al.

23 Acts v. 45 reff.

24 Cot. x. 19 reff.

25 Acts v. 45 reff.

26 Acts v. 45 reff.

27 Tim. i. 5, 14 only. (ant l. c.) Lev. xxvi. 32 al.

28 Acts v. 45 reff.

29 Acts v. 45 reff.

20 Acts v. 45 reff.

20 Acts v. 45 reff.

21 Cot. x. 19 reff.

22 Tim. i. 5, 14 only. (ant l. c.) Lev. xxvi. 32 al.

23 Acts v. 45 reff.

26 Acts v. 45 reff.

27 Tim. i. 5, 14 only. (ant l. c.) Lev. xxvi. 32 al.

απιστων F latt lat-ff. δικαιοσυνης και αδικιας D¹, also (-νη κ. -ια) D³: δικαιοσυνης μετα (και Orig) ανομιας F latt Orig some-lat-ff. rec (for η τις) τις δε, with K rel syr Chr Thdrt Cosmas Thl Œc Tert,: txt BCDFLN d m 17 latt Syr syr-marg copt arm Clem Damseo Orig-int Cypr Lucif Ambrst Jer.

Člem Damase Orig-int Cypr Lucif Ambrst Jer.

15. rec χριστω (prob corrn for conformn to φωτι preceding), with DFKL rel vss Clem-ed, Orig, Can-apost-ed Tert,: txt BCN 17 vulg(and F-lat) D-lat copt Clem-(and ms,) Orig, Can-apost-mss Damase lat-ff.
elz βελιαλ, with (none of our mss) vulg (alat Tit-ed: βελιαλ DK m syr-marg-gr goth/Beliam) many mentioned by Jer("corrupte") Thdrt,: βελιαβ F D-lat: txt BCLN rel fuld(and harl¹) syr copt æth arm Orthod Clem, Origubique Nyssen Naz Bas Ephr Chr Thdrt_{sepe} Damase.
πιστου B 18 8-pe copt.

16. ημεις and εσμεν BD¹LΝ¹ 17 D-lat copt (Clem) Did Aug₁: txt CD³FK(N³) rel vulg syrr goth Ath Chr Thdrt Damase Jacob-nisib Orig-int Lucif Tert.—ναοι Ν¹.—εστε bef θεον Ν³. for καθως είπεν, λεγει γαρ D¹(and lat) F, dicit enim G-lat goth Tert Aug₁. for αντων, αντοις F(and G-lat) copt Orig₂. for μοι, μον BCN m 17 Eus₂ Damase: txt DFKL rel vss Clem Orig Ath Cyr-jer Thdrt lat-ff.

17. [εξελθατε, so BCFN 17 Damasc.]

lations in which you must become') incongruous yokefellows (the word and idea from ref. Levit. Hesych.: ετερόζυγοι οί μη συζυγοῦντες. Grot. explains it, 'alteram partem jugi trahere,' but this does not give the force of έτερο: - Theophyl., μη άδικεῖτε τὸ δίκαιον ἐπικλινόμενοι κ. προςκλινόμενοι of où θέμις: so making the simile that of an unequal balance: but this could hardly be without more precise notification) with unbelievers (Winer explains the construction, edn. 6, § 31. 10, note 4, thus, μη γίν. έτεροζυγοῦντες, καὶ οὕτως δμοζυγουντες ἀπίστοις: better, as De W., μη γίν. δμος. ἀπίστοις κ. ούτως έτεροζυμετοχή] 'share in the γοῦντες). same thing,' community. δικαιοσ. is the state of the Christian, being justified by faith: he is therefore excluded from avoμίa, the proper fruit of faith being obedience. φωτί, of which we are the children, I Thess. v. 5, and not of darkness.

Meyer remarks, that the fivefold variation of the term to express partnership, - μετοχή, κοινωνία, συμφώνησις, μερίς, συγκατάθεσις, shews the Apostle's command of the Greek language. The construction of κοινωνία with a dat. and πρός, is illustrated by Wetst. from Stobæus, S.

28, εὶ δέ τις ἔστι κοινωνία πρὸς θεοὺς ἡμῖν, - and Philo, leg. ad Caium, § 14, vol. ii. p. 561, τίς οὖν κοινωνία πρὸς ᾿Απόλλωνα, τῷ μηδὲν οἰκείον ἡ συγγενὲς ἐπιτετηδευκότι; 15.] After a question beginning with $\pi \hat{\omega} s$, τis , and the like, a second question is regularly introduced by δέ. Thus Hom. Od. a. 225, τίς δαίς, τίς δὲ ὅμιλος, ὅδ' ἔπλετο; see Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 169. βελίαρ Heb. בלימל, 'contemptibleness,' 'wickedness:' found 1 Sam. ii. 12 al., and variously translated by the LXX. Theod. has retained the original form in Judg. xix. 22. It appears to have been subsequently personified, and used, as here, for a name of the Evil One (see Stanley). The termination -αρ is stated by Meyer to have arisen from the frequent permutation of λ and ρ in the dialect of the Grecian Jews. 16. ovyκατάθ., 'agreement in opinions:' see reff., and cf. Plato, Gorg. § 122, σὸ δὲ δὴ πότερον συγκατατίθεσαι ήμιν περί τούτων την αὐτὴν δόξαν ἡ ἀντιφῆς; ναῷ θεοῦ, between you, the Church of God, see below, and 1 Cor. iii. 16; - είδώλων, idols, ns the lords and ἐπώνυμοι of the heathen ύμεις γάρ] explanation of ναφ θεοῦ as applying to them, and justification

" έκ μέσου αὐτῶν καὶ " ἀφορίσθητε, λέγει κύριος, καὶ " λατε χίχ. 9 γ ἀκαθάρτου μὴ ἄπτεσθε' κάγὼ " εἰςδίξομαι ύμᾶς. 18 καὶ " επίτ. 6. α ἔσομαι ὑμῖν " εἰς πατέρα, καὶ ὑμεῖς " ἔσεσθέ μοι " εἰς υἰοὺς " ἐπέτ. καὶ θυγατέρας λέγει κύριος " παντοκράτωρ. VII. 1 ταύ " λάν. Χίχ. 1 τας οὖν ἔχοντες τὰς " ἐπαγγελίας, " ἀγαπητοί, " καθαρίσωμεν ' ἑαυτοὺς ἀπὸ παντὸς $^{\rm g}$ μολυσμοῦ $^{\rm h}$ σαρκὸς καὶ $^{\rm h}$ πνεύ ταντος, $^{\rm i}$ ἐπιτελοῦντες $^{\rm k}$ ἁγιωσύνην ἐν φόβῳ θεοῦ.

of it by a citation from the prophetic Scriptures. The words eited are compounded of Levit. xxvi. 12, and Ezek. xxxvii. 26, 27.

17. The necessity of separation from the heathen enforced by another citation,-Isa. lii. 11,—freely given from memory; κάγω εἰςδέξ. ύμ. being moreover substituted, from Ezek. xx. 34, for προπορεύσεται γὰρ πρότερος ὑμῶν κύριος, κ. ὁ ἐπισυνάγων ὑμᾶς θεὸς Ἰσραήλ. The ἀκάθαρτον must be understood of the pollutions of heathenism generally, not of any one especial polluted thing, as meat offered to idols. 18.] The citation continues, setting forth the blessings promised to those who do thus come out from heathendom. Various passages of the O. T. are combined. In 2 Kings vii. 14 (LXX), we have έγω ἔσομαι αὐτῷ εἰς πατ., κ. αὐτὸς ἔσται μοι είς υίόν - the expression οἱ υίοί μου and ai θυγατέρες μου is found Isa. xliii. 6: and τάδε λέγει κύριος παντοκράτωρ begins the section from which the former clauses are taken, 2 Kings vii. 8 (LXX).

VII. 1] Inference from the foregoing citations:—seeing that we have such glorious (rawras in the position of emphasis) promises, we are to purify ourselves (not merely, 'keep ourselves pure:' purification belongs to sanctification, and is a gradual work, even after conversion).

σαρκός, as the actual instrument and suggester of pollution: πνεύματος, as the recipient through the flesh, and when the recipient, the retainer and propagator, of uncleanness. The exhortation is general: against impure acts and impure thoughts.

ἐπιτελ. ἀγιωσ., as De W. remarks, gives the positive side of the foregoing negative exhortation: every abnegation and banishing of inpurity is a positive advance of that sanctification, in the fear of God (as its element) to which we are called.

2-16.] CONCERNING THE EFFECT ON THEM, AND RESULTS IN THEIR CONDUCT, WHICH HIS FORMER EPISTLE HAD PRO-VOL. II.

DUCED. 2-4.] He introduces the subject by a friendly assurance of his love and bespeaking of theirs, as before in ch. vi. 11, 13. 2.] χωρήσ, see above on ch. vi. 13; δέξασθε ἡμᾶς πλατέως, κ. μὴ στενοχωρώμεθα ἐν ὑμᾶν. Theophyl. De Wette, after Bengel, al., renders it, *understand us rightly,* referring to ref. Matt.: but even there the meaning is *to take in,* and only *to understand rightly,* because τὸν λόγον τοῦτον follows. And as Meyer συνανίστης and and with the here says.

οὐδένα ἦδ., κ.τ.λ.] Reasons why they should make room for him in their hearts: We (when he dwelt among them,-the aorists refer to a set time, not to his course hitherto) wronged no man (in outward acts, namely,-in the exercise of his apostolic authority, or the like),-we ruined no man (this probably also of outward conduct towards others, not as Calv., al., of corrupting by false doctrine), -we cheated no man. To understand, with Rückert, these verbs as applying to the contents of the former Epistle, is very forced. If ήδικ. had really referred to the severe punishment of the incestuous person, -- ἐφθείρ. to the delivering him over to Satan, -- and έπλεον, to the power which Paul gained over them by this act of authority, -surely we should have found more express indication of such reference in the text. But no allusion has as yet been made to the former Epistle; and therefore it is much better to understand the words generally of the time when he resided among them. "In how many ways of which history says nothing, may such ruining of others have been laid to the charge of Paul? How easily might his severe visitation of sin, his zeal for eleemosynary collec-tions, his habit of lodging with members of the churches, and the like, have been thus unfavourably characterized!" Meyer: who remarks, that the emphatic position of οὐδένα thrice repeated is no

Chap. VII. 3. rec ou bef $\pi\rho\sigma$ katakrish, with DFKL rel vss gr-lat-ff; om ou 17: txt BCR. aft $\sigma\tau$ ins $\epsilon\sigma\tau\epsilon$ (but marked for erasure) \aleph^1 . $\nu\mu\omega\nu$ \aleph^1 . om $\epsilon\sigma\tau\epsilon$ B. 4. At $\pi\rho\sigma$ $\nu\mu\alpha\sigma$ ins $\epsilon\sigma\tau\nu$ D'(and lat). ins $\epsilon\nu$ bef $\tau\eta$ cara B(sie in cod). om 3rd $\tau\eta$ F: aft $\pi\alpha\sigma\eta$ $\tau\eta$ ins $\pi\delta\lambda\lambda\eta$ D'.

5. for $\epsilon\sigma\chi\eta\kappa\epsilon\nu$, $\epsilon\sigma\chi\epsilon\nu$ BFK: txt CDLN rel Chr Thdrt $_2$ Damasc. $\alpha\nu\epsilon\sigma\nu$ bef $\epsilon\sigma\chi$. CF d latt Thdrt $_2$ lat-ff.

confirmation of Rückert's view. 3. I do not say it (ver. 2) for condemnation (with a condemnatory view, in a spirit of blame: there is no ύμων expressed, nor should it be supplied. He means, 'I do not say ver. 2 in any but a loving spirit'): for (and this shews it) I have said before (viz. ch. vi. 11 f. see note there) that ye are in our hearts (this was implied in ἡ καρδία ἡμῶν πεπλάτυνται, vi. 11. In the qualifying words, εἰς τὸ συν. κ.τ.λ., Paul, as Meyer says, is his own commentator), to die together and live together. This is ordinarily understood, 'so that I could die with you or live with you,'-as Hor., 'Tecum vivere amem, tecum obeam libens,' Od. iii. 9. 24: which Meyer controverts, owing to ὑμεῖs being the subject of the sentence, and renders, 'in order to die and to live with us:' i. e. 'if our lot is to die, in death, -and if our lot is to live, in life, never to be torn from our hearts.' But to this I would reply, that though bueis is the subject of ev rais καρδ. ήμ. έστε, it is but an accidental and secondary subject as regards the whole sentence: that they are present in his heart, is a sign, not of their state of mind, but of his: therefore the purpose, els To, must refer logically to him, the main subject, of whom only the purposes can come into consideration. 4. παρόησία, as in reff., confidence, which leads to and justifies καύχησις: not here 'liberty of speech,' as Chrys., nl. καύχ., to others, in speaking of them. τη παρ., the consolation (which I have received), viz. that furnished by the intelligence from you. Though this is anticipating what follows

been already before the Apostle's mind, and to have been referred to by the articles before $\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\kappa\lambda$, and $\chi\alpha\rho$. On the construction of πληρόω with an instrumental dative, see reff., and Winer, edn. 6, § 31. 7. So Eurip. Herc. Fur. 372, πεύκαισιν χέρας πληροῦντες,—and Bacchæ 18, μιγάσιν Έλλησι βαρβάροις θ' όμοῦ πλήρεις έχουσα καλλιπυργώτους πόλεις. ὑπερ β .] I am made exceedingly to abound, see Matt. xiii. 12. The pres. indicates the abiding of the effect. χαρά, with the joy; see above. πάσ. τ. θλ. ήμ., in (reff.) all my tribulation: refers to both preceding clauses. What θλίψις he means, is explained in the next verse. πάση here not of all tribulation, at all times, which the special reference of παρακλ. and χαρά forbids: but of various sorts of tribulation as specified (ἐν παντί) below. 5-7.7 The intelligence received from them through Titus, and its comforting effect on the Apostle's mind. 5.] γάρ gives a reason for θλίψει above: καί connects with ch. ii. 12, 13, where he has spoken of the trouble which he had before leaving Troas. For also, after our coming to Macedonia, our flesh had no rest (there is a slight, but very slight, distinction from οὐκ ἔσχηκα ἄνεσιν τῷ πνεύματί μου, ch. ii. 12. Titus was now present, so that that source of inquietude was removed; but the outward ones, of fightings generating inward fears (but see below), yet remained. No further distinction must be drawn-for ἔσωθεν φόβοι evidently shows that σάρξ must be taken in a wide

vv. 7, 9, I cannot but believe it to have

 8 ἔσωθεν φόβοι. 6 ἀλλ' ὁ kl παρακαλῶν τοὺς 1m ταπεινοὺς k $^{-\text{ch. i. 4}}$ k παρεκάλεσεν ἡμᾶς ὁ θεὸς n ἐν τῆ o παρουσία n Τίτου kl $^{lsa, xlk: 13, models, kle. 162, models <math>^{n}$ ἐν τῆ o παρουσία αὐτοῦ, ἀλλὰ καὶ n ἐν τῆ n k παρακλήσει n k παρεκλήθη p ἐφ΄ ὑμῖν, q ἀναγγέλλων ἡμῖν τὴν ὑμῶν r ἔπιπόθησιν, τὸν ὑμῶν s ὁδυρμόν, τὸν ὑμῶν r Γον, iii.31 την όμων επιποσήσεν, τον όμων οσούμον, τον όμων στο το τε $\frac{8}{2}$ στι εί $\frac{1}{2}$ επίστολη τον άπερ εμούν, ωςτε με $\frac{1}{2}$ μάλλον $\frac{1}{2}$ χαρήναι. $\frac{8}{2}$ στι εί $\frac{1}{2}$ επίστολη, ου $\frac{1}{2}$ μεταμέλομαι, εί $\frac{1}{2}$ επίστολη τε επίστολη έκείνη εί και $\frac{1}{2}$ χαι. $\frac{1}{2}$

o. om 2nd o C 4.

7. ην παρεκληθην D¹.

for εν, επι C Chr Thl-marg.

for εφ, εν I. for $\eta \mu \nu$, $\nu \mu \nu \aleph^1$. με aft μαλλον D Thdrt: aft χαρηναι F arm: om K m 31-5-9. 109-14 lect-13.

8. aft $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \lambda \eta$ ins $\mu \circ \nu$ DF. for 2nd ει και, ει δε και Β. om yap B D'(and lat) Ambrst-ms Aug Bede: videns quod vulg. (The varr arise from attempts to clear the constr, making ϵ_i $\delta \epsilon$ kai μ , the beginning of a new sentence, and $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega$, without γ aρ, the apodosis, - or β λεπων κ.τ.λ. a qualifying clause: see also notes.) bef ελυπησεν F.

sense); without, fightings (the omission of \$\eta \sigma \nu renders the description more graphic), within, fears. Chrys., έξωθ. μάχαι παρὰ τῶν ἀπίστων ἔσωθ. φόβοι διὰ τοὺς ασθενείς των πιστών. So Calv., Grot., Wetst., al., slightly varying in their assignment of each class. But it is better, as Paul speaks of ή σαρξ ήμων, to understand ἔξωθεν of the state of things without him, contentions with adversaries, either within or without the church, and εσωθεν of that within, fears, for ourselves, for others, or for you, how you might have received our letter. 6.] τους ταπεινούς, generally, those that are low: ήμας, as belonging to that class. It was not finding Titus which had given him such uneasiness in Troas, ch. ii. 12. ev, not 'by,' but in, as the conditional element or vehicle of the consolation. So also in next verse. 7. ålla kal] not only but also with the comfort with which he was comforted concerning you: i. e. 'we shared in the comfort which Titus felt in recording to us your desire,' &c. see ver. 13. He rejoiced in announcing the news: we in hearing them. There is no inaccuracy of construction, as De W. supἐπιπόθησιν, either longing to see me, or longing to fulfil my wishes. The όδυρμόν, former is the more simple. —ἐπὶ τῆ ἐπιτιμήσει μου τῆ ἐν τῆ πρώτη ζηλον ὑπὲρ ἐπιστολη, as Œcum. έμοῦ The art. is omitted after (ηλον, as in τῶν ἀδελφῶν μου τῶν συγγενῶν κατὰ σάρκα, because the words ζηλον ὑπέρ ἐμοῦ cohere in the sense, and form as it were

but one,—see Col. i. 4 (iv. 13, v. r.): and Winer, edn. 6, § 20. 2. \$\mu\lambda\lambda\lambda\rangle μαλλον from its position. 8-11. He expresses his satisfaction at the effect produced on them, as superseding his former regret that he had grieved them.

8.] For (reason of the χαρῆναι)

though I even grieved you in (by means of) my epistle, I do not (now) repent (having written it), though I even did repent it (before the coming of Titus). Erasm., al., take εί και μετεμ. for 'even supposing I repented it before, which was not the case: 'Calv., al. think 'verbum pænitendi improprie positum pro dolorem capere.' The reason of these departures from grammatical construction and the meaning of words, is, for fear the Apostle should seem to have repented of that which he did under the inspiration of the Holy Spirit. But there is no difficulty even on the strictest view of inspiration, in conceiving that the Apostle may have afterwards regretted the severity which he was guided to use; we know that Jonah, being directed by inspiration to pronounce the doom of Nineveh, endeavoured to escape the unwelcome duty: and doubtless St. Paul, as a man, in the weakness of his affection for the Corinthians, was tempted to wish that he had never written that which had given them pain. But the result shewed that God's Spirit had ordered it well, that he should thus write; and this his repentance was repented of again.

 z προς ωραν w ελύπησεν ύμας 9 νῦν χαίρω, οὐχ 0 τι $^{\mathrm{BCDF}}_{\mathrm{KLN}\,\mathrm{a}\,\mathrm{b}}$ z (=) John v. 35. Gal. ii. 5. Phitem. 5. Phitem. 15 only, see 1 Thess ii, 17. a Matt. iii, 11. Rom. x. 10 w έλυπήθητε, αλλ' ὅτι w έλυπήθητε a είς μετάνοιαν w έλυπή- c de fg θητε γάρ κατά θεόν, ίνα εν μηδενί τημιωθητε έξ ήμων. 1017 10 ή γαο δκατά θεον λύπη εμετάνοιαν είς σωτηρίαν al.
b = Rom. vlil.
27. see ch.
xi. 17.
c ch. vi. 3 reff.
d 1 Cor. ini. 15 f αμεταμέλητον g έργαζεται, ή δε του κόσμου λύπη θάνατον h κατεργάζεται. 11 ίδου γαρ ι αυτο ι τουτο k το b κατά Mark i. 4 θεὸν "λυπηθηναι [ύμᾶς] πόσην "κατειργάσατο ύμιν al. fr. f Rom. xi. 29 g = Rom. ii. 10 σπουδήν, m ἀλλὰ α ἀπολογίαν, m ἀλλὰ α ἀγανάκτησιν, $E = \frac{1}{\text{refl.}}$ refl. h = Rom. iv. 15, v. 3, ch. iv. 17 al.
h = Rom. xii. 8, 11 refl. m = 1 Cor. iii. 2.
o here only †. $(-\tau \epsilon i \nu)$, Matt. xx. i Acts xxiv. 15 reff. k=Rom. viii. 26 reff. n=1 Cor. ix. S. (Acts xxv. 16 reff.) Wisd. vi. 10 o here only t. (-τείν, Matt. xx. 24.)

 om νυν D¹(and lat) Syr.
 oin αλλ οτι ελυπηθητε Ν¹: ins N·corr¹ obl.
 ree κατεργαζεται, with FKLΝ³ rel Orig2 Thdrt Thl Œc: txt BCDN¹ m Clem Orig, Chr-mss Damase. (om last clause [homœotel] 17. 31. 1081-14-78.)

11. om vuas (as unnecessary, vuiv occurring below: and to express, as above, the abstract and not the concrete) BCFN1 17 Ambrst Aug: ins DKLN3 rel Clem Bas Chr Thdrt Damase Thl Œe Bede. ins εν bef υμιν CFR3 e d vulg syr Bas Cbr Thdrt

βλέπω γὰρ κ.τ.λ.] For I see that that letter, though but for a time, did grieve you. This seems the only admissible rendering of the words. Chrys. sees in them the reason of οὐ μεταμέλομαι, and adds τδ μέν γὰρ λυπηρὸν βραχύ, τὸ δὲ ἀφέλιμον διηνεκές. It appears then that he would render εί και πρός Εραν, 'if even for a season,' = 'scarcely for any time.' Rinck (lucubr. crit. p. 162) would begin a new sentence with εί και μετεμελόμην, and parenthesizing βλέπω ὑμᾶs, regard νῦν χαίρω, κ.τ.λ. as the apodosis. But this is very unnatural, with so abrupt a beginning as ei kai. It would certainly have been el de kal: and the present, $\beta\lambda\epsilon\pi\omega$, would give no reason for the past, μετεμελόμην, which had passed away. The best sense, as well as the most legitimate rendering, is to regard βλέπω buâs as the epexegesis of ελύπησα, as above.

9. vov, emphatic, as distinguishing χαίρω from μετεμελόμην: now that I know not only of your grief, but of its being grief which worked repentance.

κατὰ θεόν] as E. V., after a godly sort: 'with reference to God,' see ref. Rom. and note: " secundum, hic significat sensum animi Deum spectantis et sequentis," Bengel. αύτη γὰρ ἡ καλὴ λύπη, ὡς τό γε κατ' ἄνθρωπον λυπείσθαι κακόν. Œcum. Cf. κατά ἄνθρωπον, 1 Cor. xv. 32.

 \tilde{i} να, κ.τ.λ.] in order that ye might in nothing be damaged by us: not ¿kβατικώs, so that ye did not , as many Commentators:—the divine purpose of their grief is indicated; 'God so brought it about, in order that your grief occasioned by me might have, not an injurious, but a beneficial effect.' 10.] How 'grief according to God' produces such an effect.

For grief according to God works (brings about, promotes, see ref.) repentance unto salvation which none will regret. ἀμεταμέλητον best belongs to σωτηρίαν, as Vulg., Theophyl., Aug., Est., Fritzsche, Meyer, De Wette; not to μετάνοιαν, as most Commentators:-not necessarily however from the position of the words, as Meyer and De Wette maintain: for what more common than for the predicate of a substantive (είς σωτηρίαν) to be placed between it and a qualifying adjective?-but on account of the sense, and the fact that not ἀμετανόητον, but ἀμεταμέλητον is chosen, so that the play in E. V., 'repentance not to be repented of,' does not seem to have been intended. De W. well explains σωτηρία αμεταμέλητος -'salvation which none will ever regret' having attained, however difficult it may have been to reach, however dearly it may have been bought. ἡ τ. κόσμου λύπη] τί δέ ἐστι, κατὰ κόσμον; ἐὰν λυπηθῆς διὰ χρήματα, διὰ δόξαν, διὰ τὸν ἀπελθόντα. Chrys. τοῦ κόσμ. is subjective: 'the grief felt by the children of this world.' θάνατον] Death eternal, as contrasted with σωτηρίαν: not 'deadly sickness,' or 'suicide,' as Theophyl. (in part, πάντως μέν τον ψυχικόν, πολλάκις δε και τον σωματικόν), al. The grief which contemplates nothing but the blow given, and not the God who chastens. can produce nothing but more and more alienation from Him, and result in eternal banishment from His presence. So that èργάζ. is rather works, 'contributes to,' and κατεργάζ., works out, 'results in.'
11.] The blessed effects of godly

grief on themselves, as shewn by fact. αὐτὸ τοῦτο, this very thing, of " ἀλλὰ φόβον, " ἀλλὰ ρ ἐπιπόθησιν, " ἀλλὰ ζῆλον, ρ τστ. 7 οπίς τ. " ἀλλὰ τ ἐκδίκησιν. εν παντὶ τ συνιστήσατε μ ἑαυτοὺς τ κοπ. κί. 10 τ τ ἐν πράγματι. 12 ἄρα εἰ καὶ ἔγραψα τ κό. 10 κό.

17. 1 Pet. iii. 2. 1 John iii. 3 only. Prov. xx. 9. (-νῶτ, Phil. i.17. -νότητ, ch. vl. 6.) w see 1. Thess. iv. 6. x Eur. Med. 267. z = Λcl is. 19 ref. Rom. xii. 17. a = ch. i. 4, &c. ref. b. h. i. 3, &c. coustr., here only. b. ch. i. 3, &c. ref.

Thi lat-ff: om BDKLN¹ rel copt goth Clem Damase Œe. $\alpha \nu \alpha \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ and $\epsilon \pi \iota \pi \sigma \theta \iota \alpha \nu$ N¹. $[\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \text{ (last)}, \text{ so BD} \text{ }^{\text{I}}\text{FLN} \text{ a b d f m o.}]$ rec ins $\epsilon \nu$ bef $\tau \omega \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau_i$, with D2-3KL rel vss Chr Thdrt Ambrst-ms: txt BCD¹FN 17 vulg goth Clem Damase Pelag Bede.

12. ins αλλ' hef ooke BR³ in 73. elz ημων την υπερ υμων (see notes), with d vulg (and F-lat) goth Chr Thdrt Amhrst: υμ. τ. υπ. υμ. D'(and lat') F-gr N: ημ. τ. υπ. ημ. nostram quæ est pro nobis G: txt BCD²⁻⁸KL rel D²-lat E-lat syrr copt æth Damasc.

which I have been speaking. σπουδήν, earnestness, as contrasted with your former carelessness in the matter.

άλλά] nay, not σπουδήν merely,—that is saying too little;—but... άπολογίαν] via to Paul by means of Titus,—asserting their innocence in the matter; see below. ἀγανάκτησιν] πολε πόν πεπορνευκότα. Theophyl sengel: fear of Paul: not here of God. The context is brought out well by Chrys, and Theophyl. The latter says, on ἐπιπόθησιν,—πρὸς ἐμέ. εἰπὸν δὲ ἡοβον, Γινα μὴ δόξη αὐθεντεῖν, συμτόμως διωρθώσατο, ἔπιπόθησιν εἶπών που ἐνθεντικοῦ ἀγαίπης, οἰν ἐξουσίας.

ὅπερ ἐνδεικτικὸν ἀγάπης, οὐκ ἐξουσίας. ζῆλον] on God's behalf, to punish the offender;—ἐκδίκησιν being the inflic-tion of justice itself. Bengel remarks, that the six accusatives preceded by anna fall into three pairs : ἀπολογ. and ἀγανάκτ., relating to their own feelings of shame,φόβ. and ἐπιπόθ. to Paul,—ζηλ. and ἐκ-δίκ. to the offender. ἐν παντί must be understood only of participation of guilt: by their negligence, and even refusal to humble themselves (1 Cor. v. 2), they had in some things made common cause with the offender. Of this, now that they had shewn so different a spirit, the Apostle does not speak. συνεστήσατε] have commended yourselves by proving that ye are; a pregnant construction. πρ., the dat. of regard: see Rom. vi. 20, and Winer, edn. 6, § 31. 1,—the matter, —perhaps, as in ref., not only, 'of which I have been speaking, -but with allusion to the kind of sin which was in question.

άγνούς, pure of stain. 12.] He shews them that to bring out this zeal in them was the real motive of his writing to them, and no private considerations.

άρα, accordingly,-'in accordance with the result just mentioned.' el Kai έγραψα ύμ. is parallel with εἰ καὶ ἐλύπησα ύμαs, ver. 8,-though (i. e. assumed that) I wrote (severely) to you. The ἀδικηθείς would be the father of the incestuous person, who γυναίκα τοῦ πατρός είχεν, 1 Cor. Theodoret imagines it to mean the stepmother, who was the adulteress; and thinks that the father was dead. But there is no ground for this in 1 Cor. v., and the masculine participle, though not decisive against it, is at least more naturally explained on the other view. Others (as Wolf, Bleek, al.) suppose Paul himself to be meant, which however would be in direct contradiction to ch. ii. 5: Bengel, al., the *Corinthians*, 'singularis pro plurali, per euphemiam,' which is forced: Theophyl., al., both the persons concerned (- ἀμφότεροι γὰρ ἀλλήλους ἡδίκησαν):and Neander, al., take τοῦ ἀδικηθέντος as = τοῦ ἀδικήματος, 'the fault committed:'—which however would not be true, for the Apostle certainly did write on account of the committal of the fault.

account of the committal of the fault. It would be easy for any of the Apostle's adversaries to maintain that the reproof had been administered from private and interested motives. $\frac{\lambda \lambda^2}{k} \underbrace{v_{\nu \kappa \kappa \nu} \dots}_{\text{on the to bring out their zeal on his behalf (i. e. to obey his command), and make it manifest to themselves in God's sight. The other reading, <math>\eta_{\mu \omega \nu} \rightarrow \eta_{\nu} \not = \eta_{\mu} \rightarrow \eta_{\nu}$, has been an alteration owing to not understanding τ . $\sigma \pi \sigma u b$. \dot{u}_{ν} . $\dot{u}_{\nu} \rightarrow \eta_{\mu}$, and is inconsistent with the fact: it was not to exhibit to them his zeal for them that he wrote, but to make manifest to $(\pi \rho b s, \ 'a mong', \ 'chez')$ them,

 $^{\rm c.ch.\ L.12}$ refl. $^{\rm n}$ μῶν $^{\rm c}$ περισσοτέρως $^{\rm d}$ μᾶλλον $^{\rm e}$ έχάρημεν $^{\rm ef}$ έπὶ τῆ χαρᾶ $^{\rm ECDF}$ double comper, Mark γii, 30. Phil. Τίτου, ὅτι g αναπέπαυται τὸ g πνευμα αυτοῦ h απὸ πάντων c defg g hk lm 1.23.
α νετ.
υμων 14 στι εί τι αυτφ ίνπερ ύμων κεκαύχημαι, ου no 17 ι τος και ο κατησχύνθην, άλλ΄ ως πάντα έν άληθεία έλαλήσαμεν $\theta_{\rm th}$. Β ε κατησχύνθην, άλλ΄ ως πάντα έν άληθεία έλαλήσαμεν $\theta_{\rm th}$. Θ ε $\theta_{\rm th}$. h - Acts ii. 22 n έγενήθη, 15 καὶ τὰ . σπλάγχνα αὐτοῦ απερισσοτέρως τε εγενηνη, και τα υπαγχνα του την πάντων ύμων $\chi_{\rm constraint}^{\rm ref}$ είς ύμας έστιν $\chi_{\rm const}^{\rm ref}$ αναμμνησκομένου την πάντων ύμων $\chi_{\rm const}^{\rm ref}$ $\chi_{\rm const}^{\rm ref}$ $\chi_{\rm const}^{\rm ref}$ $\chi_{\rm constraint}^{\rm ref}$

m w. gen. =
Acts xxiii, 80 reff.
q 1 Cor. iv. 17 reff.
t 1 Cor. ii. 3 reff. o = ch. vi. 12 reff. p = ch. viii. 13, 14 reff. s = Matt. xxviii. 8. Mark iii. 5. 1 Chron. xxix. 22. v ch. v. 6, 8 reff. n = 1 Cor. i. 30. r Rom. i. 5 reff. u ch. iv. 8 reff.

13. rec places δε aft περισσοτερως (appy to conform to the εχαρημεν επι below, by joining παρακεκλ. επι: then also the change of ημ. into υμ. became necessary), with rel with Ec: txt BCDFKLN d 17 latt syrr copt Chr-comm(and Mtt's ms,) Damasc(has επειδη for επι δε) Thl, lat-ff: om e 32-6-9. 71 Thdrt. rec υμων, with F-gr L rel syr-w-ast copt Chr Thdrt Bede: txt BCDGKX 17 latt syrr goth æth arm Ambrst Pelag.

14. αυτων Ν. κεκαυχημαι bef υπερ υμων F Chr Thl. αλλα С. for παντα, παντοτε CF syr copt Chr, omnia aut omnino G-lat. υμιν bef εν αλ. ελαλ. CD vulg goth: om υμιν N1: txt N-corrl obl. rec ημων (see note), with DGKLN rel latt syrr goth Chr Thdrt Ambrst: txt B F-gr e copt Thl. (C defective.) last \(\hat{\eta}\) BN\\\^1\) 115. 119\\\. for επι τιτου, προς τιτον ad Titum DF m Damase.

om παντων ℵ¹.

16. elz aft χαιρω ins ουν, with m syr-marg goth: om BCDFKLN rel latt Syr copt gr-lat-ff.

to bring out among them, their zeal to regard and obey him. 13.] On this account (on account of the fulfilment of this purpose) we are comforted: but in addition to (or, on the occurrence of) our comfort, we rejoiced very much more (reff.) at the joy of Titus, because his spirit has been refreshed by you all. A similar declaration to that in ver. 7, where not only the arrival of Titus, but his comfort wherewith he was comforted by them, is described as the ground of the Apostle's joy. According to the received reading, the sense is: 'Therefore we are consoled on account of your consolation (either gen. subj., 'that which you feel on account of the good issue of the affair,'or gen. object., 'the consolation received from you'): but we rejoiced very much more,' &c. This however would hardly represent the real state of things. 14.] This increased joy was produced by the verification which my former boasting of you to Titus now received. & Tt... see one particular in which he boasted of them, ch. ix. 2. οὐ κατησχ.] 1 was not shamed, viz. by being shewn, on Titus's coming to you, to have boasted in vain. ἀλλ' ώς . . .] ' But truthfulness was shewn to be my constant rule of speech, to whomsoever I spoke.' But as we spoke (generally, not merely in our teaching, as Theodoret, al.) all things in truth (truthfully) to you, so also our boasting concerning you (gen. obj.: the rec. ἡμῶν agrees better with the comparison, of 'our words' in general, with 'our boasting' in particular: but on that very account it is probably an alteration: and this is the implied meaning at all events) before Titus was (was proved to be: was, as shewn by proof) truth. De W. suggests that the Apostle had described (by anticipation) to Titus in glowing terms the affection and probable prompt obedience of the Corinthians, as an encouragement to his somewhat unwelcome journey. larges αλήθεια εγενήθη. And his heart is more abundantly (turned) toward you, remembering as he does the obedience of you all, how (i. e. which was shewn in the fact, that) with fear and trembling ye received him. 'Fear and trembling, i.e. 'lest ye should not pay enough regard to my injunctions, and honour enough his 16.] I rejoice mission from me.' (more expressive than with a connecting particle) that in every thing I am (re)assured by you: 'am of good courage, in contrast to my former dejection, owing to your good conduct.' The ordinary rendering, 'I can have confidence in you,' is wrong in not giving the indic. θαρρώ, and still more, in making θαρρείν εν mean

g neut, Eph. 1, 7 ii.7, iii.8, 10. Phil. iv. 10. Col. 1.27, ii.2, h Rom. xi. 8 reff.

1 ii.4. L Chron, xiv. 5, 116, xi. 12. Ph. 2, xi. 2, h Rom. xi. 8 reff.

2.4. Rom. xi. 5, 116, xi. 11. Ph. cxxxiv. 5, iii. 14. L Luck xiii. 2, cl. 1 iii. 15. L Luck xiii. 1 iii. 15. L Luck xiii. 1 p. Rom. xii. 8 reff.

1 reft. vi. 14 reff.

2 reft. vi. 14 reff.

3 reft. xii. 1 reff.

4 reft. xii. 1 reff.

5 reft. xii. 1 reff.

CHAP. VIII. 2. rec τον πλουτον, with DFKLN3 rel: txt BCN1 17. 31.

3. rec (for παρα) υπερ (see ch i. 8), with KL rel Chr Thdrt: txt BCDFN 17.

 rec at end adds δέξασθαι ημας, with h k: aft κοινωνιαν ins δέξασθαι c: om BCDFKLN rel latt syrr copt gr-lat-ff.

ηλπικαμεν B 80. αλλα CD¹.

o' to have confidence in,' which is unexampled. Meyer, who remarks this, does not notice, that the strongest reason against it is not mere want of usage, but the psychological meaning of θαρρείν, which is not like πεποιθέναι, descriptive of a relative, but of an absolute state of mind, - to be of good courage: and this admits only of qualification as to the ground of that good courage; thus we have θαρβείν ὑπέρ, περί, ἐπί, in the sense of 'rejoicing at,' 'feeling confident concerning:' but θαβρείν έν for 'to trust in,' as πεποιθέναι έν, would, I think, be inadmissible. Meyer quotes ἐν σοὶ πᾶσ' ἔγωγε σώζομαι, Soph. Aj. 519, where, as here, $\hat{\epsilon}\nu$ gives the ground of the verb as in the person spoken of. Chap. VIII. 1—IX. 15.] Second Part

OF THE EPISTLE: CONCERNING THE COL-LECTION FOR THE SAINTS. 1—6. ∃He informs them of the readiness of the Macedonian churches to contribute for the poor saints (at Jerusalem), which led him also to beg of Titus to complete the collection at Corinth. See some interesting geographical and historical notices in Stanley's introduction to this section, edn. 2, pp. 1.] δέ is transitional,—passing on to new matter: so 1 Cor. vii. 1; viii. 1 al. fr. xápw] For every good gift and frame of mind comes by divine grace, not by human excellency: and this occasion was most opportune for resting the liberality of the Macedonian churches on God's grace, that he might not be extolling them at the expense of the Corinthians, but holding out an example of the cflusion of that grace, which was common to the Corinthians also, if they sought and used it. It is a mistake, with Orig., Erasm., al., to understand έμοί or ἡμῶν after δεδομένην ' que madmodum adfuerit mitil Deus in ecclesiis:' see the construction διδόναι έν, in refl::—given among.—shed abroad in the churches of Macedonia.

abroad in, the churches of Macedonia. 2.] how that (depends on γνωρίζομεν) in much proof of tribulation (though they were put to the proof by much tribulation) (was) the abundance of their joy (i. e. their joy abounded),—and their deep poverty (κατὰ βάθους, lit. 'down into the depth,' as καθ' δλου, 'throughout the whole') abounded to ('abunde cessit in,' as Meyer, &c. or rather perhaps, 'abounded,' produced abundant fruit, 'so as to bring about'....) the riches $(\tau \delta \pi \lambda$. the riches which have actually become manifest by the result of the collection) of their liberality (see ref. Rom. and note). 3-5.] Proof of this. There is no difficulty, and no ellipsis, in the construction. For according to their power, I testify, and beyond their power, voluntarily, with much exhortation beseeching of us the grace and fellowship of the ministry to the saints (i. e. to allow them a share in that grace and fellowship), and not as we expected (i. e. far beyond our expectation), but themselves they gave first (i. e. above all: as the inducing motive: not first in point of time, but in point of importance, see Rom. ii. 9, 10) to the Lord, and to us

w - ch. rii. s. 6 w είς τὸ x παρακαλέσαι ήμᾶς Τίτον, x ίνα καθως y προενήφ - всог x 1 cor. i. 10 ξατο, ούτως και επιτελέση εις ύμας και την αχάριν cdefg reff. y ver. 10 only †. ταύτην. 7 b άλλ' ώς περ εν c παντί d περισσεύετε, πίστει no 17 καὶ "λόγψ καὶ " γνώσει καὶ [†] πάση ^ε σπουδῆ καὶ τῆ έξ Phil. 1. 6. z Rom. xv. 28. ch. vii. 1. Gal. iii. 3. Phil. i. 6. ύμιων " έν ήμιν αγάπη, ίνα και έν ταύτη τη " χάριτι d περισσεύητε. 8 οὐ κατ i ἐπιταγὴν λέγω, ἀλλὰ διὰ τῆς 1 Kings iii.

υμ. n ο: εξ υμων εις ημας 17: txt CDFKLX rel. περισσευσητε D'F. 8. δια την ετ. σπουδην D: propter D-lat G-lat goth Ambrest Aug. δοκιμα (ω

D¹FG Chr-ms.

9. om χριστου Β Ambr. ημας CK a k l¹ m o 19. 37. 41. 55. 65. 74. 89. 93. 109-15. 238.

by the will of God (the Giver of grace, who made them willing to do this: not = κατά τὸ θέλ. τ. θ., which only expresses [whatever it may imply] consonance with the divine will: διὰ τοῦ θελ. τ. θ. makes the divine will the agent). 6. So that we besought Titus (not, Titus besought us, see ver. 17), that (the aim, and purport as well, of our request), as he had previously (before the Macedonians began to contribute: 'during his visit from which he had now returned') begun it, so also he would complete among you (the construction is pregnant-έλθη είς δμας και ἐπιτελέση) this grace also (this act of grace or merey, reff. Kai,-as well as other things which he had to do among them. It does not belong to ταύτην, 'this grace also, as well as other graces, but to την χάριν ταύτην altogether). 7-15. την χάριν ταύτην altogether). Exhortations and inducements to perform this act of charity. 7.] ἀλλά marks the transition to an exhortation, as in reft. It at the same time implies, as Herm. ad Viger. p. 812 (in Meyer), 'satis argumentorum allatum esse.' πίστει, see ch. i. 24. λόγω κ. γνώσει, see ref. and for γν., 1 Cor. viii. 1. πάση σπουδη, because σπουδή may be manifold even in a good sense. Grot. well explains it, 'studium ad agendas res bo-nas.' τῆ ἐξ ὑμ. ἐν ἡμ. ἀγ.] your love to us;—the love which, arising from you, has us for its object: see reff. According to the rending, εξ ήμων èν ὑμ., the only meaning agreeing with

the context is, 'the love (to God and man)" which, arising from our teaching, is planted in you.' τνα καὶ κ.τ.λ.] the sense is imperative, - κελεύω, or βούλομαι, -(or βλέπετε, see 1 Cor. xvi. 10,)-being omitted. So Soph. Œd. Col. 156, ἀλλ' ἴνα τῷδ' ἐν ἀφθέγκτψ μὴ προςπέσης νάπει. See Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 148, 9. ταύτη is emphatic here, although ταύτην is not in ver. 6: 'this grace also;'-other graces having been enumerated. Grotius remarks, 'non ignoravit Paulus artem rhetorum, movere laudando.' 8.] Lest his last words should be misunderstood, he explains the spirit in which they were said: not as a command, but by way of inducement, by mention of the earnestness of others, and to try the genuineness of their love.

κατ' έπιτ.] not, 'in consequence of a command from God,' as Dr. Burton,—but, by way of command (1 Cor. vii. 6). της is not = διὰ τήν, 'by occasion of,' as E. V. :-bnt treats the έτέρων σπουδή as the instrument by which, in the way of emulation, the effect was to be produced.

The participial construction is as in 1 Cor. iv. 14. 9.] Explanation of 'trying the genuineness of your love,' by upholding His example in the matter, Whom we ought to resemble. τ. χάριν, the (act of) grace:-the beneficence.

öτι] consisting in this, that . . . πλ. ων] The participle refers to the time when the historic act implied in the agrist ἐπτώχευσεν took place. He, being rich, ΐνα ὑμεῖς τῆ ἐκείνου ° πτωχεία ρ πλουτήσητε) 10 καὶ qr γνώ- $_{p}$ γνετ. qr μην ἐν τούτω r δίδωμι. τοῦτο γὰρ ὑμῖν s συμφέρει, t οἴτινες gr qr qr

i. 25 al. u ver. 6. v ch. ix. 2 only †. $\pi \rho \hat{o} \pi \hat{e} \rho \sigma \sigma$, Demosth. 467.14. w ver. 6 reff. x Rom. iv. 6 reff. 5 Acts xvii. 11. ver. 19. ch. ix. 2 only †. Sir. xlv. 23 only. (- μ os, Rom. i. 15.) z constr., Acts xiv. 9 reff.

10. for οιτινές, οτι F Syr. . for προέν., ενηρξασθε D¹F Orig.

became poor:-not, as De W., merely by His renunciation of human riches during His life on earth, but by His exinanition of His glory (Phil. ii. 6, 7), when, as Athanas. (contra Apol. ii. 11, vol. ii. [Migne], p. 757), την πτωχείσασαν φύσιν ἐν ἐαυτῷ ἀνελά-βετο. The stress is on δι' ὑμᾶς, to raise the motive of gratitude the more effectually in them. τη έκ. πτωχ. πλουτήσητε that by His poverty (as the efficient cause) ye might become rich: viz. with the same wealth in which He was rich,the kingdom and glory of Heaven, including τὰ μυρία ἄπερ παρέσχεν ἡμῖν ἀγαθά, as Chrys.: who adds, εί μη πιστεύεις, ὅτι ἡ πτωχεία πλούτου ἐστὶ ποιητική, ἐννόησόν σου τον δεσπότην, καὶ οὐκέτι ἀμφιβαλεῖς. See the various possible meanings discussed in Stanley's note. 10.] ver. 9 was parenthetic: he now resumes the οὐ κατ' ἐπιταγὴν λέγω And I give my opinion in this matter, the stress being on γνώμην, as distinguished from ἐπιταγήν.

τοῦτο γὰρ] For this (vix. 'my giving my opinion, and not commanding,'—as Billroth and Meyer. De Wette controverts this, and would make τοῦτο refer to the proof of their love in the act of charity, contending that τοῦτο must refer to the same as ἐν τούτφ. But Meyer rightly answers that this need not be, for έν τούτφ is altogether unemphatic and insignificant, and the whole sense of the clause is in the words γνώμην δίδωμι) is expedient for you (better than "be-fitting," or "suitable," as suggested by Bloomf. after the Schol. άρμόζει, συνάδει. This sense of συμφέρει is not found in the N. T., and is very doubtful elsewhere. See Palm and Rost's Lex.), seeing that you ('quippe qui;' oltives is decisive for the above meaning of τοῦτο, 'My giving my opinion, rather than commanding, is expedient for you, who have already shewn yourselves so willing.' A command from me would be a lowering of you, and depreciation of your zeal) began before them (the Macedonian churches, see below) not only the act, but also the mind to act,

from a year ago: i. e. 'not only were you before them in the deed itself, but also in the will to do it.' The sense has been missed by many of the Commentators, from not observing the comparison implied in προενήρξασθε, and applying it only to the Corinthians themselves beginning. In that case, as the will comes before the deed, to say, you began not only to do, but also to will, would be unmeaning. Some, in consequence, as Grot., al., and the Peschito, have arbitrarily assumed an inversion of terms, so that 'non solum facere, sed velle' should = 'non solum velle, sed facere.' Others, as Chrys., Theodoret, al., Erasm., Calv., Beza, al., Billroth, Olsh., Rückert, al. m., have taken $\theta \in \lambda \in \mathcal{V} = 'to$ do with a good will,' which is certainly not its sense in ver. 11. The above explanation is that of Cajetan, Estius, De Wette, Winer, Meyer, and Wieseler, and puts the climax in its right order, making it a backward one of comparison. For as Wiescler remarks (Chron. Apost. Zeit. p. 364, note), there are three steps in the collection for the saints,—the wishing it (θέλειν), the setting about it (ποιησαι), and the completion of it (ἐπιτελέσαι). And the Corinthians had begun not only the second, but even the first of these, before the Macedonians. Long employed as they had then been in the matter, it was more creditable to them to receive advice from the Apostle, than command. "θέλειν is not a historic act like ποιήσαι, but a permaneut state : hence the pres. inf." Meyer.

In saying ἀπὸ πέρνσι 'from last year,' it seems probable that Paul would speak as a Jew, regarding the year as beginning in Tisri. 11.] But (contast of your former zeal with your present need to be reminded of it) now complete the act itself also (καί can hardly apply to the whole τὸ ποι ἐπιτ., as De Wette, but must be taken with ποιῆσαι; now shew not only the completion of a ready will in the act begun, but complete the act also,—as Meyer), that, as (there was) (with you) readiness of will, so (there may)

en. - 1 Cor. vii.

a = John iii. 34. b fleb. vi. 18. * ἐπιτελέσαι a ἐκ τοῦ ἔχειν. 12 εί γαο ἡ y προθυμία b πρό- BCDF κειται, °καθὸ ἐὰν ἔχη ἀ εὐπρόςδεκτος, οὐ °καθὸ οὐκ ἔχει. cdefg υμός 7 οις. Μαίς 7 οις. Δυάς Levit, xxir. ¹³ οὐ γὰρ ἵνα ᾶλλοις ˚ ἄνεσις, ὑμιν [δὲ] θλιψις, ἀλλ ˙ ἑξ c here, hii. 26, g ἰσότητος, ἐν τῷ h νῦν h καιρῷ τὸ ὑμῶν i περίσσευμα k εἰς c here, hii. 26, g ἐκείνων i ὑστέρημα, i ἴνα καὶ τὸ ἐκείνων i περίσσευμα i και, xv. 10 i και i και g τὸ ἐκείνων i περίσσευμα i και g και g τὸ ἐκείνων i περίσσευμα g και g τὸ ὑμῶν i ὑστέρημα, ὅπως γένηται g ἰσότης. g ελτίς xvii. g g Γος τὸ ὑμῶν i ὑστέρημα, ὅπως γένηται g ἰσότης. g g Γος τος τὸς g g γραπται m i Ο τὸ παλὸ οὐκ m ἐπλεόνσσεν, καὶ ὁ g 15 καθώς γέγραπται " Ο το παλύ ουκ " έπλεόνασεν, και ο

τὸ ολίγον οὐκ ο ηλαττόνησεν. | Col. iv. 1 | Col. iv. 1 | Col. iv. 1 | i epp., here bis only. Matt. xii. 3 reff. | i epp., here bis only. Matt. xii. 3 41 [L. Merk viii. 8 only. Eccles, ii. 15 (only?). | k = Rom. iii. 22. Gal. iii. 14. Eph. i. 8. ii. 2. | 11 Cor. xvi. 17 reff. | m and constr., Exod. xvi. 18. Winer, edn. 6, § 64. 4. | n Rom. v. 20 reff. | ohere only]. Lo. trans., Prov. xiv. 34.

12. for εαν, αν D¹FLN f Chr-ms Damasc. εχει B(Beh) L f. ree adds tis, with C2L rel: om BC1DFKN 17 latt goth Clem gr-lat-ff.

13. om δε BCN 17 D-lat æth.

14. [the τ of 1st το is written over the line by κ¹(appy).]

15. om 2nd δ F b g¹ h k m(perhaps) o 80. 93. 106-14-15-22. 238.

also (be) completion according to your means (ἐκ τοῦ ἔχειν, not 'out of that which ye have,' as E. V., but 'after the measure of your property,' as in ref. The verbs substantive must be supplied, as in ver. 13). 12. Explanation of ἐκ τοῦ έχειν,-that on it, προθυμία being presupposed, and not on absolute quantity, acceptability depends. For if a willing mind is present, -according to what it may happen to possess, it is acceptable, not according to what it possesseth not. The construction of the sentence is simple enough: προθυμία being the subject throughout, quasi-personified: readiness in God's service is accepted, if its exertion be commensurate with its means,-and is not measured by an unreasonable requirement of what it has not. Further explanation that the present collection is not intended to press the Corinthians καθὸ οὐκ ἔχουσι. For (it is) not (the collection is not made) that there may be to others (the saints at Jerusalem) relief, and to you distress (of poverty): 14.] but that by the rule of equality (¿ as in ¿κ τοῦ έχειν, above), at this present time (of their need: the stress is on εν τῷ νῦν καιρφ as suggesting that this relation may hereafter be altered) your abundance may subserve (γένηται, see next clause. γίνεσθαι είς, 'to be extended to,' see ref. Gal.) their deficiency; that also (supposing circumstances changed) their abundance may subserve your want. The reference is still, as is evident from the next verse, to the supply of temporal wants, in respect of which there should be a mutual relieving and sharing among Christians. But the pas-

sage has been curiously misunderstood to mean, 'that their (the Jewish Christians') abundance in spiritual things may be imparted to you to supply your deficiency,' Thus Chrys., al., -the ancients regarding this imparting as the Gospel-benefit received from them by the Gentiles (which however was past, not future, and is urged as a motive for gratitude, see Rom. xv. 27), and the modern Romanists introducing the monstrous perversion of the attribution of the merits of the saints to others in the next world. So Estius: "Locus hie apostoli contra nostræ ætatis hæreticos ostendit, posse Christianos minus sanetos meritis sanctorum adjuvari etiam in futuro sæculo. Denique notanda virtus eleemosynæ, quæ facit hominem participem meritorum ejus in quem confertur." 15.] that there may be equality, as it is written (i. e. according to the expression used in the Scripture history: παράγει παλαιάν ίστορίαν, Chrys.,—of the guthering of the manna) He that (gathered) much, did not exceed (the measure prescribed by (fod): and he that (gathered) little, did not fall short (of it). The fact of equality being the only point brought into comparison as between the Israelites of old and Christians now, it is superfluous to enquire minutely how this equality was wrought among the Israelites. The quotation is ολίγου, probably a correction. The context supplies συλλέξας from the συνέλεξαν in the preceding verse, -and is presumed

16. δοντι DFLN3 syrr copt goth Chr, dedit vulg D-lat: danti G-lat. C adds

18. τον αδελφον bef μετ αυτου X1 c.

by the Apostle to be familiar to his readers. 16-24.] Of Titus and two other brethren whom Paul had commissioned to complete the collection. 16.] The sense is taken up from ver. 6. διδόντι έν, see reff. τὴν ἀὐτ. σπ., viz. 'as in my-self.' This is evident from ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν. 17.] Proof of this; that Titus received indeed (μέν) Paul's exhortation to go to them (said, to shew his subordination,or perhaps to authenticate his authorization by the Apostle), but in reality ($\delta \epsilon$) was too ready to go, to need any exhortation; -and therefore went forth (the past tense of the epistolary style,—as 'dabam,' &c., indicating things which will have passed before the letter is received) of his own accord to them. 18-21. Tommendation of a brother sent with Titus. ὁ ἀδελφός cannot surely be, as some Commentators (Heumann, Rückert) have understood, 'the brother of Titus:' the delicate nature of the mission would require that there should be at least no family connexion between those sent to fulfil it. and the other are called in ver. 23, ἀδελφοl ήμῶν, and were unquestionably Christian brethren in the usual sense. Who this was, we know not. Chrys., Theodoret, Œcum., Luther, Calvin, suppose Barnabas to be meant: but there is no historical ground for this, and we can hardly suppose him put under Titus. Baronius and Estius suppose, Silas; to whom this last objection would also apply; besides that he was well known to the Corinthians, and therefore would not need this recommendation. Orig., Jerome, Chrys. (1), Ambrose, Pelagius, Primasius, Anselm, Cajetan, Grot., Olsh., al., suppose Luke:and of these all before Grot. (who pointed out the mistake; which however I see reproduced in Mr. Birks's Horæ Apostolicæ, p. 242 f.) suppose οδ ὁ ἔπαινος ἐν τῷ εὐαγγελίω to refer to his gospel, -διὰ την ίστορίαν ήνπερ έγραψε, Chrys. ; - but this is altogether without proof, as is the assumption that it was Mark (Lightfoot, Storr). It may have been Trophimus, who (Acts xx. 4) accompanied Paul into Asia, and (xxi. 29) to Jerusalem: so De Wette, Wieseler. If the expression whose praise in (the matter of) the Gospel is throughout all the Churches, is to be compared with any similar eulogium, that of Gaius in Rom. xvi. 23 seems to correspond most nearly: Γάτος ὁ ξένος μου καὶ ὅλης τῆς ἐκκλησίας: but he was resident at Corinth, see 1 Cor. i. 14. A Gaius, a Macedonian, is mentioned Acts xix. 29, as one of the συνέκδημοι of Paul, as here, together with Aristarchus, which latter we know accompanied him to Jerusalem (but see below It must then rest in unon ch. ix. 4). certainty. 19.] parenthetical (see on ver. 20) adding to his general commendation a particular qualification for this office.

οὐ μόν. δέ,—and not only so (i. e. praised in all the churches), but who was also appointed (suffiregiis designatus,' see ref. and note; and Stanley here) by the churches (of Macedonia ? see ver. 1) as our fellow-traveller (to Jerusalem, from what follows) in (the matter of) this charity which is being ministered by us,—in order to subserve the glory of the Lord and our readi-

 k τν. 11, 12 τεπ. την τοῦ κυρίου δόξαν καὶ k προθυμίαν ημῶν $^{20.1}$ στελ - BCDF KLN ab nils, Μολ. λόμενοι τοῦτο, μή τις ημᾶς m μωμήσηται n ἐν τῆ o άδρότητι c de efg m -1 τίπιν. n ταίτη τῆ p διακονουμένη ὑφ ἡμῶν. $^{21\,p}$ προυοοῦμεν γὰρ n οι 17 P καλά ου μόνου P ενώπιου κυρίου, άλλά και P ενώπιου o here only t. (-ρός, Jer. v. 5.) ανθρώπων. 22 9 συνεπέμψαμεν δε αυτοίς τον αδελφον q ver 18 only, r = 2 Cor. iii. 13 reff. (1 Cor. xvi. 3.) s see ch. iv. 8. vi. 3 reff. δαΐον οντα, νυνὶ δὲ πολὺ τσπουδαιότερον "πεποιθήσει πολλη τη νείς ύμας· 23 w είτε * ύπερ Τίτου, γ κοινωνός έμος και νείς ύμας συνεργός · « είτε αδελφοι ήμων, * απόvi. 3 reff. t ver. 17 reff. u ch. i. 15 reff. v = ch. ii. 9, στολοι εκκλησιών, ^b δόξα χριστού. 24 The our " Evdei Eir 12. ix. 8. Gal. v. 10 al. z Rom. xvi, 3 reff. ii. 20. $\begin{array}{c} w \text{ so 1 Cor. xiii. 8. xv. 11.} & x \text{ ch. i. 8 reff.} \\ z - J \text{ ohn xiii 16. Phil. ii. 25.} & 3 \text{ Kings xiv. 6 P, &c. [vat. def.]) only.} & b - 1 \text{ Thess.} \\ c \text{ Rom. iii. 25. } & 26 \text{ Phil. ii. 25 only 4.} \\ \end{array}$

LN rel syrr Chr Thdrt Damasc: αυτην a 6. 43. 672. 74: om BCD'FL d latt copt goth rec (at end) υμων, with F d: txt BCDGKLN rel latt syrr copt goth gr-lat-ff. at end ins τελουσιν D1.

20. υποστελλομένοι F: συστελλ. 93: devitantes latt. vuas Fbghmo73.

for μωμησηται, μωμηται C2 (C1 uncert).

21. rec προνοουμένοι, with CKL rel copt goth Clem Chr-ms Thdrt Damasc Thl Œ: txt BDFN f latt syrr Chr lat-ff. rec om γαρ, with KL rel Thdrt Damase Thl Ec: ins BCDFN m 17 latt syrr copt goth Clem Chr lat-ff. (Meyer thinks προνοουμένοι to have been a mere mistake originally, arising from στελλομένοι above: and thus the yap which was at first retained from oversight, as in C, was at last erased. Probably προνοουμένοι was introduced from Rom xii. 17, where the same words occur.) 2nd ενωπιον N1.

22. om πολλη F 672. 69: pref δε B.

23. συνεργος bef εις υμας D copt goth Ambret. for $\chi \rho$., $\kappa \nu \rho \iota \rho \nu \nabla \Gamma$.

ness (this clause refers not to διακον. ὑφ' ήμ. as usually interpreted, but to the fact related, the union of this brother with Paul in the matter of the alms, which was done to avoid suspicions detrimental to Christ's glory, and to the zeal of the Apostle).

20. Taking heed of this ('devitantes,' Vulg. - ὑποπτεύσαντες κ. δε-δοικότες, Theophyl.: - the participle belongs to συνεπέμψαμεν, ver. 19 being parenthetical) that no one blame us (ref.) in the matter of this abundance (of contributions) which is being ministered by us. On άδρότης, Meyer observes, "from άδρός, 'compact,' 'solid;'—is used in Homer (11. χ. 363, π. 857, ω. 6) of a firm and succulent habit of body. Later, we have it in all the various references of the adjective, e. g. of abundance-of plants and fruits (Theophr.), of discourse (Diog. Laërt. x. 83), of tone (Athen. x. p. 415 A), &c. What kind of abundance is meant, the context therefore alone determines." Wetst. says, "άδρότης apud Zosimum quater pro ingenti largitione." 21.] 'And such caution is in accordance with our general practice.' See reff. Rom. and Prov. 22. Still less can we determine who this second brother is. Every possible person has been guessed. Several

would answer to the description, 'whom we have many times in many matters proved to be earnest.' By our uncertainty in these two cases, we may see how much is required, to fill up the apostolic history at all satisfactorily. θήσει . . .] through the great confidence which he has towards you: belongs to σπουδαιότερον, and to the brother, not to συνεπέμψαμεν and to Paul. The brother had, by what he had heard from Titus, conceived a high opinion of the probable success of their mission. 23. General recommendation of the three. εἴτε ὑπ. Τίτου] Whether concerning Titus (we may supply $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ or $\gamma \rho \delta \phi \omega$, or as in E. V., 'any enquire:' or we need not supply any thing), he is my partner and (especially) my fellow-worker towards you: whether our brethren (be in question :- viz. the two mentioned-but generalized by the absence of the article-'whether brethren of ours'), they are the Apostles (in the more general sense of Acts xiv. 14; 1 Thess ii. 6; Phil. ii. 25) of the churches (i. e. 'are of the churches, what we are of the Lord'-persons sent out with authority), the glory of Christ (i. e. men whose work tends to Christ's glory). 24. Shew then to them

24. [ν of $\tau\eta\nu$ is written above the line by \aleph^1 or corr¹.] $\nu\pi\epsilon\rho$ $\eta\mu\omega\nu$ D¹G. rec (for $\epsilon\nu\delta\epsilon\iota\kappa\nu\nu\iota\epsilon\nu\sigma$) $\epsilon\nu\delta\epsilon\iota\xi\alpha\sigma\theta\epsilon$, with CD²-3KLN rel vulg(and F-lat) syrr copt gr-lat-fi: txt BD¹F 17 goth. rec ins $\kappa\alpha$ bef $\epsilon\iota s$ $\pi\rho\sigma s\omega\pi\sigma\nu$ (with none of our mss): om BCDFKLN rel latt syrr copt goth gr-lat-fi.

Chap. IX. 1. om $\gamma \alpha \rho$ C 2. 41. 115 copt. $\epsilon \mu o \iota$ B. om τo C 17. 73 : $\tau o \nu$ F 109 Thdrt-ms Damasc.

2. παραεσκευασται(but corrd) Ν¹. περησι Β²(sic: see table). for δ, το ΒΝ. om εξ ΒCΝ a 17 vulg(and F-lat) Syr copt arm Ambrst Pelag: ins DFKL rel fuld syr goth Chr Thdrt Damasc Aug.

3. επεμψαμεν D-gr copt Aug.

the proof of your love (' to us,' or perhaps, 'to your poor brethren' (Meyer) :- but the word has not been so used throughout this passage, see verse 7: χάρις has been the word), and of our boasting concerning you, in the sight of the churches. I may remark, (1) that the participial construction is elliptic, as in Rom. xii. 16 al. (2) That πρόσωπον των ἐκκλησιων does not actually import 'the representatives of the churches,' as Meyer (which would be τὸ πρόςωπον or τὰ πρόςωπα, without els), but as above, it being implied that they, being the ἀπόστολοι τ. ἐκκλ., are such representatives. And this is all that Theodoret seems to mean, whom Meyer quotes in support of his view:τὸ πρόςωπον γὰρ τῶν ἐκκλησιῶν ἐπέχουσιν οδτοι τῶν πεμψασῶν αὐτούς.

IX. 1-5.] He recurs to the collection itself, and prays them that they would make good before the brethren his boasting of them, and prepare it before his own coming. 1.] The μν γάρ connects with the last verse, thus, 'I beseech you to receive the brethren whom I send, courteously; for concerning the duty of ministration to the saints, it is surely superfluous for me to write to you who are so prompt already.' No new subject begins, as some bave supposed; nor is there any break in the sense at all. Some obscurity has been introduced unnecessarily, by taking της διακ. τ. είσ. τ. άν, for merely this collection which is now making: whereas the Apostle chooses such general terms as a mild reproof to the Corinthians,

who, well aware as they were of the duty of ministering to the saints, were yet somewhat remiss in this particular example of the duty. There is an emphasis on γρφων. The testes habebitis præsentes, Bengel. Theophyl. well remarks: τοσαῦτα καὶ πρότερον εἰπῶν καὶ πάλιν μέλλων εἰπῶν κοὶ πάλιν μέλλων σύτων γράφειν. σοφῶς δὲ τοῦτο ποιεῖ, ὥςτε μᾶλλον αὐτοὺς ἐπισπάσασθαι. αἰστυνθήσονται γάρ εἴ γε τοιαύτην ὅπόληψιν περὶ αὐτῶν ἔχουτος τοῦ Παύλου, ὅτι οὐ δέονται συμβουλῆς πρὸς τὸ ἐλεεῦν, εἶτα φανῶσιν ἐλάττους τῆς ὑπολήψεως.

φανῶσιν ἐλάττους τῆς ὑπολήψεως. 2.] For (ground of περισσόν ἐστι) I am aware of your readiness of which (reff.) I am in the habit of boasting concerning you to Macedonians (Bengel remarks on the pres., 'adhuc erat Paulus in Macedonia') that Achaia (not ὁμεῖs-he relates his own words to the Macedonians) has been ready (viz. to send off the money: και οὐδὲν λείπει εἰ μὴ τὸ ἐλθεῖν τοὺς δεξομένους τὰ χρήματα, Theophyl. The Apostle, judging by their readiness, had made this boast concerning them, supposing it was really so. That this is the sense is shewn by ἀπαρασκευάστους below, ver. 4) from last year (reff.):-and the zeal which proceeds from you ('which has its source in you and whose influence goes forth from you: so δ $\epsilon \kappa$ $\Pi \epsilon \lambda o \pi o \nu \nu \eta \sigma o \nu \sigma \delta \epsilon \mu o s$, of $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \nu$, and the like) stirred up the greater number of them (but not only the example of your zeal: see ch. viii. 1). 3.] But (contrast, not to $\mu \epsilon \nu$ in ver. 1, but to $\kappa a \nu \chi \hat{a} \mu a \iota$ above;

τοὺς ἀδελφούς, ἴνα μὴ τὸ 'καύχημα ἡμῶν τὸ ὑπὲο ὑμῶν $^{\rm KGN\,ab}$ $^{\rm u}$ κενωθῆ $^{\rm v}$ ἐν $^{\rm v}$ τῷ $^{\rm v}$ μέρει τούτῳ, ἵνα καθὼς ἔλεγον $^{\rm uw}$ παρ - c de fg t Rom. iv. 2 reft. u Rom. iv. 14 reff. v ch. iii. 10 1eff. εσκευασμένοι " ήτε, 4 × μή × πως, εαν έλθωσιν συν έμοι Μακε- no 17 w constr., Acts xxv. 10 reff. x 1 Cor. ix. 27 δόνες καὶ ευρωσιν ύμας γ άπαρασκευάστους, εκαταισχυνy here only t, z ch. vii. 14 θωμεν ήμεις (ΐνα μη λέγωμεν ύμεις) έν τη " ύποστάσει z ch. vii. 14
reff. w. ev,
here only.
a = ch. xi. 17.
Heb. (i. 3.) iii.
14. xi. 1
only. Ps.
xxxviii. 7.
b Acts xiii. 43 ταύτη. 5 be αναγκαΐον οὖν cd ἡγησάμην ε παρακαλέσαι τοὺς άδελφούς, είνα * προέλθωσιν είς ύμας, και ε προκαταρτίσωσιν την προεπηγγελμένην εύλογίαν ύμων ταύτην 15 Acts xiii. 45
c fl.
c Phil, ii. 25.
2 Macc. ix. 21.
d = Acts xxvi.
2 refl.
k = here only. (Rom. i. 29 al.) έτοιμην είναι ^j ουτως ^j ως ⁱ ευλογίαν και μη ως ^k πλεονεξίαν.

e l Cor. i. 10 reff. f Acts xx. 5, 13. Gen. xxxiii. 14. i = Rom. xv. 29. xvi. 18. Ezek. xxxiv. 26.

om το υπερ υμων F 45 Chr.

ελεγεν(appy: but corrd) N1. 4. oin $\pi\omega$ s D¹. om $\epsilon\alpha\nu$ BD² Syr: $\alpha\nu$ D¹. ins kal bef $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha$ lo $\chi\nu\nu\theta\omega\mu\epsilon\nu$ for λεγωμεν, λεγω CIDF Thl-comm Ambrst Aug. D¹(and lat) L Syr. at end adds της καυχησεως (see ch xi. 17), with D3KLN3 rel syrr goth gr-ff: om BC D'FN' 17 latt copt Ambrst Aug Pelag.

5. προς ελθωσιν F 48 Thdrt-ms. for εις, προς BDF m: txt CKLN rel Thdrt Damase Thl Ec. rec προκατηγγελμενην (occasioned probably by προκαταρτ. above), with KL rel syrr goth Thdrt Damase Ec: txt BCDFR d 17 copt goth Thl Ambrist Aug Pelag. om υμων D¹(and lat) vulg Pelag. om ταυτην F arm om και FN¹ 52 latt Syr Chr-comm, lat-ff. rec (for 2nd ωs) ωsπερ, with bl: txt BCDFKLN rel gr-lat-ff.

implying fear lest he should have been making a vain boast concerning them) I sent (epistolary past, as in ch. viii. 18, 22) the brethren, in order that our matter of beasting concerning you (καύχημα, our whole 'materies gloriandi,' not = καύχησις) may not, in this particular, be proved empty (ἐν τῷ μέρει τούτῳ does not belong to καύχημα, but to κενωθη-'that our boast of you, so ample and various-eh. vii. 4, may not break down in this one department. Estius, in marg., well calls it 'acris cum tacita laude exhortatio apostolica'); that, as I said (when? in ver. 2? or, in his boasting to the Macedonians? or, in 1 Cor. xvi. 1? Most naturally, in ver. 2. If he had meant, to the Macedonians, it would probably have been λέγω, as καυχωμαι above : if in 1 Cor. xvi., it would have been more clearly ex-If so, έλεγον refers merely to pressed. the word $\pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa$.), ye may be prepared (see above on ver. 2), 4. lest perchance if Macedonians should come with me (to you :- to bring me on my way, or to bear the Macedonian collection. may infer from this expression, that neither of the two brethren above mentioned, ch. viii. 18, 22, was a Macedonian), and should find you unprepared (with your collection. see ver. 2) we (who have boasted), not to say you (who were boasted of), should be put to shame, in the matter of this confidence (respecting you. ὑπόστασις,

as elsewhere in N. T. and LXX, see reff., subjective: the attempt to give it here the meaning of 'foundation,' 'matter boasted of,' as Chrys., Theophyl., Erasm., Grot., al., Rück., Olsh., is unnecessary, and has probably been induced by the gloss της καυχ. inserted from eh. xi. 17: but see there also). 5.] I therefore (because of ver. 4) thought it necessary to exhort the brethren (Titus and the two others) that they would go before (my coming) to you, and previously prepare your long announced beneficence (i. e. long announced by me to the Macedonians, ver. 2. εὐλογία, blessing; not used only of a blessing in words, but of one expressed by a present, as Gen. xxxiii. 11; Judg. i. 15. (See Stanley.) But beware of the blunder of connecting it with εδ and λογία, 'a good collection. This sense of blessing, combined with the primitive sense, affords the Apostle an opportunity for bringing out the true spirit in which Christian gifts should be given), that this same may be ready (the construction is unusual: ταύτην refers back to εὐλ. and the inf. must have ωsτε supplied. De W. compares Heb. v. 5. Perhaps the nearest is Col. iv. 6) in such sort as beneficence, and not as covetousness (i. e. as the fruit of blessing, poured out from a beneficent mind, not of a sparing covetous spirit which gives no more than it need. There is no need to alter the pri $^{6\, 1}$ τοῦτο δέ, 6 m σπείρων n φειδομένως n φειδομένως καὶ $^{1\, \rm see \, i \, \rm Cor. \, vii.}_{20\, \rm ref.}$, m θερίσει, καὶ 6 m σπείρων 9 έπ΄ εὐλογίαις 9 έπ΄ εὐλογίαις m εκαρδία, 6 και 6 6 εκαστος καθώς 9 προήρηται τῆ καρδία, 6 και 6 6 6 και 6 6 6 6 εκαστος καθώς 9 προήρηται τῆ καρδία, 6 και 6 6 εκαστος καθώς 9 προήρηται τῆ καρδία, 6 6 και 6 εκαστος καθώς 9 προήρηται τη καρδία, 6 εκαστος 6 6 εκαστος 6 6 εκαστος 6 εκυλογικής 6 εκαστος 6

r Heb. vii, 12 only. shere only. Prov. xxii. 8, (-ότης Rom. xii. 8,) there only. 1.c. only. u Rom. xiv. 4, ch. xiii, 3 only 4. v. Acts xi. 23 refl. 5. Eph. 1.8, 1 Thes. iii. 12 only 2. x. ch. ii. 9, 12. viii. 23, Gal. v. 10 al. y. ch. ii. ger. fr. z. Acts xx. 10 refl. bent. xxxii. 10.)

6. for 1st επ ευλογιαις, εν ευλογια in benedictione D'F copt goth lat-ff. for 2nd επ ευλ., εξ ευλογιας D'(and lat) fuld goth Cypr: επ ευλογια F(not F-lat) copt Augona Rai D' eth.

7. rec προαιρειται, with DKL rel syrr Chr Thdrt Damase: txt BCFN 17 Chr-ms(Wtst)

(προειρεταί F), προειρητ. F²(): proposuit (or sinly) vss lat-ff.

8. rec δυκατος (see notes), with C²D²⁻³KL rel Thdrt Damase: txt BC¹D¹FN.

18. σε δε, γαρ D¹ 109. 178 demid.

19. σε γαρ D¹ 109. 178 demid.

19. σε γαρ D¹ 109. 178 demid.

mitive meaning, or to make the word signify 'tenacity,' as Calv., De Wette, al.: he who defrauds the poor by stinting them πλεονεκτεῖ, in the literal sense. Still less must we with Chrys., al., refer πλεονεξ to the Apostle, μὴ νομίσητε, φησίν, ὅτι ὡς πλεονεκτοῦντες ἀὐτὴν λαμβάνομεν,—which is inconsistent with the interpretation φειδομένων below, and with εὐλογίαν, the corresponding word, which applies to the spirit of the givers). 6, 7.] He enforces the last words by an assurance grounded in Scripture and partly cited from it, that as we sow, so shall we reap.

τοῦτο] Some supply φημί, as in eft.: others, as Meyer, would take it as an accus, absol, 'as regards this,' viz. what has gone before. But I would rather take it as an imperfect construction, in which τοῦτο is used merely to point at the sentiment which is about to follow:—But this—(is true), or But (notice) this...

έπ' εὐλογίαις] with blessings: ἐπί denoting the accompanying state or circumstances, as in ref.: not, 'with a view to blessings,' which will not suit the second έπ' εὐλ.: nor as Theophyl., Œc., and E. V. μετά δαψιλείας, bountifully: which gives indeed the sense, but misses the meaning of the expression: see above. It refers to the spirit of the giver, who must be ίλαρδς δότης, not giving murmuringly, but with blessings, with a beneficent charitable spirit: such an one shall reap also with blessings, abundant and unspeakable. The only change of meaning in the second use of the expression is that the εὐλογίαι are poured on him, whereas in the first they proceeded from him: in both cases they are the element in which he works. So, we bestow the seed, but receive the harvest. The spirit with which we sow, is of ourselves:

that with which we reap, depends on the harvest. So that the change of meaning is not arbitrary, but dependent on the nature of things. 7.] Not, as Meyer and De W., a limitation of the foregoing, or else it would be expressed by some connecting particle, -but a continuation of the thought: - φειδομένως and έπ εὐλογίαις referred to the spirit of the giver; so does this verse, - ἐκ λύπης ἡ ἐξ ἀν. corresponding to φειδομένως,-ίλαρός, to έπ' εὐλογίαις. καθώς προήρηται] as he hath determined in his heart; supply, 'so let him give:' i. e. let the προαίρεσιs, the full consent of the free will, go with the gift; let it not be a reluctant offering, given èk λύπηs, out of an annoyed and troubled mind at having the gift extorted, nor ex ανάγκης, out of necessity, because com-Felled. Such givers, —that is implied,—God does not love. δότης is not a classical word. δότηρ, δωτήρ, and (Hes. Op. 353) δώτης, are used (Meyer). 11. He encourages them to a cheerful contribution by the assurance that God both can (vv. 8, 9), and will (vv. 10, 11) furnish them with the means of performing such deeds of beneficence. δυνατεί has the emphasis. 1 adopt the reading because after all it is difficult to imagine how so easy a construction as δυνατός δ θεός, should have been altered to δυνατεί, as Meyer supposes, or why the transcriber need have written δυνατός έστιν if the latter were a correction for δυνατεί, seeing that the verb substantive is just as frequently omitted in such clauses as inserted. πᾶσαν χάριν, 'etiam in bonis externis,' Bengel, — to which here the reference is: not excluding however the wider meaning of 'all grace.' $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\sigma$ σεῦσαι, to make to abound,-reff.

 $^{\rm b~Rom.\,iii.7}$ κειαν έχοντες $^{\rm b}$ περισσεύητε $^{\rm b}$ είς παν $^{\rm c}$ έργον $^{\rm c}$ άγαθόν, $^{\rm BCDF}$ Κίνα μ $^{\text{refi.}}_{\text{refi.}}$ Δad $^{\text{b}}_{\text{c}}$ γέγοαπται $^{\text{d}}$ Εσκόοπισεν, έδωκεν τοῖς $^{\text{c}}$ πένησιν, c.d.efg $^{\text{h}}_{\text{c}}$ κ. L. John x. 12. $^{\text{h}}_{\text{c}}$ δικαισσύνη αὐτοῦ $^{\text{f}}$ μένει $^{\text{f}}_{\text{e}}$ είς τὸν αἰωνα. $^{\text{lo}}$ δὲ $^{\text{g}}$ επι- no 17 $\frac{xvi}{32}$ σπίχ. $\frac{32}{2}$ κίμες xxii. $\frac{32}{2}$ σπέρμα τ $\frac{v}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ σπέροντι καὶ ἄρτον εἰς $\frac{k}{2}$ βρώσιν 1 χορηγήσει καί ^m πληθυνεί τον ⁿ σπόρον ύμων καί ο αυξήσει ι βελι fr. εξολη τὰ ^ρ γενήματα τῆς δικαιοσύνης ὑμῶν. 11 9 έν 9 παντὶ ' πλου-^{30 nn viii.} 31. 1 let. vii. 21. τιζόμενοι είς * πασαν ¹ άπλότητα, ¹¹ ἥτις ¹ κατεργάζεται δι ¹ let. vii. 23. τιζόμενοι είς * πασαν ¹ άπλότητα, ¹² ὅτι ἡ ^x διακονία τῆς ^y λει-1 John ii. 17. ¹

9. at end ins του αιωνος FK 238 vulg(not am demid al).

10. for σπερμα, σπορον (corrn from σπορον below) BD'F. ree χορηγησαι πληθυναι αυξησαι (prob, as Meyer, corrns, in the idea that a wish was intended, and so the futures have been changed to optatives: for such they are, not infinitives: cf 1 Thess iii. 11, 12; 2 Thess ii. 17; iii. 5,—and var readd, Rom xvi. 20), with D3KLN3 rel syr goth Chr Thdrt Damase: χορηγησαι and πληθυναι F: txt BCDR1 m 17 Cyr, Cypr Ambrst Aug. ree γεννηματα, with c k: txt BCDFKLN rel Chr-inss Thl-inss. 11. ins ινα bef εν παντι F Chr lat-fl. υμων C² 66², 67, 71, 74, 91, 119, 120 syr-

marg Damasc. for $\tau \omega \theta$., $\theta \in \mathcal{O} U$ B: om $\tau \omega$ D1.

ίνα κ.τ.λ.] in order that, having at all times in every thing all sufficiency (of worldly substance; αὐτάρκ. is objective; not contentedness, subj.) ye may abound towards ('have an overplus for;' which is not inconsistent with αὐτάρκεια, seeing that αὐτ. does not exclude the having more, but only the having less than is sufficient: the idea of a man's having at all times and in all things a sufficiency, would presuppose that he had somewhat to spare) every good work: 9.] as it is written (i. e. fulfilling the character described in Scripture),-He scattered abroad (metaph. from seed: μετά δαψιλείας έδωκε, Chrys.), he gave to the poor: his righteousness remaineth for ever. In what sense is δικαιοσύνη used? Clearly in the only one warranted by the context-that of 'goodness proved by beneficence,'-'a righteous decd, which shall not be forgotten,—as a sign of rightcousness in character and conduct.' To build any inference from the text inconsistent with the great truths respecting δικαιοσύνη ever insisted on by Paul (as Chrys. καὶ γὰρ δικαίους ποιεί [ή φιλανθρωπία], τὰ άμαρτήματα καθάπερ πῦρ ἀναλίσκουσα, ὅταν μετὰ δαψιλείας ἐκχέηται) is a manifest 10. Assurance that God will do this. But (introduces the new assurance) He that ministers seed to the sower and bread for eating (in the physical world:-from ref. Isa., LXX. The Vulg., E. V., Luther, Calv., Grot., al.,

commit the mistake of joining κ. άρτον είς βρωσιν with χορηγήσαι, or -ει. βρωσις, ρρούν Wish χυρηγησια, οι τει τρωτός, the act of eating: not = βρώμα), shall supply and multiply your seed (i. e. the money for you to bestow,—answering to $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu a \tau \sigma \phi$ and will increase the fruits of your righteousness (from ref. Hos .- the everlasting reward for your bestowals in Christ's name, as Matt. x. 42;—answering to άρτον είs $\beta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$, which is the result of the sower's labours). 11.] Method in which you will be thus blessed by God. In every thing being enriched (the construction is an anacoluthon, as in ref. and in ch. i. 7 al .: nothing need be supplied) unto all liberality (i. e. in order that you may shew all liberality. On άπλ. see note, Rom. xii. 8), which (of a sort which) brings about by our means (as the distributors of it) thanksgiving (from those who will receive it) to God. Explanation of the last clause. Because the ministration (not on our part who distribute, though it might at first sight seem so: the next verse decides διακονία to mean, 'your administering by contribution,' as in ver. 1) of this public service (Act. here seems to approach more nearly to its proper sense, serving the public by furnishing the means of outfit for some necessary purpose) not only serves the end of supplying by its help the wants of the saints, but of abounding (περισσ. may be transitive as in ver. 8, not only

Rom, x, 3) h (=) Tim, vi, 12, 13. Heb, iii, 1, iv, 14, x, 23 only, P, H, 1, (Deut, xii, 17) geo.
of reference, Rom, vii 2 ref.
i Ass xx, 21. i ref.
i Ass xx, 21. i ref.
i Ass xx, 21. i ref.
i Fish, i s, ii, 56, 1 Pet, ii, 2, Ps, exxiii, 174, xxii, ref.
i Fish, i s, ii, 56, 1 Pet, ii, 2, Ps, exxiii, 174, vii, 24. i con, iii, 170 ref. feef. James iv, Rom, xv.
i chi, iii, 10 ref. ref. feef. James iv, Rom, xv.
q ever, 13 ref.
q ever, 13 ref.
y here only t.
sJohn iv, 10, Acts ii, 38 al. t, Wisd, vii, 14, xvi; 25, 2 Mace, iv, 50 ooly, (Dan, ii, 6, v, 17 Theed.)

for θεω, χριστω B 46: in Domino vulg.
 for υμων, ημων (but with υ written above) κ¹.

13. ins και bef δια Β. aft υμας ins ιδιν Ν3.

15. rec aft χαριs ins δε, with C²D^{2.3}KLN³ rel vss gr-ff Ambrst-ms Sedul: om BC¹D¹FN¹ a latt goth Ambrst-ed Aug Pelag Bede.

filling up, but 'causing to overflow,' what were ὑστερήματα. But the usual intransitive sense is preferable. The emphasis is on προςαναπλ. and περισσεύουσα) by means of many thanksgivings to God $(\tau \hat{\varphi} \ \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi} \ \text{with} \ \epsilon \hat{v} \chi \alpha \rho$., as in ver. 11, not with περισσεύουσα, which would not, as Meyer observes, give the sense of abounding towards God,-this would be εis τ. θεόν, see Rom. v. 15, or είς τ. δόξαν τ. θεοῦ, as in ch. iv. 15,-but the objectionable one of περισσεύει μοί τι, as John vi. 13; Luke ix. 17). 13.] they (the recipients) glorifying God (the participle as in ver. 11, an anacoluthon) by means of (the proof, &c., is the occasion, by means of which) the proof (i. e. the tried reality -the substantial help yielded by) of this (your) ministration, for the subjection of your confession as regards the Gospel of Christ (i. e. that your ὁμολογία, [= 'you who confess Christ,'] 'is really and truly subject in holy obedience, as regards the gospel of Christ.' But eis must not be joined with ὑποταγη, as 'obedience to,' or (E. V.) 'subjection unto,'-which is unexampled, and would more naturally have the art., τŷ els: it is towards, 'in reference to,' as in ref.) and liberality of your contribution as regards them and as regards all men (the same remarks apply to εis as above). Meyer would render άπλότητι της κοινωνίας, 'the genuineness of your fellowship :' but see note on Rom. xii. 8, and Rom. xv. 26. He also makes τη ύποταγῆ τῆς ὁμολ., 'your subjection to your confession,' which perhaps may be, but disturbs the parallel of ἀπλότητι τ. κοιν.

14.] The construction is very diffi-Vol. 11.

cult. δεήσει may depend on περισσεύουσα, ver. 12 (but then we should expect διά as there), — or on δοξάζοντες (but then it should also depend on $\epsilon \pi i$ —and they could not be said to glorify God for their own prayers. If on δοξάζοντες as the instrument whereby, it seems strange that αὐτῶν should be expressed), or αὐτῶν δεήσει ύπερ ύμ. επιπ. ύμ. may be (as Meyer) a gen. absol., 'while they desire you in prayers for you' (but this seems forced, and as De W. observes, would require τη either before or after δεήσει). In the midst of these difficulties I see no way but this: the datives preceding, ὑποταγῆ and ἀπλότητι, have occasioned this also to be expressed in the dative, as though it depended on επί, whereas it is in reality parallel with διά πολλών εὐχαριστιών and dependent on περισσεύουσα. Again, the words in another point of view are parallel with τη ὑποταγή and ἁπλότητι, inasmuch as these are ὑμῶν, and this δέησις is αὐτῶν. Amidst such complicated antitheses and attracted constructions, it may suffice if we discover the clue to the original formation of the sentence: the meaning is obvious enough, viz. that glory also accrues to God by the prayers of the recipients, who are moved with the desire of Christian love (reff.) to you, on account of the grace of God which abounds eminently towards (over) you (ἐφ' ὑμ. belonging to ύπερβ. not to χάριν, which would, but not of absolute necessity, require $\tau \eta \nu$). 15.] Having entered, in the three

last verses, deeply into the thankful spirit which would be produced in these

Chap. X. 1. ree $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \tau \sigma s$, with CDKLM3 rel: txt BFM1 17. for $\epsilon \iota s$, $\delta \iota'$ B. 2. aft $\tau \eta$ $\pi \pi \pi \sigma \iota \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ins $\tau \pi \iota \tau \tau \eta$ C2 (hence to $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \tau \eta s$, ver 4, C is rewritten).

recipients of the bounty of the Corinthians, he concludes with an ascription, in the spirit also of a thankful recipient, of unfeigned thanks to Him, who hath enriched us by the gift of His only Son, which brings with it that of all things else (Rom. viii. 32), and is, in all its wonders of grace and riches of mercy, truly ineffable, ανεκδιήγη-Tos. It is impossible to apply such a term, so emphatically placed as here, to any gift short of THAT ONE. And the ascription, as coming from Paul's fervent spirit, is very natural in this connexion. This interpretation is preferred by Chrys. [δωρεάν δέ ένταῦθα λέγει καὶ τὰ τοσαῦτα ἀγαθὰ τὰ διὰ τῆς ἐλεημοσύνης γινόμενα καὶ τοῖς λαμβάνουσι καὶ τοῖς παρέχουσιν ἡ τὰ ἀπόρῥητα ἀγαθὰ τὰ διὰ τῆς παρουσίας αὐτοῦ τῆ οἰκουμένη πάση μετὰ πολλῆς δωρηθέντα τῆς φιλοτιμίας δ καὶ μάλιστά έστιν ύποπτεῦσαι. Ίνα γὰρ καὶ καταστείλη, και δαψιλεστέρους έργάσηται, ὧν έτυχον παρά τοῦ θεοῦ, τούτων αὐτοὺς αναμιμνήσκει. καλ γαρ μέγιστον τοῦτο εis προτροπήν ἀρετής ἀπάσης διὸ καὶ ἐνταῦθα τὸν λόγον κατέκλεισεν], and Thl. [who, after beginning as Chrys., proceeds: ή καὶ τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἀναμιμνήσκει ὧν ἡξιώ-θημεν διὰ τής σαρκώσεως τοῦ χριστοῦ, ὡςανεὶ τοιαῦτα λέγων Μηδὲν μέγα νομίσητε ύμεις ποιείν ανεκδιήγητα γάρ είσι τὰ ἀγαθὰ ἃ ἐλάβομεν παρὰ θεοῦ καὶ εἰ ολίγα καὶ φθαρτὰ δῶμεν, τί μέγα;] It is also given by Bengel ["Deus nobis dedit abundantiam bonorum internorum et externorum, quæ et ipsa est inenarrabilis, et fructus habet consimiles"], Meyer, al. The other explanation (see Chrys. above) is that of Calv., Grot., Est., al.
CHAP. X. 1—XIII. 13. THIRD PART

CHAP, X. 1—XIII. 13.] THIRD PART OF THE EPISTLE. DEFENCE OF HIS APOSTOLIC DIGNITY, AND LABOURS, AND SUFFERINGS, AGAINST HIS ADVERSARIES: WITH ANNOUNCEMENT OF HIS INTERDED COURSE TOWARDS THEM ON HIS ENSUING VISIT. X. 1—6.] He assures them of the spiritual nature, and power, of his apostolic office: and prays them not to make it necessary for him to use such authority agoinst his traducers at his coming.

1. \ \delta\epsilon \text{ marks the transition to a new

subject,—and αὐτός points on to the personal characteristics mentioned below, 'Bgo didem Paulus, qui . . .;' the words ἐγὼ Παῦλος setting his Apostolic dignity in contrast with the depreciation which follows. Sometimes however we have αὐτός used, where the only object seems to be to bring out the personality more strongly: so I Thess. ii. 11; iv. 16; v. 23; 2 Thess. ii. 16; iii. 16. See also Rom. vii. 25: and ch. xii. 13:—and such may be the case here:—but the δγ rather favours the former interpretation.

διὰ τ. πρ. κ. ἐπ.] as in Rom. xii. 1,

using the meekness and gentleness of Christ

(Matt. xi. 29, 30) as a motive whereby he conjures them. And most appropriately: he beseeches them by the gentleness of Christ, not to compel him to use towards them a method of treatment so alien from that gentleness: "Remember how gentle my Master was, and force not me His servant to be otherwise towards you." "πραΰτης, lenitas, virtus magis absoluta: έπιείκεια, æquitas, magis refertur ad alios," Bengel. See many examples in Wetst. δς κατ. πρός.] Who in personal appearance indeed (am) mean among you (he appropriates concessively, but at the same time with some irony,—so Chrys., κατ' εἰρωνείαν φησί, τὰ ἐκείνων φθεγγόμενος, -the imputation by which his adversaries strove to lessen the weight of his letters. κατά πρ. is not a Hebraism : Wetst. quotes several instances of its usage by Polybius), but when absent am bold (severe, outspoken in blame) towards you, but (however this may be, assuming this character of me to be true or not, as you please; -- or, notwithstanding that I may have been hitherto ταπεινός among you) I pray [you] (not, God, as Bengel [1], al.) that I may not (τὸ μή sets the object of δέομαι in a stronger light, see reff.) when present ('as I intend to be:'-'at my next visit') have to be bold (see above) with the confidence (official peremptoriness, and reliance on my authority) with which I reckon (am minded: not passive, 'am reckoned,' as Vulg., Luther, Beza, Estius, Bengel, al., which, as Meyer remarks, would naturally require ἀπών with τολμήσαι) to

om Tivas C2.

5. καθαιρουντων D¹. om 2nd και F Ambrst-ed. at end ins αγοντες DF goth.

be bold towards some, (namely) those who reckon (of) us as walking according to the flesh (περιπατεῖν κατὰ σάρκα is well explained by Estius, 'hoc est, secundum carnales et humanos affectus vitam et actiones instituere.... Putabant enim Paulum, quando præsens erat, sive captandæ gratiæ causa, sive quod timeret offendere, vel simili affectu humano prohibitum fuisse, ne potestatem exerceret, quam absens per 3. The yap literas venditabat'). here shews that this verse is not the refutation of the charge κατά σάρκα περιπατείν, but a reason rendered for the δέομαι above; and εν σαρκί and κατά σάρκα allude only to the charge just mentioned. This indeed is shewn by the use, and enlargement in vv. 4-6, of στρατευόμεθα, instead of περιπατοῦμεν:-they who accuse us of walking after the flesh, shall find that we do not war after the flesh: therefore compel us not to use our weapons. περιπ.] Although we walk in the flesh, i. e. are found in the body,-yet we do not take our apostolic weapons from the flesh -do not make its rule, our rule of warfare.

4.] Enlargement of the idea in στρατεύριεθα. If the warfare were according to the flesh, its weapons would be carnal: whereas now, as implied, they are spiritual, δυωτὰ τῷ θεῷ, —powerful in the sight of God (i. e. 'in His estimation,' 'after His rule of warfare.' It is not a Hebraism; see on ref. Acts; and for the dat., Winer, edn. 6, § 31. 4. Some renderit, 'by means of God,'—Beza, Grot-Estius, Bengel, al.: others, 'for God,'—God's means of shewing his power,—Bill-roth, al., but wrongly) in order to pulling down of strongholds (see ref. Prov. So

Philo de Abrah. § 38, vol. ii. p. 32, τδν έπιτειχισμόν των έναντίων δυξών καθαιρείν, -see also de Confus. ling. § 26, vol. i. p. 424. Cf. Stanley: who thinks that recollections of the Mithridatic and piratical wars may have contributed to this imagery. The second of these, not more than sixty years before the Apostle's birth, and in the very scene of his earlier years, was ended by the reduction of 120 strongholds, and the capture of more 5.] The nom. than 10,000 prisoners). καθαιροῦντες refers to ήμεις, the implied subject of ver. 4; -this verse carrying on the figure in ἀχυρωμάτων. By λογισμούς he means, as Chrys., τον τῦφον τον Έλληνικόν, και των σοφισμάτων κ. των συλλογισμών την ίσχύν: -but not only these: every towering conceit κατά σάρκα is κ. παν ύψ. And every also included. lofty edifice (fortress or tower) which is being raised (or, raising itself) against the knowledge of God (i.e. the true knowledge of Him in the Gospel; not subjective here, but taken objectively, the comparata being human knowledge, as lifted upagainst the knowledge of God, i. e. the Gospel itself), and leading captive every intent of the mind (not 'thought,' as E. V.: not intellectual subjection here, but that of the will, is intended) into subjection to Christ (in the figure he treats ή ὑπακοὴ τ. χριστοῦ, the new state into which the will is brought by its subjection, as the country into which it is led captive: compare Luke xxi. 24).

6.] But perhaps some will not thus be subjected. In that case we are ready to inflict punishment on them: but not till every opportunity has been given them to join the ranks of the obedient; when your * here only ... * ἐν ε ἐτοίμῳ ε ἔχοντες α ἐκδικῆσαι πᾶσαν α παρακοήν, ὅταν ΒΕΟΕ ΚΙΑΝ Α ΕΛΑΝ Ε ΕΛΑΝ Α ΕΛΑΝ Ε ΕΛΑΝ Α ΕΛΑΝ Ε ΕΛΑΝ

6. for $\epsilon \nu$ ετοιμως ετοιμως D¹. aft πληρωθη ins προτερον C 39 fri Aug. η υπακοη bef υμων D¹F lat-ff.

7. for πεποιθεν, δοκει πεποιθεναι B. aft χριστου ins δουλοs D¹F flor Ambrstms. for αφ, εφ BLN; αρια vulg D-lat F-lat; intra G-lat. rec aft ημεις ins χριστου, with D³KL rel Damase Ge: om BCD¹FN 17 latt syrr goth ath arm Chr Thart Thl Ambrst Pelag.

s. om τε BF d 17 Chr Th1; ins CDKLN rel Thdrt Damase Ge Ambrst. rec ins και bef περισσσερον, with D³KLN³ rel Chr Thdrt Damase Th1; om BCD¹FN latt copt goth Ge.

 τι bef περισσ. F Ambrst Vig; om τι m Sedul. καυχη

obedience (stress on δμῶν) shall have completed. He does not mention any persons—not the disobedient, but every (case of) disobedience, and throws out ὁμεῖς into strong relief, as charitably embracing all, or nearly all, those to whom he was writing. Lachmann, strangely, and as it seems to me most absurdly, puts a period at παρακοήν, and joins ὅταν πληρωθῆ ὑμ. ἡ ὑπακοἡ, τὰ κατὰ πρόςωπον βλάπετε. More complete ignorance of the Apostle's style, and non-appreciation of the fine edge of his hortatory irony, can hardly be evineed, than this.

7-XII. 21.] A digression, in which he vindicates his apostolic dignity, his fruitfulness in energy and in sufferings, and the honour put on him by the Lord in revelations made to him. 7—11.7 He takes them on their own ground. They had looked on his outward appearance and designated it as mean. Well then, he says: 'do ye regard outward appearance? even on that ground I will shew you that I am an Apostle-I will bear out the severity of my letters: I will demonstrate myself to be as much Christ's, as those who vaunt themselves to be especially His.' This rendering suits the context best, and keeps the sense of κατὰ πρόσωπον in ver. 1. The imperative rendering of Vulg., Ambrose, Theophyl., Billr., Rück., Olsh., De Wette, al., - 'look at the things before your eyes, is objectionable (Meyer), (1) from altering the meaning of κατά πρόςωπον: (2) because it gives too tame a sense for the energy of the passage: (3) because βλέπετε generally in such sentences, in Paul's style, comes first, see 1 Cor. i. 26; x. 18; Phil.

iii. 2 (3ce); Col. iv. 17. Another way, is to take it as said without a question, but indicatively. So Chrys., Calvin, 'Magni facitis alios qui magnis ampullis turgent,—me, quia ostentatione et jactantia carco, despicitis.' But in that case, surely some further intimation would have been given of such a sentiment than merely these words,—the break after which, without any connecting particle, would thus be exceedingly harsh. Others again fancifully mix up with κατά πρόσωπ, the supposed characteristics of the (?) Christ-party, the lawing seen Christ in the flesh: the being headed by James the brother of the Lord, δc. δc.

by James the brother of the Lord, &c. &c. εἴ τις] If any one believes himself to belong to Christ (lit. 'trusts in himself to belong.' From 1 Cor. i. 12, it certainly was one line taken by the adversaries of the Apostle to boast of a nearer connexion with, a more direct obedience to, Christ, in contradistinction to Paul: and to this mind among them he here alludes), let him reckon this again out of his own mind (i. e. let him think afresh. and come to a conclusion obvious to any one's common sense [ἀφ' ἐαυτοῦ] and not requiring any extraneous help to arrive at it), that as he is Christ's, so also are we (that whatever intimate connexion with or close service of Christ he professes, such, and no less, is mine). 8.] This is shewn to be so. Even more boasting than he had ever yet made of his apostolic power, would not disgrace him, but would be borne out by the fact. For if we were to boast (ἐάν is not concessive, but hypothetical, as in 1 Cor. xiii. 1. τε γάρ generally has a corresponding clause following, with Te, Kal, dé, or 1, as Eur.

τῆς η έξουσίας ἡμῶν η ης ρ έδωκεν ὁ κύοιος εἰς q οἰκοδομὴν η με ι Cur. ix. d , μα μαρεκίτη καὶ οὐκ εἰς t καθαίρεσιν ὑμῶν, οὐκ s αἰσχυνθήσομαι, g ἴνα o καὶτς, λείτ i. t ΕΒΡΕΚΙ μὴ δόξω t ὡς t αν t έκφοβεῖν ὑμᾶς διὰ τῶν ἐπιστολῶν, ρω μα κια. x η καθαίρεσια ταὶ μὲν ἐπιστολαί v φησιν w βαρείαι καὶ x ἰσχυραί, t η q εἰς t κια το δὲ y παρουσία τοῦ σώματος z ἀσθενης καὶ ὁ λόγος u έξουθε- t xiii 10 miy t. 17 νημένος. 11 δ τοῦτο b λογιζέσθω c ὁ c τοιοῦτος, b στι οἰο t «βισιίνες» το τοιοῦτος t στι οἰο t καὶ το τοιοῦτος t στι οἰο t σρίν νες.

5.) ** Luke xvi 3. Phil. i. 20. 1 Pct. iv. 16. 1 John ii. 28 only. Ps. xxxi • 4. tw. inf., here only. $\mu_{\rm c}$ vich x xysi, $\mu_{\rm c}$ vice $h_{\rm c}$ vice

σομαι LN c f k Thl. 6m ημων C¹ 66². 219¹ Syr copt Chr. for κυριοs, θεοs D¹F D·lat F·lat G·lat fri Idae. ree aft κυριοs ins ημων with D³FKLN³ rel goth Thdrt GE: om BC D (and lat)Ν¹ 17 am(with tol al) æth(Syr copt Chr Thl). 9. δοξωμεν D¹F Ambrst. (not F·lat.) 6m ωs ων D¹. for εκφοβειν, εκφο-

 δοξωμεν D¹F Ambrst. (not F-lat.) om ως αν D¹. for εκφοβειν, εκ βουντες D G-lat(altern).
 ξουδικό μεν ΒΝ¹. φασιν B latt(exc D-lat).
 ξουδενημενος Β.

Phoen. 1313, εμός τε γάρ παις γης δλωλ' ύπερθανών, βος δε δώμα παν, so in reff. and Thueyd. i. 12 bis,-but sometimes the corresponding clause is wanting, being understood, or, as apparently here and in Heb. ii. 11, allowed to pass out of mind while following out the thought of the first clause. See Hartung, Partikellehre, i. 115. 5) somewhat more abundantly (than we have ever done: or than in vv. 3-6) concerning our power which the Lord has given for building you up and not for pulling you down (καὶ πῶς φησι, λογισμούς καθαιροῦντες; ότι αὐτὸ τοῦτο μάλιστα οἰκοδομῆς εἶδός ότι αυτό τουτό μακιού ο δικουσμές. και τὰ σαθρὰ διελέγχειν, και τὰ ἀληθῆ συντιθέναι ἐν οἰκοδομῆ. Chrys), I shall not be put to shame (οὐ δειχθήσομαι ψευδόμενος οὐδε άλαζονευόμενος, Chrys.).

9.] follows on ver. 8, but requires some clause to be supplied such as 'And I say this,' or the like. Meyer would join it immediately to alσχυνθ., and regard it as the purpose to be served by the fact verifying his boast. But as De W. observes, a particular result like this can hardly be bound on to a general assertion like that of ver. 8. To suppose the purpose of Paul's boast of apostolic power being borne out, to be merely Ίνα μη δόξω, &c., would be out of keeping with the importance of the fact. So that "va un δόξω is much better taken subjectively-I say this, because I wish not to seem, &c. ώς αν,-as Vulg. 'tanquam terrere vos.' It takes off the harshness of ἐκφοβεῖν. " ώς ăν in later (? see ref.) Greek, has the sense of 'quasi, tanquam,'- av losing its proper force, in a commonly current expression; and the sense is much the same as that of ώs alone." Meyer. Winer takes ώs αν έκφοβείν as = ως αν έκφοβοίμι, Gram. edn. 6, § 42. 6, and is followed by Olsh., but this, in the presence of the above idiom, is unnecessary. διὰ τῶν ἐπιστολῶν] He had written two before this, see 1 Cor. v. 9; but this is not necessarily here implied: for he may reekon this which he is now writing. Still less can we infer hence that a third had been written before this (Bleek). φησίν, taken by Winer (edn. 6, § 58. 9. b), De W., and Meyer, as impersonal heißt es, 'men say ;' but why should not the TIS of ver. 7, and & TOLOUTOS of ver. 11, be the subject? βαρείαι] see in Wetst., definitions from the rhetoricians of βαρύτης in discourse. Among other illustrations of it, Aristides mentions όταν τι άτοπον έαυτῷ καταράση οἶον, τεθνάναι μᾶλλον ἢ ταῦτ' εἰρηκέναι βούλομαι (see 1 Cor. ix. 15), and ὅταν εἰς κρίσιν άγάγης των τεθνεώτων ἐνδόξων, οΐον, πηλίκον αν στενάξαιεν οι πρόγονοι (see 1 Cor. xv. 18). παρουσία ασθενής] No countenance is given by these words to the idea that Paul was of weak physical constitution, or short in stature. His own explanation of them is sufficient as given in 1 Cor. ii. 1 ff. It is, that when he was present among them, he brought, not the strength of presence or words of the carnal teachers, but abjured all such influence and in fear and trembling preached Christ crucified. It was this, and not weakness of voice, which made his λόγος to be έξουθενημένος. At the same time, the contrast being between his epistles and his word of mouth, his authority as unaccompanied or accompanied by his presence, it must be assumed, that there was some d R.m. xv. 18. έσμεν d τῷ λόγῳ δι' έπιστολῶν c ἀπόντες, τοιοῦτοι καὶ BDF 1 Ιοhο iii. 18. c παρόντες d τῷ ἔργῳ. 12 οὐ γὰρ [τολμῶμεν g έγκριναι n cd c for n τολμῶμεν g έγκριναι n cd c for n τολμῶμεν g έγκριναι n cd c for n τολμῶμεν g έγκριναι n cd c for n n

κριναι F n.

om 3rd eautous N1: ins N-corr obl.

reii. only + Jos. B. J. il. 8.7, eis τον διαλοφ έγφούσται. here bis. 1 Cor. il. 13 only 1. Gen. xl. 8. il. w. il. 1 Cor. il. 13 only 1. Gen. xl. 8. il. w. il. 1 col. xi. xi. 1 col. xi. 1

thing (see on ch. xii. 7) which discommended his appearance and delivery. See the traditional authorities for the Apostle's personal appearance, in Winer's RWB. vol. ii. p. 221, note. 11.] λογιξάθω, as in ver. 7. ὁ τοιοῦτος, viz. who thus speaks. The introduction of the verse

12. for τολμωμέν, τολμω B: τολμων m.

αλλ' D'L a m 17.

as in ver. 7. δ σοιουτος, viz. Who thus speaks. The introduction of the verse without any connecting particle gives force and emphasis. Αfter παρόντες supply σαμεν, not ἐσόμεθα. Not only the conduct of the Apostle on his next visit, but his

of the Apostle on his next visit, but h
general character, is in question.

12—18.] The difficulty of this passage is universally acknowledged. In early times Theodoret wrote: ἀσαφῶς ἄπαν τὸ χάρημα τοῦτο γέγραφεν, and adds as a reason, ἐναρ-ψῶς ἐλέγξαι τοὺς airfosς οὺ βουλόμενος. He substantiates what has just been said, by shewing how unlike he is to those vain persons who boast of other men's labours;—for he boasts of what God had really done among them by him, and hopes that this boast may be yet more increased.

12. disclaims resemblance to those false teachers who made themselves their only standard. For we do not venture (ironical ;-"dum dicit quod non faciat, notat quid isti faciant." Bengel) to number ourselves among (συναριθμήσαι, Theo-phyl., Œcum., 'inserere,' Vulg.: see examples of this usage, with els principally, but also with μετά and έπί w. gen., in Wetst.), or compare ourselves with (συγκρίνειν is properly, in classical Greek, 'to compound,' or 'unite !' but in later Greek, 'to compare:' & συγκριτικός τρόmos, with the grammarians, is the comparative degree) some of those who commend themselves (the charge made against him, ξαυτόν συνιστάνει, see ch. iii. 1; v. 12, he makes as a true one against the false teachers) ; - but (they), themselves measuring themselves by themselves, and comparing themselves with themselves, are not wise. The renderings are very various. Chrys. al., read συνιοῦσιν, and make it a particip., τουτέστι, μη αισθανομένοις πως είσι καταγέλαστοι τοιαθτα άλαζονευόμενοι: and see again below. Others, reading the same, take it rightly, as $=\sigma v \nu i \hat{\alpha} \sigma \nu$, but make $\mu \epsilon \tau p o \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon \epsilon$, &c., the object of $\sigma v \nu \cdot \hat{\nu} \sigma \nu \epsilon$ is those not that they are measuring, &c.: but the corresponding sentence, $\dot{\gamma} \mu \epsilon i s \delta \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \lambda$, shows that this sense would be irrelevant; for the Apostle does not oppose their $\dot{\gamma} a \rho \sigma \nu \epsilon$ of their foolish estimate of themselves to his own practice, but that foolish estimate itself.

aft εγκριναι ins εαυτους

Others again, as Emmerling and Olshausen, take ἀλλά-συνιοῦσιν (or -âσιν) to apply to the Apostle himself, as contrasted with the Tivés: 'We do not venture, &c., -but we ourselves measure (supply ἐσμεν, 'are in the habit of measuring') ourselves by ourselves (i. e. as ver. 18, by what the Lord has really made us to be), and compare ourselves with ourselves, foolish as we are (reputed to be :- συνιοῦσιν being a participle). But foolish we are not : we will not boast ourselves,' &c. But (1) this rendering would absolutely require the article before où συνιοῦσιν, which, anarthrous, would imply, not an imputation, but the fact: (2) the mode of expression (αὐτοὶ ἐν ἐαυτοῖς ἐαυτ. μετρ.) would be a most extraordinary one to convey the meaning supposed:- and (3) the meaning itself would be irrelevant when obtained. Another variety of this rendering is to take (as Bos, Schrader, al.) έαυτοιs, οὐ συνιοῦσιν, = έαυτοιs, οὐ τοις συνιοῦσιν—with ourselves, not with the wise: which is also inadmissible.

has arisen from taking abroi with $a\lambda\lambda\hat{a}$ as the subject to ob $\sigma vvi\hat{a}\sigma v$, whereas it belongs to what follows, $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\hat{a}$ $\dot{a}broi$ $\dot{e}v$ $\dot{e}av\tau$, $\dot{e}av\tau$, $\mu e\tau p$, $\kappa\tau$, λ , as in the version given above: the subject of $\sigma vvi\hat{a}\sigma v$ being to be supplied, and the construction being an inaccurate one. Calvin well illustrates the sense, by the reputation which any moderately learned man gained among the

M -00-BDFK

κρίνουτες εαυτούς εαυτοίς οὐ ^m συνιάσιν. 13 ήμεις δε ουκ m Rom. xv. 21 η είς τὰ ο ἄμετρα η καυχησόμεθα, ἀλλά κατὰ τὸ μέτρον τοῦ η εντ. 16. καινείν Μ-σο- εις τα αμετρι καυχηνομενας υπέτρου εφικέσθαι είς ... ΕΙΜΝ αυτό το θεως 'μέτρου εφικέσθαι είς ... ΕΙΜΝ αυτό τος αχρι και ύμων. ¹⁴ ου γαρ ως μη εφικνούμενοι είς σετικομεν και ύμων (πορτος πο 17 ύμας " ύπερεκτείνομεν ' έαυτούς, ' άχρι γαρ και ύμων (πο 15α χχι 15α

 $\begin{array}{lll} (x,y) & \text{only}, & \text{Mic. viii. 4.} & \text{Judith xiii. 6 only}, & \text{Judxxxxviii. 5 } A_{i}(\sigma\pi x) r^i \sigma_{i}, & \text{LXX.}) \\ (xr,s.) & \text{Judin ii. 25.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{St.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{Judin ii. 25.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text{LXX.} \\ (xr,s.) & \text{LXX.} & \text$

2nd eautois bef 4th eautous DK m Chr Thdrt. ree συνιουσιν, with D3KL rel: συνισασιν Nº 742: txt BN-corr1-3 m 17 Thdrt-ed. om ου συνιασιν ημεις δε D1 (and lat) F Ambrst Sing-eler Sedul Vig. (Perhaps the transcriber's eye passed from ov above to our folly, and so omitted all between : or perhaps on acct of the difficulty of the words. See the readings discussed in Stanley's note.)

13. ree ouxt, with D3 rel Ee: txt BD FKLN e m 17 Chr Thdrt Damase Thl. εις το αμετρον D¹F: in immensum (and so ver 15) latt. καυχωμένοι FG Sing-cler: om D'(and lat). for εμερισεν, εμετρησεν M a 49. 64. 672. 74 Thl-ms. for θεος, κυριος D Epiph Vig. αφικεσθαι F 109 Chr-ms.

14. for ov yap ws, ws yap B 114. 116. for εφικνουμενοι, αφικν. K: αφικομενοι F: αφικουμενοι 106: εφικομενοι Chr. om 2nd yap N1 d: ins N-corr1.

ignorant monks of his day - "Si quis tenuem modo gustum elegantioris literaturæ habeat, . . . spargitur de eo mirabilis fama, adoratur inter sodales Inde præcipue monachis insolentissimus ille fastus quod se metiuntur ex se ipsis : quum in corum claustris nihil sit præter barbariem, illic nihil mirum, si regnet luseus inter cœcos. Tales crant isti Pauli æmuli : sibi enim intus plaudebant, non considerantes quibus virtutibus constaret vera laus, quantumque a Pauli et similium excellentia distarent." 13.] But we (opposed to those spoken of in last verse) will not (ever : will never allow ourselves to) boast without measure (lit. 'boast as far as to things unmeasured.' els with an adj. and the art. is used to signify the extent to which; so Herod. vii. 229, κατεκέατο ἐν ᾿Αλπηνοῖσι ὀφθαλμιῶντες ἐς τὸ $\xi \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \sigma \nu$: as $\xi \pi i$ with the same denotes the direction towards which, as ἐπὶ τὸ μείζον κοσμοῦντες, . . . ἐπὶ τὸ μυθῶδες ἐκνενικηκότα, Thueyd. i. 21,—without measure, seil, as they do who compare themselves with themselves and measure themselves by themselves, -for there is no standard for, no limit to, a man's good opinion of himself. The plur. τὰ ἄμετρα, instead of τὸ ἄμετρον, seems to be chosen to generalize the negative-'we adopt no such vague standard for our boasting '), but according to the measure of the rule (τὸ μέτρ. τοῦ καν .- 'the measure pointed out by the rule,' gen. subj.) which God apportioned to us as a measure, to reach as far as to you -υδ εμέρισεν ήμιν δ θ. μέτρου = δν εμέρ. ήμ. δ θ. μέτρον, which (κανών) God appor-

tioned to us as a measure, -or, as De W., τοῦ μέτρου δ ἐμέρ. ἡμ. δ θ., in which latter case μέτρον is in appos. with κανόνος: but I prefer the former. Mr. Green, Grammar of the N. T. dialect, p. 269, makes μέτρον governed by ἐφικέσθαι, as in οὕτω τάρβους άφικόμην, Eur. Phæn. 361; τοῦ βίου εἶ ἥκοντι, Herod. i. 30. My objections to this construction are, (1) that εφικνούμενοι els bμas is used absolutely in the very next clause, which makes it probable that the same usage is found here :- (2) that an unnecessary harshness is introduced, which I cannot persuade myself that the Apostle would have used, and which is apparent even in Mr. G.'s English, 'of advancing in standard as far as even you.' See Stanley's έφικέσθαι is the inf. of the purpose, that we should reach: or perhaps (but not so well) of the result, 'so that we reach.' 14.7 Further explanation of έφικ. ἄχρι κ. ὑμ. For we are not stretching ourselves beyond [our bounds], as (we should be doing) if we did not reach to you (not, as if we had not reached to you, as Luth., Beza: the pres. betokens the allotment of the field of apostolie work as his own, 'ut si non perveniamus.' The μή shews that the case is only a supposed one: so also 1 Cor. iv. 18, but compare 1 Cor. ix. 26, ώς ούκ αέρα δέρων, where the ease is the real one: see Winer, edn. 6, § 55. 1): for even as far as you did we advance (the proper meaning of φθάνω must hardly be pressed here: the Apostle would not introduce a distinct thought by a word of secondary importance in the sentence) in the gospel (the element

(Λαίε χ. 4) $\dot{}$ το $\dot{}$ νο $\dot{}$ γαρ $\dot{}$ εαυτον συνίστησιν, εκείνος εστιν συκίστησιν, εκείνος εστιν συκίστησιν, εκείνος εστιν συκίστησιν, εκείνος εστιν συκίστησιν, εκινή: 2. $\dot{}$ χΙ. $\dot{}$ 1 $\dot{}$ 1 $\dot{}$ 0 $\dot{}$ γαρ $\dot{}$ 6 κινι, τ. 1 $\dot{}$ 2 κινι, 2 $\dot{}$ 2 $\dot{}$ 1 $\dot{}$ 1 $\dot{}$ 1 $\dot{}$ 2 $\dot{}$ 1 $\dot{}$ 1 $\dot{}$ 2 $\dot{}$ 1 $\dot{}$ 1 $\dot{}$ 3 $\dot{}$ 2 $\dot{}$ 1 $\dot{}$ 2 $\dot{}$ 2 $\dot{}$ 1 $\dot{}$ 3 $\dot{}$ 4 $\dot{}$ 3 $\dot{}$ 3 $\dot{}$ 3 $\dot{}$ 4 $\dot{}$ 3 $\dot{}$ 3 $\dot{}$ 4 $\dot{}$ 4 $\dot{}$ 3 $\dot{}$ 4 $\dot{}$ 4 $\dot{}$ 5 $\dot{}$ 5 $\dot{}$ 5 $\dot{}$ 6 $\dot{}$ 7 $\dot{}$ 6 $\dot{}$ 7 $\dot{}$ 6 $\dot{}$ 7 $\dot{}$ 6 $\dot{}$ 7 $\dot{}$ 7 $\dot{}$ 7 $\dot{}$ 7 $\dot{}$ 8 $\dot{}$ 7 $\dot{}$ 8 $\dot{}$ 7 $\dot{}$ 8 $\dot{}$ 8 $\dot{}$ 9 \dot

see Heb. ii. 3, g here only t, h ver. 13. i Jen. lx. 24. 1 Cor. i. 31. k - άνευ, ever. 12. - άναι, Rom. iii. 5 reff. 150 Mark vii. 15. John i. 18, 33 al. m = Rom. xiv. 18 reff. 1 Cor. iv. 8 reff. 1 o - Acts xviii 14. 2 Tim. iv. 3. Heb. xiii. 22. Job vi. 26. pver 1 do anly, see Heb. ii. 7. q Mark vii. 22. vv. 17, 21 only. Job vi. 6. r = Gal. iv. 17 his. Zech. i. 14. 8 rom. x. 2. see Acts xxiii. 3

15. om δε LM e l n. for νμων, ημων B d. for ημων, νμων Ν.
18. for ου γαρ ο, ο γαρ (but corrd) Ν'. rec (for συνιστανων) συνιστων, with D⁸KL rel Eus Dial Mac Chr: συνισταν d: txt BDFMN m 17 Orig Ephr Thdrt Damasc. δοκιμος bef εστιν DN' vss: εστιν ο δοκ. F.

CHAP. XI. 1. ωφελου D³FKL m n 17 Chr-ms Œc: txt BD¹MN rel Chr Thdrt Damasc Thl. (οφιλου D¹) elz ηνειχεσθε, with (none of our mss) Chr-ed Thl: ωνεχεσθε B(Beh) K d m n¹ 17 Chr-ms Thdrt: txt B(Mai) DFLN rel Chr-2-mss Damasc Œc Thlms. Steph om τι, with FKL rel D-lat(with G-lat fri) Chr Thdrt Damasc Thl-mss Œc Lucif: ins B D-gr MN n 17 vulg(and F-lat) goth Thl Bede. elz ins της bef αφροσυγης, with F a d Thl: om BDN n 17.—Steph τη αφροσυγη, with KL rel copt gr-ff.—add μου F latt. (M defective.) αναχεσθε Ν.

in which our advance was made: 'the gospel' = 'the promulgation of the gospel'). 15.] in apposition with οὐ γὰρ κ.τ.λ. ver. 14, and carrying out the thought. Not boasting without measure in other men's labours (the element of the boasting), but having a hope if (or, as) your faith grows, to be enlarged (not as many Commentators, 'celebrated;' the metaphor of measure still remains) among you (so Chrys., Theophyl., Est., Meyer. $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\dot{\nu}\mu$. is not to be joined with $a\dot{\nu}\dot{\epsilon}$, as Luth., Calv., Beza, Ölsh., De W., in which case it would be superfluous) according to our rule (i. e. our apportionment of apostolic work, for we seek not ὑπερεκτείνειν έαυτούs) unto abundance ('so as to abound more than we now do,' viz. as ver. 16 explains). 16.7 (with a view) to preach the gospel as far as (see on είς τὰ ἄμ., ver. 15) the parts beyond you (Wetstein quotes from Thomas Magister, ἐπέκεινα ρήτορες λέγουσι ὑπερεκεῖνα δὲ μόνοι of σύρφακες, la canaille), - not (with a view) to boast ourselves within another man's line (κανών throughout seems to be used of a measuring line: according to the metaphor so common among us, 'in his line,'—i. e. 'within the line which Providence has marked out for him')

with regard to (or, 'to the extent of;' 'to extend our boasting to') things ready made to our hands. 17.] He sets forth to them, in contrast (δt) to this boasting themselves in another's line, which was the practice of his adversaries, wherein the only legitimate boasting must consist: viz. in the Lord, the Source of all grace and strength and success in the ministry; see I Cor. xv. 10.

18.] The reason of this being, that

not the self-commender, but he whom the Lord commends by selecting him as His instrument, as He had the Apostle, and giving him the ἐπιστολή συστατική, to be known and read by all men, of souls converted and churches founded, is δόκιμος, approved, i. e. really and in the end abiding the test of trial. ékeivos brings out the distinction of the man who is δόκιμος, - see reff. and Winer, edn. 6, § 23. 4. We have the usage in English in affirmative sentences, e. g. 'The Lord, he is the God,' 1 Kings xviii. 39: but not in XI. HIS BOASTING negative ones. OF HIMSELF: and 1-4.] apologetic introduction of it, by stating his motive,-viz. jealousy lest they should fall away from Christ. 1.] ἀνείχεσθε is the Hellenistic form, - ηνείχ. the Attic, not 'uti-

3. for $\pi\omega_s$, $\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon$ F a Chr-comms: om $\mu\eta$ $\pi\omega_s$ D'(and lat) vulg fri Lucif Aug. ree $\epsilon\omega_s$ bef $\epsilon\xi\eta\pi\alpha\eta\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu$, with DKL rel vulg(and F-lat) fri syr Clem₁ Orig, Lucif: txt BFMN 17 Syr copt eath Clem₂ Eus Orig₁ Dannase Orig-int, Jer. for $\epsilon\omega_s\nu$, $\nu\mu\nu$ N¹, but $\epsilon\nu\omega_s$ written above by N¹ or 3. om $\epsilon\nu$ D¹-gr vulg F-lat fri Lucif. rec ins $\epsilon\omega_s$ which D²-3KLM rel vulg(and F-lat) syrr æth Chr Thdrt Dannase Thl Ee Orig-int₃ Archel lat-fi: om BD¹-FN old-lat copt arm Clem₂ Gaud. ree om $\kappa\omega_s$ $\tau\eta_s$ $\alpha\gamma\nu\sigma\tau$, with D³-KLM rel vulg(and F-lat) Syr Clem₂ Eus Chr Thdrt Orig-int₃ Lat-fi: ins BFN¹-[N¹ has it in brackets] a 17 tol syr-w-ast copt goth æth Archel Augsenpe Bede, and (but transp $\pi\lambda$, and $\alpha\gamma\nu$.) D'(with lat) Epiph, (The omission appy arose from the similarity of endgs. Meyer and De Wette suppose $\alpha\gamma\nu$ to have been a gloss, to $\epsilon\nu$ latin $\alpha\pi\lambda$, and afterwards to have found its way into the text.) om $\tau\sigma\nu$ FK d 80. 89.

nam tolerassetis,' as Calv., al.: the imperfeet is put after είθε, αὶ, ὄφελον, &c., 'ubi optamus eam rerum conditionem, quam non esse sentimus:' Klotz ad Devar. p. 516, cited by Meyer. μου and άφροσύνης are not both genitives after μικρόν τι, as Meyer: nor is it so in the passage quoted by him, Job vi. 26, LXX: οὐδὲ γὰρ ὑμῶν φθέγμα δήματος ανέξομαι. In both cases the personal pronoun is governed by the verb, as indeed here in ἀνέγεσθέ μου immediately following-and μικρόν τι άφροσύνης is the accusative of remote reference. as in the double accus. construction. άλλά κ.] But (why need I request this?

for) you really (see note, ch. v. 3) do bear with me. The indicative is much better than the imperative rendering (as Vulg., Beza, Calvin, Grot., Estius, Bengel, al.),—which, after $\delta\phi\epsilon\lambda \delta\nu$ $\delta\nu\epsilon i\chi$., is very flat, and gives no account of the $\kappa\alpha i$. He says it, to shew them that he does not express the wish as supposing them void of tolerance for his weakness, but as having experienced some at their hands, and now requiring more. 2.] 'That forbearance which you do really extend to me, and for more of which I now pray, is due from you, and I claim to have it exercised by you, because I have undertaken to present you to Christ as a chaste bride to her husband, and (ver. 3) I am jealous for fear of your falling away from Him.' ζήλω] so είλικρινεία τοῦ θεοῦ, ch. i. 12: a godly jealousy: see note there. Meyer after Chrys., Estius, al., would render it, 'with God's jealousy,' 'with such a jealousy as God has.' But though θεοῦ (ήλω

and τω του θεού ζήλω are for most purposes identical, I cannot but think that the latter expression would have been chosen to express such an idea as 'with the zeal which God has.' And the rendering, 'with a godly zeal,' i. e. one which has God's honour at heart, satisfies well what follows: see below. σάμην] I betrothed you (viz. at your conversion : προμνήστωρ ύμῶν ἐγενόμην καὶ τοῦ γάμου μεσίτης, Theodoret. Ordinarily, the father, or the bridesman (παρανύμφιος) is said άρμόζειν: the middle voice is used of the bridegroom only. So among other examples in Wetst., — εἶχεν ἐν δόμοις Αἴγισθος, οὐδ' ἤρμοζε νυμφίω τινί, Eur. Electr. 24,—and άρμοσα-μένου Λευτοχίδεω Πέρκαλον την Χίλωνος θυγατέρα, καὶ σχὼν γυναῖκα . . . , Herod. vi. 65. But in Philo we have γάμος ὃν άρμόζεται ήδονή, de Abr. § 20, vol. ii. p. 15) to one husband, to present (i. e. in order that I may present in you) a chaste virgin to Christ (viz. at His coming : δ μέν οὖν παρών καιρός μνηστείας έστίν ό δὲ μέλλων τῶν γάμων, ὅτε κραυγή γίνεται, ίδοὺ δ νυμφίος. Theophyl.). is not in constructive apposition with Evi ανδρί, but explains and fixes it: the emphasis being on παρθένον άγνην.

3.] But he fears their being sedweed from their fidelity to Christ. δ δρι3 He takes for granted that the Corinthians recognized the agency of Satan in the (wellknown) serpent: see vv. 13 – 15, where his μετασχηματισμός for the sake of deceit is alluded to. ἐν τῆ παν. αὐτοῦ] in (i. e. by means of, as the element in which

4. for ihs, crists F4\ vulg arm Ambrst Pelag. for exabete, exerges F. in R the 2nd effers written twice, but marked for erasure by R\ or corr\, recreases February 10, with rel Chr-ed Thatt-ed: anelyses DGKLMR b\ b\ e f g m o Chr-ms Damase, enelyses F: txt B 17 Cyt, patimini fri.

5. for γαρ, δε B 178. aft υστερηκεναι ins εν υμιν D'(and lat) fri(with fuld tol).

the deed was done) his versatility (or subtlety), - so (ούτω has been a gloss from the margin) your thoughts ('sentiments,' ref. and ch. x. 5) be corrupted from (pregnant construction, = be corrupted, and seduced from) your simplicity (singleness of affection) and your chastity towards Christ (ϵ is $\chi \rho$, is not = $\epsilon \nu \ \chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau \widehat{\varphi}$, as Vulg., E. V., Beza, Calvin, al.). 4, 5.] The thought here seems to be this:—'If these new teachers had brought with them a new Gospel, superseding that which I preached, they might have some claim to your regard. But, since there is but one gospel, that which I preached to you, and which they pretend to preach also, I submit that in that one no claim to regard is prior to mine.' Observe, that the whole hypothesis is ironical: it is fixed and clear that there can be no such new gospel: therefore the inference is the stronger. For (the whole sentence is steeped in irony:—'the serpent deceived Eve by subtlety: I fear for you, but not because the new teachers use such subtlety -if they did, if the temptation were really formidable, there would be some excuse. All this lies in the γάρ) if indeed (εἰ μέν introduces a reality, and is full here of deep irony. Cf. Il. α. 135, ἀλλ' εἰ μὲν δώσουσι γέρας μεγάθυμοι 'Αχαιοί: 'if the Achæans shall really give me another gift;' and μ. 138-142, εἰ μὲν δη 'Αντιμάχοιο δαίφρονος υίϵες ἐστὸν . . . νῦν μὲν δὴ τοῦ πατρός ὰεικέα τίσετε λώβην . . , 'if ye really are, &c., . . ye verily will.' . . See Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 414) he that cometh (viz. the false teachers generically thus designated : but here too perhaps there is irony: δ έρχόμενος was a ρημα σεμνόν) is preaching (the indicative pres. earries on the ironical assumption, so λαμβ. below) another Jesus whom we preached not, or ye are receiving a different Spirit (ἄλλος, distinctive of individuality, ἔτερος of kind), which ye received not (from us), or another gospel weich ye accepted not (ἐλάβ., ἐδέξ.,—
'verba diversa, rei apta. Non concurrit

voluntas hominis in accipiendo Spiritu, ut in recipiendo evangelio. Bengel. But singularly enough, in English, usage has attached the voluntary act to the verb 'accept'), ye with reason bear with him (irony again: for they not only bore with, but preferred them to their father in the faith. The sense is: "there seems to be some excuse in that ease,-but even in that, really there is none,-for your tolerating him." On the rec., Bengel remarks: 'Ponit conditionem, ex parte rei, impossibilem: ideo dicit in imperfecto. toleraretis: sed pro conatu pseudapostolorum, non modo possibilem, sed plane præsentem: ideo dicit in præsenti, prædicat.' Similarly Meyer. See Winer, edn. 6, § 42. 2). That the rendering above given is right, seems to me beyond question. It is the only one which reaches the depth of the exquisite irony of the sentence, at the same time that it satisfies all grammatical requirements. 5.] See above. ('Seeing that there is but one gospel, and they and I profess to preach one Jesus and impart one Spirit, they have no such claim: mine is superior'): for I reckon that in no respect do I fall short of (the perf. sets forth the past and present truth of the fact) these overmuch Apostles. των ύπερλίαν άποστ. has very commonly been taken to mean bona fide 'the greatest Apostles,' i. e. Peter, James, and John, or perhaps the Twelve: but (1) this hardly seems to suit the expression ὑπερλίαν, in which I cannot help seeing, with De W., some bitterness: (2) it would be alien from the spirit of the passage, in which he institutes no comparison whatever between himself and the other Apostles, but only between himself and the false teachers. (3) had any such comparison been here intended, the 'punctum comparationis' would not have been, personal eminence in fruits of apostolic work and sufferings, still less, seeing that the other Apostles were unlearned also, the distinction which immediately follows, between an idiwins, and one pretending ἀποστόλων. 6 εί δὲ καὶ ε΄ ιδιώτης τῷ t λόγῳ, u ἀλλ' οὐ τῆ s καὶς iν.13 r γνώσει, ἀλλ' w εν w παντὶ s φανερώσαντες g εν g πασιν είς u τον.iν.15 ὑμᾶς. 7 εῆ αμαρτίαν a έποίησα, έμαυτὸν c ταπεινῶν ἴνα r τον. είτον είτος u το τοῦ s θεοῦ s εὐαγγέλιον w καὶς s το τοῦ s εὐαγγέλιον w καὶς s εὐηγγελισάμην ὑμῖν; s ἄλλας s έκκλησίας s ἐσύλησα λαβῶν s τον s το

12. Heb. xiii. 4. z so 1 Cor, vi. 2. a John viii. 34. James v. 15. 1 Pet ii. 22. 1 John viii. 48, 9. 3 Kings xvi. 19 bs 1 Cor, vi. 18. Gen. xxxix. 9. c Matt. xxiii. 12. bis. Luke xiv. 11 bis. a xviii. 14 bis. James vi. 10. 1 Pet v. 6. Ps. 1 kxxivi. 15. das above (c). Matt. xviii. 4. Luke iii. 5, from Isa. xi. 4. de x xii. 21. Phil. vi. 5, vi. 12 only, vi. 2 das above (c). Matt. xviii. 74. Pet v. 12. de xii. 20. vi. 2 de xii. 24. vii. 2 de xii. 24. vii. 2 de xii. 20. vii. 2 de xii. 24. vii. 2 de xii. 24. vii. 4 de xii. 24. vii. 2 de xii. 24. vii. 4 de xii. 24. vii. 24. vii

6. om δε D'(and lat with G-lat) am(with demid F-lat) copt goth Jer. aft ιδιωτης ins εμμ D'(and lat) G-lat vulg(some mss). rec φανερωθεντες, with D'KLN' rell's syrr copt (Dr Thdrt Sedul(manifesti sumus): φανερωθεις (manifestus or -status sum) D¹·²(and lat) G-lat(altern) am(with demid flor F-lat) lat-ff: -ρωθεντι 1. 108: txt BFN 17 and, adding εαντους, M 108² 8-pe goth arm: φανερωσαι εαντους 67². (The variety appears to have arisen from the difficulty of φανερωσαντες, which became φαν. εαντους, and then -ρωθεντες.) om εν πασιν F vulg fri Syr Ambrist.

7. aft ή ins μη F vulg fri. for εμαυτον, εαυτον FL h 93.

to more skill,—but priority of arrival and teaching in Corinth. (4) the expression $\psi \epsilon \omega \delta \pi \delta \sigma \tau o \lambda \sigma$ ver. 13, seems to me to refer to, and give the plain sense of, this ironical designation of $i\pi \epsilon \rho \lambda a \mu \lambda \sigma \delta \sigma \sigma \lambda d \sigma$. (5) the same expression ch. xii. 11 appears even more plainly than here to require this explanation. The above explanation is that of Beza, Michaelis, Schulz, Fritzsche, Billroth, Rückert, Olsh., Meyer, De Wette.

ύπερλίαν is not found in classic Greek: but Wetstein cites from Eustath. Od. a. p. 27, 35: ἔστι γάρ ποτε καὶ τῷ λίαν κατά την τραγωδίαν χρασθαι καλώς, καθ' δ σημαινόμενον λέγομέν τινα ὑπερλίαν σοφόν. Meyer instances as analogous, ύπεράγαν (2 Mace. · x. 34), ύπέρευ (ύπέρευ πεπολίτευμαι, Deniosth. 228. 17), and the frequent use by Paul of compounds of ύπέρ. It has been the practice of Protestant Commentators (e.g. Bengel, Macknight) to adduce this verse against the primacy of Peter, and of the Romanists (e. g. Corn.-a-Lapide) to evade the inference by supposing the pre-eminence to be only in gifts and preaching, not in power and jurisdiction. All this will fall to the ground with the supposed reference to the other Apostles. 6. Explains that, though in one particular he may fall short of them, viz. in rhetorical finish and word-wisdom, yet in real knowledge, not so. lδιώτης] a laic,—a man not professionally acquainted with that which he undertakes, see reff. The Apostle dis-

he undertakes, see reff. The Apostle disclaims mere rhetorical aptitude and power in 1 Cor. ii. 1 ff. Δλλά brings out the contrast, see reff.:—εἴ τοι σύ γε σεωθτοῦ μὴ προορᾶς, ἀλλὶ ἡμῶν τοῦτό ἐστι οῦ περιοπτέον, Herod. v. 39.

the depth of his knowledge of the mystery

of the gospel, see Eph. iii. 1-4. άλλ' ἐν παντί But in every matter we made things manifest (i. e. the things of the gospel, thereby shewing our γνωσις;not, την γνωσιν. Meyer and De W. suppose φανερώσαντες to have been a gloss for φανερωθέντες, especially as it is followed in some mss. by έαυτούς, and to have been the more readily received into the text, because it might easily be taken with γνῶσιν. But how improbable that the easy φανερωθέντες should have been replaced by the harsh -σαντες. Much rather would the latter be replaced by φανερωθέντες from ch. v. 11) before all men (ἐν πᾶσιν, being separated from ἐν παντί by the verb, cannot be coupled with it, as in ref. Phil., but must mean among all) unto you (i. e. with a view to your benefit: not = 'to you,' in which sense the dative is always found after φανερόω: see Rom. iii. 21, πεφανέρωται είς πάντας κ. ἐπὶ πάντας). 7.] Another particular in which he was not behind, but excelled, the ὑπερλίαν ἀπόστολοι; viz. the gratuitous exercise of his ministry among them. On the sense, see mutating among them. On the scase, capposition is one of sharp irony. εμ. ταπεινών] See Acts xviii. 3. The exalatation which they received by his demeaning himself was that of reception into the blessings of the gospel, which was more effectually wrought thereby: not merely, their being thus more favoured temporarily, or in comparison with other churches. δωρ., &c., is epexegetical of εμαυτόν ταπεινών; -in that I gratuitously, &c. :not, as Meyer, άμαρτ. ἐποίησα ὅτι, making έμαυτον ... ύψωθ. parenthetical. It was his wish to preach to them gratuitously,

οψωνιον "προς την ύμων " διακονίαν, και "παρών "προς BDFK LMS ab 1 Luke III. 14. 1 οὐ ώνιον m πρὸς τὴν ὑμῶν n διακονίαν, καὶ n παρών n πρὸς BDFK Rom, 1 23. 1 ὑμᾶς καὶ p ὑστερηθείς οὐ q κατενάρκησα οὐθενὸς 9 (τὸ γὰο c de c de c iv. bh. him harm: " ύστέρημα μου " προςανεπλήρωσαν οι αδελφοί έλθόντες πο 17 only. viii. 19 απο Μακεδονίας) και 'έν 'παντι ' αβαοη έμαυτον υμίν * ἐτήρησα καὶ * τηρήσω. 10 * ἔστιν * ἀλήθεια * χριστοῦ * ἐν έμοι, ὅτι ἡ y καύχησις αὕτη οὐ ² φραγήσεται εἰς έμε έν Gal. iv. 18, $c_{\rm L}$ iv. 19, $c_{\rm L}$ iv. 19

8. ree ουδενος: txt BMN m 17 Damase(appy).

9. ree υμιν bef εμαυτον, with DFLN3 rel: om υμιν K m¹ Chr Thl-ms: txt BMN m² 17 vulg D-lat.

10. Steph (for φραγησεται) σφραγισεται, with d: σφραγησεται 14. 74. 238: txt BD for ϵ_{is} $\epsilon_{\mu\epsilon}$, ϵ_{ν} $\epsilon_{\mu oi}$ F a¹ 2. 120. om δ D¹ Thdrt. FKLMN rel.

11. om oti B.

which necessitated his ταπεινοῦν έαυτόν, i. e. not exercising the apostolic power which he might have exercised, but living on subsidies from others, besides (which he does not here distinctly allude to) his working with his own hands at Corinth. See Stanley. 8. The 'other churches' were the Macedonian, cf. ver. 9. Among them the Philippians were probably conspicuous, retaining as doubtless they did, their former affection to him; see Phil. iv. 15, 16. ἐσύλησα is hyperbolie, to bring out the contrast, and shame them.

δψ., see reff., wages; more properly here subsidy. πρὸς τ. ὑμ. διακ.] in order to (to support me in) my

ministration to you, gen. obj. αλλας and ὑμῶν stand in the emphatic positions, as contrasted. In the former sentence, he implied that he brought with him from Macedonia supplies towards his maintenance at Corinth: λαβών . . . πρὸς τ. δμ. διακ.: here, he speaks of a new supply during his residence with the Corinthians, when those resources failed. ενάρκησα] apparently = κατεβάρησα, ch. xii. 16. Hesych. interprets it εβάρυνα. Jerome, Ep. exxi. (cli.) ad Algasiam, quæst. 10, vol. i. p. 879, says, 'multa sunt verba, quibus juxta morem urbis et provinciæ suæ familiarius Apostolus utitur : e quibus ex. gr. panen ponenda sunt Εt, οὐ κατενάρκησα δμας, hoc est, non gravavi vos . . . quibus et aliis multis usque hodie utuntur Cilices.' Theophylact and Œeum, mention a rendering, οὐκ ἡμέλησα, ἡ ῥαθυμοτέρως πρός το κήρυγμα γέγονα: nud Beza, following the etymology, interprets οὐκ ἐνάρκησα κατ' οὐδενός, 'cum cujusquam incommodo.' But the former meaning suits the context better. The word is found nowhere else

in Greek. ἀποναρκάω occurs in Plutarch, de Liber. Educatione, p. 8, F (Wetst.), ἀποναρκῶσι κ. φρίττουσι πρός τους πόνους. On the government of the genitive by verbs

compounded with Kata, see Matthiæ, § 376. 9. For (reason why he burdened no one) the brethren (who, he does not say: their names were well known to the Corinthians. Possibly, Timotheus and Silas, Acts xviii. 5) when they came from Macedonia (not as E. V., 'which came,' of έλθόντες) brought a fresh supply of my want (or perhaps mposav. is used without the idea of additional supply, as in ch. ix. 12, the πρός merely denoting direction): and in every thing I kept myself ('during my residence' i' not, 'have kept myself,' as E. V.) unburdensome to you, and will keep myself. 10.] The truth of Christ is in me, that . . .; i.e. 'I speak according to that truth of which Christ Himself was our example, when I say, that . . , , —there is no oath, nor even asseveration, as E. V. and most Commentators introduce. The expression is exactly analogous to Rom. ix. 1. η καύχ. this boasting (not = $\kappa \alpha \dot{\nu} \chi \eta \mu \alpha$, here or any where else) shall not be stopped (supply το στόμα, which is not expressed, because καύχησις being itself a matter of utterance, suits the sense of the verb without it) as regards (or against) me (καύχ. is as it were personified-shall not have its mouth stopped as regards me) in the regions of Achaia (where the καύxnows is imagined as being and speaking).

11.] He presupposes, and negatives, a reason likely to be given for this resolution ; viz. that he loves them not, and therefore will be under no obligation to them: for we willingly incur obligations to those υμᾶς; ὁ εθεὸς εοίδεν. 12 ὁ δὲ ποιῶ, καὶ ποιήσω, ἴνα εριλι 23 οις καὶ 6 κιὶ 12 οις δὲ κκοί 4 ωτην εαφορμην τῶν θελόντων εαφορμην, ἵνα 6 εν αδκοκ 12 ενιδι 20 και 6 και 13 οις 13 ο

reff. h - 1 Cor. iv. 2 reff. i Acts xxii. 22 reff. m here only. Phendy xxii. 17 ai. - Phil. iii. 2. m here only. Phyn. xii. 6. (-ob.; Rom. 13) iii. 10. 5. where only is the reference of the ref

whom we love. οίδεν, seil. ὅτι ὑμᾶς ἀγαπῶ. 12.] The true reason:— But that which I do, I will also continue to do (καl ποιήσω must not, as Erasm., be coupled to ποιῶ, and διὰ τοῦτο ποιῶ supplied before "να, - because it is for his resolution respecting the future that the reason is especially given) in order that I may cut off the occasion (τήν, which would be furnished if I did not so) of those who wish for an occasion (viz. of depreciating me by misrepresenting my motives if I took money of you). Many (Chrys., Theophyl., Calv., Grot., Billroth, al.) take this occasion to be one of aggrandizing themselves above Paul if all took money, assuming that the false teachers, as well as Paul, took none: which is extremely unlikely, from the prominence which he gives to the boast of his own abstinence in this point, -and seems directly opposed to ver. 20 and to 1 Cor. ix. ίνα ἐν φ κ.τ.λ.] that, in the matter of which they boast, they may be found even as we. Such appears generally acknowledged to be the rendering: but as to the meaning, there is great variety of opinion. (1) Many of the ancient Commentators assume that they taught gratis, and were proud of it,-and that Paul would also teach gratis, to put both on an equality and take this occasion of boasting from them. This would suit the sense of the present verse, but seems (see above) at variance with the fact. (2) Theodoret, whom Meyer, al., follow, supposes them to have pretended to the credit of self-denial, while really making gain, and that Paul means, that he will reduce them from pretended to real self-denial. But this too is inconsistent with the context. Paul's boast of disinterested teaching was peculiarly his own, and there is nothing to shew that the false teachers ever professed or made any boast of the like. His resolution did not spring out of an actual comparison instituted by them between their own practice and what they might falsely allege to be his, but was adopted even before his coming to Corinth, arguing a priori that it was best to cut off any possible occasion of such depreciation

of him from his probable adversaries. (3) Others, Cajetan, Estius, after Aug. de Serm. Dom. in Monte ii. 16 [54], vol. iii. p. 1292,—also Bengel,—join "va.... ήμειs with ἀφορμήν,—'occasion that they may be found even as we,' and explain may be found (a point in which they boast) even as we:' i. e. 'that in point of selfishness and covetousness, we may be both on a level.' But this meaning would require rather εύρεθῶμεν καθώς και αὐτοί, 'we may be reduced to their level.' (4) Olsh., adopting in the main the last interpretation, would understand εν φ καυχῶνται of the taking of money of which they boasted, accounting it an apostolic prerogative. But to this the last stated objection applies even more forcibly: and besides, the supposition is wholly arbitrary. (5) De Wette, believing the second "va to be parallel with the first, as in (1) and (2), understands έν φ καυχώνται as applying to their boast of apostolic efficiency: 'that they may, in their apostolic work which they vaunt with such pretension, be found even as we,' and thinks the transition to what follows thus made easy. But the objection to this is, that the punctum comparationis in the rest of the chapter is not apostolic efficiency, but rather matters κατὰ σάρκα. (6) I cannot adopt any one of the above accounts of the sentence, for the negative reasons already given, and because all of them seem to me to have missed the clue to the meaning which the chapter itself furnishes. This clue I find in vv. 18 ff. The καυχῶνται is there taken up, described as being κατὰ σάρκα: the καθώς και ἡμεῖς is taken up by 'Εβραῖοί εἰσιν; κὰγώ' &c. From this it is manifest to me, that his meaning in our present clause is, 'that in the matter(s) of which they boast they may be found even as we :' i. e. 'we may be on a fair and equal footing:' 'that there may be no adventitious comparisons made between us arising out of misrepresentations of my course of procedure among you, but that in every matter of boasting, we may be fairly compared and judged by facts.' And then, before the $\gamma d\rho$ of ver. 13 will naturally be supplied, 'And this ${\rm ^{0}} \frac{{\rm ^{0}} {\rm ^{C}} \cdot {\rm ^{N}} {\rm ^{1}} \cdot {\rm ^{0}}}{\cosh y \cdot \lambda {\rm ^{1}} \cdot {\rm ^{0}}} \frac{{\rm ^{0}}}{\cosh x^{\rm ^{1}} \cdot {\rm ^{0}} \cdot {\rm ^{0}}} \frac{{\rm ^{0}}}{\sinh x^{\rm ^{1}} \cdot {\rm ^{0}} \cdot {\rm ^{0}}} \frac{{\rm ^{0}}}{\sinh x^{\rm ^{1}} \cdot {\rm ^{0}} \cdot {\rm ^{0}}} \frac{{\rm ^{0}}}{\sinh x^{\rm ^{1}} \cdot {\rm ^{0}} \cdot {\rm ^{0}}} \frac{{\rm ^{0}}}{\sinh x^{\rm ^{1}} \cdot {\rm ^{0}} \cdot {\rm ^{0}}} \frac{{\rm ^{0}}}{\sinh x^{\rm ^{1}} \cdot {\rm ^{0}} \cdot {\rm ^{0}}} \frac{{\rm ^{0}}}{\sinh x^{\rm ^{1}} \cdot {\rm ^{0}}} \frac{{\rm ^{0}}}{\sinh x^{\rm ^{1}} \cdot {\rm ^{0}}} \frac{{\rm ^{0}}}{\sinh x^{\rm ^{1}} \cdot {\rm ^{0}}} \frac{{\rm ^{0}}}{\sinh x^{\rm ^{1}}} \frac{{\rm ^{0}}}{\sinh x^{\rm$

 $t_{\text{sym, ni.}}^{\text{tor, iii.}}$. σοξη αφρονά είναι εί σε μηγε, $^{\circ}$ καυ ως αφρονά είναι εί

v=1 tor. III. 20 Lake v. 36, 40. Rum, ii. 20 al. L.P., exc. 1 Pet. ii. 15. Prov. passim. Vii. 15 al. vii. 15 al. vii. 15 al. vii. 15 al. ver. 1 ref. babsol., 1 Cort. 1 Prov. 7 al. ver. 1 ref. babsol., 1 Cort. 1 Pr. v. 7 al. ver. 1 ref. 1 ver. 1 ref. ver. 1 ref. 1 ver. 1 ref. ver. 1 ref. 1 ver. 1 ref. ver

ειs αγγελον, ως αγγελος D¹(and lat) Cypr Ambrst.
 15. om ουν D¹(and lat) spec Syr goth Lucif Philastr. for εσται, εστιν D¹(and

16t.). 16. om γε D. ree μικρον τι bef καγω, with (none of our mss) syr Œe: txt BDFKLMN rel latt Syr arm gr-lat-ff.

17. rec λαλω bef κατα κυριον, with DLM rel vulg(not F-lat) fri syr copt goth: txt BFKN a d (m) 17 Chr Damase.

will end in their discomfiture: for realities they have none, no weapons but misrepresentation, being false Apostles,' &c.

13. For (see above: the γάρ implying also that the choice of the above line of conduct has been made in a conviction of their falsehood and its efficacy to detect it) such men are false Apostles (not, as Vulg. and most expositors, 'such false Apostles are έργ. δόλ.,' which destroys the whole emphasis of the sentence, wherein the ὑπερλίαν ἀπόστολοι of ver. 5 are pronounced now to be ψευδαπόστολοι: and besides, suggests an irrelevant comparison between οί τοιοῦτοι ψ. and ψ. of some other kind. ὁ τοιοῦτος is a familiar designation with the Apostle, see reff.),-dishonest workmen (in that they pretend to be teachers of the Gospel, and are in the mean time subserving their own ends),-changing themselves into (in appearance: the pres. participle indicates their habit and continnal endeavours to assume the shape) Apostles of Christ. By a fair comparison between us, this mask will be stript off ;-by the abundance of my sufferings, and distinctions vouchsafed by the Lord, my Apestolicity will be fully proved, and their Pseudapostolism shewn. 15.] οὐ θαῦμα-so Aristoph. Plut. 99, καὶ

θαῦμὰ γ' οὐδέν, οὐδ ἐγὰ γὰρ ὁ βλέπων.

αὐτὸς γὰρ ὁ σ.] If any definite
allusion is here intended, it is perhaps to
Job i. 6, &c.: but I would rather suppose
the practice of Satan in tempting and seducing men to be intended.

14. αγν.

φωτός] God is light, and inhabits light,
and His angelic attendants are surrounded

with brightness, see Acts xii. 7; Ps. civ. 4: whereas Satan is the Power of darkness, see reff. and Luke xxii. 53.

15.] εἰ καί, if also, i. e. as well as himself, or perhaps better applying to the
whole sentence, if, also... μετασχ.
ώς, i. e. μετασχ. καὶ γίνονται ώς:—so
Rom. ix. 29, ώς Γόμοβρα ἐν ὁμοιώθημεν.

αὐτός, the father of falsehood and wrong (John viii. 44), is directly opposed to δικαιοσύνη θεοῦ, Matt. vi. 33, that manifestation of God by which He is known to us in the Gospel, Rom. i. 17.

ων τὸ τέλ.] Of whom (notwithstanding this disguise) the end shall be correspondent to their works (not to their 16-21.] Excuses for pretensions). his intended self-boasting. πάλιν-referring to ver. 1, not repeating what he had there said, but again taking up the subject, and expanding that request. The avéxouas of ver. 1 in fact implies both requests of this verse:-the not regarding him as a fool for boasting, or even if they did (εὶ δὲ μήγε after a negative sentence implies 'but if it cannot be so,' 'if you will not grant this,' see reff. καν elliptical: the full construc-tion would be καν ώς άφρονα δέξασθαι δέη, δέξασθέ με: so in reff.) as a fool (i.e. yielding to me the toleration and hearing which men would not refuse even to one of whose folly they were convinced) receiving κάγώ, as well as they. Proceeding on the ωs άφρονα, he disclaims for this self-boasting the character of inspiration—or of being said in pursuance of his mission from the Lord. κατὰ κύρ.] as in

2; i x 17. (-διστα, ch. xii, θ) k ver, l. 1 km xi, 35 al Prov, xv, 2; inon, i tor, r. lor, r.

18. om την DFR¹ 17 Chr Damase.

20. rec vmas bef eis $\pi\rho\sigma s\omega\pi\sigma\nu$, with D2KLM rel goth Chr Thdrt: txt BD1-3FN m o 17 latt syr Damase lat-ff.

reff. after the (mind of the) Lord, in pursuance, i. e. in this case, of θεοπνευστία from above, not as in 1 Con vii 10.25 10.

from above: not as in 1 Cor. vii. 10, 25, 40. ώς ἐν ἀφρ.] as it were in folly, i. e. 'putting myself into the situation, and speaking the words of a foolish man vaunting of himself.' ύποστάσει, as ch. ix. 4, in this present confidence, not as Chrys. 'subject,'-'this subject of boasting,' Tva μη νομίσης πανταχού ανοηταίνειν αὐτόν,and so al.: but the sense would be insipid in the last degree: nor could such a meaning well be expressed without γε,έν ταύτη γε τη ύπ. De Wette also renders vm. 'subject-matter,' and under-stands, 'since we are come to boasting;' but here again ye would be more naturally found. He objects to 'confidence,' that the boasting was not begun: but as Meyer replies, it is conceived of as having begun in Paul's mind, by the use of the present λαλῶ, I am speaking.

18.] Since many (viz. the false teachers, but not only they: - ' since it is a common habit, '—for he is here speaking as $\epsilon \hat{l} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ άφρόνων, see Job ii. 10) boast according to the flesh (not = $\epsilon \nu \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa i$, as Chrys., al., but 'in a spirit of fleshly regard,'- 'having regard to their extraction, achievements, &c.' as below vv. 22 ff.), I also will boast (scil. κατὰ τὴν σάρκα. Rückert thinks these words are omitted purposely, thereby to imply that the Apostle's boasting was not fleshly; but this is distinctly contradicted by the context: he is speaking as one of the πολλοί of οἱ ἄφρονες, see next verse).

19.] Bitterly ironical. They were φρόνιμοι-as 1 Cor. iv. 8, κεκορεσμένοι -so full of wisdom as to be able to tolerate complacently, looking down from the 'sapientum templa serena,' the follies of others. This, for sooth, encourages him to hope for their forbearance and patronage. Compare the earnestness of 1 Cor. iii. 1-4. And the irony does not stop here: it is not only matter of presumption that they would tolerate fools with complacency, but the matter of fact testifold it a they were doing this and more

fied it: they were doing this: and more. 20. for (proof that they could have no objection to so innocent a man as a fool, when they tolerated such noxious ones as are adduced) ye endure (them), if (as is the case) one brings you into slavery (the mere abstract act as regarded them, not the man's own selfish view, being in the Apostle's mind, the active, not the middle, is used. Thucyd. iii. 70, uses the active similarly : λέγοντες τους 'Αθηναίους την Κέρκυραν καταδουλούν. But the enslaving understood, is to the man himself, not to the law :- see ref. Gal.), if one devours you (by exaction on your property, see reff. Mk. L. So Hom. Od. γ. 315: μή τοι κατά πάντα φάγωσι κτήματα, and Plaut., Ter., and Quintil., in Wetstein), if one catches you (as with a snare, ref. : not, 'takes from you'), if one uplifts himself (so freq. in Thucyd., e. g. vi. 11, χρη μη πρός τας τύχας των έναντίων ἐπαίρεσθαι. See other examples in Wetst.), if one smites you on the face (in insult, see 1 Kings xxii. 24: Matt. v. 39; Luke xxii. 64; Acts xxiii. 2. This is put as the climax of forbearance. "That such violence might literally be expected from the rulers of the early Christian society, is also implied in the command in 1 Tim. iii. 3, Tit. i. 7, that the 'bishop' is not to be 'a striker.' Even so late as the seventh century the council of Braga (c. 7), A.D. 675, orders that no bishop at his will and pleasure shall *strike* his clergy, lest he lose the respect which they owe him." Stanley).

21.] By way of disparagement (κατ λατμ.,—so κατὰ ληθη ἐκπλώσαντες, Herodi ii. 152; κατὰ δὰ τὰς, Thucyd. vi. 31) I say (assume) that (δε ὅτι, see ch. v. 19, note,—does not positively state a fact, but assumes one, or states the import of a saying) wε (emphatic) were weak (when we were among you). An ironical reminis-

21. $\eta\sigma\theta$ ενησαμεν bef $\eta\mu$ εις $F: \eta\sigma\theta$ ενηκαμεν BR m 80.—add εν τουτω τω μερει D vulged(not am fuld) Ambrst Pelag. om δ' D^1 (and lat) vulg Syr Ambrst. om $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\omega$ $R^1:$ ins R-corr¹obl.

cence of his own abstinence when among them from all these acts of self-exaltation at their expense, q. d. (ironically), 'I feel that I am much letting myself down by the confession that I was too weak ever to do any of these things among you.' This I believe with Schrader, De Wette, and Meyer, to be the only satisfactory rendering. See also Stanley. Most expositors (1) refer $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ back to ver. 20, ' $I \ say \ it$,'— ' $I \ speak$,' as E. V. So Chrys., Theophyl., Theodoret, Pelag., Erasm., Calv., al. (Chrys. remarks on ώς ὅτι,—ἀσαφὲς τὸ εἰρημένον. ἐπειδη γὰρ φυρτικὸν ἦν, διὰ τοῦτο οὕτως αὐτὸ τέθεικεν, ἴνα κλέψη τὴν έπάχθειαν τῆ ἀσαφεία), and (2) understand κατὰ ἀτιμ., 'to your shame,' and (3) ώς ὅτι, 'as though.' But (1) can hardly be, seeing that λέγω below and λαλώ ver. 23 have a forward reference: (2) would require δμῶν, and even then would be exceedingly harsh, -cf. the similar meaning 1 Cor. xv. 34, where we have πρός έντροπην υμίν λαλώ: and (3) it may be doubted whether ώς 8τι ever can mean 'as though,' even in ref. 2 Thess., where Winer, edn. 6, § 65. 9 [see German edn.], is disposed to give it that meaning: it is pleonastic, answering to our expression 'how that'-'I told him, how that' Winer [but not in edn. 6] instances the use of wie bag in a somewhat similar way : wie bas ich gehört habe, where either wie or baß would be enough. Besides the instances given on ch. v. 19, Meyer quotes from Dion. Hal. ix. (with no further ref.) ἐπιγνούς, ὡς ὅτι ἐν ἐσχάτοις εἰσὶν οἱ κατακλεισθέντες. ểν ὧ δ' ἄν] But in whatsoever matter any one (the Tis of ver. 20) is bold (the av signifies habit, recurrence: so Soph. Philoct. 290, ταῦτ' αν εξέρπων τάλας εμηχανώμην είτα πύρ αν οὐ παρην, and Eur. Phoen. 412, ποτέ μ ἐν ἐπ' ἡμαρ εἶχον, εἶτ' οὐκ εἶχον ἄν, where see Porson). Throughout this passage, compare by all means Stanley's interesting notes. ἐν ἀφρ.] see ver. 17. 22.] "The three honourable appellations with which the adversaries magnified themselves,-resting on their

Jewish extraction, are arranged so as to form a climax: so that Έβραῖοι refers to the nationality, - Ίσραηλίται to the theocracy (Rom. ix. 4 ff.), and σπέρμα 'Aβρ. to the claim to a part in the Messiah (Rom. ix. 7; xi. 1, al.)." Meyer. The interrogative form of the sentence is much more lively and consistent with the spirit of the context than the affirmative, as given by Erasm., Luther, Estius, al. Meyer remarks, that all three points of Judaistic comparison, of so little real consequence in the matter, were dismissed with the short and contemptuous κάγώ,-'that am I too.' But that is not enough, now that we are come to the great point of comparison; the consciousness of his real standing, and their nullity as ministers of Christ requires the ὑπὲρ ἐγώ, and the holy earnestness of this consciousness pours itself forth as a stream over the adversaries, so as to overwhelm their conceited aspirations to apostolic dignity.

 π aρaφρ. λ.] stronger than iv αρροσ. λέγω: —I say it as a madman. Hardly, as Meyer, spoken from a consciousness of the verdict π aρaφρονεῖ which the opponents would pronounce on this $\delta \pi i p$ έγα, —but rather, as De W., from a deep sense of his truly untrue was $\delta \pi i p$ èγα, in any boasting sense. He therefore repudiates it even more strongly than the π an $\delta \pi i p$ even more strongly than the π an $\delta \pi i p$ is π an $\delta \pi i p$.

more strongly than the τολμῶ κὰγώ. ὑπὲρ ἐγώ must not be misunderstood. He concedes to them their being διάκ. χρ., and assumes (παραφρονών) for himself, something more, if more abundant labours and sufferings are to be any criterion of the matter. That this is the sense is obvious from the comparison being in the amount of labours and sufferings,-and not (as Meyer), that he denies to them the διάκ. χρ. and merely puts it hypothetically. Well, then, if they are to be considered διάκ. χρ., I must be something more.' If so, the comparison would be not in the degree of ministerial self-sacrifice, but in the credentials of the ministry itself. Both are now assumed to be ministers: but if so, Paul is a minister in a much

 fe κόποις h περισσοτέρως, έν fi φυλακαῖς h περισσοτέρως, έν $^{fch. vi. 6.}_{g \ 1 \ Cor. iii. 8.}$ κ. fk πληγαῖς l ὑπερβαλλόντως, έν m θανάτοις πολλάκις $^{Gen. vi. 6.}_{g \ 1 \ Cor. iii. 8.}$ κ. $^{fen. vi. 6.}_{g \ 1 \ Cor. iii. 8.}$ κ. fk 24 ύπὸ Ἰουδαίων πεντάκις η τεσσεράκοντα η παρὰ μίαν ή Ματ. xxv. 36, 25 σολο Ρέος (38) σθ. ... 3 σολο μίαν ή Ματ. xxv. 36, 11 σολο μίαν ή Ματ. xxv. 36, 11 σολο μίαν ή Ματ. xxv. 36, 12 σολο μίαν ή Ματ. xxv. 36, 12 σολο μένα χείνες και το κατά το κ $^{\rm e}$ λα β ον, 25 τρὶς $^{\rm p}$ έρα β δίσ θ ην, απαξ $^{\rm q}$ έλιθάσ θ ην, τρὶς $^{\rm ac.\ 11eb.\ x}_{\rm k.\ Luke x.\ 30.}$ τέναυάγησα, ενυχθήμερον έν τῷ τβυθῷ απεποίηκα: 26 ° οδοιπορίαις πολλάκις, "κινδύνοις * ποταμών, "κιν- there only. John XX

only. $(-\beta i \lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu, \text{ch. iii. 10.})$ m = ch. i. 10. Ps. lv. 13. (see 1 Cor. xv. 3.1.) προσποθήψεκων πολλούν θυμότουν δυτομένων \hat{u} θ^{i} ένδο τοῦ τελευταίου, Philio, Place, $\frac{1}{2}$ 9, vol. ii. p. 542. nellips. $g = \frac{1}{2}$ $g = \frac{1}{2}$ g =

23. for λαλω, λεγω DF e Did. ree εν πληγαις υπερβ. bef εν φυλακαις περισσ., with D2KLMN3 rel syrr copt Chr Thdrt Damase Hil, and FN1 Orig, which put περισσ. with πληγ. and υπερβ. with φυλ.: om εν πλ. υπ. Clem Tert: txt BD1 vulg(and F-lat) D-lat goth æth lat-ff.

25. rec ερραβδ., with M rel Chr: txt BDFKLX 17 Orig Chr-ms Thl Œc.

26. for πολλακις (and in next ver), πολλαις D1(with lat); so also vulg in ver 27.

higher degree, more faithful, more selfdenying, richer in gifts and divine tokens, than they. The preposition is used adverbially, see reff. έν κόποις περίσ.] By (the ¿v is instrumental:—the direct dative is adopted ver. 26:-these facts are proofs of the ὑπὲρ ἐγώ,—not as Estius, al., parallel with it, which would only apply to the comparatives and not to èv θανάτοις πολλάκις) labours (occurring) more abundantly (the adverbs belong to the substantives in each case and are used adjectively; so την έμην αναστροφήν ποτε, Gal. i. 13: της έμης παρουσίας πάλιν, Phil. i. 26),—by prisons (imprisouments) more abundantly (but one such is mentioned in the Acts [xvi. 23 ff.] previous to the writing of this Epistle.

Clement, in the celebrated passage of his 1st Epistle to the Corinthians [e. v. p. 220] on the labours of Paul, describes him as $\epsilon \pi \tau d\kappa \iota s$ $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \dot{a}$ $\phi o \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma a s$. This whole catalogue should shew the chronologists of the Apostle's life and epistles how exceedingly unsafe it is to build only on the history in the Acts for a complete account of his journeys and voyages), by stripes more exceedingly (particularized below), by deaths often (see reff. and ch. iv. 10. Such was the danger escaped at Damascus, Acts ix. 23, at Antioch in Pisidia, xiii. 50, at Iconium, xiv. 5, 6, at Lystra, ib. 19, at Philippi, xvi., at Thessalonica, xvii. 5 f., at Berœa, ib. 13, and doubtless many others of which we know nothing. See below).

24, 25.] are parenthetical, explaining some of the foregoing expressions: the construction is resumed, ver. 26.

At the hands of the Jews five times re-VOL. II.

ceived I forty save one (in Deut. xxv. 3, it is prescribed that not more than forty stripes should be given, 'lest thy brother should seem vile unto thee.' For fear of exceeding this number, they kept within it. This seems a more likely account of the thirty-nine stripes than that given by Wetst.,-that thirteen were inflicted on the breast, and the same number on each shoulder, and the fortieth omitted, lest one part of the body should receive more than another. See the Rabbinical authorities in Wetst., and cf. Joseph. Antt. iv. 8. 21 and 23, and Stanley's note here. He calls it τιμωρία αἰσχίστη: and Meyer remarks that Paul might well number it among the θάνατοι, for it was no rare occurrence for the criminal to die under its infliction.

None of these scourgings are mentioned in the Acts), -thrice was I beaten with rods (scil. by the Roman magistrates, see Acts xvi. 22, 23, which is the only occasion mentioned in the Acts), once was I stoned (Acts xiv. 19), thrice I suffered shipwreck (not one of these shipwrecks is known to ns. Thus we see that perhaps three, perhaps two, voyages of Paul, but certainly one,-previous to this time, must be somewhere inserted in the history of the Acts: see Prolegg. ch. iii. § v. 5), a night and day have I spent (reff.) in the deep (i. e. the sea: probably on some remnant of a wreck after one of his shipwrecks alone or with others. To understand δ βυθόs, as Thl. Γτινές δέ φασιν έν τινι φρέατι μετά τὸν ἐν Λύστροις κίνδυνον κατακρυφθείς, βύθω λεγομένω, νῦν τοῦτο λέγει], seems to be taking it out of its connexion here. Wetst. gives from Ælian, H. An. viii. 7, ἀθέατον

 \mathbf{y} Epp. here only. Matt. \mathbf{x} ληστών, \mathbf{w} κινδύνοις έκ \mathbf{z} γένους, \mathbf{w} κινδύνοις έξ BDFK IM8ab (IM8ab (IM8ab)) (Γου Jer. \mathbf{w} κινδύνοις έν πόλει, \mathbf{w} κινδύνοις έν \mathbf{z} έρημία, \mathbf{z} de fix \mathbf{z} κικδύνοις έν \mathbf{z} δυκούνοις \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z} δυκούνοις $\mathbf{$

h Gal. ii. 4 or 1 Thess. iii. 9. 2 Thess. iii. 8 only. d ch. vi. 6 (reff.). e as above (c) only. Nom. xxii. 21. Thess. iii. 9. 12 Thess. iii. 8 only. d ch. vi. 6 (reff.). h here only. Exod. xvii. 3. 1 John xviii. 18. Acts xxviii. 2 only. Gen. viii. 29 Rom. viii. vii. 8 kas above (f, phenoly. Exod. xvii. 2 only. 1 (reff.) (r

27. rec ins $\epsilon \nu$ bef $\kappa o \pi \omega$, with KLM \aleph -corr^1(?)³ rel vulg(and F-lat) Orig lat-ff: om BDF \aleph ¹ goth.

28. rec επισυστασις, with KLM rel Chr(explaining it: οί θόρυβοι, αί ταραχαί, αί πολιορκίαι τῶν δήμων καὶ τῶν πόλεων ἔφοδοι. So also Thdrt al) Damase Thl Œc: txt

νήχεσθαι εν βυθφ̂. Still less must we think of the characteristic interpretation of Estius: "Subjunxit aliud periculum marinum longe gravius, nempe quod demersus fuerit ex naufragio in profundum maris, ubi tamen divina ope fuerit servatus incolumis noctem et diem, atque inde postea liberatus"). 26.] The construc-tion is resumed from ver. 23, but now with the instrumental dative without the preposition. By journeys frequently, by perils of rivers (the genitives denote the material of the perils; rivers and robbers being the things and persons actually attacking. Winer, edn. 6, § 30. 2, renders it dangers on rivers, justifying it by κ. έν πόλει: but in my view a distinction is pointed out by the variety of construction. Wetst. quotes κινδ. θαλασσῶν from Heliod. ii. 4. The 'perils of rivers' might arise from crossing or fording, or from floods. The crossing of the rocky and irregular torrents in Alpine districts is to this day attended with danger, which must have been much more frequent when bridges were comparatively rare. And this is the case with a road, among others, frequently traversed by Paul, that between Jerusalem and Antioch, crossed as it is by the torrents from the sides of Lebanon. Manndrell says that the traveller Spon lost his life in one of these torrents: see Conybeare and Howson, edn. 2, vol. i. p. 502, note: and Stanley in loc.), by perils of robbers (see note on Acts xiii. 14), by perils from my kindred (the Jewish nation, &k. arising from: they not being always the direct agents,—but, as in many cases in the Acts, setting on others or plotting secretly: or yévous, - and é0v. below, -imports generically the source, or quarter whence the danger arose), by perils from the Gentiles (not merely "from

Gentiles," as Stanley: this would be $\epsilon \xi$ $\xi \theta \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$. The art. is omitted after the preposition, the word being thus categorized in Greek; but it must be supplied in our English idiom),-by perils in the city (in Damaseus, Acts ix. 23 f.,-Jerusalem, ib. 29,--Ephesus xix. 23 ff., and many other places), by perils in the desert (the actual desert? or merely the solitude of journeys as contrasted with 'the city?' but any how, not 'in solitude :' the art. must be supplied as in έν πόλει), by perils in the sea (not, as De W., a repetition from ver. 25: there are many perils in the sea short of shipwrecks), by perils among false brethren (who were these? Grot., al., suppose, 'qui Christianos se simulabant, ut res Christianorum perdiscerent, deinde eos proderent,'-and so apparently Chrys., &c. But Paul's use of this compound leads us rather to persons who bona fide wished to be thought ἀδελφοί, but were not, seil. in heart and conduct, and were opponents of himself personally, rather than designed traitors to the Christian cause. Cf. ψευδαπόστολοι above, ver. 13); 27.] by labour and weariness, by watchings (see on ch. vi. 5) frequently (the èv is here resumed, perhaps arbitrarily, perhaps also because κόπος and μόχθος are more directly instrumental, - άγρυπν., &c., more conditionally), by hunger and thirst, by fastings frequently (voluntary fastings, 'ad purificandam mentem et edomandam carnem,' as Estius, see also ch. vi. 5, note. De W. here too [see also Stanley] holds to 'involun-tary fastings;' but he is clearly wrong, for νηστ. is distinguished from λιμ. κ. δίψ.), in cold and nakedness (insufficient clothing:-or, literally, when thrust into prison after his scourgings, - or 28.] He after his shipwreeks).

μοι ἡ $^{\circ}$ καθ΄ ἡμέραν, ἡ $^{\circ}$ μέριμνα $^{\circ}$ πασῶν τῶν $^{\circ}$ έκκ τστῶν. $^{\circ}$ λεts ii. 30 $^{\circ}$ τίς $^{\circ}$ τάσθενεῖ, καὶ οὐκ $^{\circ}$ ασθενεῖς τῖς $^{\circ}$ σκανδαλίζεται, $^{\circ}$ μαίσοιστικαὶ οὐκ έγὼ $^{\circ}$ πυροῦμαι; $^{\circ}$ 30 $^{\circ}$ Εί $^{\circ}$ καυχάσθαι δεῖ, $^{\circ}$ τῦς $^{\circ}$ της χέτλικι $^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ της χέτλικι $^{\circ}$ τος χέτλικι $^{\circ}$ τος χέτλικι $^{\circ}$ και $^{\circ}$ της χέτλικι $^{\circ}$ τος χέτλικι $^{\circ}$ τος χέτλικι $^{\circ}$ της χέτλικι $^{\circ}$ τος χέτλικι $^{\circ}$ της χέτλικι $^{\circ}$ της χέτλικι $^{\circ}$ τος χέτλικι $^{\circ}$ της χέτλικι $^{\circ}$ τος χέτλι $^{$

BDFN k 17. rec (for μοι) μου, with DKLMN3 rel vulg: txt BFN1 17.

passes from particulars, omitting others which might have been specified, to the weight of apostolic care and sympathy which was on him. Not to mention those (afflictions) which are besides (these) (the Vulg., E. V., Beza, Estius, Bengel, understand $\pi\alpha\rho\epsilon\kappa\tau\delta s$ as = $\xi\xi\omega\theta\epsilon\nu$, 'the things that are without,'-a meaning which it never has, always implying exception, see Chrys., al., join χωρ. τ. παρεκτ. with the foregoing, and put a period after παρεκτ., interpreting it rightly, πλείονα τὰ παραλειφθέντα των απαριθμηθέντων: -but this seems to break the connexion too abruptly, besides giving a strange and unlikely termination to the long sentence preceding),—my care (ἐπίστ. may be either 'delay,' 'hindrance,' as Soph. Antig. 225, πολλάς γάρ είχον φροντίδος επιστάσεις, and Xen. Anab. ii. 4. 26, δσον δ' αν χρόνον τὸ ἡγούμενον τοῦ στρατεύματος ἐπιστήσειε, τοσοῦτον ἢν ἀνάγκη χρόνον δι' ὅλου τοῦ στρατεύματος γίγνεσθαι τὴν ἐπίστασιν, or, as very frequently in Polybius, see Schweigh., Lex. Polyb., - 'care,' 'attention,' 'matter of earnest thought:' e.g. την ύπερ των δλων επίστασιν κ. διάληψιν, viii. 30. 13, 'curam summæ rei,'-οὐκ ἐκ παρέργου, άλλ' έξ ἐπιστάσεως iii. 58. 3, άγειν τινὰ εἰς ἐπίστασιν, 'attentionem ali-cujus excitare,' ix. 22. 17, al. The rec. reading, ἐπισύστασις [which has perhaps been introduced from ἐπίστασ:s not being understood (see digest here and on ref. Acts) and then µor has been altered to μου as easier], can only mean concursus, in a hostile sense, see ref. and examples in Wetst.: and so Chrys. [see var. readd.], &c., take it here: others metaphorically, as Beza, 'agmen illud in me quotidie consurgens, i. e. sollicitudo de omnibus ecclesiis:'-somewhat similarly De W.,-'that which sets upon me, importunes me, daily :' and so E. V. Stanley, with Est. al., renders it, 'the concourse of people to see me :' but this is doubtful, as departing from the hostile sense. In Beza's sense, there is something Pauline in the rec., "the daily outbreak against me," and the reading cannot be considered certain) day by day, (viz.) my anxiety for all the churches (the construction is an anacoluthon: not, as Meyer, επίστ. the subject and μέριμνα the predicate, which would be a very flat sentence,—'ny daily care is, anxiety βc .' As it stands, $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{m} c \sigma c$. Is general, and $\dot{\dot{\eta}} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \mu \nu$, particularizes it. Nothing need be supplied. $\dot{\dot{\eta}} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{m} (\sigma \tau)$ occurs to the Aposter's mind, and is uttered, in the nominative, the construction being disregarded).

29.] 'Cura certe συμπάθειαν generat: qua facit, ut omnium affectus in se suscipiat Christi minister, omnium personas induat, quo se accommodet omnibus,' Culv. Olsh., after Emmerling, strangely understands, 'Who is weak, if I am not so?' The ἀσθέγεια of the τις may be in various ways; in faith, as Rom. xiv. 1 al., or in purpose, or in courage: that of the Apostle, see 1 Cor. ix. 22, was a sympathetic weakness, a leaning to the same infirmity for the weak brother's sake, but also a veritable θαρυβοῦμα κ. ταράσομα (as Chrys.) in himself, on the weak brother's account.

τίς σκανδ.] "Non priore, sed hae versiculi parte addit ego: nam ille infirmo se accommodat: hie dissimillem se scandalizantis fatetur, partes a scandalizante neglectas scandalizante neglectas sunt amor, prudentia, &c. Idem tamen Paulus etiam partes scandalizantis etiemomodum quod scandalizatus sentit, in se suscipit." Bengel.

πυρούμαι,—with zeal, or with indignation. 30.] partly refers back to what has past since ver. 23. The ἀσθένεια not being that mentioned in a different connexion in ver. 29, but that of ver. 21, to which all since has applied. But the words are not without a forward reference likewise. He will boast of his weaknesses—of (τὰ τῆs ἀσθ.) those things which made him appear mean and contemptible in the eyes of his adversaries. He is about to adduce an instance of escape from danger, of which this is eminently the case: he might be scoffed at as δ σαργανοφόρητος, or the like-but he is carried on in his fervency of self-renunciation amidst his apparent self-celebration, and he will even cast before his enemies the contemptible antecedents of his career, boasting in being despised, if only for what Christ had done in him. The as-

30. om μου Β.

31. rec aft $\kappa\nu\rho\nu\sigma$ ins $\eta\mu\omega\nu$, with DM rel vulg(with fuld F-lat) Syr copt Thdrt Aug: om BFKLN e g h l m n 17 am syr goth Chr Damasc. rec aft $\nu\sigma$, ins $\kappa\rho\sigma\sigma$ with DKLM rel vulg(with fuld F-lat) Syr copt Thdrt Aug: om BFN m 17 am syr goth Chr.

32. rec δαμασκηνων bef πολιν, with D²KLM rel Chr Thdrt Damase: txt BD^{1,3}FN a m 17 yss. om θελων BD¹ (and lat) vulg(and F-lat) Syr arm Procop Ambrst

Pelag: ins D3KLMN rel goth Chr Thdrt, and (but bef πιασαι με) F syr copt.

33. om εν σαργανη F.

Chap. XII. 1. *καυχασθαι δεί οὐ συμφέρον μὲν ἐλεύσομαι δὲ B(see table)FN 17 vulg: καυχασθαι δη ου συμφέρει μοι ελευσομαι γαρ DKL rel Ath Chr Thdrt Damase Œc Ambret Scdul.—ins ει θεί καυχ. Ν³ 39 lect. 17 vulg(and F-lat).— δει (on the confusion between η and ει cf Tischdf N. T. prolegg. p. xxxvii) BD³FL d e f g m n o syrr goth: δε D¹N copt Thl: δη KM æth arm Ath Chr Thdrt Damase.— οπ μοι D¹ Syr goth—add και B 213.

severation in ver. 31 may be applied to the whole, but I had rather view it as connected with the strange history about to be related: - 'I will glory in my weaknesses-yea, and I will yet more abase myself-God knows that I am telling sobertruth-&c.' If the solemnity of the asseveration seem out of proportion to the incident, the fervid and impassioned charaeter of the whole passage must be taken into account. It will be seen that I differ from all Commentators here, and cannot but think that they have missed the connexion. Meyer supposes that vv. 32, 33 were only the beginning of a catalogue of his escapes, which he breaks off at ch. xii. 1: and that the asseveration was meant to apply to the whole catalogue: but surely this is very unnatural. 32, 33.] On the fact, and historical dif-

32, 33.] On the pact, and mistorical algorithms, see note, Acts ix. 23. 32.]
δν Δαμ. followed by Δαμασκηνῶν is pleonastic, but the pleonasm is common enough, especially when for any reason, our words are more than usually precise and formal. ἐθνάρχηκ] Prefect, or governor, stationed there by the Arabian king. The title appears to have been variously used. The High Priest Simon, as a vassal of Syria, is so named in reff. 1 Macc., and Jos. Autt. xiii. 6, 7. It was bestowed by Angustus on Archelaus after

his father's death, Jos. Antt. xvii. 11. 4; B. J. ii. 6. 3. The presidents of the seven districts into which Egypt was divided under the Romans, bore it (Strabo, xvii. 798): as did a petty prince of the Bosporus under Augustus (Lucian, Macrob. 17). Also the cliief magistrates of the Jews living under their own laws in foreign states had this title (Jos. Antt. xiv. 7.2; xiv. 8. 5. B. J. vii. 6. 3). But apparently it must here be taken in its wider sense, and not in this latter: for the mere chief magistrate of the Jews would not have had the power of guarding the city. Doubtless he was incited by the Jews, who would represent Paul as a malefactor. σαργάνη, κόφινος, Hesych.;—οί μέν, σχοίνιόν τι, οί δὲ πλέγμα τι ἐκ σκουίου. Suidas (see Wetst.), = σπυρίs, Λets ix. 25. Probably it is, as Stanley, a "rope-basket;" a net.

Chap. XII. 1—10.] He proceeds to speak of eisions and revelations conchasfed to him, and relates one such, of which however he will not boast, except in as far as it leads to fresh mention of infirmity, in which he will boast, as being a vehicle for the perfection of Christ's power. In order to understand the connexion of the following, it is very requisite to bear in mind the burden of the whole, which runs through it—ℓν ταῖς ἀσθενέαις καυχήσομα. There is no break between this and the last chap-

ter. He has just mentioned a passage of his history which might expose him to contempt and ridicule—this was one of the ἀσθένειαι. He now comes to another: but that other inseparably connected with, and forming the sequel of, a glorious revelation vouchsafed him by the Lord. This therefore he relates, at the same time repudiating it as connected with himself, and fixing attention only on the ἀσθένεια which 1. I have in the last followed it. and in this edition suspended the very difficult question of this reading, not finding it possible to decide whether of the two deserves a place in the text. Meantime, the rec. is left in, and on it the following note is written.] Let only the two readings καυχᾶσθαι δη οὐ συμφέρει μοι, ἐλεὐσομαι γάρ, and καυχᾶσθαι δεῖ, οὐ συμφέρον μέν ελεύσομαι δέ, be compared, and it would certainly seem as if the former more resembled the nervous elliptic irony of the great Apostle, and the latter, the tame conventional propriety of the grammatical correctors. The other variations, δέ for $\delta \hat{\eta}$, and the prefixing of ϵl , are too palpable emendations to require critical treatment. The difficulty however is considerably lessened, when the right connexion is borne in mind. To boast, verily, is not to my advantage: for (i.e. it will be shewn to be so, by the following fact of a correction administered to me Ίνα μὴ ὑπεραίρωμαι) [on the other reading, I must boast, though it is not to my advantage: but] I will proceed to visions and revelations of the Lord. δή in this sense implies a consciousness of a reason why the assertion is true, and is therefore naturally followed by $\gamma d\rho$, if the sentence is completed. The same sense is found in Plato, Phæd. p. 60, & Σώκρατες, υστατον δή σè προςερούσι, νύν οἱ ἐπιτήδειοι, καὶ σὰ τούτους,the completion of the sense being,- 'for you are to die to-night: -πολλοί κακῶς πράσσουσιν, οὐ σὰ δὴ μόνος, Eur. Hec. 464: i.e. οὐ σὰ δη μόνος κακῶς πράσσεις, πολλοὶ γὰρ ἄλλοι... (See Hartung, Partikellehre i. 270, who however explains δή in these examples somewhat differently). The force of it here then, is: "I am well aware that to boast is not good for me: for I will come to an instance in which it was so shewn to me." εis οπτ. κ. άπ. κυρ.] q. d. 'and the instances I will select are just of that kind in which, if

boasting ever were good, it might be allowed: thus the $\gamma\acute{a}\rho$ gives a more complete proof. Ottavía is the form or manner of receiving $\alpha\pi \sigma\kappa d\lambda \nu\psi \iota s$, the revelation. There can hardly be an $\delta\sigma\pi \tau \sigma\iota a$ without an $\alpha\sigma\kappa d\lambda \nu\psi \iota s$ of some kind. Therefore Theophylaet's distinction is scarcely correct, ή ἀποκάλυψις πλέον τι έχει τῆς ὀπτασίας ή μὲν γὰρ μόνον βλέπειν δίδωσιν αὐτη δὲ καί τι βαθύτερον τοῦ ὀρωμένου ἀπογυμνοῖ. κυρίου, gen. subj., vouchsafed me by the Lord,
—not obj., 'of the Lord,' for such is not that which follows. No particular polemical reason, as the practice of particular parties at Corinth to allege visions, &c. (Baur), need be sought for the narration of this vision: Paul's object is general, and the means taken to attain it are simply subordinate to it, viz. the vindication of his apostolie character. 2-4. An example of such a vision and revelation. The adoption of the third person is remarkable: it being evident from ver. 7 that he himself is meant. It is plain that a contrast is intended between the rapt and glorified person of vv. 2, 4,—and himself, the weak and afflicted and almost despairing subject of the σκόλοψ τῆ σαρκί of vv. 7 ff. Such glory belonged not to him, but the weakness did. Nay, so far was the glory from being his, that he knew not whether he was in or out of the body when it was put upon him: so that the έγω αὐτός, compounded of the νοῦς and σάρξ (Rom. vii. 25), clearly was not the subject of it, but as it were another form of his personality, analogous to that which we shall assume when unclothed of the body. It may be remarked in passing, as has been done by Whitby, that the Apostle here by implication acknowledges the possibility of consciousness and receptivity in a disembodied Let it not be forgotten, that in the context, this vision is introduced not so much for the purpose of making it a ground of boasting, which he does only passingly and under protest, but that he may by it introduce the mention of the σκόλοψ τῆ σαρκί, which bore so conspicuous a part in his ἀσθένειαι, ΤΟ BOAST OF WHICH is his present object. 2.] I know (not, present object. 2.] I know (not, 'knew,' as E. V.: which introduces serious confusion, making it seem as if the $\pi\rho\delta$ $\dot{\epsilon}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ $\delta\epsilon\kappa\alpha\tau$. were the date of the knowledge, not, as it really is, of the vision) a

 0 1 cm. t.18 1 σώματι οὐκ οΐδα, εἴτε 9 έκτὸς τοῦ σώματος οὐκ οΐδα, ὁ BDFK richi. 11, 31, ... θεὸς $^{\circ}$ οἴδεν) $^{\circ}$ άρπαγέντα † τὸν † τοιοῦτον $^{\circ}$ ἔως τρίτου c de εῖ s $^{\circ}$ τολινικ. 11, 31, ... θεὸς $^{\circ}$ οἴδεν) $^{\circ}$ άρπαγέντα † τὸν † τοιοῦτον ἄνθρωπον (τἴτε $^{\circ}$ εν πο 17 καὶ οὐρανοῦ. $^{\circ}$ καὶ οΐδα τὸν † τοιοῦτον ἄνθρωπον (τἴτε $^{\circ}$ εν πο 17 κιὶ, 31, 17. Rev. $^{\circ}$ σώματι εἴτε $^{\circ}$ χωρὶς τοῦ σώματος οὐκ οΐδα, ὁ $^{\circ}$ θεὸς $^{\circ}$ τοιν. 5, 11. οἴδεν) $^{\circ}$ το πρπάγη εἰς τὸν $^{\circ}$ παράδεισον καὶ ἤκουσεν ch. ii.5, 6, $^{\circ}$ 1 so Si Matt. 13, 23. Luke x. 15. Deut. iv. 11. ν - John 1. 3 al. w Luke x. 16. H. 18, 43. Rev. ii.7 σούς. Gen. ii.5, and fr. Deut. iv. 11.

2. om $\tau o v$ bef $\sigma \omega \mu a \tau o s$ B d. ins $\tau o v$ bef $\tau \rho \iota \tau o v$ F. (so also $\tau a s$ bef $\sigma \pi \tau$. in ver. 1.) [for $\tau \rho \iota \tau o v$ N wrote $\tau o u \tau o v$ which he then altered to $\tau \rho u \tau o v$.]

3. rec (for $\chi \omega \rho \iota s$) ektos (from ver 2), with $D^{2.3}$ FKLMN: txt BD¹ Meth₁. om

ουκ οιδα B Meth. man in Christ (ἐν χρ. belongs to ἄνθρ., not to οίδα, as Beza; ἄνθ. ἐν χρ. = 'a Christian,' 'a man whose standing is in Christ; 'so οδ καὶ πρὸ ἐμοῦ γέγοναν ἐν χριστῷ, Rom. xvi. 7),—fourteen years ago (belongs not to οδδα, nor to ἐν χρ. as Grot.: 'hominem talem, qui per 14 annos Christo serviat;'—but to ἀρπαγέντα. On the idiom see reff.,—the date probably refers back to the time when he was at Tarsus waiting for God to point out his work, between Acts ix. 30 and xi. 25. See the chronological table in the Prolegomena), whether in the body, I know not, or out of the body, I know not: God knoweth (if in the body, the idea would be that he was taken up bodily; if out of the body, to which the alternative manifestly inclines, - that his spirit was rapt from the body, and taken up disembodied. Aug. de genesi ad litteram xii. 2-5 [3-14], vol. iii. pp. 455 ff., discusses the matter at length, and concludes thus,- ' Proinde quod vidit raptus usque in tertium ecelum, quod etiam se scire confirmat, proprie vidit, non imaginaliter. Sed quia ipsa a corpore alienata utrum omnino mortuum corpus reliquerit, an secundum modum quendam viventis corporis ibi anima fuerit, sed mens ejus ad videnda vel audienda ineffabilia illius visionis arrepta sit, hoe incertum erat,- ideo forsitan dixit, "sive in corpore sive extra corpus, nescio, Deus scit." And similarly Thom. Aq. and Estius: not, as Meyer thinks, making the alternative consist between reality and a mere vision, but between the anima, the life, being rapt out of the body, leaving it dead, and the mens, the intelligence or spirit, being rapt out of the body, leaving it 'secundum modum quendam vivens'); such an one (so τον τοιούτον resumes after a parenthesis, 1 Cor. v. 5) rapt (snatched or taken up, reff.) as far as the third heaven? The Jews knew no such number, but commouly (not universally: Rabbi Judah said, "Duo sunt cœli, Deut. x. 14") recognized seven heavens: and if their

arrangement is to be followed, the third heaven will be very low in the celestial scale, being only the material clouds. That the threefold division into the air (nubi-ferum), the sky (astriferum), and the heaven (angeliferum), was in use among the Jews, Meyer regards as a fiction of Grotius. Certainly no Rabbinical authority is given for such a statement: but it is put forward confidently by Grotius, and since his time adopted without enquiry by many Commentators. It is uncertain whether the sevenfold division prevailed so early as the Apostle's time: and at all events, as we must not invent Jewish divisions which never existed, so it seems rash to apply here, one about whose date we are not certain, and which does not suit the context:-for to be rapt only to the clouds, even supposing ver. 4 to relate a further assumption, would hardly be thus solemnly introduced, or the preposition was used. The safest explanation therefore is, not to follow any fixed division, but judging by the evident intention of the expression, to understand a high degree of celestial exaltation. I cannot see any cogency in Meyer's argument, that 'the third heaven must have been an idea well known and previously defined among his readers,' seeing that in such words as τρls μακάριος, &c. it is manifestly inapplicable.

3, 4.] A solemn repetition of the foregoing, with the additional particular of his having had unspeakable recelutions made to him. Some, as Clem. Strom. v. 12 [S0], p. 693 P., Iren. ii. 30. 7, p. 162, Athan. Apol. 20, vol. i. p. 263, Orig. (or his interpreter) on Rom. xvi. lib. x. 43, vol. iv. p. 688, Œcum, al., think that this was a fresh assumption, ωs τρίτου υὐρανοῦ κάκειθεν eis τὸν παράδεισον, and with these Meyer agrees: but surely had this been intended, some intimation would have been given of it, either by καί, or by placing eis τον παράδεισον (as the stress would be then no longer on the fact ἀρπαγῆναι as hefore, but on the new place to which ἡρπάγη) in the place of emphasis before

* ἄρρητα ρήματα ἃ οὐκ γ έξον ἀνθρώπφ λαλησαι. 5 z ὑπὲρ χ here only t. Lex. χνίπι 23 1 τοῦ 1 τοιούτου 2 καυχήσομαι, 2 ὑπὲρ δὲ ἐμαυτοῦ οὐ 2 καυ 2 και χιπι 4. ...μωνΜ. χήσομαι, εἰ μὴ ἐν ταῖς 3 ἀσθενείαις [μου]. 6 ἐὰν γὰρ και χήσοσθαι, οὐκ ἔσομαι 5 ἄφρων 6 ἀλήθειαν 2 κιν. χίπι 1. χιλ. 2. γὰρ ἐρῶν 6 φείδομαι δέ, μή τις 6 εἰς ἐμὲ 1 λογίσηται 2 ὑπὲρ 6 con χιλ. 16, 10 6 και τη 6 βλέπει με, 6 ἀκούει [τι] ἐζ ἐμοῦν. 7 καὶ τη 6 ὑπερ 6 κιν. 25. 8 κιν. 26. 8 κιν. 26.

ABDF KLNab cdefg hklm no17

5. om του M. om ου (from preceding termination) N'. (corrd by N' appy.) om μου B D'(and lat) 17 syrr copt arm: ins (from ch xi. 30?) D'FKLMN rel vulg goth ath Ath Thirt Damaes lat-ff.

d = here only. (Rom. xi. 21 reff.) Isa, liv. 2. Xen, Cyr. i. 6, 19, 35. (μη φείδου διδίσκειν, Enr. Orest. 887.) $\epsilon = \mathrm{ch. x. 13}, 16$ h. $\epsilon = \mathrm{ch. x. 13}, 16$ h. $\epsilon = \mathrm{ch. x. 13}, 16$ h. Rom. vii. 13 reff. Jos. Antt. i. 13. 4, ii. 2. 1

6. om $\tau \cdot (as \, superfluous)$ BD³FN¹ m 17 am(with demid tol harl²) æth arm Orig: ins D¹(and lat) KLN³ rel syr goth Chr Thdrt Damase Thl Œc Ambrst.

ήρπάγη; -or, by both combined, - ὅτι καὶ είς του παράδεισου ήρπάγη. As it is, with the verb preceding in both clauses, and therefore no prominence given to the places as distinguished from one another, I must hold έως τρίτου οὐρ. to be at least so far equivalent to είς τον παράδεισον, as to be a general local description of the situation in which ὁ παράδεισος is found. The repetition of είτε οίδεν is equally accountable on either explanation, being made for solemnity and emphasis. παράδεισος cannot here be the Jewish Paradise, the blissful division or side of Hades (School), where the spirits of the just awaited the resurrection, see note on Luke xvi. 22,—but the Paradise of which our Lord spoke on the Cross,-the place of happiness into which He at His Death introduced the spirits of the just: see on άρβητα βήματα, i. e. as explained below, words which it is not lawful to utter:—as Vulg., "arcana verba, quæ non licet homini loqui." The interpretation, "quæ dici nequeunt," as Beza, Estius, Calov., Olsh., al., is hardly consistent with the narrative; for in that case, as Bengel remarks, 'Paulus non potuisset audire.' The passages adduced by Wetst. mostly refer to the mysteries, or some secret rites: e.g. Demosth.contra Neæram, p. 1369, αὕτη ἡ γυνὴ ὑμῖν ἔθυε τὰ ἄβρητα ἰερὰ ὑπὲρ τῆς πόλεως, καὶ εἶδεν, ἃ οὐ προςῆκεν αὐτὴν ὑρᾶν ξενο οὖσαν. ἃ οὐκ ἐξόν] which it is not lawful for a MAN to utter (see above) :imparted by God, but not to be divulged to others: and therefore, in this case, intended, we may presume, for the Apostle's own consolation and encouragement. Of what kind they were, or by whom uttered, we have no hint given, and it were worse than trifling to conjecture. "Sublimitatis certe magnæ fuere: nam non omnia cœles-

tia sunt ineffabilia, v. gr. Ex. xxxiv. 6, Isa. vi. 3, quæ tamen valde sublimia." Bengel. 5.] Of such a man he will boast, but not (see above on ver. 1) of hinself, except it be in his infirmities.

τοῦ τοιούτου must be masc. as before, not neuter, as Luth., al., take it. This is shewn by $\delta\pi\acute{e}\rho$, used of the person respecting whom (reff.), whereas ev is said of the thing on account of which, a man boasts. He strikes here again the keynote of the whole-boasting in his infirmities. He will boast of such a person, so favoured, so exalted; but this merely by the way: it is not his subject: it was introduced, not indeed without reference to the main point, but principally to bring 6.] For in the infirmity following. (supply the sentence for which yap renders (supply the sentence of which pay change a reason: 'Not but that I might boast concerning myself if Iwould')—if I shall wish to boast $(\delta \pi \hat{e}_{p} \ \hat{e}_{\mu a \nu \tau o \bar{\nu}})$, I shall not be a fool (I shall not act rashly or imprudently, for I shall not boast without solid ground for it): for I shall speak the truth:—but I abstain (reff.), that no one may reckon of me (reff. and add είς μαλακίαν σκώπτων, Demosth. 308. 18) beyond (by a standard superior to that furnished by) what he sees me (to be), or hears (if To form part of the text, or hears any thing: a pleonastic construc-tion = η εί τι ἀκούει) from me. Lest he should seem to undervalue so legitimate a subject of boasting, he alleges the reason why he abstains: not that he had not this and more such exaltations, truly to allege: but because he wished to be judged of by what they really had seen and heard of and from himself in person. He now comes to that for which the foregoing was mainly alleged: the infirmity in his flesh, which above others hindered his personal efficiency in the apostolic ministry.

7. om $\delta \iota o$ DKL rel vss Ath Chr Thdrt_2 Iren-int Aug: ins ABFN 17. aft $\sigma a \rho \kappa \iota$ ins $\mu o \nu$ F vulg Cypr. rec (for $\sigma a r a \nu a)$ $\sigma a \tau a \nu$, with A^2D^{2-3} KL $N^2(appy)$ rel Orig., Ath Mac Chr Thdrt Dannase: tax 4 BD'FN' (Orig., $\tau o \nu$ $\sigma a \tau a \nu a)$. on $\iota \nu a$ μ $\nu \pi e \rho a \mu \rho \mu a \mu a$ and ιa superfluons: but the repetition has special emphasis) ADFN' 17 latt with Chr Iren-int Tert_1 Aug: ins BKLN³ rel syrr copt goth Orig_2 Mac Thdrt_2 Dannase Bas lat-ff.

8. ins και bef υπερ A Orig Thart, Iren-int.

7. And that I might not, by the abundant excess of revelations (made to me), be uplifted (the order of the words is chosen to bring τη ὑπερβ. κ.τ.λ. into the place of foremost emphasis: see reff. The διό can hardly stand with the present punctuation. If it forms part of the text, it must begin the sentence, and we must with Lachmann join καl τη ὑπερβ. τῶν άποκ. to the foregoing, as in apposition with ἀσθενείαις. But thus a very strange sense would be given), there was given me sense would be givenly unter was gaves $(vby\ God\ ')$ certainly not, as Meyer, al., by Satan, of whom such an expression as $\epsilon\delta\delta\theta\eta$ would surely hardly be used: cf. $\dot{\eta}\ \chi\delta\rho_{15}$ $\dot{\eta}\ \delta\theta\theta\bar{\epsilon}\bar{\epsilon}\sigma\bar{d}\ \mu o_{1}$, so often said by the Apostle,—Rom. xii. 3, 6; xv. 15 al., and the absolute use of εδόθη for bestowed, portioned out by God, 1 Cor. xi. 15; xii. 7, 8; Gal. iii. 21; James i. 5) a thorn (the word may signify a stake, or sharp pointed staff, ξύλον δξύ, Hesych.,—so in Hom. 11. σ. 176, κεφαλήν... πῆξαι ἀνὰ σκολόπεσσι; but in the LXX, reff., it is 'a thorn,' and such is the more likely meaning here. Meyer cites from Artemid. iii. 33, ἄκανθαι καὶ σκόλοπες ὀδύνας σημαίνουσι διὰ τὸ ὀξύ [compare ref. Ezek., σκόλοψ πικρίας καὶ ἄκανθα ὀδύνης]. See however Stanley's note, who rejects the meaning 'thorn,' and supposes the figure to refer to the punishment of impalement) in my flesh (the expression used Gal. iv. 14 of this same affliction, τον πειρασμόν ύμων ἐν τῆ σαρκί μου, seems decisive for rendering the dative thus, and not as a dativns incommodi: see also ref. 1 Cor.), the (or, an) angel of Satan (even if we read σατᾶν, it can only be the genitive. If taken as the nom., the expression would mean either, a hostile angel, which would he contrary to the universal usage of Satan, as a proper name : or, the angel Satan, which is equally inconsistent with N. T. usage, according to which Satan, though once un angel, is now ἄρχων της έξουσίας τοῦ ἀέρος, Eph. ii. 2, and has his own angels, Matt. xxv. 41), that he (the

angel of Satan, -not the σκόλοψ, which would be an unnecessary confusion of metaphors. 'The continuation of a discourse often belongs to the word in apposition, not to the main subject,' Meyer) may buffet me (κολαφίζη is best thus expressed, in the present. The agrist would denote merely one such act of insult. Thus Chrys.: . . . ωςτε διηνεκούς δείσθαι τοῦ χαλινοῦ οὐ γὰρ εἶπεν, ἵνα κολαφίση, άλλ' Ίνα κολαφίζη,-Theophyl., οὐχ Ίνα απαξ με κολαφίση, ἀλλ' ἀεί,—and similarly (Ecum.), that I may not be uplifted (the repetition gives force and solemnity,-expressing his firm persuasion of the divine intention in thus afflicting him). regards the thorn itself, very many, and some very absurd conjectures have been hazarded. They may be resolved into three heads, the two former of which are, from the nature of the case, out of the question (see below): (1) that Paul alludes to spiritual solicitations of the devil ('injectiones Satanæ'), who suggested to him blasphemous thoughts,—so Gerson, Luther (how characteristically!), Calov.,-or remorse for his former life, so Osiander, Mosheim, &c.: or according to the Romanist interpreters, who want to find here a precedent for their monkish stories of temptations,—incitements to lust,—so Thom. Aq., Lyra, Bellarmin, Estius, Corn.-a-Lapide, al. (2) that he alludes to opposition from his adversaries, or some opposition from his ductersairies, or some one adversary κατ' έξοχην; so many ancient Commentators, Chrys., Theophyl., Ecum., Theodoret,—Culvin, Beza, al., and recently, Fritzsche, and Schrader. (3) that he points to some grievous bodily pain, which has been curiously specified by different Commentators. The ancients (Chrys., Theophyl., Œcum., Jerome on Gal. iv. 14 [lib. ii. 4, vol. vii. p. 460]) mention κεφαλαλγία: some have supposed hypochondriac melancholy, which however hardly answers the conditions of a σκόλοψ, in which acute pain seems to be implied; alii aliter, see Pool,

τρίς τὸν κύριον $^{\rm r}$ παρεκάλεσα $^{\rm s}$ ἴνα $^{\rm t}$ ἀποστη ἀπ $^{\rm t}$ έμοῦ. $^{\rm r}$ $^{\rm -M,lf.,xviii.}$ $^{\rm 9}$ καὶ εἰρηκέν μοι $^{\rm u}$ Αρκεί σοι ἡ χάρις μου ἡ γὰρ δύναμις εν $^{\rm t}$ ἀσθενεία $^{\rm w}$ τελείται. $^{\rm w}$ ηδιστα οῦν μᾶλλον $^{\rm y}$ καυχή σοιμι $^{\rm t}$ εν $^{\rm t}$ ἀσθενεία $^{\rm w}$ τελείται. $^{\rm w}$ ηδιστα οῦν μᾶλλον $^{\rm y}$ καυχή $^{\rm t}$ $^{\rm color}$ $^{\rm tolor}$ $^{\rm tolo$

vf. 8. Heb. xiii, 5. 3 John 10) only. Num. xi, 22. only. (See Luke ii, 33. Rom. ii, 27.) Eur. Bacch, 90, 19. -3co., Sir. xxii, 11.) y Rom. ii, 17 reff. Polyb, iv, 18. 8. a ch. v. 8. Rom. xv. 20, 27 reff.

v = ch. xi. 30. w = here x ver. 15 only t. (-δέως, ch. xi. z here only t. ἐπισκ. ἐπὶ τὰς οἰκίας, b I Cor. x. 5 reff.

9. for ειρηκεν, ειπεν F Chr Thdrt. rec aft δυναμικ ins μον (see note), with Λ²D^{2,3}KLK³ rel syrr copt Orig Chr Thdrt Pallad: on BDFFN goth ath arm Iren(gr and lat) Archel Isid Orig-int, Bas Tert Cypr Jer Ambrst al. rec τελειουται, with D³KLK³ rel Orig Ath: txt ÅBD³FN¹. om μου B 67². 71 syr copt Iren-int.

10. aft ασθενειαιs ins μου F vulg(not am F-lat).

Synops. ad loc.; and Stanley's note, which is important in other respects also, and full of interest. On the whole, putting together the figure here used, that of a thorn, occasioning pain, and the κολαφισμός, buffeting or putting to shame, it seems quite necessary to infer that the Apostle alludes to some painful and tedious bodily malady, which at the same time put him to shame before those among whom he exercised his ministry. Of such a kind may have been the disorder in his eyes, more or less indicated in several passages of his history and Epistles (see notes on Acts xiii. 9; xxiii. 1 f.: -and Gal. iv. 14 (15?); vi. 11 (?)). But it may also have been something besides this, and to such an inference probability would lead us; disorders in the eyes, however sad in their consequences, not being usually of a very painful or distressing nature in them-8. In respect of this (angel of Satan, not σκόλοψ, see below), I thrice (τρίς, not indefinite as Chrys., τουτέστι, πολλάκις. Meyer well observes, 'At his first and second request, no answer was given to him: on the third occasion, it came; and his faithful resignation to the Lord's will prevented his asking again') besought the Lord (Christ, see ver. 9) that he might depart from me (the angel of Satan, see Luke iv. 13): He said to me (this perf. can hardly in English be represented otherwise than by the historical agrist; in the Greek, it partakes of its own proper sense- 'He said, and that answer is enough: ' 'He hath said,'—but this last would not contain reference enough to the fact itself. The poverty of our language in the finer distinctions of the tenses often obliges us to render inaccurately and fall short of the wonderful language with which we have to deal. How this was said, whether ac-

companied by an appearance of Christ to him or not, must remain in obscurity). My grace (not,- 'My favour generally; 'My imparted grace') is sufficient for thee (àpkeî, spoken from the divine omniscience, 'suffices, and shall suffice:' q. d. 'the trial must endure, untaken away: but the grace shall also endure, and never fail thee'), for (the reason lying in My ways being not as man's ways, My Power not being brought to perfection as man's power is conceived to be) (My) Power is made perfect (has its full energy and complete manifestation) in (as the element in which it acts as observable by man) weakness. See ch. iv. 7, and 1 Cor. ii. 3, 4,-where the influence of this divine response on the Apostle, is very manifest. If I mistake not, the expression της δυνάμεως, there, favours the omission of mov here, as in our text, and makes it probable that it was inserted for perspicuity's sake, and to answer to ή δύν. τοῦ χρ. below. gladly therefore will I rather (than that my affliction should be removed from me, which before that response, I wished) boast (καυχ. is in the emphatic place,-I will rather boast in mine infirmities. Had μᾶλλον signified 'rather than in revelations,' or 'rather than in any thing else,' it would have been μαλλον έν ταις ἀσθενείαις μου καυχήσομαι) in my infirmities, that (by my ἀσθένειαι being not removed from me, but becoming my glory) the Power of Christ may have its residence in me (see ref. Polyb .- 'may carry on in me its work unto completion," as above). 10.] Wherefore (because of this relation to human weakness and divine power) I am well content in infirmities (four kinds of which are then specified, - all coming also, as well as $\alpha\sigma\theta$. proper, under the category of aobéveiai, as hindrances and bafflings of human $\begin{array}{c} {\rm c-here}({\rm Acts}) & \dot{\epsilon} \nu & {\rm c} \ \ \beta \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu, \quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu & {\rm d} \ \dot{\alpha} \iota \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \alpha \iota c, \quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu & {\rm e} \ \delta \iota \omega \gamma \mu \sigma \iota c, \quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu & {\rm for} \epsilon \nu \sigma - {\rm ABDF} \\ {\rm KLNs \, b} & {\rm coll}_{\rm in}, \quad {\rm fin}, \quad {\rm coll}_{\rm in}, \quad {\rm coll}_{\rm in},$

for $\epsilon \nu$ analmais, kai $\epsilon \nu$ agraes \aleph^1 . (corrd by origh scribe to analm.) by \aleph^3 to txt.) for 5th $\epsilon \nu$, kai $8\aleph^1$: kai $\epsilon \nu$ a: txt ADFKL \aleph^3 rel. oin $\epsilon \nu$ diwimins A.

11. rec aft αφρων ins καυχωμένος, with L rel syrr gr-ff: om ABDFKN 17 latt copt

æth arm lat-ff. υφ ημων A. aft ουδεν γαρ ins τι B.

12. κατηργαση BiF d: κατηργασην D. rec ins εν bef σημειοις (mechanical repetition from the foregoing), with D³KL rel vulg-ed(with demid) copt Thdrt; και F Syr (Dr: τε κ³: om ABDiFkl' a 17 am(with fuld tol) Syr goth Chr com τε, with ADFKLN-corr¹ rel: ins Bκ³ a 17 Damase

13. $[\eta\sigma\sigma\omega\theta\eta\tau\epsilon, \text{ so BD}^{\dagger}\aleph^{\dagger} 17: \epsilon\lambda\alpha\tau\omega\theta\eta\tau\alpha i F].$ $\epsilon\gamma\omega$ bef autos F m latt goth.

strength),—in insults, in necessities, in persecutions, in distresses,—on behalf of Christ: for whenever I am weak (applying to all five situations above), then I am mighty. Wetst. quotes from Philo, Vit. Mosis, i. 13, vol. ii. p. 92, μὴ ἀναπίπτετε. τὸ ἀσθενὲς ὑμῶν δύναμίς ἐστι. 11—18.] He excuses his boasting, and is thereby led to speak of the signs of an Apostle wrought among them, and to reassert his disinterestedness in preaching to them, on occasion of his past and intended visits.

11.] I am BECOME (the emphasis on yéyova, -I am verily become a fool, viz. by this boasting, which I have now concluded. 'Receptui canit:' Bengel. But it is still ironical, spoken from the situation of his adversaries) a fool: ye compelled me (ύμεῖs emphatie). For I (ἐγώ also emphatic, but more with reference to what has past: 'ye compelled me, it was no doing of mine, for I &c.' The meaning is not, as De W., "I, not mine adver-saries," who are an element foreign to the present sentence) ought to have been recommended by you (emphatic, by you, not by himself): for I was nothing behind (when I was with you) these overmuch Apostles (see on ch. xi. 5: but here even more plainly than there, the expression cannot be applied to the other Apostles, seeing that the aor, would in that case be inconsistent with the fact-the Corinthians never having had an opportunity of comparing him with them), even though I am nothing (see similar expressions of humility, 1 Cor. xv. 9-11).

12. Confirmation of the οὐδὲν ὑστέρησα The signs indeed (the μέν is elliptical,-see Hartung, Partikellehre, ii. 411, —corresponding to a suppressed σμως δε; 'in this case, the signs indeed &c., but, notwithstanding, I am not recomους, παιατικταιατης, 1 απ που τετοπε mended by you.' So Soph. Ed. Col. 526, ήνεγκον κακότατ' & ξένοι, ήνεγκ', ἀέκων μέν, θεδε ἴστω. It always throws out into strong emphasis the nonn, pronoun, or verb to which it is attached, as here σημεία) of an Apostle (τοῦ generic, - 'ejus qui Apostolus sit,' Bengel) were wrought out among you ("the Apostle's own personality as the worker is modestly veiled behind the passive." Meyer) in all (possible) patience (endurance of opposition, which did not cause me to leave off working. ὑπομονή is not one of the σημεία, as Chrys.: θέα ποΐον πρώτον τίθησι, την ύπομονήν. τοῦτο γὰρ ἀποστόλου δεῖγμα, τὸ φέρειν πάντα γενναίως, -but the element in which the σημεία were wrought out), by signs and wonders ($\sigma \eta \mu$. not as above, but as constantly found with τέρασι, as an intensitive synonym) and mighty works (see ref. Heb.). 13-15.] His disinterestedness, shewn in his past, and resolved in his future dealings with them. The question $\tau l \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa. \tau. \lambda$. is asked in bitter irony. It is an illustration of èv

k ver. 9. 1 Acts xxi. 24 reff. m here only †. Polyb. xxv. 8. 4, ἐκδαπανζυ τὰς προςόδους. n = Heb. xiii. 17. 1 Pet. ii. 11. ο cb. l. 12 reff.

14. rec om σουτο, with KL rel Thdrt Ce: ins ABFN a bc d m o 17 latt syr goth æth arm Chr Damasc Thl Ambrst Pelag, and (but bef τριτον) D 93 copt Did. (see note.) rec aft καταναρκησω ins υμων (from above; had υμων been in the text origily, it would never have been ejected, leaving the verb standing alone. This is further shewn by the var νμαs), with D²-δKL rel vss gr-lat-fl; νμαs D¹F; om ABN 17 æth Damasc.

[αλλα(1st), so ΛΒDFLN a d e f k m l.] αλλα (2nd) Ν.

15. aft δαπανησω add και εκδαπανησω D¹(and lat) Ambrst. om ει D¹(and lat) G-lat Ambrst. rec aft ει ins και (to give [mistaken] emphasis: see notes), with

πάση ὑπομονῆ, and of the distinction conferred on them by so long manifestation of the signs of an Apostle among them. *Was this endurance of working which I shewed, marred by the fact that I worked gratuitously among you? ' ἡσσ. ὑπέρ does not imply that all churches suffered loss, and that the loss of the Corinthians was only not greater than that of other churches: but the comparative, implied in ήσσ. is carried out by the ὑπέρ,- 'ye suffered loss in comparison with the other Churches.' 13. εί μη ότι] except that one point, in which of all others they had least reason to complain. This one is put forward to indicate their deep ingratitude, if they did complain, seeing that the only point of difference in their treatment had been a preference: 'die tief gefrantte Liebe redet,' Meyer. On κατενάρκ. see ref. χαρ. μ. τ. άδ. ταύτην] The irony here reaches its height. 14.] τρίτον (the τοῦτο, though so strongly attested, can hardly have been omitted, had it ever been in the text, and therefore has probably been inserted from ch. xiii. 1) έτ. ἔχω ἐλθ., nust, from the context, mean, I am ready to come the third time;—not, 'I am the third time ready to come,' i. e. 'this is the third time that I have been ready to come to you.' This latter meaning has been adopted by Beza, Grot., Estius, al., Paley, al., and away Da. Wette, heitstand.' al., and even De Wette, hesitatingly, in order to evade the difficulty of supposing Paul to have been before this twice at Corinth. But on this see Prolegomena to 1 Cor. § v. Here, the context has absolutely nothing to do with his third preparation to come, which would be a new element, requiring some explanation, as in 1 Thess, ii. 18. The natural, and, I am persuaded, only true inference from the words here is, 'I am coming to you a third time,—and I will not burden you this time, any more than I did at my two previous visits.' Our business in such cases is, not to wrest plain words to fit our preconceived chronology, but to adapt our confessedly uncertain and imperfect history of the Apostle's life, to the data furnished by the plain honest sense of his Epistles.

οὐ γὰρ ζητῶ . . .] Wetst quotes Cicero de Fin. ii. 26: Me igitur ipsum ames oportet, non mea, si veri amici futuri sumus. —μείζονα ἐπιζητῶ, ψυχὰς ἀντὶ χρημάτων, σωτηρίων ἀντὶ χρυσίου, Chrys. οὐ γὰρ ὁφεἰκε . .] Paul was the

où γὰρ ὁφείλει . .] Paul was the spiritual father of the Corinthian church, 1 Cor. iv. 14, 15: he does not therefore want to be enviched by them, his children, but rather to lay up riches for them, seeking to have them as his treasure and thus to enrich them, as a loving father does his children. The θησαυρός is left indefinite: if pressed strictly, it cannot be earthly treasure in the negative part of the sentence, heavenly, in the positive:—cf. next verse. Notice, ὀφείλει is not impersonal, but

the common verb to τέκνα and γονείς, agreeing by proximity with the former. 15.] $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ δὲ τῶν φόσει πατέρων καὶ πλέον ποιείν ἐπαγγέλλομαι, Theodoret: and similarly Chrys. and Theophyl. They lay up treasures: I will spend them:—καὶ τί λέγω, χρήματα δαπανήσω; αὐτὸ ἐγὸ ἀκδαπανηθήσομαι τουτέστι, κὰν τὴν σάρκα ψυχῶν ὑμῶν, οὐ φείσομαι, Theophyl. Cf.

r Acts viii.18 καὶ ^y συναπέστειλα ^z τὸν ἀδελφόν μή τι ^w έπλεονέκτησεν ^{s here only.}
^{c hore only.}
^{c hore only.}
^{c hore viii.1}
^{c h}

(-γία, ch.xi. 19 ° Πάλαι δυκείτε ὅτι ἀ ὑμῖν ε ἀπολογούμεθα. ΄ κατ-

rell, we ch. xi. 20. v constr., Luke xxi. 6. Rom. viii. 3. Gal. i. 20. we ch. ii. 11 reff. x = 1 Cor. xvi. 12. ch. viii. 6. y here only. Exod. xxxiii. 2, 12. Bart. v. 2 only. a constr., Acts xxi. 21 reff. b Rom. iv. 12 reft. c. = (see note) Mark xv. 44 (Mait. xi. 21. Luke xii. 33. xi. 21 reft. 21. Luke xii. 35 ref. f = ch. li. 17. Rom. iv. 17 rolly. (Luke xix. 30 al.) Exod. xxxii. 17 F.

 $D^{2.9}$ ΚLN3 rel syrr Chr Thdrt Damasc Pelag: om ABD¹FN 17. for αγαπων, αγαπω N^1 b¹ d 17. [ησσον, so ABD¹N 17: ελασσον F.]
16. aft εγω ins δε F syr Thl. ουκ εβαρησα D¹: ου κατεναρκησα υμων FN (a)

20. 23'. 39. 47. 57. 73 Chr. [αλλα, so ABD'FLN a m.]
19. rec (for παλια) παλιν, with DKLN³ red Glat harl¹ syrr copt goth Chr Thdrt: txt ABFN¹ 17 vulg D-lat Ambrst-comm Pelag Bede. rec (for κατεναντι) κατ-

Hor. Od. i. 12. 38: 'animæque magnæ prodigum Paullum.' et is less strongthan el kad, which has been apparently a gloss on it. It assumes the case, but does not bring out the contrast between the course of action and the state of circumstances so strongly. Here, it appears as if βσσον λγαπῶμα were by the el connected with ἐκδαπανηθήσομαι,—'and will be spent, used up, in the service of your souls, if, the more abundantly I love you, the less I be loved :' implying, that such a return for his love was leading to, and would in time accomplish, ending to, and would in time accomplish, ending to a such a such

16-18. He refutes a possible, perhaps an actual calumny,-that though he had acted disinterestedly towards them himself, he had some side-way of profiting by them, through others. 16.] έστω δέ-' but let us suppose the former matter dismissed:' let the fact be granted, that I myself (emphatie) did not burden (= $\kappa \alpha \tau$ - $\epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \eta \sigma \alpha$) you. Then the sense breaks off, and the force of the concession goes no further, the following words making a new hypothesis. Nevertheless, being (by habit and standing, ὑπάρχ.) crafty (unprincipled, and versatile in devices), I caught you with guile (with some more subtle way. Caught you, in order to practise upon you for my own ends; but έλαβον is not ἐπλεονέκτησα, as Chrys.:—see ref. and note).

17, 18.] Specification, in refutation, of the ways in which this might be supposed to have taken place. The construction $\tau \nu \mu a \bar{\nu} \nu$. $\delta l a b \tau o \bar{\nu}$ is an anacoluthon. He sets $\tau \nu \nu a \bar{\nu} \nu$ à $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau$. $\pi \rho$. $\delta \mu$, forward in the place of emphasis; how

intending to govern TIVA, is not plain: but drops the construction, and proceeds. δι' αὐτοῦ κ.τ.λ. See examples of the same in reff., and Winer, edn. 6, § 63. 2. d. 18.] παρεκάλεσα, scil. 'to go to you: see reff. This journey of Titus cannot, of course, be the one spoken of ch. viii. 6, 17, 22, 24; but some previous mission to them before this Epistle was written: probably that from which he returned with the report of their penitence to Paul in Macedonia, ch. vii. 6 ff. We certainly have not elsewhere any hint of δ άδελφός having accompanied him on this journey: but this is no reason why it should not have been so. τὸν ἀδελφόν—perhaps, one of the two mentioned ch. viii. 18, 22; some other, well known to the Corinthians, but absolutely unknown to us: but not, a brother, as in E. V. It is plain from this and from what follows, that this brother was quite subordinate to Titus in the mission. τῷ αὐτ. πνεύμ.] dat. of the manner; see ref. The Spirit in which manner; see ret. The μησι παναστικό το they walked was the Holy Spirit: τῶ αὐτῷ πνευματικῷ χαρίσματι χάρισμα γὰρ καλεῖ τὸ στενούμενον μἡ λαβείν, Theophyl. τοῖς αὐτ. ἴχν.] in the same footsteps, viz. each as the other: οὐδὲ μικρόν, φησί, παρεξῆλθον τὴν ἐμὴν ὁδόν, Theophyl. The dative ἔχνεσιν, as in ref. = ἐν ἴχνεσιν: see also Acts xiv. 16; Jude 11. Meyer cites Pind. Pyth. x. 20, - εμβέβακεν Ίχνεσιν πατρός, and Nem. νί. 27, Τχνεσιν έν Πραξιδάμαντος έδν πόδα νέμων. Cf. also Philo de Caritate, § 2, vol. ii. p. 385, τοις αὐτοις τχνεσιν ἐπακολουθησαι. 19-21.] He refutes the noέναντι θεοῦ ε ἐν χριστῷ λαλοῦμεν τὰ δὲ πάντα, h ἀγα- ε Rom. ix. 1. πητοί, i ὑπὲρ τῆς ὑμῶν k οἰκοδομῆς. 20 φοβοῦμαι γὰρ 'μή h ch. ii. 17. πως ἐλθὼν οὐχ οἴους θέλω ε εὕρω ὑμᾶς, κὰγὼ εὐρεθω ικ. ii. ε και χιμιν οἶον οὐ θέλετε ' μή ' πως ορα ξρεις, ρ ζῆλος, $^{\rm fqr}$ θυμοί, $^{\rm mc}$ το ικ. ii. ε εξειμιν οῖον οὐ θέλετε ' μή ' πως ορα ξρεις, ρ ζῆλος, $^{\rm fqr}$ θυμοί, $^{\rm mc}$ ι dat, Lake εξειμιν οῖον οὐ θέλετε ' μή ' ψιθυρισμοί, γ φυσιώσεις, γ ἀκατα- χικ. 35. l. στασίαι ' $^{\rm 21}$ μὴ πάλιν ἐλθύντος χμον γ ταπεινώσει χμε ὁ $^{\rm 20}$ θεόρ ρδιί. 20.

ενωπιον, with DKL rel Thdrt Thl Œc: txt ABFN m 17 Damasc. rec ins του bef θεου, with D²⁻³KLM³ rel: om ABD¹FN¹ m 17. ερις (itacism²) AN b d f g h k 17 Syr arm Chr Thl: txt BDFKL rel latt syr copt goth Thdrt Damasc Tert Ambrst. rec ζηλοι, with D²⁻³KLN rel latt syr copt Chr Thdrt Tert: txt ABD¹F 17 Syr goth arm Damasc.

21. rec ελθοντα με (grammatical correction), with DKLN3 rel goth: txt ABFN1 vss lat-ff(eum venero). rec ταπευωση (gramml corrn or itacism?), with AKN rel grant-ff: xt BDFL (c?) d f g k n Ge. rec om με, with KL rel: ins ABDFN d.

tion which might arise in the minds of his readers, that he was vindicating himself BEFORE THEM as judges, see 1 Cor. iv. 3; and assures them that he does all for their good, fearing in what state he might find 19.] πάλαι was them on his arrival. misunderstood, and πάλιν appears to have been a conjectural emendation, from ch. iii. 1; v. 12. πάλαι does not suit the interrogative form of the sentence, which would throw it out into too strong emphasis. Lachmann, Tischendorf, Meyer, De Wette read it as in text :-- Ye have been some time imagining (i. e. during this my selfdefence) that it is to you that I am defending myself. Then the answer follows: the assumption being made, and elliptically answered, as in ver. 16.

κατ. θεού is emphatic, and opposed to ύμῖν. ἐν χρ. λαλοῦμεν, as in ch. ii. 17, which see. τὰ δὲ πάντα] supply either λαλοῦμεν, or better understand τὰ πάντα as 'all our things' (1 Cor. xvi. 14), i. e. our words and deeds, and supply γίνεται, as there. Grot., Griesbach, Scholz, and Olsh., would read τάδε πάντα, and join with λαλοῦμεν. But Paul never uses the pronoun "δε; and (2) if he did, it must apply to what follows, 20.7 · Edinot to what has preceded. fication, of which you stand in need, for, &c.' He here completely and finally throws off the apologist and puts on the Apostle, leaving on their minds a very different impression from that which would have been produced had he concluded with the apology. Lest, when I arrive, I should find you not such as I wish (in où χ olovs $\theta \in \lambda \omega$, is an indefinite possibility

of aberration from σίους θέλω, presently particularized, μή πως ξθερς, κ.τ.λ.), and I should be found by you (δμίπ emerly the dative of the agent after the passive verb. Meyer makes it 'in your judgment', but I much prefer the other: the passive form is adopted to bring out the ἐγῶ into emphatic contrast), such as ye wish not (not οὐχ σἷον θέλετε, because there is now no indefiniteness; λis disposition towards them in such a case could be but of one kind, viz. severily: τουτέστι, τιμωρὸς κ. κολαστής, Theophyl. Chrys. brings out another point,—οὐκ εἶπεν, οἶον οὐ θέλω. ἀλλὰ πληκτικώτερον,—οῖον οὐ βούλεσθε).

What follows, viz. μή πως . . . ἔπραξαν, is an epexegesis of the last sentence, but in it the definiteness is on the side of the οὐχ οΐους θέλω, the indefiniteness on that of old où $\theta \in \lambda \in \tau_{\epsilon}$, which latter is only hinted at by the mild expressions of being humbled, and lamenting the case of the impenitent. μή πως, scil. ωσιν (or εψρεθωσιν) εν ψμῖν. "The vehemence of his language has caused him to omit the verb." Stanley. ἐριθεῖαι, self-seekings, seen ote on ref. Rom. ψιθ. secret malignings, -καταλ. open slanders. акатаот., see reff. and note. μή carries on the μή πως . . . μή πως, but with more precision, dropping the indefinite $\pi\omega s$. The sentence loses much in force and, indeed, becomes inconsistent with the context, if with Lachmann (and Lücke, Conjectanea exeget. i. De W.) it be made interrogative (which it may be grammatically with either reading, ταπεινώσει or $-\sigma \eta$), in which case the answer would be negative. πάλιν here, as Meyer ob a =(? see note) 2 μου a πρὸς ὑμᾶς, καὶ b πενθήσω πολλοὺς τῶν c προημαρ - ABDF ΚΕΝ ab b 1 cor c c τηκότων καὶ μὴ de μετανοησάντων ef έπὶ τῆ g ἀκαθαρσία καὶ c de fighted in the conist, here only, Gen. xxxvii, 34 al. c cch. xiii. 2 only c $^$

ὁ θεός μου expresses the conviction that whatever humiliation God might have in store for him would be a part of His will πρὸς ὑμᾶς among respecting him. you, as the generality of interpreters: 'in regard to you,' in my relation to you, as Meyer. Either may be meant: but if we take the former, we must not join it, as Grot., al., with ελθόντος: it belongs at all events to ταπεινώσει. πενθήσω Theophyl. explains, μη έλθων κολάση αὐτούς, καί πενθήση διά τοῦτο τουτέστι, τὰ ἔσ-χατα λυπηθῆ: so also al. and Billroth, Rückert, Olsh., and De Wette. But punishment seems out of place in this verse, which expresses his fear lest he should be humbled for, and have to lament the case of the impenitent,-and then, as he declares ch. xiii. 2, be forced to proceed to discipline; but this point is not yet introduced. I much prefer therefore taking it as Chrys,-τούς μή μετανοούντας πενθεί, τούς τὰ ανίατα νοσοῦντας, τοὺς ἐν τῷ τραύματι μένοντας. έννόησον τοίνυν αποστολικήν άρετήν, δταν μηδέν έαυτῷ συνειδὼς πο-νηρόν, ὑπὲρ ἀλλοτρίων θρηνῆ κακῶν, καὶ ύπερ των ετέροις πλημμελημένων ταπεινῶται. τοῦτο γὰρ μάλιστα διδασκάλου, τὸ οὕτω συναλγεῖν ταῖς τῶν μαθητῶν συμφοραίς, το κόπτεσθαι και πενθείν έπι τοις τραύμασι των άρχομένων. Similarly Calvin : 'veri et germani Pastoris affectum nobis exprimit, quum luctu aliorum peccata se prosequuturum dicit. Et saue ita agendum est, ut suam quisque Pastor Ecclesiam animo inclusam gestet, ejus morbis perinde ac suis afficiatur, miseriis condoles-

cat, peccato lugeat.' So Estius, but perhaps too minutely fixing the meaning of πενθείν to mourning them as "Deo mortuos:" and Calovins (Meyer): "non de pæna hie Corinthiorum impænitentium, sed de mœrore suo super impœnitentia:" and so likewise Mever. πολλ. τ. προημ.] Why πολλούς? Why not all? I believe he uses πολλούς των προημαρτηκότων as a mild expression for τους πολλους τους προημαρτηκόταs, and that we must not therefore press too closely the enquiry as to what the genus of προημ, is, of which the πολλοί are the species. Lücke (as above) cited by Meyer, explains-"Cogitavit rem ita, ut primum poneret Christianorum ex ethnicis potissimum $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \eta \mu$. κ . $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha$ νοησάντων genus universum, cujns generis homines essent ubique ecclesiarum, deinde vero ex isto hominum genere multos eos qui Corinthi essent, designaret definiretque." But this seems travelling quite out of the way. Mever explains the genus to be all the sinners spoken of in ver. 20, the species (πολλούς) those designated by ἀκαθαρσ., πορν., and ἀσελγ. But this again is unnatural; and does not accurately fit ver. 20, in which not so much the προημαρτημένα as the present state at the Apostle's coming, is the subject. distinction between the two participles, προημ. and μετανοησάντων, should be observed. As Meyer well remarks, the perf. προημαρτηκότων denotes the permanence of the state from the time of the committal of the sin: whereas the aor. μετανοησάντων has the sense of the 'futurum exactum,' -"and who at my coming shall not have repented." To what does προ- refer? to the time before their conversion? Hardly so: for the sins, of the incestuous person 1 Cor. v., and of these also, which would give the Apostle such pain, must be conceived to have been committed in their Christian state: being in fact those against which we find such repeated cautions in 1 Cor., e. g. eh. v. 11; vi. 15, 18; x. 8; xv. 33, 34. I would therefore understand the $\pi\rho\sigma$ indefinitely, almost pleonastically—pointing to the priority of sin implied in the idea of repentance. μεταν. ἐπί] Meyer would join together $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \eta \sigma \omega \ldots \epsilon \pi i$, and indicates this as the natural connexion of verb, object, and ground. But to say nothing of the harshness of πενθήσω πολλούς έπί, and the almost necessarily reflective form of μετανοησ. ἐπὶ τῆ ἀκ.... ἦ ἔπραξαν,—Ι con $^{\rm h}$ πορνεία καὶ $^{\rm i}$ ἀσελ γεία $^{\rm k}$ ή έπραξαν. ΧΙΙΙ. $^{\rm 1}$ $^{\rm lm}$ Τρίτον $^{\rm h\, I\, Cor.\, v.\, 1}$ $^{\rm ref.}$ $^{\rm m}$ τοῦτο ἔρχομαι πρὸς ὑμᾶς. $^{\rm n}$ ἐπὶ στόματος δύο μαρτύρων $^{\rm Rom, xii.\, I.s.}$ καὶ τριῶν $^{\rm o}$ σταθήσεται πᾶν ρημα. $^{\rm 2~p}$ προείρηκα καὶ $^{\rm xir.\, 20 cl. h. i. v. xir. 20 cl. h. v. xir. 20$

1 reff. 11 Cor. xii. 28 reff. m [ch. xii. 14.] John xxi. 14. Num. xxii. 28, 32, Just., xvi. 15. n Matt. xviii. 16. 1 Tim. v. 19. DEUT. xix. 15. see Heb. x. 28. n l. c. F. Rom. xiv. 4. p Matt. xxiv. 25] Mk. ch. vii. 3 al. † 2 Macc. iii. 28 Macc. iii. 28.

Chap. XIII. 1. ins $\imath\delta\sigma\upsilon$ bef $\tau\rho\iota\tau\sigma\upsilon$ (from ch. xii. 14) $\Lambda\aleph^3$ a b c d f o vulg 17 wth Damasc Thl Pelag Aug Bede. for $\epsilon\rho\chi\rho\iota\mu\alpha\iota$, $\epsilon\tau\iota\iota\iota\iota\mu\omega$ s $\epsilon\chi\omega$ $\epsilon\lambda\theta\epsilon\upsilon$ (from ch xii. 14) A Syr copt. ins $\iota\iota\iota$ a bef $\epsilon\pi\iota$ \aleph^1 35 G-lat arm Pelag Bede. for $\kappa\alpha\iota$, η \aleph 32. 46 vulg sah arm Dial Ambrist Aug Pelag.

2. for 1st και, γαρ D¹ o 42. 113-marg Ambrst Pelag Sedul Bede.

ceive the aorist empagar to be fatal to this arrangement. Thus taken, it would make the Apostle lament over these impenitents, on account of the impurity, &c., which they επραξαν-i. e. once practised, but which is now gone by. The sense would require πεπράχασι. Whereas if connected with μετανοησάντων, the acrist expresses 'and shall not have [repented of the ἀκ., &c., which they practised],'and would thus come rightly after μετανοησ., implying the removal of the former state of sin. μεταν. is usually constructed with ἀπό, Acts viii. 22 (Heb. vi. 1), or έκ, Rev. only,-ii. 21 f.; ix. 20 f.; xvi. 11: but as Paul only uses the word this once, and as the construction with ἐπί is perfectly legitimate and highly expressive (see reff. LXX), there can be no objection to it here. CHAP, XIII. 1-10. He warns them of the severity which on his arrival, if such be the case, he will surely exercise, and prove his apostolic authority. To this proof, however, he exhorts them not to put him. 1.] This third time I am coming to you: i. e. 'this is the third visit, which I am now about to pay you.' Had not chronological theories intervened, no one would ever have thought of any other rendering. The usual one, 'This is the third time that I have been intending to come to you,' introduces here, as also in ch. xii. 14, an element not only foreign to, but detrimental to, the purpose. The Apostle wishes to impress on them the certainty of this coming, and to prepare them for it by solemn self-examination; and in order to this, he (on this interpretation) uses an expression which would only remind them of the charge of έλαφρία which had been brought against him, and tend to diminish the solemnity of the warning. As another chronological refuge, Beza, al., suppose his two Epistles to be meant by the two former 'profectiones In answer to all attempts to ad illos.' give here any but the obvious sense, we may safely maintain that had any other been meant, we should certainly have had

more indication of it, than we have now. On τρίτον τοῦτο, Meyer compares Herod. v. 76, τέταρτον δη τοῦτο . . . ἀπικόμενοι: see also reff.: and on Paul's visits to Corinth, the Prolegomena to 1 Cor. § v. ἐπὶ στόμ.] i.e. 'I will not now, as before, be with you ἐν πάση ὑπομονῆ as regards the offenders: but will come to a regular process, and establish the truth in a legal manner,' see reff. This explanation, however, has not been the usual one: Chrys., Calvin, Estius, al., and recently Neander and Olsh. and Stanley, understanding the two or three witnesses, of Paul's two or three visits, as establishing, either (1) the truth of the facts, or (2) the reality of his threats: so Chrys.: ἄπαξ είπον κ. δεύτερον, ότε παρεγενόμην λέγω καὶ νῦν διὰ γραμμάτων. καὶ ἐὰν μὲν ἀκούσητε, ὅπερ ἐπεθύμουν γέγονεν. ἐὰν δὲ παρακούσητε, ἀνάγκη λοιπόν στῆσαι τὰ εἰρημένα, καὶ ἐπαγαγεῖν τὴν τιμωρίαν, —and Theophyl., πᾶν ρῆμα ἀπειλητικὸν κατασταθήσεται. But it is decisive against the whole interpretation, as Meyer remarks, that thus the sins committed since the Apostle's last visit would remain altogether unnoticed. Another view, connected with the rendering of έρχομαι 'am intending to come,' is given by Wetstein: "Spero jam denique mihi successurum, ut vobis demonstrem, serio me desiderasse ad vos venire: sicut ea quæ trium hominum testimonio probantur, in judicio fidem fa-ciunt." Similarly Grotius and Le Clerc. But it is fatal to this, that according to it. the δύο μάρτυρες had failed to establish καὶ τρ., not for $\hat{\eta}$ τρ.,—two (where only two can be had), and three (where so many can be obtained): 'two and three many respectively. μαρτύρων, the dual number not occurring in the N. T. 2.] I have forewarned you, and I now forewarn you, as (I did, προείρηκα) when present the second time, so also (I do) now (προλέγω) when absent. It certainly seems to me that this is the only natural way of taking the words. Grot.,

9 προλέγω, ως "παρων " τὸ " δεύτερον καὶ " ἀπων νύν, τοις AB. προημαρτηκόσιν και τοις λοιποις πασιν, ότι έαν έλθω cas in q Gal. v. 21 1 Thess. iii. 4 only. 1sa. xli. 26 only. r 1 Cor. v. 3 " είς τὸ " πάλιν οὺ " φείσομαι " επεί " δοκιμην " ζητείτε του no 17 έν έμοι λαλούντος χριστού, ος είς ύμας οὐκ ³ ἀσθενεί, ἀλλὰ ² δυνατεί ἐν ὑμιν. ⁴ και γὰρ ἐσταυρώθη ἐξ ^a ἀσθε-νείας, ^b ἀλλὰ ζῆ ἐκ δυνάμεως θεού και γὰρ ἡμείς reff. s Jude 5. (Gen. only†.
u here only.
see Lidd, and
Scott, sub x = 1 Cor. iv. 2. y = Rom. b = 1 Cor. iv. 15 reff.

w Rom. v. 4 reff. a 1 Cor. ii. 3 reff.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \varepsilon(x, ii. 2. & \nu E I \alpha C, & \alpha \wedge \lambda \alpha & \zeta \gamma \\ \varepsilon(x, ii. 2. & \nu = 2 \, \text{Pet. ii. 4, 5.} & A cts xx. 29. & Ezek. xxxvi. 21. \\ viii. 3. & z \, \text{Rom. xiv. 4. ch. ix. 8 only t.} \end{array}$ rec aft νυν ins γραφω, with D3KL rel syrr goth Chr Thdrt Damasc Ambrst; Aeyw copt arth-pl: om ABD FN 17 latt aeth-rom Aug om ws D1(and lat) syr arm.

3. for επει, οτι F Ambr₁ Augalic: ει Orig₄ Mac Thdrt₁: ή Orig₁ Dial Thdrt₃: an Sedul Bede. Orig lat lat-fi: quid Ambr: quomodo Ambrst: for επει δοκιμην, επ οικοδομην 93.

4. rec aft 1st και γαρ ins ει (see notes), with AD3LN3 rel vulg(and F-lat) syrr goth Origo Chr ($\kappa\alpha_i$ $\gamma\alpha\rho$ $\eta\mu$. ϵ_i Chr.ms) Tharth. Ec Dial Hipp Origint Ps. Ath-int lat-fl: om BD!FKN!! 17 copt ath Eus Thart, Damase Thi Paulin. om 2nd $\gamma\alpha\rho$ F 112 arm.

ins και bef ημεις (appy, as Meyer, the και γαρ was taken as merely = namque, and thus another kas added to give the emphasis), with f g copt Chr: txt ABDFKLN rel

Est., Bengel, al., and De Wette, take ωs παρών τὸ δεύτ. to mean, 'as if I were present the second time,' meaning this next time. But is it possible that the Apostle should have written so confusedly, as to have said in the same sentence τρίτον τοῦτο ἔρχομαι, and ώς παρών τὸ δεύτερον, both, according to these interpreters, with reference to the same journey? And would he not have even on such an hypothesis have said τὸ δεύτερον τοῦτο? But if we render as above, the προείρηκα (perf. because the warning yet endured in force) refers to his second visit (παρών τὸ δεύτ.), and the προλέγω to his present condition of absence $(\alpha\pi\omega\nu \ \nu\bar{\nu}\nu)$, ω_s being as ('I did' or 'do,' for it applies to both clauses), and καί the simple copula. προημ.] the same persons as are thus designated above, ch. xii. 21. It is not necessary to fix the $\pi\rho\sigma$ - any more accuτοις λοιποις πασιν all the rest of you, who may not have actually sinued, but still require warning, on account of your own personal danger, connexion with the προημαρτηκότες, &c. čλθ. είς τὸ π.] at my next coming. This was what he προείρηκεν when he was last there, and now προλέγει. gives the reason why he will not spare: they required the exertion of discipline; and they challenged him to the proof of his apostolic authority. δοκιμήν . . . χριστοῦ] The genitive is either objective, a proof of Christ speaking in me, i. e. 'that Christ speaks in me, - or subjective, a proof given by Christ speaking in me - 'a token of my authority vonchsafed by Christ speaking in me.' This latter meaning is more suited to what follows, where Christ

becomes the subject. Such proof would be, the immediate execution, by divine power, of some punishment denounced by Paul's word, as in Acts xiii. 11. őς, i. e. Christ: see above. δυνατεῖ, to answer to ἀσθενεί, refers both to gifts and miraeles, and to the Power of Christ which He would exert in punishment—εis ὑμαs and $\dot{\epsilon}_{\nu}$ $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\mu}\nu$ differ—the $\dot{\epsilon}$ is being hypothetical,—the $\dot{\epsilon}_{\nu}$, matter of fact. The assertion tends to remind them of the danger of

provoking Christ, who spoke by Paul.

4.] Confirmation of the foregoing οὐκ ἀσθενεῖ, ἀλλὰ δυνατεῖ. The rec. text, καὶ γὰρ εἰ, would be quite beside the purpose, and would mean, 'For even if He were crucified,' for even putting the ease that He was crucified :' καl εί cannot be = εί καί, though, as in Vulg. 'etsi,'and E. V. Hartung, Partikellehre i. 139, shews that in kal el, the climax belongs only to the hypothetical partiele ei, not as in \$\epsilon\$ kal, to the fact presupposed: 'even if,' not 'if even,' or 'although.' Examples of Kal el are Plat. Sympos. 185, και είαν τούτο ποιήσης απαξ ή δίς, και είαν ιότον ποιήσης απαξ ή δίς, και εί πάνυ ίσχυρά έστι, παύσεται. Eur. Androm. 266, και γάρ εί πέριξ σ' έχει τηκτός μόλυβδος, έξαναστήσω σ' έγω. Sappho, καὶ γὰρ αἰ φεύγει, ταχέως διώξει: See more in Hartung, l. c. For he was even crucified (that καὶ γάρ always means 'for . . . even' . . , or 'for . . . also,' and never simply 'for,' see Hartung, i. 137 f., where he has collected many examples, e. g.: Il. α. 63, καὶ γάρ τ' ὅναρ ἐκ Διός ἐστιν,—Herod. i. 77, καὶ γάρ πρὸς τούτους αὐτῷ ἐπεποίητο συμμαχίη) from (as the source, -the conditional element,by which His crucifixion became possible) ασθενούμεν έν αὐτῷ, ἀλλὰ τησομεν σὺν αὐτῷ ἐκ $\frac{ch. xi. 21. 20. 20.}{d-1 Thess.}$ δυνάμεως $\frac{ch. xi. 21. 20.}{d-1 Thess.}$ εστὲ $\frac{ch. xi. 21. 20.}{d-1 Thess.}$ επικάτετε $\frac{ch. xi. 21. 20.}{d-1 Thess.$ n Luke ix. 13. 1 Cor. vii. 5 only. o Rom. i. 28 reff. q here only. Num. xi. 2. r Rom. xiv. 18 reff.

latt syrr goth Cyr Thdrt Damasc Thl Œc lat-ff. for $\epsilon\nu$, $\sigma\nu\nu$ AFN Syr copt. rec $\zeta\eta\sigma\sigma\rho\nu\epsilon\theta\alpha$, with D³KL rel Chr Thdrt: txt ABD¹FN 17 Damasc. for $\sigma\nu\nu$, $\epsilon\nu$ D¹(and lat) 17 Chr2(mss vary). om εκ δυναμεως θεου F: om θεου K. om ess vuas BD3 flor arm Chr Sedul: in vobis joined with follg ipsis in D-lat(so also D1-gr): ins AD1FKLN rel (bef εκ δυναμ. θῦ g: ημας c d).

5. om η \aleph^1 . om εαυτους δοκιμαζετε Λ . χριστος bef ιησους ΑΓΝ vnlg copt Clem Damasc Ambrst Bede: txt BDKL rel syrr goth Chr Thdrt Jer. om εστιν BD1 17 Clem Chr-comm3: ins AD2.3FKLN rel latt Thdrt.

faft ημεις ε is written but marked for erasure by ℵ¹.

7. rec ευχομαι (conformation to ελπιζω, ver 6?), with D3KL rel Syr goth Chr Thdrt Ambret Cassiod: txt ABD FN 17 copt ath arm Isid Damasc Aug.

weakness, yet He lives by (source) the Power of God (which raised Him from the dead, Rom. vi. 4; viii. 11; Eph. i. 20; Phil. ii. 9). For we also are weak in Him (i. e. in Him, in our communion with and imitation of Christ, we, as He did, lay aside our power and spare you: we partake of His voluntary abnegation of power which we might have used. The context requires this explanation, and refutes that of Chrys., also Theodoret, Theophyl., Grot., Estius, al.,— $\tau i \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta$. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \hat{\varphi}$; $\delta \iota$ ωκόμεθα, έλαυνόμεθα, τὰ ἔσχατα πάσχο- $\mu \in \nu$), but shall live (exercise our apostolic authority, in contrast to the ἀσθένεια above) with Him (as He now exercises His power in His glorified resurrection life) from (source) the power of God with respect to you (είς δμας, if genuine, may belong either to $\delta v \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \omega s \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$, $= \delta v \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu$. θεοῦ τῆς εἰς ὑμᾶς, the art. being often omitted in such constructions, - or to ζήσομεν, 'we shall live with respect to you,' which agrees better with the parallelism, but not so well with the arrangement of the sentence. The sense seems to require the latter interpretation, for the δύναμις the water interpretation, to the συναμές θεοῦ eἰς τμε. would be rather the result, than the source of the apostolic energy indicated by ζήσομεν]. I have taken ζή-σομεν, as the context plainly requires, figuratively (see ref.): but many Commentators take it literally, of the resurrection: e.g. Grot.—'vitam consequemur immortalem.' 5.] "You want to prove Christ speaking in me ;- if you necessitate this proof, it will be given. But VOL. II.

I will tell you whom rather to prove. Prove YOURSELVES; there let your attention be concentrated, if you will apply tests." Notice the prominently emphatic έαυτούς : so Chrys.: τί γὰρ λέγω περλ ἐμοῦ τοῦ διδασκάλου, φησί.... ὑμᾶς γὰρ in Christ, which will be shewn by the power of Christ's Spirit present and energizing among you.' ἐπιγιν. ἐαυτ., öτι for the construction see reff. and Winer, edn. 6, § 66. 5. 1. a. τι, unless indeed see reff. άδόκιμοι, 'not abiding the proof,' worthless,—i. e. in this case, 'mere pretended Christians.'
6.] But (however it may fall out with your proof of yourselves) I hope (or perhaps better, expect) that 'ye shall know that we are not worthless (unable to abide the proof to which you put us. The verse is said, as Theodoret, ἀπειλητικώς;—and Chrys. remarks, ἐπειδὴ γὰρ ἐντεῦθεν βούλεσθε, φησί, διὰ τῆς εἰς ὑμᾶς κολάσεως τὴν δοκιμὴν λαβεῖν, οὐκ ἀπορήσομεν τοῦ δοῦναι ὑμῖν τὴν ἀπόδειξιν). 7.] Yet he prays God rather that they may require no such demonstration of his apostolic power, even though he lose in reputation by it. μη ποιησ. ύμ. κακ. μηδ.] Not, as Grot., al., 'that I may not have to inflict on you any evil' (an extraordinary rendering of κακόν ποιείν), but that ye may do no evil, corresponding to iva

 $^{s=Rom.\,vii.}_{18,\,21\,{
m refi.}}$ μοι φανώμεν, ἀλλ΄ ίνα ύμεῖς τὸ s καλὸν ποιῆτε, ἡμεῖς δὲ ABDF ^P εὐχόμεθα, τὴν ὑμῶν ^w κατάρτισιν. 10 διὰ τοῦτο ταῦτα ver. Il.

νετ. Ευχομεθα, την υμων "καταρτισιν. "δια τουτο ταυτα τρωμέν, του άπον γράφω, (να * παρών μη y ἀποτόμως z χρήσωμαι y Γίι. 1.3 z απόν γράφω, (να * παρών μη y ἀποτόμως z χρήσωμαι y Γίι. 1.3 z σομγ. Ψέτα την z έξουσίαν ην z κύριος z έδωκέν μοι είς z οίκοδοκέν μοι είς z καθαίρεσιν. z Γίι. 1.1 z Λοιπόν, ἀδελφοί, χαίρετε, z καταρτίζεσθε, d παρασθαίτενενι. καλείσθε, z το αὐτο z θρουνείτε, z είσυνείνετε, καλ z z z δικός z καλείσθε, ετὸ αὐτὸ ef φρονείτε, ειρηνεύετε, καὶ bi ο θεὸς 3 (n), x, s (rein.), b) (or, i | n), i | 2, 1 Thess iv, 1, 2 Tim. iv, 8, c = 1 Cor., i, 10 reff, see above (w), d = ch. i, 4 &c., reff, c Roim. xiii, 16, xv, 5, Phil, ii, 2, iv, 2, t, 10 ally, 2 Chron. xiv, 6, Sir, vi, 6, h bere only, l Roim. xv, 3, Roim. xii, 18, 1 Thess.

for υμ., ημεις X1: but N-corr1(?)3. ποιειτε KLN d.

 8. [αλλα, so D¹Fℵ. (homœotel in d 17.)]
 9. om γαρ D³K 46. 108¹. 116 arm. rec ins δε bef και, with D3KLN3 rel Syr

Thdrt: om ABD¹F \aleph^1 17 latt copt æth Damasc lat-ff. 10. $\mu\eta$ bef $\pi\alpha\rho\omega\nu$ DF c latt Ec: $\mu\epsilon$ π . $\mu\eta$ m. χρησομαι DF c d k1. εδωκε μοι bef δ κυριος, with KL rel syrr æth Chr Thdrt Thl Œc: txt ABDFX a2 m 17 latt copt goth Damasc.

11. οπ το αυτο φρονειτε Α. ύμεις τὸ καλὸν ποιῆτε below. rva ...] 'And the purpose of this my prayer is not to gain any repute by your Christian graces, but that you may be highly endowed with them, and (if it so happen) we may be as of no repute ('hominum scilicet judicio,' Beza).' That this is the sense, and that δόκιμοι is not in this verse to be applied to substantiation of power by punishment, is necessitated by the construction,-it being plainly shewn by the infin. after εὐχόμ., that "να is not here meant to apply, even in part, to the purport of the prayer (as in Col. i. 9; 2 Thess, i. 11; see note on 1 Cor. xiv. 13), but to its purpose. And that being settled,-we pray not in order that we may appear δόκιμοι, - it follows that the appearing δόκιμοι would be a result of the fulfilment of the prayer, viz. of your doing no evil, and this it could only be by their doing no evil bringing credit on the Apostle's ministry. It is not for this end that we pray that you may do no evil, but for your own good, even if that tend to the non-exercise, and so depreciation, of 8. for we our apostolic power. have no power against the truth (of the Gospel, as Meyer; not of the facts, as Chrys., al., and De Wette, which might suit κατὰ τῆς ἀλ., but comes in very lamely with ύπερ της άλ .- 'If you walk in the truth, we shall be at one with you and so have no opportunity of shewing our power') but (only) on behalf of (in furtherance of the cause and spread of) the

9.] For (confirmation of ver. 8 by the still stronger assertion, WHEREIN his joy consists, and for what he prays) our joy is, when we are weak (have no opportunity for shewing our power in punishment) but ye are mighty (in Christian graces, and requiring no exercise of our authority): this (viz. that the state of the case may be as just mentioned) we also pray for, viz. your perfection (generally,-in all good things, see καταρτισμόν, Eph. iv. 12: not, as Bengel, 'ne opus sit quenquam de corpore rescindere;' the reference here being far more general).

10.] διὰ τοῦτο, 'because I wish and pray for your perfection' ταῦτα, 'this Epistle.' ἀποτ., sharply. χρήσ., scil. ὑμῖν. See in refl. similar omissions of the dative. βούλομαι γὰρ ἐν τοῖs γράμμασι κείσθαι την ἀποτομίαν, ἀλλὰ μη εν τοῖς πράγμασι. Chrys. κατὰ τ . èξ. ην . . .] gives the reason why he did not wish to act ἀποτόμως,—because the power would seem to be exercised in a direction contrary to that intended by Him who gave it. 11-13.] Con-11.] General exhorta-CLUSION. tions. "Severius scripscrat Paulus in tractatione; nunc benignius, re tamen ipsa non dimissa." Bengel. Xaíp., re-joice, scil. in the Lord, as Phil. iii. 1; iv. 4. So also 1 Thess. v. 16.

καταρτ., τέλειοι γίνεσθε καὶ ἀναπληροῦτε τὰ λειπόμενα, Chrys.: "amend your-selves," Stanley. παρακαλ., take comfort; a recurrence in the end of the τῆς $^{\rm h}$ ἀγάπης καὶ $^{\rm i}$ εἰρήνης ἔσται μεθ' ὑμῶν. $^{\rm i}$ $^{\rm i}$ ἀσπά- $^{\rm k\,Rom,\,xvl.\,16}$ σασθε ἀλλήλους $^{\rm k}$ εν ἁγί $^{\rm k}$ φιλήματι. ἀσπάζονται ὑμᾶς

ΠΡΟΣ ΚΟΡΙΝΘΙΟΥΣ Β.

 ϵ ιρηνης και αγαπης DL m vulg(with fuld, agst am) goth arm Thdrt Thl Ambrst Pelag: om αγαπης και F 17. aft last και ins τ ης DL a d f h k m.

12. φιληματι bef αγιω AFL e g m n vulg Chr Thl lat-ff, φιληματι αγαπης f: txt BDKN rel Thdrt Damase Œc.

13. om $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau ov$ B k². rec at end ins $\alpha \mu \eta \nu$, with DKR³ rel vulg syrr copt goth Thdrt Ambrst: om ABFLR¹ 17 harl¹ æth Chr-mss.

Subscription. rec προς κορ. δευτερα εγραφη απο φιλιππων της μακεδονίας δια τίτου κ. λουκα, with K Syr copt Thart-ed Ee, and ong της μακεδ. L a f g n: πρ. κορ. θ εγραφη απο φιλιπων B^2 (d): εγραφη απο φιλιπων δια τίτου κ λουκα b k m o: πρ. κορ. β . εγρ. απο φίλ. δια τίτου βαρναβα κ. λουκα h 44. 106-8-33: om 1: προς κορ. β επληρωθη αρχεται πρ. γαλ. D: ετελεσθη πρ. κορ. β αρχεται προς γαλ. F: txt AB, and (adding στιχων χ(β) Κ.

Epistle to the spirit with which it began; see ch. i. 6, 7, and, for the need they had of comfort, ch. vii. 8-13. This is better than 'comfort (or 'exhort') one another,' which would more naturally be expressed by παρακαλείτε άλλήλους, or έαυτούς, see 1 Thess. iv. 18; v. 11; Heb. iii. 13; also Heb. x. 25 and note. το αὐτ. φρ. belongs to ἀγάπη, εἰρηνεύετε to εἰρήνη. καί, 'and then.' 12.] Concluding greetings. έν. άγ. φίλ. See on Rom. xvi. 16. οἱ ἄγ. πάντες viz. in the place whence the Epistle was 13. Concluding benediction; remarkable for the distinct recognition of the Three Persons in the Holy Trinity, and thence adopted by the Christian Church in all ages as the final blessing in her Services. The grace of our Lord Jesus Christ is put first; "nam per gratiam Christi venitur ad Patris amorem." Bengel. κοινων. τ. άγ. πν.] communion,-fellowship, gen. obj.not 'communicatio activa,' gen. subj.τουτέστι την μετοχήν αὐτοῦ κ. την μετάληψιν, καθ' ην άγιαζόμεθα, τη έφ' ήμας ἐπιφοιτήσει τοῦ παρακλήτου κοινωνοί αὐτοῦ γενόμενοι, καὶ πνεῦμα καὶ αὐτοί, οὐκ οὐσία, ἀλλὰ μεθέξει, ὅντες, Theophyl., and similarly Œcum. Chrys. adds, οἶτω τὰ τῆς τριάδος αδιαίρετα και οῦ τοῦ πνεύματός έστιν ή κοινωνία, εύρέθη τοῦ υίοῦ καὶ οδ τοῦ υίοῦ ἐστιν ἡ χάρις, καὶ τοῦ πατρὸς κ. τοῦ ἁγίου πνεύματος. μετὰ πάντων ὑμῶν.] "And this blessing he invokes, not on a few individuals, or on any one section of the Corinthian Church, but expressly on every portion and every individual of those with whom, throughout these two Epistles, he had so earnestly and so variously argued and contended. As in the first, so in the second Epistle, but still more emphatically, as being here his very last words, his prayer was, that this happiness might be 'with them all' (μετὰ πάντων ὑμῶν)." Stanley. Compare, for the same emphatic πâs, Rom. i. 5, 8; iv. 16; [xvi. 24,] &c.: and for πâs following its substantive and unemphatic, ib. viii. 32, 37; 1 Cor. vii. 17; x. 1, &c.